DTIC THE COPY

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-84-G-0201

Task No. 0051-865

Technical Report #33

Silver(II) Tetraneopentoxyphthalocyanine and its Redox Chemistry

١

6

By

G. Fu, Y. Fu, K. Jayaraj and A.B.P. Lever*

in

Inorganic Chemistry

York University Department of Chemistry, 4700 Keele St., North York Ontario, Canada M3J 1P3

Reproduction in whole, or in part, is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

*This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited

*This statement should also appear in Item 10 of the Document Control Data-DD form 1473. Copies of the form available from cognizant contract administrator

うみ れき やる ・ 御客界

REFRUDULED P	AI GUVE	RNMENT	EAPENSE
--------------	---------	--------	---------

ł

۲

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE					
	REPORT DOCUM	AENTATION	PAGE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY Unclassified		3. DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY	OF REPORT	
26. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	LÉ	AS 1C	appears on	the repor	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	S. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NUMB	ER(S)
Report # 33					
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION A.B.P. Lever, York University Chemistry Department	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MO Office o	ONITORING ORG	anization search	
6c. AODRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 4700 Keele St., North York, On Canada	tario M3J 1P3	75. ADDRESS (Cir Chemistry 800 N. Qu	ry, State, and Zh y Division lincy Stree	P Code)	
		Arlington	n, VA 2221	7 U.S.A.	1
84. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN NOOO14-84	T INSTRUMENT I	DENTIFICATION	NUMBER
Br ADDRESS (City State and ZIP Code)	<u> </u>	10 SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMB	ERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Silver(II) Tetraneopentoxyphth	nalocyanine and	its Redox Ch	emistry		
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) G. Fu, Y. Fu	ı, K. Kayaraj an	d A.B.P. Lev	ver*		;
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME CO Technical FROM Aug	OVERED 2, '89 TO Aug. '90	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Mont)	n, Day) 15. PA	AGE COUNT
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
	TIA BUBJECT TERMS	Continue on revers	e if necessary a	nd identify by	block number)
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Dhthaloayan	ing Silver	Flectroch	omistry	
	Electron St	oin Resonance	TENE	2	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The The	eventhesis	and charac	terisation
af the title complex is descrit	and this new s	itver(II) oh	thalocvani	ne is solu	ble in most
organic solvents rendering it s	suitable for ext	ended study.	The comp	lex can be	oxidized
electrochemically to a silver()	III) phthalocyan	ine which is	also inde	finitely s	table.
Further oxidation is possible t	to the silver(II	I) phthalocy	anine cati	on radical	also
showing substantial stability.	Reduction to a	silver(1) p	onthalocyan	alectroch	LU
demetallation on the electroche	emicai time scai metal free tetr	aneonentoxyr	hthalocvan	ine is als	o reported.
Both silver(II) and metal-free	tetraneopentoxy	phthalocyani	ne are ext	ensively a	ggregated in
solution. The FTIR spectrum of	f the title comp	lex is compa	red with t	he spectra	of the
corresponding cobalt(II), coppe	er(II) and zinc	species. Th	e electron	ic spectra	of the
several silver species are repo	orted. When dil	uted with me	etal-free t	etraneopen	ITOXY-
phthalocyanine, silver(II) tet spin resonance spectrum. Spin	raneopetoxyphina Hamiltonian par	ameters are	reported.	miy resolv	eu election
DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT		21 ABSTRACT S	ECURITY CLASSIF	CATION	
SAME AS	APT DIC USERS	Uncla	ssified/unl	imited	
228 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE NOIVIOUAL		225 TELEPHONE	(Include Area Co	de) 220 OFFIC	E SYMBOL
Dr. Ronald A. De Marco		<u> </u>			
DD FORM 1473, 33 MAR 33 A	PR edition may be used us All other editions are d		SECURIT	Y CLASSIFICAT	ON OF 1-5 PAGE

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST - GENERAL

Office of Naval Research (2) Chemistry Division, Code 1113 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000

ŀ

Commanding Officer (1) Naval Weapons Support Center Dr. Bernard E. Douda Crane, Indiana 47522-5050

Dr. Richard W. Drisko (1) Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Code L52 Port Hueneme, CA 93043

David Taylor Research Center (1) Dr. Eugene C. Fischer Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Dr. James S. Murday (1) Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000 Dr. Robert Green, Director (1) Chemistry Division, Code 385 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555-6001

Chief of Naval Research (1) Special Assistant for Marine Corps Matters Code 00MC 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Bernadette Eichinger (1) Naval Ship Systems Engineering Station Code 053 Philadelphia Naval Base Philadelphia, PA 19112

Dr. Sachio Yamamoto (1) Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 52 San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Dr. Harold H. Singerman (1) David Taylor Research Center Code 283 Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Defense Technical Information Center (2) Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314

Acce	ssion For	
NTIS DTIC Unan Just	GRA&I TAB nounced ification	
By Dist	ribution/	
Ava	ilability Codes	4400 DA
Dist	Aveil to yor Special	
A-1		

1990

ONR Electrochemical Sciences Program Robert J. Nowak, Program Manager

Professor Hector Abruña Department of Chemistry Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853 413d018

¥

Professor Allen Bard Department of Chemistry The University of Texas at Austin Austin, TX 78712-1167 413a002

Professor James Brophy Department of Physics University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112 413d015

Professor Bruce Dunn Departement of Materials Science and Engineering University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles, CA 90024 413d011

Professor Gregory Farrington Laboratory for Research on the Structure of Matter 3231 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19104-6202 413d003

Professor Martha Greenblatt Department of Chemistry Rutgers University Piscataway, NJ 08854 413d008

Professor Adam Heller Department of Chemical Engineering University of Texas at Austin Austin, TX 78712-1062 413h007 Professor C. A. Angell Arizona State University Department of Chemistry Tempe, AZ 85287 413d007

Professor Lesser Blum Department of Physics University of Puerto Rico Rio Piedras, PUERTO RICO 00931 4133002

Professor Daniel Buttry Department of Chemistry University of Wyoming Laramie, WY 82071 4133019

Professor Andrew Ewing Department of Chemistry 152 Davey Laboratory Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802 4133030

Professor W. R. Fawcett Department of Chemistry University of California, Davia Davis, CA 95616 4133020

Professor Joel Harris Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112 413a005

Professor Pat Hendra The University Southampton SO9 5NH ENGLAND 4134001 · · •

ONR Electrochemical Sciences Program Robert J. Nowak, Program Manager

Professor Joseph Hupp Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, IL 60208 4133025

)r

Professor A. B. P. Lever Department of Chemistry York University 4700 Keele Street North York, Ontario M3J 1P3 4131025

Professor Rudolph Marcus Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125 4133004

Professor Royce W. Murray Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC 27514 4133015

Professor Richard Pollard Department of Chemical Engineering University of Houston, University Park University of Utah 4800 Calhoun, Houston, TX 77004 413d016

Dr. Donald Sandstrom Boeing Aerospace Company P.O. Box 3999, M/S 87-08 Seattle, WA 98124-2499 4133007

Professor D. E. Irish Department of Chemistry University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario, CANADA N21 3G1 4133017

Professor Nathan S. Lewis Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125 413d017

Professor Charles Martin Department of Chemistry Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843 413d005

Dr. Michael R. Philpott IBM Research Division Almaden Research Center 650 Harry Road San Jose, CA 95120-6099 4133011

Professor B. S. Pons Department of Chemistry Salt Lake City, UT 84112 4133010

Professor Jack Simons Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112 4131050

ONR Electrochemical Sciences Program Robert J. Nowak, Program Manager

Dr. H. Gilbert Smith EG&G Mason Research Institute 57 Union Street Worcester, MA 01608 413k003

¥

Dr. Stanislaw Szpak Code 634 Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, CA 92152-5000 4131006

Professor Michael Weaver Department of Chemistry Purdue University West Lafayette, IN 49707 4133001

Professor Geroge Wilson Department of Chemistry University of Kansas Lawrence, KS 66045 413k002

Professor Ernest Yeager Case Center for Electrochemical Sciences Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, OH 44106 4133008 Professor Ulrich Stimming Department of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry Columbia University New York, NY 10027 4133014

Professor Petr Vanýsek Department of Chemistry Northern Illinois University Dekalb, IL 60115 413k001

Professor Henry White Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science 421 Washington Ave., SE Minneapolis, MN 55455 4000027yip

Professor Mark S. Wrighton Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technolis Cambridge, MA 02139 4131027

10 9001990

Top Copy

5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --1--

Silver(II) Tetraneopentoxyphthalocyanine and its Redox Chemistry (REUSED)

Guoyi Fu, Yansong Fu, K.Jayaraj and A.B.P.Lever*

Dept. of Chemistry, York University, North York, Ontario, Canada, M3J1P3

Abstract

1

The synthesis and characterisation of the title complex is described. This new silver(II) phthalocyanine is soluble in most organic solvents rendering it suitable for extended study. The complex can be oxidized electrochemically to a silver(III) phthalocyanine which is also indefinitely stable. Further oxidation is possible to the silver(III) phthalocyanine cation radical also showing substantial stability. Reduction to a silver(I) phthalocyanine leads to demetallation on the electrochemical time scale. In support of this electrochemical study, the electrochemistry of metal free tetraneopentoxyphthalocyanine is also reported. Both silver(II) and metal-free tetraneopentoxyphthalocyanine are extensively aggregated in solution. The FTIR spectrum of the title complex is compared with the spectra of the corresponding cobalt(II), copper(II) and zinc species. The electronic spectra of the several silver species are reported. When diluted with metal-free tetraneopentoxyphthalocyanine, silver(II) tetraneopentoxyphthalocyanine yields a highly resolved electron spin resonance spectrum. Spin Hamiltonian parameters are reported. 5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --2--

Introduction

1-6

3.9

10

 $\left| \right|$

The +2 and +3 oxidation states of silver can be stabilized by N₂-heterocyclic and tetraazamacrocyclic ligands and porphyrins,¹⁻⁶ but relatively little is known about these higher oxidation state silver species. While silver porphyrins have been studied in some depth, Silver phthalocyanine, although known for a long period⁷ has not been seriously studied, probably because of its low solubility in organic solvents.

There is currently considerable interest in the development of phthalocyanine species for such high technology applications as electronic sensors, electrochromic displays, computer read-write disks etc.^{8,9} Silver phthalocyanine may have significant value in this context.

Recently, we have obtained the first highly-soluble silver phthalocyanine species, silver(II) tetraneopentoxyphthalocyanine (Ag(II)TNPc), and report here the characterisation and properties of this species in several oxidation states. The species forms a Langmuir-Blodgett film whose properties will be described elsewhere.¹⁰

Experimental Section

<u>Materials</u>: Tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate(TBAPF6, Aldrich) was recrystallized from absolute ethanol and dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C for 24 hours. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene (DCB, Aldrich, Gold label) was used as supplied. Dichloroethane (DCE, Aldrich) was distilled over P205 before use.

<u>Tetraneopentoxyphthalocyanatosilver(II)</u>:(Ag(II)TNPc)

Metal free tetraneopentoxyphthalocyanine $(H_2 \text{TNPc})^{11}$ (0.050g, 5.8x10⁻⁵ Mol). and silver nitrate (0.062g, 3.6 x 10⁻⁴ Mol) were heated in dimethylformamide (10mL) at about 75 °C for about 6-7hrs. Drops of the reaction mixture were removed from time 5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --3--

to time to monitor the electronic absorption at 707nm, due to H₂TNPc, relative to that at 681nm, due to Ag(II)TNPc. The reaction was stopped when the 707nm peak had disappeared; the solution was stored overnight at room temperature. The resulting green precipitate was washed with water and acetone and recrystallized from toluene. Yield 0.052g, 93%. (Anal. CHN)

<u>Physical measurements</u>: Electronic spectra were recorded with a Hitachi Perkin-Elmer Model 340 microprocessor Model 340 spectrometer or a Guided Wave Inc. Model 100-20 optical waveguide spectrum analyzer with a WW100 fiber optic probe. Electrochemical data were obtained with a Princeton Applied Research (PARC) model 173 potentiostat, or a PARC model 174A polarographic analyzer coupled to a PARC model 175 universal programmer. Cyclic voltammetry and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) were carried out under an atmosphere of argon, or nitrogen, using a conventional three electrode cell. The working electrode was described by the crosssectional area of a 27-gauge platinum wire (area 10^{-3} cm²), and sealed in glass. A platinum wire also served as the counter electrode. The reference electrode was a small SCE separated from the working compartment by a glass frit, or a silver wire quasi-reference electrode. In the latter case, potentials were referenced internally to the ferricenium/ferrocene (Pc+/Pc) couple.

Controlled potential electrolysis, coulometry and spectroelectrochemical measurements were made by using a bulk electrolysis cell, consisting of a platinum plate working electrode, platinum flag counter electrode, and an SCE reference electrode. Counter and reference electrodes were separated from the working compartment by a glass frit and a salt bridge respectively. Spectra were recorded during bulk electrolysis by immersing the Guided Wave fiber optic probe in the solution, degassed with argon or nitrogen. Solutions for electrochemistry and spectroelectrochemistry contained 0.2-0.4M TBAPF6, as supporting electrolyte.

Electron spin resonance data were obtained using a Varian E4 spectrometer cali-

brated with diphenylpicrylhydrazide. Isotropic spectra were measured in a chloroform solution at room temperature. To obtain anisotropic spectra at low temperature, a mixture of toluene and chloroform (1:1,v:v), was used, and a relatively large amount of metal free tetraneopentoxyphthalocyanine (H₂TNPc) was added to the dilute (about 10⁻⁵M) Ag(II)TNPc solution. A solution of pure Ag(II)TNPc does not yield a good spectrum at low temperature because of aggregation.

<u>Fourier transform infrared spectra (FTIR)</u> These were recorded as Nujol mulls using a Nicolet 20SX instrument. The principal absorption bands between 600 and 1800 cm⁻¹ are as follows, with data for CuTNPc¹² ZnTNPc¹³ and CoTNPc¹⁴ for comparison:

 Ag(II)TNPc
 734s
 824m 1023w 1057s 1105m 1124s 1238s
 1283m 1339m 1609s

 /2-/4
 Cu(II)TNPc
 747s
 822m 1014m 1061s 1098s 1120m 1239s
 1272w 1282w 1344m 1611s

 Co(II)TNPc
 751s
 821m 1014m 1065s 1094s 1128s 1239vs 1271w 1283w 1344m 1611s

 Zn(II)TNPc
 744s
 823m 1014m 1057s 1094s 1122s 1238vs 1271m 1283m 1339s 1610vs

Results and Discussion

Oxidation State: The ESR spectrum (below) of Ag(II)TNPc is indicative of the d⁹ Ag(II) ion. Further its FTIR spectrum is very similar to those of Co(II)TNPc. Cu(II)TNPc and Zn(II)TNPc (see expt.). Note however that the FTIR spectra of these last three species are rather more similar to each other, in terms of relative band intensities and band shapes, than they are to Ag(II)TNPc. Nevertheless there is almost a 1:1 correlation with Ag(II)TNPc in terms of the number of bands and their positions.

Solubility and stability in solution: Ag(II)TNPc is highly soluble and stable in hydrocarbon and aromatic hydrocarbon solvents, and their chlorinated derivatives and less soluble in nitrogen containing solvents such as dimethylformamide and acetonitrile. It is insoluble in acetone and dimethylsulfoxide. Indeed coordinating solven's such as pyridine, rather than forming axially coordinated solvates as is common with chromium, iron and cobalt phthalocyanines etc., lead to some demetallation as indicated by the electronic absorption spectra of the resulting solutions. AgTNPc is also demetallated by the addition of coordinating ligands such as imidazole, when such nitrogen ligands are added to otherwise stable solutions of AgTNPc. for example. in DCB. This lability of silver phthalocyanine has been attributed to the unfavorable relation between the radius of the silver(II), relatively larger compared with first series transition metal ions, and the space available for it within the isoindole group.¹⁵

Ag(II)TNPc has a typical MPc(-2) electronic spectrum (see Fig.6 below) in very dilute (ca 10^{-5} M) solution.¹⁶ More concentrated solutions (e.g. > 10^{-6} M) show additional absorption at 620nm indicative of aggregation common to phthalocyanine moieties.^{14,17-19} Aggregation also occurs in very dilute solutions if they are cooled. Just above the freezing point of toluene (-95 °C), the 620nm band, in a 1.5 x 10^{-5} M solution, has grown to some 83% of the intensity of the 678nm band, and the whole Q band region has broadened. As a result the ESR spectrum of the Ag(II) species, clearly observed at room temperature (see below) is lost rather than intensified at lower temperature.

15

16

17-19

Electrochemistry: Figs.1,2 show cyclic and differential pulse voltammograms for Ag(II)TNPc in DCB solution containing 0.2-0.4M TBAPF6 as supporting electrolyte.

<u>Ar(II)TNPc(-2):</u> Oxidation processes: On the oxidation side positive of 0 volts vs SCE, there are three redox couples. The first, I(ox) is broad, diffuse and contains several closely spaced waves. The second, II(ox) is a well- behaved reversible process, with equal cathodic and anodic currents and a square root dependence upon scan rate. The third, III(ox), is irreversible, showing an oxidation component but no return cathodic component.

On the basis of spectroelectrochemistry (described below), the ill-defined

5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --6--

20 redox process I(ox) is assigned to the [Ag(III)TNPc(-2)]*/Ag(II)TNPc(-2) couple.20 Controlled potential oxidation over this wave generates a stable solution of [Ag(III)TNPc(-2)]* (coulometry confirms this is a one electron oxidation). The broad diffuse nature of couple I(ox) derives, at least in part, from aggregation phenomena in solution since the DPV peak becomes more narrow and better defined if the concentration is reduced. This is similar to the behaviour reported by Kadish and co-workers²¹ for zinc octacyanophthalocyanine, where both the monomeric and aggregated forms of the species oxidize at similar but not identical potentials.

Forward and reverse DPV scans show significantly shifted broad waves suggestive of a shift in the equilibrium mixture of monomeric and aggregated AgTNPc depending upon whether one is approaching from Ag(II)TNPc(-2) or $[Ag(III)TnPc(-2)]^{*}$ (Fig.1). One must conclude that the kinetics of this equilibrium are sluggish with respect to the voltammetry time scale even on the very slow time scale of DPV.

Using a nernstian plot of the spectroelectrochemical data over this first wave. yields a halfwave potential for the Ag(III)/Ag(II) process of 0.71V vs SCE. This is somewhat more positive than those for AgTPP and AgOEP, 0.54 and 0.44 respectively.^{4b} showing, as anticipated, the greater thermodynamic stability of silver(II) in a phthalocyanine environment relative to a porphyrin environment.

The reversible process, II(ox), is $[Ag(III)TNPc(-1)]^{2+}/ [Ag(III)TNPc(-2)]^{+}$ with both the singly and doubly oxidized species being stable in DCB; it gives normal reversible forward and reverse DPV peaks, and is confirmed by coulometry to be a one electron oxidation.

The irreversible couple III(ox) is not so securely assigned and it is not stable enough for spectroelectrochemical elucidation. It appears to have more current associated with it than does the previous couple II(ox). It presumably involves the $[Ag(III)TNPc(0)]^{3+}$ $[Ag(III)TnPc(-1)]^{2+}$ couple; possibly the $[Ag(III)TNPc(0)]^{3+}$ generated is then oxidising solvent, or perhaps itself. resulting in some additional catalytic current.

5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --7--

<u>AP(II)TNPc(-2): Reduction processes</u>: In the electrochemistry of silver porphyrins, the Ag(II) central ion is reduced to Ag(I) prior to porphyrin ring reduction.⁴b.⁶ However, demetallation is observed following the reduction of Ag(II) to Ag(I).^{22.23} Well-defined CV waves for the Ag(II)TPP/[Ag(I)TPP]⁻ reduction were observed with $E_{1/2}$ at -1.01 V vs SCE in dichloromethane. In the same solvent, H₂TPP is reduced at -1.21V vs SCE. The Ag(II)/Ag(I) reduction wave is rather broad and the current ratio I_{Pe}/I_{Pe} is less than unity at low sweep rates, and approaches unity at higher sweep rates. This is consistent with reduction of Ag(II)TPP to [Ag(I)TPP]⁻ followed by demetallation at a rate comparable to the cyclic voltammetric time scale. Controlled potential electrolysis just negative of the Ag(II)/Ag(I) wave leads to the unmetallated free base. The lability of silver porphyrins was attributed to the fact that the diameter of Ag(I) ion is 1.26Å but that of Ag(II) is only 0.89Å. Expulsion of Ag(I) from the porphyrin core evidently takes place.

Ag(II)TNPc has very similar electrochemical behavior. There are four reduction processes between 0 and -1.5V vs SCE, namely I(red), I'(red), II'(red) and II(red) (Fig.1), with I(red) and I'(red) overlapping, in the cyclic voltammogram. Wave II'(red) is a well-behaved reversible couple with equal cathodic and anodic currents and a square root dependence upon scan rate. Controlled potential reduction just negative of the first composite wave (I(red)) leads only to the reduced metal free [H₂TNPc(-3)]⁻ anion radical.

The composite nature of this first reduction is more clearly demonstrated in the DPV voltammogram which shows two peaks separated by ca 95mV. In the CV experiment, with increasing scan rate, peak I(red) shifts more negatively, moving underneath peak I'(red) which only shifts slightly negative under these conditions (Fig.2). Couple II(red) becomes more obvious at higher scan rates, with intensity growing at the expense of couple II'(red). It is largely absent from the very slow scans, especially for example the DPV scans which are carried out at 2 mV/s. Normal forward (negative going from 0 V) and reverse (positive going from negative potentials towards 0 V) DPV scans are observed for couples I'(red) and II'(red), but I(red) appears only in the forward DPV scan (Fig.1). In the cooled solution, (Fig.3A), wave I(red) becomes highly irreversible, while wave II'(red) becomes relatively less intense and wave II(red) becomes relatively more intense.

These data lead to the following redox assignments (Table 1):-I(red) Ag(II)TNPc(-2)/[Ag(I)TNPc(-2)]⁻ E_{irr} followed by:-[Ag(I)TNPc(-2)]⁻ + 2H⁺ ---> H₂TNPc(-2) + Ag(I) C_{irr} (slow) followed by:-I'(red) H₂TNPc(-2) /[H₂TNPc(-3)]⁻ E_{rev} II'(red) [H₂TNPc(-3)]⁻/[H₂TNPc(-4)]²⁻ E_{rev} and

II(red)
$$[Ag(I)TNPc(-2)]^{-}/[Ag(I)TNPc(-3)]^{-}$$
 E_{rev}

The voltammetry of H_2 TNPc (described briefly below) shows two reduction processes at the same potentials as I'(red) and II'(red), and indeed addition of some H_2 TNPc to a Ag(II)TNPc solution results in a comparative increase in the currents for I'(red) and II'(red). Further the voltammogram for H_2 TNPc does not show a wave in the position for II(red) confirming that it is a silver based couple.

The demetallation of $[Ag(I)Pc]^-$ likely proceeds through a hydrolysis step involving trace moisture in the solvent. However intensive drying of the solvent with molecular sieves did not materially alter the electrochemistry described here. At high scan speeds, there is less time for demetallation of Ag(I)TNPc(-2) and therefore II'(red) is suppressed and the second silver phthalocyanine reduction, II(red) is enhanced. Similarly at low temperatures, demetallation is suppressed.

Redox process I(red) shifts to more negative potentials with increasing scan rate because it is an EirrCirr process; because of the overlapping nature of the band, detailed analysis of this shift with scan rate is deferred. The electron 5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --9--

transfer irreversibility is indicated by the fact that even at low temperatures little or no return current can be assigned to I(red) (Fig.3A). This is also clearly seen in Fig.3B which shows the behaviour in dichloroethane.

The absence of a reverse DPV peak for I(red) is a consequence of the build-up of H₂ TNPc and a depletion of AgTNPc in the vicinity of the electrode when scanned from very negative potentials.

More detailed consideration of the relative currents evident in the low temperature voltammogram (Fig.3A) suggests that there may be an intermediate step between the reduction of Ag(II)TNPc and the hydrolysis of $[Ag(I)TNPc]^-$ since less metal free H₂TNPc appears present than a rough estimate of the amount of AgTNPc which has hydrolysed.²⁴ One might have also expected that the Ag(II)/Ag(I) electron transfer step would have shown reversibility at low temperature when the hydrolysis was partially suppressed since metal couples within MPc units are usually reversible in the absence of a following reaction. Possibly the intermediate causing irreversibility is a sitting-atop Ag(I)TNPc species, the large metal ion having popped out of the plane of the macrocycle.

There are some alternative assignments for the redox electrochemistry which must be considered but which may in fact be rejected:-

I(red) II(red)

i) $Ag(II)TNPc(-2)/[Ag(II)TNPc(-3)]^{-} [Ag(II)TNPc(-3)]^{-}/[Ag(II)TNPc(-4)]^{2-}$

ii) $Ag(II)TNPc(-2)/[Ag(II)TNPc(-3)]^{-} [Ag(II)TNPc(-3)]^{-}/[Ag(I)TNPc(-3)]^{2}^{-}$

The couples in assignment (i), by analogy with the copper TNPc species¹² and species such as H₂TNPc reported here, would be separated by about 0.3 - 0.4V, and not, as observed here, ca 0.65V. Assignment (ii) is also logically unacceptable for the same reason, i.e. $[Ag(II)TNPc(-3)]^-$ would be reduced to $[Ag(II)TNPc(-4)]^{2^-}$. some 0.3-0.4V negative of I(red), before reduction to $[Ag(I)TNPc(-3)]^2^-$ could take place.

24

En]

5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --10--

The assignments chosen here (Table 1) are also supported by the observation that the reduction process $[Co(I)TNPc(-2)]^{-}/[Co(I)TNPc(-3)]^{2-}$ occurs, in DCB, at a potential very close to that of process II(red).¹²

<u> $H_2 TNPc(-2)$ </u>:

Fis 4

The voltammogram for this species in DCB is shown in Fig. 4, with data collected in Table 1. This species was explored briefly to obtain data with which to compare the AgTNPc behaviour described above. There are two clearly defined reversible reduction processes, I'(red) and II'(red), uniquely assignable to H₂ TNPc(-2)/[H₂ TNPc(-3]⁻ and [H₂ TNPc(-3)]⁻/[H₂ TNPc(-4)]²⁻ respectively.

Positive of zero volts are seen two redox processes curiously similar to the AgTNPc data described here. There is a broad redox wave positive of zero, I'(ox), which must arise from oxidation of both mononuclear and aggregated H₂TNPc(-2) to $[H_2 TNPc(-1)]^+$. The more positive and reversible redox process, II'(ox) is then assigned to $[H_2 TNPc(0)]^{2+}/[H_2 TNPc(-1)]^+$.

Spectroelectrochemistry of AgTNPc Species

Stepwise controlled potential oxidation from 0.55V to 0.9V (vs. SCE) of a solution of Ag(II)TNPc in DCB with TBAPF6 as supporting electrolyte results in the spectroscopic changes shown in Figure 5a, and a change in solution colour from blue to greenish-yellow. Isosbestic points are observed at 395, 557 and 703 nm. The final spectrum is consistent with that of a MPc(-2) species, and not of an oxidized MPc(-1) species, 14.20 and therefore oxidation to [Ag(III)TNPc(-2)]⁺ has occurred. Both Q-band and Soret band shift to longer wavelength by about 40 nm in the Ag(III) species compared with the Ag(II) species.

Figure 5b shows the spectrum development in the stepwise controlled potential electrolysis at the range of 1.10V to 1.40V, of the $[Ag(III)TNPc(-2)]^+$ generated by bulk electrolysis at 0.95V. Tsosbestic points are found at 612 and 752 nm. The solution colour changes from greenish yellow to dark grey. During this oxidation, the Q-band loses intensity and broad low-intensity bands appear in the 500-600nm

5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --11--

and 800-900nm regions. These are characteristic of the formation of radical Pc(-1) species^{13,14,17,20,25}, specifically [Ag(III)TNPc(-1)]²⁺.

The spectroscopic changes for both oxidation steps (Figs. 5a and 5b) were reversible, and the original [Ag(III)TNPc]⁺ and Ag(II)TNPc spectra were generated by reverse stepwise controlled potential reductions from 1.35 to 1.10V and from 0.9 to 0.5V, respectively. Thus these data confirm the electrochemical assignments indicated above (Table 1).

Plots of $\log[(A_0 - A)/(A - A_{pp})]$ vs. potential for the two oxidation steps and their re-reductions gave the expected linear plots. Zero intercepts give an apparent E_{1/2} of 0.71V for the $[Ag(III)TNPc(-2)]^*/Ag(II)TNPc(-2)$ couple (data from Fig.5a at 685nm) and 1.25V for the $[Ag(III)TNPc(-1)]^{2*}/[Ag(III)TNPc(-2)]^*$ couple (data from Fig.5b at 722nm). The latter line has a slope of 77mV (average of forward and reverse experiments) close to the anticipated 59mV for a one-electron redox process. The former line has an average slope of about 110mV, larger than expected; the reason for this is not apparent. The spectroelectrochemical data were obtained at a concentration some 10-20 times more dilute than the electrochemical data, in a regime where aggregation though present is very much less important.

Fub

26,27

25

Figure 6 shows the electronic absorption spectra of Ag(II)TNPc and the electrochemically generated $[Ag(III)TNPc(-2)]^*$ and $[Ag(III)TNPc(-1)]^{2*}$ species. Absorption maxima and molar absorptivity data are given in Table 2.

<u>Electron spin resonance</u> The Ag(II) species has a d⁹ configuration with one unpaired electron. Extensive ESR studies have been carried out on silver(II) N-heterocyclic complexes² and silver(II) porphyrins^{26,27}, and also the isoelectronic copper(II) phthalocyanines²⁸. Few ESR data are available for silver phthalocyanines. MacCragh and Koski¹⁵ reported some ESR parameters for AgPc in 1963 within work mainly devoted to porphyrin species. They experienced difficulties because of the low solubility and lability of the species.

The ESR spectra of Ag(II)TNPc in chloroform at room temperature and diluted

with H₂TNPc, in chloroform/toluene glass at 98K are shown in Figures 7a and 7b. Dilution with H₂TNPc is necessary to reduce the effects of relaxation caused by aggregation when solutions of Ag(II)TNPc are cooled. Evidently H₂TNPc can replace Ag(II)TNPc in aggregated species yielding Ag(II)TNPc.H₂TNPc aggregates which generate fine quality ESR spectra.

Silver has two isotopes, both of nuclear spin of 0.5, and of approximately equal abundance. There are four equivalent nitrogen atoms each with nuclear spin 1.0. Thus, assuming equal coupling to the two silver isotopes, there could be 18 lines in the spectra of each of the parallel and perpendicular orientations. The isotropic spectrum shows 11 roughly equally spaced lines (Fig.7a) which arise if the silver isotropic hyperfine coupling constant is (approximately) twice that of the nitrogen isotropic hyperfine coupling constant. The frozen solute ESR spectrum (Fig.7b) is resolved into at least 24 lines. Following the analysis used by Koski et al^{15,26} in their studies on copper and silver porphyrins, computer simulation of the anisotropic spectrum yielded the spin Hamiltonian parameters given in Table 3. Though the quality of the data reported here exceeds that of data published previously¹³, the actual parameters derived here for Ag(II)TNPc/H₂TNPc, in DCB, do not differ significantly from those of Ag(II)Pc in 1-chloronaphthelene.

<u>Conclusion</u>: Silver(II) tetraneopentoxyphthalocyanine is a well characterized silver phthalocyanine whose solubility in organic solvents should make it valuable for future film studies. It is especially pertinent that both the one and two electron oxidation products are also stable. Future studies will explore this oxidation chemistry in film phase.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>: We are indebted to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Ottawa) and the Office of Naval Research (Washington) for financial assistance. 5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --13--

Reference

- 1. Levason, W.; Spicer, M. D. Coord.Chem. Rev., 1987, 76, 45.
- 2. Po, H. N. Coord. Chem. Rev., 1976, 20, 171.
- 3. McMillan, J. A. Chem. Rev., 1962, 62, 65.
- 4. (a). Kadish, K. M., Prog. Inorg. Chem., 1988, <u>36</u>, 365. (b). Kadish, K. M.;
 Lin, X. Q.; Ding, J. Q.; Wu, Y. T.; Araull, C. <u>Inorg.Chem.</u>, 1986, <u>25</u>, 3236.
- 5.(a). Po, H. N.; Jones, S. E. <u>Inorg. Chim. Acta</u> 1981, <u>48</u>, 37. (b). Jones, S.
 E.; Po, H. N. <u>Inorg. Chim. Acta</u> 1980, <u>42</u>, 95. (c) Morano, D. J.; Po, H. N.
 Inorg. Chim. Acta 1978, <u>31</u>, L421.
- 6. Antipas, A.; Dolphin, D.; Gouterman, M.; Johnson, E. C. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1978, <u>100</u>, 7705.
- 7. Barrett, P. A.; Frye, D. A.; Linstead, R. P. J.Chem. Soc., 1938, 1157.
- 8. Lever, A. B. P. Chemtech, 1987, 17, 506.
- 9. Snow, A. W.; Barger, W. R. in <u>Phthalocyanines</u>, <u>Properties and Applications</u>, Leznoff, C. C., Lever, A. B. P., Eds.: VCH: New York, 1989, p.341.
- 10. Fu, Y. S.; Lever, A. B. P. Paper in preparation.
- Leznoff, C. C.; Marcuccio, S. M.; Greenberg, S.; Lever, A. B. P.; Tomer, K.
 B. <u>Can.J.Chem.</u>, 1985, <u>63</u>, 623.
- 12. Kobayashi, N.; Lam, H.; Nevin, W. A.; Janda, P.; Leznoff, C. C. L.; Lever,
 A. B. P. <u>Inorg.Chem.</u>, 1990, <u>29</u>, 0000.
- 13. Manivannan, V.; Nevin, W. A.; Leznoff, C. C.; Lever, A. B. P. <u>J.Coord.</u> <u>Chem.</u>, 1988, <u>19</u>, 139.
- 14. Nevin, W. A.; Hempstead, M. R.; Liu, W.; Leznoff, C. C.; Lever, A. B. P. <u>Inorg.Chem.</u>, 1987, <u>26</u>, 570.
- 15. MacCragh, A.; Koski, W. S., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1963, 85, 2375.
- 16. Stillman, M. J.; Nyokong, T., in <u>Phthalocyanines</u>, <u>Properties and Applica-</u> <u>tions</u>, Leznoff, C. C., Lever, A. B. P., Eds.: VCH: New York, 1989, p.133.

5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --14--

- Nevin, W. A.; Liu, W.; Greenberg, S.; Hempstead, M. R.; Marcuccio, S. M.;
 Melnik, M.: Leznoff, C. C.; Lever, A. B. P., <u>Inorg.Chem.</u>, 1987, <u>26</u>, 891.
- 18. Lever, A. B. P. Adv. Inorg. Chenm. Radiochem., 1967, 7, 27.
- 19. Nevin, W. A.: Liu, W.; Lever, A. B. P. <u>Can.J.Chem.</u>, 1986, <u>65</u>, 855.
- 20 Myers, J. F.; Rayner Canham, G. W.; Lever, A. B. P. <u>Inorg.Chem.</u>, 1975, <u>14</u>, 461. This paper defines the nomenclature for phthalocyanine redox species.
- 21. Giraudeau, A.; Louati, A.; Gross, M.; Andre, J. J.; Simon, J.; Su. C. H.: Kadish, K. M. <u>J.Am.Chem.Soc.</u>, 1983, <u>105</u>, 2917.
- 22. Giraudeau, A.; Louati, A.; Callot, H. J.; Gross, M. <u>Inorg.Chem.</u>, 1981, <u>20</u>. 769.
- 23. Kumar, A.; Neta, P., <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u>, 1981, <u>85</u>, 2830.
- 24. We are grateful to a referee for bringing this to our attention.
- 25. Leznoff, C. C.; Lam, H.; Marcuccio, S. M.; Nevin, W. A.; Janda, P.: Kobayashi, N.; Lever, A. B. P., <u>Chem. Comm.</u>, 1987, 699.
- 26 (a) MacCragh, A.; Storm, C. B.; Koski, W. S. <u>J. Am.Chem. Soc.</u>, 1965, <u>87</u>, 1470. (b). Kneubuhl, F. K.; Koski, W. S.; Caughey, W. S., <u>J. Am.Chem. Soc.</u>, 1961, <u>83</u>, 1607.
- 27. Brown, T. G.; Hoffman, B. M., Mol. Phys., 1980, 39, 103.
- 28. Roberts, E. M.; Koski, W. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 1865.

5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --15--

Figure Legends

<u>Pigure 1</u>. Cyclic and differential-pulse voltammograms Ag(II)TNPc in DCB solution containing ca. 0.2 M TBAPF6. Scan rates: 100mV/s for CV and 2mV/s for DPV. In the electrochemical studies, the concentration of AgTNPc is ca. 5 x 10⁻⁴ - 1 x 10⁻³ M. <u>Pigure 2</u>. Variable scan rate cyclic voltammograms for Ag(II)TNPc in DCB/TBAPF6 (reduction processes). Scan rates (inner to outer):- 20, 50, 100, 200 and 500 mV/s. <u>Pigure 3</u>. A. Cyclic voltammogram for reduction of Ag(II)TNPc at -15°C in DCB/TBA PF6. Scan rate 100 mV/s. B. Cyclic voltammogram for reduction of Ag(II)TNPc at room temperature in DCE/TBA PF6. Scan rate 100 mV/s.

Figure 4. Cyclic voltammogram of H2TNPc in DCB/TBAPFs. Scan rate 100mV/s.

<u>Pigure 5</u>. Stepwise controlled spectroelectrochemistry. (a) Ag(II)TNPc in DCB solution showing the development of $[Ag(III)Pc(-2)]^*$ as potential is stepped through the first oxidation wave from 0.55 to 0.9V vs SCE; (b). Electrochemically generated $[Ag(III)Pc(-2)]^*$ in DCB showing the development of the π -cation radical $[Ag(III)Pc(-1)]^{2*}$ as the potential is stepped through the second oxidation wave from 1.10 to 1.40V vs SCE. The solutions contain ca 0.2M TBA PF6. The absorbance value for the main peak near 722 nm, ca. 2.00, is rather high, and the top of the band may be clipped slightly. The data in Fig.6 are more accurate. Base line "glitches" near 803 and 941 nm are machine artifacts and should be ignored. The concentration of Ag(II)TNPc is ca 3 x 10⁻⁵M.

<u>Figure 6</u>. Electronic absorption spectra. (A) (----) Ag(II)TNPc in DCB: (B) (...)electrochemically generated [Ag(III)TNPc(-2)]⁺ and (C) (----) [Ag(III)TNPc(-1)]²⁺ in DCB solution with ca 0.2M TBAPF6. Note that the first two spectra are plotted versus the left-hand y axis, while the third spectrum is expanded through use of the right-hand y axis. Base line "glitches" near 373, 803, and 941 nm are machine artifacts and should be ignored.

Figure 7. Electron spin resonance spectra of Ag(II)TNPc. (a). in chloroform solution at room temperature. (b). in a mixed chloroform/tiluene (1:1, v:v) solvent, at 5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --16--

•

•

98K, containing ca 10^{-3} M H₂ TNPc to reduce the self-aggregation of the silver species.

۰.

÷.

T

T T

1

Table	1. Electro	chemical	Data	in	Dichlorobenzene	Solution
E _{1/2} , V(Δ)	Ep,mV)			Assig	nments	
	Ag(II)TNPc	H2 TNPc				
Oxidatio	n:					
III(ox)	1.72irr		[Ag	III)Pc((0)] ³⁺ /[Ag(III)Pc(-1)]2+
II'(ox)		1.38(120)	[H2]	NPc(0)	$ ^{2+}/[H_{2} TNPc(-1)]^{+}$	
II(ox)	1.19(80)		[Ag(III)Pc([-1)] ²⁺ /[Ag(III)Pc(-2	:)]+
II'(ox)		0.9irr	{ H2]	NPc(-1)	$]^{+}/H_2$ TNPc(-2)	
I(ox)	0.71irr		[Ag(III)Pc([-2)]*/Ag(II)Pc(-2)	

• •

I(red)	-0.796		$Ag(II)TNPc(-2)/[Ag(I)TNPc(-2)]^{-1}$	
I'(red)		-0.90(70)	$H_2 TNPc(-2) / [H_2 TNPc(-3)]^{-1}$	
II'(red)		-1.20(130)	$[H_2 TNPc(-3)]^{-}/[H_2 TNPc(-4)]^{2}$	
II(red)	-1.47(100)•		[Ag(I)TNPc(-2)] ⁻ /[Ag(I)TNPc(-3)] ²	

a) Potentials are reported with respect to SCE. $E_{1/2}$ values measured by cyclic voltammetry at 20 mVs⁻¹ [$E_{1/2}=(E_{pe}+E_{pc})/2$]. Peak to peak potentials reported in parenthesis. irr = irreversible. b) Cathodic peak potential from differential-pulse voltammetry at 2mV/s. c) Peak to peak potential at 200mV/s scan rate.

5/31/90 AgTnPc.pap --17--

٠

Species		λ max	, nm			
	$(\xi / 10^4, M^{-1} cm^{-1})$					
Ag(II)TNPc(-2)	356	386		618	685	
	(1.33)	(1.07)		(2.12)	(7.61)	
[Ag(III)TNPc(-2)]*b	361	424		647	722	
	(0.80)	(1.77)		(2.02)	(6.78)	
[Ag(III)TNPc(-1)] ^{2+b}		439	574	649	721	854
		(1.92)	(1.14)	(0.95)	(1.06)

Table 2. Blectronic Absorption Maxima*

•.

a. DCB solution. b. Solutions contain about 0.2M TBAPFs.

g Value		Hyperfine	Coupling	Superhyperfine		
		to Silver		to Nitrogen.		
			Gauss		Gauss	
Si s o	2.049	a	52	b	23.6	
e	2.087	A	40	с	20	
e	2.030	В	58	D	25.4	

Table 3. Spin Hamiltonian Parameters

۰.

Footnotes: a,b are the isotropic hyperfine coupling constants to silver and nitrogen respectively. A,B are the anisotropic hyperfine coupling constants, parallel and perpendicular to silver respectively. C,D are the anisotropic hyperfine coupling constants, parallel and perpendicular to nitrogen respectively.





4

(An) Juerrent (nA)



۰.

ازاع ع



•.

(An) Juerrent (nA)

C)





٠.

518

r: 6 F_56



•.

Molar Extinction



