A. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICAT	D-A207	715 -	MARKINGS		Form / OMB i	Approved Vo. 0704-0188
Unclass; fied		3. DISTRIBUTION	VAVAILARII ITY	OF REPORT		
DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHED	ULE	Approved	for public r	elease;	/_	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUME	IER(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NU	MBER(S)	7
		Ar 0 51	n-11 7 - 8	ម-0	533	
NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF M	IONITORING ORC	ANIZATION		
In: ucesity of Californ.	م	AFOSR	ine free	PC		
nuuress (Lity, State, and ZIP Code)		70. ADDRESS (C	ity, State, and Zi	r code)		
scakeley, CA 94720		Building Bolling,	AFB DC 203	32-6448		
NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	86. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	IT INSTRUMENT	IDENTIFICAT	ION NUN	ABER
AFOSR	NM	F491.2	0-87-	C = OI	18	
ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMB	ERS		WORK
Building 410 Bolling, AFR DC 20332-4449		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.		ACCESSION NO
		1	1		,	
ITIE /Include Canada Chan		61102F	2304	A9		
TITLE (Include Security Classification)	in Fluia a	61102F	2304 MA D'	A9	cS	
TITLE (Include Security Classification) IONLINGAT Stability Fibal Tech Report	IN Fluid a	61102F nd Pl95	2304 MG D'	<u>A9</u> VNami	cS	
TITLE (Include Security Classification) ONINCAT Stability FIDAT Tech Report T PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	IN Fluid a	61102F ND PIGS	2304 MG D	A9 Vnami	cS	
TILE (Include Security Classification) CALINGAT Stability FIDAT Tech Report T FRONAL AUTHOR(S) PROFESSOR JERRO 101 E TYPE OF REPORT [13b. TIME]	MARSCIEN	61102F .nd PIGS .1959 14. DATE OF REPO	A D	A9 () () () () () () () () () ()	CS	
ITLE (Include Security Classification) MINCAT Stability FIDAT Tech Report F ERSONAL AUTHOR(S) PROFESSOR JEREOIOL E TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME (NALTECH. FROM_	MARSCIEN	61102F .nd P195 	2304 MG D	A9 / Da Mi h769-y) 15	CS . PAGE C	OUNT
TITLE (Include Security Classification) DIINCAT Stability FROMAL AUTHOR(S) PROFESSOR JERROIOLE TYPE OF REPORT INAL ECH. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	MARSCIEN COVERED TO	61102F ,nd PIGS <u>-1959</u> 14. DATE OF REPO	ELECTI	A9 / Da mi 15 89	CS	OUNT
TITLE (Include Security Classification) COLLINGAT STADILITY FIDAT ECH REPORT PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) PEOFESSOR JEREOIOLE TYPE OF REPORT INAL DECH. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES EVEN	IN FUID OU <u>ebressed 26</u> <u>Marsclen</u> COVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS	61102F nd PI9S -+959 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue on Per	2304 MQ BEL (Year: Mont ELECTI MAY 1 2 19 The fr necessary a	A9 / Da mi 15 E 89 nd mrg	CS . PAGE C	OUNT number)
TITLE (Include Security Classification) TITLE (Include Security Classification) TITLE (Include Security Classification) TYPE OF REPORT FROM TYPE OF REPORT TYPE	IN FUID OU <u>PURCHIEG 26</u> <u>MARSCIEN</u> COVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS	61102F nd PIGS <u>IGS</u> 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue on Continue on	2304 MG BELECTI ELECTI MAY 12 19 The fracessary a	A9 / Da mi 15 15 15 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	CS . PAGE C	OUNT number)
TITLE (Include Security Classification) COLLINGAT STADILITY FINATIECH REPORT PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) PROFESSOR JERROID TYPE OF REPORT INALECH FROM SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	IN FUID OU <u>ebreaded 26</u> <u>Marsclen</u> <u>TO</u> <u>18. SUBJECT TERMS</u>	61102F nd PI9S <u>+959</u> 14. DATE OF REPO	2304 MG ELECTI MAY 1:2 19 The Monte State ELECTI MAY 1:2 19 The Monte State A	A9 / Da min 779 y) 15 E 89 R9 R9	CS . PAGE C	OUNT number)
TITLE (Include Security Classification) CMINERI Stability FIDAT Tech Report F PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) PROFESSOR JERROIOLE TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME (FROM_ SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessar)	IN FUID OU <u>ebressed</u> 26 <u>Marsclew</u> COVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS V and identify by block	61102F nd PIGS 	2304 MG D BELECTI ELECTI MAY 12 19 See if necessary a	A9 / Na mi h79,ey) 115 = 89 nd nrt	CS . PAGE C by block	OUNT number)
TITLE (Include Security Classification) ICAL TECH REPORT PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) PEOFESSON TECEOIOLE TYPE OF REPORT INAL ECH. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessar In this report we prese	IN FUID OU <u>PORCAREN</u> <u>OVERED</u> TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS y and identify by block intra block diagonal	61102F nd PIGS <u>IGS</u> 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue on or of reported to the other) lization theorem	2304 MG SBL (Year Mont ELECTI MAY 12 19 The fracessary a A N which is de	A9 / Da min h, Da min h, Da min 15 E 89 nd esigned to	CS PAGE C by block	OUNT number) the
TITLE (Include Security Classification) CONTINUED Stability PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Peofesson Tecentol E TYPE OF REPORT INALTECH SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessar) In this report we prese stability and bifurcation of roti	IN FURCE OF CONTRACT OF CONTRACT.	61102F nd PIGS 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue on or number) lization theorem ore generally, o	2304 MG BLECTI ELECTI MAY 1:2 19 The if necessary a A n which is de of relative equ	A9 / A9 / A 11 15 2 89 rd rt signed to ilibria. Ti	CS PAGE C by block	OUNT number) the ext
TITLE (Include Security Classification) MINCAT Stability FINAL Tech Report T RESONAL AUTHOR(S) Peofessor Terreolol E TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME (INAL ECH. FROM_ SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessar) In this report we prese stability and bifurcation of rotion of the discussion is the energy	IN FUIR AU <u>PORCHARSOLEN</u> <u>COVERED</u> 18. SUBJECT TERMS y and identify by block is intra block diagonal ating systems, or ma- momentum methoc	61102F nd PIGS 44-5-9 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue of report (Continue of report number) lization theorem ore generally, o d for mechanica	2304 MQ BELECTE MAY 12 19 The freessary a A n which is de frelative equility systems with	A9 / Camin hr.9.9y) 15 E gq nd esigned to ilibria. Ti h.symmet	CS PAGE C by block study he cont	OUNT number) the ext no,
TITLE (Include Security Classification) CONTRACT Stability PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Peofessor Secenci E TYPE OF REPORT INALTECH SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessar) In this report we prese stability and bifurcation of rot: of the discussion is the energy Posbergh and Marsden [1989]	IN FUR AN EDREMANDE AN TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	61102F nd PIGS 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue of repo (Continue of repo number) lization theorem ore generally, o d for mechanica no [1989] disco	2304 MG BELECTI MAY 1:2 19 The fr necessary a A n which is de frelative equil systems with vered crucial	A9 / A9 / A9 15 E 89 rd rd rto ilibria. Ti h symmet special cz	CS PAGE C by block study he cont try Sir ases of	OUNT number) the ext no, the
TITLE (Include Security Classification) CONTINUED Stability PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Peofessor Jereolol E TYPE OF REPORT INAL ECH. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessar) In this report we prese stability and bifurcation of rota of the discussion is the energy Posbergh and Marsden [1989] block diagonalization theorem	IN FUR AN <u>BORCHARSOLEN</u> <u>COVERED</u> 18. SUBJECT TERMS and identify by block in and identify by block in 	61102F nd PIGS 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue of reported (Continue of reported) (Continue of reporte	2304 MG BELECTE MAY 1 2 19 Set if necessary a PA I which is de if relative equil lystems with vered crucial luding general	A9 / Camin hr9ey) 15 B9 nd rett signed to ilibria. Th h symmet special ca nonlinear	CS PAGE C by block study he cont ry Sir ases of r elastic	OUNT number) the ext no, the city
TITLE (Include Security Classification) MATTICE (Include Security Classification) PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Peofessor Secenci E TYPE OF REPORT INAL Pech Isb. TIME OF FROM SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary In this report we prese stability and bifurcation of rota of the discussion is the energy Posbergh and Marsden [1989] block diagonalization theorem and geometrically exact rods	18. SUBJECT TERMS and identify by block is and identify by block is	61102F DC PIGS 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue of repo (Continue of repo number) lization theorem ore generally, o d for mechanica no [1989] disco ng systems, incl o abstract these	2304 MG BELECTI MAY 1:2 19 The fracessary a A n which is de frelative equil luding general e examples of	A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A	CS PAGE C by block study he cont Ty Sir ases of r elastic a gene	OUNT number) the ext no, the city eral
TITLE (Include Security Classification) CONTRACT Classification) Peofessor Tecentol E TYPE OF REPORT INAL ECH. FROM SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessar) In this report we prese stability and bifurcation of rotion of the discussion is the energy. Posbergh and Marsden [1989] block diagonalization theorem and geometrically exact rods. geometric theorem. We exact	18. SUBJECT TERMS r and identify by block is ant, a block diagonal ating systems, or ma- momentum methoc and Lewis and Sirr for uniformly rotating Our purpose is the ct these general reso	61102F nd PIGS 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue on or (Continue on or number) lization theorem ore generally, o d for mechanica no [1989] disco ng systems, incl o abstract these sults will be im-	2304 MG BELECTI ELECTI MAY 1 2 19 See if necessary a A n which is de f relative equil l systems with vered crucial luding general e examples a portant for	A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9	CS PAGE C by block study he cont Ty Sir ases of r elastic a gene	OUNT number) the ext no, the city eral nal
TITLE (Include Security Classification) MALLIECK REPORT PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Peofessor Secrotol E TYPE OF REPORT INAL C. FROM SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary In this report we prese stability and bifurcation of rota of the discussion is the energy Posbergh and Marsden [1989] block diagonalization theorem and geometrically exact rods. geometric theorem. We expe fluid masses as well. (Jul)	IN FUR A <u>ebressee</u> <u>MARSCIEN</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>TO</u> <u>T</u>	61102F DC PIGS 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue of repo (Continue of repo number) lization theorem ore generally, o d for mechanica no [1989] disco ng systems, incl o abstract these sults will be imp	2304 MG BELECTI MAY 1:2 19 The if necessary a A n which is de f relative equil luding general e examples a portant for ro	A9 A9 A9 A9 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	CS PAGE C by block study he cont Ty Sir ises of r elastic a gene ivitatio	OUNT number) the ext no, the city eral nal
TITLE (Include Security Classification) CONTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	IN FURCE OF TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	61102F DC PIGS 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue on or number) lization theorem ore generally, o d for mechanica no [1989] discor ng systems, incl o abstract these sults will be imp	2304 MG BELECTE MAY 12 19 The forecessary a A n which is defined in the forecessary a A n which is defined in the forecessary a construction of the	A9 A9 A9 A9 15 E B9 15 E 15	CS PAGE C by block study he cont Ty Sir ases of r elastic a gene ivitatio	OUNT number) the ext no, the city eral nal
TITLE (Include Security Classification) MINCAT Stability PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Peofessor Jereoiol E TYPE OF REPORT INAL ech. FROM_ SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessar) In this report we prese stability and bifurcation of rots of the discussion is the energy Posbergh and Marsden [1989] block diagonalization theorem and geometrically exact rods. geometric theorem. We expect fluid masses as well. (Jul) DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS	IN FUICE CALL PORCEASED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	61102F nd PIGS 14. DATE OF REPO (Continue on or number) lization theorem ore generally, o d for mechanica no [1989] disco- ng systems, incl o abstract these sults will be imp 21. ABSTRACT SI CINCLAS 225. TELEPHONE	2304 MG PRE (Xeer Mont ELECTI MAY 1:2 19 Xe if necessary a A n which is de if relative equ I systems wit vered crucial luding general e examples a portant for ro ECURITY CLASSIF SI (O) (Include Area Co	A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9 A9	CS PAGE C by block study he cont Ty Sir ises of r elastic a gene ivitatio	OUNT number) the ext no, the city eral nal

, ·

-1.5

.....

Final Technical Report February 28, 1989

APORTIR. SECOND

§1 Introduction

In this report we present a block diagonalization theorem which is designed to study the stability and bifurcation of rotating systems, or more generally, of relative equilibria. The context of the discussion is the energy-momentum method for mechanical systems with symmetry. Simo, Posbergh and Marsden [1989] and Lewis and Simo [1989] discovered crucial special cases of the block diagonalization theorem for uniformly rotating systems, including general nonlinear elasticity and geometrically exact rods. Our purpose is to abstract these examples and prove a general geometric theorem. We expect these general results will be important for rotating gravitational fluid masses as well.

For rotating systems the result says that a splitting of coordinates can be *explicitly* found on a linearized level which represent the rotational and internal vibrational modes. In these coordinates, the second variation of an augmented Hamiltonian is block diagonal. Of course coordinates can always be found in principle to do this, but we are able to do it explicitly enough to give useful stability and, we also believe, bifurcation criteria.

On the other hand, the symplectic form does *not* block diagonalize, indicating that the rotational and internal modes are in fact dynamically coupled. However, for purposes of the stability calculation, block diagonalization of the augmented energy is what is important. The off diagonal terms in the symplectic form (sometimes called *Coriolis coupling terms*) are, however, sufficiently simple that they should be useful for studying the dynamic interaction of the rotational and internal wibrational modes.

For rotating pseudo-rigid bodies, Lewis and Simo [1989] noticed that the computation of the definiteness of the second variation is considerably simplified by our result - in this case the simplification saves considerable computation time. In fact the symbolic and numerical manipulation required one to test a full 14×14 matrix for definiteness; block diagonalization reduces this to testing a 6×6 matrix for nonisotropic bodies and to a 3×3 matrix for the isotropic case.

§2 The Energy-Momentum Method

We begin our work in the context of standard mechanical systems with symmetry before any reductions have taken place. In other words, we begin with a symplectic manifold (P, Ω)

> Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

rather than a *Poisson* manifold. In fact, shortly we shall specialize to the case of $P = T^*Q$ and a Hamiltonian of the form kinetic plus potential.

Let G be a Lie group acting symplectically on P with an equivariant momentum mapping

$$\mathbf{J}: \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{g}^* \tag{1}$$

(see Abraham and Marsden [1978], Marsden [1981] or Marsden et al. [1982] for the standard definitions and results used here).

Let $H: P \to \mathbb{R}$ be a given G-invariant Hamiltonian. A point z_e in P is called a *relative* equilibrium if there is a $\xi \in g$, the Lie algebra of G, such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp(\mathbf{t}\boldsymbol{\xi}) \, \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{e}},\tag{2}$$

where z(t) is the dynamical orbit of X_{H} the Hamiltonian vector field of H, with $z(0) = z_{e}$.

The energy-momentum method rests on the following result.

2.1 Relative Equilibrium Theorem A point z_e is a relative equilibrium iff there is a $\xi \in g$ such that z_e is a critical point of $H_{\xi}: P \to \mathbb{R}$, where

$$H_{\xi}(z) = H(z) - \langle J(z) - \mu_{e}, \xi \rangle \qquad (3)$$

and $\mu_e = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{z}_e)$.

In (3), the Lie algebra element $\xi \in g$ may be regarded as a Lagrange multiplier. Since J is conserved by the flow of X_H , the set $J - \mu_e = 0$ is preserved, so one may regard it as a (non-holonomic) constraint set. It also follows that $\xi \in g_{\mu_e}$, the isotropy algebra of μ_e (with respect to the coadjoint action). Thus,

$$\delta H_{\mathcal{E}}(z_{\mathbf{a}}) = 0 \tag{4}$$

may be regarded as a (constrained)variational principle for relative equilibria.

The relative equilibrium theorem is readily verified. Of course it has a long history, going back to Lagrange and Poincaré for rotating systems. Like many basic results, it has been rediscovered in a number of contexts by various authors. Early references in our context are Arnold [1966], Smale [1970] and Marsden and Weinstein [1974]. As we shall state below, the

relative equilibrium theorem sometimes specializes to the *principle of symmetric criticality* (Palais [1979]).

The energy-momentum method proceeds as follows (see Holm *et al.* [1985] for the meaning of formal stability and related references).

Energy-Momentum Method

To test a relative equilibrium $z_e \in P$ for formal stability:

- 1 Choose $\xi \in g$ such that $\delta H_{\xi}(z_e) = 0$
- **2** Choose a linear subspace $S \subset T_{z_s} P$ such that
 - i $\mathcal{S} \subset \ker d\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{z}_e)$ and
 - ii S complements $T_{z_e}(G_{\mu_e} \cdot z_e)$ in ker $TJ(z_e)$, where $G_{\mu_e} \subset G$ is the isotropy suspective subgroup of μ_e .
- 3 Test

$$\delta^2 H_{\xi}(z_e)$$

for definiteness as a bilinear form on S.



The fact that $\delta^2 H_{\xi}(z_e)$ drops to the reduced space follows from the next lemma.

2.2 Gauge Invariance Lemma

$$\delta^2 H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{e}})(\eta_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{e}}), \delta \mathbf{z}) = 0$$
⁽⁵⁾

for all $\delta z \in \ker TJ(z_e)$ and $\eta \in g$, where η_p denotes the infinitesimal generator of the group action on P.

Distribution/

Availability Codes

Avail and/or Special This follows readily from invariance of H and equivariance of J. One can view (5) as a block diagonalization result on the unconstrained tangent space $T_{z_e}P$, but it does not yield block diagonalization within the constrained subspace S in the energy-momentum method. It is the latter that we are concerned with.

One can identify any choice of S with the tangent space to the *reduced space*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{e}}} = \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{e}})/\mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{e}}}$$

at $[z_e]$ (assuming, as we shall, that μ_e is a regular and generic value; c.f. Weinstein [1984]). However, it is easier to do our analysis directly on $T_{z_e}T^*Q$ rather than on the quotient space. This is the usual situation found in constrained optimization problems. However, dropping the calculations to the quotient space at the appropriate point will play a useful role.

§3 Simple Mechanical Systems

We now specialize to the systems we will be studying. Let Q be a configuration manifold and $P = T^*Q$ with its canonical symplectic structure and cotangent coordinates (q^i, p_i) in the finite dimensional case. {Whenever we use coordinates, we assume Q is finite dimensional, although the results are *not* restricted to this case.} Coordinates on the velocity phase space TQ are similarly denoted (q^i, \dot{q}^i) .

Let g denote a Riemannian metric on Q; in coordinates we write the components of g as g_{ij} as usual, and we write g^{ij} for the inverse tensor. Let $K : TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the corresponding kinetic energy, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{ij}(\mathbf{q}) \, \dot{\mathbf{q}}^{i} \, \dot{\mathbf{q}}^{j} \,. \tag{1}$$

Let $V: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given potential.

Assume G acts on Q (by a left action) and hence on T^*Q by the cotangent lift, so the equivariant momentum map is given by

$$\langle \mathbf{J}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle (\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{o}) = \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{o}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{O}(q) \rangle.$$
 (2)

In coordinates, we define the *action coefficients* $A_a^i(q)$ by writing

5

$$[\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{q})]^{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{q}) \, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mathbf{a}} \tag{3}$$

where a, b, c, ... denote coordinate indices for the Lie algebra g. Thus (2) becomes

$$J_{a}(q, p) = p_{i} A_{a}^{i}(q).$$
 (4)

We assume that G acts on Q by isometries and that the potential V is G-invariant. For elasticity, for instance, this is the requirement of material frame indifference. Note that (3) of ² reads

$$H_{\xi}(q, p) = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} p_i p_j + V(q) - p_i A^i_a(q) \xi^a.$$
 (5)

Define the moment of inertia tensor I for the system locked at $q \in Q$ by

$$I_{ab}(q) = g_{ii}(q) A_{a}^{i}(q) A_{b}^{j}(q)$$
(6)

(alternatively, in terms of the q-dependent inner product $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \langle \xi_Q(q), \eta_Q(q) \rangle$ on g, we have $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \mathbb{I}_{ab}(q)\xi^a\eta^b$, and define the *augmented potential* V_{ξ} by

$$V_{\xi}(q) = V(q) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{I}_{ab}(q) \xi^{a} \xi^{b}.$$
 (7)

One can readily verify the following (see Abraham and Marsden [1978] and Palais [1979]) by writing out the conditions $\delta H_{\xi} = 0$ in 2.1. A more elegant argument is, however, given below.

3.1 Principle of Symmetric Criticality A point $z_e = (q^i, p_j)$ is a relative equilibrium if and only if there is a $\xi \in g_{\mu_e}$ such that

$$\mathbf{i} \quad \mathbf{p}_{i} = \mathbf{g}_{ij} \mathbf{A}_{a}^{j} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{a} (i.e., \mathbf{p}_{e} \text{ is the Legendre transform of } \boldsymbol{\xi}_{Q}(\mathbf{q}_{e}))$$
(8a)

and

ii
$$q^{i}$$
 is a critical point of V_{ξ} .(*i.e.*, $\frac{\delta}{\delta q} V_{\xi} \Big|_{q = q_{e}} = 0.$) (8b)

This is useful for carrying out the computations that follow. We also observe that V_{ξ} is G_{ξ} -invariant, and so induces a function on Q/G_{ξ} .

Define the one-form A^{ξ} on Q by

$$A_{i}^{\xi}(q) = g_{ij}(q) A_{a}^{j}(q) \xi^{a}$$
 (9)

or abstractly, $A^{\xi}(q) = [\xi_Q(q)]^{\delta}$, where ${}^{\delta}$ denotes the index lowering operation with respect to the metric g_{ij} . In other words, $A^{\xi}(q)$ is the Legendre transform of $\xi_Q(q)$. We remark that A may be viewed as a G-connection for the bundle $Q \rightarrow Q/G$ and that this connection plays an important role in Berry's phase; cf. Marsden, Montgomery and Ratiu [1988]. Now notice that at equilibrium, (8a) says

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{A}^{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{e}}) \,. \tag{10}$$

Also note that

$$H_{\xi}(q, p) = K_{\xi}(q, p) + V_{\xi}(q, p) + \langle \mu_{e}, \xi \rangle$$
(11)

where $K_{\xi}(q, p) = \frac{1}{2} ||p - A^{\xi}(q)||^2$, and V_{ξ} is given by (7). By (10), K_{ξ} has a critical point at z_e . Thus, (8b) is a direct consequence of the relative equilibrium theorem and (11).

In the energy-momentum method we shall use a special choice of S, namely

$$S = \left\{ v_{z_e} \in T_{z_e} T^* Q \mid T \pi_Q \cdot v_{z_e} \text{ is g-orthogonal to } T(G_{\mu_e} \cdot q_e) \text{ and } v_{z_e} \in \ker[TJ(z_e)] \right\}.$$
(12)

Letting coordinates on TT*Q be denoted

$$(q^i, p_i, \delta q^i, \delta p_i),$$

(12) reads, with the help of (8a),

$$S = \left\{ (q^{i}, p_{i}, \delta q^{i}, \delta p_{i}) \mid g_{ij}(\delta q)^{i} A^{j}_{a} \chi^{a} = 0 \text{ for all } \chi \in g_{\mu_{e}} \text{ and} \right.$$
$$\left. (\delta p)_{i} A^{i}_{a} + g_{ij} A^{j}_{b} \xi^{b} \frac{\partial A^{i}_{a}}{\partial q^{k}} (\delta q)^{k} = 0 \right\}$$
(12')

§4 Rigid Variations

One version of the cotangent bundle reduction theorem (see Abraham and Marsden [1978] and Kummer [1981], Montgomery [1986] and references therein) states that the reduced space

 $(T^*Q)_{\mu_e}$ is a symplectic bundle over $T^*(Q/G)$ with fiber the coadjoint orbit through μ_e . Thus there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{T}_{[\mathbf{z}_{e}]}\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}\mathbf{Q}\right)_{\mu_{e}} \cong \mathbf{g}/\mathbf{g}_{e} \times \mathbf{T}_{[\mathbf{z}_{e}]}\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{G})\right) \cong \mathbf{g}/\mathbf{g}_{\mu_{e}} \times (\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{INT}} \times \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{INT}}^{*})$$

where U_{INT} is a model space for Q/G. For G = SO(3), U_{INT} models the configuration space for the internal modes, while $g/g_e \equiv T_{\mu e}O_{\mu e}$ models the phase space for rigid modes. Our goal is to realize this decomposition explicitly, in such a way that $\delta^2 H_{\xi}(z_e)$ block diagonalizes. The bundle $(T^*Q)_{\mu} \rightarrow T^*(Q/G)$ with fiber O_{μ} also has a natural connection (Montgomery [1986]) and our decomposition should be related in some way to the horizontal-vertical split for this connection. However we proceed directly here; see also the comments in §5 below.

We will define two subspaces S_{RIG} and S_{INT} of S and further subspaces U_{INT} and U_{INT}^{ξ} of S_{INT} such that

$$S = S_{\text{RIG}} \oplus S_{\text{INT}} \equiv S_{\text{RIG}} \oplus (U_{\text{INT}} \oplus U_{\text{INT}}^{\varsigma})$$
(1)

relative to which $\delta^2 H_{\xi}(z_e)$ will be block diagonal. As above, the first component $S_{RIG} \cong g/g_{\mu_e}$ of S is isomorphic to the tangent space to the coadjoint orbit through μ_e . As we shall see, this component will also carry the coadjoint orbit symplectic structure. This first component is defined in terms of rigid variations as follows: Let

$$\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \{ \eta_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbf{T}\mathbf{Q} \mid \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbf{g} \text{ and } \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Q} \}$$
(2)

and let $Tg_0 \subset TTQ$ be its tangent bundle.

4.1 Definition Let $V_{RIG} = s(Tg_Q)$ where $s: T^2Q \rightarrow T^2Q$ is the canonical involution. Alternatively, V_{RIG} consists of double tangents of curves denoted by $\Delta \dot{q}$ (identified with velocity variations of superposed rigid body motions in the case of **SO**(3))

$$\Delta \dot{q} = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \exp(\epsilon \eta(t)) q(t)$$

where $\eta(t)$ is a curve in **g** with $\eta(0) = \eta$ and q(t) is a curve in Q. (The canonical involution in effect swaps the order of differentiation.)

In coordinates, if we write elements of V_{RIG} as

$$(q^i, \dot{q}^i, \Delta q^i, \Delta \dot{q}^i),$$
 (3a)

then we find that

$$\Delta q^{i} = A_{a}^{i} \eta^{a} \text{ and } \Delta \dot{q}^{i} = \frac{\partial A_{a}^{i}}{\partial q^{k}} \dot{q}^{k} \eta^{a} + A_{a}^{i} \zeta^{a}.$$
 (3b)

Now let $FL: TQ \to T^*Q$ be the Legendre transform given by

$$\mathbf{p}_{i} = \mathbf{g}_{ij} \dot{\mathbf{q}}^{j} \tag{4}$$

and let $TFL : TTQ \rightarrow TT^*Q$ be its tangent map. Set

$$S_{\rm RIG} = T \mathbb{F} L \cdot V_{\rm RIG} \cap S, \qquad (5)$$

where S is defined by (12) of §3. If we let $\mathbf{g}_{\mu_e}^{\perp}$ denote the (q-dependent) orthogonal complement of \mathbf{g}_{μ_e} in the metric \mathbb{I}_{ab} , then one finds that S_{RIG} is parametrized by elements $\eta \in \mathbf{g}_{\mu_e}^{\perp}$ as follows: we write elements of S_{RIG} as

$$(q^{i}, p_{i}, \Delta q^{i}, \Delta p_{i})$$
(6a)

and

$$\Delta q^{i} = A^{i}_{a} \eta^{a} \tag{6b}$$

$$\Delta p_{i} = -\frac{\partial A_{a}^{k}}{\partial q^{i}} \eta^{a} p_{k} + g_{ij} A_{a}^{j} \zeta^{a}$$
(6c)

where $\eta \in g_{\mu_e}^{\perp}$ and where $\zeta \in g$; the condition that (6a) belongs to ker $(T_{z_e}J)$ is equivalent to the relation

$$\zeta^{a} = \mathbb{I}^{ab}(ad_{n}^{*} \mu_{e})_{b}$$
⁽⁷⁾

i.e., $\xi^{\delta} = ad_{\eta}^{*}\mu_{e}$, so ζ is determined by η . One checks that $\eta \in g_{\mu_{e}}^{\perp}$ as well.

§5 The Internal Vibration Space

Now we define a complement to S_{RIG} in S. We will do this by a constructive procedure that can be effectively carried out in examples. As we have mentioned, this complement appears to be not the same as, but related to the complement to the vertical space relative to a natural connection on the coadjoint orbit bundle $(T^*Q)_{\mu} \rightarrow T^*(Q/G)$. In this regard we note that the metric naturally induced on Q/G is Wilson's G-matrix (see Wilson, Decius and Cross [1955]). Our decomposition appears to be finer than the one proposed by Guichardet [1984] and discussed by Iwai [1988]. Notice that we have connections on all levels of this *tower of bundles*

$$T^*Q \supset J^{-1}(\mu) \rightarrow (T^*Q)_{\mu} \rightarrow T^*(Q/G)$$

where $J^{-1}(\mu) \to (T^*Q)_{\mu}$ is regarded as a G_{μ} bundle and $(T^*Q)_{\mu} \to T^*(Q/G)$ is regarded as an O_{μ} bundle, where O_{μ} is the coadjoint orbit through μ .

The Guichardet-Iwai results appear to be largely concerned with the bundle $J^{-1}(\mu) \rightarrow (T^*Q)_{\mu}$; the fact that the reduced space $(T^*Q)_{\mu}$ still has the factor O_{μ} seems to be the reason the connection on the G_{μ} bundle $J^{-1}(\mu) \rightarrow (T^*Q)_{\mu}$ is not sufficient to completely isolate the vibrational modes from the rotational ones. We believe that the O_{μ} bundle fills this gap. These remarks aside, we turn to the explicit construction of S_{INT} . To do this, we first describe U_{INT} .

Recall that the *augmented potential* V_{ξ} is given by

$$V_{\xi} = V + L_{\xi} \tag{1a}$$

where

$$L_{\xi}(q) = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \xi_Q(q), \xi_Q(q) \rangle . \tag{1b}$$

For mechanical systems undergoing stationary rotations about ξ , i.e., G = SO(3) and $G_{\mu_e} =$ rotations about the axis μ_e which is parallel to ξ), we note that L_{ξ} gives the potential of the centrifugal force. Now define U_{INT} as the subspace on which V_{ξ} or equivalently L_{ξ} looks objective in the sense of nonlinear elasticity (cf. Marsden and Hughes [1984]). More precisely:

5.1 Definition

$$U_{INT} = \left\{ \delta q \in T_{q_e} Q | \langle \delta q, (\mathbf{L}_{\eta_Q} d \mathbf{L}_{\xi})(q) \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \eta \in g_{\mu_e}^{\perp} \text{ and } \langle \delta q, \chi_Q(q_e) \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \chi \in g_{\mu_e} \right\}$$
(2)

where the first pairing is the natural pairing between vectors and one forms while the second is the metric inner product.

Since V_{ξ} has a critical point at q_e (by the principal of symmetric criticality) and V is G-invariant, we find that

$$\langle \delta q, (\mathcal{L}_{\eta_Q} dC_{\xi})(q) \rangle = \delta^2 V_{\xi}(q_e)(\delta q, \eta_Q(q))$$
 (3)

and so we see that the geometric condition (2) is exactly what is needed to block diagonalize $\delta^2 V_{\xi}(q_e)$ within S. In coordinates, the first condition on δq^i defining U_{INT} is the geometric condition

$$\delta q^{i} \eta^{a} \xi^{b} \xi^{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{i}} \left[A^{k}_{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{k}} \left(A^{\ell}_{b} A^{m}_{c} g_{\ell m} \right) \right] = 0; \qquad (2')$$

the second condition is just the defining condition on S. Now we are ready to define S_{INT} .

5.2 Definition
$$S_{INT} = \{ \delta z \in T_{z_a} T^* Q \mid \delta q \in U_{INT} \text{ and } \delta z \in ker[TJ(z_e)] \} \subset S.$$
 (4)

5.3 Proposition $S = S_{RIG} \oplus S_{INT}$.

This is easy to check. The idea is that $S_{RIG} \cap S_{INT} = \{0\}$, that dim $S_{RIG} = \dim(g/g_{\mu})$ and that S_{INT} is determined by dim (g/g_{μ}) equations. Also, we write

$$S_{INT} \cong U_{INT} \oplus U_{INT}^{\varsigma}$$
(5)

where $U_{INT}^{\xi} = \{\delta p - A^{\xi}(q_e) \mid \delta p \in U_{INT}^*\}$ is the dual space with a momentum shift by A^{ξ} (see equation (10) of §4). The relation (5) is really a coordinate description; to do it intrinsically, we use the metric connection to split $T_{z_e}T^*Q = T_{q_e}Q \oplus (T_{q_e}Q)^*$ (this split is in fact nothing more than what we do in coordinates to identify accelerations and momenta with vectors) then we take the

horizontal and vertical splitting of U_{INT} in S_{INT} , with the vertical component followed by the momentum shift by $A^{\xi}(q_e)$.

We remark here that even if G is abelian (for instance, $G = S^1$ in the case of planar coupled rigid bodies) then the decompositions are not trivial; while $S_{RIG} = \{0\}$ in this case, $S_{INT} = U_{INT} \oplus U_{INT}^{\xi}$ is still not a trivial decomposition.

Now $H_{\xi} = K_{\xi} + V_{\xi} + \langle \mu_e, \xi \rangle$ and we have arranged for V_{ξ} to be block diagonal. As far as K_{ξ} is concerned, we compute in coordinates that

$$K_{\xi} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} (p_i - g_{ik} A_a^k \xi^a) (p_j - g_{jm} A_b^m \xi^b).$$
(6)

Thus, since $p_i = g_{ik} A_a^k \xi^a$ at equilibrium, we get

$$\delta^2 K_{\xi}(z_e) \cdot (\delta z, \, \delta \overline{z}) = g^{ij} \, \delta p_i \, \delta \overline{p}_i \,. \tag{7}$$

It is clear that $\delta^2 K_{\xi}$ block diagonalizes from $\delta^2 V_{\xi}$ within $U_{INT} \oplus U_{INT}^{\xi}$ by construction. Regarding the block diagonalization of $\delta^2 K_{\xi}$ on $S_{RIG} \oplus S_{INT}$, we shall use some further interesting identities.

First, here is an equivalent characterization of U_{INT} in terms of superposed motions:

5.4 Proposition Let $q_e \in Q$ be a curve tangent to δq at q_e , let $\eta \in g_{\mu_e}^{\perp}$ and let $\eta_{\varepsilon} = Ad_{exp(\varepsilon\xi)}\eta$. Then U_{INT} is characterized by those δq orthogonal to $T_{q_e}(G_{\mu_e} \cdot q_e)$ and satisfying

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \langle \xi_Q(q_{\epsilon}), (\eta_{\epsilon})_Q(q_{\epsilon}) \rangle \Big|_{\epsilon=0} = 0$$
(8a)

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} \langle \xi_{\mathrm{Q}}(\exp(\varepsilon\xi)\mathbf{q}_{\varepsilon}), \eta_{\mathrm{Q}}(\exp(\varepsilon\xi)\mathbf{q}_{\varepsilon}) \rangle \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0.$$
(8b)

This is verified by a direct coordinate calculation. We can lift this expression to get an alternative characterization of S_{INT} . We consider the momentum map J restricted to $g_{\mu_e}^{\perp}$ and regarded as a function on TQ. In other words, for $\zeta \in g_{\mu_e}^{\perp}$, set

$$J(\zeta)(\delta q) = \langle \zeta_{Q}(q), \, \delta q \rangle = g_{ij} \, A^{i}_{a} \, \zeta^{a} (\delta q)^{j}.$$
⁽⁹⁾

Now consider the condition

$$\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{J}(\boldsymbol{\zeta})(\boldsymbol{\delta}\mathbf{q}) \right|_{t=0} = 0 \tag{10}$$

where ζ is to evolve as $\dot{\zeta} = [\xi, \zeta]$ which is consistent with (8a) and $\zeta \in \mathbf{g}_{\mu e}^{\perp}$; here ξ is the Lie algebra element giving the relative equilibrium. Equation (10) defines a condition on T(TQ). We shall regard it as a condition on $T_{z_e}(T^*Q)$ via the Legendre transform. For simplicity we still write the resulting condition as $\dot{\mathbf{J}} = 0$.

5.5 Proposition

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{INT}} = \{ \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{z}_{e}) = 0 \} \cap \mathcal{S}.$$
(11)

The condition $TJ(z_e) \cdot \delta z = 0$ reads

$$\delta p_i A_a^i(q) + p_i \frac{\partial A_a^i}{\partial a^k} dq^k = 0$$
 (12)

and using this, one can express the conditions defining S_{INT} entirely in terms of δq . This recovers the space U_{INT} , which models $T_{[q_e]}(Q/G)$, and then one gets, as before,

$$S_{INT} = U_{INT} \oplus U_{INT}^{\xi}$$

§ 6 Block Diagonalization

The block diagonalization results for $\delta^2 H_{\xi}$ follow from two basic formulas:

6.1 Proposition Let $\Delta z \in S_{RIG}$ and $\delta z \in T_{z_e}P$. Then

$$\delta^{2}H_{\xi}(z_{e})(\Delta z, \,\delta z) = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \zeta_{Q}(q), \,\delta q \rangle - \langle [\xi, \,\eta], \,\delta \mathbf{J}(z_{e}) \cdot \delta z \rangle \tag{1}$$

where Δz has associated η and ζ as in (3b) and (7) of §4.

6.2 Proposition Let δz_1 and $\delta z_2 \in S_{INT}$; then

$$\delta^2 H_{\xi}(z_e)(\delta z_1, \delta z_2) = \delta^2 K_{\xi}(z_e) \cdot (\delta z_1, \delta z_2) + \delta^2 V_{\xi}(q_e)(\delta q_1, \delta q_2)$$
(2)

Proposition 6.1, which is proved by direct calculation, shows that $\delta^2 H_{\xi}(z_e)$ block diagonalizes on $S_{RIG} \oplus S_{INT}$, i.e., if $\Delta z \in S_{RIG}$ and $\delta z \in S_{INT}$, then

$$\delta^2 H_{\xi}(z_e)(\Delta z, \, \delta z) = 0 \,. \tag{3}$$

Proposition 6.2 then follows from our earlier calculations. It also follows that if $\Delta z \in S_{RIG}$ and $\Delta \overline{z} \in S_{RIG}$, then

$$\delta^{2} H_{\xi}(z_{e})(\Delta z, \Delta \overline{z}) = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \zeta_{Q}(q), \overline{\zeta_{Q}} \rangle$$
(4)

which is a generalization of the rigid body second variation formula for motion on the coadjoint orbit $O_{\mu e}$ with the metric \mathbb{I}_{ab} . We summarize:

6.3 Theorem The relative equilibrium z_e is formally stable (with $\delta^2 H_{\xi}(z_e)$ on S positive definite) if and only if

i $\frac{d}{dt} \langle \zeta_Q(q), \overline{\zeta_Q}(q) \rangle$ is positive definite on S_{RIG} and ii $\delta^2 V_E(q_e)$ is positive definite on U_{INT}

We note that $\delta^2 V_{\xi}(q_e)$ separates (in coordinates on U_{INT}) into $\delta^2 V(q_e)$ plus a term quadratic in ξ . Thus, **ii** is implied by a condition of the form $||\xi|| \le \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}}$, where || || is a suitable norm and λ_{\min} is the minimum (non-zero) eigenvalue of $\delta^2 V(q_e)$; one has to take care here that V itself does not have a critical point at q_e , so $\delta^2 V(q_e)$ does *not* make intrinsic sense. To see how this works in examples, see Simo, Posbergh and Marsden [1989] and Lewis and Simo [1989].

As far as the symplectic form Ω is concerned, we have

6.4 Theorem Let $\Delta z \in S_{RIG}$ and $\delta z \in T_{z_e} P$. Then

$$\Omega(z_e)(\Delta z, \, \delta z) = -\langle \eta, \, \delta J(z_e) \cdot \delta z \rangle + \langle \zeta_Q(q_e), \, \delta q \rangle.$$
(5)

Notice that in an appropriate sense, $\delta^2 H_{\xi}(z_e)$ on $S_{RIG} \times T_{z_e} P$ is the time derivative of the symplectic form Ω !

From (5) and (7) of §4 one finds that on $S_{RIG} \times S_{RIG}$, Ω gives the coadjoint orbit symplectic form

$$\Omega(z_{e})(\Delta z, \Delta \overline{z}) = -\langle \mu_{e}, [\eta, \overline{\eta}] \rangle, \qquad (6)$$

while on $S_{\text{RIG}} \times S_{\text{INT}}$ we have the cross terms

$$\Omega(\mathbf{z}_{e})(\Delta \mathbf{z}, \, \delta \mathbf{z}) = \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{q}_{e}), \, \delta \mathbf{q} \rangle, \tag{7}$$

which depend on the δq components alone.

We can summarize the situation with the following matrices:

 U_{INT}^{ξ}

$$\delta^{2}H_{\xi}(z_{e}) = \begin{bmatrix} Generalized \\ Rigid Body \\ Second Variation \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d^{2}V_{\xi}(z_{e}) & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{2}K_{\xi}(z_{e}) \end{bmatrix}$$

for the amended energy, and

·. 14



For information on the "magnetic" term, and its interpretation as a curvature, we refer the reader to Kummer [1981]. Also, the coupling terms can be interpreted in terms of the curvature of the connection on the coadjoint bundle $T^*Q \rightarrow T^*(Q/G)$; see Montgomery [1986] and Lewis, Marsden, Montgomery and Ratiu [1986].

References

- R. Abraham and J. Marsden [1978] Foundations of Mechanics. Second Edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.
- V.I. Arnol'd [1966] Sur la géometrie differentielle des groupes de Lie de dimenson infinie et ses applications a L'hydrodynamique des fluids parfaits. Ann. Inst. Fourier. Grenoble 16, 319-361.
- C. Eckart [1935] Some studies concerning rotating axes and polyatomic molecules. *Phys. Rev.*47, 552-558.

- A. Guichardet [1984] On rotation and vibration motions of molecules, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 40, 329-342.
- D.D. Holm, J.E. Marsden, T. Ratiu and A. Weinstein [1985] Nonlinear stability of fluid and plasma equilibria. *Physics Reports* 123, 1-116.
- T. Iwai [1988] A geometric setting for classical molecular dynamics. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré (to appear).
- M. Kummer [1981] On the construction of the reduced phase space of a Hamiltonian system with symmetry. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30, 281-291.
- D. Lewis and J.C. Simo [1989] Nonlinear stability of rotating pseudo-rigid bodies (preprint).
- D.Lewis, J.E. Marsden, R. Montgomery and T. Ratiu [1986] The Hamiltonian structure for dynamic free boundary problems. *Physica* 18D, 391-404.
- J.E. Marsden [1981] Lectures on geometric methods in mathematical physics. SIAM, CBMS Conf. Series, 37.
- J.E. Marsden and T.J.R. Hughes [1983] Mathematical Foundations of Elasticity, Prentice Hall.
- J.E. Marsden, T. Ratiu, R. Schmid, R.G. Spencer and A. Weinstein [1983] Hamiltonian systems with symmetry, coadjoint orbits and plasma physics. *Proc. IUTAM-ISIMM Symposium* on "Modern Developments in Analytical Mechanics" Torino, June 7-11, 1982, Atti della Academia della Scienze di Torino 117, 289-340.
- J.E. Marsden, R. Montgomery and T. Ratiu [1988] Reduction, symmetry, and Berry's phase in mechanics (preprint).
- J.E. Marsden and A. Weinstein [1974] Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetry. Rep. Math. Phys. 5, 121-130.
- R. Montgomery [1986] The bundle picture in mechanics. Thesis, U.C. Berkeley.
- R.S. Palais [1979] The principle of symmetric criticality, Comm. Math. Phys. 69, 19-30.
- J.C. Simo, T.A. Posbergh and J.E. Marsden [1989] Nonlinear stability of elasticity and geometrically exact rods by the energy-momentum method (preprint).
- S. Smale [1970] Topology and Mechanics. Inv. Math. 10, 305-331, 11, 45-64.
- A. Weinstein [1984] Stability of Poisson-Hamilton equilibria. Cont. Math. AMS 28, 3-14.
- E.B. Wilson, J.C. Decius and P.C. Cross [1955] *Molecular vibrations*. M^cGraw Hill (reprinted by Dover).