OTIC FILE CUPY

NUSC Technical Report 8317 1 June 1988

The Wigner Distribution Function With Minimum Spread

Albert H. Nuttall Surface ASW Directorate





Naval Underwater Systems Center Newport, Rhode Island / New London, Connecticut

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

UN	CL.	22A	IF	TF	n
_ U I I	ᇿ	1.00	11	1 L.	

UNCL	ASSIFIED			
SECURITY	CLASSIFICATION O	FTHIS	PAGE	

TA. REPORT SEC	LURITY CLASS Leted	IFICATION		TO. RESTRUCTIVE			
Za. SECURITY C	LASSIFICATIO	N AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY O	F REPORT	
				Approved	for public	release;	
2b. DECLASSIFIC	CATION / DOW	INGRADING SCHED		distribut	ion is unli	nited.	
A PERFORMING	ORGANIZAT	ON REPORT NUM	ER(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION R	EPORT NUME	IER(S)
TD 0217							
14 0211				4			
Naval II	PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION	65. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF M	DNITORING ORGA	NIZATION	
Systems	Center	ī	Code 304				
SC ADDRESS (C	ity, State, and	I ZIP Code).	<u></u>	7b. ADDRESS (CH	y, State, and ZIP	Code)	
New Lon	don Laboi	ratory					
New Lon	don, CT (06320					
		NSCORING	Ab OFFICE SYMEN	9. PEOCISESMEN	INSTRUMENT ID	ENTIFICATION	1 NUMBER
ORGANIZAT	ION		(If applicable)	2. I NULUNEINEN			
			<u> </u>				
C. ADORESS (C	ity, State, and	ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF	UNDING NUMBER	ITASY	
				ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION
				1	A75205	1	1
3a. TYPE OF R	EPORT	136. TIME (FROM	COVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1988	RT (Year, Month, . lune 1	Day) 15. P)	AGE COUNT
3a. TYPE OF R	EPORT	136. TIME (FROM	COVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1988	RT (Year, Month, . lune 1	Day) 15. PJ	AGE COUNT
3a. TYPE OF R	EPORT	135. TIME (FROM	COVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1988	RT (Year, Month. lune 1	Day) 15. Pi	AGE COUNT
13a. TYPE OF R	COSATI	135. TIME (FROM	TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1988 J	RT (Year, Month.) Lune 1	Day) 115. Pi	AGE COUNT
13a. TYPE OF R 16. SUPPLEMEN 17 FIELD	COSATI	13b. TIME (FROM	18. SUBJECT TERMS Wigner Dist Quadratic S	(Continue on revers ribution Function	RT (Year, Month. Lune 1 • <i>if necessary and</i> ction Are Rew	Day) 15. Pi didentify by a Mismati ard Funct	AGE COUNT block number) ch tion
13. TYPE OF R	COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI	13b. TIME (FROM TON CODES SUB-GROUP	18. SUBJECT TERMS Wigner Dist Quadratic S Gaussian WD	(Continue on reverse ribution Func- pread F	RT (Year, Month. Lune 1 • if necessary and ction Are Rew Opt	Day) 15. Pi 1 identify by a Mismatu ard Funct imum WDF	NGE COUNT block number) ch tion
13a. TYPE OF R 16. SUPPLEMEN 17 FIELD 19 ABSTRACT (19 ABSTRACT (Th a Gauss WDF is the pen spread	COSATI GROUP Continue on the Wigner tan amplitwo-dimental two-dimental two-limental two-limental	13b. TIME (FROM	18. SUBJECT TERMS Wigner Dist Quadratic S Gaussian WD and identify by block function (WDF) ted waveform wit sian and has con quadratic sprea	(Continue on reverse ribution Func- pread (F number) (with minimum th linear free tours of equal ad measure employed	<pre>#T (Year, Month.) une 1 if necessary and tion Are Rew Opt n quadratic quency-modul al height wh ployed. An on leads to</pre>	Day) 15 P 1 identify by a Mismato ard Funct imum WDF spread co ation. ich are alternat identic	MGE COUNT block number) ch tion prresponds to The optimum identical to ive measure (ally the same
13a. TYPE OF A 16 SUPPLEMEN 17 FIELD 19 ABSTRACT (19 ABSTRACT (10 STRACT (Continue on GROUP Continue on two-dimen involvin waveform e and is	13b. TIME (FROM TON CODES SUB-GROUP distribution itude-modulat nsional Gauss tours of the ng an exponen m and WDF. presented.	18. SUBJECT TERMS Wigner Dist Quadratic S Gaussian WD And identify by block function (WDF) ted waveform wit sian and has con quadratic sprea tial reward for A generalization	(Continue on reverse ribution Func- pread (F number) (with minimum th linear free tours of equa d measure emp concentration to a certain	NT (Year, Month. une 1 if necessary and tion Are Rew Opt n quadratic quency-modul al height wh ployed. An on, leads to n class of s	Day) 15 P	MGE COUNT block number) ch tion prresponds to The optimum identical to ive measure of ally the same WDFs is also
13a. TYPE OF R 16 SUPPLEMEN 17 FIELD 19 ABSTRACT (19 ABSTRACT (19 ABSTRACT (19 ABSTRACT (19 ABSTRACT (19 ABSTRACT (19 ABSTRACT (10 The per 10 Spread, 10 optimum 10 possibl 11 The factor	CONTINUE ON GROUP Continue on de Wigner dalty con involvin waveform e and is ne sensit and tilt	13b. TIME (FROM TON CODES SUB-GROUP distribution itude-modulat nsional Gauss tours of the ng an exponen m and WDF. presented. ivity of the in the time-	18. SUBJECT TERMS Wigner Dist Quadratic S Gaussian WD And identify by block function (WDF) ted waveform wit sian and has con quadratic sprea tial reward for A generalization effective area frequency plane	(Continue on reverse ribution Func- pread (F number) (with minimum th linear free tours of equa to a certain of a smoother (c), is evaluate	WT (Year, Month. une 1 if necessary and tion Are Rew Opt n quadratic quency-modul al height wh ployed. An on, leads to n class of s d WDF, to mi ed quantitat	Day) 15 P a denuity by a Mismate ard Funct imum WDF spread co ation. ich are alternat identic moothed I smatch i ively.	MGE COUNT block number) ch tion prresponds to The optimum identical to ive measure of ally the same WDFs is also n shape
13. TYPE OF A 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. 19. ABSTRACT (19. ABSTRAC	CONTINUE ON COSATI GROUP Continue on a de Wigner ian ampli two-dimen alty con involvin waveform e and is ne sensit and tilt	13b. TIME of FROM TON	18. SUBJECT TERMS Wigner Dist Quadratic S Gaussian WD and identify by block function (WDF) ted waveform wit sian and has con quadratic sprea tial reward for A generalization effective area frequency plane	14. DATE OF REPC 1988 : (Continue on reverse ribution Func- pread F number) with minimum h linear frect tours of equated id measure employed concentration of a smoothed e, is evaluated	<pre>war (Year, Month.) une 1 if necessary and tion Are Rew Opt n quadratic quency-modul al height wh ployed. An on, leads to n class of s d WDF, to mi ed quantitat</pre>	Day) 15 P 1 identify by a Mismatc ard Funct imum WDF spread co ation. ich are alternat identic moothed 1 smatch i ively.	MGE COUNT block number) ch tion prresponde to Ihe optimum identical to ive measure of ally the same WDFs is also n shape
 13a. TYPE OF R 14 SUPPLEMEN 15 FIELD 19 ABSTRACT (10 ABSTRACT (<	CONTINUE ON COSATI GROUP Continue on the Wigner ian ampli- two-dimen alty con- involvin waveform e and is ne sensit and tilt	13b. TIME (FROM FROM TON CODES SUB-GROUP distribution itude-modulat nsional Gauss tours of the ng an exponen m and WDF. presented. ivity of the in the time CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS Wigner Dist Quadratic S Gaussian WD and identify by block function (WDF) ted waveform with sian and has com quadratic Spread htial reward for A generalization effective area frequency plane	(Continue on revers ribution Func pread F number) with minimum h linear frect tours of equa d measure emp concentration to a certain of a smoother e, is evaluat	RT (Year, Month. une 1 if necessary and tion Are Rew Opt 1 quadratic quency-modul al height wh ployed. An on, leads to n class of s d WDF, to mi ed quantitat CURITY CLASSIFIC FIED	Day) 15 P	MGE COUNT block number) ch tion prresponds to Ihe optimum identical to ive measure of ally the same WDFs is also n shape
13. TYPE OF R 16. SUPPLEMEN 17 FIELD 19. ABSTRACT (19. A	CONTINUE ON A COSATI GROUP Continue on a be Wigner ian ampli two-dimen alty con- involvin waveform e and is ne sensit and tilt CON/AVAILABI FIED/UNLIMIT RESPONSIBLE	13b. TIME of FROM TON CODES SUB-GROUP distribution itude-modulat isional Gauss tours of the ing an exponen m and WDF. presented. ivity of the in the time for the	COVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS Wigner Dist Quadratic S Gaussian WD and identify by block function (WDF) ted waveform wit sian and has com quadratic spreation quadratic spreation quadratic spreation effective area frequency plane frequency plane product user	(Continue on reverse ribution Func- pread F with minimum h linear free tours of equa d measure emp concentration to a certain of a smoothed y, is evaluate 21. ABSTRACT SI UNCLASSI 22b. TELEPHONE	<pre>IT (Year, Month.) Une 1 If necessary and tion Are Rew Opt n quadratic quency-modul al height wh ployed. An on, leads to n class of s If WDF, to mi ed quantitat CURITY CLASSIFIC FIED Conclude Area Code</pre>	Day) 15. P 1 identify by a Mismato ard Function imum WDF spread co ation. ich are alternat identic. moothed f smatch i ively. C ATION	MGE COUNT block number) ch tion prresponds to The optimum identical to ive measure of ally the same WDFs is also n shape CE SYMBOL
13a. TYPE OF R 16. SUPPLEMEN 17 FIELD 19. ABSTRACT (19.	COSATI GROUP Continue on GROUP Continue on the Wigner ian ampli two-dimen alty continue involvin wavefort e and is the sensit and tilt CON/AVAILAB FIEDUNLIMIT RESPONSIBLE H. Nutta	13b. TIME of FROM TON CODES SUB-GROUP distribution itude-modulat nsional Gauss tours of the ng an exponen m and WDF. presented. ivity of the in the time for the	18. SUBJECT TERMS Wigner Dist Quadratic S Gaussian WD and identify by block function (WDF) ted waveform wit sian and has com quadratic spreatial reward for Ageneralization effective area frequency plane frequency plane potc USER	14. DATE OF REPC 1988 : (Continue on reverse ribution Func- pread F number) with minimum h linear frec itours of equa id measure emp concentration of a smoothed e, is evaluate 21. ABSTRACT SI UNCLASSI 22b. TELEPHONE (203) 44	<pre>IT (Year, Month.) Une 1 If necessary and tion Are Rew Opt n quadratic quency-modul al height wh ployed. An on, leads to n class of s If WDF, to mi ed quantitat CURITY CLASSIFIC FIED Conclude Area Code 0-4618</pre>	Day) 15 P 1 identify by a Mismatc ard Funct imum WDF spread co ation. ich are alternat identic moothed smatch i ively. Cod	MGE COUNT block number) ch tion prresponde t The optimum identical to ive measure ally the sam WDFs is also n shape CE SYMBOL le 304
3a. TYPE OF R 6. SUPPLEMEN 7 FIELD 9 ABSTRACT (9 ABSTRACT (9 ABSTRACT (9 ABSTRACT (10 F A GAUSS WDF is the per spread, optimum possibl Th factor 20 DISTRIBUTIN CUNCLASSI 22a. NAME OF Albert DD FORM 14	CONTINUE ON GROUP Continue on GROUP Continue on two-diment and tigner involvin waveform e and is ne sensit and tilt FIEO/UNLIMIT RESPONSIBLE H. Nutta 73, 84 MAR	13b. TIME of FROM TON TON CODES SUB-GROUP distribution itude-modulat nsional Gauss tours of the ng an exponen m and WDF. presented. ivity of the in the time for	18. SUBJECT TERMS Wigner Dist Quadratic S Gaussian WD and identify by block function (WDF) ted waveform wit sian and has con quadratic Spread htial reward for A generalization effective area frequency plane A generalization	14. DATE OF REPC 1988 1 (Continue on revers ribution Func pread (F number) with minimum h linear frec itours of equa id measure emp concentration to a certain of a smoothed c, is evaluated 21. ABSTRACT SI UNCLASSI 22b. TELEPHONE (203) 44 until eshausted.	RT (Year, Month. une 1 if necessary and tion Are Rew Opt 1 quadratic quency-modul al height wh bloyed. An on, leads to n class of s d WDF, to mi ed quantitat CURITY CLASSIFIC FIED CINCUME Area Code 0-4618 SECURITY	Day) 15 P 1 identify by a Mismatc ard Funct imum WDF spread co ation. ich are alternat identic moothed 1 smatch i ively. Cod CLASSIFICAT	MGE COUNT block number) ch tion prresponds to The optimum identical to ive measure of ally the same WDFs is also n shape CE SYMBOL le 304 HON OF THIS PAGE

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

18. SUBJECT TERMS (Cont'd.)

Short-Term Spectral Estimate Smoothing Two-Dimensional Convolution Concentrated WDF

> UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Page

۳.

--

-,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	0F	Ιιι	JSTF	XAT I	IONS	5.	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		ii
LIST	OF	SYME	BOLS	\$.	• •	•			•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•		•	•	ii
INTR	ODUC		N .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•		•			۱
MINI	MUM Pena Deri Opti Opti	QUAL lty vat mum mum	DRA1 Mea ion Wei WDF	IC ISUR of Ight	SPR Te a Spr Spr	REA Ind read	D Sp d	ore	ead					• • •	• • •	• • •		• • •													2 3 4 6 7
ALTE	RNAT	IVE	REW	IARD) Me	AS	URE	Ē		•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•		•	•	•	•		•	•				8
GENE	RAILI	ZATI	ON	TO	SMO	0 0 TI	HEC) h	IDF		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	9
SENS	ITIV	ITY	TO	MIS	MAT	CH	•	•	•	•	•		•				•			•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	12
SUMM	ARY	•••						•				•	•	•			•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	17
APPE	NDIX	A.	MA	XIM	IIZA	TI	ON	0F	R	Eh	IAR	۲D	VA	LU	E											•					18
APPE	NDIX	8.	MC	MEN	ITS	OF	DI	ST	RI	ΒL	ITI	ON	I D				•		•												27
APPE	NDIX	C.	GE	NER	AL	TI	LTE	D	EL	LI	PS	Ε	•															•	•	•	31
APPE	NDIX	D.	KE	RNE	LA	PPI	ROA	\CH	I T	0	PE	NA	LT	Y	FU	NC	TI	ON	1								•				36
REFE	RENC	ES											•			•			•		•										40



i

:

i.

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
ı	Contour Ellipses for Mismatched Tilt	15
2	Area Ratio (34) for $F_1 = 2$, $F_2 = 2$, $A_2 = 2$, $\beta_1 = \pi/4$	15
3	Contour Ellipses for Mismatched Shape Factor	16
4	Area Ratio (34) for $F_1 = 2$, $A_2 = 2$, $\beta_1 = \pi/4$, $\beta_2 = \pi/4$	16
C-1	Rotated Coordinate Axes	31

LIST OF SYMBOLS

WDF	Wigner distribution function
t	time
f	frequency
s(t)	waveform analyzed, (1)
u(t)	weighting function, (1)
Su	short-term spectral estimate, (1)
Ws	WDF of $s(t)$, (1)
Wu	WDF of u(t), (1), (2)
⊌	convolution, (1)
δ	delta function
P(t,f)	penalty measure, (3)
a,b,c	parameters of P, (3)
I	spread of WDF W_{II} , (4)

ii

LIST OF SYMBOLS (Cont'd)

Q	real constant, (5)
u'(t)	derivative of u(t) with respect to t, (10)
В	complex constant, (11)
T(t)	temporary auxiliary function, (13)
u _o (t)	optimum weighting, (20)
Io	minimum spread, (21)
Wo	optimum WDF, (22)
0	generalized smouthing distribution, (23)
٧ ₂	smoothing function, (23)
υ	frequency separation variable, (23), (24)
τ	time separation variable, (23), (24)
χ_{u}	complex ambiguity function of u, (24)
q ₂	double Fourier transform of V_2 , (25)
superscript v	partial derivative with respect to v , (27)
superscript T	partial derivative with respect to $ au$, (27)
ID	spread of distribution D, (30)
R(t,f)	reward function, (22A), (A-1)
۷	reward value, (22B), (A-5)
K(x,y)	Hermitian kernel, (A-11), (A-12)
λ _n	eigenvalue, (A-12)
ø _n (x)	eigenfunction, (A-12)
He _n (x)	Hermite polynomial, (A-27)
A	area of ellipse, figure C-1
ß	tilt of ellipse, figure C-1
F	shape factor of ellipse, (C-4)

Į

THE WIGNER DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION WITH MINIMUM SPREAD

INTRODUCTION

A number of advantageous features associated with smoothing a Wigner distribution function (WDF) were discussed in a recent report [1]. At that time, it was shown that the WDF with minimum quadratic spread, about the line $t = \beta_c t$ in the time-frequency plane, was a two-dimensional Gaussian function, when constraints of finite energy and mean-square duration were imposed [1, app. G]. However, a more appropriate measure of spread about the origin in the t,f plane is adopted here and minimized, yielding a unique waveform and corresponding WDF. Additionally, a reward measure for concentration is shown to yield identically the same optimum WDF.

An additional property of smoothing two-dimensional WDFs was also demonstrated; namely, if two Gaussian mountains are doubly-convolved with each other, the effective area of the result is greater than the sum of the two effective areas, unless the contours of both WDFs have the same tilt and ratio of major-to-minor axes [1, app. J]. A quantitative investigation of the effect of mismatch in these parameters on the effective area is conducted herein.

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the content and approach of the earlier report; accordingly, this follow-on effort will be briefer and will not review the considerable history and background of the WDF.

MINIMUM QUADRATIC SPREAD

It was shown in [1, (102) and (106)] that the short-term spectral estimate is equal to the double convolution of the WDF of the waveform s(t) being analyzed with the WDF of the weighting u(t) employed. That is,

$$\left| S_{u}(t,f) \right|^{2} \equiv \left| \int dt_{1} \exp(-i2\pi ft_{1}) s(t_{1}) u^{*}(t - t_{1}) \right|^{2} =$$

$$= \iint dt_{1} df_{1} W_{s}(t_{1},f_{1}) W_{u}(t - t_{1},f - f_{1}) = W_{s}(t,f) \bigotimes^{2} W_{u}(t,f) , \qquad (1)$$

where 🕲 denotes convolution. Here,

$$W_{u}(t,f) = \int d\tau \exp(-i2\pi f\tau) u(t + \frac{\tau}{2}) u^{*}(t - \frac{\tau}{2})$$
(2)

is the WDF of complex weighting u(t); a similar definition holds for WDF W_s . (Generalizations to non-Wigner smoothing functions for W_u are given in [1, app. F].)

Since the WDF W_s of waveform s(t) has some good energy localization properties (and some deleterious negative oscillations), it is desired that the smearing in the t,f plane, implied by convolution (1), be minimized. That is, we would like WDF W_u of weighting u(t) to be as concentrated as possible about the origin of the t,f plane. The ideal of an impulse, $\delta(t)\delta(f)$, is not a legal WDF, and must he discarded. Since the left-hand side of (1) can never be negative, we can be assured, by this smoothing procedure of two WDFs, that we will always get a physically-meaningful distribution in the t,f plane; that is, the smoothed distribution will always be non-negative for all t,f and have a volume equal to the energy of waveform s(t). For example, see [1, (111) et seq.].

PENALTY MEASURE AND SPREAD

In order to confine WDF W_u near the origin, we define a penalty measure which is zero at t,f = 0,0 and which increases quadratically with t and f. Namely, the penalty measure is

$$P(t,f) = a^{2}t^{2} + 4\pi^{2}b^{2}f^{2} + 4\pi ctf , a,b,c real , \qquad (3)$$

and the corresponding spread of the WDF \mathbf{W}_{II} is defined as

$$I = \iint dt \ df \ W_{u}(t,f) \ P(t,f) \ . \tag{4}$$

Contours of equal penalty in (3) are tilted ellipses in the t,f plane; these would be selected upon observation of a calculated WDF W_s of waveform s(t) in regions of interest, i.e., high activity.

Therefore, real constants a,b,c are presumed known. Define quantity

$$Q = a^2 b^2 - c^2 . (5)$$

Then, in order that penalty

$$P(t,f) > 0$$
 for $t, f \neq 0, 0$, (6)

it is necessary that

$$Q > 0$$
 . (7)

The property (6) was not satisfied by penalty function $(f - \beta_c t)^2$ in [1, app. G]; that function was zero all along the line $f = \beta_c t$, allowing the WDF to become impulsive there.

We also want WDF W_u in (1) and (4) to have unit volume, for two reasons. First of all, this will guarantee that the short-term spectral estimate on the left-hand side of (1) will have a volume equal to the signal energy, regardless of weighting u(t) employed. Secondly, without this volume constraint, u(t) and W_u would collapse to zero, giving a meaningless spread value of I = 0 in (4). Thus we require that

$$1 = \iint dt \ df \ W_{u}(t,f) = \int dt \ \left| u(t) \right|^{2} . \tag{8}$$

Subject to this integral constraint, we want to minimize spread I in (4), and find the particular weighting u(t) and corresponding optimum WDF W_u . Notice that we are imposing no constraint of positivity on W_u .

DERIVATION OF SPREAD

Substitute (3) into (4) to get spread

$$I = \iint dt \ df \ W_{u}(t,f) \ (a^{2}t^{2} + 4\pi^{2}b^{2}f^{2} + 4\pi ctf) , \qquad (9)$$

where WDF W_{u} is given in terms of u(t) according to (2). By using the results in [1, (G-4) and (G-5)], we can express (9) solely in the time domain as

$$I = a^{2} \int dt t^{2} |u(t)|^{2} + b^{2} \int dt |u'(t)|^{2} + 2c \int dt t Im \{u'(t) u^{*}(t)\} =$$

= $\int dt [a^{2}t^{2}|u(t)|^{2} + b^{2}|u'(t)|^{2} + ict u(t) u^{*}(t) - ict u^{*}(t) u^{*}(t)]. (10)$
For reasons to become apparent chartly define complex constant

For reasons to become apparent shortly, define complex constant

$$B = \frac{\sqrt{Q} + ic}{b^2}; \qquad (11)$$

- -

-

then, by using (5), we find

$$b^2 |B|^2 = a^2$$
. (12)

Now consider the quantity

$$I(t) \equiv b^{2} |u'(t)| + Bt u(t)|^{2} =$$

$$= b^{2} |u'(t)|^{2} + b^{2} |B|^{2} t^{2} |u(t)|^{2} + b^{2} Bt u(t) u'*(t) + b^{2} B* t u*(t) u'(t) =$$

$$= b^{2} |u'(t)|^{2} + a^{2} t^{2} |u(t)|^{2} + (\sqrt{Q} + ic)t u(t) u'*(t) +$$

$$+ (\sqrt{Q} - ic)t u*(t) u'(t) . \qquad (13)$$

Comparison of (10) and (13) immediately reveals that

$$\int dt T(t) = I + \sqrt{Q'} \int dt t [u(t) u'*(t) + u*(t) u'(t)] . \quad (14)$$

We now integrate by parts, letting

$$U = t u(t), dV = dt u'*(t),$$
 (15)

to find that

.

.

$$\int dt t u(t) u'^{*}(t) = - \int dt [u(t) + t u'(t)] u^{*}(t) =$$

$$= - \int dt |u(t)|^{2} - \int dt t u'(t) u^{*}(t) . \qquad (16)$$

We presume that u(t) goes to zero at $t = \pm \alpha$, consistent with energy constraint (8).

When (16) is employed in (14), there follows

$$\int dt T(t) = I - \sqrt{Q'} \int dt |u(t)|^2 . \qquad (17)$$

Thus the desired expression for spread I is given by (17) and (13) as

$$I = b^{2} \int dt |u'(t) + Bt u(t)|^{2} + \sqrt{Q'} \int dt |u(t)|^{2} . \qquad (18)$$

This general result holds for any weighting u(t); it is obviously positive in all cases, since Q > 0.

OPTIMUM WEIGHTING

The last term in (18) cannot be altered; it is equal to \sqrt{Q} , as seen by reference to constraint (8). Furthermore, the minimum value for the remaining term in (18) is zero and is obtained for weighting u(t) which satisfies the differential equation

$$u'(t) + B t u(t) = 0$$
 for all t. (19)

The only solution to (19) is

$$u_{0}(t) = A \exp(-\frac{1}{2}Bt^{2})$$
 for all t, (20)

where complex constant A is chosen for unit energy, and B is given by (1). That is, $u_0(t)$ has Gaussian amplitude-modulation and linear frequencymodulation. The phase of A is ambiguous.

The resultant minimum value of spread I in (18) is obviously

$$I_{0} = \sqrt{Q} = \sqrt{a^{2}b^{2} - c^{2}}, \qquad (21)$$

where we employed (5). It is always positive, as seen by reference to requirements (6) and (7).

OPTIMUM WDF

The WDF corresponding to optimum weighting (20) is obtained by substitution in (2), and use of [1, (H-17) and (H-18)], as

$$W_{0}(t,f) = 2 \exp \left[-\frac{a^{2}t^{2} + 4\pi^{2}b^{2}f^{2} + 4\pi ctf}{\sqrt{Q}} \right].$$
 (22)

The area of the contour ellipse at the l/e relative level is 1/2 in the t,f plane, as expected.

Observe that the numerator of the exp in (22) is identically the quadratic penalty function P(t,f) imposed in (3). That is, the contours of optimum WDF (22) are identical to the contours of equal penalty of P(t,f) in (3). This result is intuitively satisfying: the optimum WDF packs as much volume inside a given penalty contour as possible, to the extent that the resultant WDF values are equal all along that given penalty contour.

Observe also, that although positivity of the WDF W_{u} was not imposed as a constraint in the minimization of spread I in (4) or (9), the resultant optimum WDF in (22) is, in fact, everywhere positive. Although the optimum weighting (20) has an ambiguous phase, the optimum WDF has no ambiguity; there is a unique optimum WDF, namely (22).

ALTERNATIVE REWARD MEASURE

Instead of penalizing the spread of WDF W_u about the origin in t,f space, we could alternatively utilize a measure which rewards concentration about t,f = 0,0. In particular, consider reward function

$$R(t,f) = \exp[-a^{2}t^{2} - 4\pi^{2}b^{2}f^{2} - 4\pi ctf]$$
(22a)

and reward value

$$V = \iint dt \ df \ R(t,f) \ W_u(t,f)$$
(22b)

for WDF W_u . The origin value of R(t,f) is 1; in order for R(t,f) to decay to zero as t and/or f tend to infinity, we must have condition (7) satisfied again. Notice that the contours of equal reward are ellipses in the t,f plane.

The maximization of reward value V, subject to volume constraint (8) on W_u , is conducted in appendix A. It is shown there that the optimum weighting is again (20), and that the optimum WDF is (22). The maximum value of reward V is

$$V_{max} = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{Q'}} = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{a^2b^2 - c^2}}.$$
 (22c)

More general results, for arbitrary reward functions R(t,f) in (22b), are presented in appendix A.

GENERALIZATION TO SMOOTHED WDF

A general class of distributions* has been presented in [2, (1.7) and (1.8)]. In current notation, that class is given by [1, (F-1)] as

$$D(t,f) \equiv W_{U}(t,f) \otimes V_{2}(t,f) =$$

$$= \iint dv d\tau \exp(i2\pi v t - i2\pi f\tau) \chi_{U}(v,\tau) q_{2}(v,\tau) , \qquad (23)$$

where WDF W_u is given by (2), and $V_2(t,f)$ is a general two-dimensional smoothing function. The complex ambiguity function of u(t) is

$$\chi_{U}(v,\tau) = \int dt \, \exp(-i2\pi vt) \, u(t+\frac{\tau}{2}) \, u^{*}(t-\frac{\tau}{2}) , \qquad (24)$$

while

$$q_2(v,\tau) = \iint dt \ df \ exp(-i2\pi vt + i2\pi f\tau) \ V_2(t,f)$$
(25)

is a double Fourier transform of the smoothing function V_2 . Observe that if there is no smoothing, then

$$V_{2}(t,f) = \delta(t) \ \delta(f)$$

$$q_{2}(v,\tau) = 1 \text{ for all } v,\tau$$

$$D(t,f) = W_{u}(t,f) . \qquad (26)$$

*This section is based upon a suggestion by Leon Cohen, Hunter College, New York, NY, that the optimum WDF results here actually apply to a wider class of distributions.

Now it is shown in appendix B that the following second moments of generalized smoothing distribution D can be expressed in terms of derivatives of χ_{μ} and q_2 at the origin:

$$\iint dt df t^{2} D(t,f) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} [\chi_{u}^{\nu\nu}(0,0) q_{2}(0,0) + 2\chi_{u}^{\nu}(0,0) q_{2}^{\nu}(0,0) + \chi_{u}^{\nu}(0,0) q_{2}^{\nu}(0,0)] ,$$

$$\iint dt df t f D(t,f) = \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} [\chi_{u}^{\tau\nu}(0,0) q_{2}(0,0) + \chi_{u}^{\tau}(0,0) q_{2}^{\nu}(0,0) + \chi_{u}^{\nu}(0,0) q_{2}^{\nu}(0,0)] ,$$

$$\iint dt df f^{2} D(t,f) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} [\chi_{u}^{\tau\tau}(0,0) q_{2}(0,0) + 2\chi_{u}^{\tau}(0,0) q_{2}^{\tau}(0,0)] ,$$

$$+ \chi_{u}^{\nu}(0,0) q_{2}^{\tau\tau}(0,0)] . \qquad (27)$$

Here, for example, superscript v denotes a partial derivative with respect to v, which is then evaluated at the origin $v, \tau = 0, 0$.

If follows immediately that if origin value

$$q_2(0,0) = 1$$
, (28)

and if the five origin derivatives

$$q_2^{\nu}(0,0) = q_2^{\tau}(0,0) = q_2^{\nu\nu}(0,0) = q_2^{\nu\tau}(0,0) = q_2^{\tau\tau}(0,0) = 0$$
, (29)

then (27) reduces to the moments that would have resulted from employing the no-smoothing result (26) in (27). Thus, distributions D(t,f) resulting from (23), with properties (28) and (29) for q_2 , have the same second moments

as the WDF $W_u(t,f)$. Hence, the spread I_D of distribution D(t,f) is given by (see (9))

$$I_{D} = \iint dt \ df \ D(t.f) \ (a^{2}t^{2} + 4\pi^{2}h^{2}f^{2} + 4\pi ctf) =$$
$$= \iint dt \ df \ W_{u}(t,f) \ (a^{2}t^{2} + 4\pi^{2}b^{2}f^{2} + 4\pi ctf) = I , \qquad (30)$$

which is exactly the spread I of WDF $W_{u}(t,f)$. That is, smoothed distribution D(t,f) in (23) has the same spread as WDF $W_{u}(t,f)$, when smoothing function $V_{2}(t,f)$ (actually transform q_{2}) satisfies the properties in (28) and (29). Notice that these properties are considerably less restrictive than requiring

$$q_{2}(v,0) = q_{2}(0,T) = 1$$
 for all v,T , (31)

which arises when one is interested in maintaining the marginals [2, (1.6)].

We must also observe from (23) that the volume under generalized smoothing distribution D is equal to the product of the volume under W_u and the volume under V_2 . But the latter quantity is unity, by virtue of (28). What all this means is, that if we minimize spread I_D in (30), subject to a unit volume constraint on D, the end result is precisely (18) and (20), and the optimum WDF W_u is again given by (22). The corresponding distribution D is obtained by substitution of (22) into (23) and specification of the complete V_2 or q_2 functions. The properties in (28) and (29) are not sufficient to completely specify q_2 or D; all that is specified by (28) and (29) are the second order moments of D in (27). It should also be noted that all the conditions in (29) cannot be met by the general tilted Gaussian q_2 function employed in [1, (F-9) and sequel to (F-12)].

SENSITIVITY TO MISMATCH

In [1, app. J], it was shown that if two Gaussian mountains are doubly-convolved in x,y space, the effective area A_3 of the resultant is greater than the sum of the individual areas, except when the two elliptical contours have the same tilt and the same ratio of major-to-minor axis (shape factor). Here, we wish to investigate, quantitatively, the increase in effective area above the minimum value, when the tilt and shape factors are not at their optimum values. This situation can arise when observation of WDF W_s of waveform s(t) is contaminated, in a particular region of interest in the t,f plane, by interference effects, thereby making estimation of the tilt and the shape factor of the elliptical contours somewhat inaccurate.

The general situation is considered mathematically in appendix C. Ellipse 1 has

area
$$A_1$$
, tilt β_1 , shape factor F_1 , (32)

while ellipse 2 has

area
$$A_2$$
, tilt B_2 , shape factor F_2 . (33)

The ratio

$$\frac{A_3}{A_1 + A_2}$$
(34)

is presented in (C-13) in terms of a number of auxiliary quantities.

The initial example we consider is where ellipse 1 has seven different areas, namely

$$A_1 = .5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$$
 $\beta_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ $F_1 = 2$. (35)

The tilt is fixed at $\pi/4$ radians and the shape factor at 2. On the other hand, ellipse 2 has

$$A_2 = 2$$
 $\beta_2 = -\frac{\pi}{4} \text{ to } \frac{\pi}{4}$ $F_2 = 2$. (36)

That is, the shape factor is perfect at $F_2 = F_1 = 2$, but the tilt is swept over a $\pi/2$ range (greater discrepancies than $\pi/2$ lead to obvious periodicities and symmetries centered about $\beta_2 = \beta_1$ as well as about $\beta_2 = \beta_1 \pm \pi/2$ and about $\beta_2 = \beta_1 + \pi$). The situation under investigation is depicted in figure 1, where ellipse 2 is dotted.

The effect of mismatch in tilt is presented quantitatively in figure 2. As expected, ratio (34) is 1 at $\beta_2 = \beta_1 = \pi/4$, regardless of area A_1 . The most degradation (upper-most curve) is realized for $A_1 = 2$, i.e., when the areas of the two ellipses are equal. The maximum increase in area is only 25 percent, when β_2 is off by $\pi/2$ radians; however, if the shape factor is significantly larger than 1, the sensitivity to the tilt would be much greater, as figure 1 shows.

The final example utilizes the exact same parameter values as (35) for ellipse 1, while ellipse 2 has

$$A_2 = 2$$
 $\beta_2 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ $F_2 = 2 \text{ to } 6.$ (37)

14

Now the tilt is perfect at $\beta_2 = \beta_1 = \pi/4$, but the shape factor F_2 varies above the best value of 2. The situation is depicted in figure 3, where ellipse 2 is again dotted.

Ratio (34) is plotted in figure 4 versus the shape factor F_2 . Again, the upper-most curve corresponds to the case where $A_1 = A_2 = 2$. There is no need to compute ratio (34) for $F_2 < F_1 = 2$, because the values for $F_2 = F_1 r$ are the same as those for $F_2 = F_1/r$. Additional cases of interest can be investigated by use of the program listed in appendix C.



k

Figure 1. Contour Ellipses for Mismatched Tilt





Figure 3. Contour Ellipses for Mismatched Shape Factor



Figure 4. Area Ratio (34) for $F_1 = 2, A_2 = 2, \beta_1 = \pi/4, \beta_2 = \pi/4$

SUMMARY

The most compact WDF W_{U} that can be used for two-dimensional smoothing of a measured WDF W_{S} is a Gaussian function in two variables, when the measure of spread is quadratic in the time and frequency variables t and f, or the reward measure is exponential. Furthermore, this two-dimensional convolution guarantees a non-negative modified distribution, since the result is equivalent to a short-term spectral estimate. Extensions to a particular class of generalized distributions yields the same optimum WDF. The corresponding waveform has Gaussian amplitude modulation and linear frequency-modulation.

The additional smearing caused by mismatched smoothing functions to the true parameters of a measured WDF has been investigated numerically for a few examples, and found not to be overly sensitive to the exact values. However, the multitude of parameters has prevented simplification of the area spread factor; accordingly, a program allowing calculation of particular cases is included to allow for further investigation.

The WDFs for the Hermite functions of order n are given in closed form, in terms of a Laguerre polynomial of order n. This result is extended to cross-WDFs in appendix A; in this manner, we can investigate the WDF of an arbitrary waveform when expanded in a weighted sum of Hermite functions, including linear frequency-modulation.

APPENDIX A. MAXIMIZATION OF REWARD VALUE

We want to find that WDF, $W_{u}(t,f)$, which is maximally concentrated about the origin in t,f space, where the measure of reward for concentration is

$$R(t,f) = \exp[-a^{2}t^{2} - 4\pi^{2}b^{2}f^{2} - 4\pi ctf], \quad a,b,c \text{ real}. \quad (A-1)$$

Thus, the maximum reward occurs at the origin,

$$R(0,0) = 1$$
, (A-2)

and the contours of equal reward are ellipses in the t,f plane. In order for R(t,f) to decay to zero as t and/or f tend to infinity, we must have

$$Q > 0$$
, (A-3)

where

$$Q = a^2 b^2 - c^2 . (A-4)$$

The reward value associated with WDF W_{μ} is the real quantity

$$V = \iint dt df R(t,f) W_{u}(t,f) , \qquad (A-5)$$

which we wish to maximize, where

$$W_{u}(t,f) = \int d\tau \exp(-i2\pi f\tau) u(t + \frac{\tau}{2}) u^{*}(t - \frac{\tau}{2})$$
 (A-6)

in terms of weighting u(t). We must constrain the volume of W_u , in order that V in (A-5) not tend to infinity as u(t) is simply increased in level. Thus, we have integral constraint

$$1 = \iint dt \, df \, W_{u}(t,f) = \int dt \, |u(t)|^{2} \, . \tag{A-7}$$

ALIERNATIVE FORM FOR V

If we substitute (A-6) in (A-5), there follows

$$V = \iint dt d\tau r(t,\tau) u(t + \frac{\tau}{2}) u^{*}(t - \frac{\tau}{2}) , \qquad (A-8)$$

where

$$r(t, \tau) = \int df \exp(-i2\pi f \tau) R(t, f) . \qquad (A-9)$$

A more useful alternative form for (A-8) is

$$V = \iint dx dy K(x,y) u(x) u^{*}(y)$$
, (A-10)

where kernel

$$K(x,y) = r\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, x-y\right). \qquad (A-11)$$

EIGENFUNCTIONS OF K

In this and the following subsection, kernel K is Hermitian, but otherwise arbitrary; it is not limited to form (A-11) with (A-9) and (A-1). Suppose $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\emptyset_n\}$ are the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of kernel K; i.e.,

$$\int dx \ K(x,y) \ \emptyset_{n}(x) = \lambda_{n} \ \emptyset_{n}(y) \quad \text{for } n = 0,1,2,\ldots, \qquad (A-12)$$

where $\lambda_0 \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle >}{} \lambda_1 \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle >}{} \lambda_2 \ \ldots$, and

$$\int dx \, \mathfrak{G}_{n}^{\star}(x) \, \mathfrak{G}_{m}(x) = \delta_{nm} \, . \qquad (A-13)$$

Then the kernel can be expanded according to

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n \, \mathscr{O}_n^{\star}(x) \, \mathscr{O}_n(y) \, . \qquad (A-14)$$

Also, there follows immediately

$$\iint dx \ dy \ K(x,y) \ \phi_n(x) \ \phi_n^*(y) = \lambda_n \ . \tag{A-15}$$

EXPANSION OF u

Suppose we expand weighting u in a series of eigenfunctions of Hermitian kernel K:

$$u(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \phi_n(x)$$
, where $g_n = \int dx \ u(x) \ \phi_n^{\star}(x)$. (A-16)

Then general reward expression V in (A-10) becomes

$$V = \int dy \ u^{\star}(y) \ \int dx \ K(x,y) \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \ \mathfrak{G}_n(x) =$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \int dy \ u^{\star}(y) \ \lambda_n \ \vartheta_n(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |g_n|^2 \ \lambda_n , \qquad (A-17)$$

where we used (A-12) and (A-16). At the same time, the energy of u in (A-16) is

$$E_u = \int dx |u(x)|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |g_n|^2$$
 (A-18)

Now if the energy \mathbb{E}_{u} of u is constrained at 1, as in (A-7), then the best choice of coefficients $\{g_n\}$ to maximize V in (1-17) is, since $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \dots$, obviously

$$|g_0| = 1$$
 and $g_n = 0$ for $n \ge 1$. (A-19)

That is, the optimum weighting is

$$u_0(x) = \emptyset_0(x) \exp(i\Theta)$$
, (A-20)

where constant Θ is arbitrary, while the maximum reward is

$$V_{\text{max}} = \lambda_0$$
 (A-21)

That is, the zero-th order eigenvalue and eigenfunction of general Hermitian kernel K in (A-12) are the solutions to the problem of interest here, namely maximization of reward value V in (A-10) by choice of weighting u. For a general kernel, a recursive numerical procedure could be employed on (A-12) to determine λ_0 and \emptyset_0 , if desired.

The formulation in these last two subsections is actually general enough to cover the earlier penalty function considered in (3) et seq. The only difference is that the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$ now <u>increase</u> with n, and we must select the eigenfunction corresponding to the minimum eigenvalue, in order to realize the least penalty. This approach is the subject of appendix D.

SPECIAL CASE OF EXPONENTIAL REWARD

We now specialize the general results of the previous two subsections to the reward function (A-1). Substitution in (A-9) and use of (A-4) yields

$$r(t,\tau) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}b} \exp\left[-\frac{Qt^2 + \frac{1}{4}\tau^2 - ict\tau}{b^2}\right];$$
 (A-22)

compare [1, (F-9) and (F-12)]. Then (A-11) immediately gives Hermitian kernel

$$K(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}b} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2 D^* + y^2 D + 2xy(Q-1)}{4b^2}\right], \quad (A-23)$$

where

$$D = Q + 1 + i2c$$
 (A-24)

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n \, \mathscr{O}_n^{\star}(x) \, \mathscr{O}_n(y) , \qquad (A-25)$$

where

$$\lambda_{n} = \frac{(1 - \sqrt{Q'})^{n}}{(1 + \sqrt{Q'})^{n+1}}, \qquad (A-26)$$

and

$$|A|^{2} = \frac{Q^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\pi' b}} . \qquad (A-28)$$

The function $\operatorname{He}_{n}(x)$ is the Hermite polynomial [4, 22.2.15]. It is easily verified that (A-27) satisfies orthonormality relation (A-13).

OPTIMUM WEIGHTING

Since Q > 0 by (A-3), the eigenvalues in (A-26) satisfy $\lambda_0 > \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \dots$ Therefore, the maximum reward is

$$V_{max} = \lambda_0 = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{0}} = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{a^2b^2 - c^2}},$$
 (A-29)

and the corresponding weighting is

$$u_{0}(t) = \emptyset_{0}(t) = A \exp \left[-\frac{t^{2}}{2} \frac{IQ + ic}{b^{2}}\right]$$
 (A-30)

from (A 27) and (A-28). This is identical to (20) combined with (11). Therefore the optimum WDF is again (22) for reward measure (A-1), as well as penalty measure (3). The waveform in (A-30) has Gaussian amplitude modulation and linear frequency-modulation.

HIGHER-ORDER HERMITE FUNCTIONS

For n > 0, the reward values $\{\lambda_n\}$ in (A-26) are all less than optimum value λ_0 . We have succeeded in obtaining these explicit values without having to evaluate the WDFs of the corresponding Hermite waveforms in (A-27). We now rectify this situation. The WDF of $\emptyset_n(t)$ in (A-27) is given by integral

$$W_{n}(t,f) = \int d\tau \exp(-i2\pi f\tau) \, \theta_{n}(t + \frac{\tau}{2}) \, \theta_{n}^{*}(t - \frac{\tau}{2}) =$$

$$= \frac{|A|^{2}}{n!} \int d\tau \exp\left[-i2\pi f\tau - \frac{1}{2} B\left(t + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} B^{*}\left(t - \frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{*}$$

$$* He_{n}\left(F\left(t + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right) He_{n}\left(F\left(t - \frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right), \qquad (A-31)$$

where

$$B = \frac{\sqrt{Q} + ic}{b^2}, F = \frac{2^{1/2} \sqrt{1/4}}{b}, |A|^2 = \frac{\sqrt{1/4}}{\sqrt{\pi} b}.$$
 (A-32)

Now a more general integral result already exists in closed form; from [5, p. 292, (30)], we have, in a form more useful for present purposes,

$$\int dx \, \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \, x^2 \, + \, ax) \, \operatorname{He}_{m}(b \, + \, x) \, \operatorname{He}_{n}(b \, - \, x) =$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi} \, (-1)^{m} \, \mathrm{m!} \, (b \, - \, a)^{n-m} \, \operatorname{L}_{m}^{(n-m)}(b^2 \, - \, a^2) \, \exp(a^2/2) \quad \text{for } m \leq n \, , \qquad (A-33)$$

where $L_{\rm m}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is the generalized Laguerre polynomial [4, 22.2.12]. When (A-33) is used on (A-32), there follows, for the WDF of waveform $\phi_{\rm n}(t)$ in (A-27), the compact result

$$W_n(t,f) = (-1)^n 2 L_n(2U) \exp(-U)$$
, (A-34)

where

$$U = \frac{a^{2}t^{2} + 4\pi^{2}b^{2}f^{2} + 4\pi cif}{\sqrt{Q}}.$$
 (A-35)

This result reduces to (22) for n = 0. Again, contours of equal values of the WDF are ellipses in the t,f plane.

CROSS-WDFs

Suppose a general waveform u(t) is expanded in a set of orthonormal Hermite functions with linear frequency-modulation (α positive real, β real)

according to

$$u(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n \, \emptyset_n(t) \, .$$
 (A-37)

Then the WDF of u(t) becomes

$$W_{u}(t,f) = \int d\tau \exp(-i2\pi f\tau) u(t + \frac{\tau}{2}) u^{\star}(t - \frac{\tau}{2}) =$$
$$= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} u_{m} u_{n}^{\star} W_{mn}(t,f) , \qquad (A-38)$$

where cross-WDF

$$W_{mn}(t,f) = \int d\mathbf{T} \exp(-i2\pi f\mathbf{T}) \, \theta_m(t + \frac{\mathbf{T}}{2}) \, \theta_n^*(t - \frac{\mathbf{T}}{2}) \, . \qquad (A-39)$$

When (A-36) is substituted in (A-39), and (A-33) is utilized, the cross-WDF can be expressed as

$$W_{mn}(t,f) = 2(-1)^{m} \sqrt{\frac{m!}{n!}} z^{n-m} L_{m}^{(n-m)}(|z|^{2}) \exp(-|z|^{2}/2) \text{ for } m \le n$$
,
(A-40)

where

$$z = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} [\alpha t + i(2\pi f + \beta t)],$$

$$|z|^{2} = \frac{2}{\alpha} [(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2})t^{2} + 4\pi^{2}f^{2} + 4\pi\beta tf].$$
(A-41)

These results generalize [6, pp. 456-7] and [7, p. 547].

The origin value of (A-40) is

$$W_{mn}(0,0) = 2(-1)^{m} \delta_{mn}$$
, (A-42)

consistent with unit energy of $\emptyset_n(t)$ and their even or odd character. The cross-WDF in (A-40) is a function only of the three variables m,n,z, where z is the complex combination in (A-41). The parameters α and B in (A-36) are perfectly general; when they are specialized to match (A-27), and when we set m = n, then (A-40) reduces to (A-34). Equations (A-38) and (A-40) afford a direct calculation of the WDF of a general waveform u(t), once the coefficients are determined by

$$u_n = \int dt \ u(t) \ \theta_n^*(t) \ . \tag{A-43}$$

APPENDIX B. MOMENTS OF DISTRIBUTION D

The generalized smoothing distribution D is given by (23) in terms of a double Fourier transform of product

$$P(v,\tau) = \chi_{\mu}(v,\tau) q_{2}(v,\tau) . \qquad (B-1)$$

Therefore, the inverse relation is

$$P(v,\tau) = \iint dt \ df \ exp(-i2\pi vt + i2\pi f\tau) \ D(t,f) \ . \tag{B-2}$$

If we let superscript v denote a partial derivative with respect to v, there immediately follows from (B-2),

$$P(0,0) = \iint dt df D(t,f)$$

$$P^{\nu}(0,0) = -i2\pi \iint dt df t D(t,f)$$

$$P^{\tau}(0,0) = i2\pi \iint dt df f D(t,f)$$

$$P^{\nu\nu}(0,0) = -4\pi^{2} \iint dt df t^{2} D(t,f)$$

$$P^{\nu\tau}(0,0) = 4\pi^{2} \iint dt df t f D(t,f)$$

$$P^{\tau\tau}(0,0) = -4\pi^{2} \iint dt df f^{2} D(t,f) . \qquad (B-3)$$

When these relations are written out explicitly in terms of $\mathcal{X}_{\!\!\!\mathbf{u}}$ and

 $\boldsymbol{q}_2,$ according to (B-1), we find that the moments of D are

$$\iint dt \ df \ D(t,f) = \chi_{u}(0,0) \ q_{2}(0,0)$$

$$\iint dt \ df \ t \ D(t,f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\chi_{u}^{v}(0,0) \ q_{2}(0,0) + \chi_{u}(0,0) \ q_{2}^{v}(0,0) \right]$$

$$\iint dt \ df \ t \ D(t,f) = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \left[\chi_{u}^{v}(0,0) \ q_{2}(0,0) + \chi_{u}(0,0) \ q_{2}^{v}(0,0) \right]$$

$$\iint dt \ df \ t^{2} \ D(t,f) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \left[\chi_{u}^{vv}(0,0) \ q_{2}(0,0) + 2\chi_{u}^{v}(0,0) \ q_{2}^{v}(0,0) + \chi_{u}(0,0) \ q_{2}^{v}(0,0) \right]$$

$$\iint dt \ df \ t \ f \ D(t,f) = \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \left[\chi_{u}^{v\tau}(0,0) \ q_{2}(0,0) + \chi_{u}^{\tau}(0,0) \ q_{2}^{v}(0,0) + \chi_{u}^{v}(0,0) \ q_{2}^{v}(0,0) \right]$$

$$\iint dt \ df \ t \ f \ D(t,f) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \left[\chi_{u}^{\tau\tau}(0,0) \ q_{2}(0,0) + 2\chi_{u}^{\tau}(0,0) \ q_{2}^{\tau}(0,0) \right]$$

$$\iint dt \ df \ f^{2} \ D(t,f) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \left[\chi_{u}^{\tau\tau}(0,0) \ q_{2}(0,0) + 2\chi_{u}^{\tau}(0,0) \ q_{2}^{\tau}(0,0) \right]$$

$$(B-4)$$

Since q_2 is a double Fourier transform of V_2 , of exactly the same form as (B-2), it follows immediately, by similarity to (B-3), that the required derivatives of q_2 in (B-4) can be found from smoothing function V_2 as

$$q_{2}(0,0) = \iint dt \, df \, V_{2}(t,f)$$

$$q_{2}^{\nu}(0,0) = -i2\pi \iint dt \, df \, t \, V_{2}(t,f)$$

$$q_{2}^{\tau}(0,0) = i2\pi \iint dt \, df \, f \, V_{2}(t,f)$$

$$q_{2}^{\nu\nu}(0,0) = -4\pi^{2} \iint dt \, df \, t^{2} \, V_{2}(t,f)$$

$$q_{2}^{\nu\tau}(0,0) = 4\pi^{2} \iint dt \, df \, t \, f \, V_{2}(t,f)$$

$$q_{2}^{\tau\tau}(0,0) = -4\pi^{2} \iint dt \, df \, t^{2} \, V_{2}(t,f) \quad (B-5)$$

COMPLEX AMBIGUITY FUNCTION PROPERTIES

The required derivatives of χ_u in (B-4) can be determined from definition (24). We list them here for completeness and future reference:

$$\chi_{u}^{\nu}(0,0) = \int dt |u(t)|^{2}$$

$$\chi_{u}^{\nu}(0,0) = -i2\pi \int dt t |u(t)|^{2}$$

$$\chi_{u}^{\tau}(0,0) = i \int dt \operatorname{Im} \{u'(t) \ u^{\star}(t)\}$$

$$\chi_{u}^{\nu\nu}(0,0) = -4\pi^{2} \int dt \ t^{2} |u(t)|^{2}$$

$$\chi_{u}^{\nu\tau}(0,0) = 2\pi \int dt \ t \ \operatorname{Im} \{u'(t) \ u^{\star}(t)\}$$

$$\chi_{u}^{\tau\tau}(0,0) = -\int dt |u'(t)|^{2} . \qquad (B-6)$$

These quantities are all real, with the exception of the two single derivatives, both of which are purely imaginary. These second-order derivative values of χ_u can be expressed solely in terms of u(t) and u'(t).

Since we can express complex ambiguity function $\chi_{_{U}}$ in terms of the WDF $\rm W_{_{U}}$ according to

$$\chi_{u}(\upsilon, \tau) = \iint dt \ df \ exp(-i2\pi\upsilon t + i2\pi f\tau) \ W_{u}(t, f) , \qquad (B-7)$$

it readily follows from (B-6) that

$$\iint dt \ df \ W_{u}(t,f) = \int dt \left|u(t)\right|^{2}$$

$$\iint dt \ df \ t \ W_{u}(t,f) = \int dt \ t \left|u(t)\right|^{2}$$

$$\iint dt \ df \ f \ W_{u}(t,f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dt \ Im \left\{u'(t) \ u^{\star}(t)\right\}$$

$$\iint dt \ df \ t^{2} \ W_{u}(t,f) = \int dt \ t^{2} \left|u(t)\right|^{2}$$

$$\iint dt \ df \ t \ f \ W_{u}(t,f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dt \ t \ Im \left\{u'(t) \ u^{\star}(t)\right\}$$

$$\iint dt \ df \ t \ f \ W_{u}(t,f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dt \ t \ Im \left\{u'(t) \ u^{\star}(t)\right\}$$

$$(B-8)$$

APPENDIX C. GENERAL TILTED ELLIPSE

It will be convenient to be able to specify the area, tilt, and shape factor of an ellipse directly, instead of trying to solve for these quantities from the general form

$$\frac{1}{2}ax^{2} + \frac{1}{2}by^{2} + \sqrt{ab'}\rho xy = 1$$
 (C-1)

employed in [1, (J-2)]. Accordingly, as done in [1, app. D], we employ the rotated coordinates depicted in figure C-1 below. The equation of the ellipse in x',y' space is

$$\left(\frac{x'}{x'_{0}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{y'}{y'_{0}}\right)^{2} = 1 .$$
 (C-2)



Figure C-1. Rotated Coordinate Axes

But since the area of this ellipse is

$$A = \pi x'_{0} y'_{0}$$
, (C-3)

while its shape factor is

$$F = \frac{x'}{y'_0}, \qquad (C-4)$$

it is a simple matter to find that

$$A F = \pi x_0^{1/2}, A/F = \pi y_0^{1/2}, \qquad (C-5)$$

leading to the desirable form

$$\frac{x'^2}{F} + F y'^2 = \frac{A}{\pi} . \qquad (C-6)$$

Furthermore, the coordinate axes in figure C-1 are related according to

$$\begin{array}{l} x' = xC + yS \\ y' = -xS + yC \end{array} \right\} \quad C = \cos(\beta) \ , \ S = \sin(\beta) \ . \qquad (C-7) \end{array}$$

Substitution in (C-6) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} x^{2} \left(\frac{C^{2}}{F} + FS^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} y^{2} \left(\frac{S^{2}}{F} + FC^{2} \right) + xy SC \left(\frac{1}{F} - F \right) = \frac{A}{2\pi} , \qquad (C-8)$$

which is of the form (C-1) under identifications

$$a = \frac{2\pi}{A} \left(\frac{C^2}{F} + FS^2 \right), \quad b = \frac{2\pi}{A} \left(\frac{S^2}{F} + FC^2 \right),$$
$$\rho = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma = SC \left(\frac{1}{F} - F \right). \quad (C-9)$$

Once area A, tilt B, and shape factor F are specified, (C-9) affords a ready calculation of a,b,ρ ; quantities C and S are given by (C-7). Since

 $\rho = \sin(\Theta)$ in [1, (J-6)], we have

$$sin(\Theta) = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}}, \quad cos(\Theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}}, \quad (C-10)$$

which are needed below.

In order to distinguish the two Gaussian mountains being doubly convolved in [1, (J-2)], we label them with subscripts 1 and 2, respectively, thereby obtaining

$$a = \frac{2\pi}{A_1} \left(\frac{c_1^2}{F_1} + F_1 S_1^2 \right) , \quad b = \frac{2\pi}{A_1} \left(\frac{S_1^2}{F_1} + F_1 C_1^2 \right) , \quad S_1 = \sin(\beta_1) , \quad C_1 = \cos(\beta_1) ,$$
$$\gamma_1 = S_1 C_1 \left(\frac{1}{F_1} - F_1 \right) , \quad \sin(\Theta) = \frac{\gamma_1}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma_1^2}} , \quad \cos(\Theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma_1^2}} , \quad (C-11)$$

and

ŀ

$$c = \frac{2\pi}{A_2} \left(\frac{c_2^2}{F_2} + F_2 S_2^2 \right), \quad d = \frac{2\pi}{A_2} \left(\frac{S_2^2}{F_2} + F_2 C_2^2 \right), \quad S_2 = \sin(\beta_2), \quad C_2 = \cos(\beta_2),$$

$$\gamma_2 = S_2 C_2 \left(\frac{1}{F_2} - F_2 \right), \quad \sin(\emptyset) = \frac{\gamma_2}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma_2^2}}, \quad \cos(\emptyset) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma_2^2}}. \quad (C-12)$$

We are now in a position to evaluate the effective area A_3 of the resultant convolution; namely from [1, (J-9)-(J-11)], we have

$$\frac{A_3}{A_1 + A_2} = \frac{\sqrt{D}}{\sqrt{ab'}\cos(\theta) + \sqrt{cd'}\cos(\theta)}, \qquad (C-13)$$

where

$$D = ab \cos^{2}(\Theta) + cd \cos^{2}(\emptyset) + ad + bc - 2\sqrt{abcd} \sin(\Theta) \sin(\emptyset) . (C-14)$$

The minimum value of (C-13) is 1, attained when shape factors $F_{1} = F_{2}$
and tilts $B_{1} = B_{2}$. More generally, when we specify

$$A_1, B_1, F_1$$
 for ellipse 1,
 A_2, B_2, F_2 for ellipse 2, (C-15)

equations (C-11) and (C-12) allow for evaluation of all the parameters needed in (C-13) and (C-14). A sample program in BASIC is attached. Subroutine E computes a, b, $sin(\Theta)$, $cos(\Theta)$ as given by (C-9) and (C-10) in terms of given area A, shape factor F, and tilt B (=B).

```
10
       GIHIT
       PLOTTER IS "GRAPHICS"
 20
 30
       GRAPHICS ON
 40
       WINDOW -PI/4, PI/4, 1, 1.25
 50
       GRID P1/8,.05
                                   SHAPE FACTOR
 6.0
       F1=2
 70
       B1 = PI + 4
                                   1 TILT
80
       A2=2
                                   ! AREA
90
       F2=2
100
       DATA .5,1,2,3,4,5,6
       DIM A1(1:7)
110
120
       PEAD A1(*)
130
       FOR I=1 TO 7
140
       \bar{H}\, I = \bar{H}\, I \in I +
150
       CALL E(A1,F1,B1,As,Bs,St,Ct)
160
       Ab≃As★Bs
170
       FOR B2=-PI 4 TO PI/4 STEP PI/100
180
       CALL E(A2,F2,B2,Cs,Ds,Sp,Cp)
190
       Cd≖Cs★Ds
ិព័ម៌
       D≈Ab+Ct*Ct+Cd*Cp*Cp+As*Ds+Bs*Cs-2.*SQR(Ab*Cd)*St*Sp
       A312=SQR(D)*A1*A2/(2.*PI*(A1+A2))
210
220
       PLOT B2, A312
230
       HENT B2
240
       PENUP
250
       HELT I
260
       PRUSE
270
       END
2 \otimes 0
        1
290
       SUB E(A,F,B,As,Bs,St,Ct)
300
       S=SIN(B)
310
       C=COS(B)
320
       G=S+C+(1./F-F)
330
       Sq=SOR(1.+G*G)
340
       St=G×Sq
350
       Ct=1.-Sq
369
       62=0*0
370
       S2=S*S
380
       T=2.+PI/A
390
       A≤=T★(C2/F+S2*F)
400
       Bs=T*(S2/F+C2*F)
410
        SUBEND
```

APPENDIX D. KERNEL APPROACH TO PENALTY FUNCTION

The general formulation in (A-11) through (A-21) will be applied in this appendix to the penalty function (3):

$$P(t,f) = a^{2}t^{2} + 4\pi^{2}b^{2}f^{2} + 4\pi ctf . \qquad (D-1)$$

Substitution in (A-9) (in place of reward R) yields

$$r(t,\tau) = \int df \, \exp(-i2\pi f\tau) \, P(t,f) =$$

$$= \int df \, \exp(-i2\pi f\tau) \, (a^2 t^2 + 4\pi^2 b^2 f^2 + 4\pi ctf) =$$

$$= a^2 t^2 \delta(\tau) - b^2 \delta''(\tau) + i2ct\delta'(\tau) \, . \qquad (D-2)$$

Then kernel K follows from (A-11) as

$$K(x,y) = r\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, x-y\right) =$$

$$= \frac{a^2}{4} (x+y)^2 \delta(x-y) - b^2 \delta''(x-y) + ic (x+y) \delta'(x-y) , (D-3)$$

which is Hermitian.

The integral equation (A-12), that must be solved, can be simplified by use of the facts that

$$\frac{1}{4} a^{2} \int dx(x + y)^{2} \delta(x - y) \phi_{n}(x) = a^{2}y^{2}\phi_{n}(y) ,$$
$$-b^{2} \int dx \delta^{*}(x - y) \phi_{n}(x) = -b^{2}\phi_{n}^{*}(y) ,$$
$$ic \int dx (x + y) \delta^{*}(x - y) \phi_{n}(x) = -ic[2y\phi_{n}^{*}(y) + \phi_{n}(y)] , \qquad (D-4)$$

where the last two results are obtained by integration by parts. Then (A-12) yields differential equation

$$b^2 \mathscr{G}_n^{"}(y) + i2cy \mathscr{G}_n^{'}(y) + (\lambda_n + ic - a^2 y^2) \mathscr{G}_n(y) = 0$$
. (D-5)

If we try solution

in (D-5), we find it to be acceptable if we take

$$B = \frac{\sqrt{Q} + ic}{b^2}, \quad \lambda_0 = \sqrt{Q}. \quad (0-7)$$

These results agree with (11) and (20), as expected. To find the general solution of (D-5), we try solution form

$$\theta(y) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}By^2) H(y)$$
, (D-8)

with B still given by (D-7). This form in (D-8) is <u>no loss of generality</u> since H is still arbitrary. Use of (D-8) in (D-5) results in

$$b^{2}H''(y) + 2y H'(y) (-b^{2}B + ic) +$$

+ H(y) $(-b^{2}B + b^{2}B^{2}y^{2} - i2cBy^{2} + \lambda + ic - a^{2}y^{2}) = 0$. (D-9)

When the value for B in (D-7) is utilized, (D-9) simplifies to

$$b^{2}H''(y) - 2\sqrt{Q}y H'(y) + (x - \sqrt{Q}) H(y) = 0$$
. (D-10)

(As a partial check, if H(y) = A, then $\lambda = \sqrt{Q}$, as in (D-7).)

Now, in (D-9), let

$$H(y) = G(Fy)$$
, $H'(y) = FG'(Fy)$, $H''(y) = F^2G''(Fy)$, (D-11)

.

where F is arbitrary for the moment, thereby obtaining

$$b^{2}F^{2} G''(Fy) - 2\sqrt{Q}'y F G'(Fy) + (\lambda - \sqrt{Q}') G(Fy) = 0$$
. (D-12)

Now let x = Fy to get

$$G''(x) - \frac{2\sqrt{Q}}{b^2 F^2} \times G'(x) + \frac{\lambda - \sqrt{Q}}{b^2 F^2} G(x) = 0$$
 (D-13)

If we now let (without loss of generality)

$$F = \frac{2^{1/2}q^{1/4}}{b} , \qquad (D-14)$$

then (D-13) simplifies further to

$$G''(x) - x G'(x) + \frac{\lambda - \sqrt{Q}}{2\sqrt{Q}}G(x) = 0$$
. (D-15)

We now appeal to [4, 22.6.21] and observe that if

$$\frac{\lambda - \sqrt{Q}}{2\sqrt{Q}} = n = \text{integer}, \qquad (D-16)$$

then a solution of (D-15) is

$$G(x) = He_n(x)$$
, $\lambda_n = \sqrt{Q^2}(1 + 2n)$. (D-17)

Also, (D-11) yields

$$H(y) = G(Fy) = He_{n}(Fy)$$
, (D-18)

while (D-8) gives

$$\theta_{n}(y) = A \exp(-\frac{1}{2} By^{2}) He_{n}(Fy)/\sqrt{n!}$$
, (D-19)

with

$$B = \frac{\sqrt{0} + ic}{b^2}, F = \frac{2^{1/2} 0^{1/4}}{b}, |A|^2 = \frac{0^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\pi} b}, \quad (0-20)$$

where the unit energy normalization of \emptyset_n has been imposed. The corresponding eigenvalue follows from (D-17) as

$$\lambda_n = \sqrt{Q} (1 + 2n) = \sqrt{a^2 b^2 - c^2} (1 + 2n)$$
 (D-21)

The minimum obviously occurs for n = 0.

Result (D-19) agrees with (A-27). However, the λ_n given here by (D-21) differs from that given by (A-26), because we are solving for the minimum penalty here versus the maximum reward there.

REFERENCES

- A. H. Nuttall, <u>Wigner Distribution Function: Relation to Short-Term</u> <u>Spectral Estimation, Smoothing, and Performance in Noise</u>, NUSC Technical Report 8225, Naval Underwater Systems Center, New London, CT, 16 February 1988.
- L. Cohen and T. E. Posch, "Positive Time-Frequency Distribution Functions," <u>IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal</u> <u>Processing</u>, vol. ASSP-33, no. 1, pp. 31-38, February 1985.
- J. F. Barrett and D. G. Lampard, "An Expansion for Some Second-Order Probability Distributions and its Application to Noise Problems," <u>IRE</u> <u>Transactions on Information Theory</u>, volume IT-1, number 1, pp. 10-15, March 1955.
- <u>Handbook of Mathematical Functions</u>, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series, number 55, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., June 1964.
- 5. A. Erdélyi et al, <u>Tables of Integral Transforms</u>, volume II, McGraw-Hill Book Company, NY, 1954.
- H. J. Groenewold, "On the Principles of Elementary Quantum Mechanics," <u>Physica</u>, vol. XII, no. 7, pp. 405-460, October 1946.
- M. S. Bartlett and J. E. Moyal, "The Exact Transition Probabilities of Quantum-Mechanical Oscillators, Calculated by the Phase-Space Method," <u>Proc. of Cambridge Phil. Soc.</u>, vol. 45, pp. 545-553, 1949.

Addressee	No.	of	Copies
ADMIRALTY RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT, LONDON, ENGLAND			
(Dr. L. Lloyd)			1
APPLIED PHYSICS LAB, JOHN HOPKINS (John C. Stapleton)			2
APPLIED PHYSICS LAB, U. WASHINGTON (C. Eggan)			
Contract N00024-85-C-6264			1
APPLIED RESEARCH LAB, PENN STATE.(Dr. D. Ricker)			
Contract N00024-85-C-6041			2
APPLIED RESEARCH LAB. U. TEXAS (Dr. M. Frazer)			-
Contract N00024-86-C-6134-4-2-3			2
A & T. STONINGTON, CT (H. Jarvis)			1
APPLIED SEISMIC GROUP, (R. Lacoss)			1
ASST SEC NAV			1
ASTRON RESEARCH & ENGR. SANTA MONICA. CA (Dr. A. Piersol)			1
AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIV. CANBERRA, AUSTRALIA			
(Prof. B. Anderson)			1
BBN, Arlington, Va. (Dr. H. Cox)			1
BBN, Cambridge, MA (H. Gish)			1
BBN, New London, Ct. (Dr. P. Cable)			1
BELL COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, Morristown, NJ (J. Kaiser and			
D. Sunday (Library)			2
BENDAT, JULIUS DR., 833 Moraga Dr., LA, CA			1
DR. Norman Bleistein, Denver, CO 80222			1
CANBERRA COLLEGE OF ADV. EDUC, BELCONNEN, A.C.T.			
AUSTRALIA (P. Morgan)			1
CNA			1
COAST GUARD ACADEMY, New London, CT (Prof. J. Wolcin)			1
COAST GUARD R & D, Groton, CT (Library)			1
COGENT SYSTEMS, INC, (J. Costas)			1
CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY H-915-3, MONTREAL, QUEBEC CANADA			_
(Prof. Jeffrey Krolik)			1
CNU (NOP-098)			1
CNR-UCNR-00, 10, 11, 12, 13, 20 (2),122, 123, 124			10
DINSRDC, BETHESDA			1
DAVID W. TAYLOR RESEARCH CNTR, ANNAPOLIS, MD			_
(P. Prendergast, Code 2/44)			1
DAVID W. TAYLOR RESEARCH CNIR, BETHESDA, MD			_
(N. Zimmerman, Code 5220)			1
DARPA, ARLINGIUN, VA (A. Ellinthorpe)			1
DALHOUSIE UNIV., HALIFAX, NUVA SCUIIA, CANADA (Dr. B. Ruddick)			1
UEFENCE RESEARCH ESTAB. ATLANTIC, DARTMOUTH, NOVA SCOTTA			
(LIDEACY)			I
(Dr. D. Thomson)			1
NELENCE COTENTIETO ESTADITCUMENT MINISTRY DE DECENCE			I
$\Delta IICKI \Delta ND N 7 (Dr I Hall)$			1
DEFENSE SYSTEMS INC MC LEAN VA (Dr. 6 Sebestven)			1
DIA			1
DTIC			i
DINSRDC			1
DREXEL UNIV. (Prof. S. Kesler)			1
EDO CORP. College Point. NY (M. Blanchard)			-
NICRAD 87-NUSC-029 of 23 July 1987			1

Addressee

No. of Copies

2

1

1

٦

1

٦

1

1

1

2

٦

3

2

1

3

1

5

1

٦

1

5

1

2

6

1

1

1

1

EG&G, Manassas, VA (Dr. J. Hughen and D. Frohman) GENERAL ELECTRIC CO. PITTSFIELD, MA (Mr. R. Race) GENERAL ELECTRIC CO, SYRACUSE, NY (Mr. J. L. Rogers) GENERAL ELECTRIC CO, (D. Winfield) NO0024-87-C-6087) HAHN, WM, Apt. 701, 500 23rd St. NW, Wash, DC 20037 HARRIS SCIENTIFIC SERVICES, Dobbs Ferry, NY (B. Harris) HUGHES AIRCRAFT, Fullerton, CA (S. Autrey) HUGHES AIRCRAFT, Buena Park, CA (T. Posch) IBM, Manassas, VA (G. Demuth) INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALSORE, INDIA (N. Srinivasa) JOHNS HOPKINS UNIV, LAUREL, MD (J. C. Stapleton) LINCOM CORP., NORTHBORO, MA 01532 (Dr. T. Schonhoff) MAGNAVOX GOV & IND ELEC CO. Ft. Wayne, IN (R. Kenefic) MARINE BIOLOGICAL LAB. Woods Hole. MA MARINE PHYSICAL LABORATORY SCRIPPS MARTIN MARIETTA BALTIMORE AEROSPACE, Baltimore, MD (S. Lawrence Marple) MASS. INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (Prof. A. Baggaroer) MBS SYSTEMS, NORWALK, CT (A. Winder) MIDDLETON. DAVID. 127 E. 91st ST. NY. NY NADC (5041, M. Mele) NAIR-03 NASC, NAIR-00,03 NATIONAL RADIO ASTRONOMY OBSERVATORY (F. Schwab) NATO SACLANT ASW RESEARCH CENTRE, APO NY, NY 09019 (Library R. E. Sullivan and G. Tacconi) NAVAIR (03, PMA 264) NAVAL INTELLIGENCE COMMAND NAVAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT CTR NRL UND SOUND REF DET, ORLANDO, FL NAVAL OCEANOGRAPHIC OFFICE NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND-SEA-00, 63, 63D, PMS-409, PMS-11 NAVAL SYSTEMS DIV., SIMRAD SUBSEA A/S, NORWAY (E. B. Lunde) NCSC NICHOLS RESEARCH CORP., Wakefield, MA (T. Marzetta) NORDA (Dr. B. Adams) NORDA (Code 345) N STL Station, MS 39529 NORTHEASTERN UNIV. (Prof. C. L. Nikias) NORWEGIAN DEFENCE RESEARCH EST, NORWAY (Dr J. Glattetre) NOSC, (C. Sturdevant; 73, J. Lockwood, F. Harris, 743, R. Smith; 62, R. Thuleen) NPRDC NPS, MONTEREY, CA (C. W. Therrien, Code 62 Ti) NRL, Washington, DC (Dr. J. Buccaro, Dr. E. Franchi, Dr. P. Abraham, Code 5132, A. A. Gerlach, W. Gabriel (Code 5370), and N. Yen (Code 5135) NRL, Arlington, VA (N. L. Gerr, Code 1111) NSWC NSWC DET FT. LAUDERDALE NSWC WHITE OAK LAB NUSC DET FT. LAUDERDALE

Addressee	No.	of	Copie
NUSC DET TUDOR HILL NUSC DET WEST PALM BEACH (Dr. R. Kennedy Code 3802) Nuc			1
ORI CO, INC, New London, CT (G. Assard)			1
POHIFR. R., 1034 Evergreen St., San Diego, CA 92106			1
PROMETHEUS, INC, Sharon, MA (Dr. J. Byrnes)			i
PSI MARINE SCIENCES, New London, Ct. (Dr. R. Mellen)			1
PRICE, Dr. Robert, 80 Hill St., Lexington, Ma 02173			1
RAISBECK, Dr. Gordon, P.O. Box 4311, Portland, ME 04101			1
RAN RESEARCH LAB, DAKLINGHURSI, AUSIKALIA DAVIHEON CO. Dontemouth DI (1 Dantnam D. Connor)			1
and S S Reese) NTCRAD 87-NUSC 013			3
RICHTER.W. 7615 Heritage Dr., Annandale, VA.			ĩ
ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL CORP, Anaheim, CA (L. Einstein			
and Dr. D. Elliott)			2
ROYAL MILITARY COLLEGE OF CANADA, (Prof. Y. Chan)			1
RUIGERS UNIV., PISCATAWAY, NJ (Prof. S. Urfanidis) PCA COPP Moorostown NJ (H. Unkowitz)			1
SAIC Falls Church VA (Dr. P. Mikhalevsky)			1
SAIC, New London, CT (Dr. F. Dinapoli)			i
SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORY (J. Claasen)			ì
SCRIPPS INSTITUTION OF OCEANOGRAPHY			1
SE-00-, -63, 63-D, PMS-409, -411			5
SONAR & SURVEILLANCE GROUP, DARLINGHURST, AUSTRALIA			1
SUUTHEASTERN MASS. UNIV (Prot. C. H. LNEN)			1
SPEAR CORP. GREAT NECK, NT SPEAR -0.5 PDW 124			2
STATE UNIV. OF NY AT STONY BROOK (Prof. M. Barkat)			1
TEL-AVIV UNIV, TEL-AVIV, ISRAEL (Prof. E. Winstein)			1
TRACOR, INC, Austin, TX (Dr. T Leih and J. Wilkinson)			2
TRW FEDERAL SYSTEMS GROUP (R. Prager)			1
UNDERSEA ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS DEPT, SYRACUSE, NY (J. Rogers)			1
UNIV. OF ALBERTA, EUMUNIUN, ALBERTA, LANADA (K. TEUNG) UNIV OF CA San Diego. CA (Prof. C. Heistrom)			1
UNIV OF CR, San Diego, CA (Fron. C. Heistrom) UNIV OF CT Storrs CT (Library and Prof C Knapp)			2
UNIV OF FLA. GAINESVILLE. FL (D. Childers)			ĩ
UNIV OF MICHIGAN, Cooley Lab, Ann Arbor, MI (Prof T. Birdsall)			1
UNIV. OF MINN, Minneapolis, Mn (Prof. M. Kaveh)			۱
UNIV. OF NEWCASTLE, NEWCASTLE, NSW, CANADA (Prof. A. Cantoni)			1
UNIV. OF RI, Kingston, RI (Library, Prof. S. Kay,			•
Prof. L. Schart, and Prof. D. Tutts)			4
UNIV. UF SUUTHERN LA., LA. (Ur. A. POTYDOROS PHE 414)			I
(Prof. T. Durrani)			1
UNIV. OF TECHNOLOGY, Loughborough, Leicestershire, England			•
(Prof. J. Griffiths)			1
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON, Seattle (Prof. D. Lytle)			1
URICK, ROBERT, Silver Springs, MD			1
VAN ASSELI, HENRIK, USEA S.P.A., LA SPEZIA, ITALY WERBNER, A., 60 Elm St., Medford, MA 02155			1]

s