FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can progra simulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the brack While there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure	E BUT CLASS FICATION OF THIS PAGE						
COSATION AVAILABLING SECON CLASSIFICE COSATION AVAILABLING SECON CLASSIFICE OF A COSATION AVAILABLING SECON CLASSIFICED COSATION AVAILABLING SECON CLASSIFICED COSATION AVAILABLING SECON CLASSIFICED COSATION CONTINUES OF A COSATION CO	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						
CONTROL OF AND	AD-A209 855	TIC	16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS	y 1 +	(α)	
Bit CLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRAD Charlef 1 1988 unlimited. I PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RELATION Interforming ORGANIZATION RELATION S ONECCENTRY OF CALIFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT VUMBERSING I PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Interforming ORGANIZATION S ONECCENTRY OF CALIFORMING ORGANIZATION University of California Is ONECCENTRY Is ONECCENTRY I a Jolla, CA 92093 Is OFFICE SYMBOL Is NAME OF MUMORIAG ORGANIZATION La Jolla, CA 92093 B OFFICE SYMBOL PROCEEMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTFICATION NUMBER AROSE MI AFOSR AFOSR ADOMESSION, Steen, and ZHP Codel B OFFICE SYMBOL PROCEEMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTFICATION NUMBER AROSE MI AFOSR NL AFOSR Building 410 Bolling AFS, DC 20332-6448 III OSUME OF FUNDING NUMBERS Building 410 Bolling AFS, DC 20332-6448 III OSUME OF FUNDING NUMBERS Building 410 Bolling AFS, DC 20332-6448 IIII OFFICE OFFICE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Building 410 Bolling AFS, DC 20332-6448 IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII				AVAILABILITY OF	REPORT		
a personning organization afters i unserved in the second of the second integration after the second of the sec	26 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWINGRAD		Approved f	or public re	lease; d:	istribution	
APOSK-IK-059-V911 APOSK-IK-059-V911 Astronomic of PERFORMING ORGANIZATION University of California Dis Object StymeDit (# spokesbey) Ta Name OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION ADDRESS (GN, Stare, and UP Code) Disting 410 Disting 410 Building 410 Building 410 PROCESS (GN, Stare, and UP Code) Building 410 Building 410 PROCESS (GN, Stare, and UP Code) Building 410 Boiling ATB, DC 20332-6448 Value For Modeling Cortical Computation The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation Task WORK UNIT The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation S PAGE COUNT Stare Constraint The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation S PAGE COUNT S PAGE COUNT Train Colst Train Count Stare and Constraint S Page Count Stare and Count	J						
University of California (# sopicable) Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NL 6: ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) To ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) Building 410 La Jolia, CA 92093 Building 410 Building 410 AFOSR NL AFOSR-B6-0062 Sc ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) NL AFOSR-B6-0062 Sc ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) NL AFOSR-B6-0062 Sc ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) NL AFOSR-B6-0062 Sc ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) NL AFOSR-B6-0062 Sc ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) NL AFOSR-B6-0062 Sc ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) NL AFOSR-B6-0062 Sc ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) NL AFOSR-B6-0062 Sc ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) NL AFOSR-B6-0062 Sc ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) NL AFOSR-B6-0062 Sc ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) NL AFOSR-B6-0062 St ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) NL AFOSR-B6-0062 St ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) State Code State Code St ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZP Code) State Code State Code			S MONITORING C	DRGANIZATION REP	BORT NUMBER		
University of California 5c ADDRESS (GR, Stere, and ZP Code) La Jolla, CA 92093 Sa NAME OF FUNDING/ISDMSORING Ba NAME OF FUNDING/ISDMSORING ADDRESS (GR, Stere, and ZP Code) Building 410 Boiling ATD, CA 92093 ADDRESS (GR, Stere, and ZP Code) Building 410 Boiling ATD, CC 20332-6448 Building 410 Boiling ATB, DC 20332-6448 61102F 11 BTLE Anclued Secury Classfication) The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation 12 FENOMA, AUTHONS, 13 TTRE OR REPORT 13 TTRE OR REPORT 14 DTRE OF REPORT 15 SUPPECMENTARY NOTATION 17 COSAT CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revene if necestary and identify by Block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on revene if necestary and identify by Block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on revene if necestary and identify by Block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on revene if necestary and identify by Block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on revene if necestary and identify by Block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on revene if necestary and identify by Block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on revene if necestary and identify by Block n	6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION				-	Research/NL	
La Jolla, CA 92093 Building 410 Bolling ATB, DC 20332-6448 La Adde OF FUNDING /SPONSORING ONGANIZATION AFOSR Bo OFFICE SYMBOL (# spokedby) NL 9 FROCUEMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AFOSR-86-0062 La CODESSIGN, Stere, and ZPCode) Building 410 Bolling ATB, DC 20332-6448 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ENDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ENDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ENDING NUMBERS PROGRAM IT FILE (Include Secury Classification) Interview No. (102F 2312 Al The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation I PERSONAL ANTHONS Dr. David Zipser Is FILE FROM 01 Feb. 850 01 Jan 189 Jan 31, 1989 19 17 COSAT CODES FROM 01 Feb. 850 01 Jan 189 Jan 31, 1989 19 Is PAGE COUNT FROM 01 Feb. 850 01 Jan 189 Jan 31, 1989 19 17 COSAT CODES FROM 01 Feb. 850 01 Jan 189 Jan 31, 1989 19 Is PAGE COUNT FROM 01 Feb. 850 01 Jan 189 Jan 31, 1989 19 17 COSAT CODES FROM 01 Feb. 850 01 Jan 189 Jan 31, 1989 19 Is SUBJECT TERMS (Commus on reverse if necessary and dentify by Bock number) 19 ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and dentify by Bock number) Is SUBJECT TERMS (Commus on reverse if necessary and dentify by Bock number) 19 ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and dentify by Bock number) Is SUBJECT TERMS (Commus on reverse if necessary and dentify by Bock number) 19 ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and dentify by Bock number) Is SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necestary and dentify by Bock number) <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>							
La Jolla, CA 92093 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448 Be MANE OF FUNDING (SPONSORING ORGANEATION AFOSR Be OFFICE SYMBOL (MappiceMbN) NL 9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT OENTRICATION NUMBER AFOSR-86-0062 E. ADDRESS (CRY, Stete, and ZP Code) 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS MULTING ATB, DC 20332-6448 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS NO. Building 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS FUNDING NUMBERS STRACT (ACCESSION NG DT. David Zipser NO. Take NO. 11 NITLE (Include Security Casafication) Dr. David Zipser 11 DITLE (Include Security Casafication) Dr. David Zipser 12 PERSONAL AUTHONS) Dr. David Zipser 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) Final 15 PAGE COUNT Final 17 GOIATI GODES 118 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revene if mecessary and dentufy by block number) 19 19 17 GOIATI GODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revene if mecessary and dentufy by block number) 17 GOIATI GODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revene if mecessary and dentufy by block number) 17 GOIATI GODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revene if mecessary and dentufy by block number) 17 GOIATI GODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revene if mecessary and dentufy by block number) 17 Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can prog simulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possibit to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations t	6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)						
ORGANIZATION AFOSR If # spirceble) NL AFOSR-86-0062 E. ADDRESS (Cirr, Steme, and ZIP Code) To SQURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Building 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448 PROGRAM Molect 5120 (Code) PROGRAM Molect 2312 AI III THE (Include Security Classification) The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation 12312 AI The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation 12412 PROGRAM Molect PROGRAM Molect 17 FILE (Include Security Classification) The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation 127212 AI 17 FILE (Include Security Classification) The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation 19 19 13 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 135 TIME COVERED 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TEAMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 18 SUBJECT TEAMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 19 Substract (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 19 Subject TEAMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19	La Jolla, CA 92093						
AFOSR NL AFOSR-86-0062 E. ADORESS (CM, Stere, and ZM Code) 10 SOURCE OF FUNCING NUMBERS Building 410 Boiling AFB, DC 20332-6448 10 SOURCE OF FUNCING NUMBERS Building 410 NO A1 ACCESSION NG Building 410 NO A1 ACCESSION NG Building 410 Source of FUNCING NUMBERS NO A1 I'I THCE (include Security Classification) The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation A1 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Dr. David Zipser Jan 31, 1989 I'S PAGE COUNT 13. TYPE OF REPORT 1'B TIME COVERED I'A DATE OF REPORT (rear, Month, Day) I'S PAGE COUNT 13. TORE OF REPORT 1'B TIME COVERED Jan 31, 1989 I'S PAGE COUNT 14 OSTATI CODES 1'S SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14 OSTATI CODES 1'S SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 15 SUB-GROUP 1'S SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 16 SUB-GROUP 1'S SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES			9 PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT IDE	NTIFICATION	NUMBER	
Be: ADDRESS (Cry, State, and ZiP Code) 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Building 410 Beilling ATB, DC 20332-6448 10 Source of Funding ATB, DC 20332-6448 11 TITLE (include Security Classification) 61102F 2312 A1 The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation 12312 A1 11 TITLE (include Security Classification) 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Car) 15 PAGE COUNT 123. TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME COVERED 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Car) 15 PAGE COUNT 13 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if mecessary and identify by block number) 19 14 DATE OF REPORT 19 Source on reverse if mecessary and identify by block number) 19 15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if mecessary and identify by block number) 19 15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if mecessary and identify by block number) 19 16 GROUP 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if mecessary and identify by block number) 19 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if mecessary and identify by block number) 19 18 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if mecessary and identify by block number) 19 10 19 ASTRACT (Cont			AFOSR-86-0	0062			
Building 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448 PROGET NO. 61102F Task NODEC UNIT NO. 2312 Al 11 HTLE (Include Security Classification) The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Dr. David Zipser 13. TYPE OF REPORT Final 14 DATE OF REPORT Final 15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revise if necessary and identify by block number) 19 19 10 ABSTRACT (Continue on revise if necessary and identify by block number) 10 Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can progg simulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the br While there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in thesy model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedures is used in these studies, can serve as a gener technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations on to imply that back propagation	ويعربها والبرادي البراد الأناف الأنتجر بالمتابي المحادة البرياني والمتعالي والمحادة والمحاد						
Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448 61102F 2312 A1 11 HITLE (include Security Gessification) The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Dr. David Zipser 13b TIME COVERED 14 DATE OF REPORT (rear, Month, Day) 15 PAGE COUNT Final PROM 01 Feb. 850 01 Jan 89 Jan 31, 1989 19 16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if recessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if recessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if recessary and identify by block number) 19 Ver the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can prograsimulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the brit. While there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure is used there. Maint they do raise the possibilit that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Maint they do raise the poss			PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK		
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) DT. David Zipser 13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME COVERED 14 DATE OF REPORT 15 SUBPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and dentify by Block number) 19 Ver the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can progging simulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a 18 Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure and particularly the back propagation is ac							
The Back Propagation Technique For Modeling Cortical Computation 12 FRSOMAL AUTHON(S) DT. David Zipser 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME COVERED FROM 01 Feb 880 01 Lan 89 Jan 31, 1989 15 PAGE COUNT FROM 01 Feb 880 01 Lan 89 Jan 31, 1989 19 19 16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and dentify by block number) 19 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and dentify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can proggi simulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possibl to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the brain while there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in the brain, they do raise the possibilit that some analogous learning procedure is us				2312			
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Dr. David Zipser 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13. TABLE OF REPORT 14. DAFE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT FROM D1 Feb 880 D1 Jan 89 Jan 31, 1989 19 16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by b		ue For Medaline C.	rtion1 Com-	itation			
Dr. David Zipser 13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 13b. TIME COVERED 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 COSATI COOES 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 COSATI COOES 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 COSATI COOES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can proggi 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 Ver the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can proggi 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 Ver the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can proggi 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can proggi 19	أسبك واستبعت العافلاني أبعان فالبعد ويستبعب فينهيه	ue for modeling Co			·····		
Final FROM OL Feb. 880_OL Jan 89 Jan 31, 1989 19 16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 If COSATI CODES It a SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) If a SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) If a SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) It a SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) It a SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) It a SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) It a SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) OVER the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can progging simulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possibility to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the braw while there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-Ilke units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning proced	Dr. David Zipser						
15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can progg simulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the brain while there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning proced and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a gener technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations of that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of the dot arise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of the function of the state of a second to the state as per (202) 767-5021 20 OSTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ASTRACT ED UNCLASSIFIED/UNIUMITED 21 ASTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/ NL							
17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can prograsimulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the braw model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a gener technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations on the imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there is the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used there is the prime procedure is used there. The sufficient of the prime procedure is used		1 Feb 880 01 Jan 8	39 Jan	31, 1989		19	
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can prograsimulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the brain the use of the primary reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a generate technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations on to imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kernet Matter	10. SUPPLEMENTARY NUTATION						
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can prograsimulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the brain the use of the primary reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a generate technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations on to imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kernet Matter							
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can prograssimulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the braw while there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a genet technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations of not imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kenter Mathematical Mathematic		18 SUBJECT TERMS (C	ontinue on revers	e if necessary and	identify by L	lock number)	
 Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can prograsimulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the brai while there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a generic technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations in ot imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. The prime of the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. The prime of the possibility of ABSTRACT security CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED 220. OFFICE SYMBOL (202) 767-5021 212 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. William O Berry 223 ANAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL All other editions are obsolvete. 		-1					
Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can prograsimulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the braw while there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a generic technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations in ot imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key and the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key and the possibility of ABSTRACT 20. OISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 212. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. William O Berry 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION of THIS PAGE 225. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 220. OFFICE SYMBOL NL 226. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 220. OFFICE SYMBOL NL 228. APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolvete. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE		<u></u>					
simulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the bra While there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedu- and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a gener technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations of not imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of Mathematical Mathematical Mathematical for the same as RPT onclusers 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT DUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT onclusers 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/ 220. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/ 220. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/ 220. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 220. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF	19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessa	ry and identify by block n	umber)	have here de-	valenad +	hat our program	
to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the braw While there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedu- and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a generic technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations on not imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of the prime of the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of the prime of the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Key of the possibility Dric USERS 220. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT BI ADB FR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete. UNCLASSIFIED SECUAITY CLASSIFIED		compute a wide v	procedures ariety of fu	nctions. Th	is has ma	de it possible	
While there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a generate technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations on timply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerren is the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerren is the possibility of asstract is uncLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED is same as RPT or to user in the brain, they do raise the possibility of asstract is used there. Kerren is the possibility of asstract is used there. Kerren is the possibility of the possibility of asstract is used there. Kerren is the possibility of asstract is used there. Kerren is the possibility of the primary of the primary of the primary of the possibility of thepose possibility of the possibility of the poss	to use learning procedures t	o train model netw	vorks to do	computations	that occ	ur in the brain	
Good results have been achieved applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedu and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a gener technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations of not imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerner (1997) 10 - (1997) 20. OISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT DUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT DITIC USERS 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. William O Berry DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR B3 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete. Call other editions are obsolete. All other editions are obsolete. Call other editions are obsoleted. Call other editions are obsolete. Call other edition for the page of the form of the page o	While there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuro-like units in these						
Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled using this approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a generate technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations on timply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. The possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. The possibility of asstract security classification UNCLASSIFIED 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED 22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED 22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. William O Berry 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL NL DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR B3 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE							
approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedure and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a generation imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility is a some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility is a some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerneration is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility classification is actually used in the brain is actually used in therest. Inclassification is actually used in therest. <th colspan="6"></th>							
technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations of not imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerner in the brain implements computation. 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 21. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22. DISTRIBUTION 1473, S4 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhaus	approach. The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedur						
not imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kerner of Martin Martin Martin Martin Martin Martin 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT DUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED DISAME AS RPT DOTIC USERS 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. William O Berry DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR B3 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete. 22. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 22. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED 22. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 22. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED	and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a genera						
that some analogous learning procedure is used there. Kg with the first second is the first sec	technique to account for now the brain implements computations. While these observations do						
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22.0 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL Dr. W1111am O Berry (202) 767-5021 NL DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE All other editions are obsolete. UNCLASSIFIED							
DUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS UNCLASSIFIED 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL Dr. William O Berry (202) 767-5021 NL DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE All other editions are obsolete. UNCLASSIFIED	12 odi 15, Contant			· (rin)	1. • · • ² · · · • • •	i 18 8 1 ° 8	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL Dr. William O Berry (202) 767-5021 NL DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE All other editions are obsolete. UNCLASSIFIED	20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRAC				ATION		
Dr. William O_Berry (202) 767-5021 NL DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED		S RPT DTIC USERS					
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED			(202) 76	(Include Area Code 7-5021		SAMBOL	
All other editions are obsolete. UNCLASSIFIED						N OF THIS PAGE	
89 7 11 017		All other editions are of	bsolete.				
		C.		11 g -	, . A	N 19 19	
		C	プジ	ő <u>N</u>		× IL 6	

Mcd · 89 May 09 ML/Berry

AFOSR-TR- 89-0911

FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT

AFOSR-86-0062

David Zipser



Institute for Cognitive Science

University of California, San Diego

La Jolla, California

Accesio	For		
NTIS (DTIC Duranno Jugnifica	TAB derse d		
By Distribi	nior I		
A	anabilit	y Codes	5
Dist		ecial or	
A-1			

THE BACK PROPAGATION TECHNIQUE FOR MODELING CORTICAL COMPUTATION

I. Introduction

Over the past several years powerful learning procedures have been developed that can program simulated neural networks to compute a wide variety of functions. This has made it possible to use learning procedures to train model networks to do computations that occur in the brain. While there was no a priori reason to suppose that the individual neuron-like units in these model networks would resemble the brain in any way, the empirical observation is that they do. The response properties of some units in these networks closely resembles those of real neurons in the cortex. We have had particularly good results applying this paradigm to modeling monkey parietal area 7a (1,2,3,4). Various aspects of the primary visual area have also been successfully modeled by us and others using this approach (5,6). The results of this work raise the interesting possibility that learning procedures, and particularly the back propagation algorithm used in these studies, can serve as a general technique to account for how the brain implements computations. While these observations do not imply that back propagation is actually used in the brain, they do raise the possibility that some analogous learning procedure is used there.

II. Work Accomplished

A. Accounting for the Experimental Data From Parietal Area 7a

Lesions to the posterior parietal cortex in monkeys and humans produce profound spatial deficits in both motor behavior and perception (8,9,10,11). Based on single unit recording data and lesion studies Andersen and colleagues (12,13,14) proposed that parietal area 7a performed a spatial transformation from observation based to head centered coordinates by combining retinal based and eye-position information. Our model attempts to account for the mechanism of this transformation (1,2,3,4).

The classes of area 7a neurons that are relevant for our modeling effort are eye-position neurons, responding to eye-position only; visual neurons, responding to visual stimulation only; and spatially tuned neurons, which respond to both visual and eye position information. Neurons in the first two classes presumably represent the eye-position and retinal location information in observation based coordinates used by area 7a as input. The partially tuned neurons correspond to the hidden units in our model.

To model area 7a we used a point put format based on experimental observations. The input consisted of two parts: an eye position and a retinal position. The output was a representation of spatial location. Training consists of randomly picking a set of allowed eye and retinal positions as input, and then computing the corresponding spatial location to train the output. This model, shown in Figure 1, is described in detail elsewhere (2). This network, as well as all others described here, was simulated using the P3 parallel system programming environment, implemented on a Sybolics 3600 LISP Machine (15).

AFOSR-86-0062



Figure 1. (a) The back propagation network used to simulate area 7a of the monkey parietal lobe. The input to the network consists of retinal position and eye-position information. The arrows indicate the direction of activity propagation; error was propagated back in the opposite direction. The w's are the weights that are changed by learning. (b) An area 7a visual neuron receptive field of the type used to model input to the network in (a). (c) The eye position vs. firing rate response lines for 30 area 7a neurons showing the observed range of slopes and intercepts. The eye position input to the model was based on this data.

A teacher is needed for the network to learn the coordinate transformation carried out by area 7a. In our original studies we used two teacher formats, each representing spatial location in a head-centered frame. One format represented spatial location as the eye position at which the stimulus would be foviated. The other format represented head centered spatial location as the retinal location of the stimulus when looking straight ahead. These teachers were used to train the model in separate training sessions. Subsequently we have used a wider range of teachers and shown that any teacher format that encodes information about the head-centered location of the stimulus produces hidden units of the kind found in area 7a (4).

The network learned to compute the transformation carried out by area 7a. The really interesting observation is that the network learned to do this in a way analogous to area 7a. This can be seen by comparing the hidden units to the area 7a spatially tuned neurons. The important properties to be compared are retinal receptive fields and spatial gain fields. The extensive similarity of experimental and model receptive fields can be seen Figure 2. The spectrum of hidden unit receptive fields was similar for both teachers. Note that three of the most complex hidden unit receptive fields in row C of Figure 2(b) come from untrained hidden units. Training tends to smooth out the receptive fields and accentuate a single peak, usually shifting it toward the periphery.

Comparing the spatial gain fields is more complex because the interaction of observations taken in the presence and absence of visual stimulation must be considered. The eye position gain fields generated by the model are compared to the data for 7a neurons in Figure 3. All the total gain fields (outer circles) of the hidden units, generated by either teacher, were







Figure 2. (a) Experimentally determined retinal receptive fields (1,6). The data for drawing each of these receptive field plots comes from measurements of the firing rate of a single area 7a neuron at 17 different retinal locations. These locations were at the center and at 10, 20, 30, and 40 degrees out. A neighborhood-weighted Gaussian smoothing function was used to create the plots shown here. The receptive fields are arranged in rows with the eccentricity of the field maxima increasing to the right, and in columns with the complexity of the fields increasing downward. All the fields in row A have single peaks. Those in B a few distinguishable peaks. The fields in C are the most complex. The data has been normalized so the highest peak in each field is the same height. (b) Hidden unit retinal receptive fields generated by the back propagation model. These plots were generated in the same way as those of Figure 2(a) except that the data came from computer simulations of the model network. All the fields, except for the three on the left in row C, are from units that have received 1,000 learning trials. The remaining three are from untrained units and represent fields that result from the initial random assignment of synaptic weights.

AFOSR-86-0062



Figure 3. (a) The spatial gain fields of nine neurons from area 7a (1). The diameter of the darkened inner circle, representing the visually evoked gain fields, is calculated by subtracting the background activity recorded 500 msec before the stimulus onset from the total activity during the stimulus. The outer circle diameter, representing the total response gain fields, corresponds to the total activity during the stimulus. The annulus diameter corresponds to the background activity that is due to an eye position signal alone, recorded during the 500 msec prior to the stimulus presentation. (b) Hidden unit spatial gain fields generated by the model network. Fields $a \cdot f$ were generated using the monotonic format output; the rest used the Gaussian format output.

planar. This result compares with 80% for the 7a neurons. The visually evoked gain fields (inner dark disks) of the hidden units show differences between the teachers. With the monotonic eye-position format, 78% of the visual response gain fields were planar or monotonic, while with the Gaussian retinal format, only 36% fall in this class. These figures compare with 55% in this class for the experimental data. The striking similarity between model and experiment raises important questions such as to what degree will this result generalize to other cortical regions, and is there a back-propagation learning mechanism in the brain? Ultimately both of these are empirical questions that must be answered by more research.

B. Back Propagation Models of the Visual System

Back propagation requires some form of teacher. We have tried to use teachers that could be obtained locally from signals present in the cortical area being modeled. One way to do this is to have the teacher the same as the input. In this case the network learns to do an identity map which re-creates the input pattern on the output (16). What happens in identity mapping can be roughly described as a principle component analysis using the same number of dimensions as there are hidden units, followed by a rotation of coordinates so that the variance is distributed equally on each axis. The net effect of this, when the number of hidden units is less than the number of inputs, is that the hidden units have a lower dimensional encoding of the input than the original input pattern (17,18,19). Our experience gained using identity mapping in speech recognition and image compression (19,20) shows that this often leads to the representation of important stimulus features in a very efficient way. Identity mapping is a powerful correlation-based learning technique. It has been demonstrated that even weaker correlational procedures such as simple Hebbian learning can generate some of the properties of visual neurons (21). When we applied identity mapping to simplified models of the visual system we found hidden units that encoded stimulus location, depth and orientation (6). These results are summarized below.

1. Hidden unit identity map encoding of stereo depth. The visual cortex contains many binocular neurons, some of which are involved in extracting depth information from stereo images on the two retinas. To see how hidden units would encode depth information, a simplified binocular model of the visual system was used in an identity mapping study. To

avoid the complexities of three dimensions, linear retinas were used with depth as the second dimension. The method for determining disparity and the identity mapping network are shown in Figures 4 and 5. On each training cycle, a location was picked at random, within a circle around the fixation point. This location was then projected to the retinas through the focal point of each eye. The activity for each unit of the retinal array was computed as a Gaussian function of its distance from the location of this projection point. The depth of the chosen location, relative to the fixation point, is encoded in the disparity between its location on the two retinas. The flat, linear retinas used here are an approximation to a horizontal slice through the curved retinas of the cye.



Figure 4. Method for computing disparities during training of two retina identity mapping network. The fixation point is indicated by fp, c is the center of the retinas, x the location of the stimulus in space and its projection on the retinas, and f is the focal point for each eye.



Figure 5. The two retina identity mapping network. All input units are connected to all hidden units, which are connected to all output units.

It is not completely trivial to determine what features the hidden units encode. A graphic display that shows the relationship between unit activity and spot position has proven very useful in this regard. To generate this type of display the input spot is scanned over the disk of possible input positions. At every position of the spot the activity of each hidden unit is plotted at a corresponding position on the computer display. A separate disk-shaped pattern is generated for each hidden unit. The pixels in the display used can be set only to black or whit, so to get a graded effect the pixel closest to the spot position is set to black with a probability approximately proportional to the unit's activity. To clearly define the disk the probability of setting a pixel to black is slightly greater than zero even when activity is zero. This produces a display in which the degree of darkining over an area is roughly proportional to unit activity. To more clearly show the activity pattern, a set of contour lines is superimposed on the display by plotting white pixels whenever the activity of a unit falls within certain evenly

Final Technical Report

spaced narrow bands. The response of the hidden units as a function of disparity is discussed in the research plan section.

The results obtained from computer runs with two, three, four, and nine hidden units are shown in Figure 6. The network with only two hidden units could not solve the problem. In this network the input stimuli were mapped to identically corresponding positions on the two cutput arrays, that is, there was no disparity. For three and more hidden units the disparity present in the input was re-created to some degree on the output. The accuracy with which



Figure 6. Hidden unit activities plotted as a function of stimulus location in space for the two retina identity mapping network. Runs using two, three, four, and nune hidden units are shown.

disparity was reproduced was quite good with four units and virtually perfect with nine units. Close examination of the data in Figure 6 reveals several interesting points. In the case of two hidden units the contour lines in the two eyes are completely parallel to each other. This means that no depth information can be derived from the activity of the hidden units because their activity values remain proportional for all depths at any given lateral displacement. The contour lines are angled in depth to compensate for the additional lateral movement required to produce a fixed displacement on the retina as a point gets further from the observer. For more than two hidden units the contour lines are no longer exactly parallel. This provides a coordinate system in depth that the output units can use in re-creating the required disparity. In the case of nine hidden units, sections of the contour lines are running nearly perpendicular to each other, providing detailed depth information.

In no case did the network solve the problem by treating the two eyes separately. For example, in the case of four hidden units, a simple solution would be to dedicate two of the hidden units to each eye. What is actually observed is a distributed, binocular representation for each hidden unit. The reason that monocular units did not occur is that back propagation identity mapping works by generating hidden units that capture the correlations in the input patterns. When, instead of the relatively small amount of disparity that results from depth, a large amount of random uncorrelated disparity is used in the training, we find that the hidden units do become monocular. This is analogous to the loss of binocular neurons in animals with defects preventing eye convergence.

2. Hidden unit encodings of location and orientation. When vision is used to guide spatial behavior, an important early step in the process is to extract the location of the stimulus from the retinal image. It is known from neurophysiological studies that retinal location serves as input to the parietal region (see Figure 1(b)), but the way the retinal location of a stimulus is computed is not understood. One possibility is that the hidden units in a network trained to identity map images of discrete objects will encode their location in the image.

To test this hypothesis a network embodying a very simple model of a visual system was used. The input and output layers of this network consisted of identically configured image arrays as required for identity mapping. Rather than using complex images, the initial studies were done with pattern sets consisting of circular Gaussian spots appearing at random locations on the input image plane. These spots are simplified examples of the images of discrete objects as they would appear at low resolution after figure ground separation.

A network typical of those used is shown in Figure 7. It consists of input and output layers each with 100 unit: arranged as 10×10 arrays. The hidden layer has a small number of units ranging from one to nine in different runs. The locations to be coded are represented as spots of activity on the input layer. The position of the center of a spot is picked at random on each learning cycle. To reduce edge effects the center of the spot is limited to a disk 8 units in diameter centered on the input array. The activity of each unit in the input array is determined as a Gaussian function of its distance from the center of the spot. Gaussian spots are used so that spot location could vary continuously, and also to allow a smooth, nondiscrete representation of the input stimuli. Training consists of applying a spot to the input and using this same spot as the target output. The job of the network is to learn to copy the input spot

AFOSR-86-0062 13

Final Technical Report January 31, 1989



Figure 7. Identity mapping network for a single two-dimensional retina.

onto the output layer through the small number of hidden units.

Figure 8 shows results obtained after extensive identity map training using a network of the kind described above, with two hidden units. The two hidden units in Figure 8 can be seen to have formed an explicit encoding of spot location using an orthogonal coordinate system. Although the activity profiles of the two units are everywhere orthogonal they are not linear. This is presumably a consequence of constraints other than spot location such as spot size and spot shape. When the training is repeated with the same network using different sets of random starting weights and training sequences, the activity patterns learned by the hidden units are the same except for the angle of rotation of the coordinate axis. This angle is a free parameter and its final value is a result of unpredictable details of random parameters in the training procedure. When a different size Gaussian spot is used for training, the pattern of hidden unit activity is similar but there are some subtle differences in the curvature and separation of the

AFOSR-86-0062 14



Figure 8. The response of two hidden units from an identity mapping network of the kind shown in Figure 7 plotted in retinal space. The center of the retina is at the center of the circles.

contour lines. This indicates that spot size information is also being coded by the hidden units.

The shape of the activity patterns that develop in the hidden units indicate that they might have an orientation tuning similar to neurons in the visual cortex. This was tested by using a progressively rotated dark bar as input to the fully trained networks. The result is shown in Figure 9, which is a plot of hidden unit activity as a function of the orientation of a Gaussian bar having the same width as the spots used to train the network. The pair of hidden units have a strong orientation tuning. It is interesting that one unit has a decrease in activity at the preferred orientation while the other has an increase. The preferred orientations of the two units are just 90 degrees out of phase. These observations on identity mapping of simple visual system models serve as the basis for our plans to develop a more complete model of visual cortex.

AFOSR-86-0062 15



BAR ORIENTATION

Figure 9. The response of a pair of hidden units to a rotating bar. The hidden units are those shown in Figure 8. After training was completed learning was turned off and the network was stimulated by an input that consisted of a gaussian bar passing through the center of the input array. The 1/e width of the bar was 0.2 of the array width, the same as the 1/e radius of the spot used for training. The bar was presented at 25 equally spaced orientations between 0 and 180 degrees. The figure shows the activity of the hidden units as a function of bar orientation.

References

- Zipser, D., & Andersen, R. A. (1987). A network model using back propagation learning simulates the spatial tuning properties of posterior parietal neuron. Society for Neuroscience Abstracts, 13, pt. 2, 1452.
- 2. Zipser, D., & Andersen, R. A. (1988). A back propagation programmed network that simulates response properties of a subset of posterior parictal neurons. *Nature*.
- 3. Andersen, R. A., & Zipser, D. (in press). The role of the posterior parietal cortex in coordinate transformations for visual-motor integration. Canadian Journal of Physiology and

Pharmacology.

- 4. Zipser, D., & Andersen, R. A. (in press). The role of the teacher in learning-based models of parietal area 7a. Brain Research Bulletin.
- 5. Lehky, S. R., & Sejnowski, T. J. (1987). Extracting 3-D curvatures from images of surfaces using a neural model. Society for Neuroscience Abstracts, 13, pt. 2, 1451.
- 6. Zipser, D. (in press). Programming neural nets to do spatial computations. In N. E. Sharkey (Ed.), Review of cognitive science 1. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Rumelhart, D. E., Hinton, G. E., & Williams, R. J. (1986). Learning internal representations by error propagation. In D. E. Rumelhart & J. L. McClelland (Eds.), *Parallel distributed* processing: Explorations in the microstructure of cognition. Vol. 1. Foundations (pp. 318-362). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press/Bradford Books.
- 8. Critchley, M. (1953). The parietal lobes. New York: Hafner.
- 9. Lynch, J. C. (1980). The functional organization of posterior parietal association cortex. Behavioral Brain Sciences, 3, 485-534.
- 10. Andersen, R. A. (in press). The neurobiological basis of spatial cognition: role of the parietal lobe. In J. Stiles-Davis, M. Kritchevsky, & U. Bellugi, (Eds.), Spatial cognition: Brain bases and development. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- 11. Bock, O., Eckmiller, R., & Andersen, R. A. (1987). Goal-directed arm movements in trained monkeys following ibotenic acid lesions in the posterior parietal cortex. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- 12. Andersen, R. A., Siegel, R. M., & Essick, G. K. (in press). Neurons of area 7 activated by both visual stimuli and oculomotor behavior. *Experimental Brain Research*.
- 13. Andersen, R. A., & Mountcastle, V. B. (1983). The influence of the angle of gaze upon the excitability of the light-sensitive neurons of the posterior parietal cortex. *Journal of Neurosciences*, 3, 532-548.
- 14. Andersen, R. A., Essick, G. K., & Siegel, R. M. (1985). Encoding of spatial location by posterior parietal neurons. Science, 230, 546-548.
- 15. Zipser, D., & Rabin, D. (1986). P3: A parallel network simulating system. In D. Rumelhart & J. McClelland (Eds.), Parallel distributed processing: Explorations in the microstructure of cognition. Vol. 1. Foundations.. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press/Bradford Press.
- Ackley, D., Hinton, G. E., & Sejnowski, T. (1985). A learning algorithm for Boltzmann machines. Cognitive Science, 9, 147-169.
- 17. Hinton, G. E. Personal communication.
- Saund, E. (1987). Dimensionality-reduction using connectionist networks (AI Memo 941).
 Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, AI Laboratory.

- Cottrell, G. W., Munro, P. W., & Zipser, D. (in press). Image compression by back propagation: A demonstration of extensional programming. In N. E. Sharkey (Ed.), *Review of cognitive science I*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex. (Also ICS Tech. Rep. No. 8702. La Jolla: University of California, San Diego, Institute for Cognitive Science.)
- 20. Elman, J. & Zipser, D. (in press). Learning the hidden structure of speech. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. (Also ICS Tech. Rep. No. 8701. La Jolla: University of California, San Diego, Institute for Cognitive Science.)
- 21. Linsker, R. (1987). From basic network principles to neural architecture: Emergence of orientation selective cells. *PNAS*, 83, 8390-8394.