. .....

# On the Reciprocity of State Vectors in

**Boundary Value Models\*** 

Howard L. Weinert

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, MD 21218 Technical Report JHU/ECE- 89-14

#### Abstract

In this report, we prove that the state vector of a two-point boundary value model is a reciprocal process.



11 08

49

\* This work was supported by the Cffice of Naval Research under Grant N00014-89-J-1642

89

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

## 1. Introduction

When modeling physical systems in which one or more of the independent variables is spatial, the *a priori* information is usually given in the form of boundary conditions rather than initial conditions. Such systems arise in acoustics and oceanography, for example. Consider the following linear, two-point boundary value model for  $t_0 \le t \le t_1$ :

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$
(1)  
$$r = V_0 x(t_0) + V_1 x(t_1)$$
(2)

where u is a Gaussian, zero-mean, unit intensity white noise with m components, r is a Gaussian, zero-mean random vector with n components and is independent of u, x is the n-component state vector, and A, B,  $V_0$ ,  $V_1$  are appropriate matrices.

Structural properties of such two-point boundary value models have been studied in [5]-[6]. Estimation problems based on such models have been treated in [2]-[3], [7]-[8]. As is customary, we will assume that the model is *well-posed*; i.e., that *u* and *r* give rise to a unique *x*. This will be the case if and only if the matrix  $V_0 + V_1 \Phi(t_1, t_0)$  is nonsingular, in which case we can assume, without loss of generality, that

$$V_0 + V_1 \Phi(t_1, t_0) = I$$

where  $\Phi$  is the state transition matrix of (1).

Since, in general,  $x(t_0)$  is correlated with u, the state vector x is not Markovian. However, as we shall prove, it does possess the weaker property of reciprocity, which will be defined presently. First, we note that Krener

> By Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Dist Special A-

2

stated this result in [5] and sketched a method of proof for it. However, we have been unable to complete the proof along the suggested lines, and were thus motivated to find a different approach.

A process is *reciprocal* on an interval if, given any subinterval, the values of the process inside the subinterval are conditionally independent of the values outside the subinterval, given the values of the process at the two endpoints of the subinterval. For information on reciprocal processes, see [1], [4]-[5] and the references therein. In a recent paper [4], Carmichael *et al* give a very useful characterization of reciprocal processes, based on the projection theorem. They prove that a process x is reciprocal if and only if, for all  $a \in [t_0, t_1]$ , the two (one-sided) error processes  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  given by

$$e_1(t) = x(t) - E[x(t)|x(a)] \quad \text{for } t_0 \le t \le a$$
$$e_2(t) = x(t) - E[x(t)|x(a)] \quad \text{for } a \le t \le t_1$$

are Markovian. Our proof is based on this result, and on the fact that if a Gaussian process has a semi-separable covariance function, it is Markovian.

### 2. The Main Result

The boundary value model (1), (2) can be solved for x(a), for all  $a \in [t_0, t_1]$ , as follows:

$$x(a) = \Phi(a,t_0)r + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} G(a,\tau)Bu(\tau)d\tau$$

where *G* is the Green's function given by

$$G(a,t) = \begin{cases} -\Phi(a,t_0)V_1\Phi(t_1,t) & \text{for } a < t \\ \Phi(a,t_0)V_0\Phi(t_0,t) & \text{for } a > t \end{cases}$$
(3)

Let R(a,a) denote the covariance matrix of x(a). We assume this matrix is nonsingular for all  $a \in [t_0, t_1]$ . From (1) and the definitions of  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  given above, it is clear that for  $t_0 \le t \le a$ 

$$\dot{e}_1(t) = Ae_1(t) + B\tilde{u}(t), \ e_1(a) = 0$$
 (4)

and for  $a \le t \le t_1$ 

$$\dot{e}_2(t) = Ae_2(t) + B\tilde{u}(t), \quad e_2(a) = 0 \tag{5}$$

where

$$\tilde{u}(t) = u(t) - E[u(t)|x(a)]$$
  
= u(t) - E[u(t)x'(a)]R<sup>-1</sup>(a,a)x(a)  
= u(t) - B'G'(a,t)R<sup>-1</sup>(a,a)x(a)

and

$$E[\tilde{u}(t)\tilde{u}'(s)] = I\delta(t-s) - B'G'(a,t)R^{-1}(a,a)G(a,s)B$$
(6)

Theorem: The state vector process x is reciprocal.

*Proof:* As discussed above, it is sufficient to prove that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are Markovian for all  $a \in [t_0, t_1]$ . Consider first the case of  $e_2$ . For  $a \le t \le t_1$  and  $a \le s \le t_1$ , its covariance function is given by

$$K_{2}(t,s) = E[e_{2}(t)e_{2}'(s)]$$
$$= \int_{a}^{t} \int_{a}^{s} \Phi(t,\tau)B\{E[\bar{u}(\tau)\bar{u}'(\sigma)]\}B'\Phi'(s,\sigma)d\sigma d\tau$$

where we have used (5). Substituting in the above using (6) produces

$$K_{2}(t,s) = \int_{a}^{\min(t,s)} \Phi(t,\tau) BB' \Phi'(s,\tau) d\tau$$
$$- \left[ \int_{a}^{t} \Phi(t,\tau) BB' G'(a,\tau) d\tau \right] R^{-1}(a,a) \left[ \int_{a}^{s} G(a,\tau) BB' \Phi'(s,\tau) d\tau \right]$$

and using equation (3) for the Green's function, we get

$$\begin{split} K_{2}(t,s) &= \int_{a}^{\min(t,s)} \Phi(t,\tau) BB' \Phi'(s,\tau) d\tau \\ &- \left[ \int_{a}^{t} \Phi(t,\tau) BB' \Phi'(t_{1},\tau) d\tau \right] V_{1}' \Phi'(a,t_{0}) R^{-1}(a,a) \Phi(a,t_{0}) V_{1} \left[ \int_{a}^{s} \Phi(t_{1},\tau) BB' \Phi'(s,\tau) d\tau \right] \\ &= \begin{cases} C_{2}(t) D_{2}(s) & \text{for } a \leq s \leq t \leq t_{1} \\ D_{2}'(t) C_{2}'(s) & \text{for } a \leq t \leq s \leq t_{1} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2}(t) &= \Phi(t,t_{1}) - \left[ \int_{a}^{t} \Phi(t,\tau) BB' \Phi'(t_{1},\tau) d\tau \right] V_{1}' \Phi'(a,t_{0}) R^{-1}(a,a) \Phi(a,t_{0}) V_{1} \\ D_{2}(t) &= \int_{a}^{t} \Phi(t_{1},\tau) BB' \Phi'(t,\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

Since its covariance function is semi-separable,  $e_2(t)$ ,  $a \le t \le t_1$ , is Markovian for all  $a \in [t_0, t_1]$ . Using the same approach, it can be shown that, for  $t_0 \le t \le a$  and  $t_0 \le s \le a$ ,

$$\begin{split} K_{1}(t,s) &= E[e_{1}(t)e_{1}'(s)] \\ &= \int_{\max(t,s)}^{a} \Phi(t,\tau)BB'\Phi'(s,\tau)d\tau \\ &- \left[\int_{t}^{a} \Phi(t,\tau)BB'\Phi'(t_{0},\tau)d\tau\right]V_{0}'\Phi'(a,t_{0})R^{-1}(a,a)\Phi(a,t_{0})V_{0}\left[\int_{s}^{a} \Phi(t_{0},\tau)BB'\Phi'(s,\tau)d\tau\right] \\ &= \begin{cases} C_{1}(t)D_{1}(s) & \text{for } t_{0} \leq t \leq s \leq a \\ D_{1}'(t)C_{1}'(s) & \text{for } t_{0} \leq s \leq t \leq a \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where

$$C_{1}(t) = \Phi(t,t_{0}) - \left[\int_{t}^{a} \Phi(t,\tau) BB' \Phi'(t_{0},\tau) d\tau\right] V_{0}' \Phi'(a,t_{0}) R^{-1}(a,a) \Phi(a,t_{0}) V_{0}$$
$$D_{1}(t) = \int_{t}^{a} \Phi(t_{0},\tau) BB' \Phi'(t,\tau) d\tau$$

Therefore,  $e_1(t)$ ,  $t_0 \le t \le a$ , is Markovian for all  $a \in [t_0, t_1]$ , and the theorem is proved.

## References

[1] J. Abrahams and J. B. Thomas, "Some comments on conditionally Markov and reciprocal Gaussian processes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 27, pp. 523-525, 1981.

[2] M. B. Adams, A. S. Willsky, and B. C. Levy, "Linear estimation of boundary value stochastic processes," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 29, pp. 803-821, 1984.

[3] A. Bagchi and H. Westdijk, "Smoothing and likelihood ratio for Gaussian boundary value processes," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 34, pp. 954-962, 1989.

[4] J.-P. Carmichael, J.-C. Massé, and R. Theodorescu, "Representations for multivariate reciprocal Gaussian processes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 34, pp. 155-157, 1988.

[5] A. J. Krener, "Reciprocal processes and the stochastic realization problem for acausal systems," *Modelling, Identification, and Robust Control,*C. I. Byrnes and A. Lindquist, Eds., Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1986, pp. 197-209.
[6] A. J. Krener, "Acausal realization theory I: Linear deterministic systems," *SIAM J. Control and Optimization,* vol. 25, pp. 499-525, 1987.

[7] L. R. Riddle and H. L. Weinert, "Fast algorithms for the reconstruction of images from hyperbolic systems," *Proc. IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 1986, pp. 173-178.

 [8] L. R. Riddle and H. L. Weinert, "Recursive linear smoothing for dissipative hyperbolic systems," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 2, pp. 77-96, 1988.

6

UNCLA		ON OF THE	S PAGE						
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE									
1. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified					16. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
28. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY					3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
20. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					Unlimited				
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)					5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				
JHU/ECE 89-14									
	of PERFORM			6b, OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)					7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)				
Baltimore, Maryland 21218					Arlington, Virginia 22217				
				8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
					N00014-89-J-1642				
8c. ADDRE	55 (City, State	and ZIP Cod	ie)		10. SOURCE OF FUR		TASK	WORK UNIT	
					PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	NO.	NO.	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) On the Reciprocity									
Of State Vectors in Boundary Value Models (Unclassified)									
Howard L. Weinert									
13. TYPE OF REPORT Technical			136. TIME COVERED		14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) 1989, September 22		1	15. PAGE COUNT	
	MENTARY NO	DTATION							
17.	7. COSATI CODES				ontinue on reverse if ne	•			
FIELD	IELD GROUP SU		B. G.R.	> Boundary value models; reciprocal processes.					
10 48578	CT (Cartin			l identify by block number			· · · · · ·		
In this report, we prove/that the state vector of a two-point boundary value model is a reciprocal process.									
20. DISTRIE	UTION/AVAI	LABILITY	OF ABSTRAC		21. ABSTRACT SECU	1. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION			
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 🖾 SAME AS RPT. 🗌 DTIC USERS 🗋					Unclassified				
22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Julia Abrahams					226. TELEPHONE NU (Include Area Co (202) 696-43	de)	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL		
DD FORM 1473, 83 APR EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE. UNCLASS IFTED								D	

1