OTIC FILE CUPS

Naval Research Laboratory

Washington, DC 20375-6000



NRL Memorandum Report 6364

Epitaxial Garnet Investigation; Technical Report, Foreign Travel

A. E. CRAIG

Applied Optics Branch Optical Sciences Division

October 25, 1988



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE			ويستعد الأربيسي ويتغر فتت			
REPORT	N PAGE			Form OMB	Approved No 0/04-0188	
REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS			
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY	3 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT					
b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	Approved for public release; distributio unlimited.					
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMB NRL Memorandum Report 6364	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT	NUMBER(S	5	
a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Research Laboratory	7a NAME OF M	ONITORING OR	GANIZATIO	N		
c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Washington, DC 20375-5000	.	7b ADDRESS (C	ity, State: and Z	IP Code)		
a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	86 OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	~	10 SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUME	BERS		
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO	rask NO		ACCESSION
1 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Epitaxial Garnet Investigation 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Craig, A.E. 3a TYPE OF REPORT I 13b TIME OF COMPARENT	OVERED	eport, Forei	gn Travel	th Day)	15 PAGE	
1 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Epitaxial Garnet Investigation 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Craig, A.E. 3a TYPE OF REPORT Interim 6 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 4	on; Technical R OVERED /87 TO <u>3/87</u>	eport, Forei	gn Travel DRT (Year Mon er 25	th Day)	15 PAGE	čor, y -
1 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Epitaxial Garnet Investigation 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Craig, A.E. 3a TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME OF REPORT	OVERED /87 TO 3/87 T8 SUBJECT TERMS (YIG	eport, Forei 14 DATE OF REPC 1988 Octob (Continue on rever Epitaxial ga	gn Travel DRT (Year Mon er 25 se if necessary o irnets	th Day) and identif	15 PAGE C	coe,yr 9 9 aumber)
1 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Epitaxial Garnet Investigation 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Craig, A.E. 3a TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME OF REPORT	OVERED (87 TO 3/87 18 SUBJECT TERMS (YIG and identify by block of to investigate i low optical and Augustical and	eport, Forei 14 DATE OF REPC 1988 Octob (Continue on rever Epitaxial ga number) techniques fi nd magnetost	gn Travel DRT (Year Mon er 25 se if necessary of irnets or growing atic wave	nh Day) and identify g epita attenu	15 PAGE c ty by block axial g uation.	arnets Bismut
1 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Epitaxial Garnet Investigation 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Craig, A.E. 3a TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME OF REPORT	OVERED (87 TO 3/87 18 SUBJECT TERMS (YIG and identify by block of to investigate i low optical and Augustical and August	eport, Forei 14 DATE OF REPC 1988 Octob (Continue on rever Epitaxial ga number) techniques fi nd magnetost	gn Travel DRT (Year, Mon- ver 25 se if necessary of irnets or growing atic wave (URITY (LASS))	nd identia g epita attenu	15 PAGE (ty by block axial g lation.	arnets Bismut
1 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Epitaxial Garnet Investigation 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Craig, A.E. 3a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME OF REPORT	OVERED (87 TO 3/87 T8 SUBJECT TERMS (YIG and identify by block of to investigate i low optical and Augustical and Aug	eport, Forei 14 DATE OF REPC 1988 Octob (Continue on rever Epitaxial ga number) techniques fi nd magnetost 21 ABSTRACT SE UNCLASSIE 22b TELEPHONE	gn Travel DRT (Year, Mon- ver 25 se if necessary of trnets or growing atic wave (URITY (1 ASS)) TIED Unclude Area Co	th Day) and (dentu) g epita attent	15 PAGE of ty by block axial g ation.	contracts carnets Bismut

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION
EXPERIMENTAL
EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP AND RESULTS
Phase 1 - Preliminary Screening
Phase 2 - Temperature and Humidity Effects
Phase 3 - Effects of Internal Diameter of the Tubing
Phase 4 - Effects of Teflon Jointing of Tubing Segments,
Phase 5 - Effects of Tubing Length on Transport Efficiency
Fhase 6 - Introduction of MMH Stream, Pushing vs. Pulling
Phase 7 - Preconditioning of Tubing by Ambient Exposure
CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION
REFERENCES

iii

Acces	sion For	
NTIS	GRA&I	Ľ
DTIC	TAB	
Unann	ounsed	
Justi	fication.	
By		
Distr	ibution/	
Avai	lability	Codes
	Avail an	d/or
Dist	Specia	1
11	1 1	

EPITAXIAL GARNET INVESTIGATION; TECHNICAL REPORT, FOREIGN TRAVEL

Three foreign laboratories were visited. Those laboratories, and the names of people with whom technical discussions were held, are listed here.

3-2-87	Crismatec Corporation, Jean Mareschal, Directo Herve Le-Gal, Director Gilles Le Blevennec, En production.	Grenoble, France r General of Production gineer: responsible for epitaxial materials
Aiso:	Henri Le Gall from CN Meudon-Bellevue Crismatec, also cu present by request	RS (French national scientific research center) at near Paris, France - a scientific advisor to rrently growing epitaxial garnets in his laboratory, t of Jean Mareschal.
3-4-87	Philips Research Labora Dr. Peter Hansen Dr. W. Tolksdorf Dr. Damman Dr. Krumme Dr. Doormann	all research physicists who grow, characterize, or use epitaxial garnet films for Philips
3-6-87	Thomson - CSF Researd Dr. J. P. Castera Dr. P. L. Meunier Dr. J. Y. Beguin	ch Center, Orsay, France all research physicists who grow, characterize, or use epitaxial garnet films for Thomson
CSF.	Dr. J. L. Rolland Dr. P. Friez	

The technical purpose for these visits was to ascertain the prospects for obtaining epitaxially grown ferrimagnetic garnets which incorporate bismuth to increase the magnitude of the Faraday rotation constant. Subsidiary materials issues were concurrently to retain low optical and magnetostatic wave absorption and the control, or reproducibility, of the value of the saturation magnetization and the optical and magnetic anisotropy.

To stimulate interest, at all three laboratories I explained the basic principles of the waveguide magnetostatic wave - optical diffraction Bragg cell being developed in our laboratory at NRL. Higher Faraday rotation in the garnet materials will lead to higher diffraction efficiency.

The Crismatic facility at Gieres, near Grenoble, is primarily a manufacturing facility. Boules of various materials, e.g. gadolinium gallium garnet, GGG, are grown by the Czochralski method. Pure yttrium iron garnet (YIG) films are grown on GGG substrates by liquid phase epitaxy (LPE) in production lots. In addition, one or two furnaces (of perhaps twenty) have been set aside for developing growth procedures for new materials. This work is being done by M. Le Blevennec.

The current experimental material is BiGdIG. A 7 μ m thick film was grown on both sides of a GGG substrate of approximately 620 μ m thickness. At an optical wavelength of 1.3 μ m the sample transmission was about 80%. Most of the loss is caused by surface reflection.

Manuscript approved August 17, 1988.

Optical resonances in the multiple layer sample were apparent in the spectrophotometer transmission curves. The optical absorption edge was for wavelengths shorter than about 1.3 μ m. Faraday rotation values were intriguing - $\Psi_F = 1550^{\circ}/\text{cm}$ at 1.3 μ m wavelength and $\Psi_F = 1000^{\circ}/\text{cm}$ at 1.5 μ m - and optical absorption was figured to be about 4 = 2.5/cm at 1.3 μ m, but the consensus opinion was that ferrimagnetic resonance (FMR) linewidth would be large, say $\Delta W > 5$ Oe, because of the inclusion of gadolinium.

Crismatic also has a small facility at Paris, apparently, where some new materials development is taking place. M. Desvignes there has grown bismuth substituted YIG films with up to .4 formula units Bi on GGG substrates; and on a large lattice constant (LLC) modification of GGG incorporating calcium, magnesium, and zirconium according to a technique pioneered in Europe (by Philips, I think) films of composition $Bi_{1.4}$ Y $_{1.6}$ IG have been grown 3 um thick. These films are a good theoretical lattice match to the substrate and have high Faraday rotation: $\oint_F = -2700^{\circ}/\text{cm}$ at 1.15 um wavelength and $\oint_F = 12500/\text{cm}$ at .6328 um. $4 \ll M_S = 1750$ Oe and the ferrimagnetic resonance linewidth, although high, is not unreasonable for an early effort: AH = 4-5 Oe at 9 GHz over the full wafer. The magnetic anisotropy is quite high however; $K_m = 65000 \text{ erg/cm}^3$. The details of this anisotropy were elucidated later on my trip by the scientists at Philips - see the discussion below.

Crismatic has a connection with another scientist in or near Grenoble who has equipment to do some optical characterization, M. Oliver at CEA-CENG-LETI/ CRM 85X-38041/Grenoble Cedex/France (Centre d'Energie Atomique - Centre d'Enginieure - Laboratoire d'Electronique Technologie Information is approximately the decoding of this acronymn).

Henri Le Gall / CNRS /Place A. Briand / 92195 Meudon-Bellevue/France was also at Crismatec for the day. (CNRS = Centre Nationale de Research Scientifique). His Laboratory near Paris is experimenting with growing and characterizing bismuth substituted garnets, and he expressed interest in trying to grow the material we need on an experimental basis, perhaps with a follow-on contractual relationship through Crismatec. He may send me a sample, for assistance in characterization.

To pursue this contact: (1) Send Crismatec a copy of the specifications for the material as listed in the NRL RFQ. (2) Correspond with Herve Le-Gal at Crismatic and Henri LeGall at CNRS regarding the importance and apparent difficulty of controlling magnetic and optical anisotropy in bismuth substituted films. (3) Add Crismatec to the list of potential sources for the RFQ.

Other Notes: (1) We should characterize the 1" and 2" diameter films recently purchased from Crismatec, particular their ferrimagnetic linewidths, as soon as possible. The 2" film was suspected to have larger H. (2) Crismatec's ownership is changing, and it is anticipated that it will soon be part of a holding company having a US affiliate, Balkowsky Corporation of Charlotte, North Carolina, through which purchases can be made. This reorganization may affect the relationship of Crismatec to LETI (Mr. Olivier's facility) (3) Two new characterization techniques were discussed: (a) to measure & H, follow procedure devised by Artmann at Carnegie-Mellon University - see sketch.



2

(b) To measure insertion losses, set up a network analyzer as shown in the sketch, sum the outputs, and analyze the output interference to correct for different insertion losses.



The work on garnets at Philips Research Laboratories at Hamburg is sporadic, but it is being pursued from several perspectives by men who are, seemingly, experts. Tolksdorf is a physical chemist; Hansen understands garnet LPE; Dammann knows the optical characteristics; Krumme is exploring a new growth technology, sputtered garnets.

Rather than explicit notes, I brought from Philips a sheaf of reprints, not yet read. I will address briefly here the salient points of our discussions.

Garnets are highly elastic materials, and quite stiff. Consequently, internal strain is rarely compensated by either point or structural dislocations. This indicates that an epitaxial garnet film grown on a substrate whose lattice constant is not identical will incorporate residual strain at the interface which will be gradually eased with increasing distance from the interface. Consequently, a material anisotropy will be inherent, oriented perpendicular to the growth plane. For example, the lattice constant of bismuth substituted YIG exceeds that of GGG, so growth on GGG will compress the BiYIG in the film plane. Because of its elasticity, the BiYIG atomic spacing will be dilated perpendicular to the surface to compensate. This strain becomes less pronounced for regions of the BiYIG farther from the interface - hence an anisotropy. This anisotropy has been assigned two different descriptions: growth-induced and stress-induced anisotropy. It is evidenced both in the magnetic realm, via the alignment of magnetic dipoles in the film perpendicular to its surface, and optically, producing a uniaxially birefringent material. I think growth-induced anisotropy may be ameliorated by means of annealing (annealing, however can permit some widening of the interface layer, where BiYIG and GGG to some extent are intermixed over a thickness of maybe 10 nm). Stress-induced anisotropy can be relieved by piezoelectric bending of the sample (this technique might provide a means for tuning the optical birefringence).

Films grown by LPE are generally drawn from lead (Pb) fluxes (although I think I have heard, previously, that it may be possible to use bismuth fluxes), and from platinum (Pt) crucibles. Hence Pb and Pt are often incorporated in small amounts into YIG films (and their variants). Pb increases the Faraday rotation in YIG but at a high cost - it increases the material's optical absorptivity dramatically.

The amount of bismuth incorporated is dependent on the melt composition, on the growth temperature (how supercooled the flux is - how much the melt is maintained below the temperature at which crystal growth initiates during growth), and on the spin rate of the substrate, which affects melt mixing. These parameters also influence, dramatically, the

anisotropy coefficients.

The Philips people concur that although praseodymium and neodymium contribute strongly to increased Faraday rotation in garnets, their natural magnetic dipole moments cause high damping of magnetostatic waves (i.e., high ferrimagnetic resonance linewidths, ΔH). Bismuth enhances Faraday rotation by affecting the extent that the d-shell electrons of iron, those that provide its dipole moment, are shielded in the garnet crystal through a complicated interaction via the oxygen lattice; this mechanism may be described in one of the papers 1 was given. Bismuth does not have an intrinsic dipole moment.

Krumme is growing BiYIG by means of argon ion sputtering from targets. The targets need not contain Pb (or Pt), so that annoyance is alleviated. But other processing difficulties arise. The various elements in the target do not sputter out with the same probability, or with the same velocities. So target compositions must be designed with sputtering-ion energies in mind, or vice versa. Sputtered elements which impact the substrate will stick where they make contact (unless the velocity is too high) - these may not be crystallographically correct positions. An auxiliary ion-glow discharge is often incorporated in the vicinity of the substrate to keep deposited atoms hot enough on the surface that they may find their lowest energy and crystallographically correct positions. Of course, some will, statistically, be re-liberated, another problem for controlled crystal growth. Incidental charge transfer may also influence atomic alignment. Promising results are being achieved with these sputtered garnets. However, I seem to recall that Philips' proposed uses for them do not require single crystal films.

Although we did not discuss the topic thoroughly, I did not get support for the idea, conceived elsewhere, that non-uniform (statistical) population of the appropriately coordinated lattice sites by Bi atoms in YIG (which might occur even in a film where the average bismuth content per unit cell was one and must perforce occur otherwise) could contribute to increased FMR linewidth, ΔH . In fact, even if each unit cell had exactly one Bi atom, there are three appropriately coordinated sites per unit cell, and variation from cell to cell undoubtedly distorts the lattice. Either this possible effect has not been considered, is too difficult to estimate, or has been estimated to be insignificant and/or averaged out in regions of the crystal that are large enough to be important for magnetostatic wave propagation.

Philips scientists felt that BiYIG film growth processes could probably be engineered and controlled to produce films that were consistent in bismuth content from batch to batch within a few percent, and that the lattice constant of the substrate could be adjusted by means of Zr, Mg, and Ca concentrations to match most of the range of desireable Bi substitution. However, the growth- and stress-induced magnetic and optical anisotropies are very critically dependent on all of the various growth parameters. These are almost impossible to replicate from one run to the next, and, in fact, vary during any particular run as the film-producing ingredients in the flux are depleted. They suggested that I seriously study whether useful devices could still result from materials in which the anisotropy might be controlled only within a rather broad range before embarking on a costly materials procurement project.

If I feel the materials anisotropy problem may be resolved positively, Philips would be willing to send me an occasional sample, or perhaps to initiate internally an effort to grow the type of film I need. (They cannot stir management interest in a sale of garnets whose value is less than, say, \$100M!). One further possible impediment is an existing gentleman's agreement to provide BiYIG samples to an ex-colleague who has moved from Philips to a nearby university. Although his interest does not precisely overlap mine, he apparently would be consulted before offering me samples. Publication and scientific interchange, not proprietary interest, are the motivations at Philips.

2

At Philips, perhaps under Dammann, a multiple layer ridge waveguide structure has been fabricated in garnet materials for use as a polarization rotator/isolator. The geometry, as I remember, is in the sketch below. The second GGG layer provides for a symmetric waveguide structure, while the metal layer absorbs higher order optical modes.

	-		Δn = n ₂ -n ₃ = 3·10 ⁻³
	TIGI	n1 01	n, a ng
-	YIG 2	ny dy	n,=n3
	YIG 3	ng dg	d ₁ , d ₃ , d ₄ =3-4 µm
	Co-YIG	n, d,	d ₂ *5µm YIG2 waveguide core
	GGG	n = 1.95	YIG1, YIG3 cladding
	L		Co-YIG highly absorbing layer

I think I have a paper describing this device in detail.

At Thomson - CSF, Jean-Paul Castera heads a group of six to eight scientists in magneto-optics. Castera himself has done some work with magnetostatic waves in the past, but the current efforts at Thomson are directed primarily toward magnetooptic readout of various memory media: digital magnetic tape, magnetic floppy disks, and audio and video disks where information is stored by optical ablation. Garnets having large values of Faraday rotation are of interest to them, but low FMR linewidths are not important. BiYIG is being used, and I think there is a research-scale effort at Thomson to grow garnets. BiYIG films at Thomson have parameter values in line with those observed elsewhere. In particular, the magnetic anisotropy can be nearly as large as the saturation magnetization in BiYIG; for a particular sample, $Bi_X Y_{3-X}IG$ with x=0.3, K_{μ} =1660 Oe, approximately. The magnetooptic readout devices place thin BiYIG films in contact with the recording medium. The magnetic state of the medium determines the alignment, locally, of magnetic dipole domains in the garnet. These domains are read by double-pass transmission, optically; read-out trades could be accessed in parallel with a density as high as 20 µm track separation.

Castera described two devices which use only magnetostatic waves (MSWs). One is a resonator with an amplifier, which incorporates a surface grating structure to reflect the MSWs at right angles. This structure configures the input and output antennas perpendicular to each other, reducing direct rf pickup. In addition, a metal strip has been placed over part of the device to further suppress direct rf transmission between transducers.



The second device is a form of resonant coupler, similar to TRW's MSWFAST concept.

5



Dr. Friez has built a ridge waveguide on some type of YIG for use as a polarization rotator/isolator. The waveguide structure is achieved by ion beam etching. Up to 99.4% TM to TE conversion has been achieved at 87°C.