

MEOSR-TR- 90 1120

## **BTIC** FILE COPY

FEEDBACK CONTROL IN DISTRIBUTED PARAMETER SYSTEMS

Richard L. Rebarber Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Nebraska Lincoln, NE 68588-0323

6

3

26 September 1990



90

Final Report for Period 1 August 1988 - 31 July 1990

Prepared for Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NM Building 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public releases Durnnunce Unsimited

Addrey us develop and the second of the seco	REPORT COCUMENTATION PAGE			Chill No. 0706-0188	
9/27/90   Final Report 8/1/88 to 7/31/90     Freedback Control in Distributed Parameter Systems   G AFOSR-88-0230     AuMAGES   Richard Rebarber     AuMAGES   G AFOSR-88-0230     Minoresity of Nebraska-Lincoln   DUNS 400-66-2618     303 Administration Building   Lincoln, NE 68588-0323     Lincoln, NE 68588-0323   Lincoln Mathematical Models     Sociation Audity of Nebraska-Lincoln   DUNS 400-666-2618     Building 410   Building 410     Building 410   Building 410     Building 420   Bootsocial Models     Building 410   Building 410     Building 410   Building 410     Building 410   Building 410     Building 410   Building 410     In this project questions related to stabilization of vibrating systems by feedback were studied. A vibrating system is unformly stabilized by a feedback if there is a unform rate of decay for all vibrational modes of the closed-loop system, which is nathematically well-posed. It was also shown that in a large class of systems which are stabilized by feedback to lead to a closed-loop system     In this project questions related to stabilization of the feedback to lead to a closed-loop system which is nathematically well-posed. It was also shown that in a large class of systems which are stabilized by feedback. It a small defug is introduced in the computation of the feedback to lead to a closed	ADDAME AND ADDAMESTIC OF ADDAMESTIC AND ADDAMESTIC AND ADDAMESTIC ADDAMESTICADOMESTIC ADDAMESTIC ADDAMEST				
THLAW SORTAL   Function Support     Feedback Control in Distributed Parameter Systems   C AFOSR-88-0230     Aufworth   Sociated Change Control in Distributed Parameter Systems     Duscome Control in Distributed Parameter Systems   Philosona Control in Distributed Parameter Systems     Aufworth   Building AfO     Duscome Control in Control in Statistics   Philosona Control in Distributed Parameter Systems     Sociated Parameter Systems   Philosona Control in Distributed Parameter Systems     Sociated Parameter Systems   Philosona Control in Distributed Parameter System with feedback in Ceedback were studied. A worker system is uniformly stabilized by a feedback if there is a uniform rate of decay for all vibrational for ertain kinds of feedback to uniformly stabilized by a feedback in Ceedback in Ceedback to a closed-loop system, which is the system with feedback. Necessary contifies were found for the feedback to lead to a closed-loop system which is originally undamped and has the feedback applied at the boundary Sufficient contificient were found for the feedback to lead to a closed-loop system which is a system which is a large class of systems which are stabilized by feedback if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the f	AUENCY USE GALY (Laave bu		Final Repo	AND DATES COVILED Det 8/1/88 to 7/31/90	
Richard Rebarber     Historiand Cacinela Nich Almidis 100 4004154(15)     University of Nebraska-Lincoln     303 Administration Building     Lincoln, NE 68588-0323     Bootschee/Administration Building     Bootschee/Administration Building     Building 410     Bolling AFB     Bolling AFB     Bolling AFB     Costene/Administration unlimited.     Statisting system is uniformly stabilized by a feedback if there is a uniform rate of decay for all vibrations of the feedback to lead to a clock-loop system, which is the system which is originally undamped and has the feedback applied at the boundary. Sufficient conditions were found for the feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback applied at the boundary. Sufficient conditions were found for facility of feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of		Distributed Paramete		S. FUNDING NUMBERS	
University of Nebraska-Lincoln 303 Administration Building Lincoln, NE 66588-0323 SPONSONNO (LONTOCHNO AGENCY NAMA(S) AND ACCASS(S) DUNS #06-866-2618 for UNI use: LWF/05-187-03901 SPONSONNO (LONTOCHNO AGENCY NAMA(S) AND ACCASS(S) AFOSR/NM : Building 4]0 Boilting AFB DC 20332-6448 SUPPLIMENTARY NOTE: A pproved for public release; distribution unlimited. LASTRACT (Maunum 200 word) In this project questions related to stabilization of vibrating systems by feedback were studied. A vibrating system is uniformly stabilized by a feedback if there is a uniform rate of decay for all vibrational modes of the closed-loop system, which is the system which is originally undamped has the feedback applied at the boundary. Sufficient conditions were found for the feedback. Necessary conditions were found for certain kinds of feedback to uniformly stabilized by a feedback if there is a uniform rate of decay for all vibrational modes of the closed-loop system, which is the system which is originally undamped has the feedback by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback to a closed-loop system which is mathematically well-posed. Koust Stabilization i <b>I Munclassified</b> I stabilized by a stract unclassified I constractions of assified I assified I constractions of a state of a state of a straction of a s					
University of Nebraska-Lincoln 303 Administration Building Lincoln, NE 66588-0323 SPONSONNO (LONTOCHNO AGENCY NAMA(S) AND ACCASS(S) DUNS #06-866-2618 for UNI use: LWF/05-187-03901 SPONSONNO (LONTOCHNO AGENCY NAMA(S) AND ACCASS(S) AFOSR/NM : Building 4]0 Boilting AFB DC 20332-6448 SUPPLIMENTARY NOTE: A pproved for public release; distribution unlimited. LASTRACT (Maunum 200 word) In this project questions related to stabilization of vibrating systems by feedback were studied. A vibrating system is uniformly stabilized by a feedback if there is a uniform rate of decay for all vibrational modes of the closed-loop system, which is the system which is originally undamped has the feedback applied at the boundary. Sufficient conditions were found for the feedback. Necessary conditions were found for certain kinds of feedback to uniformly stabilized by a feedback if there is a uniform rate of decay for all vibrational modes of the closed-loop system, which is the system which is originally undamped has the feedback by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stabilized by feedback if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback to a closed-loop system which is mathematically well-posed. Koust Stabilization i <b>I Munclassified</b> I stabilized by a stract unclassified I constractions of assified I assified I constractions of a state of a state of a straction of a s					
AFOSRINM : Building 4]0 Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448 3 UPAIMENTARY NOTES a cottraution / AVALABLITY STATISTIC unlimited Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Additional Coole In this project questions related to stabilization of vibrating systems by feedback were studied. A vibrating system is uniformly stabilized by a feedback if there is a uniform rate of decay for all vibrational modes of the closed-loop system, which is the system with feedback. Necessary conditions were found for certain kinds of feedback to uniformly stabilized by a feedback if there is a uniform rate of decay for all vibrational modes of the closed-loop system, which is the system with feedback. Necessary conditions were found for certain kinds of feedback to uniformly stabilized in the computation of the feedback to a closed-loop system which is mathematically well-posed. It was also shown that in a large class of systems which are stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stability of the closed-loop system is destroyed. Mathematically well-posed. It was also shown that in a large class of systems which are stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stability of the closed-loop system is destroyed. Mathematically well-posed is closer to a closer of padats Distributed Parameter Systems, Feedback, Robust Stabilization is stepser closer closer closer closer closer closer closer description of the feedback of the stability of the closer of the stabilized by freedback is a closer	University of Neb 303 Administratio	raska-Lincoln n Building		ALFCAT NUMBER DUNS #06-866-2618 for UNL use:	
Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448 SUPPLIMENTARY NOTES C 203322-6448 SUPPLIMENTARY NOTES C 203322-6448 C 203322-6448 C 203322-6448 C 203322-6448 C 203322-6448 C 203322-6448 C 20332 C 203322-6448 C 20332 C 203322-6448 C 20332 C 203322-6448 C 20332 C 20332	AFOSR/NM	GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRES	\$((5)		
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. In this project questions related to stabilization of vibrating systems by feedback were studied. A vibrating system is uniformly stabilized by a feedback if there is a uniform rate of decay for all vibrational modes of the closed-loop system, which is the system which decays conditions were found for certain kinds of feedback to uniformly stabilized a system which decays conditions were found for the closed-loop system, which is the system which is originally undamped and has the feedback applied at the boundary. Sufficient conditions were found for the feedback to lead to a closed-loop system which is mathematically well-posed. It was also shown that in a large class of systems which are stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stability of the closed-loop system is destroyed. Distributed Parameter Systems, Feedback, Robust Stabilization ( Sicurery classified unclassified unclassified unclassified classified	Bolling AFB			AFOSR - 88-0230	
In this project questions related to stabilization of vibrating systems by feedback were studied. A vibrating system is uniformly stabilized by a feedback if there is a uniform rate of decay for all vibrational modes of the closed-loop system, which is the system with feedback. Necessary conditions were found for certain kinds of feedback to uniformly stabilize a system which is originally undamped and has the feedback applied at the boundary. Sufficient conditions were found for the feedback to lead to a closed-loop system which is mathematically well-posed. It was also shown that in a large class of systems which are stabilized by feedback, if a small delay is introduced in the computation of the feedback, then the stability of the closed-loop system is destroyed.	Approve				
Distributed Parameter Systems, Feedback, Robust Stabilization, Sicurity Classified 14. Sicurity Classified 15. Sicurity Classified 16. Unit And Classified 17. Sicurity Classified 18. Sicurity Classified 19. Sicurity Classified 19. Sicurity Classified 11. Sicurity Classified 11. Sicurity Classified 11. Sicurity Classified 12. Unit Anton of Abstract 13. Sicurity Classified	In this project ques vibrating system is unifor modes of the closed-loop certain kinds of feedback applied at the boundary, which is mathematically by feedback, if a small d closed-loop system is dest	tions related to stabilization mly stabilized by a feedbac system, which is the system to uniformly stabilize a system Sufficient conditions were well-posed. It was also show elay is introduced in the c	ck if there is a uniform in with feedback. Nec tem which is originally found for the feedbac wn that in a large clas	n rate of decay for all vibrational cessary conditions were found for y undamped and has the feedback k to lead to a closed-loop system ss of systems which are stabilized edback, then the stability of the (KR) (-	
unclassified unclassified	Distributed Paramet	er Systems, Feedbacl	k, Robust Stabil	ization 4	
	. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	OF THIS FAGE	IN 18. SECURITY CLA OF ARSTRACT		

## Final Technical Report AFOSR Grant 88-0230 Feedback Control in Distributed Parameter Systems

I have been studying problems related to stabilization of distributed parameter systems by feedback control. The control systems are of the form  $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$ , where x(t) and u(t) are in Banach spaces X and U respectively, and A is the generator of a  $C_0$ semigroup S(t). A typical goal in Control Theory is to stabilize this system by choosing an operator K so that u(t) = Kx(t) leads to a closed loop system which is exponentially stable.

During the period of the grant I have studied the following three problems:

1) Find necessary conditions for there to exist a compact K such that A + BK is exponentially stable.

2) Given A and B, for what K is it true that A + BK generates a  $C_0$  semigroup?

3) Is the stabilization destroyed by an arbitrarily small time delay in the feedback loop? That is, if A + BK is exponentially stable, is the closed loop system with feedback  $u(t) = Kx(t - \epsilon)$  still exponentially stable?

The work I have done on the first two problems has mostly been described the following published papers:

[A]"Necessary Conditions for Exponential Stabilizability of Distributed Parameter Systems with Infinite Dimensional Unbounded Feedback," Systems and Control Letters, Vol. 14 (1990), pp.241-248.

[B] "Semigroup Generation and Stabilization by  $A^{\rho}$ -bounded Perturbations," Systems and Control Letters, Vol. 14 (1990), pp. 333-340.

[C] "Stability of Distributed Parameter Systems with Unbounded Control," Preprints for The Fifth IFAC Symposium on Control of Distributed Parameter Systems, Perpignan, France, June 1989, pp. 123-128.

Some of this work also appears in the following unpublished paper, written with Dr. Gareth Knowles, who is currently at Grumman Corporate Research Center:

[D]"Necessary Conditions for Exponential Stabilizability of Distributed Parameter Systems with Infinite Dimensional Control," with G. Knowles.

The work I have done on the third problem can be partly found in the following paper, which was cowritten with Dr. George Weiss, who is currently at Virginia Polytechnic Institute.

[E] "Robustness of Distributed Systems with Respect to Small Time Delays," with G. Weiss, to appear in the Proceedings of the 27th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, 1990.

Dr. Weiss and I are in the process of writing up a paper for journal submission, entitled "Conditions for Robustness of the Stability of Feedback Systems with Respect to Small Delays in the Feedback Loop."

During the period of the grant I also made substantial revisions to the following paper:

DTIC

CARPY NG-PBCJD

22

1

[F]"Spectral Determination for a Cantilever Beam," IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control," Vol. 34, No. 5, 1989, pp. 502-510.

We conclude this report with a very brief description of the main results.

1) We say that S(t) is  $\mu$ -exponentially stable if for every  $\gamma > \mu$  there exists  $M(\gamma)$  such that  $||S(t)|| \leq M(\gamma)e^{\gamma t}$ . The system is  $\mu$ -stabilizable by  $K: X \to U$  if A + BK generates a  $\mu$ -exponentially stable semigroup  $S_K(t)$ .

If  $B: U \to X$  is a finite dimensional operator, it has been known for awhile that if the system is  $\mu$ -stabilizable by a bounded feedback, then A must satisfy, for every  $\gamma > \mu$ , the following three conditions, where  $\sigma(A)$  is the spectrum of A: (a)  $\sigma_u(A, \delta) = \{\lambda \in \sigma(A) | Re(\lambda) > \gamma\}$  consists of finitely many eigenvalues of finite multiplicity; (b) Let  $A_s$ be that "part" of A which has spectrum  $\sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_u(A, \gamma)$ . Then  $A_s$  generates a semigroup  $S_s(t)$  such that  $||S_s(t)|| \leq Me^{\gamma t}$  for some M; (c) Let  $A_u$  and  $B_u$  be the "parts" of A and B associated with  $\sigma_u(A, \gamma)$ . Then  $(A_u, B_u)$  is a controllable pair.

These results have been generalized in the above papers. In [D], the same necessary conditions are found when B is infinite dimensional and BK is compact. In [A] we allow the input operator to be unbounded (that is, with range in a larger space than X) and infinite dimensional, but "admissible" in a standard sense, and let K be compact. In the latter case this has been applied to show that a clamped plate with boundary control cannot be exponentially stabilized in the space of maximum regularily, even though the input operator is unbounded.

2) Regarding question 2, the paper [B] considers operators of the form  $\tilde{A}_K = A + (\lambda_0 - A)^{-\eta} BK(\lambda_0 - A)^{\eta}$ , where  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(A), \eta \in [-1, 1]$ , and A + BK generates a strongly continuous semigroup. Then under many circumstances, described precisely in [B],  $\tilde{A}_K$  also generates a strongly continuous semigroup which has the same growth as that generated by A + BK. Using this result, if the input operator is of the form  $\tilde{B} = (\lambda_0 - A)^{-\eta}B$ , where B is admissible, then we can indentify a class of  $\tilde{K}$ , some of which are unbounded, for which  $A + \tilde{B}\tilde{K}$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup. These results also have implications about stabilization. In [B], results of the following nature were obtained: If a cantilever beam is controlled by a moment force on the free end, and the state space is  $H_0^2[0,1] \otimes L^2[0,1]$ , then we cannot uniformly stabilize the beam with an  $A^{-1/2}$ - bounded feedback. However, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $A^{\epsilon-1/4}$ -bounded feedback which leads to a closed loop operator which generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup, but the beam cannot be stabilized by any  $A^{\epsilon-1/4}$ -bounded feedback.

3) In the past five years there have been several examples showing that exponential stabilization of distributed parameter systems can be destroyed by introducing arbitrarily small time delays into the feedback loop. Recent results by George Weiss and I show that this is a fairly general phenomenon.

The results in paper [E] are given in the frequency domain. Suppose the original system has a one-dimensional input/output space, and the open loop transfer function H(s) is well posed and regular with feedthrough value d. If a time delay of length  $\epsilon$  is introduced into the feedback loop, the closed loop transfer function is  $G^{\epsilon}(s) = H(s)/(1 + e^{\epsilon s}H(s))$ .

Suppose the system is uniformly stabilized by the feedback when there is no delay, so

 $G^0 \in H^{\infty}$ , the space of bounded analytic functions on  $\{Re(s) > 0\}$ . Further suppose that

$$\limsup_{\substack{|s| \to \infty\\s \in C_2}} |H(s)| > 1, \tag{1}$$

$$|d| < 1. \tag{2}$$

Then for any  $\delta > 0$ , there is an  $\epsilon \in (0, \delta)$  such that  $G^{\epsilon}$  has poles in  $\{Re(s) > 0\}$ . This shows that if the open loop system is well posed and regular and (1) and (2) are satisfied, any exponential stabilization of H cannot be robust with respect to small time delays. Condition (1) will of course always occur when the open loop system has no damping, which is the case in all of the examples given previously.

We also have a simple positive result about robustness, and we can take the input/output space to be any Hilbert space Y, so the transfer functions are L(Y)-valued. Suppose H is well posed and is analytic on  $\{Re(s) > 0 | |s| > r\}$  for some r > 0. Suppose further that

$$\limsup_{\substack{|s|\to\infty\\s\in C_0}} \|H(s)\| < 1.$$

Then if the closed loop system without delay is exponentially stabilized (that is,  $G^0 \in H^{\infty}$ ), there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, \delta), G^{\epsilon} \in H^{\infty}$ . Thus under these conditions exponential stabilization is robust with respect to arbitrarily small time delays.

The grant has also aided my research by partially or fully funding the following professional travel:

The 27th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Austin, Texas, December 1988, where a talk was given.

SIAM Conference on Control in the 90's, San Francisco, May, 1989, where a talk was given.

The Fifth IFAC Symposium on Control of Distributed Parameter Systems, Perpignan, France, June 1989, where a talk was given.

The 1989 Conference on the Mathematical Theory of Systems and Networks, Amsterdam, June, 1989.

New Trends in Control of Distributed Parameter Systems, Institute for Mathematics and Applications, University of Minnesota, August 1989, where a talk was given.

SIAM Annual Meeting, Chicago, July, 1990.

I visited Dr. George Weiss in Blacksburg, Virginia for a week in June 1990, where I gave a talk and collaborated on research.

Dr. John Lagnese visited the University of Nebraska in October, 1989, and he gave a colloquium.

Dr. George Weiss visited the University of Nebraska in December, 1989, and he gave a seminar and colloborated with me on research.