ATT FILE DIP

DOT/FAA/CT-89/21

FAA Technical Center Atlantic City International Airport N.J. 08405

AD-A214 114

Flammability, Smoke, and Dry Arc Tracking Tests of Aircraft Electrical Wire Insulations

Patricia Cahill

t

July 1989

Final Report

This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.



Federal Aviation Administration



89 11 07 042

NOTICE

1

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the objective of this report.

Technical Report Documentation Page

DOT/FAA/CT-29/21 5. Resent for: 4. Tole and Substite July 1989 FLAMMABILITY, SMOKE AND DRY ARC TRACKING TESTS OF AIRCRAFT FLACTRICAL WIRE INSULATIONS 5. Performing Organisation Reservice 2. Achiev's Data (Later Constructions) 5. Performing Organisation Reservice 3. Achiev's Data (Later Constructions) 5. Performing Organisation Reservice 7. Achiev's Patricia L. Cabill DOT/FAA/CT-89/21 8. Performing Organisation Reservice 10. Section Non- and Adverse 7. Achiev's 11. Constance Organisation Reservice 7. Separation Agrees Mean and Adverse 12. Separations Agrees Mean and Adverse 12. Separation Agrees Mean and Adverse 13. Type of Report and Perind Convert 12. Separation of Agrees Mean and Adverse Final Report 13. Separation of City International Airport, NJ 08405 13. Spearang Agrees Case 14. Aktivet Although Chace different laboratory-seale tests were or science of Miller Model Science 15. Separation Agrees Mean and Adverse Final Report 16. Aktivet Although Chace different laboratory-seale tests were or science of Miller Model Science 17. Separation Adverse Science or Miller Model Science 14. Spearation Adverse Case 18. Astrivet Although Chace different labora	1. Report No.		2 Government Access	ion Na.	3. Recipient & Catalog No
4. This and Subhite July 1989 FLAMMABILITY, SMOKE AND DRY ARC TRACKING TESTS OF AIRCKAFT FLECTRIGAL WIRE INSULATIONS July 1989 7. Aumarity Patricia L. Cahili DOT/FAA/CT-89/21 9. Performing Organization Reservice Performing Organization Reservice Performing Organization Reservice 7. Aumarity Patricia L. Cahili DOT/FAA/CT-89/21 9. Performing Organization News and Address Performing Organization Reservice 7. Aumarity Patricia L. Cahili DOT/FAA/CT-89/21 9. Performing Organization News and Address Performing Organization Reservice Performing Organization Reservice 7. Aumarity Patricia L. Cahili DOT/FAA/CT-89/21 Performing Organization Reservice 9. Performing Organization Reservice Performing Organization Reservice Performing Organization Reservice 10. Suppression Agency Meese and Address Performing Organization Reservice Performing Organization Reservice 11. Spearsong Agency News and Address Performing Organization Reservice Performing Organization Reservice 12. Spearsong Agency Meese and Address Performing Organization Reservice Performing Organization Reservice 13. Suppression Agency Meese and Address Performing Organization Reservice Performing Organization Reservice	·	T 00/21			
July 1989 FLAMMABILITY, SHOKE AND DRY ARC TRACKING TESTS OF ALRCART FLECTRICAL WIRE INSULATIONS J. Aumer's Patricia L. Cahili Patricia L. Cahili Patricia L. Cahili Performing Organisation Researt No. DOT/FAA/CT-89/21 Performing Organisation Researt No. Technical Center Atlantic City International Airport, NJ 08405 13. Spearoning Agenty New and Address U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration Technical Center 14. Spearoning Agenty New and Address U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration Technical Center 15. Spearoning Agenty New and Address U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration Technical Center 15. Spearoning Agenty New and Address U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration Technical Center 16. Aburget 17. Spearoning Agenty New and Address U.S. Spearoning New Address Address U.S. Spearoni					5 Preset Data
FLAMMABILITY, SMOKE AND DRY ARC TRACKING TESTS OF AIRCRAFT FLECTRICAL WIRE INSULATIONS 5. Performing Organization Reservices 7. Author // Patricia L. Cabili 5. Performing Organization Reservices 7. Author // Patricia L. Cabili 10. Work UnitWork (TRAIS) 7. Author // Performing Organization News and Address 10. Work UnitWork (TRAIS) 7. Author // Performing Organization News and Address 10. Work UnitWork (TRAIS) 7. Author // Performing Agency News and Address 11. Converses Sciences 7. Suppresentation Administration Technical Center 11. Converses Sciences 7. Suppresentary News and Address 11. Suppresentation Technical Center 11. Suppresentation Technical Center 7. Although three different laboratory-scale tests were evaluated in this wire program, only the sixty-degree test is currently required by the Forder Adviation Addinistration (FAA). All test speciemes with the exception of Hine. 506/1 PrC mylon passed this test with average burn length were greater than the 30-ment meridian time. The HIL-5068/1 Suppresentary News and Address in the FR. 7. A shifter Although three different laboratory-scale tests were evaluated in this wire program, only the sixty-degree test is currently required by the Forderal Adviation for the structure is the intervent of Hile.5068/1 PrC mylon passed this test with average burn length was greater than the 30-ment of Hile.5068/1 PrC mylon passed this test with average burn length was greater than the 30-ment meridian time. The MIL-5068/1 PrC mylon appresent tests (DUT/FAA/CT-88/4). The balagenated polymers fra	e, the and subline				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7. Author /: Patricia I. Cahili DOT/FAA/CT-89/21 7. Performing Orgenization News and Address 10. Work Unit New (TRAIS) Pederal Aviation Administration 11. Contract of Grant Ne. Technical Center Atlantic City International Airport, NJ 08405 11. Contract of Grant Ne. 12. Spensoring Agents Nome and Address 13. Types of Report and Period Contract 13. Septementer Vision Address 13. Types of Report and Period Contract 14. Abstreet Although three different laboratory-scale tests weil eviluated in this wire program, only the sixty-degree test is currently required by the Foderal Aviation Administration (FAA). All test specimes with the exception of MLL-S086/1-P/C mylon passed this based with a ML-S086/1-P/C mylon passed this based with a ML-S086/1-P/C mylon passed this during the site of the S0. Second filme tract, and the average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum appetited in the FAR. 7. The smoke test method used in this program called for a straight pilot burner when testing insulated conductor specimens. However, data for a multidirectional pilot burner were also included in this program called for a straight pilot burner when testing insulated conductor specimes. However, data for a multidirectional pilot burner when testing for ETF constructions at hot the 5- and 20-minute test points. The ML-M-S188/1/1 aromatic polyinide and the composite onstruction for these too samples. A direct correlation can be seen between dry arc tracking tests and Wet arc tracking rests (DOT/FAA/CT-88/4). The halogenated polymesto formed nonductive char upon thermal decomposition and, therefor				ESTS OF	
Patricia L. Cahili DOT/FAA/CT-89/21 9. Performing Organization Names and Address 10. Keek Unit We (TRANS) Federal Aviation Administration 11. Convector Grant Name Technical Center 11. Convector Grant Name Atlantic City International Airport, NJ 08405 12. Type of Regord and Parcel Center U.S. Department of Transportation Final Report Federal Aviation Administration Final Report Technical Center 14. Separation & Agers, Neme and Address Atlantic City International Airport, NJ 08405 14. Separation & Agers, Code 15. Supplementary Netes 15. Supplementary Netes 16. Abstreet Although three different laboratory-scale tests were evaluated in this wire program, only the sixty-degree test is currently required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). All test speciesms with the exception of MIL-&-SoBG(1-PiC avian) and the average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum and no flame time. The MIL-&-SoBG(1-PiC avian) and the average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum specified in the FAR. The snoke test method used in this program called for a straight pilot burner when testing insulated conductor appetments. Nowever, data for a multidirectional pilot burner when the straight pilot burner when the straight pilot burner in the Hall/12 aromatic polyinide and the conposite construction for these too samples. A direct correlation can be seen between dry arc tracking tests and wet arc tracking nearest (polyinide Samples formed a conductive char when thermal degradation, and severe arc tracking neoremet, not dry arc tr					8. Performing Organization Report No.
9. Performing Organization Mana and Address 10. Note Unit Note (TRAIS) Federal Aviation Administration 11. Connect of Grant No. Technical Center 13. Separation Nome and Address U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration Technical Center 13. Separation Agency Nome and Address Atlantic City International Airport, NJ 08405 13. Type of Report and Period Center Atlantic City international Airport, NJ 08405 14. Separation Agency Cede 13. Supplementary Notes 14. Separation (FAA). 14. Abured* Although three different laboratory-scale tests were explored by the Foleral Aviation Administration (FAA). All test specimens with the exception of ML-N-5060/L-PIC mylon passed this dear with average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum and no flame tise. The ML-N-5080/L samples marginally passed the 30-encond flame time, and the average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum and no flame tise. The ML-N-5080/L samples marginally passed the 30-encond flame time, and the average for ETF constructions at both the 3- and 20-minute test points. The ML-N-5181/12 aromatic polyinide and the cocposite onstruction (Teflor/polyinide/Teflor on TFT) shoved no appreciable difference in a patween the two burner types. Moreover, test duration did not affect smoke generation for these to samples. A direct correlation can be seen between dry arc tracking tests and wet arc tracking nearest. A direct correlation can be seen between dry arc tracking tesk in passed the maximu test in the bundle ocourred due to arc tracking propagat	7. Author's)	Patricia	Cabill		DOT/FAA/CT-89/21
Federal Aviation Administration 11. Convert or Grant Ne. Technical Center 13. Type of Recention Period Convert Atlantic City International Airport, NJ 08405 13. Type of Recention Period Convert 12. Semining Aprex News and Address Final Report Peederal Aviation Administration Final Report Federal Center Atlance City International Airport, NJ 08405 13. Supplementary News 14. Semining Aprex News 14. Absider Although three different laboratory-scale tests were exclosed in this wire program, only the sixty-degree test is currently required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). All test specienes with the exception of MIL-&-5086/1-PiC nylon passed this test with average burn lengths within the 3-inch maximum and no fiame time. The MIL-&-5081/13 sapies marginally passed the 30-second flame time, and the average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum specified in the FAR. The smoke test method used in this program called for a straight pilot burner when testing insulated conductor speciment. Movey, data for a multidirectional pilot burner were also included in this report. Incg. artistoric for fig. document (Tefion/polymide/Tefion or TPT) showed no appreciable difference in D ₀ between the two burner types. Moreover, test duration did not affect smoke generation (Tefion/polymide/Tefion and therefore, no dry arc tracking. The MIL-&-5087140. The Able generation for these two samples formed a conductive char upon thermal degradation, and severe arc tracking propagation upon circuit breaket resting the strates in the bundle occurred due to are tracking propagation upon circuit breaket restr	9. Performing C				10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
Technical Center 11. Cantact of Draw No. Atlantic City International Airport, NJ 08405 13. Type of Report and Pendol Covered 12. Sponsong Agency Nome and Address 13. Type of Report and Pendol Covered 13. Supplementery Nome and Address 14. Sponsong Agency Code 14. Supplementery Nome 14. Sponsong Agency Code 15. Supplementery Nome 14. Sponsong Agency Code 15. Supplementery Nome 14. Sponsong Agency Code 15. Supplementery Nome 14. Sponsong Agency Code 16. Aburget Although three different laboratory-scale tests were evaluated in this wire program, only the sixty-degree test is currently required by the Fideral Adaction Administration (FAA). All test specimens with the exception of MIL-W 5086/1-PiC mylon passed this test with average burn lengths within the 3-Inch maximum and no flame time. The MIL-W-5086/1 samples marginally passed the 30-second flame time, the KAR. The smoke test method used in this program called for a multidirectional pilot burner were also included in this report. "irre variation in Disection and the 30-second flame time, the volumer for ETF constructions at both the 5- and 20-minute test points. The MIL-W-81881/12 aromatic polyimide and the composite construction (Telion/polyimide/Telion or TF) showed no appreciable difference in D, between the two burner spest. A direct correlation can be seen between dry arc tracking tests and wet arc tracking tests (DIT/FAA/CI-88/4). The halogenated polymers formed no conductive chars upon thermal decomposite construction performed well. No dry arc tracking my a					
12. Sponsoning Agency Nome and Address 13. Supplementation 14. Sponsoning Agency Nome and Address 14. Sponsoning Agency Code 15. Department of Transportation 14. Sponsoning Agency Code 16. Absired: Although chrice different laboratory-scale tests well evaluated in this wire 16. Absired: Although chrice different laboratory-scale tests well evaluated in this wire 16. Absired: Although chrice different laboratory-scale tests well evaluated in this wire 16. Absired: Although chrice different laboratory-scale tests well evaluated in this wire 16. Absired: Although chrice different laboratory-scale tests well evaluated in this wire 17. Supplementary Notes Although chrice different laboratory-scale tests well evaluated in this wire 16. Absired: Although chrice different laboratory-scale tests well evaluated in this wire 16. Absired: Although chrice different laboratory-scale tests well evaluated in this wire 17. Supplementary Notes Although chrise different laboratory-scale tests well evaluated in this wire 18. Jupple and this test with average burn lengths with the scale and the different laboratory regress different laboratory scale tests well evaluated in this wire 19. Devaluated conductor spectments. However, data for a multidirectional pilot burner when testing insulated conductor spectments. However, data for a multidirectional pilot burner were also inclu					11. Contract or Grant No.
12. Sponsoning Agency Nome and Address Final Report 14. Sponsoning Agency Nome and Address Final Report 15. Department of Transportation 14 Sponsoning Agency Cade 16. Absence 14 Sponsoning Agency Cade 16. Absence Although three different laboratory-scale tests well evaluated in this wire program, only the sixty-degree test is currently required by the Federal Aviation Addinistration (FAA). All test specimes with the exception of MLL-X-SOB6/1-PPC nylon passed this test with average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum and no flame time. The MLL-X-SOB6/1 samples marginally passed the Soecond flame time, and the average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum specified in the FAR. The moke test method used in this program called for a straight pilot burner when testing insulated conductor specimens. However, data for a multidirectional pilot burner were also included in this report I trage variation: The Societ construction of the S- and 20-minute test points. The MLL-X-B138/1/2 aromatic polyinide and the conposite construction (Tefion/polyinid/Feflo on TT) showed no appreciable difference in Dg between the two burner types. Moreover, test duration did not affect smoke generation for these two samples. A direct correlation can be seen between dry arc tracking tests and wet arc tracking rests (DOI/FAA/CT-B8/4). The halogenated polymers formed no conductive chars upon thermal decomposition and, therefore, no dry arc tracking. The MLL-X-B138/1/2 aromatic polyimide samples formed a conductive the akange to all wires in the bundle occurred the tracking propagation upon circuit breakst resetting. The FT consposite construction performed well. No dry arc tracking tests in the bundle occurred tracki	Atlantic C	ity International	Airport, NJ 0	8405	13. Type of Report and Period Covered
I.S. Department of Transportation Final Report Federal Aviation Administration If Secure Adjust and Administration Technical Center If Secure Adjust and	12. Sponsoring	Agency Name and Address			-
Technical Center 14 Sponsoing Agency Code Atlantic City Titeinational Airport, NJ 08405 14 Sponsoing Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes 15. Supplementary Notes 16. Abuvert Although three different laboratory-scale tests well citluated in this wire program, only the staty-degree test is currently required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). All test specimens with the acception of MIL-% 5086/1-PVC mylon passed this test with average burn lengths within the 3-inch maximum and no flame time. The MIL-%-5086/1 supplementary passed the 30-second flame time, and the average burn lengths within the 3-inch maximum apecified in the FAR. The smoke test method used in this report. three variation: in Pg secured between the two burners for ETFE constructions at both the 5- and 20-minute test points. The MIL-%-0181/12 aromatic polyinide and the composite construction (Teflon/polyimide/Teflon or TPT) showed no appreciable difference in Bg between the two burner types. Moreover, test duration did not affect smoke generation for these two samples. A direct correlation can be seen between dry arc tracking: The MIL-%-Bills/12 aromatic polyinde samples formed a conductive thar upon thermal decomposition and, therefore, no dry arc tracking. The MIL-%-Bills/12 aromatic polyinde samples formed vell. No dry arc tracking tests (DOT/FAA/CT-88/4). 17. Key Work 18. Distributen Stormed 18. New Work 18. Distributen Stormed 19. Security Clear (1) 20. Security Clear (1) 19. Security Clear (1) 20. Security Clear (1) 21. No. or Pages			tation		Final Report
Atlantic City Tite:national Airport, NJ 08405 15. Supplementary Notes 16. Abuvedt Although three different laboratory-scale tests were evaluated in this wire program, only the stxty-degree test is currently required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). All test specimens with the exception of ML-W-5086/1-PVC mylon passed this test with average burn lengths within the 3-inch maximum and no flame time. The ML-W-5086/1 samples marginally passed the 30-second flame time, and the average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum specified in the FAR. The smoke test method used in this program called for a straight pilot burner when testing insulated conductor specimens. However, data for a multidirectional pilot burner were also included in this report. 'argo variation: in Rg occurred between the two burners for EFFE constructions at both the 5- and 20-minut test points. The ML-W-81381/12 aromatic polyimide and the composite construction (fefiny-loylymide/Teflon or TPT) showed no appreciable difference in Dg between the two burner types. Moreover, test duration did not affect smoke generation for these two samples. A direct correlation can be seen between dry arc tracking tests and wet arc tracking tests (DOT/FAA/CT-88/4). The halogenated polymers formed no conductive chars upon thermal decomposition and, therefore, no dry arc tracking. The ML-W-81381/12 aromatic polyimide samples formed a conductive char upon thermal degradation, and severe arc tracking progradion upon circuit breaket resetting. The TP composite construction performed well. No dry arc tracking was evident. This construction behaved similarly to a halogenated polymer in this respect. 17. Key Words 18. Distributen Statement Dry Arc Tracking Sixty-degree Fla			ation		
15. Supplementary Neres 16. Absired: Although three different laboratory-scale tests will overlated in this wire program, only the Sixty-degree test is currently required by the Federal Avlation Administration (FAA). All test specimens with the exception of ML-W-5086/1-PPC nylon passed this test with average burn lengths within the 3-inch maximum and no flame time. The MIL-W-5086/1 samples marginally passed the 30-second flame time, and the average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum specified in the FAR. The smoke test method used in this program called for a straight pilot burner when testing insulated conductor specimens. However, data for a multidirectional pilot burner were also included in this report. "argo: ariation: i.e.g. occurred between the two burners for ETFE constructions at both the 5- and 20-minute test points. The MIL-W-81381/12 aromatic polyinide and the composite construction (Tefion/polyimide/Tefion or TPT) showed no appreciable difference in D ₈ between the two burner types. Moreover, test duration did not affect smoke generation for these two samples. A direct correlation can be seen between dry arc tracking. The ML-W-81381/12 aromatic polyimide samples formed no conductive chars upon thermal decomposition and, therefore, no dry arc tracking. The ML-W-81381/12 aromatic polyimide samples formed a conductive chars upon thermal decomposition construction performed well. No dry arc tracking was evident. This construction behaved similarly to a halogenated polymer in the bundle occurred due to arc tracking programed well. No dry arc tracking was evident. This construction behaved similarly to a halogenated polymer in this respect. 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement Dry Arc Tracking Sixty-degree Flammability Tests Flectrical Wire				00405	14. Sponsoring Agency Code
16. Absuract Although three different laboratory-scale tests were evaluated in this wire program, only the sixty-degree test is currently required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). All test specimens with the exception of MIL-W-S086/1-FVC nylon passed this test with average burn length within the 3-inch maximum and no flame time. The MIL-W-S086/1 sauples marginally passed the 30-second flame time, and the average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum specified in the FAR. The smoke test method used in this program called for a straight pilot burner when testing insulated conductor specimens. However, data for a multidirectional pilot burner were also included in this report. 'srg: "arinition: fr. 0, courred between the two burners for ETFE constructions at both the 5- and 20-minute test points. The MIL-W-B1381/12 aromatic polyimide and the composite construction (Tefion/polyimide/Tefion or TPT) showed no appreciable difference in 0g between the two samples. A direct correlation can be seen between dry arc tracking tests and wet arc tracking rests (DOT/FAA/CT-88/4). The halogenated polymers formed no conductive chars upon thermal decomposition and, therefore, no dry arc tracking. The MIL-W-B1381/12 aromatic polyimide samples formed a conductive char upon thermal decomposition and, therefore, no dry arc tracking. The MIL-W-B1381/12 aromatic polyimide samples formed are tracking nordinut breaket resetting. The TPT composite construction performed well. No dry arc tracking was evident. This construction behaved similarly to a halogenated polymer 'n this respect. 17. Key Words 18. Outworken Stelement Dry Arc Tracking 19. Outworken Stelement Smoke Test 4 19. Security Classel. (of this regent) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>AIIPOIL, NJ V</td><td></td><td></td></t<>			AIIPOIL, NJ V		
degradation, and severe arc tracking occurred. Extensive damage to all wires in the bundle occurred due to arc tracking propagation upon circuit breaken resetting. The TPT composite construction performed well. No dry arc tracking was evident. This construction behaved similarly to a halogenated polymer in this respect.17. Key Words18. Distribution StatementDry Arc Tracking Sixty-degree Flammability Tests Flectrical Wire Insulations Smoke Test18. Distribution Statement Document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service. Springfield, Virginia 2216119. Security Clossif. (of this report)20. Security Clos21. No. of Pages22. Price		program, only the s Administration (FA nylon passed this in no flame time. The time, and the avera in the FAR. The smoke test meth when testing insula pilot burner were a between the two bur points. The MIL-W- (Teflon/polyimide/' the two burner type for these two samp A direct correlati- tracking tests (DO chars upon thermal	sixty-degree test A). All test spec test with average MIL-W-5086/1 sau age burn length wa nod used in this p ated conductor spe also included in t rners for ETFE con -81381/12 aromatic Teflon or TPT) sho es. Moreover, tes les. cn can be seen bet T/FAA/CT-88/4). T decomposition and	is currently re imens with the burn lengths wi ples marginally s greater than rogram called f cimens. Howeve his report. In structions at b polyimide and wed no apprecia t duration did ween dry arc tr he halogenated , therefore, no	quired by the Federal Aviation exception of MIL-W-5086/1-PVC thin the 3-inch maximum and passed the 30-second flame the 3-inch maximum specified or a straight pilot burner r, data for a multidirectional rge variations in P _s occurred oth the 5- and 20-minute test the composite construction ble difference in D _s between not affect smoke generation acking tests and wet arc polymers formed no conductive dry arc tracking. The MIL-W-
Dry Arc Tracking Sixty-degree Flammability Tests Flectrical Wire Insulations Smoke Test 4 19. Security Clossif. (of this report) 20. Security Clossif. (of this report) 20. Security Clossif. (of this report) 21. No. of Poges 22. Price		degradation, and s the bundle occurre resetting. The TP was evident. This	evere arc tracking d due to arc track T composite constr	g occurred. Ext ing propagation fuction performe aved similarly t	ensive damage to all wires in upon circuit breaken d well. No dry arc tracking to a halogenated polymer in
Sixty-degree Flammability Teststhrough the National TechnicalFlectrical Wire InsulationsInformation Service, Springfield,Smoke Test419. Security Clossif. (of this report)20. Security Clossif. (of this report)21. No. of Pages22. Price	17. Key Words			18. Distribution Sta	tement
19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classifier and a classifier of the classifier of t	Sixty-degr Flectrical	ee Flammability 1 Wire Insulations		through the Information	National Technical Service, Springfield,
	19. Security Cl	assif. (of this report)	20, Security Clar	(of this gran)	21. No. of Pages 22. Price
UNCHASSIFIED UNCHASSIFICA			linel	assified	21
Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72) Reproduction of completed page cuthorized					

14

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	v
INTRODUCTION	1
Purpose Background	1 1
DISCUSSION	1
Test Description Test Procedures Test Samples Test Results	1 1 3
SUMMARY	4
CONCLUSIONS	5

APPENDIX

A - Sixty-degree Test



LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	Test Device	6
2	TPT Photograph	15
3	MIL-W-81381/12 Photograph	15
4	MIL-W-22759/16 Photograph	16
5	MIL-W-5086/1 Photograph	16
6	MIL-W-22759/41 Photograph	17
7	Lab Sample Photograph	17

LIST OF TABLES

Table	P	'age
1	Test Sample Summary - Sixty-degree Test	7
2	Test Sample Summary - Smoke Test	8
3	Test Sample Summary - Dry Arc Tracking Test - TPT	9
4	Test Sample Summary - Dry Arc Tracking Test - MIL-W-81381/12	10
5	Test Sample Summary - Dry Arc Tracking Test - MIL-W-22759/16	11
6	Test Sample Summary - Dry Arc Tracking Test - MIL-W-5086/1	12
7	Test Sample Summary - Dry Arc Tracking Test - MIL-W-22759/41	13
8	Test Sample Summary - Dry Arc Tracking Test - Laboratory Sample	14

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

New material technology and new Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) have focused attention on the need to explore additional types of wire insulation testing. This report contains the results of an evaluation of flammability, smoke characteristics, and drv arc tracking of aircraft electrical wire insulations.

The sixty-degree flammability test, as specified in the FAR (appendix A), is the only flammability test required at the present time. All test specimens with the exception of MIL-W-5086/1-PVC nylon passed the sixty-degree test. The average burn length of the PVC nylon specimen was greater than the 3-inch maximum specified in the FAR.

Smoke tests were run in the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) smoke chamber. Flaming combustion was evaluated in this program. Specific optical density (D_S) was evaluated at both the 5- and 20-minute test points. While the smoke test method employed calls for a straight pilot burner when testing insulated conductor specimens, tests were also run with the multidirectional pilot burner. When tested with both burner types at the 20-minute test point, MIL-W-81381/12 aromatic polyimide and the composite construction TeflonTM outer wrap/ polyimide middle wrap/Teflon inner wrap (TPT) had D_S values of under one. Large variations in D_S values between the 5- and 20-minute test points occurred for ethylene-tetrafluoroethylene (ETFE) constructions when testing with both types of burners. Also, D_S values were significantly higher for both modified and unmodified ETFE constructions when tested with the straight versus the multidirectional burner.

Dry arc tracking test results compared well with wet arc tracking test results (DOT/FAA/CT-88/4 "Aircraft Electrical Wet-Wire Arc Tracking"). Severe dry arc tracking occurred for all MIL-W-81381/12 aromatic polyimide samples. Extensive damage to all wires in the bundles was evident. The TPT composite and the halogenated polymer constructions formed no conductive char upon thermal degradation and therefore, no arc tracking occurred.

INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE.

The objective of this project was to evaluate flammability, smoke characteristics, and dry arc tracking resistance of aircraft electrical wire insulations.

BACKGROUND.

At the present time, the only flammability test required for wire insulation is the sixty-degree test in compliance with Part 25.1359(d) in Appendix F of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR). With the advent of new material technology and new Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulations requiring more stringent flammability and smoke testing of aircraft materials, a need for additional types of wire insulation testing would seem apparent. Two candidates for these additional types of wire insulation testing are a smoke test and a dry arc tracking test.

DISCUSSION

TEST DESCRIPTIONS.

SIXTY-DEGREE TEST. The sixty-degree test evaluates (1) ease of ignition, (2) flame propagation, (3) self-extinguishment time upon flame removal, and (4) flame time of drippings. This test has shown itself to be a quick and effective means for screening flammability characteristics of wire insulations.

<u>SMOKE TEST</u>. In recent years, increased attention has been focused on the effects of smoke emanating from burning aircraft materials. In the confined environment of an aircraft cabin, it is imperative that smoke emission from all sources be minimized as the smoke may cause passenger panic and obscure exits and routes of escape. Transport category aircraft contain miles of wiring, therefore, limiting the smoke produced by wiring insulation in a fire would help to minimize the effects discussed above.

DRY ARC TRACKING TEST. One problem that the United States Navy has encountered is the phenomenon of wet arc tracking (DOT/FAA/CT-88/4 "Aircraft Electrical Wet-Wire Arc Tracking"). While wet arc tracking is not a common occurrence in commercial aircraft, a dry arc tracking test would be beneficial in that a wire insulation's tendency to form a char upon thermal degradation could be characterized. Thermal degradation is primarily initiated by the extremely high temperature of an electrical arc. Upon thermal breakdown, a wire insulation will (1) decompose and give off gaseous byproducts or (2) form a char which may be conductive. If the initial arc were to trip one or more circuit breakers, resetting of the breakers may result in severe damage propagation to the wire bundle if a conductive char is present. This phenomenon is known as arc tracking.

TEST PROCEDURES.

SIXTY-DEGREE TEST. The sixty-degree test was performed in accordance with the procedure specified in Appendix F of Part 25 of the FAR. Refer to appendix A for the test description.

<u>SMOKE TEST</u>. The smoke test was performed in accordance with the procedure specified in the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standards F814 titled. "Standard Test Method for Specific Optical Density of Smoke Generated by Solid Materials for Aerospace Applications." Refer to this method for the test description. Only the flaming condition was evaluated in this program. While this procedure calls for a straight pilot burner when testing insulated conductor specimens, data for a multidirectional pilot burner is included in the test results.

<u>DRY ARC TRACKING TEST</u>. This test was performed using a seven-wire bundle cut to a length of 14 inches. Insulation of 3/16 inches was stripped from both ends of each wire. The wires were tied in a six-around-one configuration using an appropriate tying material (waxed linen lacing cord, nylon lacing cord, plastic tie-wraps, etc.) with the tie nearest the end of the bundle, 1/4 inch back on the insulation from the stripped wire ends. A second tie was made around the bundle 2 inches farther back from the first tie. The tie material used was the waxed linen lacing cord.

After the bundle was tied, all the exposed wire ends on one side of the bundle were splayed out such that the strands of each conductor were intermingled with those of adjacent conductors. A small amount of finely powdered conductive graphite was applied to the splayed wire ends to insure that an arc was struck. The bundle was then supported horizontally in a lab stand using two clamps approximately 8 inches apart.

Power was supplied through a 115/220-volt, 400-cycle motor-generator rated at 18.75 kVA. It was located approximately 50 feet from the test stand and was wired to the test bench through a 75- and a 20-ampere circuit breaker inside the generator control box. Individual fuses in a separate fuse box for each phase, a heavy duty manual on-off switch at the test bench, and seven 7-1/2 ampere KlixonTM aircraft circuit breakers in a box on the test bench completed the electrical configuration.

Two breakers were connected to phase "A," two to phase "B," two to phase "C," and one to neutral. All seven test leads had alligator clips on the ends to facilitate connecting to the wires being tested. The test leads were randomly connected to the seven wires in the test bundle. A 3- by 4-foot shield was placed in front of the test setup to protect personnel from the molten metal and burned insulation thrown off when the test arc occurred. Figure 1 is a photograph of the test device.

The test arc was initiated by closing the heavy duty manual switch at the test bench. After the initial arc was struck, only one attempt was made to reset any open circuit breakers. Ten tests were run on each wire sample.

TFST SAMPLES.

Six wire types were evaluated in this test program. Four of these constructions are currently inservice wires:

MIL-W-81381/12 - KaptonTM aromatic polyimide - liquid H-301 topcoat MIL-W-22759/41 - Extruded radiation cross-linked ETFE MIL-W-22759/16 - Extruded TefzelTM (FTFE) MIL-W-5086/1 - PVC nylon The fifth wire type evaluated was an experimental composite construction consisting of a TeflonTM outer wrap/polyimide middle wrap/Teflon inner wrap (TPT). The sixth wire type was a proprietary cross-linked irradiated ethylene-tetrafluoroethylene (ETFE). All test specimens were American Wire Gauge (AWG) 20 interconnect wires.

TEST RESULTS.

SIXTY-DEGREE TEST. Table 1 summarizes the results of the sixty-degree test. The average burn length of all samples, with the exception of MIL-W-5086/1 was within the 3-inch maximum specified in the FAR. The average burn length of the MIL-W-5086/1 samples was 5.1 inches, which exceeds the 3-inch maximum.

No flame time after removal of the flame source was recorded for the samples, with the exception of MIL-W-5086/1. The average flame time for MIL-W-5086/1 was 26 seconds. This is within the 30-second time limit defined in the FAR. No drippings from any of the test samples were detected.

<u>SMOKE TEST</u>. Table 2 summarizes the results of the smoke tests performed on the wire test samples. While the ASTM method employed specifies a straight flame burner, some laboratories use the multidirectional burner. Therefore, data for both burners are presented. From table 2, the specific optical density (D_S) for TPT and MIL-W-81381/12 does not significantly vary when comparing 5-minute with 20-minute values for both types of burners. Both TPT and MIL-W-81381/12 produced extremely small amounts of smoke. In both cases, D_S values were under one. When tested with both burner types, MIL-W-5086/1 generated large quantities of dark smoke. Specific optical density values reached the highest number capable of computer generation at the 5-minute test interval (D_S =776.5) therefore, samples were not run for 20 minutes. The MIL-W-22759/16 samples showed a large variation in D_S values between the 5- and 20-minute test intervals for both burners at both the 5- and 20-minute test points with the straight burner producing higher D_S values.

The MIL-W-22759/41 test samples also showed a noticeable difference in D_s values between the 5- and 20-minute test points. This difference occurred with both burner types: again, the straight burner producing higher D_s values than the multidirectional burner. The extruded radiation crosslinked ETFE laboratory samples produced large quantities of smoke. At the 20-minute test point, D_s alues for both burners were 775.0. At the 5-minute test point, the D_s was significantly higher with the straight burner (55.5) than the multidirectional burner (7.7).

DRY ARC TRACKING TEST. Tables 3 through 8 summarize the results of the dry arc tracking tests and figures 2 through 7 are photographs of the wire bundles after testing. Table 3 presents the data for the only composite construction among the test specimens. This wire sample incorporated a Teflon outer wrap/polyimide middle wrap/Teflon inner wrap. No dry arc tracking was found. The initial arcs struck were all moderate in severity. Figure 2 shows the TPT wire bundles after testing. Note the appearance of welds and some tube effects (i.e., vaporization of conductor with insulation material remaining). No evidence of carbonization was found on the wire insulation. Data on MIL-W-81381/12 (aromatic polyimide) samples are presented in table 4. All initial arcs were massive in severity and caused multiple circuit breakers to trip. Carbonization of the polyimide due to

the temperature of the initial arc occurred each time. Upon resetting of the circuit breakers, severe re-arcing took place resulting in more insulation degradation. The polyimide samples are shown in figure 3. Tables 5, 6, and 7 summarize arc tracking data on three halogenated polymers. All insulation systems are currently in service. Initial arcs were mild to moderate for all three sets of samples. No dry arc tracking was found for any of the test specimens. Upon resetting of tripped circuit breakers, tables 5, 6, and 7 show that all breakers either stayed in, retripped immediately, or resulted in a small arc restrike followed by a circuit breaker retrip. Figures 4, 5, and 6 show the wire bundles after testing. Note the similar appearance of all three specimens with the welds and tube effects. Minimal insulation damage is seen for all samples. Table 8 presents the data on the laboratory sample of extruded radiation crosslinked ETFE. Five tests were performed due to a shortage of sample wire. Initial arcs were mild to moderate. No dry arc tracking was found. Upon resetting of tripped circuit breakers all breakers retripped immediately or stayed in. The wire bundles are shown in figure 7.

SUMMARY

Although three different laboratory-scale tests were evaluated in this wire program, only the sixty-degree test is currently required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). All test specimens with the exception of MIL-W-5086/1-PVC nylon passed this test with average burn lengths within the 3-inch maximum and no flame time. The MIL-W-5086/1 samples marginally passed the 30-second flame time, and the average burn length was greater than the 3-inch maximum specified in the FAR.

The smoke test method used in this program called for a straight pilot burner when testing insulated conductor specimens. However, data for a multidirectional pilot burner were also included in this report. Large variations in D_s occurred between the two burners for ETFE constructions at both the 5- and 20-minute test points. The MIL-W-81381/12 aromatic polyimide and the composite construction (Teflon/polyimide/Teflon or TPT) showed no appreciable difference in D_s between the two burner types. Moreover, test duration did not affect smoke generation for these two samples.

A direct correlation can be seen between dry arc tracking tests and wet arc tracking tests (DOT/FAA/CT-88/4). The halogenated polymers formed no conductive chars upon thermal decomposition and, therefore, no dry arc tracking. The MIL-W-81381/12 aromatic polyimide samples formed a conductive char upon thermal degradation, and severe arc tracking occurred. Extensive damage to all wires in the bundle occurr due to arc tracking propagation upon circuit breaker resetting. The T composite construction performed well. No dry arc tracking was evident. This construction behaved similarly to a halogenated polymer in this respect.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Specific optical density (D_s) of both modified and unmodified ethylene-tetrafluoroethylene (ETFE) polymers varies significantly when tested with the straight versus the multidirectional pilot burner.

2. No difference in D_s was seen for either KaptonTM aromatic polyimide or the composite construction TeflonTM outer wrap/polyimide middle wrap/Teflon inner wrap (TPT) when tested with both burn holders.

3. No dry arc tracking was seen for any of the specimens tested with the exception of the MIL-W-81381/12 Kapton samples.

4. The Teflon fluoropolymer tapes of the TPT construction prevented dry arc tracking.



TABLE 1. TEST SAMPLE SUMMARY - SIXTY-DEGREE TEST

Material Specification	Burn Length (Average of 3 tests)	Flame Time	Drippings
TPT	1.3 inches	0	0
MIL-W-81381/12	l.4 inches	0	0
MIL-W-22759/16	2.0 inches	0	0
MIL-W-5086/1	5.1 inches	26 seconds	0
MIL-W-22759/41	1.7 inches	0	0
Lab Sample - extruded radiatio crosslinked ETFE	l.6 inches n	0	0

7

•

TABLE 2. TEST SAMPLE SUMMARY - SMOKE TEST

Flaming Combustion Straight Pilot Burner

Material Specification	5-minute D ₈ (Average of <u>3</u> Tests)	20-minute D _s (Average of 3 Tests)
TPT	0.19	0.84
MIL-W-81381/12	0.05	0.36
MIL-W-22759/16	68.60	364.39
MIL-W-5086/1 (PVC) nylon	776.20	not run
MIL-W-22759/41	11.14	182.37
Lab Sample - extruded radiation crosslinked ETFE	55.50	775.00

Multidirectional Pilot Burner

Material Specification	5-minute D _s (Average of 3 Tests)	20-minute D _s (Average of 3 Tests)
TPT	0.013	0.85
MIL-W-81381/12	0.13	0.13
MIL-W-22759/16	18.67	207.12
MIL-W-5086/1	776.52	not run
MIL-W-22759/41	2.86	105.56
Lab Sample - extruded radiation crosslinked ETFE	7.70	775.00

TABLE 3. TEST SAMPLE SUMMARY - DRY ARC TRACKING TEST

TeflonTM/Polyimide/Teflon (TPT)

Wire Bundle	Initial Arc	Tripped Circuit Brea (Upon Initial Arc)		Notes (CB's reset 1 time)
1	moderate	0	no	
2	moderate	0	no	
3	moderate	0	no	
4	moderate	0	no	
5	moderate	0	no	
6	moderate	1	no	CB stayed in
7	moderate	0	no	
8	moderate	2	no	small arc restrive
0		^		and retrip. CB retripped.
9	moderate	0	no	
10	moderate	0	no	

TABLE 4. TEST SAMPLE SUMMARY - DRY ARC TRACKING TEST

MIL-W-81381/12 - KaptonTM aromatic polyimide

Wire Bundle	Initial Arc	Tripped Circuit Breakers (Upon Initial Arc)	Arc <u>Track</u>	Notes (CB's reset l time)
1	massive	4	yes	severe rearcing, all breakers retripped.
2	massive	6	yes	severe rearcing, all breakers retripped.
3	massive	4	yes	severe rearcing, all breakers retripped.
4	massive	6	yes	severe rearcing, all breakers retripped.
5	massive	6	yes	severe rearcing, all breakers retripped.
6	massive	6	yes	severe rearcing, all breakers retripped.
7	massive	6	yes	severe rearcing, all breakers retripped.
8	massive	6	yes	severe rearcing, all breakers retripped.
9	massive	6	yes	severe rearcing, all breakers retripped.
10	massive	6	yes	severe rearcing, all breakers retripped.

TABLE 5. TEST SAMPLE SUMMARY - DRY ARC TRACKING TEST

Wire Tripped Circuit Breakers Arc Notes Bundle Initial Arc (Upon Initial Arc) (CB's reset 1 time) Track moderate 1 CB stayed in. no 2 moderate Small arc restrike no and retrip. CB stayed in. moderate 0 no moderate 1 CB stayed in. no 3 moderate All CB's stayed in no

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

moderate

moderate

MIL-W-22759/16 - TEFZELTM (ETFE)

			trips for both CBs	
8	mild	0	no	
9	moderate	2	no Both CB's stayed in.	
10	moderate	1	no Immediate retrip.	

no

no

Small arc

restrikes and re-

0

TABLE 6. TEST SAMPLE SUMMARY - DRY ARC TRACKING TEST

Wire <u>Bundle</u>	Initial Arc	Tripped Circuit Breakers (Upon Initial Arc)	Arc <u>Track</u>	Notes (CB's reset l time)
1	moderate	0	no	
2	mild	0	no	
3	mild	0	no	
4	moderate	0	no	
5	moderate	1	no	CB stayed in.
6	mild	0	no	
7	mild	1	no	CB stayed in.
8	mild	0	no	
9	mild	0	no	
10	mild	0	no	

MIL-W-5086/1 - PVC Nylon

TABLE 7.	TEST	SAMPLE	SUMMARY	-	DRY	ARC	TRACKING	TEST	

Wire Bundle	Initial Arc	Tripped Circuit Breakers (Upon Initial Arc)	Arc Track	Notes (CB's reset l time)
1	mild	0	no	
2	moderate	0	no	
3	moderate	1	no	CB stayed in.
4	mild	2	no	Immediate retrip, CB stayed in.
5	mild	0	no	
6	moderate	0	no	
7	moderate	0	no	
8	moderate	0	no	
9	mild	0	no	
10	moderate	0	no	

.

.

MIL-W-22759/41 - Extruded radiation crosslinked ETFE

Wire Bundle	Initial Arc	Tripped Circuit Breakers (Upon Initial Arc)	Arc <u>Track</u>	Notes (CB's reset l time)
1	moderate	0	no	
2	mild	4	no	All CB's immediately retripped.
3	mild	4	no	All CB's immediately retripped.
4	mild	4	no	CB stayed in. CB retripped. CB stayed in. CB stayed in.
5	mild	1	no	CB stayed in.

Extruded radiation crosslinked ETFE

TABLE 8. TEST SAMPLE SUMMARY - DRY ARC TRACKING TEST LABORATORY SAMPLE





FIGURE 2. TPT PHOTOGRAPH





FIGURE 5. MIL-W-5086/1 PHOTOGRAPH

FIGURE 4. MIL-W-22759/16 PHOTOGRAPH



FIGURE 7. LAB SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPH

FIGURE 6. MIL-W-22759/41 PHOTOGRAPH

APPENDIX A - SIXTY-DEGREE TEST

Chapter 1 - Federal Aviation Administration

Part 25, App. G

(g) Sixty-degree test in compliance with Part 25.1359(d). A minimum of three specimens of each wire specification (make and size) must be tested. The specimen of wire or cable (including insulation) must be placed at an angle of 60° with the horizontal in the cabinet specified in paragraph (c) of this appendix with the cabinet door open during the test or must be placed within a chamber approximately 2 feet high $x \mid$ foot $x \mid$ foot, open at the top and at one vertical side (front), and which allows sufficient flow of air for complete combustion, but which is free from drafts. The specimen must be parallel to and approximately 6 inches from the front of the chamber. The lower end of the specimen must be held rigidly clamped. The upper end of the specimen must pass over a pulley or rod and must have an appropriate weight attached to it so that the specimen is held tautly throughout the flammability test. The test specimen span between lower clamp and upper pulley or rod must be 24 inches and must be marked 8 inches from the lower end to indicate the central point for flame application. A flame from a Bunsen or Tirrill burner must be applied to 30 seconds at the test mark. The burner must be mounted underneath the test mark on the specimen, perpendicular to the specimen and at an angle of 30° to the vertical plane of the specimen. The burner must have a nominal bore of threeeighths inch and must be adjusted to provide a 3-inch-high flame with an inner cone approximately one-third of the flame height. The minimum temperature of the hottest portion of the flame, as measured with a calibrated thermocouple pyrometer, may not be less than 1,750° F. The burner must be positioned so that the hottest portion of the flame is applied to the test mark on the wire. Flame time, burn length, and flaming time of drippings, if any, must be recorded. The burn length determined in accordance with paragraph (h) of this appendix must be measured to the nearest one-tenth inch. Breaking of the wire specimens is not considered a failure.

(h) Burn length. Burn length is the distance from the original edge to the farthest evidence of damage to the test specimen due to flame impingement, including areas of partial or complete consumption, charring, or embrittlement, but not including areas sooted, stained, warped, or discolored, nor areas where material has shrunk or melted away from the heat source.

A~1