DTIC FILE COPY

;⊢

**Technical Note** 

N-1796

July 1989

By T.S. Huang and J.W. Leonard

Sponsored By Naval Facilities Engineering Command

# LATERAL STABILITY OF A FLEXIBLE SUBMARINE HOSELINE

ABSTRACT The lateral stability of a submarine hoseline in a slowly varying current is investigated. If the current force overcomes the sea bottom resistance, the hose segment is assumed to slide on the sea bottom without twisting. The stability is evaluated in terms of lateral deflections, hose tensions, and anchor loads. The behavior of a hoseline in a variable current is simulated based on nonlinear cable-like response to lift and Morison-type drag forces. Principles and the numerical algorithm of the simulation model are briefly summarized. A parametric analysis is conducted to study the influence on the hose response of the physical parameters considered in the simulation model. The results indicate that, for a practical hoseline, the most critical parameters are: the segment length-to-span ratio, the axial rigidity of the hose, the hose size, and the current velocity. The sea bottom resistance is negligible from a design point of view.

S CALECTE MAR 15 1990 Co E

162

NAVAL CIVIL ENGINEERING LABORATORY PORT HUENEME CALIFORNIA 93043

Approved for public release; Distribution is unlimited.

Approximate Conversion to Martici Maurer         Approximate Conversion to Martici Maurer           Approximate Conversion to Martici Maurer         Approximate Conversion to Martici Maurer           New You Koow         Multiply by         To Find         Symbol         Approximate Conversion to Martici Maurer           New You Koow         Multiply by         To Find         Symbol         Approximate Conversion to Martici Maurer           Iteles         S         Symbol         Approximate Conversion to Martici Maurer         Multiply by         To Find         Symbol           Iteles         S         Symbol         Multiply by         To Find         Symbol         Multiply by         To Find         Symbol           Iteles         S         Symbol         Multiply by         Symbol         Mult						9 						
With You Know         Multiply by         To Find         Symbol         With You Know         Multiply by         To Find         Symbol           Ich KGTH		Approximate	Conversions to P	Metric Measures		.1. <b> </b> .1			Approximete Com	<del>ersi</del> ons from Metri	ic Mensures	
LENGTH         LENGTH           informeters         cm         millimeters         cm         cm         millimeters         cm         cm <td< th=""><th></th><th>When You Know</th><th>Muhiply by</th><th>To Find</th><th>Symbol</th><th> ' ' '    8</th><th>.z   c</th><th>Symbol</th><th>When You Know</th><th>Multiply by</th><th>To Find</th><th>Symbol</th></td<>		When You Know	Muhiply by	To Find	Symbol	' ' '    8	.z   c	Symbol	When You Know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol
Increase interferent variation variatio			LENGTH			' <b>'</b> ' '				LENGTH		
international       Consistention       Consistention <thconsistention< th=""> <thconsistention< t<="" td=""><td></td><td>Inches</td><td>30.</td><td>centimeters</td><td>ę</td><td>ľľ</td><td>81      </td><td>шш</td><td>millimeters</td><td>0.04</td><td>inches</td><td>.⊆</td></thconsistention<></thconsistention<>		Inches	30.	centimeters	ę	ľľ	81 	шш	millimeters	0.04	inches	.⊆
with miles     15 bit Meters     meters bit Meters     13 bit Meters     meters     13 bit Meters     13 bit Meters     13 bit Meters     13 bit Meters     13 bit Meters     13 bit Met		1.1.1.1.5. <b>1</b> 1	ې ژ	contimeters	5	' <b>!</b> '		Ę	centimeters	0.4	inches	Ë,
Image: T_G     T_G     Kinneters     Meters     1.1     Vid3     Vid3       AREA     Area     Area     0.6     Area     0.6     Area     0.6     Area       Area     Square relations     0.6     Square relations     0.6     Area     0.6     Area       Square relations     0.6     Square relations     0.6     Square relations     0.6     Area     Area       Square relations     0.6     Square relations     0.6     Square relations     0.6     Square relations     0.6     Area       Square relations     0.6     Square relations     0.6     Square relations     0.6     Area     Area       Square relations     0.6     Square relations     0.6     Square relations     0.6     Area       Square relations     0.4     Recares     No     Area     Area     Area     Area       Dounds     0.4     Recares     No     Area     No     Area     Area     Area       Dounds     0.4     Recares     No     Area     No     Area     Area     Area       Dounds     0.4     Recares     No     Area     No     Area     Area     Area       Color     0.4     Recare		varde	32	meters	Ē	' ' 7	<b>8</b> 1	٤	meters	3.3	feet	Ħ
AFA       Observation       Observation <t< td=""><td></td><td>miler</td><td></td><td>kilometere</td><td></td><td>ľ</td><td></td><td>٤</td><td>meters</td><td>:</td><td>yards</td><td>ρλ</td></t<>		miler		kilometere		ľ		٤	meters	:	yards	ρλ
Affex square frett         Affex costs         Affex square frett         Affex costs         Affex square frett         Affex costs           square frett         0.6         square meters         0.16         square me		C31111	2			' ' 	21 	k K	kilometers	0.6	miles	Ē
Square incles         6.5         square mentions         0.16         square metters         1.2         square m			AREA		4	Ρľ	9			AREA		
square ferti 0.06 square meters m <sup>2</sup> 0 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 0 = 1 = 0 = 1 = 0 = 0 =		square inches	6.5	square centimeters	cu <sup>7</sup>	"	1 1 1	ç				2
scare ratis 0.8 quare meters m <sup>2</sup> are square		souare feet	0.09	square meters	° E	'۱' 6	• s	۴.	square centimeters	0.16	square inches	5 ن'
square filts     2.6     square kilometers     km²     texter kilometers     texter kilom		source vards	0.8	souare meters	° E	וין	)   	, E	square meters	1.2	square yards	ξ,
Advance     0.4     Netators     1     1     1     1     1     1     1       Ansatt under Ansatt under abort tons     0.4     Netators     1 <td></td> <td>ecure aniles</td> <td>36</td> <td>source kilometers</td> <td>4<sup>m</sup>2</td> <td><b>'</b> '</td> <td>  1    1 </td> <td>km<sup>2</sup></td> <td>square kilometers</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>square mites</td> <td>, ,</td>		ecure aniles	36	source kilometers	4 <sup>m</sup> 2	<b>'</b>  '	1    1	km <sup>2</sup>	square kilometers	0.4	square mites	, ,
MASS (weight)       MASS (weight)       MASS (weight)         ounces       28       gams       0.05       ounces       22       poundes       0.05       pounces		arrec	7.0	bectares	2	1'	7L	гц	hectares (10,000 m <sup>2</sup> )	2.5	acres	
MASS (Nerent)         Contract					!	'['	3		2	ASS (weight)		
Ounces     28     gams     9     gams     9     gams     0.035     ounds     0.035       pounds     0.45     kilogans     0.03     connest     1     iogans     2.035     ounds     0       storntons     0.3     tiones     1     iogans     2.000     1.1     aborttons     0.3       storntons     0.3     tiones     1     iogans     2.003     punds     0       12.0001b     0.0010km     0.010     inititiers     0.03     punds     0       12.0001b     0.003     tiones (1,000 kg)     1.1     aborttons     0       12.0001b     0.003     tiones (1,000 kg)     1.1     aborttons     0       13.0011kets     m     millitiers     m     millitiers     0       141ddources     0.3     titers     1     iters     0.3     galtons       10.03     unces     0.3     titers     1     iters     0.3     galtons     1.3       11.1     autotas     0.3     titers     1     iters     0.3     galtons     1.3     cubic sets       11.1     titers     0.3     titers     1     titers     1.3     cubic sets     1.3			MASS (Weight)			' ' 5	: L   #11		1			
pounds     0.45     kitograms     kg     klograms     1     2.2     pounds     D       2.0001b)     2.0001b)     0.45     kitograms     kg     klograms     1     horr tons     2.2     pounds     D       2.0001b)     2.0001b)     VOLUME     0.9     tonnes     1     tonnes     1     horr tons     10       2.0001b)     VOLUME     0.9     tonnes     1     tonnes     1     tonnes     10       2.0001b)     VOLUME     milliliters     milliliters     milliliters     1     tonnes     10       2.0001b)     VOLUME     milliliters     milliliters     milliliters     1     tonnes     10       1.2.0001b)     VOLUME     milliliters     milliliters     milliliters     1     tonnes     10       1.2.0001b)     VOLUME     milliliters     milliliters     milliliters     1     tonnes     10       1.2.0011biters     milliliters     milliliters     milliliters     milliliters     0.03     tonnes     10       1.1.1     titers     0.24     titers     1     titers     0.16     tonnes     10       1.1.1     tonnes     0.24     titers     1     titers     1.13		ounces	28	grams	o	<b> </b> '1		69	grams	0.035	ounces	<b>7</b>
inductions 0.9 tonics the term of the conditions of the conditions (1,000 kg) 1.1 short tons (1,000 kg) 1.1 short tons (2,000 kg) 1.1 short tons (2,		pounds	0.45	kilograms	, sa	"	sı İ	kg	kilograms	2.2	spunod	<u>م</u>
(2,000 lb)       VOLUME       VOLUME       VOLUME         reaspoors       5       milliliters       milliliters       0.03       fluid ounces       floid ounces		short tons	0.9	tonnes	<b>ب</b> '	יי		1	tonnes (1,000 kg)	1.1	short tons	
VOLUME     VOLUME     Millitiers     Millititis     Millitiers     <		(2,000 lb)								VOLUIAE		
tespoors       5       milliliters       milliters       milliliters       milte			VOLUME			'l' ' 4	01	Ē	mìlliliters	0.03	fluid ounces	fl oz
ablestoors     15     millitiers     106     quarts     quarts       ablestoors     30     millitiers     m     -0.76     gallons       ablestoors     30     millitiers     m     -0.76     gallons       cups     0.24     liters     1.3     cubic feet     yd3       cups     0.33     liters     1.3     cubic feet     yd3       quarts     0.03     cubic meters     3.3     cubic meters     3.5     cubic feet       quarts     0.03     cubic meters     m3     able meters     3.5     cubic feet     yd3       quarts     0.03     cubic meters     m3     able meters     3.5     cubic feet     yd3       quints quarts     0.03     cubic meters     m3     able meters     3.5     cubic feet     yd3       quints quarts     0.03     cubic meters     m3     able meters     3.5     cubic feet     yd3       qubic feet     0.03     cubic meters     m3     able meters     3.5     cubic get     yd3       able meters     0.76     oble meters     m3     able meters     3.1     temperature     3.1       able meters     0.76     oble meters     oble meters     0.7     oble			u	millitisere	Ē	'  		-	liters	2.1	pints	ă
Temperature       Inters       Inters </td <td></td> <td>tinodspat</td> <td>n ų</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>·ľ</td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>liters</td> <td>1.06</td> <td>quarts</td> <td>ម</td>		tinodspat	n ų		1	·ľ	6		liters	1.06	quarts	ម
rund ountres 0.24 litters 1 w <sup>-1</sup> w <sup></sup>		tablespoons	<u></u>		Ē	וין		-	liters	0.26	gallons	8
CUDS     0.24     Iters     1     Cubic yards     Vd <sup>3</sup> parts     0.47     liters     1		Liurg ounces	2			' '  ;	1 <b>011</b>     	с С	cubic meters	SS	cubic feet	<u>ب</u>
Philos     Data Metas     Lating     TemPERATURE (exact)       autris     1     1     1     1       autris     1     1     1     1       autris     3     1     1     1       autris     0.35     1     1     1       autris     0.35     1     1     1       autris     0.36     1     1     1       autris     0.36     1     1     1       autris     0.37     1     1     1       autris     0.37     1     1     1       autris     0.38     1     1     1       autris     5/9 (after     Celsius     0     0       autris     5/9 (after     Celsius     0     0       autris     3/3     1     1     1       autris     3/3     1     1     1       autris     3/3     1     1     1       autris     1     1		cups	#7·0			'  ,		ິສິ	cubic meters	1.3	cubic yards	<sup>с</sup> р⁄
quarts 0.35 inters 1 applicated 0.76 cubic meters m <sup>3</sup> N = = = = 0 cubic yards 0.76 cubic meters m <sup>3</sup> N = = = = 0 cubic yards 0.76 cubic meters m <sup>3</sup> N = = = = 0 TEMPERATURE (exact) Fahrenheit 5/9 (after Celsius 0.76 cubic meters m <sup>3</sup> N = = = = 0 Temperature add 32) temperature of temp		pure	14/0	liters		' <b> '</b>	۷			101171 0-		
gallons 3.8 litters <sup>13</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>6</sup> <sup>6</sup> <sup>6</sup> <sup>14</sup> <sup>14</sup> <sup>14</sup> <sup>14</sup> <sup>14</sup> <sup>14</sup> <sup>14</sup> <sup>14</sup>		quarts	0.30			<b>" </b> "			IEMP	LALUAE (BXACU		
cubic freet 0.03 cubic meters m <sup>3</sup> N = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		gallons	3.8	liters	~		9	с °	Celsius	9/5 (then	Fahrenheit	<u>ч</u>
cubic yards 0.76 cubic meters m <sup>3</sup> N =		cubic feet	0.03	cubic meters	ຂັ	'  		)		add 221	territorature	
TEMPERATURE (axact)       Fahrenheit     5/9 (after       C       Temperature       32)       Set (axactly). For other exact conversions and more detailed tablet, see NBS       5.12       Set (axactly). For other exact conversions and more detailed tablet, see NBS       5.12       Set (axactly). For other exact conversions and more detailed tablet, see NBS       5.12		cubic yards	0.76	cubic meters	າ E	יוי 2	9 M		a interactivitat		transfer available	
Fahrenheit 5/9 (after Celsius °C		TEN	APERATURE (	(xact)		'l'  						
temperature subtracting temperature 32) 54 (exactly). For other exect conversions and more detailed tables, see NBS 4. 286. Units of Weaphrand Measures, Price 922.5, SD Catalog No. C13.10:286. 99		Eahrenheit	5/9 lafrer	Calcine	0° 0	<b>' '</b>						
32)     32)     32)     32)     32)     32     36.6     212       54 (exactly). For other exact conversions and more detailed tablet, see NBS     51     40     1<		termorature	subtraction	temnerature	)	ı ı	3					ŀ
54 (exactly). For other exact conversions and more detailed tablet, see NBS 31			321			'   ,			c	1		5.5
54 (exactly). For other exact conversions and more detailed, tablet, tae NBS 3					1	' '!'	2		8		120 190	100
	ŝ,	i (exactly), For other e	exact conversions a	ind more detailed tables, se	e NBS	inc						Į.
	ź	286, Units of Weights a	ind Measures, Price	52.25, SD Catalog No. C1	3.10:286.	'  he				₹,	8	38

,

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

فالمستعدة للتسارين

I. D. L. L. L. B. P. M. L. M. D. M. P. M.

a

Unclassified

٦,

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data	Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1 REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TN-1796	DN669044	
4, TITLE (and Sublifle)		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
LATERAL STABILITY OF A FLEXIBLE SUBMARINE HOSELINE		Final; Oct 1986 - Sep 1987
		6 PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S)
T.S. Huang, NCEL, and J.W. Leonard, Oregon State Univ.		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10 PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
NAVAL CIVIL ENGINEERING LABORATORY		
Port Hueneme, California 93043-5003		KTI-33-U62-30-N14B
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	<u> </u>	12. REPORT DATE
Office of Naval Technology		July 1789
Ariington, Virginia 22217-5000		13 NUMBER OF PASES
14 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I dilleren	I from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		/ /////lassified
		154. DECLASSIFICATION'DOWNGRADING
		SCHEDULE
Approved for public releas	e; distribution :	is unlimited.
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, if dillerent fro	m Repott)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an	d identify by block number)	,
Koseline, flexible, submarine, late deflection, simulation	ral currents, ter	nsion, anchor load,
20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and	d identify by block number)	
The lateral stability of a sub current is investigated. If the cur resistance, the hose segment is ass twisting. The stability is evaluated	marine hoseline j rrent force overc umed to slide on od in torna 6 1	in a slowly varying comes the sea bottom the sea bottom without

twisting. The stability is evaluated in terms of lateral deflections, hose tensions, and anchor loads. The behavior of a hoseline in a variable current is simulated based nonlinear cable-like response to lift and Morison-type drag forces. Principles and the numerical algorithm of the simulation model Continued

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 55 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)
20. Continued
are briefly summarized. A parametric analysis is conducted to study the influence on the hose response of the physical parameters considered in the simulating model. The results indicate that, for a practical hoseline, the most critical parameters are: the segment length-to-span ratio, the axial rigidity of the hose, the hose size, and the current velocity. The sea bot- tom resistance is negligible from a design point of view.
Accession For
HTIS GRAAI
Justifleation
Distribution/
Availability Cedes
Avail and/or
Dist Special
<i>H</i> -1
Likeany Cand
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory LATERAL STABILITY OF A FLEXIBLE SUBMARINE HOSELINE
(Final), by T.S. Huang and J.W. Leonard TN-1796 64 pp illus July 1989 Unclassified
1. Hogeline 2. Flexible I. RM-33-U62-30-N14B
The lateral stability of a submarine hoseline in a slowly varying current is investigated. If the current force overcomes the sea bottom resistance, the hose segment is assumed to slide on the sea bottom without twisting. The stability is evaluated in terms of lateral deflections, hose tensions, and anchor loads. The behavior of a hoseline in a variable current is simulated based nonlinear cable-like response to lift and Morison-type drag forces. Principles and the numerical algorithm of the simulation model are briefly summarized. A parametric analysis is conducted to study the influence on the hose response of the physical parameters con- sidered in the simulating model. The results indicate that, for a practical hoseline, the most critical parameters are: the segment length-to-span ratio, the axial rigidity of the hose, the hose size, and the current velocity. The sea bottom resistance is negligible

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE/When Data Entered)

# CONTENTS

,

۳,

٠.

فيستعم سكنجب وخلان بالكم للكريك

الاوتية هيسا انتعت فلافتحظ بالفينعة كالفاصية التليك والتلاطي والتكليل سالمعتها الملاقي والسيس وسيستريك واللبان كرم

.

INTRODUCTION	1
Objective	1 1
Background	2
SIMULATION MODEL	3
Problem Definition	3
Scenario of Motion	4
Governing Equations	5
Current-Induced Forces	8
Gravity and Buoyancy (Submerged Weight, $F_{i}$ )	10
Reactive Forces From the Sea Bottom <sup>w</sup>	11
Dynamic Equilibrium in ê Direction	14
Numerical Solutions $\ldots$ $\alpha$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$	15
PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS	16
Parameters Considered in the Test	16
Axial Rigidity of the Hose	16
Current Force	18
Hoseline Geometry	19
Sea Bottom Resistance	19
STABILITY OF NAVY HOSELINE	20
Characteristics of Navy Hoses	20
Results	22
Engineering Applications	23
	20
CONCLUSIONS	25
REFERENCES	26
APPENDIXES	
A - Numerical Solution Techniques	A-1
Hose Under Tension	B-1

.

,

į.

#### INTRODUCTION

# **Objective**

The objective of this study was to determine analytically the lateral stability of the Navy's flexible submarine hoselines in a slowly varying current. This goal was achieved through the development of three easy to use design tools: a numerical simulation model, design charts, and a parametric model.

# Scope

This effort analyzed the lateral stability of a flexible submarine hoseline in varying currents. The analysis was limited to a long hose of little flexural and torsional stiffness. Further, only the behavior of a taut hose segment was studied. The response of a slack hose segment, which has zero axial tension, was not included. Theories for simulating the behaviors of a submarine hoseline in currents were derived. Design tools were developed to provide the required stability for engineering applications.

This report presents the development of the hoseline simulation model. Pertinent theories used in the derivation of the model are briefly described. The numerical solution techniques incorporated in the computer code are included in Appendix A. The procedures and major findings obtained from the parametric analysis are also discussed. Results of the analysis are presented in graphs to show the influence of each governing parameter. Design charts and parametric models for typical Navy hoses are presented followed by a guideline for using these results for design.

#### Background

Large volumes of fuels are consumed daily by amphibious forces when engaged on a battle field. Liquid cargo of the required volume can be transferred only by tankers, which may be moored several miles from shore. The cargo is discharged through conduits to the beachhead. Time is a critical factor; it dominates system design in addition to functional reliability. Thus, the most effective system is the one that is transported easily and rapidly, requires the least resources for installation and operation, and is largely universal. The Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory (NCEL) is currently developing a flexible high-strength conduit to maximize the effectiveness of the Navy's liquid cargo handling system. The conduit, which is highly collapsible and allows a very small bending radius, could be compactly spooled on hose reels for easy storage and transportation.

Among the engineering considerations, the most important factor in a submarine flowline design is to ensure lateral stability against environmental loads. The most frequently used stabilization techniques in industrial practice are weight coating, trenching, and anchoring. Heavy coating negates the collapsibility of the conduit, and commercial pipeline trenching operations require heavy equipment and intensive labor at the site. These features are obviously contrary to the primary objective of expediting the military contingency operation. Therefore, securing the flowline with mechanical anchors seems to be the most practical approach for stabilization. There have been a number of extensive investigations on the stability of semirigid submarine pipeline systems (Ref 1, 2, and 3). However, highly flexible hoselines have received little attention. A direct numerical integration technique has been employed successfully to describe the catenary shape of a long cable subject to steady ocean current (Ref 4, 5, and 6). This effort was to investigate the feasibility of using the same technique to determine the behavior of a cable-like hoseline system.

#### SIMULATION MODEL

## Problem Definition

A definition sketch of a multisegment hoseline on the ocean bottom is given in Figure 1. The hoseline may be restrained at arbitrary locations to the bottom with mechanical anchors. A pertinent free-body diagram is given in Figure 2. A right-handed coordinate system  $X_1, X_2, X_3$ with unit orthogonal base vectors  $\hat{e}_1$ ,  $\hat{e}_2$ ,  $\hat{e}_3$  is embedded in the bottom with  $\hat{e}_3$  directed away from the ocean bottom. The vector  $\hat{e}_1$  is directed outward perpendicular to the shore. The bottom is gently sloping in the  $\hat{e}_1$  direction at an angle  $\psi$  with respect to the ocean surface. The gravity vector  $\hat{k}$  makes an angle  $\psi$  with  $\hat{e}_3$ .

It is assumed that the velocity vector on the bottom is oriented in the direction of  $\hat{e}_2$ . There is no  $\hat{e}_1$  component of the velocity vector, and its magnitude may vary in a piecewise linear fashion with  $X_1$ . The velocity is assumed to be slowly varying (i.e., at any instant a steady current will be assumed). No inertial forces will be considered. The velocity induces drag and lift forces on the hoseline. Morison-type drag forces are assumed and the independence principle is invoked to separately specify drag coefficients in the normal and tangential directions by multiplying the squares of the normal and tangent components of velocity, respectively.

The hoseline may comprise several segments joined end-to-end and forming a curved line on the bottom, with each segment having different cross-sectional properties. There are anchor points at the junctions of the segments. It is assumed that each anchor behaves as a linearly elastic spring acting in the direction of the force necessary to equalize the end-point tensions on the incident segments.

It is assumed that each segment slides on the bottom without rolling or lifting off the bottom. An analysis including the coulling between axial tension and torsional responses was beyond the scope of this work. In fact, the torsional stress, which is much smaller than that of axial tension, will not significantly affect the catenary shape of the hoseline (Ref 6). Therefore, the current-induced loads on the hose are insensitive

to the degree of twisting, as long as the hose cross section remains. circular without serious buckling. Consequently, the axial and the torsional responses of the hoseline are assumed separable in this development. There is a lift force from the bottom current which tends to lift the segment, but if the segment were to separate from the bottom, the lift coefficient would decrease and the segment would be forced back into contact with the bottom, as discussed in Reference 1.

The frictional force resisting sliding of each segment is assumed to be of the Coulomb type and to be separable into components tangent and normal to the segment, with each component having a different coefficient of friction. The friction resistance is directly proportional to the magnitude of the net force normal to the seafloor by the coefficient of static friction if the segment is immobile, and by the coefficient of kinetic friction as soon as the segment moves. Typical value of the kinetic coefficient is approximately 25 percent smaller than that of the static coefficient.

# Scenario of Motion

With the hoseline resting on the bottom, equilibrium can be established under zero velocity conditions. Then, as the velocity increases, a scenario of motion can be constructed as follows: (1) as the velocity increases, the drag and lift forces on the segments increase, (2) the magnitude of the reactive force from the bottom decreases and, thus, the static Coulomb friction decreases, (3) the friction force equilibrating the fluid drag forces and tensions in the curved segments increases, (4) if the friction forces in a particular segment exceed the holding capacity over a significant fraction of the segment span, the segment will move to reestablish an equilibrium position under those velocity conditions, (5) as the segment moves, the frictional force decreases in magnitude to that predicted using dynamic friction coefficients and has friction opposing the motion (i.e, in the same direction as had the static frictional resistance), (6) new locations, orientations, and tension components are established and these return the segment to equilibrium, (7) changes in the components of

tension at the end point of the segments lead to changes in the spring forces in the anchors and hence to changes in anchor locations, and (8) once new equilibrium positions of segments and anchors are established, the segments stop moving.

A new velocity magnitude can then be considered. If the velocity increases or decreases, the static frictional resistance is recomputed and compared to the holding capacity as described above.

# **Governing Equations**

1

**Basic Assumptions.** Several basic assumptions apply throughout the derivation of this simulation model. They are:

- 1. The hose is uniform in shape and material along the axis of each segment length.
- 2. The hose is infinitely long in comparison to its diameter, and hence has no flexural stiffness.
- 3. The hose is pressurized and maintains a circular cross section without significant buckling.
- 4. The hoseline slides over the seabed without rolling if current loads exceed friction capacity of sea bottom.

Governing Equations. The hoseline system is arbitrarily located on the seafloor and subjected to gravity and nonuniform distributed current loads. Gravity acts in the direction of  $\hat{k}$ , which is given by:

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \psi_{\mathbf{i}} \,\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{i}} \tag{1}$$

where the summation convention on repeated indices is invoked and  $\psi_{i}$  is the direction cosine of  $\hat{k}$ . Hose behavior will be determined in terms of the location coordinates and the tension components at a material point P, which is located at an unstretched arc length S<sub>o</sub> from origin along the hose.

Unit vectors  $\hat{t}$  and  $\hat{n}$ , which are tangential and normal to the hoseline at P respectively, are related to the Cartesian coordinates by:

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}X_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}S}\right) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha} = \theta_{\alpha} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha}$$
(2)

where Greek subscripts have range 1, 2, where  $\theta_{\alpha}$  are the direction cosines of t, and dS is the stretched differential length.

$$\hat{n} = \hat{e}_{3} x \hat{t} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \theta_{\alpha} \hat{e}_{\beta}$$
(3)

where  $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$  = permutation symbol

= 0 if 
$$\alpha = \beta$$
  
= -1 if  $\dot{\alpha} > \beta$   
= 1 if  $\alpha < \beta$ 

Consequently, the Cartesian base vectors as expressed in terms of tangential and normal component  $\hat{t}$  and  $\hat{n}$  become:

 $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha} = \theta_{\alpha} \hat{\mathbf{t}} + \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha} \theta_{\beta} \hat{\mathbf{n}}$ 

For a hose section, the stretched differential length dS is related to its original length dS by:

$$\frac{\mathrm{ds}}{\mathrm{ds}}_{\mathrm{o}} = 1 + \varepsilon \tag{4}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the strain. An elastomer hose fortified with wire or fabric reinforcements usually stretches nonlinearly under tension. The relation between elongation and axial tension is best described by empirical data. In cases where the required data are not available, the hose elongation is often approximated by:

$$\varepsilon = C_1 T C_2$$
(5)

where T is axial tension magnitude, while  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are material constants.

As the hose segment is assumed to have no flexural or torsional stiffness, the tension acts in the direction of unit tangent with magnitude T:

$$\tilde{T} = Tt = T\theta_{\alpha}\hat{e}_{\alpha} = T_{\alpha}\hat{e}_{\alpha}$$

and components of  $\bar{T}$  are:

Therefore, using Equation 1, we obtain a first-order differential equation

$$\theta_{\alpha} = \frac{dX_{\alpha}}{dS} = \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T}$$
(6)

for  $\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}$  in terms of  $\boldsymbol{t}_{\alpha}$  and magnitude T given by:

$$T = (T_{\beta} \cdot T_{\beta})^{1/2}$$

Replacing dS by dS from Equation 4, we obtain:

$$\frac{dX_{\alpha}}{dS_{o}} = (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T}$$
(7)

**Dynamic Equilibrium.** From dynamic equilibrium of force vectors acting on the free body in Figure 2:

$$\left(\bar{T} + \frac{d\bar{T}}{dS}\right) - \bar{T} + \bar{F}_{L} dS + \bar{F}_{B} dS + \bar{F}_{w} dS_{o} + \bar{F}_{D} dS + \bar{F}_{f} dS = 0$$

where  $\bar{T}$  = tension at the material point P along the hose segment

$$\bar{F}_{L}$$
 = current induced lift force

 $\vec{F}_{p}$  = current induced drag force

 $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{W}}$  = submerged weight per unit length of unstretched hose

- $\bar{F}_{R}$  = sea bottom reaction normal to the seafloor
- $\tilde{F}_{f}$  = sea bottom resistance parallel to the seafloor

Canceling  $\overline{T}$  and dividing by dS, we obtain:

$$\frac{d\bar{T}}{dS} + \bar{F}_{L} + \bar{F}_{B} + \bar{F}_{w} \left(\frac{dS_{o}}{dS}\right) + \bar{F}_{D} + \bar{F}_{f} = 0$$
(8)

The various force vectors are developed below.

# **Current-Induced Forces**

Velocity Vector. The current velocity acting on the hose segment in Cartesian coordinates is:

$$\vec{v} = V_{\alpha} \hat{e}_{\alpha}$$

which can be expressed in normal and tangential components as:

$$\bar{v} = \bar{v}_n + \bar{v}_t$$

where  $\bar{V}_t$  = velocity tangential to segment

 $\bar{v}_n$  = velocity normal to segment

Express  $\bar{V}^{}_{\rm t}$  in the Cartesian coordinate system and in the tangent direction as

$$\bar{v}_t = v_{t\alpha} \hat{e}_{\alpha} = v_t t$$

where

$$\bar{v}_t = (\bar{v} \cdot t) t = (v_\beta \theta_\beta) t$$

Hence,

$$V_t = V_\beta \theta_\beta$$

and

$$\ddot{V}_{t} = (V_{\beta} \theta_{\beta})(\theta_{\alpha} \hat{e}_{\alpha})$$

Therefore,

 $V_{t\alpha} = V_{\beta} \theta_{\beta} \theta_{\alpha}$ (9)

Similarly, express  $V_n$  as:

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}\alpha} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}} \hat{\mathbf{n}}$$

Since

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{n} = \bar{\mathbf{v}} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{t}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{n} = \mathbf{v}_{n\alpha} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha} - \mathbf{v}_{t\alpha} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha}$$

Therefore,

1

. .

$$V_{n\alpha} = V_{\alpha} - V_{\beta} \theta_{\beta} \theta_{\alpha} = V_{\beta} (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \theta_{\alpha} \theta_{\beta})$$
(10)

where  $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$  is Kronecker delta

$$\delta_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \text{ and}$$
  
 $\delta_{\alpha\beta} = 1, \quad \alpha = \beta$ 

Also from Equation 3:

$$\vec{v}_{n} = (\vec{v} \cdot \hat{n}) = (v_{\eta} \hat{e}_{\eta}) \cdot (\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \theta_{\alpha} \hat{e}_{\eta})$$
$$= v_{\beta} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \theta_{\alpha}$$

Drag,  $(\bar{F}_D)$ . Assume that the drag force  $\bar{F}_D$  dS can be expressed as components in the  $\hat{n}$  and  $\hat{t}$  directions:

$$\bar{F}_{D} \cdot dS = \bar{F}_{Dn} \cdot dS + \bar{F}_{Dt} \cdot dS$$

By the independence principle discussed in Reference 4, tangential components can be estimated in the same manner as normal components (Ref 1)

$$F_{Dn} = \frac{1}{2} \rho D \cdot C_{Dn} |V_n| \cdot V_n$$
(11a)

$$F_{Dt} = \frac{1}{2} \rho D \cdot C_{Dt} |V_t| \cdot V_t$$
(11b)

where  $C_{Dn}$  and  $C_{Dt}$  are normal and tangential drag coefficients. For a hose segment of practical surface,  $C_{Dt}$  is much smaller than  $C_{Dn}$ . From Equations 9 and 10, the magnitudes of  $|V_t|$  and  $|V_n|$  are:

$$|\mathbf{v}_{t}| = (\mathbf{v}_{t\alpha} \, \mathbf{v}_{t\alpha})^{1/2} = (\mathbf{v}_{\beta} \, \mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \, \theta_{\beta} \, \theta_{\alpha})^{1/2}$$
$$|\mathbf{v}_{n}| = (\mathbf{v}_{n\alpha} \, \mathbf{v}_{n\alpha})^{1/2} = [\mathbf{v}_{\beta} \, \mathbf{v}_{\eta} \, (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \theta_{\alpha} \, \theta_{\beta})(\delta_{\alpha\eta} - \theta_{\alpha} \, \theta_{\eta})]^{1/2}$$

Express the drag force  $F_{\rm D}$  form of Equation 8 in Cartesian components:

$$F_{Dn} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho D C_{Dn}\right) (V_{n\eta} \cdot V_{n\eta})^{1/2} V_{n\alpha} \hat{e}_{\alpha}$$
$$F_{Dt} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho D C_{Dt}\right) (V_{t\eta} \cdot V_{t\eta})^{1/2} V_{t\alpha} \hat{e}_{\alpha}$$

where  $V_{n\alpha}$  and  $V_{t\alpha}$  are given by Equations 9 and 10.

Lift, 
$$(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{L}})$$
.  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}$  dS acts in the direction of  $-\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{3}$ . Assume:  
 $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{L}} = -\frac{1}{2} \rho C_{\mathbf{L}} D(\mathbf{V}_{\alpha} \mathbf{V}_{\alpha}) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{3}$  (12)

where  $\rho = fluid$  density

D = hose diameter

 $C_{T} = 1ift coefficient$ 

According to Reference 7, the lift coefficient will remain positive but will decrease as the hose separates from the bottom. The hose will repeatedly be suspended in the strong current momentarily and then fall back to the sea bottom. This situation is, however, simplified in the analysis by assuming the hose is suspended near the sea bottom, if the current is strong enough to lift the hose.

# Gravity and Buoyancy (Submerged Weight, $\bar{F}_{\omega}$ )

Hose weight is specified as the wet weight per unit unstretched length, w. The net gravity force exerted on the hose,  $\bar{F}_{w} dS_{o}$ , acts in the direction  $\hat{k}$  and can be expressed in terms of stretched length as:

$$\bar{F}_{w} dS_{o} = (F_{w} dS) \left(\frac{dS_{o}}{dS}\right) = \frac{w \hat{k}}{1 + \varepsilon} dS$$

In Cartesian components, we replace k by Equation 1 to obtain:

$$\bar{F}_{w} dS_{o} = \frac{w dS}{1 + \varepsilon} (\psi_{\alpha} \hat{e}_{\alpha} + \psi_{3} \hat{e}_{3})$$
(13)

Also, in normal and tangential components:

$$\vec{F}_w dS_o = dS (F_{w3} \hat{e}_3 + F_{wn} \hat{n} + F_{wt} \hat{t})$$

where  $F_{w3} = \frac{w}{1+\varepsilon} \psi_3$  (14)

$$F_{wn} = \left(\bar{F}_{w} \frac{dS_{o}}{dS}\right) \cdot \hat{n} = \frac{w}{1+\varepsilon} \psi_{\beta} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \theta_{\alpha}$$
(15)

$$F_{wt} = \left(F_{w} \frac{dS_{o}}{dS}\right) \cdot \hat{t} = \frac{w}{1+\varepsilon} \psi_{\alpha} \theta_{\alpha}$$
(16)

and  $\theta_{\alpha}$  is given by Equation 6 in terms of  $T_{\alpha}/T$ .

# Reactive Forces From the Sea Bottom

.10

**Reaction in \hat{\mathbf{e}}\_3 Direction,**  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_B$ . As long as the hose remains in contact with the bottom, a reactive force  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_B$  dS will act in the  $-\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$  direction.

Considering equilibrium in the  $\hat{e}_3$  direction, noting that  $\tilde{T}$  has no  $\hat{e}_3$  component and using Equations 12 and 14, we obtain:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \rho D C_{L} V_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} - F_{B} + \frac{w \psi_{3}}{1 + \varepsilon} = 0$$

which can be solved for  $F_{B}$ 

$$F_{B} = \frac{w \psi_{3}}{1 + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} \rho D C_{L} V_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$$

As  $V_{\alpha}$  increases,  $F_{B}$  decreases toward  $F_{B} = 0$ , which occurs when the hose segment is lifted off the seabed.

**Frictional Resistance**,  $\overline{F}_{f}$ . Assuming that the friction force  $\overline{F}_{f}$  dS can be taken as components in the  $\hat{n}$  and  $\hat{t}$  directions, and each is independently proportional to the bottom reaction force,  $\overline{F}_{R}$ , we have:

$$\bar{F}_{f} dS = \bar{F}_{R} dS \left(\mu_{n} \hat{n} + \mu_{t} \hat{t}\right)$$
(17)

where  $\mu_n$  = coefficient of friction in  $\hat{n}$  directions  $\mu_t$  = coefficient of friction in  $\hat{t}$  directions

Signs of  $\mu_n$  and  $\mu_t$  are selected to oppose the tendency to move. Because of the general shape of hose segment under current loads,  $\mu_t$  is assumed to be a skew-symmetric function over the segment length

$$\mu_{t} = \mu_{to} \left( 1 - \frac{2S}{L} \right)$$

where L = span length, S = arc length from the shore end, and  $\mu_{to}$  is given. Expressing Equation 17 in Cartesian components by Equations 2 and 3.

$$\vec{F}_{f} dS = \vec{F}_{B} ds(\mu_{n} \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} + \mu_{t} \delta_{\beta\alpha}) \theta_{\beta} \hat{e}_{\beta}$$
(18)

where  $\theta_{\beta}$  given by Equation 6 in terms of  $T_{\beta}$ . When the segment is not moving, the static friction force  $F_{fs}$  is less than the breakout force,  $F_{fb}$ 

 $|\mathbf{F}_{fs}| \leq \mathbf{F}_{fb} = | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{s} \mathbf{F}_{B} \hat{\mathbf{n}} |$ 

where  $\mu_s$  = coefficient of static friction, with  $|\mu_n|$ ,  $|\mu_t| < \mu_s$ 

and the signs of  $\mu_n$ ,  $\mu_t$  taken the same as  $F_{fs}$ .  $F_{fs}$  is determined from the static equilibrium in the normal direction as follows:

$$\vec{F}_{fs} = F_{fs} \hat{n}$$
(19)

If the segment is not moving, the friction force equilibrates the tension gradient and other external forces in Equation 8 as long as the friction force is less than the bottom resistance capacity  $\mu F_{\mu}\hat{n}$ .

Thus, we consider the  $\hat{n}$  components in Equation 8 to determine static friction force for comparison to the breakout force (holding capacity),  $\mu_{s}F_{B}\cdot\hat{n}$ . The incremental line tension  $d\bar{T}/dS$  can be decomposed as follows:

$$\frac{d\bar{T}}{d\bar{S}} = \left(\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}\right) \left(\frac{d\bar{T}}{d\bar{S}_{o}}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}\right) \frac{d(T \ \hat{t})}{d\bar{S}_{o}}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}\right) \left[\frac{d\bar{T}}{d\bar{S}_{o}} \ \hat{t} + T \ \frac{d\bar{t}}{d\bar{S}_{o}}\right]$$

$$\hat{\frac{dt}{ds}}_{O} = \frac{d\theta_{\alpha}}{ds_{O}} \hat{e}_{\alpha} = \frac{d}{ds_{O}} \left(\frac{T_{\alpha}}{T}\right) \hat{e}_{\alpha}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{T} \frac{dT_{\alpha}}{ds_{O}} - \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T^{2}} \frac{dT}{ds}\right) \hat{e}_{\alpha} = \left(\frac{1}{T} \frac{dT_{\alpha}}{ds_{O}} - \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T^{2}} \frac{dT}{ds_{O}}\right) (\theta_{\alpha} \hat{t} + \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha} \theta_{\beta} \hat{n})$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d\tilde{T}}{dS} = \left(\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}\right) \left[ \hat{t} \left(\frac{dT}{dS_{o}} + \frac{dT_{\alpha}}{dS_{o}} \theta_{\alpha} - \theta_{\alpha} \theta_{\alpha} \frac{dT}{dS_{o}} \right) \right]$$

$$+ \hat{n} \left( \frac{dT_{\alpha}}{dS_{o}} \theta_{\beta} - \theta_{\alpha} \theta_{\beta} \frac{dT}{dS_{o}} \right) \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} \right]$$

Since 
$$\theta_{\alpha} = \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T}$$
,  $\theta_{\alpha} \theta_{\alpha} = 1$ , and  $\frac{dT}{dS_{o}} = \frac{T_{\eta}}{T} \frac{dT_{\eta}}{dS_{o}}$   
$$\frac{d\tilde{T}}{dS} = \left(\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}\right) \left[\hat{t} \left(\frac{T_{\alpha}}{T} \frac{dT_{\alpha}}{dS_{o}}\right) + \hat{n} \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} \frac{T_{\beta}}{T} \frac{dT_{\eta}}{dS_{o}} \left(\delta_{\alpha\eta} - \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T} \frac{T_{\eta}}{T}\right)\right]$$
(20)

Setting the  $\hat{n}\text{-}\text{components}$  in Equation 8 to zero, and using Equations 20, 14, 15, 17, and 19:

$$\hat{n} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha}}{1+\varepsilon} \frac{T_{\beta}}{T} \frac{dT_{\eta}}{dS_{o}} \left( \delta_{\alpha\eta} - \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T} \frac{T_{\eta}}{T} \right) + \frac{w \psi_{\alpha}}{1+\varepsilon} \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha} \frac{T_{\beta}}{T} + \frac{1}{2} \rho D C_{Dn} (V_{n\eta} V_{n\eta})^{1/2} V_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha} \frac{T_{\beta}}{T} + F_{fs} \right] = 0$$

Solving for  $\textbf{F}_{fs},$  assuming  $\textbf{T}_{\alpha}$  is known, we have:

$$F_{fs} = - \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha} \frac{T_{\beta}}{T} \left[ \frac{w \psi_{\alpha}}{1 + \varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \rho D C_{Dn} (V_{n\eta} V_{n\eta})^{1/2} V_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{dT_{\eta}}{dS_{o}} \left( \delta_{\alpha n} - \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T} \frac{T_{\eta}}{T} \right) \right]$$
(21)

13

But

Breakout occurs if

 $|F_{fs}| > \mu_s F_B$ 

in which the sign of  $\mu_s$  and  $F_t = \text{sign of } F_{fs}$ . To evaluate  $dT_{\eta}/dS_o$  in Equation 21, the actual computation uses central differences with discrete values of  $T_{\eta}$  at regularly spaced points.

# Dynamic Equilibrium in $\hat{e}_{\alpha}$ Direction

If static breakout occurs, we replace the static friction coefficient by dynamic friction coefficient given by Equation 17 and consider equilibrium in the  $\hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{e}_2$  directions. Set the  $\hat{e}_{\alpha}$  components in Equation 8 to zero using Equations 13, 11, and 18 along with:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{S}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{O}}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{S}}\right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{T}_{\alpha} \,\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{\alpha})}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{O}}} \left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{T}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{O}}} \,\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{\alpha}$$

Therefore, solving for  $dT_{\alpha}/dS_{\alpha}$ :

$$\frac{dT_{\alpha}}{dS_{o}} = -(1 + \varepsilon) \left[ \frac{w}{1 + \varepsilon} \psi_{\alpha} + F_{Dt\alpha} + F_{Dn\alpha} + F_{B} \frac{T_{\beta}}{T} (\mu_{n} \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha} + \mu_{t} \delta_{\beta\alpha}) \right]$$
(22)

where  $F_{Dn\alpha} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho D C_{Dn}\right) (V_{n\eta} V_{n\eta})^{1/2} V_{n\alpha}$   $V_{n\alpha} = \left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T} \frac{T_{\beta}}{T}\right) V_{\beta}$   $F_{Dt\alpha} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho D C_{Dt}\right) (V_{t\eta} V_{t\eta})^{1/2} V_{t\alpha}$  $V_{t\alpha} = \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T} \frac{T_{\beta}}{T} V_{\beta}$ 

Thus, we must solve Equation 22 as a first order differential equation along with Equation 7, here rewritten as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{dX}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{dS}_{o}} = (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\mathrm{T}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{T}}$$

for  $T_{\alpha}$  and  $X_{\alpha}$  with

۰.

۰,

$$\epsilon = C_1 T^2$$
$$T = (T_{\alpha} T_{\alpha})^{1/2}$$

If the segment moves, we solve for the new equilibrium position and tension in which the segment ceases to move.

# Numerical Solutions

Rewrite the governing Equations 7 and 22 as:

$$\frac{dX_{\alpha}}{dS_{o}} = g_{1\alpha}$$
$$\frac{dT_{\alpha}}{dS_{o}} = g_{2\alpha} + g_{3\alpha}$$

where  $g_{1\alpha} = (1 + \epsilon) \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T}$ 

....

$$g_{2\alpha} = -(1 + \varepsilon) \left( \frac{w \psi_{\alpha}}{1 + \varepsilon} + F_{Dn\alpha} + F_{Dt\alpha} \right)$$
$$g_{3\alpha} = g_{1\beta}(F_B)(\mu_n \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha} + \mu_t \delta_{\beta\alpha})$$

The solution to these nonlinear equations can be obtained by iteration on quasi-linearized equations. Taking Taylor expansions of  $g_{i\alpha}$ , i = 1,3, we can use the Newton-Raphson iteration method as described in Reference 8:

$$\frac{dX}{dS_{o}} = \tilde{g}_{1\alpha} + a_{1\alpha\beta}(T_{\alpha} - \tilde{T}_{\alpha})$$

$$\frac{dT_{\alpha}}{dS_{o}} = \tilde{g}_{2\alpha} + \tilde{g}_{3\alpha} + (a_{2\alpha\beta} + a_{3\alpha\beta})(T_{\beta} - \tilde{T}_{\beta})$$

where

$$\widetilde{g}_{i\alpha} = g_{i\alpha} | \widetilde{X}_{\eta}, \widetilde{T}_{\eta}$$

$$a_{i\alpha\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g_{i\alpha}}{\partial T_{\beta}} \end{bmatrix}_{\widetilde{X}_{\eta}, \widetilde{T}_{\eta}}$$

 $\tilde{X}_{\eta}, \tilde{T}_{\eta}$  = approximated values

The iterative process proceeds as follows: assume values  $\tilde{X}_{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha}$  at each point, calculate  $\tilde{g}_{i\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{a}_{i\alpha\beta}$ , and solve the linearized equation for improved answer  $X_{\alpha}$  and  $T_{\alpha}$ . Repeat this iterative process until  $(X_{\alpha} - \tilde{X}_{\alpha})$  and  $(T_{\alpha} - \tilde{T}_{\alpha}) \doteq 0$ .

## PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS

#### Parameters Considered in the Test

A sensitive analysis was conducted to investigate the influence on the hoseline response of the governing parameters considered in the simulation model. The parameters tested include four categories: (1) the axial rigidity of the hose, (2) the hoseline geometry, (3) the current velocity, and (4) the seabed resistance. Tests were conducted by varying one parameter at a time while keeping the others constant. A wide range of hose rigidity was tested for the influence of rigidity. However, only a rigid hose was used in the rest of the numerical experiments in order to separate the effect of other parameters from the influence of hose elongation.

# Axial Rigidity of the Hose

High-strength hoses are often made of elastomers fortified with steel or synthetic fiber reinforcement. Their axial rigidities depend on the material and the construction of their reinforcements. These

hoses usually stretch nonlinearly under tension as shown in Figure 3. The data presented in Figure 3 were obtained from an elongation test of a highly stretchable hose (Ref 9). The age and the previous loading history of the hose may also change the axial rigidity significantly. Therefore, it is rather difficult to accurately estimate the rigidity of a hose. A numerical test was conducted to examine the influence of the rigidity on the behavior of a hoseline. In order to study the effect in general, the rigidity is represented by a load deflection relation approximated by Equation 5. The influence of the material coefficients,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , were tested separately. The test was first conducted using a hose of linear material. In this case,  $C_2$  is unity and  $C_1$  is directly related to the modulus of elasticity. A wide range of C1, which represents conduits varying from a steel pipe to a highly stretchable rubber hose, was tested. Figures 4a and 4b present the lateral deflection and the hose tension, respectively. Both are nondimensionalized by their corresponding values of an unstretchable hose, which has a modulus of elasticity equal to E<sub>steel</sub>. E<sub>hose</sub> and E<sub>steel</sub> represent the modulae of elasticity of the hose and steel, respectively. S/L denotes the segment length-to-span ratio. The results indicated that the response of a tight segment with small S/L ratio to a strong current is heavily influenced by the rigidity of the hose. This fact is especially true when the equivalent modulus of elasticity is less than one thousandth of E steel. It is important to note that the rigidity of a typical submarine hose is within this range. A highly stretchable hose in a strong current elongates extensively and develops a deeper curved shape than would a rigid hose with the same initial S/L ratio. Therefore, the tension load and the lateral deflection of a tight stretchable segment in a strong current may increase as much as 300 percent, if improper hose rigidity were used. On the other hand, the response of a tight or loose segment in moderate currents appears to be much less sensitive to the variation of the rigidity. The axial tension remains nearly constant over a wide range of the rigidity. The reason can be attributed to the effect of the equilibrium shape of the segment on the hose response, which will be described in the test of

hoseline geometry. Figure 5 summarizes the effect of the material coefficient  $C_2$ . The value of  $C_2$  reflects the stiffening process of the reinforcement of a hose. For a realistic hose,  $C_2$  is generally less than unity. Again, the influence of  $C_2$  becomes important only when the hose is heavily loaded beyond the extent that the hose begins stiffening. This occurs when a tight hose is installed in a strong current.

# **Current Force**

The current-induced force on a hose section is a function of the current velocity, the current direction, the hose diameter, and the hydrodynamic coefficients. This simulation model employs the Morison equation to estimate the current force. Therefore, the current forces can be expected to be proportional to the hose diameter and the hydrodynamic coefficients. If the curved shape of the hose segment remains the same, the force should be proportional to the normal velocity squared. This relation was verified by the results presented in Figure 6. The hose tension, due to a broadside current, follows a parabolic function closely, as long as the current is strong enough to overcome the seabed The influence of the current direction on the hose response resistance. is more complicated, because the segment changes its equilibrium shape in compliance with the current direction, as shown in Figure 7. A hose section oblique to the current direction experiences a much larger reduction in form drag than the increase in skin drag. As a result, the total current force exerted on the section decreases significantly. Figure 8 summarizes the anchor loads on three segments of different S/L ratios in various current directions. The forces are nondimensionalized by the forces due to broadside currents. Generally, the anchor loads decrease in a form of  $\cos^n \theta$  as the current shifts away from the direction normal to the hose length. The angle is defined in Figure 9. The value of n decreases as the S/L ratio of the segment increases. Since the hose is fairly stiff and stretches very little, the result reflects the influence of the current direction alone. Considering a segment of S/L = 1.0, the segment remains straight irrespective of the current direction. The anchor load can be expected to be proportional to the normal velocity

squared (i.e.,  $V^2 \cos^2 \theta$ ), which is confirmed by the results of the case of S/L = 1.01. On the other hand, a loose segment aligns a large portion of its length with the current, and therefore experiences less cross current. As a result, the effect of the current diminishes.

# Hoseline Geometry

The equilibrium shape of a hose segment determines the orientation of the hose section with respect to the current, and therefore significantly affects the total current applied on a hoseline. Intuitively, a loose segment tends to align a larger portion of its length with the current direction, and therefore experiences a smaller current force than a tight segme t. The curved shape of a flexible tension member subject to a uniform load can be uniquely characterized by the ratio of the segment length, S, to the span distance, L. Consequently, the S/L ratios were selected to represent the geometry of a hoseline segment. Figure 10 summarizes the influence of the hoseline geometry on the hoseline behavior. Values of S/L were generated by using different combinations of various span distances and various segment lengths. The hoseline responses in 1.5- and 4.0-knot broadside currents were tested. The results clearly demonstrate that the response of a hose segment of little stretchability is determined solely by the S/L ratio and is linearly proportional to the segment length and the span distance. In general, the hoseline response remains roughly constant for a S/L ratio greater than 1.2, and increases rapidly when the ratio decreases below 1.2. Since an unstretchable hose is used in this test, the lateral deflection is not sensitive to the current velocity and the line tension and the anchor load are closely proportional to the velocity squared, as anticipated.

# Sea Bottom Resistance

Seabed resistance depends on the combination of the unit weight of conduit, the lifting force, and the soil properties. The soil reaction is more complicated and less understood than other parameters involved.

The mechanism of the soil reaction is approximated with a Coulomb friction force. All the factors involved are included in a simple friction coefficient. Friction coefficients varying from 0.3 to 0.9, which represent a typical hard sandy seabed, were tested to show the influence on the hose response. The tests were repeated for conduits of unit weights from 3 to 7 pounds per linear foot in a 1.5-knot current. Unfortunately, the results shown in Figure 11 are not conclusive. Further research efforts are required to identify the influence of the sea bottom resistance. However, the typical submarine hoses are usually light and are likely to be lifted off the sea bottom by a moderate current. Therefore, the influence of the bottom resistance may be negligible.

## STABILITY OF NAVY HOSELINE

A three-segment hoseline secured with four anchors equally spaced along a straight line on a flat horizontal seabed was used to show the stability, in general, of a Navy hoseline. Stability was evaluated in terms of the maximum lateral deflection, the maximum tension, and the anchor loads. Calculations were conducted for two hoses of various layouts in the current environment of practical application. The results were compiled in a series of design charts.

#### Characteristics of Navy Hoses

Figure 3 demonstrates the behavior of a typical Navy submarine fuel hose under tension. This particular hose is made of synthetic rubber fortified with 2-ply contra helical steel wire reinforcements. Figure 3a relates the axial elongation and the variation in outside diameter of the hose to the axial tension. The hose stretches linearly at low tension, and becomes highly nonlinear as the tension exceeds 20 kips. This fact can be attributed to the two distinct deformation mechanisms of the hose reinforcements at different load levels. At low tension, the helical wire reinforcement stretches like a regular helical spring without actually deforming the wire material. Therefore, the hose is fairly flexible and

a linear relation between load and deflection can be expected. As the axial tension exceeds the magnitude which rotates the lay angle of the reinforcement wire to the limit, the wire begins stretching itself to resist the external loads. The hose becomes much more stiff and highly nonlinear thereafter. Another remarkable feature of the Navy hoses, along with their high stretchability, is their extensive diameter reduction. Figure 3c shows that the outside diameter of the hose reduces almost the same amount as the axial elongation in percentage of their original dimension. Furthermore, the results from a simple calculation based on the data presented in Figure 3 indicate that the wall thickness of the hose changes very little when the hose stretches (Appendix B). Reduction in hose size is due to contraction of the inner diameter. The reduction in hose diameter has to be properly accounted for in the calculation of current loads experienced by the hose segments. The axial stiffness of the hose is also dependent on the pressure inside the hose. High internal pressure resists the radial contraction of the hose reinforcement layer. This makes the reinforcement layer harder to stretch, as for a helical spring. As a result, the hose becomes stiffer when the pressure inside the hose increases, as shown in Figure 3(b). Therefore, the empirical load deflection curve of the hose measured under working internal pressure shall be used for the final design.

Hose Layouts. The calculation was repeated for two hoses of different axial rigidity. One is the highly stretchable Navy hose described in the previous section. The other is a rigid hose of a very little stretchability. Hoses that were 7.5 and 9.5 inches in outside diameter were used. The segment lengths of 200, 500, 1,000, and 2,000 feet were included in the calculation. The segment length in combination with different span distance between anchors results in various S/L ratios from 1.05, 1.10, 1.15, and 1.20.

**Environmental Conditions**. The hoseline stability was calculated for the currents approaching from 0, 15, and 30 degrees off the perpendicular to the general direction of the hoseline. Six different

current speeds in the 0.5- to 4-knot range were used for each current direction. The hydrodynamic coefficients for a submarine flowline vary significantly from case to case. Their values depend on the experiment setup, model scale, current condition, data acquisition procedure, and data reduction method. Generally, these force coefficients are valid only for conditions similar to those for which they were measured. The inline drag coefficients used in the contemporary engineering practice vary from 0.75 to 1.40. The transverse drag coefficients vary from 0.6 to 1.0. The low values were empirical data measured in 37 feet of water offshore Honolulu (Ref 10), whereas the high values were recommended by Det Norske Veritas for designing a submarine pipeline system (Ref 11). Both sets are for.steel or concrete coated steel pipes. Data for synthetic rubber hoses are not available. However, practical hoses are much smaller than the thickness of the boundary layer of the water current, and are therefore fully submerged in the boundary layer. Consequently, the actual current velocity experienced by the hoses is smaller than that of the free stream. Using the lower force coefficients in combination with the free stream velocity seems to be more realistic. Navy hoseline is a relocatable system intended for worldwide application. The system may be installed on various types of sea bottoms. Field data show that the typical Coulomb friction coefficient for a steel or concrete pipe on a hard sandy seabed varies from 0.3 to 0.9 (Ref 5). A low friction coefficient of 0.3, which will result in higher loads, was used in the calculation. The hose particulars and the environmental conditions are summarized in Table 1.

# **Results**

A total of eight design charts (Tables 2 through 5) were prepared for various hose rigidities, hose particulars, and current conditions. The results for the rigid hose (Tables 2 and 3) were further reduced into a parametric model, Equations 23 through 26, using the findings obtained from the parametric analysis as a guideline. The parametric model is compared with the complete simulation model in Figure 12. The

solid lines indicate two models with identical results. The parametric model provides a good approximation for the conditions within the limits indicated:

$$\frac{d}{L} = 0.07 (SDV_n)^{0.05} (\frac{S}{L})^6$$
(23)

$$\frac{T_{m}}{L} = 0.17 \text{ D } V_{n}^{2} (\frac{S}{L})^{-4}$$
(24)

$$\frac{A_{e}}{L} = 0.17 \text{ D } V_{n}^{2} \left(\frac{S}{L}\right)^{-4}$$
(25)

$$\frac{A_{i}}{L} = 0.25 \text{ D } V_{n}^{2} \left(\frac{S}{L}\right)^{-4}$$
(26)

where	d	=	deflection (ft)
	Tm	=	maximum hose tension (1b)
	۸ <sub>e</sub>	=	current load at end anchors (1b)
	A <sub>i</sub>	=	current load at intermediate anchors (1b)
	$\mathbf{L}$	=	span distance between anchors (ft)
	S	=	segment length between anchors (ft)
	V <sub>n</sub>	=	normal component of current velocity (knots)
	D	=	outside diameter of the hose (in.)

Limits:

5	≤	D ≤ 10	inches	200	≤	S	≤	2,000	feet
1	≤	$V_n \le 4$	knots	1.05	≤	s,	/L	≤ 1.20	)

# Engineering Applications

ACP Hose. The stability of the Advanced Collapsible Pipe hose can be evaluated directly by using the design charts. It is assumed that a 6-inch ACP hose is to be installed in a uniform 2-knot cross current. The outside diameter of the hose is approximately 7.5 inches. It is further assumed that the system is to be installed at an initial (or unstretched) segment length-to-span ratio of 1.05. The anchor capacity required to hold a hose segment of various lengths against a 2-knot broadside current can be obtained from Table 3 under the column labelled with '0 degree' and 'Anchor load.' Columns (1) and (2) give the current loads at the end and the intermediate anchors, respectively. For example, the minimum holding capacity of the anchor at the end of a 1,000-foot segment can be obtained from the row labelled with S/L = 1.05, Length = 1000, and V = 2.0. The results are 3.2 and 4.2 kips for the end and the intermediate anchor, respectively. As a matter of fact, there is more than one way to anchor a hoseline. Final selection requires a trade-off analysis taking into consideration of the strength of the hose, the lateral deflection, the holding capacity of the anchors, the installation requirements, and the operation costs, etc.

Other Hoses. The stability of other hoses, whose axial rigidities are substantially different than those of the ACP hose, may be determined by iteration using the design charts for the rigid hose along with the empirical load deflection relation of the hose under consideration. The iteration procedure includes four simple steps: (1) determine the hose tension from the proper design chart for the rigid hose using the initial (unstretched) S/L ratio and other given parameters, (2) determine the elongation,  $\varepsilon$ , associated with that tension from the empirical load deflection relation, and (3) calculate the new S/L ratio by multiplying the old S/L by (1+ $\epsilon$ ). Then, (4) use the new S/L ratio and repeat steps 1 to 3 until the tension load comes within desired tolerance. For example, the stability of the ACP hose demonstrated in the previous paragraph can also be determined using the design charts for rigid hoses. Step 1: Entering Table 2 with S/L = 1.05, V = 2.0, S = 1,000, and  $\theta = 0$ degree, the tension load is 4.5 kips. Step 2: Interpolating from Figure 3, the elongation of the hose under 4.5 kips tension is 7 percent. Step 3: The new S/L ratio is therefore equal to 1.12. Repeating steps 1 to 3 with the new S/L ratio, the second iteration gives a tension of 2.8 kips and a elongation of 5 percent. The third iteration gives a tension of 3.1 kips and an elongation of 5 percent, which are within the accuracy of the design charts. Therefore, the equilibrium S/L ratio of the segment is 1.05 X (1+0.05) = 1.10. The loads at the end and the intermediate

anchors are determined from Table 1, with S/L = 1.10 and S = 1,050 feet, as 3.2 and 4.2 kips, respectively. The results are identical with those obtained directly from the design charts for ACP hoses. Furthermore, the stability of a rigid hose can also be determined from the parametric model, Equations 23 through 26. Equation 24 is used in place of design charts for estimating the tension loads, and Equations 23, 25, and 26 are used to determined the lateral deflection and the anchor loads. The iteration procedure remains the same.

#### CONCLUSIONS

1. The lateral stability of a flexible submarine hoseline on the seafloor in a slowly varying current environment may be properly simulated based on the Morison equation and a nonlinear cable theory. Stability can be evaluated in terms of anchor load, axial tension, and maximum lateral deflection of the hoseline.

2. The on-bottom behavior of the hoseline is most influenced by the following factors: the equilibrium curved shape of the hose segment, the size of hose, the current velocity, and the axial rigidity of the hose. The influence of the sea bottom resistance is negligible from a design point of view.

3. The equilibrium curved shape of a hose segment, which may be represented by the segment length-to-span ratio, S/L, is the most dominant factor on the response of a hoseline. The influence of S/L on the responses is illustrated in Figure 10. The results show that a tight hose segment with a small S/L ratio will experience large current loads with small lateral deflection, and that a loose hose with a large S/L ratio will experience a smaller current load, but with a much larger lateral deflection. A proper S/L ratio has to be selected according to the capacity of anchors, the strength of the hose, and the operational requirements. A ratio between 1.05 and 1.10 is recommended for practical applications based on the results of a parametric analysis.

4. At a constant equilibrium S/L ratio, the load is proportional to the hose size, the hydrodynamic coefficients, and the span distance, and is closely proportional to the normal current velocity squared.

5. The axial rigidity influenced the elongation of a hose segment, and hence the equilibrium S/L ratio. The influence is significant for a tight stretchable hose segment deployed in a fast current, and is negligible for other cases such as a loose hose of little to moderate stretchability deployed in a slow current.

6. The optimum segment geometry of a simple hoseline may be determined by iteration using the design charts provided in Tables 2 to 5 or the parametric model described by Equations 23 to 26. The iteration procedures are demonstrated in the section on Engineering Applications. The simulation program, NCELHOSE, is recommended for the analysis of a more complicated hoseline. The source code and assistance to use the program are available at NCEL.

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Sarpkaya, and M.ST.Q. Isaacson. Mechanics of wave forces on offshore structures. New York, NY, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., 1981.

2. M.C. Huang, and R. T. Hudspeth. "Pipeline stability under finite amplitude waves," Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Division, ASCE, vol 108, no. WW2, May 1982, pp 125-145.

3. K. Holthe, T. Sotberg, and J. C. Chao. "An efficient computer model for predicting submarine pipeline response to waves and current," in Proceedings of the Offshore Technology Conference, Houston, TX, Apr 1987, Paper No. 5502, pp 159-169.

4. Y.I. Choo, and M.S. Casarella. "Configuration of a towline attached to a vehicle moving in a circular path," Journal of Hydronautics, vol 6, 1972, pp 51-57.

26

5. R.B. Chiou. Nonlinear static analysis of long submerged cable segment by spatial integration, M.S. Report, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, Jul 1987.

6. R.C. Simpson, and J. W. Leonard. Long cables under steady ocean load with coupled tension/torsion, Report No. OE-87 51, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, 1987.

7. J. Fredsoe, and E. A. Hansen. "Lift forces on pipelines in steady flow," Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering, vol 113, no. 2, 1987.

8. J.W. Leonard. Tension structures. New York, NY, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1988.

9. J.P. Harrell. First article performance testing of the Uniroyal Manuli Offshore Patroleum Discharge Fuel conduit, vol 1, Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, TX, Apr 1988.

10. R.A. Grace, et al. "Hawaii ocean test pipe project: force coefficients," in Proceedings of the Civil Engineering in Ocean IV, Sep 1979, pp 99-110.

11. Det Norske Veritas. Rules for submarine pipeline systems. Veritasveien 1, 1322 Hovik, Norway, 1981.

Parameter	Range
Hose Diameter Outer Inner	7.5 and 9.5 inches 6.0 and 8.0 inches
Hoseline Geometry Length S/L	200, 500, 1,000 and 2,000 feet 1.05, 1.10, 1.15, and 1.20
Current Velocity Magnitude Direction	0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0 knots 0, 15, and 30 degrees
Hydrodynamic Force Coefficients CD CL Ct	0.75 0.60 0.1
Friction Coefficients Static Dynamic	0.3 0.25

1

# Table 1. Range of Parameters Used to Generate Design Charts

. \*

•

.

ł

inches,	= 0.3)
7.5	ల్
	6,
ĝ	1
nts	Ъ.
curre	0.75,
teady	ء 0
00	ſft,
f rigid hoseline	.nches, Wet = 5 lb,
Response o	Di = 6.0 i
Table 2.	

RIGID

•1

• .

1

-		-					-	-		-						-					-					_	_		-	-		-	-		_	_	-		-		_	-	-	-			-		_	_	-	-	_
	r load					0.7	1.6	2.9	•••	0.0	0.7	2		•••		1.0	1.0	1-4	5				1.0	1.0	2.7	6.9	16.4	29.1	0.0	0.0	0		> v > ~								0 -			4 · - ·		•••	13.1	1.0		70	10	1	1.91
50	a) auchoi	Ē				0.7	1.7	۳.0 ۳	0	0.0	0.7	8.1				1.0	1.0	1.4	5				1.0	1.0	2.8	0.7	16.5	29.2	0.0	0.0	0.2		• • •	4.0	10		2 u 2 c	, c 2 -	40	N (		2		2 u 4 (		0	+			h 0	h	10	1.17
legree	ten.	12)			0.3	0.7	1.7	з.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	a I		•		0.1	1.0	1.4	5				<b>1.</b> 0	1.0	2 <b>-</b> 9	7.0	16.5	29.3	0.0	0.0	0		) - ) -	4 -	10		2 4	- - -	40	<b>N</b> (			) ( ) (	) u 1 (	, , , ,	, , ,				20	•=	0	2.37
0 M	Isixa				6.0	0.7	1.7	<b>9.0</b>	0.0	0.0	0.7	8			•	1.0	0.1	1.4	5.6				7.0		2.9	7.0	16.5	29.2	0.0	0.0	0.2		, . , .	4 -		20		• •	10	N :		200		2 u 4 c	<i>.</i>		+•••		-10	> 0		100	2. 14
	defi.		I	27	26	26	26	26	65	65	65	99				132	132	IEI	131	1.5				202	261	264	265	267	36	36	35	2			2.0				66		2		707			9/T				200		10	
	max.			50	26	27	27	27	66	<b>6</b> 6	65	5				132	132	130	132	133			202	202	260	264	265	267	36	36	5	) <del> </del>	) u ) c		2	19					200		701							202			202
	r Ioad				0.4	0.8	2.0	3.5	••	0.0	6.0			• •	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.8	1.2	0			2.0	0.2	3.6	<b>8.4</b>	19.8	35.1	0.0	0.0				-	10	•••	> •			• •	<u>}</u>								7 C	24		10	1. TC
es	ancho	Ē		000	•	0.9	2.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.9	~	1		b (	1.0 1	1 0.1	1.8	4.3	0.01			0.2	0.2	9•e	8°.5	19.8	35.0	0.0	0.0	0.2			• •			5 4		, u 4 r	<b>1</b> (		1.	, r , r	• •	2.2	, , ,	14.1			• •	200	24.6	)
degre	ten.			20	-	0.9	2.0	9.e	•	0.0	6.0	~			h 1	1.0	1.0	1.9	5				2	7.0	3°8	89 2	19.9	35.1	0.0	0.0				-		20			, u				- C	10			14.5			, u 1 a		24.6	> • • •
15	axial	Ê			4	0.9	2.0	3.5	•••	0.0	6.0				0	1.0	0.1	1.9		0.01			7. 0	0.2	8°.C	8 .5	19.8	35.0	0.0	0.0			-	н н 1 с			2	2	-	<b>,</b> (	00			10	2	<b>.</b>	7.7					1	
	def1.			56	26	26	26	26	65	65	66	66	3.5		200	132	132	133	133	22			107	707	265	267	269	270	36	36	36	200			53	7.0	12	10	10	13	1	707	701	201	191	797	727		100		200	246	2 2 2
	.XEM			56	26	26	26	26	66	99	66	99	29			132	132	133	133	1.5				202	265	267	269	271	36	36	99		24		2	7	7 6			2	1.5	707			797	797				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		367	100
	r load				•	6.0	2.1	3.7	•••	0.0	1.0	5	1 M			1.0	0.1	1.9	4.5	10.5			7.0	0.2	8°E	0.6	21.0	37.3	0.0	0.0	0			• •					1 4		0 C		14		•	• ( > (		2 4	20	10		133.2	4
	ancho			20	4.0	6.0	2.1	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.0	0				1.0	0.1	2.0	4.5	10.5			10.1	0.2	6. M	0.6	20.9	36.9	0.0	0.0			• • • -		•			• •	<u>,</u>		•					10	14.4			9 C 1 V	• •	25.7	;
gree	ten.				•	6.0	2.1	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.0		1 m		• •	7.0 1	0.1	2.0	4.5	10.5			21	0.2	•	0.6	20.9	37.0	0.0	0.0	0.9		, , ,	•			3 C		, ч , •	•••						10	11.4			•••	• •	25.7	;
0 de	Inixe					6.0	2.1	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.0	5				1.0	1.0	2.0	4.5	10.5				7.0	1.1	0. 6	20.9	<b>36.9</b>	0.0	0.0	0.3			•	1 4		) ( ) (	- u		2		1.	1 -								10	25.6	, , ,
	def1.	1 (2)			5	27	27	27	65	65	66	66				132	132	133	[ 133	133				202	267	267	269	271	36	36	96		2	2	56	10	18	7 8	16	18		707	701	22	183					202		368	>
	max.			- 12	27	27	27	27	65	65	99	66		34		132	132	1133	133	133			202	202	267	267	269	271	36	36	96	2			2			28	1 2		7	707	707	201			201		• • • • •	202	200	368	3 2 2
	V (14)	INCSU		5.0		2.0	0.6	1.0	0.5	0,1	, .	•	ہ د ، د	2	•••	0.5	1.0	5					0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	~					 	2.0	3.0	•	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	•••	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	0.0	4.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0		•
	Length	וזהברו		200		200	200	200	500	500	2002			005	500	1000	1000	0000				1000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	000			200	200	200	200	500	500	500	500	500	500	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
	S/L			1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05				20 T	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05				50. T	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	01.1	1.10										1.1	57.7	01.1				3	5		51-1		90 17		,

1

Continued
2.
Table

		F	Ţ,		2			-	0,0	5 4	Û,	•			-					!	-	-	0	~		3	0		<b>N</b> U	<u>,</u> .	2	0	0	-	m, r	-	<b>0</b> r	• -	1.0			2	-	-	•
	10	ſ	Ľ	0	0			<u></u>		-			- -				-	N		12	<u>.</u>		<u> </u>	ທ	E	24.			; 	<u>.</u>			<u>.</u>	0	-i (	• •			-	2	9	7			-
	ancho	Ē			0.2	1.0	•••	1.7	•••	> <	• •						2.0	2.0		<b>1</b>	0.1	0.1	1.5	4.0	9.6	17.1	0.0	•••				0	0.0		<b>.</b>					1.7	4.2	<b>4</b> . L	1.0	0.1	۰ -
soa 16a	ten.				0.2	4.0	0,1	1.7	9.0 9.0		• •							2.0		<b>.</b> 2	0.1	0.1	1.5	4.0	9.6	17.1	0.0	0.0				0.0	0.0	 	<b>5</b> .0	4 6				1.7	4.2	1.4	1.0	1.0	-
0 0	Isix.				0.2	4.0		1.7	•••		•••	)		n (				2.0	9	8.5	0.1	0.1	1.5	•••	9.6	17.1	0.0	0.0				0	0.0		<b>6</b> ,00	15			9	1.7	4.2	7.4	1.0		~
					Ş	<b>.</b>	Ţ	Ţ	801						210		207	210	211	211	<b>1</b> 33	<b>4</b> 33	415	422	423	<b>1</b> 23	-		<b>;</b> ;	25		121	121	116					233	235	236	236	486	486	ARE
	ax. de			24	4	42	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	108		103				717	112		210	211	212	<b>EE</b>	<b>133</b>	415	422	423	424	-	8	•	;;	1	121	121	116			011 011		232	235	235	235	486	984	274
	load					0.7	1.6	2.8	•••	 					1.0		۲.۲			4.2	0.2	0.2	2.6	6.7	6.0	8.5	0.0	0.0			5.7	0.0	0.0	9.0							7.3	3.0	0.2	0,22	
	nchor				0.2	0.5	1.1	2.0				7.4	9.7		1.0		. د د	7.7	5.6	6.9	0.1	0.1	1.9	4.7	1.1	9.8 2	0.0	0.0	7.0			0.0	0.0	4.0					6.4	2.0		8.5 ] ]	0.1	1.0	
e ar ce s	en. a				0.2	0.5		2.0			•••		B. 7	2.0	1.0		0.1	7.7	2.6	6.6	0.1	0.1	1.9	4.7	1.1 1	9.6	0.0	0.0	7.0	• •		0.0	0.0	4.0			10			2.0		5.5	1.0		
5 Q	cial t				7	5.0		0.0			<b>^</b>	7	20				2		9.0	6.			6.	1.7			•••	•••	2	•	2		0.0	-	•••	•	10			0		5.5			
	EI -   a)					<b>.</b>			80		50		200		9	91	11	17	16	17	33	33	34	33	34 11	34 15	<b>8</b>	8				51	21	51	12	12						E	87	87	
	ax. de			10							80		1 .		9	91		17 2	16 	17 2	33	33	34	32		34 4	8	8	20		0 0	21 1	12	21				25	5		10	5	86 4	4 V V	
Ļ		F			-		_	-	-		-		-	-	~	N (		~	~	2	-	-	-	-	-	4	_	_		_		-	H	7			-i c 	й с —	10	-		Ň	-		
	r loa	ps)				0.7	1.7		•••			2.4			1.0			3.6	8.4	15.0	0.2	0.2	2.8	7.1	16.9	30.0	••••	0.0	0.2			0.0	0.0	0.6	7.6	2	00	:-	1.2	3.2	7.7	13.7	0.2	د د د	-
	ancho				0.2	0.5	1.2	2.1	•••	2.	0		2.9			1.0	л. Т.О	2.4	5.8	10.3	0.1	1.0	2.0	6.4	11.5	20.5		•••	0.2	• •		- 0	•••	•••	0. 		• •		18,0	2.1	6.4	8.7	1.0		
ree	ten.	8) (2)			0.2	0.5	1.2	2.1	0.0	0,1		1.2	2.9	1.0	1.0		1.1	2.4	5.8	10.3	0.1	0.1	2.1	6.	11.5	20.5	•	0.0	0.2	•••		- 0	0.0	4.0						2.1	6.4	8.7	0.1	2	
0 deo	axial				0.2	0.5	1.2	2.1	0.0	0	5.0	1.2	6.7		1.0		1.1	2.4	رد 8	10.3	0.1	0.1	2.1	6.4	11.5	20.5	0.0	0.0	0	••	F	0	0.0	.0	0.1	7	•		10	2.1	6.4	8.7	1.0	0	
		3 2		24	1	Ţ	Ę.	<b>.</b>	108	108	109	109	109	601	216	216	219	218	218	218	432	432	438	436	437	437	<b>4</b> 8	<b>4</b> 8	6			122	122	123	122	777			247	246	245	245	486	486	
	max.			24		<b>.</b>	42	4	108	108	109	109	109	109	216	216	219	218	218	218	432	432	438	436	437	437	₩8	<b>4</b> 8	5	÷.		122	122	123	122	777	111		247	246	246	245	487	A 8.7	
Γ		kts) -	T	0.5	0.1	2.0	3.0	0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	0.6	4.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	0.6									0.1		2.0	3.0				2.0	3.0	0.4	0.5		1.5		, 0, ₹	5.0		n.1
F	ngth	eet) (	╋	200	007	200	200	200	500	500	500	500	500	500	1000	1000	0001	1000	1000		2000				2000	2000	200	200	200	200	200	200			500	500	500	1000	1000	1000	1000		2000		2000
┡	Ľ.	Ű.	+	n v	<u>,</u>	5	ŝ	S	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	5	ŝ	5	2	S	5	S	S	S	Ś		ŝ	5.0	ŝ	0	0	0.	0.0	5		0	0	0	0	50	50	50	50	50	ਰ	ð	đ	F
I	s / 1						1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		1.1	7			1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2					1.2	1.2				10	1-	*	1.2	1.2	1.2	

RIGID

Response of rigid hoseline to steady currents (Do = 9.5 inches, Di = 8.0 inches, Wet = 5 lb/ft,  $c_D = 0.75$ ,  $c_L = 0.6$ ,  $c_f = 0.3$ ) Table 3.

RIGID

1

_	-		_		-	_	-	-	-	-		-				_	_		_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-		_	_		_	-			_	-	-	-	_
	load ps)	Ē	0.0	<b>+</b> 0 0 0	2.1	3.7			2.3	2.5	9.2			4.6	10.4	18.5	0.2	0.0	• 0	20.8	36.9	0.0		0.8	1.9	<b>4</b> 0 m 0	0	6.0			0.1	0.1		7 4	16.8	0.2	0.7 0	2	18.9 33.6
	anchor (Ki	E	0.0	••	2.1	3.7		1.1	2.3	0.0 0.0				1.4	10.5	18.6	0.2	0.2	7 ~	21.0	37.1	•••		0.7	1.5	2.7	0.0	0.7	1.0		0.1	1.0	1.5		13.2	0.1	0.1	2.4	14.9
saaiha	ten. US)	Ê	0.0		2.1	3.7			2.3	5.3	~ ~ ~			1	10.5	18.6	0.2	0 • • •	7 r • 0	21.0	37.1	0.0		0.7	1.5	2.7		0.7		9.6	1.0	0.1		ຳຕ	13.2	0.1	0.1		14.9
3 0 d	axial (Ki		0.0	<b>+</b> 0	2.1	3.7		1.1	2.3	5.3			1~	1.7	10.5	18.6	0.2	~ ~	7 0	20.9	37.0	0.0		0.7	1.5	2-7		0.7	1.1	.9.9	0.1	0.1	1.5	יי יי יי	13.2	0.1	0.1	2 Y 2 Y	14-9 26-4
		ŝ	27 27	26	56	26	Ω Υ Υ	99	66	66	99	132	121	131	132	133	265	265	797	265	267	36	0 5 7 6	90	36	36	16	68	06	0.0	182	182	178	178	179	363	363	- 335	359
	hax. de		26 26	26	27	27	99	65	66	66	99	132	121	132	132	132	265	265	797	265	267	36	0 v 7 r	200	35	35	16	68	68	6 8 6 8	182	182	177	179	179	364	364	, 	928 928 928 928
	load r	(Z)	0.U 0.1	0.5	2.5	<b>.</b> .5	0.0	1.5	2.8	6.3				2.0	12.5	22.3	0.2	80	2.2	25.1	14.5	0.0			2.2	0.4	2.0	1.1	2.5		1.0	0.3	5.3	0.	9.61	0.2	0.5	•	39.8
	anchor		0.0	0.5	2.5	4.5	0.0	7.0	5.8	6.3	11.2				12.6	22.4	0.2	6.0	, , ,	25.1		0.0	0		1.8	3.1	2-	6.0	5-0	• •	0.1	0.2	1.8	ب م م	15.6	0.2	0.5		31.1
degree	ten.		0.1	0.5	2.5	4.5	0,0		2.8	6.3	11.2	1.0	0 F	 	12.6	22.4	0.2	1.2		22.22	5.4	0.0		8.0	1.8	3.1	0.0	6.0	2-0	• •		0.3	1.8	5 0 M 0	15.6	0.2	0.7	0 0 0 0	31.2
5	axial		0.1 0	0.5	2.5	<b>۲</b>	0.0		.8.	6.3	11.2	1.0	0 r	- 4 - 4 - 4	12.6	22.3	0.2	1.2		2211		0.0			1.8	3.1	0,0	6.0	2.0	• •		0.3	1.8	ۍ ه س	15.6	0.2	0.7	9 0 m r	31.1
	defi		26 27 27	26	5 Q	26	90	000	99	67	67	132	132			133	264	264	266	261	270	36	37	2 4	90	36	16	18	16	16	182	185	182	181	182	364	369	364	365
	max.		26 26	26	26	26	99	0 4	99	99	99	132			134	134	265	264	266	268	123	36	37	0 v 7 ~	) 4) n m	35	16	16	06	06	182	185	182	182	182	363	369	364	366
	load	(2)	0.0	9.0	1.2	4.7			0	6.7	11.8	0.1	ۍ و د	00		23.7	0.2	1.0	5.6	11.8	47.3	0.0	7.5		5.4	4.2		1.2	2.6	ر م م		0.3	2.4	5.3	21.1	0.2	0.6	6.4	23.7
	anchor		2.0	9.0	10	4.7	0.1			6.7	11.8	0.1	۰° م			23.6	0.2	1.0	2.6	11.8	46.8	0.0	0.1	• •		3.3	0.0	10.1	2.0	9 0	1.0		1.9	4.	16.3	0.2	0.5	~ ~	18.3 32.5
001	ten.	(2)	0.0	0.6	1.2	8	0.1			6.7	9.11	0.1	<b>~</b> °0	20		23.6	0.2	1.3	8,5	11.9	46.94	0.0	1.0			 	0.0	10.1	2.0	4.6	1.0	4.0	1.9	<b>*</b> .	16.31	0.2	0.8	ۍ د د	18.3
Dep 0	axial		0 r 0 r	0.6	10	- 4	0.1	m •	• •	6.7	11.8	0.1	0.1 0	20		23.6	0.2	1.3	5.8	11.8	46.8	0.0	1.0		1.8	3.3	0.0	1.0	2.0	• •	100	-	1.9		7.7	0.2	0.8	ი. ი	8.2 18.3 32.5
	def1.		52	27	27	27	65	67		99	99	132	133				265	268	267	267	271	36	5	9	9.9	36	16	16	16	16	182	186	183	183	201	364	373	367	368
	max.		\$5	27	27	52	65	67	0 4	99	66	132	133				265	268	267	267	271	36	53	9 Y	9.9	36	16	26	16	16	182	186	183	183		364	373	367	368
	2	(Kts)	0.5	1.5	2.0	0.5 • • •	0.5	1.0	1.5	0.0 0.0			1.0	1.5	2.0	0.0	- u -		1.5	2.0	0.0 	- C		1.5	5.0 7.0		0.5	1.0		 	••	5°0		- 0 - 7	3.0	•	• • •	1.5	2.0.0 • • • • •
Γ	Length	(teet)	200	200	200	200	500	500	500	500	005	0000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	0007	2000	2000	2000	0007	2001	200	200	200	500	500	005	500	500	1000	0001	1000	1000	1000	2000	2000	2000 2000 2000
	S/L		1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	201	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05			1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05		1.10	1.10			1.10	1.10		1.10	01.1			1.10	1.10			1.19	1.19	
-	_	_	-			-		_	-	_	-	-				_					-	_	_			_		_			_			-			_		

RIGID

Table 3. Continued

_				_				_						_	_	_	_				_			-		_		_		_	_		-				_	-				
	ps)	2		 0	0.8	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.8	6, I	4 i 					8.6	15.4	0.2	0.2			20.2	0.0	0.0			2.8	0.0	0.0	0.1	4.0	1.1	0.1	0.1		n = n =	14.2	0.2	0.2	7 r 7 r	15.9	28.3
ł	ancnor (Ki	E	0.0	0 0 0 0	0.5	ч с с с	10	0.0	0.6	•	0.0	<b>.</b>			10	6.1	10.8	0.1	0.1	2.4	5.4	12.2	0.0	0.0	0.2		1.9	0.0	0.0	s•0	2.4	4.7	0.1	0.1	•••	<b>.</b>		0.1	0.1	0.7	10.5	18.7
695.005	ten.	62	0.0	0.2	0.5	- c c c	.0.0	0.0	0.6	+	0.0	5.4			10	6.1	10.8	0.1	0.1	2.4	÷ • •	12.21	0.0	0.0	0.2	ς.		0.0	0.0	۰° د د	2 4	4.7	0.0	0.0	1.0	יי איני	. 4	0.1	0.1	0.7	10.5	18.7
9 0 0 0	axial (Kı	Ē	0.0	0.2	0.5	1.2	10.0	0.0	0.6	1.4	0	<b>2 -</b>		1.0	10	1.9	10.8	0.1	0.1	2.4	2. •	12.2	0.0	0.0	0.2		- 6 - [	0.0	0.0	0.5	7.4	4.7	0.0	0.0	0, - (	7 r 7 r	4.6	0.1		0.7 7	10.5	18.7
	ef.	ê	<b>.</b>	2 2	ţ.	<b>4</b>	108	108	105	106	106	106	216	917		211	211	433	433	419	122	123	18	8	4	5:		121	121	117	117	117	244	244	233	236	236	486	486	191	472	472
	nax. de	f	ب	2	<u>ب</u>	4	108	108	104	105	105	105	217	212		211	212	433	433	420	423	123	474	48	47	5:	-	121	121	116	911 1 1 1	118	243	243	234	222	235	486	486	467	124	472
	load n	(2)	0.U		6.0	2.0		1.0	1.0	2.3	5.1	0.6		0.0	2 4	201	18.0	0.2	1.0	4.0	0.6	20.3	1.0	1.0	4.0	8.0		0	6.0	6.0	1.7	- m	0.1	1.8	1.8		16.5	0.2	3.6	9.0 	0 18.6	33.0
د. ا	anchor	L co	0.0		0.6	1.4	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.6	3.5	6.3	0.1			1-	12.6	0.1	2.9	2.9	6.3	14.1		0.2	0.2	ۍ د د	7.0	0.0	0.6	0.6			0.1	1.2	7.7	1.0	10.7	0.1	2.4	<b>7</b>	12.1	21.4
degree:	ten.	(2)	n•n			•••	n 0	0.7	0.7	1.6	<b>3.5</b>	6.3	1.0		-1 0		12.6	1.0	2.9	2.9	6.3	14.1		0.5	0.2	0.5	7.7 7	0.0	0.6	0.6				1.2	1.2	7.7	10.7	0.1	2.4	•••	12.1	21.4
15	axial (Ki	<u>1</u> (n	0.0	, , , ,		<b>*</b>		2.0	0.7	1.6	3.5	6.3	0.1	1		1-	12.6	1.0	2.9	2.9	6.3	14.1			0.2	0.5	7.7	0.0	0.6	0.6	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			1.2	1.2	2.7	10.7	0.1	2.4	2.4		21.4
	defi.	(2)	4.5	<del>2</del> 2	1.4	Ę.		108	108	108	108	108	216	216	017	912		133	433	433	<b>4</b> 33		4.7 4 4	8	<b>4</b> 8	4		121	121	121	121		243	244	244	243	243	487	487	487	486	467
	max.	an T	42	42	1			108	108	108	108	108	216	217	217	912	217	133	133 133	433	433	<b>1</b> 33	134	8	8	48	8	121	121	121	121	121	243	243	243	243	244	487	486	486	485	486
-	load	(2)	0.0	0.0		2.1	8, - M C		1.1	2.4	5.4	9°2	0.1	0.2	2.1	2 4			1		9.5	21.4	2.0	0.0	4.0	6.0	2°0	 	0.1	1.0	2.2	• a		0.1	1.9	۳. •	2.5	0.2	0.2	9.8 6	1.8	34.7
	anchor		n . U	0.0		1.5	5.6			1.6	3.7	6.5	0.1	0.2						0.0	6.5	14.6	20.02		0.2	0.6	1.2 1	7.7	0.0	0.6	•••	י י י י		0.1	1.2	2 • 8 • 7	2.0	0.1	0.1	2.5	<b>1</b> <b>1</b>	22.0
ree	ten.	(2)	р. С	1.0	20	1.5	5.6		. 0	1.6	3.7	6.5	0.1	n.0					0.6	3.0	6.5	14.6	26.0			0.6	1.2	70	0.0	0.6	<b>4</b>	י י י		1.0	1.3	5.8 7 7	97	1.0	0.1	2.5	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	22.0
0 deu	axial		0.0			1.5	5.6	2.0		1.6	3.7	6.5	0.1	0•3			, . , .		99	0.6	6.5	14.6	26.0			0.6	1.2	7.0	0.0	0.6	<b>*</b> •	γ. 1. γ.			1.3	2.8	6.2		0.1	2.5	5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	22.0
	def1.	(2)	÷	\$	<b>\$</b> %	<b>;</b>	++	90T	100	109	109	60T	216	223	218	217	817	017	117	437	436	437	437		6	48	æ (	4 2 2 2	122	123	122	122	111	243	246	244	245	486	486	493	067	164
	max.		:	:	<b>\$</b> \$	14	4	801	100	109	109	109	216	223	218	217	212	272	117	437	436	437	437		6	48	8	46	122	123	122	122	777	243	246	244	246	187	487	492	490	491
	V	(KES)	u c		1.5	0 0 7 0	•••	0.5	0.1	- - -			• •		1.5	2.0	3.0	•••	ۍ د د				4.0	0.5	0.		3.0	4.0	0.5		2.0	0.0	•••	0.2	0 v		о.е	4.0	0°2		5.0	0.0 •
	,ength	(leet)	000	200	200	200	200	500	500	005				1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	2000	2000	0000	2000	2000	200	200	2002	200	200	500	200	500	500	500	1000	1000		1000	1000	2000	2000	2000	2000 2000
ľ	S/L		1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15			1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.1.1			1.15	1.15	1.15	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	07.1	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	02.1	1.20	1.20	1.20	h7 • 7	1.20	1.26	1.26	1.294
-	_	_	-	_		_	_	_		-		-	-		_			_		-	_			-	_			_			_	-	_	_		_	_	_				

Response of stretchable hoseline to steady currents (Do = 7.5 inches, Di = 6.0 inches, Wet = 5 lb/ft,  $C_{\rm h}$  = 0.75,  $C_{\rm T}$  = 0.6,  $C_{\phi}$  = 0.3) Table 4.

• 1

۰,

¥

كالأغلمين فينتح أستخصف بالألح يقسينا فلقسيص كالتقييسان للانتكامة ليطر أستط ليستعدد باستراد لاعتلاصات فا

ш	<u>.                                    </u>												_														_					_	-							
HABL		Load	(2)	0.0			1.6	5°8		0.7	1.7	<b>6</b> ,0 M 4			1.3	۳. م			0.1	5.6 7	14.4	24.5	0.0	0,0	.0	1.5	0.0	•••	9.0		6.2	1.0		1.6	0.0		1.0	2.4	13.4	22.8
ETC		anchoi (Ki	Ð	0-0		9.0	1.4	5.9		0.6	1.5	3.1	• • •	0.1	1.2	2.7	• v	1.0	0.1	2.2	0 U	15.4	0.0	0.0			10	0.0	0.5	2 4		0.0		5.5	+ 		1.0		9.9	14.1
STF	egrees	ten. na)	127	0.0			•••	۳. ۲		0.7	1.5	с.			1.2	2.7	- v		0.1	2.2	0 4 0	15.4	0.0		0.5	1.1	۲.0 0	0.0	0.5	10		0.0		5.5	4		1.0	1 - R	19	14.1
•	3 0 d	axial (x)	6	0.0		0.0	1.4	5.3		0.7	1.5	п.			1.2	2.7	• • • •	0.1	0.1	2.5	- o	15.4	0.0		1.0	1.1	5 C	0	0.5	10	• •	0.0		2.2	4		1.0	1.8 1	1.0	14.1
		efi.	ŝ	27	14	30	32	50	ດີເ	35	80	66	132	132	156	182	277	265	265	347	\$28	629	36	9 Y	37	9	76	16	53	201	118	182	781	211	241	2/2	363	404	566	656
÷-		max. d (fe	Ð	26	0 0 7 C	567	32	35	90	72	81	94 707	132	132	155	182	222	265	265	347	878 230	629	36	9 Y 7 C	37	39	54	١٩	92	200	118	182	707	211	242	364	364	100	566	656
		Load	(2)	0.0	2.4	0.8	1.9			6.0	2.0	<b>4</b> .6		0.1	1.7	4	2 × 2	0.2	0.2	۳. ۳	16.5	27.9	0.0	0 ° °		1.7		0.0	0.7	2°-1	7.2	1.0	-	9.6	8.1	13.1	17	9 C	15.3	26.0
-1	8	anchor	1 (12)	0.0		1 00	1.6	5°0			1.7			17.0	1.5	ц. М.	• •	20	0.2	2.7	10.5	16.9	0.0	0,0		1.3	7.0	0.0	0.6			1.0		2.5		0 C		2.1	5	15.4
	degree	ten.	(2)	0.0			1.6	9 e 7 e 7		0.8	1.7	ິ ພູມ			1.5		•••	0.2	0.2	2.8	10.5	16.9	0.0			1.3	2.1	0.0	0.6	10	. 4	1.0	1.0	2.5	ເດ ເ	0 C	1.0	2.7	10	15.4
a	15	axial (r)	Ð	0.0	2.0	. 0	1.6	0 0 0		0.8	1.7	ۍ م ۳			1.5		• •	10	0.2	2.8	10.5	16.9	0.0	0 n 0 0	.0	1.3		0.0	9.0	10	4.8	1.0		2.5	5°.	200	1.0	21	4.0	15.4
		def1.	(2)	26	9 G 7 C	200	33	5	0.0	75	85	100	132	132	166	194	2238	264	264	377	588	683	36	9 6	200	4	69	16	96	TUL	125	182	781	222	259	792	364	435	615	706
1		Max. (fo	E	26	200	50	ŝ	33	9 y 9 y	75	8	100		132	166	194	225	265	265	377	5 88	684	36	9 F M C	2.8 2.6 2.6	9	<b>e</b>	16	95	SIL	126	182	707 707	222	259	162	363	436	613	705
		r load	6	0.0		0	2.0	,		6.0	2.2	<b>*</b> •			1.8	4.5	2	20	0.2	с. С.	17.2	29.1	0.0			1.8			0.8	14	7.6		19	8 6 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	<b>4</b> .0		14		16.0	27.1
		ancho	in the second se	0.0			1.7	2.7		6.0	1.8	9°0		17.0	1.6	3.2	00	0.2	0.2	2.8	10.6	17.0	0.0	0 °		1.3	20		0.6	-1 ~	•	0.1		5.6	5.4	20	1	7.9	5	15.5
	gree	ten.	(2)	0.0		8	1.7	2.7		6.0	1.8	9°0			1.7	3.2		0.7	0.2	2.9	10.6	17.0	0.0			1.3	20		0.7	• •	6.4			2.6	5.4	200		2 • 7	5	15.5
• •	0 de	laxial (r)	Ê	0.0	2.4	. 0	1.7	2.7		6.0	1.8	9 r M 1			1.7	3.5 	N F 0 0		0.7	2.9	10.6	17.0	0			1.3	20		0.7	-1 ~	6.4			5.6	5.4		1.1	м. М. ч		15.5
1		defl.	[[12]	25	200	30	34	33	0 U 0 U	35	85	102	132	132	169	198		265	265	387	4/7 909	705	80		ົຕິ	<b>;</b>	35	15	56		129	182	707	226	264	364	364	1	6533	729
		MAX.	Ê	25	9 °	200	Те Те	37	0 is	35	85	102	132	132	169	198	242	265	265	387	4/1 7/4	705	90	9 F M C	ວ ຕິຕິ	<b>;</b>	\$5	19	97		129	182	707	226	264	364	364		633	729
		2		0.5			0 1 M	•••		1.5	2.0	3.0			1.5	2.0	000			1.5	200		0.5	1.0	10	- - -	•		1.5	0 0 0 0 0 0		0.5		2-0	9.0 	4			0 0 M M	4.0
		Length		-200	200	200	200	200	200	200	500	500		1000	1000	1000	0001	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	200	200	200	200	200	200	500	500	200	1000	0001	1000	1000	1000	2000	2000	2000	2000
		S/L		1.05		1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.10		1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10		1.10	1.10		1.10	01.1		1.19		1.1	1.10

	1						_						_					_	_	_													_		_	
ABLE		Deol	(2)	0.0	0 V	 	<b>4</b> .7		0.5	• •	. w		10	2.8		10	1.0	2.5	12.5	21.4		0 Q		5.5	20	4.0	3.1.	5. <b>4</b>	11	6.0	2.0	10.5	1.0	1.1	5.1	20.2
ТСН		anchor (Ki	Ð	0.0		• •	л.6 Г		1-0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.7	1.9			1.0,	9 9 - 1 - 1	7.8	13.0	0	1.0	18.0	1.4	0.0	т. О	50. 70.0	3.4	0.0	9.0	7.8	9.9	1.0	1.2	50 N	12.1
STRI	egree	ten. ps)	(2)	0.0	0.5	50	1.6	00	4.0	0.1		0.0		6.1				- 9	7.8	13.0		1.0		1.1			20.0	4.	00	9.0	1.1	4.9	1.0	1.2		12.1
	9 0 0	axial (Ki	Ð	0.0	0.2	6	1.6	00				00	0,C	6.1			0.1	9 9 7 0	7.8	13.0		1.0		-			20.0	3° <b>F</b>	0	0.6	1.1	4.9	1.0	1.2		12.1
		efi.	(2)	<b>6</b> 4 64	42	2 <b>L</b>	46	108	106		128	216	216	235	260	<b>4</b> 33	433	508	291	689	8	46	8 <b>4</b>	15	121	118	129	136	244	241	254	300	486	496	540	730
		max. d (fe	Ð	43 43	27	;;	46	108	106	112	128	217	217	234	260	433	433	507	590	689	9 80 <b>4</b>	99	2) () 4 (4)	20	121	118	129	135	243	240	253	301	486	495	540	729
		Load	(2)	0.0	m. 0	1.6			0.6	- «	6.7	1.0	1.0	(m)	7.4 9 - C	0.2	0.2	<b>1</b> 1 2 2	14.2	24.9	00	0.2	9.0	2.5	00	0.6	- 0 - 1 - 1	6.2	17		0.0	11.9	0 C	, i 7	ی د م د	22.9
	<b>"</b>	anchor (Ki	Ē	0-0	0.2		8.0		0.5		4	1.0	16.0	2.5			1.0		8.6	14.2	0	0.2	• •	1.6	00	4.0	5-7	3.8	00	8.0	9.4	7.1		, .	С.°С	13.1
nued	degree	ten. DB)	(2)	0.0	0.2		1.8	00	0.5		14	1.0	1.6	5.5	4		1.0	1.8	8.6	14-2	0	0.2	•	1.6	•••	4.0	5 F	3.8	00	0.8	9.4	1.1	1.0	1.5	х., Г.,	13.1
Conti	5	axial (Ki	Ð	0.0	0.2			00	0.5	 	14	 	1.6	2.2	1.4		1.0		.0	4 7 7	0	0.2	•••	1.6	•••	4.0	5 F	3°8	0.0	0.8		1.1	1.0	1.5	л•7 2	13.1
4.		t) eff.	(2)	<b>4</b> 3 <b>4</b> 3		<b>1</b> 2	84	108	112	116	135	216	231	245	276	433	<b>1</b> 33	485	637	727	9 <b>9</b>	67	<b>5</b> 0	5	121	124	135	144	243	255	265	322	487	528	572	744
able		max. (fee	Ð	42	4	10	84	108	112	116	135	216	231	245	276	433	133	485	638	727	0 00 <b>7</b>	6	<b>8</b> C	5		124	134	143	243	254	266	321	486	528	572	37
H		Load (a	(3)	0.0		1.7	5.9	00	0.7	L .				5.0	7.8	10.2	0.2	2.2	14.9	25.4		0.2	9.0	5.1	00	0.6	9. J	6.5		1.2	 	12.5	0.2	4.7	6.2	53.8 53
		anchor (Kip	Ê	0.0	0.2	, , ,	6.1	0.0	0.5	1.2	• • •	4.0	10	2.2			1.0	8.0	.0	14-2	0	0.2		9.1	•••	4.0	2.3	3.8		0.8	0°7	7.1	1.0	1.5		13.1
	ee	g) .	63	00	0.5	, - , -	6.1	00	0.5	ч. У.У.			1.0	2.2	<b>*</b> •	, o	1.0	<b>6</b> • •	.0	20		0.2		9.	•••	4.0	7.9	3.8					1.0	1.6	л•7	13.1
	0 deg	(Kip	Ð	0.0	0.7		6.1	00	0.5	1.2	• • •		10	2.2			1.0		8.61	1.0		0.2	-	1.6	00	4.0	2.3	3.8		0.8	1.9	2.1.		1.61	С. С. С.	13.1
		ett.	(3)	6 <b>4</b>	3:	0 4 4	67	108	113	118	138	216	216	249	283	432	432	495	656	750	0 00	67	202	52	122	126	137	147	243	259	270	330	486	538	585	112
		MAX. (fe	ĥ	44	3:	<b>1</b> 4	6	108	EI I	118	138	216	234	249	283	432	432	495	657	750	0.00	49	50	22	122	126	137	147	243	259	270	330	487	538	585	770
		V (kts)		0.5	1.5	0 0 7 0		ۍ و د	1.5	2.0		0.5	0.4	10	3.0	• 0 • 2	10	1.5	9 0 9 0	0.4		1.5	5.0		5.0	1.5	0,0		0°2	, n , n	5.0		5.0	 	0	
		ength feet)		200	200	200	200	2002	2005	500	500	1000		1000	1000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	200	200	200	200	200	500	500	500	0001	10001	1000	10001	2000	2000	2000	2000
	╞	3/1 2/2		1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.26	1.26	1.26	1.26	1.26	1.26	1.20
	<u> </u>											_	-																	_			_			

1

- -

Table 4. Continued

Γ <u>u</u>	٦.																																	_				
ARL			c load [ps)	(2)	0.0	0.7		5.0	1.0	1.7	2	6.9	1.0	0.8	3.4	13.1	22.0	0.2	1.6 7	11.8	24.5	7-14	0.1	0.6	2.6 7	4.6		л•2	6.3	10.8	19.0	3.1	5.7	20.4	1.0 7.0	0 0 9 0	22.7	20.5
FTCF	5	10	anchoi (K)	Ð	0.0	9.0	, , , ,	3.7	1.0	1.5	5.2	<b>م</b> ر		0.7	2.1	• «	13.5	0.2	-1 -	7.7	14.9	1.42	0.1	0.5	1.9	3.1	0.3	1.1	4.2	6.8 9	15.0	2.2	3.8	12.2	1.0 0.7	•••	13.5	1.22
STR	; ;	egree	ten. ps)	(2)	0.0	0.6	ч, ч,	3.7	1.0	• • •	5.0	41		0.8	2.7	4 @	13.5	0.2	- 1 - 1	7.7	6.91	1.0	0.1	ۍ ه	, o, -	3.1	0.3	1.1	4	6.8	19.0	2.2	9 ° C	12.2	1 0 7 7	0.	13.5 13.5	1.22
(6:		30 d	axial (Ki	Ð	0.0	0.6	 	3.7	1.0	1.5	5	6 F		0.8	2.7	4 œ	13.5	0.2	1-0	7.7	14.9		0.1	ۍ ه د	9.1		0.3	1.1	4.2	6.8 9	19.0	2.2	5 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	12.2	10,2	0.4	13.5	1 1 2 2 2 2
0			efl. et)	(2)	27 27	90	31	2	65	808	06	108	132	146	183	263	308	265	318	508	634	96	35	37	34	<b>4</b> 5 91	89	99	119	135	182	212	234	322	363	171	661	- 66/
6, Cf			max. d (fe	(1)	26 26	29	31	9	99	58	16	109	132	146	183	262	308	265	317	508	635	36	<b>4</b> 0	37	24	<b>4</b> 5 61	68	98	119	134	182	211	233	321	364	472	200	121
= 0.			load ps)	(2)	0.0	6.0		• <b>•</b> •	1.0	2.1	8.0	1.8	0.1	1.1	41	15.1	25.4	0.3	2 N N	13.6	28.2		0.2	8.0	 	9 . 1 9 . 1	0.5	1.9	4.	12.5	10.0	3.6	14.0	23.5	۰. 8 م	1.2.1	26.1	1.0
ы С		8	anchor (Ki	6	0.0	0.8	 		1.0	0.	6.	9 r 0 0	0.1	1.0	с.		15.2	с. о	8 <b>4</b>	8.7	16.7		0.2	0.6	5.0	9.6		1.0	8		1.0	2.5	• •	13.7	1.0	41	15.2	24.0
0.75		degree	ten. ps)	(2)	0°0	0.8			1.0		6.0			1.1			15.2	e.0	2.1	8.7	16.7		0.2	9.0	5.5	3.6	-	<b>1</b> •	8.4		18.0	2.5	<b>4</b> 9	13.7	1.6	10	15.2	24.5
" ບິ		15	axial (Ki	(T)	0.0	0.8	 	- e - 4	1.0	0.7	5.0	0 r 0 0		1.1			15.2	e.0	2 K	8.7	16.7	1.070	0.2	9.0	5	3.6	4.0		8	2.7	18.0	2.5	4 9 9 9	13.7	0.7 1.6 7	41	15.2	24.0
o/ft,			defl.	(2)	26 28	30	32	24	65	22 85	96		132	157	195	22/	335	264	350	554	691	90	37	800	94	<b>8</b> 6	5	102	127	i, i	707	222	300	346	364	505	212	1 018
= 5 II			max. (fe	Ð	26 27	29	m a m c	5 7 7	66	22 85 85	96	117	132	157	195	287	334	265	350	554	169	200	37	800	14	<b>8</b> 3	9		127	146	196	223	300	347	363 <b>4</b> 15	505	712	CTR
Wet =			r load ps)	(2)	0.0	6.0	г. ч	9	1.0	2-2		2°2	0.2	1.2	4 C	15.8	26.6	0.3	• c	14.3	29.5	0 0 0	0.2	8 u 0 r	1 M 1 M	5.7	0.5	2.0	7.8	13.2	1.0	6.6	14.6	24.6	2.0	7.4	13.2 27.3	10.01
hes,			ancho (Kij	Ð	0.0	0.8	4. •	4				20 20 20 20	0	1.1		"" ^ ~	15.6	0.3	2 4	0.0	17.2	8-/7	0.2	9.6 	5	3.8	4.0		5.0	8.0	18	2.6	4 @	14.1	1.5	<b>4</b> 8 8	15.5	20
) inc		gree	ten. ps)	(2)	0.0	0.8		• •	1.00	1.8	1	2 0 2 0	0.2	1.2	ы. 2,2	<b>7</b> 8 0 0	15.6	۳.0 ۳.0	2 4	0.6	17.2		0.2	0.6	1 7 7 7	3.8 0.1	0.5	- I C	2.0	0. 8 0	16.0	2.6	* œ	14.1	1.7 1	8.4	15.5	2
		0 de	axial (Ki	Ð	0.0	0.8	<b>.</b>	•••		1.8		» °	5 0 0	1.2	3•2 3	າ ຜູ ດ ອ	15.6		2.2	0.0	17.2	8°/7	0.2	9.0 1		8.C	0.5		2.0	0, - 8 (	10.0	2.6	4 9 9 9 9	14.1	1.7 1	<b>*</b>	15.5	1.02
Di			defl. eet)	(2)	25 28	90	en en	34	65	86	86	511	132	160	661	2962	344	265	360	570	713	36	37	38	13	<b>4</b> 6	96	1104	130	150	199	226	310	357	364	517	735	τ τ Ω
			max. (f	ê	28 28 28	90		;‡	65	86	86	611	132	160	661	232	344	265	360	570	713	362	37	88 <del>-</del>	:3	8	96	101	130	150	199	226	310	357	425	517	735	2
			(kts)		0.5	1.5	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		5.0		10	3•0			1.5	5 7 7 7		0.5			3.0			, <b>1</b> ,5		~		ч. 2°2	9 0 0 N M	•••	, c	1.5	0 7 7		0.5	1.5	00	4.0
			Length (feet)		200	200	200	200	200	002	500	500	000	1000	1000	1000		2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	200	200	200	200	500	500	200	500		1000	1000	1000	2000	2000	2000	2000
			S/L		1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	20.1	1.05	1.05	1.05	50.T	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05		1.10	1.10	1.10		1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10		51.1		1.16		51.1		1.14

Response of stretchable hoseline to steady currents (Do = 9.5 inches, Table 5.

۰,

۰.

. +

•

.

e

u l	<u>.                                    </u>						ي ال													_															_		
HABL	Π	t load [ps)	(2)	0.0	0.6	1.1	1	- e - e	1.4	2.7	10.0	0.1		2 C 2 V V V	11.2	18.9	~ ~ ~		10.0	21.1	0.0	0.5	۰° م	57	6° C	1.0.1	1.3 1	2 <b>4</b> - 0	۰. ورو	1.0	2.5	<b>4</b> .8	17.7	0.2	0 0 0 0	, n , n , n	19.7 33.7
RETC		anchoi (K	Ê	0.0	0.4	29.0	2.7	0.0	6.0	1.7	 6.1	0.1	4.0	7 °		1.11	0.2 7	3.5	6.1	12.3	0.0	0.3	~ ~ ~	1.4	2. 4. 0	0.8	8.0	10	5.6	1.0	1.6	2.9	10.2	1.0			1.01
STF	egrees	ten. ps)	(2)	0.0	0.4	0.7	2.7	0.0	16.0	8.		1.0	۰° ۲	× ~	. 8.	11.1	0.2	, . , .	6.1	12.3	0.0	0.3		1.4	4.0	0.6	8.0		5.6		1.6	5.0	10.2	1.0	4.0	1.0	19.1
	3 0 d	axial (Ki	Ē	0.0	4.0	1.6	2.7	0.0	6.0	8.	 6.1	1.0	۰ و م	7 °	. 8	1.11	0 0 0	, . , .	6.1	12.3	0.0	с. О	۳. م		4	0.0	8.0	1 m	5.6		1.6	5.9	10.2	1.0	2.4	1.0	19.1
		efl. et)	(2)	44 40	64	44	64	108		117	142	216	211	222	290	335	433	508	567	693	848	48	48	512	ŝŝ	122	123	136	148	244	254	268	352	486	528	592	735 991
		max. đ (fe	Ð	43	4	44	49	108	112	117	142	217	211	234	290	336	133	509	567	692	184	48	8 9	205	53	122	122	137	148	243	254	269	352	984	528	265	134 990
	Γ	load bs)	(2)	0.0	0.7	т. С. С.		1.0	1.7	с. С. С.	11.6	1.0	0.1		12.9	21.8			11.6	24.3	0	0.1	0.0	2.6	40	10.0	ц С С	9 Y Y	10.8	0.1		5.6	20.3		1.2	10.0	22.7 38.5
		anchor (Ki	L (E)	0.0	0.5	6°.	1.0	0.0		2.0	7 6 9	0.1	0°2	10		12.5	0 7 7		6.9	6.61	0.0	0.1	•	1.6	8.0		0.1	9 8 0 7 0	6.3			4 . 4 .	1.5	0.2	8.0		21.2
nued	degree	ten.	(2)	0.0	0.5	0 r	10	0.0		2.0	7 6 9		9 • 0 • 0	10		12.5	0 1 1 1	1 0	6.9	6.61	0.0	1.0	-	.9.	5°8		0.0	0 80 1 10 1 10	6.3				) ) ) ) )	22	0.1		21.2
Conti	1.5	axial (Ki	Ð	0.0	5.0	5°0		0.0		2.0	1 6 9	1.0		1.0	0	12.5	0.5 0.5		6.9	6° CI	0.0	0.1	41	ь. Р. 6	5 ° 8		0.1.0	9 89 -1 M	6.3		 	4 . 	2°'L	0.5	1.0		21.2
5.0		defl.	(2)	1 1 1 1 1 1	4	4 4	52	111	115	122	152	216	227	240	314	357	<b>4</b> 33	24.0	609	131	2 9 <b>4</b>	64	6	53	5	124	128	144	158	243	266	284	345	487	518	633	748 842
able		max. (fee	Ð	42	4	9 0	215	108	116	122	152	216	227	246	312	357	<b>1</b> 33	1 1 2 4 3	609	732	2 4 2 4 2 4	<b>6</b>	8	52	55	124	128	144	157	243	266	284	365	487	517	633	748 842
Ĥ	Γ	load [	(2)	0.0	0.7		2.0	1.0		, , , , , ,	12.2	0.2		<b>n</b> 4	13.5	22.8	۳. م	1•0 9	12.2	22.4	0.0	1.0		2.7	8.4	1.0	л•6 Л•6	9 9	11.3		3.2	6.0	0.21	0.3		11.3	23.7 60.1
		anchor (Kip/	Ð	0.0	5.0	٥ ¢	3.2	1.00	1.2	2.1	• •		0.6	2.0	10	12.8	0.2	1-1	1.1		.0.0	0.1	-	1.7	5.0	0.0	0.1		6.5		1.9	S S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1 0	0.2	- 6° C	- 2-	51.6
	cee	s) (	(2)	0.0	5.0	0 r	10,0	1.0	1.2	2.1		1.0	0.7		, o , c	12.8	0.2		1.7	2 2		0.1	+ 0	1.7	2.9	- m - 0	0.0	10	6.5		1.9	ະ ເ	18	 		- 2-	21.6
	) degi	(Kipe	Ð	0.0	0.5	٥, e	10		1	2.1	-1	1.0	0.7	7 7	5	12.8	0.2		7.1			1.0	••	1.70	2.9		0.1		6.5	~ ~	1.9	ۍ د د	78	0.2		- 2-	51.6
		lefl. 2	(2)	44	ŝ	46	52	119	111	124	157	216	231	251	322	369 ]	<b>4</b> 32	101	626	755 7		<b>4</b> 9	000	23	56	126	130	147	162	243	271	290	335	186	528	651	884
		max. ( (fee		44	5	90	52	108	118	124	157	216	230	250	322	368	432	401	626	755	8	64	000	23	56	127	130	147	162	243	272	290	332	487	528	651 651	775 884
		kts)		0.5	1.5	000	20.4	5.0	2.5	2.0	0 0 m 4	0.5	1.0			0	0.5		5.0	0.0			1.5	00			1.5	00	0.4	0.5	2. 2. 2. 2.	2.0		• · · ·	1.0	- 0 n	0.0
		eet) (		200	200	200	200	500	200	500	500	1000	1000	10001	1000	1000	2000	0000	2000	2000	0007	200	200	200	200	200	500	200	500	10001	10001	1000	10001	2000	2000	2000	2000
	$\left  \right $	S/L [[		1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.26	1.26	1.24	1.26	1.26	50	1.20

,



۰,

وخوائقا ومتكلك أعماس ومرورة خالبا المتحمد

Figure 1. Definition sketch.



Figure 2. Vectors on a typical hose segment.



.

Figure 3. Behavior of a highly stretchable hose under axial tension.



Figure 4. Influence of modulus of elasticity, E, on the response of a hoseline.



Figure 5. Influence of material constant C2 on the response of a hose in a 4-knot current.







Figure 7. Geometry of the hose segment in currents of various directions.



Figure 8. Influence of current direction on the anchor load, V = 4 knots.



Figure 9. Definition of current direction.



Figure 10. Influence of segment length-to-span ratio, S/L, on the response of a hoseline.



Figure 11. Influence of sea bottom friction on the hose tension in a 1.5-knot current. Segment length-to-span ratio, S/L = 1.2.



يتسكافته القط ماني بنائلا فكعممنا

:

Figure 12. Parametric model versus numerical model.

يستخلفهم يستركب فيستركب فيستركب فالمستحيل المستركة فالمستركة فيستركبه والمستركبة مالينا والمرابع فالمستحف والمستحيف والمستحي

## Appendix A

# NUMERICAL SOLUTION TECHNIQUES

#### A.1 NEWTON-RAPHSON QUASI-LINEARIZATION

Given a coupled set of nonlinear first-order differential equations, it is possible to develop a convergence acceleration procedure (Ref A-1) of successive iteration upon quasi-linear equations adopting methods proposed by Bellman (Ref A-2) and by Klaba (Ref A-3). Assume a set of 2N nonlinear first-order differential equations:

$$\frac{dy_{i}}{ds} = f_{i}(s, y_{i}) \qquad i, j = 1, 2, ..., 2N \qquad (A.1.1)$$

with N boundary condition at the starting end s = 0

$$g_{m}(y_{j}^{0}) = 0 \quad m = 1, 2, ..., N$$
 (A.1.2a)

and N boundary conditions at the terminating end S = 1,

$$h_{m}(y_{j}^{1}) = 0 \qquad m = 1, 2, ..., N$$
 (A.1.2b)

where S is the independent variable (e.g., hose arc length),  $y_i$  are the 2N dependent variables (e.g., tension components and location coordinates),  $f_i(s,y_j)$  are nonlinear functions of  $y_j$  (e.g., hydrodynamic forcing functions), and  $g_m(y_j^0)$  and  $h_m(y_j^1)$  are nonlinear combinations of  $y_j^0$  at s = 0, and of  $y_i^1$  at s = 1, respectively.

Let  $y'_j$  denote a trial solution vector in the neighborhood of the true solution vector  $y_j$ . The  $y'_j$  and  $y'_j$  are corresponding boundary values of  $y'_j$  at s = 0 and s = 1, respectively. The nonlinear function  $f_i(s,y_j)$ ,  $g_m(y^0_j)$ , and  $f_m(y^1_j)$  can be written as truncated Taylor series expansions about  $y'_j$ ,  $y'_j$  and  $y'_j$  as:

$$f_{i}(s,y_{j}) = f_{i}(s,y_{j}') + J_{ik}(y_{k} - y_{k}') \quad i,j,k = 1,2,...,2N \quad (A.1.3)$$

$$g_{m}(y_{j}^{0}) = g_{m}(y_{j}^{0'}) + J_{mk}^{0}(y_{k}^{0} - y_{k}^{0'}) \quad m = 1,2,...,N$$

$$h_{m}(y_{j}^{1}) = h_{m}(y_{j}^{1'}) + J_{mk}^{1}(y_{k}^{1} - y_{k}^{1'}) \quad m - 1,2,...,N$$

where the summation conversion from 1 to 2N on repeated indices has been adopted,  $J_{ik}$  is the square Jacobian matrix of order 2Nx2N for the gradient of the nonlinear forcing function:

$$J_{ik} = \left[\frac{\partial f_{i}(s, y_{i})}{\partial y_{k}}\right]_{y_{j}} = y'_{j}$$
(A.1.4)

and  $j_{mk}^{0}$  and  $J_{mk}^{1}$  are the rectangular Jacobian matrices of order Nx2N for the gradients of the nonlinear boundary conditions:

$$J^{0} = J_{mk}^{0} = \left[\frac{\partial g_{m}(y_{j}^{0})}{\partial y_{k}^{0}}\right] y_{j}^{0} = y_{j}^{0}$$
(A.1.5)
$$\left[\frac{\partial g_{m}(y_{j}^{1})}{\partial y_{k}^{0}}\right] y_{j}^{0} = y_{j}^{0}$$

$$J^{1} = J^{1}_{mk} = \left[\frac{\partial g_{m}(y_{j}^{1})}{\partial y_{k}^{1}}\right]_{y_{j}^{1}} = y_{j}^{1}, \qquad (A.1.6)$$

Upon substitution of Equations A.1.3 and A.1.4 into Equation A.1.1 and A.1.2, the boundary-value problem can thus be written as:

$$\frac{dy_i}{ds} = a_{ik}(s) y_k + b_i(s) \qquad (A.1.7)$$

with boundary conditions

$$c_{mk}^{0} y_{mk}^{0} + d_{m}^{0} = 0$$
 (A.1.8a)

$$c_{mk}^{1} y_{mk}^{1} + d_{m}^{1} = 0$$
 (A.1.8b)

where

<sup>a</sup>ik

$$= J_{ik}$$
(A.1.9a)

$$b_{i} = f_{i}(s, y_{i}) - J_{ik} y_{k}^{'}$$
 (A.1.9b)

$$c_{mk}^{0} = J_{mk}^{0}$$
 (A.1.9c)

$$d_m^0 = g_m(y_j^0) - J_{mk}^0 y_k^0$$
 (A.1.9d)

$$c_{mk}^{1} = J_{mk}^{1}$$
 (A.1.9e)

$$d_{m}^{1} = h_{m}(y_{j}^{1}) - J_{mk}^{1} y_{k}^{1}$$
 (A.1.9f)

Equation A.1.7 with boundary conditions A.1.8 and coefficients defined by A.1.9 constitutes a linear boundary value problem for an improved solution  $y_j$  in terms of functions for the previous trial  $h'_i$ . Further improved solutions are obtained by successive iteration in Equations A.1.5 to A.1.9 with  $y'_i$ ,  $y^0$ , and y'' replaced by the  $y_i$ ,  $y'_i$ ,  $y'_i$  generated by the previous iteration. The iteration process continues until the difference between  $y_i$  and  $y'_i$  is less than a stipulated accuracy.

#### A.2 DECOMPOSITION OF LINEAR BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM

A linear two-point boundary-value problem such as that posed by Equations A.1.7 and A.1.8 can be solved by first decomposing the problem into a set of initial-value problems and then recombining solutions to each initial-value problem (Ref A-4, A-5, A-6). The advantage of using this method for solving a two-point boundary-value problem rather than a different method is that large sets of matrix equation coefficients need not be generated, stored in the computer memory, and solved simultaneously. Only a small number of coefficients at the starting and terminating points need to be considered. According to Ince (Ref A-7), the solution to each one of a linear set of 2N first-order differential equations can be considered as a linear combination of the solutions of N+1 initial-value problems, hereafter called partial solutions.

A-3

Assume the solutions to Equation A.1.7 can be written as:

$$y_{i} = z_{i}^{0} + e_{n} z_{i}^{n}$$
 (A.2.1)

where  $e_n$ , n = 1, 2, ..., N are undetermined parameters and  $z_i^0$  and  $z_i^n$  are partial solutions. The partial solution  $z_i^0$  is the particular solution to:

$$\frac{dz_i^0}{ds} = a_{ik} z_k^0 + b_i \qquad (A.2.2a)$$

subject to actual "initial" conditions at s = 0

$$c_{mk}^{0} z_{k}^{0} + d_{m}^{0} = 0$$
 (A.2.2b)

and fictitious "initial" conditions at s = 0

$$c_{mk} z_{k}^{0} + d_{m}^{0} = 0$$
 (A.2.2c)

The partial solutions  $z_i^n$ , n = 1, 2, ..., N are homogeneous solutions to

$$\frac{dz_i^n}{ds} = a_{ik} z_k^n \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots, N \qquad (A.2.3a)$$

subject to actual "initial" conditions at s = 0

$$c_{mk}^{0} z_{k}^{0} = 0$$
  $n = 1, 2, ..., N$  (A.2.3b)

and fictitious "initial" conditions at s = 0

$$c_{mk} z_{k}^{n} + d_{m}^{n} = 0$$
  $n = 1, 2, ..., N$  (A.2.3c)

The choice of fictitious initial conditions coefficients  $c_{mk}^{0}$ ,  $d_{m}^{0}$ ,  $d_{m}^{0}$ ,  $d_{m}^{0}$  is such that  $d_{m}^{0}$  are linearly independent vectors and  $c_{mk}^{0}$  is a rectangular Nx2N matrix of coefficients which allows an inverse of the assembled 2Nx2N square matrix C<sub>ik</sub> of initial value coefficients:

$$C_{jk} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{CO_{mk}}{CO_{mk}} \end{bmatrix}$$
   
  $j,k = 1,2,...,2N$  (A.2.4)  
 $m = 1,2,...,N$ 

to obtain solutions for  $z0_k^0$  and  $z0_k^n$  as:

$$z0_{k}^{0} = -C_{jk}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} d0\\ -\frac{m}{d0_{m}^{0}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.2.5)

$$z0_{k}^{n} = -C_{jk}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -\frac{1}{d0_{m}^{n}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.2.6)

The  $\mathbf{d0}_m^n$  are usually taken as Kronecker delta functions and  $\mathbf{d0}_m^n$  as a null vector.

Having defined N+1 linearly independent initial-value problems, each of which satisfies the actual boundary conditions at s = 0, one integrates each problem to the terminating point, s = 1. The partial solutions obtained at the terminating point are then used to determine the appropriate parameters,  $e_n$ , in Equation A.2.1 for the linear combination of partial solutions. The boundary conditions expressed by Equation A.1.8b at s = 1 can be written in terms of partial solutions as:

$$c1_{mk}(z1_k^0 + e_n z1_k^n) + d1_m = 0$$
 (A.2.7)

where  $z1_k^0$  and  $z1_k^n$  are the terminal values of the partial solutions. The product  $c1_{mk}z1_k^n$  is a square NxN matrix and thus,

$$e_{n} = -[c1_{mk} z1_{k}^{n}]^{-1}(d1_{m} + c1_{mk} z1_{k}^{0})$$
(A.2.8)

With  $e_n$  determined, a final initial-value integration of Equation A.1.7 can be performed with initial values:

$$y_{k} = z_{k}^{0} + e_{n} z_{k}^{0}$$
 (A.2.9)

#### REFERENCES

A-1. B. Carnahan, H.A. Luther, and J.O. Wilkes. Applied numerical methods. Chichester, NY, John Wiley and Sons, 1969.

A-2. R. Bellman. "Functional equation in the theory of dynamic programming, V-positivity and quasi-linearity," in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol 41, 1955.

A-3. R. Kalaba. "On nonlinear differential equations - The maximum operation and monotone convergence," Journal of Mathematical Mechanics, vol 8, 1959.

A-4. E.S. Lee. "Quasi-linearization, nonlinear boundary value problems and optimization," Chemical Engineering Science, vol 21, 1966.

A-5. A. Kalnins, and J.F. Lestingi. "On nonlinear analysis of elastic shells of revolution," Journal of Applied Mechanics, ASCE Transactions, vol 34, 1967, pp 59-64.

A-6. J.W. Leonard. "Dynamic response of initially-stressed membrane shells," Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division, ASCE, vol 95(EM5), 1969, pp 1231-1253.

A-7. E.L. Ince. Ordinary differential equations. London, England, Longmans, Green and Co., 1927.

A-6

#### Appendix B

## REDUCTION IN DIAMETER OF AN OPDS HOSE UNDER TENSION

The Navy Offshore Petrolem Discharge System (OPDS) relies on a 6-inch (inner diameter) rubber hose for fuel transfer. The hose is made of multilayer elastomeric material fortified with two-ply contra-helical wire reinforcements to withstand the external tension loads. The hose stretches to almost 45 percent of its original length at fracture (Figure 3 in main text). In the meantime, the outside diameter of the hose reduces 40 percent. This significant size reduction tends to shut down the fuel flow and totally disable the hoseline. Research for hose construction techniques to control the hose neck-down is currently underway, which will be addressed in the final project documentation of the Advanced Collapsible Pipe Program. This analysis is to examine the change in the inner diameter of the hose under tension. Elastomer is generally treated as an incompressible material. Its total volume does not change when subjected to external forces (Ref B-1). Referring to the definition sketch, Figure B-1, the total volume of the hose wall, V, can be expressed as:

 $V = \Pi D t \ell$ 

in which  $D = (D_0 + D_i)/2$ 

where

D = outer diameter  $D^{o} = inner diameter$   $D^{i} = mean diameter$  t = wall thickness of the hose  $\ell = total length of the hose$ 

Since the elastomer is assumed incompressible,

$$\frac{\Delta V}{V} = \frac{\Delta D}{D} + \frac{\Delta t}{t} + \frac{\Delta \ell}{\ell} = 0$$
 (B-1)

where  $\Delta$  is the differential.

Replacing the mean diameter D with D  $_{\rm O}$  - t and assuming that D  $_{\rm O}$  >> t:

$$D = D_{o} - t$$

$$= D_{o} \left(1 - \frac{t}{D_{o}}\right)$$

$$\frac{\Delta D}{D} = \frac{\Delta \left(D_{o} - t\right)}{D_{o} \left(1 - t/D_{o}\right)} = \left(1 + \frac{t}{D_{o}}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta D_{o}}{D_{o}} - \frac{\Delta t}{D_{o}}\right)$$

Substitution  $\Delta D/D$  into Equation B-1 and neglecting the second order term  $\Delta t/D_{_{\rm O}},$ 

$$\frac{\Delta t}{t} = -\left[\frac{\Delta l}{l} + (1 + \frac{t}{D_{o}})\frac{\Delta Do}{D_{o}}\right]$$
(B-2)

Therefore, the variation of the wall thickness under tension can be expressed in terms of the elongation and the outer diameter reduction of the hose. Table B-1 summarizes the results of Equation B-2 using the empirical data shown in Figure 3 in the main text. The far right column shows that the variation in the wall thickness is less than 6 percent, even though the outer diameter of the hose reduces more than 40 percent. This result implies that a substantial reduction occurs in the inner diameter.

## REFERENCE

B-1. E.P. Popov. Introduction to mechanics of solids, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, Prentice-Hall, 1968.

Tension (kips)	0.D. (in)	d£/£	dD <sub>o</sub> /D <sub>o</sub>	1+t/D <sub>o</sub>	dt/t '
0.0	7.8	-		-	-
10.0	6.9	0.0734		1.1176	-0.0122
20.0	6.1	0.0940		1.1229	0.0262
30.0	5.6	0.0469		1.1441	0.0469
40.0	5.3	0.0261		1.1643	0.0363
50.0	5.0	0.0109		1.1799	0.0559
60.0	4.9	0.0144		1.2013	0.0096
70.0	4.8	0.0106		1.2074	0.0140
80.0	4.7	0.0070		1.2147	0.0183
90.0	4.7	0.0174		1.2233	-0.0174

Table B-1. The Diameter reduction of the Navy Hose Under Tension.



۰.

Figure B-1. Definition sketch of a cross section.

# DISTRIBUTION LIST

ARMY LOGC ALC/ATCI-MS (Morrissett), Fort Lee, VA ADMINSUPU PWO, Bahrain CBC Code 10, Davisville, RI; Code 15, Port Hueneme, CA; Code 155, Port Hueneme, CA; Library, Davisville, RI; PWO (Code 400), Gulfport, MS; Tech Library, Gulfport, MS CG FMF Pac, SCIAD (G5) Camp HM Smith, HI CINCLANTFLT CE Supp Plans Offr, Norfolk, VA CINCPACFLT Code 442, Pearl Harbor, HI CNA Tech Library, Alexandria, VA CNO DCNO, Logs, OP-424C, Washington, DC; DCNO, Logs, OP-452, Washington, DC COMCBLANT Code S3T, Norfolk, VA COMFAIR Med, SCE, Naples, Italy COMFLEACT PWO, Kadena, Japan COMNAVAIRSYSCOM Code 41712A, Washington, DC; Code 422, Washington, DC COMNAVBEACHGRU ONE, CO, San Diego, CA COMNAVLOGPAC Code 4318, Pearl Harbor, HI COMNAVMARIANAS Code N4, Guam COMNAVRESFOR Code 08, New Orleans, LA COMNAVSUPPFORANTARCTICA DET, PWO, Christchurch, NZ COMNAVSURF Code N42A, Norfolk, VA; Lant, CO, Norfolk, VA; Pac, Code N-4, San Diego, CA COMSURFWARDEVGRU CO, Norfolk, VA COMUSNAV CENT, Code N42, Pearl Harbor, HI COMOPTEVFOR CO, Norfolk, VA DEPCOMOPTEVFORPAC Code 705, San Diego, CA DTIC Alexandria, VA DTRCEN Code 125, Annapolis, MD; Code 1250, Annapolis, MD; Code 2842, Annapolis, MD; Code 4111, Bethesda, MD; Code 4120, Annapolis, MD MAG 16, CO, MCAS Tustin, CA MARCORBASE PAC, PWO, Camp Butler, JA; PWO, Camp Pendleton, CA; Pac, FE, Camp Butler, JA MARCORDIST 12, Code 4, San Francisco, CA MARCORPS FIRST FSSG, Engr Supp Offr, Camp Pendleton, CA MARINE CORPS HQ LFL, Washington, DC; LMA-2/ME (Ferris), Washington, DC MCAS Code 6EDD, Iwakuni, Japan MCRDAC M & L Div Quantico, VA; NSAP Rep, Quantico, VA NAF PWO, Atsugi, Japan NAS Chase Fld, Code 18300, Beeville, TX; Code 072E, Willow Grove, PA; Code 163, Keflavik, Iceland; Code 18E, Bermuda; Code 83, Patuxent River, MD; PWO (Code 182) Bermuda; PWO, Adak, AK; PWO, Glenview, IL; PWO, Keflavik, Iceland; PWO, Sigonella, Italy; PWO, South Weymouth, MA; PWO, Willow Grove, PA; SCE, Barbers Point, HI; SCE, Norfolk, VA; Whidbey Is, PW-2, Oak Harbor, WA; Whiting Fld, PWO, Milton, FL NAVAIRDEVCEN Code 832, Warminster, PA NAVAIRENGCEN PWO, Lakehurst, NJ NAVAIRTESTCEN PWO, Patuxent River, MD NAVAVNDEPOT Code 61000, Cherry Point, NC NAVCHAPGRU Code 30, Williamsburg, VA NAVCOASTSYSCEN CO, Panama City, FL; Code 2360, Panama City, FL; Tech Library, Panama City, FL NAVCOMMSTA Code 401, Nea Makri, Greece; PWO, Exmouth, Australia NAVCONSTRACEN CO, Port Hueneme, CA; Code D2A, Port Hueneme, CA NAVELEXCEN DET, OIC, Winter Harbor, ME NAVFAC Centerville Bch, PWO, Ferndale, CA; PWO, Oak Harbor, WA NAVFACENGCOM Code 00, Alexandria, VA; Code 03, Alexandria, VA; Code 03T (Essoglou), Alexandria, VA; Code 04A, Alexandria, VA; Code 04A3C, Alexandria, VA; Code 04A4E, Alexandria, VA; Code 04B3,

Alexandria, VA; Code 0631, Alexandria, VA; Code 07, Alexandria, VA; Code 09M124 (Lib), Alexandria, VA; Code 163, Alexandria, VA NAVFACENGCOM - CHES DIV. FPO-1PL, Washington, DC

NAVFACENGCOM - LANT DIV. Br Ofc, Dir, Naples, Italy; Code 1112, Norfolk, VA; Code 403, Norfolk, VA; Code 405, Norfolk, VA; Library, Norfolk, VA

NAVFACENGCOM - NORTH DIV. Code 04AL, Philadelphia, PA; Code 11, Philadelphia, PA; Code 202.2, Philadelphia, PA; Code 408AF, Philadelphia, PA

NAVFACENGCOM - PAC DIV. Code 09P, Pearl Harbor, HI; Code 2011, Pearl Harbor, HI; Library, Pearl Harbor, HI

NAVFACENGCOM - SOUTH DIV. Code 406, Charleston, SC; Library, Charleston, SC

NAVFACENGCOM - WEST DIV. 09P/20, San Bruno, CA; Code 04A2.2 (Lib), San Bruno, CA; Code 04B, San Bruno, CA; Code 408.2 (Jeung) San Bruno, CA; Pac NW Br Offc, Code C/50, Silverdale, WA

NAVFACENGCOM CONTRACTS OICC NW, Code 114NW, Silverdale, WA; OICC, Guam; OICC/ROICC, Norfolk, VA; ROICC (Code 495), Portsmouth, VA; ROICC, Corpus Christi, TX; ROICC, Keflavik, Iceland; ROICC, Point Mugu, CA; ROICC, Twentynine Palms, CA; SW Pac, OICC, Manila, RP; Trident, OICC, St Marys, GA

NAVHOSP SCE, Pensacola, FL; SCE, Yokosuka, Japan

NAVMARCORESCEN LTJG Davis, Raleigh, NC

NAVMEDCOM SCE, Jacksonville, FL

NAVOCEANSYSCEN Code 94, San Diego, CA

NAVPETOFF Code 40, Alexandria, VA; Code 8D107, Alexandria, VA

NAVPETRES Director, Washington DC

NAVPHIBASE PWO, Norfolk, VA

NAVSCSCOL PWO, Athens, GA

NAVSHIPYD Norfolk, Code 411, Portsmouth, VA

- NAVSTA CO, Long Beach, CA; Engrg Dir, PWD, Pota, Spain; PWO, Mayport, FL; WC 93, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
- NAVSUPPO Sec Offr, La Maddalena, Italy
- NAVSWC Code E211 (Miller), Dahlgren, VA; Code G-52 (Duncan), Dahlgren, VA; Code W42 (GD Haga), Dahlgren, VA

NAVWARCOL Code 24, Newport, RI

NAVWPNCEN AROICC, China Lake, CA; Code 2637, China Lake, CA

NAVWPNSTA Code 093, Yorktown, VA; Earle, PWO (Code 09B), Colts Neck, NJ; PWO, Yorktown, VA

NAVWPNSUPPCEN PWO, Crane, IN

NETC PWO, Newport, RI

NCR 20, CO; 20, Code R70

NMCB 3, Ops Offr; 40, CO; 5, Ops Dept; 74, CO

NRL Code 6123 (Dr Brady), Washington, DC

NSC Cheatham Annex, PWO, Williamsburg, VA; Code 44, Oakland, CA; Code 54.1, Norfolk, VA; Code 700, Norfolk, VA; SCE, Charleston, SC

PHIBCB 1, CO, San Diego, CA; 1, ELCAS Offer, San Diego, Ca; 1, P&E, San Diego, CA; 2, CO, Norfolk, VA

PWC Code 10, Great Lakes, IL; Code 10, Oakland, CA; Code 101 (Library), Oakland, CA; Code 102, Oakland, CA; Code 123-C, San Diego, CA; Code 30, Norfolk, VA; Code 30V, Norfolk, VA; Code 400, Pearl Harbor, HI; Code 420, Great Lakes, IL; Code 424, Norfolk, VA; Code 505A, Oakland, CA; Code 614, San Diego, CA; Code 700, Great Lakes, IL; Library (Code 134), Pearl Harbor, HI; Library, Guam, Mariana Islands; Library, Norfolk, VA; Library, Pensacola, FL; Library, Yokosuka, Japan; Tech Library, Subic Bay, RP

SEAL TEAM 6, Norfolk, VA

SPCC PWO (Code 08X), Mechanicsburg, PA

CORRIGAN, LCDR S. USN, CEC, Stanford, CA

# NCEL DOCUMENT EVALUATION

You are number one with us; how do we rate with you?

We at NCEL want to provide you our customer the best possible reports but we need your help. Therefore, I ask you to please take the time from your busy schedule to fill out this questionnaire. Your response will assist us in providing the best reports possible for our users. I wish to thank you in advance for your assistance. I assure you that the information you provide will help us to be more responsive to your future needs.

•

			Muster R. N. STORER, Ph.D, P.E.	
DC	CUMENT NO TITL	E OF DOCUMENT:		
Da	te: Respondent Organi	ization :		
Na Pho	me:		Activity Code: Grade/Rank:	
Ca	tegory (please check):			
des	SA Strongly Agree A A	that statement: gree O Neutra	D Disagree SD Strongly	Disagree
1.	The technical quality of the report is comparable to most of my other sources of technical information.	() () () () () ()	6. The conclusions and recommenda- tions are clear and directly sup- ported by the contents of the report.	() () () () () ()
2.	The report will make significant improvements in the cost and or performance of my operation.	() () () () ()	7. The graphics, tables, and photo- graphs are well done.	00000
3.	The report acknowledges related work accomplished by others.	() () () () ()	Do you wish to continue getting NCEL reports?	YES NO
4.	The report is well formatted.	() () () () ()		
5.	The report is clearly written.	() () () () ()	Please add any comments (e.g., in what w improve the quality of our reports?) on th form.	vays can we e back of this

Comments:

.

#### Please fold on line and staple

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, CA 93043-5003

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

> Code L03B NAVAL CIVIL ENGINEERING LABORATORY PORT HUENEME, CA 93043-5003

# INSTRUCTIONS

The Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory has revised its primary distribution lists. The bottom of the label on the reverse side has several numbers listed. These numbers correspond to numbers assigned to the list of Subject Categories. Numbers on the label corresponding to those on the list indicate the subject category and type of documents you are presently receiving. If you are satisfied, throw this card away (or file it for later reference).

If you want to change what you are presently receiving:

- Delete mark off number on bottom of label.
- Add circle number on list.
- Remove my name from all your lists check box on list.
- Change my address line out incorrect line and write in correction (DO NOT REMOVE LABEL).
- Number of copies should be entered after the title of the subject categories you select.

Fold on line below and drop in the mail.

Note: Numbers on label but not listed on questionnaire are for NCEL use only, please ignore them.

FIRST CLASS

Fold on line and staple

**BUSINESS REPLY CARD** 

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme. CA 93043-5003

Official Business Penalty for Private Use, \$300

\$





Commanding Officer Code L34 Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93043-5003

PERMIT NO. 12503 WASH D.C.

# DISTRIBUTION QUESTIONNAIRE

# The Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory is revising its Primary distribution lists.

# SUBJECT CATEGORIES

- **1 SHORE FACILITIES**
- 2 Construction methods and materials (including corrosion control, coatings)
- 3 Waterfront structures (maintenance/deterioration. control)
- 4 Utilities (including power conditioning)
- 5 Explosives safety
- 6 Aviation Engineering Test Facilities
- 7 Fire prevention and control
- 8 Antenna technology
- 9 Structural analysis and design (including numerical and computer techniques)
- 10 Protective construction (including hardened shelters, shock and vibration studies)
- 11 Soil/rock mechanics
- 14 Airfields and pavements
- 15 ADVANCED BASE AND AMPHIBIOUS FACILITIES
- 16 Base facilities (including sheiters, power generation, water supplies)
- 17 Expedient roads/airfields/bridges
- 18 Amphibious operations (including breakwaters, wave forces)
- 19 Over-the-Beach operations (including containerization,
- material transfer, lighterage and cranes) 20 POL storage, transfer and distribution

#### TYPES OF DOCUMENTS

85 Techdata Sheets 86 Technical Reports and Technical Notes

83 Table of Contents & Index to TDS

- 28 ENERGY/POWER GENERATION
- 29 Thermal conservation (thermal engineering of buildings, HVAC systems, energy loss measurement, power generation)
- 30 Controls and electrical conservation (electrical systems, energy monitoring and control systems)
- 31 Fuel flexibility (liquid fuels, coal utilization, energy from solid waste)
- 32 Alternate energy source (geothermal power, photovoltaic power systems, solar systems, wind systems, energy storage systems)
- 33 Site data and systems integration (energy resource data, energy consumption data, integrating energy systems)
- 34 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- 35 Hazardous waste minimization
- 36 Restoration of installations (hazardous waste)
- 37 Waste water management and sanitary engineering
- 38 Oil pollution removal and recovery
- 39 Air pollution
- 44 OCEAN ENGINEERING
- 45 Seafloor soils and foundations
- 46 Seafloor construction systems and operations (including diver and manipulator tools)
- 47 Undersea structures and materials
- 48 Anchors and moorings
- 49 Undersea power systems, electromechanical cables, and connectors
- 50 Pressure vessel facilities
- 51 Physical environment (including site surveying)
- 52 Ocean-based concrete structures
- 54 Undersea cable dynamics
- 82 NCEL Guides & Abstracts

91 Physical Security

. .

Noneremove my name