

2

ORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE DTIC FILE COPY

AD-A234 212

1a. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) NMRI 91-11		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Naval Medical Command	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Medical Research Institute	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20372-5120	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 8901 Wisconsin Avenue Bethesda, MD 20889-5055		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Naval Medical Research & Development Command	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 8901 Wisconsin Avenue Bethesda, MD 20889-5044		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 63807A	PROJECT NO. 3M463807.D808
		TASK NO. A0133	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. DA301569
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Prevention of malaria			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Hoffman SL			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT journal article	13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1991	15. PAGE COUNT 2
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Reprinted from The Journal of the American Medical Association 1991 January 16, Vol.265 No.3 pp. 398-399			
17. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	malaria prevention; chloroquine; differential diagnosis; mefloquine; Plasmodium falciparum; Plasmodium vivax; chemoprophylaxis	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Phyllis Blum, Librarian		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (301) 295-2188	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL MRL/NMRI

A-1 20

Prevention of Malaria

In 1990, the World Health Organization estimated that 2.1 billion people live in malarious areas of the world and that 270 million people develop new malaria infections each year.¹ Although transmission of malaria was interrupted in the United States in the early 1950s, it is still a major concern to the 7 million Americans who visit countries with malaria every year.

See also pp 317, 361, and 383.

Several years ago I was asked to consult on a patient with cerebral malaria. The patient had visited Kenya (East Africa) on safari several weeks earlier and had not taken chemoprophylaxis. Eight days before I saw him he developed fever and headache, and 2 days later he presented to an emergency department with the chief complaint, "I have malaria." The malaria smear was reported as negative (review revealed low parasitemia). The physician prescribed an antipyretic and follow-up in 2 days if his condition did not improve. Three days later the patient returned with bloody diarrhea and intermittent hallucinations and was admitted with a diagnosis of dysentery. The hematology technician noted *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites when examining a thin film for a differential cell count, and oral chloroquine was started. The fact that 35% of his erythrocytes were parasitized was overlooked. When I first saw the patient 3 days later, he was comatose with renal failure, sepsis, pneumonia, and adult respiratory distress syndrome. He never regained normal consciousness and subsequently died. All symptoms and signs were due to malaria and its complications.

Malaria almost certainly would have been prevented if the individual had taken appropriate chemoprophylaxis. The development of severe malaria would have been prevented if the malaria slide had been reviewed by a pathologist the following day, or if the emergency department physician had insisted on repeat malaria smears every 6 to 12 hours for the next 48 hours and if appropriate oral therapy had been initiated when malaria was detected. Death might have been prevented if the admitting physician had recognized severe malaria,

placed the patient in an intensive care unit, and initiated appropriate intravenous antimalarial and supportive therapy. Unfortunately, none of these interventions occurred. This is not an isolated event. From 1959 to 1987, 68 US travelers died of malaria in the United States. Seventy-seven percent of these persons did not take chemoprophylaxis, 13% took inappropriate chemoprophylaxis, and 40% of the cases were misdiagnosed.²

From the 1940s until the early 1970s, US physicians relied on chloroquine for prevention and treatment of blood-stage malaria infections and on primaquine phosphate to eliminate the slowly developing liver stages of *Plasmodium vivax*. All four human malaria parasites were sensitive to chloroquine and the drug was generally well tolerated. However, beginning in Thailand and Colombia in the late 1950s, chloroquine-resistant *P falciparum* spread throughout the world. In 1980, the problem was primarily confined to South America, Southeast Asia, and Oceania. In 1990, chloroquine resistance has been documented from all malarious areas of the world except for the island of Hispaniola in the Caribbean, Central America above Panama, and the Middle East. Most striking has been its rapid march from East to West Africa.

This point is clearly made by Lackritz et al.³ In 1986 to 1987, the estimated incidence of *P falciparum* malaria was the same in visitors to East Africa who did and did not take chloroquine chemoprophylaxis; chloroquine was ineffective in preventing *P falciparum* infection. In 1985, only 10% of US travelers to West Africa who developed *P falciparum* infection had taken chloroquine chemoprophylaxis. By 1988, the proportion had increased to 48%. This incursion of chloroquine resistance into West Africa in the late 1980s was poignantly illustrated among US Peace Corps volunteers who took chloroquine chemoprophylaxis. In one West African country, Benin, the monthly incidence of *P falciparum* infection in volunteers was essentially nil in 1986, and it was greater than 15% in 1987.⁴ Furthermore, Lobel et al⁵ now show that the combination of chloroquine and proguanil is not efficacious in Peace Corps volunteers in West Africa. The demise of an almost ideal drug for the prevention and treatment of malaria has provoked an intensive search for new antimalarial drugs.

Lobel et al also report on the use of mefloquine hydrochloride (Lariam), an antimalarial approved for use by the Food and Drug Administration in March 1989. Mefloquine was discovered at the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research

From the Infectious Diseases Department, Naval Medical Research Institute, Bethesda, Md.

The opinions and assertions herein are those of the author and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the US Navy Department or the naval service at large.

Reprint requests to: Infectious Diseases Department, Naval Medical Research Institute, Annex 12300 Washington Ave, Rockville, MD 20852 (Dr Hoffman).

nearly 20 years ago. Testing indicated that this quinoline methanol, similar in structure to quinine, might be an ideal antimalarial.¹ Like chloroquine, mefloquine is effective against all four human malarias. Although scattered cases of *in vitro*² and *in vivo*^{3,4} resistance to mefloquine were identified in the early 1980s, and the prevalence of mefloquine resistance has been slowly increasing in Thailand since the widespread introduction of a combination of mefloquine and pyrimethamine-sulfadoxine several years ago, the majority of chloroquine-resistant *P. falciparum* parasites have been sensitive to mefloquine. In contrast to chloroquine, which is administered over a 48-hour period, mefloquine can be administered as a single dose because of its long half-life. Thus, its introduction into the United States last year was greeted with enthusiasm by practitioners of travel and tropical medicine. However, because of the prolonged half-life and because toxic effects had been noted at therapeutic levels (World Health Organization, unpublished data, 1989), there was controversy regarding the appropriate interval between prophylactic doses. Based on computer modeling, but not on experimental evidence, it was recommended weekly for 4 weeks, and then every other week.

Lobel et al have identified 17 failures of mefloquine chemoprophylaxis in Peace Corps volunteers taking mefloquine every 2 weeks. In all cases, clinical symptoms first manifested during the second week after drug administration, at a time when the volunteers' mefloquine levels were less than 400 ng/mL. They interpret the findings to indicate that the drug must be given every week and recommendations have been changed accordingly.⁵ Also, despite concern over potential toxic effects, the authors point out that no serious adverse reactions occurred in the 264 Peace Corps volunteers in their study and in more than 10 000 European tourists¹² who have taken mefloquine chemoprophylaxis.

Essentially all efforts to prevent malaria have focused on the use of drugs like chloroquine and mefloquine that kill the parasite after it has invaded erythrocytes. There has been little interest in drugs that attack the parasite while it is developing within hepatocytes and before it emerges to infect erythrocytes and cause malaria disease. More than 30 years ago it was shown that administration of 30-mg base of the 8-aminoquinoline, primaquine, on day 1 or 3 after exposure prevented sporozoite-induced malaria.⁶ When administered as a phosphate salt, primaquine has a half-life of only 3 to 7 hours and because of its potential toxic effects has not been used the two or three times per week that would be needed for

effective chemoprophylaxis. However, more potent 8-aminoquinolines are being developed, and in the future such drugs may be used to prevent malaria.

Malaria prevention is difficult and likely to change during the coming years. No drug can be considered universally efficacious, and although there are currently major efforts to develop malaria vaccines,¹¹ none are in general use. Thus, even when visitors to malarious areas take recommended chemoprophylaxis, they must be aware that they cannot be certain of protection, and they should reduce exposure by using bed netting, insect repellants, and protective clothing. Perhaps even more important, these individuals and their physicians must remember to consider malaria when fever develops in the 1 to 2 years after exposure. When they do not, the outcome can be devastating.

Stephen L. Hoffman, MD, DTMH

This work was supported by the Naval Medical Research and Development Command, work unit 3M473750D808AQ133.

1. Tropical diseases in media spotlight. *TDR News*. 1990;31:3.
2. Greenberg AE, Lobel HO. Mortality from *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in travelers from the United States, 1959 to 1987. *Ann Intern Med*. 1990;113:326-327.
3. Laekritz EM, Lobel HO, Howell BJ, Bloland P, Campbell CC. Imported *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in American travelers to Africa: implications for prevention strategies. *JAMA*. 1991;265:383-385.
4. Moran JS, Bernard KW. The spread of chloroquine-resistant malaria in Africa. *JAMA*. 1989;262:245-248.
5. Lobel HO, Bernard KW, Williams SL, Hightower AW, Patchen LC, Campbell CC. Effectiveness and tolerance of long-term malaria prophylaxis with mefloquine: need for a better dosing regimen. *JAMA*. 1991;265:361-364.
6. Trenholme GM, Williams RJ, Desjarlais RE, et al. Mefloquine (WR 142,490) in the treatment of human malaria. *Science*. 1975;190:792-794.
7. Smrkovski LL, Buck RL, Alcantara AK, Rodriguez CS, Uylangeo CU. *In vitro* mefloquine-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* from the Philippines. *Lancet*. 1982;2:722.
8. Hoffman SL, Dimpudis AJ, Campbell JR, et al. RII and RIII type resistance of *Plasmodium falciparum* to combination of mefloquine and sulfadoxine/primethamine in Indonesia. *Lancet*. 1985;2:1039-1040.
9. Beaudreau EF, Webster HK, Pavanand K, Thosingha L. Type II mefloquine resistance in Thailand. *Lancet*. 1982;2:1335.
10. Bygbjerg IC, Schapira A, Flachs H, Gomme G. Mefloquine resistance of falciparum malaria from Tanzania enhanced by treatment. *Lancet*. 1981;1:21-26.
11. Centers for Disease Control. Revised dosing regimen for malaria prophylaxis with mefloquine. *MMWR*. 1990;39:630.
12. Steffen R, Heusser R, Machler R, et al. Malaria chemoprophylaxis among European tourists in tropical Africa: use, adverse reactions and efficacy. *Bull World Health Organ*. 1990;68:313-322.
13. Alving AS, Craig B Jr, Pullman TN, Whorton CM, Jones R Jr, Eichelberger L. Procedures used at Stateville Penitentiary for the testing of potential antimalarial agents. *J Clin Invest*. 1948;27:1-5.
14. Miller LH, Howard RJ, Carter R, Good ME, Nussenzweig V, Nussenzweig R. Research toward malaria vaccines. *Science*. 1986;234:1349-1356.
15. Marwick C. Long struggle continues to find new weapons against an old foe—the malaria parasite. *JAMA*. 1990;263:2718.