# Naval Research Laboratory

Washington, DC 20375-5000



NRL Memorandum Report 6240

88 100 -

AD-A200 319

# Investigation of Collisional Effects in the Plasma Erosion Opening Switch

J. M. GROSSMANN, J. M. NERI AND P. F. OTTINGER

Plasma Technology Division Plasma Physics Division

R. KULSRUD

JAYCOR 1608 Spring Hill Rd. Vienna, VA 22180-2270



September 20, 1988

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

		REPORT DOCU	MENTATION	PAGE			
a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICAT	ION		16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS	<u> </u>		
A SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUT	THORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY OF	FREPORT		
			Approved fo	r public re	lease; dist	ribution	
b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRA	DING SCHED	ULE	unlimited.	•	·		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION R	EPORT NUMB	ER(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION R	EPORT NUMBER	\$)	
NRL Memorandum Report	6240		1				
a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGAN	NIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF MO	ONITORING ORGAN	NIZATION		
Naval Research Labora	tory	Code 4770					
L ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP C	lode)		7b. ADDRESS (Cit	y, State, and ZIP (	Code)		
Washington, DC 20375-	5000						
A. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORI	ING	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT	T INSTRUMENT ID	ENTIFICATION NU	MBER	
DOE		( appricative)	1				
L ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Co	ode)		10. SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBER	S		
Washington, DC 20545			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK DE AI	WORK UNIT	
					DP40092	DN680-38	
R. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Grossmann, J.M., Kulst Ba. TYPE OF REPORT	rud,* R.,	Neri, J.M. and	Ottinger, P. 14. DATE OF REPO	F. RT (Year, Month, J	Day) 15. PAGE	COUNT	
2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Grossmann, J.M., Kulsz 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	rud,* R., 136. TIME ( FROM	Neri, J.M. and	Ottinger, P. 14 DATE OF REPO 1988 Septem	F. RT (Year, Month, 5 ber 20	<b>Day)</b> 15. PAGE 47	COUNT	
2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Grossmann, J.M., Kulss 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *JAYCOR, 1608 Spring 1	rud,* R., 136. TIME ( FROM	Neri, J.M. and COVERED TO Vienna, VA 2218	Ottinger, P. 14 DATE OF REPO 1988 Septem 30-2270	F. RT (Year, Month, 5 ber 20	<b>Day)</b> 15. PAGE 47	COUNT	
2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Grossmann, J.M., Kulsz 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *JAYCOR, 1608 Spring 1 7. COSATI CODES	rud,* R., 136. TIME ( FROM Hill Rd.,	Neri, J.M. and COVERED TO Vienna, VA 2218 .18. SUBJECT TERMS (	Ottinger, P. 14. DATE OF REPO 1988 Septem 30-2270 Continue on reverse	F. RT (Year, Month, & ber 20	Day) 15. PAGE 47	COUNT k number)	
2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Grossmann, J.M., Kulss 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *JAYCOR, 1608 Spring 1 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SL	rud, * R., 136. TIME C FROM H111 Rd., S JB-GROUP	Neri, J.M. and COVERED TO Vienna, VA 2218 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Opening switc Anomolous tra	Ottinger, P. 14 DATE OF REPO 1988 Septem 30-2270 Continue on reverse ches, ansport in pl	F. RT (Year, Month, 5 ber 20 e if necessary and Plasma eros .asma	Day) 15 PAGE 47 I identify by bloc ion opening	COUNT k number) switches	
2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Grossmann, J.M., Kulss 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *JAYCOR, 1608 Spring 1 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SL	rud, * R., 13b. TIME C FROM H111 Rd., S JB-GROUP e if necessary	Neri, J.M. and COVERED TO Vienna, VA 2218 .18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Opening switc Anomolous tra	Ottinger, P. 14. DATE OF REPO 1988 Septem 30-2270 Continue on reverse ches, ansport in pl number)	F. RT (Year, Month, 2 ber 20 • if necessary and Plasma eros: .asma	Day) 15 PAGE 47	COUNT k number) switches	
2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Grossmann, J.M., Kulss 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *JAYCOR, 1608 Spring 1 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SL 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse During the conduct body of the plasma have addition, the maximum of roughly linearly with pl plasma switch show currito 1 <sup>273</sup> and n <sup>1/4</sup> . Here the the PIC simulations and current channels are obse anode dominated simular inferred.	rud, * R., 13b. TIME ( FROM	Neri, J.M. and TO TO TO Vienna, VA 2218 J8. SUBJECT TERMS ( Opening switch Anomolous tra and identify by block is of the plasma erosion rved experimentally to tried by the switch be ity, n, and switch like ted in skin-depth like collisions on the belle ental results in close the conduction curre ear scaling with lenge	Ottinger, P. 14. DATE OF REPO 1988 Septem 30-2270 Continue on reverse ches, ansport in pl number) opening switch to be much wide efore opening (the ength, 1. Collisive channels, with havior of the PEr- ent scales linearlight th and density in the state of the second the second second second second the second second second second the second se	F. RT (Year, Month, a ber 20 a if necessary and Plasma eros: .asma (PEOS), current r than the collision the conduction of sionless PIC con- the conduction OS is investigat In the collision by with 1 and a n the cathode of	Day) 15 PAGE 47 1 identify by bloc ion opening ion opening ion opening the channels in sionless skin d current) seems ode simulation n current scali ted and shown nal simulation as $n^{1/2}$ with d dominated case	count k number) switches the main epth. In to scale is of the ing close to bring s, wider ensity in e can be	
2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Grossmann, J.M., Kulss 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *JAYCOR, 1608 Spring 1 7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SL 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse During the conduct body of the plasma have addition, the maximum of roughly linearly with pl plasma switch show currito 1 <sup>273</sup> and n <sup>1/4</sup> . Here the the PIC simulations and current channels are obse anode dominated simular inferred.	rud, * R., 13b. TIME C FROM H111 Rd., H111 Rd., B- B-GROUP F if necessary tion phase of been obser current carri- lasma densi rent conduc he effect of d experime served and tions. Line	Neri, J.M. and TO TO TO Vienna, VA 2218 J8. SUBJECT TERMS ( Opening switch Anomolous tra and identify by block is of the plasma erosion rved experimentally to tried by the switch be ity, n, and switch like ted in skin-depth like to collisions on the belle ental results in close the conduction currer ear scaling with leng	Ottinger, P. 14. DATE OF REPO 1988 Septem 30-2270 Continue on reverse ches, ansport in pl number) opening switch o be much wide efore opening (the ength, 1. Collisive channels, with havior of the PE er agreement. If the and density if the and density if	F. RT (Year, Month, a ber 20 a if necessary and Plasma eros: .asma (PEOS), current r than the collision the conduction of sionless PIC con- the conduction OS is investigat In the collision by with 1 and a n the cathode of	Day) 15 PAGE 47 1 identify by bloc ion opening ion opening ion opening the channels in sionless skin d current) seems ode simulations as $n^{1/2}$ with d dominated case	count k number) switches the main epth. In to scale is of the ing close to bring s, wider ensity in e can be	
<ul> <li>2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Grossmann, J.M., Kulsz</li> <li>3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim</li> <li>6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION</li> <li>*JAYCOR, 1608 Spring 1</li> <li>7. COSATI CODES</li> <li>FIELD GROUP SU</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse During the conduct body of the plasma have addition, the maximum of roughly linearly with pl plasma switch show curr to 1<sup>275</sup> and n<sup>1/4</sup>. Here to the PIC simulations and current channels are obs anode dominated simular inferred.</li> <li>20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF DUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED</li> </ul>	rud, * R., 13b. TIME C FROM H111 Rd., H111 Rd., B- H111 Rd., H111 Rd.,	Neri, J.M. and $TO_{TO}_{TO}_{TO}_{TO}_{TO}_{TO}_{TO}_{T$	Ottinger, P. 14. DATE OF REPO 1988 Septem 30-2270 Continue on reverse ches, ansport in pl number) opening switch o be much wide efore opening (tl ength, 1. Collis te channels, with havior of the PE- er agreement. If the and density if 21. ABSTRACT SEC UNCLASSIFIT	F. RT (Year, Month, 2) ber 20 if necessary and Plasma eros: .asma (PEOS), current r than the collision isonless PIC cont the conduction of sionless PIC cont the conduction OS is investigat In the collision y with 1 and a n the cathode of CURITY CLASSIFICA	<b>Day)</b> 15 PAGE 47 1 identify by bloc ion opening ion	count k number) switches the main epth. In to scale is of the ing close to bring s, wider ensity in e can be	
<ul> <li>2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Grossmann, J.M., Kulsz</li> <li>3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim</li> <li>6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION</li> <li>*JAYCOR, 1608 Spring 1</li> <li>7. COSATI CODES</li> <li>FIELD GROUP SL</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse</li> <li>During the conduct body of the plasma have addition, the maximum of roughly linearly with pl plasma switch show curr to 1<sup>275</sup> and n<sup>1/4</sup>. Here to the PIC simulations and current channels are obse anode dominated simular inferred.</li> <li>10. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF DUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED</li> <li>2a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIV</li> </ul>	rud, * R., 13b. TIME ( FROM	Neri, J.M. and TO	Ottinger, P. 14. DATE OF REPO 1988 Septem 30-2270 Continue on reverse ches, ansport in pl number) opening switch o be much wide: efore opening (the ength, 1. Collisient the channels, with havior of the PER er agreement. If the and density if 21. ABSTRACT SEC UNCLASSIFIE 22b. TELEPHONE (1 (200, 767, 707)	F. RT (Year, Month, 2 ber 20 if necessary and Plasma eros: .asma (PEOS), current r than the collision the conduction of sionless PIC cont the conduction of sionless PIC cont the conduction of the conduction of sionless PIC cont the conduction of the conduction of	Day) 15 PAGE 47 1 identify by bloc ion opening ion opening the channels in sionless skin di- current) seems ode simulation n current scali- ted and shown nal simulation as $n^{1/2}$ with di- dominated case ATION ) 22c. OFFICE SY	COUNT k number) switches the main epth. In to scale is of the ng close to bring s, wider ensity in e can be (MBOL 71	
<ul> <li>2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Grossmann, J.M., Kulss</li> <li>3a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim</li> <li>6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *JAYCOR, 1608 Spring 1</li> <li>7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SL</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse During the conduct body of the plasma have addition, the maximum of roughly linearly with pl plasma switch show curr to 1<sup>273</sup> and n<sup>1/4</sup>. Here to the PIC simulations and current channels are obs anode dominated simular inferred.</li> <li>10. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED</li> <li>2a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIV John M. Grossman</li> <li>10. FORM 1473 BAMAR</li> </ul>	rud, * R., 13b. TIME ( FROM H1111 Rd., H1111 Rd., BIB-GROUP Friend recessory tion phase of tion phase o	Neri, J.M. and COVERED TO Vienna, VA 2218 J8. SUBJECT TERMS ( Opening switch Anomolous transformed and identify by block is and identify by block is of the plasma erosion rved experimentally to ried by the switch be ity, n, and switch be ity, n, and switch be ity, n, and switch be ity in skin-depth like collisions on the bel- ental results in close the conduction currer ear scaling with leng RPT DTIC USERS PB edition may be used up	Ottinger, P. 14. DATE OF REPO 1988 Septem 30-2270 Continue on reverse ches, ansport in pl number) opening switch o be much wide efore opening (tl ength, 1. Collisive channels, with havior of the PE- er agreement. If the and density i 21. ABSTRACT SEC UNCLASSIFIE 22b. TELEPHONE (i (202) 767-2 pt) exhausted.	F. RT (Year, Month, 2 ber 20 a if necessary and Plasma eros: .asma (PEOS), current r than the collision the conduction of sionless PIC cont the conduction OS is investigat In the collision y with 1 and a n the cathode of CURITY CLASSIFICA D Include Area Code 298	<b>Day)</b> 15. PAGE 47 1 identify by bloc ion opening at channels in sionless skin d current) seems ode simulation n current scali ted and shown nal simulation as $n^{1/2}$ with d dominated case ATION ) 22c. OFFICE SY Code 47	COUNT k number) switches the main epth. In to scale is of the ng close to bring s, wider ensity in e can be MBOL 71	

# CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
П.	DESCRIPTION OF SIMULATIONS	7
ш.	RESULTS	9
	A. Collisionless Simulations B. Collisional Simulations C. Plasma Injection Velocity Effects	9 13 17
<b>IV</b> .	CONCLUSION	20
	REFERENCES	22
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	35



iii

.

# INVESTIGATION OF COLLISIONAL EFFECTS IN THE PLASMA EROSION OPENING SWITCH

#### I. Introduction

Inductive storage devices store energy in the form of magnetic fields rather than electric fields and can, in principle, be made considerably smaller and cheaper than their capacitive counterparts. The plasma erosion opening switch (PEOS) is used in inductive storage applications as a means of pulse compression and voltage multiplication<sup>1-10</sup>. The switch consists of a low density plasma  $(n_0 - 10^{12} - 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3})$ injected with flow velocity, V,, between a transparent outer anode of radius  $r_x$ , and a solid inner cathode of radius  $r_y$ . In experiments on the Gamble I and II generators, the ion species is composed mostly of doubly ionized carbon ions, C<sup>++</sup>.<sup>11</sup> The switch plasma conducts the generator current for some period of time while the energy of the generator is stored inductively in the vacuum transmission line between the generator and the plasma. The conduction phase ends when the current in the switch reaches a level determined by plasma conditions and the geometry of the switch region.<sup>12</sup> At this predetermined current, the plasma ceases to act as a low impedance short to ground and current is rapidly diverted to the load (opening phase). This opening is accomplished by magnetic insulation of electron flow in a gap formed at the cathode, 13 presumably by erosion.

It has been observed experimentally that during its conduction phase, the PEOS allows magnetic field to penetrate deeply into the main body of the plasma<sup>13-15</sup> Both the depth of the penetration and the axial width of the current channel Manuscript approved May 27, 1988 induced in the plasma are many times wider than the collisionless skin depth. In fact, the conduction phase ends and the switch opens only when the magnetic field has penetrated the entire plasma. This phenomenon has provoked a great deal of theoretical interest. The problem is also of interest because of its application to the fusion program and, in particular, to the PBFA II light ion accelerator at Sandia National Laboratory.<sup>8,16</sup>

Earlier analytic work and simulations of the PEOS using both particle and fluid approaches<sup>17-22</sup> have shown that, in the absence of anomalous collisions, current and magnetic field penetrate the plasma during the conduction phase, but not in the manner expected from experimental observations. Experimental data<sup>13</sup> suggest that: a) current (and magnetic field) penetrates axially in broad channels; b) the penetration of the field at the anode and cathode occurs at almost the same, nearly constant, rate (the current flow is predominantly radial); c) the maximum switch current before opening (the "conduction current") scales almost linearly both with the plasma density, n, and with the switch length, 1;<sup>23</sup> and d) switch opening occurs at the cathode. The above scaling agrees with that derived from a model of the switch behavior based on a bipolar emission description of cathode physics which predicts that the conduction current scales linearly both with the plasma flux, nV, , and the switch area,  $2\pi r_{r} 1.1^{2}$ 

In several respects, collisionless simulations differ from this experimental picture. First of all, the penetration of the

magnetic field occurs at different rates and via distinct mechanisms near the cathode and the anode. Although separate mechanisms may be responsible for field penetration at the anode and cathode in experiments, penetration has always been observed to occur at nearly the same rate. In collisionless simulations, ions near the cathode are accelerated in the axial direction via JxB forces and form a space charge density clump moving through the plasma and toward the load (the "piston" effect).<sup>19</sup> This clump of ions serves to concentrate the electrons emitted from a broad area of the cathode into one narrow (on the order of a few skin depths) current channel. The magnetic field near the cathode penetrates more and more deeply into the plasma as the ion clump is accelerated toward the load.

Near the conducting anode, on the other hand, the current channel migrates toward the load by two mechanisms, depending on proximity to the anode. In the body of the plasma, electrons forming the current channel drift radially by the  $E_z xB_{\theta}$  drift and toward the load by the  $E_r xB_{\theta}$  drift. Directly at the anode, where the axial electric field vanishes, electrons can no longer drift radially, but must move axially toward the load until their gyroradii become large enough to allow them to cross to the anode. In this manner, magnetic field near the anode penetrates into the plasma by the axial drift of the electron current channel.<sup>19</sup> When the current channel reaches the load end of the plasma, it disconnects from the plasma and the switch opens. At that point, electrons become magnetically insulated and flow toward the load rather than between the electrodes. In the regimes considered

in this paper, the penetration of the magnetic field is faster at the anode than at the cathode, so the conduction time is determined by the mechanism(s) controlling the anode penetration. Two considerations alter the anode dominated picture: a) in a switch which is longer in axial extent and which conducts for a longer time, it is possible that the JxB acceleration of the piston at the cathode could control the opening process, and b) the anode may not be a perfect conductor. In experiments, a wire mesh or a set of widely spaced conducting rods define the anode plane in the PEOS, and the  $E_z$  field does not vanish at all points of the anode plane.

Besides the different penetration rates at the electrodes, other disparities with experimental results also exist. As mentioned above, collisionless simulations (both FIC and fluid) show consistently narrow current channels (~ a few skin depths). Analysis of this phenomenon and discussion of some effects near the cathode which may widen the channels are given in Refs. [19], [20], and [22]. In this paper it will be shown that conduction currents scale roughly like  $1^{2/5}$  and  $n^{1/4}$  in the collisionless PI simulations, rather than scaling linearly as observed experimentally. In addition, the conduction current in collisionless simulations will be seen to be insensitive to plasma flux. Since these simulation results compare poorly with experiment, it is concluded that the conduction physics in the collisionless simulations is different from the one operating in experiment.

It has long been speculated that an anomalous collision mechanism exists in the plasma and is responsible for the broad current channel observed in experiments.<sup>12,13,24,25</sup> Beside the usual instabilities, such as the Buneman, ion-acoustic, etc., which may be active in the switch, another electrostatic instability has been recently identified with many germane properties.<sup>26</sup> This mode has a linear growth time  $(\tau_{a} - 7/\omega_{ri})$  of about 2-3 ns for typical experimental parameters; its effective collision frequency is large ( $v_{1}$  < 0.4 $\omega_{n}$ ) because it is weakly saturated and grows to large amplitudes; finally, the mode propagates at the ion acoustic speed so that it can achieve this large amplitude without convecting out of the plasma during the time of interest. Simulations are currently being conducted to investigate this instability further, especially its non-linear behavior and dependence on plasma parameters. To include this instability self-consistently into the current class of two (spatial) dimensional particle codes is impossible because the unstable wave requires a component in the third dimension. Even with a three dimensional code, a completely self-consistent treatment of the problem may be difficult and expensive. The dimensions associated with experimental geometries is large compared with the cell sizes needed to resolve the cathode emission process and the wavelength of the unstable modes. A large number of macroparticles per cell are also necessary to resolve the Maxwellian distribution and provide enough electrons resonant with the unstable wave. For these reasons, an ad hoc method will be used here to include the effect of the instability in the PIC

simulations of the PEOS problem. The use of a particle code in the  $r = \theta$  plane to examine the instability in a small volume will be the subject of a future paper.

In the present treatment, a time varying region is chosen where the instability is assumed to be active and then a collision frequency,  $v_{1}$ , is selected. The collision term is incorporated in the electron equations of motion so that electrons are subjected to a damping force  $-v_m\gamma V$ , where  $\gamma$  is the relativistic factor. The collision frequency is chosen to be within the range of values predicted by the instability analysis, but its exact value and its region of influence are not chosen self-consistently or with reference to turn-on conditions, turnoff, saturation, etc. The underlying motive is to discover what effect such an instability would have on the behavior of the PEOS. Thus the purpose of this paper is not to prove that such an instability exists or to study the exact details of its effect, but to show that its presence could alter the behavior of the simulated switches so that they operate more like those observed experimentally.

The effects of anomalous resistivity have been investigated previously in particle and fluid simulations of the PEOS.<sup>22,25,27-29</sup> Although not treating the instability described above, the usual streaming, ion acoustic, and lower hybrid instabilities have been modeled in detail by including the appropriate transport coefficients.<sup>28,29</sup> Compared to collisionless results, these simulations have shown improved

agreement with experiment concerning channel widths and penetration velocity. In this paper, a more general study of anomalous collision effects is made, with the focus on scaling of PEOS operation with length, density, and plasma injection velocity for a fixed collision rate. Scaling studies are also performed for collisionless simulations and compared with the collisional results.

#### II. Description of Simulations

The work presented in this paper treats the conduction of current through a low density  $(n_{1} - 0.25 - 4 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3})$  plasma injected between two coaxial conducting cylinders as shown in Fig. 1. The plasma is injected through the anode toward the cathode with flow velocity, V, and is assumed to be azimuthally symmetric. The coaxial electrodes and the switch plasma form part of a circuit with the generator at the left and a load in parallel with the switch at the right. In the present simulations where the focus is on the conduction phase of the switch, the load is chosen to be a short circuit. The regions on both sides of the plasma between the plasma and the axial boundaries are in vacuum. An electromagnetic pulse is driven into the system from the left and induces current in the plasma. Current rises to about 120 kA in 5 ns. The time scale of 5 ns is chosen to reduce the computation time. To partially compensate for this artificially small time scale, the ion species is chosen to be hydrogen, H<sup>+</sup>, rather than carbon, C<sup>++</sup>.

Space charge limited electron emission is allowed on the conducting surfaces and particles that encounter the surfaces are absorbed. The 2-1/2D electromagnetic particle-in-cell code "MASK"<sup>30</sup> is used to treat the switch plasma, the boundary emission of electrons into the plasma, and the initial flow of plasma into the simulation region. The problem is done in r-z geometry and azimuthal symmetry is imposed. Three separate issues are addressed here. These are conduction current scaling with length, density, and plasma flow velocity.

Scaling simulations are run with and without anomalous collisions. Anomalous collisions are introduced in regions of the plasma in which the magnetic field is above a certain threshold ( 10% of the maximum B field at any given time) by turning on the collisional term  $-v_{a}m_{Y}V$  in the particle equations of motion. The magnetic field is used as a turn on condition because its presence signals that current is being driven through the plasma which, in turn, can drive the instability. As mentioned earlier, the motivation here is to determine whether collisional simulations of the switch more closely resemble experimentally observed scalings.

Although the length, density, and plasma flow velocity are varied in the simulations discussed herein, the same driving current waveform (rising linearly to about 120 kA in 5 ns), the same radial dimensions ( $r_{\kappa} = 2.5$  cm,  $r_{\lambda} = 5$  cm), and the same collision frequency (in the collisional runs),  $v_{\mu} = 1.0 \times 10^{10}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, are used in all cases. There was no attempt to scale  $v_{a}$  with density, magnetic field, or other parameter as dictated by any given instability. However, for reference purposes, note that the ratio  $v_{a}/\omega_{pe}$  varies from 0.09 for  $n_{o} = 4 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> to 0.35 for  $n_{o} = 2.5 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>.

#### III. Results

This section begins with an examination of length and density scaling for the series of simulations described in Table I. The discussion is broken into two sections, one devoted to collisionless, the other to collisional results. These two sections are followed by a third concerning the effects of varying the injection velocity.

# A. Collisionless Simulations

In Fig. 2, the motion of the current front, D(t), is plotted as a function of time for the case where  $n = 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, 1 = 10 cm and  $V_r = 1.8 \times 10^8$  cm/s. The position of the current front near the anode (r = 4.5 cm) and near the cathode (r = 3.0 cm) are plotted separately. The current front position is defined to be the axial position where  $B_{\Theta}$  begins to rise from zero. A least squares power curve fit is used on the data points. The current penetration distance during the first 0.4 ns is not represented accurately in these curves because the initial penetration,  $\delta$ , occurs rapidly over the distance of a few skin depths,  $\delta$  = 2.4 cm.

The anode and cathode curve fits,  $D_{k}(t)$  and  $D_{k}(t)$ , are tabulated in Table I, case (a). The anode front scales as  $t^{2+8}$ , while the cathode front as  $t^{2+5}$ . Since the current rises linearly in time, and the switch opens in this simulation when the anode front reaches the load end of the plasma  $(D_{k}(t) = 1)$ , it is inferred that the conduction current scales with length roughly as  $1^{1/2+8}$ . Similar scaling can be done for all the other collisionless runs shown in Table I (cases (b) - (e)). Taking a rough average of all the exponents of time in the function  $D_{k}(t)$ , the conduction current scales roughly as  $1^{2/5}$  with length in the collisionless case.

In Fig. 3, the current front position is shown for the case of a higher density plasma,  $n = 4x10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, l = 10 cm, and  $V_p =$ 1.8x10<sup>8</sup> cm/s. The initial penetration is reduced to  $\delta = 1.1$  cm, and the anode penetration curve scales as  $t^{2+3}$  with time, as seen in Table I, case (b). The functional dependence of the cathode end of the current channel on time varies strongly as the density changes from  $10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> to  $4x10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. As shown in Table I,  $D_{\rm K}(t) \sim 0.5t^{2+5}$  for  $n_o = 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, while  $D_{\rm K}(t) \sim 0.25t^{1+4}$  for  $n_o = 4x10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. Cathode penetration for the  $n_o = 4x10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> case follows the axial penetration of a leaky piston accelerated by JxB forces through the plasma.<sup>19</sup> However, at  $n_o =$  $10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, the current front position and piston do not track because a small amount of current is able to penetrate 4-5 cm

ahead (toward the load) of the piston. The amount of current more than 1 cm in front of the piston is less than 30% of the total carried by the switch, and the amount of current more than 2 cm in front is less than 15% of the total. So the piston still defines the most active region of electron emission. The piston in the  $n_o = 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> run depends on time roughly as the function  $0.4t^{1.4}$ .

Several other regimes of density and length have been examined and results are shown in Table I, together with estimates of the scaling of conduction current with density. All cases in Table I have  $V_{p} = 1.8 \times 10^{8}$  cm/s. Comparing the two 1 = 5 cm cases ((d) and (e)), at  $n_{o} = 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $4 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, we find from the actual time when opening begins,  $\tau_{a}$ , that the conduction current scales as  $n^{1/4}$ . The density scaling is obtained by assuming that I, -  $\tau_{o}$  -  $n^{\alpha}$  for a linearly rising current drive. When a correction is made for the different initial penetration depths (2.4 cm and 1.1 cm, respectively), the conduction time scales more like  $n^{1/7}$ . The corrected scaling is obtained by assuming the same initial penetration depth (2.4 cm for (a), (b) and (c), 2.5 cm for (d) and (e)) applies to the cases to be compared. A new estimated opening time is found by solving  $D_{\lambda}(\tau) = 1$  for  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (with the modified  $\delta$ ), and then used to scale. This scaling more accurately reflects the actual penetration velocity through the plasma after the initial current penetration has occured. Corrected scalings for l = 10 cm comparing  $n_{c} = 10^{12}$ ,  $4x10^{12}$ , and  $2.5x10^{11}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> show scaling as  $n^{1/4}$ .

The conclusions reached above for length and density scaling pertain to collisionless switch simulations for which anode current penetration dominates cathode penetration. Collisionless simulations of the switch using the implicit code "ANTHEM" in the parameter regimes of Gambles I and II ( $\tau \approx 50$  ns, C<sup>++</sup> plasma) with a conducting anode also show opening dominated by anode penetration,<sup>29</sup> so the conclusions obtained here for a  $\tau = 3-5$  ns, H<sup>+</sup> plasma should apply to the Gamble regimes. For a longer conduction time switch (i.e.,  $\tau_{a}$  > 100 ns with a C<sup>++</sup> carbon plasma), JxB acceleration of the ion piston could dominate the opening process in a collisionless simulation of the switch and stronger scaling with density and length would be seen. The same remark applies to the case when the anode is not a perfect conductor. If cathode penetration  $(D_{\kappa}(t))$  determined the opening time in these simulations, one would find nearly linear corrected scaling with density when comparing  $n_{r} = 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $n_{r} =$  $4 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (with  $\delta = 2.4$  cm, l = 10 cm). However, when comparing  $n_{c} = 2.5 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $n_{c} = 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, the scaling becomes n<sup>0.4</sup>. This change in the scaling may be the result of the effect described earlier, namely that the cathode current front tracks the piston at high densities but can move slightly ahead of the piston at low densities. Conduction current scaling with length can also be inferred from the indices of time in the functions  $D_{\kappa}(t)$ . Again the scaling for the 1 = 10 cm runs seems to be a function of the density regime. At densities of 1012 cm<sup>-3</sup> or lower, the conduction current would scale roughly as  $1^{1/2.3}$ , while at  $n_{2} = 4 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  it scales as  $1^{1/1.4}$ .

Summarizing the collisionless results, it is concluded that conduction current scales roughly as  $1^{2/5}$  with length and as  $n^{1/4}$ with density in this anode dominated regime. Stronger scalings are found from the cathode penetration distances. However, the scaling is dependent on the density regime. At the cathode, current penetrates primarily by the axial JxB acceleration of a leaky ion piston, while at the anode axial ExB drift of electrons moves the front toward the load. The current channels observed in collisionless runs are usually about 1 cm wide for  $n_o = 4 \times 10^{12}$  $cm^{-3}$ , 1.5-2.5 cm wide for  $n_o = 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and 3-4.5 cm for  $n_o =$ 2.5x10<sup>11</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>. These widths are about 3-4 skin depths at the various densities.

The anode penetration distances presented here depend on time to some power greater than two, while similar distances presented in Ref. [19] depend logarithmically. This apparent discrepancy is a result of the radial location of the measurement. In the present paper, the axial penetration distance is measured at r = 4.5 cm. In the previous work it was taken at r = 5.0 cm where the axial ExB drift dominates because  $E_z$  and the radial ExB drift vanish at the conducting anode. These differences again give an indication of how important the anode boundary conditions are.

#### B. Collisional Simulations

Current penetration distances from three collisional

simulations are shown in Fig. 4. The parameters used are outlined in Table I, cases (f)-(h). Only the first 3.2 ns of the  $n_1 = 4 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> run are shown. In the lower density regimes, the anode and cathode currents migrate through the plasma at approximately the same rate, so only one curve is drawn. At higher densities (>  $2 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>), the penetration rates are different. This occurs because the collisional frequency  $v_{1}$  =  $10^{10}$  s<sup>-1</sup> used in the higher density cases is not sufficient to prevent the JxB piston effect described in the introduction. When a higher collision frequency,  $v_{1} = 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , is used in the  $n_{1} = 4 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> case, anode penetration remains almost the same as for  $v_{1} = 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , but cathode penetration proceeds at a faster pace. At t = 3.2 ns with  $v_{1} = 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , the cathode current channel is 0.8 cm behind the anode current channel, while with  $v_1 = 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , the channels are separated axially by 1.3 cm. That collisions have a more substantial effect at the cathode than at the anode is consistent with earlier conjecture that anomalous collisions at the cathode alone may be sufficient to cause broad channels and a deeply penetrating magnetic field.22,25

The first observation from Fig. 4 is that the penetration is linear in time rather than a power of time. The conduction current therefore scales linearly with switch length. Figure 4 also shows that the rate of penetration is more strongly dependent on the density than in the collisionless simulations. As detailed in Table I, the slope of the anode curve is 2.9 cm/ns at  $n_a = 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, while it is 1.34 cm/ns at  $n_a = 4x10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>

and 2.2 cm/ns at  $n_o = 2 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. At the lower density,  $n_o = 2.5 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, the slope is 4.9 cm/ns. The scalings for these cases show that the conduction time scales roughly as  $n^{1/2}$  in the anode dominated case.

If the conduction process were cathode dominated (because the anode is not a perfect conductor, for example), then even stronger scalings would apply. According to the  $D_{\kappa}(t)$  curves in the collisional case, conduction current scaling is linear in both density and length. In contrast to the collisionless case, these scalings are independent of density regime. The mechanism behind cathode penetration in the collisional simulations is no longer axial JxB acceleration as in the collisionless cases. The scaling of cathode penetration with density and length suggests that the mechanism is related to bipolar space charge limited emission as described in.<sup>12</sup>

An additional important observation concerning the collisional results is that, as found in previous work, the current channels are wider than in the collisionless regime. It is found that for a 10 cm plasma, channel widths of 7-9 cm are typical. The current is spread fairly uniformly early in a simulation, but becomes somewhat more concentrated near the generator side of the plasma late in time after plasma density accumulates in a weak ion piston which forms near the cathode. In Figs. 5 - 7, a comparison is made between collisionless and collisional results. The figures are taken from cases (a) and (f) of Table I. The axial length of the region shown is 12.8 cm.

and its radial height is 2.5 cm. Dashed vertical lines in the simulation region define the boundary of the original plasma fill. Figure 5 shows magnetic field contours at t = 2.4 ns for the collisionless and the collisional case. The contour levels are the same in both plots and vary from -4.5 kG (level 1) to - 55.56 G (level 9) with an increment of 0.55 kG per level. The figure shows that the magnetic field is spread uniformly over a width of about 7.6 cm in the collisional simulations, with a slight concentration of current near the generator side of the plasma. In the collisionless simulations, current is carried diagonally between the electrodes in a channel of width  $\sim 2$  cm.

In Figs. 6 and 7, electron and ion particle plots compare results from the collisionless and collisional simulations at t = 2.4 ns. As reported in earlier work,<sup>19</sup> in the collisionless case electrons ExB drift diagonally between the electrodes, with the electric field in the drift channel produced largely by net positive space charge to the left of the diagonal electron current channel. Ion charge accumulates near the cathode in a diagonal piston which JxB accelerates axially toward the load.

In contrast, the electron flow in the collisional case (see Figs. 5 (b) and 6(b)), is predominantly radial and spread throughout the plasma. Certain effects from the collisionless regime are also manifest. For example, diagonal flow is established at the generator edge of the plasma, but some electrons spiral in an ExB drift which does not allow them to cross to the anode. That no current crosses to the anode at the generator side of the plasma can be seen by studying Figs. 5(b) and 6(b). As in the collisionless regime, the electric field

responsible for the ExB drift is produced by space charge separation. Also, a piston forms in the ions even in the collisional case, although generally it is smaller and takes a few nanoseconds longer to appear.

## C. Plasma Injection Velocity Effects

An examination has been made of the sensitivity of the current penetration velocity on the plasma injection velocity,  $V_r$ . Although no direct experimental evidence exists for this scaling, the NRL theory<sup>12</sup> of current penetration velocity scaling with  $V_r$  suggests that it should be linear, with a correction factor depending on the ratio of the cathode gap potential,  $e\phi$ , to the ion injection energy  $M_i V_r^2/2.2^0$  The space charge limited current density is given by,

$$j_{scl} = \alpha j_{bp}$$
 (1)

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{1+F} - 1}{\sqrt{F}}, \qquad (2)$$

and

$$F = \frac{2Ze\Phi}{M_i V_F^2}$$
 (3)

Here Z is the ion charge state and  $M_i$  the ion mass. In Eq.(1),  $j_{bp}$  is the usual bipolar current density relating the electron current density to the smaller ion current density,  $n_i \text{ZeV}_F$ , so that,

$$j_{bp} = \sqrt{\frac{M_i}{Zm_e}} n_i ZeV_F . \qquad (4)$$

Note that the correction factor,  $\alpha$ , results from injecting ions into the accelerating gap with non-zero energy. From Eqs. (1)-(4), a  $10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, H<sup>+</sup> plasma of length l = 5 cm, injected at V<sub>2</sub> = 1.8x10<sup>8</sup> cm/s into the system depicted in Fig. 1 is expected to conduct approximately I = 65 kA before opening (assuming that n, =  $10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> at the cathode and that the gap potential  $\Phi = 10^5$  V, as is typical in the simulations). In the collisionless and collisional simulations, however, I = 37 kA, suggesting that other factors also affect the conduction current I. Scaling with V, can still be tested by assuming that  $I_{c} = 37$  kA is the space charge limited current for  $V_{ro} = 1.8 \times 10^8$  cm/s and then injecting  $V_{p} = 4V_{p}$  and  $V_{p} = V_{p}/4$  to see if I increases or decreases incrementally as expected from Eqs. (1)-(4). The results are shown in Table II. From left to right in the table are the collision frequency, injection velocity, cathode gap potential, the factor  $\alpha$  from Eq. (2), and the predicted conduction current,  $I_{s}^{P}$ . The current  $I_{s}^{P}$  is found by using Eqs. (1)-(4) and then adding the ion current at the cathode in order to obtain the full conduction current,  $I_s^p = I_e + I_1$ . Because  $r_s$ =  $r_{\rm A}/2$  and because the initial plasma density is uniform in r, the cathode ion current is one half the ion current injected at the anode, I,, shown in the next column in Table II. A comparison of I P to the actual conduction current, I, in the right-most column shows poor correspondence in both the

collisional and collisionless regimes. Little change is observed in I<sub>o</sub> as V<sub>r</sub> is varied in the collisionless regime. Collisional results do show sensitivity to V<sub>r</sub>, but the scaling is weaker than expected from Eqs. (1)-(4).

In the collisional runs, a curious correspondence exists between the additional conduction current carried by the simulated PEOS and the additional ion current, I<sub>r</sub>, injected at the anode into the system. Of course, both electrons and ions are injected at the anode with the same injection velocity, but many electrons begin to ExB drift axially so that a radial ion current I<sub>r</sub> =  $2\pi Ir_x n_i ZeV_r$  becomes available near the anode. A simulation of a stationary plasma ( $V_r = 0$ ) shows that it carries conduction current I<sub>a</sub> = 33 kA. Using this as a base current, the conduction current due to the additional ion current, I<sub>r</sub>, becomes I<sub>i</sub><sup>P</sup>, shown in the next to last column (I<sub>i</sub><sup>P</sup> = I<sub>a</sub> + I<sub>r</sub>). In the collisional regime, I<sub>i</sub><sup>P</sup> predicts the conduction current fairly well for all injection velocities except the 1.44x10<sup>9</sup> cm/s case. It is possible that the (initially comoving) electron current is not deflected axially as effectively at these high velocities.

The conclusions reached above apply to the case simulated above where the anode is a perfect conductor. If the anode allowed an axial electric field, electrons could drift radially near the anode and different scaling with  $V_r$  may be seen.

#### IV. Conclusion

An attempt has been made in this paper to reconcile experimental observations and numerical results regarding the conduction phase of the PEOS. Experimentally it is found that current and field penetrate axially into the plasma in wide channels.<sup>13-15</sup> More recently, the conduction current has been observed to scale roughly linearly with plasma length and density.<sup>23</sup> An analytic model of the switch predicts that the conduction current should scale linearly with density, length, and injection velocity.<sup>12</sup> In contrast to these expectations, collisionless simulations of the PEOS in the anode dominated case show narrow channels,  $n^{1/4}$  dependence on density, almost no sensitivity to injection velocity, and  $1^{2/5}$  scaling of the conduction current with length. From an examination of the cathode penetration curves in the collisionless simulations, conduction current scalings with length and density depend on the density regime in question. Generally, the scalings are stronger at the cathode than the anode, but still sublinear. The current penetrates at the cathode by convective JxB acceleration of a piston toward the load, although at low densities a small amount of current is able to penetrate ahead of the piston.

When an ad hoc collisional model is introduced in the simulations, agreement with experiment improves. In these anode dominated simulations, conduction current scales linearly with length, as  $n^{1/2}$  with density, and much wider current channels are produced. Although some sensitivity to injection velocity is

seen, the dependence is much weaker than predicted from a space charge limited model of the conduction phase. Even better agreement with experiment is obtained from the cathode penetration curves in the collisional simulations. It can be inferred that that if the conduction phase of the switch were cathode dominated, then the conduction current would scale linearly with both length and density. Unlike the collisionless results, these scalings are independent of the density regime being considered. The cathode penetration mechanism in the collisional case is no longer axial JxB acceleration, and seems consistent with the NRL model.<sup>12</sup>

Future work in this area should confirm the cathode dominated scalings using non-conducting anode boundary conditions. More work is also needed to examine the effects of improved modeling of instabilities, and to understand the weak conduction current scaling with injection velocity. References

<sup>1</sup>C.W. Mendel, Jr. and S.A. Goldstein, J. Appl. Phys., 48, 1004 (1977).

<sup>2</sup>R. Stringfield, R. Schneider, R.D. Genuario, I. Roth, K. Childers, C. Stallings, and D. Dakin, J. Appl. Phys., 52, 1278 (1981).

<sup>3</sup>R.A. Meger, R.J. Commisso, G. Cooperstein, and Shyke A. Goldstein, Appl. Phys. Lett., **42**, 943 (1983).

**4**S. Miyamoto, N. Yugami, H. Fujita, T. Ozaki, K. Imasaki, S. Nakai, and C. Yamanaka, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., 25, L108 (1986).

<sup>5</sup>B.V. Weber, R.J. Commisso, G. Cooperstein, J.M. Grossmann, D.D. Hinshelwood, D. Mosher, J.M. Neri, P.F. Ottinger, S.J. Stephanakis, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci., 15, 635 (1987).

<sup>6</sup>S.P. Bugaev, B.M. Kival'chuk, and G.A. Mesyats, Proc. Sixth Int. Conf. on High-Power Particle Beams (Kobe, Japan), 878 (1986).

<sup>7</sup>J.M. Neri, J.R. Boller, P.F. Ottinger, B.V. Weber, and F.C. Young, Appl. Phys. Lett., 50, 1331 (1987).

<sup>8</sup>R.W. Stinnett, D.H. McDaniel, G.E. Rochau, W.B. Moore, E.W. Gray, T.J. Renk, H.N. Woodall, T.W. Hussey, S.S. Payne, R.J. Commisso, J.M. Grossmann, D.D. Hinshelwood, R.A. Meger, J.M. Neri, W.F. Oliphant, P.F. Ottinger, and B.V. Weber, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci., 15, 557 (1987).

<sup>9</sup>H. Bluhm, K. Boehnel, P.Hoppe, H.U. Karow, and D. Rusch, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci., 15, 654 (1987).

<sup>10</sup>C. Bruno, J. Delvaux, A. Nicolas, and M. Roche, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci., 15, 686 (1987).

<sup>11</sup>R.J. Commisso, D.D. Hinshelwood, J.M. Neri, W.H. Oliphant, and B.V. Weber, NRL Memorandum Report 6057, (1987).

<sup>12</sup> P.F. Ottinger, S.A. Goldstein, and R.A. Meger, J. Appl. Phys., 56, 774 (1984).

<sup>13</sup>B.V. Weber, R.J. Commisso, R.A. Meger, J.M. Neri, W.F. Oliphant, and P.F. Ottinger, Appl. Phys. Lett., 45, 1043 (1984).

<sup>14</sup>D.D. Hinshelwood, J.R. Boller, R.J. Commisso, G. Cooperstein, R.A. Meger, J.M. Neri, P.F. Ottinger, and B.V. Weber, Appl. Phys. Lett., 49, 1635 (1986).

<sup>15</sup>D.D. Hinshelwood, J.R. Boller, R.J. Commisso, G. Cooperstein, R.A. Meger, J.M. Neri, P.F. Ottinger, and B.V. Weber, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci., 15, 564 (1987). 16 J.P. VanDevender and D.L. Cook, Science, 232, 831 (1986).

<sup>17</sup>E.M. Waisman, P.G. Steen, D.E. Parks, and A. Wilson, Appl. Phys. Lett., 46, 1045 (1985).

<sup>1\*</sup>J.M. Grossmann, J.M. Neri, P.F. Ottinger, and A.T. Drobot, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 29, 1207 (1984): J.M. Grossmann, P.F. Ottinger, J.M. Neri, D. Mosher, and A.T. Drobot, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 30, 1448 (1985).

<sup>19</sup> J.M. Grossmann, P.F. Ottinger, J.M. Neri, and A.T. Drobot, Phys. Fluids, 29, 2724 (1986).

<sup>2</sup><sup>o</sup>D. Mosher, J.M. Grossmann and P.F. Ottinger, IEEE. Trans. Plasma Sci., 15, 695 (1987).

<sup>21</sup>R.J. Mason, J.M. Wallace, and K. Lee, Proc. of the 6th Int. Conf. on High Power Particle Beams, (Kobe, Japan), 295 (1986).

<sup>22</sup>J.M. Grossmann, D. Mosher, and P.F. Ottinger, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci., 15, 704 (1987).

<sup>23</sup>B.V. Weber, R.J. Commisso, P.J. Goodrich, D.D. Hinshelwood, W.F. Oliphant, and P.F. Ottinger, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 32, 1878 (1987).

<sup>24</sup> P.F. Ottinger, R.M. Kulsrud, J.M. Grossmann, R.J. Commisso, D.G. Colombant, D.D. Hinshelwood, D. Mosher, J.M. Neri, and B.V. Weber, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 31, 1443 (1986).

<sup>25</sup>J.M. Grossmann, P.F. Ottinger, D. Mosher, D.G. Colombant, J.M. Neri, B.W. Weber, D.D. Hinshelwood, and R.J. Commisso, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 31, 1444 (1986).

<sup>26</sup> R. Kulsrud, P.F. Ottinger, and J.M. Grossmann, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 32, 1878 (1987); also to be published in Phys. Fluids.

<sup>27</sup>D. Colombant, J.M. Grossmann, and P.F. Ottinger, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 30, 1447 (1985).

<sup>28</sup>S.S. Payne, T.W. Hussey, R.W. Stinnett, and N.F. Roderick, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci., 15, 725 (1987).

<sup>29</sup> R.J. Mason, J.M. Wallace, J.M. Grossmann, and P.F. Ottinger, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci., 15, 715 (1987).

<sup>30</sup>A.T. Drobot, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 29, 1379 (1984).

Table I. Anode  $(D_{k})$  and cathode  $(D_{k})$  penetration distances and conduction times  $(\tau_{o})$  for various collisionless and collisional runs.

run	$\nu \ge 10^{10}$	$n \ge 10^{12}$	1	$D_A(t)$	$D_{K}(t)$	T,
	$(s^{-1})$	$(cm^{-3})$	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(ns)
a	0	1.0	10	$2.4 + 0.66t^{2.8}$	$2.4 + 0.47t^{2.5}$	2.4
b	0	4.0	10	$1.1 + 0.34t^{2.3}$	$1.1 + 0.26t^{1.4}$	4.0
C	0	0.25	10	$4.4 + 2.4t^{2.2}$	$4.4 + 2.2t^{2.2}$	1.45
d	0	1.0	5	$2.5 + 0.64t^{2.4}$	$2.5 + 0.56t^2$	1.8
e	0	4.0	5	$1.2 + 0.31t^{2.7}$	$1.2 + 0.21t^{1.8}$	2.6
f	1	1.0	10	1.3 + 2.9t	1.3 + 2.9t	3.0
g	1	4.0	10	0.7 + 1.34t	1.3 + 0.74t	6.9
h	1	0.25	10	3.3 + 4.9t	3.3 + 4.9t	1.4
i	1	2.0	10	1.1 + 2.2t	1.37 + 1.5t	3.8

run	$\nu \ge 10^{10}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{V_F \times 10^8}{(\text{cm/s})}$	$  \Phi (kV)$	α	$I_S^P$ (kA)	IF (kA)	$\begin{vmatrix} I_i^P \\ (\mathbf{kA}) \end{vmatrix}$	$  I_o   (kA)$
a	0	1.8	85	0.65	-	4.5	-	37.5
Ъ	0	7.2	89	0.27	64.5	18.1	51.1	39.0
с	1.0	1.8	100	0.67	-	4.5	37.5	37.5
d	1.0	7.2	100	0.28	66.7	18.1	51.1	50
e	1.0	0.45	77	0.89	12.5	1.13	34.1	34.0
f	1.0	14.4	100	0.15	76.2	36.2	69.2	56.3

Table II. Conduction currents  $(I_o)$  at different injection velocities  $(V_r)$  for various collisionless and collisional runs.



A short circuit load is used in these simulations.

system.





The solid lines are least square power curve Anode and cathode penetration as a function of time for  $(a + bt^{\alpha})$  fits of the simulation data. run (a) of Table I. Fig. 2.



MOTION OF CURRENT FRONT



coincide in runs (f) and (h), but not at higher density, run (g). time for runs (f)-(h) of Table I. The anode and cathode curves Fig. 4 Anode (A) and cathode (K) penetration as a function of





(level 1) to -55.56 G (level 9) with increment 0.55 kG per level. Magnetic field contours for a collisionless (run (a) at top) and a collisional (run (f) at bottom) case at t = 2.4 ns. Contour levels in both cases correspond and vary from -4.5 kG Fig. 5





2.4 ns. Ħ Ļ top) and a collisional (run(f) at bottom) case at





= 2.4 ns.

and a collisional (run (f) at bottom) case at t

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR NRL MEMORANDUM REPORT NO. 6240

Los Alamos National Laboratory P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545 Attn: Rodney Mason

Los Alamos National Laboratory P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545 Attn: Michael Jones

Sandia National Laboratories P.O. Box 5800 Albuquerque, NM 87185 Attn: J. P. Quintenz/1241

Sandia National Laboratories P.O. Box 5800 Albuquerque, NM 87185 Attn: M.A. Sweeney/1265

Sandia National Laboratories P.O. Box 5800 Albuquerque, NM 87185 Attn: R. Stinnett/1264

Cornell University 309 Upson Hall Ithaca, NY 14853 Attn: R. Sudan

JAYCOR, Inc 1011 Torreyana Road San Diego, CA 92121 Attn: N. Krall

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory James Forrestal Campus P.O. Box 451 Princeton, NJ 08540 Attn: R. Kulsrud

Science Applications, Inc. 1710 Goodridge Drive P.O. Box 1303 McLean, VA 22101 Attn: A. Drobot

Systems, Science and Software, Inc. P.O. Box 1620 LaJolla, CA 92038 Attn: A. R. Wilson University of New Mexico Department of Chemical & Nuclear Engineering Albuquerque, NM 87131 Attn: S. Humphries

University of New Mexico Department of Chemical & Nuclear Engineering Albuquerque, NM 87131 Attn: N.F. Roderick

CEA, Centre de Etudes de Valduc P. B. 14 21120 Is-sur-Tille France Attn: C. Bruno

Cullham Laboratories UKAEA Ebingdon, Birks. England Attn: N.J. Peacock

Ecole Polytechnique Labo. PM: 91128 Palaseau Cedex France Attn: H. Doucet

Institut fur Neutronenphysik un Reaktortechnik Postfach 3640 Kernforschungszentrum D-7500 Karlsruhe 1, Federal Republic of Germany Attn: H. Bluhm

Institut fur Neutronenphysik un Reaktortechnik Postfach 3640 Kernforschungszentrum D-7500 Karlsruhe 1, Federal Republic of Germany Attn: H.U. Karow

Institut fur Neutronenphysik un Reaktortechnik Postfach 3640 Kernforschungszentrum D-7500 Karlsruhe 1, Federal Republic of Germany Attn: W. Schmidt

Max-Planck-Institut fur Plasmaphysik 8046 Garching bei Munchen Federal Republic of Germany Attn: I. Hofmann University of New South Wales Dept. of Theoretical Physics P.O. Box 1 Kensington, NSW 2033 Australia Attn: H. Hora U.S. Department of Energy Office of Inertial Fusion Washington, DC 20545 1 сору 1 сору Attn: S.L. Kahalas R.L. Schriever U.S. Department of Energy Office of Classification Washington, DC 20545 1 сору Attn: Robert T. Duff U.S. Department of Energy Nevada Operations Office P.O. Box 14100 Las Vegas, NV 89114 2 copies U.S. Department of Energy P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37830 1 copy Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14850 1 copy Attn: D.A. Hammer R.N. Sudan 1 copy Defense Technical Information Center Station Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Attn: T.C. 2 copies JAYCOR, Inc. 1608 Spring Hill Rd. Vienna, VA 22180-2270 Attn: B.V. Weber 1 copy D.D. Hinshelwood 1 сору

KMS Fusion, Inc. 3941 Research Park Drive P.O. Box 1567 Ann Arbor, MI 48106 Attn: A.A. Glass 1 copy Lawrence Berkley Laboratory Berkley, CA 94720 Attn: D. Keefe 1 copy Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory P.O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550 Attn: R. Batzel/J. Kahn, L-1 1 copy 

 J. Emmett, 1-488
 1 copy

 W. Krupke, L-488
 1 copy

 E. Storm, L-481
 1 copy

 E. Storm, L-481 1 сору J. Lindl, L-477 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545 Attn: S.D. Rockwood, ICF Prog. Mgr. DAD/IF/M/S 527 1 copy Naval Research Laboratory 4555 Overlook Ave., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20375-5000 Attn: Code/Name 22 copies 1 copy 1 copy 2628/TID Dist 1000/T. Coffey 4000/W. Ellis 4040/J. Boris 4040/J. Boll 4700/S.L. Ossakow 4701/I. Vitkovitsky 1 copy 4710/C. Kapetanakos 1 copy 1720/J. Davis 1 copy 1 copy 1 copy 4040/J. Boris 1 copy 4700/S.L. Ossakow 26 copies 4730/S. Bodner 4740/W. Manheimer 4740/W. Manheimer 4750/R. Meger 4760/B. Robson 4770/G. Cooperstein 10 copies 1 copy 1 copy 1 copy 4750/B. Rops 4760/B. Rops 4770/G. Cooperstein 4770.1/F. Young 1 copy Commisso 1 copy 1 copy 1 copy 4771/P. Ottinge.4771/J. NeriI Copy4771/J. Grossmann1 copy4773/S. Stephanakis1 copy4790/D. Colombant1 copy4790/I. Haber1 copy1200/M. Lampe1 copy1 copy1 copy l copy 4600/D. Nagel

Sandia National Laboratories P.O. Box 5800		
Albuquerque, NM 87185		
Attn: J.P. VanDevender/1200	1	сору
D.L Cook/1250	5	copies
T. Martin/1250	1	сору
University of Rochester		
250 East River Road		
Rochester, NY 14623		
Attn: J. Eastman	1	сору