

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



March 1989

Thesis Advisor:

Michael A. Morgan

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

89 6 28 032

Unclassified security classification of this page

			. — —	
	REPORT DOCUN	IENTATION PAGE		
la Report Security Classification Unclassified	<u></u>	1b Restrictive Markings		
2a Security Classification Authority		3 Distribution Availability of Report		
2b Declassification Downgrading Schedule		Approved for public release	e; distribution is unlimited.	
4 Performing Organization Report Number(s)		5 Monitoring Organization Report N	umber(s)	
ba Name of Performing Organization Naval Postgraduate School	(if applicable) 62	7a Name of Monitoring Organization Naval Postgraduate School	·	
6c Address (city, state, and ZIP code) Monterey, CA 93943-5000		7b Address (clty, state, and ZIP code) Monterey, CA 93943-5000		
8a Name of Funding Sponsoring Organization	8b Office Symbol (if applicable)	9 Procurement Instrument Identificat	ion Number	
8c Address (city, state, and ZIP code)		10 Source of Funding Numbers		
		Program Element No Project No	Task No Work Unit Accession No	
11 Title (include security classification) ELECT USING THE FIELD FEEDBACK F(ROMAGNETIC SC	ATTERING FROM TWO DIM	IENSIONAL OBJECTS	
12 Personal Author(s) Thaddeus B. Welch	 III			
13a Type of Report 13b Time Engineer's Thesis From	Covered To	14 Date of Report (year, month, day, March 1989) 15 Page Count 150	
16 Supplementary Notation The views expre sition of the Department of Defense or	ssed in this thesis are t the U.S. Government	those of the author and do not re	flect the official policy or po-	
17 Cosati Codes 18 Sul	oject Terms (continue on re	werse if necessary and identify by block ni	umber)	
Field Group Subgroup electr	omagnetics, scattering,	numerical methods, FFF		
restricted in their ability to handle inhor of finite element (FE) techniques has r taining few, if any, zero valued elements amounts of processor time. FE techn unknowns. The application of FE's to radiation conditions. The Field Feedb lowing FE based numerical methods to matrices discussed earlier, most element sparse matrices. Calculational speeds ca new technique are the basis for this these	inized to calculate ind nogeneous penetrable lot been as pervasive a . The techniques for iques produce sparse unbounded problems ack Formulation (K) be employed. Even th s have a value of zero in be orders of magnitu sis. Excellent agreeme	as the use of IE's. The IE repression of the structures having multiwavelength as the use of IE's. The IE repression operating on these large-sized furmatrices due to the strictly local s, however, requires supplementation circumvents the full-matrix compounds the resultant sparse matrice. Numerical procedures exist to conde faster. Computer techniques ent with classical results are demo	Atterers, but they are senously h dimensions. The utilization sentation matrix is "full", con- ll matrices require undesirable interactions between discrete ry enforcement of the far-field uputational "bottleneck" by al- es may be larger than the "full" optimize operations with these to implement and validate this nstrated.	
20 Distribution Availability of Abstract Sunclassified unlimited Same as report	DTIC users	21 Abstract Security Classification Unclassified		
22a Name of Responsible Individual Michael A. Morgan		22b Telephone (include Area code) (408) 646-2677	22c Office Symbol 62Mw	
DD FORM 1473,84 MAR	83 APR edition may	y be used until exhausted	security classification of this page	
	All other edu	tions are obsolete	Unclassified	

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

ELECTROMAGNETIC SCATTERING FROM TWO DIMENSIONAL OBJECTS USING THE FIELD FEEDBACK FORMULATION

by

Thaddeus B. Welch III Lieutenant, United States Navy B.E.E., The Georgia Institute of Technology, 1979

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degrees of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING and ELECTRICAL ENGINEER

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL March 1989

Author:

٦

den p. With

Thaddeus B. Welch III

Approved by:

? Margan

Michael A. Morgan, Thesis Advisor

amam

Ramakrishna Janaswamy, Second Reader

John P. Powers, Chairman, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Ednad

Gordon E. Schacher, Dean of Science and Engineering

ABSTRACT

Integral equations (IE's) are widely utilized to calculate induced currents on antennas and scatterers, but they are seriously restricted in their ability to handle inhomogeneous penetrable structures having multiwavelength dimensions. The utilization of finite element (FE) techniques has not been as pervasive as the use of IE's. The IE representation matrix is "full", containing few, if any, zero valued elements. The techniques for operating on these large-sized full matrices require undesirable amounts of processor time. FE techniques produce sparse matrices due to the strictly local interactions between discrete unknowns. The application of FE's to unbounded problems, however, requires supplementary enforcement of the far-field radiation conditions. The Field Feedback Formulation (F^3) circumvents the full-matrix computational "bottleneck" by allowing FE based numerical methods to be employed. Even though the resultant sparse matrices may be larger than the "full" matrices discussed earlier, most elements have a value of zero. Numerical procedures exist to optimize operations with these sparse matrices. Calculational speeds can be orders of magnitude faster. Computer techniques to implement and validate this new technique are the basis for this thesis. Excellent agreement with classical results are demonstrated.

Access	sion For				
NTIS	GRA&I				
DTIC 1	rab				
Unanno	ounced				
Justi	fication	2			
By					
Distr	Distribution/				
Availability Codes					
	Avail and/or				
Dist	Special				
111					
14-1					



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. IN	TRODUCTION
А.	HISTORY1
B.	FIELD FEEDBACK FORMULATION I
С.	POTENTIAL BENEFITS
II. F	ORMULATION
Α.	INITIAL NOMENCLATURE
В.	MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS
C.	VARIATIONAL EQUIVALENCE TO THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION 8
D.	FINITE ELEMENT BOUNDARY VALUE SOLUTION
E.	EVALUATION OF THE F - MATRIX CONTRIBUTIONS
F.	GREEN'S FUNCTION CONTOUR INTEGRAL
G.	FAR-FIELD EVALUATION
III. S	MESH GENERATION
Α.	INTRODUCTION
B.	INPUT DATA
C.	MESH GENERATION PROGRAM
	1. 10 (Input/Output)
	2. Rotate
	3. Bound (Boundary) 27
	4. Normal
	5. Nodset (Node Set)
	6. Sorter
	7. Finder
D.	OPTIMIZATION OF THE MESH
IV. F	TINITE ELEMENT BOUNDARY VALUE PROGRAM
А.	INTRODUCTION
B.	FINITE ELEMENT BOUNDARY VALUE PROGRAM
	1. Zero

	2. Varint (Variational Integration) 43
	3. Fill
	4. BNDC (Boundary Condition) 44
:	5. Loader
(6. March
	7. CSMINV (Complex Square Matrix Inversion) 45
;	8. Sweep
9	9. Save
C. 7	VARINT VALIDATION
D.	FINITE ELEMENT BOUNDARY VALUE PROGRAM VALIDATION 48
E . 1	INHOMOGENEITY
F. 1	FINITE ELEMENT CONCLUSIONS
V. FIE	ELD FEEDBACK PROGRAM
Α.	INTRODUCTION
B . 1	FIELD FEEDBACK PROGRAM
	1. Input
	2. TMAT (T Matrix)
	3. CNSOLV
•	4. FFLD (Far Fields) 58
C. 2	FIELD FEEDBACK VALIDATION
	1. Small Object Phenomenon
:	2. Offset Distance Phenomenon
VI. VA	ALIDATION
А.	INTRODUCTION
B . 1	HOMOGENEOUS CIRCULAR CYLINDRICAL SCATTERING 60
C .	HOMOGENEOUS IRREGULAR OBJECTS
D.	AN INHOMOGENEOUS OBJECT
VU C	
	DECLITC 71
А.	RESULIS
D .	Reconstruct NDAHONS A ND EA1ENSIONS A ND EA1ENSIONS A A A A A A A A
APPEN	DIX A. INPUT DATA FILE EXAMPLE

APPENDIX B.	READ PROGRAM
APPENDIX C.	MESH GENERATION/FINITE ELEMENT PROGRAM 77
APPENDIX D.	VARINT CONVERGENCE PROGRAM 121
APPENDIX E.	FIELD FEEDBACK PROGRAM 123
APPENDIX F.	DIELECTRIC CYLINDER SCATTERING PROGRAM 135
APPENDIX G.	SOFTWARE SOURCES 137
LIST OF REFE	RENCES 138
INITIAL DIST	RIBUTION LIST

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	1.	A Typical Object
Figure	2.	Field Feedback Formulation
Figure	3.	Rectangular Object
Figure	4.	Rectangular Mesh 12
Figure	5.	Pyramidal Basis Function, (a) top view, (b) perspective view 13
Figure	6.	Mesh Element
Figure	7.	Basis Functions Interpretation
Figure	8.	Green's Function Integration
Figure	9.	Typical Objects
Figure	10.	Bisection Segment and Row Arrangement
Figure	11.	Unit Normal Calculation 29
Figure	12.	Element Row Numbering Scheme
Figure	13.	Mesh Orientation Attributes
Figure	14.	Three Row Cylinder
Figure	15.	Element Numbering Scheme
Figure	16.	Two Possible Element Intersections, (a) extreme, (b) normal
Figure	17.	Typical Row Structure
Figure	18.	Global Mesh Structure
Figure	19.	Unknowns and Number of Rows Versus Mesh Resolution 36
Figure	20.	Method 1 Mesh Structure Example
Figure	21.	Method 2 Mesh Structure Example
Figure	22.	Method 3 Mesh Structure Example
Figure	23.	Method 4 Mesh Structure Example
Figure	24.	Method 5 Mesh Structure Example 40
Figure	25.	Method 6 Mesh Structure Example 40
Figure	26.	Test Mesh Structure
Figure	27.	Solution Error for a Test Mesh Structure
Figure	28.	Solution Error for a Test Mesh Structure (Expanded) 48
Figure	29.	Perimeter Error
Figure	30.	Bisection Segment Error
Figure	31.	0.5λ cylinder, $\varepsilon_r = 1 + j0$

Figure	32.	0.5 λ cylinder, $\varepsilon_r = 4 + j0$
Figure	33.	0.5 λ cylinder, $\varepsilon_r = 4 - j4$
Figure	34.	Cylindrical Mode Geometry 54
Figure	35.	Typical Cylindrical Mode Boundary Value Problem Result
Figure	36.	Contour Arrangement
Figure	37.	Cylinder, TE and TM Case, $k_0 a = 0.5$, $\varepsilon_r = 2.56$
Figure	38.	Cylinder, TE and TM Case, $k_0 a = 1.0$, $\varepsilon_r = 2.56$
Figure	39.	Cylinder, TE and TM Case, $k_0 a = 2.0$, $\varepsilon_r = 2.56$
Figure	40.	Cylinder, TE and TM Case, $k_0 a = 0.5$, $\varepsilon_r = 25.6$
Figure	41.	Dielectric Shell Mesh
Figure	42.	Dielectric Shell, TE and TM Case, $\varepsilon_r = 4 + j0$
Figure	43.	Dielectric Ring
Figure	44.	Partial Mesh with Inner Radius Curve
Figure	45.	Effective Geometry for the Dielectric Mesh
Figure	46.	Dielectric Ring, TE and TM Case, $\varepsilon_r = 4 + j0$

I. INTRODUCTION

A. HISTORY

The application of finite element techniques to evaluate the solution of differential equations is well documented. The utilization of these techniques by the electromagnetics community has not been as pervasive as the use of integral equations (IE's). IE's are widely utilized to calculate induced currents on antennas and scatterers, but they are seriously restricted in their ability to handle inhomogeneous penetrable structures having multiwavelength dimensions. As the complexity and number of nodal degrees of freedom grow, the size and dimension of the representative matrix must also grow. This matrix is "full", containing few, if any, zero-valued elements. The available numerical techniques for operating on these large-sized full matrices require undesirable amounts of processor time.

Differential equation (DE) based techniques, such as the finite element method, produce sparse matrices due to the strictly <u>local</u> interactions between discrete unknowns which result. The application of DE's to unbounded problems, such as those of scattering and radiation, require some form of supplementary enforcement of the proper far-field conditions. These radiation boundary conditions are innately incorporated into integral equations.

B. FIELD FEEDBACK FORMULATION

The Field Feedback Formulation (F^3) circumvents the full-matrix "bottleneck" in the computational process by allowing DE based numerical methods to be employed. Even though the resultant sparse matrices may be larger than the "full" matrices discussed earlier, most elements have a value of zero. Numerical procedures exist to optimize operations with these sparse matrices. Calculational speeds can be orders of magnitude faster than with full matrices [Ref. 1]. Although the F^3 employs sparse matrices to represent the fields in the materials being considered, it does require augmentation to enforce the radiation condition at infinity on the scattered fields. This comes in the form of a feedback matrix composed of surface integration generated elements. A concept evaluation, for a special axisymmetric case, was already accomplished, as detailed in [Ref. 2] and [Ref. 3]. Computer techniques to implement and validate this new technique are the basis for this thesis.

C. POTENTIAL BENEFITS

This thesis will lead to an increased understanding of the advantages and disadvantages of this novel computational procedure for handling geometrically complex material scatterers. This method may ultimately allow computer-aided design of important electromac etic structures such as low-observable aircraft, high efficiency dielectric lens antennas, and other electromagnetic scattering occurrences due to atmospheric anomalies. Structural details and material inhomogeneities, as well as physical dimensions (in multiple wavelengths), can be accommodated using the Field Feedback Formulation. These capabilities far surpass those which are possible with contemporary integral equation techniques for the case of inhomogeneous penetrable scatterers and antennas.

II. FORMULATION

A. INITIAL NOMENCLATURE

Assume there is a three dimensional object that is infinite in one direction. Such an object, in cross section could look like Figure 1. This object only varies in two dimensions, and therefore, is actually a two dimensional (2-D) object.



Figure 1. A Typical Object

The wavenumber k_0 is defined as

$$k_0=\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_0}=\frac{2\pi f_0}{c},$$

where λ_0 is the free space wavelength associated with an electromagnetic wave of frequency f_0 and c is the speed of light. The X and Y Cartesian coordinates are wavenumber normalized, such that $X = k_0 x$ and $Y = k_0 y$. This coordinate normalization will be used throughout this development. A similar technique could also be developed in the polar coordinate system. The magnetic field will also be normalized such that $\overline{H} = -j\eta_0 \overline{\mathscr{H}}$, where $\eta_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} = 120\pi \approx 377\Omega$, is the impedance of free space and $\overline{\mathscr{H}}$ is the usual magnetic field in units of A/m. Thus the normalized \overline{H} has the same V/m units as \overline{E} . Potentials may be defined as,

$$E_2(x,y) = \psi_1(X,Y)$$
(2.1)

for the transverse magnetic (TM) case, with E_x , E_y , and $H_z = 0$, and

$$H_2(x,y) = \psi_2(X,Y)$$
 (2.2)

for the transverse electric (TE) case, with H_x , H_y and $E_z = 0$.

Our objective is to calculate the scattered fields for an arbitrary (2-D) penetrable object using the Field Feedback Formulation (F^3) . As shown in Figure 2, a familiar closed loop system illustrates the relationship between the incident, scattered, total and far fields.



Figure 2. Field Feedback Formulation

At point 1, the incident field drives the total system. The incident field at 1, combined with the scattered field at 4, forms the total field at 2. This total field forms the boundary conditions that drive the finite element program at 2. At point 2, initially, the incident field drives the U operator. U represents the feed forward operator in the F.³. This operator uses a finite element technique to solve the boundary value problem. At point 3, the boundary conditions are solved for the object perimeter potentials and the normal derivative of these potentials. T represents the field feedback operator that takes the perimeter potentials and associated derivatives and provides the scattered fields at point 4, the offset boundary. The fields at point 1 and 4 are added (unlike the familiar feedback or control system where negative feedback is employed). At point 2, a combined incident and scattered field exists. These fields are the combined boundary conditions for the finite element boundary value program. These combined fields (total fields) are then applied to the U operator to calculate the perimeter fields and the associated derivatives on the objects perimeter. This looping may be repeated until a steady state condition, at point 3, is reached. The existence of a steady state condition assumes stability. Stability for physical systems should not be a problem. However, when mathematically modeled, instabilities may result. The error magnification or condition number of the system must also be seriously considered if this iterative looping process is to be used. The alternative approach is to form an equivalent system, where:

equivalent operator =
$$U \cdot [I - T \cdot U]^{-1}$$

with

$$I = Identity Matrix$$

and

 $T \cdot U =$ Combined Effects of the T and U operators .

Either approach is viable since,

$$\psi_{iotal} = \psi_{incident} + T \cdot U \cdot \psi_{incident} + (T \cdot U)^2 \cdot \psi_{incident} + \dots = [I - T \cdot U]^{-1} \psi_{incident}$$

This becomes more obvious when $\psi_{incident}$ is factored from the equality leaving,

$$1 + T \bullet U + (T \bullet U)^2 + \ldots$$

Placing this in closed form,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (T \cdot U)^n = \frac{1}{I - T \cdot U} = (I - T \cdot U)^{-1}.$$

Finding the equivalent operator will require a matrix inversion and for very large problems this may lead to excessive computation times. The matrix inversion technique will be investigated. The fields may then be extended to any point in space using a far field Green's function surface contour integral. This far field pattern is available at point 5.

The incident field is usually produced by a plane wave generator. This provides the boundary conditions on the offset contour. This contour is called "offset" since it is approximately the same "shape" as the objects perimeter but is slightly larger. The distance between the perimeter and this contour is called the offset distance and will be discussed in Chapter III. The boundary conditions may be any desired field or wave that satisfies Maxwell's equations. These waves may arrive from any direction and be of any magnitude. The boundary conditions may also be a composite of any number of waves since superposition does apply to these systems. A user provided subroutine is necessary if conditions other than a single plane wave, cylindrical mode (of arbitrary mode number) or individual input boundary condition is desired.

B. MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS

Maxwell's equations can be written using our previous normalizations as,

$$\nabla \times \overline{E} = \mu_r \overline{H} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\nabla \times \overline{H} = \varepsilon_r \overline{E}.$$
 (2.4)

[Ref. 4] Let $D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, with similar definitions for D_y and D_z . Equations 2.3 and 2.4 can be further expanded into the D_x , D_y and D_z components such that,

$$\mu_r H_x = D_Y E_z \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mu_r H_y = -D_X E_z \tag{2.6}$$

$$\mu_r H_z = D_X E_y - D_Y E_x \tag{2.7}$$

$$\varepsilon_r E_x = D_Y H_z \tag{2.8}$$

$$\varepsilon_r E_y = -D_X H_z \tag{2.9}$$

$$\varepsilon_r E_2 = D_X H_y - D_Y H_x. \tag{2.10}$$

For the TM case, with propagation in the z direction,

$$H_x = \frac{D_Y E_z}{\mu_r}$$

and

$$H_y = \frac{-D_X E_z}{\mu_r} \, .$$

Note that the H_x field = 0. These two equations can be combined to form,

$$\widehat{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_r} \nabla \psi_1 \times \hat{z}.$$
(2.11)

Similarly for the TE case, with propagation in the z direction,

$$\overline{E} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_r} \nabla \psi_2 \times \hat{z}.$$
(2.12)

Substituting equations 2.5 and 2.6 into equation 2.10 yields,

$$\varepsilon_r E_z = D_X \left(\frac{-D_X E_z}{\mu_r} \right). \tag{2.13}$$

Substituting equation 2.1 into equation 2.13 yields,

$$\varepsilon_r \psi_1 + D_X \left(\frac{D_X}{\mu_r} \psi_1 \right) + D_Y \left(\frac{D_Y}{\mu_r} \psi_1 \right) = 0.$$
 (2.14)

Equation 2.14 can be further simplified to,

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\mu_r} \nabla \psi_1 \right] + \varepsilon_r \psi_1 = 0.$$
 (2.15)

Similarly, equations 2.2, 2.8, 2.9 may be substituted into equation 2.7. This yields,

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_r} \nabla \psi_2 \right] + \mu_r \psi_2 = 0.$$
 (2.16)

Equations 2.15 and 2.16 are TM and TE duals. These two differential equations describe the potentials inside the object of interest. Defining $\frac{1}{\mu_r} = \alpha$ and $\varepsilon_r = \beta$ for the TM case and $\frac{1}{\epsilon_r} = \alpha$ and $\mu_r = \beta$ for the TE case and substituting these new definitions into equations 2.15 and 2.16 yields one differential equation,

$$\nabla \cdot [\alpha \nabla \psi] + \beta \psi = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

C. VARIATIONAL EQUIVALENCE TO THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

The Euler-Lagrange variational formulation is based on the stationarity of a functional, of the function ψ and its first derivatives. [Ref. 4]

$$I = \iint_{\text{inside S}} F(X, Y, \psi, \nabla \psi) \, dX \, dY$$
(2.18)

It can be shown that the first variation of the functional is zero, $\delta I = 0$, if the Lagrangian, F, satisfies the Euler - Lagrange equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial (D_X \psi)} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial (D_Y \psi)} \right) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \psi} = 0.$$
(2.19)

The problem thus becomes to find the F, which when substituted into equation 2.19, yields the original differential equation. The Lagrangian,

$$F = \alpha \left\{ \left(D_X \psi \right)^2 + \left(D_Y \psi \right)^2 \right\} - \beta \psi^2$$

can be simplified to,

$$F = \alpha \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \psi - \beta \psi^2. \tag{2.20}$$

When equation 2.20 is substituted into equation 2.19,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial (D_X \psi)} = 2\alpha D_X \psi$$
$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial (D_Y \psi)} = 2\alpha D_Y \psi$$
$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \psi} = 2\beta \psi.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left(2\alpha D_X \psi \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \left(2\alpha D_Y \psi \right) + 2\beta \psi = 0$$

or,

$$D_X[\alpha D_X \psi] + D_Y[\alpha D_Y \psi] + \beta \psi = 0$$

which simplifies to,

$$\nabla \cdot [\alpha \nabla \psi] + \beta \psi = 0. \tag{2.21}$$

Therefore, the general functional has been found since equation 2.21 and equation 2.17 are identical. Substituting the α and β definitions into equation 2.20 yields,

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{\mu_r} \nabla \psi_1 \cdot \nabla \psi_1 - \varepsilon_r \psi_1^2 \qquad (2.22)$$

and

$$F_2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_r} \nabla \psi_2 \cdot \nabla \psi_2 - \mu_r \psi_2^2. \tag{2.23}$$

Equations 2.22 and 2.23 are integrated over the interior to S with known boundary conditions for either ψ_1 or ψ_2 on S. To physically interpret the variational formulation, it is noted that,

$$\nabla \psi_1 = \mu_r \Big\{ H_X \hat{Y} - H_Y \hat{X} \Big\}$$

and

$$\nabla \psi_2 = \varepsilon_r \Big\{ E_X \hat{Y} - E_Y \hat{X} \Big\}.$$

Therefore,

$$I_1 = \iint_{S} \mu_r \overline{H} \cdot \overline{H} - \varepsilon_r \overline{E} \cdot \overline{E} \, dX \, dY$$

and

$$I_2 = \iint_{S} \varepsilon_r \overline{E} \cdot \overline{E} - \mu_r \overline{H} \cdot \overline{H} \, dX \, dY.$$

Substituting Maxwell's equations into I_1 gives,

$$I_{1} = \iint_{S} (\nabla \times \overline{E}) \cdot \overline{H} - (\nabla \times \overline{H}) \cdot \overline{E} \, dX \, dY$$
$$I_{1} = \iint_{S} \nabla \cdot (\overline{E} \times \overline{H}) \, dX \, dY$$
$$I_{1} = \iint_{\partial S} \overline{E} \times \overline{H} \cdot \hat{h} \, dl.$$

Note that $\overline{E} \times \overline{H}$ is the complex oscillatory Poynting vector. It is different from the usual Poynting vector of $\overline{E} \times \overline{H}^*$. Thus, both functionals, I_1 and I_2 are proportional to the complex phasors for oscillatory power. The oscillatory power is the phasor representing the excess of instantaneous radiated power minus the average radiated power.

D. FINITE ELEMENT BOUNDARY VALUE SOLUTION

With the discussion of the variational mathematics completed, a simple rectangular boundary value problem will be discussed in detail. The rectangular geometry allows for an easier formulation but in no way limits the solution from being extended to more complicated object geometries. Figure 3 on page 11 shows the region of concern.





Consider spanning the rectangular region by a triangular mesh, as shown in Figure 4 on page 12. Both α and β may be functions of position but may not vary within an individual triangular element. Given a fine enough mesh structure, a smooth transition in material properties may be approximated. For notational simplicity this positional dependance will not be carried forward. The variational approach will yield the solution to the boundary value problem by finding the $\psi(x, y)$ which gives the stationary value of,

$$I = \int_0^a \int_0^b (\alpha \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \psi - \beta \psi^2) \, dx \, dy$$

which is constrained on the boundary by the previously specified boundary conditions. [Ref. 5]

11



The values of ψ at the interior nodes become the discretized unknowns:

$$\psi_{ij} = \psi(X_i, Y_j)$$
 $i = 1... M \text{ and } j = 1... N$

 $X_i = i \cdot \Delta X$

where

and

 $Y_j = j \cdot \Delta Y$

for the uniform mesh structure with $\Delta X = \frac{a}{(M+1)}$ and $\Delta Y = \frac{b}{(N+1)}$. Approximating,

$$\psi(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{M+1} \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \psi_{ij} u_{ij}(x, y)$$

which includes the known boundary nodal values $\psi_{0,j}$ and $\psi_{M+1,j}$ for j = 0 and N + 1, and $\psi_{i,0}$ and $\psi_{i,N+1}$ for i = 0 and M + 1, linear pyramidal basis functions, $u_{ij}(x, y)$, which have unit value at the (i,j) node and zero value at all surrounding nodes. Figure 5(a) is a top view of the pyramidal basis function, while Figure 5(b) is a perspective view.



Figure 5. Pyramidal Basis Function, (a) top view, (b) perspective view

The functional I will thus be a discrete function of each of the nodal values of ψ ,

$$I = I(\psi_{0,0}, \psi_{0,1}, ..., \psi_{I,j}, ..., \psi_{M+1, N+1}).$$

The approximate discrete solution will be found by the system,

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial \psi_{m,n}} = 0$$
, for $m = 1 \dots M$ and $n = 1 \dots N$.

Now,

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial \psi_{m,n}} = 2 \int_0^a \int_0^b \left(\alpha \frac{\partial \nabla \psi}{\partial \psi_{m,n}} \cdot \nabla \psi - \beta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \psi_{m,n}} \psi \right) dx \, dy = 0$$

where,

$$\nabla \psi(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{M+1} \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \psi_{ij} \nabla u_{ij}(x,y).$$

The gradient of the basis function is,

$$\nabla u_{m,n}(x,y) = \frac{\partial \nabla \psi}{\partial \psi_{m,n}}$$

and the basis function is,

$$u_{m,n}(x,y) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \psi_{m,n}} \,.$$

Therefore, the system of equations to solve becomes,

$$\int_0^a \int_0^b (\alpha \nabla u_{m,n} \cdot \nabla \psi - \beta u_{m,n} \psi) \, dx \, dy = 0, \text{ for } m = 1 \dots M \text{ and } n = 1 \dots N.$$

Substituting for $\nabla \psi$ and ψ in terms of the nodal values of ψ for $m = 1 \dots M$ and $n = 1 \dots N$ gives,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M+1} \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \psi_{ij} \int_0^a \int_0^b (\alpha \nabla u_{m,n} \bullet \nabla u_{i,j} - \beta u_{m,n} u_{i,j}) \, dx \, dy = 0$$

where,

$$\int_0^a \int_0^b (\alpha \nabla u_{m,n} \cdot \nabla u_{l,j} - \beta u_{m,n} u_{l,j}) \, dx \, dy = F\{(m,n), (i,j)\}.$$

Regrouping this to put the known nodal values on the right hand side gives for $m = 1 \dots M$ and $n = 1 \dots N$ (interior nodes),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \psi_{ij} F\left[(m, n), (i, j)\right] = -\sum_{\substack{Boundary\\Nodes Only\\for (i, j)}} \sum_{\substack{\psi_{ij} F\left[(m, n), (i, j)\right]}} \psi_{ij} F\left[(m, n), (i, j)\right].$$

By renumbering the nodes using a single index for the unknown nodal values, k = N(i-1) + j and l = N(m-1) + n and,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M \bullet, N} F(l, k) \psi_k = -\sum_{k'} F(l, k') \psi_{k'}.$$

The functional F(l, k) = 0 if nodes l and k are not both associated with at least one common triangular element. Therefore, $\nabla u_l \cdot \nabla u_k$ and $u_l u_k$ will be zero except in triangles where l and k both appear as nodes. For the example mesh structure of Figure 3 on page 11, this produces a banded matrix, F. This sparse matrix can be easily displayed by first defining column vectors of the unknown nodal values in the mesh

$$\overline{\Psi}_{l} = [\psi_{l,1}, \psi_{l,2}, ..., \psi_{l,N}]^{T}.$$

The F - matrix elements F[(m, n), (i, j)] are zero unless the (m,n) node shares at least one element with the (i,j) node. Thus, the node values in $\overline{\psi}_i$ will be coupled only to $\overline{\psi}_{i-1}, \overline{\psi}_{i-1}$ and any associated boundary nodes. This is written as,

$$[A_i]\overline{\Psi}_{l-1} + [B_i]\overline{\Psi}_l + [C_i]\overline{\Psi}_{l+1} = -[P_i]\overline{\Psi}_{B_i}$$

where A_i , B_i and C_i are $N \times N$ complex arrays and P_i is a $N \times N_{B_i}$ array where N_{B_i} is the number of boundary nodes associated with the i-th column. This appears as,

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	$ \begin{array}{c} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ \Psi_3 \end{array} $	$\begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} \Psi_{B_1} \\ \Psi_{B_2} \\ \Psi_{B_3} \end{array}$
		•	-	•
0	$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{M-1} & B_{M-1} & C_{M-1} \\ A_M & B_M \end{array}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{M-1} \\ \Psi_M \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} P_{M-1} \\ P_M \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{B_{M-1}} \\ \Psi_{B_{M}} \end{bmatrix}$

If we denote $\overline{\psi}_0$ as the initial boundary values and $\overline{\psi}_{M+1}$ as the final boundary values then $\overline{\psi}_{B_j}$ becomes only the boundary conditions on the top and bottom at $j \neq 0$ and

N + 1. Note that the above system is tri-block in nature and has a large number of zero valued elements. The zero valued elements were omitted for clarity. Each element is actually a matrix and therefore it is evident how sparse this system is. Each of the A_i , B_i , C_i and P_i matrices are equally sparse, however, a global symmetry does not appear.

E. EVALUATION OF THE F - MATRIX CONTRIBUTIONS

Given an arbitrary element as shown in Figure 6, the potential, ψ , can be linearly approximated by [Ref. 5],

$$\psi(x, y) = (x, y, 1) \cdot [T] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^3 \psi_k u_k(x, y),$$



Figure 6. Mesh Element

where $\psi_k = \psi(x_k, y_k)$ is the nodal value at the k-th node, $[T] = 3 \times 3$ Transform Array and

 $u_k(x, y) =$ Linear basis functions for the k-th node

$$= (x, y, 1) \begin{bmatrix} T_{1, k} \\ T_{2, k} \\ T_{3, k} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= T_{1, k} x + T_{2, k} y + T_{3, k}.$$

Note that,

$$u_k(x_m, y_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ for } k = m \\ 0 & , \text{ for } k \neq m \end{cases}.$$

It can be shown that,

$$[T] = \frac{1}{2A} \begin{bmatrix} (y_2 - y_3) & (y_3 - y_1) & (y_1 - y_2) \\ (x_3 - x_2) & (x_1 - x_3) & (x_2 - x_1) \\ (x_2y_3 - x_3y_2) & (x_3y_1 - x_1y_3) & (x_1y_2 - x_2y_1) \end{bmatrix}$$

where |A| = triangle area, and

$$2A = \det \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & 1 \\ x_3 & y_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$2A = (x_2y_3 + x_3y_1 + x_1y_2) - (x_3y_2 + x_1y_3 + x_2y_1)$$

Furthermore, the linear basis functions, $u_k(x, y)$, can be interpreted as relative areas of the triangle shown in Figure 7 on page 18.



Figure 7. Basis Functions Interpretation

$$A = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$$

is constant as (x, y) varies and,

$$u_k(x, y) = \frac{A_k(x, y)}{A}$$

Within a given triangular element, the evaluation for k = 1, 2, 3 and l = 1, 2, 3 in the element is of interest and,

$$\iint_{\substack{\text{inside}\\ \text{triangle}}} (\alpha_q \nabla u_l \bullet \nabla u_k - \beta_q u_l u_k) \, dx \, dy.$$

The assumption is made that α and β will be approximated as constants within the triangle. These material constants can, however, vary from element to element. Taking the gradient terms first,

$$\nabla u_{k} = T_{1,k} \hat{x} + T_{2,k} \hat{y}$$
$$\nabla u_{l} \cdot \nabla u_{k} = (T_{1,k} \cdot T_{1,l} + T_{2,k} \cdot T_{2,l}).$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\text{triangle}} \int \alpha_q \nabla u_l \cdot \nabla u_k dx dy$$
$$= \alpha_q (T_{1,k} T_{1,l} + T_{2,k} T_{2,l}) |A_q|,$$

where A_q is the area of the q^{th} element. Next it can be derived that,

$$\iint_{\text{triangle}} u_l u_k dx dy = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{12} |A| &, \text{ for } k \neq l \\ \frac{1}{6} |A| &, \text{ for } k = l \end{cases}.$$

More generally, with each u_i raised to an integer power, n_i ,

$$\iint_{\text{triangle}} u_1^{n_1} u_2^{n_2} u_3^{n_3} dx dy = 2 |A| \frac{n_1! n_2! n_3!}{(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + 2)!}$$

The final result is,

$$F_{q}(l, k) = \iint_{\text{triangle}} (\alpha \nabla u_{l} \cdot \nabla u_{k} - \beta u_{l}u_{k}) dxdy$$

$$= |A| \left\{ \alpha (T_{1, k} T_{1, l} + T_{2, k} T_{2, l}) - \frac{1}{12} \beta \right\}, \quad k \neq l$$

$$= |A| \left\{ \alpha (T_{1, k}^{2} + T_{2, k}^{2}) - \frac{1}{6} \beta \right\}, \quad k = l.$$

F. GREEN'S FUNCTION CONTOUR INTEGRAL

The scattered fields, ψ , from an arbitrary object in a vacuum satisfying Helmholtz's equation (see Figure 8 on page 20),

$$\nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0,$$

are [Ref. 6],



Figure 8. Green's Function Integration

where the Green's function is,

$$G(\bar{r} | \bar{r}') = \frac{j}{4} H_0^{(2)}(k_0 | \bar{r} - \bar{r}' |)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} = \hat{n} \cdot \overline{\nabla \psi}$$
 on the contour

and

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial n} = \hat{n} \cdot \hat{r} \frac{jk_0}{4} H_1^{(2)}(k_0 | \bar{r} - \bar{r}' |).$$

The Hankel functions of the second kind of order zero and one, $H_0^{(2)}$ and $H_1^{(2)}$, will present a problem for the numeric integration discussed in Chapter V. The imaginary portion of these functions rapidly approaches negative infinity as the argument approaches zero. The $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}$ is obtained by a finite difference method using the field boundary conditions on surface B (boundary conditions) and the calculated field conditions on surface P (object perimeter). This results in,

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} \approx \frac{\psi_{boundary} - \psi_{perimeter}}{\text{offset distance}}$$

It will be shown that to maximize the accuracy of the Green's function the offset distance should be made as large as possible. This, however, causes the $\frac{\hat{c}\psi}{\hat{c}n}$ to be inaccurate. Thus, an optimal condition must be found that maximizes the accuracy of the entire numeric integration. Such a condition does not maximize the accuracy of any one of the contributing parts to the Green's function integrand.

G. FAR-FIELD EVALUATION

When the Green's function integral discussed above is used for far field calculations several simplifying relationships develop. These simplifications require a less demanding numerical integration. To be in the far field region three conditions must exist,

$$|\bar{r}| > D$$
, $|\bar{r}| > \lambda_0$ and $|\bar{r}| > \frac{2D^2}{\lambda_0}$,

where λ_0 is the free space wavelength and D is the maximum dimension of the object. [Ref. 7] As x approaches infinity,

$$H_0^{(2)}(x) \to \sqrt{\frac{2j}{\pi x}} e^{-jx}$$

and

$$H_1^{(2)}(x) \to \sqrt{\frac{2j}{\pi x}} j e^{-jx}.$$

This requires,

$$G(\bar{r} \mid \bar{r}') = \frac{j}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2j}{\pi k_0 R}} e^{-jk_0 R}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial n} = -\hat{n} \cdot \hat{R} \frac{k_0}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2j}{\pi k_0 R}} e^{-jk_0 R}.$$

In the far field $\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}} \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{1}{r}}$ and $\hat{n} \cdot \hat{R} \rightarrow \hat{n} \cdot \hat{r}$. Thus, $e^{-jk_0R} \rightarrow e^{-jk_0r} \cdot e^{jk_0\hat{r}} \cdot \hat{r}^r$.

Therefore,

$$G(\bar{r} \mid \bar{r}') = \frac{j}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2j}{\pi k_0 r}} e^{-jk_0 r} e^{jk_0 r' \cos\theta}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial n}\left(\vec{r}\mid\vec{r}'\right)=-\frac{k_0}{4}\sqrt{\frac{2j}{\pi k_0r}}\,e^{-jk_0r}(\hat{n}\cdot\hat{r})e^{jk_0r'\cos\theta}.$$

With these new definitions substituted into the original Green's function integral equation,

$$\psi_{scattered}(\bar{r}) = \sqrt{\frac{j}{8\pi k_0 r}} e^{-jk_0 r} \int_C \left[j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} + k_0 \hat{n} \cdot \hat{r} \psi(\bar{r}') \right] e^{jk_0 r' \cos \theta} dc'.$$

Note that this equation is partitioned into a distance dependent term and a theta depend term. The theta dependent term may be defined as

$$I = \int_C \left[j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} + k_0 \hat{n} \cdot \hat{r} \psi(\bar{r}') \right] e^{jk_0 r' \cos \theta} dc'.$$

The two dimensional bistatic radar cross section (RCS) per unit length of the cylindrical structure may now be defined as,

$$RCS = \sigma(\phi^{s}, \phi^{i}) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{2\pi r P^{s}}{P^{inc}} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{2\pi r |\psi^{s}|^{2}}{|\psi^{i}|^{2}}$$

$$\sigma = \lim_{r \to \infty} 2\pi r \frac{I^2}{8\pi hr} = \frac{|I|^2}{4k_0},$$

where the wavenumber, $k_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_0}$ and the incident field is assumed to be of unit magnitude, $|\psi'| = 1.0$.

III. MESH GENERATION

A. INTRODUCTION

A display or plot of the computer generated mesh structure is not required for the problem solution, however, it provides an immediate visual confirmation that the intended problem geometry has been entered correctly into the computer. A large amount of initialization data is required for even the most rudimentary problem. For this reason, the input data is provided to the mesh generation program via a data file. Modifications are possible at a later time with minimum effort.

B. INPUT DATA

The input data file is called INPUT.DAT and contains 25 input fields. Of these fields, 14 relate directly to the generation or display of the mesh structure. Only the object surface coordinates require adherence to a specific format. All other data need only be of the correct type (i.e., character, integer or real). A brief description of each field is provided below.

- Field I is a label of no more than 12 characters. This label is for the plot and input data file.
- Field 2 is a character flag that specifies the input coordinate system. If set to "R" or "r", the rectangular system is used. If set to "P" or "p", the polar system is used. If a "P", "p", "R" or "r" is not detected, an error is returned to the display.
- Field 3 is a character flag that if set to "I" or "i" will cause several intermediate values to be stored to disk during the Finite Element Boundary Value (FEBV) program execution. This option was used during the debug process.
- Field 4 is a character flag that if set to "D" or "d" will create a DISSPLA FORTRAN program capable of replicating the input object, in wavenumber normalized coordinates, on mainframe computers having a DISSPLA graphics package. DISSPLA is a subroutine-based language. The generated program, called DISSPLA.FOR, is a compilation of four subroutines calls per element. This file can get very large for dense mesh structures.
- Field 5 is a character flag that if set to "U" or "u" will cause a uniform material to be assumed. In the uniform case, no material interface exists. This option was only used to verify the Finite Element solution accuracy.
- Field 6 is a character flag that if set to "M" or "m" will cause only the mesh to be generated. This is very useful when first starting a problem and the optimal mesh structure has not been determined.
- Field 7 is a real number specifying the desired mesh resolution in wavelengths. The mesh resolution determines the dimension of the mesh elements.

- Field 8 is a real number specifying the distance, in wavelengths, between the object perimeter and the offset boundary contour.
- Field 9 is a real number that specifies a multiplicative scaling factor for the numerical integration stepping function discussed in Chapter V.
- Field 10 is a bias term that can be used to shift the numerical integration stepping function. This term is used for distances less than 1.0.
- Field 11 is a bias term that can be used to shift the numerical integration stepping function. This term is used for distances greater than 1.0.
- Field 12 is a real number specifying the maximum distance beyond which no further contribution to the Green's Function Integral is made. If this feature is not desired, this term should be made larger than the objects maximum dimension plus twice the offset distance.
- Field 13 is an integer specifying the number of input data points.
- Field 14 is an integer specifying the angular resolution, in degrees, desired for the final radar cross section calculation.
- Field 15 is an integer specifying the mesh generation technique.
- Field 16 is an integer specifying the perimeter node from which the bisection segment originates. This node is called the "start node".
- Field 17 is an integer specifying the perimeter node on which the bisection segment terminates. This node is called the "stop node".
- Field 18 is a pair of real numbers (on two lines) specifying the x and y coordinates by which the object will be displaced.
- Field 19 is a real number specifying, in wavelengths, the desired distance between the origin and the first input data point. Fields 18 and 19 when used together, allow an object to be placed at any position and scaled to any size.
- Field 20 is a pair of real numbers (on two lines) specifying the real and imaginary parts of $\frac{1}{\mu_{e}}$ for the TM case or $\frac{1}{\epsilon_{e}}$ for the TE case.
- Field 21 is a pair of real numbers (on two lines) specifying the real and imaginary parts of ε , for the TM case or μ , for the TE case.
- Field 22 is a character flag that if set to "P" or "p" enables a plane wave generator. The plane wave is propagating down the y axis, and generates an $E_0 e^{\mu}$ condition on the offset boundary contour. If the flag is set to "C" or "c", a cylindrical mode generator is enabled. This generates an $E_0 \cos n\phi$ condition on the offset boundary contour. If a "P", "p", "C" or "c" is not detected, then manually input boundary conditions must follow, and fields 23 and 24 are not used.
- Field 23 is a real number specifying the wave amplitude.
- Field 24a is a real number specifying the wave frequency (in Hz).
- Field 24b (only for cylindrical case) is an integer specifying the mode number, n.
- Field 25 is the object perimeter data, in either polar or rectangular form.

An example of an input data file for a homogeneous circular cylinder is provided in Appendix A. The majority of the input data is echoed to the computer display and a system "pause" is initiated to allow for user inspection. The program may be aborted or continued at this time. The initial object dimensionalization has already occurred, and the number of unknowns and the maximum unknown width is displayed. These factors give an excellent indication of the expected run time for the FEBV routines. For example, a problem with 512 unknowns and a maximum unknown width of 31 took 806 seconds to execute while a run with 8 unknowns and a maximum unknown width of 3 took only 10 seconds to execute. These times are for a Intel 80386 based personal computer with an Intel 80287 co-processor chip calculating the fields for a circular cylinder. The FEBV routines are the next code block to execute after the pause is cleared.

C. MESH GENERATION PROGRAM

The mesh generation program consists of seven subroutines. These subroutines are an integral part of the finite element program and, therefore, were not separated. These routines are discussed below.

1. IO (Input/Output)

This subroutine reads the information contained in the INPUT.DAT file discussed earlier. A two dimensional object can be described in any number of ways, however, for simplicity, the polar and rectangular coordinate systems are used. In either case, the initial assumption is that all data points are referenced to a local origin. This local origin can be offset by any desired amount using field 18. This offset is independent of the entered data points or any size scaling provided by field 19. A plot label file, named TEXT.LBL, is created and the initial object perimeter (coded for a display in blue) is written to the output file, PLT.DAT. These data points describe the perimeter of the object. This will later prove helpful in determining the conformity of the generated mesh to the input perimeter. All subsequent screen writes are coded for a display in green. Two example objects are shown in Figure 9 on page 27. These objects will be used throughout this chapter. The circular cylinder was generated by a separate computer program. The "horseshoe" shaped object was manually input. Graph paper was used to determine the x and y coordinates of the 28 unequally spaced perimeter points.



Figure 9. Typical Objects

2. Rotate

This subroutine reorders the input data points to allow for any desired bisection segment start and/or stop node. This subroutine is only used if manual selection of the bisection segment start and/or stop nodes is requested.

3. Bound (Boundary)

This subroutine sub-divides the object perimeter based on the mesh resolution specified in Field 7. The mesh resolution is the approximate length, specified in wavelengths, that the user desires the perimeter to be divided into. The division of the perimeter is based on linear interpolation between input data points. A new perimeter node is placed at each of the sub-division points. Additional input data points are necessary in areas of rapid change to allow for a correct object perimeter representation. The object bisection extends from the "start node" to the "stop node". These nodes are user specified in Fields 16 and 17 and, in general, divide the object in half. The bisection should be arranged such that the object width, perpendicular to the bisection segment, is minimized. Thus, a long slender object should be oriented for a major axis bisection. Whether the major axis is oriented vertically or horizontally is of no importance. This is demonstrated in Figure 10 on page 28 (right side).


For more complex objects, the bisection is not a straight line, but rather a series of line segments that approximate a curve. The number of perimeter nodes on the left side of the bisection segment must equal the number of perimeter nodes on the right side of the bisection segment. The bisection segment also contains this same number of nodes. Thus, the program must adjust the requested mesh resolution to ensure proper nodal spacing. This allows for a piecewise continuous segment to cross the object.

4. Normal

This subroutine calculates the unit normals to the object perimeter at each newly established perimeter node. The normal is a perpendicular constructed to the chord connecting the two nodes adjacent to the node for which the calculation is occurring. This perpendicular originates at the current node and is of unit length. See Figure 11 on page 29.



Figure 11. Unit Normal Calculation

5. Nodset (Node Set)

This subroutine uses a two sweep technique to compute the number of nodes on each nodal row. The rows are labeled I = 1, 2, 3, ..., I maximum (IMX) consecutively from the top of the object to the bottom. Segments normal to the perimeter surface, calculated in NORMAL, connect the perimeter nodes to the offset boundary contour. Two such completed normal segments, one on each end, complete a nodal row. When all rows are complete, a second contour has been established that approximates the object's perimeter. This contour is displaced from the perimeter by the distance specified in Field 8. This contour provides the boundary conditions for the FEBV routines. The optimal selection of this offset distance will be a topic of Chapter IV.

With the object divided into rows, each row can be further divided into equally spaced nodes. Based on the requested resolution and whether the objects dimensions are expanding or contracting, the nodal spacing is adjusted to keep the elements approximately the same size. Two adjacent nodal rows form an elemental row. These rows are given the same label, I = 1, 2, 3, ..., IMX as the upper nodal row. The nodes on an elemental row are numbered consecutively, starting with the left-most node. This node is always an offset boundary contour node. When the upper nodal row is

numbered, the process is continued for the lower nodal row. An example of this element row numbering scheme is shown in Figure 12 on page 30.



Figure 12. Element Row Numbering Scheme

A mesh orientation attribute is set for the left and right portion of each element row. This attribute determines if the object has a clockwise or counter-clockwise orientation. See Figure 13 on page 31. Switching between the four available mesh orientations allows for a mesh that more accurately conforms to the input object perimeter without resulting in a disproportionate mesh structure.



Figure 13. Mesh Orientation Attributes

There is an additional requirement that the number of nodes on a given left or right half row must be only one more, equal to, or one less than the number of nodes on the adjacent left or right half row. Thus, a two sweep process is utilized. This process ensures that this requirement is met and that the first and last row have only two nodes. The second and second from last row (if present) must have three nodes. It is possible, as shown in Figure 14 on page 32, to generate a mesh that has only three rows. This object was input as a circular cylinder. It is evident that, due to a small number of elements, the generated mesh more accurately resembles a square. This will be a problem for calculating the scattered fields, however, the internal fields can be accurately approximated. In this special case, the second and second from last rows are the same. It will be shown, in Chapter V, that since this mesh does not closely approximate the input objects perimeter the resulting Green's function contour integrals and subsequent far field calculations have reduced accuracy.

The nodes of the nodal rows form the vertices of triangular elements. An element, as defined in Chapter II, has three vertices, each assigned a unique number (1, 2 or 3). The ordering of these vertices depends on the mesh orientation attribute. Each element also has an associated relative dielectric constant (ε ,) and relative permeability (μ ,).



ROW 3

Figure 14. Three Row Cylinder

6. Sorter

This subroutine generates a complete mesh row and all the element/node interconnection relationships. With the nodal structure in place, individual nodes can be assigned to individual elements within the global mesh structure. Each element in a given row is assigned a unique local element number starting with the left most element (relative to the bisection segment). See Figure 15.



Figure 15. Element Numbering Scheme

It is vital that a method of determining which nodes form the vertices of a given element and which elements have a vertex attached to a given local node. The nodes that are not part of the offset contour have unknown field values. A single node can be connected to as many as six elements, only four of which can be in the current row. This relationship is shown in Figure 16(a). This hexagonal arrangement of six elements is very common within the mesh. Along the bisection segment or where the mesh orientation attribute changes from one row to another, this pattern is disrupted. An example of an extreme case (the center of a circular cylinder) is shown in Figure 16(b). After all elements and nodes in an elemental row are assigned, an ordered sweep is conducted of that elemental row to determine which nodes are connected to which elements, which elements are connected to which nodes, and how many elements a single node is connected too. A complete row has now been generated. See Figure 17 on page 34.



Figure 16. Two Possible Element Intersections, (a) extreme, (b) normal

The information necessary to generate the rows and elements will be used again, in Chapter IV, to solve the (FEBV) problem.

7. Finder

This subroutine determines the x, y coordinates of each node. This data is also necessary to solve the FEBV program of Chapter IV and provides the plotting coordinates for the PLT.DAT file. This process is repeated for all rows. Thus, the entire object is generated as the compilation of elemental rows made of triangles. See Figure 18 on page 34.





Figure 18. Global Mesh Structure

A file is now available for display using a commercially available program called "CURVE-DIGITIZER". Any program that can accept x, y coordinate data will accomplish the same display process. Minor changes to the MESH program provided in Appendix B may be necessary since several "CURVE-DIGITIZER" plotting codes are embedded in the file generation code.

D. OPTIMIZATION OF THE MESH

For most objects, it is recommended that the MESH program be executed in the mesh generation only mode (Field 6 set to "M" or "m") prior to the execution of the total finite element program. This will ensure that the desired mesh structure is obtained prior to solving for the unknown field values. The MESH (generation only) program requires only a few seconds for even the most dense mesh structures. It is readily seen that as the mesh resolution increases, the number of rows increases linearly while the number of unknowns increases geometrically. Therefore, the mesh calculation times may not change appreciably when the mesh is made more dense, however, the calculation time for the finite element program will rise geometrically. For this and other reasons, the mesh density should be kept low. This will lead to a smaller number of unknowns and result in faster program execution times. Figure 19 on page 36 plots this relationship for a circular cylinder. The solution for these unknown field values will be a topic of Chapter IV.

Six different mesh generation methods are available to create mesh structures. Some of these methods were evolutionary in nature and provide limited practical benefit. Methods I and 6 are by far the most useful. Each method is discussed below.

Method 1 constructs the bisection segment by connecting the first input data point to the midpoint of the perimeter. This segment is divided into equal length segments each separated by a node. This method is useful for very simple objects such as the circular cylinder shown in Figure 20 on page 37. This circular cylinder will be used in the explanations of all mesh generation methods. These illustrations are not intended to optimize the mesh structure but rather allow for easy comparison of the 6 basic methods.

Method 2 constructs the bisection segment as described in method 1. The bisection segment nodes are, however, ordered differently. This segment is divided by connecting line segments from corresponding nodes on the left and right side perimeter. Where these line segments intersect the bisection segment, a node is placed. This leads to unequally spaced bisection segments. This can be seen in Figure 21 on page 37.



Figure 19. Unknowns and Number of Rows Versus Mesh Resolution

Method 3 modifies method 1 by allowing the user to specify, using Field 17, the stop node for the bisection segment. This method can be useful to rapidly adjust around slightly irregular objects. This can be seen in Figure 22 on page 38.

Method 4 combines methods 2 and 3 by using the connected line segment technique of method 2 to determine the node positions on the bisection segment, the stop node of which is specified as in method 3. This can be seen in Figure 23 on page 39.

Method 5 improves upon method 4 by repositioning the unequally spaced bisection nodes. Linearly interpolated positions for the nodes leads to equal spacing. This method reduces the node "bunching" that frequently occurs with methods 3 and 4. This can be seen in Figure 24 on page 40.



Figure 20. Method 1 Mesh Structure Example



Figure 21. Method 2 Mesh Structure Example



Figure 22. Method 3 Mesh Structure Example

Method 6 is the final improvement in which method 5 was modified to allow for a user-specified start node. This provides the user with the ability to start and stop the bisection segment at any input node without having to rearrange the input data. Since method 6 contains all of the capabilities of the other five methods, it is almost exclusively used for mesh generation. This can be seen in Figure 25 on page 40. There are situations that could be best served by one of the other methods. For example, a circular cylinder and other simple symmetric objects can be represented using method 1. Method 2 would work well with a square or diamond shape. These objects would be bisected by a diagonal. Method 3 would be most suited for a symmetric object with a planar material interface. The more dense mesh would be used for the higher permittivity material. Method 4 would work well with a rhombus or other slightly asymmetric object. Method 5 is almost as versatile as method 6, and would work well in any situation where the start node is fixed. A great deal of planning is not needed in designing most mesh structures since they are calculated and displayed in a matter of seconds.



Figure 23. Method 4 Mesh Structure Example

Iterative selection of different mesh generation parameters has proven to be the best technique. A summary of all mesh generation capabilities is provided in Table 1.

Appendix C contains a program called READ.FOR. This program takes the output data file from the "Curve-Digitizer" CAD program, called FINALDWG.DAT, and after receiving the answers to several prompted questions, creates a new INPUT.DAT file. Typically, the CAD program is used to generate the perimeter of the object. This may be as a series of points, line segments or a combination of the two. The answers to the prompted questions provide the additional information needed to fill the remaining data fields. This is intended to be a first step towards allowing a user to specify or design an object and then be able to calculate the scattered fields from this object. Although it is far from efficient, it does serve a definite purpose. Further refinement of this program will allow for an easier user interface. This should enable technically trained personnel, without a detailed understanding of these programs, to benefit from the Field Feedback Formulation.



Figure 24. Method 5 Mesh Structure Example



Figure 25. Method 6 Mesh Structure Example

Method Number	Straight Line Bisection	Straight Line From Left to Right Side	User Se- lected Stop Node	Equally Spaced Bisection Nodes	User Se- lected Start Node
1	X			X	
2		X			
3	X		X		
4		X	X		
5			X	X	
6			X	X	X

Table 1. SUMMARY OF MESH GENERATION CAPABILITIES

IV. FINITE ELEMENT BOUNDARY VALUE PROGRAM

A. INTRODUCTION

The Finite Element Boundary Value (FEBV) program is the feed forward (U) operator in the Field Feedback Formulation (F^3) as shown in Figure 2 on page 4. This program solves the Helmholtz equation, as discussed in Chapter II, for the Dirichlet boundary condition specified in the input data file, as discussed in Chapter III. These boundary conditions are imposed on the offset boundary contour. The purpose of this program is to find the unknown field values inside the offset boundary contour within the input object. Since the ultimate goal is to obtain the scattered far fields from the object, the unknown field values on the perimeter are of primary interest. It will also be necessary to approximate the normal derivative of the field at the object perimeter. As derived in Chapter II, the goal of this program is to solve,

$$[A_i]\Psi_{l-1} + [B_i]\Psi_i + [C_i]\Psi_{l+1} = - [P_i]\Psi_i.$$

The A matrix represents the effect that the I - 1 row has on the Ith row values. Similarly, the B matrix represents the effect that the I-th row has on itself, while the C matrix represents the effect that the I + 1 row has on the I-th row. All other rows do not effect the I-th row. This is due to the pyramidal basis functions, discussed in Chapter II, that all have zero value when a node is not directly connected to another node. The P matrix represents the combined effects of the boundary nodes on the I-th row. These values are transposed to the right side of the equality to form the system forcing function. It is worth remembering that for the I = 1 row, the A matrix = 0 and that for the I =IMX row, the C matrix = 0. Thus, using the row by row stepping process, first discussed in Chapter III of the mesh generation process, the A, B, C and P matrices can be filled. There is an A, B, C and P matrix for each row, and it is necessary to calculate the functionals for two elemental rows to fill one set of matrices. It is, therefore, obvious that the data is used twice, once for the current row and again for the next row. Specifically, the functionals necessary to complete the B matrix and totally fill the C matrix is used again to totally fill the next row's A matrix and partially fill the B matrix. Thus, for a row I - 1, (such that I - $1 \neq 1$), all of the A matrix and part of the B and P matrices are filled. When the row is stepped to I, the B and P matrices are completed and all of the C matrix is filled. The A, B, C and P matrices represent tri-block matrices within a

much larger global matrix. This row of tri-block matrices in the global matrix can be partially solved using the forward portion of the Ricatti transform. The Ricatti transform is a numerical technique that optimizes the solution for banded (tri-block) systems of linear equations. The equations are,

$$R_{i+1} = -(B_i + A_i R_i)^{-1} C_i$$

and

$$S_{i+1} = (B_i = (B_i + A_i R_i)^{-1} \cdot (P - A_i S_i)$$

where R_{i+1} is the $i^{in} + 1$ R matrix and the S_{i+1} is the $i^{in} + 1$ S vector. Note that the next rows R matrix and S vector depends on the previous rows R matrix and S vector. During the forward step (MARCH subroutine) an R matrix and S vector is generated for each row. When all rows have been calculated, a back sweep computes the unknown field values, ψ_{ij} . The equation is

$$\psi_{i-1} = R_i \psi_i + S_i.$$

This back sweep must read the RS data from the disk backwards. This is a storage intensive process for all but the most trivial problem. The field values ψ_{i-1} is first found by remembering that the last row (I = IMX), $C_{IMX} = 0$. With $C_{IMX} = 0$, $R_{IMX-1} = 0$ and $\psi_{IMX} = S_{IMX-1}$, which is the last calculated S vector. The recursion continues until all of the ψ_i 's have been calculated.

B. FINITE ELEMENT BOUNDARY VALUE PROGRAM

The eight subroutines comprising the FEBV program are discussed below.

1. Zero

This subroutine fills all the array positions of the A, B, C and P matrices with 0 + j0. This zeroing is necessary after all calculations concerning a given row are completed.

2. Varint (Variational Integration)

This subroutine calculates the complex functionals for a given input element. The functionals reflect the effect that each node has on the three nodes associated with an element. The subroutine must be provided with the X, Y coordinates of the element nodes, and the material parameters ε_r , and μ_r . These functionals are returned in a 3 x 3 complex matrix. The area of the input element is also provided as a by-product of this numerical integration. The areas of each element are sorted and used to find the largest and smallest elements. Once found, the largest and smallest areas are combined to form the area ratio, which is defined as,

area ratio = $\frac{\text{maximum area}}{\text{minimum area}}$.

This ratio should be kept as small as possible. For a circular cylinder, values slightly less than 2.0 are possible. For more complicated objects, the ratio can get considerably larger. A ratio ≥ 2.5 causes a screen message of "YOU SHOULD CONSIDER ABORTING THIS RUN AND LOOKING AT THE MESH IN CURVE DIGITIZER. A BETTER METHOD MAY BE AVAILABLE". It may or may not be possible to obtain an area ratio < 2.5. The intention of the area ratio is to insure that a uniform mesh is constructed prior to attempting a problem solution. Smaller area ratios are indicative of mesh structures that do not have grossly different element sizes. This will lead to more accurate finite element solution.

3. Fill

This subroutine calculates and stores all of the functionals for an entire elemental row. This is accomplished by repeated VARINT calls for each element that comprises an elemental row.

4. BNDC (Boundary Condition)

This subroutine calculates and stores the boundary conditions desired for the offset boundary contour. These conditions can be plane wave, cylindrical modes or input manually. For plane wave conditions, the percent error of the FEBV program will also be returned. This calculation only has meaning for the uniform case (Field 5 set to "U" or "u"). Memory limitations do not allow this feature for the cylindrical mode boundary conditions for modes other than zero and one. A separate routine could be made available for offline percent error calculations. No such feature is provided if the boundary conditions are manually input. Any desired boundary condition may be generated by modifying or appending the proper code to this subroutine.

5. Loader

This subroutine loads the A, B, C and P matrices for a given row. For all but the I = 1 and IMX rows, two calls of FILL/VARINT for two elemental rows of data are required to fill the A, B, C and P matrices. This is an additive process that starts after the ZERO subroutine initializes the matrices. Successive functionals are added to the existing data in the proper array positions.

6. March

This subroutine performs the forward portion of the Riccati transform. The output data, called RS.DAT (R matrix and S vector), are stored on disk.

7. CSMINV (Complex Square Matrix Inversion)

This subroutine accomplishes the complex matrix inversion required by the forward Riccati transform. A maximum dimension of 50×50 was established to limit memory utilization. This allows for a maximum unknown width of 50.

8. Sweep

All of the above subroutines are called at least once for each row. The sweep routine is called only after all of the row calculations are completed, and then only once. This subroutine conducts the Riccati back sweep by reading the RS data generated by the MARCH subroutine. This data is read off the disk backwards, using the FORTRAN "backspace" command. The returned field values are actually individual contributions due to a unit valued basis function being individually applied to each of the boundary nodes. In so doing, the problem need only be solved once. After the data is stored, in matrix form as the U.DAT file, the boundary value problem may be solved for any incident field by multiplying the U matrix by the new incident fields. Thus,

$$\psi_{perimeser} = [U] \cdot \psi_{incidens}$$

A summary of the input, output and error data is provided in the OUTPUT.DAT file.

9. Save

This subroutine was necessary to allow for reasonably sized problems. Since all of the Field Feedback Formulation code could not fit into 640 kilobytes of memory, the program was divided into two parts. All of the data necessary to perform the programs is saved in the F3.DAT file. This data is then read by the field feedback program. This technique, though very inefficient, circumvents the 640 kilobyte memory limitation imposed by the IBM Disk Operating System (DOS). Efforts to convert this code to run under a compiler that does not have a 640 kilobyte memory limitation, such as Microway NDP FORTRAN compiler, will be pursued at a later date.

C. VARINT VALIDATION

The first step in the program validation required an understanding of the error convergence of the variational elements as a function of element size, d and material properties, ε , and μ ,. The test program provided in Appendix D varies the element size, in wavelengths, for a test mesh structure. This test structure shown in Figure 26 on

page 46 has only one unknown at the center. The mesh is made of four adjacent elements. These elements are inside a uniform material having properties, ε , and μ_r . The other four nodes are established as boundary nodes.



Figure 26. Test Mesh Structure

A plane wave, of user specified frequency and amplitude, is used to determine the boundary conditions on the four boundary nodes. This plane wave is propagating down the vertically oriented axis. The unknown nodal value is calculated and compared to the actual plane wave value (1 + j0). A percent error is calculated as the dimension of the elements, d are reduced. As expected, the solution became more accurate as the elements become smaller. A plot of the results of this test program is provided in Figure 27 on page 47. This data was generated with $\epsilon_r = 1 + j0$, and $\mu_r = 1 + j0$.



Figure 27. Solution Error for a Test Mesh Structure

The region of interest is where the error approaches zero. An expanded plot of this region is provided in Figure 28 on page 48. The accuracy of the solutions were desired within 1 percent of the exact value. This requires an element that is $\leq \frac{\lambda}{17}$, in the material. To ensure the desired error was achieved, elements were usually scaled to be $\leq \frac{\lambda}{20}$, in the material. The phase of the plane wave was also varied to determine the effect on convergence. No significant effect was noted.



Figure 28. Solution Error for a Test Mesh Structure (Expanded)

D. FINITE ELEMENT BOUNDARY VALUE PROGRAM VALIDATION

The next validation step required an actual object to calculate the fields in and on. The simplest object was actually not an object at all, but rather an imaginary circular cylinder in free space. A plane wave was propagated through this free space. Since no material interface existed, the exact solution would be known for all positions. The plane wave established the boundary conditions on the offset contour. Trial runs were conducted varying the mesh resolution and boundary offset contour distance. With the error defined as,

error =
$$\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(\text{calculated value} - \text{actual value})^2}{\Sigma(\text{actual value})^2}}$$

two errors were defined. The perimeter error only considers the perimeter nodes in the error calculation. The bisection segment error only considers the bisection segment nodes, with the exception of the two end nodes, in the error calculation. The end nodes

of the bisection segment are part of the perimeter and are, therefore, not considered. As expected, the perimeter error could be rapidly reduced by decreasing the offset distance. This is due to the fact that the node or nodes closest to an unknown node dominate the field contributions at this node. Again, a goal of < 1% error was desired. The reduced offset distance had a very small effect on the bisection segment error. The only way to significantly reduce this error was to increase the mesh resolution. An increased mesh resolution reduced both errors. Figure 29 shows the perimeter error as a function of the number of bisection segment nodes.



Figure 29. Perimeter Error

The three curves are for offset distances of 0.05, 0.025 and 0.01 λ . Figure 30 on page 50 shows the bisection segment error as a function of the number of bisection segment nodes. The two curves are for offset distances of 0.05 and 0.025 λ_0 . For offset distances less than 0.025 λ_0 , the curves are almost identical to the 0.025 λ_0 curve. These curves are omitted for clarity.



Figure 30. Bisection Segment Error

The calculated and exact field values for a 0.5 λ_0 diameter circular cylinder, with a 0.05 λ_0 mesh resolution and offset distance and $\varepsilon_r = 1 + j0$ is shown in Figure 31 on page 51. The exact fields values for the real and imaginary portion of the plane wave are shown as squares and diamonds, respectively. The solid curves are the calculated field values. The perimeter and bisection errors were 0.74 and 1.69 percent, respectively. Figure 32 on page 52 shows the effects of not properly adjusting the mesh resolution and offset distance when the material is changed. In this case, the permittivity was changed to $\varepsilon_r = 4 + j0$. This caused the perimeter and bisection segment errors to increase to 18.2 and 41.1 percent, respectively. The mesh resolution and offset distance were reduced to $0.021\lambda_0$ and the permittivity was changed to $\varepsilon_r = 4 - j4$. The results are shown in Figure 33 on page 53. This proper selection of mesh resolution and offset distance reduced the perimeter error and bisection segment error to 0.44 and 0.56 percent, respectively. Note that in the lossy material case the two errors are very close in

magnitude. This is due to the way that the error is defined. This definition, in a lossy material, overemphasizes the objects leading edge.



Figure 31. 0.5 λ cylinder, $\varepsilon_{1} = 1 + j0$

This portion of the object (as well as the trailing edge) is the most accurate for the bisection segment calculations. This is because of the relative closeness of these nodes to the perimeter. For the lossless material, the bisection segment error is typically two to three times the perimeter error, for the same number of bisection nodes. The conclusion that the offset distance should be made as small as possible in order to minimize the perimeter error is correct, but will prove to be counterproductive in the long run. There is a competing effect that will require this contour offset distance to be as large as possible. This effect, associated with the accuracy of the Green's function contour integral, will be discussed in Chapter V.



Figure 32. 0.5 λ cylinder, $\varepsilon_r = 4 + j0$



Figure 33. 0.5 λ cylinder, $\varepsilon_r = 4 - j4$

E. INHOMOGENEITY

Until this point, all testing was done in circular homogeneous dielectric materials. It was, at a minimum, desirable to place the object in a vacuum to calculate the scattered fields. This requires the first two and last two elements of each row to have $\varepsilon_r = 1 + j0$ and $\mu_r = 1 + j0$. These elements represent the space between the objects perimeter and the offset boundary contour. Since the plane wave solution is no longer valid for this geometry, a new problem with a known solution was needed.

Given a homogeneous dielectric circular cylinder of radius a and permittivity ε , , as shown in Figure 34 on page 54, it can be shown that [Ref. 8],

$$\psi_n(R,\phi) = A_n J_n(k_r R) \cos n\phi,$$



Figure 34. Cylindrical Mode Geometry

where,

 $\psi_n(R,\phi)$ is the field value inside the dielectric material.

$$A_n = \frac{-j2D_n}{\pi a \nabla_n}$$

$$\nabla_{n} = \frac{J_{N}(R_{b})[J_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})H_{n}^{(2)'}(R_{a}) - k_{r}J'_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})H_{n}^{(2)}(R_{a})] - H_{n}^{(2)}(R_{b})[J_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})J'_{n}(R_{a}) - k_{r}J'_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})J_{n}(R_{a})]$$

 J_n = Bessel Function of the 1st kind of order n

and

$$k_r = \sqrt{\varepsilon_r} \, .$$

An additional formulation is required for the fields outside of the cylinder. A cylindrical wave (mode, n = 1) was applied to a homogeneous dielectric cylinder. The exact and finite element field values were calculated and compared. Figure 35 on page 55, shows a typical result. Mesh resolution, offset distance and material permittivity were varied to determine convergence. The results were similar to the dielectric homogeneous plane wave case discussed earlier.



Figure 35. Typical Cylindrical Mode Boundary Value Problem Result

F. FINITE ELEMENT CONCLUSIONS

High accuracy solutions can be obtained by maintaining a mesh resolution of $<\frac{\lambda}{20}$ in the material. The offset distance should be kept at approximately the same magnitude as the mesh resolution, but may be as large as $\frac{\lambda_0}{20}$. Increased accuracy requires an increased mesh resolution. This increase in accuracy is at the expense of increased processor time.

V. FIELD FEEDBACK PROGRAM

A. INTRODUCTION

The Field Feedback Program is the feedback (T) operator in the F^3 . This program utilizes the output of the finite element program and the input incident fields to evaluate a "near field" Green's function integral. This integral is called "near field" in that the integral will be performed within $\frac{\lambda_0}{20}$ of the object perimeter. As seen in Figure 36, three surface contours are defined. The boundary contour is where the incident field boundary condition is applied.



Figure 36. Contour Arrangement

The perimeter contour is where the finite element program solves for the field values on the object perimeter. The geometric contour is midway between the boundary and the perimeter and is the contour over which the Green's function contour integral is performed. This is necessary to allow the $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}$ to be approximated by a finite difference technique. The ψ_{gc} is the average of the field values on the boundary and perimeter. Thus,

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} = \frac{(\psi_{boundary} - \psi_{perimeter})}{\partial n}$$

and

$$\psi_{GC} = \frac{(\psi_{perimeter} + \psi_{boundary})}{2}$$

The Field Feedback program is provided as Appendix E.

B. FIELD FEEDBACK PROGRAM

1. Input

This subroutine reads the finite element data stored in the F3.DAT file by the SAVE subroutine. All necessary nodal X, Y coordinates are calculated.

2. TMAT (T Matrix)

This subroutine loads a complex matrix called TMAT. Each element of the T matrix is the result of a Green's function contour integral. This integral is conducted on the GC contour. The m-th column of the T matrix is evaluated with a single unit valued basis function on the m-th boundary node. The equation,

$$T_{n,m} = \int_{GC} \left[G \frac{\partial \psi_{\hat{n}}}{\partial n} - \psi_n \frac{\partial G}{\partial n} \right] dGC,$$

may now be numerically evaluated at each of the n boundary nodes. This process is repeated for each row until the entire matrix is filled.

The numeric integration uses a rectangular mid-point approximation technique. For this linearized problem, this is equivalent to a trapezoidal integral technique. The integral path between any given two nodes is subdivided based on the distance to the first point. This subdivision maybe controlled by three input variable fields. A multiplicative scale factor and offset terms are available. To date, the utilization of the scale factor (set > 1.0) and the offset terms (set > 0) have not proved necessary. This may be necessary for more complicated geometric structures.

3. CNSOLV

This subroutine solves the equation,

$$C_n = \left[I - T_{matrix}\right]^{-1} \cdot \psi_{boundary},$$

where C_n is the total scattered fields on the boundary, I is the identity matrix and $\psi_{boundary}$ is the initially specified incident fields.

4. FFLD (Far Fields)

This subroutine calculates the scattered far field or bistatic radar cross section per unit length of the object. Any desired integer angular resolution for the calculation may be specified. The final results are stored in the FFPAT.DAT file.

C. FIELD FEEDBACK VALIDATION

Given a plane wave boundary conditions imposed on an imaginary cylinder in free space, a Green's function contour integral may be performed around the cylinder. This cylinder is imaginary in the sense that it does not actually exist. The cylinder is actually an artificial boundary that does not form a material interface. For points inside this cylinder, the resulting integral should equal the negative of the actual plane wave value at that point in freespace. For points outside the cylinder, the resulting integral should equal the negative of the actual plane wave value at that point in freespace. For points outside the cylinder, the resulting integral should equal zero. Several test conditions were investigated to ensure that the numerical integration of the Green's function did arrive at the expected values. Maximum absolute errors for these tests were less than 7×10^{-7} . These test cases started with exact values of the field, ψ and the normal derivative, $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}$. After initial validation, finite element calculated ψ and $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}$ values were used. Errors increased by approximately a factor of 10. Numerous competing effects were observed with two dominant effects becoming evident.

1. Small Object Phenomenon

For very small objects, where only a few elements are needed to meet the $\frac{\lambda}{20}$ mesh resolution requirement, the normal derivative accuracy is crucial. Since the normal derivative is the calculated normal to the piecewise linear approximation of the objects perimeter, this fit is usually not adequate. To prevent this problem, additional perimeter/boundary nodes are needed. This is easily accomplished by increasing the mesh density, which is controlled by the mesh resolution (input field 7). For these very small objects, the RCS is almost uniform for the TM case and co-sinusoidal for the TE case. The utility of this calculation must, therefore, be questioned.

2. Offset Distance Phenomenon

The offset distance must not be made too small or the accuracy of the Green's function integral will suffer. For a circular cylinder, the optimal offset was between 0.03 λ and 0.035 λ . Note that these distances are always $< \frac{\lambda_0}{20}$.

VI. VALIDATION

A. INTRODUCTION

The difficulty in the total Field Feedback Formulation (F^3) program validation is in finding problems that have well established or accepted solutions. The total F^3 program is the combination of the mesh generation/finite element program and the field feedback program. If possible, a problem that has a closed form analytical solution is desired.

B. HOMOGENEOUS CIRCULAR CYLINDRICAL SCATTERING

This is the first test case for the F^3 program. A penetrable circular cylinder has a closed form analytic solution, so the final results could be verified against exact values. It can be shown that for the TM case (E - wave) the reflection coefficient is,

$$\Gamma_{n}^{TE} = \frac{\left[J_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})J'_{n}(R_{a}) - \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{r}}{\mu_{r}}}J'_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})J_{n}(R_{a})\right]}{\left[J_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})H_{n}^{(2)'}(R_{a}) - \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{r}}{\mu_{r}}}J'_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})H_{n}^{(2)}(R_{a})\right]}$$

and that for the TE case (H - wave) the refection coefficient is,

$$\Gamma_{n}^{\text{TM}} = \frac{\left[J_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})J'_{n}(R_{a}) - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{r}}{\varepsilon_{r}}}J'_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})J_{n}(R_{a})\right]}{\left[J_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})H_{n}^{(2)'}(R_{a}) - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{r}}{\varepsilon_{r}}}J'_{n}(k_{r}R_{a})H_{n}^{(2)}(R_{a})\right]}$$

where $k_r = \sqrt{\mu_r \varepsilon_r}$, $y_r = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_r}{\mu_r}}$ and $z_r = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_r}{\varepsilon_r}}$. [Ref. 9] The scattered field for the TM case is,

$$E_{Z}^{s}(R,\phi) = -E^{\text{incident}}\left[\Gamma_{0}H_{0}^{(2)}(R) + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}j^{-n}\Gamma_{n}H_{n}^{(2)}(R)\cos n\phi\right].$$

Similarly, the scattered field for the TE case is,

$$H_{Z}^{s}(R,\phi) = -H^{\text{incident}}\left[\Gamma_{0}H_{0}^{(2)}(R) + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}j^{-n}\Gamma_{n}H_{n}^{(2)}(R)\cos n\phi\right].$$

In the far field region, as R approaches infinity, the scattering width may be defined as,

$$\sigma(\phi) = 2\pi \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \rho \frac{|\overline{H}^s|^2}{|\overline{H}^{\text{incident}}|^2}$$

and

$$\sigma(\phi) = \frac{4}{k_0} |\Gamma_0 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n \cos n\phi|^2.$$

The source code, without the Bessel function routines, for these calculations is provided as Appendix F.

Three different radius dielectric cylinders were tested. Wavenumber normalized radii of 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 with $\varepsilon_r = 2.56 + j0$ were selected. The TE and TM results of the 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 radii problems are provided as Figure 37 on page 62, Figure 38 on page 63, and Figure 39 on page 64. To validate the Chapter VI conclusion that the unit normal was the cause of the errors for very small circular cylinders, the ε_r was increased from 2.56 to 25.6. This value still meets the requirement for mesh resolution not to exceed $\frac{\lambda}{20}$. The TE and TM results are provided as Figure 40 on page 65. Actual cross section values are indicated by the squares and the Field Feedback Formulation solutions are plotted as a single solid curve.

C. HOMOGENEOUS IRREGULAR OBJECTS

The results detailed in [Ref. 10] were next validated with the F^3 program. This object, as seen in Figure 41 on page 66, is a dielectric shell with inner radius = .25 λ_0 , outer radius = .30 λ_0 and $\varepsilon_r = 4 + j0$. The comparison of the [Ref. 10] data and the F^3 results are provided as Figure 42 on page 67.







Figure 38. Cylinder, TE and TM Case, $k_0a = 1.0$, $\varepsilon_r = 2.56$


Figure 39. Cylinder, TE and TM Case, $k_0a = 2.0$, $\varepsilon_r = 2.56$



Figure 40. Cylinder, TE and TM Case, $k_0a = 0.5$, $\varepsilon_r = 25.6$



Figure 41. Dielectric Shell Mesh

The TE case shows excellent agreement. The TM case tends to diverge at the smaller values of ϕ .

D. AN INHOMOGENEOUS OBJECT

The results detailed in [Ref. 11] were next validated with the F^3 program. This object, as seen in Figure 43 on page 68, is a dielectric ring with inner radius = .25 λ_0 , outer radius = .30 λ_0 and $\varepsilon_r = 4 + j0$. The exact solution to this problem is available. [Ref. 11]



Figure 42. Dielectric Shell, TE and TM Case, $\varepsilon_r = 4 + j0$



Figure 43. Dielectric Ring

The mesh generation program was not modified to conform to both the outer and inner material boundaries. The original mesh generation program design concept was to closely fit the mesh to the objects outer perimeter and then allow for material inhomogeneities to be accounted for by different material parameters being assigned to individual elements. A section of the generated mesh is shown in Figure 44 on page 69. Note the additional curved line showing the .25 λ_0 inner radius. This curve does not follow the established element boundaries. The effective ring is shown in Figure 45 on page 69. This resulted in the inner radius having an irregular pattern as elements near the material interface varied in material composition. This is similar to the granular noise problem characteristic of delta modulation communication channels. The TE and TM results are provided as Figure 46 on page 70.



Figure 44. Partial Mesh with Inner Radius Curve



Figure 45. Effective Geometry for the Dielectric Mesh



Figure 46. Dielectric Ring, TE and TM Case, $\varepsilon_r = 4 + j0$

VII. CONCLUSIONS

A. RESULTS

The Field Feedback Formulation proved to be an excellent tool for calculating the internal and scattered fields from the tested inhomogeneous asymmetric objects. The keys to satisfactory results are,

- Keep the maximum element dimension $\leq \frac{\lambda}{20}$,
- Maintain a mesh with a uniform distribution, and
- Maintain the offset distance near the mesh resolution in magnitude, but no greater than $\frac{\lambda_0}{20}$.

The techniques used proved to be capable of adapting to a wide variety of situations. These adaptations did not require program modifications or reprogramming. The numerous built-in features, as discussed in the input field description of Chapter III, allowed for this robustness.

B. RECOMMENDATIONS AND EXTENSIONS

With the basic program validated, future testing and development should,

- Emphasize large object validation against accepted results.
- Stress inhomogeneity and irregularity in all testing.
- Optimize the T matrix element calculation by improving the Green's function contour integral.
- Evaluate the usefulness of the maximum distance feature (Field 12). Beyond this maximum distance no contribution is made to the Green's function integral. It is not expected that this will be possible for objects less than a few wavelengths in maximum dimension.
- Modify the mesh generation program to allow for conformity to multiple interfaces (multi-layered objects without the granular noise problem).
- Modify all programs for Intel 80386 based Fortran compiler use, such as NDP FORTRAN by Microway. This will remove the 640 KB memory limitation imposed by the IBM DOS and allows for the solution to larger scattering problems.

APPENDIX A. INPUT DATA FILE EXAMPLE

CIRCLE	- PLOT TITLE
R	- RECTANGULAR INPUT DATA
NI	- NO INTERMEDIATE DATA RECORDING
ND	- NO DISSPLA PROGRAM GENERATION
NU	- NON UNIFORM MATERIAL (MATERIAL INTERFACE PRESENT)
NM	- NO MESH GENERATION ONLY
0.019	- REQUESTED MESH RESOLUTION (IN WAVELENGTHS)
0.03	- CONTOUR DISTANCE (IN WAVELENGTHS)
1.0	- MULTIPLICATIVE GFI SCALE FACTOR
0	- DISTANCE < 1.0 BIAS TERM
0	- DISTANCE > 1.0 BIAS TERM
999.9	- MAX DIST BEYOND WHICH THERE IS NO CONTRIBUTION TO GET
36	- NUMBER OF INPUT DATA POINTS
72	- NUMBER OF POINTS FOR SIGMA CALCULATION (IN THE CIRCLE)
1	- ELEMENT GENERATION METHOD
5	- START NODE
35	- STOP NODE
4 5	- Y AXIS OFFSET
4.5	- Y AXIS OFFSFT
03	- DESIRED DIMENSION (ORIGIN TO FIRST POINT WAVELENGTHS)
1 0	
1.0	- TMACINARY DART OF ALPHA
1 0	- DEAL DADT OF DETA
1.0	- TMACINARY DART OF BETA
D. U	- DIANEWANE CENEDATOR ENABLED
1 0	- FLANEWAVE GENERATOR ENGLISH
3 005408	- INDUT UPFOUENCY OF THE DIANEWAVE FO
5.005708	- INFOI FREQUENCI OF THE FLAMEWAVE, FO
10	00000000 $0000000 - ANGLE, A, I CORD.$
20	
20	
50	
40	
50	. 58502220 . 52159580
50	
70	. 46984630 . 1/101010
80	. 49240390 . 08682407
90	. 50000000 0000002
100	. 49240390 08682411
110	. 46984630 17101010
120	. 43301270 25000000
130	. 38302220 32139380
140	. 32139380 38302220
150	. 25000000 43301270
160	. 17101000 46984630
170	. 08682404 49240390
180	00000004 50000000
190	08682413 49240390
200	17101010 46984630
210	25000000 43301270
220	32139380 38302220
230	- 38302220 - 32139380

240	- . 43301270	25000000
250	- .46984630	17101000
260	49240390	08682403
270	5000000	.00000007
280	49240390	.08682416
290	46984630	. 17101010
300	43301270	. 25000010
310	38302220	. 32139390
320	32139380	. 38302230
330	24999990	. 43301280
340	17101000	. 46984630
350	08682401	.49240390

APPENDIX B. READ PROGRAM

С REAL A(0:2000), B(0:2000), MRES, DIST, XORIGIN, YORIGIN REAL C, D, E, F, DPER, DD, FREQ, EO, MAXD INTEGER I, J, METHOD, STARTND, STOPND, NRES, MODE, LBIAS, GBIAS CHARACTER*1 CHAR, CHAR1, CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4, CHAR5 CHARACTER*13 NAME С OPEN(UNIT = 1, FILE = 'D: FINALDWG.DAT') OPEN(UNIT = 2, FILE = 'C: MSFORT INPUT. DAT') J = 0С WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE PLOT NAME OR LABEL (MAX OF 13 CHARACTERS)' READ(*,1005) NAME WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE COORDINATE SYSTEM IN USE. (R OR P)' READ(*,1000) CHAR WRITE(*,*) 'DO YOU WANT INTERMEDIATE VALUES ? (I)' READ(*,1000) CHAR1 'DO YOU WANT A DISSPLA PROGRAM GENERATED ? (D)' WRITE(*,*) READ(*,1000) CHAR2 WRITE(*,*) 'UNIFORM SLAB (NO MATERIAL TO VACUUM INTERFACE ? (U)' READ(*,1000) CHAR3 WRITE(*,*) 'MESH GENERATION ONLY ? (M)' READ(*,1000) CHAR4 WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE MESH RESOLUTION. (0.4, ETC...)' READ(*,*) MRES WRITE((*,*) 'ENTER THE CONTOUR DISTANCE. (0.3, ETC...)' READ(*,*) DIST WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE GFI SCALE FACTOR. (1.0, ETC...)' READ(*,*) DPER WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE GF1 (< 1 BIAS TERM (0,1, ETC...)' READ(*,*) LBIAS WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE GFI (> 1 BIAS TERM (0,1, ETC...)' READ(*,*) GBIAS WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE GFI MAX DISTANCE (999.0, ETC...)' READ(*,*) MAXD WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE NUMBER OF POINTS FOR SIGMA CALC. (36, ETC...)' READ(*,*) NRES WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE DRAWING METHOD. (1 - 6)' READ(*,*) METHOD WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE STARTING NODE NUMBER. (MUST BE > 0)' READ(*,*) STARTND WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE BISECTION STOPPING NODE NUMBER. ' READ(*,*) STOPND WRITE(*,*) 'DESIRED DISTANCE FROM ORIGIN TO POINT 1 (IN WLs) ' READ(*,*) DD WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE X AXIS ORIGIN.' READ(*,*) XORIGIN WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE Y AXIS ORIGIN.' READ(*,*) YORIGIN WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE REAL COMPONENT OF ALPHA.' READ(*,*) C

```
WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE IMAGINARY COMPONENT OF ALPHA.'
READ(*,*) D
            'ENTER THE REAL COMPONENT OF BETA.'
WRITE(*,*)
READ(*,*) E
WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE IMAGINARY COMPONENT OF BETA.'
READ(*,*) F
WRITE(*,*) 'PLANE WAVE (P) OR CYLINDRICAL MODE (C) '
READ(*,1000) CHAR5
WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE WAVE FREQUENCY (IN HERTZ)'
READ(*,*) FREQ
WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE WAVE AMPLITUDE '
READ(*,*) EO
IF((CHAR5.EQ.'C').OR.(CHAR5.EQ.'c')) THEN
WRITE(*,*) 'ENTER THE MODE NUMBER '
     READ(*,*) MODE
ENDIF
DO 10, I = 0, 1999
     READ(1, \star, ERR = 20) A(J), B(J)
     IF(A(J). GT. 1000) THEN
           GOTO 5
     ELSEIF(B(J).GT. 1000) THEN
           GOTO 5
     ELSE
           J = J + 1
     ENDIF
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
J = J - 1
WRITE(2,1005) NAME
WRITE(2,1000) CHAR
WRITE(2,1000) CHAR1
WRITE(2,1000) CHAR2
WRITE(2,1000) CHAR3
WRITE(2,1000) CHAR4
WRITE(2,1010) MRES
WRITE(2,1010) DIST
WRITE(2,1010) DPER
WRITE(2,1020) LBIAS
WRITE(2,1020) GBIAS
WRITE(2,1040) MAXD
WRITE(2,1020) J
WRITE(2,1020) NRES
WRITE(2,1020) METHOD
WRITE(2,1020) STARTND
WRITE(2,1020) STOPND
WRITE(2,1010) XORIGIN
WRITE(2,1010) YORIGIN
WRITE(2,1010) DD
WRITE(2,1010) C
WRITE(2,1010) D
WRITE(2,1010) E
WRITE(2,1010) F
WRITE(2,1000) CHAR5
WRITE(2,1010) E0
IF((CHAR5. EQ. 'C'). OR. (CHAR5. EQ. 'c')) THEN
     WRITE(2,1020) MODE
```

5

10

20

	ENDIF
	WRITE(2,*) FREQ
	DO 30, $I = 1, J$
	WRITE(2,1030) I, A(I), B(I)
30	CONTINUE
	WRITE(2.*) 'END OF FILE'
С	
1000	FORMAT(A1)
1005	FORMAT(A13)
1010	FORMAT(F8.6)
1020	FORMAT(13)
1030	FORMAT(1X,13,7X,F12,8,5X,F12,8)
1040	FORMAT(F8, 3)
C	
-	CLOSE(1)
	CLOSE(2)
	STOP
	END
C	

AP	PENDIX	C. MESH GENERATION/FINITE ELEMENT PROGRAM
	FINITE H WRITTEN	ELEMENT MESH GENERATION PROGRAM By LT. T.B. WELCH
	w/ PROGI	RAMMING IDEAS FROM PROF. M.A. MORGAN
	COMPLETI	ELY FILE (INPUT.DAT) DRIVEN
	INPUT PA	ARAMETERS:
		CHARACTER (POLAR OR RECTANGULAR INPUT DATA - FLAG) CHARACTER (INTERMEDIATE MATRICES WRITE - FLAG) CHARACTER (UNIFORM MATERIAL - FLAG) CHARACTER (UNIFORM MATERIAL - FLAG) CHARACTER (MESH GENERATION ONLY - FLAG) MESH RESOLUTION CONTOUR OFFSET DESIRED SCALE FACTOR FOR GREEN'S FUNCTION INTEGRAL BIAS (SHIFT) FOR GFI STEP WHEN < 1.0 MAXIMUM DISTANCE BEYOND WHICH THERE WILL BE NO CONTRIBUTION TO THE GREEN'S FUNCTION INTEGRAL NUMBER OF POINTS NUMBER OF POINTS FOR CROSS SECTION, (IN THE CIRCLE) MESH GENERATION TECHNIQUE START NODE STOP NODE X ORIGIN Y ORIGIN DESIRED DISTANCE (FROM ORIGIN TO FIRST POINT, WAVELENGTH) ALPHA (INPUT AS A AND B THEN CONVERTED TO COMPLEX ALPHA) BETA (INPUT AS A AND B THEN CONVERTED TO COMPLEX BETA) CHARACTER (PLANEWAVE GENERATOR - FLAG) AMPLITUDE FREQUENCY (IN HERTZ) OR BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
		DATA POINT PAIRS (X, Y OR RADIUS, THETA) END OF FILE MARKER
٥	COMPLEX COMPLEX	ALPHA,BETA,BCOND(100),LINE(50),ANS(100) A(50,50),B(50,50),C(50,50),P(50,100),SURBC(100)

COMPLEX U(100,100), PSI(50,100), SVEC(50,100) REAL MRES, MESH(0:200,5), NDP(200,2), OFFSET, DPER, MRESW REAL MINAREA, MAXAREA, AREA, E0, XORIGIN, YORIGIN, K0, MAXD INTECER NPNTS, PERND, NODES(200), MOR(200), BIND, NBMX, UNK, LBIAS, GBIAS

INTEGER LND(0: 200,3),NDL(200,4),NCT(200),MAXEL,IMX,MODE,NRES INTEGER METHOD, STARTND, STOPND, MINROW, MINEL, MAXROW, NABC(100,3) CHARACTER*1 CHAR, CHAR1, CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4, CHAR5 EQUIVALENCE (PSI, P) С COMMON/BLK1/MESH COMMON/BLK2/PERND, BIND COMMON/BLK3/NODES COMMON/BLK4/LND,NDL,NCT COMMON/BLK5/NDP COMMON/BLK6/MOR COMMON/BLK7/MINAREA, MINROW, MINEL, MAXAREA, MAXROW, MAXEL, AREA COMMON/BLK8/A, B, C, P COMMON/BLK9/CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4 С OPEN (UNIT = 1, FILE = 'MATRIX.DAT', STATUS = 'UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 2, FILE = 'PLT. DAT', STATUS = 'UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 3, FILE = 'INPUT. DAT', STATUS = 'UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 4, FILE = 'TEXT. LBL', STATUS = 'UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 7, FILE = 'PS, DAT', STATUS = 'UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 4, FILE = TEXT. LBL , STATUS = 'UNKNOWN' OPEN (UNIT = 7, FILE = 'RS. DAT', STATUS = 'UNKNOWN', CACCESS='SEQUENTIAL', FORM='UNFORMATTED') OPEN (UNIT = 8, FILE = 'PSI. DAT', STATUS = 'UNKNOWN', CACCESS='SEQUENTIAL', FORM='FORMATTED') OPEN (UNIT = 0, FILE = '12000 - 11') CACCESS='SEQUENTIAL', FORM='FORMATTED') OPEN (UNIT = 9, FILE = 'ABCP. DAT', STATUS = 'UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 10, FILE = 'BCOND. DAT', STATUS = 'UNKNOWN' OPEN (UNIT = 11, FILE = 'FMATRIX. DAT', STATUS='UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 12, FILE = 'NABC. DAT', STATUS='UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 13, FILE = 'OUTPUT. DAT', STATUS='UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 19, FILE = 'ABRMAT. DAT', STATUS='UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 20, FILE = 'DISPLA. DAT', STATUS='UNKNOWN') BCOND. DAT', STATUS = 'UNKNOWN') 'FMATRIX. DAT', STATUS='UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 30, FILE = 'U. DAT', STATUS='UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 40, FILE = 'F3. DAT', STATUS='UNKNOWN') С MINAREA = 999999.9MAXAREA = -9999999.9С CALL IO(NPNTS, MRES, METHOD, STARTND, STOPND, OFFSET, ALPHA, BETA, BCOND, CEO, CHAR1, MODE, XORIGIN, YORIGIN, CHAR5, DPER, KO, NRES, MRESW, LBIAS, GBIAS C,MAXD) CALL ROTATE(NPNTS, METHOD, STARTND, STOPND) CALL BOUND(MRES, NPNTS, METHOD, STOPND) CALL NORMAL CALL NODSET(METHOD, NABC, NBMX, UNK) PAUSE 'PLEASE PRESS ENTER TO CONTINUE, OR CTRL BREAK TO ABORT!' CALL LOADER(BCOND, OFFSET, ALPHA, BETA, NABC, IMX, NBMX, EO, SURBC, CHAR1, CLINE, MODE, XORIGIN, YORIGIN, SVEC, CHAR5) CALL SWEEP(IMX, NABC, SURBC, LINE, CHAR1, U, BCOND, PSI, ANS, CHAR5) CALL SAVE(BCOND, ANS, U, OFFSET, PERND, CHAR5, DPER, KO, XORIGIN, YORIGIN, CNRES, MRESW, LBIAS, GBIAS, MAXD) С CLOSE(1) CLOSE(2) CLOSE(3) CLOSE(4) CLOSE(7) CLOSE(8)

- CLOSE(9) CLOSE(10) CLOSE(11) CLOSE(12) CLOSE(13) CLOSE(19) CLOSE(20) CLOSE(30) CLOSE(40) С STOP END С SUBROUTINE IO(NPNTS, MRES, METHOD, STARTND, STOPND, DIST, ALPHA, BETA, CBCOND, E0, CHAR1, MODE, XORIGIN, YORIGIN, CHAR5, DPER, K0, NRES, MRESW, CLBIAS, GBIAS, MAXD) С С THIS SUBROUTINE READS IN THE INPUT PARAMETERS Ĉ AND SURFACE DATA POINTS. THESE POINTS CAN BE Ċ IN EITHER POLAR OR RECTANGULAR FORM. С COMPLEX ALPHA, BETA, BCOND(100) REAL A, B, PI, XORIGIN, YORIGIN, EO, KO, FO, SFAC, DD REAL MESH(0: 200,5), MRES, THETA, RADIUS, DIST, C, LAMBDA, MRESW REAL DISTW, DPER, MAXD INTEGER I, II, J, K, NPNTS, RES, METHOD, STARTND, STOPND, MODE, NRES, LBIAS INTEGER GBIAS CHARACTER*1 CHAR, CHAR1, CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4, CHAR5 CHARACTER*12 NAME С COMMON/BLK1/MESH COMMON/BLK9/CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4 С C = 2.997925E+08PI = 4.0*ATAN(1.0)READ(3,1070) NAME READ(3,1000) CHAR READ(3,1000) CHAR2 READ(3,1000) CHAR3 READ(3,1000) CHAR4 READ(3,1000) CHAR5 READ(3,*) MRESW READ(3,*) DISTW READ(3, ') DPER READ(3,*) LBIAS READ(3,*) GBIAS READ(3,*) MAXD READ(3,*) RES READ(3,*) NRES READ(3,*) METHOD READ(3,*) STARTND READ(3,*) STOPND READ(3,*) XORIGIN READ(3,*) YORIGIN READ(3,*) DD
 - READ(3,*) A

```
READ(3,*) B
ALPHA = CMPLX(A,B)
READ(3,*) A
READ(3,*) B
BETA = CMPLX(A,B)
READ(3,1000) CHAR1
IF(METHOD.EQ.1) THEN
WRITE(6,*) 'METHOD 1 SELECTED - OBJECT BISECTION '
ELSEIF(METHOD.EQ.2) THEN
WRITE(6,*) 'METHOD 2 SELECTED - CONNECTED NODES '
ELSEIF(METHOD.EQ.3) THEN
WRITE(6,*) 'METHOD 3 SELECTED - SELECTED STOP NODE '
ELSEIF(METHOD.EQ.4) THEN
WRITE(6,*) 'METHOD 4 SELECTED - CONNECTED/SELECTED STOP NODE'
ELSEIF(METHOD.EQ.5) THEN
WRITE(6,*) 'METHOD 5 SELECTED - EQUALLY SPACED CONNECTD NODE'
ELSE
      WRITE(6,*) 'METHOD 6 SELECTED - SELECTED START AND STOP NODE'
ENDIF
IF((CHAR2.EQ.'I').OR.(CHAR2.EQ.'i')) THEN
WRITE(6,*) 'INTERMEDIATE MATRIX FILE GENERATION
                                                                    - ENABLED'
ELSE
      WRITE(6,*) 'INTERMEDIATE MATRIX FILE GENERATION
                                                                    - DISABLED'
ENDIF
IF((CHAR3.EQ.'D').OR.(CHAR3.EQ.'d')) THEN
WRITE(6,*) 'DISSPLA FORTRAN PROGRAM GENERATION
                                                                    - ENABLED'
ELSE
      WRITE(6,*) 'DISSPLA FORTRAN PROGRAM GENERATION
                                                                    - DISABLED'
ENDIF
IF((CHAR4. EQ. 'U'). OR. (CHAR4. EQ. 'u')) THEN
      WRITE(6,*) 'UNIFORM MATERIAL SPECIFIED (NO INTERFACE) '
ELSE
      WRITE(6,*) 'MATERIAL SPECIFIED WITH A VACUUM AROUND OBJECT'
ENDIF
IF((CHAR5.EQ.'M').OR.(CHAR5.EQ.'m')) THEN
    WRITE(6,*) 'MESH GENERATION <<< ONLY >>>
                                                                   - ENABLED'
ELSE
      WRITE(6,*) 'MESH GENERATION AND FE PROGRAM
                                                                   - ENABLED'
ENDIF
IF((CHAR1. EQ. 'P'). OR. (CHAR1. EQ. 'p')) THEN
      READ(3,*) E0
      READ(3,*) F0
      LAMBDA = C/FO
      KO = 2*PI/LAMBDA
      WRITE(6,*) 'PLANEWAVE BOUNDARY VALUE GENERATION - ENABLED'
      WRITE(6,*) 'AMPLITUDE(E0) = ', E0,', WAVENUMBER(K0) = ',K0
WRITE(6,*)'WAVELENGTH = ',LAMBDA,', FREQUENCY(F0) = ',F0
ELSEIF((CHAR1.EQ.'C').OR.(CHAR1.EQ.'c')) THEN
      READ(3,*) EO
      READ(3,*) MODE
```

С

С

С

С

С

С

```
READ(3,*) FO
            LAMBDA = C/FO
            KO = 2*PI*LAMBDA
            WRITE(6,*) 'CLYINRICAL BOUNDARY VALUE GENERATION - ENABLED'
WRITE(6,*) 'AMPLITUDE(E0) = ', E0,', MODE NUMBER = ', MODE
WRITE(6,*)'WAVELENGTH = ',LAMBDA,', FREQUENCY(F0) = ',F0
      ELSE
            WRITE(6,*) 'ALL BOUNDARY VALUE GENERATION METHODS - DISABLED'
            DO 5, K = 1, RES
                  READ(3,*) BCOND(K)
5
            CONTINUE
      ENDIF
С
С
      POLAR COORDINATE INPUT ROUTINE
С
       IF((CHAR. EQ. 'P'). OR. (CHAR. EQ. 'p')) THEN
            READ(3,1020) THETA, RADIUS
            SFAC = 2*PI*DD/RADIUS
            MESH(0,4) = (RADIUS*SIN(THETA*PI/180.0))*SFAC + XORIGIN
            MESH(0,5) = (RADIUS*COS(THETA*PI/180.0))*SFAC + YORIGIN
            DO 10, J = 1, RES-1
                  READ(3,1020) THETA, RADIUS
                  MESH(J,4) = (RADIUS*SIN(THETA*PI/180.0))*SFAC + XORIGIN
                  MESH(J,5) = (RADIUS*COS(THETA*PI/180.0))*SFAC + YORIGIN
10
            CONTINUE
            WRITE(6,*) 'POLAR COORDINATE INPUT SELECTED '
С
С
      RECTANGULAR COORDINATE INPUT ROUTINE
С
      ELSEIF((CHAR. EQ. 'R'). OR. (CHAR. EQ. 'r')) THEN
                  READ(3,1010) MESH(0,4), MESH(0,5)
                  SFAC = 2*PI*DD/((MESH(0,4)**2+MESH(0,5)**2)**0.5)
                  MESH(0,4) = MESH(0,4)*SFAC + XORIGIN
                  MESH(0,5) = MESH(0,5)*SFAC + YORIGIN
            DO 20, J = 1, RES-1
                  READ(3,1010) MESH(J,4), MESH(J,5)
                  MESH(J,4) = MESH(J,4)*SFAC + XORIGIN
                  MESH(J,5) = MESH(J,5)*SFAC + YORIGIN
20
            CONTINUE
            WRITE(6,*) 'RECTANGULAR COORDINATE INPUT SELECTED '
      ELSE
            WRITE(6,*) 'INPUT DATA FILE COORDINATE SPECIFICATION ERROR'
      ENDIF
      WRITE(*,1100) DD, SFAC
      MESH(RES, 4) = MESH(0, 4)
      MESH(RES,5) = MESH(0,5)
      NPNTS = J
      WRITE(6,*) 'NODE AT O DEGREES (X/Y \text{ COORDINATES}) = ', \text{ MESH}(0,4),
     CMESH(0.5)
      WRITE(6,*) X, Y OFFSETS = ', XORIGIN, YORIGIN
      WRITE(6,1090) ALPHA, BETA
      MRES = MRESW*2*PI
      WRITE(6,*) 'MESH RESOLUTION
                                             = ', MRESW, ' WAVELENGTHS'
      DIST = DISTW*2*PI
      WRITE(6,*) 'CONTOUR DISTANCE = ',DISTW,' WAVELENGTHS'
WRITE(6,*) 'NUMBER OF DATA POINTS = ',RES
```

IF((METHOD.	EQ.3).	OR. (M	ETHOD. EQ. 6)) THEN	
WRITE(6,*) '	START	NODE	= ',STARTND	
WRITE(6,*)′	STOP	NODE	= ',STOPND	
ENDIF					
	1 1				
WRITE(4,*)	'17'			0	100
WRITE(4,*)	10		1	0	130
	10	1	4	0	265
WKIIE(4,*)	2	11	T	0	202
	12	1	1	0	365
C 90	2	21	1	0	505
WRITE(4.*)	12	-	1	0	365
C 100	-	1'	-	-	
WRITE(4.*)	'2	-	1	0	365
C 110		2'			
WRITE(4,*)	'2		1	0	365
C 120		1'			
WRITE(4,*)	'2		1	0	365
C 130	•	2'			
WRITE(4,*)	'2		1	0	365
C 140	1	1'			
WRITE(4,*)	2	01	1	0	365
	10	2	1	0	265
$\frac{WRIIE(4, n)}{C}$	2	11	T	0	202
	12	+	1	0	365
C 170	4	21	Ŧ	Ū	505
WRITE(4 ,*)	12	~	1	0	365
C 180	-	1'	-	Ũ	305
WRITE(4,*)	'2	-	[,] 1	0	365
C 190	-	2'	-	-	
WRITE(4,*)	'2		1	0	365
C 200		1'			
WRITE(4, *)	'2		1	0	365
C 210		2'			
WRITE(4,*)	'2		1	0	365
C 220		1'			
WRITE(4,*)	'2		1	0	365
C 230		2'			
WRITE(4,107	O) NAM	1E			
WRITE(4,*)	'L'				
WRITE(4,*)	'Mesh	Reso1	ution'		
WRITE(4,*)	, Ľ,				
WRITE(4,103	O) MRE	SW			
WRITE $(4, \pi)$. Г. То		1		
WKIIE(4, π)	UONTO	our Di	stance		
$WKIIE(4,\pi)$	ם זית (ח	נדרי			
WRIIE(4,103	בנת נטי	DIW			
WRIIG(4,") WDTTTC// +\	ىل • Nīumh-	ar of	Points!		
WDTTF(4 *)		ST OF	FOTUES		
WRITE(4,")	יז מין ח ייז מין ח	:			
WRITF(4 *)	'T.'	,			
WRITE(4 *)	'Methr	'hc			
WRITE(4 *)	'T.'	~~~			

С

```
WRITE(4,1040) Method
WRITE(4,*) 'L'
WRITE(4,*) 'X Origin'
         WRITE(4,*) 'L'
         WRITE(4,1050) XORIGIN
WRITE(4,*) 'L'
WRITE(4,*) 'Y Origin'
WRITE(4,*) 'L'
         WRITE(4,1050) YORIGIN
WRITE(4,*) 'L'
WRITE(4,*) 'Alpha'
WRITE(4,*) 'L'
        WRITE(4,1060) ALPHA
WRITE(4,*) 'L'
WRITE(4,*) 'Beta'
WRITE(4,*) 'L'
         WRITE(4,1060) BETA
        WRITE(4,*) 'L'
WRITE(4,*) '9'
 С
        DO 30, II = 0, NPNTS-1
               WRITE(2,*) MESH(II,4), MESH(II,5)
 30
         CONTINUE
        WRITE(2,*) MESH(0,4), MESH(0,5)
WRITE(2,*) '999992, 999991 '
WRITE(2,*) '999990, 999990 '
С
 1000 FORMAT(A1)
 1010 FORMAT(10X,F12.8,4X,F12.8)
1020 FORMAT(3X,I3,5X,F12.8)
1030 FORMAT(F8.6)
1040 FORMAT(14)
1050 FORMAT(1X, F8.6)
1060 FORMAT(1X,F8.6,' - J',F8.6)
1070 FORMAT(A12)
1080 FORMAT(/)
1090 FORMAT(1X, 'ALPHA = ', F8. 4, 2X, '+ J ', F6. 4, ', BETA = 'F8. 4, 2X, '+ J
       C', F6.4)
1100 FORMAT(1X, 'DESIRED DISTANCE = ', F8.5,', SCALE FACTOR = ', F8.5)
        RETURN
        END
С
С
        SUBROUTINE ROTATE(NPNTS, METHOD, STARTND, STOPND)
С
С
        THIS SUBROUTINE ROTATES THE SURFACE POINTS TO ALLOW FOR
С
        A REARRANGING OF THE START NODE (FIRST DATA POINT).
С
        REAL MESH(0:200,5)
        INTEGER I, NPNTS, METHOD, STARTND, STOPND
        COMMON/BLK1/MESH
С
С
        IF(METHOD. EQ. 6) THEN
              DO 10, I = 0, NPNTS-1
                     MESH(I,1) = MESH(I,4)
```

```
83
```

MESH(I,2) = MESH(I,5)10 CONTINUE С DO 20, I = STARTND-1, NPNTS-1 MESH(I-(STARTND-1), 4) = MESH(I, 1)MESH(I-(STARTND-1),5) = MESH(I,2)20 CONTINUE C DO 30, I = 1, STARTND MESH(I+NPNTS-STARTND,4) = MESH(I-1,1)MESH(I+NPNTS-STARTND,5) = MESH(I-1,2)30 CONTINUE ENDIF STOPND = STOPND - STARTND + 1IF(STOPND. GT. NPNTS) THEN STOPND = STOPND - NPNTS ELSEIF(STOPND. LT. 0) THEN STOPND = NPNTS + STOPND +1ELSEIF(STOPND. EQ. 0) THEN STOPND = 1ELSE STOPND = STOPNDENDIF RETURN END С С SUBROUTINE BOUND(MRES, NPNTS, METHOD, STOPND) С С THIS SUBROUTINE REORDERS THE THE SURFACE POINTS С BASED ON THE DESIRED INPUT MESH RESOLU INN. THE С OBJECT IS ALSO BISECTED. С REAL PERIM, DIST, MESH(0:200,5), TEMP, TEMP1, MRES, MRESN, MRESLW REAL MRESNL, MRESNR, TEMPR, TEMPL, DISTB, DZ, PI, MRESW, MRESRW INTEGER I, PERND, BIND, NPNTS, STARTND, STOPND, METHOD, NEWND, J INTEGER M, L COMMON/BLK1/MESH COMMON/BLK2/PERND, BIND С PI = 4.0 * ATAN(1.0)PERIM = 0.0С DO 10, I = 0, NPNTS-1 DIST = SQRT((MESH(I+1,4)-MESH(I,4))**2+(MESH(I+1,5) -С MESH(I,5))**2)PERIM = PERIM + DISTMESH(I+1,3) = PERIM10 CONTINUE WRITE(6,*) 'PERIMETER LENGTH = ', PERIM PERND = NINT(PERIM/MRES - AMOD(PERIM/MRES, 2.0)) BIND = (PERND - 2)/2WRITE(6,*) 'PERIMETER NODE # = ', PERND MRESW = MRES/(2*PI)WRITE(6,*) 'YOUR REQUESTED MESH RESOLUTION OF ', MRESW IF((METHOD. EQ. 3), OR. (METHOD. EQ. 4). OR. (METHOD. EQ. 5). OR.

C(METHOD. EQ. 6)) THEN

```
С
            MRESNL = (PERIM -MESH(STOPND-1,3))/FLOAT(PERND/2)
            MRESLW = MRESNL/(2*PI)
            MRESNR = MESH(STOPND-1,3)/FLOAT(PERND/2)
            MRESRW = MRESNR/(2*PI)
                         . . . HAS BEEN MODIFIED TO . . .
            WRITE(6,*)
            WRITE(6,*) 'LEFT SIDE OF SEGMENT . . .
            WRITE(6,*) 'RIGHT SIDE OF SEGMENT . . . ', MRESLW
      ELSE
            MRESN = PERIM/FLOAT(PERND)
            MRESW = MRESN/(2*PI)
            WRITE(6,*) '. . . HAS BEEN MODIFIED TO . . . ', MRESW
      ENDIF
С
С
      PERIMETER NODE INITIALIZATION (X,Y COORD)
С
      IF((METHOD. EQ. 1). OR. (METHOD. EQ. 2)) THEN
            DO 30, I = 0, PERND-1
                 TEMP = MRESN*I
                 J = 1
20
                 IF(TEMP.GT.MESH(J,3)) THEN
                       J = J + 1
                       GOTO 20
                 ENDIF
            \text{TEMP1} = (\text{TEMP} - \text{MESH}(J-1,3))/(\text{SQRT}((\text{MESH}(J,4)-\text{MESH}(J-1,4)))
     С
            **2 + (MESH(J,5) - MESH(J-1,5))**2))
            MESH(I+1,1) = MESH(J-1,4) + TEMP1*(MESH(J,4)-MESH(J-1,4))
            MESH(I+1,2) = MESH(J-1,5) + TEMP1*(MESH(J,5)-MESH(J-1,5))
30
            CONTINUE
С
      ELSE
            DO 60, I = 0, PERND-1
                 TEMPR = MRESNR*I
                 TEMPL = PERIM - MRESNL*(PERND - I)
                 IF(TEMPR. LE. MESH(STOPND-1,3)) THEN
                       J = 1
40
                       IF(TEMPR.GT.MESH(J,3)) THEN
                            J = J + 1
                            GOTO 40
                       ENDIF
            TEMP1 = (TEMPR - MESH(J-1,3))/(SQRT((MESH(J,4)-MESH(J-1,4)))
     С
            **2 + (MESH(J,5) - MESH(J-1,5))**2))
            MESH(I+1,1) = MESH(J-1,4) + TEMP1*(MESH(J,4)-MESH(J-1,4))
            MESH(I+1,2) = MESH(J-1,5) + TEMP1*(MESH(J,5)-MESH(J-1,5))
                 ELSE
                       J = 1
50
                       IF(TEMPL.GT.MESH(J,3)) THEN
                            J = J + 1
                            GOTO 50
                       ENDIF
            \text{TEMP1} = (\text{TEMPL} - \text{MESH}(J-1,3))/(\text{SQRT}((\text{MESH}(J,4)-\text{MESH}(J-1,4)))
     С
            **2 + (MESH(J,5) - MESH(J-1,5))**2))
            MESH(I+1,1) = ME_{JI}(J-1,4) + TEMP1*(MESH(J,4)-MESH(J-1,4))
            MESH(I+1,2) = MESH(J-1,5) + TEMP1*(MESH(J,5)-MESH(J-1,5))
```

```
ENDIF
60
           CONTINUE
      ENDIF
С
С
С
      BISECTION NODE INITIALIZATION (X,Y COORD)
C
      WRITE(6.*) 'BISECTION NODE #
                                          = ',BIND
      IF((METHOD. EQ. 1). OR. (METHOD. EQ. 3)) THEN
           DO 70, I = 1, BIND
                 TEMP1 = I/FLOAT(BIND + 1)
                 MESH(I+PERND,1) = MESH(1,1) + TEMP1*(MESH(BIND+2,1) -
     CMESH(1,1))
                MESH(I+PERND,2) = MESH(1,2) + TEMP1*(MESH(BIND+2,2) -
     CMESH(1,2))
70
           CONTINUE
С
      ELSE
           DO 80, I = 2, PERND+1
                 MESH(PERND+I-1,1) = MESH(PERND+2-I,1) + 0.5*(MESH(I,1) -
                 MESH(PERND+2-I,1))
     С
                 MESH(PERNL+I-1,2) = MESH(PERND+2-I,2) + J.5*(MESH(I,2) -
     С
                 MESH(PERND+2-1,2))
           CONTINUE
80
С
С
      ENDIF
С
      IF((METHOD. EQ. 5). OR. (METHOD. EQ. 6)) THEN
           DO 90, M = 1, BIND
                 MESH(M,4) = MESH(PERND+M,1)
                 MESH(M,5) = MESH(PERND+M,2)
90
           CONTINUE
           DISTB = 0.0
           MESH(0,3) = 0.0
           DO 100, \hat{L} = 1, BIND+1
                 IF(L.EQ.1) THEN
                      DIST = SQRT((MESH(1,1) - MESH(1,4))**2 +
     C(MESH(1,2) - MESH(1,5))**2)
                ELSEIF(L. EQ. BIND+1) THEN
                      DIST = SQRT((MESH(BIND, 4) - MESH(BIND+2, 1)))
     C**2 + (MESH(BIND,5) - MESH(BIND+2,2))**2)
                ELSE
                      DIST = SQRT((MESH(L-1,4) - MESH(L,4)))
     C**2 + (MESH(L-1,5) - MESH(L,5))**2)
                ENDIF
                 DISTB = DISTB + DIST
                MESH(L,3) = DISTB
100
           CONTINUE
      DZ = DISTB/(BIND + 1.0)
WRITE(6,*) 'DZ SPACING
                                          = ', DZ
С
           DO 120, I = 1, BIND
                TEMP = DZ*I
                 J = 1
110
                 IF(TEMP.GT.MESH(J,3)) THEN
```

J = J + 1GOTO 110 ENDIF IF(J.EQ.1) THEN TEMP1 = TEMP/(SQRT((MESH(1,1) - MESH(1,4))**2 +С (MESH(1,2) - MESH(1,5))**2))MESH(PERND+I,1) = MESH(1,1) + TEMP1*(MESH(1,4))С - MESH(1,1))MESH(PERND+I,2) = MESH(1,2) + TEMP1*(MESH(1,5))С - MESH(1,2)) ELSE TEMP1 = (TEMP - MESH(J-1,3))/(SQRT((MESH(J,4) -MESH(J-1,4))**2 + (MESH(J,5) - MESH(J-1,5))**2)) С MESH(PERND+I,1) = MESH(J-1,4) + TEMP1*(MESH(J,4))- MESH(J-1,4)) С MESH(PERND+I,2) = MESH(J-1,5) + TEMP1*(MESH(J,5))С - MESH(J-1,5)) ENDIF 120 CONTINUE ENDIF RETURN END С С SUBROUTINE NORMAL С С THIS ROUTINE COMPUTES THE X AND Y COMPONENTS С OF THE OUTWARD UNIT NORMAL AT EACH SURFACE POINT. С REAL DR, DZ, DL, MESH(0:200,5) INTEGER I, PERND, BIND COMMON/BLK1/MESH COMMON/BLK2/PERND,BIND DO 10, I = 1, PERND IF(I.EQ.1) THEN DR = MESH(2,1) - MESH(PERND,1)DZ = MESH(2,2) - MESH(PERND,2)DL = SQRT(DR*DR+DZ*DZ)MESH(1,3) = -DZ/DLMESH(1,4)=DR/DLELSEIF(I.EQ. PERND) THEN DR = MESH(1,1) - MESH(PERND-1,1)DZ = MESH(1,2) - MESH(PERND-1,2)DL = SQRT(DR*DR+DZ*DZ)MESH(PERND, 3) = -DZ/DLMESH(PERND,4)=DR/DL ELSE DR = MESH(I+1,1) - MESH(I-1,1)DZ = MESH(I+1,2) - MESH(I-1,2)DL = SQRT(DR*DR+D2*DZ)MESH(I,3) = -DZ/DLMESH(I,4)=DR/DLENDIF 10 CONTINUE RETURN

```
END
С
С
      SUBROUTINE NODSET (METHOD, NABC, NEMX, UNK)
С
С
      THIS ROUTINE USES A TWO-SWEEP TECHNIQUE TO COMPUTE THE
Ĉ
      NUMBER OF NODES ALONG EACH NODE RON, I. A MESH
Č
      ORIENTATION ATTRIBUTE IS ALSO SET.
С
С
      SET Z-AXIS SPACING AND ENDPOINT NODES
C
      REAL DZ, ZZ, MESH(0:200,5), D, DIST, DISTB
      INTEGER I, J, NODES(200), MOR(200), PERND, NOLD, NNEW, BIND
      INTEGER L, METHOD, NABC(100,3), NBMX, UNK
      CHARACTER#1 CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4
      COMMON/BLK1/MESH
      COMMON/BLK2/PERND, BIND
      COMMON/BLK3/NODES
      COMMON/BLK6/MOR
      COMMON/BLK9/CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4
С
      UNK = 0
      NBMX = -99
      IF((METHOD. EQ. 1). OR. (METHOD. EQ. 3)) THEN
           DZ = (SQRT((MESH(1,2) - MESH(BIND+2,2))) + 2 +
     С
           (MESH(1,1) - MESH(BIND+2,1)) + 2))/(BIND + 1 0)
      ELSE
           DISTB = 0.0
           DO 10, L = 1, BIND+1
                 IF(L.EQ.1) THEN
                      DIST = SQRT((MESH(1,1) - MESH(PERND+1,1)) + 2 +
     C(MESH(1,2) - MESH(PERND+1,2))^{++2})
                ELSEIF(L. EQ. BIND+1) THEN
                      DIST = SQRT((MESH(PERND+BIND,1) - MESH(BIND+2,1))
     C^{\pm} (MESH(PERND+BIND, 2) - MESH(BIND+2, 2))^{\pm} 2)
                 ELSE
                      DIST = SQRT((MESH(PERND+1-1,1) - MESH(PERND+1,1)))
     C^{**2} + (MESH(PERND+I-1,2) - MESH(PERND+I,2))^{**2})
                 ENDIF
                 DISTB = DISTB + DIST
10
           CONTINUE
           DZ = DISTB/(BIND + 1.0)
      ENDIF
      WRITE(6,*) 'BISECTION SPACING
                                          = '. DZ
      NODES(1) = 2
      NODES(2) = 3
      NODES(BIND+2) = 2
      NODES(PERND+1) = 2
      NODES(PERND) = 3
С
      PERFORMING FORWARD-SWEEP
      DO 20 I = 3, BIND+1
           NOLD = NODES(I-1)
           D = SQRT((MESH(I,1) - MESH(I+PERND-1,1)) **2 +
     С
           (MESH(1,2)-MESH(1+PERND-1,2))**2)
           NNEW = INT(0.1 + D/DZ) + 2
           NODES(I) = NNEW
```

```
88
```

```
IF (NNEW. GT. NOLD+1) NODES(I) = NOLD + 1
            IF (NNEW. LT. NOLD-1) NODES(I) = NOLD - 1
           IF (NOLES(I). LT. 3) NODES(I) = 3
20
      CONTINUE
С
      J = PERND + 2
      DO 30, I = PERND-1, BIND+3, -1
            NOLD = NODES(I+1)
            D = SQRT((MESH(I,1) - MESH(J,1))**2 +
     С
            (MESH(I,2)-MESH(J,2))**2)
           NNEW = INT(0.1 + D/DZ) + 2
           NODES(I) = NNEW
            IF (NNEW. GT. NOLD+1) NODES(I) = NOLD + 1
            IF (NNEW. LT. NOLD-1) NODES(I) = NOLD - 1
            IF (NODES(I). LT. 3) NODES(I) = 3
           \mathbf{J}=\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{1}
30
      CONTINUE
С
С
      BACK-SWEEP TO RESET LAST NODES IF NEEDED
С
      I = BIND + 2
40
      I = I - 1
      IF (I.EQ.2) GO TO 50
      IF (NODES(I). LE. NODES(I+1)+1) GO 10 60
      NODES(I) = NODES(I+1) + 1
      GO TO 40
50
      CONTINUE
      WRITE(6,*) ' PROGRAM ABORTED IN NODSET RIGHT SIDE BACKSWEEP '
      STOP
60
      CONTINUE
С
      I = BIND + 2
70
      I = I + 1
      IF (I.EQ. PERND) GO TO 80
      IF (NODES(1). LE. NODES(1-1)+1) GO TO 90
      NODES(I) = NODES(I-1) + 1
      GO TO 70
80
      CONTINUE
      WRITE(6,*) ' PROGRAM ABORTED IN NODSET LEFT HALF BACKSWEEP '
      STOP
90
      CONTINUE
С
С
      FORWARD SWEEP TO LOAD MESH ORIENTATION ARRAY, MOR
С
      MOR(1) = 0
      MOR(BIND+2) = 0
      MOR(PERND+1) = 0
С
      DO 100, I=2, BIND+1
            IF(NODES(I+1). GT. NODES(I)) THEN
                 MOR(I) = 0
           ELSEIF(NODES(I+1). LT. NODES(I)) THEN
                MOR(I) = 1
           ELSE
                 IF(NODES(I+2).GT.NODES(I)) THEN
                      MOR(I) = 0
```

```
ELSEIF(NODES(I+2). LT. NODES(I)) THEN
                      MOR(I) = 1
                 ELSE
                      MOR(I) = MOR(I-1)
                 ENDIF
           ENDIF
      CONTINUE
100
С
      DO 110, I = PERND, BIND+3, -1
            IF(NODES(I-1). GT. NODES(I)) THEN
                 MOR(I) = 0
           ELSEIF(NODES(I-1). LT. NODES(I)) THEN
                 MOR(I) = 1
           ELSE
                 IF(NODES(I-2).GT.NODES(I)) THEN
                      MOR(I) = 0
                 ELSEIF(NODES(I-2). LT. NODES(I)) THEN
                      MOR(I) = 1
                 ELSE
                      MOR(I) = MOR(I+1)
                 ENDIF
           ENDIF
110
      CONTINUE
      LOAD NABC ARRAY
С
      DO 120, I = 1, BIND+2
            IF(I.EQ.1) THEN
                 NABC(1,1) = 0
                 NABC(1,2) = 1
                 NABC(1,3) = 3
           ELSEIF(I. LE. BIND) THEN
                 NABC(I,1) = NABC(I-1,2)
                 NABC(I,2) = NABC(I-1,3)
                 NABC(I,3) = NODES(PERND-I+1) + NODES(I+1) - 3
           ELSEIF(I. EQ. BIND+1) THEN
                 NABC(I,1) = NABC(I-1,2)
                 NABC(I,2) = NABC(I-1,3)
                 NABC(I,3) = 1
           ELSE
                 NABC(I,1) = NABC(I-1,2)
                 NABC(I,2) = NABC(I-1,3)
                 NABC(I,3) = 0
           ENDIF
120
      CONTINUE
С
      DO 130, I = 1, BIND+2
            IF((CHAR2.EQ.'I').OR.(CHAR2.EQ.'1')) THEN
                 WRITE(12,*) I,NABC(I,1),NABC(I,2),NABC(I,3)
           ENDIF
           UNK = UNK + NABC(1,2)
            IF(NABC(I,2).GE.NBMX) THEN
                 NBMX = NABC(1,2)
           ENDIF
130
      CONTINUE
С
      WRITE(*,*) 'MAXIMUM UNKNOWN WIDTH = ',NBMX
WRITE(*,*) 'TOTAL # OF UNKNOWNS = ',UNK
```

```
90
```

```
WRITE(*,*) ''
       RETURN
       END
С
С
С
       SUBROUTINE SORTER(I, LEL, LAND)
С
С
      THIS SUBROUTINE GENERATES A MESH ROW FOR THE INPUT ROW I.
C
       LOADING OF THE LOCAL NODE-ELEMENT CONNECTION MATRICES LND AND
C
      NDL FOR ELEMENTS BETWEEN I AND I+1 NODE ROWS. REFERENCE IS TO
C
       THE LEFT SIDE OF THE 1th ROW OR VECTOR.
С
      INTEGER NODES(200), MOR(200), LND(0:200,3), NDL(200,4), NCT(200)
INTEGER I, PERND, BIND, LEL, LAND, J, K, LL, JJ, NN, KK, N, N1, N2
       INTEGER NDMX, NDS1L, NDS2L, NDS1R, NDS2R, LMX
       COMMON/BLK2/PERND, BIND
       COMMON/BLK3/NODES
       COMMON/BLK4/LND,NDL,NCT
      COMMON/BLK6/MOR
      IF(I.EQ.BIND+2) THEN
            WRITE(6,*) 'ERRORED OUT IN SUBROUTINE SORTER, YOU ATTEMPTED'
WRITE(6,*) 'TO CALL SORTER WITH I = BIND + 21'
            WRITE(6.*) '
                                       THIS ROW HAS NO ELEMENTS'
            RETURN
      ENDIF
      DO 20, J = 0, 200
            DO 10, K = 1, 3
                  LND(J,K) = 0
10
            CONTINUE
20
       CONTINUE
       NDMX = 200
       NDS1L = NODES(PERND+2-I)
       NDS2L = NODES(PERND+1-1)
       NDS1R = NODES(I)
      NDS2R = NODES(I+1)
       LMX = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2L + NDS2R - 2
С
С
С
      LEFTSIDE OF THE BISECTION SEGMENT
С
С
      TOP ROW
С
       IF(I.EQ.1) THEN
            LND(1,1) = 4
            LND(1,2) = 1
            IND(1,3) = 3
            LND(2,1) = 1
            LND(2,2) = 4
            LND(2,3) = 2
            IND(3,1) = 5
            LND(3,2) = 2
            LND(3,3) = 4
            LND(4,1) = 5
            LND(4,2) = 2
            LND(4,3) = 6
```

LND(5,1) = 1LND(5,2) = 6LND(5,3) = 2LND(6,1) = 6LND(6,2) = 1LND(6,3) = 7LEL = 6LAND = 7BOTTOM ROW С ELSEIF(I. EQ. BIND+1) THEN LND(1,1) = 2LND(1,2) = 6LND(1,3) = 1IND(2,1) = 6LND(2,2) = 2LND(2,3) = 7LND(3,1) = 3LND(3,2) = 7LND(3,3) = 2LND(4,1) = 3LND(4,2) = 7LND(4,3) = 4LND(5,1) = 6LND(5,2) = 4LND(5,3) = 7LND(6,1) = 4LND(6,2) = 6LND(6,3) = 5LEL = 6LAND = 7С С EQUAL NODE NUMBERS ELSEIF(NDS1L. EQ. NDS2L) THEN С FOR MOR = 0 (LH ORIENTATION) IF(MOR(PERND+2-I). EQ. 0) THEN LND(1,1) = 1LND(1,2) = NDS1L + NDS1RLND(1,3) = 2LND(2,1) = NDS1L + NDS1R + 1LND(2,2) = 2LND(2,3) = NDS1L + NDS1RDO 40, N = 1, NDS1L-2 N1 = 2*N + 1N2 = N1 + 1DO 30, K = 1, 3LND(N1,K) = LND(1,K) + NLND(N2,K) = LND(2,K) + N30 CONTINUE 40 CONTINUE C FOR MOR = 1 (RH ORIENTATION) ELSE LND(1,1) = NDS1L + NDS1RLND(1,2) = 1

```
LND(1,3) = NDS1L + NDS1R + 1
                 LND(2,1) = 2
                 LND(2,2) = NDS1L + NDS1R + 1
                 LND(2,3) = 1
                 DO 60, N = 1, NDS1L-2
                      N1 = 2*N + 1
                      N2 = N1 + 1
                      DO 50, K = 1, 3
                           LND(N1,K) = LND(1,K) + N
                           LND(N2,K) = LND(2,K) + N
50
                      CONTINUE
60
                 CONTINUE
           ENDIF
С
С
      LEFTHAND MESH ORIENTATION
С
      ELSEIF(MOR(PERND+2-I). EQ. 0) THEN
           LND(1,1) = NDS1L + NDS1R + 1
           LND(1,2) = 1
           LND(1,3) = NDS1L + NDS1R
           LND(0,1) = 0
           LND(0,2) = NDS1L + NDS1R
           LND(0,3) = 1
      DO 80, N = 1, NDS1L-1
           N1 = 2 + N
           N2 = N1 + 1
           DO 70, K = 1, 3
                 LND(N1,K) = LND(0,K) + N
                 LND(N2,K) = LND(1,K) + N
70
           CONTINUE
80
      CONTINUE
С
С
      RIGHTHAND MESH ORIENTATION
С
      ELSE
           LND(1,1) = 2
           LND(1,2) = NDS1L + NDS1R
           LND(1,3) = 1
           LND(0,1) = NDS1L + NDS1R - 1
           LND(0,2) = 1
           LND(0,3) = NDS1L + NDS1R
      DO 100, N = 1, NDS1L-2
           N1 = 2*N
           N2 = N1 + 1
           DO 90, K = 1, 3
                LND(N1,K) = LND(0,K) + N
                LND(N2,K) = LND(1,K) + N
90
           CONTINUE
100
      CONTINUE
      ENDIF
С
      IF((I.EQ.1).OR.(I.EQ.BIND+1)) THEN
           GOTO 230
      ENDIF
С
```

```
93
```

LEL = N2С С С RIGHTSIDE OF THE BISECTION SEGMENT С С С EQUAL NODE NUMBERS С IF(NDS1R. EQ. NDS2R) THEN С MOR = 0 (LH ORIENTATION) IF(MOR(I). EQ. 0) THEN LND(LEL+1, 1) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2L - 1LND(LEL+1,2) = NDS1LLND(LEL+1,3) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2LLND(LEL+2,1) = NDS1L + 1LND(LEL+2,2) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2LLND(LEL+2,3) = NDS1LDO 120, N = 1, NDS1R-2 N1 = 2*N + 1 + LELN2 = N1 + 1DO 110, K = 1, 3 LND(N1,K) = LND(LEL+1,K) + NLND(N2,K) = LND(LEL+2,K) + N110 CONTINUE 120 CONTINUE LEL = N2LAND = LMXС MOR = 1 (RH ORIENTATION) ELSE LND(LEL+1,1) = NDS1LLND(LEL+1,2) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2L - 1LND(LEL+1,3) = NDS1L + 1LND(LEL+2,1) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2LLND(LEL+2,2) = NDS1L + 1LND(LEL+2,3) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2L - 1DO 140, N = 1, NDS1R-2 N1 = 2*N + 1 + LELN2 = N1 + 1DO 130, K = 1, 3 LND(N1,K) = LND(LEL+1,K) + NLND(N2,K) = LND(LEL+2,K) + N130 CONTINUE 140 CONTINUE ENDIF LEL = N2 $LAND \approx LMX$ С С LEFT HAND MESH ORIENTATION С ELSEIF(MOR(I). EQ. 0) THEN LND(LEL+1,1) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2L - 1LND(LEL+1,2) = NDS1LLND(LEL+1,3) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2LLND(LEL+2,1) = NDS1L + 1LND(LEL+2,2) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2L

LND(LEL+2,3) = NDS1LС DO 160, N = 1, NDS1R-1 N1 = 2*N + LEL + 1 DO 150, K = 1, 3LND(N1,K) = LND(LEL+1,K) + N150 CONTINUE 160 CONTINUE С DO 180, N = 1, NDS1R-2 N2 = 2*N + LEL + 2DO 170, K = 1, 3LND(N2,K) = LND(LEL+2,K) + N170 CONTINUE 180 CONTINUE С LEL = N1LAND = LMXС С RIGHT HAND MESH ORIENTATION С ELSE LND(LEL+1,1) = NDS1LLND(LEL+1,2) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2L - 1LND(LEL+1,3) = NDS1L + 1LND(LEL+2,1) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2LLND(LEL+2,2) = NDS1L + 1LND(LEL+2,3) = NDS1L + NDS1R + NDS2L - 1С DO 200, N = 1, NDS1R-2 N1 = 2*N + LEL + 1DO 190, K = 1, 3LND(N1,K) = LND(LEL+1,K) + N190 CONTINUE 200 CONTINUE С DO 220, N = 1, NDS1R-3 N2 = 2*N + LEL + 2DO 210, K = 1, 3LND(N2,K) = LND(LEL+2,K) + N210 CONTINUE 220 CONTINUE С LEL = N1LAND = LMXС С ENDIF С С С С ZEROING NDL AND NCT PRIOR TO FILL С 230 DO 250, N = 1, NDMX NCT(N) = 0DO 240, K = 1, 4

```
NDL(N,K) = 0
240
           CONTINUE
250
      CONTINUE
С
С
      SCANNING LND ARRAY TO LOAD NDL
С
      DO 270 LL = 1, LEL
           DO 260 JJ = 1, 3
                NN = LND(LL, JJ)
                NCT(NN) = NCT(NN) + 1
                KK = NCT(NN)
                NDL(NN,KK) = LL
           CONTINUE
260
270
      CONTINUE
С
      END
С
С
      SUBROUTINE FINDER(I, LAND, DIST)
С
С
      THIS SUBROUTINE DETERMINES THE (X,Y) COORDINATES OF EACH
С
      NODE IN THE CALLING Ith ROW OR VECTOR MESH.
С
      INTEGER I, J, LAND, PERND, BIND, NODES(200)
      REAL MESH(0:200,5), NDP(200,2), DIST
      COMMON/BLK1/MESH
      COMMON/BLK2/PERND,BIND
      COMMON/BLK3/NODES
      COMMON/BLK5/NDP
      IF(I.EQ. 1) THEN
           NDP(1,1) = MESH(1,1) + MESH(1,3) + DIST
           NDP(1,2) = MESH(1,2) + MESH(1,4) + DIST
           NDP(2,1) = MESH(1,1)
           NDP(2,2) = MESH(1,2)
           NDP(3,1) = MESH(PERND,1)+MESH(PERND,3)*DIST
           NDP(3,2) = MESH(PERND,2)+MESH(PERND,4)*DIST
           NDP(4,1) = MESH(PERND,1)
           NDP(4,2) = MESH(PERND,2)
           NDP(5,1) = MESH(PERND+1,1)
           NDP(5,2) = MESH(PERND+1,2)
           NDP(6,1) = MESH(2,1)
           NDP(6,2) = MESH(2,2)
           NDP(7,1) = MESH(2,1)+MESH(2,3)+DIST
           NDP(7,2) = MESH(2,2)+MESH(2,4)+DIST
      ELSEIF(I. EQ. BIND+1) THEN
           NDP(1,1) = MESH(BIND+3,1)+MESH(BIND+3,3)*DIST
           NDP(1,2) = MESH(BIND+3,2)+MESH(BIND+3,4)*DIST
           NDP(2,1) = MESH(BIND+3,1)
           NDP(2,2) = MESH(BIND+3,2)
           NDP(3,1) = MESH(PERND+BIND,1)
           NDP(3,2) = MESH(PERND+BIND,2)
           NDP(4,1) = MESH(BIND+1,1)
           NDP(4,2) = MESH(BIND+1,2)
           NDP(5,1) = MESH(BIND+1,1)+MESH(BIND+1,3)*DIST
           NDP(5,2) = MESH(BIND+1,2)+MESH(BIND+1,4)*DIST
           NDP(6,1) = MESH(BIND+2,1)+MESH(BIND+2,3)*DIST
```

		NDP(6,2) = MESH(BIND+2,2)+MESH(BIND+2,4)*DIST NDP(7,1) = MESH(BIND+2,1) NDP(7,2) = MESH(BIND+2,2)
	ELSI	EIF(I.EQ.BIND+2) THEN
		WRITE(6,*) ' ERRORED OUT IN SUBROUTINE FINDER, YOU ATTEMPTED' WRITE(6,*) ' TO CALL FINDER WITH I = BIND + 2!'
	ETCI	WRITE(0,*) THIS ROW HAS NO ELEMENTS AND NO COORDINATES
c	6791	NODE 1
U		$NDP(1 1) = MECH(DEDND_1+2 1) + MECH(DEDND_1+2 3) + DECH$
		$NDP(1,1) \simeq MECU(DEDND_1+2,1) + MECU(DEDND_1+2,5) + DISINDD(1,2) \simeq MECU(DEDND_1+2,2) + MECU(DEDND_1+2,6) + DISI$
c		NODE 2 TO THE RESECTION SECHENT
U		$\frac{10}{10} = 2 \frac{11}{10} = 2 \frac{10}{10} = \frac{10}{10$
		NDD(I)=2, NODES($IEXND=1+2$) NDD(I)=MFSH(DFDND= $I+2$))-($I=2$)*(MFSH(DFDND= $I+2$))-
	C	MFSH(PFRND+I-1, 1))/(NODFS(PFRND-I+2)-2)
	U	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$
	C	MESH(DEDND_1_1_2))/(NODES(DEDND_1_2)_2)
10	U	CONTINUE
Ċ		RISECTION SEGMENT TO THE RICHTSIDE SUBEACE
U		DO 20 I = 3 NODES(I)
		NODES(DEDND-T+2)+ I_2 1)-MESU(DEDND+ I_2 1)+(I_2)*
	C	(MESH(I = 1) - MESH(DERNI) + I = 1 = 1) / (NODES(I) = 2)
	U	$\frac{(1201(1,1))}{(1201(1201)+1)} = \frac{(1201(1201)+1)}{(10020(1)+2)} = \frac{(1201(1-2))}{(10020(1)+2)} = \frac{(1201(1-2))}{(10020(1-2))} = \frac{(1201(1-2))}{(10020(1-$
	C	$(MFSH(T_2) - MFSH(DFDND+T-1_2))/(NODFS(T)-2)$
20	U	CONTINUE
ĉ		Ith ROWS LAST NODE
Ŭ		NDP(NODES(PERND-I+2)+NODES(I)-1,1)=MESH(I,1)+MESH(I,3)*DIST
		NDP(NODES(PERND-I+2)+NODES(I)-1,2)=MESH(I,2)+MESH(I,4)*DIST
С		I+1th ROWS FIRST NODE
•		NDP(NODES(PERND-I+2)+NODES(I), 1)=MESH(PERND-I+1, 1)+MESH
	С	(PERND-I+1.3)*DIST
	•	NDP(NODES(PERND-I+2)+NODES(I),2)=MESH(PERND-I+1,2)+MESH
	С	(PERND-I+1.4)*DIST
С		I+1TH ROW (NODE 2) TO THE BISECTION SEGMENT
		DO 30, $J = 2$, NODES(PERND-I+1)
		NDP(J+NODES(PERND-I+2)+NODES(I)-1,1)=MESH(PERND-1+1,
	С	1) - (J-2) * (MESH(PERND-I+1,1) - MESH(PERND+I,1)) /
	С	(NODES(PERND-I+1)-2)
		NDP(J+NODES(PERND-I+2)+NODES(I)-1,2)=MESH(PERND-I+1,
	С	2)-(J-2)*(MESH(PERND-I+1,2)-MESH(PERND+I,2))/
	С	(NODES(PERND-I+1)-2)
30		CONTINUE
С		I+1th ROW BISECTION SEGMENT TO THE RIGHTSIDE SURFACE
		DO 40, $J = 3$, NODES(I+1)
		NDP(LAND-NODES($I+1$)+J-1,1)=MESH(PERND+I,1)+(J-2)*
	С	(MESH(I+1,1)-MESH(PERND+I,1))/(NODES(I+1)-2)
		NDP(LAND-NODES(I+1)+J-1,2)=MESH(PERND+I,2)+(J-2)*
	С	(MESH(I+1,2)-MESH(PERND+I,2))/(NODES(I+1)-2)
40		CONTINUE
С		LAST NODE
		NDP(LAND, 1) = MESH(I+1, 1) + MESH(I+1, 3) + DIST
		NDP(LAND, 2) = MESH(I+1, 2) + MESH(I+1, 4) + DIST
С		
	END:	IF
	RETI	IRN

END С С SUBROUTINE VARINT(J,F,ALPHA, BETA, AREA, LND) С С GENERATING VARIATIONAL FINITE ELEMENT AREA INTEGRATIONS OF THE С LINEAR BASIS FUNCTION LAGRANGIAN FOR THE HELMHOLTZ EQUATION. С THESE ARE RETURNED IN F(3,3). X(3) AND Y(3) ARE THE WAVENUMBER С NORMALIZED CARTESIAN COORDINATES OF THE TRIANGLE VERTICES. С X = Ko*x, Y = Ko*yALPHA AND BETA ARE COMPLEX С MATERIAL PARAMETERS WITHIN THE ELEMENT. С С FOR TM INCIDENCE: ALPHA = 1/ur; BETA = er С FOR TE INCIDENCE: ALPHA = 1/er; BETA = ur С С F(3.3) - FINITE ELEMENT AREA INTEGRATION **OUTPUTS** : С AREA - AREA OFF A TRIANGLE С COMPLEX ALPHA, BETA, B12, F(3,3) REAL NDP(200,2), X(3), Y(3), T(3,3), AREA, DET INTEGER L, K, J, LND(0:200,3)COMMON/BLK5/NDP С X(1) = NDP(LND(J,1),1)X(2) = NDP(LND(J,2),1)X(3) = NDP(LND(J,3),1)Y(1) = NDP(LND(J,1),2)Y(2) = NDP(LND(J,2),2)Y(3) = NDP(LND(J,3),2)DET = X(2)*Y(3) + X(3)*Y(1) + X(1)*Y(2) - X(3)*Y(2) -CX(1)*Y(3) - X(2)*Y(1) $AREA = ABS(0.5 \div DET)$ B12 = BETA/12.T(1,1) = (Y(2) - Y(3))/DETT(1,2) = (Y(3) - Y(1))/DETT(1,3) = (Y(1) - Y(2))/DETT(2,1) = (X(3) - X(2))/DETT(2,2) = (X(1) - X(3))/DETT(2,3) = (X(2) - X(1))/DETT(3,1) = (X(2)*Y(3) - X(3)*Y(2))/DETT(3,2) = (X(3)*Y(1) - X(1)*Y(3))/DETT(3,3) = (X(1)*Y(2) - X(2)*Y(1))/DETDO 10, K = 1, 3 DO 10, L = 1, 3 F(K,L) = ALPHA*(T(1,K)*T(1,L) + T(2,K)*T(2,L)) - B12IF(K. EQ. L) F(K, L) = F(K, L) - B1210 F(K,L) = AREA*F(K,L)С RETURN END С С SUBROUTINE LOADER(BCOND, OFFSET, ALPHA, BETA, NABC, IMX, NBMX, E0, SURBC, CCHAR1, LINE, MODE, XORIGIN, YORIGIN, SVEC, CHAR5) С COMPLEX A(50,50), B(50,50), C(50,50), P(50,100)

COMPLEX F(3,3), FROW(100,3,3), BCOND(100), LINE(50) COMPLEX ALPHA, BETA, DETERM, SURBC(100), SVEC(50,100) REAL OFFSET, MINAREA, MAXAREA, AREA, RATIO, EO, KJ, XCRIGIN, YORIGIN REAL UBCOND INTEGER I, J, JD, K, L, NDTOP, NDBOT, NDTOT, NOD, KND, ND(3), LEL, LAND INTEGER LND(0: 200,3), NDL(200,4), NCT(200), PERND, BIND, JJ INTEGER NODES(200), MINROW, MINEL, MAXROW, MAXEL, TCALL, NL INTEGER N, M, NBMX, NABC(100, 3), INORM, IMX, MODE CHARACTER*1 CHAR1, CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4, CHAR5 С COMMON/BLK2/PERND, BIND COMMON/BLK3/NODES COMMON/BLK4/LND,NDL,NCT COMMON/BLK7/MINAREA, MINROW, MINEL, MAXAREA, MAXROW, MAXEL, AREA COMMON/BLK8/A, B, C, P COMMON/BLK9/CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4 С UBCOND = 1.0INORM = 0IMX = BIND + 2I = 1TCALL = BIND + 1С IF((CHAR3. EQ. 'D'). OR. (CHAR3. EQ. 'd')) THEN WRITE(20,1030) WRITE(20,1040) WRITE(20,1050) WRITE(20,1060) WRITE(20,1070) ENDIF WRITE(*,1000) 1,TCALL С CALL SORTER(I, LEL, LAND) CALL FINDER(I, LAND, OFFSET) IF(.NOT. ((CHAR5. EQ. 'M'). OR. (CHAR5. EQ. 'm'))) THEN CALL BNDC(I, BCOND, E0, SURBC, CHAR1, ALPHA, BETA, LINE, MODE, XORIGIN, CYORIGIN, CHAR4) ENDIF CALL FILL(I, LEL, FROW, ALPHA, BETA) IF(.NOT. ((CHAR5.EQ. 'M').OR. (CHAR5.EQ. 'm'))) THEN CALL ZERO ENDIF С ESTABLISH THE NUMBER OF NODES IN THE I = 1 AND I = 2 ROWS NDTOP = 2NDBOT = 5NDTOT = 7С С B, C & P FOR I = 1 (TOP) С $\mathbf{J}=\mathbf{1}$ DO 70, JD = 1, NCT(2)L = NDL(2, JD)DO 50, K = 1, 3ND(K) = LND(L,K)IF(ND(K), EQ. 2) KND = KCONTINUE 50
	DO 60, $K = 1, 3$
с	BOUNDARY CONDITION
•	IF(NL. EQ. 1) THEN P(J,1) = -UBCOND*FROW(L,KND,K) + P(J,1)
С	UPPER ROW
	ELSEIF(NL. EQ. 2) THENB(J,1) = FROW(L,KND,K) + B(J,1)
С	LOWER ROW ELSEIF((NL.GT.3). AND. (NL.LT.7)) THEN C(J,NL-3) = FROW(L,KND,K) + C(J,NL-3)
С	ERROR
	WRITE(*,*) NL, 'ERROR - INDEX EQUALS 3 OR 7' ENDIF
60	CONTINUE
70	CONTINUE
C	IF((CHAR2.EQ.'I').OR.(CHAR2.EQ.'i')) THEN
	WRITE(1,*) I
	DO /2, M = I, NABC(I,2) WRITE(1.1020) (REAL(B(M,N)), N = 1, NABC(I,2))
72	CONTINUE
	WRITE(1,*) DO 73 M = 1 NABC(1.2)
	WRITE(1,1020) (REAL(C(M,N)), N = 1, NABC(I,3))
73	CONTINUE
	DO 74, M = 1, NABC(I,2)
. .	WRITE(1,1020) (REAL($P(M,N)$), N = 1, PERND)
74 C	CONTINUE
Ũ	WRITE(1,*) ''
	WRITE(1,*) ' '
	ENDIF
С	
	IF(.NOT.((CHAR5.EQ. M').OR.(CHAR5.EQ. m))) IHEN CALL MARCH(I,IMX,NBMX,NABC(I,1),NABC(I,2),NABC(I,3),INORM,SVEC) CALL ZERO ENDIF
С	BEGIN LOOPING DO 900, I = 1, BIND
С	
	DO 400, J = 1, NDBOI-2 NOD = J + NDTOP + 1
	DO 300, $JD = 1$, NCT(NOD)
	L = NDL(NOD, JD)
	ND(K) = IND(L,K)
	IF(ND(K), EQ, NOD) KND = K
100	$\frac{\text{CONTINUE}}{\text{DO} 200, K = 1, 3}$
	NL = ND(K)
С	BOUNDARY CONDITION IF((I.EO.1), AND.(NL.EQ.1)) THEN

```
P(J,NL) = -UBCOND*FROW(L,KND,K) + P(J,NL)
      ELSEIF(NL. EQ. 1) THEN
           P(J, PERND-I+2) = -UBCOND*FROW(L, KND, K) + P(J, PERND-I+2)
С
      SPECIAL CASE FOR NODE #2 AND I = 1
      ELSEIF((I. EQ. 1). AND. (NL. EQ. 2))THEN
            A(J,1) = FROW(L,KND,K) + A(J,1)
      ELSEIF(NL. EQ. NDTOP) THEN
            P(J,I) = -UBCOND*FROW(L,KND,K) + P(J,I)
      ELSEIF(NL. EQ. NDTOP+1) THEN
            P(J, PERND-I+1) = -UBCOND*FROW(L, KND, K) + P(J, PERND-I+1)
      ELSEIF(NL. EQ. NDTOT) THEN
            P(J, I+1) = -UBCOND + FROW(L, KND, K) + P(J, I+1)
С
      UPPER ROW
      ELSEIF(NL. LT. NDTOP) THEN
            A(J,NL-1) = FROW(L,KND,K) + A(J,NL-1)
С
      LOWER ROW
      ELSEIF(NL. LT. NDTOT) THEN
            B(J,NL-NDTOP-1) = FROW(L,KND,K) + B(J,NL-NDTOP-1)
С
      ERROR
      ELSE
           WRITE(*,*) NL, 'ERROR - DUE TO INDEX GREATER THAN NODE TOTAL'
      ENDIF
С
200
                                  CONTINUE
300
                            CONTINUE
400
                       CONTINUE
С
С
      INDEX FOR NEXT ROW AND COMPLETE B, C, P FILLS
С
            JJ = I + 1
           WRITE(6,1010) JJ,TCALL
           CALL SORTER(JJ, LEL, LAND)
           CALL FINDER(JJ,LAND,OFFSET)
IF(.NOT.((CHAR5.EQ.'M').OR.(CHAR5.EQ.'m'))) THEN
            CALL BNDC(JJ, BCOND, EO, SURBC, CHAR1, ALPHA, BETA, LINE, MODE,
     С
           XORIGIN, YORIGIN, CHAR4)
           ENDIF
            CALL FILL(JJ, LEL, FROW, ALPHA, BETA)
С
      ESTABLISH THE NUMBER OF NODES IN THE I+1th AND I+2th ROWS
            IF(JJ.NE. (BIND+1)) THEN
                 NDTOP = NODES(PERND+2-JJ) + NODES(JJ) - 1
                 NDBOT = NODES(PERND+1-JJ) + NODES(JJ+1) - 1
                 NDTOT = NDBOT + NDTOP
            ELSE
                 NDTOP = 5
                 NDBOT = 2
                 NDTOT = 7
           ENDIF
С
           DO 800, J = 1, NDTOP-2
                 NOD = J + 1
                 DO 700, JD = 1, NCT(NOD)
                      L = NDL(NOD, JD)
                      DO 500, K = 1, 3
                            ND(K) = LND(L,K)
```

IF(ND(K), EQ, NOD) KND = K500 CONTINUE DO 600, K = 1, 3NL = ND(K)С BOUNDARY CONDITION IF(NL. EQ. 1) THFN $P(J, PERND-J_+2) = -UBCOND*FROW(L, KND, K)+P(J, PERND-JJ+2)$ ELSEIF(NL TQ.NDTOP) THEN -UBCOND*FROW(L,KND,K) + P(J,JJ)P(J,JJ)ELSEIF(NL. LQ. NDTOP+1) THEN P(J,PERND-JJ+1)=-UBCOND*FROW(L,KND,K)+P(J,PERND-JJ+1) ELSEIF((JJ. EQ. (BIND+1)). AND. (NL. EQ. NDTOT)) THEN C(J,1) = FROW(L,KND,K) + C(J,1)ELSEIF(NL. EQ. NDTOT) THEN P(J,JJ+1) = -UBCOND*FROW(L,KND,K) + P(J,JJ+1)С UPPER ROW ELSEIF(NL. LT. NDTOP) THEN B(J,NL-1) = FROW(L,KND,K) + B(J,NL-1)С LOWER ROW ELSEIF(NL. LT. NDTOT) THEN C(J,NL-NDTOP-1) = FROW(L,KND,K) + C(J,NL-NDTOP-1)С ERROR ELSE WRITE(*,*) NL, 'ERROR - DUE TO INDEX GREATER THAN NODE TOTAL' ENDIF С 600 CONTINUE CONTINUE 700 800 CONTINUE С IF((CHAR2.EQ.'I').OR.(CHAR2.EQ.'i')) THEN WRITE(1,*) JJ DO 91, M = 1, NABC(JJ,2) WRITE(1, 1020) (REAL(A(M,N)), N = 1, NABC(JJ,1)) 91 CONTINUE WRITE(1,*) ' ' DO 92, M = 1, NABC(JJ,2) WRITE(1,1020) (REAL(B(M,N)), N = 1, NABC(JJ,2)) 92 CONTINUE WRITE(1,*) ' ' DO 93, M = 1, NABC(JJ,2) WRITE(1, 1020) (REAL(C(M,N)), N = 1, NABC(JJ,3)) 93 CONTINUE WRITE(1,*) ' ' DO 94, M = 1, NABC(JJ,2) WRITE(1,1020) (REAL(P(M,N)), N = 1, PERND) 94 CONTINUE С WRITE(1,*) '' WRITE(1,*) ' ' WRITE(1,*) ' ' ENDIF С IF(.NOT. ((CHAR5. EQ. 'M'). OR. (CHAR5. EQ. 'm'))) THEN CALL MARCH(JJ, IMX, NBMX, NABC(JJ, 1), NABC(JJ, 2), NABC(JJ, 3), INORM, CSVEC)

```
CALL ZERO
      ENDIF
С
900
      CONTINUE
С
С
      LOAD A, B & P FOR I = BIND + 2 (BOTTOM)
С
      J = 1
      DO 30, JD = 1, NCT(7)
           L = NDL(7, JD)
           DO 10, K = 1, 3
                 ND(K) = LND(L,K)
                 IF(ND(K), EQ, 7) KND = K
10
                      CONTINUE
                      DO 20, K = 1, 3
                           NL = ND(K)
С
      BOUNDARY CONDITION
      IF(NL. EQ. 6) THEN
           P(J, JJ+1) = -UBCOND + FROM(L, KND, K) + P(J, JJ+1)
С
      UPPER ROW
      ELSEIF((NL. GT. 1). AND. (NL. LT. 5)) THEN
           A(J,NL-1) = FROW(L,KND,K) + A(J,NL-1)
      LOWER ROW
С
      ELSEIF(NL. EQ. 7) THEN
            B(J,1) = FROW(L,KND,K) + B(J,1)
С
      ERROR
      ELSE
           WRITE(*,*) NL, 'ERROR - INDEX EQUAL TO 1 OR 5'
      ENDIF
С
20
           CONTINUE
30
      CONTINUE
С
      IF((CHAR2.EQ.'I').OR. (CHAR2.EQ.'1')) THEN
           WRITE(1,*) TCALL+1
           DO 61, M = 1, NABC(TCALL+1,2)
                WRITE(1,1020) (REAL(A(M,N)), N = 1, NABC(TCALL+1,1))
           CONTINUE
61
                WRITE(1,*) ' '
           DO 62, M = 1, NABC(TCALL+1,2)
                WRITE(1,1020) (REAL(B(M,N)), N = 1, NABC(TCALL+1,2))
62
           CONTINUE
                WRITE(1,*) ' '
           DO 64, M = 1, NABC(TCALL+1,2)
                WRITE(1,1020) (REAL(P(M,N)), N = 1, PERND)
64
           CONTINUE
С
           WRITE(1,*) ' '
           WRITE(1,*) ' '
           WRITE(1,*) ' '
      ENDIF
С
      WRITE(6,*) '
                       FINAL INVERSION'
      IF(.NOT. ((CHAR5. EQ. 'M'). OR. (CHAR5. EQ. 'm'))) THEN
      CALL MARCH(JJ+1,IMX,NBMX,NABC(JJ+1,1),NABC(JJ+1,2),NABC(JJ+1,3),
     CINORM, SVEC)
```

```
ENDIF
С
        WRITE(2,*) ' END END '
        WRITE(6,*)
        WRITE(6,*) 'MINIMUM AREA = ', MINAREA
WRITE(6,*) 'AT ROW ', MINROW, 'ANI
WRITE(6,*) 'MAXIMUM AREA = ', MAXAREA
WRITE(6,*) 'AT ROW ', MAXROW, 'ANI
                                                         AND ELEMENT NUMBER ', MINEL
                                                         AND ELEMENT NUMBER ', MAXEL
        RATIO = MAXAREA/MINAREA
        WRITE(6,*) 'AREA RATIO
                                            = ', RATIO
        IF(RATIO. GT. 2.5) THEN
WRITE(6,*) 'YOU SHOULD CONSIDER ABORTING THIS RUN AND '
               WRITE(6,*) 'YOU SHOULD CONSIDER ABORTING THIS RUN A
WRITE(6,*) 'LOOKING AT THE MESH IN CURVE DIGITIZER
               WRITE(6,*) 'A BETTER METHOD MAY BE AVAILABLE
        ENDIF
        IF((CHAR3. EQ. 'D'). OR. (CHAR3. EQ. 'd')) THEN
               WRITE(20,1080)
                WRITE(20,1090)
               WRITE(20,1100)
        ENDIF
C
        FORMAT( 16, 'OUT OF', 16, 'CALLS ')
FORMAT( 16, 'OUT OF', 16, 'CALLS ')
FORMAT( 20(E14.8, 1X, E14.8, 1X))
FORMAT( 6X, 'CALL COMPRS')
FORMAT( 6X, 'CALL NOBRDR')
1000
1010
1020
1030
        FORMAT( 6X, 'CALL NOBRUK )
FORMAT( 6X, 'CALL PAGE(8.0,10.0)')
1040
        FORMAT( 6X, 'CALL PAGE(8.0,10.0)')
FORMAT( 6X, 'CALL AREA2D(5.0,7.0)')
1050
        FORMAT( 6X, 'CALL AND ONEP!
1060
        FORMAT( 6X, 'CALL FRAME )
FORMAT( 6X, 'CALL DONEPL')
1070
        FORMAT( 6X, 'CALL D
FORMAT( 6X, 'STOP')
FORMAT( 6X, 'END')
1080
1090
1100
С
        RETURN
        END
С
С
         SUBROUTINE FILL(I, LEL, FROW, ALPHA, BETA)
С
         COMPLEX F(3,3), FROW(100,3,3), ALPHA, BETA, A, B
         REAL AREA, MINAREA, MAXAREA
         REAL NDP(200,2)
         INTEGER I, J, K, L, LEL, LND(0:200,3), NDL(200,4), NCT(200)
         INTEGER MINROW, MINEL, MAXROW, MAXEL, M
         CHARACTER*1 CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4
         COMMON/BLK4/LND,NDL,NCT
         COMMON/BLK5/NDP
         COMMON/BLK7/MINAREA, MINROW, MINEL, MAXAREA, MAXROW, MAXEL, AREA
         COMMON/BLK9/CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4
С
         IF((CHAR2.EQ.'I').OR.(CHAR2.EQ.'i')) THEN
WRITE(11,*) 'ROW NUMBER = ',I
         ENDIF
         DO 30, J = 1, LEL
                IF((CHAR4. EQ. 'U'). OR. (CHAR4. EQ. 'u')) THEN
```

A = ALPHAB = BETAELSE IF((J. EQ. 1). OR. (J. EQ. 2). OR. (J. EQ. LEL-1). OR. (J. EQ. LEL)) С THEN A = (1, 0, 0, 0)B = (1.0, 0.0)ELSE A = ALPHAB = BETAENDIF ENDIF CALL VARINT(J,F,A,B,AREA,LND) IF((J.GT. 2). AND. (J. LT. LEL-1)) THEN IF(AREA. LT. MINAREA) THEN MINAREA = AREAMINROW = IMINEL = JELSEIF(AREA. GT. MAXAREA) THEN MAXAREA = AREAMAXROW = IMAXEL = JENDIF ENDIF DO 20, K = 1, 3 WRITE(2,*) NDP(LND(J,K),1), NDP(LND(J,K),2) DO 10, L = 1, 3 FROW(J,K,L) = F(K,L)10 CONTINUE IF((CHAR2. EQ. 'I'). OR. (CHAR2. EQ. 'i')) THEN WRITE(11,1000) J,K,(REAL(F(K,L)), L = 1,3) ENDIF 20 CONTINUE IF((CHAR2. EQ. 'I'). OR. (CHAR2. EQ. 'i')) THEN WRITE(11,*) ENDIF WRITE(2,*) NDP(LND(J,1),1), NDP(LND(J,1),2) WRITE(2,*) ' 999990 999990 DISSPLA PROGRAM GENERATION IF((CHAR3. EQ. 'D'). OR. (CHAR3. EQ. 'd')) THEN WRITE(20,1010) NDP(LND(J,1),1), NDP(LND(J,1),2) WRITE(20,1020) NDP(LND(J,2),1), NDP(LND(J,2),2) WRITE(20,1020) NDP(LND(J,3),1), NDP(LND(J,3),2) WRITE(20,1020) NDP(LND(J,1),1), NDP(LND(J,1),2) ENDIF 30 CONTINUE 1000 FORMAT(1X,2(13,2X),3X,3(F8.5,2X)) 1010 FORMAT(6X, 'CALL STRTPT(', F8.5, ', ', F8.5, ')') 1020 FORMAT(6X, 'CALL CONNPT(', F8.5, ', ', F8.5, ')') RETURN END

С

С

С

С

С

```
SUBROUTINE ZERO
С
      ZERO A, B, C, AND P MATRICES
      COMPLEX A(50,50), B(50,50), C(50,50), P(50,100)
      INTEGER J, K, L
С
      COMMON/BLK8/A, B, C, P
С
      DO 50, J = 1, 50
            \dot{D}O 40, \dot{K} = 1, 50
                 A(J,K) = CMPLX(0.0,0.0)
                 B(J,K) = CMPLX(0,0,0,0)
                 C(J,K) = CMPLX(0,0,0,0)
40
            CONTINUE
            DO 60, L = 1, 100
                 P(J,L) = CMPLX(0,0,0,0)
60
            CONTINUE
50
      CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END
С
С
      SUBROUTINE BNDC(I, BCOND, E0, SURBC, CHAR1, ALPHA, BETA, LINE, MODE,
     CXORIGIN, YORIGIN, CHAR4)
С
С
      BOUNDARY CONDITION FILL FOR A PLANE WAVE
С
      EO = FIELD STRENGTH
С
      KO = WAVE NUMBER (2*PI/WAVELENGTH)
С
С
      BOUNDARY CONDITION FILL FOR A CYLINDRICAL BOUNDARY CONDITION
С
      EO = FIELD STRENGTH
С
      MODE = MODE NUMBER FOR CYLINDRICAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
С
      COMPLEX SURBC(100), VALUE(50), BCOND(100), ALPHA, BETA, LINE(50)
      COMPLEX K, RAK
      REAL NDP(200,2), E0, KR, KI, XORIGIN, YORIGIN, RA, RB
INTEGER I, J, NDTOP, NDBOT, NDTOT, NODES(200), PERND, BIND, MODE
      CHARACTER*1 CHAR1, CHAR4
С
      COMMON/BLK2/PERND,BIND
      COMMON/BLK3/NODES
      COMMON/BLK5/NDP
С
      IF((CHAR1. EQ. 'P'). OR. (CHAR1. EQ. 'p')) THEN
            IF((CHAR4. EQ. 'U'). OR. (CHAR4. EQ. 'u')) THEN
                 KR = REAL(CSQRT(BETA))
                 KI = ABS(AIMAG(CSQRT(BETA)))
            ELSE
                 KR = 1.0
                 KI = 0.0
            ENDIF
С
С
      CHECK ON WHETHER WE ARE USING ER = ALPHA OR BETA
C
      IF(I.EQ.1) THEN
            BCOND(1) = EO*EXP(KI*NDP(1,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*NDP(1,2)),
```

```
SIN(KR*NDP(1,2)))
С
      BCOND(PERND) = E0*EXP(KI*NDP(3,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*NDP(3,2)),
С
      SIN(KR*NDP(3,2))
      BCOND(2) = E0*EXP(KI*NDP(7,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*NDP(7,2)),
      SIN(KR*NDP(7,2)))
С
      VALUE(1) = E0*EXP(KI*NDP(2,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*NDP(2,2)),
С
      SIN(KR*NDP(2,2)))
      WRITE(10,*) BCOND(1)
      WRITE(10,1000) VALUE(1)
      WRITE(10,*)
      SURBC(1) = VALUE(1)
 ELSEIF(I. LE. BIND) THEN
      NDTOP = NODES(1) + NODES(PERND-1+2) - 1
      NDBOT = NODES(I+1) + NODES(PERND-I+1) - 1
      NDTOT = NDTOP + NDBOT
      BCOND(PERND-I+1) = E0*EXP(KI*NDP(NDTOP+1,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*
С
      NDP(NDTOP+1,2)),SIN(KR*NDP(NDTOP+1,2)))
      BCOND(I+1) = E0*EXP(KI*NDP(NDTOT,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*NDP(NDTOT,
С
      2)),SIN(KR*NDP(NDTOT,2)))
      WRITE(10,*) BCOND(PERND-I+2)
      DO 10, J = 2, NDTOP-1
           VALUE(J) = E0*EXP(KI*NDP(J,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*NDP(J,2)),
С
           SIN(KR*NDP(J,2)))
           IF(J. EQ. 2) THEN
                SURBC(PERND-I+2) = VALUE(2)
           ELSEIF(J. EQ. (NDTOP-1)) THEN
                SURBC(I) = VALUE(NDTOP-1)
           ENDIF
      CONTINUE
      LINE(I) = VALUE((NDTOP+1)/2)
      WRITE(10,1000) (VALUE(J), J = 2, NDTOP-1)
      WRITE(10,*) BCOND(I)
      WRITE(10,*)
 ELSEIF(I.EQ.BIND+1) THEN
      BCOND(I+1) = E0*EXP(KI*NDP(6,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*NDP(6,2)),
      SIN(KR*NDP(6,2)))
C
      VALUE(2) = E0*EXP(KI*NDP(2,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*NDP(2,2)),
С
      SIN(KR*NDP(2,2)))
      VALUE(3) = E0*EXP(KI*NDP(3,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*NDP(3,2)),
С
      SIN(KR*NDP(3,2)))
      VALUE(4) = E0*EXP(KI*NDP(4,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*NDP(4,2)),
      SIN(KR*NDP(4,2)))
С
      WRITE(10,*) BCOND(I+2)
      WRITE(10,1000) VALUE(2), VALUE(3), VALUE(4)
      SURBC(BIND+3) = VALUE(2)
      SURBC(BIND+1) = VALUE(4)
      LINE(I) = VALUE(3)
      WRITE(10,*) BCOND(I)
      WRITE(10,*)
      VALUE(2) = E0*EXP(KI*NDP(7,2))*CMPLX(COS(KR*NDP(7,2)),
      SIN(KR*NDP(7,2)))
С
      WRITE(10,1000) VALUE(2)
      WRITE(10,*) BCOND(I+1)
      SURBC(BIND+2) = VALUE(2)
```

С

10

С

```
ENDIF
С
      ELSEIF((CHAR1.EQ.'C').OR.(CHAR1.EQ.'c')) THEN
С
      IF(I.EQ.1) THEN
           RA = SQRT((NDP(2,1)-XORIGIN)**2+(NDP(2,2)-YORIGIN)**2)
           RB = SQRT((NDP(1,1)-XORIGIN)**2+(NDP(1,2)-YORIGIN)**2)
           WRITE(*,*) BETA
           K = CSQRT(BETA)
           RAK = RA*K
           CALL CYLBC(RA, RB, RAK, NDP(1,1), NDP(1,2), XORIGIN, YORIGIN, E0,
     С
           BCOND(1),SURBC(1),K)
           CALL CYLBC(RA, RB, RAK, NDP(3,1), NDP(3,2), XORIGIN, YORIGIN, E0,
           BCOND(PERND), SURBC(PERND), K)
     С
           CALL CYLBC(RA, RB, RAK, NDP(7,1), NDP(7,2), XORIGIN, YORIGIN, E0,
     С
           BCOND(2), SURBC(2), K)
           WRITE(10,*) BCOND(1), SURBC(1)
           WRITE(10,*)
      ELSEIF(I. LE. BIND) THEN
           NDTOP = NODES(I) + NODES(PERND-I+2) - 1
           NDBOT = NODES(I+1) + NODES(PERND-I+1) - 1
           NDTOT = NDTOP + NDBOT
           CALL CYLBC(RA, RB, RAK, NDP(NDTOP+1, 1), NDP(NDTOP+1, 2), XORIGIN,
     С
           YORIGIN, E0, BCOND(PERND-I+1), SURBC(PERND-I+1), K)
           CALL CYLBC(RA, RB, RAK, NDP(NDTOT, 1), NDP(NDTOT, 2), XORIGIN,
     С
           YORIGIN, EO, BCOND(I+1), SURBC(I+1), K)
           WRITE(10,*) BCOND(PERND-I+2), SURBC(PERND-I+2)
           WRITE(10,*) BCOND(I), SURBC(I)
           WRITE(10,*)
      ELSEIF(I.EQ.BIND+1) THEN
           WRITE(10,*) BCOND(I+2), SURBC(I+2)
           WRITE(10,*) BCOND(I), SURBC(I)
           WRITE(10,*)
            CALL CYLBC(RA, RB, RAK, NDP(6,1), NDP(6,2), XORIGIN,
     С
           YORIGIN, E0, BCOND(I+1), SURBC(I+1), K)
           WRITE(10,*) BCOND(I+1), SURBC(I+1)
           WRITE(10,*)
      ENDIF
С
      ELSE
           RETURN
      ENDIF
С
1000
      FORMAT(1X,50(E14.8,2X,E14.8,2X))
С
      RETURN
      END
С
С
      SUBROUTINE CYLBC(RA, RB, RAK, X, Y, XORIGIN, YORIGIN, EO, BC, PSI, K)
С
      COMPLEX SQRTM1, JORB, J1RB, JORAK, J1RAK, HORA, H1RA, HORB, H1RB
      COMPLEX DELTAN, AN, PSI, JORA, J1RA, K, RAK, BC
      REAL PI, XORIGIN, YORIGIN, X, Y, RA, RB, EO, PHI
С
      PI = 4.0 * ATAN(1.0)
```

```
SQRTM1 = CMPLX(0.0, 1.0)
      PHI = ATAN2(X - XORIGIN, Y - YORIGIN)
      CALL BES1(CMPLX(RA,0.0), JORA, J1RA)
      CALL BES1(CMPLX(RB,0.0), JORB, J1RB)
      CALL BES1(RAK, JORAK, J1RAK)
      CALL HAN1(CMPLX(RA, 0. 0), HORA, H1RA)
      CALL HAN1(CMPLX(RB, 0. 0), HORB, H1RB)
С
      DELTAN = J1RB*(J1RAK*(HORA-H1RA/RA)-K*(J0RAK-J1RAK/RAK)*H1RA)-
     CH1RB*(J1RAK*(JORA-J1RA/RA)-K*(JORAK-J1RAK/RAK)*J1RA)
      AN = -2.0*SQRTM1/(PI*RA*DELTAN)
      BC = E0*COS(PHI)
      PSI = AN*J1RAK*BC
С
      RETURN
      END
С
С
      SUBROUTINE BES1(Z,J0,J1)
С
00000
        Computing Bessel Functions for n = 0, 1 with
        Complex Argument Z. Direct Power Series Method for
        CABS(Z) . LE. 6 and Hankel's Asymptotic Formula for
        CABS(Z) .GT. 6.
        Written 11/5/87 by M.A. Morgan
С
      INTEGER M,M2
      REAL C(34), DM, F(34), GO, P(34), Pi, P2
      COMPLEX Z,Z2,Z3,Z4,J0,J1,AM,CL,P0,P1,Q0,Q1,C0,C1,S0,S1
      Pi=3.1415927
      P2=2.0/PI
      IF(CABS(Z). LE. 6. 0) THEN
С
С
    Utilizing the Direct Power Series Method
С
            G0= 1.781072
            Z2=0.5*Z
            CL=CLOG(G0*Z2)
С
С
        Computing F(m) = m ! and P(m) = 1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + ... + 1/m
С
            F(1)=1.0
            P(1)=1.0
            DO 11 M=2,34
                   F(M)=M*F(M-1)
                   P(M)=P(M-1)+1.0/M
            CONTINUE
11
С
C
        Computing Power Series Coefficients
С
            DM=-1.0
            DO 22 M=1,34
                   C(M)=DM/(F(M)*F(M))
                   DM=-DM
            CONTINUE
22
С
```

```
109
```

```
С
        Computing J0 and J1
С
             JO=(1.,0.)
             J1=(0.,0.)
            M=0
            M=M+1
33
            M2=2*M
             AM=C(M)*(Z2**M2)
             J0=J0+AM
             J1=J1-M*AM
             IF((CABS(AM). GT. 1. 0E-10). AND. (M. LT. 34)) GO TO 33
             J1 = J1 / Z2
            return
      ELSE
С
С
    Hankel' Asymptotic Formula (Abram. & Stegun p. 364)
С
             Z2=Z*Z
             Z3=Z*Z2
             Z4=Z*Z3
             P0=1.0-.0703125/Z2+.1121521/Z4
             Q0=-. 125/Z+. 0732422/Z3
             P1=1. 0+. 1171875/Z2-. 1441956/Z4
             Q1=. 375/Z-. 10253906/Z3
             CO=CCOS(2-.25*PI)
             S0=CSIN(Z-.25*PI)
             C1=CCOS(Z-.75*PI)
             S1=CSIN(Z-.75*PI)
             AM = CSQRT(P2/Z)
             J0=AM*(P0*C0-Q0*S0)
             J1=AM*(P1*C1-Q1*S1)
      ENDIF
      RETURN
      END
        SUBROUTINE HAN1(Z,H0,H1)
С
С
        Computing Hankel Functions for n = 0, 1 with
С
        Complex Argument, Z. Direct Power Series Method for
        CABS(Z) . LE. 5 and Hankel's Asymptotic Formula for
Č
С
        CABS(Z) .GT. 5. Written 11/6/87 by M.A. Morgan
С
      INTEGER M,M2
      REAL C(34), DM, F(34), G0, P(34), Pi, P2
      COMPLEX Z, Z2, Z3, Z4, J0, J1, Y0, Y1, AM, CL, P0, P1, Q0, Q1
      COMPLEX E0,E1,X0,X1,H0,H1,j
      PI=3. 1415927
      P2=2.0/PI
      j=(0.,1.)
      IF(CABS(Z). LE. 5. 0) THEN
С
С
             Direct Power Series Method
С
             G0= 1.78072
             Z2=0.5*Z
```

```
CL=CLOG(G0*Z2)
С
Ĉ
    Computing F(m) = m! and P(m) = 1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + ... + 1/m
Ċ
             F(1)=1.0
             P(1)=1.0
             DO 11 M=2,34
                   F(M)=M*F(M-1)
                   P(M)=P(M-1)+1.0/M
11
             CONTINUE
С
Ĉ
    Computing Power Series Coefficients
С
             DM=-1.0
             DO 22 M=1,34
                   C(M) = DM/(F(M) + F(M))
                   DM=-DM
22
            CONTINUE
C
C
    Computing J0 and J1
С
             J0=(1., 0.)
             J1=(0.,0.)
            M=0
33
            M=M+1
            M2=2*M
             AM = C(M) * (Z2 * M2)
             JO=JO+AM
             J1=J1-M*AM
             IF((CABS(AM).GT. 1.0E-10).AND.(M.LT. 34)) GO TO 33
             J1=J1/Z2
С
С
    Computing YO and Y1
С
            M=0
             Y0=CL*J0
             Y1=Z2*CL*J1-0.5*J0
44
            M=M+1
            M2=2*M
             AM=C(M)*P(M)*(Z2**M2)
             YO=YO-AM
             Y1=Y1+M*AM
             IF((CABS(AM).GT. 1. 0E-10). AND. (M. LT. 34)) GO TO 44
             Y0=P2*Y0
             Y1=P2*Y1/Z2
             H0=J0-j*Y0
            H1=J1-j*Y1
             RETURN
      ELSE
С
С
    Hankel' Asymptotic Formula (Abram. & Stegun p. 364
С
             22=2*2
             Z3=Z*Z2
             Z4=Z*Z3
            P0=1. 0-. 0703125/Z2+. 1121521/Z4
```

```
Q0=-. 125/Z+. 0732422/Z3
             P1=1.0+.1171875/22-.1441956/24
             Q1=. 375/Z-. 10253906/Z3
             XO = (Z - .25 * PI)
             X1=(2-.75*PI)
             EO = CEXP(-j XO)
             E1=CEXP(-j*X1)
             AM=CSQRT(P2/Z)
             H0=AM*(P0-j*Q0)*E0
             H1=AM*(P1-j*Q1)*E1
      ENDIF
      RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE MARCH(I, IMX, NBMX, NA, NB, NC, INORM, SVEC)
      THIS ROUTINE PERFORMS THE RICCATI TRANSFORM FIRST
С
С
      SWEEP, GENERATING AND STORING ON DISK 1 RMAT
С
      AND SVEC (FOR EACH MODE) AT EACH FORWARD STEP.
С
      COMPLEX RMAT(50,50), SVEC(50,100)
      COMPLEX A(50,50), B(50,50), C(50,50), P(50,100)
      COMPLEX D(50), SUM, DET
      REAL COND, DMAG
      INTEGER I, J, K, L, NA, NB, NC, PERND, BIND, IMX, INORM
      INTEGER NBMX
      CHARACTER*1 CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4
С
      COMMON/BLK2/PERND, BIND
      COMMON/BLK8/A, B, C, P
      COMMON/BLK9/CHAR2, CHAR3, CHAR4
С
С
      LOADING THEN INVERTING (B+A*RMAT)
С
      USING MINIMUM MEMORY SINGLE MATRIX TECHNIQUE
С
      DATE 1/29/80 FOR THIS CHANGE
С
С
      SKIPPING FIRST A*R (WHEN A = 0, ZERO R MATRIX)
      IF(I.EQ.1) THEN
            DO 10, J = 1, NB
                 DO 10, K = 1, NB
                       RMAT(J,K) = (0.,0.)
            CONTINUE
10
      RMAT = A*R
С
      ELSE
С
      IF((CHAR2.EQ.'I').OR.(CHAR2.EQ.'i')) THEN
WRITE(19,*) ' OLD R MATRIX'
            DO 11, J = 1, 5
                 WRITE(19,1000) (REAL(RMAT(J,K)), K = 1, 5)
11
            CONTINUE
            WRITE(19,*)
            WRITE(19,*) ' A MATRIX'
            DO 12, J = 1, 5
                 WRITE(19,1000) (REAL(A(J,K)), K = 1, 5)
12
            CONTINUE
            WRITE(19,*) ' '
      ENDIF
С
```

```
112
```

```
DO 30, K = 1, NB
                  DO 20, J = 1, NB
                        D(J) = (0., 0.)
DO 20, L = 1, NA
                              D(J) = D(J) + A(J,L) + RHAT(L,K)
20
                  CONTINUE
                  DO 30, J = 1, NB
                        RMAT(J, K) = D(J)
30
             CONTINUE
C
       IF((CHAR2.EQ.'I').OR.(CHAR2.EQ.'i')) THEN
WRITE(19,*) ' NEW R MATRIX'
             DO 13, J = 1, 5
                  WRITE(19,1000) (REAL(RMAT(J,K)), K = 1, 5)
13
             CONTINUE
             WRITE(19,*) ' '
       ENDIF
С
       ENDIF
С
       IF((CHAR2.EQ.'I').OR.(CHAR2.EQ.'1')) THEN
WRITE(19,*)' B MATRIX'
             DO 14, J = 1.
                  WRITE(19,1000) (REAL(B(J,K)), K = 1, 5)
14
             CONTINUE
            WRITE(19,*) ' '
       ENDIF
С
С
       RMAT = B + RMAT
       DO 40, J = 1, NB
            DO 40, K = 1, NB
                  RMAT(J,K) = RMAT(J,K) + B(J,K)
40
       CONTINUE
С
       IF((CHAR2.EQ.'I').OR.(CHAR2.EQ.'1')) THEN
WRITE(19,*) ' NEWEST R MATRIX'
            DO 15, J = 1, NB
                  WRITE(9,1000) (REAL(RMAT(J,K)), K = 1, NB)
                  WRITE(19,1000) (REAL(RMAT(J,K)), K = 1, NB)
15
            CONTINUE
            WRITE(9,*) '
            WRITE(19,*) ' '
       ENDIF
С
       INVERTING THE MATRIX (B + A*R)
       CALL CSMINV(RMAT, NBMX, NB, DET, COND, INORM)
С
      DMAG = CABS(DET)
      WRITE(*,*) I,NBMX,NB,DMAG,COND
С
      COMPUTING THE NEW S-VECTORS
С
С
С
      SKIPPING FIRST A*S (A = 0)
            IF (I.EQ.1) THEN
                  CONTINUE
            ELSE
                  DO 70, K = 1, PERND
```

DO 60, J = 1, NB $\hat{D}(J) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ DO 60, L = 1, NA D(J) = D(J) + A(J,L) + SVEC(L,K)60 CONTINUE DO 70, J = 1, NB $\dot{P}(J,K) = P(J,K) - D(J)$ 70 CONTINUE ENDIF С FINAL SVEC MULTIPLICATION DO 100, K = 1, PERND DO 90, J = 1, NB $\hat{D}(J) = (0.0, 0.0)$ DO 90, L = 1, NB D(J) = D(J) + RMAT(J,L)*P(L,K)90 CONTINUE DO 100, J = 1, NB SVEC(J,K) = D(J)100 CONTINUE С STORING I+1 SVEC ON DISK 7 С DO 110 J = 1, NB WRITE(7) (SVEC(J,K), K = 1, PERND) CONTINUE 110 С С FINAL RMAT MULTIPLICATION IF(I.EQ.IMX) RETURN DO 130, J = 1, NB DO 120, K = 1, NC D(K) = (0.0, 0.0)DO 120, L = 1, NB D(K) = D(K) - RMAT(J,L)*C(L,K)120 CONTINUE DO 130, K = 1, NC RMAT(J,K) = D(K)130 CONTINUE С С STORING I+1 RMAT ON DISK 7 DO 140, J = 1, NB WRITE(7) (RMAT(J,K), K = 1, NC) 140 CONTINUE С FORMAT(10(E9.3,1X)) 1000 С RETURN END С С SUBROUTINE SWEEP(IMX, NABC, SURBC, LINE, CHAR1, U, BCOND, PSI, ANS, CHAR5) С THIS ROUTINE PERFORMS THE RICCATI TRANSFORM BACKSWEEP С FROM I=IMX TO I=1, RECALLING RMAT AND С SVEC FROM DISK 7 AT EACH BACKSTEP TO FORM С THE NODE VECTORS PSI, THEN STORING THESE ON С DISK 8 FOR EACH APPLIED DIRICHLET B.C. COMPLEX RMAT(50,100), PSI(50,100), SVEC(50,100), D(100), TEMP COMPLEX SURBC(100), ANS(100), LINE(50), ANSB(50), U(100, 100)

```
COMPLEX BCOND(100)
       REAL ERRORP, ERRORD, TERRN, TERRD, ATSERR
       INTEGER I, J, K, L, NABC(100, 3), IMX, PERND, BIND
       CHARACTER*1 CHAR1, CHAR5
С
       COMMON/BLK2/PERND, BIND
С
       IF((CHAR5. EQ. 'M'). OR. (CHAR5. EQ. 'm')) THEN
            RETURN
      ENDIF
С
С
       INITIAL DISK READ AT IMX (R = 0, NOT WRITTEN, => S IS READ FIRST)
      WRITE(*,*) ' '
DO 90, I = IMX, 1, -1
            WRITE(*,1050) (1HX-I+1), 1HX
            IF(I.EQ. IMX) THEN
                 DO 10, J = NABC(I,2), 1, -1
                       BACKSPACE 7
                       READ(7) (PSI(J,K), K = 1, PERND)
                       BACKSPACE 7
10
                 CONTINUE
                 DO 20, J = 1, NABC(I,2)
DO 15, K = 1, PERND
                            U(IMX,K) = PSI(J,K)
15
                       CONTINUE
20
                 CONTINUE
С
            SUBSEQUENT DISK READS
            ELSE
С
                 READ R MATRIX
                 DO 30, J = NABC(1,2), 1, -1
                       BACKSPACE 7
                       READ(7) (RMAT(J,K), K = 1, NABC(I,3))
                       BACKSPACE 7
30
                 CONTINUE
С
                 READ S VECTOR
                 DO 40, J = NABC(I,2), 1, -1
                      BACKSPACE 7
                      READ(7) (SVEC(J,K), K = 1, PERND)
                      BACKSPACE 7
40
                 CONTINUE
С
                 MULTIPLY RMAT = RMAT*PSI
                 DO 60, J = 1, NABC(I,2)
                      DO 50, K = 1, PERND
                            D(K) = (0.0, 0.0)
                            DO 50, L = 1, NABC(I,3)
                                 D(K) = D(K) + RMAT(J,L)*PSI(L,K)
50
                      CONTINUE
                      DO 60, K = 1, PERND
                            RMAT(J,K) = D(K)
60
                 CONTINUE
С
                 PSI = RMAT + SVEC
                 DO 70, J = 1, NABC(I,2)
                      DO 70, K = 1, PERND
                           PSI(J,K) = RMAT(J,K) + SVEC(J,K)
70
                 CONTINUE
                 ANSB(I) = (0.0, 0.0)
```

```
DO 80, J = 1, NABC(I,2)
                     DO 75, K = 1, PERND
                           IF((J. EQ. NABC(1,2)). OR. (I. EQ. 1)) THEN
                                U(I,K) = PSI(J,K)
                           ELSEIF(J. EQ. 1) THEN
                                U(2*IMX-I,K) = PSI(J,K)
                           ELSEIF(J. EQ. (NABC(I, 2)+1)/2) THEN
                                ANSB(I) = ANSB(I) + PSI(J,K)*BCOND(K)
                           ENDIF
75
                     CONTINUE
                CONTINUE
80
          ENDIF
90
      CONTINUE
      WRITE(8,*)
С
      DO 98, J = 1, PERND
           ANS(J) = (0.0, 0.0)
           DO 94, L = 1, PERND
                ANS(J) = ANS(J) + U(J,L) * BCOND(L)
94
           CONTINUE
98
      CONTINUE
С
      TERRN = 0.0
      TERRD = 0.0
      DO 100, I = 1, PERND
           ERRORP = (CABS(ANS(I) - SURBC(I)))**2
           ERRORD = (CABS(SURBC(I)))**2
           TERRN = TERRN + ERRORP
           TERRD = TERRD + ERRORD
           WRITE(13,1020) I, BCOND(I), SURBC(I), ANS(I), ERRORP
100
      CONTINUE
      WRITE(13,*) '
      ATSERR = (TERRN/TERRD) **0.5
      WRITE(13,1030) ATSERR
                                                      = ',ATSERR
      WRITE(*,*) 'RMS ERROR (FOR THE PERIMETER)
      WRITE(13,*) '
С
      IF((CHAR1. EQ. 'C'). OR. (CHAR1. EQ. 'c')) THEN
           RETURN
      ELSE
           TERRN = 0.0
           TERRD = 0.0
           DO 110, I = 2, BIND+1
                ERRORP = (CABS(ANSB(I) - LINE(I)))**2
                ERRORD = (CABS(LINE(I)))**2
                TERRN = TERRN + ERRORP
                TERRD = TERRD + ERRORD
                WRITE(13,1025) (I-1), LINE(I), ANSB(I), ERRORP
110
           CONTINUE
           WRITE(13,*) ' '
           ATSERR = (TERRN/TERRD) **0.5
           WRITE(13,1040) ATSERR
           WRITE(*,*) 'RMS ERRROR (FOR BISECTION SEGMENT) = ',ATSERR
      ENDIF
      DO 120, I = 1, PERND
```

С

120	WRITE(30,1060) (U(I,J), $J = 1$, PERND) CONTINUE
1020 1025 1030 1040 1050 1060	FORMAT(1X,I3,2X,3(E14.8,1X,E14.8,3X),F10.6) FORMAT(1X,I3,2X,4(E14.8,2X),F10.6) FORMAT(1X,' RMS ERROR (FOR THE PERIMETER) = ',F12.6) FORMAT(1X,' RMS ERROR (FOR BISECTION SEGMENT) = ',F12.6) FORMAT(1X,I3,' TOTAL BACKSWEEP ROWS OUT OF ',I3,' COMPLETED') FORMAT(1X,100(E8.2,E8.2,2X))
C	RETURN END
C	SUBROUTINE CSMINV(A, NDIM, N, DETERM, COND, INORM)
00000	INORM - FLAG TO NORMALIZE COLUMNS AND ROWS OF MATRIX A MATRIX NORMALIZATION BY M.A. MORGAN APRIL 24,1978
	A-MATRIX TO INVERT-INPUT/OUTPUTNDIMINPUTNINPUTDETERM-DETERMINATE OF A-OUTPUTCOND-CONDITION NUMBER OF A-OUTPUTINORM-INTEGER NORMALIZATION FLAG-INPUT
c	COMPLEX A(50,50),PIVOT(50),AMAX,T,SWAP,DETERM,U INTEGER I,J,K,L,IPIVOT(50),INDEX(50,2),IROW,ICOLUM,L1,JROW INTEGER JCOLUM,N,INORM REAL TEMP,ALPHA(50),COL(50),ROW(50),AJK,SUMAXA,SUMROW,SUMAXI
C	<pre>IF(NDIM.GT.50) THEN WRITE(*,*) ' ERROR IN INVERTION CALL DIMENSION > 50 ' STOP ENDIF IF(N.GT.NDIM) THEN WRITE(*,*) ' ERROR IN INVERTION CALL N > MAX DIM. ' STOP ENDIF IF(INORM NE 1) GO TO 7</pre>
	DO 3 K = 1, N COL(K) = 0.0 DO 1 J = 1,N AJK = CABS(A(J,K)) IF(AJK. GT. COL(K)) COL(K) = AJK
1 2 3 C	CONTINUE DO 2 J = 1, N A(J,K) = A(J,K)/COL(K) CONTINUE ROW NORMALIZING DO 6 J = 1, N ROW(J) = 0.0
	DU 4 K = 1, N

	AJK = CABS(A(J,K))
<i>I</i> .	IF(AJK, GI, KUW(J)) = KUW(J) = AJK
4	DO 5 K = 1 N
5	A(J,K) = A(J,K)/ROW(J)
6	CONTINUE
7	CONTINUE
	DETERM = CMPLX(1.0,0.0)
	SUMAXA = 0.0
	DO 20 $J = 1$, N
	ALPHA(J) = 0.0
	SUTRUW = 0.0
	$\Delta I D H \Delta (I) = \Delta I D H \Delta (I) + \Delta (I I) + CONIG(\Delta (I I))$
10	SUMROW = SUMROW + CABS(A(J,I))
	ALPHA(J) = SORT(ALPHA(J))
	IF (SUMROW. GT. SUMAXA) SUMAXA = SUMROW
20	IPIVOT(J) = 0
	DO 600 I = 1, N
Č	
C	$A \times A \times A$
	AMAX = CMPLX(0,0,0,0) $DO 105 I = 1 N$
	105 5 - 1, N 1F (1PIVOT(1) - 1) 60, 105 60
60	DO 100 K = 1. N
	IF (IPIVOT(K)-1) 80, 100, 740
80	TEMP = AMAX*CONJG(AMAX) - A(J,K)*CONJG(A(J,K))
	IF(TEMP)85,85,100
85	IROW = J
	ICOLUM = K
100	AMAX = A(J,K)
100	CONTINUE
102	$\frac{1}{1}$
С	
č	
•	IF (IROW-ICOLUM) 140. 260. 140
140	DETERM = -DETERM
	DO 200 L = 1, N
	SWAP = A(IROW, L)
	A(IROW,L) = A(ICOLUM,L)
200	A(ICOLUM, L) = SWAP
	SWAP = ALPHA(1ROW)
	ALPHA(IKOW) = ALPHA(ICOLOM)
260	ALFRA(ICOLOR) = SWAF $INDEY(I 1) = IDOU$
200	INDEX(1,1) = IROW INDEX(1,2) = ICOLUM
	PIVOT(1) = A(1COLUM, 1COLUM)
	U = PIVOT(I)
	DETERM = DETERM*U
	DETERM = DETERM/ALPHA(ICOLUM)
	TEMP = PIVOT(I)*CONJG(PIVOT(I))
	IF(TEMP)330,720,330
C	
C	$A(1001) \times 1001) = 0 \times 0 \times 1000$
2.207	ALIGUNUN, IGUNUN I Ə GMMDXEB, U.U.U.

DO 350 L = 1, N U = PIVOT(I)A(ICOLUM,L) = A(ICOLUM,L)/U350 С С 380 DO 550 L1 = 1, N IF(L1-ICOLUM) 400, 550, 400 400 T = A(L1, ICOLUM)A(L1, ICOLUM) = CMPLX(0, 0, 0, 0)DO 450 L = 1, N U = A(ICOLUM, L)A(L1,L) = A(L1,L) - U*T450 550 CONTINUE 600 CONTINUE С С DO 710 I = 1, N 620 $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{I}$ IF (INDEX(L,1) - INDEX(L,2)) 630, 710, 630 JROW = INDEX(L, 1)630 JCOLUM = INDEX(L,2)DO 705 K = 1, N SWAP = A(K, JROW)A(K, JROW) = A(K, JCOLUM)A(K, JCOLUM) = SWAP705 CONTINUE 710 CONTINUE SUMAXI = 0.0DO 910 I = 1, N SUMROW = 0.0DO 900 J = 1, N SUMROW = SUMROW + CABS(A(I,J))900 IF(SUMROW. GT. SUMAXI) SUMAXI = SUMROW 910 CONTINUE COND = SUMAXA*SUMAXI IF(INORM. NE. 1) GO TO 955 DO 950 K = 1, N DO 950 J = 1, N A(J,K) = A(J,K)/(ROW(K)*COL(J))950 955 CONTINUE RETURN WRITE(*,730) 720 FORMAT(' MATRIX IS SINGULAR') 730 740 RETURN END SUBROUTINE SAVE(BCOND, ANS, U, OFFSET, PERND, CHAR5, DPER, K, XORG, YORG, CNRES, MRES, LBIAS, GBIAS, MAXD) С THIS SUBROUTINE SAVES THE ESSENCE OF THE FINITE ELEMENT PROBLEM TO A DATA FILE CALLED "F3.DAT". tHIS DATA IS NECESSARY TO С Ċ Ĉ SOLVE THE FIELD FEEDBACK FORMULATION, (F3). С COMPLEX BCOND(100), ANS(100), U(100, 100) REAL OFFSET, MESH(0: 200, 5), DPER, K, XORG, YORG, MRES, MAXD INTEGER PERND, I, J, NRES, LBIAS, GBIAS

~	CHARACTER*1 CHAR5
0	COMMON/BLK1/MESH
C	IF((CHAR5.EQ.'M').OR.(CHAR5.EQ.'m')) THEN RETURN
c	ENDIF
C	WRITE(40,*) PERND WRITE(40,*) OFFSET WRITE(40,*) DPER WRITE(40,*) K WRITE(40,*) XORG WRITE(40,*) YORG WRITE(40,*) NPES
	WRITE(40,*) MRES
	WRITE(40,*) LBIAS
	WRITE(40,*) MAXD
C	DO 10, I = 1, 4 DO 10, J = 1, PERND UPITF(40, *) MESH(L L)
10 C	CONTINUE
0	DO 20, $J = 1$, PERND WRITE(40,*) BCOND(J)
20 C	CONTINUE
-	DO 30, $J = 1$, PERND WRITE(40.*) ANS(J)
30 C	CONTINUE
0	DO 40, I = 1, PERND DO 40, J = 1, PERND WRITE(40.*) $U(1.J)$
40 C	CONTINUE
0	RETURN END
C	

APPENDIX D. VARINT CONVERGENCE PROGRAM

```
C
C
        TEST OF VARINT CONVERGENCE
С
      COMPLEX F(3,3), ALPHA, BETA, EXACT, CENTER, LEFT, RIGHT, TOP
COMPLEX BOTTOM, SUM, CALC
      REAL X(3), Y(3), D, AREA, KR, PI, ERROR
      INTEGER I
      OPEN (UNIT = 1, FILE = 'C: MSFORT TEST.DAT', STATUS = 'UNKNOWN')
      ALPHA = (1.0, 0.0)
      BETA = (1.0, 0.0)
      PI = 4.0 * ATAN(1.0)
С
      DO 5, I = 1, 100
           D = 2.0*PI*FLOAT(I)/100.0
           KR = REAL(CSQRT(BETA))
           X(1) = 0.0
           Y(1) = 0.0
           X(2) = D
           Y(2) = 0.0
           X(3) = 0.0
           Y(3) = D
С
           CALL VARINT(X,Y,F,ALPHA,BETA,AREA)
С
           EXACT = CMPLX(COS(KR*0.0), SIN(KR*0.0))
           CENTER = 4.0 \times F(1,1)
           RIGHT = -2.0*F(1,2)*CMPLX(COS(KR*Y(2)),SIN(KR*Y(2)))
            TOP = -2.0*F(1,2)*CMPLX(COS(KR*Y(3)),SIN(KR*Y(3)))
            LEFT = -2.0*F(1,2)*CMPLX(COS(KR*Y(2)),SIN(KR*Y(2)))
            BOTTOM = -2.0*F(1,2)*CMPLX(COS(-KR*Y(3)),SIN(-KR*Y(3)))
            SUM = TOP + BOTTOM + LEFT + RIGHT
            CALC = SUM/CENTER
           ERROR = (CALC-EXACT)/EXACT
           WRITE(1,1000) I,D,EXACT,CALC,ERROR
      CONTINUE
5
С
      CLOSE(1)
С
1000
      FORMAT(1X, I3, 1X, F8. 5, 1X, 2(F8. 5, 1X, F8. 5, 1X), E13. 6)
С
      STOP
      END
С
C
      SUBROUTINE VARINT(X,Y,F,ALPHA, BETA, AREA)
С
С
      GENERATING VARIATIONAL FINITE ELEMENT AREA INTEGRATIONS OF THE
С
      LINEAR BASIS FUNCTION LAGRANGIAN FOR THE HELMHOLTZ EQUATION.
С
      THESE ARE RETURNED IN F(3,3). X(3) AND Y(3) ARE THE WAVENUMBER
С
      NORMALIZED CARTESIAN COORDINATES OF THE TRIANGLE VERTICES.
С
      X = Ko^*x, Y = Ko^*y
                              ALPHA AND BETA ARE COMPLEX
```

```
С
      MATERIAL PARAMETERS WITHIN THE ELEMENT.
С
С
        FOR TM INCIDENCE: ALPHA = 1/ur; BETA = er
С
        FOR TE INCIDENCE: ALPHA = 1/er; BETA = ur
C
C
                   F(3,3) - FINITE ELEMENT AREA INTEGRATION
      OUTPUTS :
С
                    AREA
                          - AREA OF A TRIANGLE
С
      COMPLEX ALPHA, BETA, B12, F(3,3)
      REAL X(3), Y(3), T(3,3), AREA, DET
INTEGER L, K
С
      DET = ABS(X(2)*Y(3) + X(3)*Y(1) + X(1)*Y(2) - X(3)*Y(2) -
     CX(1)*Y(3) - X(2)*Y(1))
      AREA = ABS(0.5*DET)
      B12 = BETA/12.
      T(1,1) = (Y(2) - Y(3))/DET
      T(1,2) = (Y(3) - Y(1))/DET
      T(1,3) = (Y(1) - Y(2))/DET
      T(2,1) = (X(3) - X(2))/DET
      T(2,2) = (X(1) - X(3))/DET
      T(2,3) = (X(2) - X(1))/DET
      T(3,1) = (X(2)*Y(3) - X(3)*Y(2))/DET
T(3,2) = (X(3)*Y(1) - X(1)*Y(3))/DET
      T(3,3) = (X(1)*Y(2) - X(2)*Y(1))/DET
      DO 10, K = 1, 3
            \dot{D}0 \ 10 \ L = 1, 3
                 F(K,L) = ALPHA*(T(1,K)*T(1,L) + T(2,K)*T(2,L)) - B12
                 IF(K, EQ, L) F(K, L) = F(K, L) - B12
10
      F(K,L) = AREA*F(K,L)
С
      RETURN
      END
С
```

C

APPENDIX E. FIELD FEEDBACK PROGRAM

С

С FIELD FEEDBACK FORMULATION PROGRAM C C WRITTEN BY T.B. WELCH С w/ PROGRAMMING IDEAS FROM PROF M.A. MORGAN C С BCOND - BOUNDARY CONDITIONS C OFFSET - OFFSET IN WAVELENGTHS (PERIMETER TO BOUNDARY) С - CALCULATED PSI VALUES ON PERIMETER ANS C C DPER - DESIRED PERCENT ERROR SCALE FACTOR FOR GREEN'S FUNCTION INTEGRAL PATCH STEPPING Ċ LBIAS - BIAS THAT IS ADDED TO THE GFI STEP FOR < 1.0 Ĉ GBIAS - BIAS THAT IS ADDED TO THE GFI STEP FOR > 1.0 C C C MAXD - MAXIMUM DISTANCE BEYOND WHICH NO CONTRIBUTION IS MADE TO THE GFI K - WAVENUMBER С XORG - X ORIGIN С YORG - Y ORIGIN С NRES - NUMBER OF EVENLY SPACED POINTS DESIRED FOR THE FAR C FIELD CALCULATIONS (360/NRES = ANGULAR RESOLUTION)CCCCCCCCCCC U - MATRIX THAT RELATES EACH BOUNDARY NODE VALUE TO THE UNKNOWN PERIMETER NODE VALUE. MULTIPLY U BY A DRIVING VECTOR (ON BOUNDARY) TO FIND PERIMETER VALUES. PERND - NUMBER OF PERIMETER NODES MESH - GEOMETRY ARRAY CONTAINING: 1 - X POSITION OF PERIMETER NODES 2 - Y POSITION OF PERIMETER NODES 3 - X UNIT NORMAL OF PERIMETER NODES 4 - Y UNIT NORMAL OF PERIMETER NODES - X POSITION OF GEOMETRIC CONTOUR NODES 5 00000000 - Y POSITION OF GEOMETRIC CONTOUR NODES 6 - X POSITION OF BOUNDARY NODES 7 - Y POSITION OF BOUNDARY NODES 8 Т - MATRIX THAT RELATES PERIMETER VALUES BACK OUT TO THE BOUNDARY VIA A GREEN'S FUNCTION INTEGRAL **CNVEC** - VECTOR OF SCATTERED FIELD BACK ONTO THE BOUNDARY - MESH RESOLUTION MRES С С COMPLEX BCOND(100), ANS(100), U(100,100), T(100,100), CNVEC(100) REAL OFFSET, MESH(100,8), DPER, K, XORG, YORG, MRES, MAXD INTEGER PERND, I, J, NRES, LBIAS, GBIAS С OPEN (UNIT = 40, FILE = 'C: MSFORT F3.DAT', STATUS='UNKNOWN') OPEN (UNIT = 50, FILE = 'C: MSFORT FFPAT. DAT', STATUS='UNKNOWN') С CALL INPUT(BCOND, ANS, U, OFFSET, PERND, MESH, DPER, K, NRES, XORG, YORG, CMRES, LBIAS, GBIAS, MAXD) CALL TMAT(U, PERND, MESH, T, OFFSET, DPER, BCOND, MRES, LBIAS, GBIAS, MAXD) CALL CNSOLV(T, BCOND, CNVEC, PERND)

c	CALL FFLD(CNVEC, PERND, MESH, U, OFFSET, K, NRES, XORG	YORG)
ι.	CLOSE(40) CLOSE(50)	
C	STOP	
C		
0	SUBROUTINE INPUT(BCOND,ANS,U,OFFSET,PERND,MESH, CYORG,MRES,LBIAS,GBIAS,MAXD)	DPER,K,NRES,XORG
CCCCC	THIS SUBROUTINE READS THE FINITE ELEMENT PROBLEM THE DATA FILE CALLED "F3.DAT". THIS DATA IS SOLVE THE FIELD FEEDBACK FORMULATION, (F3).	1 DATA FROM Necessary to
0	COMPLEX BCOND(100),ANS(100),U(100,100) REAL OFFSET,MESH(100,8),DPER,K,XORG,YORG,MRES,M INTEGER PERND,I,J,NRES,LBIAS,GBIAS	AXD
c	WRITE(*,*) ' READING INPUT DATA '	
с	READ(40,*) PERND READ(40,*) OFFSET READ(40,*) DPER READ(40,*) K READ(40,*) XORG READ(40,*) YORG READ(40,*) NRES READ(40,*) MRES READ(40,*) LBIAS READ(40,*) GBIAS READ(40,*) MAXD	
C	<pre>WRITE(6,*) ' NUMBER OF PERIMETER NODES WRITE(6,*) ' BOUNDARY CONTOUR OFFSET WRITE(6,*) ' DESIRED GFI SCALE FACTOR WRITE(6,*) ' GFI STEP BIAS FOR < 1.0 WRITE(6,*) ' GFI STEP BIAS FOR > 1.0 WRITE(6,*) ' MAX DIST > NO CONTRIBUTION TO GFI WRITE(6,*) ' WAVENUMBER WRITE(6,*) ' X ORIGIN WRITE(6,*) ' Y ORIGIN WRITE(6,*) ' NUMBER OF NODES FOR SIGMA WRITE(6,*) ' REQUESTED MESH RESOLUTION</pre>	<pre>= ', PERND = ', OFFSET = ', DPER = ', LBIAS = ', GBIAS = ', MAXD = ', K = ', XORG = ', YORG = ', NRES = ', MRES</pre>
•	DO 10, I = 1, 4 DO 10, J = 1, PERND READ(40,*) MESH(J,I)	
10 C	CONTINUE $DO 20. J = 1. PERND$	
20	READ(40,*) BCOND(J)	
Ĉ	DO 30, $J = 1$, PERND	

30 C	READ(40,*) ANS(J) CONTINUE
C	DO 40, I = 1, PERND DO 40, J = 1, PERND $PEAD(40, \pm) II(1, I)$
40	CONTINUE
C	DO 50, I = 1, PERND MESH(I,5) = MESH(I,1) + MESH(I,3)*OFFSET/2.0 MESH(I,6) = MESH(I,2) + MESH(I,4)*OFFSET/2.0 MESH(I,7) = MESH(I,1) + MESH(I,3)*OFFSET MESH(I,8) = MESH(I,2) + MESH(I,4)*OFFSET
50 C	CONTINUE
с	RETURN END
С	SUBROUTINE TMAT(U, PERND, MESH, T, OFFSET, DPER, BCOND, MRES, LBIAS, GBIAS, CMAXD)
00000000000	THIS SUBROUTINE CALCULATES THE GREEN'S FUNCTION INTEGRAL (FOR A SINGLE BASIS FUNCTION BOUNDARY CONDITION) GEOMETRIC PERIMETER WITH RESPECT TO EACH OF THE OFFSET BOUNDARY NODES. THE INTEGRATION IS REPEATED UNTIL EACH BOUNDARY CONDITION HAS BEEN INDIVIDUALLY APPLIED AND INTEGRATED WITH RESPECT TO EACH OFFSET BOUNDARY NODE. THESE VALUES ARE RETURNED IN THE "T" MATRIX FOR USE IN THE FIELD FEEDBACK FORMULATION. THE MATRIX IS ORGANIZED, T m,n.
C	COMPLEX U(100,100),T(100,100),PVEC(100),PDVEC(100) COMPLEX J,HORP1,HORP2,H1RP1,SUM,TEMP(100),BCOND(100) COMPLEX H1RP2,PSI,PSIRP,INTEGRAL,DPSIC REAL RMRP,NORM11,NORM12,DOT,DPER,DISTM,MAXD REAL OFFSET,MESH(100,8),DIST,R,DZ,DL,MRES INTEGER I,M,N,NN,STEP,FN,SN,PERND,MM,STEPMX,STEPMN,LBIAS,GBIAS OPEN (UNIT = 2, FILE = 'C: MSFORT TMAT.DAT',STATUS = 'UNKNOWN')
0	J = (0.0, 1.0) STEPMX = INT(DPER*(-48.0*OFFSET + 17.2) + LBIAS) STEPMN = INT(DPER + GBIAS)
U	<pre>WRITE(*,*) ' LOADING T MATRIX ' WRITE(*,*) ' MAXIMUM STEP = ',STEPMX,', MINIMUM STEP = ',STEPMN WRITE(*,*) ' MAXIMUM DISTANCE FOR ANY CONTRIBUTION = ',MAXD DO 40, M = 1, PERND DO 5, I = 1, PERND IF(M. EQ. I) THEN IF(M. EQ. I) THEN PVEC(I) = (1.0 + U(I,M))/2.0 PDVEC(I) = (1.0 - U(I,M))/OFFSET</pre>
	ELSE PVEC(I) = U(I,M)/2.0 PDVEC(I) = -U(I,M)/0EESET

```
ENDIF
5
           CONTINUE
С
           DO 30, N = 1, PERND
                WRITE(*.1000) M. N. PERND
                SUM = (0.0, 0.0)
                DO 20, NN = 1, PERND
                     FN = NN
                      IF(NN. EQ. PERND) THEN
                           SN = 1
                      ELSE
                           SN = NN + 1
                      ENDIF
                      DIST = SQRT((MESH(FN,5)-MESH(SN,5))**2+(MESH(FN,6))
     C
                      -MESH(SN,6))**2)
                      INTEGRAL = (0.0, 0.0)
С
      DISTM = SQRT((MESH(N,7)-MESH(FN,5))**2+(MESH(N,8)-MESH(FN,6))**2)
С
      R = MESH(SN,5) - MESH(FN,5)
      DZ = MESH(SN, 6) - MESH(FN, 6)
      DL = SQRT(R**2 + DZ**2)
      NORM11 = -DZ/DL
      NORM12 = R/DL
С
      IF(DISTM. GT. MAXD) THEN
           GOTO 20
      ELSEIF(DISTM. LE. 1.0) THEN
           STEP = STEPMX
      ELSE
           STEP = STEPMN
      ENDIF
      IF(STEP. LT. 1) STEP = 1
С
      DO 10, I = 1, STEP+1
           IF(I.EQ.1) THEN
                 RMRP = SQRT((MESH(N,7)-(MESH(FN,5)+0.25*(MESH(SN,5)-
     С
                MESH(FN,5))/FLOAT(STEP)))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+
     С
                 0.25*(MESH(SN,6)-MESH(FN,6))/FLOAT(STEP)))**2)
                DPSIC = PDVEC(FN) + 0.25*(PDVEC(SN)-PDVEC(FN))/STEP
                 PSIRP = PVEC(FN) + 0.25*(PVEC(SN)-PVEC(FN))/STEP
                 DOT = (NORM11*(MESH(N,7) - (MESH(FN,5)+0.25*(MESH(SN,5)-
                 MESH(FN,5))/STEP))+(NORM12*(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+0.25*
     С
     С
                 (MESH(SN,6)-MESH(FN,6))/STEP))))/RMRP
           ELSEIF(I. EQ. STEP+1) THEN
                 RMRP = SQRT((MESH(N,7)-(MESH(FN,5)+(FLOAT(STEP)-0.25)*(
     С
                 MESH(SN,5)-MESH(FN,5))/FLOAT(STEP)))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH
     С
                 (FN, 6)+(FLOAT(STEP)-0.25)*(MESH(SN, 6)-MESH(FN, 6))/FLOAT
     С
                 (STEP)))**2)
                 DPSIC=PDVEC(FN)+(FLOAT(STEP)-0.25)*(PDVEC(SN)-PDVEC(FN))
     С
                 /(STEP)
                 PSIRP = PVEC(FN)+(FLOAT(STEP)-0.25)*(PVEC(SN)-PVEC(FN))/
     С
                 (STEP)
                 DOT = (NORM11*(MESH(N,7)-(MESH(FN,5)+(FLOAT(STEP)-0.25)*)
                 (MESH(SN,5)-MESH(FN,5))/STEP))+(NORM12*(MESH(N,8)-(MESH
     С
     С
                 (FN,6)+(FLOAT(STEP)-0.25)*(MESH(SN,6)-MESH(FN,6))/STEP)
```

	C)))/RMRP
	ELSE RMRP = SQRT((MESH(N,7)-(MESH(FN,5)+FLOAT(1-1)*(MESH(SN, 5)-MESH(FN,5))/FLOAT(STEP)))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(FN,6)+1)**2+(MESH(FN,6)+1))**2+(MESH(FN,6)+1)**2+(MESH(FN,6
	C FLOAT $(I-1)*(MESH(SN,6)-MESH(FN,6))/FLOAT(STEP)))**2)$
	DPSIC=PDVEC(FN)+(I-1)*(PDVEC(SN)-PDVEC(FN))/(STEP)
	PSIRP = PVEC(FN)+(I-1)*(PVEC(SN)-PVEC(FN))/(STEP)
	DOT = (NORM11*(MESH(N,7)-(MESH(FN,5)+(I-1)*(MESH(SN,5)-
	C MESH(FN,5))/STEP))+(NORM12*(MESH(N,8)-(MESH(FN,6)+(I-1)*
	C $(MESH(SN,6)-MESH(FN,6))/STEP)))/RMRP$
	ENDIF
	CALL HAN1(CMPLX(RMRP,0.0),HORP1,H1RP1)
	IF((I. EQ. 1). OR. (I. EQ. STEP+1)) THEN
	PSI = (J/4.0)*(HORP1*DPSIC - PSIRP*DOT*H1RP1)/2
	ELSE
	PSI = (J/4.0)*(HORP1*DPSIC - PSIRP*DOT*H1RP1)
	ENDIF
	INTEGRAL = INTEGRAL + PSI*DIST/STEP
С	
10	CONTINUE
	SUM = SUM + INTEGRAL
20	CONTINUE
	T(N,M) = SUM
30	
40	CONTINUE
L	DO 55 T - 1 DEDNO
	TEMP(1) = (0, 0, 0)
	$D_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0)$
	$TEMP(I) = TEMP(I) + T(I M) \neq P(OND(M)$
50	CONTINUE
50	$WRITE(2, \star) (T(1, MM), MM = 1, PERND)$
55	CONTINUE
C	
-	DO 60, $I = 1$, PERND
	WRITE(2,*) I. TEMP(I)
60	CONTINUE
С	
1000	FORMAT(1X, COLUMN ', I3, ', ROW ', I3, ' OUT OF ', I3)
С	
	CLOSE(2)
С	
	RETURN
	END
C	
С	
~	SUBROUTINE CNSOLV(T, BCOND, CNVEC, PERND)
C	
C	THIS SUBROUTINE CALCULATES THE C VECTOR BY SOLVING:
	,
	$ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet $
	cn = [1 - 1] + BOUNDARY CONDITIONS (INCIDENT FIELDS)
U	COMPLEY PCOND(100) T(100 100) TENP(100) ONTEC(100) DETERM
	REAL COND. DMAG

```
INTEGER PERND. I. J. K. L. INORM, NMAX
С
      INORM = 0
      MMAX = 100
С
      DO 10, I = 1, PERND
            DO 10, J = 1, PERND
                 IF(I.EQ.J) THEN
                       T(I,J) = 1 - T(I,J)
                 ELSE
                       T(I,J) = -T(I,J)
                 ENDIF
10
      CONTINUE
      WRITE(*,*) 'INVERTING THE [I - T] MATRIX
С
      CALL CSMINV(T,NMAX, PERND, DETERM, COND, INORM)
С
      DMAG = CABS(DETERM)
                                       ≂ '
      WRITE(*,*) ' DETERMINANT = ', DMAG
WRITE(*,*) ' CONDITION NUMBER = ', COND
      WRITE(*,*) ' MULTIPLING MATRICES TO FORM THE SCATTERED FIELDS '
С
      DO 30, I = 1, PERND
            CNVEC(I) = (0.0, 0.0)
            DO 30, J = 1, PERND
                 CNVEC(I) = CNVEC(I) + T(I,J)*BCOND(J)
30
      CONTINUE
С
      RETURN
      END
С
С
      SUBROUTINE FFLD(CNVEC, PERND, MESH, U, OFFSET, K, NRES, XORG, YORG)
С
С
      THIS SUBROUTINE CALCULATES THE FAR FIELDS DUE TO THE OFFSET
С
      BOUNDARY SCATTERED FIELDS AND THE PERIMETER SCATTERED FIELDS.
С
      ADDITIONAL GREEN'S FUNCTION INTEGRALS ARE ACCOMPLISHED.
С
      COMPLEX CNVEC(100), U(100, 100), J, PSISP(100), TEMP, PSI, DPSI
      COMPLEX DPSISP(100), INTEGRAL, DPSIM(100,100), PSIM(100,100)
      REAL MESH(100,8), OFFSET, K, DOT, DOT1, PI, ARES, XORG, YORG, DIST, SIGMA
      REAL R, DZ, DL, NORM11, NORM12
      INTEGER PERND, I, M, N, L, NRES, FN, SN, STEP, II
С
      WRITE(*,*) ' CALCULATING THE SCATTERED FIELDS'
      J = (0.0, 1.0)
      PI = 4.0*ATAN(1.0)
      ARES = 2.0*PI/FLOAT(NRES)
      STEP = 5
С
      DO 5, M = 1, PERND
            DO 5, I = 1, PERND
                 IF(M. EQ. I) THEN
                       PSIM(I,M) = (1.0 + U(I,M))/2.0
                       DPSIM(I,M) = (1.0 - U(I,M))/OFFSET
                 ELSE
```

	PSIM(I,M) = U(I,M)/2.0 $DPSIM(I,M) = -U(I,M)/0FFSFT$
CON	TINUE
DO	10, I = 1, PERND PSISP(I) = (0.0,0.0) DPSISP(I) = (0.0,0.0) D0 10, L = 1, PERND PSISP(I) = PSISP(I) + PSIM(I,L)*CNVEC(L) DPSISP(I) = DPSISP(I) + DPSIM(I,L)*CNVEC(L)
	CONTINUE
DO	30, $1 = 0$, NRES-1 WRITE(6,1000) I+1, NRES INTEGRAL = (0.0,0.0) DO 20, N = 1, PERND FN = N IF(N. EQ. PERND) THEN SN = 1 ELSE SN = N + 1 ENDIF
	R = MESH(SN,5) - MESH(FN,5)
	DZ = MESH(SN,6) - MESH(FN,6)
	DL = SQRT(R**2 + DZ**2)
	NORM11 = $-DZ/DL$
	NORM12 = R/DL
	DO 15, II = 1, STEP+1
	DIST = SQRT((MESH(FN,5)-MESH(SN,5))**2+(MESH(FN,6)-
С	MESH(SN,6))**2)
	IF(II.EQ.1) THEN
	PSI = PSISP(FN)+0.25*(PSISP(SN)-PSISP(FN))/
С	FLOAT(STEP)
-	DPSI = DPSISP(FN)+0.25*(DPSISP(SN)-DPSISP
С	(FN))/FLOAT(STEP)
-	DOT = NORM11*SIN(I*ARES) + NORM12*COS(I*ARES)
	DOT1 = (MESH(FN, 5)+0, 25*(MESH(SN, 5)-MESH(FN, 5))
С	5)/FLOAT(STEP)-XORG)*SIN(I*ARES) + (MESH(FN, 6)+
č	0.25*(MESH(SN 6)-MESH(FN 6))/FLOAT(STEP)-
č	VORG)*COS(I*ARES)
Ŭ	ELSEIF(II EO STEP+1) THEN
	PSI = PSISP(FN) + (FLOAT(STFP) - 0.25) + (PSISP(SN) - 0.25)
C	DSISD(FN))/FIGAT(STED)
U	nDSI = nDSISD(FN) + (FIOAT(STED) - 0.25) + (nDSISD(SN) - 0.25)
c	DPSISP(FN)) / FI (AT(STED))
U	DOT = NOPMI1*CIN(T*APFC) + NOPM12*COC(T*APFC)
	$DOT = (MESH(FN 5) + (FI OAT(STEP)_0 25) + (MESH(SN 5)_0)$
C	MESH(EN S))/FIGAT(STED)-YOPC)+SIN(I+APFS) + (MESH(
č	$\frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}$
č	FI (AT(STED) - VODC) * COS(T#ADES)
U	FICE
	DCI = DCICD(FN)_FIAT(II_1)*(DCICD(CN)_DCICD(FN))/
c	FOL - FOLOF(FNJTFLUAL(II-I)*(FOLOF(ON)-FOLOF(FN))/ DICAT(CTTD)
C	LUAI(DIEC) DDSI — DDSISD(EN)LEI(AT(II-1)+(DDSISD(SN) BDSISD
С	$\frac{DPS1}{P} = \frac{DPS1SP(PN) + PLOAI(11-1)^{n}(DPS1SP(SN) - DPS1SP(SN))}{(FN)}$
	CON DO DO C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C

```
DOT = NORM11*SIN(I*ARES) + NORM12*COS(I*ARES)
                      DOT1 = (MESH(FN,5)+FLOAT(II-1)*(MESH(SN,5)-MESH(FN,
     С
                      5))/FLOAT(STEP)-XORG)*SIN(I*ARES) + (MESH(FN,6)+
     С
                      FLOAT(II-1)*(MESH(SN,6)-MESH(FN,6))/FLOAT(STEP)-
     С
                      YORG)*COS(I*ARES)
                   ENDIF
                      IF((II.EQ.1).OR.(II.EQ.STEP+1)) THEN
                            TEMP=(J*DPSI+DOT*PSI)*(EXP(J*DOT1))*DIST/(2.0*
     С
                            STEP)
                      ELSE
                            TEMP=(J*DPSI+DOT*PSI)*(EXP(J*DOT1))*DIST/
     С
                            (STEP)
                      ENDIF
                      INTEGRAL = INTEGRAL + TEMP
15
                 CONTINUE
20
           CONTINUE
            SIGMA = ((CABS(INTEGRAL))**2.0)/(4.0*K)
           WRITE(50,1010) I+1, (I*ARES*180.0/PI), SIGMA
30
      CONTINUE
С
      WRITE(6,*)
                 ' <<< FIELD PATTERN STORED IN FFPAT. DAT >>> '
      WRITE(6,*)
      WRITE(6, *)
С
      FORMAT(1X, 'INTEGRAL ', I3,', OUT OF ', I3,' COMPLETED')
FORMAT(1X, I3, 2X, F6. 2, 2X, E14. 8)
1000
1010
С
      RETURN
      END
С
С
      SUBROUTINE HAN1(Z,HO,H1)
С
С
      Computing Hankel Functions for n=0,1 with
С
      Complex Argument, Z.
                              Direct Power Series Method for
С
      CABS(Z) . LE. 5 and Hankel's Asymptotic Formula for
С
                         Written 11/6/87 by M.A. Morgan
      CABS(Z) . GT. 5.
С
      INTEGER M, M2
      REAL C(34), DM, F(34), G0, P(34), Pi, P2
      COMPLEX Z, Z2, Z3, Z4, J0, J1, Y0, Y1, AM, CL, P0, P1, Q0, Q1
      COMPLEX E0, E1, X0, X1, H0, H1, j
      PI=3. 1415927
      P2=2.0/PI
      j=(0.,1.)
      IF(CABS(Z). LE. 5.0) THEN
С
С
             Direct Power Series Method
С
             GO= 1.78072
             Z2=0.5*Z
             CL=CLOG(G0*Z2)
С
С
    Computing F(m) = m ! and P(m) = 1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + ... + 1/m
С
             F(1)=1.0
```

```
P(1)=1.0
             DO 11 M=2,34
                    F(M)=M*F(M-1)
                    P(M) = P(M-1) + 1.0/M
11
             CONTINUE
С
С
    Computing Power Series Coefficients
С
             DM=-1.0
             DO 22 M=1,34
                    C(M) = DM/(F(M) + F(M))
                    DM=-DM
22
             CONTINUE
С
Ċ
    Computing J0 and J1
С
             JO=(1.,0.)
             J1=(0.,0.)
             M=0
33
             M=M+1
             M2=2*M
             AM = C(M) * (Z2 * M2)
             J0=J0+AM
             J1=J1-M*AM
             IF((CABS(AM).GT. 1. 0E-10). AND. (M. LT. 34)) GO TO 33
             J1=J1/Z2
С
С
    Computing YO and Y1
С
             M=0
             Y0=CL*J0
             Y1=Z2*CL*J1-0.5*J0
44
             M=M+1
             M2=2*M
             AM=C(M)*P(M)*(Z2**M2)
             Y0=Y0-AM
             Y1=Y1+M*AM
             IF((CABS(AM).GT. 1. 0E-10). AND. (M. LT. 34)) GO TO 44
             Y0=P2*Y0
             Y1=P2*Y1/Z2
             H0=J0-j*Y0
             H1=J1-j*Y1
             RETURN
      ELSE
С
С
    Hankel' Asymptotic Formula (Abram. & Stegun p. 364
С
             Z2=Z*Z
             Z3=Z*Z2
             Z4=Z*Z3
             P0=1. 0-. 0703125/Z2+. 1121521/Z4
             Q0=-. 125/Z+. 0732422/Z3
             P1=1. 0+. 1171875/22-. 1441956/24
             Q1=. 375/Z-. 10253906/Z3
             X0=(Z-. 25*PI)
             X1=(Z-.75*PI)
```

```
EO=CEXP(-j*XO)
            E1=CEXP(-j*X1)
             AM = CSQRT(P2/Z)
            H0=AM*(P0-j*Q0)*E0
            H1=AM*(P1-j*Q1)*E1
      ENDIF
С
      RETURN
      END
С
С
      SUBROUTINE CSMINV(A,NDIM,N,DETERM,COND,INORM)
С
С
      INORM - FLAG TO NORMALIZE COLUMNS AND ROWS OF MATRIX A
С
      MATRIX NORMALIZATION BY M.A. MORGAN
С
      APRIL 24,1978
С
С
              - MATRIX TO INVERT
                                              - INPUT/OUTPUT
      Α
С
      NDIM
                                              - INPUT
С
                                              - INPUT
      N
С
      DETERM - DETERMINATE OF A
                                              - OUTPUT
С
      COND
              - CONDITION NUMBER OF A
                                              - OUTPUT
С
      INORM - INTEGER NORMALIZATION FLAG - INPUT
С
C
      COMPLEX A(100,100), PIVOT(100), AMAX, T, SWAP, DETERM, U
      INTEGER I, J, K, L, IPIVOT(100), INDEX(100, 2), IROW, ICOLUM, L1, JROW
      INTEGER JCOLUM, N, INORM
      REAL TEMP, ALPHA(100), COL(100), ROW(100), AJK, SUMAXA, SUMROW, SUMAXI
С
      IF(NDIM. GT. 100) THEN
           WRITE(*,*) ' ERROR IN INVERTION CALL... DIMENSION > 100 '
            STOP
      ENDIF
      IF(N. GT. NDIM) THEN
           WRITE(*,*) ' ERROR IN INVERTION CALL... N > MAX DIM. '
            STOP
      ENDIF
      IF(INORM. NE. 1) GO TO 7
      DO 3 K = 1, N
            COL(K) = 0.0
           DO 1 J = 1, N
                 AJK = CABS(A(J,K))
                 IF(AJK. GT. COL(K)) COL(K) = AJK
1
            CONTINUE
           DO 2 J = 1, N
2
           A(J,K) = A(J,K)/COL(K)
      CONTINUE
3
С
      ROW NORMALIZING
      DO 6 J = 1, N
           ROW(J) = 0.0
           DO 4 K = 1, N
                 AJK = CABS(A(J,K))
                 IF(AJK. GT. ROW(J)) ROW(J) = AJK
            CONTINUE
4
```

	DO 5 K = 1, N
5	A(J,K) = A(J,K)/ROW(J)
6	CONTINUE
7	CONTINUE
	DETERM = CMPLX(1.0,0.0)
	SUMAXA = 0.0
	DO 20 J = 1, N
	ALPHA(J) = 0.0
	$SUMROW \approx 0.0$
	DO 10 I = 1, N
	ALPHA(J) = ALPHA(J) + A(J,I) + CONJG(A(J,I))
10	SUMROW = SUMROW + CABS(A(J,I))
	ALPHA(J) = SQRT(ALPHA(J))
	IF (SUMROW. GT. SUMAXA) SUMAXA = SUMROW
20	IPIVOT(J) = 0
	DO 600 I = 1, N
С	
С	
	AMAX = CMPLX(0, 0, 0, 0)
	DO 105 $J = 1$, N
	IF $(IPIVOT(J)-1)$ 60, 105, 60
60	DO 100 K = 1, N
	IF (IPIVOT(K)-1) 80, 100, 740
80	TEMP = AMAX*CONJG(AMAX) - A(J,K)*CONJG(A(J,K))
	IF(TEMP)85,85,100
85	IROW = J
	ICOLUM = K
	AMAX = A(J,K)
100	CONTINUE
105	CONTINUE
	IPIVOT(ICOLUM) = IPIVOT(ICOLUM) + 1
С	
C	
	IF (IROW-ICOLUM) 140, 260, 140
140	DETERM = -DETERM
	DO 200 L = 1, N
	SWAP = A(IROW, L)
	A(IROW, L) = A(ICOLUM, L)
200	A(ICOLUM, L) = SWAP
	SWAP = ALPHA(IROW)
	ALPHA(IROW) = ALPHA(ICOLUM)
	ALPHA(ICOLUM) = SWAP
260	INDEX(I,1) = IROW
	INDEX(1,2) = ICOLUM
	PIVOT(I) = A(ICOLUM, ICOLUM)
	U = PIVOT(I)
	DETERM = DETERM*U
	DETERM = DETERM/ALPHA(ICOLUM)
	TEMP = PIVOT(I) * CONJG(PIVOT(I))
	IF(TEMP)330,720,330
С	/ / /
С	
330	A(ICOLUM, ICOLUM) = CMPLX(1, 0, 0, 0)
	DO 350 L = 1. N
	U = PIVOT(I)
350	A(ICOLUM, L) = A(ICOLUM, L)/U

С С 380 DO 550 L1 = 1, N IF(L1-ICOLUM) 400, 550, 400 400 T = A(L1, ICOLUM)A(L1, ICOLUM) = CMPLX(0, 0, 0, 0)DO 450 L = 1, N U = A(ICOLUM, L)450 A(L1,L) = A(L1,L) - U*TCONTINUE 550 600 CONTINUE С С DO 710 I = 1, N 620 $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{I}$ IF (INDEX(L,1) - INDEX(L,2)) 630, 710, 630 630 JROW = INDEX(L, 1)JCOLUM = INDEX(L,2)DO 705 K = 1, N SWAP = A(K, JROW)A(K, JROW) = A(K, JCOLUM)A(K, JCOLUM) = SWAPCONTINUE 705 CONTINUE 710 SUMAXI = 0.0DO 910 I = 1, N SUMROW = 0.0DO 900 J = 1, N 900 SUMROW = SUMROW + CABS(A(I,J))IF(SUMROW.GT.SUMAXI) SUMAXI = SUMROW 910 CONTINUE COND = SUMAXA*SUMAXI IF(INORM. NE. 1) GO TO 955 DO 950 K = 1, N DO 950 J = 1, N 950 A(J,K) = A(J,K)/(ROW(K)*COL(J))CONTINUE 955 RETURN WRITE(*,730) FORMAT(' MATRIX IS SINGULAR') 720 730 740 RETURN END С

C

APPENDIX F. DIELECTRIC CYLINDER SCATTERING PROGRAM

```
С
                                                                          С
С
      PLANEWAVE SCATTERING BY A DIELECTRIC CYLINDER
                                                                          С
      E - WAVE (TM CASE)
H - WAVE (TE CASE)
С
                                                                          С
                                                                          С
С
С
                                                                          С
C
      COMPLEX GAMMA(0:200,2),SIGMA(2),ER,MU,KR,YR,ZR
      COMPLEX*16 JA(0:200), DJA(0:200), KRRA
      COMPLEX*16 J(0:200), DJ(0:200)
      REAL*8 Y(0: 200), DY(0: 200), YA(0: 200), DYA(0: 200), JB(0: 200)
      REAL*8 DJB(0:200), RA, KORA
      REAL KO, PI, SIGMAN(2), A, B
      INTEGER I, II, MODE, ARES, N
С
      OPEN(3, FILE='C: MSFORT DECTEM. DAT')
      PI = 3.1415927
С
      WRITE(*,*) 'PERMITTIVITY FORMAT IS " a + jb, " '
WRITE(*,*) 'Enter Dielectric Constant (REAL PART, a) '
      READ(*,*) A
      WRITE(*,*) 'Enter Dielectric Constant (IMAGINARY PART, b) '
      READ(*,*) B
      ER = CMPLX(A,B)
С
      WRITE(*,*) 'PERMEABILITY FORMAT IS " a + jb, " '
WRITE(*,*) 'Enter Permeability Constant (REAL PART, a) '
      READ(*,*) A
      WRITE(*,*) 'Enter Permeability Constant (IMAGINARY PART, b) '
      READ(*,*) B
      MU = CMPLX(A,B)
С
      WRITE(*,*) 'Enter the wave number (ko) '
      READ(*,*) KO
С
      WRITE(*,*) 'Enter Cylinder Radius (IN WAVENUMBER UNITS)
WRITE(*,*) 'WARNING: Do Not Enter Zero ! '
      READ(*,*) KORA
С
      KR = CSQRT(MU*ER)
      YR = CSQRT(ER/MU)
      ZR = CSQRT(MU/ER)
      RA = KORA/KO
      KRRA = KR*RA
С
      WRITE(*,*) 'Enter No. of Modes:
      READ(*,*) MODE
      WRITE(*,*) 'Enter the angular resolution
      READ(*,*) ARES
С
```
WRITE(3,*) 'Cylinder Scattering vs. angle' WRITE(3,110) ER, MU, RA, MODE, KO, KRRA WRITE(3,*) С CALL BES(MODE,KORA, JB, Y, DJB, DY) CALL DCBJNS (DCMPLX(KORA, 0. 0D+00), MODE, J, DJ) CALL DCBJNS ((KRRA*KO), MODE, JA, DJA) WRITE(*,*) ' RETURNED FROM FINAL BESSEL CALL' С С CALCULATING GAMMAs С DO 10, N = 0, MODE GAMMA(N,1) = (JA(N)*DJ(N)-YR*DJA(N)*J(N))/(JA(N)*CMPLX(DJ(N)))С -DY(N) - YR*DJA(N)*CMPLX(J(N), -Y(N))) GAMMA(N,2) = (JA(N)*DJ(N)-ZR*DJA(N)*J(N))/(JA(N)*CMPLX(DJ(N))С ,-DY(N)) - ZR*DJA(N)*CMPLX(J(N),-Y(N))) WRITE(*,1000) N,GAMMA(N,1),GAMMA(N,2) 10 CONTINUE С С CALCULATING SIGMAS С DO 30, I = 180, -180, -ARESDO 40, II = 1, 2SIGMA(II) = (0.0, 0.0)DO 20, N = 1, MODE SIGMA(II) = SIGMA(II)+2.0*GAMMA(N,II)*COS(N*PI*I/180.0)20 CONTINUE SIGMA(II) = SIGMA(II) + GAMMA(0,II)SIGMAN(II) = ((4.0/K0)*(CABS(SIGMA(II)))**2)40 CONTINUE WRITE(3,120) I, SIGMAN(1), SIGMAN(2) 30 CONTINUE С С ',F6.4,1X,F6.4,/, 110 FORMAT(1X,'Er ',F6.4,1X,F6.4,/, C' Mu ',F8.5,/, C' RADIUS (METERs) = C' MAX MODE ',I3,/, F°,/, = C' KO ',F8.5,/, ',F8.5,1X,F8.5) Ξ C' KrRa z 120 FORMAT(1X, I4, 2(3X, E14. 4)) 1000 FORMAT(1X, I3, 1X, 2(E12.4, 1X, E12.4, 4X))С С STOP END

APPENDIX G. SOFTWARE SOURCES

- 1. DISSPLA Integrated Software Systems Corporation 10505 Sorrento Valley Road San Diego, CA 92121
- 2. CURVE-DIGITIZER West Coast Consultants 4202 Genesee Avenue, Suite 309 San Diego, CA 92117
- 3. Microsoft FORTRAN 16011 NE 36th Way BOX 97017 Redmond, WA 98073
- 4. Microway NDP FORTRAN POB 79 Kingston, MA 02364
- 5. Prof. M.A. Morgan, Code 62Mw Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943
- LT T.B. Welch III c'o T.B. Welch Jr. 1318 Walthour Road Savannah, GA 31410

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Mittra, R. (Editor), Computer Techniques in Electromagnetics, Pergamon Press, New York, NY, 1973.
- 2. Welch, B.A., Concept Evaluation: Field Feedback Computation of Electromagnetic Scattering, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, June 1980.
- 3. Morgan, M.A. and Welch, B.A., "Field Feedback Formulation for Electromagnetic Scattering", *IEEE Transactions in Antennas and Propagation*, December 1986.
- 4. Morgan, M.A., Unpublished notes, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, dated 2 April 1988.
- 5. Morgan, M.A., Unpublished notes, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, dated 13 April 1988.
- 6. Morgan, M.A., Unpublished notes, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, dated 26 May 1988.
- 7. Morgan, M.A., Unpublished notes, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, dated 9 January 1989
- Morgan, M.A., Unpublished notes, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, dated 20 May 1988
- 9. Morgan, M.A. Unpublished notes, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, dated 3 February 1989
- 10. Richmond, J.H., "Scattering by a Dielectric Cylinder of Arbitrary Cross-Section", IEEE Transanction on Antennas and Propagation, May 1965

11. Richmond, J.H. "TE - Wave Scattering by a Dielectric Cylinder of Arbitrary Cross-Section", IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, July 1966

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No. Copies
1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145	2
2.	Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5002	2
3.	Professor John P. Powers, Chairman Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	1
4.	Professor Michael A. Morgan Code 62 Mw Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	10
5.	Professor Ramakrishna Janaswamy Code 62 Js Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	1
6.	Professor Richard W. Adler Code 62 Ab Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	I
7.	Dr. Arthur Jordan Code 1114SE Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217	1
8.	Dr. Bill Pala Code 5316 Naval Research Laboratory 4555 Overlook Avenue, South West Washington, DC 20375	I

9.	Mr. Peter Hoag Code 25D Office of Naval Technology 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217	1
10.	Mr. Charles Heber AST Office Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209	1
11.	Mr. Daniel Carpenter TRW Military Electronics and Aviation Division One Rancho Carmel San Diego, CA 92128	1
12.	Dr. David Lewis AST Office Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209	I
13.	Mr. Joseph Mosko Code 35203 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555	1
14.	Ms. Pamela Overfelt Code 3814 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555	1
15.	LT Thaddeus B. Welch III 1318 Walthour Road Savannah, GA 31410	2

à

ţ

141