Changes in Marksmanship Trainer Performance Caused by the Relative Frequency of Simulated Laser Exposure--Mastroianni et al.

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with the permission of the Commander, Letterman Army Institute of Research, Presidio of San Francisco, California 94129. However, the Defense Technical Information Center is authorized to reproduce the document for United States Government purposes.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such items.

Human Subjects participated in these studies after giving their free and informed voluntary consent. Investigators adhered to AR 70-25 and USAMRDC Reg 50-25 on the use of volunteers in research.

> This material has been reviewed by Letterman Army Institute of Research and there is no objection to its presentation and/ or publication. The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the author(s) and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the Department of the Army or the Department of Defense. (AR 360-5)

Va Chunt

(Signature and date)

This document has been a, proved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

.

REPORT	DOCUMENTATIO	N PAGE			Form A OMB N	pproved 0 0704-0188
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		Exp. Da	ite: Jun 30, 198
UNCLASSIFIED		UNCLASSIE	ED			
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
26. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHED	ULE	Unlimited	distribut	tion		
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMB	ER(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT	NUMBER(S)
INSTITUTE REPORT NO	•					-
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORG	ANIZATIO	N .	•
Letterman Army Institute		US Army Me	edical Res	searc	h and	
SC. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	I SGRD-UL-OH	7b. ADDRESS (C)	TC COMMANC	L Codel	····	
Letterman Army Institute o	f Research	Fort Detr	Lck			
Division of Ocular Hazards		Frederick	, MD 21701	1-501	2	
Presidio of San Francisco,	CA 94129-680	1				
ORGANIZATION	(If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	I INSTRUMENT IC	JENTIFICA	ATION NUI	MBFK
······································		L			•	
3c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF	UNDING NUMBE	RS		
	· · ·	ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	NO.		WORK UNIT
•		61102A	BS10	S10	/CF	
1. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Cha	nges in Marks	manship Pe	rformance	on t	he	
WEAPONEER Trainer Caused	by the Relat	ive Freque	ncy of Si	mulat	ed La	ser
Exposure			na Vanne	7	1/ 0-	<u>a</u>
2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. M	lastroianni, J	ulie A. Ki	ng, Harry	Zwic	ek, an	d
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. M Bruce E. Stuck 33. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME C	lastroianni, J	ulie A. Ki 14. date of repo	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month,	Zwic	k, an	
Exposure 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 13a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim	lastroianni, J ^{OVERED} ay 87 _{TO} Jul 88	ulie A. Ki 14. date of repo Nov 88	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month,	Zwic , Day)	ek, an 15. PAGE (18	d Count
Exposure 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. M Bruce E. Stuck 13a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim	lastroianni, J ^{OVERED} ay 87 _{TO} Jul 88	ulie A. Ki 14. date of repo Nov 88	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month,	Zwic , Day)	ek, an 15. PAGE (18	d Count
Exposure 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 13a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	lastroianni, J overed a <u>y 8710 Jul 8</u> 8	ulie A. Ki 14. date of repo Nov 88	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month,	Zwic ,Day) [1	ek, an 15. PAGE (18	d Count
Exposure 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME OF REPORT 13c. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES	lastroianni, J OVERED ay 87 to Jul 88	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an	Zwic , Day)	k, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block	d COUNT
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP	lastroianni, J overed ay 87 to Jul 88 18. SUBJECT TERMS (PSychologics)	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an	Zwic , Day)	k, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block	d COUNT
Exposure 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME OF Interim 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP	lastroianni, J overed ay 87to Jul 88 18. SUBJECT TERMS (0 Psychological Laser, Protec	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equit	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment	Zwic , Day)	k, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block Decrem	d COUNT a number) ment
Exposure 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME OF REPORT 13c. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block n	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment	Zwic , Day)	ek, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block	d COUNT number) nent
Exposure 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary > Earlier studies have	lastroianni, J overed ay 87 to Jul 88 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block m te shown that	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip number) mar ksmansh	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment ip perfor	Zwic ,Day) [1 d identify nce, mance	ek, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block Decrem	d COUNT a number) nent
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME C Interim 13b. TIME C FROM M 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Cosati en studies have degraded by the requirement	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block m re shown that hent to respon	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip number) marksmansh 1d appropri	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment ip perfor ately to	Zwic ,Day) [1 d identify nce, mance the p	k, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block Decrem e is presen	d :OUNT : number) nent ce of
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME C Interim 13b. TIME C FROM M 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary > Earlier studies hav degraded by the requirem simulated scanning laser	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block n re shown that tent to respon	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip number) marksmansh 1d appropri g/attack 1	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performan oment ip perfor ately to aser sequ	Zwic ,Day) d identify nce, mance the p ences	ek, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block Decrem e is presen s. Wh	d count number) nent ce of en
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 13b. TIME C FROM M 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Substract (Continue on reverse if necessary Earlier studies have degraded by the requirent simulated scanning laser no-laser, scanning-laser	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block n re shown that hent to respon s and scannin -only, and sc accuracy is b	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip number) marksmansh 1 appropri 1 anning-plu 1 est on no-	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment ip perfor ately to aser sequ s-attack- laser tri	Zwic ,Day) d identify nce, mance the p ences laser als-	ek, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block Decrem e is oresen s. Wh tria decli	d count number) nent ce of en 1s are nes on
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME C Interim 13b. TIME C FROM M 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Simulated scanning laser no-laser, scanning-laser mixed in equal numbers, scanning-laser-only triated	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block n re shown that tent to respon s and scannin -only, and sc accuracy is b als, and is wo	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip number) marksmansh 1d appropri 1g/attack 1 canning-plu test on no- orst on sca	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment ip perfor ately to aser sequ s-attack- laser tri nning-plu	Zwic ,Day) d identify nce, mance the p ences laser als, s-att	y by block pecrem s. Wh tria decli cack-1	d count number) ment ce of en ls are nes on aser
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. N Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME OF REPORT 13c. SUB-GROUP 2 2 COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP SUB-GROUP 2 BABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP SUB-GROUP SUB-GROUP SUB-GROUP SUB-GROUP SUB-GROUP SUB-GROUP	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block n re shown that nent to respon s and scannin -only, and sc accuracy is b als, and is wo the ratio of t	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip number) marksmansh d appropri g/attack 1 anning-plu test on no- orst on sca the three t	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment ip perfor ately to aser sequ s-attack- laser tri nning-plu rial type	Zwic ,Day) d identify nce, mance the p ences laser als, s-att s was	ek, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block Decrem e is presen s. Wh tria decli cack-l s syst	d count number) nent ce of en 1s are nes on aser e-
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. M Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Iterim 13b. TIME C FROM_M 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Second by the requirem Simulated scanning laser no-laser, scanning-laser mixed in equal numbers, scanning-laser-only triat trials. In this study t matically mixed: one gr	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block n re shown that nent to respon s and scannin -only, and sc accuracy is b als, and is wo the ratio of t	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip marksmansh id appropri g/attack 1 eanning-plu est on no- orst on sca the three t its receive	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment ip perfor ately to aser sequ s-attack- laser tri nning-plu rial type d a high	Zwic ,Day) d identify nce, mance the p ences laser als, s-att s was ratio	y by block Decrements oresen tria decli ack-l syst	d count number) nent ce of en ls are nes on aser e- canning-
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. M Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Cost Earlier studies hav degraded by the requirent simulated scanning laser no-laser, scanning-laser mixed in equal numbers, scanning-laser-only triat trials. In this study to matically mixed: one gr	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block n re shown that tent to respon s and scannin -only, and sc accuracy is b als, and is wo the ratio of t oup of subjec-	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip number) marksmansh d appropri g/attack 1 anning-plu est on no- orst on sca he three t ts receive aser trial	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment ip perfor ately to aser sequ s-attack- laser tri nning-plu rial type d a high s (4.6:2.	Zwic , Day) d identify nce, mance the p ences laser als, s-att s was ratio 5:1) (1.2	y by block pecrem s. Wh tria decli ack-1 s syst of s while 5.4 6	d count number) ment ce of en ls are nes on aser e- canning-
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. M Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary — > Earlier studies hav degraded by the requirem simulated scanning laser no-laser, scanning-laser mixed in equal numbers, scanning-laser-only triation trials. In this study to matically mixed: one gr plus-attack to scanning- another group received a	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block no re shown that nent to respon s and scannin -only, and sc accuracy is b als, and is wo the ratio of t boup of subject only and no-1 a low proportice and a scanning-only and	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip umber) marksmansh d appropri g/attack 1 anning-plu est on no- orst on sca he three t is receive aser trial on of lase	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment ip perfor ately to aser sequ s-attack- laser tri nning-plu rial type d a high s (4.6:2. r trials)	Zwic ,Day) d identify nce, mance the p ences laser als, s-att s was ratic 5:1) (1:2. The	k, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block Decrem s is presen s. Wh tria decli cack-l s syst of s while 5:4.6 high	d count number) nent ce of en ls are nes on aser e- canning- for
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. M Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Earlier studies hav degraded by the requirem simulated scanning laser no-laser, scanning-laser mixed in equal numbers, scanning-laser-only triation trials. In this study to matically mixed: one gr plus-attack to scanning- another group received a scanning-plus-laser, sca density group showed lar	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block no re shown that tent to respon s and scannin -only, and sc accuracy is b als, and is wo the ratio of t toup of subject only and no-1 a low proportion and per decrement	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip marksmansh 1d appropri 1g/attack 1 canning-plu 1est on no- orst on sca the three t ts receive aser trial on of lase 1d no-laser 1. S in perfo	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment ip perfor ately to aser sequ s-attack- laser tri nning-plu rial type d a high s (4.6:2. r trials trials). rmance th	Zwic ,Day) d identify nce, mance the p ences laser als, s-att s was ratio 5:1) (1:2. The an th	ek, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block Decrem e is oresen s. Wh tria decli cack-l syst of s while 5:4.6 e low	d number) nent ce of en ls are nes on aser e- canning- for
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. M Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Earlier studies hav degraded by the requirent simulated scanning laser no-laser, scanning-laser mixed in equal numbers, scanning-laser-only triation trials. In this study the matically mixed: one gristing plus-attack to scanning- another group received a scanning-plus-laser, scan density group on both scan	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block n re shown that tent to respon s and scannin -only, and sc accuracy is b als, and is wo the ratio of t oup of subjec- only and no-1 a low proporti anning-only an ger decrement canning-plus-a	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip number) marksmansh 1 appropri 1 anning-plu 1 est on no- orst on sca the three t 1 ts receive aser trial on of lase 1 no-laser 1 no for the start of the start	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment ip perfor ately to aser sequ s-attack- laser tri nning-plu rial type d a high s (4.6:2. r trials). rmance th scanning-	Zwic Zwic Zwic Day) d identify nce, mance the p ences laser als, s-att s was ratic 5:1) (1:2. The an th only	k, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block Decrem is oresen tria decli cack-l s syst of s while 5:4.6 high ie low trial	d count number) ment ce of en ls are nes on aser e- canning- for s.
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. M Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Searlier studies hav degraded by the requirent simulated scanning laser no-laser, scanning-laser mixed in equal numbers, scanning-laser-only triation trials. In this study the matically mixed: one gristing plus-attack to scanning- another group received attack to scanning- another group showed lard density group on both scanning- CODISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block n te shown that tent to respon s and scannin -only, and sc accuracy is b als, and is wo the ratio of t oup of subjec- only and no-1 a low proporti anning-only an ger decrement canning-plus-a	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip marksmansh id appropri g/attack 1 anning-plu est on no- orst on sca the three t is receive aser trial on of lase id no-laser in perfo ttack and 21. ABSTRACT SEC	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performar oment ip perfor ately to aser sequ s-attack- laser tri nning-plu rial type d a high s (4.6:2. r trials). rmance th scanning- (contin URIY CLASSIFIC	Zwic Zwic Day) d identify nce, mance the p ences laser als, s-att s was ratic 5:1) (1:2. The an th only ued c	by by block p by block p by block Decrem e is oresen s. Wh tria decli cack-l s syst while 5:4.6 high ie low trial	d count number) ment ce of en ls are nes on aser e- canning- for s.
Exposure 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) George R. M Bruce E. Stuck 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Cosati codes FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Cosati codes FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Cosati codes FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Cosati codes FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Cosati codes FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Cosati codes FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Cosati codes FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Cosati codes FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Cosati codes FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 1. Cosati codes 1. Cosati co	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Psychological Laser, Protect and identify by block no re shown that tent to respon s and scannin -only, and sc accuracy is b als, and is wo the ratio of t oup of subject only and no-1 a low proportion and in the subject only and no-1 a low proportion and in the subject and in the subject only and no-1 a low proportion and in the subject and in the subject and is wo the ratio of the subject only and no-1 a low proportion and in the subject and is wo the subject only and no-1 a low proportion and is wo and is wo a couracy is b a co	ulie A. Ki 14. DATE OF REPO NOV 88 Continue on revers 1, Humans, ctive equip marksmansh 1, appropri 1, appropri 1, appropri 1, anning-plu 1, anning-plu 1, as receive 1, as three t 1, as three t 1, as three t 1, as the structure 1, and the structur	ng, Harry RT (Year, Month, e if necessary an Performan performan ment ip perfor ately to aser sequ s-attack- laser tri nning-plu rial type d a high s (4.6:2. r trials). rmance th scanning- (contin URITY CLASSIFIC TIED nclude Area Code	Zwic Zwic Zwic Zwic Day) (d identify nce, mance the p ences laser als, s-att s was ratic 5:1) (1:2. The an th only ued of ATION	k, an 15. PAGE (18 y by block Decrem is oresen tria decli cack-l s syst of s while bigh trial of s while high trial of s of s	d count number) ment ce of en ls are nes on aser e- canning- for s. k)

19. (continued)

Ċ

Both groups of subjects showed significant improvement in performance with practice.

ABSTRACT

Earlier studies have shown that marksmanship performance is degraded by the requirement to respond appropriately to the presence of simulated scanning lasers and scanning/attack laser sequences. When nolaser, scanning-laser-only, and scanning-plus-attacklaser trials are mixed in equal numbers, accuracy is best on no-laser trials, declines on scanning-laser-only trials, and is worst on scanning-plus-attack-laser trials. In this study the ratio of the three trial types was systematically mixed: one group of subjects received a high ratio of scanning-plus-attack to scanning-only and no-laser trials (4.6:2.5:1) while another group received a low proportion of laser trials (1:2.5:4.6 for scanningplus-laser, scanning-only and no-laser trials). The high-density group showed larger decrements in performance than the low-density group on both scanningplus-attack and scanning-only trials. Both groups of subjects showed significant improvement in performance with practice.

Acces	ion For	·	4		
NTIS	GRA&I	卢			
DTIC	TAB	1			
Unannousced					
Justi	fleation	0	4		
By Distr	ibution labilit	/ y Codes			
	Avail (and/or	-1		
Dist	Spec	lal			
A-		•			

í

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	i
Preface	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Figures	iv
BODY OF REPORT	
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODS	2
Subjects	2
Apparatus	2
Procedure	3
RESULTS	5
DISCUSSION	11
CONCLUSION	11
REFERENCES	12
APPENDIX	13
OFFICIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST	14

List of Figures

			<u>P</u>	age
Figure	1.	Line-drawing of the WEAPONEER Device	•	З
Figure 3	2.	Diagram Showing Temporal Relations of LED Illumination and Target Exposure	•	ų
Figure	3.	Histogram Showing Mean Percent Hits for Both Groups on All Targets for All Trial Types	•	7
Figure -	4.	Histogram Showing Mean Percent Hits for Both Groups Together (Baseline) and Separately Arranged Across Targets	•	8
Figure	5.	Stacked Histogram Showing Mean Percent Hits for Both Groups on All Trial Types for the First 90 and Last 90 Finals of the Experimental Sessions	he •	10

iv

Changes in Marksmanship Trainer Performance Caused by the Relative Frequency of Simulated Laser Exposure

INTRODUCTION

Recent research in this laboratory has shown that simulated laser exposure can affect performance on the WEAPONEER marksmanship trainer (1). When soldiers are asked to engage targets that may emit a simulated scanning beam, a scanning beam plus a simulated attack beam, or no simulated laser at all, systematic differences in performance result. Performance is best when no simulated laser interferes with the target engagement sequence and is worst when the attack laser makes the target effectively unavailable during part of the target exposure. Performance is also significantly affected when a scanning laser alone is present, even though the target is available for the full exposure duration, just as in trials with no simulated laser exposure. This effect was interpreted as a psychological effect of the simulated laser exposure, which apparently caused soldiers to change their target engagement strategy.

The study which demonstrated this effect used an experimental procedure consisting of equal numbers of no laser, scanning-laser-alone, and scanning-plus-attacklaser trials. Since it was apparently the presence of the scanning-plus-attack-laser trials that resulted in the performance change on the scanning-laser-alone trials, we reasoned that the magnitude of the effect on scanning-alone trials might be modulated by varying the proportion of scanning-plus-attack-laser trials relative to no-laser trials experienced by the soldier. If a soldier experiences a greater proportion of scanningplus-attack trials, a change in target engagement strategy could occur, leading to a greater decrement in performance on scanning-alone trials relative to a soldier whose experience was predominantly with no-laser trials. Alternatively, soldiers might show performance improvement or even a decrement followed by an improvement.

To test this hypothesis, we conducted an experiment in which we systematically manipulated the proportion, or density, of scanning-plus-attack trials relative to nolaser and scanning-alone trials.

METHODS

Subjects: Subjects were 10 soldiers assigned to Letterman Army Institute of Research, ranging in age from 21 to 27 years. There were 9 males and 1 female in the sample. Subjects were either emmetropic or wore correction to 20/20 acuity. Subjects volunteered to participate in this experiment and were given the incentive of a three-day pass to the top 60% of the performers.

Apparatus: The apparatus used in the experiment was a modified WEAPONEER marksmanship trainer. The WEAPONEER has been described elsewhere (1, 2). It consists of an M-16A1 rifle that has been adapted to fire as part of an arcade-like training device. The infrared sighting system provides precise scoring of shots, and the device is equipped to realistically simulate the recoil and noise associated with live fire.

The apparatus was modified in the same way as reported in our earlier study (1). In addition, LED (light emitting diode) timing, target exposure control and data recording were automated using a Zenith Z-248 computer. Figure 1 shows the WEAPONEER device.



Figure 1. Line-drawing of the WEAPONEER Device.

Procedure: Each subject participated in three experimental sessions and was permitted to zero the rifle on the 25-m zero target prior to all sessions. During the first session, subjects were presented with two 45shot sequences of random target presentations. In each sequence the three targets were presented 15 times each in randomized order. The 100-m target was presented for 2 seconds (s), and both 250-m targets (high and low contrast) were presented for 4 s. The fifteen target presentations for each target were composed of 5 presentations of each trial type; trial type was also randomized.

The three trial types used were the same as reported in Mastroianni et al. (1); these were no-laser, scanninglaser-alone, and scanning-plus-attack-laser trials. The simulated laser scenario was achieved by mounting LED's on the silhouette targets in the WEAPONEER. Figure 2 shows the temporal pattern of LED illumination for each trial type. Subjects were instructed that while the scanning laser (green LED) was on (Type-2 trials), they were free to engage the target, but that the scanning laser might or might not "find them." If it did find them, an attack laser would be trained on them, (simulated by the red LED in Type-3 trials) during which they were prohibited from engaging or looking at the target.



Figure 2. Diagram Showing Temporal Relations of LED Illimination and Target Exposure.

After completion of the first session (which was used as a practice session), subjects were scheduled for two additional sessions which occurred 4 days later, one session in the morning and one session in the afternoon. The subjects were divided into two groups of approximately equal ability. This was accomplished by rank-ordering all ten scores (total number of targets hit) and then alternately assigning successive individuals to the two groups. The mean baseline performance of the two groups so formed was nearly equal; however, a few reassignments were made to make the means and the standard deviations of the scores of the two groups as similar as possible.

During the second and third experimental sessions, the two groups were required to complete a total of four 45-shot sequences. These sessions differed from the baseline sessions in that the ratio of trial types was varied for the two groups; in the baseline sessions, all subjects were exposed to equal ratios of the three trial types.

Group One (the high-density laser group) was presented with 24 Type-1 (no laser) trials, 8 presentations of each target; 45 Type-2 (scanning alone) trials, 15 presentations of each target; and 111 Type-3 (scanning plus attack) trials, 37 presentations of each target. Group Two was presented with 111 Type-1 trials. 37 presentations of each target; 45 Type-2 trials, 15 presentations of each target; and 24 Type-3 trials, 8 presentations of each target. For both groups, a total of 180 trials was used. The order of target/trial type presentation was randomized for each subject with the restrictions discussed above. After completion of each 45-shot series, subjects were given feedback on their performance and allowed a few minutes to rest. At the conclusion of the experiment subjects were thanked for their participation and told that they would be notified. in a few days, of whether or not they had been awarded a pass.

RESULTS

The dependent measure used in this experiment was the hit, miss or late score generated by WEAPONEER for each shot. (Late shots were counted as misses.) These scores were used to compute a percent hit score for each subject in each condition of the experiment. The data

were then entered into t-tests or ANOVAs as appropriate.

An ANOVA was conducted on the percent-hit scores for the 180 trials in the experimental sessions. One betweengroup factor (trial type ratio) and two within-subject factors (trial type and target) were analyzed. Appendix 1 summarizes this analysis of variance. As expected, there was a significant effect of trial type (F(2, 16) =17.6, p < .001, target (F(2, 16) = 15.9, p < .002, the target-by-trial-type interaction (F (4, 32) = 3.2, p $\langle .03 \rangle$, and a marginally significant target-by-trialtype-by-group interaction (F(4, 32) = 2.6, p < .056). Figure 3 shows the mean percent hits for these data. The particularly poor performance on the 100-m target for scanning-plus-attack (Type 3) trials is explained by the extremely short (1.5 s) response time allowed the subjects on these trials. The automatic data recording equipment used in this study allowed more accurate documentation of this performance than in our other study (1), in which these data were recorded manually. Posthoc Least Significant Difference Tests showed that, for the high-density laser group, performance on each trial type was significantly different from both other trial types: no-laser performance was better than scanning-only performance, which was better than scanning-plus-attacklaser trial performance. For the low-density laser group, no-laser performance was better than scanningplus-attack-laser performance, and scanning-alone performance was higher than scanning-plus-attack performance, but there was not a significant difference between no-laser performance and scanning-alone performance. In general, performance on the 100-m target was better than performance on the two 250-m targets.



Figure 3. Histogram Showing Mean Percent Hits for Both Groups on All Targets for All Trial Types.

There was a considerable effect of experience on performance. Figure 4 shows mean percent hits arranged across targets for the baseline trials (90 trials, all subjects included) and for the 180 experimental trials considered separately for each group. This presentation clearly shows the overall improvement in performance from the baseline to the experimental trials and also the tendency for performance on Type-2 trials to improve for the high-density group with more practice. Even after 180 trials, though, a measurable difference in performance between the two groups was seen for these trials. Mean percent hits were also tabulated separately



Laser Density Study

Figure 4. Histogram Showing Mean Percent Hits for Both Groups Together (Baseline and Separately Arranged Across Targets.

for the first 90 trials and the last 90 trials of the experimental sessions. As can be seen in Figure 5, performance in the latter 90 trials was considerably better than in the first part of the session. A higher percentage of hits was scored for all three trial types by both groups over the last 90 trials, as compared to their performance on the first 90 trials. The improvement was most marked on Type-3 trials, where performance improved by nearly one third for each group. Despite these improvements, the subjects in the high-density laser group continued to perform worse than the subjects who received relatively few Type-3 trials, and by approximately the same amount. Moreover, the difference in performance on Type-2 trials between the two groups was much less after 180 trials than after the first 90 trials. This was accounted for mainly by an improvement on Type-2 trials by the high-density laser group. Extended practice thus seems to diminish the observed psychological effect.



Figure 5. Stacked Histogram Showing Mean Percent Hits for Both Groups on All Trial Types for the First 90 and Last 90 Finals of the Experimental Sessions.

DISCUSSION

The hypothesis that the density of scanning-plusattack-laser trials would affect performance on scanningalone trials was supported in this study. It was shown that the more likely a soldier is to encounter a laser weapon, the greater the observed effect on his performance. We also showed that the magnitude of this effect diminishes as soldiers gain more experience and practice.

We interpret these findings as indicative of a change in strategy adopted by the subjects in response to the demands of the laser scenario. The presence of the scanning-plus-attack trials caused subjects to attempt to fire more quickly, resulting in decreased accuracy on scanning-plus-attack trials and (for the high-density group) scanning-alone trials. Apparently, the subjects in the high-density group made a more radical change in their strategy than did subjects in the low-density laser group.

Conclusion

These results are significant because they show that if reliable information about the parameters of potential laser use can be obtained, then predictions of performance degradation in various situations can be generated using these behavioral techniques. Moreover, training programs can be designed that will mitigate the deleterious effects of the strategy changes soldiers make in response to the presence of these weapons.

REFERENCES

1. Mastroianni GR, Zwick H, Stuck BE.

2. Schendel JD, Heller FH. Use of Weaponeer marksmanship trainer in predicting M16A1 rifle qualification performance. Hum Factors 1985;27:313-325.

Appendix 1

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DEGREES OF FREEDOM	MEAN SQUARE	F	TAIL PROB.
MEAN GRP Error	1 1 8	397736.55827 1230.62053 1069.59440	371.86 1.15	.0000 .3147
R RG E R RO R	2 2 16	2125.52387 305.46669 120.58202	17.63 2.53	.1107
S SG ERROR	2 2 16	7065.47347 214.81803 443.67646	15.92 .48	.6249
RS RSG ERROR	4 4 32	514.31468 419.12487 162.94254	3.16 2.57	.0270 .0565