TTE FILE COPY

RADC-TR-88-319 In -House Report January 1989



)

DTIC

ELECTE MAY 0 1 1989

01 080

Cb

SOFTWARE DEFICIENCY ISSUES CONFRONTING THE UTILIZATION OF "NON-VON NEWMANN" ARCHITECTURES

Paul M. Engelhart

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER Air Force Systems Command Griffiss Air Force Base, NY 13441-5700

89

UNCLASSIFIED

| SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| REPORT (| DOCUMENTATIO | N PAGE | | | Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 |
| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED | | 16. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS N/A | | | |
| 28. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY | | 3. DISTRIBUTION | AVAILABILITY O | _ | <u> </u> |
| N/A 26. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU | | | or public re on unlimited | | |
| N/A 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE | P/61 | | ORGANIZATION R | | |
| RADC-TR-88-319 | | N/A | | | |
| 6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION | 66. OFFICE SYMBOL | | ONITORING ORGA | NIZATION | |
| Rome Air Development Center | (if applicable) COEE | 1 | evelopment (| | COEE) |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) | 1, | 7b. ADDRESS (Cri | ly, State, and ZIP | Code) | |
| Griffiss AFB NY 13441-5700 | | Griffiss A | FB NY 13441- | -5700 | |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING | 86. OFFICE SYMBOL | 9. PROCUREMEN | T INSTRUMENT ID | ENTIFICATIO | N NUMBER |
| ORGANIZATION Rome Air Development Center | (If applicable) COEE | N/A | | | |
| Sc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) | | | UNDING NUMBER | s | |
| Griffiss AFB NY 13441-5700 | | PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. | PROJECT NO. | TASK NO | WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. |
| | | 62702F | 5581 | 18 | TK |
| 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Paul M. Engelhart | | | PT /Vasc March | (Jaw) 115 | |
| 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT In-House FROM Jan 88 TO Jun 88 January 1989 104 | | | | | |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION | | | | | |
| 17. COSATI CODES | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (| | | - | |
| | | essing, Parallel Computing, Interconnection ware Engineering, Software Life Cycle | | | |
| | | | ing, soitw | | |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) This in-house technical report summarizes work that was undertaken to survey and conduct an evaluation of the current state-of-the-art in software engineering issues confronting the effective implementation of non-sequential architecture-based computers. Since these architectures do not conform to the model of the von Neumann machine, they are herein referred to as "Non-von Neumann" computers. | | | | | |
| Until quite recently, software engineering technology has focused primarily on the use of conventional von Neumann computer architectures. However, it is apparent that utilization of "Non-von Neumann" computer systems is necessary to many of today's, as well as the future's computational requirements. Advances in computer architecture technology, VISI technology, and interconnection network topologies, to name but a few, have led to a multitude of relatively inexpensive but very powerful high performance processors. The development and utilization of "Non-von Newmann" systems requires a strong knowledge of the | | | | | |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION | | | | | |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE (include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL Paul M. Engelhart (315) 330-4476 RADC (COEE) | | | | | |
| DD Form 1473, JUN 86 | Previous editions are | | SECURITY | | TION OF THIS PAGE |

• •

. .

UNCLASSIFIED

underlying hardware and software structures. However, the largest problem in utilizing "Non-von Newmann" computers is not in the hardware, but in the software where software engineering remains oriented towards conventional von Newmann methodologies.

Since these machines are highly concurrent in nature, a deep-rooted understanding is necessary to determine exactly what software engineering tools and techniques are necessary for their exploitation. This report attempts to provide the necessary insight into many of the software deficiency issues confronting the utilization of "Non-von Newmann" architectures. Many software engineering disciplines need to be addressed, including the choice of a computer language, the necessity of a truly parallel operating system, the availability and use of existing tools, and the need for the development of new tools and techniques, such as the development of an optimizing compiler. Overall, this report is meant to provide a comprehensive insight into the software engineering aspects of these relatively new computer architectures.



| Accession For | | | |
|---------------|-------|--|--|
| NTIS | GRALI | | |
| DTIC 7 | AB | | |
| Unaneounced | | | |
| Justification | | | |
| By | | | |
| Avail and/or | | | |
| Dist Special | | | |
| R~1 | | | |

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| SECTION | PAGE |
|---------------------------------|------|
| LIST OF FIGURES | 8 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 10 |
| 2. BACKGROUND | 14 |
| 2.1. History | 14 |
| 2.2. Computer Generations | 15 |
| 2.2.1. Zeroith Generation | 1 7 |
| 2.2.2. First Generation | 18 |
| 2.2.3. Second Generation | 19 |
| 2.2.4. Third Generation | 19 |
| 2.2.5. Fourth Generation | 20 |
| 2.2.6. Fifth Generation | 21 |
| 2.2.7. Summary and Observations | 21 |
| 2.3. Today's Processing Demands | 22 |
| 2.3.1. Ramifications | 26 |

| 3. BASIC CONCEPTS | 27 |
|---|----|
| 3.1. "Non-von Neumann" Computers | 27 |
| 3.1.1. Array Processor | 30 |
| 3.1.2. Associative Processor | 31 |
| 3.1.3. Data Flow Computer | 31 |
| 3.1.4. Multicomputer | 31 |
| 3.1.5. Multiprocessor | 31 |
| 3.1.6. Neural Networks | 32 |
| 3.1.7. Parallel Processor | 32 |
| 3.1.8. Pipeline Processor | 32 |
| 3.1.9. Processor Array Computer | 32 |
| 3.1.10. Supercomputer | 32 |
| 3.1.11. Systolic Array Processor | 32 |
| 3.1.12. Vector Computer | 33 |
| 3.2. Interconnection Networks | 33 |
| 3.2.1. Topologies | 33 |
| 3.2.1.1. Processor-to-Processor Schemes | 33 |

| 3.2.1.1.1. One-Dimensional Topology | 3 5 |
|--|-----|
| 3.2.1.1.2. Two-Dimensional Topology | 36 |
| 3.2.1.1.3. Three-Dimensional Topology | 37 |
| 3.2.1.1.4. Four-Dimensional Topology | 37 |
| 3.2.1.1.5. N-Dimensional Topology | 38 |
| 3.2.1.2. Processor-to-Memory Schemes | 39 |
| 3.2.1.2.1. Single-Bus Organization | 39 |
| 3.2.1.2.2. Dual-Bus Organization | 39 |
| 3.2.1.2.3. Multi-Bus Organization | 40 |
| 3.2.1.2.4. Crossbar Switch Organization | 4 1 |
| 3.2.1.2.5. Multi-Stage Interconnection Organizations | 4 1 |
| 3.3. Classification Schemes | 42 |
| 3.3.1. Flynn's Taxonomy | 43 |
| 3.3.1.1. SISD | 4 4 |
| 3.3.1.2. SIMD | 4 4 |
| 3.3.1.3. MISD | 4 4 |
| 3.3.1.4. MIMD | 4 5 |

| 3.3.1.5. Observations | 4 5 |
|--|-----|
| 3.3.2. Handler's Taxonomy | 4 5 |
| 3.3.3. Feng's Taxonomy | 46 |
| 3.3.4. XPXM/C Taxonomy | 4 6 |
| 3.3.5. Other Taxonomies | 46 |
| 4. SOFTWARE FOR NON-VON NEUMANN ARCHITECTURES | 47 |
| 4.1. General Considerations | 47 |
| 4.1.1. Typical Computer System Utilization | 48 |
| 4.1.1.1. Possible Choices | 49 |
| 4.2. Problem/Application Decomposition | 50 |
| 4.3. Communication/Synchronization | 50 |
| 4.4. Designing Parallel Algorithms | 50 |
| 4.4.1. Design Considerations | 52 |
| 4.4.1.1. Parallel Thinking | 52 |
| 4.4.1.2. Insight Into Parallelism | 53 |
| 4.4.1.3. Synchronous vs. Asynchronous Algorithms | 54 |
| 4.4.1.4. Speedup vs. Communication Costs | 55 |

| 4.4.1.4.1. Amdahl's Argument | 55 |
|---|----|
| 4.4.1.5. Architecture Considerations | 56 |
| 4.5. Languages and Programming | 57 |
| 4.5.1. New Language Development | 58 |
| 4.5.2. Existing Language Extension | 59 |
| 4.5.3. Obstacles | 60 |
| 4.5.4. Ada | 61 |
| 4.6. Compilers | 62 |
| 4.6.1. New Compiler Development Method | 63 |
| 4.6.2. Existing Compiler Extension Method | 63 |
| 4.6.2.1. Advantages | 63 |
| 4.6.2.2. Disadvantages | 64 |
| 4.7. Operating Systems | 64 |
| 4.7.1. Master-Slave Scheme | 66 |
| 4.7.2. Separate-Supervisor Scheme | 67 |
| 4.7.3. Floating-Supervisor Scheme | 68 |
| 4.8. Fault-Tolerance | 69 |

| 4.8.1. Hardware Fault-Tolerance | 71 |
|---|-----|
| 4.8.2. Software Fault-Tolerance | 71 |
| 5. SOFTWARE UTILIZATION ISSUES | 72 |
| 5.1. Basic Considerations | 72 |
| 5.2. Software Development Methodologies and DOD-STD-2167A | 72 |
| 5.3. Programming Environments | 76 |
| 5.4. Software Tools | 78 |
| 5.5. Communication/Synchronization Methods | 80 |
| 5.6. Software Development Environments for Hybrid Systems | 82 |
| 6. RECOMMENDATIONS | 83 |
| 6.1. Fundamental Research | 83 |
| 6.1.1. Core Research | 83 |
| 6.1.2. Applications Research | 83 |
| 6.2. Standardized Classification Scheme | 83 |
| 6.3. Software Support | 8 5 |
| 6.4. New Software Development Methodologies | 86 |
| 6.5. "Non-von Neumann" Machine Assessment | 88 |

| 7. CONCLUSIONS | |
|---|----|
| 7.1. General Conclusions | 89 |
| 7.2. Command and Control Utilization Observations | 90 |
| 7.2.1. Types of Processors | 90 |
| 7.2.2. Processor Granularity | 90 |
| 7.2.3. Subset Machine Environment | 91 |
| 7.3. Closing Remarks | 92 |
| 7.4. Acknowledgements | 93 |
| 8. REFERENCES | 94 |

.

LIST OF FIGURES

.

| FIG | URE | PAGE |
|------|---|------|
| 2-1 | The Observed Performance Increase of Modern Computing Machines | 14 |
| 2-2 | The Evolution of Modern Computer Systems | 16 |
| 2-3 | Computation Speedup Mechanisms for "NVN" Computing | 24 |
| 3-1 | The von Neumann Machine Architecture | 28 |
| 3-2 | "Non-von Neumann" Computers | 30 |
| 3-3 | Interconnection Network Choices | 34 |
| 3-4 | One-Dimensional Topologies | 3 5 |
| 3-5 | Two-Dimensional Topologies | 36 |
| 3-6 | Three-Dimensional Topologies | 37 |
| 3-7 | Four-Dimensional Topologies | 38 |
| 3-8 | Single-Bus Organization | 39 |
| 3-9 | Dual-Bus Organization | 40 |
| 3-10 |) Multi-Bus Organization | 41 |
| 3-11 | I Crossbar Switch Organization | 42 |

| 4-1 | Software for "Non-von Neumann" Architectures | 48 |
|-----|---|----|
| 4-2 | Typical Computer Systems Utilization Sequence of Events | 49 |
| 4-3 | Amdahl's Argument | 56 |
| 4-4 | Master-Slave Operating System Scheme | 67 |
| 4-5 | Separate-Supervisor Operating System Scheme | 68 |
| 4-6 | Floating-Supervisor Operating System Scheme | 69 |
| 5-1 | The System and Software Life Cycle | 74 |
| 5-2 | Some Existing "NVN" Software Tools | 79 |

1. INTRODUCTION

This report documents in-house efforts undertaken to understand the problems confronting the utilization of "non-von Neumann" architectures. It is easy to observe that a new era in computer architecture has evidenced by the difficulty to obtain the necessary arrived. This is performance from the conventional von Neumann computer model designed in the 1940's. Hence. there appears to be a rapid transformation from single processor machines, which are typically von based. Neumann to multiple processor machines that emphasize concurrent processing, which have been classified as non-von Neumann. Τt is increasingly apparent that the utilization of non-von Neumann computer systems is necessary to satisfy many of today's. as well as the future's, computational requirements. The increasing complexity of many applications demand higher computer performance every year. The constraints that influenced technological the von Neumann machine have drastically changed over the years. Advances in VLSI technology provided multitude have a of relatively inexpensive high performance lieu of utilizing a single computer, new processors. In methods have been envisioned reach solutions through the use of to many processors.

Ouite simply, non-von Neumann architectures refer to the classification of computer architectures that are not sequential (von Neumann) in nature. Non-von Neumann computers have been designed to meet the processing demands of wide variety of computationally a intensive applications numeric and (both non-numeric in nature) that currently exist in a diverse number of fields, ranging from education to aerospace engineering. However, this report believes that the term non-von Neumann is a bit of a misnomer. This report is concerned with only the of truly intended meaning non-von Neumann architectures that specifically involve some form of concurrency in the processing of instructions and/or data. For lack of a better term, this subset will be referred "non-von Neumann" to as architectures. "NVN" or

The reason for the distinction is based upon the architectures. observation that many current computer systems are by definition nonbut do not support concurrent processing. von Neumann in nature. For example, consider MULTICS. MULTICS is basically a large-scale system that employs a number of different processors and processing allows for operations to be done simultaneously which does not adhere to von Neumann programming techniques. Hence, MULTICS is obviously a non-von Neumann computer. However, it cannot be considered a "NVN" computer since it does not support concurrent processing of one application. (A more formal definition of "NVN" computing is provided in section 3.)

To provide a better illustration of what "NVN" computing is all about, consider the following analogy between a computer and a house. The analogy is based upon the notion that the construction of a house is very similar to some of the aspects of concurrent processing. For example, each individual worker in the construction of a house can be thought of as In this light, the construction a single processor. crew as а whole "NVN" computer. Just as several kinds of workers represents a (masons, carpenters, plumbers, electricians, etc) may be employed in the making of a house, it is possible to design a concurrent computer that is made up of several different kinds of processors, each specialized for a particular task. Similarly, just as carpenters must communicate with each other if they are to build walls that line up with one another, so must the processors in a "NVN" computer be able to communicate with one another if they are to work together on a single large problem. The analogy can also be extended to the way work is divided. Imagine building a brick house, each bricklayer is assigned a particular that in stretch of wall. Each worker executes the same operation, but in a different place. Likewise, a computer problem can sometimes be divided in such a way that each processor performs the same operation but on а different set of data. Also consider that when a worker completes his assigned task he can be reassigned another task. A processor can also be reassigned tasks. In this sense the workers as well as the processors are

both reprogrammable. (This analogy will be portrayed throughout the remainder of this report to help describe "NVN" computing.)

"NVN" architectures can consist of tens, hundreds, or even thousands of individual processors that are collectively grouped together. These processors can be comprised of very simple processors (1-bit) to а stand-alone processors, which are very powerful in their collection of It is also possible to mix different processors together in a own right. environment. The necessity for obtaining an understanding of "NVN" "NVN" is based upon the realization that parallel architectures is drastically redefining traditional computing. Basically, the computing "NVN" approach to computing has emerged as a promising architectural bridging the gap that has appeared due to advances in alternative to micro-circuit technology and the increasing costs associated with those The foremost significant strength that the utilization of advances [35]. "NVN" architectures lies in the fact that applications many and problems inherently possess concurrency in their definitions. However, the major obstacle that presently prohibits the effective use of these architectures is the identification of advanced computer the most productive means of applying them. Achieving high performance through the effective utilization of "NVN" architectures does not entirely depend upon using faster and more reliable components, but also on developing major improvements in processing techniques, most of which are software engineering issues.

There are currently numerous non-von Neumann architecture type machines in existence today, with new and advanced architectures being developed at an alarmingly increasing rate. It is apparent that concurrent computing is a very strong candidate for future processing needs, as is evidenced by the use of "NVN" architectures in many areas of today's research as well as in commercial ventures. The "NVN" development and application of requires systems a strong knowledge of the underlying hardware and software Α structures. broad knowledge of parallel computing algorithms as well as the optimal

allocation of system resources are also needed. Typically, "NVN" systems entail such architectural classes as parallel processors, array processors, pipeline machines, multiprocessors, vector processors, data flow machines, and systolic array machines. These are discussed in greater detail in section 3.

On the whole, this report is meant to provide insight into the interaction between "non-von Neumann" architectures and the software As the title of this report suggests, the largest that they support. problem that "NVN" architectures currently face is not in the hardware but in the software. Current technology has progressed to the point of providing relatively complex and inexpensive for means building a multitude of advanced computer systems. However, software engineering technology remains oriented towards conventional von Neumann methodologies. This has resulted in а very fragmented software engineering environment. Since these machines are highly concurrent in nature and usually rely upon the specific application to determine their structure and size. a deep-rooted understanding is necessary to determine exactly what software life cycle tools and are necessary for their exploitation. techniques Many software engineering disciplines need to be addressed, including: the choice of high-order languages, the need for new languages, the actual coding in a selected higher-order language, the need or utilization of translators, the necessity for the development of truly parallel operating systems, the availability and use of existing tools, and the need for the development of new tools and techniques such as the development of an optimizing language compiler. Ground rules need to be developed for architecture "NVN" system and software life cycle definitions are needed to selection. support current and future applications. It is also important to understand the ramifications associated with how to best match software engineering technology when the problem domain calls for a hybrid mix, or in other words, when sequential and concurrent processing is utilized in marriage with each other in a single environment. Hopefully this report will provide the necessary insight into of the many

software deficiency issues confronting the utilization of "NVN" architectures.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. History. It has been observed that since the inception of electronic digital computers in the commercial world, since about 1950, the performance of computing machines has increased at an approximate order of magnitude every five years or so [36].

The underlying rationale for the development of new computer architectures has remained relatively static over the years. Typically, increased computing power and performance, reduced costs, application



Figure 2-1: The Observed Performance Increase of Modern Computing Machines

support, and advanced programming language support have been the main driving forces [2]. Figure 2-1 is provided to show the rate of advancement in terms of computing power [36].

should be noted that only a percentage of the performance However, it increase can be contributed to the development of new components through the years, such as transistors and VLSI memory chips. Much of the increase is largely due to various advancements that have been made in computer architecture design and development. Obviously high many of the emerging advanced performance computer being developed utilize new that are continually architectures technological advancements, but the underlying computer architectures are the kev to the performance increase. As noted previously. however, is that these machines need advanced software techniques to fully realize their potential.

2.2. Computer Generations. The advancements that have been made in computing and computing machinery can be roughly classified into a number of generations (although some machines are extremely difficult to place in one generation over another). Generally, there are a number of driving forces that have been observed which can be used to determine from one generation to the next, such as the underlying the evolution system architecture, electronic component technology, processing mode, and programming languages. The following subsections attempt to trace the progress of computer technology through the years [26], [36], [38]. Many people have tried to classify computer technology advancements into generations, such as the one that this report provides. However, most of the time periods fluctuate between different viewpoints. For example, Hwang defines the period entailing the second generation to be from 1952-1963 while Baer projects that the period from 1958-1964 is more accurate [6], [22]. The computer generations that have been provided in this report are yet another attempt to better pinpoint generation time frames. The information is provided at a relatively high

level of detail so that a familiarity of the rate of technological advancements in the area can be appreciated.

Figure 2-2 portrays the evolution of "modern" computer systems that is derived in this report. It should be noted that adjacent generations may overlap each other since the life span of a generation is intended to include both the technological development of computer architectures as well as the utilization period of the machines within a particular generation.



Figure 2-2: The Evolution of Modern Computer Systems

2.2.1. Zeroith Generation. Since the inception of modern electronic computers occurred around 1950, 1950 is considered the beginning of the age and will be referred to as the initiation point of the first computer computer generation. Therefore it is only natural to describe computer system design and development prior to 1950 as being the pre-firstgeneration of computer architectures, or, as it will be termed here, as "zeroith generation." belonging to the The very first electronic computers that were built were based upon the work performed in the This work laid the fundamental foundation for the 1930's and 1940's. advent of the first modern digital computer. (In fact, these first electronic computers were either the result of government supported research academic efforts.) Many of ideas centers or the and discoveries. great although considered as technical advances in the field, were rnainly built upon work done by Charles nearly Babbage 100 years the "Babbage" machine that was designed in the 1820's before. Hence. and 1830's is generally referred to as the computer of the first general purpose computing machine.

The ENIAC, which stands for the Electronic Numerical Integrator And Computer, is considered to be the first electronic computer to actually have been developed. Finished in 1946, the ENIAC was composed of 18,000 vacuum tubes, 1500 relays, and used 150 kilowatts of power. Designed for the purpose of computing ballistic trajectories, it was built in a U-shaped configuration that was over 100 feet long (overall) and 8 1/2feet The machine in height [22]. was wired specifically for one computational application at a time and modifications any changes or necessitated rewiring the computer. Hence, the set-up time was very long, typically anywhere between one-half hour to one day [26]. Also of significant importance during this time frame was the inception of the concept of having a computer program stored in memory. John von Neumann, who is credited with inventing memory stored computer programs, became enlightened with this concept when working as a consultant to the group that designed the ENIAC. Based on this experience, von Neumann and his colleagues designed the first stored

program computer, called the EDVAC (which stood for the Electronic Discrete Variable Automatic Computer). The development of the EDVAC, however, was severely hindered by the departure of key researchers, including von Neumann. Subsequent to its fabrication, the research that went into the design of the EDVAC gave birth to a number of other machines and ideas. One was the work that von Neumann undertook after leaving the EDVAC project. He and his collaborators designed and built the IAS (Institute for Advanced Sciences) machine which is more commonly referred to as the von Neumann machine [6]. Since this particular machine is the frame of reference for what are now considered serial computers, the definition of non-von Neumann machines or architectures is can easily be derived (provided in section 3).

2.2.2. First Generation. First generation computers can be (arguably) grouped into the time frame encompassing the years from 1950-1957. It was during this generation that the commercial electronic computer industry essentially came into existence. Hence. it is generally accepted as giving birth to the computer industry. Computers designed and developed during the first generation still utilized the vacuum tube and electromagnetical relay technology of the 1930's and 1940's to implement logic and memory. Generally, first generation computers were designed for scientific processing applications and utilized machine The language programming. major computer architectural advances that transformed computer technology out of the zeroith generation and into the first generation can be typically associated with the design and development of the UNIVAC (UNIVersal Automatic Computer) machine. The major computer architecture advancement of the UNIVAC was its tape system - magnetic tapes that had the capability to be read forward also provided the capability for buffering and backward. which and error-checking [6]. Many models of the UNIVAC machine were eventually developed and the UNIVAC-I was the first successful commercial computer (developed for the Census Bureau).

entail 2.2.3. Second Generation. Second generation machines roughly computer architecture advancements that were observed in the period from 1956 to 1964. The movement from the first generation to the second was primarily due to significant advancements in electronic technology. Generally, first generation machines are characterized by vacuum tubes. Second generation machines now employed transistors. By utilizing transistors, computers could be designed and built cheaper, smaller, and dissipation problems. Other notable advancements that with less heat are associated with second generation computers are their utilization of magnetic core memory, mass storage utilization, the use of printed circuits, the introduction of batch processing, input/output processors, and Second generation computers were now designed for index registers. scientific as well as business applications. Various assembly languages and the first high-order programming languages - FORTRAN, COBOL, ALGOL, PL/1, and even LISP - were also developed during this generation. Although going through a number of changes, FORTRAN and COBOL (which was developed under the direction of the DOD) are still considered to be the standard high-level languages for non-academic purposes. standard (Moreover, LISP is still widely used and is considered a for Artificial Intelligence applications.) The IBM 700/7000 series is a typical example of second generation computers [6], [24].

2.2.4. Third Generation. The passage into the third generation of computers was not a clear-cut transition in terms of technical advances as was evidenced in the transition into the second generation. The third is typically identified with the advent of integrated circuits generation and generalized multiprogrammed operating and systems can generally be associated with the time period from 1962 to 1980. During this generation, the size and cost of computer systems significantly to two related facts - the widespread use of small-scale decreased due integrated (SSI) and medium-scale integrated (MSI) circuits, and the decrease of hardware costs. Also, multi-layered circuit boards were now used. Computers of the third generation could generally support efforts in all application fields. Magnetic core memory that was prevalent in earlier

utilized semiconductor memory technology computers now bv solid-state memories [6]. Processor design became easier implementing microprogramming flexible due to and more techniques. Multiprogrammed operating timesharing. systems, and virtual memory developments were also being incorporated [22]. Furthermore. the made in compiler technology during this advances period greatly cost effectiveness [26]. increased

The IBM System 360/370 series is a typical example of a third generation machine. The major differences between the IBM 700/7000 series and the IBM System 360/370 series were made in the CPU. However, the differences were severe enough that compatibility between the series was non-existent. Other third generation machines include the PDP-8, PDP-11, and CDC 6600 [36].

2.2.5. Fourth Generation. Generally it said can be that we are currently experiencing the fourth generation of computer technology. which commenced around the mid-1970's. The fourth generation has advanced experienced many new and high performance computer architectures. Many of the machines that utilize these advanced developed to architectures being exploit new are technological breakthroughs in both hardware and software, although at this point in software development is severely lacking when compared to time Typically, fourth generation computers are hardware advancements. characterized by large-scale integration (LSI) and very large-scale integration (VLSI) technology to construct logic and memory components. Most of the operating systems for fourth generation machines are timeshared and utilize virtual memory. Parallelism, pipelining, and multiprocessor techniques are also widely prevalent. High-level languages are being extended to handle both scalar and vector data structures [26], [36]. The fourth generation of computers also witnessed the proliferation of small machines, mostly in the nature of personal computers.

2.2.6. Fifth Generation. Generally, fifth generation architectures are aimed artificial intelligence and knowledge-based systems. Manipulation at of massive amounts of information, inference processing and problem solving. and user-friendly interaction via man-machine interfaces are Most current computers, whether they be the key design concerns [38]. von Neumann or non-von Neumann based, possess relatively inflexible I/O For systems to become more user-friendly, interaction interfaces. with users must be achieved at a higher level through such means as speech, The application of technology pictures, and natural language. to problem application representation and solution is generally considered as the major driving force behind the future move into fifth generation design and development. Allowing voice, pictures, and natural language input to be accomplished in real time requires computing power that currently available on standard architectures [26]. Hence, the not is actual transition into fifth generation machines has not occurred yet.

2.2.7. Observations. It has become apparent that the Summary and future in computer architecture design, development, and utilization has seen a sharp departure from the original von Neumann computer model. Many of the changes that have evolved from one generation to the next provided the necessary impetus for this departure. have Most of the changes have severely impacted the speed, flexibility, and cost of the various components that аге utilized in today's computer systems. Obviously, this report bases its premise on fourth generation computers generally, "NVN" machines can be classified as fourth generation since. computers. Software development has steadily progressed throughout the evolution of previous generations but has so far been severely lacking in the utilization of many fourth generation computer systems. Software based methods, techniques, tools, and algorithms are sorely needed to fulfill the promised speed and applications that "NVN" machines offer.

It is interesting to note that despite the advances made in computer systems, and the increasing number of individual components that make up these systems, today's computers are really quite basic in terms of

functionality. For example, consider the world's first computer designer, Charles Babbage, who primarily designed in the 1820's what is considered to be the first general purpose digital computer. Called the Analytical Engine. and although never built. Babbage formulated ideas that have been re-utilized over and over again throughout the history of architecture development. Although today's computer systems, computer and their predecessors before them, are primarily considered as direct ideas that were devised in the 1930's, these ideas were descendants of basically rediscoveries of what Charles Babbage had written about in the 1820's and 1830's. In fact, by the mid-1950's, most of what Babbage had proposed had been implemented. Moreover, Babbage's design methods and ideas for machine organization are still being utilized even in current computer systems.

2.3. Today's Processing Demands. As today's processing needs and requirements continue to become more and more complex, enormous processing power is required to meet their associated computational demands. To be able to solve these requirements in a reasonable time, very powerful computer systems are needed. Much of today's current computing equipment is based on the von Neumann type architecture. Since the von architecture is characterized by Neumann sequential techniques, utilizing word-at-a-time processing, it has been processing be very successful performing complex found to computations on relatively small of data. amounts However. this architecture is extremely inefficient when performing even simple computations on large due to the fact that a von Neumann type amounts of data. This is machine does not utilize its hardware efficiently. It has been shown that the performance of a conventional serial computer of this type is severely limited by the data transfer capability between the processor and memory. (The time required to transfer data is usually limited by the speed in which data and instructions can be moved both in and out of The quickly utilize memory at an appropriate memory). inability to speed has been generally referred to as the von Neumann bottleneck. This bottleneck has been a major driving force behind the advancement of

new and advanced computer architectures which are better suited for current processing demands and techniques.

mentioned in section 2.2, many efforts have been pursued to increase As the processing speed of computers. For a long time, most speed increases achieved using faster components while maintaining the were same basic computer architecture structure (ie, vacuum tubes were replaced by discrete semiconductors - those discrete components were in turn replaced by integrated circuits - core memory was replaced by However, today's components seem to be integrated memory chips). approaching a practical speed limit - the speed of light. (It is known that propagate through a semiconductor faster than the speed signals cannot of light). To help alleviate this constraint, modern high-speed chips are designed to be extremely small in order to reduce the length of interconnections and thus increase speed [40].

Since faster components alone do not satisfy the current demands for high speed computing, the use of other approaches are being exploited to alleviate the performance limiting bottleneck described above. Typically, the methods for computation speedups that are necessitated by involve both hardware and software [28]. Figure 2-3 is these demands provided to show the basic speedup mechanisms and associated problem areas for "NVN" applications.

Subsequently, "NVN" architecture approaches have been developed to provide the necessary processing power for application domains which require concurrent processing of large amounts of data. These approaches utilize the fact that many computations in a program do not depend on each other, and can therefore be executed simultaneously, allowing the computer to process information ten to one-hundred times faster than conventional uniprocessor systems.

One such approach is to overlap execution, which is generally referred to as pipelining. This approach is extensively utilized in many modern

supercomputers (such as the Cray-1 and Cyber 205). Another approach is use of a collection of computers coupled in some way to do faster the processing, which has been referred to parallel processing. as Many have been proposed and implemented ways to combine computers ranging from multiprocessor systems, where a set of identical and/or different processors are interconnected, to array processors, where a large number of processors execute the same instruction on different sets of data.

| | Mechanism | Problem Area |
|-----------|---|---|
| I) | Faster and More Reliable Components | Electrical Engineering Material Engineering Physics |
| | Advanced Architectures (Including Interconnection Networks) | System Design Engineering |
| 111) | Programming - Advanced Languages | Computer Science Computer Programming Mathematics |
| IV) | Optimizing Compilers | Software Engineering Computer Programming |
| V) | Software Engineering Environments | Systems Engineering Software Engineering |
| VI) | Computation Analysis and Testing | Computer Science Software Engineering |

Figure 2-3: Computation Speedup Mechanisms for "NVN" Computing

A major advantage of a "NVN" architectural based implementation is the time sharing of processing and peripheral units of a computing system among several jobs. The prime impetus for the original development of Neumann systems arose from their potential for high non-von performance and reliability [28]. Today, it appears that performance, and programmer productivity the primary driving cost. are forces (which are influenced by both hardware and software). It is easily recognized that non-von Neumann multitude of systems have a advantages over the von Neumann system. Non-von Neumann systems promise a much higher availability of resources since they operate can as storehouses of resources organized in sequential classes. Thev also a great reserve power which, when applied single possess to a problem with the appropriate degree of parallelism, can yield a high performance and extremely fast turnaround time. By utilizing two or more central processing units (with all units operational), each processor can be assigned a specific activity within an overall control program. The failure of any one processing unit would degrade, but not immobilize, system since a supervisor program could re-assign activities the and can reconfigure a system so that a deficient processing unit is logically "out" of the system configuration. The failure of a processing unit a conventional von Neumann system, however, would in disable the processor completely. Also. the fact that surplus resources can be applied to other jobs (so that the system is potentially ultra-efficient) produces a very high utilization of available hardware.

Sharing in non-von Neumann processing systems is not, however, limited to only hardware. For example, many systems utilize common use of data that are maintained in a system library or file structure. sets This may represent significant savings in memory storage space as well as in the associated with I/O and internal memory hierarchy processing time transfers. Another advantage of many of today's non-von Neumann computers is their intrinsic modularity. This facet allows for the expansion of the basic system architecture in which the only effect of

expansion on the user is improved performance. Many times the expansion of a non-von Neumann system consists of nothing more than networking identical processor-memory modules. Before, if a user needed additional computing power on a von Neumann based machine. thev would typically have to purchase a larger machine or physically reconfigure the current system configuration (ie, add memory, upgrade I/O capability, etc). This is not the case for most non-von Neumann modular based machines since add-on growth without system restructuring is usually possible.

2.3.1. Ramifications. Where today's software engineering does "NVN" technology stand in relation to computer utilization? Until engineering software technology recently. has focused on the use of conventional von Neumann computer architectures and non-von that do not support concurrent processing. Neumann architectures As previously mentioned, current computer systems typically feature increasing computational resource requirements which have become unfeasible or unrealizable using conventional von Neumann techniques. The advent of "NVN" architectures has provided the necessary offer solutions that can achieve this application impetus to requirement. "NVN" architectures feature multiple processing elements, they Since possess a much greater utilization factor than sequential architectures characterized by von Neumann machines. Because of this, a multitude of application areas can be addressed which were once not possible. However, manufacturer's are increasingly concerned that they are unable to commercially sell their products. The problem lies primarily Quite simply, there does not exist enough "real" software, in software. nor software engineering tools, to effectively support these machines.

The field of "NVN" computing is at an extremely critical juncture. If the pace of progress for these machines is to continue, software development holds the key to success. From a system standpoint, such how processors are coupled, how they communicate, how they topics as perform computations, and how an application needs to be broken down.

are very important considerations. The software development and engineering mechanisms to perform these functions are just as critical.

3. BASIC CONCEPTS

3.1. "Non-von Neumann" Computers. What exactly is a "NVN" machine? Ouite simply (as alluded to in section 1), the term non-von Neumann computers refers to the class of machines that are not based upon the a sequential machine. (Also mentioned earlier in this architecture of report was that the notion of having a program stored in memory is von Neumann, hence the term von generally attributed to John This report is concerned with the subset of non-von Neumann computer). Neumann architectures support concurrent processing, which this that report denotes as "NVN".

It should be noted that there exist two conditions for supporting "NVN" computing as it is defined in this report. For one, a non-von Neumann architecture is necessary to provide the required hardware vehicle to processing. Secondly, the sufficient mechanisms to concurrent support allow the hardware to permit concurrent processing to occur, such as communication and synchronization methods, must be present. Hence, for "NVN" computing to occur, there must exist both necessary and sufficient conditions. Obviously then, the utilization of a non-von Neumann machine does not in itself provide the means for "NVN" processing. To effectively utilize the hardware, software mechanisms must also be However, it will be beneficial to explain what a conventional employed. Neumann serial computer is in order to understand what a non-von von Neumann machine is not. Once this is accomplished, the subset of "NVN" will computers be easier to understand. Therefore a detailed description follows [6], [9], [43].

Specifically a von Neumann computer exhibits an architecture that consists of the five basic elements (von Neumann referred to them as "main organs") portrayed in Figure 3-1 below.



Figure 3-1: The von Neumann Machine Architecture

To put words to the picture, the following dissertation is also provided to help further describe the specific components of a von Neumann machine architecture:

1) Input. The input element transmits data and instructions from the outside world to the memory. This allows a user or operator to communicate with the computer.

2) Memory. The memory element stores the data and instructions that are transmitted via the input. Information used during the computational period performed by the computer are also stored in Conceptually, there are two different forms of memory. memory: the storage of numbers and the storage of instructions (called "orders" by Von Neumann formulated von Neumann). the concept that if the instructions of a computer could be reduced to numerical form, and the computer could distinguish between a number and an instruction, then both the memory element could be used to store numbers and instructions, hence, the genius of the first memory stored program machine.

3) Control. The control element sequences and controls the operation of the computer. Specifically, the control circuitry of the computer interprets the instructions of the program (stored in memory) and then orders the arithmetic logic unit to perform the necessary operations.

4) Arithmetic Logic Unit. The arithmetic logic unit (ALU) performs arithmetic and logical operations on the data that is stored and fetched from memory. The control element tells the arithmetic logic unit which operation it is to perform and also supplies the necessary data for it.

5) Output. The output element transmits the final results and messages to the outside world, which are usually coordinated by the control unit. This event occurs when a computation has concluded or when the computation has progressed to a previously determined point.

Basically then, a non-von Neumann architecture does not adhere to this methodology. To further narrow down this description, "NVN" processing is a computing technique that involves two or more interconnected processors (which can be von Neumann in nature) that concurrently perform different portions of the same application.



Figure 3-2: "Non-von Neumann" Computers

As seen in Figure 3-2, there exists a wide variety of computers that can be classified as non-von Neumann. Since this report is intended to provide insight into the concurrency issues of non-von Neumann computing, the following subsections briefly describe the types of machines whose architectures are classified as "NVN" [6], [10], [15], [22], [26], [36].

3.1.1. An array processor is nothing Array Processor. more than an attached processor pipelining that uses to manipulate vectors, but without utilizing vector instructions. Array processors also are

referred to as attached processors and are considered a low-cost alternative to a vector computer.

3.1.2. Associative Processor. An associative processor is basically a processor with an associative memory. Instead of having a random access memory, an associative memory permits the entire memory to be simultaneously searched. The Goodyear Aerospace STARAN is an example of an associative processor.

3.1.3. Data Flow Computer. A data flow machine possesses an architecture in which the sequence of instruction execution depends not on a program counter, but on the availability of data. An instruction executes when, and only when, all the operands required are available.

3.1.4. Multicomputer. Α multicomputer is a multiple-CPU computer designed for parallel processing, but which does not utilize a shared scheme. global memory Instead, each processor has its own private All communication and synchronization between the processors memory. is accomplished via message passing. The Ametek S/14 and the Intel iPSC are examples of multicomputers.

3.1.5. Multiprocessor. Α multiprocessor is shared memory a multiple-CPU computer designed for parallel processing. Unlike multicomputers, multiprocessors allowed directly are to share main tightly-coupled multiprocessor set-up memory. The processors in a employ a central switching mechanism that allows them to utilize shared The Delencor HEP, Carnegie-Melon's C.mmp, and Encore's global memory. Multimax are examples of tightly-coupled multiprocessors. Loosely-coupled multiprocessors, on the other hand, share memory space by combining the local memories of the individual processors. Examples multiprocessors are Carnegie-Melon's Cm* and BBN's of loosely-coupled Butterfly machine.

3.1.6. Neural Networks. Neural network architectures are a relatively new type of computer architecture configuration. Basically, neural networks organize processing element activities in a manner that reflects a simplified model of biological neurons and their behavior. Very few machines based on neural network principles have been built.

3.1.7. Parallel Processor. Quite simply, a parallel processor is a processor that is designed for the purpose of parallel computing. More specifically, it is a processor that utilizes information processing which emphasizes the concurrent manipulation of data elements belonging to one or more processes solving a single problem.

3.1.8. Pipeline Processor. Basically, a pipeline processor is a specific example of an array processor. Specifically, a pipeline processor speeds up array computations via simultaneously processing by breaking a complex function into a series of simpler and faster operations.

Processor Array Computer. 3.1.9. A processor array computer is a vector computer that is implemented through the use of multiple processing elements. Each processing element has its own local memory which is acted upon by the control unit of the computer.

Supercomputer. A supercomputer is a general-purpose 3.1.10. computer that is capable of solving individual problems extremely high at computational speeds, compared with other computers built at the same in time. This implies that a supercomputer today may not be point classified as a supercomputer tomorrow. For example, a typical personal computer (PC), such as the Apple MacIntosh, would have been considered a supercomputer in the 1950's.

3.1.11. Systolic Array Processor. A systolic array processor refers to a collection of special-purpose, rudimentary processing elements with a fixed interconnection network. By replacing a single processing element

with an array of processing elements, a higher computation throughput can be achieved without increasing memory bandwidth.

3.1.12. Vector Computer. A vector computer is a computer with an instruction set that includes operations on vectors as well as scalars, as von Neumann computer opposed to a that allows only for the manipulation of scalar operations.

3.2. Interconnection Networks. accommodate То the use of "NVN" architectures, particular emphasis must be placed on methods to allow to communicate and synchronize with one another. processors Hence. multiple module interconnection strategies must now be considered to replace conventional strategies. It has been observed that conventional interconnection strategies, such as time-shared/common buses. simple crossbar switches. and multi-port memory schemes are not particularly well suited for systems involving a large number of components [22]. To "NVN" effectively use architectures. various interconnection methodologies to connect individual processors to each other and to memory for communication have been implemented. purposes An interconnection network for a "NVN" machine provides the necessary of switches and links, that allows the vehicle. through a connection aforementioned communication to take place [2], [6], [13], [14], [22], [26], [37]. multitude of interconnection network Figure 3-3 the portrays schemes. The determination of the best network for a particular system determined by a trade off between cost and performance must be characteristics such as component cost, potential growth of the network, fault-tolerence capabilities, and hardware configuration.

3.2.1. Topologies. The easiest describe interconnection way to networks pictorially. Therefore. is the following topologies are provided to depict the different types of interconnection networks [22].

3.2.1.1. Processor-to-Processor Schemes. The following diagrams exhibit the nature of interconnection network topologies between
processors, from elementary examples to the more intricate schemes that are prevalent in many "NVN" architectures. Processor-to-processor schemes are also referred to as static networks and are normally



Figure 3-3: Interconnection Network Choices

represented as undirected graphs whose nodes are processors and whose edges are the connections. (Processor nodes are symbolized by small circles; interconnection links are identified by solid lines).

3.2.1.1.1. One-Dimensional Topology: In one-dimensional topologies, the nodes are connected in a line. This network topology is extremely simple but very slow. Figure 3-4 portrays one-dimensional topologies.

In a one-dimensional topology, it should be evident that processors can be arranged in a multitude of different but very unique schemes. The two portrayed in Figure 3-4 are intended to show only two possible configurations. The basic premise is that the processors are all connected in one continuous line. This is very similar to the electrical wiring of a house in a serial manner. For example, when an electrician wires a house, he starts at the source of power (the electrical box where the power enters the home) and runs a wire to a number of outlets and switches. This is done by connecting one outlet/switch at a time. When there is a sufficient load on one run of wire, he simply ends the line and returns to the input to start a new line. Each line is similar to a one-dimensional topology scheme.



Figure 3-4: One-Dimensional Topologies



Figure 3-5: Two-Dimensional Topologies

3.2.1.1.2. Two-Dimensional Topology: interconnection This class of networks, displayed in Figure 3-5, represents widely used the most (currently) configurations. The graphs of two-dimensional (as well one-dimensional) interconnection networks Due to this as planar. are they can be easily layed out on an integrated circuit.



Figure 3-6: Three-Dimensional Topologies

3.2.1.1.3. Three-Dimensional Topology: Three-dimensional topologies are also widely in use today. However, since three-dimensional tolologies are not planar, they are much more difficult to implement. The most prevalent three-dimensional topologies are shown in Figure 3-6.

3.2.1.1.4. Four-Dimensional Topology: These interconnection networks are very difficult to implement, but are becoming more popular. Figure 3-7 shows two variations of four-dimensional topologies.



Figure 3-7: Four-Dimensional Topologies

3.2.1.1.5. N-Dimensional Topology. N-dimensional topologies can also be referred to as n-dimension hypercubes. Basically, the topology of an n-dimensional topology (n-dimension hypercube) consisting of x nodes is created recursively from two cubes each consisting of x/2 nodes. For example, using the associated diagrams above, it can be easily shown that a four-dimensional cube is composed of the interconnection of two three-dimensional cubes.

3.2.1.2. Processor-to-Memory Schemes. Processor-to-memory schemes, also known as dynamic networks, are also widely understood. The basic topologies are presented below:

3.2.1.2.1. Single-Bus Organization. Single-bus topologies utilize a shared, bi-directional bus. Of all the interconnection networks, this organization is probably the least complicated. The bus is a common communication path that connect all of the components of а system (processors, memory, printers, etc) but only allows one processor to access the shared memory at a time. Although this configuration is easy to implement, bus contention severely degrades system performance. Figure 3-8 gives a very simplistic representation of a single-bus structure.



Figure 3-8: Single-Bus Organization

3.2.1.2.2. Dual-Bus Organization. Dual-bus topologies normally employ a two-bus structure, each uni-directional. The extention of the single-bus organization into a dual-bus organization resolves some of the problems inherent to single bus contention problems, although there is still a great deal of bus contention due to the fact that most operations in such a system normally requires the use of both buses. A typical dual-bus organization is shown in Figure 3-9.



Figure 3-9: Dual-Bus Organization

3.2.1.2.3. Multi-Bus Organization. Multi-bus topologies consist of multiple bi-directional buses. This organization allows for multiple simultaneous bus transfers. alleviating the bus contention problems found in single-bus and dual-bus organizations. Multi-bus organizations are very prevalent in many of today's popular "NVN" type machines. However, there still exists a great deal of overhead time associated with processor-to-memory transfers, memory-to-processor transfers. processor-to-processor transfers, and memory-to-memory transfers.

This organization is shown in Figure 3-10. It should be noted that in this configuration, the number of buses is arbitrary. However, by increasing the number of buses to match the number of processors and/or memory does not guarantee faster throughput. This is due to a number of factors, like bus interference and contention.

This organization looks very similar to the next configuration strategy, the crossbar switch organization. These schemes are not as similar as they appear however.



Figure 3-10: Multiple-Bus Organization

3.2.1.2.4. Crossbar Switch Organization. Crossbar switch topologies grid-like scheme with processors along one axis and memories utilize a along another. In this organization, portrayed in Figure 3-11, every processor is logically connected to every other processor. The nodes (portrayed by small circles in Figure 3-11) represent the switches that processors-to-memory. connect processors-to-processors and The are capable of connecting cross-wise, hence the name crossbar switches switch. This type of network is extremely fast.

3.2.1.2.5. Multi-Stage Interconnection Organizations. There exist a multitude of interconnection network topologies that connect processor and memory modules via specialized networks. These topologies are rather intricate to graphically represent (and therefore are not included in the context of this report). Fundamentally, they all are only in their interconnections between adjacent equivalent and differ and/or processors memories. For further detail on multi- stage



Figure 3-11: Crossbar Switch Organization

interconnection networks. the reader is referred to a number of publications that are referenced at the end of this report. However, to a well-balanced cross section of the types of networks that are provide classified as multi-stage interconnection topologies, consider that the following networks are classified as such: the butterfly network, the omega network, the SW-Banyon network, the baseline network. the flip network. the indirect binary n-cube network. the multi-stage shuffle-exchange network, the generalized cube network. and the extra-stage cube network to name but a few.

3.3. Classification Schemes. A number of classification schemes have been developed that attempt to classify computer architectures [5], [19], [20], [27], [36], [40]. However, the emergence of "NVN" architectures has placed serious problems on many of these schemes. For example, some architectures can be placed in more than one class within a particular classification scheme. It that appears no single classification scheme is sufficient properly classify "NVN" to

architectures. The examination of different system attributes has been the primary driving force behind the classification of computer organizations. Basically, these system attributes have been:

1) the type and number of distinct elements (processors, memory, I/O devices, etc) in a particular computer system,

2) the specific topology of the interconnections (interconnection network) in the system,

3) the specific nature of the processor-to-memory interconnection scheme (local, global), and

4) the intended purpose or application that the system is intended for.

It appears that the development of a "hybrid" classification scheme may be more beneficial. The following paragraphs briefly present the more popular classification schemes for computer architectures.

Flynn's Taxonomy. One of the early (and most 3.3.1. widely used) fundamental classification schemes of computer organizations was developed by Michael Flynn in 1966. Probably the most recognized classification scheme. Flynn's classifies taxonomy computer classes according uniqueness organizations into four to the or of instruction streams and data streams. multiplicity The instruction stream refers to the sequence of instructions as performed bv the machine, which can be either one sequence (single instruction stream) or many sequences (multiple instruction stream). The data stream refers to the sequence of data that is manipulated by the instruction stream, which also can be singular or multiple (single data stream or multiple data stream). By observing all possibilities for instruction and data streams to be configured, Flynn devised four classification classes which are presented in the following subsections.

3.3.1.1. SISD. Α Single Instruction stream, Single Data stream computer, abbreviated SISD, usually consists of a single processor connected to a single memory. A SISD computer fetches its instructions consecutively and then fetches one data item at a time. SISD systems typically entail sequential and uniprocessor and computers are considered the serial (or classic) von Neumann machine. Variations of SISD machines have been implemented that utilize advanced techniques, such as pipelining. However, although instructions may be pipelined. only one instruction can be decoded per unit time. Also, SISD systems can have multiple functional units under the control of a single control unit. Many standard computers fall into this class, such as the DEC VAX 11/780 and IBM 360/370 series.

3.3.1.2. SIMD. SIMD refers to systems that employ a Single Instruction Multiple Data stream configuration and typically consist of a stream. single control unit, multiple processors, multiple memory modules, and an interconnection network. In SIMD architectures, the control unit fetches and decodes an instruction. The instruction is then executed either in the control unit itself, or is broadcast to any of a number of processing These processing elements operate synchronously where elements. all processing elements execute the same instruction at the same time, but with their own data. Processor arrays (pipeline processors, vector array processors, and associative processors) fall under this processors, category. Specifically, the Illiac IV, the STARAN, and the MPP computers are examples of SIMD machines.

3.3.1.3. MISD. A Multiple Instruction stream, Single Data stream (MISD) machine is a computer in which the same data item is operated on simultaneously by several instructions. This mode of operation is realistically very impractical and only a few processors of this type have ever been built. Moreover, no computer systems presently exist that can be categorized uniquely as MISD machines.

3.3.1.4. MIMD. MIMD (Multiple Instruction stream, Multiple Data In stream) systems, all processing elements execute asynchronously on their own data, but share access to a common memory. Hence, each processor (multiple instruction follows its own instructions stream) and also fetches its own data on which it operates (multiple data streams). The coupling of processor units and memories is one feature to differentiate Another is the homogeneity of the processing between MIMD designs. Many computer systems fall under this category, including the units. BBN Butterfly, the Cm*, and the Cosmic Cube.

3.3.1.5. Observations. Flynn's taxonomy naturally encompasses any computer architecture that can be described as executing instructions data. Although this taxonomy is still in use today, which operate on many of the current advanced computer architectures that have emerged have presented problems. This classification scheme is too ambiguous to permit an ironclad labeling of many "NVN" architectures. definition of instruction and data stream, some Depending on one's architectures can be placed in more than one class. For example, the Crayclassified in literature in three different classes. has been 1 computer Handler and Thurber classify it as a MISD machine, Hockney and Jesshope classify it as a SIMD machine, and Hwang and Briggs classify it as a SISD machine.

3.3.2. Handler's Taxonomy. Wolfgang Handler's classification scheme, developed in 1977, prescribes a notation for expressing the parallelism and pipelining that occurs at three levels of a computer system - at the processor control unit level, the arithmetic logic unit level, and at the bit-level circuit level. A system is represented by three pairs of integers: C=(K,D,W) where K is the number of control units, D is the number of arithmetic logic units controlled by each control unit, and W is number of bits in an arithmetic logic unit. (For example, the IBM System/370 is denoted the Illiac IV as (1,64,64)). However, this as (1,1,32)and classification scheme has severe limitations in terms of identifying

important features of a computer system, such as instruction and data flow, and memory configuration (ie, shared vs distributed).

3.3.3. Developed in 1972, Tse-yun Feng's Taxonomy. Feng's based upon serial processing versus parallel classification scheme is It uses the degree of parallelism according processing. to the word length of machine to classify various computer architectures. This a "NVN" taxonomy is not very useful when considering systems. The of instruction multiplicity and data streams. the type of memory configuration, and the number of processors are some of the important features not recognizable by this scheme.

Taxonomy. 3.3.4. XPXM/C This taxonomy is a relatively new classification scheme that is based the classification upon of the techniques used within microprocessors. Basically, processor coupling XPXM/C refers to single-or-multiple (denoted X) Port, single-or-multiple (also denoted X) Memory/Channel. The different coupling techniques are the number of simultaneous transactions used to determine that a multiprocessor system can support, and provides for relatively a good basis for comparison of multiprocessors. Used in conjunction with other existing classification schemes that describe the topological and functional characteristics of complete multiprocessor the systems, XPXM/C taxonomy helps to emphasize the constraints on parallel system operation. However. this classification is not intended for "NVN" architectures outside the multiprocessor realm.

3.3.5. Other Taxonomies. There are a number of additional taxonomies in help to classify many of existence that the aspects of "NVN" computing, but which fail to provide a full-scaled comprehensive classification scheme. These include such taxonomies as Haynes (1982), Schwartz (1983), and Mak (1986). There are also a few subtaxonomies that exist that are useful to classify specific types of operations such as Treleaven (1982) and Srini (1986) which are useful for data flow Hockney and Jesshope (1981) present a taxonomy that is architectures.

good for processor arrays. Yau and Feng (1977) present a taxonomy that provides insight into associative processors.

4. SOFTWARE FOR NON-VON NEUMANN ARCHITECTURES

Once a decision has been made to utilize 4.1. General Considerations. "NVN" architectures, a number of very important considerations are the development of software for these architectures. The placed on first consideration, to map a particular problem or application for a "NVN" architecture implementation, the computer programmer must partition the problem into a number of concurrent units and then decide preferred communication/synchronization upon the mechanism. This is true no matter what the problem/application or what "NVN" machine is key issues and technology areas that are associated with The chosen. software for "NVN" architectures can be broken down into a number of distinct areas. namely: problem/application decomposition, communication/synchronization. parallel algorithms, languages, compilers, and operating systems. These are discussed in greater detail further in this section and depicted in Figure 4-1.

It has become increasingly apparent that the development of software for applications is by far the most complex and time consuming. many Hardware is being designed and developed at a much faster rate than It is also a fact that the hardware no longer encompasses the software. greatest cost of a computer system. Software development now typically costs several times more than the development of hardware. Also. software usually out-lives the life of a normal hardware configuration. This report supports the notion that the most successful approach for developing software for "NVN" architectures may employ a "software first" methodology. This approach basically employs a philosophy that focuses on software development first, and then focuses on the development of the appropriate hardware that will effectively support the execution of the software.



Figure 4-1: Software for "Non-von Neumann" Architectures

4.1.1. Typical Computer System Utilization. The first observation that should be made is how a typical "NVN" computer system is devised, and how does it compare to a von Neumann system configuration. Figure 4-2 portrays a very vivid overview of how computer systems are used.



Figure 4-2: Typical Computer System Utilization Sequence of Events

Generally, it can be observed that this configuration holds true for any computer system, whether it be von Neumann or non-von Neumann in design. Once a problem has been determined, а computer analyst examines the problem using some kind of known methodology. The analyst then comes up with the necessary algorithm to solve the problem. developed, the algorithm is programmed After the algorithm has been via some programming language that supports the algorithm and associated data structures. Next, the program is translated and the executable code that is produced is run on the computer system together with some data and produces an output result.

4.1.1.1. Possible Choices. It should also be noted that at each stage in the utilization of a computer system (as portrayed in Figure 4-2), solutions can be accomplished differently. Obviously, some are better than others. For example, in the design of an algorithm, the desired speed and memory space requirements are important considerations. In programming there exists a number of design decisions. As an example, a

programmer has a multitude of programming languages to chose from. He/she may choose the one that is most familiar or comfortable for their use, or may choose a language that inherently displays the capabilities to better solve the problem. Also, every has programmer their own individual programming style [20]. Clearly, there are a number of possible computer programs that could result from single a algorithm. Many of these issues are discussed in greater detail in the following subsections.

4.2. Problem/Application Decomposition. The purpose of designing anv kind of computer system is to serve some audience of users, whether it be for general-purpose use or directed towards a specific application. At this point in time, it appears that "NVN" computers are predominately the latter. In fact, the design factor considerations of many of today's "NVN" architectures were based on a specific users desires and needs. It is therefore very important to initially segment a problem or application units that will execute in a parallel nature, with no emphasis being into placed on a particular architecture or machine [29]. At this point. the analyst only with is concerned devising a very high-level decomposition of the problem. The selection of a particular "NVN" machine will be emphasized later.

4.3. Communication/Synchronization. Next, the analyst must decide upon the mode of processor communication and synchronization between each other. Again, this is only done at a very high-level. At this time no importance is placed upon a particular architecture. However, decisions made for communication/synchronization may play a very important part in the eventual decision to pick a particular class of machines [15], [23].

4.4. Designing Parallel Algorithms. Designing parallel algorithms can be a very complex and time-consuming undertaking. The use of existing algorithms can also be very difficult. Algorithms that were originally designed for a von Neumann based (sequential) machine which are now redesigned for a "NVN" architecture may not even resemble each other.

even though they are solving the exact same problem [24], [36]. There very little effort at establishing general techniques for the has been algorithms [8]. Moreover. many different formulation of parallel be utilized to solve a single problem. For example, algorithms can consider the single problem of sorting a set of numbers. There exists a number of unique algorithms to solve this problem, ranging from a simple bubble sort to a more complex perfect shuffle algorithm. The point here is solved via different approaches. problem can be the same that Basically there are three methods that utilized design can be а to parallel algorithm:

1) Utilize an existing sequential algorithm and exploit any inherent parallelism that can be found in order to formulate a "parallel" version of the algorithm for a particular problem,

2) Utilize an existing parallel algorithm that solves a similar problem and adapt the algorithm to solve the problem at hand, or

3) Design a new parallel algorithm.

It must be understood that there is not an easy and straightforward to "right" approach selecting the method for parallel algorithm development for a particular application. Each method has its own and disadvantages. advantages For example, by utilizing an existing sequential algorithm, it may be possible to profit from work that has already been done by someone else. However, if a complete understanding of the underlying problems that can arise from transforming a sequential many difficulties may algorithm are not examined. occur. Some sequential algorithms obvious parallelism, possess no while others that practically jumps out. display parallelism Also to be taken into consideration is speedup. Even if a particular sequential algorithm can quite easily be transformed into a parallel algorithm, it may exhibit very inadequate speedup and not provide any performance increases over its

sequential counterpart. Therefore it may be wise to design a new parallel algorithm.

4.4.1. Design Considerations. There are a number of considerations that account when designing a parallel a designer should take into algorithm, whether it be an adaption of an existing algorithm or a new design. Again, the process of designing a parallel algorithm can be very difficult [31]. Hopefully, a careful observation of the problems that design process of a parallel algorithm will provide directly affect the sufficient insight into the difficulties of developing a parallel algorithm. Basically, there are five key concerns that a designer must consider:

Thinking. Probably the hardest "process" to initially 4.4.1.1. Parallel comprehend is parallelism itself. We live and think in a very logical and To suddenly stop and try to logically think in a sequential world. concurrent way is initially very difficult. It is easy to observe that the vast majority of people appear to be better suited to focus their attention on one activity at a time. Thinking of more than one activity, or thinking in parallel, is very difficult. For example, consider an exercise of trying to read two books at once. First you read one line from the first book, then you read one line from the second, then the second from the first, then the second from the second, and so on. If you continue to follow this alternating pattern for the duration of both books, it is obvious to see that number а of deficiencies may appear (such as reading Obviously, thinking in parallel can create many problems. comprehension).

Once accustomed to focusing on the key concepts of parallelism, a programmer will be able to design much more efficient parallel However, learning to program in parallel is very algorithms. different program sequentially. As an example, consider the than learning to construction of a house analogy. Suppose two carpenters are applying plywood to the frame of a house. Carpenter one measures the size of plywood needed and then informs carpenter two of that measurement so

he can cut it correctly. Carpenter two then gives the cut plywood sheet thinking beforehand back to carpenter one so he can nail it By up. about the task to be performed, great deal of speedup can be a accomplished. Consider that while carpenter two is cutting a sheet of carpenter one is measuring the size needed for the next sheet. plywood, for Therefore, when carpenter two delivers a sheet to carpenter one carpenter one can inform carpenter two the size needed for the nailing. efficient than if the much more sequence of next sheet. This is cutting, nailing, measuring, cutting, etc, was performed. This measuring, is also true for a computer programmer. An adaption to thinking in for designing more efficient parallel parallel beforehand will allow This leads to insight into parallelism. algorithms.

Insight into parallelism is a 4.4.1.2. Insight Into Parallelism. verv when designing efficient parallel algorithms. consideration important Being able to spot where, when, and how to utilize parallelism is very If parallelism is carefully and skillfully applied then a more important. fruitful algorithm will be produced. For example, to solve a problem parallel algorithm approach, some prior or acquired via а knowledge/insight into choosing a method for the design is very mentioned previously, utilization desirable. As the of good a sequential algorithm or parallel algorithm that solves a similar problem can be a very good starting point. If it is determined that the existing particularly parallelizable, then a knowledgeable algorithm is not of the particular problem must be utilized in order to understanding break up the problem into reasonable "chunks" may be able to be that parallelized for designing a new algorithm [20].

Overall, if an algorithm consists primarily of sequential steps, the use of a "NVN" approach is not feasible since not much speedup can be obtained. However, if an algorithm can be decomposed into "chunks" that can be executed in parallel, then "NVN" computing is very desirable. Obviously, the process of algorithm decomposition is very critical to the possible parallel processing attempts [45]. success of

primarily consists issues: Decomposition of two partitioning and assignment. Partitioning is concerned with the splitting of an algorithm into procedures. modules, and processes (ie. chunks). Partitioning is considered the key to efficiently extracting the parallelism of an algorithm. Assignment, on the other hand. is with these concerned allocating chunks to available processors. For example, when a house is being built a number of activities can take Roofers. concurrently. electricians. place masons. etc. can all be performing their associated tasks the same time. As in "NVN" at computers. each of these tasks can be considered a single process for a specific application, which in this case is the building of a house. However, the sufficient conditions must be present in order for a task to Allocating a roofer to be performed concurrently. shingle a house there is a roof to shingle. If the carpenters have not that presumes progressed to the point of building a roof, it make no difference whether or not a roofer has been assigned to shingle. Such is the case If an algorithm has been decomposed "NVN" with machines. into parallelizable processes, there must exist available processors in order to achieve the necessary speedup.

4.4.1.3. Synchronous vs. Asynchronous Algorithms. An important issue designing a parallel algorithm is whether algorithms communicate when between one another synchronously or asynchronously. In synchronous tasks communicate interactively with other tasks, but must algorithms. wait for other tasks to finish before proceeding. Also all of the parallel tasks must wait for the slowest task which reduces performance. However, if a problem can be evenly distributed where each processor performs the same instructions and communication scheme, processing is very fast. In asynchronous algorithms, tasks are not required to wait for each other, but rather communicate dynamically through messages or global There is a need for much more overhead due to the nature of memory. communication. Nevertheless, a wide range of application areas lean toward this implementation.

4.4.1.4. Communication Costs. The primary reason Speedup vs. to concurrency in a given algorithm is to obtain an improvement in exploit the speed of algorithm execution. Obviously, this is obtained via processing by executing several portions at the same time. concurrent However, a trade-off must be considered between speedup and communication costs when the communication complexity of a certain computational complexity. algorithm is higher than the Once again, consider the building of a house analogy. Suppose a number of masons are building a brick wall. Their individual responsibilities are to construct a wall using bricks and mortar supplied by an apprentice. If the apprentice cannot mix the mortar and/or supply the bricks to the masons fast enough, the masons will experience periods of inactivity and will not perform to their full capability. Such is the with case is spent routing data among For example, if more time computers. processors than actually performing the computation, little is gained.

4.4.1.4.1. Amdahl's Argument. At this juncture, it is important to reflect upon a measure of speedup that can be obtained. When referring to speedup calculations, Amdahl's work is usually the point of reference.

Amdahl's argument (a more formal definition is known as Amdahl's Law) states that for any fixed number of processors, speedup is usually an increasing function of problem size [17], [36]. Realistically, Amdahl's argument proposes that the limitations to speedup are due to the degree of parallelism in an algorithm. The limiting factor to increasing the speed of a concurrent algorithm is the reciprocal of the fraction of the computation that the concurrent algorithm must do sequentially. This is normally derived by the formula shown in Figure 4-3.



Figure 4-3: Amdahl's Argument

been observed that However, it has this argument relies on the estimation of the percentage of sequential operations in algorithms an For example, a very significant speedup is realizable if the execution. portion of the sequential instructions in a program is estimated to be small. say one percent, as compared to ten percent, of the entire very program.

4.4.1.5. Architecture Considerations. Ultimately, the rate of success or failure of parallel processing implementation directly depends upon the ability to implement an algorithm efficiently on a particular nonsystem. The level of parallelism is also highly dependent sequential on the machine architecture that will be utilized. Clearly there is a wide variety of machines and computers that can be classified as "NVN" which are possible candidates. As mentioned previously, these machines may range from array processors to vector processors to multiprocessors. all of which have distinct architectures. The multitude of machines

provide a number of diverse means to solving a particular problem via some parallel route. However, because of this, the performance of an algorithm can be radically different on different architectures. Many factors can account for this, such as communication overhead, synchronization, and granularity. The key point here is that the algorithm must be designed to fit a selected architecture.

4.5. Languages and Programming. A major software issue for "NVN" that of partitioning а given application by extracting is systems parallelism via computer programming techniques. This can be obtained either explicitly or implicitly. (Normally, explicit parallelism is provided by a programming language and implicit parallelism is provided by a Basically, explicit parallelism provides a user with compiler). However, programming abstractions that specify concurrent operations. it has been observed that conventional languages lack the syntax and vocabulary for specifying parallelism.

"NVN" programming should not be a totally new concept for most of today's experienced uniprocessor-based programmers who design and develop code for large-scale sequential machines. Basically, the underlying principles and fundamentals of von Neumann programming can be carried over into the "NVN" world. For example, many of the basic operations, like synchronous shared variable access methods and shared data structure concepts, are highly utilized by programmers involved in many of the sophisticated uniprocessor operating systems in current use (for example, the latest versions of MULTICS and Unix). However, "NVN" programming appears be very excruciating for most of to today's programmers.

When constructing a house, a builder has a number of factors to consider in order to implement many design features. For example, consider the exterior of a house. The builder can finish the exterior in a multitude of materials such as aluminum siding, vinyl siding, bricks, cedar planks, etc. Each has their advantages and disadvantages which range from price

to durability to appearance. There are also many factors to be considered in choosing a particular programming language for a "NVN" architecture. The most important factor of all is the application domain feature [4]. [18]. For example, LISP is generally used only for artificial intelligence applications, the C programming language is preferred for operating system based applications, and Ada is usually better for data description trade-offs applications [18], [39]. Obviously. there are numerous between the use of one language over another. Therefore, when choosing a language, a careful study of the advantages and disadvantages of a particular programming language should be made in order to effectively it other candidate languages. For non-von Neumann. to compare language extensions are usually necessary to exploit computers, certain Moreover, there are also a wide variety of parallelism [21]. implementations for a single programming language. These are also important considerations. If no specific language, or extended language, can be utilized to support a specific application, the development of a new programming language may be necessary.

There are basically two methods to high-level programming language development for "NVN" computers - new language development and existing language extension. From personal observation, it appears that the majority of the currently available parallel computer languages have been derived from one of the two methods, although some "NVN" machines utilize existing sequential languages and force the compiler to detect any inherent parallelism of a program. For example, this is in vector processors. Basically, a vector compiler will especially true compile a program written in a specific sequential language and attempt to extract any inherent parallelism to generate vector instructions. This section deals only with explicit language development (compilers аге discussed in paragraph 4.6).

4.5.1. New Language Development. If no specific language, or extended language, can be utilized to support an application, the development of a new programming language may be necessary. The same holds true for a

carpenter. For example, if a carpenter cannot purchase adapt ΟΓ a window for a specific application, he will build one from scratch. The new approach based developing language is upon new concurrent or parallel languages for the purpose supporting non-von of Neumann analysis of the new languages that have been architectures. An developed (such as Occam, PPL, Actus II, BLAZE, and Parallel Pascal to name a few of the more well-known languages) has shown that these usually contain various application oriented languages parallel oriented toward particular architecture. constructs that are a Therefore, these new languages are usually not very portable from one Moreover, none of these new languages have been machine to another. universally accepted in any commercial supercomputer.

Probably the most widely recognized new programming language that has been designed primarily for parallel processing is Occam. Basically, Occam provides explicit partitioning mechanisms where variables are declared by the programmer at the beginning of a program. However, Occam is not universally viewed as a sufficiently diverse parallel programming language since it does not support many applications.

4.5.2. Existing Language Extension. As is the case with developing parallel algorithms (as discussed in section 4.4), the utilization of an existing sequential language can be exploited. This method allows for an existing sequential language to be extended using "NVN" architecture constructs to support concurrent processing. For example, FORTRAN extensions have been implemented to control directed decomposition of specific program elements, such as large DO loops, by extending a new DO PARALLEL directive to the existing language [10]. Other program have also been extended to the standard FORTRAN syntax directives which establish how (and if) data will be shared among the individual processors of a "NVN" system. Other extensions of conventional FORTRAN have been utilized by only incorporating communication facilities that are added to specify message passing. Normally these extensions to existing serial languages are very dependent upon а

specific "NVN" architecture and are explicitly designed to utilize the extreme computing power of the specific architecture. Obviously, there is very little hope of portability since these languages are usually extended with one machine in mind. This causes two very critical issues. First, if a machine. recoding of a particular user employs new target а application will most likely need to be accomplished in another extended re-extending the language. or by originally employed language. extended language is designed to exploit Secondly, since the the underlying architecture of a particular "NVN" machine, the programmer is forced to understand the architecture. Thus, program development is much more difficult.

4.5.3. Obstacles. There are a number of direct observations that can be made of the obstacles confronting both new language development and existing language extension methods:

1) Learning a new programming language places many problems on the programmer. For one, programmers are very resistant to change and therefore tend to solve problems in terms of well-established and familiar Therefore. language structures. this makes concurrent programming more difficult right from the start. Also, learning programming details of a new language, or of an extended standard language, is very difficult.

2) Programming in a concurrent language is much more difficult than writing sequential code. Concurrent program logic is more difficult to understand and implement in code. Also, concurrent language syntax is The specification of processes different. and the control of their interactions very important which is something that is usually not is considered in sequential programming. However, some do not share this observation. Some believe that the parallel behavior of a sequential program need not be considered [25]. The position taken here is that concurrent processing is very different from normal sequential programming and therefore may require a better solution than just a new

language or language extension. Software support tools are lacking for concurrent design and implementation at the language, compiler, and run-time package levels.

of architectural differences, 3) Because software reusability between different "NVN" machines is usually not possible. Obviously then, portability is almost non-existent unless the new target machine is inherently similar to the original machine. It is very difficult and time consuming to re-write code every time an application is hosted on another Since experience gained from utilizing one programming machine. environment is usually not transported to another environment, expensive software development costs are likely to incur. This directly may affect future gains in software productivity. If reusability problems can not be alleviated. at least to some degree, "NVN" use in "mainstream" computing will be greatly hindered.

modification or maintenance is virtually impossible by 4) Program anyone other than the original programmer. By the very nature of parallel programming, one programmer will normally code in a manner is unique. This is based on that their background in computing/programming, understanding and interpretation of the programming language, and understanding of the host computer.

5) Code designed and written for a "NVN" machine may be extremely difficult to debug. Since deadlocks may occur due to the very nature of the architecture which the programmer is unaware of, bugs are not necessarily due to errors in the code. Also, since operations on multiple processors do not necessarily occur in precisely the same sequence from one program execution to another, finding exactly where errors occur can also be very difficult.

4.5.4. Ada. Since the development of the Ada programming language was sponsored by the United States Government to write effective software for computer components of military systems, particularly for command

and control systems, it is a strong programming language candidate for "NVN" architectures in the DOD world. The very nature of many DOD many of the principles of parallelism. For example. underly systems typical military command and control systems often require the of many concurrent activities, whether they be worldwide or monitoring systemwide. The ability to keep track of and monitor many concurrent unpredictable activities and quickly respond to events, is a very important concern of command and control systems. Writing effective software for these systems is of the utmost concern. Nonetheless, it is very unclear as to whether Ada is a good choice for concurrent software development.

Ada was As alluded to above, one of the reasons that specifically designed was to facilitate concurrent programming for command and control systems. Most of today's popular programming languages were designed for writing sequential programs. Ada, however. allows a programmer to establish many separate threads of control via The trends toward multiprocessing and distributed systems multitasking. need for concurrent programming languages like Ada. dictates the up to this point Ada has not been widely accepted in the However. "NVN" sequential world, much less in the non-sequential world of This is due mainly to the lack and immaturity of Ada architectures. support tools and inefficient real-time processing capabilities [18]. very uncertain whether Ada will play a major role in Therefore. it is language development for "NVN" architectures. A serious challenge the benefits that Ada possesses for understand and exists to extend "NVN" applications.

4.6. Compilers. There is also a very urgent need for the development of compilers for the successful utilization of "NVN" architectures [7], [33]. Parallelizing compilers allow for implicit of parallelism. extraction the compiler uses restructuring techniques to Basically, program transform a sequential program into a concurrent form that is suitable for "NVN" machines. There are primarily two methods for compiler

development, namely new compiler development and existing compiler extension. These are further discussed in the following subsections. It should also be noted that although there exist a number of automatic parallelizing compilers in the marketplace, most of these only achieve a very small degree of parallelism and are usually limited to small, localized segments of code.

4.6.1. New Compiler Development Method. In the case of new parallel language development, there is a very direct correlation between the newly constructs and capabilities of the developed compiler to the Again, compiler portability underlying architecture. is major а However, it is not the concern of the programmer, but rather of concern. the writer of the compiler. At this point in time there does not exist a that can be utilized for even a subset of "NVN" universal compiler architectures. More effort needs to be directed for new compiler development as well as to new language development.

4.6.2. Existing Compiler Extension Method. The underlying premise of this method is based upon the utilization of existing serial compilers already in the marketplace. It should be noted that there is an obvious overtone of the hand-in-hand association between languages and compilers. Therefore, this method is basically an extension to the existing language extension method (section 4.5.2). This method is the more widely accepted and used of the two, but it has its advantages and disadvantages:

4.6.2.1. Advantages. One advantage for the use of an existing language programming in and its associated compiler allows for a familiar language where no new constructs need to be learned. Α second advantage, utilizing existing compilers, a programmer can design, write, and debug new code for parallel algorithms in the same manner that they are accustomed to for sequential processing. Α third advantage, utilizing an existing serial compiler and language, the programmer can utilize existing sequential programs and applications to be transposed

directly for parallel applications. The fourth, and last advantage, is the issue of portability. Usually only a minimum of changes are required to the existing compiler (to support changes made to the existing sequential language) when shifting an application to a new machine.

4.6.2.2. Disadvantages. All the inherent concurrent constructs of a particular program may not be recognizable by its accompanying compiler. Secondly. a program cannot be written in which the compiler can take full advantage of the machine and its underlying architecture without a deal of effort. This would require the great programmer to be intimately familiar with the architecture at hand as well as assist the sophisticated programming techniques and tricks, to compiler. through recognize and exploit the architecture. Another disadvantage is that the use of a sequential compiler and its associated sequential language, forces a programmer to program parallel algorithms in sequential form.

4.7. Operating Systems. Operating system issues for "NVN" computers similar to those for large computer are systems that utilize [34]. multiprogramming In a typical multiprogramming the system, operating system will allow for more than a single program to be in some state of execution at the same time. This is similar, from an overall standpoint, to the way an operating system for a "NVN" based system should work. However, there is a very big difference in the complexity of operating system and a multiprogramming operating system. a"NVN" Α "NVN" operating system must support a number of asynchronous tasks which are running parallel in via the simultaneous interaction of multiple processors, not multiple programs.

"NVN" operating systems must also have capabilities that are beyond the scope of those required for a multiprogrammed system, such as additional I/O capabilities. Resource utilization, processor load-balancing, and system reconfiguration problems must now also be handled in addition to the exception handling, system deadlock, memory contention, resource allocation, data protection, and management overhead problems that are

supported in multiprogramming systems. For resource utilization to occur, a mechanism must provide the necessary vehicle to assign a task to each available processing node (or set of nodes) as the tasks and nodes available. become In processor load-balancing, the ability to effectively distribute tasks among the processing nodes in order that maximum utilization occurs is the key concern. System reconfiguration issues are also very important. Basically a fault-tolerant concern to insure that failure does not "kill" a system, system reconfiguration allows for graceful degradation of an affected system where the only outcome is lessened performance.

Typically, the vast majority of industrial and academic endeavors in the development of operating systems for "NVN" architectures has resulted in either front-end hosts or in adaptations of existing operating systems. However, many of the operating systems that run on "NVN" systems are library routines with very little additional capabilities. Many limited to "NVN" computers themselves are only back-end machines that utilize front-end host machines provide to many of the functions that the necessary operating system would provide, such as I/O support and scheduling. Most are built upon either a version of the UNIX processor operating system, or upon Mach, which is a new experimental distributed aimed at parallel processing systems operating system utilizing multiprocessors (built by researchers at Carnegie-Mellon University and funded by DARPA). Mach overcomes many of the limitations UNIX places on "NVN" system configurations by implementing message passing and shared-memory techniques. However, Mach also has many disadvantages. UNIX has been widely used because there exists a large, well-established user community. Many programmers are quite familiar with UNIX. Also, many UNIX facilities do not have to be reinvented for "NVN" machines since some are applicable to both the von Neumann and non-von Neumann world of processing. However, UNIX does possess of limitations, such as user multitude а interface deficiencies and interprocessor communication problems, which need to be resolved for any widespread acceptance in many current advanced systems [37].

More emphasis needs to be placed on developing new operating systems for "NVN" architectures, other than just expanding UNIX or Mach, which seems to be the current "rule-of-thumb." There are currently only a few schemes have been employed in the design of new operating that systems for "NVN" architectures. These schemes have been reluctantly used, which can be attributed to the widespread use of UNIX and Mach adaptations. However, there exist a great number of deficiencies peculiar to many "NVN" systems that these approaches do not support. The three schemes presented below offer a glimpse of some of the work accomplished for "NVN" operating systems development. that is being More endeavors in this area need to be pursued, but the three schemes are a step in the right direction. It is felt that all three presented here offer insight into many of the issues confronting "NVN" operating system development which will hopefully lead to something that will significantly advance the state-of-the-art.

4.7.1. Master-Slave Scheme. In this operating system configuration, one of the processors of a "NVN" machine is given the role of the executive supervisor (master) for the purpose of allocating work to the other processors (slaves), as well as maintaining the status of them. The executive program always runs in the master processor, This scheme is subject to catastrophic system failure if the master processor fails. This operating system scheme is very effective for systems that employ an asymmetrical architecture configuration. Figure 4-4 illustrates this scheme.

Overall, the master-slave operating scheme is relatively inflexible when compared to the other two schemes.



Figure 4-4: Master-Slave Operating System Scheme

4.7.2. Separate-Supervisor Scheme. The separate-supervisor scheme, portrayed in Figure 4-5, allocates control to each processor in a "NVN" system. By having a separate supervisor in each processor, each



Figure 4-5: Separate-Supervisor Operating System Scheme

processor services its own needs and has, in effect, its own set of I/O, files, data, etc. The separate supervisor that exists in each processor is basically a kernel, or subset, of the operating systems.

4.7.3. Floating-Supervisor Scheme. This scheme employs a method of "floating" the supervisor role (master) from one processor to another. This method allows for the greatest amount of flexibility and greatest resource efficiency, but is also the most difficult to implement. Another critical issue exists in the very nature of the scheme - more than one processor can execute supervisor functions at the same time - which can obviously For example, since several processors can create havoc. be in а supervisory state simultaneously, access conflicts can occur. This scheme does however provide for very graceful degradation in the event of a failure within a system. This scheme is shown in Figure 4-6.



Figure 4-6: Floating-Supervisor Operating System Scheme

4.8. Fault-Tolerance. Fault-tolerant techniques must be understood and utilized for the successful utilization of "NVN" systems as well [3], [22], [25], [44]. In any system, whether it be von Neumann or non-von Neumann in nature, a number of steps are required to handle faults:

1) Detection. Detection is the realization that a fault has occurred based upon an observation of the symptoms that are present.

 $-\cdot 2$) Diagnosis. Diagnosis provides the necessary method or methods to ensure further contamination of the system does not occur. This is accomplished by the identification of the underlying causes of a fault.

3) Recovery. Recovery provides the necessary action to retain and preserve the availability of a system.
Hence. "NVN" system must be capable to automatically detect and a isolate failures. and to dynamically reconfigure itself in an environment that may possess multiple errors. Any single failure should not be catastrophic, but rather, result in a graceful degradation of system performance. Generally, detection is accomplished by "spying" on a processor, usually involving duplication of hardware modules. Results are normally decided upon via some kind of voting mechanism. There are a number of varied techniques that employ this philosophy. However. a number of significant disadvantages. For example, a large there are amount of extra hardware is required and graceful degradation is not usually possible. Dynamic reconfiguration is controlled by hardware Today's systems possess memory configurations and/or software. that usually include error correcting and detecting schemes. Also, most local area networks have provisions to continue operation in the presence of errors. Efforts are needed to provide both hardware and software fault-tolerance for "NVN" architectures. Error checking throughout a "NVN" must be more active and more comprehensive than in a system sequential environment. Limiting the propagation of errors is also more critical.

architectural topologies inherently possess many of the Many "NVN" necessary underlying vehicles to easily facilitate fault-tolerance. For example, consider the Hypercube. The very nature of its physical interconnections allow for more than one optimal configuration for а This is due to the fact that there exist some number of applications. applications that do not utilize the total topology of а Hypercube. Consider a 32-node Hypercube configuration that supports an application that only uses an 8-node processing scheme. Since there exist many 8node configurations, if a node "dies" the application can be mapped to another 8-node configuration which offers the same performance since it as optimal as the original configuration. Obviously, hardware iust is redundancy and interprocessor communication are very important in а Hypercube scheme.

4.8.1. Hardware Fault-Tolerance. As alluded to above. hardware fault-tolerance is typically characterized by brute force methods to high system reliability and availability. Basically, hardware faultassure tolerance assumes that the hardware works correctly unless there exists a transient or solid component failure. Usually hardware faulttolerance is achieved through hardware redundancy and comparison of outputs of parallel processors.

4.8.2 Software Fault-Tolerance. Software fault-tolerance can be simply defined as the reaction and accommodation of faults that occur the execution of a program. Typically, research into the field of during reliable software development for conventional von Neumann computers evolved the adaptation of hardware reliability techniques to has from software. However, software fault-tolerant development techniques are more difficult than hardware fault-tolerance mechanisms. much Work is needed to address software fault-tolerance and contributions its to "NVN" system requirements. Software for non-von Neumann meeting intrinsically error prone due computers is to many factors. For the nature of multiple path execution can place a number of example, different errors throughout a process.

The two most widely employed schemes for providing software are the recovery block scheme fault-tolerance and the N-version The recovery block scheme incorporates strategies programming scheme. that provide for error detection, backward error recovery, and fault treatment. N-version programming uses N independently designed modules that work concurrently to "vote" on outputs. For computer systems that employ limited hardware resources, or possess characteristics which make a voting check inappropriate, the recovery block scheme is usually chosen. However, for systems that are comprised of redundant hardware resources, the N-version programming technique is more desirable.

Fault avoidance is also a key issue of software fault-tolerance. То accomplish this, the characterization and development of new and "build-in" innovative software requirements and design strategies that software fault-tolerance are needed for "NVN" architectures. New programming and testing techniques that verify software fault-tolerant of importance, possibly utilizing automated test performance are also tools and proof of correctness techniques.

5. SOFTWARE UTILIZATION ISSUES

5.1. Basic Considerations. Effective software utilization issues for "NVN" architectures are the next logical venture after software development Issues include software development has been accomplished. impact of DOD-STD-2167A), programming methodologies (and the software tools, advanced communication methods. environments. and software development environments for hybrid systems. The following sections are intended to provide a better understanding of some of the need for these utilization issues associated with the software mechanisms for the present and future use of "NVN" systems.

5.2. Software Development Methodology and DOD-STD-2167A. An assessment is needed to identify the various shortfalls within the current set of software engineering methodologies imposed upon by "NVN" architectures. The specific phases of the software life cycle and how the life cycle is affected by developing software for "NVN" machines must also be considered as well. The software development methodology most familiar to military software development is DOD-STD-2167A (and its predecessor, DOD-STD-2167) [12]. Because of this, this section deals primarily with DOD-STD-2167A and not to other similar methodologies.

In the 1970's, the "waterfall" model of software development was devised to help alleviate the problems that were being encountered in major system development efforts. The waterfall life cycle model is primarily based upon setting firm requirements early in the software development

process to avoid the extremely high cost of making requirements changes to nearly completed software. DOD-STD-2167A is based upon the waterfall model.

Issued by the Department of Defense, DOD-STD-2167A is a specification that military software systems must conform to. standard DOD-STD-2167A is well known to most everyone involved all military at in government, industry, or academia. software contracting. in either Basically, this standard was developed to establish a uniform set of software development that are applicable throughout requirements for the system life cycle, namely through the acquisition, development, and Specifically, six phases of the software life support of software systems. cycle are identified in DOD-STD-2167A: requirements. preliminary design, detailed design, coding and unit testing, integration. and system testing. Each phase must be analyzed to determine what impact "NVN" machines and "NVN" applications place on each phase and what changes (if any) are required. For example, consider the coding and unit testing phase. In this phase the software engineer is required to produce of specification modules in a chosen language and an implementation then perform unit testing. However, some new problems have surfaced due to the advent of "NVN" architectures. For one, there exists a lack of debugging tools to assist the software engineer. Also. memory deadlock bugs are more difficult to detect. contention bugs and Some "NVN" machines (mostly distributed memory machines) do not allow for any I/O from the nodes. Furthermore, there also exists a data display problem due to the fact that most "NVN" computer perform so rapidly that the large amount of data that is produced cannot be viewed in realtime. Hence. because of problems such as these. simulation techniques have been devised to assist the software engineer prior to the commitment to a particular "NVN" system. However, simulators do not exist for many machines. A decision must then be made as to whether or not a simulation should or should not be developed first. It is felt that the development of a simulation would be very beneficial.

As alluded to above, there exist many definitions and variations of the software life cycle, but are all made up of essentially the same phases. Figure 5-1 portrays the system and software life cycle as seen from the perspective of DOD-STD-2167A.



Figure 5-1: The System and Software Life Cycle

However, this software life cycle, and its cousins, were developed when software most designed for was sequential-based von Neumann architectures. Neumann Because non-von architectures execute primarily in non-sequential domain, a number of previously a undefined issues need to be included in the software engineering

methodologies that are to be used for software development for this class of architectures, such as:

1) the underlying architecture (hypercube, multiprocessor, data flow),

2) the programming technique that is employed (parallel language, extended serial language, vectorizing),

3) problem decomposition issues,

4) interprocessor communication problems,

- 5) memory contention issues,
- 6) I/O capabilities, and
- 7) how to accommodate high data volume.

Therefore questions arise concerning the specific nature of the software life cycle for "NVN" architectures since additional issues may or may not lead to a refinement or expansion of the phases within the life cycle.

the overall structure of the software life cycle depicted in Generally, Figure 5-1 can be applied to "NVN" architectures with minor changes. this point it is very unclear as to the nature of possible However. at refinements to the specific phases of the software life cycle. These direct modifications that support new software development changes are issues that have surfaced with the advent of "NVN" processing. The common thread of this report has repeatedly stressed that it is more difficult to design and develop software for "NVN" systems than for von Software development issues for "NVN" computers Neumann systems. introduce such concerns communication overhead, synchronization, as decomposition, and memory contention problems that were previously

not considered for von Neumann machines. For example, consider the product design phase of the software life cycle. Before any detailed design of a system is conducted, it is necessary to decide on a particular mode of parallelism. This is primarily due to:

1) the large number of parallel modes (SIMD, MIMD, vector, etc) that are currently available,

2) the various programming methods that exist, and

3) the wide variety of interconnection networks (bus mesh, crossbar, hypercube, etc.) that are currently being employed.

5.3. Programming Environments. A new paradigm in programming systems has evolved over the last few years, known as the programming environment [1], [16], [37]. It is considered a major software issue for the effective use of "NVN" machines. A programming environment designed with "NVN" architectures in mind is usually based upon the same framework for serial architectures but with a different of requirements. Basically, a programming environment can be set defined as a collection of software engineering tools and techniques capable of supporting software life cycle development that should allow programming on a "NVN" machine to be fairly easy and straightforward. an environment oversees all of the activities related to the Such development of a computer program. Typically, these tools include language-oriented editors, debugging tools, program management facilities, monitoring and instrumentation tools, and performance enhancement mechanisms.

The advent of "NVN" machines has introduced new issues that must be considered in the design of a programming environment. Programming environments are built to provide a number of tools for both assisting the programmer as well as helping to manage programming. The hardware that comprises the "NVN" system is very important as well, because it

limits the type and functionality of the tools that can be supported in a "NVN" environment. Probably the most important consideration when designing a programming environment, whether it be for a von Neumann or non-von Neumann based system, is integration. The collection of tools that form the programming environment must interact and support one another. Therefore, the method employed to achieve this integration is also a very important consideration.

It is apparent that with the advent of "NVN" computing, parallelism must be supported both in future languages as well as in their programming This is true for all facets of non-von Neumann computing, environments. whether it be for a single machine or a network of machines. with a diverse multitude Programming many processors of communication and synchronization occurring between them is verv difficult. A programmer needs a level of abstraction that allows parallel tasks, and their associated data exchanges, to be accomplished as simply as possible. For example, a user should not have to specify how a set of tasks should be allocated. Furthermore, how they are implemented should also not have to be specified. The compiler and operating system should provide the required facilities to alleviate the specifying of these things. as well as for low-level concurrency and message routing. Α sophisticated debugger should also be available to detect and isolate As mentioned previously, the debugger should be capable of errors. analyzing results, diagnose errors, and respond to users.

In general, the programming support environment should supply the underlying framework for effective "NVN" implementation. It should be designed and integrated so that a user can apply an application concept then carry out the necessary design in a simple and straightforward and At this point in time, it does not appear that there manner. are any efforts that are significantly addressing these problems. Perhaps the Software Life Cycle Support Environment (SLCSE) could be adapted and extended to provide such support in the future.

5.4. In order for "NVN" machines to be utilized to their Software Tools. full potential, various software engineering tools are needed. It is therefore verv important to obtain information about the software engineering tools, techniques, and methods that are currently available for architectures in order to determine how they can be use on "NVN" exploited for meeting the needs of many applications, including C3I applications. Although many software engineering tools have been developed for von Neumann machines, it is much more difficult to develop software and software tools that take full advantage of the processing "NVN" power associated with machines. The insufficient fallout of that drastically resulted software has occurred has in decreased productivity for software developers.

engineering tools are needed to examine and understand Software program performance and operation in concurrent environments. These can be assist both the programmer and program tools designed to manager at the system level. These include parallelization type tools, software translation tools, program restructuring tools. debuggers, analysis tools, simulators, linkers, loaders, application libraries, software performance monitors, software directories, management tools, monitoring tools, control tools, automated data collection tools, and windowing methods to name a few [32]. There are currently well over a hundred software engineering tools available in the marketplace for "NVN" computers. some of which are depicted in Figure 5-2. The point here is that, while there does exist a fair number of tools, there is a growing need even more sophisticated tools that break away from the normal for development methods that go into the design of software engineering tools. The implicit behavior of "NVN" systems, and their capabilities, need to be further exploited into the realm of software support tools.

| Tool Name | Type of Tool | Language/System Support |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| SeeCube | Monitor | NCube |
| Monit | Performance Monitor | Sun-3 Workstation |
| Belvedere | Debugger | Parallel Languages |
| Euclid | Simulation Model | Multiprocessing |
| Parallel Fortran Converter | Translator | Fortran |
| Parafrase | Program Restructuring | Fortran, C |
| Pdbx | Debugger | Sequent |
| Instant Replay | Debugging Environment | BBN Butterfly |
| SCHEDULE | Program Interface | VAX 11/780, Alliant FX/8, Cray-2 |

Figure 5-2: Some Existing "NVN" Software Tools

As alluded to above, most of the software engineering tools and are employed today for "NVN" machines are nothing techniques that more than straightforward extensions of traditional software development methods previously designed serial for computer For many applications, these systems. tools inadequate are or the successful software design development and inappropriate for

maintenance issues unique to "NVN" systems. For example, consider debuggers. It is easy to see that parallel programs are much more difficult to debug than sequential programs. After a bug is supposedly fixed, it may be impossible to reconstruct the sequence of events that led to the exposure of the bug in the first place. Obviously, it is also not feasible to correctly certify that a bug has been removed. For example, debugging on the Hypercube is generally accomplished by receiving and responding to actions that a user specifies, such as breakpoints or by displaying memory or register contents. The unique capabilities and applications supported by "NVN" architectures need to be reflected in the tools, techniques, and methods used throughout the entire software life cycle. Some of these tools need to be designed to provide a user with the necessary capability to deal explicitly with the non-sequential nature of "NVN" systems. Others need to be designed to permit a user to design software targeted for sequential machines and then employ the necessary tools to effectively exploit the concurrency to of the system and express it automatically.

5.5. Communication/Synchronization Methods. In order for "NVN" machines to effectively operate, a variety of communication and control mechanisms to minimize contention, and to optimize use of system neeged. То accommodate communication resources. are and synchronization among processors, a particular interconnection network (as portrayed in section 3.2) must be implemented. Deciding on a particular interconnection design choice is normally the result of many concerns, such as the application demands for the machine. the of processors that will be employed, and the speed number data requirements to name a few. A number of technical questions must also be imposed by a system designer prior to the selection of one interconnection network over another, such as:

1) What type of switching methodology should be employed? Basically, there are three switching methodologies - circuit, packet, and integrated. In circuit switching there exists a physical path between

two nodes. In packet switching there does not exist a physical path. Rather, data is stored in a "packet" (with a prespecified length) and then independently routed to a particular destination, usually using store-andforward procedures. Integrated switching provides the capabilities of both circuit and packet switching.

2) What type of communication scheme will be present? Synchronous Asynchronous? Synchronous communication allows for communication or to be accomplished via a shared variable scheme or through message passing in which the connection paths are all centrally supervised. The particular architecture of a machine may dictate the type of communication scheme [16]. For example, consider multiprocessors. By definition, multiprocessors do not have any type of shared memory whatsoever. Obviously then, multiprocessors achieve must communication through means of message passing. Asynchronous communication provides for a dynamic communication scheme in which a communication path can be connected or disconnected at any time.

Should a static or dynamic network topology be used? A static 3) topology allows for only dedicated links between processors wherein a dynamic topology allows for reconfiguration of the processors for whatever purpose, processor failure or rescheduling be it a of processors.

What routing technique is best suited for determining the 4) available paths and resolving possible conflicts inflicted by path contention? Three methods are currently in use centralized. distributed, and adaptive. These are pretty much well understood and widely utilized.

Synchronization is very much related to communication requirements. Basically, synchronization controls interference between the outside world and the individual processors. Synchronization is also concerned with the governing and cooperation of events in a system. For example, consider requests to and from memory for data. Clearly two individual

processes cannot access the same data at the same time. Synchronization mechanisms prevent this from becoming a deadlock situation.

Communication and synchronization methods are very important considerations for "NVN" architectures. Some auite similar are to conventional serial processing techniques, but the complexity of the topologies that comprise the architectures of many of today's advanced machines introduces many new issues and complexities.

5.6. Software Development Environments for Hybrid Systems. There also exists a need for the development of software when the problem domain the utilization of both von Neumann as well as "NVN" systems in entails conjunction with one another. A "hybrid" system of this nature is verv when considering possible utilizations likelv for military system configurations, especially for command and control functions, since specific functions can often be divided into distinct architectural classes (von Neumann or non-von Neumann). For example, a von Neumann class a front-end scheduler for a "NVN" machine. machine may act as Therefore, the development of software for systems that possess this configuration is also needed. Of course, the mix of existing serial based software tools would be a logical starting point for this development, but the "NVN" portion of the system, even if it comprises only five percent of the whole system, may be the bottleneck to overall system performance. Therefore, software development for the "NVN" portion is probably the critical area of concern for software development for hybrid systems.

Obviously, for the efficient software development in hybrid systems, the use of consistent development methods and transparent user support are needed. Software must be developed in such a fashion as to insure software engineering support is sufficiently powerful and flexible to the mix in architectures. Hybrid systems may possibly place adapt to constraints on the type of support that is required as well. Moreover, there may exist a need for dual environments, one for the "NVN" based

subsystem, and one for the von Neumann subsystem. System function allocation between hybrid classes, as well as programming techniques, are also important issues confronting the development of software for hybrid systems.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS.

6.1. Fundamental Research. To begin with, a number of issues need to be addressed at the fundamental research level. In general, there are two classifications of fundamental research that needs to be addressed, core research and applications research:

6.1.1. Core Research. Core research is needed to evaluate the use and improvement of "NVN" computing in the generic sense. Work needs to be done for algorithm development, the development of new software and new programs, and a baseline needs to be defined for analyzing which problems are best suited for parallel processing.

6.1.2. Applications Research. Applications research relates to specific areas in science and engineering which can utilize "NVN" computing. Work needs to be accomplished to determine how "NVN" computing can be exploited for such areas as artificial intelligence, logic programming, image processing, signal processing, and data processing, to name just a few.

6.2. Standardized Classification Scheme. As pointed out in section 3.3, the development of an adequate means of identifying and classifying the types of non-von Neumann and "NVN" architectures and implementations sorely needed. The increasing number of new and diverse computer is architectures and implementations for "NVN" systems are proof that parallelism is, and will be, exploited in the near future. Therefore, of significant importance is classify the need to these new architectures and machines as well as to obtain the necessary metrics to compare them for such things as performance, application domain. and

behavior. By doing this, a tangible criteria baseline can be developed to compare diverse systems so that a set of measurable objectives for possible applications can be made. Since a single application may possibly be solvable via a number of different "NVN" architectures. it is important to gain comparable knowledge the verv of advantages/disadvantages of each system so that it can be more easily discernable why one architecture or machine is better than another. Obviously, the selection of the wrong architecture can preclude the use of a less effective and/or more costly system for years.

The recent activity in the research community, both in industry and academia, that has been directed toward classification the of "NVN" either computers has resulted in incomplete classifications, or in methods of classifying machines by examining only one architectural For classification schemes have been devised based feature. example, upon classification by: memory organization, control type, number of size, processors. processor synchronization overhead, and processing element assignments.

There exists a growing need for a more comprehensive method of identifying the fundamental characteristics of "NVN" computers, as well as identifying the applications they are best suited for. Possibly a hybrid scheme could be developed. The multitude of existing classification schemes has provided insight into the many different architectural of "NVN" machines. features Many possess information to identify the advantages and disadvantages that these machines offer in solving а particular problem. Primarily, the main consideration confronting the choice of a particular machine for a given application is how to best match the most important features of a particular machine to a specific application. However. how the other features of the machine may adversely affect the task. These "other" features may do more harm than good.

In the development of a hybrid classification scheme, it will be very important to include information that is contained in all of the previous means of classification, both the well known schemes as well as not so well known academic-based the type schemes. into one comprehensive scheme. Once developed, this architectural classification scheme could then be applied to existing machines as well as to models or simulations of proposed architectures.

6.3. Software Support. As discussed throughout section 5, many of the existing software practices that are currently utilized for sequential machines need to be readdressed for "NVN" based machines. "NVN" hardware advancements have progressed significantly in recent years, but "NVN" software development has not. In fact, software development for "NVN" architectures has not advanced very far from its uniprocessor Programming languages, particularly high-level languages, are origins. very limited in terms of support for the growing multitude of advanced architectures in existence today. The underlying concurrency computer applications that are supported by most of these architectures are currently not well suited for today's modern programming languages. New needed. Still, most of the high-order programming languages are that are being currently utilized to program "NVN" machines languages are only extensions of languages which were specifically designed for sequential machines. Moreover, these languages were primarily developed in the 1950's and 60's.

Multitasking, dynamic allocation techniques. dynamic load-balancing, migration methodologies, and fault-tolerance are just some of the task software issues that need to be integrated into an operating system that is responding to uncertain and changing environments. capable of The operating system must be able to assign, monitor, and terminate new or reallocated tasks. All this must be accomplished with real-time requirements and utilize processor resources optimally.

also a very limited set of software tools for "NVN" There is architectures [29]. Presently, there exists very few tools for the purpose of creating software programs for these new machines. fewer for debugging and analyzing these programs, and even fewer tools programming environments. A study of the tools that do exist, as well as identification of those that are needed, is critically important if an applications intended for concurrency are be to matched to these machines for C3I problems. Without sufficient knowledge of these tools, it will be impossible to effectively exploit what is currently available. Without insight into new tool development, potential uses of "NVN" machines is impossible as well. For economical reasons, whether they be financial, technical, or strategic in nature, the future of "NVN" systems is largely dependent on the success of software and system development.

Much more research is needed not only for the development of concurrent software algorithms and in software implementation mechanisms, but also in making them partitionable and fault-tolerant. Advances in There exist very programming support environments are also needed. few tools to assist a user in partitioning and evaluating a particular application exploit inherent parallelism. New languages and to any needed compilers are to extract parallelism. More specifically. different types of programming languages (conventional, object-oriented, logical, functional, etc) should be considered in order to determine the best concepts and building blocks to design effective parallel programs.

6.4. New Software Development Methodologies. New software development methodologies will most likely play a very important role the effective production and maintenance of software for "NVN" in computers. Whether or not DOD-STD-2167A can be utilized or be modified to assist in the development of a software development methodology is The issues confronting the use of DOD-STD-2167A were uncertain. previously discussed in paragraph 5.2 and are certainly valid for new development methodologies. A comprehensive comparison to the DOD-STD-2167A software life cycle needs to be accomplished to assess the

advantages/disadvantages and the strengths/weaknesses of new software development methodologies for "NVN" software production. Associated with this includes the development of new tools and techniques that will support a possibly new DOD-STD-2167A based methodology, with a complete analysis and examination of each phase of the life cycle.

"NVN" Many new methodologies are emerging with the premise of the dissimilar typical architectures the root. Many are to as One "waterfall" approach employed in many conventional methodologies. of these new approaches is rapid prototyping, which has received a great deal of attention. Basically, rapid prototyping utilizes the first part of the development cycle to build a quick prototype in order to analyze and · to detect performance validate requirements, requirements, bottlenecks. In some paradigms the prototype is then discarded since it is never intended to be anything more than a means to check the design upon the observation that the against requirements. This is based requirements definition phase and subsequent system concept design phase (of the waterfall model) may occur years before the final This "right" software. assumes that the implementation of the software design decisions were made up front, since it places an early commitment to requirements for the final implementation of the software.

New techniques need to be developed that allow rapid, low-cost prototyping of existing, and/or experimental, high performance computers that are "NVN" in nature. Hopefully, a rapid prototyping mechanism intended for "NVN" computing could be developed that would provide the capability to evaluate alternative approaches parallel to processing such developing simulations. By quickly building applications as prototypes and running experiments, a number of design problems and performance bottlenecks could be identified early in the software design Obviously, the major premise of this approach is the ability phase. to iteration of the quickly advance to each new prototype and other specification. Work in new methodology approaches, including

data-flow techniques and evolutionary development methods, also need to be investigated for "NVN" applications.

6.5. "Non-von Neumann" Machine Assessment. Research is also needed to assess and explore the underlying architectures and application domains that encompass the class of "NVN" machines, specifically for the purpose of evaluating the impact of these architectures on the software life cycle and their potential for Air Force mission critical C3I applications. Specifically, many issues need to be addressed, such as:

1) What types of "NVN" architectures and machines currently exist? What types of "NVN" machines are being developed?

2) What types of applications and algorithms are being run on each machine? Conversely, what machines are best suited for current applications? What will be the best (or potentially the best) architectures for future applications?

3) What are the strengths and weaknesses (advantages and disadvantages) of the existing set of non-von Neumann machines?

4) What kind of success has been experienced for commercially available "NVN" machines?

5) What is the state of development for research-based "NVN" machines? Are they in a state of simulation? Do there exist prototypes? Are there plans to manufacture for commercial use?

6) What is required to support the development process of these architectures? What software is required to exploit these machines? What type of technical and financial investment is required?

These issues should provide the necessary baseline assessment of "NVN" architectures. It will be a much easier task to apply the impact of these

architectures if these issues can be understood and utilized. Until then, architecture applications cannot be made knowledgeably.

7. CONCLUSIONS.

7.1. General Conclusions. As shown in this report, parallelism can be applied in a number of ways. Summarizing we can observe that parallelism can be accomplished:

1) in an algorithm - written to express the solution to a problem,

2) in a single processor - to enhance the performance of an executable instruction,

3) in a computer system - to allow for more than one processor to solve a problem, and

4) between systems - to allow for more than one system to solve a problem.

The utilization of "NVN" architectures is definitely a very strong candidate for many current and future applications. It is apparent that what is exciting and challenging about the advent of "NVN" architectures is that they are new. Based on the understanding that is possessed by the technical community, the knowledge that has so far been obtained is only the "tip of the iceberg" [11]. Since most of the current "NVN" machines are primarily used in research labs, software for realizing the parallel nature that these machines possess is sorely needed in order to effectively utilize them in commercial and government application environments.

Once the issues portrayed in this report are successfully addressed, "NVN" architecture utilization will be more easily pursued in many areas of technology. Without this research, it will not be possible to effectively

exploit what is available, nor understand the ramifications (strategic, technical, or financial) of utilizing these machines. However, if new technology gains can be realized using "NVN" architectures, software and systems development costs may be reduced and more reliable systems may be possible.

7.2. Command and Control Utilization Observations. It is evident that "NVN" architectures are, and will continue to be, a very important avenue for providing the necessary processing power to solve many problems in a number of application areas. It is obvious that many and control applications are suitable for exploitation by "NVN" command machines. It can be observed that there exist a number of design concerns that specifically applicable to the utilization of "NVN" are computers for command and control environments:

7.2.1. Types of Processors. It appears that the types of "NVN" that possibly will architectures be employed in command and control environments will be systems built around a hybrid organization consisting of both sequential and "NVN" components. Furthermore, it appears that off-the-shelf processors, such Intel's 80XX as series. Motorola's 68XXX series, or National Semiconductor's 32XXX series may be the most promising types of processors to employ. (The math coassociated with each will also be employed). It is felt that processors these commodity level processors will be utilized because they offer the lowest cost, highest availability, and possess the most software and hardware support. The use of special purpose hardware is not desirable since they are typically expensive, hard to maintain, offer lower reliability, and offer very little initial software support. In addition to designing and developing the hardware for the system, the software must also be designed and developed. Generally off-the-shelf software packages cannot be utilized.

7.2.2. Processor Granularity. Should fine-grained architectures, which typically consist of a large number of processors, or coarse-grained

(usually 2-20) which consist of relatively few processors. processors, be utilized? computationally powerful It appears that "NVN" architectures are more applicable to command coarse-granularity and control environments. This is due to a number of observable For one, course-grain machines are the most cost effective, since factors. the processors that make-up coarse-grained systems usually standare Because of this, coarse-grain machines support generic alone processors. operating systems more easily. (It appears that fine-grained machines require a separate operating system processor to control such things as synchronization). Maintainability of coarse-grain communication and machines is easier, reliability is higher, graceful system degradation problems are handled easier, and interprocessor topologies are not as complicated.

7.2.3. Subset Machine Environment. A great deal of software support that is necessary for command and control environments could be done via a subset machine environment, especially if the aforementioned design criteria is employed (a coarse-grained system utilizing commodity level processors). By utilizing only a two or four processor configuration for a architecture, many areas could be explored at a greatly desired "NVN" reduced price, both in terms of cost as well as resource utilization. Α "desk-top" configuration of this nature could directly support such things software development, software testing, software tool design. as simulations. and training. Obviously the low-cost distributed environment that would evolve would be very beneficial. For one, by obtaining only a subset of a desired "NVN" system, many software issues could be explored prior to the commitment to a particular system. Based may not provide the necessary upon results. a desired system felt processing capabilities as was thought. Hence, it is that the effective emulation of a full-blown system in a subset machine environment will be very beneficial. By utilizing a desk-top configuration, many command and control applications could be easily operated in a typical personal computer type of environment. For offers a unique desk-top capability for their system. example, NCube

The "guts" of their subset machine environment is a four-node board with a PC/AT bus interface that allows up to four boards. The intention by NCube is to provide a limited multiprocessing environment to more potential users.

Remarks. As has been the underlying theme of this report, 7.3 Closing "NVN" processing has progressed largely because of advancements in architectures and hardware technology. Unfortunately, there computer has not been comparable investment of either research funds into the of parallel programming languages or software support tools development to utilize these technological and architectural and techniques development of "NVN" computer systems Although the advancements. are direct fallouts of hardware technology and computer architecture software development issues represent the primary advancements. roadblock to rapid implementation for these systems. hardware In fact, computing is probably five years ahead of software. for "NVN" The rather weak commercial market for the sale of "NVN" computers provides Moreover, the development evidence of this. and sale of clear commercial software for "NVN" computer systems has significantly lagged the development of hardware for these systems [11]. far behind Typically, only library subroutines that assist a programmer to perform parallel operations are provided by today's manufacturer's, but still the programmer usually must modify their particular computer programs to advantage of them [28]. It has taken more than three decades to take build the foundation for sequential processing, yet it is very unclear as to any of this previously developed software technology baseline whether can be applied or extended to "NVN" machines. It appears that sequential processing can be used as a starting point, but major technological breakthroughs in software techniques are sorely needed.

Hopefully, this report has provided a better understanding of "NVN" architectures and the underlying software issues that surround their effective implementation. If nothing else, it is hoped that the reader has obtained at least some kind of knowledgeable insight into many of the

areas of "NVN" computing. Possibly, this report has fueled an interest to obtain further specific information on "NVN" processing. If so, the reader is advised to utilize the reference list at the conclusion of this report.

7.4. Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Denis Maynard and Joe Cavano for their constructive criticisms, continued support, and careful review of various portions of this report. Also, thanks to Chris Flynn, Pat O'Neill, Mark Foresti, and Bob Vaeth for their helpful services in reviewing the final draft of this report. 8. REFERENCES.

[1] Allen, J. R. and Kennedy, K. "A Parallel Programming Environment," IEEE Software, July 1985, pp. 21-29.

[2] Almasi, G. S. "Overview of Parallel Processing," Parallel Computing, Volume 2, Number 3, November 1985, pp. 191-203.

[3] Anderson, T. and Lee, P. A. Fault Tolerance: Principles and Practice, Prentice-Hall International, London, 1981.

[4] Andrews, G. R. and Schneider, F. B. "Concepts and Notations for Concurrent Programming," Computing Surveys, Volume 15, Number 1, March 1983, pp. 3-42.

[5] Artym, R. and Mason, J. S. "XPXM/C: A Taxonomy of Processor Coupling Techniques," IEEE Proceedings, Volume 135, Part E, Number 3, May 1988, pp. 173-179.

[6] Baer, J.-L. Computer Systems Architecture, Computer Science Press, Inc., Rockville, Maryland, 1980.

[7] Bell, C. G., Miranker, G. S., and Rubenstein, J. J. "Supercomputing for One," IEEE Spectrum, April 1988, pp. 46-50.

[8] Browne, J. C. "Framework for Formulation and Analysis of Parallel Computation Structures," Parallel Computing, Volume 3, 1986, pp. 1-9.

[9] Burks, A. W., Goldstine, H. H., and von Neumann, J. "Preliminary Discussion of the Logical Design of an Electronic Computing Device," Collected Works of John von Neumann, Volume 5, The Macmillan Company, New York, 1963, pp. 34-79, taken from report to U.S. Army Ordinance Department, 1946.

[10] Butler, J. M. and Oruc, A. Y. "A Facility for Simulating Multiprocessors," IEEE Micro, October 1986, pp. 32-44.

[11] Davis, D. B. "Parallel Computers Diverge," High Technology, February 1987, pp. 16-22.

[12] DOD-STD-2167A, Defense System Software Development, United States Department of Defense, 29 February 1988.

[13] Feng, T.-Y. and Wu, C.-L. "Interconnection Networks in Multiple Processor Systems," RADC Technical Report 79-304, December 1978.

[14] Fox, G. C., and Messina P. C. "Advanced Computer Architectures," Scientific American, October 1987, pp. 67-74.

[15] Gajski, D. D. and Peir, J.-K. "Essential Issues in Multiprocessor Systems," Computer, June 1985, pp. 9-27.

[16] Gelernter, D. "Programming for Advanced Computing," Scientific American, October 1987, pp. 91-98.

[17] Gustafson, J. L. "Reevaluating Amdahl's Law," Communications of the ACM, Volume 31, Number 5, May 1988, pp. 532-533.

[18] Hall, W. and Stavely-Taylor, B. "An IKBS to Support the Learning of Ada as a Second Programming Language," Journal of Pascal, Ada, and Modula-2, Volume 7, Number 1, 1988, pp. 32-35.

[19] Hockney, R. W. "MIMD Computing in the USA - 1984," Parallel Computing, Volume 2, 1985, pp. 119-136.

[20] Howe, C. D. and Moxon, B. "How to Program Parallel Processors," IEEE Spectrum, September 1987, pp. 36-41.

[21] Hwang, K. "Advanced Parallel Processing with Supercomputer Architectures," Proceedings of the IEEE, Volume 75, Number 10, October 1987, pp. 1348-1379.

[22] Hwang, K. and Briggs, F. A. Computer Architecture and Parallel Processing, Mc-Graw Hill, Inc, New York, 1984.

[23] Jamieson, L. H., Siegel H. J., Delp E. J., and Whinston, A. "The Mapping of Parallel Algorithms to Reconfigurable Parallel Architectures," Proceedings of the ARO Workshop on Future Directions in Computer Architecture and Software, Charleston, South Carolina, May 1986, pp. 147-154.

[24] Jamieson, L. H. "Features of Parallel Algorithms," Proceedings on the 2nd International Conference on Supercomputers, San Francisco, California, May 1987.

[25] Jones, A. K. and Schwarz, P. "Experience Using Microprocessor Systems - A Status Report," Computing Surveys, Volume 12, Number 2, June 1980, pp. 121-165.

[26] Kuck, D. J. The Structures of Computers and Computations, John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, 1978.

[27] Kutti, S. "Taxonomy of Parallel Processing and Definitions," Parallel Computing, Volume 2, Number 3, November 1985, pp.353-359.

[28] Lehman, M. "A Survey of Problems and Preliminary Results Concerning Parallel Processing and Parallel Processors," Proceedings of the IEEE, Volume 54, Number 12, December 1966, pp. 1889-1901.

[29] Maples, C "Analyzing Software Performance in a Multiprocessor Environment," IEEE Software, July 1985, pp. 50-63.

[30] Marzjarani, M. "A Comparison of the Computer Languages Pascal, C, Lisp, and Ada," Journal of Pascal, Ada, and Modula-2, Volume 7, Number 1, 1988, pp. 5-10.

[31] Miller, R. and Stout, Q. F. "Geometric Algorithms for Digitized Pictures on a Mesh-Connected Computer," IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Volume PAMI-7, Number 2, March 1985, pp. 216-228.

[32] Miller, S. A. "A Survey of Parallel Computing," RADC Technical Report 88-129, July 1988.

[33] Myers, W. "Getting the Cycles out of a Supercomputer," IEEE Computer, March 1986, pp. 89-100.

[34] Olson, R. A. "Parallel Processing in a Message-Based Operating System," IEEE Spectrum, July 1985, pp. 39-49.

[35] Peled, A. "The Next Computer Revolution," Scientific American, Volume 257, Number 4, October 1987, pp. 57-64.

[36] Quinn, M. J. Designing Efficient Algorithms for Parallel Computers, McGraw-Hill, Inc, New York, 1987.

[37] Reiss, S. P. "Programming Environments Today," Annual Review of Computer Science, Volume 1, 1986, pp. 181-195.

[38] Schneck, P. B., Austin, D., Squires, S. L., Lehmann, J., Mizell, D., and Wallgren, K. "Parallel Processor Programs in the Federal Government," IEEE Computer, July 1985, pp. 43-56.

[39] Schwederski, T., and Siegel H. J. "Adaptable Software for Supercomputers," Computer, Vol.19, No. 2, pp.40-48, February 1986.

[40] Schwederski, T., Meyer D. G., and Siegel H. J. "Parallel Processing," Computer Architecture: Conceptal Systems, Elsevier Science Publishing Co, Inc, New York, 1987.

[41] Seitz, C. L. "The Cosmic Cube," Communications of the ACM, January 1985, Volume 28, Number 1, pp. 22-33.

[42] Siegel, H. J., and Hsu W. T. "Interconnection Networks," Computer Architecture: Concepts and Systems, edited by V. M. Milutinovic, Elsevier Science Publishing Co, Inc, New York, 1987.

[43] Shinners, S. M. "Which Computer . . . Analog, Digital, or Hybrid?," Machine Design, Jan 21, 1971, pp. 104-111.

[44] Snyder, L. "Introduction to the Configurable, Highly Parallel Computer," IEEE Computer, January 1982, pp. 47-56.

[45] Yalamanchili, S. and Aggarwal, J. K. "Reconfiguration Strategies for Parallel Architectures," IEEE Computer, December 1985, pp. 44-61.

MISSION of Rome Air Development Center

on on the second of the second

eresteresteresteresteresteresterester

RADC plans and executes research, development, test and selected acquisition programs in support of Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence ($C^{3}I$) activities. Technical and engineering support within areas of competence is provided to ESD Program Offices (POs) and other ESD elements to perform effective acquisition of $C^{3}I$ systems. The areas of technical competence include communications, command and control, battle management, information processing, surveillance sensors, intelligence data collection and handling, solid state sciences, electromagnetics, and propagation, and electronic, maintainability, and compatibility.

X&X&X&K&X&X&X&

KONOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCO