

AFIT/GSO/ENP/88D-6

#### THE USE OF CHAFF IN SPACE AS A JAMMING DEVICE BETWEEN GROUND STATIONS AND SATELLITES

THESIS

Alan R. Sterns Captain, USAF

AFIT/GSO/ENP/88D-6



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

#### AFIT/GSO/ENP/88D-6

# THE USE OF CHAFF IN SPACE AS A JAMMING DEVICE Between ground stations and satellites

a book the second se

#### THESIS

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering of the Air Force Institute of Technology

Air University

In Partial Fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree of



Master of Science in Space Operations Engineering



By\_\_\_\_\_\_ Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Special A-1

Alan R. Sterns, B.S.

Captain, USAF

December 1988

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

### Preface

The purpose of this effort was to predict the time evolution of a chaff cloud deployed in orbit around the earth. The idea of using a space-deployed chaff cloud as a jamming device for satellite communications was proposed by Captain Tommy Brown in 1987.

The bulk of the research was in two areas: dissecting and understanding the statistical mechanics approach to satellite breakups as presented by William Heard of the Naval Research Laboratory, and reassembling the information into a useable form in spreadsheet programs so the chaff cloud could be studied at various points in time and for various dispensing velocities. The work is far from complete, however; and further research into this area would be useful. Of particular value would be a computer program which could more efficiently model the chaff cloud over time, thus saving countless hours for any further researcher.

Throughout the course of my research, I have had extensive help from my thesis advisor, Lt Col Howard E. Evans II and will be forever in his debt. I would also be remiss if I did not mention th. close friends who were always there to listen to my tirades and helped keep me

ii

focused on the project: Bob Chekan, Wayne Gale, Steve Hildenbrandt, Neil Schoon, Gary Wilson, and Frank Gallagher These guys collectively spent countless hours letting me bounce questions off them, and just plain listening to me when I wanted to rant and rave in frustration or "strut my stuff" in success. Finally, and most of all, I want to thank two others without whose help I would never have gotten to AFIT, much less completed this thesis: my beautiful wife Brenda and my Lord Jesus Christ.

1885-91

Alan R. Sterns

iii

## Table\_of\_Contents

																																					Pa	ge
Pr	efa	B C (	<b>.</b>	•	•		•	•		•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•		•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		ii
Li	st	0	f	Fi	g	u	re	: S		•	•		•	•		•		•	•		•	•		•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		vi
Li	st	0	f	Ta	ь	L	e s	;.		•	•		•	•		•		•	L.		•	•		•	•	•	,	•		•	•	•	•	•			vi	ii
٨b	sti	ra	ct	•	•		•	•		•	•		•	•		•		•	•		•	•	,	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		ix
I,			In	tr	0	đ١	uc	t	i	or	۱.		•	•		•		•	•		•	•	i.	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		1
						B	a c	; k	g	rc	su	n	d	•		•		•	•		•	•	,	•			•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		1
						P	r c	b	ι	en		S	ta	t	e	m (	er	۱t	•		٠	•		٠	•	•	•	•		•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•		4
						S	CC	p	e	C	) f		R€	? S	e	a	<b>r</b> (	: h	•		•	•	,	•	•	•	٠	•		•	٠	•	٠	•		•		5
						A :	5 5	u	M	pt	: i	0	n s			•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	٠	٠	•		•	r		8
						S	ec	lu	e	n	: e	•	01	-	Ρ	r	es	s e	n	t	at	ti	0	n	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	٠	•	•	•		9
* *			<b>-</b>		_		_										_	_				• -	_						1									
11	•	1	< e	T V			, C	T	_	L 1	τ	e	r a	τ	u	r	6	9	n	a	Ľ	a a	C	K	g r	• 0	u	na										
				ve	:v	e		p		er	ιτ		•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•		•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•		11
							ια	u	d	E	١V	0	ι	It	1	01	n.		٠		•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•		11
						S	ta	It	i	st	: 1	С	al	•	M	e		18	n	Ĭ	CS	5.		٠	•	•	•	•		•	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•		31
						0	rb	i	t	a l		M	e	: h	9	n '	ic	: S		V	ia	3	S	ti	a t	: i	S	ti	С	al								
							Ņ	le	C	ha	n	i	C S	; .		•	•	•	•		٠	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		32
						A 1	t t	e	n	ua	It	i	or	)	T	h	r c	)U	g	h	1	t h	e	(	Cł	1 a	f	f	C	۱c	uc	Ι.	٠	•	•	•		46
	Ŧ		<b>M</b>	• •		ر کم	<b>~</b> 1	•	_																													50
11	1.	I	ΠC	τη	10	a	οι	. 0	g	У	•		•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•		٠	•	•	•	•		•	٠	•	•	•	•	•		20
						Aı	or	) L	v	i r	na		Ηe	2	r	ď	۰.	;	R	e	รเ	١L	t	s			•			-	-				_			50
						G	r a	n	'n	ir	: a	E	F	) -	•	5	PT	ht	2	÷	id	 		Ţ		_	Ī			-		Ţ						53
						F	ff	Ē	<u> </u>	t i	iv	-	n e		č	Č,	- 1	F	Δ	+	+ /	en		a f	+ -	i n	n	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		54
						-	•••	Č	Č			Č			3				n	Č		- • •		<u> </u>				•		•	•	•	•	•	•			
IV	•	1	Αp	рl	i	C	a t	: i	0	n	0	f	F	łe	а	r	ď	' s	;	R	e	SU	ιl	t	s.	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		56
						D	iπ	e	n	s i	io	n	al	•	A	na	a I	. у	s	i	s			•		,	•	•					•					56
						V	e l	. o	C	i t	: у		Di	i s	t	r	iŁ	ົ້	it	i	01	า	۴	u	nd	:t	i	0ł.	1	•								58
						Dı	e t	e	r	mi	'n	i	nc	1	P	a	rt	: i	c	Ĺ	e	D	e	n	s i	it	y				•		•					÷0
						SI	0 r	e	а	d٩	; h	ė	e t		S	ē	tı	ır	).	-	-	-	_	-				•			-	-	-	-				64
						A ·		ē	n		i t	i	or		A	n:	a l	~~~ v	c	i	ŝ	- ا	c	i.	n r		8	• • •	<b>.</b>	. '	•	٦ŀ	-	. i <	:	•		<b>J</b> -
						••	 a	i S		a	B	a	s i	i s	••					•	-	-				7	_									_		65

۲.	Pre	ser	ita	ti	on	0	f	Re	139	μL	ts	a	nc		Di	sc	:u:	SS	io	n,	•	•	•	•	٠	72
-	•	P	hy	si	ca	ι	St	ru	ict	tu	гe	0	f	tl	he	C	:ha	af:	f	cι	ou	d.		•	•	72
		S	ig	na	L	At	te	nu	a	ti	on	A	ft	:e	r	0r	ne	H	ou	r.				•	٠	80
		-	D	is	pe	ns	in	g	. n e •	-	•	rs •	τ.	• • •		•	•	•	•	r s •	•	•	: e	•	•	89
VI.	Con	clu	si	on	5	an	d	Re	• • • •	) M	me	nd	at	i	on	S	•	J	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	100
		c	on	c L	us	io	ns	•	Ŧ	•		•	•		•	•		•	•	•			,	•	•	100
		R	ec	0 11 1	ne	nda	at	io	ns	5.	•	•	•		•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	101
Appen	dix	A:	D	en	s i	ty	S	pr	`ea	ad	sh	ee	t	F	or	mu	ıla	as	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	114
Appen	dix	B:	A	tt	en	ua	ti	on	• 5	Sp	re	aď	sh	e	et	F	01	r m i	ul	as	•	•	,	•	•	139
Appen	dix	C :	A	n I	Ex	ami	pι	e	Ca	al	cu	la	ti	01	n	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	,	•	•	149
Bibli	ogra	phy	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	157
Vita.	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	159

# List\_of\_Figures

5

2

Figur	`е	Page
1.	Basic Orbital Parameters	
2.	Satellite Orientation for Cases I, II, III, and IV	17
3.	Chaff Cloud Evolution for Case I	20
۲, .	Chaff Cloud Evolution for Case II	24
5.	Dispensing Setup for Case III	26
6.	Chaff Cloud Evolution for Case III	28
7.	"Magnification" of a Subvolume of the Chaff Cloud	63
8.	Numbering Scheme for the Subvolumes Along the Central Column of the Chaff Cloud	65
9.	Effect of Inscribing a Circle Within the Chaff Cloud Model	68
10.	Chaff Cloud Evolution for a 0.01 m/s Dispensing Velocity: 3600 Seconds After Dispensing	73
11.	Chaff Cloud Evolution for a 0.01 m/s Dispensing Velocity: 25200 Seconds After Dispensing	74
12.	Chaff Cloud Evolution for a 0.01 m/s Dispensing Velocity: 28800 Seconds After Dispensing	75
13.	Chaff Cloud Evolution for a 0.01 m/s Dispensing Velocity: 39600 Seconds After Dispensing	76
14.	Average Density Through the Centroid of the Chaff Cloud	79
15.	Signal Attenuation at One Hour for Dispensing Velocities Between 0-0115 and 0-0155 m/s	82

vi

16.	Signal Attenuation at One Hour for Dispensing Velocities Between O and D.O5 m/s	83
17.	Signal Attenuation in Ten Minute Increments Up to one Hour	86
18.	Time Until -10 dB Attenuation	87
19.	Attenuation for the First Twelve Hours: 0.01 m/s Dispensing Velocity	90
20.	Attrnuation for the First Twelve Hours: Q.D2 m/s Dispensing Velocity	91
21.	Attinuation for the First Twelve Hours: 0.03 m/s Dispensing Velocity	92
22.	Attanuation for the First Twelve Hours. 0.04 m/s Dispensing Velocity	93
23.	Attenuation for the First Twelve Hours: 0.05 m/s Dispensing Velocity	94
24。	Attenuation for the First Twelve Hours: 0.01, 0.02, C.03, 0.04, and 0.05 m/s Dispensing Velocities	98
C1.	Initial Downscaling of Cube A	150
c2.	Centrai Column at 2.45 km Scaling	152
c3.	Central Column at 0.35 km Scaling	153

vii

Í

# List\_of\_Tables

343 S ......

Æ,

<u>.</u>...

۰,

Tabi	e	Page
I.	Most Probable Particle Speeds for Various Dispensing Velocities	59
CI.	Number of Particles Along the Central Column at 0.35 km Scaling	154

AFIT/GSO/ENP/88D-6

## Abstract

This study predicts the time evolution of the attenuation characteristics of a chaff cloud deployed in orbit around the earth. The study consists of three parts: applying the statistical mechanics solution of a satellite breakup model by William Heard of the Naval Research Laboratory, solving for particle density at any time after dispensing, and calculating the attenuation of an 8 GHz signal through the cloud. The study shows that significant levels of signal attenuation can be achieved, with attenuations of greater than -50 dB lasting for several hours.

(746565) - (RL)ig

iх

## THE USE OF CHAFF IN SPACE AS 'JAMMING DEVICE BETWEEN GROUND STATIONS AND SATELLITES

Ķ.

## I. Introduction

This chapter provides a general background for the problem of deploying a chaff cloud in orbit about the earth with the purpose of jamming satellite communications. It describes why this research effort was needed, and exactly what the problem entailed. Finally, it provides an outline of the scope, assumptions and sequence of presentation which follow.

### Background

To fully understand the need for and scope of this research effort, some background knowledge concerning both chaff and statistical mechanics is required. This section provides the basic information needed to understand the orbital chaff cloud jamming problem.

<u>Chaff.</u> Reflective chaff is an electronic countermeasure (ECM) device consisting of a large number of tiny dipoles that are deployed in a rapidly expanding "cloud," and is used to attenuate incident electromagnetic signals. The individual chaff particles affect this attenuation by reflecting and absorbing portions of the incoming signal (Brown, 1987:4-1). The wavelengths of the incident signal most attenuated by a chaff cloud are those which are approximately double the length of the individual chaff particles (Butters, 1982:197). Some <u>a priori</u> knowledge of the incident signal is thus required so that the chaff particles can be constructed at the proper length for maximum signal attenuation to occur.

Chaff is routinely deployed in the atmosphere by the Air Force and Novy as a decoy to intercept radar signals targeted for planes and ships, thus allowing the intended targets to avoid detection and subsequent destruction.

Due to the aerodynamic forces which act on the individual chaff particles in the atmosphere, a chaff cloud rapidly blooms (expands) and begins falling to earth shortly after deployment. Therefore, it is a very transient ECM device. In space the aerodynamic forces are minimal, however, so a chaff cloud would be a relatively long-lived entity.

Brown has proposed that chaff in space could be effectively used to jam satellite communications if a cloud of chaff with sufficient density and of the proper resonant wavelength were used (Brown, 1987:4-1 to 4-24). In order to assess the utility of deploying chaff in space, a model

describing the physical blooming characteristics of the chaff cloud needs to be developed.

A blooming model for chaff in outer space would have to include the following information: the size of the cloud in three dimensions at any given time, the particle density of the cloud at any given point in space, and the rate of expansion of the cloud in all directions. The cloud model would also have to be useable from any reference point on the earth to determine whether a signal sent between the ground and a satellite, or vice versa, would be properly attenuated.

<u>Statistical Mechanics Approach</u>. Ideally, the position, velocity, and density of a collection of particles can be described using Newton's Laws of Motion, particularly his Third Law:  $\underline{F} = \underline{ma}$ , where  $\underline{F}$  is the force acting on a given particle, m is the particle's mass, and  $\underline{a}$  is the acceleration vector of the particle in three dimensions. When the number of particles whose motion needs to be described becomes large, however, the use of Newton's Third Law becomes impractical and an approach using statistical mechanics is often taken.

Statistical mechanics refers to the study of the collective properties of a system consisting of a large number of small particles whose exact position and velocity

components are either unknown or difficult to describe (Johnson and McKnight, 1987:56). Since the chaff cloud problem involves billions of individual particles, a statistical mechanics approach is justified.

. . . .

### Problem\_Statement

This study uses the statistical mechanics approach described by William B. Heard of the Naval Research Laboratory in his paper "Dispersion of Ensembles of Non-Interacting Particles" and describes the time history of the size, shape, and particle density of a space deployed chaff cloud based on stated initial conditions at an ideal spherical deployment. The study then uses the size and shape information for the cloud and the proticle densities within the cloud to determine the attenuation of a signal from a ground-based antenna to a satellite located behind the cloud. The attenuation study examines signal attenuation along a column which runs from the part of the cloud closest to the earth, through the center of the cloud, and out the part of the cloud nearest the satellite. Since the cloud is continually changing in size, shape and density, the attenuation study is accomplished by taking "snapshots" of the cloud at various times after initial deployment. The dispensing velocity of the cloud is also varied to determine the dispensing velocity which provides

the most effective attenuation time. This information is then occurs, and if so, over what ti dispensing velocities. Scope\_of\_R The research effort examin 1) existing data which of a collection of 2) the field of statis to the chaff cloud 3) Heard's solution to problem of numerous large body, and its cloud problem; 4) Brown's stated requ of electromagnetic and equations appro calculations. the most effective attenuation over a specified period of time. This information is then used to determine if jamming occurs, and if so, over what time period and for what

## Scope of Research

The research effort examines the following:

;

- existing data which describes the time evolution of a collection of particles in earth orbit;
- the field of statistical mechanics as it applies to the chaff cloud problem;
- Heard's solution to the statistical mechanics problem of numerous particles in orbit about a large body, and its application to the chaff
- Brown's stated requirements for the attenuation of electromagnetic signals through a chaff cloud and equations appropriate for signal attenuation

Evolution of Particles. A knowledge of the anticipated time history evolution of a chaff cloud is essential in determining if the results obtained via statistical mechanics provides accurate data. Several research efforts have addressed this area in the past. The results of two of them have been examined in this study to provide a basis with which to compare the results of the statistical mechanics solutions.

The first of these studies is the work done by Ross in 1961 which provided a theoretical basis for the evolution of a group of particles deployed from a satellite in earth orbit (Ross, 1961:79-83).

A second research effort entitled "Project Westford" examined the usefulness of a dipole cloud in earth orbit as an aid to long-distance, point-to-point communication. Project Westford got into full swing upon the release of 480 million dipoles into earth orbit on 10 May 1963. The resulting cloud of particles was used to reflect radio signals between a ground-based transmitter and a receiving antenna located at a distant location (Overhage and Radford, 1963:452).

The long-term (days to months) evolution of the chaff cloud, although an interesting aspect of the problem, is not addressed in-depth. Since the current problem involves only whether or not a signal can be attenuated, and if so, for how long, the final shape of the cloud is of no particular interest. After the attenuation of the incident signal drops below a specified value, the evolution of the cloud is no longer pertinent to this stuoy.

<u>Statistical Mechanics Solutions</u>. Statistical mechanics has applications in many wide ranging disciplines. Therefore, a list of some of these applications are provided

to further introduce the subject. First, specific areas of application of statistical mechanics are listed. Then, the Boltzmann equation, which is used to describe the time history of the distribution of a collection of particles, is presented.

Orbital Statistical Mechanics. Several researchers have done work using statistical mechanics which they claim can be used to describe such systems as the rings of saturn, satellite breakups, the asteroid belt, meteor streams, and moving star clusters (Hameen-Antilla, 1976:145; Heard, 1976:63; Johnson and McKnight, 1987:56-57). The results of these efforts, when compared with the results of the two particle evolution studies mentioned previously are used to solve the problem at hand. In particular, the local densities at various points throughout the chaff cloud are determined. This in turn leads to the actual number of particles lying within various subvolumes within the cloud. These particle densities are also examined at various time intervals after deployment of the cloud. The attenuation of the signal through each subvolume (and subsequently the entire cloud) is then determined.

<u>Signal Attenuation</u>. In his 1987 Master's thesis, Brown provided several equations describing the attenuation of a radio signal through a chaff cloud. His procedure depends

... on the number of particles encountered by the incident signal. Brown also described the size of a chaff cloud required to jam an area the size of Washington D.C, the required altitude of the chaff cloud for this scenario, and time requirements for signal attenuation (Brown, 1987:4-3 to 4-5, 4-13 to 4-17). In order to prevent the unnecessary duplication of effort, his results are applied directly to the above statistical mechanics solutions to determine the attenuation of the signal through each of the subvolumes described above. By examining the attenuation through subvolumes which line up directly with each other along a central column through the chaff cloud, and by multiplying together the percentage of the signal which passes through each of these subvolumes, the percentage of the signal which penetrates through the cloud is determined.

#### Assumptions

Several general assumptions are made to help simplify the calculations and keep the scope of this research effort manageable. The assumptions are as follows:

> All the chaff particles can be instantaneously and ideally deployed in orbit at the specified time, t<sub>n</sub>.

ž,

 The cloud will follow an ideal, circular orbit around a non-rotating earth and will experience no perturbations.

3)	There will	be no	physical	interac	tion between;
	individual	chaff	particles	after	deployment.

- 4) The initial dispersion pattern will be spherical.
- 5) The electromagnetic signal will always be directed normally to and through the point of initial dispersion (centroid) of the cloud to a geosynchronous satellite.
- 6) The incremental velocity imparted to the chaff particles upon deployment is very much less than the velocity of the parent body in its orbit.

### Seguence of Presentation

First, the research is presented beginning with a review of current literature relating to the problem of deploying a chaff cloud in earth orbit. As outlined above, this includes a look at the evolution of the cloud using classical approaches, a discussion of statistical mechanics, a presentation of specific statistical mechanics solutions to orbital problems, and a synopsis of signal attenuation as described by Brown.

A short methodology section briefly describing the process involved in the solution of the problem is next, followed by a section building on the theories outlined in the litenature review section. Appropriate adaptations for the coeff cloud problem are included.

Following that is a section that provides the solutions to  $t^{3}e^{3}$  chaff cloud problem, including two-dimensional

graphical representations showing the physical dimensions of the chaff cloud as a function of time. These results are then analyzed using the attenuation model 'to show the time period over which effective jamming by the chaff cloud occurs.

The final section provides some conclusions regarding the chaff cloud problem and recommends some areas of study where future research might be useful. II. Review of Literature and Background Development

This chapter provides an in-depth review and some development of the background information which forms the basis for this research effort. It is divided into the following sections: discussions of chaff cloud evolution in general, a review of statistical mechanics, solutions to orbital problems similar to the chaff cloud problem using statistical mechanics approaches, and a review of the theory of signal attenuation through a dipole cloud.

### <u>Cloud Evolution</u>

As mentioned in the previous chapter, several researchers have addressed the problem of the evolution of a collection of particles that has been released from a parent satellite in earth orbit. Since this paper is mainly concerned with the density of the chaff cloud and an integration across its volume, and since statistical mechanics will be used to provide the actual numbers to be used, this section does not apply any rigorous mathematics. Instead, only a description of the most general aspects of chaff cloud evolution in earth orbit will be discussed.

<u>Orbital Mechanics Review.</u> In order to fully understand a satellite's orbital motion about the earth, a knowledge of

the basic orbital parameters is required. This section provides a review of some of the basic concepts and definitions associated with orbital mechanics.

. . . . . . .

24

37 - 1.

The coordinate system for an earth-orbit is a right-handed; inertial system with the origin at the senter of the carth. The I-axis points in the Vernal Equinox direction and passes through the earth's equator. The J-axis is located 90 degrees from the I-axis along the equatorial plane. The K-axis is orthogonal to the other two principle axes and points through the north pole (Bate and others, 1971:58).

There are six basic orbital parameters: semi-major axis (a), eccentricity (e), inclination (i), longitude of the ascending node ( $\Omega$ ), argument of perigee ( $\omega$ ), and time of perigee passage (T). All of the orbital parameters are described in "Fundamentals of Astrodynamics" by Bate, Mueller, and White (Bate and others, 1971:58). The orbital parameters are represented pictorially in Figure 1.

Three additional aspects of a satellite's motion which are also important to the chaff cloud problem, although not included among the basic orbital parameters, are the period of the satellite's orbit (P), the specific mechanical energy of the orbit (E), and the satellite's mean motion (n).

The period is the amount of time it takes a satellite to complete one revolution about the earth and is usually



and a strange of a

- :

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

•

. . .

Figure 1. Basic Orbital Parameters (Bate, 1971:59)

measured in minutes. A satellite's period is defined as
follows:

$$P = 2\pi (a^3/K^{1/2})$$
 (1)

where a is the semi-major axis and K equals the Universal Gravitational Constant (G) multiplied by the mass of the earth (M) (Bate and others, 1971:33).

The specific mechanical energy of each particular orbit is constant and related to K in the following manner:

$$E = v^2/2 - K/r$$
 (2)

Here, v is the magnitude of the satellite's velocity and r is the distance from the center of the earth to the satellite (Bate and others, 1971:16).

Mean motion is the time it takes a satellite to complete one radian of travel about its orbit and is defined as follows:

 $n = (K/a^3)^{1/2}$ (3)

where K and a are the same as in Eq (1) (Bate and others, 1971:185).

From the relationships described above, it can be shown that any change in a satellite's energy directly affects both the satellite's period and the semi-major axis of the satellite's orbit (Thomson, 1986:58). Shapiro states that a change in satellite velocity will not change the energy of the satellite's orbit unless a component of the velocity is in the direction of satellite motion. Velocity components in the other two orthogonal directions have effects only on the other orbital parameters, particularly 'e' and 'i' (Shapiro and others, 1964:483).

<u>Preliminary Assumptions and Setup.</u> To understand a description of the evolution of a particle cloud in orbit, it is best to begin by looking at simplistic examples and expand the complexity one step at a time. Therefore, it will be assumed that the parent satellite (the satellite which dispenses the chaff particles) starts in a perfectly circular ('e' = 0), equatorial ('i' = 0) orbit about the earth. At this point, the specific values for the other orbital parameters and the values for 'P', 'E', and 'n' are unimportant. As noted in Chapter I, any perturbations to the orbit caused by anything other than the velocity changes noted below are assumed to be negligible.

For these examples, the shupe of the parent satellite will be assumed to be cylindrical with the satellite spinning about its longitudinal axis. For simplicity, the cloud will be assumed to consist of small particles initially located inside the spinning parent satellite. Dispensing occurs when the outer shell of the parent

satellite is instantaneously removed. This allows the particles, no longer held in place by the shell but still moving at the angular velocity imparted by the spinning of the parent satellite, to spread radially from, the parent.

The rest of this section will examine four types of particle dispensing from the cylindrical particle satellite as described by Ross in his paper "The Orbital Motion of Pellet Clouds" and Shapiro, Jones, and Perkins in their paper "Orbital Properties of the West Ford Dipole Belt" (Ross, 1961:79-83; Shapiro and others, 1964:482-492). Except where noted, all the material for Cases I and II comes from Ross's paper; Cases III and IV are entirely from the Shapiro paper.

The first two cases assume instantaneous dispensing of the particles, and the parent satellite's orientation is as shown in Figure 2. The case where the spin axis is oriented axially in relation to the earth will not be specifically discussed, but will be automatically included in Cases III and IV as discussed below.

Cases III and IV involve dispensing the particles over a period of time and are also shown in Figure 2. The release of particles over time could be accomplished by releasing only a fraction of the outermost particles within the parent at any one instant in time. In these cases, the direction of spin axis orientation is assumed to be time



Preson Performent

AL TRUE

. .

Đ



Cases I, II, III, and IV

independent and, therefore, the spin axis will continually change its orientation angle with respect to the path of satellite motion as the satellite moves around the earth. The resultant dispensing of particles over time causes spreading in all directions relative to the parent satellite.

<u>Case I: Spin Axis Along Satellite's Path of Motion</u>. In this first case, Ross says that since no incremental velocity is imparted upon the particles in the direction of satellite motion, no energy change is imparted to their respective orbits. Therefore, no changes in their orbital periods occur.

The velocity changes which occur happen only perpendicular to the parent satellite's path with the subsequent effect that both the eccentricity and inclination change. The eccentricity change is caused by the radial component of the particle velocity with respect to the orbital plane of motion, while the inclination change is a result of the velocity component perpendicular to the orbit plane.

Another interesting effect is that since a change in period does not occur, all particles must return to their original locations in the parent orbit at the end of each subsequent revolution about the earth. This means that

although the incremental velocity imparted to the particles causes an expansion of the cloud, the expansion reaches a maximum halfway around the orbit. At this point, the cloud begins to contract and will return to its original size and shape at the original point of dispensing. The combination of the change in values for 'e' and 'i' has the additional effect of causing the "ends" of the cylindrical cloud to tilt sumsoidally with time. Figure 3 illustrates the contracting/expanding/tilting particle cloud over time. In the figure, 'nT' is the portion of the orbit (in radians) the cloud has traversed, the 'S' direction is in the direction of satellite motion, the 'R' direction is pointed radially to the earth, and the 'W' direction is out of the orbital plane.

.

The effect of the angular velocity of the parent satellite's spin is important to the dispensing in that the outermost particles undergo the biggest changes in velocity (and thus 'e' and 'i') and the innermost particles experience the smallest changes. Because of these variances in the delta velocities, a smear of particles develops between the parent orbit and the orbits of the particles with the maximum eccentricity and inclination changes.

It is important to note that since the velocity changes occur instantaneously and nc further accelerations or velocity changes are involved, the changes in 'e' and 'i'



ten da alta nea site e sea

il.in

•\_

.

;

• •

. . .

,

. - ....

.....

Chaff Cloud Evolution for Case I (Ross, 1961:82)

have an upper limit. This limit is what causes the cloud to reach its maximum size at the halfway point in the orbit.

<u>Case II: Spin Axis Perpendicular to Orbit Plane.</u> With the spin axis perpendicular to the orbit, there is one component of velocity directed radially from the earth in the orbital plane and one component in the direction of satellite motion. Since no velocity component can cause an inclination change, the spread of the particles out of the orbit plane is solely determined by the initial length of the parent satellite.

The velocity component in the radial direction has the same effect as it had in the previous case, causing a change in the eccentricity of the satellite's orbit. However, the velocity component which acts in the direction of satellite motion introduces a new effect into the problem. By altering the particle velocity in the direction of motion, the energy of the orbit is changed. This alteration in turn causes a change in 'P' and 'a' of the orbit.

The effect of the differences of the angular velocities of the particles, as was noted in Case I, is that the outermost particles undergo larger changes in velocity (and thus 'P' and 'a') than the innermost particles. This causes either an increase or decrease in orbital period depending on the direction of the velocity vector in relation to the

satellite's motion. Again, a smear of particles develops between the parent orbit and the highest and lowest orbits caused by the period changes.

an and a she was a star of a star and a st

Since the period changes are permanent if no other perturbations are considered, the particles continue to spread forward and backward along the orbit (with respect to the parent satellite) at rates equal to their respective changes in period from the initial orbit. For example, if a period change of one minute is imparted upon a particle, it will move away from the parent satellite at a rate of one minute per orbit. Eventually, the cloud expands to the point that the forward moving particles meet the backward moving particles halfway around the orbit from the parent satellite. Since the expansion due to period changes of the particles continues indefinitely, a toroid ultimately develops around the earth.

The result of the eccentricity and period changes acting together to change the orbit (for those particles that have components of velocity in both the radial direction with respect to the parent satellite and the direction of satellite motion) are different than for Case I because of the period changes involved. The eccentricity causes some oscillations of the height of the apogee and perigee points with a maximum occuring halfway through the first orbit. However, due to the fact that virtually every

particle has at least a small component of velocity along the direction of motion, the overall tendency of the cloud is not to continue oscillating each orbit. Instead, the cloud elongates along the orbit in a manner similar to that shown in Figure 4.

<u>Case\_III: Long-Term\_Dispensing\_with\_Spin\_Axis\_in</u> <u>Orbital\_Plane.</u> With the spin axis lying in the orbital plane, its orientation is the same as that in Case I exactly twice each orbit. These points are 180 degrees from each other in the orbit. At a point 90 degrees from either of these points, the spin axis is oriented radially with respect to the earth. At any other point in the orbit, the spin axis is at some other orientation.

With the spin axis oriented precisely perpendicular to the path of motion for only an instant of time twice each orbit, only a fraction of the particles receive velocity components exactly in the direction of motion. Therefore, the number of particles with the greatest period change is significantly less than in the previous two cases. However, since the particles are dispensed in all directions, all particles receive some change in period (except in those instances with the spin axis exactly parallel to the satellite's motion), unlike Cases I and II.



---

4. 4. 4 A.

 • •

· ·

A Source and a state of the second

Later of all and and

Figure 4. Chaff Cloud Evolution for C**ase II** (Ross, 1961:82)

.....

a de la seconda de la compañía de la

Ĩ

Į

With a continually changing orientation of the spin axis relative to the satellite's motion, the velocity components influencing eccentricity and inclination change also. The maximum changes in eccentricity and inclination occur with the spin axis oriented along the path of motion.

ł.

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

When effects of the various changes in period, eccentricity, and inclination are combined with the effects of different velocity magnitudes due to the shrinking size of the dispenser as time progresses, a distribution of particles occurs that has a peak density near the parent orbit. The density rapidly diminishes farther out from the parent satellite.

Shapiro notes an interesting result when the overall cloud is described pictorially. Since the largest change in period occurs only for those particles released when the spin axis is oriented radially from the earth, particles released here will move ahead or lag behind the parent satellite the farthest. Those that lead are in orbits with lower perigee altitudes, and those that lag are in orbits with higher apogee altitudes than the parent satellite.

Assume the spin axis is aligned radially with respect to the earth at u' = 0 degrees and u'' = 180 degrees as shown in Figure 5. For those particles released at u', the following situation develops: all particle orbits intersect the parent orbit at this point, with the lagging particles


n a s asser

....

•••••

.

Figure 5. Dispensing Setup for Case III

having perigees and the leading particles having apogees here. For those particles released at u'', the same thing happens only it is one-half orbit removed. At the u'' point, the particles released at u' are all at either perigee or apogee of their respective orbits and are ahead or behind of the parent satellite, respectively. A similar situation occurs for the particles released at u'' when they reach the u' point in the orbit.

<u>, </u>

The interesting result is that at both u' and u'', there are particles that were released at the opposite end of the orbit, some of which are at perigee and some of which are at apogee. Therefore, at u' there are particles leading the parent satellite in a slightly lower orbit (u'' particles at perigee), particles lagging behind the parent satellite in a slightly higher orbit (u'' particles at apogee), and particles leading and lagging the parent satellite at the same altitude (u' particles). A similar result applies to the u'' points. At these points, a four pointed cloud like the one shown in Figure 6 develops. At the points in the orbit where the spin axis is aligned with the direction of satellite motion (90 and 270 degrees), a smooth transition between particle orbits occurs.

According to Shapiro, the overall effect of the particle cloud "can thus be envisioned as a two-headed





dragon, snapping its jaws open and closed as it circulates around the earth" (Shapiro and others, 1964:490).

X

<u>Case\_IV:\_Long-Term Dispensing with Inclined Spin Axis.</u> The only differences between this case and Case II above are that the angle between the spin axis and the orbital plane can be set anywhere between 0 and 90 degrees (instead of being placed rigidly at 90 degrees), and that the dispensing takes place over a long period of time. Shapiro makes some mention of the situation in which the angle is 90 degrees, but the results agree with Case II above, so it will no be discussed here.

For Case III, Shapiro says that the greatest inclination change for the particles occurs at the point along the orbit where the largest velocity component perpendicular to the orbital plane exists. He also says that the greater the angle between the dispenser and the orbital plane, the smaller the spread in the distribution of the inclinations of the particle orbits. This is because at 90 degrees (Case II) no inclination changes occur, and at 0 degrees (Case II<sup>+</sup>) virtually all particles ex ibit some inclination changes. Because of these effects, the inclination changes undergone by particles can be kept to within a desired range by changing the angle of tilt of the dispenser relative to the orbital plane.

The effects of inclining the spin axis relative to the orbital plane on the other orbital elements is a combination of all the effects previously mentioned. At an inclined angle of 0 degrees, the distribution of the number of particles with various period changes is the most prenounced, since relatively few particles experience the greatest possible period change. For greater angles of inclination, larger numbers of particles can undergo changes in period, with a maximum number occuring at an inclined angle of 90 degrees (Case II). Thus, Shapiro points out, although the maximum period change can be experienced by particles at any inclined angle (assuming their velocity component is aligned with the direction of motion), the distribution of the number of particles with any given period change is very angle dependent.

ŝ.

Finally, Shapiro says that no matter what the angle of tilt, the resulting distribution of particles is centered about the parent satellite's orbit, with the largest concentration of particles in the vicinity of the parent orbit. The resulting cloud for this case also resembles Figure 6 except for slight differences in boch the distribution of the particles and spreads in the inclinations of the particle orbits.

## <u>Statistical Mechanics</u>

As mentioned previously, the motivation behind using statistical mechanics to study a collection of particles is that Newton's laws of motion become cumbersome when applied to a large number of particles. Statistical mechanics solves this problem by looking at the collection of particles as a single entity and analyzing its behavior over time.

Several areas of physics routinely utilize statistical mechanics to aid in the solution of problems. Among them are plasma physics and kinetic gas theory. However, since none of these disciplines directly apply to the chaff cloud problem, no further mention of them will be made.

To properly examine the behavior of the particles over time as a group, a distribution function is required. A distribution function describes the location, size and density of the collection of particles as a function of time. Once the distribution function is known and appropriate initial conditions are applied, the time history of the collection of particles can be determined.

An equation commonly used to describe the time evolution of distribution functions is the Boltzmann equation which, according to Chen, is as follows:

$$df/dt + \underline{v} \cdot \nabla f + \underline{F}/m \cdot df/dv = (df/dt)$$
(4)

Here f represents the function  $f(\underline{r},\underline{v},t)$  or the number of particles per cm<sup>3</sup> as a function of position ( $\underline{r}$ ), velocity ( $\underline{v}$ ), and time (t). df/dt is the derivative of f with respect to time.  $\nabla f$  represents the gradient in (x,y,z) space so that

$$\underline{v} \cdot \nabla f = \underline{df} \underline{dx} + \underline{df} \underline{dy} + \underline{df} \underline{dz}$$
(5)

<u>F</u> is the force acting on the particles, m is the mass of the particles, and df/dv is the gradient in velocity space so that

$$\frac{F/m}{dv_x} = \frac{df}{dv_x} \frac{dv}{dv_x} + \frac{df}{dv_y} \frac{dv}{dv_y} + \frac{df}{dv_y} \frac{dv}{dv_z}$$
(6)

The term on the right-hand side in Eq (4) describes the time rate of change of f due to collisions between particles ( .en, 1977:206), and will be assumed to be negligible for the remainder of this work.

Orbital Mechanics Via Statistical Mechanics

This section examines the literature which specifically addresses the application of statistical mechanics to problems in orbital mechanics. All the examples addressed here have the common element of a large number of small satellites being released from a parent satellite. Applications of these examples to other problems in orbital

mechanics include examining the behavior of meteor streams coming from a disintegrating comet, expanding star clusters, asteroid belt fragmentations, and exploding earth satellites (Heard, 1977:1025). Due to the similarities between the case of an exploding earth satellite and the expanding chaff cloud, the application of statistical mechanics to the chaff cloud problem is fairly straightforward.

<u>Applications.</u> In their : #87 book <u>Artificial Space</u> <u>Debris</u>, Johnson and McKnight discuss the usefulness of applying statistical mechanics to determine the resulting orbits of the pieces from a satellite breakup. They describe the different particle orbits using an approach outlined by Heard in a paper published in 1978. Heard's work is described in the "General Theory" section below. In addition to outlining Heard's approach to the problem, Johnson and McKnight provide a useful summary of the advantages of using statistical mechanics for analysis of satellite breakups as opposed to using classical mechanics approaches:

First, the statistical approach requires less computational effort and does not require increased effort for larger breakups. It also provides a global definition to a problem which inherently does not lend itself to such an approach. (Johnson and McKnight, 1987:58) a 🗮 Euro da facta da la Calendaria

Johnson and McKnight point out, however, that the use of statistical mechanics requires a conversion from basic orbital elements into analytic functions in order to solve the problems. They also mention that the nomenciature particular to statistical mechanics is generally not as well known as that of the classical approaches (Johnson and McKnight, 1987:58).

Heard has authored several articles on the topic of using statistical mechanics to model the propagation of a large number of particles in space over time. He states in his 1976 work that a collection of particles can be studied using either a classical approach or a continuum (statistical mechanics) approach. Like Johnson and McKnight, Heard also emphasizes the increased computational effort required by the former method. He goes on to say that since interactions among the particles are negligible, the solution via statistical mechanics requires nothing more than the application of the theory of Linear, partial differential equations (Heard, 1976:63).

In the same paper, Heard also mentions the usefulness of a statistical mechanics approach in the field of astronomy to analyze different systems of particles that move in independent orbits about another body, but whose overall behavior tends to indicate a common origin, such as families of asteroids (Heard, 1976:63). In this paper, he

looks at what he calls the "direct" problem and the "inverse" problem. In the direct problem, the final distribution of a collection of particles is examined beginning with the initial point of dispersion and ending at a future point in time. The inverse problem examines a collection of particles and attempts to recreate the moment of initial dispersion by moving backward in time (Heard, 1976:63-64). Since the current study is concerned only wit<sup>h</sup> the future spreading of a chaff cloud and not the origin of an existing chaff cloud, no further mention will be made of the inverse problem.

1

Heard's paper from 1977 examines the asymptotic (time increasing to infinity) behavior of a collection of particles (Heard, 1977:1025). Like the inverse problem, the final state of the chaff cloud is not important here, since the current study is concerned only with the cloud's spreading up to the point where the desired signal attenuation no longer exists. Therefore, except for the underlying statistical mechanics theory, Heard's 1977 effort is dropped from further discussion here also.

In 1978, Heard published a paper directly applicable to the problem of a satellite breakup which is useful for the current study. In this paper, he again examined the direct, inverse, and asymptotic problems; he also included an analysis of the "continuous source" problem. In the

continuous source problem, the dispersion of particles occurs over time. This is similar to Cases III and IV which were discussed above (Heard, 1978:1-2). Again, the direct problem will be the focus of this effort.

a an east of another at

والعد كالحماء

111

- · • • •

. :

In 1976, Dasenbrock, Kaufman, and Heard co-authored a paper which examined the direct and inverse problems as they apply to satellite breakups and the subsequent debris cloud that develops. The specific stated purpose of this report was to

Study the dynamical characteristics of an evolving fragment cloud in order to yield insight into both its future evolution for purposes of collision probabilities and to determine how the dynamics might be used to obtain the precise origin of the cloud. Specifically, satellite breakups are simulated to study the characteristics of their evolving fragment clouds. These characteristics are then used to determine how the time and place of a satellite explosion might be accurately determined once its fragment cloud has been observed. (Dasenbrock, 1976:2)

The paper, like the works discussed above, goes on to state that a statistical mechanics approach is called for to help ease the computational burden of following the orbital paths of the fragments (Dasenbrock, 1976:2).

Finally, Hameen-Antilla has also applied a statistical mechanics approach in his examination of a system of particles in which collisions between particles occur. In particular, the evolution of the rings of Saturn was discussed. The underlying purpose of the paper was to

improve on a previous work, also by Hameen-Antilla, in which statistical mechanics was used. The differences are that the second work allowed for differences in particle sizes and improved on the statistical orbit model used (Hameen-Antilla, 1976:145).

195 A.F.

In each of Heard's three papers discussed above, he used the same basic theory, with slight variations in each work, to arrive at his final results. Aside from Heard's presentations, the only other direct applications to the chaff cloud expansion problem from the above list are the ones found in the Dasenbrock article and Johnson's and McKnight's book. However, the presentation of the theory in the Dasenbrock paper was identical to that in Heard's 1976 paper except for a few minor changes, while Johnson's and McKnight's presentation was taken l rgely from Heard's 1978 article. Therefore, Heard's method of presentation will be followed closely in the discussion below. Heard's 1978 paper used essentially the same format for presentation as his 1976 work, but in the .978 work some of the results were presented in a manner more easily understood. Therefore, the theory presentation below will be adapted from both Heard's 1976 and 1978 efforts.

<u>General Theory (Heard, 1976:64-70; Heard, 1978:2-10)</u>. Heard begins by assuming a collection of particles in a common force field with the following equations of motion:

.

$$g = \chi(g, p, t), \quad p = \chi(g, p, t)$$
 (7)

where the vectors g and p represent the coordinates and momenta of the particles, respectively. The next assumption is that f(g,p,t) is the phase-space density function such that a volume contains dN particles with the following relation:

$$dN = f(g,p,t) dg dp$$
(8)

Heard then refers to Chandresekhar's solution that says the distribution function f follows the relationship

$$df/dt = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (d/dq_{i} \cdot (fX_{i}) + d/dp_{i} \cdot (fY_{i})) = 0 \qquad (9)$$

if no source of particles is present. This equation is then rewritten in the following form:

$$Df/Dt = -f\Delta$$
(10)

where D/Dt is the "Stokes derivative" (D/Dt = d/dt +  $\underline{X} \cdot \nabla g$  +  $\underline{Y} \cdot \nabla p$ ) and  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (dX_i/dq_i + dY_i/dp_i)$ .

The next step is to establish the position (g,p) of a particle at time t = 0. Heard lets  $Q_0(g,p,t)$ ,  $P_0(g,p,t)$  be

this position. Similarly, Q(g,p,t), P(g,p,t) is the particle's position at time t.

Heard now shows the solution of Eq (10), given the initial condition

$$f(g,p,0) = F(g,p)$$
 (11)

to be

where

$$\Gamma(g,p,t) = \int_{0}^{t} \Delta(g(t),p(t),t) \quad \text{or} \qquad (13)$$

The integral in Eq (13) is to be evaluated on the trajectory which passes through (g,p) at time t

The spatial density  $\rho(g,t)$  is found by integrating f over all momenta as follows:

$$\rho(g,t) = \int f(g,p,t) dp \qquad (14)$$

From this equation, the shape and density of the cloud can be found at any time.

Next, Heard finds the solution given dispensing from the initial point g\*. The initial condition

$$F(g,p) = \delta(g - g^*) G(p),$$
 (15)

applies. Here,  $\delta(g - g^*)$  is the Dirac delta-function and G(p) is any function that describes the initial distribution of the particle momenta. Heard next applies theorems relating to the  $\delta$ -functions to arrive at the following equation to substitute for Eq (14):

 $\rho(q,t) = 1/|J| \times G(P_0(q,p*,t)) \exp\{-\Gamma(q,p*,t)\}$  (16)

where p\* is the solution of

$$Q_{n}(g,p*,t) = g*$$
 (17)

1. N. C.

and |J| is the Jacobian determinant

$$J = \frac{d(Q_{0,1}, \dots, Q_{0,n'})}{d(p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{n})}$$
(18)

Heard next provides matrix equations to describe the particle motions. When the previous results are combined with the matrix equations, a general solution to the problem can be found.

First, Heard lets g and p represent small deviations from the reference solution of Eq (7). The variational equations of motion for q and p become

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{g} \\ \dot{g} \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$
(19)

where A is the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A11 & A12 \\ A21 & A22 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here A11 =  $d\underline{X}/d\underline{g}$ , A12 =  $d\underline{X}/d\underline{p}$ , A21 =  $d\underline{Y}/d\underline{g}$ , and A22 =  $d\underline{Y}/d\underline{p}$ . All are evaluated on the reference solution. When Heard solves the variational equations, he uses the matrizant

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U} & \mathbf{V} \\ \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix}$$
(20)

where

 $U = dg/dg_0$ ,  $V = dg/dg_0$ ,  $W = dg/dg_0$ ,  $\epsilon \ll \beta + dg/dg_0$ .

The solution then becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} g \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \phi \begin{pmatrix} g_0 \\ g_0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(21)

where  $\mathbf{g}_0$  and  $\mathbf{g}_0$  are the initial values.

Heard next adopts the following matrix notation:

$$M(\tau) = M(-t)$$

and notes that for a matrizant the following property holds:

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}^{-1} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\perp} \tag{22}$$

From Eqs (20) and (21) the following holds true:

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_0 \\ g_0 \end{pmatrix} \approx \phi_{-} \begin{pmatrix} g \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$
(23)

 $Q_0$ ,  $P_0$ , and J end up in the following forms:

$$Q_0(g,p,t) = U_g + V_p$$
 (24)

S. S. S.

$$P_{n}(g,p,t) = W_{g} + Y_{p}$$
 (25)

$$J = det(V_{)}$$
(26)

Eq (17) now takes on the following form:

$$U_g + V_{e^*} = g^*$$
 (27)

with a solution of

$$p* = V_{-1}^{-1}(q* - U_{-}q)$$
 (28)

Now, Heard lets  $L = det(\Phi)$  and differentiates L, with the solution being

$$dL/dt = L \sum_{i=1}^{n} (d\dot{q}_{i}/dq_{i} + d\dot{p}_{i}/dp_{i})$$
(29)

From this, Heard ultimately obtains the final expression for the exponent term in Eq (16) using

$$dL/dt = L\Delta$$
(30)

which becomes

$$d/dt(ln L) = \Delta$$
(31)

to give

$$exp\{-\int_{0}^{L} \Delta dt\} = L(0)/L(t)$$
 (32)

By using Eqs (25), (26), (28), and (32), substituting into Eq (16) and using the fact that  $det(\Phi) = 1$  according to Liouville's Theorem, Heard's solution becomes

$$p(g,t) = \{1/|det(V_)|\} \{G[(W_ - Y_V_1^{-1}U_)g + Y_V_1^{-1}g*]\}$$
 (33)

The last step Heard uses is to apply the previous results to the specific case of a group of particles under the influence of the force of gravity and orbiting about the earth. Heard's solution uses the cylindrical coordinates  $(\bar{w}, \theta, z)$  and assumes a radially symmetric gravitational potential (v). The coordinates are set up with z = 0 in the equatorial plane, so v becomes a function of only  $\bar{w}$  and z. An orbit of radius R can be described in this coordinate system as follows:

$$\overline{w} = R, \ \theta = \theta_0 + Qt, \text{ and } z = 0$$
 (34)

where  $R\Omega^2 = dv/d\bar{w}(R,0)$  and  $\Omega$  is the angular velocity of the parent satellite. Small changes in the orbit are described by  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\zeta$  in the following manner:

 $\bar{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \quad \partial = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 + \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{t} + \boldsymbol{\eta}/\mathbf{R}, \text{ and } \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\zeta}$  (35)

Next, Heard uses the Hamiltonian

$$H = (1/2)(p1^{2} + p2^{2} + p3^{2}) - 2\Omega \xi p2 + (1/2)(n^{2} \xi^{2} + \kappa^{2} \zeta^{2}) (36)$$

\*\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

with momenta

$$p1 = \dot{\xi}, p2 = \dot{\eta} + 2Q\xi$$
, and  $p3 = \dot{\zeta}$  (37)

and where

$$n^2 = 3Q^2 - (d^2v/d\overline{w}^2)(R,0)$$
 and  $K^2 = (d^2v/dz^2)(R,0)$  (38)

In Eqs (36) and (38), n is the epicyclic frequency. Eoth  $n^2$  and  $K^2$  are assumed to be positive.

Using the following notation:

$$\tau = nt$$
,  $\tau' = Kc$ ,  $\sigma = 2Q/n$ ,  $s = sin\tau$ ,  
 $c = cos\tau$ ,  $s' = sin\tau'$ , and  $c' = cos\tau'$ 

Heard then arrives at the fundamental matrices for U, V, W, and Y for use in Eq (33)

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 & 0 \\ -\sigma s & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} s & (1 - c) & 0 \\ \sigma(c - 1) & (1 - \sigma^2)\tau + \sigma^2 s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ns^{1}/K \end{pmatrix}$$

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} -ns & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -Ks^{*} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} c & \sigma s & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c^{*} \end{pmatrix}$$

In order to simplify the solution, he also establishes the following convenience:

$$D = [(1 - \sigma^{2})s + 2\sigma^{2}(1-c)]$$
(39)

Finally, Heard obtains an equation which describes the density function for the collection of particles using any initial momentum distribution function G(p1,p2,p3). The equation is as follows:

$$\rho(\xi,\eta,\zeta,t) = \{K/|s^{t}E(1-\sigma^{2})s\tau + 2\sigma^{2}(1-c)]\}$$

$$x = G\left((n/D)\{E(1-\sigma^{2})\xi + \sigma^{2}s]\tau - \sigma(1-c)\eta\}, (40)\right)$$

$$(n/D)E\sigma(1-c)\xi + s\eta], E(K/s^{t})\zeta]$$

where  $\sigma = 2$ , and n = K = Q = 1 for Keplerian orbits.

From this equation, the distribution of particles in the chaff cloud at any future point in time can be determined at any point  $(\xi,\eta,\zeta)$  with reference to the parent satellite. Since the center of mass of the cloud follows

that of the original orbit and the orbit of the parent satellite is known, the motion of the chaff cloud can be easily determined.

## Attenuation Through the Chaff Cloud

The final section of this chapter takes a look at the basic theory describing the attenuation of an electromagnetic signal as it penetrates an absorbing medium such as a chaff cloud. Although the attenuation analysis could be via either wave or particle theory, only particle theory is examined. The primary reason for this one-sided approach is that Brown used particle theory in his work with satisfactory results; an analysis that applied wave theory would be needless duplication of effort.

<u>Chaff\_Cloud\_Attenuation\_Theory</u>. Brown states that a cloud of chaff particles affects an electromagnetic signal by first receiving and absorbing an incident signal and then re-radiating the signal at some later time. This re-radiation can occur in effectively any direction with the overall effect of uniformly scattering over  $4\pi$  steradians that portion of the signal which was initially absorbed. By placing enough dipoles in random orientations into the path of the incoming signal, the signal can be attenuated

sufficiently to effectively impede transmission through the chaff cloud (Brown, 1987:4-1 to 4-2).

The chance of a dipole interacting with the incoming signal is directly determined by the "effective crosssection" of the dipole. The effective cross-section is a measure of the probability of interaction between a dipole and the signal rather than the true cross-sectional area measurement of the dipole as the name implies. Nonetheless, the effective cross-section is given in units of area (Brown, 1987:4-2).

The effective cross-section is dependent on the frequency of the signal and the length of an individual dipole. A maximum value for effective cross-section occurs when the length of the dipole equals one-half the wavelength of the signal. The value of effective cross-section ( $\sigma$ ) for a group of randomly oriented dipoles can be determined from Eq (41) below:

$$\sigma = 0.16 \times \lambda^2 \tag{41}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the signal (Brown, 1987:4-2 to 4-3; Peebles, 1984:128-129).

According to Brown, the effect of a uniform chaff cloud on the entire signal is determined from Eq (42)

$$P / P = \exp\{-\{\sigma_z | i / V\}\}$$
 (42) out in

Here,  $P_{out}/P_{in}$  is the decimal fraction of signal power transmitted through the cloud,  $\sigma$  is the effective crosssection from Eq (41), z is the distance the signal travels through the chaff cloud, N is the number of chaff particles in a particular volume of space, and V is the volume in which the cloud is contained (Brown, 1987:4-13 to 4-14). According to Evans, it is essential that the chaff cloud be uniform in order for Eq (42) to provide accurate results (Evans, 1988). Therefore, when the chaff cloud is modeled, it has to be broken down into subvolumes that are small enough for uniform density to be present.

Once the desired degree of signal attenuation is established, the appropriate value for 'zN/V' to be used in Eq (42) is easily calculated. Since the value for 'z' through any part of the cloud should be known, the value of 'N/V' (particle density) required for signal attenuation is also determinable.

The beamwidth of the signal at the altitude of the chaff cloud is also important since any signal which goes around the edges of the cloud is not attenuated. Therefore, the effective attenuation of the signal does not occur until the chaff cloud reaches a size in area at least as large as that of the incident signal (Brown, 1987:4-3 to 4-6). This last statement also assumes enough chaff particles are at the edges of the chaff cloud so that effective attenuation

occurs. In practice, the cloud has to be much greater in size than this minimum so that the number density in the attenuation region is high. This is because of the cloud having a smaller density at its edges and a higher density near the centroid.

Finally, the above values for 'z', 'N/V', and signal attenuation are calculated for different points in time after initial deployment of the cloud. These values are used to establish the required dispensing velocity of the particles in order to affect the desired attenuation at any given point in time after deployment. From these values, the period of time before effective attenuation occurs and the period of time over which attenuation takes place are found (Brown, 1987:4-13 to 4-17).

## III. Methodology

This chapter outlines the approach followed to complete the chaff cloud study and is designed as only a very basic precursor of what follows in Chapters IV, V, and VI. The chapter is divided into the following sections: application of Heard's results, graphical presentation of new results, and determining the overall effectiveness of the chaff cloud as a communications jamming device.

### Applying\_Heard's\_Results

The methodology used in the application of Heard's results to the chaff cloud problem can be broken down into five sections. The five sections are 1) a dimensional analysis of Eq (40) to ensure complete understanding, 2) determining the appropriate velocity distribution to be applied to the chaff cloud expansion, 3) determining particle density throughout the chaff cloud using Eq (40), 4) a spreadsheet setup for ease of calculation of results, and 5) attenuation calculations of a signal through the chaff cloud using Brown's thesis as a basis.

<u>Dimensional Analysis</u>. The first thing done with Heard's analysis was to break down Eq (40) into its component parts. These parts were then subjected to a

dimensional analysis to determine the scaling and normalization factors used by Heard in his derivation. The components of the equation were next reassembled and solved using the example velocity distribution function provided by Heard. The values for  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta, t)$  obtained in this manner could then be plotted on an  $\eta$  versus  $\zeta$  graph and compared to  $\eta$  versus  $\zeta$  plots in Heard's paper to ensure the equation was being solved properly.

Determining the Velocity Distribution function. Once Eq (40) was fully understood, a solution using a velocity distribution function appropriate to the chaff cloud problem was needed. Since the other portions of this analysis involve a statistical approach rather than strictly numerical solutions, a statistical approach was also applied to the determination of the velocity distribution function. With the distribution of particles being approximately Gaussian, the distribution function arrived at through this approach was Maxwellian.

<u>Determining Particle Density</u>. Eq (40) allows a reference value for particle density to be calculated at any selected point within the chaff cloud. By summing each of these reference values from points throughout the cloud, an aggregate total against which each of the individual values can be compared as a ratio is obtainable. These ratios can

then be multiplied by the number of chaff particles in the entire cloud to convert the ratios into the actual number of chaff particles at each point within the cloud.

e in the ite .

The particle density of a particular volume within the cloud can be approximated by the density at the center of the volume if the volume is small enough that major variations across the volume are eliminated. This was accomplished for the chaff cloud by dividing the cloud into small cubic volume elements and then following the ratio procedure from above to determine the number of particles within each volume element.

<u>Spreadsheet\_Setup.</u> In order to allow the wany iterations required for this analysis to be accomplished more easily, a spreadsheet divided into four sections was used to do the calculations. The first section included constants and variables to be used in the later sections with the variables including time and dispensing velocity. The second section consisted of Eq (40) broken up into several parts for ease of calculation. The next section summed up the relative densities mentioned above, determined particles ratios, and calculated the number of particles within volume elements located throughout the cloud. The final section of the spreadsheet contained the calculations for attenuation of the signal through the chaff cloud.

<u>Attenuation of the Incident Signal.</u> Using the number of particles in the volumes along each signal path from above and the values provided by Brown in his thesis for the required size and altitude of the chaff cloud, the values for attenuation of the incident signal at various points across the cloud's cross-section (as seen from the earth) were obtained. These data were compiled for each of several different dispensing velocities and run for the time interval beginning with initial cloud dispensing and ending 12 hours later. The results were then presented in the graphs outlined in the next section to describe the signal attenuation affected by the chaff cloud.

# Graphical Presentation

Once results for various dispensing velocities and times after initial dispensing were obtained, it was necessary to present the results in a useful format. It was decided to provide a pictorial representation of the chaff cloud attenuation through plots of dispensing velocity versus signal attenuation. Using different symbols to represent different amounts of time elapsed after cloud dispensing took place, the signal attenuation through the chaff cloud as a function of both time and dispensing velocity was determined.

Several important parameters were obtained from these graphs. First, the amount of time elapsed before effective attenuation occurs could be determined for any given dispensing velocity. Second, the length of the period of effective attenuation for a given dispensing velocity was available. This was possible since the attenuation plots were run past the time at which the attenuation levels drop below effective levels... Finally, a comparison between the various dispensing velocities was made based on specific requirements for signal attenuation. These comparisons were based on the amount of time before effective attenuation, the period of time over which effective attenuation occurs, and the amount of time which elapses before attenuation is no longer effective.

## Effectiveness\_of\_Attenuation

The final step in the analysis was to determine whether the attenuation levels obtained by the chaff cloud are effective when the following questions ware asked:

- Are the attenuation levels attained by the cloud both high enough and maintained long enough for a chaff cloud to be of value?
- 2) How does the fact that the chaff cloud and the satellite to be jammed are moving at different velocities affect signal attenuation?

3) Given the initial size, shape, makeup, and weight of the ball of chaff, are the assumptions surrounding the dispensing of the chaff cloud reasonable?

1 1 1

4) What are the effects of the chaff cloud on satellites other than the one the chaff cloud is intended to jam?

# IV. Application\_of\_Heard's\_Results

.....

This chapter applies the methodology and theory previously outlined to the chaff cloud problem. This allows an overall attenuation picture to be obtained. The chapter is divided into the following sections: 1) dimensional analysis of Heard's final equation, 2) determination of a velocity distribution function, 3) determination of particle densities throughout the chaff cloud, 4) the spreadsheet setup used for analyzing Heard's equation, and 5) a numerical analysis of signal attenuation using Brown's thesis as a basis.

#### <u>Dimensional Analysis</u>

In order to simplify his calculations, Heard normalized to unity some of the parameters used in his analysis through the use of convenient dimensions. The two major parameters set to unity were the radius of the parent satellite's orbit and the satellite's mean motion.

<u>Satellite Radius</u>. The radius of a satellite's orbit is nined by adding the satellite's altitude above the earth to the earth's radius. Therefore, the parent satellite for the chaff cloud, which is 100 nautical miles (NM) below a geosynchronous satellite, has an orbital radius

of approximately 42,073 kilometers (km) (Brown, 1987:4-4; <u>Illustrated Encyclopedia of Space Technology</u>, 1982:86). Heard normalized the orbital radius of the parent satellite of the chaff cloud to unity using what will be referred to in the remainder of this paper as Radius Units (RU). Therefore, in the case of the parent satellite,

al all and the law all

E.

$$1 \text{ RU} = 42073 \text{ km}$$
 (43)

<u>Mean Motion</u>. A satellite's mean motion describes the time it takes for the satellite to travel through one radian of its orbit. Next, a Satellite Time Unit (STU) is defined so that a Radius Unit per Satellite Time Unit (RU/STU) is the velocity of a satellite. When an RU/STU is set to unity, then the value of 'GM' in Eq (44) below also becomes unity with dimensions of RU<sup>3</sup>/STU<sup>2</sup>:

$$Vcs = (GM/a)^{1/2}$$
 (44)

where Vcs is the satellite's velocity, and a is the semi-major axis as defined in Chapter II (Bate and others, 1971:34). For the parent satellite, 1 RU/STU has a value of 3078 meters per second (m/s).

Using Eq (45) below, 'n' also acouires a value of unity with units of radians per STU (rad/STU):

$$n = (GM/a^3)^{1/2}$$
(45)

(Bate and others, 1971:185).

The conversion between STUs and conventional time units is accomplished by dividing a satellite's orbital period by  $2\pi$  radians (rad). For the parent satellite, the calculation is as follows:

A was a start of a start

1 STU = 85880 sec/2 $\pi$  rad = 13670 sec (46)

#### Velocity Distribution Function

The work of Knott, Lewinski, and Hunt suggests that a spherical chaff cloud should have approximately a Gaussian particle distribution (Knott, 1981:3,112). If a Gaussian particle distribution is assumed, the appropriate velocity distribution function should be Maxvillian (Evans, 1988). A Maxwellian distribution function has the following form (Evans, 1987:10)

 $n_v(z,v) = n(z)(2/\pi)^{1/2}(v^2/v_0^3)exp(-v^2/2v_0^2)$  (47) where  $v^2 = (v_{\xi}^2 + v_{\eta}^2 + v_{\xi}^2)$ , and  $V_0$  represents the "thermal speed" of the particles. The thermal speed of the chaff particles is defined as being equal to the dispensing velocity and is assumed to be known.

A new term, the most probable particle velocity ( $V_{th}$ ), is now defined by Eq (48)

$$V_{\rm th} = \sqrt{2} V_0 \tag{48}$$

values of  $V_{th}$  for several values of  $V_0$  are shown in Table 1.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
V <sub>0</sub> (m/s)	V <sub>O</sub> (RU/STU)	V <sub>th</sub> (RU/STU)	$v_{th}^2$ (RU <sup>2</sup> /STU <sup>2</sup> )
0.0050	1.624x10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.297×10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.275×10 <sup>-12</sup>
0.0100	3.249×10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.595x10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.107x10 <sup>-11</sup>
0.0120	3.899×10 <sup>-6</sup>	$5.514 \times 10^{-6}$	3.040x10 <sup>-11</sup>
0.0130	4.224x10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.973×10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.568×10 <sup>-11</sup>
0.0140	4.548x10 <sup>-6</sup>	6.432x10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.138x10 <sup>-11</sup>
0.0150	4.873x10 <sup>-6</sup>	6.891x10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.749×10 <sup>-11</sup>
0.0200	6-498x10 <sup>-6</sup>	9.190x10 <sup>-6</sup>	8.446x10 <sup>-11</sup>
0.0250	8.122×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.149x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.320×10 <sup>-10</sup>
0.0300	9.746x10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.378x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.899×10 <sup>-10</sup>
0.0350	1.137x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.608×10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.586×10 <sup>-10</sup>
0.0400	$1.300 \times 10^{-5}$	1.838x10 <sup>-5</sup>	3.378×10 <sup>-10</sup>
0.0450	$1.462 \times 10^{-5}$	2.068×10 <sup>-5</sup>	4.277×10 <sup>-10</sup>
0.0500	$1.624 \times 10^{-5}$	2.297x10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.275×10 <sup>-10</sup>

# Table I. Most Probable Particle Speedsfor Various Dispensing Velocities

Now, the momenta are generalized by dividing through by particle mass, and are substituted with Eq (48) into Eq (47). This results in the distribution function shown in Eq (49) below:

. . . . . . . . . . . .

$$G(p) \sim exp(-(p12 + p22 + p32)/vth2)$$
 (49)

## Determining Particle Density

The distribution function from the previous section is now used in conjunction with Eq (40) to determine a reference value for the number of chaff particles at any given  $(\xi,\eta,\zeta)$  coordinates and at any given time after initial cloud dispensing. Once the reference number is known at locations throughout the cloud, the actual number of particles at these same locations is also determinable.

First, the reference values at each location are summed to obtain the reference total. Then, the reference number at each location is divided by the reference total to determine the decimal fraction of the total number of chaff particles at each location. Finally, by multiplying each of these fractions by the total number of chaff particles, the actual number of particles at each location is found.

Since it would be impossible to calculare reference numbers for every location in space, the cloud is broken up into a three-dimensional grid of identically sized cubes

with the centroid (origin) of the innermost cube at the  $(\xi,\eta,\xi)$  coordinate (0,0,0). The grid has a size of seven units on a side with the units for the overall grid being of variable size for the purpose of scaling the model to fit the chaff cloud as it expands over time. The 7x7x7 grid thus allows for a chaff cloud of size up to plus and minus three and one-half units of the initial dispensing point in each of the three orthogonal directions. The final size of the grid is set at 7x7x7 because of memory limitations (ó40 kilobytes of Random Access Memory) in the computer used to run the program. The limitation on the number of grid cubes in which to contain the expanding cloud is counteracted by the scaling capability of the program, however.

With the grid in place, the reference values need to be calculated only at the centroids of each cube. Each of the reference values can now be assumed to represent the reference number of particles within each of these cubic subvolumes of wace instead of only at particular coordinates. Therefore, when the actual number of particles at each centroid is determined, the particle densities at the various locations are easily determined by dividing the number of particles by the volume contained in the subvolumes.

One obvious difficulty with the above approach is that to obtain accurate results, the particle density in each
subvolume must be uniform. In the actual chaff cloud, this clearly might not be the case. However, the use of variable cube sizes for the scaling process also allows for improved internal density resolution of the chaff cloud.

1 CANES

Š.

If the uniformity of the particle density in any one cube is suspect, the centroid of the entire grid can be moved from (0,0,0) to the coordinates of the centroid of the appropriate cube. The sizes of the subvolumes within the suspect cube can then be reduced. In this manner, any of the original subvolumes can be "magnified" and subsequently examined for internal particle density uniformity. Figure 7 shows this process pictorially.

Another disadvantage of the grid approach is that while the cloud expands radially to form a sphere and then an ellipsoid, the model employs a cubic grid to examine the cloud. Again, by moving the origin of the entire grid to the various parts of the chaff cloud : J using the scaling technique described above, the actual shape of the cloud can be closely approximated at any point in time.

An advantage of the grid approach is that once the number of particles in each grid cube throughout the entire cloud is known, signal attenuation through any particular portion of the cloud can be determined independently of the attenuation through any other portion of the cloud. An example is examining the attenuation of a signal which



En and

.



penetrates only through that portion of the cloud within plus or minus on kilometer in the n and & directions of the center of the cloud. This advantage will become more evident later in the section concerning Brown's attenuation requirements for a chaff cloud.

44

## Spreadsheet\_Setup

The attenuation of the electromagnetic signal is calculated using two spreadsheet programs. The first spreadsheet calculates the number density in each cube of the grid. The second calculates the signal attenuation through the cloud starting at subvolumes across the face of the cloud and continues by looking at subvolumes at successive depths (values of §) through to the other side. Each run of the spreadsheet programs constitutes one point in time after cloud dispensing began. The spreadsheet programs were devised using Quattro by Borland and are included as Appendices A and B, respectively.

The number density spreadsheet is broken up into three sections. The first provides basic equations and constants, the second calculates reference number densities for the subvolumes in the grid, and the third section calculates actual number densities in each subvolume. A more detailed explanation of the spreadsheet is included as part of Appendix A.

The signal attenuation spreadsheet is divided into two sections. The first is composed of all the values from section three of the number density spreadsheet. In practice, these values are first copied as a block into a separate file and then transported into the signal attenuation spreadsheet. The second section of this spreadsheet uses the values from section one to calculate the attenuation of the incident signal (P<sub>in</sub>). The attenuation calculations determine the decimal fraction of the signal that penetrates through each of 49 columns of the chaff cloud (P ). The setup and numbering scheme of the 49 columns is shown in Figure 8, with the horizontal and vertical directions corresponding to the  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$  directions, respectively. For each column, Eq (42) is applied to the number of particles contained within each subvolume; the values at each layer are multiplied together to determine the overall value for 'P '. Care is taken to ensure the appropriate values are used for 'z' and 'V' to compensate for the various magnifications being used in the spreadsheet.

<u>Attenuation Analysis Using Brown's Thesis as a Basis</u> Brown's thesis shows that the minimum required area of a chaff cloud attempting to jam communications between a DSCS satellite and a circular area the size of Washington

(1,1)	(2,1)	(3,1)	(4,1)	(5,1)	(6,1)	(7,1)
(1,2)	(2,2)	 (3,2)	(4,2)	(5,2)	(6,2)	(7 <b>,</b> 2)
(1,3)	(2,3)	(3,3)	(4,3)	(5,3)	(6,3)	(7,3)
(1,4)	(2,4)	(3,4)	(4,4)	(5,4)	(6,4)	(7,4)
(1,5)	(2,5)	(3,5)	(4,5)	(5,5)	(6,5)	(7,5)
(1,6)	(2,6)	(3,6)	(4,6)	(5,6)	(6,6)	(7,6)
(1,7)	(2,7)	(3,7)	(4,7)	(5,7)	(6,7)	(7,7)

5.

Figure 8. Numbering Scheme for the Subvolumes Along the Central Column of the Chaff Cloud

D.C. is approximately 97,500 square meters. This assumes that the chaff cloud is located 100 NM (185.2 km) below the DSCS satellite, an 8 Gigahertz (GHz) uplink signal is being jammed, and that the cloud contains 100 billion particles (Brown, 1987:4-3 to 4-5). This equates to a chaff cloud with a required radius of approximately 175 meters (m) and a diameter of 350 m. Brown also states the cloud must reach an attenuation of at least -10 decibels (dB) across the entire area of coverage within one hour of dispensing and must maintain this -10 dB attenuation for at least twelve hours (Brown, 1987:4-14 to 4-17). Stanley's book <u>Electronic</u> <u>Communications</u> Systems provides a good explanation of attenuation calculations using decibels (Stanley, 1982:7-9).

With the area of coverage on the ground for the chaff cloud being circular, the only portion of the chaff cloud important to signal attenuation is the column which runs through the centroid of the cloud. Therefore, only attenuation through this part of the cloud needed to be calculated.

When a circle with a radius of 350 m is inscribed in a cross-section of the spreadsheet model grid with dimensions of 350 m, the squares at the corners of the grid lie entirely outside of the circle. As a result, only 45 of the 49 columns contained within the model needed to be examined for signal attenuation. This is shown in Figure 9.



is whether the state of the late of the

مرجعه معرضه معرفه مرجد . مرجعه مرجع المالي المالية

· . . .

Figure 9. Effect of Inscribing a Circle Within the Chaff Cloud Model

To determine if Brown's first attenuation requirement is met by a chaff cloud in orbit, the entire cloud is first examined at a time of one hour after dispensing, with the size of the internal grid cubes increased as required to ensure all chaff particles remain within the 7x7x7 model. The number of chaff particles within all of the subvolumes are then recorded. Then, the cloud model is scaled back down a step at a time until grid cubes 50 m on a side are obtained. It is important to assign the proper number of chaff particles to each of the subvolumes and the proper coordinates to the origin of the grid each time the model is scaled up or down. The attenuation of the signal is then determined using the attenuation spreadsheet. By varying the dispensing velocities and repeating the above process, the minimum dispensing velocity which meets the criterion for attenuation within one hour is established.

. . 2.

In order to determine whether a -10 dB attenuation is maintained for a period of twelve hours and, if not, for how long it is maintained, a similar approach is followed. The spreadsheets are run at one hour increments for the following dispensing velocities (all in m/s): 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, and 0.05. Again, the entire cloud is kept within the model's grid through appropriate scaling of the spreadsheets for each pair of velocity and time values.

The cloud is then scaled back down as required until the 350 meter diameter cloud is reached. The number of particles within each subvolume are recorded at each step. These values are then used in subsequent steps as the total number of particles contained in the 7x7x7 subscaled grids.

CARGENERATION AND AND AN ADDRESS OF

The downscaling process involves first determining the number of particles in each of the seven  $(\eta, \zeta) = (4, 4)$ subvolumes in the total cloud model. Each of these subvolumes is then run through the density spreadsheet individually. This is accomplished by rescaling the spreadsheet so the outer dimensions of the subvolume exactly fit the 7x7x7 grid, using the coordinates of the subvolume centroids as the origin for the subscaled spreadsheet grid, and ensuring the number of particles within the subvolume is entered into the "constants" section of the spreadsheet. By using this process repetitively, the number of particles in each sub-subvolume is determined until the number of particles within each layer of the central column is determined. Finally, by manually applying Eq (42) through each individual layer of the central column, and multiplying the final results together, the overall attenuation affected by the cloud is obtained.

In order to clarify the above discussio: ppendix C describes the procedures followed for one attenuation calculation. The values used in the example for dispensing

velocity and time after dispensing are 0.05 m/s and six hours, respectively.

LEADERS AND TRANSPORT

.

•

V. Presentation\_of\_Results\_and\_Piscuspigg

This chapter presents the results for each of the areas of this research effort and an interpretation of these results. The chapter is divided into the following three sections with interpretations included as a part of each section: physical structure of the chaff cloud over time, signal attenuation one hour after dispensing, and signal attenuation for the first twelve hours after dispensing.

## Physical Structure of the Chaff Cloud

As would be expected from the discussion in Chapter II, the chaff cloud's physical structure close ' resembles the cloud described for Case II since it is dispensed instantaneously and has velocity components both along the path of motion and radially outward. The only real change should be that some of the chaff particles {iso have velocity components out of the orbital plane.

The cloud starts out as a sphere and exhibits a gradual spreading out in all directions. The spreading becomes faster for the higher dispensing velocities, but the general shape of the cloud remains similar in each case. Figures 10, 11, 12, and 13 show the cloud evolution over time in the  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$  directions for a 0.01 m/s dispensing velocity.



MARY FORME

.

Figure 10. ...ff Cloud Evolution for a 0.01 m/s Dispensing elocity: 3600 Seconds After Dispensing



and the second state of the se

5

Figure 11. Chaff Cloud Evolution for a 0.01 m/s Dispensing Velocity: 25200 Seconds After Dispensing



Figure 12. Chaff Cloud Evolution for a 0.01 m/s Dispensing Velocity: 28800 Seconds After Dispensing



300

Figure 13. Chaff Cloud Evolution for a 0.01 m/s Dispensing Velocity: 39600 Seconds After Dispensing

Later manual and the

Although not shown in the figure, the spreading in the § direction is very nearly circula:. To visualize the expansion of the cloud over time in three-dimensions it is probably easiest to imagine a golf ball, an egg, a cucumber, and then a serving platter. Also not shown in the figure is that the cloud eventually expands to form a toroid around the earth.

-

As mentioned in Chapter II, those particles dispensed along the path of motion of the parent satellite experience changes in their orbital periods and thus, their vélocities. These velocity changes cause the chaff particles to continually spread in the  $\eta$  direction as shown in the figures. Not shown in the figures is the appearance of the cloud in the  $\xi\eta$ -plane. When viewed from above, the cloud starts out as a circle and then becomes an ellipse. This change in the cloud's shape is caused by the elongation in the  $\eta$  direction.

Also not shown is the displacement in the § direction of the "leading" and "trailing" edges of the cloud in relation to its path of motion. In this displacement, the leading edge of the chaff cloud gradually moves farther away from the earth and the trailing edge moves closer. This is caused by the phenomenon noted by Shapiro when he mentioned the "drajon's jaws". Because the eccentricities of the individual chaff particle orbits are disturbed in the

dispensing process, some of the particles necessarily drift away from the parent satellite's positio: as the cloud approaches the portion of its orbit 180 degrees from the point of dispensing. If the cloud were examined for a longer period of time, it would continue to oscillate slightly up and down in altitude, but the phenomenon would gradually dampen out.

Finally, the reason the cloud becomes "thinner" as it reaches the 12-hour point of its orbit is simply explained by orbital mechanics. As mentioned in Chapter II, the particles displaced out of the orbital plane will return to the plane of the dispenser every one-half revolution as the two planes cross. At an altitude of 42073 km, the parent satellite's orbital period is 23.86 hours. Therefore, at very nearly 12 hours the cloud should pinch down somewhat as shown in Figures 10 through 13.

Figure 14 shows a representative graph of the density profile through the central column of the chaff cloud. The density is shown as a function of percentage of distance travelled through the chaff cloud, with the density being greatest in the center of the cloud. The graph presented is for a dispensing velocity of 0.05 m/s and at a time after dispensing of five hours. Other combinations of dispensing velocity and time after deployment have similar density profiles with profiles of the cloud at earlier times having



Number Density (Particles/m/3)

a higher density at the center and a lower density at the outer edges and with the opposite being true for later times after deployment. For smaller dispensing velocities, the central densities at the same point in time would be higher; for higher velocities they would be lower.

## <u>Signal Attenuation After One Hour</u>

This section discusses the amount of signal attenuation affected by the chaff cloud one hour after initial dispensing. Three areas are addressed for various dispensing velocities: attenuation of the signal exactly one hour after dispensing, attenuation of the signal in ten minute increments leading up to one hour after dispensing, and the time it takes for the chaff cloud to achieve a -10 dB attenuation.

<u>Attenuation a One Hour</u>. This section answers the question of whether the chaff cloud can affect at least a -10 dB attenuation of the input signal at a time of one hour after initial dispensing. Since dispensing can occur at virtually any velocity, attenuation is analyzed for dispensing velocities between 0.005 m/s and 0.05 m/s. The reason for limiting the upper velocity at 0.05 m/s will be explained later in the chapter.

. 80

Figure 15 shows the attenuation in dB of the signal after it passes through the chaff cloud at a time of one hour for the dispensing velocities of 0.0115 to 0.0155 m/s. As would be expected, the attenuation is greater for the higher dispensing velocities than for the lower velocities. This is because the faster dispensing causes the particles to expand and fill the required cross-sectional area faster than the slower velocities. With more particles within the outer region of the central column, more attenuation is aftected. Also, the greater velocity has the effect of making the thickness of the central column increase. With more distance for the signal to traverse, more attenuation is affected.

From the figure, it can also be seen that the attenuation is less than -10 dB for velocities less than approximately 0.014 m/s. Therefore, the dispensing velocity has to be greater than this value for the chaff cloud to be effective.

The range of dispensing velocities examined for this study was expanded in Figure 16 so velocities between 0 and 0.05 m/s could be examined for signal attenuation at a time of one hour after dispensing. As before, the attenuation generally increases with increasing dispensing velocities. However, at a velocity of approximately 0.04 m/s the attenuation level begins to decrease. There are two



Attenuetion (dB)



and the second se

1.6

-

Attenuetion (dB)

phenomena which contribute to the increasing-decreasing attenuation: a decreasing number of particles in the centroid of the chaff cloud and an increasing path length through the chaff cloud.

fern befallnen befallen beiter

For velocities less than 0.04 m/s, not enough particles are able to reach the outer edge of the central column to affect a -10 dB attenuation within one hour. For velocities above 0.04 m/s, particles are retreating from the centroid at a rate fast enough that the number left within the centroid decreases below that required for the greatest attenuation. In fact, the maximum attenuation at one hour for the central subvolume occurs for a velocity of about 0.035 m/s. The increasing path length now becomes important to the attenuation of the signal. At dispensing velocities less than 0.04 m/s, the chaff cloud attenuation at the one hour point is accomplished almost entirely by the particles within the subvolume at the centroid of the cloud. At higher velocities, a greater percentage of the signal attenuation is caused by the particles that lie in subvolumes farther from the centroid. It is this combination of attenuation due to the large number of particles in the centroid and attenuation due to the increasing number of particles along the ever increasing path length that cause 0.04 m/s to be the velocity with the highest attenuation. For velocities above 0.04 m/s, the

attenuation in the centroid drops off faster than the surrounding subvolumes can compensate, so the overall attenuation for the chaff cloud gradually begins to decrease.

Attenuation in Ten Minute Increments. Figure 17 shows plots of attenuation versus dispensing velocity for times after dispensing of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 minutes. Again as expected, the attenuation is greatest for the highest dispensing velocities for each point in time. From the figure it is clear that at times of 10 and 20 minutes after dispensing, almost none of the dispensing velocities acheive an effective level of attenuation. However, for times greater than 30 minutes after dispensing, the attenuation level acheived are fairly significant for all dispensing velocities above 0.03 m/s. The decrease in attenuation found in Figure 16 is also apparent in Figure 17.

<u>Time\_Until\_-10\_dB\_Attenuation.</u> Although not specifically outlined as a requirement by Brown, it would be nice to know the time it takes for the chaff cloud to acheive a -10 dB attenuation for various dispensing velocities. Figure 18 shows, to an accuracy of plus or minus five minutes, the time it takes the chaff cloud to attain an attenuation level of at least -10 dB. For velocities of 0.03 m/s, effective attenuation is achieved within the first 30 minutes or in



Sala and . Co

÷.

-

Ϊ,

.

). P

Ø

(8b) noiternetta



•

. .

2.

Figure 18. Time Until -10 dB Attenuation

\_\_\_\_\_

.

(minutes)

half of the required time. However, for velocities of 0.01 and 0.005 m/s the time before effective attenuation is achieved are 90 and 190 minutes, respectively.

The reason for the great disparity in the time until effective attenuation occurs can be explained by looking at the Maxwellian velocity distribution used for the chaff cloud. The distribution has a negative exponential function which decreases to zero for increasingly large exponents. However, the dispensing velocities are placed in the denominator of the exponent so larger velocities cause the function to increase rather than decrease. The additional effect of squaring the dispensing velocities in the equation causes small changes to have a relatively large effect.

The overall effect of the Maxwellian velocity distribution is that most of the particles will have velocities near the dispensing velocity with a few with much greater velocities as the function decreases in the tail region. Therefore, for a dispensing velocity of 0.005 m/s, not many particles will have velocities even as high as 0.05 m/s and only a very small number will have higher velocities. However, for 0.05 m/s, most particles will have a dispensing velocity of 0.05 m/s with a few having much greater velocities. The result is that the area required of the chaff cloud for attenuation of a signal is covered by

particles at a much faster rate for larger dispensing velocities than for lesser velocities.

## Attenuation The First Twelve Hours After Dispensing

This section examines the attenuation affected by the chaff cloud for the first twelve hours after dispensing, since the second requirement for attenuation is that the cloud maintain an attenuation of -10 dB for at least twelve hours. The twelve hour attenuation is examined in two parts. In the first, the attenuation of dispensing velocities between 0.01 m/s and 0.05 m/s will be examined by looking at individual plots of attenuation. In the second, the attenuation levels are examined on a collective plot where all the velocities are represented.

Individual Dispensing Velocities for Twelve Hours. Figures 19 through 23 show plots of signal attenuation versus time for dispensing velocities of 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, and 0.05 m/s respectively. Figure 19 shows the signal attenuation increasing up to the four-hour point at which time it begins to decrease. Figures 20 through 23 show similar occurences with the maximums being reached at times of two hours, one hour, one hour, and 50 minutes, respectively. These decreases in attenuation are caused by the same phenomena mentioned in the previous section where



ŀ.

.

(Bb) noi Jaune Jth



سية تريية مسادم سا

~

•

9

I'm and a

Section 200

1

(BD) noiseunessA



.....

• • • • •

Τ.

1. 1 am

.

(Bb) nöiseunesta



And a star and a star and a star and a star a st

-----

and the second subscreee and the second s

.

. . ..

٠.

(Bb) noiseunesta



and the second se

i i

.

(BD) noi feunetta

the number of particles left in the centroid of each cloud drops below the number required for maximum attenuation.

Another feature in each of the figures is that the attenuation reaches its maximum value at a faster ate than the attenuation decreases beyond the maximum. Thus, each of the plots take on the shape of "V" with the left leg at a greater angle with respect to the horizontal than the right leg. This feature is most prominent for the higher velocities.

All figures also show the attenuation levels dropping below the -10 dB point before the twelve-hour point is reached. Therefore, none of the clouds meet the second attenuation requirement set by Brown. This problem can be explained by examining the orbital mechanics of the cloud. As mentioned previously, the cloud pinches down as it approaches the twelve-hour point, causing the cloud to become smaller in the & direction than that required for proper attenuation across the required cross-sectional area. The only way to get the cloud to pinch do in after 12 hours would be to deploy it far enough away from the earth so that its orbital period would be greater than 24 hours. However, since this would cause the cloud to lie outside the orbit of the DSCS satellite it is attempting to jam, the cloud would be rendered useless as a jammer.

Examination of the figures also shows the following interesting feature for all but the 0.01 m/s dispensing velocity: As the time approaches the twelve hour point, the attenuation (which was decreasing) begins to increase again. This can be explained by the fact that the cloud is expanding along the central column in the  $\xi$  direction. When a cloud becomes large enough, the fact that attenuation through any one subvolume along the central column does not greatly attenuate the signal is counteracted by the multiplicative effect of the signal passing through more and more subvolumes along the way. For example, if each of 21 subvolumes along the signal's path cut the signal by only 15 percent, the final output signal would be 0.032 of the input signal. This equates to an attenuation of -14.8 dB. The fact that the attenuation again drops off after this portion of the curve for each figure is due to the pinching down of the cloud which was described above.

For most of the dispensing velocities examined, once attenuation of greater than -10 dB is attained, it remains above that level until the pinching of the cloud causes attenuation to decrease again. However, the level of attenuation at which the attenuation stops decreasing and begins to increase again becomes lower for increasing velocities. For example, the attenuation of the 0.02 m/s dispensing velocity cloud began to increase again after

attenuation dropped to about -75 dB. But, for a dispensing velocity of 0.05 m/s, the attenuation did not increase until it was slightly below -10 dB. Therefore, for dispensing velocities greater than 0.05 m/s, the attenuation level would also drop below -10 dB before the attenuation level began to increase again. Since the attenuation of the cloud with a dispensing velocity of 0.05 m/s dropped below -10 dB after only nine hours, higher dispensing velocities would attenuate for even shorter periods of time and, thus, were not examined.

<u>Collective Examination of Dispensing Velocities for</u> <u>Twelve Hours</u>. When Figures 19 through 23 are superimposed upon each other, Figure 24 results. Figure 24 looks pretty much as expected, with the curves for lower dispensing velocities lying below and the to the right of the higher dispensing velocities. However, a closer examination shows both the 0.01 and 0.02 m/s curves crossing the other curves beginning at about nine and eleven hours, respectively.

The reason behind this apparent discrepancy with the previous results is once more due to the interaction of the three major functions affecting attenuation: the number of particles within the subvolumes, the size of the chaff cloud, and the pinching of the cloud at the twelve hour point. Since the chaff clouds with higher dispensing


·

.6

. . .

4

.

•

. .

-

. .

(Bb) noiteurnttA

velocities expand much more rapidly than the clouds with smaller dispensing velocities, the multiplicative effect of the attenuation through the subvolumes is greater for them than for the clouds with slower dispensing velocities. Thus, despite the fact that the clouds with slower dispensing have more particles contained near their centroids than the clouds with faster dispensing, the small number of subvolumes along the central column becomes an inhibiting factor to overall signal attenuation.

¥.).

For example, at ten hours, the attenuation through the centroid of the cloud with a 0.01 m/s dispensing velocity is 97.4 percent, while it is only 86.4 percent for the cloud with a 0.05 m/s dispensing velocity. However, with 11 subvolumes 0.35 km on a side along the central column, the cloud with the higher dispensing velocity has an overall attenuation of 99.98 percent. The cloud with a 0.01 m/s dispensing velocity has only five subvolumes along the central column for an attenuation of 98.8 percent. The reason the clouds with 0.03 and 0.04 m/s dispensing velocities do not cross over the other curves is because the pinching effect of the chaff cloud begins to dominate the expansion function for these velocities before the expansion functions show up on the graphs.

## VI. Conclusions and Recommendations

This chapter summarizes the research done to study the deployment of a chaff cloud in space. First, it lists and discusses conclusions that can be drawn directly from the results. Then it lists some recommendations for areas of further study and briefly discusses these recommendations.

## Conclusions

The results of the previous chapter clearly demonstrate that no dispensing velocity for the chaff cloud allows it to attenuate the incident communications signal for twelve hours because of the laws of orbital mechanics. Also demonstrated was that dispensing velocities less than 0.014 m/s or greater than 0.05 m/s are inadequate, in the first case because the signal is not attenuated quickly enough and in the second case because signal attenuation drops below -10 dB at a time of nine hours after dispensing.

Since none of the dispensing velocities attenuate for a full twelve hours, a compromise with the attenuation requirements set by Brown will have to be reached. It is probably best to choose a dispensing velocity for the chaff cloud that causes attenuation as quickly as possible and that also continues to attenuate for as long as possible.

The early attenuation will help counteract the fact that attenuation does not continue up to the twelve hour point. Dispensing velocities greater than 0.03 m/s seem to be best since they can attenuate the signal within 30 minutes and continue to attenuate the signal up to the point the cloud pinches down near twelve hours. However, the attenuation by the clouds with dispensing velocities of 0.04 and 0.05 m/s drop as low as -17 and -9.8 dB well before the twelve hour point, while the attenuation by the 0.03 m/s velocity cloud drops only as low as -37 dB. For this reason, the dispensing velocity of a chaff cloud in space should be approximately 0.03 m/s to best acheive the attenuation requirements suggested by Brown.

## Recommendations

With the feasibility of using a chaff cloud in space as a communications jamming device demonstrated, it is now necessary to ask a few questions regarding the assumptions used in the study and to outline some areas of further research concerning the chaff cloud. This section asks the following four questions:

> 1) Are the attenuation levels acheived by the chaff cloud high enough to make the cloud useful?

2) How does relative motion between the centroid of the chaff cloud and the target satellite affect attenuation?

- 3) Are the assumptions regarding the dispensing of the chaff cloud reasonable?, and
- 4) What are the effects of the chaff cloud on other satellites?

Is the Chaff Cloud Useful? Although the chaff cloud does not maintain a significant level of attenuation for a full twelve hours, the fact that it does maintain levels of more than -50 dB for several hours is certainly significant. Therefore, it would be worthwhile to look into the significance to communications of the levels of attenuation attainable by a space-borne chaff cloud. Areas addressed could include: the length of time attenuation needs to be maintained in order for communications to be sigificantly disrupted; levels of attenuation required to disrupt most satellite communications, and what conditions apply to each level; and what sort of tradeoffs can be made between attenuation levels achieved by a chaff cloud and the periods of time over which the levels are maintained so that the most significant signal disruption occurs. All these questions could be addressed to the various dispensing velocities available for the chaff cloud to help redefine limits for the model.

Another question that needs to be asked is what happens to the signal attenuation after the twelve hour point? Since the cloud will begin to expand again after the twelve hour pinching, perhaps a few more hours of attenuation can be acheived by the cloud after attenuation levels build back up again. The levels of this second period of attenuation need to be examined to see how long they remain significant if, in fact, they are. Maybe the pinching down can be counteracted by another attenuation device until the cloud expands again. Finally, since the chaff cloud is continually expanding and contracting causing periodic signal disturbances, perhaps nothing more needs to be done to significantly disrupt communications.

<u>What is the Effect of Motion Between the Chaff Cloud</u> <u>and the Satellite?</u> As Brown points out in his thesis, the chaff cloud has a motion relative to a geosynchronous satellite of 7 m/s. This is due to the fact that the cloud is in a lower orbit around the earth than the target satellite. Since the chaff cloud is expanding at a much slower rate than this relative motion, the chaff cloud will move out of a position of effective attenuation well before maximum attenuation is reached (Brown, 1987:4-18 to 4-19).

There are several ways of dealing with this problem: deploying the cloud closer to a geosynchronous altitude to

minimize the relative motion; deploying the cloud earlier in time so it drifts across the geosynchronous satellite's field of view after the cloud has grown large enough to effectively attenuate the signal; deploying the chaff cloud from an elliptical orbit and allowing the changing velocity of the chaff cloud, as it follows the elliptical path, to keep the cloud in the geosynchronous satellite's field of view longer; and deploying more than one chaff cloud in orbit, and allowing them to drift past the geosynchronous target in succession.

.

By deploying the chaff cloud from a higher altitude, the relative motion between it and a geosynchronous target can be reduced substantially. However, if the relative motion was to be reduced to 0.5 m/s, the chaff cloud would be deployed only 13.8 km below geosynchronous altitude. In this case, the relative motion between the two bodies would still be too high as it would take a 2.45 km diameter chaff cloud only a little less than an hour and a half to pass completely in front of a geosynchronous satellite. More importantly, the chaff cloud would be unacceptably close to the target satellite, as expansion of the chaff cloud would eventually cause it to collide with the satellite.

Perhaps the chaff cloud can be dispensed before it is actually needed so that its expansion would be big enough to cause effective attenuation over the required area on the

ground as it drifted past the geosynchronous satellite. This would eliminate the need to wait for the cloud to expand as it drifted out of view of the target satellite. If the timing and direction of motion of the chaff cloud was right, attenuation levels of several hours might be realized, since the chaff cloud expands fastest along its path of motion. For example, the cloud could be dispensed so that just as it reached a length of 2.45 km in the  $\mathcal E$ direction, it would begin to cross the path of the uplink signal to the target satellite. By the time the cloud drifted past the geosynchronous satellite, several minutes would have elapsed. This option might also work with the cloud at a higher altitude so the relative velocity between the two bodies is minimized. Both of these options should also be examined in connection with the level of attenuation/time of attenuation calculations mentioned previously, in order to best meet attenuntion requirements.

The third option, deploying the chaff cloud in a slightly elliptical orbit such that it slows down as it passes the geosynchronous satellite, was posed by Brown in his thesis. The idea is based on the fact that a satellite travels at a slower velocity at apogee than at perigee. Brown showed that the chaff cloud can be deployed in an orbit with properly chosen apogee and perigee altitudes so that the centroid of the chaff cloud will remain within 42 Later Annual Contract

meters of a point located directly in front of the satellite for twelve hours. This occurs because the satellite catches up to and slightly passes the centroid of the chaff cloud as the cloud approaches its apogee. The satellite twen slowly falls behind the chaff cloud as the cloud speeds up on its way to perigee. According to Brown, the orbit for which this will work has a perigee 100 NM below geosynchronous altitude and an apogee at geosynchronous altitude (Brown, 1987:4-19 to 4-22). However, Brown neglects to examine-the pinching down of the chaff cloud or the possibility of collisions between the cloud and the geosynchronous satellite in his analysis, so further study in this area is warranted.

Finally, the chaff cloud could be dispensed as a series of clouds along the path of relative motion which exists between the geosynchronous satellite and the chaif cloud. This way, as one cloud passes the field of view of the geosynchronous satellite, another would appear in its place. If enough chaff clouds were dispensed in this manner, the relative motion might no longer pose a problem. Perhaps even the problem of the cloud pinching down could be eliminated by spacing the clouds so that as one cloud began pinching down, another would expand in its place.

<u>Are\_the\_Dispensing\_Assumptions\_Reasonable?</u> Many assumptions went into the chaff cloud deployment model and while all are reasonable for the first-order calculations of this study, further analyses would require a more critical look in the following areas: weight and volume restrictions on a space-deployed chaff cloud, ability to meet disp@nsing velocity requirements with a spherical cloud deployment, possibility of hirdnesting of chaff particles, and actual velocity distribution of chaff particles.

With 100 billion chaff particles being deployed, the weight and size of the chaff package to be put near geosynchronous altitude could be significant. According to Brown, the volume of a single chaff particle of the size used for this study is  $1.47 \times 10^{-12}$  m<sup>3</sup>. The particle density and appropriate packing density for the chaff package are 2550 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and .55, respectively. (Brown, 1987:4-5). Therefore, the particles weigh 375 kg (826 lb) and occupy 2.61x10<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>3</sup>. The volume occupied by the chaff particles is fairly small so the chaff package could probably be easily launched into orbit as a "piggyback" on another satellite. However, the weight is high and would probably require a separate launch to reach orbit. After launch costs are considered, one chaff cloud could easily cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to deploy. If higher attenuation values were desired, the cloud would weigh and

cost even more. One possibility would be to reduce the number of chaff particles. This, of course, would require another attenuation study, but a factor of 10 reduction in the number of particles would result in a more manageable weight of 37.5 kg. If the reduction in attenuation were not too great, the deployment of the cloud might be feasible.

The second dispensing assumption that needs further consideration is the initial spherical dispensing pattern. Although an exploding ball of chaff with a central charge could be constructed, it is not clear if dispensing velocities of less than 0.05 m/s could also be realized. If not, another dispensing mechanism needs to be devised. Additionally, Brown suggests that an explosive charge might damage a large number of the chaff particles (Brown, 1987:4-11). Brown also suggests dispensing the chaff particles using spocls of chaff from which the chaff is deployed as the spouls rotate. This is how the dipoles were dispensed for Project West Ford. For West Ford, the dipolas were attached to the spocis with napthalene which melted upon exposure to sunlight, thus releasing the dipoles over time. All the spools were initially spun about the axis of minimum moment of inertia so that the release of the dipoles would upset this inherently unstable condition and cause the spools to tumble. Thus, the dipoles were released in all directions (Brown, 1987:4-11 to 4-12). By dispensing the

Ē

2

particles in this manner, however; the model used in this study would be invalidated, since the model used assumed instantaneous dispensing. Maybe a compromise could be reached between dispensing techniques, or Heard's long-term dispensing model could be applied.

Another problem with dispensing chaff particles in space is "birdnesting", the clumping together of particles due to attractive electrical charges on the particles. According to MacLellan, up to 50 percent of the dipoles for project West Ford were involved in birdnesting (MacLellan, 1964:564). This condition would be expected with the dispensing of any lightweight metallic objects in space since there are no aerodynamic forces present to help the particles separate upon deployment. Since all particles in the model were assumed to properly deploy, a correction factor might need to be used to take birdnesting into account, but proper values for the number of particles involved need to be established first.

The final assumption associated with the dispensing process that deserves additional consideration is the velocity distribution function. Although a Maxwellian distribution seems appropriate for the chaff cloud in general, the Maxwellian distribution technically has no upper limit on particle velocity while the chaff particles

definitely have an upper limit on their velocity. This problem becomes important for the chaff cloud because of the large number of particles involved. If only 0.001 percent of the particles had a velocity above a certain value, the number of chaff particles involved would be 1 million. Thus, the model would show a large number of particles located a much greater distance from the centroid of the chaff cloud than there really were. This discrepancy could greatly affect the attenuation calculations, depending on which portion of cloud was being considered and how much time had elapsed since dispensing. Therefore, a new model which properly places an upper bound on dispensing velocity should be looked into if chaff deployment in spare is to be further considered.

<u>What Are the Effects of the Charf on Other Satellites?</u> Chaff can be expected to have two possible interactions with satellites: attenuation of communication signals and collisions.

Since the chaff cloud is moving with respect to the satellite it is attempting to jam, it has the possibility of drifting in front of other satellites and disrupting their communications. In order to fully assess the impact on communications, the locations and transmitting/receiving frequencies of other nearby satellites would have to be

examined before each chaff cloud deployment. Also, if the frequency the chaff was designed to attenuate was not the same one used by other satellites in the vicinity, even if the chaff cloud passed directly in front of the other satellites, only noise would result. Therefore, even if the chaff cloud did accidentally get in between a satellite and its ground station, the signal might not be attenuated below the level necessary for uninterrupted comunication. However, an increase in noise for all satellites the chaff cloud passes in front of can be expected. On the plus side, perhaps the cloud could be deployed to jam more than one satellite for specified periods of time if the satellites were close enough together. Further research into these areas is clearly needed.

and the second second

5

Also of major concern should be the possibility of collisions between the chaff particles and other satellites in earth orbit. Fortunately, the chaff cloud as envisioned is well below the altitude of geosynchronous satellites, so impacts with them would not be a problem. However, solar radiation pressure and other perturbative forces will cause the chaff particles to decay into lower orbits, so they could eventually come in contact with satellites nearer to the earth.

Since the chaff particles are so tiny, they at first would not seem to pose much of a threat. However, with the

chaff cloud moving at about 3 km/s, impacts could be devastating. According to Donald J. Kessler, a NASA scientist who studies space debris, objects between 1 mm and 1 cm in size can penetrate spacecraft walls if they are moving at velocities of 10 km/s (Kessler, 1987:587). With the chaff particles being 1.87 cm long (Brown, 1987:4-3), although also being very thin and moving much slower than 10 km/s, impacts might still be a problem.

Even if the chaff particles do not damage the satellites they come into contact with, they could interfere with the satellites' operating functions by sticking to the satellites' surfaces. Since the chaff particles are at least partially composed of metal, the bombardment of the chaff particles by high energy particles from the sun will likely cause the chaff particles to acquire an electric charge. If the charged chaff particles were to fly within close proximity of an oppositely charged satellite surface, they would be drawn to the satellite and stick to the surface. If enough particles stuck to solar panels or antennas, a degradation of satellite operations might result. Therefore, this is another area which warrants further study.

Two suggestions were proposed by Brown to help eliminate the problem of unwanted signal attenuation by and impacts with the chaff cloud: constructing the chaff of

materials so fine they would be broken up and swept away by the solar wind, or using materials for the chaff that deteriorate when exposed to ultraviolet light (Brown, 1987:4-23 to 4-24). Although Brown did not go into much detail on these suggestions, they might deserve further consideration if a chaff cloud is to be deployed in space.

. . :

## Appendix A: Density Spreadsheet Formulas

This appendix contains a printout of all the formulas used in the density spreadsheet. Each cell of the spreadsheet is listed on a separate line. The cell labels are set up so that letters represent columns and numbers represent rows starting from the upper left corner of the spreadsheet. Therefore, entry "D10" is the fourth entry from the left in the tenth row of the spreadsheet.

In the formula printout, several symbols are used in front of the cell entries. An apostrophe, a set of quotation marks, or a caret symbol (^) indicate that the entry in the cell is a label and not used in any calculations. The symbol "[w10]" is used to represent each new row of the spreadsheet, and the symbol "\-" indicates that the cell is filled with a row of hyphens. All cells without one of these marks are either blank or contain formulas.

Rows 1 through 20 contain constants and variables for use in calculations by the rest of the spreadsheet. The constants are those used by Heard in Eq (40) and the variables are the following: the spreadsheet scaling factor, the square of the thermal velocity of the chaff particles, the time after dispensing, the number of chaff

particles in the spreadsheet grid, the dispensing velocity of the chaff particles, and the displacement coordinates of the centroid of the spreadsheet grid from the centroid of the chaff cloud.

Rows 21 through 78, 80 through 137, 139 through 196, 198 through 255, 257 through 314, 316 through 373, and 375 through 430 calculate the relative number densities for each of the seven values of  $\xi$  contained in the spreadsheet model grid. Within each of these sections are subsections which calculate values for P1, P2, and P3; a logic table to help the spreadsheet calculate exponents of negative numbers smaller than negative 200; and calculations of the relative particle densities as solutions for Eq (40).

Finally, Row 433 calculates the sum of the relative number densities and rows 436 through 509 calculate the actual number of particles for each  $(\xi,\eta,\xi)$  coordinate within the 7x7x7 grid modeled by the spreadsheet.

+812-2 •812-2 • 100 "1-sgmsqrd 1-813 CW103 "2"sgmsqrd CW103 "sgmssqrd 'm/s) [W10] 'Constants [w10] "n (red/s) 7.315288954E-05 [w10] "t (101)"" (110)"" (2500)"" (2003)" (2005(88) (2005(88) (2003)" ( 5 "(# Part = 100000000000 CU103 "Eta UNT" COIND Centrold (SUR n1). "Eta [w10] /-"Chult × X" COIMD • 0.0 +86•87 Zeta 0 3600 20.05 بر ج 1X : ġ 

(1/58516) •(58566-(58512\*58311•(527))
( (1/58516) •(58826-(58512\*58311•(527))
( (1/58516) •(58826-(58512\*58311•(527))
( (1/58516) •(58826-(58512\*58311•(527))
( (1/58516) •(58826-(58512\*58311\*(527)))
( (1/58516) •(58826-(58512\*58311\*(527)))
( (1/58516) •(58826-(58512\*58311\*(527)))
( (1/58516) •(58826-(58512\*58311\*(527)))) (1/28216)+(\$8526-(\$8312+5851+8527)) [ [w103 "P11 ((814\*88))+(813\*89))\*821 ((814\*88)+(813\*89))\*821 (w103 "P1(tot) (-7.13945712E-05\*8052)+5484 (-7.755642476E-05\*8052)+5484 (-2.3768212392E-05\*8052)+5484 (-2.3768212392E-05\*8052)+5484 (0\*9052)+3484 : 2\*813 : (W10] "D : (B14\*85\*66)+(B15\*811) : (W10] "first trm : \*85/0485(89\*816) : (W10] \--CWI03 "XI(1) (-7.0992231E-05=02?)+64 CWI03 "P1 CWI03 "-بر -/ [01#3 -/ COIMJ [01w]  .....

•

1

ISA" [OIW]

F54: \F54: \F55: (U101 \*5827
F55: (U101 \*5827
F56: (U101 \*522
F56: (U101 \*52
F56

ĩ.

L. ....

٠i

1

CG1 @[r((CC22 \*\*537 \*\*849 2)((5G31))\*\*200,0,1) E1 @[r((CC22 \*\*537 \*\*849 2)((5G31))\*\*200,0,1) E1 @[r((CC22 \*\*537 \*\*849 2)((5G31))\*\*200,0,1) E1 @[r((CC22 \*\*537 \*\*849 2)((5G31))\*\*200,0,1) A65 @uto] \*\* A66 @uto] \*\* A67 @uto] \*\* A66 @uto] \*\* A67 @uto] \*\* A66 @uto] \*\* A6

71. GIF(G50-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%6\*2)/(5G511),0) 72. [W101 \*5\*27 73. GIF(G59-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(K523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%7\*2)/(5G511),0) 72. GIF(G59-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%7\*2)/(5G511),0) 72. GIF(G59-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%7\*2)/(5G511),0) 72. GIF(G59-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%7\*2)/(5G511),0) 72. GIF(G59-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%7\*2)/(5G511),0) 73. GIF(G59-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%7\*2)/(5G511),0) 73. GIF(G59-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%7\*2)/(5G511),0) 73. GIF(G59-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%7\*2)/(5G511),0) 73. GIF(G59-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%4\*2)/(5G511),0) 73. GIF(G60-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%4\*2)/(5G511),0) 73. GIF(G60-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%4\*2)/(5G511),0) 73. GIF(G60-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%4\*2)/(5G511),0) 74. GIF(G60-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*G537\*2\*58%4\*2)/(5G511),0) 74. GIF(G61-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*6537\*2\*58%4\*2)/(5G511),0) 74. GIF(G61-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*6537\*2\*58%4\*2)/(5G511),0) 74. GIF(G61-1, 58517\*GEXP(-(G523\*2\*6537\*2\*58%4\*2)/(5G511),0) 74. GIF(G10-1, 58517\*6EXP(-(G523\*2\*6537\*2\*58%4\*2)/(5G511),0) 74. GIF(G10-1, 58 66 8888888 66666666 8888888 ((814.08).(813.089).0880 [WI0] "PI(tot) \*5827 \*5627 \*5627 \*5627 \*5627 \*5627 \*5627 .(2) 54E-05•02)+64 Ild. CW101 "X1C C-4.732815 CW101 "P1 CW101 "P1 CW101 \-! 1 Ce103 CCIWD C01W3 リリン 671: 471: 471: 471: 472: 477:

Ś,

itan Karan \_

Survey and the survey of the second se

 887. ...

 887. ...

 887. ...

 887. ...

 887. ...

 887. ...

 887. ...

 887. ...

 887. ...

 887. ...

 887. ...

 888. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

 889. ...

A10' [ [u10] +562' A10' [ [u10] +562' A10 [ [u10] -5632' A110 [ [u10] -5632' A111 [ [u10] '-A111 [ [u10] '-A112 [ [u10] +5827 A112 +5627 A112 +5627 A112 +5627 A112 +5627 A114 [ [u10] +5626 [ [u10] -5626 [ [u10] -5600, 0,1] A114 [ [u10] +5827 A114 [ [u10] +5827 A114 [ [u10] +5827 A114 [ [u10] +5626 [ [u10] -5626 [ [u10] -500, 0,1] A114 [ [u10] +5827 A115 [ [u10] +5827 A114 [ [u10] +5827 A115 [ [u10] +5827 A116 [ [u10] +5827 A117 [ [u10] +5827 A1107 [ [u10] +5827 A1107 [ [u10] +5827 A1107 [ [u10] +5827 A1107 [

:--

and the second second

(harring)

F(0114-1,58517+@EXP(-(0588\*2+0596\*2+58102\*2)/(\$651)),0) F(E114~1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(E\$88\*2+E\$96\*2+\$8102\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) iF(B114=1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(3\$88\*2+8\$96\*2+\$8102\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (F(C114-1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(C\$88-2+C\$96\*2+\$8102\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 127: |F(F114=1,\$8\$17=@EXP(-(F\$88<sup>-</sup>2+F\$96<sup>-</sup>2+\$8102<sup>-2</sup>)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(((8588\*2\*8596\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C5688\*2\*C5%6\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C5688\*2\*5596\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C5688\*2\*5596\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C65888\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C65888\*2\*4596\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C6888\*2\*4596\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C6888\*2\*4596\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C6888\*2\*4596\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C6888\*2\*4596\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C6888\*2\*4596\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C6888\*2\*4596\*2\*58106\*2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff(((10,000,2+0,00,10,2))(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((10,00,0,2) eff((10,00,0,2))eff((10,00,1))=200,0,1) eff((10,00,2+0,00,2))=200,0,1) eff((10,00,2+0,00,2))(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((10,00,2+0,00,2+0,00,2))(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((10,00,2+0,00,2+0,00,2))(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((10,00,2+0,00,2+0,00,2))(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((10,00,2+0,00,2+0,00,2))(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((10,00,2+0,00,2+0,00,2))(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((10,00,2+0,00,2+0,00,2))(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((10,00,2+0,00,2+0,00,2))(5651))>=200,0,1) fullo1 Cw101 +\$8\$27 -/ [OIM] +58527 +50527 +50527 +56527 +56527 +56527 COIMU COIM1 A118: 8118: 8118: 8118: 8118: 8118: 8118: 8118: 8118: 8128: 50 5 5 5 5

@[FCH116=1,58517\*@EXP(-CH588\*2+H596\*2+58104\*2)/(5651)),0) A130: [W10] +5527 B130: B130: @[FC8117=1,58517\*@EXP(-C85885\*2+8596\*2+58105\*2)/(5651)),0) F130: @IF(F117=1,\$B\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$88-2+F\$96\*2+\$8105\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) G130: @IF(G117=1,\$B\$17\*@EXP(-(G\$08\*2+6\$96\*2+\$8105\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) [F(B118=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(B\$88^28\*2\*8896\*2\*58106\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) Cl31: @[f(Cl18+1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$88°2+C\$96°2+\$8106°2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @ff(E117+1,\$B\$17+@EXP(-(2\$88\*2+£\$96\*2+\$8105\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(C116=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$88\*2+C\$96\*2+\$8104^2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(D116=1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(D\$88\*2+D\$96\*2+58104\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(E116+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(E\$88"2+E\$96"2+\$8104"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(F116=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$88°2+F\$96\*2+\$88104^2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(G116=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(G\$88°2+G\$96°2+\$810%°2)/(%G\$1)),0) F(C117~1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$88°2+C\$96°2+\$8105°2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(D117+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(D\$88-2+D\$96"2+\$8105"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (FCH117=1, \$8\$17+@EXPC-CH\$88-2+H\$96`2+\$8105'2)/(\$841)),U) BIF(B115=1,\$8517+@EXP(-(3588-?+8596"2+58103"2)/(9651)),0) F(C115=1,\$B\$17+@EXP(~(C\$88-2+C\$96-2+\$\$103-2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @[f(D]15+1,\$8\$17\*@EXF(~(D\$88°Z+D\$96°Z+98103°2)/(\$6\$1)),O) E128: [F(E115=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(~(E\$88"2+E\$96"2+\$8103"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(G115=1,58517\*@EXP(~(G588\*2+G596\*2+58103\*2)/(5681)),0) @ff(H115~1,58\$17\*@EXP(-(H\$88°2+H\$96°2+\$8103°2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A129: [w10] +50\$27 F(B116=1, \$B\$17+@EXP(-(B\$88°2+B\$96°2+\$B104°2)/(\$6\$1)),0) f(G114=1,SB\$17=@EXP(-(G\$88\*2+6\$96\*2+\$8102\*2)/(\$6\$1)),O) @IF(H114+1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(H\$88-2+M\$96\*2+\$8102\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A128: [w10] +\$C\$27 @[F(F115=1,\$B\$17+@EXP(~(F\$88\*2~F\$96\*2+\$8103\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A131: [W10] +5F\$27 29: ຮູ öei G128: ä 53 HI 30 Ř ä C128: 0129: E

0131: @IF(D118+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-{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|F\_CC119=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(C\$88\*2+C\$96\*2+\$8107\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 152: If(F119=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(F\$88-2+F\$96-2+\$8107-2)/(\$G\$1)),0) G132: @[f(G119=1,\$B\$17=@EX?(-(G\$00\*2+G\$96\*2+\$B107\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) eifföll20-1, \$8\$17\*0EXP(~(D\$88\*2+D\$96\*2+\$9108\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) E133: @IffEl20-1, \$8\$17\*0EXP(-(E\$88\*2\*E\$96\*2+\$8108\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) G133: CISS: CIF(G120-1,SB\$17\*GEXP(-(G\$88-2+G\$96-2+\$8108-2)/(\$G\$1)),O) H133 H134 iF(0119=1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(=(0\$88\*2+0\$96\*2+\$8107\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(E113=1,58\$17\*@EXP(-(E\$88\*2+E\$96\*2+\$8107\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(H113=1,58517\*@EXP(-(H\$88"2+H\$96"2+58107"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A133: [u10] +54527 @[F(F120=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$88\*2+F\$96\*2+\$8108\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 15(H120+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(H\$88+2+h\$96+2+\$8108+2)/(\$G\$1)),0) 134: [w10] \-A132: [w10] +56527 --/ [010] 2 CU103 ! ! 1 E134: F134: F134: A134: A136: A137: B135: ä. HI31: . 461 132: FI31: 6132: B137: A139: F133: E132: 6 8 Ē 3 Ē a F 6 ជដ

7: (1/58515)•(585144-(5852•58511•62145)) 7: (1/58515)•(588144-(58512•58511•65145)) 7: (1/58515)•(588144-(58512•58511•65145)) 7: (1/58515)•(585144-(58512•58511•65145)) 7: (1/58515)•(585144-(58512•58511•65145)) 7: (1/58515)•(585144-(58512•58511•8145)) 7: (1/58515)•(585144-(58512•58511•8145)) 7: [w101 "P2 (\28216)\*(\$8\$144-(\$6\$15\*5\*8\$11\*8\$145)) (1/58516)•(58515)+(5855)•(51535)) (1/58516)•(585515)+(5859•05153)) (1/58516)•(585515)+(5859•05153)) (1/58516)•(585515)+(51565)) (1/58516)•(58515)+(51565)) (1/58516)•(58515)+(585515)) ([/28216)•(585151+(5859•85153)) [W10] "P11 ((B14\*88)+(B13\*89))\*8139 (W10] "P1(tot) (-2.3664077E-05+02)+64 +812•811•8139 +\$8\$27 Culol "P21 į. CW10] -- [01W] +\$C\$27 +\$D\$27 +\$D\$27 +\$E\$27 +\$E\$27 +\$G\$27 +\$G\$27 +\$C\$27 +\$0\$27 +\$0\$27 +\$F\$27 +\$F\$27 +\$6\$27 **5882**7 8139: 8142: 8142: 8142: 8145: 8145: 8145: 8145: 8145: 8145: 8145: 7146: 7146: 8147: 0147: 0147: 0147: 0147: 0147: 0147: 0147: 0153: 0153: 0153: 0153: 0153: 0153: 0153: 0153: 0153: 0153: 0154: 0153: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0154: 0155: 0146: E146: F154: 6154: N154: B155: C155: 0155: E155: F155: G155: F146: 6146: H146:

•• •• •

•

Į,

(E)TX. [01m]

. L.

HISS (1/5816)\*\*58151-(5899~4153)) A157 (2401 'P A157 (2401 'P A151 (2401)\*5627 A161 (2401)\*5627 A163 (2655/5893)\*5A163 A163 (2655/5893)\*5A163 A164 (2401)\*5627 A164 (2401)\*5627 A165 (2401)\*5627 A167 (34177\*561557\*561157\*76631))\*200,0,1) A173 017 (351177\*2611557\*261161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A173 017 (351177\*261155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0154177\*261155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0154177\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0154177\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0174 0154177\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0154177\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0154177\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0154177\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0174 0175\*1155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0175\*1177\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0175\*1177\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0154177\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0155177\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0175\*1175\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0105\*1177\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 0174 0175\*1175\*2\*81155\*2\*81161\*2)/(5631))\*200,0,1) A174 017400\*41

C175 BFF((CS1477-2\*CS155 2\*S1163 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F175 BFF((CS1477-2\*CS155 2\*S1163 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F175 BFF((CS1477-2\*S1155 2\*S1163 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F175 BFF((CS1477-2\*S1155 2\*S1164 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F175 BFF((CS1477-2\*S1155 2\*S1164 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F175 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1164 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F176 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1164 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F177 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1164 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F177 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1165 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F178 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1165 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F177 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1165 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F178 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1165 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F179 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1165 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F177 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1165 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F178 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1165 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F179 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1165 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) F177 BFF((CG31477-2\*S1155 2\*S1165 2)/(SG31)>=200,0,1) 4

1

Ĩ

;

-/ :5910

A186: [W10] +58527

1100: 1101: 1101: 1133-1,\$8\$17\*0EXP(-(8\$147\*2+8\$155\*2+\$8161\*2)/(\$6\$1>),0> 21F(C173-1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$147\*2+C\$155\*2+\$8161\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0)

@1F(D173=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(D\$147'2+D\$155'2+\$8161'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F1A6.

@If(E173=1,\$8\$17\*@EYP(-(E\$147"2\*E\$155"2\*\$8161"2)/(\$G\$1)),0) F186:

186: !ff(f173=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(f\$147'2+f\$155'2+\$8161'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) |186:

@[f(G173=1,58\$17\*0EXP(-(G\$147\*2\*G\$155\*2\*58161\*2)/(56\$1)),0) M166: M174473=1 \$8847\*067\*704.449447\*2\*48155\*2\*48461\*2)/(46\$41)) 0)

elf(H173=1,58517+@EXP(-(H\$147+2+H\$155+2+\$8161+2)/(\$G\$1)),0) A187: [W10] →\$C\$27 8187:

2|f(B174=1,5B517=@EXP(-(B\$147\*2+B\$155\*2+\$B162\*2)/(5G51)),0) 2107: 2167:

E187: @[f(E174=1,\$8\$17=@EXP(-(E\$147\*2+E\$155\*2+\$8162\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0)

F187: e1f(f174=1,s8s17\*eEEXP(-(f5147\*2\*f5155\*2\*8162\*2)/(5651)),0)

eirtfilt-1,3031/46Aft-(f311/ 24f315) 2430162 2/(3031)/U) 6187: @if(G174-1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(G\$147\*24G\$155~2\*\$8162~2)/(3G\$1),0)

H187: PIF(H174=1,\$8\$17\*0EXP(-(H\$147\*2+H\$155\*2+\$8162\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A100: [W101 +\$0\$27

8188: @ff(8175=1,s8\$17\*0EXP(-(8\$147\*2\*8\$155\*2\*\$8163\*2)/(\$651)),0)

C188: @1f(C175=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$147'2+C\$155'2+\$8163"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) eff(0175=1,58\$17+@EXP(~(0\$147\*2+0\$155\*2+\$8163\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0)

LING: @IF(E175=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(E\$147'2+E\$155'2+\$8163'2)/(\$6\$1'),0)

F188: @ff(f175=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$147\*2+F\$155\*2+\$8163\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0)

GIG8: GIG8: @If(G175=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(G\$147~2+6\$155~2+\$\$163~2)/(\$6\$1)),0) N188: @ff(N175=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(H\$147\*2+H\$155\*2+\$8163\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A189: [w10] \*\$E\$27

8189: @ff(8176=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(8\$147\*2+8\$155\*2+\$8164\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 15.0176+1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$147\*2+C\$155\*2+\$8164\*2)/(\$6\$1)),O) 189.189.189.184.185.184.185.185.189.189.189

a sel**i mi**ta san sin mutani **mi**ta su su tanta santan

@IF(D176=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(D\$147"2+D\$155"2+\$8164"2)/(\$6\$1)),C) E189:

01F(E176=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(E\$147\*2+E\$155\*2+\$8164\*2)/(\$8\$\$1)),0) F189:

015(F176-1, \$8\$17\*8EXP(-(F\$147\*2+F\$155\*2+\$8164\*2)/(\$681)),0) 5189-

utoo: deffcG176=1,\$8\$174@EXP(-(G\$147\*2+6\$155\*2+\$8164\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) utoo

ліца @IF(ki76=1,\$B\$17\*@EKP(-(H\$147\*2+H\$155\*2+\$B164\*2)/(\$\$\$\$1)),О) A190: [wi0] +\$F\$27 A190: [wi0] \* 21500: 21500: 21500: 21500: 2190:

@[f(C177-1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$147'2\*C\$155'2\*\$\$8165'2)/(\$C\$1)),0) 0190: 01570177-1 #8817\*#fYP/\_CN#147'2\*N#165'2+#8165'2)/(#641)),0)

IFC0177=1,\$8\$17=8EXPC-CD\$147\*2+D\$155\*2+\$8165\*2)/(\$6\$1)),C) 190:

IF(E177=1,\$8\$17=0EXF(-(E\$147"2=E\$155"2=\$8165"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 190:

F(F177=1,58\$17\*8EXP(-(F\$147\*2+F\$155\*2+\$8165\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 90:

11505177-1,58517\*eEXP(-(G\$147\*2+G\$155\*2+58165\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) 1190:

@[F(H177=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(H\$147"2+H\$155"2+\$8165"2)/(\$0\$1)),0) A191: [W10] +\$6\$27 B16: elf(C178~1,58517\*0EXP(-(C\$147"2\*C\$155"2\*58166"2)/(\$631)),0) D191:

151: 151: 151: 151: 158\$17\*@EXP(~(D\$147`2\*D\$155`2\*\$8166`2)/(\$G\$1)),Q)

E178-1,\$8\$17\*2EXP(-(E\$147\*2+E\$155\*2+\$8166\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) .

IF (F178-1, \$8\$17\*@EX?(-(F\$147\*2\*F\$155\*2\*\$8163\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 1191:

@IF(G178=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(G\$147\*2+G\$155\*2+\$8166\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) H191:

@IF(H178=1,\$9\$17\*@EXP(-(H\$147"2+H\$155"2+\$B166"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) a192: [wio] +\$K\$27 a192: [wio] +\$K\$27 @If(B179+1,\$B\$17\*@EXP(-(B\$147\*2+8#155\*2+\$B167\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) C192:

IF(C179=1,58\$17\*8EXP(-(C\$147\*2+C\$155\*2+\$8167\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 192:

.uc: [f(E179+1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(E\$147\*2+E\$155\*2+\$8167\*2)/(\$0\$1)),0) 02

192: [f(f179=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$147"2+F\$155"2+\$8167"2)/(\$6\$1)),0)

1192: #1f(G179+1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(G\$147"2+G\$155"2+\$8167"2)/(\$G\$1)),0) 1192: eIF(((B5206'2+B\$214'2+\$B220'2)/(\$G\$1))>+200,0,1) eIF(((C\$206'2+C\$214'2+\$B220'2),(\$G\$1))>+200,0,1) eIF(((D\$206'2+D\$214'2+\$B220'2)/(\$G\$1))>+200,0,1) (1/5816)•(585210+(5859•85212)) (1/58516)•(588210+(5859•65212)) (1/58516)•(585210+(5859•62212)) (1/58516)•(585210+(5859•62212)) (1/58516)•(585210+(5859•5212)) (1/58516)•(585210+(5859•5212)) (1/58516)•(585210+(5859•5212)) (1/58516)•(585210+(5859•5212)) (1/58516)•(585210+(5859•5212)) (1/58516)•(585210+(5859•5212)) (1/58516)•(585210+(5859•5212)) (1/58516)•(585210+(5859•5212)) (1/5010) \*7 (w10) +\$8\$7 (w10) +\$6\$7 (w10) +\$6\$2 (w10) +\$6\$2 (w10) +\$6\$2 (w10) +\$6\$2 (w10) +\$5\$2 (w10) [w10] +56527 (\$855/\$859)\*\$A225 [w10] +\$457 (\$855/\$859)\*\$A226 ·Logic table +58827 +58527 +56527 +56527 +56527 +56527 +56527 +\$H\$27 Ce103 [U10] リリン リン ١ り ! 5 

A State Street Street

.....

1

@IF(((35206'2+85214'2+58223'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C5206'2+55214'2+58223'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((C5206'2+55214'2+58223'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF((F5206'2+F5214'2+58223'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF(((F5206'2+5514'2+58223'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF(((H5206'2+H5214'2+58223'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF(((H5206'2+H5214'2+58223'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((cstor 2-65214 2-58224 2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((cstob 2-65214 2-56024 2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((cstob 2-65214 2-56024 2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((cstob 2-65214 2-56024 2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((cstob 2-65214 2-56824 2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((cstob 2-65214 2-56824 2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((cstob 2-65214 2-56824 2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((cstob 2-65214 2-56824 2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((cstob 2-648214 2-568224 2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((cstob 2-648214 2-568214 2-568214 2-56824 2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((cstob 2-648214 2-568214 2-568214 2-568214 2-56824 2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((cstob 2-648214 2-56 eff((105206'2-88214'2-58225'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((12506'2-10514'2-58225'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((12506'2-15514'2-548225'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((155206'2-15514'2-548255'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((155206'2-15514'2-88225'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((145206'2-415214'2-88225'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((145206'2-415214'2-88225'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((145206'2-415214'2-88225'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eff((145206'2-415214'2-88225'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) upperform = c+setur = c+seze0'2)/(s6s1))>=200,0,1)
elf((f5z06'2+f5z14'2+s8z20'2)/(s6s1))>=200,0,1)
elf((f5z06'2+f5z14'2+s8z20'2)/(s6s1))>=200,0,1)
elf((Hs206'2+HS214'2+s8z20'2)/(s6s1))>=200,0,1)
[w10] = s65s7 eif(((B\$206'2+8\$214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((C\$206'2+6\$214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((C\$206'2+\$5214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$58221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$58221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$58221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$58221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$58221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$5214'2+\$58221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$55214'2+\$58221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$55214'2+\$58221'2)/(\$G\$11))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206'2+\$55214'2+\$58221'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif(((B\$206`2+8\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((C\$206`2+(\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((C5206`2+(\$214`2+\$6222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((f\$206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif(((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif(((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif(((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif(((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif(((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif(((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif(((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif(((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$8222`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$6522`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$6522`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eif((\$5206`2+f\$214`2+\$6522`2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) eff((105206'2+85214'2+58226'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((105206'2+05214'2+58226'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((105206'2+05214'2+58226'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((155206'2+65214'2+58226'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((15206'2+65214'2+58226'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((145206'2+45214'2+58226'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((145206'2+45214'2+58266'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((145206'2+45214'2+58266'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((145206'2+45214'2+58266'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((145206'2+456214'2+58266'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((145206'2+45214'2+58266'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((145206'2+45214'2+58266'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((145206'2+45214'2+58266'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((145206'2+45214'2+58266'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((15506'2+56514'2+58266'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) eff((15506'2+56514'2+5656'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) +SF527 +5HS27 +\$0\$27 +52327 0190 COINJ [019] C0190 [0193 

@|F(H233+1 J8\$17\*@EXF(-(M\$206\*2+M\$214\*2+\$8221\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A247: [w10] +\$0\$27 &IF(H232=1,\$8\$17=@EXP(-(H\$206"2+H\$214"2+\$8220"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) \246: [w10] +\$C\$27 F(C233=1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$206'2+C\$214"2+\$8221"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @[f(D233=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(D\$206'2+D\$214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$0\$1)),0) @ff(E233=1,\$B\$17"@EXP(\*(E\$206"2+E\$214"2+\$B221"?)/(\$G\$1)),0) @[f(f233=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(f\$206'2+f\$214'2+\$8221'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @[f(G233=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(G\$206"2+6\$214"2+\$8221"2)/(\$G\$1,),0) @[f(8834=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(8\$206"2+8\$214"2+\$8222"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(CC34+1,\$B£17+@EXP(-(C\$206'2+C\$214'2+\$B222'2)/(\$G\$1)),0} [F(D234=1,SB\$17+@EXP(-(D\$206"2+D\$214"2+\$B222"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) fF(B233=1, 58\$17\*@EXP(-(8\$206\*2\*8\$214\*2+58221\*2)/(5651)),0) zif(f232+1,s8s17+eEXP(-(fs206'2+fs214'2+s8220"2)/(s6s1)),0) 11F(G232+1,\$B\$17+@EXP(-(G\$205\*2+G\$214\*2+\$B220\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) @[F(C232+1,\$B\$17+@FXP(-(C¥206\*2+C\$214\*2+\$B220\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) @[f(D232+1,\$B\$17+@EXP(-(D\$206\*2+D\$214\*2+\$B220\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) g[f(E232+1, \$B\$17\*@EXP(-(E\$206"2+E\$214"2+\$B220"2)/(\$G\$1)),0) @[F(B232=1,\$8\$17+#EXP(-(8\$206"2+8\$214"2+\$8220"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) +\$8\$57 +\$C\$27 +\$C\$27 +\$E\$27 +\$F\$27 +\$F\$27 +\$6\$27 -58327 COIMJ CULUJ E246: 8247 0246: R242: R242: R243: R243: C243: C243: F243: F243: 3244: 2244: **C246**: C247 D247 3246 1245 Sta ŝ H243: 6243 Ţ Ĩ

(C234\*1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(E\$206'2+E\$214'2+\$8222'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 21F(F234+1,\$8517+@EXP(-(F\$206\*2+F\$214\*2+\$8222\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(G234+1,\$B\$17+@EXP(-(G\$206\*2+G\$214\*2+\$B222\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) F(H234=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(~(H\$206'2+H\$214'2+\$8222'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(B235+1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(8\$206'2+8\$214'2+58223'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (C235=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(C\$206\*2%C\$214\*2+\$8223\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (0235+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(0\$206'2+0\$214'2+\$8223'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (E235~1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(E\$206\*2+E\$214\*2+\$8223\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) [F235=1, \$8\$17\*@EX?(-(F\$206'2+F\$214'2+\$8223'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) :0235=1,\$8517=@EXP(-{35206\*2+65214\*2+58223\*2)/(\$651)),0) :: (X235=1,\$8\$17=@EXP(-(X¥206"2+X\$214'2+\$8223"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (B236+1,\$B\$17+@EXP(-(B\$206'2+B\$214'2+\$B224'2)/(5G\$1)),0) C236=1,58317\*0EXP(-(C5206\*3+C5214\*2~58224\*2)/(5621),0) FCD23641, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(D\$206\*2+0\$214\*2+\$8224\*2)/(\$3\$1)),0) (E236=1,\$8\$17=@EXP(-(E\$206-2+E\$214-2+\$8224-2)/(\$6\$1)),C) F236+1,58\$17+@FXP(-{F\$206"2+F\$214"2+5224"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(G236+1,58%17+@EXP(-(G\$206\*2+5\$214\*2+\$8224\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(H236-1,\$8\$17\*0EXP(-(H\$206'2+H\$214'2+\$8224'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 50: [w10] +\$6\$27 (8237=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(8\$206'2+8\$214'2+\$8225'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (CC37+1,58517+@EXP(-(C5206\*2+C5214\*2+58225\*2)/(5651)),0) (D237=1,58517+@EX.'(-(D\$206\*2+D\$214\*2+\$8225\*2)/(\$651)),0) .E237-1,\$B\$17\*@EXP(-(E\$200'2+E\$214'2+\$B225'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (F237"1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$206'2+F\$21'4'2+\$8225'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 01F(H237=1, \$d\$17\*@EXP(-(H\$206'2+H\$214'2+\$B225'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(G237+1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(6\$206°2+6\$214°2+\$8223°2)/(\$6\$1)),0) CW103 +55527 19: [WI0] +5F\$27 

(0138+1;\$8\$17+@EXP(~(8\$206"2+8\$214"2+\$8226"2)/(56\$1)),0) (C238+1, \$8\$17+@EXP(..(C\$206\*2+C\$214\*2+\$8226\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) #IF(0238+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(D4206"2+D\$214"2+\$8226"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (E238+1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(E\$206\*2+E\$214\*2+\$8226\*2)/(\$6#1)),0) (F238+1, \$8\$17+65XP(-(f\$20""2+F\$214"2+5626"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 3251: 21F(G238=1,58\$17+@EXP(-(G\$206"2+G\$214"2+\$8226"2)/(\$G\$1)),0) EIF(H230+1,58517\*0EXP(-(H5205\*2+K5214\*2+5526\*2)/(5651)),0) 4522: EW103 \-12522: \-(1/\$B\$16)\*(\$B\$262-(\$B\$12\*\$B\$11\*B\$263))
(1/\$B\$16)\*(\$B\$262-(\$B\$12\*\$B\$11\*C\$263))
(1/\$B\$16)\*(\$B\$262-(\$B\$12\*\$B\$11\*C\$263))
(1/\$B\$16)\*(\$B\$262-(\$B\$12\*\$B\$11\*C\$263))
(1/\$B\$16)\*(\$B\$262-(\$B\$262-(\$B\$12\*\$B\$11\*C\$263)) w103 "P11 (814088)+(813089))08257 w103 "P1(tot) 58527 (2.3654077E-05=02)+64 (410] -P1 (410] \-A251: [WIOJ +5HS27 (2)1X. [01M --- [OIM] -V [017] •\$0\$27 •\$5\$27 •\$6\$27 •\$6\$27 ·SC527 (251 : 0265: E265: 263: 263: 263 563 263 283 22 202 263 8251 01F( 264 ģ

FEES: (1/18816)\*(588266-(58912\*58911\*65263))
AE65: (1/18816)\*(582562-(58912\*58911\*6563))
AE66: (w10) 'P2
AE68: (w10) 'P3

 F288
 +51427

 F289
 +51427

 F280
 +51427

 F280

 F281
 IFF(((188565) 2-18877) 2-88279 2)/(66511)>=000,0,1)

 F281
 IFF(((188565) 2-18877) 2-88280 2)/(66511)>=000,0,1)

 F281
 IFF(((188565 2-16877) 2-88280 2)/(66511)>=000,0,1)

 F281
 IFF(((188565 2-16877) 2-88280 2)/(66511)>=000,0,1)

 F282
 IFF(((188565 2-16877) 2-88280 2)/(66511)>=000,0,1)

 F282
 IFF(((188565 2-16877) 2-88280 2)/(66511)>=000,0,1)

 F282
 IFF(((188565 2-16877) 2-88280 2)/(6651)>=000,0,1)

THE REAL PROPERTY OF A DECK

F(B292+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(8\$265'2+8\$273'2+\$8280'2)/(\$6\$1)',0) @IF(C292=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(C\$265\*2+C\$273\*2+\$8280\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(D292+1,SB\$17+@EXP(-(D4265\*2+D\$273\*2+\$B280\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(B291+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(5\$265\*2+8\$273\*2+\$8279\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(C291+1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$265\*2+C\$273\*2+\$8279\*2)/(\$4\$1)),0) F(U291-1,58517\*@EXP(-(D\$265\*2+D\$273\*2+58279\*2)/(5651)),0) F(E291-1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(E\$265\*2+E\$273\*2+\$8279\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) [F(F291+1,58517+@EXP(~(F5265"2+F5273"2+50279"2)/(5651)),0) F(G291+1,58517+GEXP(-(35265'2+65273'2+58279'2)/(5651)),3) @[f.H291=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(H\$285"2+H\$273"2+\$8279"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A305: [w10] +\$C\$27 06. 01F(((D3265 72+03273 72+58284 72)/(~~31))>=200,0,1) 05. 01F(((F2265 72+58273 72+58284 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 055 01F(((F2265 72+63273 72+58284 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 056 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58284 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 077 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58285 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 079 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58285 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 071 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58285 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 071 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58285 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 072 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58285 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 073 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58285 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 074 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58285 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 075 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58285 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 076 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58285 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 077 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58285 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 076 01F(((H3265 72+63273 72+58285 72)/(5(51))>=200,0,1) 071 01F((H3265 72+63273 72)) CW101 +\$8\$27 +888827 +866827 +80827 +86827 +86827 +86827 +96827 1 Ë NOE NOE Ő ĝ E EOE 50 5 E

21F(F293-1,58517+@EXP(-(F5265'2+F5273'2+58281'2)/(5651)),0) 11 (0583-1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(6\$265\*2+6\$273\*2+\$8281\*2)/(\$6\$1)), 5) @IF(H293=1,\$B\$17\*@EXP(-(H\$2855\*2+H\$273\*2+\$B281\*2)/(9G\$1)).03 A307: [wio] +\$E\$27 01F(B294=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(B\$265\*2+85273\*2+\$8282\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) C307; ttff(C294=1,\$8\$17\*@EXF(-(C\$265\*2+C\$273\*2+\$\$?8?~2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 0307; @IF(D294=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(D\$265\*2~D\$273\*2+\$\$282\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(E294=1,58517\*@EXP(-(E5265\*2+E5273\*2+58202\*2)/(SUS1)),0) @[F(F294=1,38\$17+@EXP(-(F\$265\*2+F\$273\*2+\$8282\*2)/(\$681)),0) (G294=1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(G\$265-2+6\$273-2+\$8282-2)/(\$G\$1)),0) IF(8295+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(8\$265\*2+8\$273\*2+\$8283\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) IF(C295=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(C\$265\*2+C\$273\*2+\$8283\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) IF(D285=1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(D\$265\*2+0\$273\*2+\$8293\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 1F(E295=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(~(E\$265\*2+E\$273\*2+\$8283\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) G305: @[F(G292~1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(G\$265'2+G\$273'2+\$**\$280'2**)/(\$G\$1)),0) H305: C365: @IF(C293=1,\$8\$17\*0EXP(-(C\$265\*2+C\$273\*2+48281\*2)/(\$0\$1)),0) @IF(D293=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-<D\$265"2+D\$273"2+\$8281"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) E306: @IF(E293=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(E\$265`2\*E\$273^2+**\$8281**`2)/(\$0\$1)),0) @IF(N294+1,58517+0EXP(~(N\$265'2+N\$273'2+\$8282\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A308: [wi0] +\$F\$27 [F(F295=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(F\$265\*2+F\$273\*2+\$8283\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) IF(G295=1,SBS17+@EXP(-(GS265'2+GS273'2+SB283'2)/(\$G\$1)),0) @IF(H295+1,\$8\$17\*0EXP(-(H\$265'2+H\$273'2+\$8293'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A309: [wi0] +\$6\$27 [F(E292~1,\$B\$17+@EXP(-(E\$265-2+E\$273-2+\$8280-2)/(\$G\$1)),0) @IF(F292+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(F\$265'2+F\$273'2+\$8260\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(K292-1,58517\*0EXP(-(H\$265'2+H\$273'2+\$8280'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A306: [wi0] +\$0\$27 @IF(B293+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(8\$265\*2+8\$273\*2+\$828)\*2)/(\$6\$1:),0) H306: C308: 0308 F308: 6308: 890E8 BOEL G306; 8307 F307 ESC1 8068 E308 1305 6307

2

ē E Ξ C309: @If(CC396=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$2665'2+C\$273'2+\$8284'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(D296=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(D5265'2+05273'2+\$8284'2)/(\$651)),0) @IF(E296=1,58\$17\*@EXP(-(E\$265\*2+E\$273\*2+\$8289\*2)/(56\$1)),0) 01F(C297+1, x8s17+0EXP(~(C\$265\*2+C\$273\*2+\$8285\*2)/(\$651)),0) IF(D297+1,\$8\$17\*0EXP(~(D\$265\*2+0\$273\*2+\$8285\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 1310: 21f(E297=1,\$8%17\*0EXP(-(E\$265\*2+E\$273\*2+\$8285\*2)/(\$6\$1)},0) H310; @ff(H297=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(M\$265\*2+M\$273\*2+\$8285\*2)/(\$6\$1)),\$ A311; [w103 \-@[f(B296+1,58\$17\*0EXP(-(8\$265\*2+8\$273 2+\$8204\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(F296=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$265\*2+F\$273\*2+\$8284\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(G296\*1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(~(G\$265°2\*6\$273°2+\$8284°2)/(\$6\$1)),0) &IF(H296+1,\$8\$17\*0EXP(-(H\$265\*2+H5273\*2+\$8284\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A310: [wI03 +\$M\$27 #FF(8297+1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(8\$265"2+8\$273"2+\$8295"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) [F(F237=1,\$8\$17=@EXP(-(F\$265\*2+F\$273\*2+\$8285\*2)/(\$651)),0) @IF(G297+1,\$B\$17+@EXP(-(G\$265\*2+G\$273\*2+\$B235\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) fw103 "P11
f(814\*88)+(813\*89))\*8316
f(814\*88)+(813\*89))\*8316
fw101 "P1(tot)
+\$8557 (4.73281546-05\*02)+64 [w10] 'P1 [w10] \-(9)1X. --/ COIMJ -V CO103 +\$C\$27 +\$0\$27 +\$0\$27 [01m] E311: F311: G311: A321: A321: A322: A322: A322: C3222: C3222: C3222: C3222: :01E0 :IIEH 8312: A314: : I I E E :11EO :309: :000: :00E) :0160 IIE A313: 8316: A319: A320: 8320: 8309: 1309: 6309: ğ ÖIE 5310: : H1E6 A316: Ĕ

t (1/58516)\*(585321-(58512\*58511\*05322))
t (1/5816)\*(585321-(58512\*58511\*05322))
t (1/5816)\*(585321-(58512\*58511\*05322))
t (1/5816)\*(585321-(58512\*58511\*05322))
t (1/5816)\*(585321-(58512\*58511\*05322))
t (1/5816)\*(585321-(58512\*58511\*05322))
t (1/5816)\*(585321-(58512\*58511\*05322))
t (1/5816)\*(585321-(58512\*58512\*58512\*58512\*58512))
t (1/5816)\*(585321-(58512\*58512\*58512\*58512\*58512))
t (1/5816)\*(58512\*58512\*58512\*58512\*58512\*58512\*58512))
t (1/5816)\*(58512\*58512\*58512\*58512\*58512\*58512))
t (1/5816)\*(58512\*58512\*58512\*58512\*58512\*58512\*58512\*58512))
t (1/5816)\*(58512\*5851 (228316)+(288321-(\$8812+\$8811+88322)) (1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*85330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(585328))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65330))
(1/58516)\*(585328+(5859\*65363))
(1/101)\*7 04567\$8\$9) 66567\$8\$9) 75827\$201 75825 75829 75829 75629 86557\$857 86557\$857 86557\$8557 86557\$8557 86557\$8557 86557\$8557 86557\$8557 86557\$8557 86557\$857 86557 86557 86557\$857 865577 865577 865577 865577 865577 865577 865577 86557777 865577 8655777 8655777 8655777777 86557777777777 [w10] "P21 +912\*812\* +812\*81\* +56127 +56127 +56127 +5527 +5527 +5527 +5527 +5527 +5527 +5527 +5527 +5527 CW103 -58527 +\$F\$27 +\$G\$27 +\$H\$27 F328: F339: 1935: 1935: 1935: 1935: 8338: 8339: 8339: 8339: 8340: 8340: 332: 332: 6331 3332 255 332 IEE TEEP IEE

AN1: (ult0) -\*557 AN1: (ult0) -\*562 AN1: (ult0) -\*562 BN2: (5855/885)-\*5031 AN1: (ult0) -\*562 BN2: (5855/885)-\*6031 AN1: (ult0) -\*562 BN2: (5855/885)-\*6031 AN1: (ult0) -\*562 BN2: (ult0) -1cg (c table AN1: (ult0) -1cg (c table AN2: (ult0) -1cg (c table AN2: (ult0) -1g (c table

E35: 0[f(((E32A\*\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*9534\*1\*2)((5051))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f(((E32A\*\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*9534\*1\*2)((5051))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f(((E32A\*\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*0531))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f(((E32A\*\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*0531))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f(((E32A\*\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*0531))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*0537\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*0531))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*0537\*2\*9537\*2\*9537\*2\*0537))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*0537\*2\*95337\*2\*9537\*2\*0537))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*0537\*2\*95337\*2\*9537\*2\*0537))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*05337\*2\*95337\*2\*95337\*2\*95337\*2\*05331))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*05337\*2\*95337\*2\*95337\*2\*95337\*2\*06331))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*05337\*2\*95337\*2\*95337\*2\*06331))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*05337\*2\*95337\*2\*95337\*2\*95337\*2\*06331))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*05337\*2\*95337\*2\*95337\*2\*06331))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*05337\*2\*953337\*2\*95337\*2\*06331))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*05337\*2\*953337\*2\*95337\*2\*0(6331)))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053337\*2\*953337\*2\*95337\*2\*0(6331)))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053327\*2\*953337\*2\*95337\*2\*0(6331)))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053337\*2\*953337\*2\*95337\*2\*0(6331)))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053327\*2\*953332\*2\*95337\*2\*0(6331)))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053327\*2\*953332\*2\*95337\*2\*0(6331)))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053327\*2\*953332\*2\*95337\*2\*0(6331)))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053332\*2\*953337\*2\*0(6331)))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053332\*2\*953337\*2\*953337\*2\*0(6331)))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053332\*2\*953337\*2\*953337\*2\*953337\*2\*0(6331)))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053332\*2\*953337\*2\*953337\*2\*0(6331)))>=000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053332\*2\*953337\*2\*953337\*2\*063331))==000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053337\*2\*953332\*2\*95337\*2\*063331))==000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053337\*2\*953332\*2\*95337\*2\*063331))==000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053337\*2\*953337\*2\*063331))==000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053337\*2\*953337\*2\*063334\*2\*0(63341)))==000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*053337\*2\*953337\*2\*063334\*2\*0(63341)))==000,0,1)
F35: 0[f((E32A\*\*2\*05337\*2

(0351=1,58\$17\*@EXP(-(0\$324\*2+0\$332\*2+\$8339\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (F350-1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$324'2+F\$332'2+\$8338'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (G350=1, \$8\$17\*QEXP(-(G\$324\*2+G\$332\*2+\$8338\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) F(B351+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(8\$324'2+8\$332'2+\$8339'2)/(\$G\$1)),0) (C351+1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(C\$324'2+C\$332'2+\$8339'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (0, 1888)/(5'95588+5'55583+5'25583)-)4X30\*(1888,1=1253) (F351-1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$324'2+F\$332'2+\$8335'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (G351-1, \$8\$17-@EXP(-(G5324'2+G\$332'2+\$8333'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(H351=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(H\$324'2+H\$332'2+\$8339"2)/(\$G\$1)),0) 55: [wio] +\$D\$27 F(B352-1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(B\$324"2+B\$332"2+\$8340"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(C352=1, SB\$17+@EXP(-(C\$324'2+C\$332'2+\$B340'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (0352-1,58\$17\*@EXP(-(0\$324\*2+0\$332\*\*2+\$8340\*2)/(56\$1)),0) E352+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(E\$324\*2+E\$332\*2+\$8340\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (F352-1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(F\$324'2+F\$332'2+\$8340'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) '(G352+1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(G\$324\*2\*6\$332\*2\*\$8340\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(H352=1,\$B\$17=QEXP(-(H\$324'2+H\$332'2+\$B340'2)/(\$Q\$1)),0) 66: [wlo] +\$E\$2? F(B353=1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(B\$324'2+8\$332'2+\$8341'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(C353+1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(C\$324\*2+C\$332\*2+\$8341\*2)/(\$6\$1)),U) (0353-1, \$4\$17\*@EXP(-(0\$324\*2+0\$332\*2+\$8341\*2)/(\$6\$1),0) E353=1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(E\$324\*2+E\$332\*2+\$8841\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(F353-1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(F\$324\*2+F\$332\*2+\$8341\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(G353+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(C\$324"2+G\$332"2+\$8341"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(H353=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(H\$324"2+H\$332"2+\$8341"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A367. [wid] +\$F\$27 0)/(2020=1,58\$17=@EXP(-(E\$324'2+E\$332'2+\$8338'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) f(M350-1,\$8\$17\*QEXP(-(M\$324\*2+M\$332\*2+\$8338\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 64: [wio] +\$C\$27

21Ff(0354+1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(0\$324\*2+0\$332\*2+\$8342\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(F354+1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(F\$324\*2+F\$332\*2+\$8342\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(G354=1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(G\$324\*2+G\$332\*2+\$8342\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (0'((1\$0\$)/(2.2HE85+2.2E88+2.H2E88)-)dX30+21585'1+H5E8; :C354=1,58517\*@EXP(-(C\$324"2+C\$332"2+\$8342"2)/(\$651)),0) iff(E354-1,58517\*0EXP(-(E5374\*2+E5332\*2+548342\*2)/(5651)),0) if (H354=1, \$8\$17=@EXP(-(H\$324"2+H\$332"2+\$8342"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) \368: [w10] +\$6\$27 1[F(B355=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(B\$324\*2+8\$332\*2+\$8343\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) #IF(C355=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(C\$324"2+C\$332"2+\$8343"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) (0355=1, \$8\$[7\*@EXP(-(0\$324\*2+0\$332\*2+\$8343\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(E355=1, \$8\$17=@EXP(-(E\$324\*2+E\$332\*2+\$8343\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 21F(F355=1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(F\$324'2+F\$332'2+\$\$343'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) iff(H355=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(N\$324"2+N\$332"2+\$8343"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 369: [wio] +\$M\$27 PIF(8356=1,58517\*@EXP(-(85324\*2+85332\*2+58344\*2)/(5651)),0) :E356=1, \$8\$17=@EXP(-(E\$324'2+E\$332'2+\$8334'12)/(\$6\$1)),0) IF(F356=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$324'2+F\$332'2+\$8344'2)/(56\$1)),0) !|F(G356+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(G\$324°2+G\$332°2+\$8344°2)/(\$G\$1)),O) |369: ēff(H356=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(H\$324~2+H\$332~2+\$8344~2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 4370: [win] \-8370: \-1370: \f(G355=1, ses17\*eEXP(-(G\$324\*2+G\$332\*2+\$8343\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) F(D356=1, 48517\*@EXP(-(D\$324\*2+D\$332\*2+58344\*2)/(\$651)),0) IF(C356=1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$324`2+C\$332`2+\$8344`2)/(\$6\$1)),0) :07E 70: a transmostar a transmostar

and a subserve and the second s

--/ [OIM]

137E:

C331 (1/58316)\*(585397\*(5859°(5303)) C331 (1/58316)\*(585397\*(5859°(5303)) F331 (1/58316)\*(585397\*(5859°(5303)) H331 (1/58316)\*(585397\*(5859°(5303)) H331 (1/58316)\*(585397\*(5859°(5303)) H331 (1/58316)\*(585397\*(5859°(5303)) H331 (1/1516)\*(585397\*(5859°(5303)) H331 (1/1516)\*(585397\*(5859°(5303)) H331 (1/1516)\*(585397\*(5859°(5303)) H331 (1/1516)\*(585397\*(5859°(5303)) H331 (1/1516)\*(585397\*(5859)\*(5859)\*(5859)\*(585) H332 (1/1516)\*(5853)\*(5859)\*(5859)\*(585) H332 (1/1516)\*(5853)\*(5859)\*(5859)\*(585) H333 (1/1516)\*(5853)\*(5859)\*(5859)\*(585) H333 (1/1516)\*(5853)\*(5859)\*(5859)\*(585) H333 (1/1516)\*(5853)\*(5859)\*(5859)\*(585) H333 (1/1516)\*(5853)\*(5859)\*(585)\*(585) H333 (1/1516)\*(5853)\*(5859)\*(585)\*(585) H310 (1/10)\*(565) H310 (1/10)\*(565)

۷.

.

dif(((85383'2+85391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((C5383'2+05391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((C5383'2+05391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((F5383'2+55391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((F5383'2+65391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((F5383'2+85391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((H53833'2+H5391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((H53833'2+H5391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((H53833'2+H5391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((H53833'2+H5391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((H53833'2+H5391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((H5383'2+85391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((H5383'2+85391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((H5383'2+85391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((H5383'2+85391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif((H5383'2+85391'2+88400'2)/(\$6\$1))>=200,0,1)
dif(0,0) eif(((65383'2\*85391'2\*58401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eif((C5383'2\*05391'2\*58401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eif((053833'2\*05391'2\*58401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((55883'2\*65391'2\*58401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*58401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*58401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*58401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*58401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*58401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*58401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*58401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*589401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*589401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*589401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*589401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*589401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*589401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*589401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*589401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1)
eiff((65383'2\*65391'2\*589401'2)/(5651))>=200,0,1) @IF(((B\$383'2+85391'2+58406'2)/(\$G\$1))>+200,0,1) @IF((C\$383'2+C\$391'2+58402'2)/(\$G\$1))>+200,0,1) @IF((C\$3893'2+C\$391'2+58402'2)/(\$G\$1))>+200,0,1) @IF((C\$5893'2+F\$5391'2+58402'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) @IF((C\$58932'2+F\$5391'2+58402'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) @IF((C\$38333'2+65391'2+58402'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) @IF((C\$38333'2+65391'2+58402'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) @IF((C\$38333'2+65391'2+58402'2)/(\$G\$1))>=200,0,1) elf(((fs383'2+fs391'2+s8396'2)(s651))>+200,0,1)
elf(((fs5895'2+65591'2+s8396'2)(s651))>+200,0,1)
elf(((hs383'2+hs391'2+s8396'2)(s651))>+200,0,1) 758H2+ +50527 +50527 +50527 +50527 +50527 +56527 +56527 CU103 [610] [W10] 6411: 6411: 8412: 8412: 8413: 8413: 8414: A411: 8411: C411: E414: F414: 6414: H414: ù 111 : C420: 0420: F410: H410: 6410 F120: HYRO 6420 5420

Ī

.....

0+22: @IF(D409=1,\$8\$17=&EXP(-(D\$383"2+D\$391"2+\$8397"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) E422: @IF(E409=1,\$8\$17=@EXP(-(E\$383<sup>\*</sup>2+**E\$391**\*2+\$8397\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) [f(8409~1,58517\*8EXP(-(85383\*2\*8585\*5\*8587\*2)/(5651);0) C422: @IF(C409=1,\$8\$17\*8EXP(-(C\$383'2+C\$391'2+\$8397\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) [F(F409=1, SB\$17\*8EXP(-(F\$383\*2+F\$381\*2+\$\$337\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @1F(G409=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(G\$383"2+G\$391"2+\$8397"2)/(\$G\$1)),0) Y422: F(H409+1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(H\$383\*2+H\$391\*2+\$8397\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) IF(C410=1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$383\*2+C\$391\*2+\$8398\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) IF(E410+1,58517+@EXP(-(E\$383-2+E\$391-2+58398-2)/(\$651)),0) if(G410+1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(G\$383-2+6\$391-2+\$8399-2)/(\$6\$1)), 0) @ff(H410-1,\$B\$17\*@EXP(-(X\$383\*2+X\$391\*2**+\$B348\***2)/(\$G\$1)),0) A424: [w10] +\$D\$27 [F(0411=1,58\$17\*@EXP(-(0\$383\*2+0\$391\*2+\$8395\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @[F(M411=1,\$8\$17\*0EXP(~{H\$383\*2+H\$381\*2+\$8399\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A425: [w10] +\$E\$27 !!F(8410~1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(8\$383\*2+8\$391\*2+\$8338\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(D410=1, \$8\$17\*8EXP(-(D\$383\*2+D\$391\*2+\$8398\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) [F(F410=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$383\*2+F\$391\*2+\$8338\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(B411=1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(B\$383\*2+B\$391\*2+\$8399\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(C411=1,\$8\$17\*@EXP(-(C\$383\*2+C\$391\*2+\$8399\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @IF(E411~1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(E\$383\*2+E\$391\*2+\$8399\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) [F(F411=1,\$3\$17=@EXP(-(F\$383'2+F\$391'2+\$8399'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(G411=1,\$8\$17\*0EXP(-(G5383\*2+G\$391'2+\$8399\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) [W10] +\$8\$27 4423: [WIO] +\$C\$27 レリンシン 8421 C421 C421 F421 F421 H421 HH2H: 5423: E423: 6423: 5422 2121 1210

ΰ.

÷.,
#IF(C412+1,\$8\$17\*8EXP(-(C\$383\*2+C\$391\*2+\$8400\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) IF(D412+1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(D\$383'2+D\$391'2+\$8400'2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(E412+1, \$8\$17\*8EXP(-(E\$383\*2\*E\$381.2+5\$391.2+58400\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(F412-1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$383\*2+F\$391\*2+\$8400\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) iF(G412=1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(~(G\$383\*2\*G\$391\*2\*\$8400\*2)/(\$G\$1)),0) [f (H412=1, 88\$17\*8EXP(-(H\$383\*2+H\$391\*2+\$88400\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) 126: [W10] +\$f\$27 (0'((150\$)/(2.10585+2.16658+2.68658)-)4X38+21585'1+61583j1 F(C413+1, \$8\$17+@EXP(~(C\$383\*2+C\$391\*2+\$8401\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(D413=1,58\$17%@EXP(-(D\$383\*2+D\$391\*2+\$8401\*2)/(\$651)),0) F(E413+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(E\$383"2+E\$391"2+\$8401"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(f413=1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(F\$383\*2+F\$391\*2+\$8401\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(G413-1, \$8\$17\*@EXP(-(G\$383\*2+G\$391\*2+\$8401\*2)/(\$6\$1),0) F(H413-1, SBS17\*BEXP(-(H\$383"2+H\$391"2+SB401"2)/(SGS1)),0) F(8414=1,58517\*@EXP(-(85383\*2\*85391\*2\*58402\*2)/(\$651),0) F(C414-1, \$8\$17+@EXP(~(C\$383\*2+C\$391"2+\$8402\*2)/(\$6\$1)),0) F(0414-1,58\$17495776(05383\*2\*05391\*2\*059402\*2)/(\$651)),0) Lo,((1202)/(5\*50482+5\*12553+5\*5952)-)4X39\*71282,[54143) (0'((1505)/(2.20%8\$+2.1665j+2.2828j)-)4230+21595'1+%1%jj f(G+1++1, \$8\$17+@EXP(-(G\$383\*2+G\$83\*2+G\$83)-)/(\$6\$17)/(\$ @[f(H414+1,\$8\$17+@EXP(-(H\$383"2+H\$391'2+\$8402"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) A428: [wio] +\$K\$27 @IF(8415=1,58517\*@EXP(-(85383'2+85391'2+\$8403'2)/(5651)),0) 0)((1803)/(2.20403-2.162303-2-C2303.2-20403-2)/(2031))0) 10'((1505)/(2.E0H85+7.16E303.5+02383.5+023603.5)/(2.E0H85))/(2.E0H85+7.16E383)-)-) (0'((1\$9\$)/(2.E0H8+2.16E\$392.5+E\$39)-)488512+E\$1148511+51H3)418 427: CW101 +56527 0426 127 2 242

[f(B412+1,\$B\$17+@EXP(-(B\$383'2+8\$391'2+\$B400'2)/(\$6\$1)),0)

(F415-1, \$8\$17-@EXP(-(F\$383"2+F\$391"2+\$8403"2)/(\$6\$1)),0) @[f(G415=1,\$8\$17\*8EXP(-(G**\$303\*2+G\$391\*2+\$\$403\*2)/(\$G\$1**)),0) K428: @[f(N415=1,58517\*8EXP(-(H\$303\*2+H\$301"2+\$8403\*2)/(\$0\$1)),0) A429: [wi0] \-'Total Rhosum +[76+[135+[194+[253+[312+[371+[430 (E\$Q\$+(\{\{\\$v\$/690}) D69/\$A\$434)+\$D53) (ESO (6505 (E\$0\$+(7,E7,5V\$/698) (E\$0\$+(7,E7,5V\$/698) (ES0\$+(%E%\$V\$/898) CE\$0\$+(767\$V\$/89H (E505+(7675V5/695) (E505+(7675V5/695) (E\$0\$+(7675V\$/69H CESU\$+(HEHSVS/0/3 (E\$Q1 [2015+3434)+2023] (250\$+(7675V\$/0/8 (E\$Q\$=<#C#\$¥\$/1/8 (2505+(7275V5/1/) 8-(HEHSV\$/0/3 1. ( hEhSVS/693 1-(HEHSVS/0/0 1.70/\$A54343 Part X1(1) carticles 50+ COIN: m/s vel [010] +D2 E0+ COIM 10+ COIM scale 1103 :9678 6428 1874 6

atingenterstand

1

C457 BINT ((C131/545434) • 5053) F457 BINT ((C131/545434) • 5053) F457 BINT ((C131/545434) • 5053) F456 BINT ((C132/545434) • 5053) F458 BINT ((C132/545434) • 5053) Ā

**1** 

••

2

 H\*H5
 @INT((H)7,555493) \*5053)

 H\*H5
 @INT((C/C275554934) \*5053)

 H\*H7
 @INT((C/C275554934) \*5053)

 H\*H7
 @INT((C/C275554934) \*5053)

 H\*H7
 @INT((C/C275554934) \*5053)

 H\*H8
 @INT((C/C275554934) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C725554934) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C725554934) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C7255554934) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C72555554934) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C72755554934) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C727555554934) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C727555554934) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C727555554934) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C727555554934) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C72755555494) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C7275555494) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C7275555494) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C7275555494) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C72755555494) \*5053)

 H\*H9
 @INT((C/C727555555494) \*5

 G477
 @ INT ((G2Y9/545434) • \$1053)

 H477, e
 @ INT ((G2Y9/545434) • \$1053)

 F476
 @ INT ((G2SO/545434) • \$1053)

 F478
 @ INT ((G2SO/545434) • \$1053)

 F479
 @ INT ((G2SO/545434) • \$1053)

 F481
 @ INT ((G2SO/545434) • \$1053)

 F482
 @ INT ((G2SO/545434) • \$1053)

 F481
 @ INT ((G2SO/545434) • \$1053)

 F481
 @ INT ((G2SO/545434) • \$1053)

 F481
 @ INT ((G2SO/545434) • \$1053)

47.

E457 @INT((E190/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) F467; @INT((G190/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) B468 @INT((G190/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D468; @INT((G191/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D468; @INT((C191/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D468; @INT((C191/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D468; @INT((C191/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D468; @INT((C191/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D468; @INT((C192/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D468; @INT((C192/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D469; @INT((C192/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D473; @INT((C122/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D473; @INT((C2245/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D473; @INT((C2245/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D474; @INT((C2245/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D475; @INT((C2245/\$A\$434) \*50\$3) D477; @INT((C2245/\$ No. of the second s

🔎 to be a state of the second state of the se

-

 PY998
 EINT((C3569/5A5Y34)
 •D533)

 FY988
 BINT((C5269/5A5Y34)
 •D533)

 FY998
 BINT((C5269/5A5Y34)
 •D533)

 FY998
 BINT((C5269/5A5Y34)
 •D533)

 FY998
 BINT((C5269/5A5Y34)
 •D533)

 FY999
 BINT((C5269/5A5Y34)
 •D533)

 FY999
 BINT((C7569/5A5Y34)
 •D533)

 A5001
 EUNO
 \*Bart X1(7)

 A5002
 EUNIC((F222/5A5Y34)
 •D533)

 A5003
 BINT((C4722/5A5Y34)
 •D533)

 B5004
 BINT((C4722/5A5Y34)
 •D533)

 B5003
 BINT((C4722/5A5Y34)
 •D533)

 B5004
 BINT((C4722/5A5Y4)
 •D533)

 B5005
 BINT((C4722/5A5Y4)
 •D533)

 B5004
 BINT((C4722/5A5Y4)
 •D533)

 B5005
 BINT((C4722/5A5Y4)
 •D533)

 B5005
 BINT((C4722/5A5Y4)
 •D533)

 BYBB
 E INT ((E300, 54543) \* 5053)

 DYBB
 E INT ((E300, 54543) \* 5053)

 DYBB
 E INT ((E300, 54543) \* 5053)

 GYBB
 E INT ((E300, 54543) \* 5053)

 DYBB
 E INT ((C30, 54543) \* 5053)

 DYBB
 E INT ((C30, 54543) \* 5053)

 DYBB
 E INT ((C310, 54543) \* 5053)

 E INT ((C310, 54543) \* 5053)
 E INT ((C310, 54543) \* 5053)

 E INT ((C310, 54543) \* 5053)
 E INT ((C310, 54543) \* 5053)
 </

:• '

للاملية بليلية شمليا والمرافع

- 1

1

-

(6503 (E\$0) (E\$0) (E\$0) (ESO) CESO - ( H. HSV CHEFSU Ę ñ ħ ሕ **BINTCCF427** CG427 F428 2 Ē GINT z E. 'I ۳. . F508: F508: F509: F509:

Appendix B: Attenuation Spreadsheet Formulas

in the second

This appendix contains a printout of all the formulas used in the attenuation spreadsheet. As in Appendix A, each cell of the spreadsheet is listed on a separate line and the cell labels are set up so that letters represent columns and numbers represent rows starting from the upper left corner of the spreadsheet. The symbols used in front of the cell entries are the same as in Appendix A also.

The first row contains variables used for the calculations in the rest of the spreadsheet. The variables are the time after dispensing and the cloud scaling size. The second row calculates the 'z/V' term from Eq (42).

Rows 4 through 70 of the spreadsheet are reserved for the values in rows 443 through 509 of the density spreadsheet. In practice, the entries are transferred by first copying the values from the density spreadsheet into a separate file and then transporting them to this spreadsheet. The cells in the printout contain only zeros since until the data transferral occurs, the cells in this spreadsheet are empty.

The remainder of the spreadsheet calculates values for signal attenuation through the central column of the chaff cloud. Each of the 49 subsections in this portion of the

spreadsheet calculates the decimal fraction of the incident signal which penetrates through sections of the cloud corresponding to those in Figure 8.

214 237 237 20 1063 21298 21298 221298 21298 21298 21298	1034 149456 23934101 8318384 3205035 171256 171256 171256 20115	2905812 58212812 161730166 62313894 62313894 3329565 34080 54080 7813607	156531801 156539430 895539438 895539438 89533322 89533322 20115 20115 20115 53212912 53212912 53212912 53212912 53212912 53212912 5321395 5321395 53215 53215 5355 53555 535555 535555555555	24673 11034 110345 803194101 80318384 171255 17255 17255 1728 21298 23172 2317

لعدينينيس

Al. "t." Bl. 3600 Cl. 'C. In X=) B2: (Cl. 10 Cl. 'C. In X=) B2: (Cl. 10 Cl. C. 10 Cl.

	134	8241165
10	E34.	660785
	F34	11155
38502	634:	318
24576	A35:	14761
50223	835:	6249525
8397	C35.	121008227
2	:35C	324940750
EIOZ	535	121008227
416142	F35:	6249525
7586309	569	44761
28975915	:9E4	870267
9234007	836:	121506377
586359	C36:	53527014%6
8642	036:	6317659159
90778	E36:	2352701946
6975847	F36:	121506377
25136524	365	870267
5270561 <b>8</b> 7	: 7EV	2340112
57231974	: 168	326725533
0285287	( 37	632631651 <b>%</b>
66341	:750	16387919575
	E37.	6326316511
2515075	: 7E 3	326725533
	637	2340112
101117794	A3B.	870267
	838.	121506377
		2352701946
JULIANCE. Ren76	:8CO	6317659159
15077B	:9F:	2352701946
6975847	538.	121506377
PS106524	638:	870267
527056167	:8CA	19744
57231974	:668	6249525
0285287	C39:	121008227
66.341	.950	324940750
5013	E39:	121008227
1416142	F 39:	6249525
7586309	639:	14761
53375315	A40:	318
5234007	840:	44455
536353	с г г	860785
9842	540	5111E2
21	E40:	860735
7187	F40	55747
13851 P	640	318
1245""	844 .	134
1502¢3	844	18397
.6397	ž	350223
HE I		924576
		10100
14455		101/1
360785		101

• .

 AFS
 18945

 FYS
 7985

 FYS
 7985

 FYS
 7756

 FYS
 7757

 FYS
 7756

 FYS
 7757

 FYS
 7757

 FYS
 7757

 FYS
 777

 FYS
 7756

 FYS
 7756

 FYS
 7757

 E55
 2994101

 F55
 149456

 655
 71673

 655
 71673

 655
 71673

 655
 71673

 655
 71673

 655
 71673

 655
 71673

 655
 58213594

 655
 58212912

 655
 58212912

 655
 58212912

 655
 59533322

 655
 59533322

 655
 59533322

 658
 295313894

 657
 549362

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20115

 658
 20138

B76: GEXP(-5AL6-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*B76 F76: (GEXP(-5AL6-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C76 G76: (GEXP(-5A16-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C76 G77: (GEXP(-5A16-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C77 G77: (GEXP(-5A17-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C77 G77: (GEXP(-5A18-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C77 G77: (GEXP(-5A18-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C77 G77: (GEXP(-5A18-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C77 G77: (GEXP(-5A18-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C77 G77: (GEXP(-5A19-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C79 G79: (GEXP(-5A10-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C79 G600: (GEXP(-5A10-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C79 G600: (GEXP(-5A10-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C79 G600: (GEXP(-5A10-2.25E-10\*5A52))\*C89 H00: (GEXP(-5A10-2.25E-10 (68) ((EX)(-5855e2.25E-10\*5A22))\*683 Ha1 "POUC(2,3) B91 (EX)(-5855e2.25E-10\*5A22)\*684 C044 (EX)(-5815e2.25E-10\*5A22)\*084 F84 (EX)(-5815e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*084 F84 (EX)(-5815e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*084 F84 (EX)(-5815e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*084 F84 (EX)(-581562.25E-10\*5A32)\*084 F84 (EX)(-581562.25E-10\*5A32)\*084 F84 (EX)(-581562.25E-10\*5A32)\*084 F85 (EX)(-581562.25E-10\*5A32)\*084 F85 (EX)(-5817e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*088 F85 (EX)(-5817e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*088 F85 (EX)(-5817e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*088 F85 (EX)(-5817e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*088 F85 (EX)(-5817e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*088 F85 (EX)(-5817e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*088 F85 (EX)(-5818e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*088 F86 (EX)(-5818e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*088 F88 (EX)(-5818e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*088 F88 (EX)(-5819e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*088 F88 (EX)(-5819e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*058 F88 (EX)(-5814e2.25E-10\*5A32)\*059 F89 (EX)(-5814e2

 D91:
 (EEXP(-5C58-25E-10\*5A22))\*051

 F91:
 (EEXP(-5C58-25E-10\*5A22))\*051

 F91:
 (EEXP(-5C58-25E-10\*5A22))\*051

 F91:
 (EEXP(-5C58-25E-10\*5A22))\*051

 F91:
 (EEXP(-5C58-25E-10\*5A22))\*051

 F92:
 (EEXP(-5C58-25E-10\*5A22))\*052

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C58-25E-10\*5A22))\*052

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C58-25E-10\*5A22))\*053

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C58-25E-10\*5A22))\*053

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C59-2.25E-10\*5A22))\*053

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C17\*2.25E-10\*5A32))\*053

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C17\*2.25E-10\*5A32))\*053

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C19\*2.25E-10\*5A32))\*053

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C19\*2.25E-10\*5A32))\*053

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C19\*2.25E-10\*5A32))\*053

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C19\*2.25E-10\*5A32))\*053

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C19\*2.25E-10\*5A32))\*053

 F93:
 (EEXP(-5C19\*2.25E-10\*5A32))\*053

والمعالية والمستحد والمعالم المستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد وال

Ĩ

Ĭ

6111: 8112: 8112: 8112: 0112: 7112: 6112: 6112: 6112: A114: B114: HILZ 2 (eExP(-506\*2.25E-10\*5A52))
(eExP(-506\*2.25E-10\*5A52))\*8100
(eExP(-5026\*2.25E-10\*5A52))\*0100
(eExP(-5036\*2.25E-10\*5A52))\*0100
(eExP(-5036\*2.25E-10\*5A52))\*0100
(eExP(-5056\*2.25E-10\*5A52))\*0100
(eexP(-5056\*2.25E-10\*555))\*0100
(eexP(-5056\*2.55E-10\*555))\*0100
(eexP(-5056\*2.55E-10\*55))\*000
(eexP(-5056\*2.55E-10\*55) dETYP(-\$08\*č.25E-10\*\$A52) dETYP(-\$08\*č.25E-10\*\$A52))\*5102 (@ETYP(-\$038\*2.25E-10\*\$A52))\*6102 (@ETYP(-\$038\*2.25E-10\*\$A52))\*0102 (@ETYP(-\$038\*2.25E-10\*\$A52))\*0102 (@ETYP(-\$058\*2.25E-10\*\$A52))\*102 (@ETYP(-\$058\*2.25E-10\*\$A52))\*102 (@ETYP(-\$068\*2.25E-10\*\$A52))\*6102 eEXP(-\$09\*2.25E-10\*3A\$2) (eEXP(-\$019\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*8103 (eEXP(-\$019\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*0103 (eEXP(-\$019\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*0103 (eEXP(-\$019\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*0103 (eEXP(-\$059\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*0103 (eEXP(-\$059\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*103 (eEXP(-\$059\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*103 gEXP(-5D'-4.25E-10\*3A52) (@EXP(-5D17\*2.25E-10\*3A52))\*B101 (@EXP(-5D27\*2.25E-10\*3A52))\*C101 (@EXP(-5D37\*2.25E-10\*3A52))\*C101 (@EXP(-5D37\*2.25E-10\*3A52))\*C101 (@EXP(-5U57\*2.25E-10\*3A52))\*C101 (@EXP(-5U57\*2.25E-10\*3A52))\*C101 (@EXP(-5U57\*2.25E-10\*3A52))\*C101 (EEXP(-\$05%-2.256~10\*\$A\$2))\*899 (@EXP(-\$015%2.256~10\*\$A\$2))\*899 (@EXP(-\$035%2.256~10\*\$A\$2))\*099 (@EXP(-\$035%2.256~10\*\$A\$2))\*099 (@EXP(-\$035%2.256~10\*\$A\$2))\*099 (@EXP(-\$055%2.256~10\*\$A\$2))\*699 (@EXP(-\$065%2.256~10\*\$A\$2))\*699 (@EXP(-\$120°2.25E-10°\$A\$2))\*8104 (@EXP(-\$030°2.25E-10°\$A\$2))\*6104 (@EXP(-\$040°2.25E-10°\$A\$2))\*6104 (@EXP(-\$040°2.25E-10°\$A\$2))\*6104 (@EXP(-\$050°2.25E-10°\$A\$2))\*6104 (@EXP(-\$070°2.25E-10°\$A\$2))\*6104 (05XP(-\$614\*2,255-10\*54\$2))\*8106 (05XP(-\$624\*2,255-10\*54\$2))\*0105 (05XP(-\$634\*2,255-10\*54\$2))\*0105 @EXP(-\$010\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2) @EXP(-\$E4+2.25E-10+\$A\$2) Pout(5,1) Pout( 1,3) Pout CY.F. ' Pout ( 3. 7)

Pout(5,5)
@EXP(-\$E8#2.25E-10\*5A\$2))\*8110
@EEXP(-\$E18#2.25E-10\*5A\$2))\*8110
@(@EXP(-\$E28#2.25E-10\*5A\$2))\*0110
@(@EXP(-\$E28#2.25E-10\*5A\$2))\*0110
@(@EXP(-\$E58#2.25E-10\*5A\$2))\*0110
@(@EXP(-\$E58#2))\*0110
@(@EXP(-\$E58#2))\*010
@(@EXP(-\$E58#2))\*010
@(@EXP(-\$E58#2))\*000#0
@(@EXP(-\$E "Pout(5,3)
@EXP(-\$E6\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*8108
(@EXP(-\$E16\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*8108
(@EXP(-\$E16\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*0108
(@EXP(-\$E56\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*0108
(@EXP(-\$E56\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*0108
(@EXP(-\$E56\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*0108
(@EXP(-\$E66\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*0108
(@EXP(-\$E66\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*0108 c @EXP(-\$E7)e2.25E-10e\$A\$2) c @EXP(-\$E17e2.25E-10e\$A\$2))e8109 c @EXP(-\$E27e2.25E-10e\$A\$2))e5109 c @EXP(-\$E37e2.25E-10e\$A\$2))e5109 c @EXP(-\$E37e2.25E-10e\$A\$2))e5109 c @EXP(-\$E57e2.25E-10e\$A\$2))e5109 c @EXP(-\$E57e2.25E-10e\$A\$2))e5109 c @EXP(-\$E57e2.25E-10e\$A\$2))e109 c @EXP(-\$E57e2.25E-10e\$A\$2))e109 A111: 'POUL(5,6) B111: @EXP(-\$E9\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*B111 C111: (@EXP(-\$E19\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*B111 D111: (@EXP(-\$E19\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*C111 E111: (@EXP(-\$E19\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*C111 F111: (@EXP(-\$E19\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*C111 G111: (@EXP(-\$E59\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*C111 H111: (@EXP(-\$E59\*2.25E-10\*\$A\$2))\*C111 eEXP(-\$E5e2.25E-10\*5A52) (@EXP(-\$E15\*2.25E-10\*5A52))\*8107 (@EXP(-\$E15\*2.25E-10\*5A52))\*0107 (@EXP(-\$E15\*2.25E-10\*5A52))\*0107 (@EXP(-\$E15\*2.25E-10\*5A52))\*E107 (@EXP(-\$E55\*2.25E-10\*5A52))\*E107 (@EXP(-\$E55\*2.25E-10\*5A52))\*6107 (4EXP(-\$E20\*2.25E-10\*5452))\*8112 (4EXP(-\$E30\*2.25E-10\*5452))\*0112 (4EXP(-\$E30\*2.25E-10\*5452))\*0112 (4EXP(-\$E50\*2.25E-10\*5452))\*0112 (4EXP(-\$E50\*2.25E-10\*5452))\*0112 (4EXP(-\$E70\*2.25E-10\*5452))\*0112 (@EXP(-\$E44\*2.25E-10\*54\$2))\*E106 (@EXP(-\$E54\*2.25E-10\*54\$2))\*F106 (@EXP(-\$E64\*2.25E-10\*54\$2))\*6106 GEXP(-SE10•2.25E-16•3AS2) \*Pout(6,1) @EXP(-\$F4\*2,25E-10\*\$A\$2) Pout(5,4) 'Pout(5,7) 'Pout(S,

. .

Pout (4.

- 4

÷ ••

Ċ

CIIH: (GEXP(-FF14-2.35E-10\*5A22))\*8114 FIIH: (GEXP(-FF34-2.35E-10\*5A22))\*6114 FIIH: (GEXP(-FF34-2.35E-10\*5A22))\*6114 HIIS: GEXP(-FF34-2.35E-10\*5A22))\*6114 HIIS: GEXP(-FF15-2.35E-10\*5A22))\*6115 FIIS: (GEXP(-FF15-2.35E-10\*5A22))\*6115 FIIS: (GEXP(-FF16-2.35E-10\*5A22))\*6115 FIIS: (GEXP(-FF16-2.35E-10\*5A22))\*6116 FIIS: (GEXP(-FF16-2.35E-10\*5A22))\*6118 FIIS: (GEXP(-FF10-2.35E-10\*5A22))\*6118 FIIS: (G

HI20 (EEXP(-5F70-2.35E-10\*542)) 61120 EEXP(-501\*2.25E-10\*542)) 61122 EEXP(-501\*2.25E-10\*542)) 61122 EEZ2 (EEXP(-501\*2.25E-10\*542)) 61122 EEZ2 (EEXP(-503+2.25E-10\*542)) 61122 F122 (EEXP(-503+2.25E-10\*542)) 61122 F123 (EEXP(-503+2.25E-10\*542)) 61123 F124 (EEXP(-503+2.25E-10\*542)) 61123 F125 (EEXP(-503+2.25E-10\*542)) 61123 F126 (EEXP(-503+2.25E-10\*542)) 61123 F127 (EEXP(-503+2.25E-10\*542)) 61123 F128 (EEXP(-503+2

ī

.

•

ij.

.

E128: (QEXP(-50400°2.25E-10°GAS2))\*D128 F128: (QEXP(-5650°2.25E-10°GAS2))\*E12 G128: (QEXP(-5650°2.25E-10°SAS2))\*F128 H128: (QEXP(-5670°2.25E-10°SAS2))\*G128

.

.

. A. T.E.

and the second s

7. .\*

•

-----

## Appendix C: An Example Calculation

To help clarify the description provided in Chapter IV of the procedures for determining signal attenuation, an example describing the calculation of signal attenuation acheived by the chaff cloud is provided below. For this example, the dispensing velocity was 0.05 m/s and the time after initial dispensing was six hours.

First, the cloud model using the density spreadsheet was scaled up by factors of seven (for ease of subsequent calculations, and rescaling) until all of the chaff particles were contained within the subvolume at the origin of the grid. The size of this cube (cube A) was 120.05 km on a side with the subvolume (cube B) 17.15 km on a side. This is shown in Figure C1. The grid could now be downscaled by a factor of seven and still contain all the chaff particles inside.

Next, the density spreadsheet was rerun for subvolumes 2.45 km on a side to determine the extent of the cloud in the  $\xi$  direction within cube B. Using this procedure, the cloud was found to extend into (but not completely through) one subvolume on each side of the central subvolume of cube B (cubes D and E). Thus, the cloud was only 7.35 km thick in the  $\xi$  direction at this point in time. This is shown in



The second is the weather and

Figure C1. Initial Downscaling of Cube A

Figure C2. The number of particles within each of these three subvolumes was then recorded for the next subscaling.

È.

<u>.</u>

It is important to note here that only those subvolumes which contained a significant number of particles at each subscaling were considered further in the attenuation calculations. A significant number of particles was determined to be the number of particles which caused an attenuation of 0.1 percent or greater when Eq (42) was applied. For a subvolume of 0.35 km on a side, this number was determined to be approximately 545,000 particles. For a subvolume 2.45 km on a side, 26.6 million particles was considered a significant number.

Now, the spreadsheet was again used to downscale the cloud using dimensions of 0.35 km on a side. For the center subvolume from before (cube C), the origin was left at  $(\xi,\eta,\xi) = (0,0,0)$ . However, for cubes D and E, the origin was moved 2.45 km (5.82x10<sup>-5</sup> RU) in the plus and minus  $\xi$  directions, respectively. The number of chaff particles within the central column of cubes C, D, and E ( $(\eta,\xi)$  equal to (4,4)) for each of the seven values of  $\xi$  were now recorded. For this example, 21 sub-subvolumes 0.35 km on a side (cubes F through Z) were found to contain a significant number of particles to be recorded. Cubes F through Z are shown in Figure C3 and the number of chaff particles contained in each cube is shown in Table CI.



••

. 195

Figure C2. Central Column at 2.45 km Scaling



Figure C3. Central Column at 0.35 km Scaling

A . . . . . .

Ĩ

## Table CI. Number of Particles Along the Central

<u>Column at 0.35 km Scaling</u>

CUBE	# OF PARTICLES
F	5.72x10 <sup>5</sup>
G	1.60×10 <sup>6</sup>
н	4.03x10 <sup>6</sup>
I	9.10x10 <sup>6</sup>
J	1.84x10 <sup>7</sup>
к	3.35×10 <sup>7</sup>
L	5.47×10 <sup>7</sup>
M	2.41x10 <sup>8</sup>
N	3.16x10 <sup>8</sup>
0	3.72x10 <sup>8</sup>
Р	3.93x10 <sup>8</sup>
Q	3.72x10 <sup>8</sup>
R	3.16x10 <sup>8</sup>
S	2.41.10 <sup>8</sup>
т	5.42x10 <sup>7</sup>
ບ	3.32×10 <sup>7</sup>
v	$183 \times 10^{7}$
٧	9.02x10 <sup>6</sup>
x	4.00×10 <sup>6</sup>
Y	1.59×10 <sup>6</sup>
Z	5.67x10 <sup>5</sup>

Each of these 21 sub-subvolumes through the thickness of the cloud was now examined individually. The scale of the spreadsheet was again reduced to provide cubes 0.05 km on a side. The origin of the grid was moved in each case to the appropriate  $\xi$  location. Since only the central column of the chaff cloud was examined in detail, the coordinates for both  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  were always zero as the origin was moved back and forth through the chaff cloud.

-----

Finally, using the attenuation spreadsheet, the signal attenuation through each of cubes F through Z was calculated. Then, each of the 21 attenuation values for the 21 cubes with  $(\eta,\xi)$  equal to (1,2) were multiplied together to obtain the signal attenuation through the entire chaff cloud at those coordinates. Attenuation for the other 44 significant  $(\eta,\xi)$  values were then calculated in a similar manner.

Since the effectiveness of the chaff cloud is only as good as the worst attenuation value, the highest value for  $P_{out}$  obtained in the above calculations was chosen as the overall attenuation value for the chaff cloud. Using the above method, the signal attenuation for the chaff cloud with a 0.05 m/s dispensing velocity and at a time six hours after dispensing turned out to be -18.3 dB ( $P_{out}/P_{in} =$ 0.0149). Therefore, the cloud is "effective" for these parameters of velocity and time after dispensing.

The above procedure was repeated for every combination of dispensing velocity and time after dispensing that was examined in this study. Although the signal attenuation and the number of times the cloud was scaled up and down varied for each change in dispensing velocity and time after dispensing, the overall procedure was very similar for the additional runs required.

## Bibliggraphy

· . . .

Bate, Roger R. and others. <u>Fundamentals of Astrodynamics</u>. New York: Dover Publications, Inc., 1971.

Brown, Capt Tommy C. "Effects of Reflective Chaff on Satcom Uplinks" (Unclassified), Chapter IV in <u>Applications of</u> <u>Electronic Countermeasures Against Uplinks of the</u> <u>Defense Satellite Communications System</u> (Classified SECRET), MS thesis, AFIT/GSO/ENS/87D-05. School of Engineering, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright-Patterson AFB OH, December 1987.

Butters, Brian C.F. "Chaff," IEE Proceedings Part F, 129: 197-201 (June 1982).

Chen, Francis F. <u>Introduction to Plasma Physics</u>. New York: Plenum Press, 1977.

Dasenbrock, Robert and others. <u>Dynamics of Satellite</u> <u>Disintegration</u>. NRL Report 7534: Naval Research Laboratory, 30 January 1976 (AD-A020921).

Evans, LtCol Howard E. II. Personal Interviews. Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright Patterson AFB OH, January to November 1988.

-----. Class handout distributed in PHYS 519, The Space Environment, School of Engineering, Air Force of Technology (^''), Wright Patterson AFB 0H, October 1987.

Hameen-Antilla, K.A. "Statistical Mechanics of Keplerian Orbits," <u>Astrophysics and Space Science, 43:</u> 145–174 (1976).

Heard, William B. <u>Satellite Breakups: Survey of a</u> <u>Dynamical Theory</u>, NRL Memorandum Report 3802: Naval Research Laboratory, July 1978 (AD-A060742).

-----. "Asymptotic Distribution of Particles From Fragmented Celestial Bodies," <u>The Astronomical Journal</u>, <u>82:</u> 1025-1035 (December 1977).

-----. "Dispersion of Ensembles of Non-Interacting Particles," <u>Astrophysics and Space Science</u>, <u>43</u>: 63-82 (1976). <u>illustrated Encyclopedia of Space Technology: A</u> <u>Comprehensive History of Space Exploration, The</u> (Third Impression) edited by Philip de Ste. Croix. New York: Narmony Books, 1982.

Johnson, Nicholas L. and Darren S. McKnight. <u>Artificial</u> <u>Space Debris</u>. Malabar, Florida: Orbit Book Company, 1987.

- kessler, Donald J. "Space Debris: More Than Meets the Eye," <u>Sky and Telescope, 73:</u> 587 (June 1987).
- Knott, E.F. and others. <u>Chaff Theoretical/Analytical</u> <u>Characterization and Validation Program</u>. Contract DAAG29-80-C-0009. Atlanta Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, September 1981 (AD-A105893).
- MacLellan, D.C. and others. "Effects of the West Ford Belt on Astronomical Observations," <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>IEEE, 32:</u> 564-570 (May 1964).
- Overhage, C.F.J. and W.H. Radford. "The Lincoln Laboratory West Ford Program: An Historical Perspective," <u>Preceedings of the IEEE, 52:</u> 452-454 (May 1964).
- Peebles, Peyton Z. "Bistatic Radar Cross Sections of Chaff," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, AES-20: 128-140 (March 1984).
- Ross, Stanley. "The Orbital Motion of Pell \* Clouds," <u>The</u> <u>Journal of the Astronautical Sciences</u> <u>3</u>: 79-83 (Fall 1961).
- Shapiro, I.I. and others. "Orbiting Properties of the West Ford Dipole Belt," <u>Proceedings of the IEEE, 15:</u> 469-518 (May 1964).
- Stanley, William D. <u>Electronic Communications Systems</u>. Reston, Virginia: Reston Publishing Company, Inc., 1982.
- Thomson, William Tvrrell. <u>Introduction to Space Dynamics</u>. New York: Dover Publications, Inc., 1986.

Captain Alan R. Sterns was born in Ludington, Michigan on 12 December 1960. He graduated from high school in Green River, Wyoming in 1979. He then attended the United States Air Force Academy and graduated on 1 June 1983 with a Bachelor of Sciences degree in Engineering Sciences. Upon graduation, he went to work for Space Command inside Cheyenne Mountain in Colorado Springs as an orbital analyst. He worked there until assuming a position for United States Space Command as a staff officer in J3SOS in July 1986. From there he entered the School of Engineering at the Air Force Institute of Technology in May of 1987.

> Permanent address: 3845 Rosemere Street Colorado Springs, Colorado 80906

<u>Vita</u>

REPORT D	OCUMENTATIO	N PAGE			Form Approved
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		UMB NO. 0704-01
Unclassified					
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION Approved	/AVAILABILITY ( for publi	OF REPORT	ase;
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	LE	distribut	ion unlim	ited.	
A. PERFORM S ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NU	UMBER(S)
AFIT/GSO/ENP/88D-6					
. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF MO	ONITORING ORG	ANIZATION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
School of Engineering	(If applicable)				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (Cit	y, State, and Zli	Code)	
Air Force Institute of Tec	hnology (AU)				
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	45433-6583				
82. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMEN	INSTRUMENT I	DENTIFICAT	TION NUMBER
Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10 SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBE	RS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO	WORK UN ACCESSIOI
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)		مان دروی بر مدیر ریانک نامانک <del>و م</del> انند او .			
Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME CC	DVERED	14 DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Month	n, Day) 115	5. PAGE COUNT
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME CC         MS Thesis         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	DVERED	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC	RT (Year, Month	n, Day) 115	5. PAGE COUNT 171
Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF 13a. TYPE OF REPORT MS Thesis 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	DVERED TO	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC	RT (Year, Month	n, Day) 115	5. PAGE COUNT 171
12. PERSONAL AUTROR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME OF REPORT         17.         COSATI CODES	DVERED TOTO 18. SUBJECT TERMS (	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continue on revers	RT (Year, Month	h, Day) [15	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number)
12. PERSONAL AUTROR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME CC         MS Thesis         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.         COSATI CODES         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP	DVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chaff, Stat	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continuz on revers	RT (Year, Month e if necessary an chanics,	n, Day) 119 nd identify Orbits	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number)
12. PERSONAL AUTROR(S)         Alan K. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME OF REPORT         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.         COSATI CODES         FIELD       GROUP         17.       04         03         22       01	DVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chaff, Stat Spacecraft	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continue on revers istical Me Debris, El	RT (Year, Month e if necessary an chanics, ectronic	h, Day) 119 nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) 5, ermeasures
12. PERSONAL AUTROR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME OF REPORT         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.         COSATI CODES         FIELD         GROUP         17.         04         17.         04         03         22         01         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	DVERED TOTO 18. SUBJECT TERMS Chaff, Stat Spacecraft and identify by block r	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continue on revers istical Me Debris, El	RT (Year, Month e if necessary an chanics, ectronic	h, Day) 119 nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) 5, ermeasures
12. PERSONAL AUTROR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME OF REPORT         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         FIELD       GROUP         17.       O4         17.       O4         17.       O4         17.       O4         17.       O4         17.       O4         18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary         LtCol Howard E. Evans II	DVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS Chaff, Stat Spacecraft and identify by block r	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continue on revers istical Me Debris, El	RT (Year, Month e if necessary an chanics, ectronic	h, Day) 115 nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) 5, ermeasures
12. PERSONAL AUTROR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME OF REPORT         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         FIELD       GROUP         17.       O4         18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary         LtCol Howard E. Evans II	DVERED TOTO 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chaff, Stat Spacecraft and identify by block r	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continuz on revers istical Me Debris, El number)	RT (Year, Month e if necessary an chanics, ectronic	nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) 5, ermeasures
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME OF REPORT         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         FIELD       GROUP         17.       O4         17.       O4         17.       O4         17.       O4         17.       O4         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary         LtCol Howard E. Evans II	DVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chaff, Stat Spacecraft and identify by block r	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continue on revers istical Me Debris, El	RT (Year, Month e if necessary an chanics, ectronic	nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) sermeasures
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME CO         MS Thesis         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.         COSATI CODES         FIELD         GROUP         SUB-GROUP         17.         18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary         LtCol Howard E. Evans II	DVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chaff, Stat Spacecraft and identify by block r	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continue on revers istical Me Debris, El	RT (Year, Month e if necessary an chanics, ectronic	nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) sermeasures
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME CO         MS Thesis       13b. TIME CO         MS Thesis       17.         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP         17.       04         22       01         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary         LtCol Howard E. Evans II	DVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS Chaff, Stat Spacecraft and identify by block r	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continue on revers istical Me Debris, El	RT (Year, Month e if necessary and chanics, ectronic	nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) sermeasures
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME CO         MS Thesis       13b. TIME CO         MS Thesis       17.         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP         17.       04         17.       04         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary         LtCol Howard E. Evans II	DVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chaff, Stat Spacecraft and identify by block r	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continue on revers istical Me Debris, El number)	RT (Year, Month e if necessary and chanics, ectronic	nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) ermeasures
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME CO         MS Thesis       13b. TIME CO         MS Thesis       17.         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         FIELD       GROUP         17.       COSATI CODES         FIELD       GROUP         17.       CO4         18. STRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary         LtCol Howard E. Evans II	DVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chaff, Stat Spacecraft and identify by block r	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continue on revers istical Me Debris, El	RT (Year, Month e if necessary an chanics, ectronic	nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) 5. ermeasures
Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME CO MS Thesis 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 17. 04 03 22 01 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary LtCol Howard E. Evans II	DVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS Chaff, Stat Spacecraft and identify by block r	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continue on revers istical Me Debris, El	RT (Year, Month e if necessary an chanics, ectronic	nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) Sermeasures
Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME CO MS Thesis 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP 17. 04 03 22 01 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary LtCol Howard E. Evans II	IB. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chaff, Stat Spacecraft and identify by block r	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continuz on revers istical Me Debris, El number)	RT (Year, Month e if necessary an chanics, ectronic	nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) Sermeasures
12. PERSONAL AUTROR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME (C         MS Thesis       FROM	18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chaff, Stat Spacecraft and identify by block r	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC (Continue on revers istical Me Debris, El number) 21. ABSTRACT SE Unclassif	RT (Year, Month e if necessary and chanics, ectronic Average of the start post of th	nd identify Orbits Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) ermeasures
12. PERSONAL AUTROR(S)         Alan R. Sterns, Capt USAF         13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME CO         MS Thesis       13b. TIME CO         MS Thesis       FROM	DVERED         TO         18. SUBJECT TERMS (         Chaff, Stat         Spacecraft         and identify by block r         Image: Space Craft         and identify by block r         Image: Space Craft         Image: Space Craft	14 DATE OF REPO 1988, DEC Continue on revers istical Me Debris, El number) 21. ABSTRACT SE Unclassif 22b. TELEPHONE (	RT (Year, Month e if necessary an chanics, ectronic Nectronic CURITY CLASSIFI i ed Include Area Coc	nd identify Orbits Counte Counte	5. PAGE COUNT 171 by block number) 5. er m e a s u r e s

This study predicts the time evolution of the attenuation characteristics of a cnaff cloud deployed in. orbit around the earth. The study consists of three parts: applying the statistical mechanics solution of a satellite breakup model by William Heard of the Naval Research Laboratory, solving for particle density at any time after dispensing, and calculating the attenuation of an 8 GHz signal through the cloud. The study shows that significant levels of signal attenuation can be achieved, with attenuations of greater than -50 dB lasting for several hours.