REPORT SSD-TR-90-45

2

The Role of Acceptor Density on the High Channel Carrier Density I-V Characteristics of AlGaAs/GaAs MODFETs

Prepared by

R. J. KRANTZ and W. L. BLOSS Electronics Research Laboratory Laboratory Operations

19 October 1990

Prepared for

SPACE SYSTEMS DIVISION AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND Los Angeles Air Force Base P.O. Box 92960 Los Angeles, CA 90009-2960



AEROSPACE REPORT NO. TR-0090(5925-01)-1

Development Group

THE AEROSPACE CORPORATION El Segundo, California

90 10 11 100

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED This report was submitted by The Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, CA 90245, under Contract No. F04701-88-C-0089 with the Space Systems Division, P.O. Box 92960, Los Angeles, CA 90009-2960. It was reviewed and approved for The Aerospace Corporation by M. J. Daugherty, Director, Electronics Research Laboratory. Captain Janet Modl was the Air Force project officer for the Mission-Oriented Investigation and Experimentation (MOIE) program.

This report has been reviewed by the Public Affairs Office (PAS) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication. Publication of this report does not constitute Air Force approval of the report's findings or conclusions. It is published only for the exchange and stimulation of ideas.

JANÉT M. MODL, Capt, USAF MOIE Project Officer STC/SWL

JONATHAN M. EMMES, Maj, USAF MOIE Program Manager AFSTC/WCO OL-AB

NCL ASSTET

	SSIFICATION O		GF								
				REPORT DOCUM	IENTATI	ON PAGI	E				
1a. REPORT SE	CURITY CLASS	SIFICATION	1		1b. REST	RICTIVE MA	RKINGS				
Unclassi 2ª SECURITY											
La. SECONITI	CLASSINGANO				3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITT OF REPORT						
26 DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited						
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)					5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)						
TR-0090(5925-01)-1					SSD-TR-90-45						
a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION				6b OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION						
The Aerospace Corporation				(ii appricable)	Space Systems Division						
	City State and	OIIS			Space Systems DIVISION						
N. ADDITESO (ony, otale, and z	[" 0000)			Los	Los Angeles Air Force Base					
El Seguno	do, CA 902	45			Los Angeles, CA 90009-2960						
Ba. NAME OF F	UNDING/SPON	SORING		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER						
URGANIZAI	ION			(il applicable)	F04701-88-C-0089						
8c ADDRESS	(City, State, and	ZIP Code)		<u> </u>	10. SOUF	10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS					
	, , , , <u>, -</u> -	,			PROGRAM		PROJECT	TASK	WO		
									$\int_{-\infty}$	AUCESSION NU.	
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. FROM			13b TIM FROM	E COVERED TO		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1 1990 October 19		5 PAGE COUN 19			
16 SUPPLEME	NTARY NOTATI	ON-									
17	COSAT	I CODES		18 SUBJECT TERMS	IS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)						
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-C	GROUP	MODFETs Threshold Voltage							
				High Density	y Operation						
O ARETRACT	Continue on re	union if no		didantifi bu black number							
A triang	ular-well,	one-su	ubband	depletion layer	model h	as been	developed	for the t	nign	density	
region o: defined :	r a modula as operati	tion do	oped fi n the c	eid-effect trans hannel carrier d	sistor (lensitv	mourer). in the	. нigh de entire ch	nsity open annel. is	enu enu	on is al to or	
greater	than m ₁ kT/	πh^2 . 1	This hi	gh density model	has be	en used	to descri	be the efi	fect	s of the	
depletion	n layer ch	arge or	h the I	-V characteristi	cs. An	approxi	imation fo	r the expe	erim	entally	
shown the	ed thresho at the exp	id volt eriment	tage is Fallv d	derived. For s	mali ac	ceptor c tage may	differ f	rom the si	ī, tron	10 15 9	
inversion	n threshol	d volta	age by	-0.25 V. We sho	w that	this dis	screpancy	is due to	the	effect	
of the de	epletion l	ayer ch	narge i	n the high densi	ty regi	on. Als	so, the de	pletion la	ayer	charge	
is shown canacita	to accoun nce The	t for t effecti	the dis ive lav	crepancy in the er thickness Ad	device is show	capacita n to dec	ance and t crease fro	ne AlGaas m - 90 Å at	lay an	er accentor	
density (10^{13} cm	-3 to 1	75 Å at	10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ .	10 5000			,		acceptor	
-											
20. DISTRIBUT	ION/AVAILABILI	TY OF ABS	STRACT		21. ABST	RACT SECU	JRITY CLASSIFI	CATION			
	FIED/UNLIMITED		SAME AS F		Uncl	assified	•				

22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED

22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL

CONTENTS

÷

ł

ł

P

Ι.	INTRODUCTION					
II.	НIGH	DENSITY REVIEW	9			
	Α.	Definition	9			
	Β.	Device Capacitance	9			
	C.	Experimental Threshold Voltage	11			
	D.	I-V Characteristics	13			
III.	SUMM	ARY	17			
REFERE	ENCES		19			

P



FIGURES

I

ł

1.	Band Diagram of a Typical AlGaAs/GaAs MODFET with Schottky Gate, under Bias V _g	6
2.	Function f(n _s) versus Channel Carrier Density for Two Extremes of Acceptor Density	10
3.	Difference between Experimental and Theoretical Threshold Voltage versus Acceptor Density	12
4.	Drain-Source Current versus Drain Voltage for Various Acceptor Densities (a), and Various Gate Voltages (b)	15

I. INTRODUCTION

The dependence of the threshold voltage, subthreshold I-V characteristics, and radiation response of n-channel AlGaAs/GaAs MODEFTs (modulation doped field-effect transistors) on acceptor doping density has been described previously (Refs. 1-4). These analyses have now been extended to describe the dependence of MODFET high channel carrier density I-V characteristics on acceptor doping density. The effect of acceptor doping density on the experimental threshold voltage and device capacitance is explicitly addressed.

The band structure of a typical AlGaAs(n)/GaAs heterojunction with Schottky barrier, ϕ_m , at the gate, and a spacer layer at the interface under bias V_g , is shown in Fig. 1. In the depletion layer approximation, the donors and acceptors are assumed to be completely ionized in the doped AlGaAs layer d, the spacer layer a, and in the depletion layer W. The doping densities N_D and N_A are assumed constant. The quasi-two-dimensional electron eigenstates at the interface are solved for using a triangular potential well, and only the lowest subband is included in the calculation. A delta-function channel charge distribution at the average channel width is assumed. Band bending from the interface at (d + a) to the edge of the depletion region (W + d + a) is the difference of the position of the conduction band relative to the Fermi level in the GaAs far from the interface ($E_g/2 + \phi_{bulk}$), and the Fermi level E_f relative to the bottom of the two-dimensional channel.

Under the restrictions imposed by these assumptions, Poisson's equation may be integrated across the structure to obtain the applied gate voltage as a function of device geometry, doping densities, and channel charge n_s :

$$V_{g} = \phi_{m} - \Delta E_{c} + E_{f} + (q/\epsilon) [-N_{D} d^{2}/2 + (N_{A} W + n_{s})(d + a)]$$
(1)

5



,

Į

.

Fig. 1. Band Diagram of a Typical AlGaAs/GaAs MODFET with Schottky Gate, under Bias $\rm V_g$

where

٦.

1

 ΔE_{c} = conduction band offset

In the one-subband approximation, the Fermi level ${\rm E}^{}_{\rm f}$ may be calculated as

$$E_{f} = E_{0}/q + (kT/q)\ln[exp(\pi h^{2}n_{s}/m_{1}kT) - 1]$$
(2)

where the first quantum level $E_{\hat{U}}$ may be calculated in the triangular-well approximation and is given by

$$E_0 = (9H^2/8m_1)(4E_1m_1/H^2)^{2/3}$$
(3)

The field \boldsymbol{E}_{i} at the interface is

$$E_{i} = (q/\epsilon)(n_{s} + N_{A})$$
(4)

Substitution of Eqs. (2-4) into Eq. (1) yields

$$V_g = V_0 + f(n_s)$$
(5)

where

$$V_0 = \phi_m - \Delta E_c - (q/e) N_D d^2/2$$
 (6)

The function $f(n_s)$ may be written as

$$f(n_{s}) = (q/\epsilon)(d + a)(N_{A}W + n_{s}) + C_{0}(N_{A}W + n_{s})^{2/3} + (kT/q)in[exp(n_{s}/n_{c}) - 1]$$
(7)

where

$$C_0 = (9K^2/8m_1q)(4q^2m_1/K^2\epsilon)^{2/3}$$

$$= [-1.7 \times 10^{-9} \text{ V-cm}^{4/3}]$$
(8)

ł

 \star = Planck constant divided by 2π

 m_1 = longitudinal effective mass of the carriers

We have retained terms containing the depletion width W in the definition of $f(n_s)$ because the depletion width is implicitly a function of the channel charge n_s .

The quantities q, ε , k, and T are the elemental charge, AlGaAs(GaAs) permittivity (assumed identical), Boltzmann constant, and absolute temperature.

Similarly, charge density ${\rm n}_{\rm c}$ is a function of physical constants and the effective carrier mass:

$$n_{e} = \pi K^{2} / m_{l} kT$$
(9)

and is equal to $-8.4 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

A discussion of the dependence of the depletion width W on acceptor density has been given elsewhere (Ref. 1). In section II we describe the mathematical properties of the function $f(n_s)$, in the high channel carrier density region, and exploit the results to describe the characteristics of these devices.

11. HIGH DENSITY REGION

A. DEFINITION

We define the high density region such that $n_s > n_c$ over the whole channel. In this region Eq. (7) may be expanded in a Taylor series in n_s about n_c . The results of this approximation to first order are shown in Fig. 2 for two extremes of acceptor doping density. The solid lines are the results of Eq. (7), and the dashed lines are the result of the first order expansion in n_s about n_c . Above n_c (8.4 × 10¹¹ cm⁻²), the expansion is quite good. Much below n_c (< 3.0 × 10¹¹ cm⁻²), the first order expansion departs from the exact result and approaches a constant. Substitution of the first order expansion for $f(n_s)$ in Eq. (5) and inverting to find n_s as a function of V_σ yields

$$n_{s} = n_{c} + K^{-1} [V_{g} - V_{0} - f(n_{c})] / (kT/q)$$
(10)

where K is a constant that depends on the device geometry, doping densities, depletion width, and physical constants. This form for n_s is different than previously assumed (Ref. 5), which ignores the contribution from n_c and from $f(n_c)$ and assumes that the reciprocal of K is the AlGaAs layer capacitance per unit area per unit charge times the absolute temperature in electron volts. In our formulation, near saturation, K is given by:

$$K = (q/kT)(q/\epsilon)(d + a) + (2/3)C_0(q/kT)/(N_AW + n_c)^{1/3} + 1.58/n_c$$
(11)

B. DEVICE CAPACITANCE

The derivative of Eq. (10) with respect to V_g yields the device capacitance per unit area, which may be written in the following form (Ref. 6):

$$C_{area} = \varepsilon / (d + a + \Delta d)$$
(12)



1

8

Fig. 2. Function $f(n_s)$ versus Channel Carrier Density for Two Extremes of Acceptor Density. The solid curves are the exact results of Eq. (2); the dashed lines are the results of the linear approximation, described in text. where Δd is invoked to account for the discrepancy between the AlGaAs layer capacitance $\epsilon^2(d + a)$ and the device capacitance. Taking the derivative of Eq. (10) and using Eq. (12) to solve for Δd yields

$$\Delta d = (2\epsilon, 3q) C_0 \cdot (N_A W + n_e)^{1/3} + 1.58(kT/q)(\epsilon/q) n_e$$
(13)

For low acceptor densities $(-10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3})$, Ad levels off at a value of -89 Å, which is in good agreement with values cited in the literature (Ref. 5). As the acceptor density increases, Ad decreases. At an acceptor density of 10^{17} cm^{-3} , Ad decreases to -74 Å.

C. EXPERIMENTAL THRESHOLD VOLTAGE

MODFET threshold voltages are determined experimentally by extrapolating the saturation current, or square root of the saturation current, versus gate voltage to zero. The gate voltage intercept is the experimentally determined threshold voltage. We may approximate the experimental threshold voltage by solving Eq. (10) for the gate voltage when n_s is equal to zero. This yields a threshold voltage which differs from the strong inversion definition of threshold voltage (Ref. 1). The difference between this approximation for the threshold voltage and the strong inversion threshold voltage is given by

$$V_{th} = f(n_c) - f(n_{th}) - qn_c C_{area}$$
(14)

where the channel charge at threshold n_{th} is equal to the acceptor density N_A , times the average channel width z_{av} , which may be calculated in the triangular-well approximation using variational functions (Refs. 7, 8). This definition of threshold has been described elsewhere (Ref. 1).

In Fig. 3 the threshold voltage difference, Eq. (14), is plotted versus acceptor density. Each term in Eq. (14) is plotted separately. At low acceptor densities ($< 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) the difference may be as much as 0.25 V. This difference decreases as the acceptor density increases. As this difference depends on the acceptor density, a comparison of

11



ţ



experimental threshold voltages may not be appropriate if acceptor densities differ by a significant amount.

D. I-V CHARACTERISTICS

In the gradual channel approximation, charge control is determined by the effective potential in the channel:

$$V(x) = V_g - V_c(x)$$
 (15)

where $V_c(x)$ is the channel voltage under the gate at point x. Using Eq. (10) we solve for the carrier density in the channel:

$$n_{s}(x) = n_{c} + K^{-1}[V_{g} - V_{0} - f(n_{c}) - V_{c}(x)]/(kT/q)$$
(16)

The form of Eq. (16) allows the source-drain current to be calculated in the usual way (Rcf. 5). The result is

$$I_{HD} = q(Z/L)u[\{n_{c} + [(C_{area}/q)(V_{g}-V_{0}-f(n_{c})]\}[V_{c}(L)-V_{c}(0)] - (C_{area}/q)[V_{c}^{2}(L)-V_{c}^{2}(0)]]$$
(17)

where u is the channel mobility and Z/L is the gate-width to gate-length ratio. For a grounded source, in the limit of zero source-drain resistance, we recover the usual dependence on drain voltage.

In the development of Eq. (17) we have assumed that each point in the channel has a carrier density greater than n_c . This places a limit on the bias conditions for the applicability of Eq. (17). Evaluating Eq. (16) at the drain contact and using the condition that $n_s(L)$ must be greater than n_c , yields the following limitations on the bias conditions for a grounded source and zero source-drain resistance:

$$(V_{g}-V_{D}) = V_{0} + f(n_{c})$$
(18)

where $V_{\rm D}$ is the drain voltage. Shown in Fig. 4(a) is $I_{\rm DS}$ versus $V_{\rm D}$ for a grounded source and zero source-drain resistance for various acceptor doping densities and the device parameters shown. The heavy dots indicate the point at which the calculation is no longer valid by virtue of Eq. (18). As the acceptor density increases, the current at a given drain voltage decreases and the limit of the applicability of the calculation decreases, in drain voltage, for a given gate voltage. A similar graph is shown in Fig. 4(b) in which $I_{\rm DS}$ versus $V_{\rm D}$ is shown for a given acceptor density and various gate voltages. As the gate voltage decreases, the current at a given drain voltage decreases, as expected, and the region of applicability of the calculation of the form given in Eq. (17), which results from a linearization of $f(n_{\rm S})$ above $n_{\rm c}$, to infer the nature of the saturation characteristics in MODFETs, in which the drain portion of the channel has a channel carrier density much less than $n_{\rm c}$, is suspect (Ref. 5).



ι

Fig. 4. Drain-Source Current versus Drain Voltage for Various Acceptor Densities (a), and Various Gate Voltages (b). Heavy dots indicate the limits of the calculation as given by Eq. (11) in the text.

III. SUMMARY

We have developed a triangular-well, one-subband depletion layer model to describe the high channel density operation of MODFETs. The effects of acceptor density on the I-V characteristics in the high channel density region has been investigated. The depletion layer charge, due to ionized acceptors, is shown to account for the discrepancy between the device capacitance and the AlGaAs layer capacitance, as described in the literature (Ref. \dot{o}). The depletion layer charge also accounts for the discrepancy between the theoretical threshold voltage, in the strong inversion model (Ref. 1), and the experimental threshold voltage, as determined by extrapolation of the source-drain current. Therefore, comparison of threshold voltage characteristics, particularly for devices with appreciably different acceptor densities, should be based on a consistent description of threshold that accounts for ionized acceptors, i.e., the strong inversion model.

REFERENCES

- F. J. Frantz and W. L. Bloss, "The Role of Unintentional Acceptor Concentration on the Threshold Voltage of Modulation-Doped Field-Effect Transitors," <u>IEEE Trans. Electron Devices</u> <u>ED-35</u>, 451-53 (February 1989).
- 2. K. J. Krantz, W. L. BIOSS, and M. J. O'Loughlin, "High Energy Neutron Effects in GaAs Modulation-boped Field Effect Transistors (MODFETs): Threshold Voltage," <u>IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.</u> <u>NS-35</u>, 1438-43 (December 1988).
- 3. R. J. Krantz and W. L. Bloss, "Threshold Voltage and I-V Characteristics of AlGaAs/GaAs MODFETs," accepted for publication in <u>The Proceedings of the 1989 Industry-University Advanced Materials</u> <u>Conference</u>, Denver, Colorado, March 6-9, 1989.
- 4. R. J. Krantz and W. L. Bloss, "Subthreshold I-V Characteristics of AlGaAs.'GaAs MODFETs: The Role of Unintentional Acceptors," accepted for publication in IEEE Trans. Electron_Devices.
- D. Delagebeaudeur and N. T. Linh, "Metal-(n) AlGaAs-GaAs Two-Dimensional Electron GaAs FET," <u>IEEE Trans. Electron Devices</u>, <u>ED-29</u>, 955-60 (June 1982).
- K. Lee, M.S. Shur, T.J. Drummond, and H. Morkoc, "Current-Voltage and Capacitance-Voltage Characteristics of Modulation-Doped Field-Effect Transistors," IEEE Trans. Electron Devices ED-30, 207-12 (1983).
- 7. F. F. Fang and W. E. Howard, "Negative Field-Effect Mobility on (100) Si Surfaces," <u>Phys. Rev. Lett.</u> 16, 797-99 (1966).
- F. Stern and W. E. Howard, "Properties of Semiconducting Surface Inversion Layers in the Electric Quantum Limit," <u>Phys. Rev.</u> <u>163</u>, 816-35 (1967).

19

LABORATORY OPERATIONS

The Aerospace Corporation functions as an "architect-engineer" for national security projects, specializing in advanced military space systems. Providing research support, the corporation's Laboratory Operations conducts experimental and theoretical investigations that focus on the application of scientific and technical advances to such systems. Vital to the success of these investigations is the technical staff's wide-ranging expertise and its ability to stay current with new developments. This expertise is enhanced by a research program aimed at dealing with the many problems associated with rapidly evolving space systems. Contributing their capabilities to the research effort are these individual laboratories:

Aerophysics Laboratory: Launch vehicle and reentry fluid mechanics, heat transfer and flight dynamics; chemical and electric propulsion, propellant chemistry, chemical dynamics, environmental chemistry, trace detection; spacecraft structural mechanics, contamination, thermal and structural control; high temperature thermomechanics, gas kinetics and radiation; cw and pulsed chemical and excimer laser development, including chemical kinetics, spectroscopy, optical resonators, beam control, atmospheric propagation, laser effects and countermeasures.

Chemistry and Physics Laboratory: Atmospheric chemical reactions, atmospheric optics, light scattering, state-specific chemical reactions and radiative signatures of missile plumes, sensor out-of-field-of-view rejection, applied laser spectroscopy, laser chemistry, laser optoelectronics, solar cell physics, battery electrochemistry, space vacuum and radiation effects on materials, lubrication and surface phenomena, thermionic emission, photosensitive materials and detectors, atomic frequency standards, and environmental chemistry.

Electronics Research Laboratory: Microelectronics, solid-state device physics, compound semiconductors, radiation hardening; electro-optics, quantum electronics, solid-state lasers, optical propagation and communications; microwave semiconductor devices, microwave/millimeter wave measurements, diagnostics and radiometry, microwave/millimeter wave thermionic devices; atomic time and frequency standards; antennas, rf systems, electromagnetic propagation phenomena, space communication systems.

Materials Sciences Laboratory: Development of new materials: metals, alloys, ceramics, polymers and their composites, and new forms of carbon; nondestructive evaluation, component failure analysis and reliability; fracture mechanics and stress corrosion; analysis and evaluation of materials at cryogenic and elevated temperatures as well as in space and enemy-induced environments.

Space Sciences Laboratory: Magnetospheric, auroral and cosmic ray physics, wave-particle interactions, magnetospheric plasma waves; atmospheric and ionospheric physics, density and composition of the upper atmosphere, remote sensing using atmospheric radiation; solar physics, infrared astronomy, infrared signature analysis; effects of solar activity, magnetic storms and nuclear explosions on the earth's atmosphere, ionosphere and magnetosphere; effects of electromagnetic and particulate radiations on space systems; space instrumentation.