

A

RESOURCES

AFHRL-TP-89-95

377

AD-A222

DTIC

JUN 0 5 1990

p

_ECTE

AIR FORCE 🕹



ADVANCED ON-THE-JOB TRAINING SYSTEM: READINESS TEST PLAN

> John J. O'Connor James West

Ball Systems Engineering Division 2901 Juan Tabo NE Suite 235 Albuquerque, NM 87112

TRAINING SYSTEMS DIVISION Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235-5601

May 1990 Interim Technical Paper for Period August 1985 - December 1989

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

LABORATORY

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND BROOKS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS 78235-5601

NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely Government-related procurement, the United States Government incurs no responsibility or any obligation whatsoever. The fact that the Government may have formulated or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication, or otherwise in any manner construed, as licensing the holder, or any other person or corporation; or as conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

The Public Affairs Office has reviewed this paper, and it is releasable to the National Technical Information Service, where it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

This paper has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

HENDRICK W. RUCK, Technical Advisor Training Systems Division

RODGER D. BALLENTINE, Colonel, USAF Chief, Training Systems Division

This technical paper is printed as received and has not been edited by the AFHRL Technical Editing staff. The opinions expressed herein represent those of the author and not necessarily those of the United States Air Force.

	DOCUMENTATION		Form Approved OME No. 0704-0188
		our ser resource, including the time for in ction of information. Send comments reg- tion Headquarters Services, Directorate for ent and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Pro-	eviewing instructions, searching existing de arding this burden estimate or any other as or information Operations and Reports, 1215 ject (0704-01983, Washington, OC 20583.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave b	Nank) 2. REPORT DATE May 1990	3. REPORT TYPE AN Interim - Aug	D DATES COVERED ust 1985 to December 1989
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Advanced On-the-job Train Readiness Test Plan	ing System:		5. FUNDING NUMBERS C - F33615-84-C-0070 PE - 63227F
6. AUTHOR(S) John J. O'Connor James West	·· ·· ·		PR - 2557 TA - 00 WU - 03
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	·······	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO
Ball Systems Engineering 2901 Juan Tabo NE Suite 235 Albuquerque, NM 87112	Division		REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING		ESS(ES)	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
Training Systems Division Air Force Human Resources Brooks Air Force Base, Te	Laboratory	·	AFHRL-TP-89-95
Approved for public relea	se; distribution is unlin	nited.	
the Advanced On-the-job initiation of the l-year to verify that the "cri evaluations envisioned in centers. Readiness Test	provided critical information Training System (ADTS) wat ADTS System Level Test at tical" functions are op the operational Active ing was not an exhaustive functions which were es	as ready for installation nd Evaluation (SLT&E). The erating before the soft Duty, Air Force Reserve, e test of the AOTS funct	is for the determination of in the AOTS work centers The test prescribes procedu ware progresses to summan , and Air National Guard w ionality. Instead, it tes of the software in the A
M-SUBJECT TERMS advanced on the job train	ing system ,		15. NUMBER OF PAGE 27
software readiness test p		********	16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATI OF THIS PAGE	ON 19. SECURITY CLASSIFI	CATION 20. LIMITATION OF A
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	UL

٠

٠

Ļ

•

4

.

ADVANCED ON-THE-JOB TRAINING SYSTEM: READINESS TEST PLAN

John J. O'Connor James West

Ball Systems Engineering Division 2901 Juan Tabo NE Suite 235 Albuquerque, NM 87112

TRAINING SYSTEMS DIVISION Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235-5601

May 1990

DILIC COPY INSPECTED 6

NTIS	CRA&I	Ø
DTIC	TAB	ō
Unann	ounced	ā
Justin	ation	
By Distrib	ution (
A	vailabilit y	Codes
Dist	Avait ar	
0151	Spec	ial
101		
H-1		
	<u> </u>	

Accesion For

Reviewed and submitted for publication by

Jack L. Blackhurst, Major, USAF Chief, Advanced On-the-job Training System Program

This publication is primarily a working paper. It is published solely to document work performed.

SUMMAR Y

The Advanced On-the-job Training System (AOTS) was an Air Staff directed, AFHRL developed, prototype system which designed, developed, and tested a proof-of-concept prototype AOTS within the operational environment of selected work centers at Bergstrom AFB, Texas, and Ellington ANGB, Texas, from August 1985 through 31 July 1989. The AOTS Readiness Test Plan prescribes a series of tests used to verify that the AOTS software was ready for installation in the designated operational work centers prior to the initiation of the 1-year System Level Test and Evaluation (SLT&E), which began on 1 Aug 1988 and concluded on 31 July 1989. The test prescribes procedures to verify that the "critical" functions are operating before the software progresses to summative evaluations envisioned in the operational Active Duty, Air Force Reserve, and Air National Guard work centers. Readiness testing was not an exhaustive test of AOTS functionality. Instead, it tested the small subset of AOTS functions which were essential to the usability of the software in the AOTS SLT&E work centers. The AOTS Readiness Test provided critical information which was the basis for the determination that the system was ready for installation in the AOTS work centers and for initiation of the 1-year SLT&E.

PREFACE

This paper was developed by Ball Systems Engineering Division, the AJTS on-site integration and management contractor, under Government Contract Number F33615-C-84-0070. The AFHRL Work Unit Number for the project is 2557-00-03. The primary office of responsibility for management of the work unit is the Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, Training Systems Division, and the Air Force AOTS manager is Major Jack Blackhurst.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2

.

٠

¥

.

.

4

3**4**7

Section		Page
1.0 1.1 1.2 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.3	Scope	1 1 1 2 2
2.0	References	3
3.0 3.1 3.2	Test Planning Assumptions & Constraints	4 4 . 4
4.0 4.1 4.1.1 4.2 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.3 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.5 4.5.1 4.5.2	AOTS Readiness Test Plan	5 5 5 1/ 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3	Resources	20 20 20 20 20 20 20
6.0	TEST SCHEDULE	21
	ACTS READINESS TESTING FUNCTIONAL FLOW DIAGRAM	22

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure																									Page
4-2	Test	Objective	1	Design			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
4-3		Objective																							9
4-4	Test	Objective	3	Design	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	9
4-5	Test	Objective	4	Design	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	10
4-6	Test	Objective	5	Design	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
4-7	Test	Objective	6	Design	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	11
4-8	Test	Objective	7	Design	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				11
4-9	Test	Objective	8	Design	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•		•	•	12
4-10	Test	Objective	9	Design	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•			•	•	12
4-11	Test	Objective	1() Design	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
4-12	Test	Objective	1	l Design					•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		13
4-13	Test	Objective	1	2 Design	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•	14
4-14	Test	Objective	1	3 Design		•			•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•		•				•	14
4-15	Test	Objective	14	4 Design	•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	15
4-16	Test	Objective	1	5 Design					•				•			•		•		•		•			15
4-17	Test	Objective	1	6 Design	•	•		•	•					•				•	•	•	•		•		15
4-18	Test	Objective	1	7 Design						•	•	•	•				•		•					•	16
4-19	Test	Objective	18	8 Design	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		16
4-20	Test	Objective	1	9 Design			•		•			•				•		•	•		•				17

LIST OF TABLES

Table																						Pag	e
4-1	Critical	Function	Matrix.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	• •	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	7	

AOTS READINESS TEST PLAN

The essence of this Readiness plan can be viewed in the form of the attached graphic, the AOTS Readiness Testing Functional Flow Diagram. This picture shows the functions of the prototype AOTS as described by the DAC Developers in the recently published Operational Guide to the Prototype AOTS. The superimposed boxes on the flow diagram portray the Readiness tests as they are currently envisioned.

Detailed preliminary test procedures accompany the Flow Diagram for the individual Readiness Tests. Note that these procedures describe subsets of the major <u>functions</u> that are to be tested. The precise layout of the menu structures anticipated in the Ada packages for the critical functions is required to develop the exact keystroke-by-keystroke test procedures.

1.0 Scope

1.1 Identification

The readiness test is a vehicle to determine if the software development is near its promised completion. The AOTS development contract is scheduled to enter Phase III, System Level Test and Evaluation (SLT&E), in August 1988. Over 800 Active Duty, Air National Guard, and AF Reserve personnel will perform job site training tesks and evaluations using the prototype AOTS during the one year SLT&E period.

The AOTS employs computer technology to develop and deliver instructional and test materials, manage trainee progress through full duty position qualification, and evaluate the effectiveness of the Air Force job site training system. This document describes a series of tests to verify that the AOTS software is ready for installation in the designated operational workcenters.

Ì,

1.2 Introduction

1.2.1 Background

The AF Human Resources Laboratory issued a contract in 1985 to the Douglas Aircraft Company (DAC) to design and build a prototype On-the-Job Training (OJT) system using state of the art computer aided instructional system techniques. The Advanced On-The-Job Training System (AOTS) encompasses aspects of instructional systems development, principles of learning, computer aided courseware development, interactive training, automated scheduling, and testing.

Software was developed in two phases. Technical progress during the first phase, Preliminary Design, was reported to the Air Force by face-to-face meetings at preliminary design reviews. These design reviews were documented by Critical Item Specifications, Prime Item Specifications, and finally, by Air Force acceptance of the Systems Level Specification.

The second phase, Detailed Design, is scheduled to be completed by 31 July 1988 with the delivery to the Air Force of the completed Advanced On-the-job Training System. The completeness and functionality of the entire system cannot be measured until this time.

To perform the AOTS functions, the following data elements will be generated by the various AOTS components:

1.2.1.1 Automated Airman Training Records (ATRs): personnel data, status of ongoing training, and training completion (including retraining, cross training, upgrade training, etc.) histories.

1.2.1.2 Mester Tesk Lists (MTLs): an all inclusive list of job related tasks assigned to each Air Force Speciality (AFS).

1.2.1.3 Behavioral Objectives: terminal and supporting behavioral objectives to support job site training and evaluation of tasks.

1.2.1.4 Evaluation Materials: knowledge and performance tests for use in determining attainment of behavioral objectives.

1.2.1.5 Other Training Requirements: ancillery training, additional duty training, contingency tasks, and career development courses.

1.2.1.6 Event Schedulers: training and evaluation event schedules, training resource requirements.

1.2.1.7 System Evaluation data: test results, training times, airmen qualifications, and other data used to indicate training efficiency and effectiveness.

1.2.2 Purpose

Determine if software is ready for SLT&E in an operational environment.

1.3 Relationship to Current Testing

The ACTS is undergoing the first of two general classifications of testing: Formative and Summative tests. Formative evaluation activities are conducted internally by the program developers to determine the degree of attainment of specific program goals and to pinpoint subcomponent performance goals not yet attained. Summative evaluation is directed to a more general assessment of the degree to which broader program outcomes have been attained.

The Formative test and evaluation of AOTS during Phase II has been primarily concerned with verifying accomplishment of technical performance specifications for subcomponents, components, interfaces, and subsystems. Formative evaluation results were used to revise or modify the system elements to achieve at or above acceptable levels. In the upcoming Summative evaluation, the entire system will be assessed against four critical issues: 1) Compliance with system specifications; 2) Performance at or above specified levels; 3) Suitability for correcting deficiencies in the existing operational job-site training arena; and 4) Acceptance by the users.

The transition from Formative to Summative evaluation will involve changes in both primary <u>users</u> and <u>uses</u> of the system. The development of the "tools" necessary to conduct OJT and OJT management were the focus in Formative testing. During Formative testing, members of the Instructional Systems Team (IST) were the key AOTS users and performed primary functions such as Master Task List development, Performance and Evaluation criteria establishment, and authoring of test items. In Summative evaluation, the primary users will be the airmen in the operational work centers and the key uses will transition to those functions related to conducting on-line job knowledge skills improvements and evaluations. The success of Summative evaluation is dependent on the AOTS software being fully operational when the system is installed in the workcenters. Hence, there is a need to determine the "readiness" of the AOTS to enter Summative evaluation, or Systems Level Test & Evaluation (SLT&E).

This Readiness Test Plan prescribes procedures to verify that the "critical" functions are operating before the software progresses to Summative evaluations envisioned in the operational Active Duty, Air Force Reserve, and Air National Guard Workcenters. Readiness testing will <u>not</u> be an exhaustive test of AOTS functionality. Instead, it will test the small subset of AOTS functions which are essential to the usability of the software in the SLT&E workcenters.

2.0 References

The following references were used to generate the sections of this Readiness Test Plan:

- 1) AOTS Statement of Work (SOW) Section C - Description/Specifications Date: 16 April 1984
- 2) AOTS System Specification

Spec No:	705647000
Code Ident. No:	76301
Date:	5 May 1986

3) AOTS Management Prime item Development Specification (B1) Spec, No: 70S647100 Code Ident. No: 76301 Date: 13 March 1987

4) AOTS Management Computer Program Configuration Item Development Specification (85)

Spec. No:	705647411
Code Ident. No:	76301
Date:	7 April 1986

5) AOTS Evaluation Prime Item Development Specification (B1)

Spec. No:	705647300
Code Ident. No:	76301
Date:	28 March 1986

6) AOTS Evaluation Computer Program Configuration Item Development Specification (85)

Spec. No:	705647413
Code Ident. No:	76301
Date:	18 April 1986

- 7) BSED/BDM AOTS Requirements Traceability Matrix Data: 15 April 1988
- 8) AFM 55-43 Volumes I and II, "Management of Operational Test and Evaluation" Date: 13 June 1979

3.0 Test Planning Assumptions & Constraints

3.1 Assumptions Mede In Tast Planning

As discussed above, the AOTS Readiness Test will not be an exhaustive test of AOTS functionality. Insteed, Readiness Testing will focus on a set of functions which were judged to be critical to the success of the AOTS SLT&E. The selection of critical functions was based on the following assumptions:

3.1.1 AOTS functions can be divided into two classes; user functions, such as managing Airman Training Records, and developer functions such as creating training modules and evaluation instruments. SLT&E will take place in the <u>workcenter/user environment</u>. Therefore, Readiness Testing should focus on <u>user functions</u>.

3.1.2 The Readiness Test is a software test. The Personnel and Support Subsystem does not include software and will therefore not be addressed by the Readiness Test.

3.1.3 AOTS includes four Computer Program Configuration Items (CPCIs); Management, Evaluation, Training Development and Delivery (TD&D), and System Support. The TD&D CPCI was a separate development effort. TD&D software is taken from ISS which is not directly maintained by the AOTS developers. Functons performed by the TD&D CPCI will therefore not be included in Readiness Testing.

3.1.4 The System Support CPCI consists of low level software which will not be directly visible to the AOTS workcenter users. Readiness Testing will address only the Access Control function of the System Support CPCI. Because access control safeguards the integrity of the personnel data in the AOTS database, it is judged to be critical to SLT&E success.

As a result of assumptions 1 through 4, the Readiness Test will focus on <u>user functions</u> of the Management and -Evaluation CPCIs.

Some additional assumptions which are fundamental to the AOTS Readiness Test Planning are:

3.1.5 The purpose of Readiness Testing is to decide whether to proceed with AOTS SLT&E based on the usability of the AOTS software. This decision rests with AFHRL; therefore, AFHRL will approve the AOTS Readiness Test Plan and perform the Readiness Tests.

3.1.6 The AOTS software will be under formal Configuration Control prior to the start of Readiness Testing. Problems uncovered during the Readiness Test will be documented as Software Problem Reports (SPRs) and resolved through normal configuration management procedures for high priority SPRs.

3.2 Conditions Required During Testing

In order to implement an effective Readiness Test, the following conditions must be met:

- 1. A separate Readiness Test environment must be established on the VAX at Brooks.
- A complete copy of the AOTS software must be installed in the Readiness Test environment. This software should be the same version which will be used for SLT&E, and must be under version control.
- Sample AOTS databases must be established in the Readiness Test environment. The data should be representative of SLT&E data, but must be in a separate environment to prevent contamination or alteration of production/SLT&E data.

4.0 AOTS Reafiness Test Plan

4.1 AOTS Functions To Be Tested

4.1.1 Critical Functions etims between personnel from the AFHDL DAC and B

Meetings between personnel from the AFHRL, DAC and BSED in March, 1988 led to the compilation of a list of functions critical to the implementation of the AOTS into the operational SLT&E environment. The AOTS functions determined to be critical included:

- 1) Access control
- 2) Interfacing with the ITR
- 3) Scheduling training and evaluation events
- 4) Accessing the MTL
- 5) Requesting evaluation instruments
- 6) Controlling off-line evaluation instruments
- 7) Updating ATRs

The AOTS critical functions are described in some detail in Paragraph 4.2.1

4.1.1.1 Rationale

Before the AOTS is installed in operational workcenters at Bergstrom AFB and Ellington ANGB, the critical functions listed above must operate correctly. If they do not, the AOTS will be unusable for test subjects in the workcenters. If the AOTS critical functions do not work properly, the requirement that the test workcenters use the AOTS may significantly interfere with performance of mission critical functions.

The Readiness test effort will focus largely upon the Management and Evaluation Subsystem functions.
 Within these subsystems are the functions which will be accessed most frequently by workcenter personnel early in the SLT&E. Two notable functions which will not be tested are:

1) QC evaluations

2) Generating reports

These functions are important to the operation of the overall AOTS, however, they are not critical to the use of the system during the early stages of SLT&E.

4.2 AOTS READINESS TEST DESIGN

The AQTS Readiness Test design was derived in general from the test planning guidance contained in Air Force Manual (AFM) 55-43, Management of Operational Test and Evaluation. AFM 55-43 suggests a test design which is set up according to the following logical flow.

CRITICAL QUESTION ---> GENERAL TEST OBJECTIVE --> SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVE(S) --> MEASURE (S) OF EFFECTIVENESS ---> EVALUATION CRITERIA

The first step is to postulate a general question. For example, the first Readiness Test critical question is:

- Can the AOTS generate an ATR?

Then a general test objective is formulated based on the critical question:

- Evaluate the AOTS in generating an ATR.

From the general test objective come one or more specific test objectives:

- 1. Determine whether the ATR is initialized with PDS deta.
- 2. Determine whether the AOTS provides for manual entry of PDS data.
- Determine whether the AOTS provides for manual entry of completions into an airman's ATR.

To determine if a specific test objective has been met, a "measure of effectiveness" (MOE) must be determined. In this series of Readiness Tests, all MOEs will be <u>observations</u> of whether or not the function being assessed worked. Continuing with the above example, the following MOEs are derived from the specific test objectives:

- 1. Observe an attempt to initialize ATR with PDS data.
- 2. Observe an attempt to manually enter PDS data into an ATR.
- 3. Observe an attempt to manually enter completions into an ATR.

Finally, each MOE will have a particular svaluation criteria:

- 1. Performed initialization/Did not perform initialization.
- 2. Allowed entry/Did not allow entry.
- 3. Allowed entry/Did not allow entry.

This test design logic has led to the development of fourteen specific "Readiness Tests". Each of these tests is specifically related to one or more of the seven AOTS critical functions. The AOTS Readiness Tests are listed below:

- Test 1 Trainee access control
- Test 2 Trainer access control
- Test 3 Evaluator accass control
- Test 4 Supervisor access control
- Test 5 Training Manager access control
- Test 6 Generate ATR
- Test 7 Generate OPTR
- Test 8 Generate ITR
- Test 9 ITR Training Requirement Rank Ordering
- Test 10 Schedule Training -- 1 Airman
- Test 11 Schedule Training -- Many Airman
- Test 12 Generate Evaluation Schedule
- Test 13 Generate On-line Test
- Test /14 Generate Off-Tine Test
- Test 15 Generate Off-line PEC
- Test 16 Score On-line Test
- Test 17 Score Off-line Symbolic Test
- Test 18 Score Off-line PEC
- Test 19 Record Performance

								ND:) 10	E\$\$ 1	EST (111								
	ŧ	2	ş	4	5	6	7			10	11	[.] 12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
1) ACCESS CONTROL	•	•	•	•	•	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2) INTERFACE WITH ITR		<u> </u>						•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•
3) SCHEDULE TRING & EVAL EVENTS										-	٠	•							
4) MTL ACCESS					Γ	Γ	•	•	Γ										Γ
5) REQUEST EVAL INSTRUMENT						 	 						•	•	•	•	•	•	
6) CONTROL OFF-LINE EVAL INSTRUMENT										•			·	•	•		•	۰	
7) UPDATE, ATR					Γ	•	Ι		Γ			i				•	•	•	•

READINESS TEST/ CRITICAL FUNCTION MATRIX

Table 4-1

4.2.1 The rationale for the Readiness Test/AOTS critical function relationships are explained in the following paragraphs.

4.2.1.1 Access: Control To perform any testing on the AOTS the tester must access specific AOTS packages. Access control will be specifically tested in the Access Control Test (Test 15). This test will involve validating proper access by each critical user type (Trainee, Trainer, Supervisor, Evaluator, Training Manager) into only properly authorized AOTS components. This critical function is therefore related to each of the AOTS Readiness Tests.

4.2.1.2 Interface With ITR The critical function of interface with the ITR involves a variety of interaction with the ITR editor and existing ITRs. The user must be first able to generate an initial ITR. If the requirements listed in the ITR are not in the desired order, the user must be able to modify the order. The user must be able to access a listing of ITR requirements to schedule an airman for training. Finally, the ITR must be accessible for automatic update of training/evaluation/ completion.

4.2.1.3 Schedule Training and Evaluation Events The critical function of scheduling training and evaluation events involves three types of scheduling functions: First the scheduling of training for individual airmen, Secondly the scheduling of training for multiple airmen, and thirdly the generation of evaluation schedules. As mentioned in the paragraph above, the user must have access to a listing of ITR requirements in order to schedule airmen for required training.

4.2.1.4 MTL Access The critical function of MTL access involves a limited MTL access. The MTL must be accessible when generating or modifying an OPTR so that desired MTL tasks can be copied into an OPTR. The MTL must also be accessible when generating or modifying an ITR so that tasks can be copied into an ITR.

4.2.1.5 Request Evaluation Instrument Evaluation instruments will be requested when users initially generate on or off-line evaluations. The request for instruments must also work acceptably for the scoring of evaluations.

4.2.1.6 Control Off-Line Evaluation Instrument The control of off-line evaluation instruments occurs from the time that an evaluation instrument is generated off-line through the time that it is scored and then destroyed. AOTS control functions occur at the point of generation and the point of scoring. As indicated in Table 4-1, there are three tests within the Readiness Testing for which this critical function will be tested.

4.2.1.7 Update ATR The critical function of ATR update includes both the initial creation of the ATR and the update of the ATR with trainee training and evaluation completions. The AOTS Readiness Test will test this critical function in both of these areas.

4.2.1 Test Objectives (Figure 4-1, p. 21)

Figure 4-1, entitled "AOTS Readiness Test Functional Flow Diagram", details the flow of functional processes that will occur during the initial operation of the AOTS in the workcenters. The fine line boxes on the diagram show specific AOTS functions which are included in each Readiness test. Each test is designed to address one or more specific test objective(s) as desribed below.

4.2.1.1 Test Objective 1 - Evaluate The AOTS in Providing Access Control To Trainees

In testing the critical function of access control, it is necessary to test the specific access provided to trainee users of the system. Trainees will need access to listings of personal training requirements, all AFS tasks which have been certified, other training accomplishments, and status of all training underway. Trainees also need access to materials that are available for knowledge training and testing.

4.2.1.1.1 Test Objective 1 Design (Figure 4-2)

CRITICAL QUESTION	BENERAL TEST COLLECTIVE	SPECIFIC YEST OBJECTIVES	MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS	EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the ADTS provide contraliged access to trainese	Evolute the AOTS in providing access controls to trainces	1) Determine whither trainee- score are able to access their even co-line training records.	1) Observe ettempt by Treinse te accerc his ewin an-line training resords.	1) Records were accessible/ Records were not accessible.
		2) Determise whether Traines- seers are cole to access en- line knowledge training meteriels.	2) Observe ettemat by Traines to access en-ling knewledge training meterials.	2) Meteriels were eccessible/ Meteriels were not eccessible.
		3) Delarmice whether Trainer- users are able to escass on- line knowledge testing metaricity.	3) übserve attanışt by Trainco ilo accose en-lino innovidege tasting miterial.	3) Metariele ware accessible/ Metariele ware net accessible.

4.2.1.2 Test Objective 2 - Evaluate The AOTS in Providing Access Controls To Trainers

The Testing of access control also involves examining the controls and priviliges provided to trainer users of the system. Trainers need to be able to a) review on-line records of trainees to determine training requirements and the status of progress; b) review the task records to determine the sub-tasks, activities, supporting knowledge and skills and other task elements, the sequences in which training must be accomplished, and the resources required for task performance; and c) schedule training events.

4.2.1.2.1 Test Objective 2 Design (Figure 4-3)

CRITICAL QUESTION	OBNERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES		EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AUTS provide controlled access to trainers?	Evolute the ADTS in providing access controls to trainers.	1) Determine whether trainers are able to access their trainer's on-line training records.	1) Observe ettempt by treiner to access trainer's en-line recerds.	1) Recerds eccessed/ Recerds not accessed.
		2) Determine whether trainers are able to access AUTS teak listings.	2) Observe attampt by trainer to access AOTS task listings.	2) Listings eccessed/ Listings net eccessed.
		3) Determine whether trainers are able to access AOTS scieduling functions.	33 Observe attempt by trainer to access AOTS acheduling functions.	3) Functions accessed/ Functions not accessed.

4.2.1.3 Test Objective 3 - Evaluate The AOTS in Providing Access Controls to Evaluators

Access control testing involves testing of access provided by the AOTS to Evaluators. Evaluators should have all of the accesses given to Trainers. Additionally, Evaluators need access to evaluation materials to be used off-line.

4.2.1.3.1 Test Objective 3 Design (Figure 4-4	4.2.1.3.1	Test	Objective	3 Design	(Figure 4-	4)
---	-----------	------	-----------	-----------------	------------	----

CRITICAL QUESTION	GENERAL TEST (BLECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES	HEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS	EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AOTS provide controlled access to Evaluators?	BLAMANIA OCCARE CONTINUE IN	1) Determine whether Trainer- users are able to access their traineer en-line training recents	1) Observe attempt by Evaluator to access an-line training records of his trainings.	1) Recerds were accessible/ Recerds were not accessible.
		2) Determine whether Treiner- wears are able to access AOTS task Histings.	2) Observe attempt by Evolution to access AOTS tasks Histings.	2) Listings were accessible/ Listings were not accessible.
-		5) Determine whether Trainer- users are able to access AGTS training scheduling functions.	3) Observe attempt by Evolutor to access AUTS training schedule functions.	3) Functions were accounted Functions were not accounted.

4.2.1.4 Test Objective 4 - Evaluate The AOTS in Providing Access Controls to Supervisors

The testing of access control includes examiniation of accesses and privileges provided to workcenter supervisors. Supervisors need the capability to a)review the training records of all personnel they supervise; b) schedule training and evaluation events; c) prioritize training requirements; d) review task records; e) obtain test materials for off-line use; and f) certify trainee qualifications.

4.2.1.4.1 Test Objective 4 Design (Figure 4-5)

i

CRITICAL QUESTION	SENERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES	HEABURES OF EFFECTIVENEDS	EVALUATION CRITERIA
Con the AUTS provide controlled eccess to Supervicers?	Evolute the AUTS in providing access controls to Supervisors.	1) Determine if Supervisor users are able to access their trainses' on-line training records.	1) Observe stiempt by Supervisor to access en-line training records of his trainees.	1) Recercle were accessible/ Recercle were not accessible.
		2) Deturmine (f Supervisers heve access to training requirements.	2) Observe ettampt by Superviser to access training requirements.	2) Regts were accessible/ Regts were not accessible.
		5) Detsimilie if Supervisors are take to access AGTS training scheduling functions.	5) Observe ettempt by Supervisor te eccese AUTS truining schedule functions.	 Functions were eccessible/ Functions were not eccessible.
		4) Determine If Supervisors are able to access Look recards	 Observe ettempt by Superviser to eccese tesk records. 	 Recercie wore accessible/ Recercie were not accessible.
:	•	5) Determine If Supervicers are able to access off-line test materials.	5) Observe ettempt by Supervisor to eccess off-line test meterials.	5) Materiels were eccessible/ Meteriels were not eccessible.
		6) Determine If Supervisors are able to access trainee certification.	 Observe ettanpt by Superviser to access trainee certification. 	6) Certification was accessible/ Certification was inaccessible.

4.2.1.5 Test Objective 5 - Evaluate The AOTS in Providing Access Controls to Training Managers

Access Control testing includes testing of accesses provided to training managers.

4.2.1.5.1 Test Objective 5 Design (Figure 4-6)

CRITICAL QUESTION	GENERAL TEST COLICTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES	HEAGUNES OF EFFECTIVENESS	EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AOTS provide controlled & goes to Troining Hanagers?	Evolute the AOTS in providing access controls to Training Henegers.	1) Determine if Treining Hunsger is able to access training reserves of all personnel supervised.	1) Observe attempt by Training Higr to access training records.	1) Records were accessible/ Records were not accessible.
		2) Determine if Treining Hgr is able to access treining requirements.	2) Observe attempt by Training Hanager to occess training requirements.	2) Regts were accessible/ Regts were not accessible.
		3) Determine if Treining Hgr is able to access scheduling functions.	3) Observe attempt by Training Hanager to access acheduling functions.	3) Functione were accessible/ Functione were not accessible.
		 Determine if Training Hyr is able to access off-line test meterials. 	4) Observe attempt by Training Hgr to access off-line test metariels.	4) Matariele were eccessible/ Platariele were not accessible.

4.2.1.1 Test Objective 6 - Evaluate The AOTS in Generating An ATR

In testing the critical function of ATR update, it is necessary to assess the capability to initially generate an ATR. The ATR is a historical account of all prior training received by an individual airman. This data is required for workcenter management personnel to determine the specific training required for airmen in the workcenter (generation of Individual Training Requirements (ITRs)). This will be a fundamental step in the operation of the AOTS in the workcenters.

• ^

CRITICAL QUESTION	GENERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES	HEASUNES OF EFFECTIVENESS	EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AOTS - generate an ATRT	Evolute the AUTS in generating on ATR.	I) Determine whether the ATR is initialized with PDS dets.	i) Observe attempt to initialize ATR with PDS dete.	1) Performed initialization/ Did not perform initialization.
		2) Determine if the AUTS provides for menual entry of training completions into an etimax's ATR.	2) Observe ettempt te menually enter PDG dete inte en ATR.	2) Allowed entry/ Did not ellow entry.
		3) Determine whether the AUTS provides for manual entry of PDS data.	3) Observe ettempt to manuelly enter completions into an ATR	3) Allewed entry/ Did net allew entry,

4.2.1.1.1 Test Objective 6 Design (Figure 4-7)

4.2.1.2 Test Objective 7 - Evaluate the AOTS in Generating An OPTR

In order to test the critical functions of MTL access, ITR interface, and scheduling training and evaluation events, AOTS must be capable of generating an OPTR. The first step in generating an OPTR is to select a Generic Position Task Requirements (GPTR) list for the type of duty position to which the airman has been assigned. GPTRs identify tasks performed by all persons assigned to equivalent duty positions throughout the Air Force. For the prototype AOTS, the GPTRs have been (or are being) input by the subject matter experts (SMEs) assigned to this R&D effort. The immediate supervisor uses the applicable GPTR as the baseline to define specific training requirements for that particular duty position - thus, the OPTR is generated. The supervisor can add tasks from the appropriate Master Task List (MTL), other training requirements (ie., ancitery training), or local task requirements.

4.2.1.2.1 Test Objective 7 Design (Figure 4-8)

	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
RITICAL QUEETION	SENERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES		EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AUTS generate an OPTR?	Evolute the ACTS in genurating an OPTR.	I) Determine whether an appropriate GPTR can be lecated and capled into a new QPTR.	1) Observe eltempt to lecete and copy a GPTR Into a new OPTR.	i) Locate and capled/ Dié not locate ans capy.
		2) Determine whether an appropriate extering GPTR can be located and copied into a new OPTR.	2) Observe attempt to locate and copy an antating OPTR talls a new OPTR.	2) Locate and capied/ Did not locate and capy.
·		3) Determine whether the texts can be capted from the FITL aditur tote a new OPTR	3) Observe attempt to copy tests from HTL editor into new OPTR	3) Cepted/ Did net cepy.
		4) Determine whether tests can be capled from the OTR editor into a new OFTR	4) Observe ettempt te copy texts from CTR editor into now OPTR.	4) Capied/ Did nat capy.
		5) Belermine whether Lasks Sn e new OPTR can be added	5) Observe attempt to add tasks to a new OPTR.	5) Allowed edd/ Did nat-silow edd.
		6) Determine whether tasks in a new OPTR can be deleted	6) Observe allempt to delete testy in a new GPTR.	6) Allowed delete/ Did not ellow delete.
		7) Determine whether tasks in a new OPTR can be modified.	7) Observe attempt to modify tasks in a new OPTR.	7) Allowed medification/ Did not allow medification.

4.2.1.3 Test Objective 8- Evaluate The AOTS in Generating on ITR

Before it is possible to examine the critical function of ITR interface, the capability of the AOTS to generate an initial ITR must be tested. The generation of an airman's ITR provides a list of training required for the attainment of position qualification. This list of training requirements is necessary to schedule the airman for required training and evaluation events.

CRITICAL QUESTION	GENERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES	HEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS	EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AOTS generate an ITRY	Evolupte the AOTS in generating on ITR.	1) Determine whether a new ITR is created.	1) Observe eitampt te croete e new ITR.	1) Crested ITR/ Did not create ITR.
		2) Determine if the new iTR is the result of an ATR/OPTR comparison.	2) Observe 17R and verify that it consists of a list of training requirements on the OFTR, but not on the ATR.	2) Accurate ITR/ Insccurate ITR.
:		3) Determine whether tasks can be added to an ITR.	5) Observe ettempt to odd tooks to an ITR.	3) Allowed edd/ Old net ellow edd.
;		4) Determine whether tasks can be deleted from an (TR.	0 Observe ettempt to delete tasks from en ITR.	4) Allewed delets/ Did not allew delets.
		5) Determine whether tests can be readified in an ITR.	5) Observe ettempt to modify tooks in an ATR.	5) Allowed multification/ Did not ellow modificatio

4.2.1.3.1 Test Objective 8 Design (Figure 4-9)

1

4.2.1.4 Test Objective 9- Evaluate the AOTS in Automatically Rank Ordering ITR Training Requirements

An additional aspect of the critical function of ITR interface is the automatic rank ordering of ITR training requirements by the AOTS. This capability is required to assess the critical function of scheduling. For trainees and supervisors to understand the most efficient order in which to receive/deliver training, the AOTS must list the training requirements in order of priority. It is also important for workcenter management personnel to have the capability to override the automatic rank ordering function when mission requirements necessitate a different training order.

4.2.1.4.1 Test Objective 9 Design (Figure 4-10)

CRITICAL DISTING	LOUGHAL TEST OR SCTINE	SPECIFIC TEST OF JETTIMER		EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AOTS subanotically rank , order (TR Training Requirements?	Evoluate the AOTS in outematically rank ordering iTR training requirements.	1) Determise whether Training Requirements are renk- erdered based on (a) Percent of members performing the tests; and (b) Levels of difficulty for learning the tests.	1) Examine cask ordering of training requirements.	1) Accurate andering/ Executate andering.
		2) Determine whether the user to able to everythe and medify the externetic rank-ardering.	2) Observe attempt to everyide extenditic rank entering.	2) Allowed override/ Did not ellow override.

4.2.1.5 Test Objective 10- Evaluate the AOTS Capabilities for Scheduling Training for an Individual Airman

The critical function of scheduling training and evaluation events involves the scheduling of training for either one or many airmen. The AOTS individual airman scheduling function provides workcenter management personnel with two fundamental capabilities. First the manager is able to identify specific training tasks, times and trainers for individual trainees. Secondly, the manager is able to identify whether the trainee has been scheduled for any conflicting training or evaluation events.

CRITICAL DURSTION	SUBBRAL TEXT OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES		EVALUATION SPITERIA
Con the AGTS schedule training for a single eliment	Evelopis the ACTS capability for scheduling training for an individual airman.	1) Determine whether the user can select an individual strman for scheduling of training.	1) Observe attempt to solect Individual element.	1) Allowed selection/ Stanet ellow coloction.
		2) Determine whether training requirements can be selected for scheduling purposes.	2) Observe stlempt to select training requirements.	2) Allowed selection/ Did not ellew selection.
		3) Determine whether e specific time/deta/location/ treiner can be selected for scheduling purposes.	3) Observe selection of time/ dets/location/treiner.	3) Allowed selection/ Did not ellow selection.
:		4) Determine whether ADTS checks for depicate scheduling upon a single eirman and presents a warwing regarding the situation to the usor.	4) Enter duplicate achadule for sirman and absorve AUTS reaction	4) Identified conflict/ Did not identify conflict.
	•	5) Determine whether AOTS ellows the user to everride on AOTS generated schedule.	5) Observe ettempt te everride achedule.	5) Allowed override/ Did net silaw everride.

4.2.1.5.1 Test Objective 10 (Figure 4-11)

4.2.1.6 Test Objective 11- Evaluate the AOTS Capabilities for Scheduling Many Airmen

In addition to the above objective regarding the AOTS capability to schedule an individual airman, an objective is required to test the capability of the AOTS to schedule many airmen. This function allows workcenter management personnel to specify particular training requirement(s), and to then receive a list of all airmen requiring training on that requirement. As with the individual airman scheduling function, the "many airmen" scheduling function provides for the specification of training date/time/ location, etc., and for the identification of any schedule conflicts.

4.2.1.6.1 Test Objective 11 Design (Figure 4-12)

CRITICAL QUESTION	BEHERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES	HEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS	EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AOTS schedule training for many sirmon7	Evaluate the AGTE capability for scheduling training for many sirmion.	1) Determine whether a training requirement can be extected for acheduling purposes.	1) Observe ettampt to select Training Requirement.	1) Allewed selection/ Did not cilew selection.
		2) Determine whether ACTS identifies a list of the most oligible etrman for receiving training on the cheen Training Requirement.	2) Observe ettempt te generate list of airman.	2) Generate list/ Dié not generate list.
		5) Determine whether a epactfic time/dete/losation/ - (raining egency can be selected for the training activity.	3) Observe ettempt to specify time/dete/lecotion.	5) Allowed specification/ Did not ellew specification.
		Determine whether AGTS checks for conflicts in scholuting and flage the situation to the user.	4) Enter duplicats schudule for elemen and versive AUTS reaction.	9 identified conflict/ Did not identify conflict.
		5) Determine whothsr AOTS ellews the user to override en AOTS generated schedule.	5) Observe ettempt te everride schedule.	5) Allowed everride/ Did not ellow override.
		6) Determine whether ADTS will print training cohedules.	6) Observe ettempt to print training schedule	5) Printed schechie/ Dis not print schedule.

4.2.1.7 Test Objective 12- Evaluate the AOTS in Generating An Evaluation Schedule

An additional test of the critical function of scheduling training and evaluation events involves examination of the AOTS capability in generating evaluation schedules. This function will provide capability for workcenter personnel to create time-ordered listings of evaluation events.

	SENERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES	HEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS	EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the A078 generate an Evoluation Scheaule?	Evoluate the AUTS in generating an Evoluation Schedule.	1) Determine whether AOTS exterior in the strate of the st	1) Observe attornet to generate a list of eirmon.	1) Senerated list/ Dig not generate list.
÷		2) DetCTWIRG whether ADTS sourcifies test evoluation ansignments upon which to generate a schedule.	2) Observe atlangt to identify task evoluation accignments.	2) Identified essignments/ Did not identify sesignments
		3) Determine whother AOTS Gilewe the user to easign schoold: dotec/times/ lacetien/evoluetor for epacific evoluations.	5) Observe attampt to contign achedule data/time/location/ avaluators.	5) Allowed assignment/ . Did not ellow assignment.=
		4) Determine whether AUTS will print evaluation schedules.	4) Observe ettempt to print schedule.	a) frinted schedule/ Did not print schedule.

4.2.1.8 Test Objective 13- Evaluate the AOTS Capability To Generate An On-Line Test

The critical function regarding request of evaluation instruments includes generation of on-line evaluations. This objective provides for the testing of AOTS capability in this area. The on-line test generation capability allows workcenter personnel to view on-screen evaluations at the workcenter terminals. This provides access to computer-assisted evaluations in the workcenters.

4.2.1.8.1 Test Objective 13 Design	(Figure	4-1	4)
------------------------------------	---------	-----	----

	BENERAL TEST GRAECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES	HEARINGS OF EFFECTIVENEDS	EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the ADTS generate an av-line test?	Evaluate the AOTS capability to generate an arr-time to:	I) Determine whether AGTS extracts on-line test itams.	I) Cheerve attempt to extract Scot Itaxia.	1) Excinent Iteme/ Did not entract iteme.
		2) Determine whether A018 can press an an-line test at the tarminel.	2) Observe ottompt to present test.	2) Test was presented/ Tost was not presented.

4.2.1.9 Test Objective 14- Evaluate The AOTS Capability To Generate Off-Line Evaluations

Testing AOTS capability to generate off-line evaluations is an additional examination of the requesting evaluation instrument, and the control of off-line evaluation instruments critical functions. The offline evaluation generation capability allows personnel to generate hard copies of evaluations at workcenter printers. Workcenter personnel can automatically generate "AOTS scorable" tests for trainee evaluation purposes. The capability brings with it, however, the problem of controlling the hard copies of evaluations that are generated. The AOTS generated control procedures will also be tested under this objective.

	JENERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES		EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AUTS generate off-line evaluations?	Evaluate the ADTS capability to generate off-like evaluations?	1) Determine whether AUTS extracts off-line symbolic test items.	1) Observe attempt to extract test items.	1) Extract items/ Did not extract items.
		2) Determine whether AUTS will generate an eff-line symbolic test.	2) Observe ellowpt to generate test.	2) Generated test/ Did not generate test.
		5) Determine whether ADTS logs the statue of generated off-line symbolic tests.	3) Observe AOTS accuracy of status log.	3) Accurate log/ Inscourate log.

4.2.1.9.1 Test Objective 14 Design (Figure 4-15)

4.2.1.10 Test Objective 15- Evaluate The AOTS Capability To Generate An Off-Line Performance Evaluation Checklist (PEC)

In addition to, off-line evaluations, the AOTS will generate hard copies of PECs at workcenter printers. Off-Line PEC generation is an additional aspect of the request evaluation instrument and control of offline evaluation instruments critical functions.

,4.2.1.10.1 Test Objective 15 Design (Figure 4-16)

CRITICAL QUESTION	BEHERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES	HEABURES OF EFFECTIVENESS	EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AOTS generate an str-line PSC?	capability to generate an off-line PEC.	 Determine whether ADTS will generate e PEC. Determine whether ADTS legs the statue of generated PECs. 	 1) Observe attampt generate a PEC. 2) cheave attampt to log status of PEC. 	1) Successful generation/ Unsuccessful generation. 2) Accurate leg/ Ineccurate leg.

4.2.1.11 Test Objective 16- Evaluate the AOTS in Scoring An On-Line Test

The scoring of on-line tests is related to several of the critical functions. For example, the ITR could not be updated with on-line training completions if the on-line evaluations were not scored. It is related to the Update ATR critical function because, as with the ITR, the ATR cannot be updated with online training completions if the on-line evaluations are not graded.

4.2.1.11.1 Test Objective 16 Design (Figure 4-17)

	BENERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES	HEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS	EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AOTS acere an an-like test?	Evaluate the AOTS in ocaring an on-line test.	1) Determine whether AOTS Scores an an-line test against an appropriate answer key.	1) Observe ottainpt to score test.	1) Test was scared correctly/ Test was scared incorrectly.
		2) Determine whether AUTS felie a Leet with a score below 60%	2) Observe the results of a ocore of loss then 606.	2) Test was folled/ Test was not folled.
		3) Determine whether AUTS pecces a test with a score above BOIR.	5) Observe the results of a score of greater then 60%.	5) Test was peeced/ Test was not pesced.
		 Determine whether the resultant test score can be accessed. 	4) Observe attempt access score.	0) Test was accessible/ Test was inaccessible.

4.2.1.12 Test Objective 17- Evaluate the AOTS in Scoring An Off-Line Symbolic Test

The scoring of off-line symbolic tests is related to the same critical functions listed above for on-line tests. In addition, this test objective is related to the critical function of controlling off-line evaluation instruments. The process for scoring the off-line test involves procedures for controlling the instrument.

	SENERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES		EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AUTS ocore an off-time symbolic toot?	Evaluate the AUTS in ecering an off-line symbolic test.	1) Determine whether the off- line symbolic test can be read by the Orif	1) Observe attempt to rood toot into OML	1) Test was read/ Test was net read.
		2) Determine whether AOTS encodes the Text ID Kamher and enswars from the eff- line symbolic text.	2) Observe etionat by AUTS to encode Lost.	2) Tool was encoded/ Test was not encoded.
{	-	3) Determine whether AOTS scarse the off-line symbolic test against the spropriete test key.	3) Observe attempt to scare test.	3) Test was scared/ Test was not scored
÷		4) Determine whether the resultant symbolic test score can 20 accessed.	4) Observe ettempt access sours.	4) Test was accessible/ Test was inscassible.

4.2.1.12.1 Test Objective 17 Design (Figure 4-18)

1

4.2.1.13 Test Objective 18- Evaluate the AOTS in Scoring An Off-Line Performance Evaluation Checklist

The test objective for scoring off-line PECs also includes all of the critical function relations listed for scoring on-line test and those listed above for scoring off-line symbolic tests.

4.2.1.13.1 Test Objective 18 Design (Figure 4-19)

CRITICAL QUESTION	SENERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES		EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AOTS score on off-line PECT	Evoluots the ACTS In scoring on off-line PEC.	 Determine whether the PEC can be read by the ONR. Determine whether ADTS encodes the PEC. 	1) Observe ettacapt to read PBC into CHR. 2) Observe ettampt by AOTS to encode PEC	1) PEC was read/ PEC was not read. 2) PEC was encoded/ PEC was not encoded.
		5) Determine whether AUTS fells PECs which have incorrest criticel items.	3) Observe scoring of PEC.	3) PEC was scarad/ PEC was not scarad.

4.2.1.14 Test Objective 19- Evaluate The AOTS In recording Trainee Performance

Evaluating the AOTS in recording trainee performance relates to the critical function of interfacing with the ITR and updating the ATR. This relationship is based on the fact that when trainee performance is recorded it is recorded in both the trainee's ITR and ATR.

4.2.1.14.1 Test Objective 19 Design (Figure 4-20)

CRITICAL QUESTION	SEMERAL TEST OBJECTIVE	SPECIFIC TEST OBJECTIVES		EVALUATION CRITERIA
Can the AGTS record trainse prograds?	Evolution the ACTS in recording trained progress.	1) Determine whether trainee progresses is recorded.	1) Observe the trainse prograss dete recorded by AUTS.	1) Recorded trainee progress/ Did not record trainee progress.
		2) Determins whether trainse progresse date can be accessed or eltered.	2) Observe ettempt to menually eccess/elter the trainee prograss data.	2) Allewed eccess/siteration Did not allew eccess/siteration.

4.2.2, Pass/Fail Criteria

For each specific test objective described in Section 4.1, an MOE was defined that provided a straight forward "go/no go" result. It is not likely that every specific objective and the associated MOE must achieve a "go" observation for the AOTS to pass the Readiness Test. In instances where there are more than one specific test objective for a given general objective, a "go" determination for some subset of the MOEs will likely result in an overall "go" for that general objective. AFHRL SMEs are in the best position to determine which MOEs, or combinations of MOEs, are required to pass a given Readiness test. After studying the MOEs for each general objective, the SMEs should recommend the minimum set of MOEs that must be assessed a "go" condition. If those MOEs are not passed, then that particular Readiness test will have failed. The software that fails to perform the critical functions should be fixed before the AOTS is released to the workcenters for SLT&E.

4.3 Test Procedures

4.3.1 Description

Functionally related testing objectives will be assembled into procedures that are logically accomplished together.

Test data values are required for testing. Exhaustive testing of all possible data values is not feasible because of the time and resources involved. However, representative test cases will be carefully selected from the set of all possible values. For example, if a menu has choices a, b, c, and d. then the test cases may include a, b, c, d, e (undefined alpha), (blank), 2 (numeric), and ab (undefined alpha). Often a view of the code will offer other test case opportunities. Loop and decision constructs can be tested at their boundary conditions. Test case selection is hampered by a lack of a data dictionary that list allowable values, and synonyms.

4.3.2 Purpose of Deatiled Test Procedures

1

Test procedures will record and prescribe standard test conditions. Required hardware and software will be described. The procedures will contain step-by-step instructions and expected responses. Instructions will be written in the active voice and begin with an action verb. The goal of the procedures when accomplished is to result in a written historical record of the test to the detail necessary for future evaluators to reproduce the test and results.

4.4 Test Execution

4.4.1 Description

The testing will be accomplished by members of the Instructional Systems Development Team. These team members are AF specialty area experts, and are considered proficient in the operation of AOTS due to their extended association with the program as curriculum developers.

4.4.2 Responsibilities 4.4.2.1 BSED/BDM

As a follow-on from the AF-approved Readiness Test Plan, BSED/BDM will write test procedures to guide the AF test team. BSED/BDM will brief the AF test cadre on the purpose and use of the test procedures. During test performance, BSED/BDM will evaluate the AF test team performance to improve quality.

4.4.2.2 AFHRL

AFHRL will Provide overall management to the Readiness Test program. The AFHRL will assure that each participating organization is coordinated in action and integrate their respective efforts into a single group effort. AFHRL personnel will perform the test procedures.

4.4.2.3 DAC

DAC must make the AOTS prototype available in time to support the Readiness Test schedule. They will provide timely analysis of apparent testing failures. DAC will correct correct testing failures and document code corrections. DAC will perform their responsibilities in time to support the testing schedule.

4.5 Data Recording, Reduction, Reporting

4.5.1 Description

Measured test values will be integrated into the test procedure document. Data will be recorded on the test procedures in the area provided for that purpose. In addition to required data, expert testers and observers will record subjective comments and other pertinent information on the completed procedures. Apparent abnormalities in the test data will be documented on SPRs which will be used to track and suspense the areas of concern.

The original test procedures and recorded data sheets along with copies of the SPRs will be included with the final report.

4.5.2 Rasponsibilities 4.5.2.1 AFHRL

1

The greater part of the data recording and analysis tasks will be performed by the AF. The AF will asce tain the successful completion of each test procedure. At the end of each testing day, the AF may brief the responsible organizations on their findings. The AF will establish a schedule for the timely analysis of test abnormalities which are recorded on SPRs.

4.5.2.2 BSED/BDM

The role of the BSED/BDM team has been to design a Readiness Test around the restraints established by the AF. While the BSED/BDM team has no direct role in data recording, reduction, or analysis, the team will be available on an "on call" basis to advise AFHRL on technical matters.

4.5.2.3 DAC

:

DAC is responsible for the performance of the system. When test data shows apparent abnormalities, it incumbent on the DAC to analyze these abnormalities. DAC will process in a timely manner the SPRs th are generated during testing.

19

5.0 Resources

5.1 Facilities

Facilities requirements are identified in the DAC AOTS Facility and Installation Plan.

5.2 Personnel

Three Air Force testers; BALL/BDM observers, as required; DAC software engineers, as required.

5.3 Test Environment

5.3.1 Hardware

The testers will use Z-248 microcomputer workstations, Alps model 2000 printers, and Scantron optical readers to perform the WORK STATION CHECK-OUT procedures. All other Readiness Test procedures will be accomplished using up to three sets of representative equipment.

5.3.2 Software

It is anticipated that AOTS Release 1.9 will contain most of the software required to assess the critical functions described in this Readiness Test Plan. Release 1.8 allows users to enter/edit a Master Task List (MTL), Generic Position Training Requirements (GPTRs), Operational Position Training Requirements (OPTRs), and Airmén Training Records (ATRs). However, the critical function of generating Individual Training Requirements (ITRs) is not expected until Release 1.9. In addition, the on-line test presentation and off-line test generation capabilities will not be available until Release 2.0. This incremental release of software to perform AOTS critical functions may dictate an "incremental" readiness test.

5.3.3 Data Bases

Data to conduct the 14 Readiness tests described in Section 4 is a critical resource. If real data is not available, "dummy" GPTRs will be required to assess the capability to generate an OPTR. Similarly, "dummy" ATRs will be required to evaluate the capability of the system to generate the ITR from the OPTR and several ATRs. Detailed descriptions of the required data for the Readiness Tests will be added to this Test Plan in the next few weeks as the final versions of the software emerge.

6.0 TEST SCHEDULE

1

The following schedule refers to the delivery ("D" day) of the completed AOTS software. The days after delivery of the software (e.g., D + 5) indicate work days. In reality, <u>all</u> of the software or required test data is not likely to be ready at the same time. This issue contributed to the overall design as several separate tests with stand alone test procedures. However, the recommended schedule depicted below includes the prefered

15

1.0

itte

-10

-5

arrangement of having <u>all</u> critical functions installed to allow a realistic assessment of the complete <u>system</u>. Hence, the schedule indicates the recommended sequence for execution of the entire set of readiness tests.

-20 Deliver finit Lest plan to AF AF exprove finit Lest plan DAC delivers minimum function Deliver dreft Lest presederes to AF exprove Lest presederes Accomplish Test ~1 Accomplish Test ~2 Accomplish Test ~3 Accomplish Test ~5 Accomplish Test ~10 Accomplish Test ~10 Accomplish Test ~11 Accomplish Test ~12 Accomplish Test ~13

Ninh Test #14

TEST SCHEDULE

10

20

15





,

-

...



Flowchart is continued on pages 24 and 25.

FIGURE 4-1 (Continued)

Carteral



.

1

,



FIGURE 4-1 (Concluded)

l

4

.

4

.



•.