

Department of Operations Research

Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

The document has been approved for public release and asks in distribution is unlimited.

89

DTIC

MAY 01 1989

01

<u>056</u>

Ch E

5

SYSTEMS OPTIMIZATION LABORATORY DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305-4022

On the Feasibility of a Generalized Linear Program

by Hui Hu

TECHNICAL REPORT SOL 89-1

March 1989



Research and reproduction of this report were partially supported by the National Science Foundation grants DMS-8800589 and ECS8617905; U.S. Department of Energy grant DE-FG03-87ER25028 and Office of Naval Research grant N00014-89-J-1659. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do NOT necessarily reflect the views of the above sponsors.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purposes of the United States Government. This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

On the Feasibility of a Generalized Linear Program

Hui Hu Stanford University Department of Operations Research Stanford, CA 94305

Abstract

The first algorithm for solving generalized linear programs was given by \mathcal{L} George B. Dantzig. His algorithm assumes that a basic feasible solution of the generalized linear program to be solved exists and is given. If the initial basic feasible solution is non-degenerate, then his algorithm is guaranteed to converge. The purpose of this paper is to show how to find an initial basic feasible (possibly degenerate) solution of a generalized linear program by applying the same algorithm to a ""phase-one" problem without requiring that the initial basic feasible solution to the latter be non-degenerate. (Ke)

Key Words: linear programming, generalized linear programming, duality.

Abbreviated Title: The feasibility of a GLP

Generalized linear programming is a natural extension of linear programming. The cost coefficients and the columns of the constraint matrix of a generalized linear program may be freely chosen from a compact convex set. Specifically, a generalized linear program is defined as

(GLP) minimize cy subject to

$$py = q$$

(c, p^T)^T may be freely chosen from $T^1 \times T^m$
 $y \ge 0$

where $y \ge 0$ is a real number, q is in \mathbb{R}^m , T^1 is a closed interval in \mathbb{R}^1 , and T^m is a compact convex set in \mathbb{R}^m .



Dantzig's algorithm for solving generalized linear programs starts with a basic feasible solution of the problem to be solved, i.e., assumes that one has at hand m independent vectors p^1, p^2, \ldots, p^m such that $p^i \in T^m$ and values of scalars $y_i \ge 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p^i y_i = q$ is non-negative. At iteration k, a new vector $(c^k, p^{k^T})^T \in T^1 \times T^m$ is generated with the most negative reduced cost $c^k - \pi p^k \le c - \pi p$ for all $(c, p^T)^T \in T^1 \times T^m$, where $\pi p^{j_i} = c^{j_i}$ for basic p^{j_i} . Then p^k is added to the constraint matrix of the k-th restricted master program with cost coefficient c^k . If the initial basic feasible solution is non-degenerate, then the optimal solutions of all restricted master program (GLP) on which the objective function tends to the value of the program (GLP) (see, e.g., Dantzig (1963), chapters 22 and 24).

The purpose of this paper is to show how to find an initial basic feasible (possibly degenerate) solution of (GLP) by Dantzig's algorithm. Here the idea is same as phase-one of the simplex method. We introduce artificial variables and minimize the summation of all artificial variables. That is, we solve the phase-one problem:

(LP) minimize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i$$

subject to $py + \sum_{i=1}^{m} e^i x$

 $py + \sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{i}x_{i} = q$ p may be freely chosen from T^{m} $y \ge 0, x_{i} \ge 0$ for i = 1, ..., m,

where e^i is the *i*-th unit vector in \mathbb{R}^m . We assume, without loss of generality, that $q_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, and $q \neq 0$. In addition, we assume that the origin is not contained in \mathbb{T}^m . Let v(LP) denote the optimal value of the objective function of program (LP). Superscripts on vectors denote different vectors, while subscripts on vectors denote different components. To avoid confusion, we state the steps for finding an initial basic feasible solution of (GLP) explicitly.

Initialize. Let k := 0;let the first restricted master linear program, LP(0), be $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i$ minimize subject to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{i} x_{i} = q$ $\overline{x_i} \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. (Note that $v(LP(0)) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i > 0$ since $q \ge 0$ and $q \ne 0$.) Step 1. If v(LP(k)) = 0, then a basic feasible solution of (GLP) is found, stop. Else, go to Step 2. Step 2. Let π^k be an optimal dual solution of LP(k); find a p^{k+1} such that $\pi^k p^{k+1} = \max\{\pi^k p : p \in T^m\};$ if $\pi^k p^{k+1} \leq 0$, then (GLP) is infeasible, stop. Else, go to Step 3. Step 3. Let LP(k+1) be the linear program minimize $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i$ subject to $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p^i y_i + \sum_{i=1}^m e^i x_i = q$ $y_i \ge 0, \ i = 1, \dots, \ k+1, \ x_i \ge 0, \ i = 1, \dots, \ m;$ solve LP(k+1); k:=k+1;go to Step 1.

Theorem. Suppose that the origin is not contained in T^m . Then (GLP) is feasible if and only if v(LP(k)) = 0 for some k or v(LP(k)) > 0 for all k and $\lim_{k\to\infty} v(LP(k)) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose first that v(LP(k)) > 0 for all k and $\lim_{k\to\infty} v(LP(k)) = 0$. Let $(y_1^k, \ldots, y_k^k, x_1^k, \ldots, x_m^k)$ be an optimal solution of LP(k). Let Δ_k be the index set ordered from low indicies i to high indecies such that $y_i^k > 0$ if and only if $i \in \Delta_k$. If we solve LP(k) by the simplex method, then Δ_k contains no more than m elements. Let $\Delta_k = \{i_{k_1}, \ldots, i_{k_{|\Delta_k|}}\}$ where $|\Delta_k|$ denotes the cardinal number of Δ_k , and let $Y^k = (y_{i_{k_1}}^k, \dots, y_{i_{k_{\lfloor \Delta_k \rfloor}}}^k, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, that is, the first $|\Delta_k|$ components of Y^k are $y_{i_{k_1}}^k, \ldots, y_{i_{k_{|\Delta_k|}}}^k$ and the rest are zeros in the case $|\Delta_k| < m$. We claim that $\{Y^k \in \mathbb{R}^m : k = 1, 2, ...\}$ has a cluster point. Indeed let $\lambda^k = \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i^k$ for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ Since $\lambda^k = 0$ implies $v(LP(k)) = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i > 0$, we know that $\lambda^k = 0$ can only happen for a finite number of k because an infinite number of times would imply $\lim_{j\to\infty} v(LP(k_j)) = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i > 0$ for a subsequence, contrary to the hypothesis $\lim_{k\to\infty} v(LP(k)) = 0$. Therefore, $\lambda^k > 0$ for all $k > k_0$. Now let $s^k \equiv$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p^{i} y_{i}^{k} / \lambda^{k} \in T^{m} \text{ and } u^{k} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{i} x_{i}^{k} \text{ for all } k > k_{0}. \text{ As } \lim_{k \to \infty} v(LP(k)) = 0, \text{ we have } \lim_{k \to \infty} u^{k} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda^{k} s^{k} = q. \text{ Since } T^{m} \text{ is compact, there}$ exists a subsequence s^{k_j} such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} s^{k_j} = s^*$. We know that $s^* \neq 0$ since, by hypothesis, the compact set T^m does not contain the origin. It follows that $\lim_{i\to\infty}\lambda^{k_j} = ||q|| \cdot ||s^*||^{-1}$ and thus λ^{k_j} is bounded. Therefore, the sequence Y^k has a cluster point. Let $A^k = (p^{i_{k_1}}, \ldots, p^{i_{k_1}}, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ for all k > 0. As T^m is compact, for a subsequence k_i we have

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} A^{k_j} = A^*, \ \lim_{j \to \infty} Y^{k_j} = Y^*, \text{ and } \lim_{j \to \infty} (A^{k_j} Y^{k_j} + u^{k_j}) = A^* Y^* = q.$$

Now let p^{1*}, \ldots, p^{r*} be the nonzero columns of $A^*, y_{1*}, \ldots, y_{m*}$ be the components of Y^* , and choose $p^{(r+1)*}, \ldots, p^{t*} \in T^m$ such that $\operatorname{rank}(p^{1*}, \ldots, p^{t*}) = m$. Then $y_i = y_{i*}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, and $y_i = 0$ for $i = r+1, \ldots, t$ is a feasible solution of the system:

$$p^{1*}y_1 + \dots + P^{t*}y_t = q y_i \ge 0, i = 1, \dots, t,$$
(1)

and thus we can find a basic feasible solution of (1) by the simplex method. Of course, this basic feasible solution is also a basic feasible solution for (GLP).

It remains to show that $\lim_{k\to\infty} v(LP(k)) > 0$ implies (GLP) infeasible. Since π^k is an optimal dual solution of LP(k),

$$\pi_i^k \le 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\pi^{k} q = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{k} \ge 0 \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (3)

Since $q \ge 0$, (2) and (3) tell us that the sequence π^k is bounded. The boundedness of π^k implies $\lim_{k\to\infty} v(LP(k)) = v(LP)$ (see, e.g., Dantzig (1963), chapter 24). It follows that v(LP) > 0 and (GLP) is infeasible.

Remarks. Given any (GLP) with $0 \neq q \geq 0$, one can always generate a sequence of linear programs LP(k). Since $\{\pi^k : k = 1, 2, ...\}$ is bounded, $\lim_{k\to\infty} v(LP(k)) = v(LP)$. Therefore, $\lim_{k\to\infty} v(LP(k)) > 0$ always implies that (GLP) is infeasible. While in the case $\lim_{k\to\infty} v(LP(k)) = 0$, if $\limsup_{k\to\infty} \|s^k\| > 0$, then v(LP) = 0 can be attained, which implies that (GLP) is feasible.

Acknowledgments. I am very grateful to Professor George B. Dantzig for his careful reading of this manuscript and his valuable comments.

References

G.B. Dantzig, Linear Programming and Extensions (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1963).

R.J. Duffin and L.A. Karlovitz, "An infinite linear program with a duality gap," Management Science 12 (1965) pp.122-134.

D.E. Karney, "A duality theorem for semi-infinite convex programs and their finite subprograms," Mathematical Programming 27 (1983) pp.75-82.

UNCLASSIFIED

ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Barred)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
T. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION	N NO. S. RECIPIENT'S CATALOS NUMBER
Technical Report SOL 89-1	
4. TITLE (and Substitut)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
On the Feasibility of a General1zed Linear Program	Technical Report
	4. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(A)	
Hui Hu	N00014-39-J-1659
5. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM EL ENENT, PROJECT, TASK
Department of Operations Research - SOL	
Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305-4022	TTTMA
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Office of Naval Research - Dept. of the Navy 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217	March 1989
	6 nades
	18. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
	•
16. CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved for public to its distribution is unlimited.	release and sale;
16. CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Augury) This document has been approved for public to its distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ababased enhanced in Block 36, 14 different	TEA DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE release and sale;
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Augury) This document has been approved for public is its distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the choiced entered in Block 36, if different is supplementary notes 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	The DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE release and sale;
 GISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Augory) This document has been approved for public is distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electronic entered in Block 20, 11 difference) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Combines on reverse olds 11 messagery and identify by block and 	The DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE release and sale; mr from Report)
 GISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Augury) This document has been approved for public is distribution is unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electronic entered in Block 26, 16 difference and a block 26, 17 difference and a block 26, 18 difference and a block	The DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING release and sale; mr from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) This document has been approved for public its distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the dedress entered in Direct 20, 11 different in Direct 20, 21 direct 20, 21	The DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING scheduls release and sale; mr bes Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) This document has been approved for public its distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the dedress entered in Block 20, If difference of the dedress enteree of the dedress entered in Block 20, If difference of the dedress entered in Block	The DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING scheduls release and sale; mt from Report abort
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aspen) This document has been approved for public is distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the detroit onloced in Block 36, H different onloced in Block 36, H different is supplementary notes 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds H measurery and identify by Meak and Generalized Linear Programming, Duality. 28. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds H measurery and identify by Meak and Generalized Linear Programming, Duality. 	The DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING release and sale; mt from Report)
 16. CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Asper) This document has been approved for public its distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the dedrest entered in Stock 20, 11 difference in the statement of the statement in Stock 20, 11 difference is supplemented in Stock 20, 11 difference in the statement of the statement in Stock 20, 11 difference is supplemented in Stock 20, 11 difference in the statement of the statement of the statement is supplemented in Stock 20, 11 difference is supplemented in Stock 20, 11 difference in the statement of the sta	The DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING release and sale; mr Area Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the August) This document has been approved for public is distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the destress entered in Block 30, If different is supplementance in process of the destress entered in Block 30, If different is supplementance is reverse of the H according and Manually by block and Generalized Linear Programming, Duality. 38. ABSTRACT (Constitute on reverse of the H according and Manually by block and (Please see reverse side)	The DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING release and sale; mr from Report)

DD 1 JAN 78 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 18 OBBOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered)

SOL 89-1:

On the Feasbility of a Generalized Linear Program, by Hui Hu

The first algorithm for solving generalized linear programs was given by George B. Dantzig. His algorithm assumes that a basic feasible solution of the generalized linear program to be solved exists and is given. If the initial basic feasible solution is non-degenerate, then his algorithm is guaranteed to converge. The purpose of this paper is to show how to find an initial basic feasible (possibly degenerate) solution of a generalized linear program by applying the same algorithm to a "phase-one" problem without requiring that the initial basic feasible solution to the latter be non-degenerate.