

2



US Army Corps of Engineers

REPAIR, EVALUATION, MAINTENANCE, AND REHABILITATION RESEARCH PROGRAM



PROCEEDINGS OF REMR WORKSHOP ON MANAGEMENT OF BIRD PESTS

27-29 April 1988


Edited by Anthony J. Krzysik

US Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory PO Box 4005 Champaign, Illinois 61824-4005

AD-A210 086



SDTIC ELECTE JUL 14 1989 D D



June 1989 Final Report

Approved For Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

Prepared for DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US Army Corps of Engineers Washington, DC 20314-1000 Under Civil Works Research Work Unit 32333



The following two letters used as part of the number designating technical reports of research published under the Repair, Evaluation, Maintenance, and Rehabilitation (REMR) Research Program identify the problem area under which the report was prepared:

<u>Problem Area</u>		<u>Problem Area</u>	
CS	Concrete and Steel Structures	EM	Electrical and Mechanical
GT	Geotechnical	EI	Environmental Impacts
HY	Hydraulics	OM	Operations Management
CO	Coastal		

For example, Technical Report REMR-OM-1 is the first report published under the Operations Management problem area.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

**COVER FIGURES:**

- FIRST - Plastic bird netting
- SECOND - Nest box trap for starlings or house sparrows
- THIRD - Australian crow trap
- FOURTH - Porcupine wire

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No 0704 0188  
Exp Date Jun 30 1986

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	
2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
5a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Construction Engr Research Laboratory		6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) P.O. Box 4005 Champaign, IL 61824-4005		7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	
8a NAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING ORGANIZATION HQ, U.S. Army Corps of Engr	8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) CECW-O-M	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Civil Works Research Work Unit 32333	
8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 20 Massachusetts Ave., NW. Washington, DC 20314-1000		10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO
		TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Proceedings of REMR Workshop on Management of Bird Pests (Unclassified)			
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Edited by Krzysik, Anthony J.			
13a TYPE OF REPORT Final	13b TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____	14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1989, June	15 PAGE COUNT 50
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION A report of the Research Area "Control of Roosting Birds and Bird Waste," of the Repair, Evaluation, Maintenance, and Rehabilitation (REMR) Research Program. (Cont'd)			
17 COSATI CODES		18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	pests	
06	06	workshops	
		birds	
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)  Presented are abstracts for presentations given at the Workshop on Management of Bird Pests. The Workshop was conducted to introduce Civil Works personnel to the state-of-the-art technology for controlling/managing bird damage and nuisance birds, consistent with environmental protection, and to provide guidelines for obtaining technical and operational assistance from government agencies responsible for bird damage control.			
20 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Gloria J. Wienke		22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (217)352-6511 (ext353)	22c OFFICE SYMBOL CECER-IMT

UNCLASSIFIED

BLOCK 16 (Cont'd)

This report is available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285  
Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

---

UNCLASSIFIED

PREFACE

The abstracts of the Repair, Evaluation, Maintenance, and Rehabilitation (REMR) Research Program Workshop, "Management of Bird Pests," were prepared for the Office, Chief of Engineers (OCE), US Army by the US Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (USACERL).

The workshop was organized by USACERL using funds provided by the US Army Waterways Experiment Station (WES). Mr. William F. McCleese is the REMR Program Manager. Dr. David Otis and Dr. Donald Mott, Denver Wildlife Research Center, US Department of Agriculture were instrumental in developing the program and suggesting qualified speakers. Mrs. Deborah Curtin did an excellent job of coordinating all the necessary and complex aspects of Workshop planning, publicity, and registration. Ms. Jill Sexton put the abstracts on computer.

The Workshop was funded by the REMR Research Program under Work Unit 32333, "Control of Roosting Birds and Bird Waste." Mr. James E. Crews (CECW-O-M) is the REMR Technical Monitor for this work.

COL Carl O. Magnell was Commander and Director of USACERL and Dr. L. R. Shaffer was Technical Director.

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification _____	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PREFACE.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	3
SPEAKERS.....	4
ATTENDEES.....	5

### PRESENTATIONS

BIRD PROBLEMS AT CIVIL WORK PROJECTS	
Anthony J. Krzysik.....	6
HEALTH AND NUISANCE PROBLEMS CAUSED BY PIGEONS, STARLINGS, AND SPARROWS	
Jeffery W. Jones.....	10
BLACKBIRD-STARLING ROOSTING PROBLEMS AND THEIR CONTROL	
Donald F. Mott.....	12
BIRD CONTROL METHODOLOGIES	
Edward C. Cleary.....	13
BIRD SCARING TECHNIQUES	
Michael D. Hoy.....	18
PIGEON CONTROL AT INDUSTRIAL SITES	
Dwight LeBlanc.....	20
CANADA GEESE: NUISANCE AND HEALTH PROBLEMS AND THEIR CONTROL	
Donald F. Mott.....	23
RESIDENT GOOSE CONTROL ON PERCY PRIEST RESERVOIR	
Edward Penrod.....	25
CONTROLLING GULL DAMAGE ON CIVIL WORKS STRUCTURES	
James E. Forbes.....	27
NATIONALLY REGISTERED BIRD CONTROL CHEMICALS.....	29
BIRD DAMAGE CONTROL PRODUCTS AND THEIR VENDORS.....	32
US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, ANIMAL DAMAGE CONTROL STATE DIRECTORS.....	41
SUMMARY.....	48

PROCEEDINGS OF REMR WORKSHOP  
ON MANAGEMENT OF BIRD PESTS

INTRODUCTION

The Repair, Evaluation, Maintenance, and Rehabilitation (REMR) Workshop on Management of Bird Pests was held at the Holiday Inn French Quarter, New Orleans, Louisiana, on 27-29 April 1988. The Workshop was sponsored by a research work unit under the REMR program entitled, "Control of Roosting Birds and Bird Waste," for which Dr. Anthony J. Krzysik, Workshop Director, is Principal Investigator.

The objectives of this Workshop were to introduce Civil Works personnel to the state-of-the-art technology for controlling/managing bird damage and nuisance birds, consistent with the environmental protection, and to provide guidelines for obtaining technical and operational assistance from government agencies responsible for bird damage control.

## SPEAKERS

1. Ed Cleary  
Assistant State Director  
US Department of Agriculture/APHIS\*  
Animal Damage Control  
6100 Columbus Avenue  
Sandusky, OH 44870  
419/625-9093
2. Jim Forbes  
State Director  
US Department of Agriculture/APHIS  
Animal Damage Control  
P.O. Box 97  
Albany, NY 12101  
518/472-6492
3. Mike Hoy  
Assistant District Supervisor  
US Department of Agriculture/APHIS  
Animal Damage Control  
P.O. Box 570  
Stuttgart, AR 72160  
501/673-1121
4. Jeff Jones  
Wildlife Biologist  
US Department of Agriculture/APHIS  
Animal Damage Control  
P.O. Box 570  
Stuttgart, AR 72160  
501/673-1121
5. Tony Krzysik  
Research Ecologist  
US Army Corps of Engineers  
Construction Engineering Research  
Laboratory  
P.O. Box 4005  
Champaign, IL 61820  
800/USA-CERL x 737  
217/373-6737
6. Dwight LeBlanc  
State Director  
US Department of Agriculture/APHIS  
Animal Damage Control  
P.O. Box 25315  
Baton Rouge, LA 70894  
504/389-0229
7. Don Mott  
Project Leader  
US Department of Agriculture/APHIS  
Dever Wildlife Research Center  
Kentucky Research Station  
334 15th Street  
Bowling Green, KY 42101  
502/842-0341
8. Ed Penrod  
Wildlife Biologist  
US Department of Agriculture/APHIS  
Animal Damage Control  
411 Donelson Pike  
Suite 340  
Nashville, TN 37124  
615/736-5506

\* APHIS - Animal and Plant Health Inspection Services \*



ATTENDEES

1. Daniel Beeman  
Plant Engineer  
Textron Lycoming  
550 S. Main St.  
Stratford, CT 06497  
203/385-1546
2. Tony H. Bivens  
Staff Assistnat to the Chief  
Hydropower DPNS Branch  
US Army Corps of Engineers  
CEORNDR-H  
P.O. Box 1070  
Mash, TN 37202  
615/736-5868
3. Joseph J. Debler  
Biologist  
NY District, Corps of Engineers  
CE-NANPL-E  
26 Federal Plaza  
New York, NY 10278-0090  
212/264-4663
4. Raymond E. Knight  
Engineering Technician  
US Army Engineering District,  
Huntington  
CEORH-OR-N  
502 Eighth Street  
Huntington, WV 25701-2070  
304/529-5685/5625
5. Michael A. Loesch  
Park Manager  
US Army Engineering District,  
Mobile  
ATTN: CESAM-OP-R (Loesch)  
P.O. Box 2288  
Mobile, AL 36628-0001  
205/694-3722
6. J. Calvin Lunceford  
Wildlife Biologist  
Rt. 1, Box 100  
Camden, AL 36726-9109  
205/682-4244
7. Jim Maloney  
Park Ranger  
US Army Corps of Engineers  
P.O. Box J  
Buzzards Bay, MA 02532  
617/759-4431
8. Charles Miles  
Civil Engineer  
USAED, Tulsa District  
P.O. Box 61  
Tulsa, OK 74121  
918/581-7352
9. Mr. Everett B. Nailey  
Pest Controller  
Building Management Service (137)  
VA Medical Center  
1030 Jefferson Avenue  
Memphis, TN 38104  
901/523-8990, x 5491/5488
10. R. Douglas Nester  
Biologist  
US Army Engineering District,  
Mobile  
CESAM-PD-EC  
P.O. Box 2288  
Mobile, AL 36628-0001  
205/694-3854
11. James D. Read  
Chief Locks Section  
P.O. Box 1070  
Nashville, TN 37202  
615/736-5607
12. Mark Stark  
USACEC, Albuquerque  
Star Rt.  
Hasty, CO 81044  
303/336-3476

## BIRD PROBLEMS AT CIVIL WORK PROJECTS

Anthony J. Krzysik

### ABSTRACT

1. A questionnaire was designed and distributed nationwide to US Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works Projects to evaluate the nature and magnitude of bird damage and nuisance bird pests. Two hundred sixty-seven individual projects or management offices responded to the questionnaire. Fifty-eight of these projects/offices reported that they had no significant problems with birds. The 209 projects/offices with bird pests identified a combined total of 783 individual pest problems or bird damage, an average of almost four problems per project. Four bird problems, in their ranked order: potential health hazards, aesthetics, deterioration of paints/coatings, and interference with maintenance procedures, accounted for 51 percent of reported problems. Four additional problems: safety considerations, damage to structural materials, mechanical equipment, and electrical equipment, cumulatively accounted for 83 percent of all reported bird problems.

2. Since more than one bird species could be associated with a specific pest problem, the 783 pest problems constituted 1472 problem/bird species occurrences, almost two bird species per problem. Three species introduced from Europe: (see Table 1) pigeon, starling, and house sparrow, in ranked order, contributed to 57 percent of problem/species occurrences. Three groups of native species along with the three exotics accounted for 88 percent of problem/species occurrences. Blackbirds (red-winged blackbird, common grackle, brown-headed cowbird), gulls (mainly ring-billed, California, and herring), and swallows (specifically cliff and barn) have dramatically increased their populations and geographic ranges directly as a result of anthropic practices and landscape changes.

3. The most severe and widespread bird damage occurred when pigeons roosted or nested on structures such as lock and dam complexes, bridges, and power generating stations. Gulls, swallows, and a few other species were

responsible for similar localized problems, usually on a smaller scale. The chief complaint was bird excrement.

4. Another source of bird damage occurred when starlings, pigeons, and/or house sparrows nested or roosted within buildings. Again, excrement was the primary concern, but avian ectoparasites and damage to building insulation, electrical circuits, and equipment were also important considerations. Starlings and house sparrows also nested in crevices associated with Civil Works structures. Their excrement and nests contributed to deterioration and failure in mechanical, hydraulic, and electrical components.

5. Canada geese at some Civil Works facilities were a problem on lawns and public-use areas because they contaminated and damaged the turf, sometimes causing severe destruction. They also contributed to potential health hazards, and aquatic eutrophication. Minor problems reported were agricultural depredations (mainly from blackbirds), competition with native bird species (mainly from starlings), scavenging, and predation. Table 2 summarizes these findings. A US Army Corps of Engineers Technical Report is available that presents in more detail the bird pest problems at Civil Works Projects.<sup>1</sup>

6. On the basis of a detailed analysis of questionnaire responses, 29 projects, representing 16 nationwide Corps of Engineers Districts, were identified as having the severest, as well as most representative, Civil Works bird problems. These Districts and projects were contacted by telephone to further assess their individual problems. Bird damage control authorities were consulted to establish additional contacts with the projects. The consensus of these experts was that most Civil Works bird problems could be controlled with existing established pest management techniques.

---

<sup>1</sup>Krzysik, A. J. 1987. "Evaluation of Bird Pest Problems at US Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works Projects," Technical Report REMR-EM-2, US Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory, Champaign, Illinois.

7. Based on the research, it was recommended that a workshop be organized to introduce Civil Works personnel to bird management technologies, and to make them aware of the availability of State and Federal contacts for bird damage control and guidance. It was also recommended that funding be generated for additional research to develop technologies for deterring Canada geese from recreational and other public-use areas.

TABLE 1  
BIRD PESTS INTRODUCED FROM EUROPE

SPECIES	YEAR INTRODUCED	SITE
Common Pigeon (Rock Dove)	1606 1621-1622 1642	Port Royal, Nova Scotia Virginia Massachusetts
House Sparrow (English Sparrow)	1853	Brooklyn, New York
European Starling	1890	Central Park, New York

TABLE 2

SUMMARY OF CIVIL WORKS BIRD PROBLEMS

PROBLEM SITE	SPECIES	CAUSE	SEVERITY	OCCURRENCE
Dam or Lock or Power House	Pigeons	Excrement	Serious	Widespread
	Gulls	Excrement	Minor-Serious	Local
	Starling roosts	Excrement	Very Serious	Local
	Starlings	Nests and Excrement	Minor-Moderate	Widespread
	Sparrows	Nests and Excrement	Minor	Widespread
	Swallows	Nests and Excrement	Minor-Serious	Local
Bridges	Pigeons	Excrement	Serious	Local
			Minor-Moderate	Widespread
Buildings	Starlings	Nests and Excrement	Serious	Local
	Sparrows	Nests and Excrement	Moderate	Local
Public-Use Areas	Canada Geese	Excrement	Minor-Serious*	Local
		Aggressive Behavior		
	Gulls	Excrement	Potential Problem	Local
		Aggressive Behavior		
	Swallows	Excrement	Potential Problem	Local
Aggressive Behavior				
	Pigeons	Excrement	Potential Problem	Local

\*High Potential for serious future problems.

HEALTH AND NUISANCE PROBLEMS  
CAUSED BY  
PIGEONS, STARLINGS, AND SPARROWS

Jeffery W. Jones

ABSTRACT

1. The common pigeon (Columba livia), European starling (Sturnus vulgaris), and house sparrow (Passer domesticus) were all introduced from Europe. Since their introduction, these species have dramatically increased their populations and ranges throughout the United States, and have successfully exploited the urban environment. The proximity between humans and these birds has created a variety of conflicts.

2. Pigeons, starlings, and sparrows are associated with bacterial, fungal, protozoal, and viral diseases that can affect humans. These diseases can be transmitted to humans in a variety of ways (e.g., fecal material, airborne spores, and arthropod vectors). Effects of avian-transmitted diseases vary in their severity from mild illness to death.

3. Avian ectoparasites include a variety of insects, mites, and ticks. Some of these arthropods cause illness, but most are generally a nuisance. Some insects associated with birds can damage building materials (i.e., webbing clothes moth).

4. Avian nuisance problems can be categorized as aesthetic problems, property destruction, and health/safety. Aesthetic problems include: sight, smell, and noises which can be displeasing to individuals near areas of high bird concentrations. Property destruction implicates the birds ability to cause accelerated deterioration of a wide variety of materials due to their acidic droppings, feathers, nests, and carcasses. Safety problems with birds include bird-aircraft strikes and injury to employees working in areas of high bird concentration.

5. Health and nuisance problems should always be considered when dealing with these three avian species. Care should be taken when individuals are involved in the cleanup of areas with bird problems. Personnel should use protective equipment including respiratory apparatus, coveralls, rubber boots, and cap. Following cleanup operations, protective equipment should be disinfected (or discarded) and personnel should wash thoroughly.

## BLACKBIRD-STARLING ROOSTING PROBLEMS AND THEIR CONTROL

Donald F. Mott

### ABSTRACT

1. Blackbirds and starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*) often establish nighttime roosts in areas where their presence may be objectionable because of health, agricultural, and nuisance problems. During the winter months more than 300 million of these birds congregate in hundreds of roosts in the southeastern United States. Roosts containing over 1 million birds are not uncommon. Roosting populations are primarily composed of red-winged blackbirds (*Agelaius phoeniceus*), common grackles (*Quiscalus quiscula*), brown-headed cowbirds (*Molothrus ater*), and starlings. These birds damage sprouting and ripening crops and consume livestock feed in feedlots. Histoplasmosis, a human respiratory disease caused by the fungus *Histoplasma capsulatum*, is often associated with the accumulated bird droppings in these roosts. Roosts located near airports are always of concern because of potential aircraft-bird strike hazards. In addition to health and agricultural problems, birds in urban roosts often create nuisance situations involving odor and property damage.

2. Methods used to address roost related problems include both nonlethal and lethal means. Techniques to relocate roosting birds to areas where they are less of a problem include using a variety of frightening devices and modifying the roosting habitat. PA-14, a lethal wetting agent, has been shown effective in reducing roosting bird populations under certain environmental conditions. This chemical is sprayed on the birds by aircraft or ground-based spray systems. Recent improvements in ground-based application procedures have greatly enhanced the use of this control method. Using toxic baits at feedlots and other bird assemblage areas has also been employed with some success, and improved baiting procedures are presently being investigated.



## BIRD CONTROL METHODOLOGIES

Edward C. Cleary

### ABSTRACT

1. Four general areas of human-bird conflict that require control programs are: 1) direct damage to property, 2) aesthetic or nuisance damage, 3) endangering public health and safety, and 4) bird conflicts with wildlife. The objective of any bird control program must be to alleviate the human-bird conflict, concurrent with maintaining diverse wildlife populations, and minimizing environmental impacts on degradation.

2. A number of questions must be answered before any control program is attempted:

- What is the problem?
- What species of birds are involved?
- What is the legal status of the problem bird(s) at the Federal, State, and local levels?

Federal: 50 CFR Section 10.13 defines migratory birds, sections 21.43, 21.44, 21.45, and 21.46 allow the taking of specific birds under specific circumstances without a Federal permit.

State: No two states have similar bird laws.

- What are the most effective and legal control methods available?
- How selective will the method of control be?
- What is the behavior pattern of the birds as they move between their feeding, loafing, and roosting areas?
- How much will it cost to apply the selected control method(s)?
- What are the local public feelings about the birds, their damage, and their control?

3. Three basic control techniques are applicable to bird management: Habitat Modification, Protection, and Population Reduction.

a. Habitat modification involves altering the environment to make it less desirable to the problem species. This normally requires reducing or eliminating the bird's feeding, nesting, roosting, or loafing sites.

b. Protection means making the area inaccessible or unattractive to the pest birds. This can be done mechanically, chemically, or through the use of acoustical and/or visual scaring devices.

(1) Mechanical bird-proofing involves using physical barriers to deny birds access to a particular area: Screening, netting, porcupine wire, overhead wire grids.

(2) Chemicals affecting touch or taste can be used to repel birds. Tactile repellents such as polybutene or polyisobutylene (Roost-No-More®, etc.) can be applied to bird roosting areas such as rafters in storage sheds and barns, and building ledges. 4-Aminopyridine (Avitrol®) is registered as a lethal repellent for the control of pigeons; house sparrows; red-winged, yellow-headed, Brewers', and rusty blackbirds; grackles; cowbirds; European starlings; crows; and gulls on or in structures, feeding, nesting, loafing, and roosting sites.

(3) Sound or noise generating devices that can be used to drive birds from specific areas include: prerecorded alarm/distress calls, Av-Alarm® systems, pyrotechnics, shellcrackers or bird bombs, and propane cannons. All have been used with varying degrees of success. Ultrasonic sound generating devices have not been effective bird repellents.

(4) Visual repellents are simply a variation on an ancient theme - the scarecrow. Hawk, falcon, or owl (raptor) silhouettes, balloons, and flags have all been tried with some degree of success. Much of the success that has been achieved with these devices may be attributed to "new object reaction" rather than any actual frightening effect produced by them. Mylar tape is a new product that has been successful in protecting lawns, crops, and other areas from bird damage. Bird acclimation to acoustical or visual scaring devices is a persistent problem.

c. Population Reduction involves killing the target species. The annual natural mortality must be exceeded or no overall reduction of the pest population will be achieved. The most commonly used population reduction methods are: Shooting, trapping, and poisoning.

(1) Shooting. Three rules must be adhered to when using shooting as a population reduction tool: 1. Check the state and local ordinances. 2. Use the proper gun. 3. Use personnel who are adequately trained in the safe handling and use of firearms.

(2) Trapping. Problem birds can be trapped using live traps, rocket or cannon nets, and rat or mouse snap traps. Live-trapping has successfully been used to control small groups of flocking birds such as European starlings, blackbirds, English sparrows, and pigeons. Cannon or rocket nets are useful in capturing nuisance waterfowl, pigeons and gulls in situations where other methods are not practical. Snap traps are used for individual problem birds such as woodpeckers that are attacking wood-sided buildings. The disposition of trapped birds will depend on the legal, political, and social realities of each situation. It is generally recommended that unprotected birds be destroyed following local SPCA recommendations. Migratory or game birds are generally relocated.

(3) Toxicants. Four toxicants - strychnine, 3-chloro-p-toluidine hydrochloride, Endrin, and Fenthion - are currently registered with the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for use in bird control. Any time a toxicant is used, every effort must be made to retrieve and properly dispose of dead birds. At the end of the control program, every effort must be made to pick up and dispose of unused bait material.

(a) Strychnine alkaloid at a 0.6 percent concentration is registered for use against English sparrows and feral pigeons in nonagricultural and urban areas. Strychnine is biodegradable and does not persist in the environment. The flesh of an animal killed with strychnine is not toxic to other animals. To be effective, strychnine must be taken orally. It

cannot be absorbed through unbroken skin. Strychnine is not a chronic or cumulative poison. Strychnine kills by over-stimulation of the central nervous system. The amount of strychnine necessary to kill an animal is a function of body weight, general physical condition, length of time since last meal, and overall metabolic rate. There is a vast difference in the amount of any toxicant necessary to kill different species of animals. For example, the amount of strychnine necessary to kill a 13 ounce pigeon is approximately the same as the amount necessary to kill a 25 pound dog. Large whole kernel corn is normally used for pigeon bait. The best bait materials for English sparrows are wheat, barley, oats, or cracked corn.

(b) 3-chloro-p-toluidine hydrochloride, under the brand names Starlicide® and DRC-1339, is registered with the USEPA for use against a number of pest birds. This chemical induces a generalized circulatory impairment in the liver, kidney, and to a lesser extent the brain, leading to massive uremic poisoning. Death occurs in 3 to 50 hours following ingestion of a lethal dose. The length of time between ingestion and death is a function of the amount of material eaten. European starlings, red-winged blackbirds, and crows are very susceptible to this chemical. The LD-50 for these birds is between 1.8 and 3.2 mg/kg. The LD-50 for pigeons is about 17.7 mg/kg. English sparrows are very resistant to it; the LD-50 is around 400 mg/kg. Mammals are generally resistant to the material. Starlicide is registered for use against European starlings and blackbirds around livestock feedlots and poultry operations. DRC-1339 Gull Toxicant, is registered for the control of herring gulls and great black-backed gulls within the coastal area of the northeastern United States in breeding colonies of terns, puffins, laughing gulls, or other colonial nesting seabirds. There are a number of Special Local Need (SLN 24-C) registrations for DRC-1339 which allow its use against blackbirds, crows, and European starlings in roost staging areas and against pigeons in urban areas.

(c) Endrin and Fenthion are insecticides that are registered for use in the Rid-A-Bird® toxic perch to control European starlings, pigeons, and English sparrows in the following locations: bridges, pipe yards, loading docks, building tops, inside buildings, and in and around farm buildings. The

use of Rid-A-Bird perches outside of buildings is generally not recommended because of the danger to nontarget bird species, predators and scavengers. An effort must be made to pick up and properly dispose of dead birds. Dogs, cats, raptors, and other wildlife are susceptible to secondary poisoning from Endrin or Fenthion. When used in buildings, the perches should be strategically placed in favored perching areas. When birds land on the perch, they absorb the toxicant through their feet. Special care must be taken when filling the perch to prevent spillage and contamination of tools, work surfaces, or other areas that might be contacted by people, pets, or nontarget wildlife.

(d) ORNITROL is a chemo-sterilant registered with the USEPA for the control of pigeons. This chemical inhibits embryo formation within the egg and temporary sterility results without harming the bird. The only effect this chemical has on an existing pigeon population is to inhibit reproduction. Its value as a population reducing agent is questionable, but it may be of some value in slowing population rebound following reduction by other means.

(e) PA-14 (Tergitol®) is a wetting agent or surfactant registered for control of roosting red-winged blackbirds, rusty blackbirds, common grackles, brown-headed cowbirds, and European starlings. It is applied to a bird roost using either fixed or rotary wing aircraft, a specially developed sprinkler irrigation system, or a specially modified water cannon. PA-14 works by washing the natural oils out of the birds feathers, causing them to clump and lose their insulating ability. This in turn leads to hypothermia in the birds and they die of exposure. To be effective, birds treated with PA-14 must be exposed to sub-40 °F temperatures and at least one-half in. of rain within 12-24 hours after application.

(4) Population reduction can be the most expensive and least permanent control tool available. In addition, it has the poorest public relations image of all control methodologies. If population reduction programs are not coupled with conscientiously applied programs of habitat modification and protection, the birds will return and the conflict will continue.

## BIRD SCARING TECHNIQUES

Michael D. Hoy

### ABSTRACT

1. Birds and their activities often conflict with human interests. In such cases it may be necessary to disperse the birds in order to resolve the conflict. Although habitat modifications that exclude or make an area unattractive are generally the best long term solutions, it is often more practical and economical to remove the birds with scaring devices. A wide variety of methods have been used, including propane exploders, pyrotechnics, alarm/distress calls and other recorded acoustics, predator models, scare-crows, flashing lights, plastic tape, balloons, radio controlled airplanes, and 4-aminopyridine.

2. Often birds habituate to any one scaring device. A greater degree of success is achieved if a combination of techniques is used. Scaring devices are particularly effective when spatial and temporal randomness are incorporated in their deployment. Diversity and unpredictability are important factors in any bird scaring program.

3. Timing is an important factor when attempting to disperse birds from an area. Scaring techniques are more effective if the birds have recently inhabited the area. Therefore, prompt action is essential in dealing with bird problems, and will greatly enhance the effectiveness of the program. Birds are restless during migratory periods and are more responsive to scaring devices at this time. Experience has shown that nesting birds are difficult to disperse.

4. Initially, birds may appear unresponsive to scaring devices. This typically occurs when birds have inhabited an area for some time. Although this may be discouraging, it is important to maintain the scaring program. The birds will eventually become annoyed and disperse to more peaceful settings. Persistence will be rewarded with a successful bird scaring operation.

5. When using bird scaring devices, it is easy to overlook biological control methods that could enhance the dispersal of birds. Birds follow daily routines and the key to bird scaring centers on breaking these daily habits. Careful observation of the birds will reveal important biological features (i.e., staging areas, feeding grounds, loafing sites) that can be included in the overall bird scaring campaign. If one stage in the bird's daily routine can be broken or removed, the job of scaring the birds and dispersing them to other areas becomes easier.

## PIGEON CONTROL AT INDUSTRIAL SITES

Dwight LeBlanc

### ABSTRACT

1. Pigeons, starlings, and house sparrows are the most visible bird pests in urban and industrial areas. Several methods are available for the management of these species; however, each must be tailored to site-specific circumstances.
2. The purpose of this talk is to give workshop participants information relative to the control of pigeons at industrial sites. A case history of pigeon control at the Big Cajun Number 2 Power Plant, Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana, is discussed from perception of the problem to reduction of the resident population with strychnine.
3. Pigeon numbers had increased dramatically at the facility in April 1987. Plant officials were concerned that pigeons were a nuisance and were affecting worker morale, equipment was being damaged, and workers contacting droppings were exposed to health and safety risks.
4. Exclusion, shooting, trapping, use of frightening devices, nest destruction, and chemosterilants were all considered and rejected as control alternatives because they were impractical, uneconomical, or ineffective for this specific problem. A decision was made by plant officials to use strychnine, despite the negative publicity and hazards to nontarget species associated with toxic bait programs.
5. Strychnine is widely used and is registered for controlling specified rodents and depredating birds. It is fast-acting and can significantly reduce a large population of birds within a few hours. Other advantages include: cost, ease of application, and with careful supervision by a certified pesticide applicator, it poses minimal hazards to nontarget species.



6. Strychnine treated whole corn with a two week prebaiting period was used on three occasions to kill pigeons: May and June 1987, and February 1988. Before using treated bait, State and Federal wildlife agencies were contacted to inform them of the method for controlling pigeons and the potential to affect nontarget bird species. Necessary incidental kill permits were obtained. Plant workers and a tenant farmer were also advised of our schedule.

7. Strychnine-treated bait was placed on the specific prebait sites for 8 hours. These sites were monitored during the day and pigeon carcasses removed. At the end of each treatment day, all the uneaten treated grain was buried with the dead birds.

8. One hundred seventy pigeons were killed during this three-part poisoning program. This number represented 85 percent of the pigeons that were seen during the prebaiting period. Additionally, 30 nontarget birds were killed.

9. The success of any control program depends on maintaining the target pest at acceptable population levels. Although we recommended trapping and shooting to maintain the pigeon population at a low level, no attempt was made to implement this recommendation. Consequently, pigeon numbers increased between June 1987 and February 1988. Therefore, strychnine was again needed in early 1988.

10. Strychnine is suggested as a valuable and effective tool when used properly. Several comments are offered if a pigeon control program is being considered.

a. Assess the problem carefully and consider all possible control methods. Strychnine is not a permanent control measure nor is it 100 percent effective.

b. A formulation that is registered for the state where the control will be implemented should be used. All safety precautions must be followed. The

use of strychnine must be under the direct supervision of a certified pesticide applicator.

c. Make sure that nontarget hazards are minimized. An incidental kill permit must be obtained if kills of regulated nontarget birds are expected. Federal, State, and, perhaps, local permits may be needed.

d. Keep in close contact with all interested parties, from supervisory and management personnel to local environmental groups.

e. Assistance from the US Department of Agriculture/Animal Damage Control (ADC) program in your state is highly recommended. ADC biologists are experts in the field of animal damage control and can provide valuable information concerning specific target pests. Under existing authorities, ADC can provide either technical or operational assistance.

CANADA GEESE: NUISANCE AND HEALTH PROBLEMS  
AND THEIR CONTROL

Donald F. Mott

ABSTRACT

1. Local resident populations of Canada geese are increasing, causing many nuisance and health problems. Complaints come from urban and suburban areas where the geese forage on grass lawns in parks, golf courses, beaches, campgrounds, and homeowners' backyards. They also contaminate utility water supplies, ponds, and lakes with their feces and are a source of complaints at US Army Corps of Engineers water impoundments. Reasons for the increase of suburban geese vary, but certainly admiration for the bird stimulated its establishment. An abundance of food, habitats, and low predation (including hunting pressures), ensure flourishing populations.
2. A variety of methods have been tried to cope with problems caused by geese. Exclusion devices including perimeter fencing, electric fencing, and overhead wires have found limited utility. A variety of visual stimuli have been tried including human type scarecrows, colored flags, and metallic reflective tape. In general, visual stimuli are more effective when used in combination with auditory devices such as pyrotechnics, shooting, or propane cannons. Since hunting is usually not allowed in urban-suburban areas, attempts at population reduction have been made by trapping geese during their flightless molting period (late June and early July) and relocating them elsewhere. Goose relocations may only provide short-term relief since other geese move into the area.
3. Recent research studies to remove geese from nuisance areas have investigated the effectiveness of chemical repellents, pyrotechnics, and recorded alarm/distress calls. The two repellents, one a grape flavoring and the other a carbamate insecticide, have effectively reduced goose feeding on grass in research trials. More extensive research with these chemicals is anticipated. A recent study at a Corps facility in Tennessee showed that playing recorded goose alarm/distress calls effectively reduced goose usage in the areas

tested, but greater success was achieved when racket bombs were used in conjunction with alarm/distress calls.

## RESIDENT GOOSE CONTROL ON PERCY PRIEST RESERVOIR

Edward Penrod

### ABSTRACT

1. Recreational use of J. Percy Priest Reservoir represented 8 million user trips in 1986 and included picnicking, swimming, fishing, boating, skiing, and hunting. Multiple use and a local high human population density combined with a rapidly expanding resident goose population, have created serious problems for natural resource managers. Operators and users have expressed dissatisfaction with the volume of goose feces deposited on picnic, camping, and beach areas. Concerns are loss of aesthetics, potential health hazards, and maintenance problems. A large goose population, with expanded air traffic, increases the potential for a bird-aircraft strike at nearby Nashville Municipal Airport. Crop damage has resulted in three illegal poisonings of geese in Tennessee. Additionally, some people view the domestication of the Canada goose with scorn, since they consider the species representative of our wildlife heritage.

2. In an effort to reduce the problems caused by Canada geese on Percy Priest Reservoir, a multiagency plan was implemented in early 1987 to harass geese at five recreational public use areas where problems were particularly severe. During the first week of March, 500 to 700 geese were resident in these areas. A variety of pyrotechnics were used: a 15mm pistol launcher, blanks, rocket bombs, and bangers. The geese were located and harassed until they left the site. Occasionally birds were chased for 7 miles. Originally, the birds were harassed 5 to 7 days a week, 7 a.m. until late afternoon. During the first month of harassment, it required three people to achieve adequate control. The need for this effort continued to decline. On May 15, the five areas were under control with a minimum of effort and by June 30, all areas were under control. By the middle of August, geese were not observed at this portion of the reservoir. Initially, alarm/distress tapes using a chorus of disturbed geese combined with pyrotechnics was used. However, later in the program, the

birds reacted well to only alarm/distress calls. Mott and Timbrook (1987)<sup>1</sup> found similar results in 1986 at nearby Cordell Hull Reservoir.

3. In addition to acoustical harassment, 235 goose eggs were removed. Additionally, two stations baited with 12,000 pounds of corn were established in April through June in an effort to attract geese to a less sensitive area. Sixty to 110 geese frequently used these bait stations.

4. On July 22 and 30, all molting geese and goslings (177) that could be located were removed and relocated to areas where hunting is permitted. The annual goose harvest was increased by reducing the size of the nonhunting zones and increasing the length of the hunting season and harvest quota. Additionally, mud flats were sowed with ryegrass, and permanent pastures were established to attract geese to areas open for hunting. The ryegrass provided fall and winter grazing for the geese until the mud flats became inundated with spring floods.

---

<sup>1</sup>Mott, D. F., and Timbrook, S. K. 1987. "Alleviating Nuisance Canada Goose Problems with Acoustical Stimuli." Report for USA-CERL, Denver Wildlife Research Center, Bird Damage Research Report No. 380, US Department of Agriculture.

## CONTROLLING GULL DAMAGE ON CIVIL WORKS STRUCTURES

James E. Forbes

### ABSTRACT

1. North America gulls are expanding their ranges and increasing their population sizes. Three species of gulls are common in the Northeast. Listed in declining order of population sizes they are the herring gull, ring-billed gull, and great black-backed gull. The laughing gull occurs in scattered locations along the New England coast, but reaches higher densities from New Jersey southward along the Atlantic coast. The laughing gull is very abundant in coastal Florida. Herring gulls, formerly limited to nesting in New England, now breed as far south as North Carolina and wander along the Gulf Coast to New Orleans. Ring-billed gull populations are exploding in the Great Lakes region, with one colony growing from 10 pair to 80,000 pair in a 10-year period. Presently, gull populations appear to be stabilizing along the Atlantic seaboard while increasing in the Great Lakes region.

2. Much of this range expansion and population explosion may be attributed to an unlimited food supply at landfill dumps. Gull populations are adapting to new food items such as cherry, blueberry, sudan grass, and cabbage, causing new damage problems for agriculture. Large numbers of gulls cause problems at dams, locks, reservoirs, landfills, nuclear reactors, power plants, and airports. Gull problems include eating polyurethane roofs of buildings, fouling water supplies, health hazards from feathers and fecal material, predation on domestic duck farms and fingerlings at fish hatcheries, and competition with other sea and shore bird colonies. Probably the greatest problem created by gulls is the hazard to aircraft. Currently more than one-half of all bird-aircraft strikes worldwide involve gulls.

3. Since gulls are migratory birds, they are protected by both State and Federal laws. Federal permits are required whenever gulls must be killed, but permits are not required to harass gulls. Before attempting any gull control, consult your state wildlife conservation laws.

4. A combination of playing recorded gull alarm/distress calls in conjunction with shooting shellcrackers or 15 mm whistle and noise bombs is usually effective in moving gulls from most locations. When using recorded gull alarm/distress calls, it is important to use the appropriate species-specific call, since gulls only respond to intraspecific alarm/distress calls.

5. The repellent 4-aminopyridine (Avitrol®) has been used to move gulls from locations such as airports, fish hatcheries, and landfills. It is often important to prebait an area for 4 or 5 days before treatment. Follow all directions on the label.

6. Suspended wire grids are effective in keeping gulls from landing on surfaces such as parking lots, rooftops, reservoirs, marinas, and duck farms. For short term uses, grids can be made of either binder twine or 100 lb test monofilament fish line. For more permanent situations, 0.8 mm stainless steel wire is recommended. Wire grids are usually erected in a 40 x 40 ft pattern, 4 to 10 ft above the surface. If gulls adjust to this spacing, the grid can simply be decreased to 20 x 20 ft or, if necessary, even 10 x 10 ft by simply adding more wires.

7. Killing gulls may be necessary in some situations. This is usually the case at airports where gulls create strike hazards to aircraft. Many airports employ a permanent shotgun patrol to keep gulls off runways. Both State and Federal gull depredation permits are required. The strategy is not to kill all gulls, but rather to reinforce fear in visiting flocks by occasional kills.



NATIONALLY REGISTERED BIRD CONTROL CHEMICALS\*

ACTIVE INGREDIENT	TARGET SPECIES	ACTION
Strychnine	Pigeons English sparrows Magpies Horned larks Finches	Oral toxicant
3-chloro-p-toluidine HCL (DRC-1339) (Starlicide®)	Starlings Pigeons Gulls Crows Blackbirds Ravens	Oral toxicant
Endrin	English sparrows Starlings Pigeons	Contact toxicant
Fenthion	English sparrows Starlings Pigeons	Contact toxicant
Tergitol 15-S9 (PA-14)	Blackbirds Starlings Cowbirds Grackles	Lethal hypothermic stressing agent
4-Aminopyridine (AVITROL®)	Gulls Blackbirds English sparrows Starlings Cowbirds Grackles Crows	Lethal repellent
Naphthalene*	Starlings Pigeons English sparrows	Odor repellent**

\*Adapted by Ed Cleary From Eschen, M. S. and E. W. Schafer. 1986.

"Registered Bird Damage Control Chemicals" (Unpublished).

\*\*The effectiveness of naphthalene as a bird repellent has recently been challenged. See Dolbeer, R. A., M. A. Link, and P. P. Woronecki. 1988.

"Naphthalene shows no repellency for starlings," Wildlife Society Bulletin, Vol 16, pp 62-64.

ACTIVE INGREDIENT	TARGET SPECIES	ACTION
Polyisobutylene	birds	Tactile repellent
Polybutene	birds	Tactile repellent
Methiocarb	Blackbirds Pheasants	Taste repellent Corn seed treatment
Methiocarb (Registration questionable)	Blackbirds Starlings English sparrows Finches Jays Orioles Robins	Taste repellent Blueberries Sweet Cherries Sour Cherries Grapes
Methiocarb (Registration questionable)	birds	Taste repellent Corn Peppers
Methiocarb (registration questionable)	Cowbirds Grackles English sparrows Crows Doves	Taste repellent Nursery trees Seeds
Copper Oxalate	Crows	Taste repellent Corn seed treatment
Thiram	birds	Taste Repellent Conifer seed treatment
Lindane + Captan Powder	Pheasants	Taste repellent Seed treatment
Lindane	Pheasants	Taste repellent Seed treatment
Capsicum + Allium	Starlings English sparrows Larks Finches	Taste repellent Sprouting crops Fruits Grains Nuts

ACTIVE INGREDIENT	TARGET SPECIES	ACTION
Coal Tar + Creosote Liquid	Crows	Taste repellent Corn seed treatment
Azacosterol (Ornitrol®)	Pigeons	Reproductive inhibitor

BIRD DAMAGE CONTROL PRODUCTS AND THEIR VENDORS

Exclusion

Hardware Cloth

Valentine Equipment Co.  
9706 S. Industrial Drive  
Bridgeville, IL 60455  
(312) 599-1101

UV-Stabilized Polypropylene Netting and Screening

Conwed®

Almac Plastics Inc.  
6311 Erdman  
Baltimore, MD 21205-3585  
(301) 485-9100

Conwed Corporation  
Plastics Division  
P.O. Box 43237  
St. Paul, MN 55164-0237  
(612) 221-1260

Green Valley Blueberry Farm  
9345 Ross Station Rd.  
Sebastopol, CA 95472  
(707) 887-7496

Internet Inc.  
2730 Nevada Ave. N.  
Minneapolis, MN 55427  
(612) 541-9690

Nixalite of America  
1025 16th Ave.  
P.O. Box 727  
East Moline, IL 61244  
(309) 755-8771

Orchard Supply Co. of Sacramento  
P.O. Box 956  
Sacramento, CA 95804  
(916) 446-7821

Teitzel's Rainier View Blueberry Farms  
7720 E. 134th Avenue  
Puyallup, WA 98371  
(206) 863-6548



Tomahawk Live Trap Co.      Pi\*\*, Sp‡  
P.O. Box 323  
Tomahawk, WI 54487  
(715) 453-3550

Woodstream Corp.      Pi\*\*, Sp‡  
Lititz, PA 17543  
(717) 626-2125  
Havahart® Victor® Tender Trap

Chemosterilants

Ornitrol®  
Avitrol Corp.  
320 S. Bonton Ave., Suite 514  
Tulsa, OK 74103  
(918) 582-3359

Wetting Agents

Contact State Animal Damage Control Agency.

Repellents

Porcupine Wire

Cat Claw®  
Shaw Steeple Jacks Inc.  
2710 Bedford St.  
Johnstown, PA 15904  
(814) 266-8008

Nixalite®  
Nixalite of America  
1025 16th Ave.  
P.O. Box 727  
East Moline, IL 61244  
(309) 755-8771

Electrical Shock

Avi-Away®  
Avi-Away Division  
Monarch Molding Inc.  
120 Liberty St.  
Council Grove, KS 66846  
(316) 767-5115

---

\*\*\*St = Starling.  
‡Sp = Sparrow.  
\*\*Pi = Pigeon.  
‡Sp = Sparrow.

## Sticky Contacts

### Bird Repellent GB 1102

ArChem Corp.  
1514 11th Street  
P.O. Box 767  
Portsmouth, OH 45662  
(614) 353-1125

### Bird Tanglefoot®

Forestry Suppliers, Inc.  
205 W. Rankin St.  
P.O. Box 8397  
Jackson, MS 39204  
800-647-5368  
800-682-5397 (In Mississippi)

The Tanglefoot Co.  
314 Straight Ave. SW  
Grand Rapids, MI 49504  
(616) 459-4130

### Excelcide Bird Repellent

The Huge Co.  
7625 Page Blvd.  
St. Louis, MO 63133

### Preferred Brand

Sun Pest Control  
2945 McGee Trafficway  
Kansas City, MO 64108  
(816) 561-2174

### Repel-O-Film

Baumes Castorine Co.  
200 Matthew St.  
P.O. Box 230  
Rome, NY 13440  
(315) 336-8154

## Methiocarb

### Borderland Black

Borderland Products Inc.  
P.O. Box 366  
Buffalo, NY 14240  
(716) 825-3300

### Roost No More®

Velsicol Chemical Co.  
341 E. Ohio St.  
Chicago, IL 60611  
(312) 670-4500

### 4-The-Birds®

J.T. Eaton & Co.  
1393 Highland Rd.  
Twinsburg, OH 44087  
(216) 425-7801

### Other Suppliers

Crown Industries  
4015 Papin St.  
St. Louis, MO 63110  
(314) 533-0999

J.C. Ehrlich Chemical Co.  
State College Laboratories  
840 William Lane  
Reading, PA 19612  
(215) 921-0641

Hub States Corp.  
419 E. Washington St.  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
(317) 636-5255

Sanex Chemicals  
5651 Dawson St.  
Hollywood, FL 33023  
(305) 961-6006

### Mesuro!®

Mobay Chemical Co.  
Chemagro Division  
P.O. Box 4913  
Kansas City, MO 64120  
(816) 242-2000

## Frightening Agents

### Gas Exploders (Automatic)

Agricade Ltd.  
Elm Tree House  
North Fambridge  
Chemsford, Essex  
England, CM3 6NB  
(0621-74112)

Alexander-Tagg Industries  
395 Jacksonville Rd.  
Warminster, PA 18974  
(215) 675-7200

C. Frensch Ltd.  
168 Main Street E., Box 67  
Grimsby, Ontario L3M 4G1  
Canada  
(416) 945-3817

Hub States Corp.  
1000 N. Illinois St.  
Indianapolis, IN 46202

B. M. Lawrence & Co.  
24 California St.  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
(415) 981-3650

Pisces Industries  
P.O. Box 6407  
Modesto, CA 95355  
(209) 578-5502  
Reed-Joseph International Co.  
P.O. Box 894  
Greenville, MS 38702  
(601) 335-5822

Smith-Roles  
1367 S. Anna St.  
Wichita, KS 67209  
(316) 945-0295; (701) 852-3726

Teiso Kasei Co. Ltd.  
350 S. Figueroa St., Suite 350  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
(213) 680-4349

Wildlife Control Division  
Margo Supplies, LTD.  
Site 8, Box 2, RR #6  
Calgary, Alberta  
T2M 4LS, Canada  
(403) 285-9731

### Pyrotechnics

Clow Seed Co.  
1081 Harking Rd.  
Salinas, CA 93901  
(408) 422-9693  
(whistlers, bird bombs)

J. E. Fricke, Co.  
40 N. Front St.  
Philadelphia, PA 19106  
(fuse rope)

Marshall Hyde Inc.  
P.O. Box 497  
Port Huron, MI 48060  
(313) 982-2140

Munitions Filling Factory  
St. Marys, New South Wales,  
Australia  
(shell-crackers)

New Jersey Fireworks Co.  
Box 118  
Vineland, NJ 08360  
(609) 692-8030  
(rope firecrackers)

O.C. Ag Supply, Inc.  
1328 Allec St.  
Anaheim, CA 92805  
(714) 991-0960

Stone Co., Inc.  
P.O. Box 187  
Dacono, CO 80514  
(303) 893-2580

Sutton Ag Enterprises  
1081 Harkins Rd.  
Salinas, CA 93901  
(408) 422-9693



Wald & Co.  
208 Broadway  
Kansas City, MO 64105  
(816) 842-9299  
(rope firecrackers)

Western Fireworks Co.  
2542 SE 13th Avenue  
Canby, OR 97013  
(503) 266-7770

Wildlife Control Division  
Margo Supplies Ltd.  
Site 8, Box 2, RR #6  
Calgary, Alberta T2M 4L5 Canada  
(403) 285-9731  
(bird bombs, racket bombs)

#### Alarm/Distress Calls (Recorded)

Applied Electronics Corp.  
3003 County Line Rd.  
Little Rock, AR 72201  
(501) 821-3095

Schmidt, R. H., and H. L. Johnson.  
1982.

Dispersal recordings,  
source of supply  
Order from:  
Department of Forestry,  
Fisheries and Wildlife,  
202 Natural Resources Hall  
University of Nebraska  
Lincoln, NB 68583

Signal Broadcasting Co.  
2314 Broadway St.  
Denver, CO 80205  
(303) 571-5649  
(Sells copies of Denver Wildlife  
Research Center calls)

Smith's Game Calls  
P.O. Box 236  
Summerville, PA 15864  
(starling distress call)

Wildlife Technology  
P.O. Box 1061  
Hollister, CA 95023  
(rents recordings of alarm and  
distress calls)

#### Electronic Noises

Av-Alarm Corp.  
675-D Conger St.  
Eugene, OR 97402  
(503) 342-1271

Bird-X  
325 Huron St.  
Chicago, IL 60610  
(312) 648-2191

Wildlife Control Division  
Margo Supplies, LTD.  
Site 8, Box 2, RR# 6  
Calgary, Alberta  
T2M 4L5, Canada  
(403) 285-9731

#### Other Acoustics

Falcon Safety Products Inc.  
1065 Bristol Rd.  
Mountainside, NJ 07092  
(201) 233-5000  
(air horn)

Tomko Enterprises Inc.  
Route 58, RD #2  
P.O. Box 937-A  
Riverhead, NY 11901  
(516) 727-3932  
(clapper device with timer)

Lights (Flashing or Revolving)

Bird-X  
325 W. Huron St.  
Chicago, IL 60610  
(312) 648-2191

R. E. Dietz Co.  
225 Wilkinson St.  
Syracuse, NY 13201  
(315) 424-7400

The Huge Co.  
7625 Page Blvd.  
St. Louis, MO 63133  
(314) 725-2555

Tripp-Lite Manufacturing Co.  
500 N. Orleans  
Chicago, IL 60610  
(312) 329-1777

Models (Predators, Kites, and Balloons)

Atmospheric Instrumentation  
Research (AIR) Inc.  
1880 S. Flatiron Ct., Suite A  
Boulder, CO 80301  
(303) 443-7187  
(polyurethane tetrahedron balloons  
and kites)

Bird-X  
325 W. Huron St.  
Chicago, IL 60610  
(312) 648-2191  
(suspended hawk model)

Clow Seed Co.  
1081 Harking Rd.  
Salinas, CA 93901  
(408) 422-9693  
(hawk-kite model)

Cochranes of Oxford Ltd.  
Leafield, Oxford  
England, OX8 5NT  
(099387-641)  
(kites)

R. M. Fay  
Rt. 2 Box 2569  
Grandview, WA 95930  
(509) 882-3258  
(balloon supported hawk-kite model)

High-as-a-Kite  
200 Gate Five Rd.  
Sausalito, CA 94965  
(415) 332-6355  
(kites)

The Huge Co., Inc.  
P.O. Box 24198  
St. Louis, MO 63130  
(314) 725-2555  
(owl model)

Raven Industries, Inc.  
P.O. Box 1007  
Sioux Falls, SD 57117  
(605) 336-2750  
(Mylar tetrahedron balloons  
and blimps)

Saturn Inc.  
P.O. Box 21  
Kathryn, ND 58049  
(701) 924-8645  
(pop-up owl model with distress  
call of red-winged blackbird)

Sutton Ag Enterprises  
1081 Harkins Rd.  
Salinas, CA 93901  
(408) 422-9693  
(kites)

Teiso Kasei Co. Ltd.  
350 S. Figueroa St., Suite 350  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
(213)680-4349  
(hawk-kite model)

Tiderider Inc.  
P.O. Box 9  
Eastern and Steele Blvds.  
Baldwin, NY 11510  
(516) 223-3838  
(kites)

WeatherMeasure Corp.  
P.O. Box 41257  
Sacramento, CA 95841  
(916) 481-7565  
(weather balloons)

Scarecrows

W. Atlee Burpee Seed Co.  
Warminster, PA 18974  
(215) 674-4900  
(inflatable plastic human figure)

Coleman Equipment, Inc.  
332 Madison Ave.  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 687-2154  
(moving, noise-making scarecrow)

Lentell Marketing  
Elm Tree House  
North Fambridge  
Chemsford, Essex  
England CM3 6NB  
(0621-741112)  
(human figure)

4-Aminopyridine

Avitrol®  
Avitrol Corp.  
320 S. Boston Ave., Suite 514  
Tulsa, OK 74103  
(918) 582-3359

Excelcide Bird Trip  
The Huge Co.  
7625 Page Blvd.  
St. Louis, MO 63133  
(314) 725-2555

Bird-Away  
Bird-X  
325 W. Huron St.  
Chicago, IL 60610  
(312) 648-2191

Coal Tar & Creosote (Stanley's  
Crow Repellent);  
Copper oxalate (Crow-Chex)

Borderland Products Inc.  
P.O. Box 366  
Buffalo, NY 14240  
(716) 825-3300

## Toxins

### Strychnine

ArChem Corp.  
1514 11th Street  
P.O. Box 767  
Portsmouth, OH 45662  
(614) 353-1125

J. C. Ehrlich Chemical Co.  
State College Laboratories  
840 William Lane  
Reading, PA 19612  
(215) 921-0641

B & G Co.  
10539 Maybank St.  
P.O. Box 20372  
Dallas, TX 75220  
(214) 357-5741

### 4-Aminopyridine

Avitrol Corp.  
320 S. Boston Ave., Suite 514  
Tulsa, OK 74103  
(918) 582-3359

The Huge Co.  
7625 Page Blvd.  
St. Louis, MO 63133  
(314) 725-2555

Bird-X  
325 W. Huron St.  
Chicago, IL 60610  
(312) 648-2191

### Starlicide®

Ralston Purina Co.  
Checkerboard Square  
St. Louis, MO 63164  
(314) 982-1000

### Toxic Perches

Rid-A-Bird Inc.  
1224 Grandview Ave.  
P.O. Box 22  
Muscatine, IA 52761  
(319) 263-7970

US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICES (APHIS)  
ANIMAL DAMAGE CONTROL

EASTERN REGION

Eastern Regional Office  
215 Centerview Dr, suite 104  
Brentwood, TN 37027

George R. (Buddy) Abraham  
Eastern Regional Director  
COMM: 615/736-5095

STATE OFFICES

ARKANSAS

55 Post Office Building  
600 W. Capitol Ave.  
Little Rock, AR 72201

Thurman W. Booth, Jr.  
State Director  
COMM: 501/378-5382

FLORIDA

227 N. Bronough St., Suite 227  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Richard L. Thompson  
State Director  
COMM: 904/681-7459

GEORGIA

School of Forest Resources  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602

Douglas I. Hall  
State Director  
COMM: 404/546-2020

ILLINOIS

Federal Building, Room 104  
600 E. Monroe St.  
Springfield, IL 62701

Ronald Ogden  
State Director  
COMM: 217/492-4308

INDIANA

Entomology Hall, Room B-14  
Purdue University  
West Lafayette, IN 47907

Vacant  
State Director  
COMM: 317/494-6229

LOUISIANA

Rm 271, Parker Coliseum  
LSU  
P.O. Box 25315  
Baton Rouge, LA 70894-5315

Dwight LeBlanc  
State Director  
COMM: 504/389-0229

MAINE

Federal Bldg, Room 506A  
40 Western Avenue  
P.O. Box 800  
Augusta, ME 04330-0800

Alfred Godin  
State Director  
COMM: 207/622-8262

MARYLAND-DELAWARE-DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

1825B Virginia St.  
Annapolis, MD 21401

Les Terry  
State Director  
COMM: 301/269-0057

MASSACHUSETTS-RHODE ISLAND-CONNECTICUT

463 West St.  
Amherst, MA 01002

Vacant  
State Director  
COMM: 413/253-2403

MICHIGAN

108 Spring St.  
St. Johns, MI 48879

Douglas Parr  
State Director  
COMM: 517/224-9517

MINNESOTA

316 North Robert St.  
162 Federal Courts Bldg.  
St. Paul, MN 55101

Richard S. Wetzel  
State Director  
COMM: 612/290-3157

MISSISSIPPI-ALABAMA

P.O. Drawer FW  
Room 316, Dorman Hall  
Mississippi State University  
Mississippi State, MS 39762

Frank L. Boyd  
State Director  
COMM: 601/325-3014

MISSOURI-IOWA

Federal Bldg., Room 259-C  
601 E. 12th St.  
Kansas City, MO 64106

Lyle Stemmerman  
State Director  
COMM: 816/426-6166

NEW HAMPSHIRE-VERMONT

P.O. Box 2398  
Concord, NH 03302-2398

Dennis Slate  
State Director  
COMM: 603/225-1416

NEW JERSEY-PENNSYLVANIA

RD #1, Box 148-A  
Pleasant Plains Road  
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Edwin Butler  
State Director  
COMM: 201/647-4109

NEW YORK

P.O. Box 97  
O'Brien Fed. Bldg., Room 126  
Albany, NY 12201

James Forbes  
State Director  
COMM: 518/472-6492

NORTH CAROLINA

Fed. Bldg., Room 624  
P.O. Box 25878  
Raleigh, NC 27611

Donald T. Harke  
State Director  
COMM: 919/856-4132

OHIO

Fed. Bldg., Room 622  
200 N. High St.  
Columbus, OH 43215

Douglas Andrews  
State Director  
COMM: 614/469-5681

SOUTH CAROLINA

Rm 904, Strom Thurmond Fed. Bldg  
1835 Assembly St.  
Columbia, SC 29201

N.F. (Johnny) Williamson  
State Director  
COMM: 803/765-5957

TENNESSEE-KENTUCKY

441 Donelson Pike  
Suite #340  
Nashville, TN 37214

Kenneth Garner  
State Director  
COMM: 615/736-5506

VIRGINIA

105 Wilson Ave.  
Blacksburg, VA 24060

Donald C. Gnegy  
State Director  
COMM: 703/552-8792

WEST VIRGINIA

P.O. Box 67, Operations Center  
WV Dept. of Natural Resources  
Ward Road  
Elkins, WV 26241

Leonard Walker  
State Director  
COMM: 304/636-1767  
Ext. 46

WISCONSIN

750 Windsor St., Room 207  
Sun Prairie, WI 53590

James A. Winnat  
State Director  
COMM: 608/837-2727



USDA, APHIS  
ANIMAL DAMAGE CONTROL

WESTERN REGION

Western Regional Office  
Bldg. 16 - Denver Federal Center  
P.O. Box 25266  
Denver, CO 80225-0266

Bobby R. Acord  
Western Regional Director  
COMM: 303/236-4031

ALASKA

533 E. Fireweed  
Palmer, AK 99645

Wells Stephensen  
State Director  
COMM: 907/745-5171

ARIZONA

3616 W. Thomas Road, Suite 5  
Phoenix, AZ 85019

Darrel C. Juve  
State Director  
COMM: 602/261-4010

CALIFORNIA

Federal Building, Rm E-1831  
2800 Cottage Way  
Scaramento, CA 95825

Ronald A. Thompson  
State Director  
COMM: 916/978-4621

COLORADO

Independance Plaza, Suite B-113  
529 - 25 1/2 Road  
Grand Junction, CO 81505

H. Alan Foster  
State Director  
COMM: 303/245-9618

IDAHO

4696 Overland  
Boise, ID 83705

Vacant  
State Director  
COMM: 208/334-1440

MONTANA

P. O. Box 1938  
Billings, MT 59103

William W. Rightmire  
State Director  
COMM: 406/657-6464

NEBRASKA

133 Federal Building  
Lincoln, NB 68508

Charles S. Brown  
State Director  
COMM: 402/437-5097

NEVADA

4600 Keitzke Lane  
Building C  
Reno, NV

Gilbert L. Marrujo  
State Director  
COMM: 702/784-5081

NEW MEXICO

10304 Candelaria NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87112

Gary L. Nunley  
State Director  
COMM: 505/766-3474

NORTH DAKOTA

1500 Capitol Ave.  
Bismark, ND 58501

Larry L. Handegard  
State Director  
COMM: 701-255-4011

OKLAHOMA-KANSAS

2800 N. Lincoln Blvd.  
Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Berkeley R. Peterson  
State Director  
COMM: 405/521-4040

OREGON

727 N.E. 24th Ave.  
Portland, OR 97232

Thomas R. Hoffman  
State Director  
COMM: 503/231-6184

SOUTH DAKOTA

P.O. Box 250  
Federal Bldg., Rm. 247  
Pierre, SD 57501

Rew. V. Hanson  
State Director  
COMM: 605/224-8692

TEXAS

651 S. Main  
P.O. Box 9037  
San Antonio, TX 78204

Donald W. Hawthorne  
State Director  
COMM: 512/229-5535

UTAH

P.O. Box 26976  
Salt Lake City, UT 84126-0976

Gary E. Larson  
State Director  
COMM: 801/524-5629

WASHINGTON-HAWAII

3625 93rd Ave., SW  
Olympia, WA 98502

P. O. Box 50225  
300 Alamoana Blvd.  
Room 3316-B  
Honolulu, HI 96850

Gary Oldenburg  
State Director  
COMM: 206/753-9884

Timothy Ohashi  
District Supervisor  
COMM: 808/541-3063

WYOMING

P.O. Box 59  
Casper, WY 82602

Robert N. Reynolds  
State Director  
COMM: 307/261-5336

## SUMMARY

1. Personnel from the US Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Services (APHIS), Animal Damage Control, representing administration, wildlife managers, and researchers, presented the state-of-the-art technologies for controlling/managing the specific bird species causing damage and nuisance problems at Civil Works Projects. The species surveyed included: pigeons, starlings, house sparrows, blackbirds, Canada geese, and gulls.
2. Site-specific bird problems at Civil Works projects were further addressed between presentations and at two panel discussion sessions, and management guidelines were provided by the bird damage control experts.
3. Workshop attendees were provided with guidance for obtaining technical and operational assistance from the Federal and State agencies responsible for bird damage control. A list of vendors that specialize in bird control equipment and supplies was also provided.