Unclassified

•

`,

	UMENTATIC	ON PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
		16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
AD-A232 20	4		V/AVAILABILITY ( or public		Distribution
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMB	ER(S)	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NUME	BER(S)
PL-TR-91-2018			-		
5. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Phillips Laboratory,	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORG	ANZATON	10
Geophysics Directorate	РНК	1			
c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		76 ADDRESS (Ci	ty, State, and 2	Code)	
lanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731-5000				MAR 1	5 1991
BA NAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	Bb OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT ID	DENTIFICA	NUMBER
C ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	L		UNDING NUMBE		
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO	TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO
		61102F	2303	G2	01
2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Randal Lishawa*, Rainer A. urad 3a TYPE OF REPORT Reprint	Dressler, Jame	s A. Gardner 14 DATE OF REPO 1991 Janu	r**, Richar RT (Year Month, Warv 29	d H. Sal	<b>ter,Edmond</b> GE COUNT
Reprint Report FROM	Dressler, Jame DVERED TO aculty visitor of Syracuse U: September 1990 18 SUBJECT TERMS ( Ion molecule	s A. Gardner 14 DATE OF REPO 1991 Janu ,Permanent a niversity, l - **Geophysi Continue on revers reactions, l	r**, Richar DRT (Year, Month, uary 29 address: D Utica NY 13 Los Researc te if necessary and	d H. Sal Day) 15 PA 1 Department 502 - Rep h Scholan d identify by t	ter,Edmond GE COUNT 1 t of Physics printed
2 PESONAL AUTHOR(S) 2 Randal Lishawa*, Rainer A. 1017ad 3a TYPE OF REPORT 6 JUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *Summer f 101 Engineering, Utica College 102 rom J. Chem. Phys. 93 (5) 1 S 102 COSATE CODES 102 FELD GPCUP SUB-GROUP	Dressler, Jame DVERED TO aculty visitor of Syracuse U: September 1990 18 SUBJECT TERMS ( Ion molecule Charge Transfe	s A. Gardner <sup>14</sup> DATE OF REPO <u>1991</u> Janu ,Permanent a niversity, l - **Geophysi Continue on revers reactions, l er	r**, Richar DRT (Year, Month, uary 29 address: D Utica NY 13 Los Researc te if necessary and	d H. Sal Day) 15 PA 1 Department 502 - Rep h Scholan d identify by t	ter,Edmond GE COUNT 1 t of Physics printed
ReprintFROM16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *Summer franceFROM16 Augineering, Utica CollegeFrom J. Chem. Phys. 93 (5) 1 S17COSATE CODES	Dressler, Jame DVERED TO aculty visitor of Syracuse U: September 1990 18 SUBJECT TERMS ( Ion molecule : Charge Transfe and identify by block no $H_2O$ is studied using a energy range $E_{c.m.} =$ ction and the symmetrian ranches, including the unsfer to the target marching ratio is observed the investigated energy o and accounts for mar- rgy range. The protor	s A. Gardner <sup>14</sup> DATE OF REPO <u>1991</u> Janu ,Permanent a niversity, I - **Geophysi Continue on revers reactions, I er umber) a longitudinal geo 0.5-25 eV. Cross tric charge excha e separation of the olecule; and (ii) od for the charge rgy range. Protor ore than 90% of n transfer cross s	r**, Richar RT (Year, Month, uary 29 address: D Utica NY 13 LCS Researc is f necessary and cometry double is a sections are re- inge. Isotopic su- ne two channels atom pickup by exchange chang- n transfer exhib the oxonium io ection is depend	and H. Sal Day) 15 PA 1502 - Rej h Scholan 502 - Rej h Scholan collision mass ported for ubstitution is for oxonium y the nel, where its the n production dent on	ter,Edmond GE COUNT 1 t of Physics printed c block number) ons, CO2
Supractive mail Energies         2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S):         2 Randal Lishawa*, Rainer A.         3a TYPE OF REPORT         3b TYPE OF REPORT         13b TIME CO         FROM	Dressler, Jame DVERED TO aculty visitor of Syracuse U: September 1990 18 SUBJECT TERMS ( Ion molecule : Charge Transfe and identify by block no $H_2O$ is studied using a energy range $E_{c.m.} =$ ction and the symmetric ranches, including the unsfer to the target marching ratio is observed the investigated energy o and accounts for mar- rgy range. The protor	s A. Gardner <sup>14</sup> DATE OF REPO <u>1991</u> Janu ,Permanent a niversity, U - **Geophysi Continue on revers reactions, I er umber) a longitudinal geo 0.5-25 eV. Cross tric charge excha e separation of the olecule; and (ii) of for the charge rgy range. Protor ore than 90% of n transfer cross s tel is too weak to	r**, Richar RT (Year, Month, uary 29 address: D Utica NY 13 Los Researc te if necessary and cometry double is a sections are re- inge. Isotopic su the two channels atom pickup by exchange change in transfer exhib the oxonium io ection is dependent make a distinct	ad H. Sal Day) 15 PA 1502 - Rej h Scholai d dentify by the collision mass ported for ubstitution is for oxonium y the nel, where its the n production dent on t statement	ter,Edmond GE COUNT 1 t of Physics printed c block number) ons, CO2
Supractive mail Energies         2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S):         2 Randal Lishawa*, Rainer A.         3a TYPE OF REPORT         3b TYPE OF REPORT         13b TIME CO         FROM	Dressler, Jame DVERED TO aculty visitor of Syracuse U: September 1990 18 SUBJECT TERMS ( Ion molecule Charge Transfor and identify by block no $H_2O$ is studied using a energy range $E_{c.m.} =$ ction and the symmetric ranches, including the ansfer to the target may ching ratio is observed the investigated energy or and accounts for morgy range. The proton is atom pickup chann	s A. Gardner 14 DATE OF REPO 1991 Janu , Permanent a niversity, 1 - **Geophysi Continue on revers reactions, 1 er umber) a longitudinal geo 0.5-25 eV. Cross tric charge excha e separation of the olecule; and (ii) a for the charge rgy range. Protor ore than 90% of n transfer cross s tel is too weak to 21. ABSTRACT SEC	r**, Richar RT (Year, Month, uary 29 address: D Utica NY 13 Los Researc te if necessary and cometry double is sections are re- inge. Isotopic su- ne two channels atom pickup by exchange channels atom pickup by ex	ad H. Sal Day) 15 PA 1502 - Rej h Scholai d dentify by the collision mass ported for ubstitution is for oxonium y the nel, where its the n production dent on t statement	ter,Edmond GE COUNT 1 t of Physics printed block number) ons, CO2
30 Distribution         12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S):         2 Randal Lishawa*, Rainer A.         13a TYPE OF REPORT         13b TIME CO         Reprint         13b TIME CO         13b TIME CO         13b TIME CO         Reprint         13b TIME CO         13b TI	Dressler, Jame DVERED TO aculty visitor of Syracuse U: September 1990 18 SUBJECT TERMS (I Ion molecule Charge Transfer and identify by block of $H_2O$ is studied using a energy range $E_{c.m.} = 1$ ction and the symmetrianches, including the ansfer to the target maching ratio is observed the investigated energy can accounts for maching rgy range. The proton the atom pickup channel	s A. Gardner 14 DATE OF REPO 1991 Janu , Permanent a niversity, 1 - **Geophysi Continue on revers reactions, 1 er umber) a longitudinal geo 0.5-25 eV. Cross tric charge excha e separation of the olecule; and (ii) a for the charge rgy range. Protor ore than 90% of n transfer cross s tel is too weak to 21. ABSTRACT SEC	r**, Richar RT (Year, Month, uary 29 address: D Utica NY 13 Los Researc te if necessary and cometry double is accessary and cometry double is accessary and cometry double is accessary and to n-neutral ometry double is accessary and to n-neutral cometry double is accessary and accessary and to n-neutral cometry double is accessary and to n-neutral cometry double is accessary and to n-neutral cometry double is accessary and cometry double is accessary and accessary and cometry double is accessary and cometry double is accessary and cometry double is accessary and accessary and cometry double is accessary and accessary and accessary and accessary and accessary and accessary acces	and H. Sal Day) 15 PA 1502 - Rey h Scholai d dentify by the collision mass ported for ubstitution is for oxonium y the nel, where its the n production dent on t statement ATION	ter,Edmond GE COUNT 1 t of Physics printed colock number) ons, CO2 (Cont'd)

# End FILE COPY

# Cont of Block 19:

on its isotopic behavior. Product ion energies, determined by time-of-flight measurements, are also reported for each of the three channels. These measurements show that most (>95%) of the oxonium ions are formed via a direct, spectator stripping type mechanism while a small amount of reaction products exhibit considerable internal excitation. The charge exchange secondary ions are primarily formed at near-thermal energies in the laboratory frame. Small amounts of high laboratory energy product ions are also observed which at least partly originate through the dissociation of excited oxonium ions.

	Acces	sion For
	DTIC Unan:	CRA&I
	By Distrib	pution (
	A	Availability Codes
OURLITY INSPECTED	Dist	Avail and/or Special
	AI	20

### PL-TR-91-2018

# Cross sections and product kinetic energy analysis of $H_2O^+-H_2O$ collisions at suprathermal energies

C. Randal Lishawa,<sup>a)</sup> Rainer A. Dressler, James A. Gardner,<sup>b)</sup> Richard H. Salter, and Edmond Murad

Geophysics Laboratory, GL/PHK, Hanscom Air Force Base, Massachusetts 01731

(Received 26 March 1990; accepted 18 May 1990)

The reaction of  $H_2O^+$  with  $H_2O$  is studied using a longitudinal geometry double mass spectrometer in the collision energy range  $E_{c.m.} = 0.5-25$  eV. Cross sections are reported for oxonium ion  $(H_3O^+)$  production and the symmetric charge exchange. Isotopic substitution is used to discern the product branches, including the separation of the two channels for oxonium ion production: (i) proton transfer to the target molecule; and (ii) atom pickup by the primary ion. The largest branching ratio is observed for the charge exchange channel, where no isotope effect is detected in the investigated energy range. Proton transfer exhibits the second largest branching ratio and accounts for more than 90% of the oxonium ion production throughout the measured energy range. The proton transfer cross section is dependent on isotopic substitution, while the atom pickup channel is too weak to make a distinct statement on its isotopic behavior. Product ion energies, determined by time-of-flight measurements, are also reported for each of the three channels. These measurements show that most (>95%) of the oxonium ions are formed via a direct, spectator stripping type mechanism while a small amount of reaction products exhibit considerable internal excitation. The charge exchange secondary ions are primarily formed at near-thermal energies in the laboratory frame. Small amounts of high laboratory energy product ions are also observed which at least partly originate through the dissociation of excited oxonium ions.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

F

The bimolecular ion-molecule reaction

$$\mathbf{I}_2\mathbf{O}^+ + \mathbf{H}_2\mathbf{O} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_3\mathbf{O}^+ + \mathbf{O}\mathbf{H} \tag{1}$$

has been the subject of many investigations beginning in 1940.<sup>1</sup> Most of this work has been aimed at measuring the thermal rate coefficient for reaction (1).<sup>2-6</sup> These measurements have agreed on  $k_1 \approx 1.9 \times 10^{-9}$  cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

The recent observations of oxonium ions  $(H_3O^+)$  in the space shuttle environment<sup>7-9</sup> and in the tails of the comets Giacobini–Zinner<sup>10</sup> and Halley<sup>11</sup> have revived the interest in reaction (1), particularly at suprathermal collision energies. Modeling of the production of the observed  $H_3O^+$ ion densities in the shuttle environment has been particularly difficult due to the lack of information regarding the energy dependence of  $k_1$ .<sup>12</sup>

Experiments have been performed at higher collision energies by Turner and Rutherford<sup>13</sup> and by Ryan<sup>14</sup> using a tandem mass spectrometer and a single source high pressure mass spectrometer, respectively. Neither of these studies investigated the symmetric charge exchange or the dynamics of reaction (1).

Reaction (1) can proceed via two direct channels: (i) atom pickup in which the primary ion abstracts a hydrogen atom from the target; and (ii) proton transfer in which the primary ion transfers a proton to the target molecule. The ionic products of atom pickup are expected to have markedly different laboratory kinetic energies than those of proton transfer. An experiment with product kinetic energy dependent collection efficiency, such as that of Ref. 13, will therefore not determine a correct integral cross section unless mass analysis can separate these two channels and the product collection efficiencies for the two processes are known.

In this paper we present a dynamical study of  $H_2O^+ - H_2O$  reactions at center-of-mass collision energies in the range 0.5-25 eV. Cross sections and product ion timeof-flight (TOF) measurements are reported for reaction (1) and the symmetric charge exchange. The two channels of reaction (1) are separated by studying the atom pickup reactions:

$$H_2^{18}O^+ + D_2O \rightarrow H_2D^{18}O^+ + OD,$$
 (2a)

$$D_2^{18}O^+ + H_2O \rightarrow HD_2^{18}O^+ + OH,$$
 (2b)

and the proton (deuteron) transfer reactions:

$$H_2O^+ + D_2^{18}O \rightarrow OH + HD_2^{18}O^+,$$
 (3a)

$$D_{2}O^{+} + D_{2}^{18}O \rightarrow OD + D_{3}^{18}O^{+}$$
. (3b)

Charge exchange collision cross sections are obtained from the isotopic reactions:

$$H_2O^+ + D_2^{18}O \rightarrow H_2O + D_2^{18}O^+,$$
 (4a)

$$D_2^{18}O^+ + H_2O \rightarrow D_2^{18}O + H_2O^+.$$
 (4b)

In addition, the ionic product OH <sup>+</sup> is observed, which we associated with the collision-induced dissociation channel:

$$H_2O' + H_2O \rightarrow OH' + H + H_2O.$$
 (5)

This channel is investigated using reaction (5a):

F

$$D_2O^+ + H_2^{18}O \rightarrow OD^+ + D + H_2^{18}O.$$
 (5a)

c) 1990 American Institute of Physics

<sup>\*&#</sup>x27; Summer faculty visitor, 1989. Permanent address: Department of Physics and Engineering, Utica College of Syracuse University, Utica, NY 13502.
<sup>b)</sup> Geophysics Research Scholar.

### **II. EXPERIMENTAL**

The apparatus used in the present work is a longitudinal geometry double mass spectrometer. The instrument and the measurement procedures have been described in detail previously.<sup>16-18</sup> The primary water ion beam is formed in an electron impact ion source at ionizing electron energies between 20 and 30 eV. The ions are accelerated to a potential of 150 V and passed through a Wien Filter (Colutron Research) to produce a mass selected primary beam. The desired ion energy is obtained with two deceleration lenses. The primary beam traverses a 0.27 cm long, gold plated collision cell with mesh-covered apertures. The target water vapor pressure in the collision cell is approximately 1.33 Pa  $(10^{-3} \text{ Torr})$ , which is measured with a capacitance manometer (MKS Baratron 390H). The primary and product ions exiting the collision chamber are accelerated and focused by a series of grids into a quadrupole mass filter (Extranuclear). Ions selected by the mass filter are detected with a channel electron multiplier (Galileo Electro-Optics).

The primary ion energy is determined by ramping a retardation potential on one of the focusing grids following the collision chamber. The derivative of the obtained retardation curve exhibits a minimum at which the ion beam energy is defined. These energies are confirmed by measuring the times of flight of primary beam pulses.

It has been shown in previous work<sup>17,18</sup> that, due to the limited collection angle of the collision chamber geometry, knowledge of the product ion angular distribution is necessary in order to determine integral cross sections. The required information on the angular distribution can frequently be obtained through analysis of the TOF spectra. In the case of isotropically scattered product ions in the laboratory frame (normally thermal ions), the average solid collection angle determines the fraction of ions that exit the collision chamber. We have calculated the average solid collection angle of the present collision chamber geometry, from which we determine a collection efficiency for isotropically scattered ions to be 20.8%, provided all ions exiting the collision chamber are detected.

When measuring cross sections, a high acceleration potential of 80 V is applied between the collision chamber and the quadrupole entrance. This results in close to 100% collection of ions exiting the collision chamber. During TOF measurements, the acceleration potential is reduced to 20 V to improve the TOF resolution. This, however, significantly reduces the collection efficiency of slow ions which leave the collision chamber at large angles with respect to the primary beam axis. We have measured the collection efficiency of thermal ions in the TOF mode to be, by a factor of 6, lower than in the cross section mode.

Since there is no detectable attenuation of the primary beam, the experimental (raw) cross sections are calculated from

$$\sigma_{\rm exp} = I_{\rm vec} / I_{\rm prim} nl, \tag{6}$$

where  $I_{sec}$  and  $I_{prim}$  are the intensities of the secondary and primary beams, respectively, *n* is the target gas density, and *l* is the effective interaction length.

The TOF spectra are obtained by pulsing the ion beam

prior to the collision chamber with a pulsing electrode and by measuring the arrival time of the ions at the detector. The pulse width of the primary ions is set between 0.5 and  $1.5 \,\mu s$ . The laboratory energies of the product ions are calculated using basic electrostatic equations and the measured primary ion times of flight.

We find little to no dependence of the measured cross sections on electron energy, indicating a negligible presence of metastable ions. Nevertheless, an uncertainty exists regarding the internal state distribution of the primary ion beam. Lindemann et al.<sup>19</sup> have studied the abundance of electronic states of H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> produced by electron ionization of H, O, finding approximately 45% of the ions in the  $\tilde{X}^2 B_1$ and 45% in the  $\tilde{A}^2 A_1$  state at an electron energy of 30 eV. The Franck-Condon factors<sup>20,21</sup> for ionization into the  $\tilde{A}$ state indicate that these ions are produced in vibrationally excited levels of the  $v_2$  bending mode. These levels are known to have radiative lifetimes around 800 ns.<sup>22</sup> The flight time of the ions from the source to the collision chamber is longer than  $10\mu s$ . We therefore conclude that the amount of primary ions in the  $\tilde{A}$  excited state is negligible. We cannot exclude the possibility of vibrationally excited primary ions. The Franck-Condon factors for vibrational excitation in the ground ionic state are, however, relatively small, and the emission spectrum of A state water ions produced through electron impact is dominated by  $(0,v'_2,0)-(0,0,0)$  transitions,<sup>23,24</sup> indicating that the primary ions are essentially in low vibrational levels.

The water isotopes were obtained from Cambridge Isotope Labs with initial purities  $D_2O$  (99.7% D),  $D_2$ <sup>18</sup>O (98% D, 98% <sup>18</sup>O), and  $H_2$ <sup>18</sup>O (98% <sup>18</sup>O). The target water isotopic purity is checked by measuring the product isotope abundances observed in the charge exchange reaction of  $N_2^+$  with the water sample.<sup>17</sup> The correct partial pressure of the target gas is then obtained from the measured abundance of the investigated isotope.

# **III. RESULTS**

### A. Atom pickup channel

The atom pickup channel measurements are obtained from reactions (2a) and (2b). Reaction (2a) is used for the TOF measurements, while cross sections for hydrogen atom and deuterium atom pickup are obtained from both reactions. TOF measurements of the hydrogen atom pickup reaction (2b) are difficult to analyze since they suffer from large contributions of the primary ion current, which in this case is poorly discriminated in the quadrupole mass filter.

The TOF spectra obtained for reaction (2a) at a primary ion laboratory energy of 29.5 eV are shown in Fig. 1. The lower curve is the primary beam TOF spectrum and the upper curve is the TOF spectrum of ionic products of mass 20. A TOF-to-product-laboratory-energy converted scale is included in the figure. The laboratory energies are calculated assuming the products are produced near the collision chamber exit and with a scattering angle of 0°. The product ions are observed in a narrow band at a time of flight corresponding to  $23.7 \pm 2.3$  eV.



FIG. 1. TOF spectrum of  $H_2D^{18}O^+$  (upper curve) produced through atom pickup by  $H_2^{-18}O^+$  from  $D_2O$  at a laboratory ion energy of 29.5 eV ( $E_{cm} = 14.8 \text{ eV}$ ). The lower curve is the TOF spectrum of the primary ion  $H_2^{-18}O^+$ . The inserted scale represents the converted product laboratory energies.

The TOF spectra of reaction (2a) have the same characteristics throughout the measured energy range. Table I lists the product laboratory energies for reaction (2a). The product ions have considerable forward energy in the laboratory frame. Since these bands are also narrow throughout the measured energy range, we conclude that most of the ions are scattered at very small laboratory angles and that the product ions are therefore collected efficiently. The measured raw cross sections are consequently a good representation of the integral cross sections.

The energy dependence of the measured cross sections for reactions (2a) and (2b) is plotted in Fig. 2. The small difference in mass between the primary and secondary ions in reaction (2b) necessitates the subtraction of a normalized background spectrum obtained without a target gas from the mass spectrum obtained with the target sample. The error in the data is consequently larger for reaction (2b). Examples of error bars are shown in the figure. The large relative errors do not permit the identification of an isotope dependence of the atom pickup cross section.

### **B. Proton transfer channel**

The proton (deuteron) transfer measurements are performed on reactions (3a) and (3b). The TOF spectra shown

TABLE I. Ion product laboratory energies  $E_{\rm sc}$  from TOF measurements of the atom pickup reaction (2a).  $E_{\rm prim}$  is the laboratory primary ion energy and  $E_{\rm cm}$  is the center-of-mass collision energy.

$^{18}O^+ + D_2O \rightarrow H_2D^{18}O^+ + OD^- (2a)$		
$E_{prim}$ (eV)	$E_{\rm cm}$ (eV)	$E_{\rm sec}$ (eV)
4.3	2.15	2.7 ± 1.2
9.3	4.65	$7.1 \pm 1.5$
13.9	6.95	$10.3 \pm 1.7$
19.5	9.75	$13.8 \pm 2.2$
29.5	14.8	23.7 + 2.3
39.5	19.8	$32.9 \pm 3.0$



FIG. 2. Collision energy dependence of the cross section for the atom pickup reactions (2a) and (2b).

in Fig. 3 were obtained from reaction (3a) at a primary ion energy of 29.3 eV, corresponding to a center-of-mass collision energy of 16.1 eV. Both the primary ion and product ion TOF spectra are shown. The product ions exhibit two main bands at this energy: one at near-thermal energy (first band) and one at higher laboratory energy (second band.)<sup>25</sup> The arrow shown in Fig. 3 indicates 7.5 eV, which is the laboratory energy expected in the case of zero-kinetic-energy release in the center-of-mass frame, assuming a stationary target. This energy corresponds to the translational energy of product ions moving at the center-of-mass velocity  $v_{em}$ .

$$E_{\rm sec}(E_{\rm kin}=0)=(m_3/2)\cdot v_{\rm c.m.}^2,$$
 (7)

where  $m_3$  is the secondary ion mass. Transforming in terms of the laboratory primary ion energy  $E_{prim}$ , one obtains

$$E_{\rm sec}(E_{\rm kin}=0) = [m_3 m_1 / (m_1 + m_2)^2 \cdot E_{\rm prim}], \quad (8)$$



FIG. 3. TOF spectrum of HD<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O<sup>+</sup> (upper curve) produced through proton transfer from H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> to D<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O at a laboratory ion energy of 29.3 eV ( $E_{\rm cm} = 15.9$  eV). The lower curve is the TOF spectrum of the primary ions. The inserted scale represents the converted product laboratory energies. The arrow in the figure indicates the laboratory energy corresponding to zero-kinetic-energy release in the center-of-mass frame.

J. Chem. Phys., Vol. 93, No. 5, 1 September 1990

where  $m_1$  is the primary ion mass and  $m_2$  is the neutral target mass.

Since the most intense band is located at lower laboratory velocities than the center-of-mass velocity, we consider the band to be due to ions which are scattered backward in the center-of-mass frame. The peak of the second band occurs close to the center-of-mass velocity, indicating the production of ions which are either scattered at large angles or which are forward scattered in the laboratory frame with little center-of-mass kinetic energy. The narrow width of the band indicates that the ions are scattered within a narrow range of laboratory angles, therefore suggesting that these ions are produced with little center-of-mass kinetic energy.

The TOF spectra shown in Fig. 4 were obtained from reaction (3b) at a primary ion energy of 29.1 eV  $(E_{\rm cm} = 15.2 \, {\rm eV})$ . In this isotopic reaction, two main bands are also observed, the more intense band of which is at near-thermal energies. The peak of the higher energy band (second band) occurs at the energy indicated by the arrow for zero-kinetic-energy release in the center-of-mass frame. An additional very weak band (third band) is observed which is centered at a laboratory energy of 22.7 eV. This band is also detected in some spectra of reaction (3a), but is generally less intense there. Since these ions have higher laboratory velocities than the center-of-mass frame.

As mentioned in the experimental section, the collection efficiency of thermal ions is approximately a factor of 6 lower in the TOF mode than in the cross-section measurement mode. The TOF experiment is therefore considerably more sensitive to high laboratory energy forward peaked ions, since these are collected with nearly equal efficiency in both modes.

Table II lists the measured product laboratory energies



FIG. 4. TOF spectrum of  $D_3^{-18}O^+$  (upper curve) produced through deuteron transfer from  $D_2O^+$  to  $D_2^{-18}O$  at a laboratory ion energy of 29.1 eV ( $E_{\rm cm.} = 15.2$  eV). The lower curve is the TOF spectrum of the primary ions. The inserted scale represents the converted product laboratory energies. The arrow in the figure indicates the laboratory energy corresponding to zero-kinetic-energy release in the center-of-mass frame.

for reations (3a) and (3b) obtained from the TOF band centers. The band centers are obtained from the peaks of computer smoothed bands. Where more than one band is observed, the normalized weight is included in parentheses. The weight of the first band has been multiplied by 6 to correct for the poor extraction efficiency during the TOF measurements. At collision energies below 12 eV, the second band becomes weaker and increasingly less resolved. The indicated weights in poorly resolved cases are only estimates. Table II also includes the laboratory energies corresponding to zero-kinetic-energy release in the center-of-mass frame, calculated using Eq. (8).

TABLE II. Laboratory energies  $E_{ee}$  of proton transfer reaction products for reactions (3a) and (3b).  $E_{prim}$  is the laboratory primary ion energy,  $E_{em}$  is the center-of-mass collision energy, and  $E_{ee}$  ( $E_{kin} = 0$ ) is the laboratory energy calculated for zero-kinetic-energy release in the center-of-mass frame.

$H_2O' + D_2''O \rightarrow OH + HD_2''O'$ (3a)					
		Esc	E	E	$E_{\rm sec} \left( E_{\rm kin} = 0 \right)$
Eprim	<i>E</i> . m	1" band	2 <sup>nd</sup> band	3 <sup>rd</sup> band	calc
(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)
5.1	2.8	0.04 ± 0.05 (1.00)			1.3
9.5	5.2	0.06 ± 0.07 (0.99)		6.4 ± 1.2 (0.01)	2.5
14.3	7.9	0.13 ± 0.07 (0.99)	•••	8.1 ± 1.8 (0.01)	3.7
19.0	10.5	0.10 ± 0.05 (0.97)	3.3 ± 1.2 (0.03)	14.4 ± 2.5 ( < 0.01)	4.9
29.1	16.1	$0.11 \pm 0.05 (0.97)$	$10.0 \pm 1.8 (0.03)$		7.5
36.4	20.0	$0.07 \pm 0.07 (0.89)$	12.8 ± 2.0 (0.10)	32.0 ± 3.0 (0.01)	9.4
43.8	24.1	$0.15 \pm 0.07 (0.86)$	$14.6 \pm 2.3 (0.14)$		11.3
D20 *	+ D, "O	$\rightarrow OD + D_3^{14}O^{+}$ (3b)			
2.5	1.3	0.23 ± 0.10 (1.00)	•••		0.7
4.1	2.1	$0.07 \pm 0.07 (1.00)$			1.1
9.0	4.7	$0.12 \pm 0.05 (0.98)$		6.7 ± 1.3 (0.02)	2.5
14.0	7.3	0.13 ± 0.07 (0.99)	•••	9.5 ± 1.3 (0.01)	3.8
19.2	10.1	0.18 ± 0.08 (0.98)	5.5 ± 1.2 (0.01)	16.1 ± 1.8 (0.01)	5.2
24.1	12.6	$0.19 \pm 0.10 (0.95)$	$6.2 \pm 1.2 (0.04)$	18.2 ± 2.2 (0.01)	6.6
29.1	15.2	0.21 ± 0.10 (0.94)	8.6 ± 1.5 (0.05)	$22.7 \pm 2.3 (0.01)$	7.9
34.1	17.9	$0.09 \pm 0.15 (0.95)$	9.3 ± 1.5 (0.05)		9.3
39.4	20.6	$0.17 \pm 0.15 (0.81)$	15.1 ± 2.0 (0.19)		10.7

The second band energies of the proton transfer reaction lie close to, but in most cases slightly above, the zero-kineticenergy release energies. In the deuteron transfer reaction, there appears to be almost perfect agreement between the second band energies and the zero-kinetic-energy release energies. The data also shows that the near-thermal deuteron transfer product ions are produced at slightly higher laboratory energies than their proton transfer counterparts.

The first band has a statistical weight which is much greater than those of the second and third bands, and therefore the collection efficiency factor for isotropically scattered ions can be applied to obtain the integral proton (deuteron) transfer cross sections. The corrected cross sections for reactions (3a) and (3b) are shown in Fig. 5. An isotope effect is clearly observed: at collision energies above 4 eV, the proton transfer reaction [reaction (3a)] exhibits larger cross sections. The trend is reversed at energies below approximately 4 eV. The proton transfer reaction cross sections are about a factor of 20 times larger that those of the atom-pickup channel. Proton transfer therefore dominates the production cr oxonium ions.

### C. Charge exchange channel

The symmetric charge exchange channel is studied using reactions (4a) and (4b). The TOF spectra shown in Fig. 6 are from reaction (4b) at  $E_{c.m} = 8.64 \text{ eV}$ . The lower curve is the TOF spectrum for the primary ion mass; the upper curve is the TOF spectrum for the products of mass 18 amu. Two mass 18 peaks are observed, the more intense of which (first band) is produced at near-thermal energy throughout the measured collision energy range. The high energy peak (second band) is also observed in reaction (4a), indicating that this second band cannot be ascribed to products involving a target gas isotopic impurity.

Table III lists the measured laboratory energies of the



FIG. 5. Collision energy dependence of the cross section for the proton (deuteron) transfer reactions (3a) and (3b). The cross sections have been corrected with respect to a 20.8% collection efficiency.

FIG. 6. TOF spectrum of  $H_2O^+$  (upper curve) produced through charge exchange with  $D_2^{-18}O^+$  at collision energy of 8.64 eV (c.m.). The lower curve is the TOF spectrum of the primary ions. The inserted scale represents the converted laboratory energies. The arrow in the figure indicates the laboratory energy corresponding to zero-kinetic-energy release in the center-of-mass frame.

charge exchange products in reactions (4a) and (4b). The normalized weights of the bands are indicated in parentheses. At collision energies below 10 eV, no energies can be determined for the second bands of reaction (4a), since they are poorly resolved. The TOF spectra at lower collision energies, however, clearly exhibit a shoulder adjacent to the near-thermal energy band. In reaction (4b) the second band is adequately resolved as low as  $E_{\rm c.m.} = 4 \, {\rm eV}$ .

The arrow in Fig. 6 indicates the product laboratory energy corresponding to zero-kinetic-energy release. As observed in the deuteron transfer case, the position of the arrow lies near the peak of the second band at this collision energy. The zero-kinetic-energy product ion energies, calculated using Eq. (8), are shown for all of the collision energies in Table III.

Since the main fraction of the signal is formed with nearthermal energy in the laboratory frame, we may assume that the product ions are to a large extent scattered isotropically. The raw cross section data can therefore be corrected with respect to the 20.8% collection efficiency of the instrument. Figure 7 shows the corrected charge exchange integral cross sections for reactions (4a) and (4b). No isotope effect is observed. From the magnitude of the cross section it is seen that charge exchange is the dominant channel of  $H_2O^+$ - $H_2O$  reactions at collision energies above 1 eV.

### **D. OH+ production**

The collision partners of reaction (4b) could not be used for the deuteron transfer study due to the detection of a fast collision product with mass 20 amu which we associated with the collision-induced dissociation of the primary ion, yielding <sup>18</sup>OD +. The observation of mass 18 ions in reaction (5a) confirms this assumption. In Fig. 8, the TOF spectra are shown which were obtained from reaction (5a) at a collision energy of 14.6 eV. An intense band at high laboratory energies which essentially mimics the primary ion beam is observed as well as an additional band at near-thermal ener-

**TABLE III.** Laboratory energies  $E_{sec}$  of product ion TOF band centers obtained from TOF measurements of the charge exchange reactions (4a) and (4b).  $E_{prim}$  is the laboratory primary ion energy,  $E_{c.m.}$  is the center-of-mass collision energy, and  $E_{sec}$  ( $E_{kin} = 0$ ) is the laboratory energy calculated for zero-kinetic-energy release in the center-of-mass frame.

$H_2O^+ + D_2^*O \rightarrow H_2$	$O + D_2^{18}O^+$ (4a)	)		
$E_{\rm prim}~({ m eV})$	$E_{\rm c.m.}$ (eV)	$E_{\rm sec}$ (eV) 1 <sup>st</sup> band	$E_{\rm sec}$ (eV) $2^{\rm nd}$ band	$E_{\rm sec} \left( E_{\rm kin} = 0 \right)$ calc
5.1	2.81	0.03 ± 0.05	•••	1.3
11.0	6.05	$0.03 \pm 0.05$	•••	2.7
19.3	10.6	$0.16 \pm 0.08 (0.90)$	4.9 ± 1.2 (0.10)	4.8
29.2	16.1	$0.03 \pm 0.05 (0.95)$	$11.8 \pm 1.5 (0.05)$	7.2
39.6	21.8	$0.05 \pm 0.05 (0.96)$	$18.0 \pm 2.2 (0.04)$	9.8
49.2	27.1	$0.05 \pm 0.05 (0.95)$	$21.0 \pm 2.2 (0.05)$	12.2
$D_2^{18}O^+ + H_2O \rightarrow D_2$	${}_{2}^{18}\text{O} + \text{H}_{2}\text{O}^{+}$ (48)	))		
8.8	3.96	0.06 + 0.05 (0.99)	5.0 + 1.2 (0.01)	2.2
19.2	8.64	$0.05 \pm 0.05 (0.98)$	$7.8 \pm 1.3 (0.02)$	4.8
28.3	12.7	$0.07 \pm 0.08 (0.97)$	$9.9 \pm 1.5 (0.03)$	7.0
38.3	17.1	$0.06 \pm 0.05 (0.98)$	16.4 + 2.0(0.02)	9.4
48.3	21.7	$0.06 \pm 0.05 (0.93)$	$21.8 \pm 2.2 (0.07)$	9.8

gies. In the absence of a target gas, no mass 18 ions are observed and the band is therefore not due to poor discrimination of the primary beam in the Wien filter or the quadrupole mass filter. The thermal ions are attributable to charge exchange with  $H_2$  O impurities in the target gas.

The energy conversion and the narrowness of the high energy band indicate that the OD<sup>+</sup> ions are strongly forward peaked. The primary and product ions are consequently detected with equal efficiency. The energy dependence of the observed reaction cross section is plotted in Fig. 9. Table IV lists the measured laboratory energies of the product ions obtained from TOF measurements.



## A. H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> channel

At collision energies above 1-2 eV, reactions in which a light particle is transferred from a donor to an acceptor, such as reaction (1), generally proceed via a spectator stripping type mechanism.<sup>26-31</sup> According to this model the collision can be regarded as solely involving the transferred particle and the acceptor particle. The laboratory velocity of the ionic collision product is then given by the center-of-mass velocity of the transferred and the acceptor particles. The donor particle is considered to be a spectator of the reaction and its velocity remains unchanged throughout the reaction. In Refs. 26–31 this model has been postulated from atom pickup reactions, but there is no reason why the model cannot apply to ion transfer. In the case of atom pickup by an ion



FIG. 7. Collision energy dependence of the charge transfer cross section for reactions (4a) and (4b). The cross sections have been corrected with respect to a 20.8% collection efficiency.



FIG. 8. TOF spectrum of the collision-induced dissociation product OD  $^+$  (upper curve) from D<sub>2</sub>O  $^+$  -H<sub>2</sub> <sup>10</sup>O collisions. Also shown is the primary ion TOF spectrum (lower curve). The inserted scale represents the converted product laboratory energies.

J. Chem. Phys., Vol. 93, No. 5, 1 September 1990

3201



FIG. 9. Collision energy dependence of the cross section for the collisioninduced dissociation reaction (5a).

[e.g., reactions (2a) and (2b)], and assuming a stationary target, the laboratory energy of the product ion  $E_{sec}$  is given by<sup>17</sup>

$$E_{\rm sec} = (m_1/m_3) \cdot E_{\rm prim}. \tag{9}$$

The model has made accurate predictions of product energies in case of atom pickup reactions such as<sup>26,29</sup>

$$N_2^+ + H_2 \rightarrow N_2 H^+ + H.$$
 (10)

The high laboratory energies of the products in reaction (2a) as well as the narrow bands indicate that the model may also apply to this reaction. Product ion laboratory energies for reaction (2a), determined from the measured times of flight, are plotted in Fig. 10 as a function of the respective primary ion energy. The straight line represents the stripping model ion energy predicted by Eq. (9). The measured energies are seen to lie close to, but consistently below, the predicted energies.

This trend of product velocities being lower than predicted by the model is also observed in the reaction<sup>17</sup>

TABLE IV. Laboratory energies  $E_{\rm sec}$  of collision-induced dissociation ionic products for reaction (5a) obtained from TOF measurements.  $E_{\rm prim}$  is the laboratory primary ion energy and  $E_{\rm cm}$  is the center-of-mass collision energy.

E <sub>prom</sub> (eV)	$E_{cm}$ (eV)	$E_{\rm vec}$ (cV)
·		
3.60	1.80	3.0 ± 1.5
8.30	4.15	$6.3 \pm 1.2$
10.8	5.40	8.0 + 1.2
13.2	6.60	$8.9 \pm 1.3$
16.6	8.30	13.2 ± 1.8
18.4	9.20	$13.9 \pm 1.8$
20.8	10.4	$15.9 \pm 2.3$
29.2	14.6	24.5 + 2.3



FIG. 10. Ion energy dependence of the laboratory kinetic energy of the  $H_2 DO'$  formed by atom pickup between  $H_2 O'$  and  $D_2$ <sup>18</sup>O. The dashed line shows the secondary ion energy predicted by the spectator stripping model.

$$\mathbf{N}_{2}^{+} + \mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{2}\mathbf{H}^{+} + \mathbf{O}\mathbf{H}.$$
 (11)

In that case, the deviation from the model is proposed to be due to a strong short-range bonding interaction resulting in increased momentum transfer. There is no doubt that a strong chemical interaction exists between water ions and water molecules. Tomoda and Kimura<sup>32</sup> have calculated the potential well associated with the water dimer cation to lie approximately 3 eV below the reactant potential. The observed trend therefore further supports the concept of increased momentum transfer due to strong short-range forces. However, a short-range interaction is expected to produce scattering at large angles. This is in contradiction to the observed TOF spectra, which exhibit only little evidence of large-angle scattering. Large-angle scattered products are, however, more prominent in the atom-pickup channel of reaction (11).<sup>17</sup>

The validity of the spectator stripping model could be further checked by examining the isotopic dependence of the measured cross sections. Unfortunately, the very small atom pickup cross sections do not permit a reasonable comparison.

Within the framework of the spectator stripping model, the proton (deuteron) transfer reactions (3a) and (3b) can be considered to proceed solely between the proton (deuteron) and the water target. The product ion is then the association product of the two reactants, and the product laboratory velocity corresponds to the center-of-mass velocity of these two particles. The laboratory product ion velocity  $v_{\rm sec}$  is then given by

$$v_{\text{sec}} = (m_T/m_3) \cdot v_{\text{prim}}, \qquad (12)$$

where  $m_T$  is the mass of the transferred ion and  $v_{prim}$  is the laboratory primary ion velocity. Rearranging to energies yields:

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\text{sec}} = (\boldsymbol{m}_T^2 / \boldsymbol{m}_3 \boldsymbol{m}_1) \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\text{prim}}.$$
 (13)

Equation (13) shows that, in the case of the proton (deuteron) transfer reactions (3a) and (3b), there is very little laboratory kinetic energy release, i.e., the product ions essentially maintain the velocity of the neutral target molecule. Table V compares the measured ion energies of the first band peaks with those obtained using Eq. (13). The table also includes the calculated internal energies, assuming an exothermicity of 1.12 eV (Ref. 33) and using the measured product ion laboratory energies. Assuming a stationary target, the internal energy  $E_{int}$ , of the product ions is obtained from

$$E_{\rm int} = E_{\rm c.m.} - E_{\rm th} - E_{\rm kin}, \qquad (14)$$

where  $E_{\rm th}$  is the thermodynamic threshold for the particular reaction and  $E_{\rm kin}$  is the total kinetic energy release. Assuming zero-degree scattering,  $E_{\rm kin}$  is obtained from the centerof-mass velocity  $u_{\rm sec}$  of the secondary ion:

$$E_{\rm km} = \left[ (m_1 + m_2)/m_4 \right] \cdot (m_3/2) u_{\rm sec}^2, \tag{15}$$

where  $m_4$  is the neutral product mass, and where

$$u_{\rm sec}^2 = (v_{\rm c.m.} - v_{\rm sec})^2.$$
(16)

With the exception of some of the high collision energy measurements, which suffer from small product signal levels, the experimental data agrees well with the predictions of the model. As anticipated from Eq. (13), the secondary ion energies of the deuteron transfer exceed those of the proton transfer and more noticeably increase with collision energy. The internal energies lie substantially below the dissociation limits of  $H_3O^+$  which are reported to be 7.18  $\pm$  0.08 eV (Ref. 34) and 6.2 eV (Ref. 33) for the dissociation products  $H^+ + H_2O$  and  $H + H_2O^+$ , respectively.

The model can be tested further by examining the isotope effect. According to the spectator stripping model, no

TABLE V. Comparison of the first band laboratory energies  $E_{exc}$  (expt) of reactions (3a) and (3b) with those predicted using a spectator stripping model  $E_{exc}$  (calc) [Eq. (13)]. The internal energies  $E_{int}$  obtained from Eqs. (14)-(16) for the measured secondary ion energies are also listed.

$H_2O + D_2 + O_2 + O_2$	-OH + HD <sub>2</sub> <sup>18</sup> O *	(3a)	
Eprom	$E_{\rm we}$ (expt)	$E_{\rm sec}$ (calc)	$E_{\rm int}$ (calc)
(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)
5.1	0.04 ± 0.05	0.01	1.8
9.5	0.06 ± 0.07	0.02	2.2
14.3	$0.13 \pm 0.07$	0.04	3.2
19.0	$0.10 \pm 0.05$	0.05	3.1
29.1	0.08 ± 0.05	0.07	2.9
36.4	0.07 ± 0.07	0.09	2.6
43.8	0.15 ± 0.07	0.11	4.3
$D_2O + D_2 = 0$		(3b)	
2.5	0.23 ± 0.10	0.02	2.1
4.1	0.07 ± 0.07	0.03	1.8
9.0	$0.12 \pm 0.05$	0.08	2.4
14.0	$0.13 \pm 0.07$	0.12	2.6
19.2	$0.18 \pm 0.08$	0.16	3.1
24.1	0.19 ± 0.10	0.20	3.2
29.1	0.21 ± 0.10	0.24	3.4
34.1	$0.09 \pm 0.15$	0.28	1.4
39.4	$0.17 \pm 0.15$	0.33	2.7

isotope effect is observed when basing the isotopic comparison on pseudo collision energies  $E'_{c.m.}$  involving only the acceptor and the transferred particle. Consequently, if the reaction cross section has an observable collision energy dependence within the energy range of interest, an isotope effect should be observed when plotting the data on a conventional collision energy scale, as in Fig. 5. The measured cross sections are plotted versus the pseudo center-of-mass collision energies  $E'_{c.m.}$  in Fig. 11. The energy  $E'_{c.m.}$  is calculated from

$$E'_{\rm c.m.} = (m_T m_2 / m_1 m_3) \cdot E_{\rm prim}.$$
 (17)

At energies above  $E'_{c.m.} = 0.4 \text{ eV} (4.4 \text{ eV} \text{ c.m.})$ , an isotope effect is no longer apparent, supporting the spectator stripping model. Below 0.4 eV, the deuteron transfer cross section becomes substantially greater than that of proton transfer. The model clearly no longer applies here. At these low energies the collision complex lifetime becomes comparable with the rotational period of the complex. It is conceivable therefore that we are observing a transition from direct to statistical collision dynamics. A change in dynamics is also reflected at a relative energy of 1.3 eV in reaction (3b), where a product ion energy substantially higher than predicted by Eq. (13) is observed. Crossed beam experiments by Herman<sup>35</sup> show that at a relative energy of 1.34 eV, a substantial fraction of oxonium ions are produced via a long-lived complex.

From the good agreement with the predictions of the spectator stripping model, we conclude that the proton transfer channel leading to the near-thermal energy ions represents collisions at relatively large impact parameters, in which little scattering from the repulsive part of the intermolecular potential occurs. On the other hand, both the second and third bands are most likely produced by small impact



FIG. 11. Spectator stripping energy dependence of cross sections for proton and deuteron transfer. The spectator stripping energy  $E'_{cm}$  is the relative energy obtained when the collision is regarded as occurring between a proton and a water molecule.

parameter collisions. Such collisions transfer translational energy into internal energy more efficiently and are also accompanied by large-angle scattering. Table VI lists the internal energies calculated for the second and third TOF band centers of reaction (3a) and (3b) using the observed laboratory energies. Assuming that the product ions comprising the second band are not due to large-angle scattering in the laboratory frame, it is seen that these ions are associated with collisions where the reaction energy appears to be almost entirely channeled into internal excitation of the products. At higher collision energies a large fraction of this energy must be channeled into the neutral hydroxyl product in order to produce a nondissociative oxonium ion. The hydroxyl radical can therefore be excited beyond its dissociation threshold. The reaction

$$\mathbf{H}_{3}\mathbf{O}^{+} + \mathbf{H}_{3}\mathbf{O}^{-} + \mathbf{O} + \mathbf{H}$$
(18)

has a threshold of only 3.23 eV.<sup>33</sup> In order to calculate the internal energy for this process, Eq. (15) must include both neutral products. The small center-of-mass kinetic energy release observed in the second band product ions can then no longer be associated with high internal excitation, since a major fraction of the total product translational energy is expected to be partitioned to the light hydrogen atom due to conservation of linear momentum and energy. In addition, it is necessary to know whether the three products of reaction (18) are produced simultaneously or via a dissociative hydroxyl radical. The fact that the second band ions of reaction (3a) are observed to be slightly forward scattered may indicate that the second band ions are produced through reaction (18) via a sequential mechanism.

The ion products of the very weak forward-scatterd

TABLE VI. Internal energies  $E_{\rm int}$  calculated for the second and third TOF band :enter ions of the proton (deuteron) transfer reactions (3a) and (3b) using the ion product laboratory translational energies listed in Table II. The high second band internal energies indicate that these oxonium ions are associated with the simultaneous production of an oxygen atom and a hydrogen atom [reaction (18)].

	$E_{\rm int}$	Eint
E <sub>cm</sub>	2 <sup>nd</sup> band	3rd band
(eV)	(eV)	(eV)
2.81	•••	
5.23	•••	4.2
7.87	• • •	7.0
10.5	11.2	5.7
16.1	16.8	
20.0	20.5	5.4
24.1	24.7	•••
$D_2O^+ + D_2^{10}O \rightarrow OD$	$+ D_3^{18}O^+$ (3b)	
2.25	•••	
4.71	•••	3.4
7.33		5.5
10.1	11.2	4.2
12.6	13.7	7.0
15.2	16.3	7.5
17.9	19.0	
20.6	20.9	•••

third band are associated with higher internal energies than calculated for the first band. They are presumably produced in head-on collisions, causing 180° scattering of the neutral spectator. This is consistent with the higher internal excitation observed in small impact parameter collisions compared with grazing, large impact parameter collisions such as those leading to the near-thermal ions.<sup>36</sup> From the measured statistical weights, we estimate the cross section for the second and third band ions to be less than  $5 \times 10^{-18}$  and  $10^{-18}$  cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

Our measured total cross sections for reaction (1), which are essentially the proton transfer cross sections, are approximately a factor of 2 higher than the cross sections reported by Turner and Rutherford.<sup>13</sup> These authors used a crossed beam apparatus which involved calibrating the neutral target density and collection efficiency. Their study of reaction (1) included neither isotopic analysis nor product kinetic energy analysis. The authors did, however, address the two possible direct transfer channels and their different product velocity distributions. Not knowing the branching ratios of the two processes, they chose a collection efficiency halfway between unity for the atom pickup case and their determined charge exchange collection efficiency related to the proton transfer reaction in order to report an integral cross section for reaction (1). Since our measurements show that the oxonium ions are primarily produced through proton transfer and that they have a largely isotropic velocity distribution, we conclude that the authors of Ref. 13 assumed a collection efficiency that was too high, resulting in their reported cross sections being too low.

### B. Charge exchange channel

To our knowledge, no work is reported in the literature on the symmetric charge exchange reaction. Our cross-section measurements show that charge exchange is clearly the most efficient channel of  $H_2O^+ - H_2O$  collisions at collision energies above 1 eV. The magnitude of the charge exchange cross sections are approximately half the values obtained for charge exchange of  $N_2^+$  and  $O^+$  with water.<sup>17,37</sup> The most striking difference between the latter charge exchange examples and the one studied here is the prominent TOF band observed at high laboratory energies (second TOF band). From the statistical weights listed in Table III, we estimate the cross sections for the second band ions to be less than  $10^{-17}$  cm<sup>2</sup>.

The second TOF band ions can be either due to high internal excitation of the collision products or due to the dissociation of oxonium ions produced in the proton transfer reaction with internal energy exceeding the dissociation threshold. If the latter possibility is occurring, the  $H_2 DO^+$ intermediate [in the case of reaction (4b)] would dissociate to either  $H_2O^+$  or HDO<sup>+</sup>, assuming that the excess energy is distributed among the O-H(D) bonds prior to dissociation. Since considerable mass 19 signal in reaction (4b) stems from charge transfer to HDO target gas impurities, the TOF spectra for mass 18 and 19 ions need to be compared to verify the existence of dissociation products in the charge exchange channel measurements. Table VII lists the

J. Chem. Phys., Vol. 93, No. 5, 1 September 1990

### 3204

TABLE VII. Normalized weights of second band of reaction (4b) TOF spectra obtained for mass 18 and 19 ions.

<b>E</b> <sub>c.m.</sub>	mass 18	mass 19
(eV)	(%)	(%)
3.96	0.6	0.7
8.64	1.7	2.1
12.7	2.6	5.8
17.1	2.2	6.4
21.7	6.6	16.1

normalized weights of the second band observed in the mass 18 and mass 19 TOF spectra. At 3.96 eV, there is no notable difference between the mass 18 and mass 19 TOF spectra and therefore the HDO<sup>+</sup> ions stem from impurities and cannot be attributed to the dissociation of oxonium ions. This is not surprising, since the collision energy is less than the required energy for dissociation of 6.2 eV.<sup>33</sup>

At collision energies above about 8.0 eV, the ratio between the mass 19 and mass 18 second band normalized weights increases dramatically with collision energy. We attribute this increase to HDO<sup>+</sup> ions which are produced through dissociative decay of  $H_2$ DO<sup>+</sup> proton transfer products. The second band ions therefore at least partly originate from dissociating oxonium ions.

Table VIII lists the internal energies for reactions (4a) and (4b) calculated using the determined laboratory energies of the second band ions and assuming that the product ions are formed through charge exchange rather than via proton transfer. It is seen that at collision energies between 10 and 20 eV, large proportion of the reaction energy appears to be converted into internal energy of the products. At higher energies, the products observed in the second TOF band are scattered slightly forward with respect to the center-of-mass velocity and the collision energy is converted less efficiently.

TABLE VIII. Internal energies  $E_{int}$  calculated from the second band TOF band centers of the reactions (4a) and (4b) charge transfer products.

$H_2O^+ + D_2^{16}O \rightarrow H_2O + D_2^{16}O^+$	(4a)
E	$E_{int}$ (2 <sup>nd</sup> band)
(eV)	(cV)
2.81	
6.05	•••
10.6	10.6
16.1	14.8
21.8	19.0
27.1	19.0
$D_2^{18}O^+ + H_2O \rightarrow D_2^{18}O + H_2O^+$	(4b)
3.96	2.9
8.64	7.9
12.7	12.3
17.1	15.3
21.7	19.0

The internal energies listed in Table VIII do not apply if the second band ions are assumed to stem entirely from dissociating oxonium ions. In this case very little center-ofmass kinetic energy is expected to appear in the heavy ionic product, since almost all of the kinetic energy would be partitioned to the hydrogen atom due to conservation of momentum and energy. The center-of-mass kinetic energy observed in the water ion products at higher collision energies is therefore related to the kinetic energy partitioned to the oxonium ion prior to dissociation. Since it is not known how the excess energy is distributed among the three O-H(D)bonds prior to dissociation of the oxonium ion, the comparison between the mass 18 and mass 19 second band signals in reaction (4b) is insufficient to determine the proportion of the second band signal that is due to the dissociation product.

### C. OH+ production

The measured kinetic energy release from reaction (5) exceeds the total available excess energy, indicating that the ion product can only be formed from excited primary ions. We believe that small amounts of metastable ions with large collision-induced dissociation cross sections are producing the observed OH ' (OD ' ) signals. The observed cross sections in Fig. 9 are not representative of the actual collision-induced dissociation cross section since the fraction of metastable ions in the primary beam is unknown. The highly forward peaked ion signals indicate that the dissociation process occurs at large impact parameters, which would result in a large cross section if the dissociation probability is high. A loosely bound primary ion, excited to levels close to the dissociation threshold, would account for large collision-induced dissociation cross sections.

### **V. CONCLUSION**

The ion-molecule reaction between  $H_2O^+$  and  $H_2O$  at suprathermal energies yields two main products,  $H_3O^+$  and the symmetric charge exchange product. At collision energies above 1 eV, charge exchange is the most efficient reaction, where a cross section between 6 and  $10 \times 10^{-16}$  cm<sup>2</sup> is measured. The oxonium ions are mainly produced through transfer of a proton from the primary ion to the target molecule. The cross section for oxonium ion production through primary ion hydrogen atom pickup is at least an order of magnitude smaller than the proton transfer cross section throughout the measured energy range.

Both charge exchange and proton transfer primarily yield near-thermal product ions in the laboratory frame, whereas the significantly weaker atom-pickup channel produces strongly forward-peaked product ions. These product laboratory energies are characteristic of large impact parameter collisions involving a direct reaction mechanism. The measured laboratory energies of both atom-pickup and proton transfer channels are in good agreement with those predicted by the spectator stripping model.<sup>26,31</sup> The observed proton transfer channel isotope effect is in agreement with the predictions of this model at collision energies above 4 eV. At lower collision energies the model fails to account for the

J. Chem. Phys., Vol. 93, No. 5, 1 September 1990

isotope effect, indicating a transition to statistical dynamics involving an intermediate complex.

Production of high laboratory ion energies are observed in both charge exchange and proton transfer channels. At collision energies above  $E_{c.m.} = 10 \text{ eV}$ , a significant fraction of the high energy water ions detected in the charge exchange channel are found to be the products of dissociating oxonium ions. The production of the high energy ions observed in the proton transfer channel is suggested to be accompanied by the dissociation of the neutral product hydroxyl radical. We estimate the cross sections for forming the excited products to be less than  $10^{-17} \text{ cm}^2$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of us (CRL) is grateful to the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC, USAF) for sponsoring research under contrast F49620-88-C0053. We thank Z. Herman for communicating his results prior to publication.

- <sup>1</sup>M. M. Mann, A. Hustrulid, and J. T. Tate, Phys. Rev. **58**, 340 (1940). <sup>2</sup>R. A. Fluegge, J. Chem. Phys. **50**, 4373 (1969).
- <sup>3</sup>A. Good, D. A. Durden, and P. Kebarle, J. Chem. Phys. 52, 212 (1970).
- <sup>4</sup> R. C. Bolden and N. D. Twiddy, Faraday Disc. Chem. Soc. 53, 192 (1972).
- <sup>5</sup>W. T. Huntress and R. F. Pinizzotto, J. Chem. Phys. 59, 4742 (1973).
- <sup>b</sup>J. Sunner and I. Szabo, Int. J. Mass Spect. Ion Phys. 31, 213 (1979).
- <sup>7</sup>R. Narcisi, E. Trczinski, G. Frederico, L. Włodyka, and D. Delorey, in AIAA Shuttle Environment and Operations II Conference Proceedings (1983), p. 183.
- <sup>\*</sup>J. M. Grebowsky, H. A. Taylor, Jr., and M. W. Pharo, III, Planet. Space Sci. 35, 501 (1987).
- <sup>9</sup>E. Wulf and U. von Zahn, J. Geophys. Res. 91, 3270 (1986).
- <sup>10</sup> K. W. Ogilvie, M. A. Coplan, P. Bochsler, and J. Geiss, Science 232, 374 (1986).
- <sup>11</sup> H. Balsiger, K. Altwegg, F. Buehler, J. Geiss, A. G. Ghielmetti, B. Goldstein, R. Goldstein, W. T. Huntress, W.-H. Ip, A. J. Lazarus, A. Meier,

M. Neugebauer, U. Rettenmund, H. Rosenbauer, R. Schwenn, R. D. Sharp, E. G. Shelley, E. Ungstrup, and D. T. Young, Nature **321**, 330 (1986).

- <sup>12</sup> E. Murad, Planet. Space Sci. 33, 421 (1985).
- <sup>13</sup>B. R. Turner and J. A. Rutherford, J. Geophys. Res. 73, 6751 (1968).
- <sup>14</sup> K. R. Ryan, J. Chem. Phys. 52, 6009 (1970).
- <sup>16</sup> W. B. Maier and E. Murad, J. Chem. Phys. 55, 2307 (1971).
- <sup>17</sup> R. A. Dressler, J. A. Gardner, R. H. Saher, F. J. Wodarczyk, and E. Murad, J. Chem. Phys. 92, 1117 (1990).
- <sup>18</sup> J. A. Gardner, R. A. Dressler, R. H. Salter, and E. Murad, Geophysics Laboratory Technical Report, GL-TR-89-0344 (1989).
- <sup>19</sup> E. Lindemann, R. W. Rozett, and W. S. Koski, J. Chem. Phys. 56 5490 (1972).
- <sup>20</sup> L. Karlson, L. Mattsson, R. Jadrny, R. G. Albridge, S. Pinchas, T. Bergmark, and K. Seigbahn, J. Chem. Phys. **62**, 4745 (1975).
- <sup>21</sup> F. T. Chau, J. Elect. Spect. Ref. Phen. 48, 389 (1989).
- <sup>22</sup> P. Erman and J. Brzozowski, Phys. Lett. A 46, 79 (1973).
- <sup>23</sup> M. Tsuji, J. P. Maier, H. Obase, and Y. Nishimura, Chem. Phys. Lett. 147, 619 (1988).
- <sup>24</sup> H. Lew, Can. J. Phys. 54, 2028 (1976).
- <sup>25</sup> Secondary ion TOF bands are referred to numerically (first, second, third) in increasing secondary ion energy.
- <sup>26</sup> Z. Herman, J. Kerstetter, T. Rose, and R. Wolfgang, Disc. Faraday Soc. 44, 123 (1967).
- <sup>27</sup> W. R. Gentry, E. A. Gislason, Y. T. Lee, B. H. Mahan, and C. W. Tsao, Disc. Farad. Soc. 44, 137 (1967).
- <sup>28</sup> A. Henglein, in Proceedings of the International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi", C. XLIV, Mol. Beams and Kinetics, edited by Ch. Schlier (Academic, New York, 1970).
- <sup>29</sup> K. Lacmann and A. Henglein, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 69, 292 (1965).
- <sup>30</sup> A. Ding, K. Lacmann, and A. Henglein, Ber. Berl. Buns. Ges. 71, 596 (1967).
- <sup>11</sup> A. Henglein, Adv. Mass Spectrom. 3, 331 (1966).
- <sup>32</sup>S. Tomoda and K. Kimura, Chem. Phys. 82, 215 (1983).
- <sup>33</sup>S. G. Lias, J. E. Bartmess, J. F. Liebman, J. L. Holmes, R. D. Levin, and W. G. Mallard, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 17, Supp. 1 (1988).
- <sup>34</sup> C. Y. Ng, D. J. Trevor, P. W. Tiedemann, S. T. Ceyer, P. L. Kronebusch, B. H. Mahan, and Y. T. Lee, J. Chem. Phys. 67, 4235 (1977).
- <sup>35</sup>Z. Herman (private communication).
- <sup>36</sup>B. H. Mahan, Acc. Chem. Res. 3, 393 (1970).
- <sup>37</sup> M. Heninger, S. Fenistein, G. Mauclaire, R. Marx, and E. Murad. Geophys. Res. Lett. 16, 139 (1989).