

3 22 03**2**

NOTICES

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
nstructions, searching existing data sources, burden estimate or any other aspect of this iion Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson 0188). Washington, DC 20503.
S COVERED
NDING NUMBERS
44592012267001
FORMING ORGANIZATION
ONSORING / MONITORING
ENCY REPORT NUMBER
BRL-TR-3207
sintegration of an inert gas e flow configuration tant angular velocity. The ated by radioactive decay \approx and diffusion. It was crease in charge profile e boundaries.
15. NUMBER OF PAGES
21 16. PRICE CODE
20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRAC
SAR

Ś

......

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	LIST OF FIGURES	v
1.		1
2.	FORMULATION	3
3.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	9
4.	REFERENCES	15
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	17
	DISTRIBUTION	19



iii

¥

.

iv

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1990		Page
1.	Coordinate System and Boundary Values	2
2.	Axial Distribution of Bulk Concentration for Variable Electrostatic Fields (Rey = 30 and Swirl = 8)	11
3.	Axial Distribution of the Centerline Concentration at Different Electrostatic Fields	12
4.	Axial Distribution of the Centerline Electrostatic Charge Potential at Various Charge Fields	13

1. INTRODUCTION

When small particles suspended in a gaseous medium flow through a long cylindrical tube or conduit, the random Brownian movement, or diffusion of the particles which may be submicron aerosols, atoms, or ions, may bring them into contact with the walls, where they adhere or lose their charge. From the fraction of particles penetrating the conduit, the diffusion coefficient and particle size may be calculated with the use of the appropriate relations. The case in hand is when no particles enter the conduit, and formation in flight occurs within the containment; this arises when air containing a radioactive, rare gas enters the conduit through a high-efficiency filter located far upstream from the tube configuration. As the radioactive gas flows through the geometry, it decays, giving rise to the steady production of a certain number of daughter atoms per unit volume. Unlike the radioactive gas, the daughter atoms adhere to the wall and are lost by diffusion. This dispersion of atoms may be considered to be an atomic aerosol (Tan and Hsu 1971) since it has the same property as submicron aerosols in that they can be collected at a surface. The radioelements diffuse to the tube walls where they decay into other radioelements.

Formation in flight diffusion equations have been derived for cylindrical tubes (Tan and Hsu 1971; Berezhnoi and Kirichenko 1964) and for flat channels (Berezhnoi and Kirichenko 1964), including the mass transfer of aerosols with axial diffusion in narrow rectangular channels (Tan and Hsu 1972).

Swirling flows related to ducts have been studied extensively (Fromm 1963; Pao 1967; Textor 1968). A well-defined configuration of a confined axially decaying vortex flow was introduced in a study by Lavan, Nielsen, and Fejer (1969). Also, Tung and Soo (1973) presented a study applying the fluid phase relations of Lavan, Nielsen, and Fejer (1969) to the case of a gas-solid suspension.

The present study utilizes the geometry of Lavan, Nielsen, and Fejer (1969) (see Figure 1) to study the case of a laminar swirling flow of atomic particles formed in flight under electrostatic field effects. The fluid phase is assumed incompressible and fully developed at both far upstream and downstream positions from the juncture of the two pipes. A forced vortex is generated by the rotating pipe, and it decays as the flow passes through the stationary duct because of wall surface friction. At far downstream, the swirl vanishes, and it is assumed that the axial velocity converts back to the fully



Figure 1. Coordinate System and Boundary Values.

developed laminar parabolic profile. The particle concentration is assumed low enough so that the effect on the fluid phase due to the presence of the particles is negligible (Soo 1967). The solutions of the fluid phase velocities can then be effectively utilized to solve for the particulate relations. The fluid phase velocities are obtained by solving the Navier-Stokes equations numerically.

2. FORMULATION

The motion of the fluid phase is given by solving the continuity and the Navier-Stokes equations for a steady, laminar, incompressible, and axisymmetric swirling flow. The solution is given in terms of the radial, tangential, and axial components of the fluid velocity $(u, v, w \text{ in } r, \theta)$, and z coordinates, respectively), pressure p, the density ρ , and v, the kinematic viscosity of the fluid phase material. Hence, the fluid phase relations are (Schlichting 1968):

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} - \frac{v^2}{r} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + v \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (ru) \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \right];$$
(1)

$$u \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{uv}{r} + w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = v \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(rv \right) \right) + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} \right];$$
(2)

$$u \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + v \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} \right];$$
(3)

and the continuity relation is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(ru) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(rw) = 0.$$
 (4)

The expression describing the steady-state mass diffusion of a constituent in a generating but nonreacting binary gas mixture under electrostatic influences flowing through the system described in Figure 1, assuming azimuthal symmetry and constant coefficient of diffusion is

$$u \frac{\partial c}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial c}{\partial z} = D_p \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial c}{\partial r} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{F} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{cq}{m_p} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) \right] + \frac{c}{Fr} \left(\frac{q}{m_p} \right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + Q, \quad (5)$$

coupled with the Poisson equation,

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) = - \frac{c}{\varepsilon_o} \left(\frac{q}{mp} \right), \tag{6}$$

where F is the inverse of relaxation time constant defined as

$$F = 9\overline{u} / 2a^2 \overline{c} , \qquad (7)$$

where $\overline{\mu}$ and \overline{c} are the viscosity of the fluid and material density of the particulate phase, respectively, and *a* is the radius of a particle.

The boundary conditions of the solution take the following forms:

at
$$r = 0$$
: $u = v = \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial c}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} = 0$; (8)
(centerline)

at
$$r = R_o$$
: $\nu = w = c = \phi = 0$; $v = \begin{cases} R_o \Omega, -\infty \le z \le 0 \\ 0, 0 < z \le +\infty \end{cases}$; (9)
(wall)

at
$$z = -\infty$$
: $u = c = \phi = 0$; $v = r\Omega$, $w = 2\overline{w}\left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R_o^2}\right)$; (10)
(far upstream)

at
$$z = +\infty$$
: $u = v = 0$; $w = 2\overline{w} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R_o^2}\right)$; (11)
(far downstream)

where Ω is the constant angular velocity of the rotating pipe, \overline{w} is the mean axial flow velocity, and R_o is the radius of the pipe.

The flow configuration, together with the boundary conditions, is shown in Figure 1. The pipe section at the left of the r-axis rotates with constant angular velocity Ω and creates the swirl, while the pipe section at the right is held stationary. At z = 0, the two pipes join smoothly with no effect on the flow. At far upstream ($z = -\infty$) and downstream ($z = +\infty$), the flow is assumed fully developed.

Since the radioelements are completely annihilated at the walls, c = 0 at $r = R_0$; also, because of the grounded walls, $\phi = 0$ at $r = R_0$. Furthermore, it is assumed that c = 0 at $z = -\infty$.

The following nondimensional forms may be introduced as:

$$R = r/R_o, Z = z/R_o, \Psi^* = \Psi/\Psi_w;$$

$$W = \frac{w}{\overline{w}/2}, U = \frac{u}{\overline{w}/2}, V = v/(R_o\Omega);$$

$$\zeta^* = \zeta R_o^3 / \Psi_{\omega}, P = p / \left(\rho R_o^2 \Omega^2 \right);$$

$$C^{*} = D_{p} c/(\dot{Q}R_{o}^{2}), \phi^{*} = q \phi/(m_{p}FD_{p});$$

where Ψ_{w} is the stream function at the wall. The Reynolds number is given by

$$Rey = \frac{4\Psi_w}{\sqrt{R_o}} = \frac{2\overline{w}R_o}{\overline{v}},$$
 (12)

and the swirl ratio is given by the ratio of the tangential velocity of the rotating pipe to the mean axial velocity,

$$S = \frac{R_o \Omega}{\overline{w}}.$$
 (13)

The diffusive Peclet number is the ratio of the inertial to diffusive forces,

$$P_{e} = \frac{R_{o}\overline{w}}{D_{a}},$$
(14)

and the electrostatic charge parameter is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\dot{Q}R_o^2}{D_p} \right) \left(\frac{q}{m_p} \right)^2 \frac{R_o^2}{\varepsilon_o F D_p} \,. \tag{15}$$

The classical numerical approach which converts the velocity components into stream function \mathbf{T} , vorticity ζ , and circulation Γ was chosen because of its well-established stability.

The relations for the fluid phase after they have been expressed in nondimensional form were **cast** into explicit finite difference molecules (Southwell 1940; Ames 1972) and solved by numerical relaxation yielding results consistent with Lavan, Nielsen, and Fejer (1969) and Tung and Soo (1973). As a result of the axial symmetry of the flow field, only the flow in the rectangular region defined by

$$D = [(R,X) | 0 \le R \le 1 \text{ and } 0 \le X \le 1]$$
(16)

need be considered where X is a mapping function given by Tung and Soo (1973) and used to transform the z-axis into the x-axis. On D itself, parallel mesh points (41 x 41) were uniformly spaced by an amount Δ in both radial and axial directions. Initial assumed values for Γ , ζ , and Ψ were specified, then an iterative procedure consisting of sweeps of the interior mesh points was implemented until a convergence criteria (Forsythe and Wasow 1967) was satisfied.

The diffusion and Poisson equation can be written in nondimensional form as

$$\left(\frac{P_{*}}{2}\right)\left(U\frac{\partial C^{*}}{\partial R} + X'W\frac{\partial C^{*}}{\partial X}\right) = \frac{1}{R}\frac{\partial C^{*}}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial^{2}C^{*}}{\partial R^{2}} + \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial R}\frac{\partial C^{*}}{\partial R} - 4\alpha C^{*2} + 1, \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{1}{R}\frac{\partial\phi^*}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial^2\phi^*}{\partial R^2} = -4\alpha C^*$$
(18)

subject to

$$C^*(R, X=0) = \phi^*(R, X=0) = 0;$$
 (19)

$$C^*(1,X) = \phi^*(1,X) = 0;$$
⁽²⁰⁾

$$\frac{\partial C^*}{\partial R}(0,X) = \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial R}(0,X) = 0.$$
⁽²¹⁾

Defining F(X) as the ratio of the total particle flux over a cross-section at distance X from the far upstream position (at $z = -\infty$) to the rate of formation of the radioelements in the same element of the tube, we have

$$F(X) = \frac{1}{X} \int_{0}^{1} WC^{*}RdR.$$
 (22)

After the fluid phase is solved, the respective velocities can be implemented into the solution of the differential system encompassing Equations 17 to 21. Due to the universal stability of the implicit method, this parabolic system was expressed in terms of the implicit finite difference discretization technique such that the diffusion and Poisson equations take the forms, respectively,

$$\beta 1_{i,j} C^{*}_{i+1,j-1} + \beta 2_{i,j} C^{*}_{i+1,j} + \beta 3_{i,j} C^{*}_{i+1,j+1} + \beta 4_{i,j} \phi_{j-1}$$

$$+ \beta 5_{i,j} \phi^{*}_{j+1} = \Omega 1_{ij};$$

$$\gamma 1_{i,j} \phi^{*}_{j-1} + \gamma 2_{i,j} \phi^{*}_{j} + \gamma 3_{i,j} \phi^{*}_{j+1} + 4\alpha C^{*}_{i+1,j} = 0,$$
(24)

where

$$\beta 1_{i,j} = -\left(\frac{P_{e}}{2}\right) \frac{U_{i+1,j}}{2\Delta R} + \frac{1}{2R_{j}\Delta R} - \frac{1}{(\Delta R)^{2}}; \qquad (25)$$

$$\beta 2_{i,j} = \left(\frac{P_{i}}{2}\right) \frac{X'_{i+1,j}W_{i+1,j}}{\Delta X} + \frac{2}{(\Delta R)^{2}} - 4\alpha C_{i,j}^{*}; \qquad (26)$$

$$\beta 3_{i,j} = \left(\frac{P_{\star}}{2}\right) \frac{U_{i+1,j}}{2\Delta R} - \frac{1.0}{2R_{j}(\Delta R)} - \frac{1}{(\Delta R)^{2}}; \qquad (27)$$

$$\beta 4_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2\Delta R} \left(\frac{C_{i,j+1}^* - C_{i,j-1}^*}{2\Delta R} \right);$$
 (28)

$$\beta 5_{i,j} = -\frac{1}{2\Delta R} \left(\frac{C_{i,j+1}^* - C_{i,j-1}^*}{2\Delta R} \right);$$
(29)

$$\Omega 1_{i,j} = \left(\frac{P_{\epsilon}}{2}\right) \frac{X'_{i+1}W_{i+1,j}C^{*}_{i,j}}{\Delta X} + 1.0; \qquad (30)$$

$$\gamma_{i,j}^{1} = \frac{1}{(\Delta R)^2} - \frac{1}{2(\Delta R)R_j};$$
 (31)

$$\gamma 2_{i,j} = -\frac{2}{(\Delta R)^2}; \qquad (32)$$

$$\gamma_{i,j}^{3} = \frac{1}{(\Delta R)^{2}} + \frac{1}{2R_{j}(\Delta R)}$$
 (33)

Convergence (Forsythe and Wasow 1967; Hornbeck 1975) was satisfied for all Peclet and electrostatic charge parameters, with respect to the mesh sizes considered (ΔX , $\Delta R = 0.01$, 0.025, 0.05). The sparse and unsymmetric system resulting from the finite difference expressions for the diffusion and Poisson system was solved by Gaussian elimination with full and partial pivoting with the aid of the Crout reduction technique.

3. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Since the fluid phase relations have already been solved (Lavan, Nielsen, and Fejer 1969; Tung and Soo 1973), they will not be discussed, except for the precision with which they matched the available comparable calculations, which was within 0.01% for most cases.

The effect of the electrostatic field parameter, α , on the fraction of penetration over the complete axial distance from far upstream to far downstream positions can be seen in Figure 2. Clearly, the increase in charge causes a decrease in penetration, F(X), since more atomic particles are attracted to the walls where they become completely annihilated.

Figure 3 represents the effect of the charge on the centerline concentration over the complete axial distance. A decrease in profile is accompanied by an increase in charge since the particles are lost at the boundary. Figure 4 identifies the greater electrostatic potential due to the increase in electric field.

Hence, the electrostatic charge parameter, \propto , has a significant impact upon nuclear particle deposition in vortex flow when $1 \le Rey \le 10$, $1 \le S \le 12$, $1 \le P_e \le 10$, and $1 \le \infty \le 10$, which represent an appreciable realistic range. Increasing \propto increased deposition and potential, regardless of *Rey*, *P_e* and *S* variations within these ranges.









4

. . . .

4

. .

*

4. **REFERENCES**

Ames, W. F. Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Engineering. Academic Press, 1972.

- Berezhnoi, V. M., and V. V. Kirichenko. "Theory of Diffusive Depositon of Decaying Products of Inert Gases in Circular and Flat Channels," translated from <u>Atomnaya Energia</u>. Vol. 17, pp. 300-302, 1964.
- Forsythe, G. E., and W. R. Wasow. <u>Finite Difference Methods for Partial Difference Equations</u>. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1967.
- Fromm, J. E. "A Method for Computing Nonsteady, Incompressible Viscous Flows." USAEC Report LA-2910, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, 1963.

Hornbeck, R. W. Numerical Methods. New York: Quantum Publishers, Inc., 1975.

- Lavan, Z., H. Nielsen, and A. A. Fejer. "Separation and Flow Reversal in Swirling Flows in Circular Ducts," <u>Physics of Fluids</u>. Vol. 12, No. 9, pp. 1747-1757, 1969.
- Pao, H. S. "A Numerical Computation of a Confined Vortex." Report No. 67-024, Department of Space Science and Applied Physics, The Catholic University of America, Washington, D.C., 1967.
- Schlichting, H. Boundary Layer Theory. 6th ed., New York: McGraw-Hill, 1968.
- Soo, S. L. Fluid Dynamics of Multiphase Systems. Waltham, MA: Blaisdell Publishing Company, 1967.
- Southwell, R. V. <u>Relaxation Methods in Engineering Science</u>. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1940.
- Tan, C. W., and C. J. Hsu. "Diffusion of Aerosols in Laminar Flow in a Cylindrical Tube," <u>Journal of Aerosol Science</u>. Vol. 2, pp. 117-124, 1971.
- Tan, C. W., and C. J. Hsu. "Mass Transfer of Aerosols With Axial Diffusion in Narrow Rectangular Channels," <u>Applied Science Research</u>. Vol. 25, pp. 295-312, 1972.
- Textor, R. E. "A Numerical Investigation of a Confined Vortex Problem." USAEC Report K-1732, Computing Technology Center, Oak Ridge, TN, 1968.

Thomas, J. W. "Radon Determination by the Two-Filter Methods." USAEC Report No. 68-9, 1968.

Tung, S. K. and S. L. Soo. "Swirling Laminar Pipe Flow of Suspensions," Journal of Applied <u>Mechanics</u>. Translation of ASME, Series E, Vol. 40, 1973.

.....

*.

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	- Radius of a particle
с	- Particle concentration
C *	- Dimensionless particle concentration
D _p	- Particle diffusivity
F	- Inverse of relaxation time
m _p	- Mass of a particle
р	- Fluid static pressure
P	- Dimensionless pressure
P.	- Peclet number
<u></u>	- Rate of particle generation per unit of volume
r	- Radial coordinate
R	- Dimensionless radial coordinate, r/R _o
Rey	- Reynolds number
R _o	- Pipe radius
S	- Swirl ratio
u	- Radial velocity
Ū	- Dimensionless radial velocity
v	- Tangential velocity
v	- Dimensionless tangential velocity
W	- Axial velocity
w	- Mean axial velocity
W	- Dimensionless axial velocity
X	- Transformation variable

X'	- First derivative of X with respect to Z
2	- Axial coordinate
Ζ	- Dimensionless axial coordinate
α	- Electrostatic charge parameter
Γ	- Circulation
٤,	- Permitivity of free space
ΔR	- Radial change
ΔΧ	- Axial change
μ	- Viscosity of material constituting fluid phase
v	- Kinematic viscosity of fluid
v	- Kinematic viscosity of fluid phase material
ρ	- Fluid density
ζ	- Vorticity
Ψ	- Stream function
Γ[*], ζ[*], Ψ [*]	- Dimensionless circulation, vorticity, and stream functions, respectively
ф	- Electrostatic potential
• *	- Dimensionless electrostatic potential
Ω	- Constant angular velocity

.

.

• .

No of <u>Copies</u> <u>Organization</u>

- 2 Administrator Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
- 1 HQDA (SARD-TR) WASH DC 20310-0001
- 1 Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDRA-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 1 Commander US Army Laboratory Command ATTN: AMSLC-DL Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- 2 Commander US Army, ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-IMI-I Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- 2 Commander US Army, ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-TDC Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- 1 Director Benet Weapons Laboratory US Army, ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189-4050
- 1 Commander US Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: SMCAR-ESP-L Rock Island, IL 61299-5000
- 1 Director US Army Aviation Research and Technology Activity ATTN: SAVRT-R (Library) M/S 219-3 Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000

No of <u>Copies</u> Organization

- 1 Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R (DOC) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5010
- 1 Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-TSL (Technical Library) Warren, MI 48397-5000
- 1 Director US Army TRADOC Analysis Command ATTN: ATRC-WSR White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5502

(Class. enly) 1 Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD (Security Mgr.) Fort Benning, GA 31905-5660

- (Unclass. only) 1 Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CSO-OR Fort Benning, GA 31905-5660
 - 1 Air Force Armament Laboratory ATTN: AFATL/DLODL Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000

Aberdeen Proving Ground

- 2 Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-D AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen
- 1 Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: AMSTE-TD
- 3 Cdr, CRDEC, AMCCOM ATTN: SMCCR-RSP-A SMCCR-MU SMCCR-MSI
- 1 Dir, VLAMO ATTN: AMSLC-VL-D

100

.

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. BRL Report Number ______ BRL-TR-3207 _____ Date of Report _____ February 1991 _____

2. Date Report Received

. . .

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.)

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.)

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate.

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes w organization, technical content, format, etc.)

					· ·
ि े क्ट्र बग्र क्रि		· · ·			
	Name	<u> </u>			
	Organizatio	on	<u> </u>	<u></u>	
	Address				

City, State, Zip Code

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the New or Correct Address in Block 6 above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

Name

OLD ADDRESS

Naure			
Organization		 	
Address	 	 	·

City, State, Zip Code

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, staple or tape closed, and mail.)

D HERE DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY IO POSTAGE Director LARY - - -U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory MLED ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T A THE Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 2106 -5066 ITED STATES **OFFICIAL BUSINESS BUSINESS REPLY MAIL** FIRST CLASS PERMIT No 0001, APG, MD POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

Director U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-9989

FOLD HERE-----