c reporting burden for this collection of inform ring and maintaining the data needed, and c collection of information, including suggestion (Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-43) gency Use Only (Leave blank). the and Subtitle. fluence of Bathymetry ise uthor(s). Newcomb, R.A. Wagstat erforming Organization Name(s) a tval Oceanographic and cennis Space Center, Ma ponsoring/Monitoring Agency Nar fice of Naval Research cennis Space Center, Ma ficenis Space Center, Ma popplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statement	nation is estimated to average t hou completing and reviewing the collec- for educing this burden. to Washin 302, and to the Office of Managem 2. Report Date. Feb. 7-9, 1990 on the Propagati ff, and M.R. Brad nd Address(es). Atmospheric Rese S 39529-5004 me(s) and Address(es). h (AEAS) S 39529-5004	r per response, including the time ction of information. Send comme ign Headquarters Services, Direct ent and Budget, Paperwork Redu 3. Report Type an on of Signals and ley* arch Laboratory	tor reviewing instructions, searching existing ents regarding this burden estimate or any officiate for Information Operations and Report d Dates Covered. 5. Funding Numbers. Program Element No. Project No. Task No. Accession No. DN2590()9 8. Performing Organization Report Number. AB 90:245: 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring A Report Number. AB 90:245:
Anington, Va 2202-4. gency Use Only (Leave blank). Ile and Subtitle. fluence of Bathymetry ise uthor(s). Newcomb, R.A. Wagstat erforming Organization Name(s) a tval Oceanographic and cennis Space Center, Mi ponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name fice of Naval Research cennis Space Center, Mi Supplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statement	2. Report Date. Feb 7-9, 1990 on the Propagati ff, and M.R. Brad nd Address(es). Atmospheric Rese S 39529-5004 me(s) and Address(es). h (AEAS) S 39529-5004	3. Report Type an on of Signals and ley* arch Laboratory	d Dates Covered. 5. Funding Numbers. d. Program Element No. Project No. Task No. Accession No. DN259009 8. Performing Organization Report Number. AB 90:245: 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring A Report Number. AB 90:245:
tle and Subtitle. fluence of Bathymetry ise uthor(s). Newcomb, R.A. Wagstat erforming Organization Name(s) a tval Oceanographic and ennis Space Center, M ponsoring/Monitoring Agency Nam fice of Naval Research cennis Space Center, M Supplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statement	IFeb 7-9, 1990 on the Propagati ff, and M.R. Brad nd Address(es). Atmospheric Rese S 39529-5004 ne(s) and Address(es). h (AEAS) S 39529-5004	on of Signals and ley* arch Laboratory	d. Funding Numbers. Program Element No. Project No. Task No. Accession No. DN259009 8. Performing Organization Report Number. AB 90:245: 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring A Report Number. AB 90:245:
fluence of Bathymetry ise uthor(s). Newcomb, R.A. Wagstat erforming Organization Name(s) a tval Oceanographic and cennis Space Center, M ponsoring/Monitoring Agency Nar fice of Naval Research cennis Space Center, M Supplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statemen	on the Propagati ff, and M.R. Brad nd Address(es). Atmospheric Rese S 39529-5004 ne(s) and Address(es). h (AEAS) S 39529-5004	on of Signals and ley* arch Laboratory	d. Program Element No. Project No. Task No. Accession No. DN259009 8. Performing Organization Report Number. AB 90:245: 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring A Report Number. AB 90:245:
uthor(s). Newcomb, R.A. Wagstaf erforming Organization Name(s) a tval Oceanographic and cennis Space Center, M ponsoring/Monitoring Agency Nar fice of Naval Research cennis Space Center, M Supplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statemen	ff, and M.R. Brad nd Address(es). Atmospheric Rese S 39529-5004 me(s) and Address(es). h (AEAS) S 39529-5004	arch Laboratory	Task No. Accession No. DN259009 8. Performing Organization Report Number. AB 90:245: 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring A Report Number. AB 90:245: DN259009 ELECTI
Newcomb, R.A. Wagstan enforming Organization Name(s) a lival Oceanographic and cennis Space Center, M ponsoring/Monitoring Agency Nar fice of Naval Research cennis Space Center, M Supplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statemen	ff, and M.R. Brad nd Address(es). Atmospheric Rese S 39529-5004 ne(s) and Address(es). h (AEAS) S 39529-5004	arch Laboratory	Accession No. DN259009 8. Performing Organization Report Number. AB 90:245: 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring A Report Number. AB 90:245: DN259009 AB 90:245: AB 90:245:
erforming Organization Name(s) a tval Oceanographic and cennis Space Center, M ponsoring/Monitoring Agency Nar fice of Naval Researc cennis Space Center, M Supplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statemen	nd Address(es). Atmospheric Rese S 39529-5004 me(s) and Address(es). h (AEAS) S 39529-5004	arch Laboratory	Accession No. DN259009 8. Performing Organization Report Number. AB 90:245: 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring A Report Number. AB 90:245: DN259009 AB 90:245: AB 90:245:
erforming Organization Name(s) a tval Oceanographic and cennis Space Center, M ponsoring/Monitoring Agency Nar fice of Naval Researc cennis Space Center, M Supplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statemen	nd Address(es). Atmospheric Rese S 39529-5004 me(s) and Address(es). h (AEAS) S 39529-5004	arch Laboratory	8. Performing Organization Report Number. AB 90:245: 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring A Report Number. AB 90:245:
ponsoring/Monitoring Agency Nar Ffice of Naval Research Cennis Space Center, M Supplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statemen	Actiospher fc (Rese S 39529-5004 me(s) and Address(es). h (AEAS) S 39529-5004		AB 90:245: 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring A Report Number. AB 90:245: DTI ELECTI
ponsoring/Monitoring Agency Nar Ffice of Naval Researc cennis Space Center, M Supplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statemen	me(s) and Address(es). h (AEAS) S 39529-5004		10. Sponsoring/Monitoring A Report Number. AB 90:245:
Ffice of Naval Research cennis Space Center, M Supplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statemen	h (AEAS) S 39529-5004		AB 90:245:
Supplementary Notes. Planning Systems Inc. Slidell, LA 70458 Distribution/Availability Statemen			
Distribution/Availability Statemen			ELECT
Distribution/Availability Statemen			
Distribution/Availability Statemen			FEB 0 6 19
	nt.	<u> </u>	12b/Distribution Code.
oproved for public rel	ease; distributic	on is unlimited.	
Abstract (Maximum 200 words).		- <u>-</u>	<b>I</b>
he ambient noise field omponents, including c he horizontal directio owed line arrays in ma ddress, the effects of oise. It is shown that oise can be fully unde as been considered. Ex ffects of bathymetric f the ambient noise. O hat hathymetric influe ddition, modelled data athymetry on noise and	is a complex and contributions due anality) of the an any of the major w bathymetry on the s, in many cases, erstood only when camples of measure stripping and ba other examples of ences are not lim a will be, used to d signal propagat	to shipping. Span nbient noise fiel water masses of the propagation and the horizontal of the bathymetry a ed data will be p thymetric shield measured data wi ited to a narrow illustrate the of ion.	atial characteristics atial characteristics the world. This paper w d measurement of ambien directionality of the a along the propagation p presented illustrating ing on the spatial char ill be shown demonstra frequency range. In effects of the surround
Subject Terms.			. 15. Number of Pag
U) Reverberation; (U)	Antisubmarine Wa	rfare; (U) Activ	e Sonar <u>5</u> 16. Price Code.
Security Classification 18. of Report. U	Security Classification of This Page. U	19. Security Classifi of Abstract.	cation 20. Limitation of A U SAR
	<pre>he ambient noise field omponents, including c he horizontal directic owed line arrays in ma ddress, the effects of bise. It is shown that oise can be fully unde as been considered. Ex ffects of bathymetric f the ambient noise. C hat hathymetric influe ddition, modelled data athymetry on noise and Subject Terms. U) Reverberation; (U) Security Classification of Report. U 7540-01-280-5500</pre>	he ambient noise field is a complex and omponents, including contributions due he horizontal directionality) of the am- owed line arrays in many of the major we ddress, the effects of bathymetry on the bise. It is shown that, in many cases, bise can be fully understood only when as been considered. Examples of measures ffects of bathymetric stripping and ba- f the ambient noise. Other examples of hat hathymetric influences are not lim ddition, modelled data will be, used to athymetry on noise and signal propagat Subject Terms. U) Reverberation; (U) Antisubmarine Wa Security Classification of Report. U 7540-01-280-5500	ne ambient noise field is a complex and highly variable proponents, including contributions due to shipping. Spa- ne horizontal directionality) of the ambient noise fields proved line arrays in many of the major water masses of ddress, the effects of bathymetry on the propagation and pise. It is shown that, in many cases, the horizontal pise can be fully understood only when the bathymetry as been considered. Examples of measured data will be ffects of bathymetric stripping and bathymetric shield f the ambient noise. Other examples of measured data w hat hathymetric influences are not limited to a narrow ddition, modelled data will be used to illustrate the athymetry on noise and signal propagation. Subject Terms. U) Reverberation; (U) Antisubmarine Warfare; (U) Activ Security Classification of This Page. U 19. Security Classification of This Page. U 19. Security Classification of Abstract. 19. Security Classification of Abstract. 19. Security Classification 19. Security Classification 19. Security Classification 19. Security Classification 19. Security Classification 19. Abstract. 19. Security Classification 19. Security Classification 19. Abstract. 19. Security Classification 19. Abstract. 19. Abstract. 19. Security Classification 19. Abstract. 19. Abstract. 10. Abstract. 10. Abstract. 11. Abstract. 12. Abstract. 13. Abstract. 14. Abstract. 15. A

J. Newcomb and R. A. Wagstaff Naval Oceanographic and Atmospheric Research Laboratory (NOARL) John C. Stennis Space Center, MS, 39529-5004 USA

> M. R. Bradley Planning Systems Inc., Slidell, LA, 70458 USA

# ABSTRACT

The ambient noise field is a complex and highly variable phenomena with many components, including contributions due to shipping. Spatial characteristics (eg., the horizontal directionality) of the ambient noise field have been measured with towed line arrays in many of the major water masses of the world. This paper will address the effects of bathymetry on the propagation and measurement of ambient noise. It is shown that, in many cases, the horizontal directionality of the ambient noise can be fully understood only when the bathymetry along the propagation paths has been considered. Examples of measured data will be presented illustrating the effects of bathymetric stripping and bathymetric shielding on the spatial character of the ambient noise. Other examples of measured data will be shown demonstrating that bathymetric influences are not limited to a narrow frequency range. In addition, modelled data will be used to illustrate the effects of the surrounding bathymetry on noise and signal propagation.

# INTRODUCTION

The ambient noise field is a complex and highly variable phenomena with many components, including contributions from acoustic energy radiated by surface shipping that may be modified by the effects of transmission along complex oceanic paths from the noise sources to the receiver. In many major water masses of the world, spatial characteristics (eg., the horizontal directionality) of the ambient noise field have been measured with towed line arrays similar to the seismic streamers employed in geophysical petroleum exploration. Specialized techniques have been developed to process and analyze the raw data produced by these towed arrays to achieve an understanding of the mechanics responsible for the underlying directional noise field. This paper will use two of these data processing and analysis products derived from measured data, namely the noise rose and the noise bouquet, to address the effects of bathymetry on acoustic propagation and the directionality of the low frequency noise field. Other examples of measured data will be shown to demonstrate that bathymetric effects are not limited to a narrow frequency range. Finally, a new processing product, the reverberation density rose, will be presented through the use of modeled data to illustrate the effects of surrounding bathymetry on the reverberation field produced by a monostatic active sonar.

### BACKGROUND

The horizontal directionality of the ambient noise field is estimated by the Wagstaff Iterative Technique (WIT) algorithm<sup>1</sup>. WIT is a constrained iterative restoration algorithm that restores some of the high-order spatial harmonics filtered out by the low-pass spatial response of the array. The present version contains corrections for array tilt, threedimensional beam-response patterns, and noise-field vertical arrival structure<sup>2</sup>.

Figure 1 is a block diagram of the WIT estimation algorithm. WIT begins by making an initial estimate of the horizontal directionality of the ambient noise field for a single frequency, N<sub>0</sub> ( polar plot to the left in Figure 1), and convolves this estimate with the beam pattern of a horizontal array on several headings. This results in estimates of the beam noise levels,  $\hat{b}_i$ , which are compared to the measured beam noise levels,  $b_i$ (uppermost polar plot in Figure 1) along the same headings. The differences obtained during this comparison,  $\Delta \hat{b}_i$ , are used to modify the estimate of the noise field. The modified estimate of the noise field,  $\hat{N}_i$  (lowest polar plot in Figure 1), is the new input and the process is repeated until the sum of the differences between the estimated beam



Figure 1. Block diagram of the WIT algorithm.

noise levels and the measured beam noise levels is below a set threshold,  $\varepsilon$ . The noise field estimate which achieves acceptable differences is considered to be the final estimate of the horizontal directionality of the ambient noise field,  $\hat{N}_{\text{final}}$ . The final product is plotted in polar fashion and is called a noise rose. For the example noise roses in Figure 1, the true field (simulated data in this example) is the noise rose in the lower right to which the  $\hat{N}_{\text{final}}$ noise rose (achieved after only twelve iterations) in the upper right can be compared.

The noise rose is for a single analysis frequency. The equivalent information for many frequencies can be displayed in a color polar plot (not shown) called a noise bouquet. The use of these two products can readily indicate the directions of low and high noise areas at a given measurement site.

#### RESULTS

The effects of bathymetric shielding are relatively obvious and easily envisioned. The effects of bathymetric stripping, on the other hand, are similar but not as easily recognized. Figure 2 shows the results of PE (Parabolic Equation) model propagation loss predictions for 100 Hz along two different propagation paths to the same deep receiver location from

----



Figure 2. Overlay of the results of PE model predictions for propagation down a steep slope and down a shallow slope.

source locations on a relatively shallow area. One propagation path was over a shallow sloping bottom while the other was along a steep sloping bottom. From Figure 2, it can be seen that the predicted propagation loss for propagation down the steep slope relative to propagation down the shallow slope is approximately 7 to 10 dB better at 300nmi. This result is not unexpected since propagation down a shallow slope has more opportunity for bottom interaction, and thus undergoes more attenuation, than propagation down a steep slope.

The effects of this type of bathymetric stripping can be seen in Figure 3. Figure 3 is the measured horizontal directionality of the ambient noise (noise rose) corresponding to the PE model predictions in Figure 2, and shows essentially an ambient noise field dominated by discrete sources (i.e., ships). The ambient noise to the west of north is approximately 7 dB greater than the ambient noise to the east of north. The densities of shipping along both of these propagation paths are relatively the same. Therefore, the dramatic difference in the directional ambient noise seen in Figure 3 can be auributed to the propagation paths themselves. Indeed, the shipping noise to the west of north propagates



Figure 3. Horizontal directionality of the ambient noise illustrating the effects of bathymetric shielding.

down a steep slope (very little batymetric stripping), while the shipping noise to the east of north propagates down a shallow slope (high levels of batymetric stripping). The quantitative effects of the difference in propagation paths on the ambient noise agree well with the predicted differences shown in Figure 2.

# REFERENCES

2

1. Wagstaff, R.A. (1978). Iterative technique for ambient-noise horizontal-directionality estimation from towed line-array data. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 63: 863-869.

 Wagstaff, R.A. (1980). Horizontal directionality estimation considering array tilt and noise field vertical arrival structure. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 67: 1287-1294.

251