Ð

TTIL FILE LUP!

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF MATERIALS SCIENCE

TECHNICAL REPORT # 2

AUGUST 1988

337

AD-A199

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

CONTRACT NO. NOO014-80-C-116

SEPARATION OF THE MACRO - and MICRO-STRESSES IN PLASTICALLY DEFORMED 1080 STEEL

BY

R. A. WINHOLTZ and J. B. COHEN



Distribution of this document is unlimited

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public releases Distribution Unlimited



EVANSTON, ILLINOIS

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

88 8 31 097

Separation of in Plastically	f the Macro- and Micro-Stresses Deformed 1080 Steel	DTIC COPY WEDFECTED
	R.A. Winholtz and J.B. Cohen	NOIS CREAT
	Department of Materials Science and	l Engineering
	The Technological Institute Northwestern University Evanston, IL 60208	 Fay Association of the second se
		2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
		Accel station Second
Abstract		A-1

Ab

The stress tensors were measured with x-ray diffraction in both the ferrite and cementite phases of a 1080 steel specimen deformed in uniaxial tension. The macro- and micro-stresses were separated for all the components of the stress tensors. All the components except the hydrostatic components of the micro-stresses could be determined without an accurate value of the unstressed lattice parameter. Tensile stresses were found in the cementite and compressive stresses in the ferrite along the deformation direction. The tensile stresses in the cementite were quite large. $(\mathcal{A} \mathcal{W})_{\mathcal{K}}$

INTRODUCTION

Stresses have long been measured in the matrix phase of steels with diffraction. Steels, however, are generally two-phase materials containing carbides to enhance the properties of the material. Because the diffraction peaks from the carbide phase are so weak, the stresses in this phase and the stress system set up by its presence have not often been examined^{1,2}. Recently, theories have been developed and used to investigate the stress system set up in a two-phase material 3,4 . The sensitivity of triaxial stress

measurements to errors in d_o , the unstressed lattice parameter, has been a drawback to their use⁵. In this work diffraction measurements of the stresses in both the cementite and ferrite phases of a 1080 steel will be described, as well as an analysis method that eliminates the sensitivity to d_o .

THEORY

Macro- and Micro-stresses in a Two-Phase Material

In an inhomogeneous material, the stresses from point to point will be different from those predicted by assuming the material to be homogeneous. Almost all engineering materials are inhomogeneous on a small scale and hence will have stresses different than those calculated from a simple theory. In a two-phase material the stresses will not distribute themselves uniformly between the two phases. This gives rise to macroand micro-stresses within the material.

Macro-stresses vary slowly compared to a part's dimensions in at least one direction, and are by definition the same in both phases of a two-phase material. These stresses may originate, for instance, due to surface layers of a piece elongating more than the interior, for example during peening. Micro-stresses are the difference between the total stress at a point and the macro-stress value. Micro-stresses arise from microstructural properties that cause the stresses to deviate from the macro-stress value from point to point such as the yielding of one phase preferrentially to the other, or differences in the elastic response of two phases mutually constraining each other. The total stress at any point is the sum of these components⁶. For example:

$${}^{t}\sigma_{ij}^{\alpha} = {}^{M}\sigma_{ij} + {}^{\mu}\sigma_{ij}^{\alpha} . \qquad (1)$$

The superscripts t, M, and μ refer to the total, macro-, and micro-stresses respectively. The total stress in a phase is the quantity determined from a diffraction measurement on a crystalline material, but on occasion it may be important to separate this into its macro- and micro-stress components. This separation is now discussed.

Equilibrium Conditions

Stresses in a material must obey several equations of equilibrium. Both the macro-stress and micro-stress at any point must obey the differential equation of equilibrium³

$$\frac{\partial^{M}\sigma_{11}}{\partial x_{1}} + \frac{\partial^{M}\sigma_{12}}{\partial x_{2}} + \frac{\partial^{M}\sigma_{13}}{\partial x_{2}} = 0 .$$
 (2)

The macro- and micro-stresses ${}^{M}\sigma_{13}$, ${}^{M}\sigma_{23}$, and ${}^{M}\sigma_{33}$ must be zero at a free surface to satisfy the boundary conditions. If we can assume that the macrostresses ${}^{M}\sigma_{11}$ and ${}^{M}\sigma_{12}$ do not vary in the surface Equation 2 requires that the macro-stress ${}^{M}\sigma_{13}$ cannot vary with depth. Since it must be zero at the surface ${}^{M}\sigma_{13}$ is everywhere zero. The same hold true for ${}^{M}\sigma_{23}$ and ${}^{M}\sigma_{33}$. The micro-stresses ${}^{\mu}\sigma_{13}^{\alpha}$, ${}^{\mu}\sigma_{23}^{\alpha}$, and ${}^{\mu}\sigma_{33}^{\alpha}$ are not required to follow this condition for equilibrium because the gradients in the surface are not required to be zero. From the requirement that the average stress over the whole body must be zero,

$$\int_{D} {}^{t} \sigma_{ij} \, dD = 0 \quad , \tag{3}$$

it can be shown that the average micro-stresses in a two-phase material must obey the relation³

$$(1-f)\epsilon^{\mu}\sigma_{ij}^{\alpha} + f\epsilon^{\mu}\sigma_{ij}^{\beta} = 0 , \qquad (4)$$

where f is the volume fraction of the β phase. Since a diffraction measurement samples a volume of material the stresses measured will be an average over that volume. Using Equation 1 for the stresses in each phase. and Equation 4, we have three equations in three unknowns, and hence we may separate the macro- and average micro-stresses.

Determination of Stresses with Diffraction

To measure stresses with diffraction we establish two coordinate systems as shown in Figure 1. The d-spacing is measured with diffraction in the L-coordinate system along the L_3 direction. The stresses in the Scoordinate system are then to be determined with these measurements. The strain along the L_3 axis in terms of the sample stresses is⁷

$$\langle \epsilon^{\alpha}_{\phi\psi} \rangle = \frac{d^{\alpha}_{\phi\psi} - d^{\alpha}_{0}}{d^{\alpha}_{0}} = \langle {}^{t}\sigma^{\alpha}_{11} \rangle S^{\alpha}_{2}/2 \cos^{2}\phi \sin^{2}\psi + \langle {}^{t}\sigma^{\alpha}_{22} \rangle S^{\alpha}_{2}/2 \sin^{2}\phi \sin^{2}\psi + \langle {}^{t}\sigma^{\alpha}_{33} \rangle S^{\alpha}_{2}/2 \cos^{2}\psi + \langle {}^{t}\sigma^{\alpha}_{11} \rangle + \langle {}^{t}\sigma^{\alpha}_{22} \rangle + \langle {}^{t}\sigma^{\alpha}_{33} \rangle S^{\alpha}_{1} + \langle {}^{t}\sigma^{\alpha}_{12} \rangle S^{\alpha}_{2}/2 \sin^{2}\phi \sin^{2}\psi + \langle {}^{t}\sigma^{\alpha}_{13} \rangle S^{\alpha}_{2}/2 \cos\phi \sin^{2}\psi + \langle {}^{t}\sigma^{\alpha}_{23} \rangle S^{\alpha}_{2}/2 \sin\phi \sin^{2}\psi$$
(5)

By measuring $d_{\phi\psi}$ at a sufficient number of ϕ , and ψ values and knowing d_o . the stresses in the sample coordinate system may be obtained by a least squares solution of this equation⁸. However, an accurate value of d_o must be available to determine the stresses accurately. This problem can be ameliorated by substituting

$$\langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}^{\alpha} \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{H}^{\alpha} \rangle & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{H}^{\alpha} \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{H}^{\alpha} \rangle \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{11}^{\alpha} \rangle & \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{12}^{\alpha} \rangle & \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{13}^{\alpha} \rangle \\ \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{12}^{\alpha} \rangle & \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{22}^{\alpha} \rangle & \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{23}^{\alpha} \rangle \\ \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{11}^{\alpha} \rangle & \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{12}^{\alpha} \rangle & \langle {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{13}^{\alpha} \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (6)$$

where $\tau_{\rm H}$ is the hydrostatic stress and $\tau_{\rm ii}$ is the deviatoric stress tensor.



Fig. 1. Definitions of the coordinate systems used to determine stresses with diffraction.

Making use of the relation

$$({}^{t}\tau_{11}^{\alpha} + {}^{t}\tau_{22}^{\alpha} + {}^{t}\tau_{33}^{\alpha}) = 0 \quad , \tag{7}$$

which applies to both the stress at a point and averaged stresses, and solving for $\langle d_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ gives the equation

Written in this way we see that the d-spacing has five terms with different angular dependencies and three terms that are angularly independent. From a collection of $\langle d_{dd'} \rangle$ the six deviatoric components of the total stress tensor may be determined by least squares using Equation 8 and then using Equation 7. By using Equations 7 and 8 instead of Equation 5, we eliminate the need for an accurate d_0 in the analysis, but still need information about the hydrostatic stresses.

Only the sum of the three constant terms may be determined by least squares, and an accurate value of d_0 appears necessary to determine the hydrostatic component of the stress. If we take values that might be typical for the ferrite phase in a steel:

$$S_2/2 = 5.77 \times 10^{-6} \text{ MPa}^{-1}$$

 $S_1 = -1.25 \times 10^{-6} \text{ MPa}^{-1}$
 $d_0 = 1.1703 \text{ Å}$

(8)

and an error in d_0 of 0.0001 Å, we see that an error in the hydrostatic stress of 42 MPa is needed to keep the sum of the three terms on the left hand side of Equation 8 a constant. (This corresponds to an error in of 0.03° 2θ at 156° 2θ in determining d_0 .)

By using least-squares fitting and Equations 7 and 8 we may determine the total deviatoric stress tensor ${}^{t}\tau_{ij}^{a}$ in a phase from a collection of d vs. ϕ and ψ for that phase. Using Equations 1 and 4 we may separate the total stress tensors into the macro- and average micro-stress tensors for each component of the stress tensors. Then, if an accurate value of d_{o} is not available for each phase, the hydrostatic component of the macro-stress tensor may still be determined. We know from the equilibrium relations that ${}^{M}\sigma_{33}$ must be zero. We may thus write

$${}^{M}\sigma_{33} = {}^{M}\tau_{H} + {}^{M}\tau_{33} = 0 \quad . \tag{9}$$

The hydrostatic macro-stress is then

$${}^{M}\tau_{H} = {}^{M}\tau_{33}$$
 (10)

Thus, without accurate values of d_0 , we may determine all the components of the macro-stress tensor and all the components of the micro-stress tensors in both phases except for the average hydrostatic micro-stress in each phase.

Experimental

Samples were cut from a plate of hot rolled 1080 steel. Heat treatment consisted of austenitizing in Argon at 1073 K for 15 minutes followed by a slow cool (in Argon) outside of the hot zone of the furnace. This heat treatment produced a pearlitic microstructure in the sample. The sample was then pulled in tension to a true plastic strain of 0.118. The final stress on the sample when it was released was 818 MPa.

Cementite has an orthorhombic crystal structure⁹, which gives rise to many diffraction peaks, none of which are terribly strong. Not coincidently, the strongest diffraction peaks are often near to the ferrite peaks and overlap a considerably.

In order to obtain sufficient diffracted intensity from the carbide phase, a chromium rotating anode x-ray target was constructed. A copper target was chrome plated to a thickness of 0.001 inches in the area that the electrons strike it, so that chromium characteristic radiation would be

.....

produced. Chromium is widely used for stress analysis on steel because it gives a ferrite peak at a high angle. For the cementite, the long wavelength chromium radiation has the benefit of keeping the many peaks from bunching up and overlapping each other and keeps the distance in reciprocal space low so that the peak broadening is minimized. The x-ray generator was run at 47.5 kV and 200 mA for the measurements. Diffraction measurements were carried out on a Picker diffractometer with a quarter-circle goniometer and a graphite diffracted beam monochromator. The monochromator was needed to reduce the background counts so that a good peak to background ratio was obtained for the carbide peak. Psi goniometry was used to obtain the necessary ψ -tilts¹⁰.

The 211 ferrite peak at about 156° 2θ was used for the stress measurements in the ferrite phase, while the 250 cementite peak at about 148° 2θ was used for the stress measurements in the carbide phase. Figure 2 shows the relative intensities of the ferrite and carbide peaks at ϕ and ψ equal to zero. The ferrite peaks were measured by counting 20 seconds at each point while the cementite peaks were counted for 300 seconds a point. The total measurement time for all the peaks measured was about 120 hours.

The sample was mounted on the diffractometer such that the tensile deformation axis corresponded to the direction $\phi = 0$. The peaks were point counted and fitted with pseudo-Voigt functions¹¹ including both the K_{α_1} and K_{α_2} components. The peak positions were then obtained from the functional parameters. For the ferrite peaks, a linear background was assumed, while for the cementite peaks the background was assumed to be an exponential function plus a constant, because the 250 carbide peak sits on the tail of the 211 ferrite peak. Figure 3 shows the functional fit to the cementite diffraction peak at $\psi=0$. Peak positions were determined in both phases over a ψ range of -45 to +45 degrees for ϕ equal to 0, 60, and 120 degrees.

The volume fraction of the carbide phase was determined from the ratio of the integrated intensity of the 121, 210, 112, 211, and the 250 carbide peaks to the intensity of the 100, 110, and the 211 ferrite peaks¹². It was found to be 11 ± 2 percent. Averaging over a number of peaks helps to eliminate any errors due to preferred orientation. This measurement is consistent with the volume percent expected for a 1080 steel.



Fig. 2. Diffraction peaks used for the stress analysis at $\psi = 0$, empasizing the ferrite peak (a) and the cementite peak (b).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 4 shows a plot of d vs. $\sin^2 \psi$ for both phases at $\phi = 0$. The stress tensors were determined from the above analysis to be

$${}^{\mu}\tau^{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 445 & 59 & 7 \\ 59 & -304 & 20 \\ 7 & 20 & -142 \end{bmatrix}$$



Fig. 3. Psuedo-Voigt functional fit to cementite peak for $\psi = 0$.

$${}^{\mu}\tau^{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} -55 & -7 & -1 \\ -7 & 38 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 & 17 \end{bmatrix}$$
$${}^{M}\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} -39 & 5 & 2 \\ 5 & -28 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

A value of 5.77 x 10^{-6} MPa⁻¹ was used for S₂/2 for the ferrite phase¹³. This same value was used for the cementite phase as no better value is as yet available.

The deviatoric micro-stress ${}^{\mu}\tau_{11}^{c}$ along the deformation axis are tensile and very big in the carbide phase and the corresponding stress is compressive in the ferrite phase. The large tensile stress in the cementite is important to note as it could lead to crack initiation. The deviatoric microstresses perpendicular to the deformation direction ${}^{\mu}\tau_{22}^{c}$ and ${}^{\mu}\tau_{33}^{c}$ are smaller 2



Fig. 4. d vs. $\sin^2 \psi$ plots for the cementite (a) and ferrite (b) phases.

and opposite to ${}^{\mu}\tau_{11}^{c}$. This is consistent with the deformation of a harder carbide phase in a softer ferrite matrix. The value of ${}^{\mu}\tau_{33}^{c}$ is smaller and consistent with the fact that this stress must be zero at the surface and the measured value is an average over the penetration depth of the x-rays. The macro-stress ${}^{M}\sigma_{33}$ is zero because it was set to that value to do the analysis. The non-zero value of ${}^{M}\sigma_{13}$ is probably indicative of the errors in the measurements.

The hydrostatic stress ${}^{M}\tau_{H}$ is shown as a function of the value of d_{o}^{c} used in Figure 5. For comparison the hydrostatic micro-stress, ${}^{\mu}\tau_{H}^{c}$, is also

)



Fig. 5. The hydrostatic stresses ${}^{\mu}\tau_{H}^{c}$ and ${}^{M}\tau_{H}^{\alpha}$ as a function of the unstressed d-spacing d_{o}^{c} used.

shown. The range of d_o^c used was the range of d-spacings found in the data. We see that the hydrostatic macro-stress is indeed insensitive to the value of d_o^c , while the hydrostatic micro-stress in the cementite phase varies considerably. Using the lattice parameters in Reference 9 to determine d_o^c gives a hydrostatic macro-stress of -22 MPa and a hydrostatic microstress of -44 MPa in the cementite phase. This would require the hydrostatic micro-stress in the ferrite phase to be 5 MPa to satisfy Equation 4.

SUMMARY

1) A chromium rotating anode target was constructed, which was used to generate sufficient incident intensity to allow the measurement of stresses in the cementite phase of a steel using the 250 reflection.

2) The sensitivity of a measured triaxial stress tensor to the value of the unstressed lattice parameter was revealed to be an inability to determine the hydrostatic component of the stress tensor.

3) By making diffraction measurements in both phases of a two phase material the deviatoric components of the macro- and micro-stresses can be determined, as well as the hydrostatic component of the macro-stress without accurate values of the unstressed lattice parameters. This leaves only the hydrostatic component of the micro-stresses undeterminable without the unstressed lattice parameters.

4) The macro- and average micro-stresses in a pearlitic 1080 steel sample plastically deformed in tension were determined using the above procedures. The results are consistent with a the stresses expected when a soft matrix with a hard second phase is deformed. The ferrite is in compression along the deformation axis and the cementite in tension. The large value of the latter stress could lead to crack initiation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Office of Naval Research under contract No. N00014-80-C-116.

REFERENCES

1. D.V. Wilson and Y.A. Konnan, Work hardening in a steel containing a coarse dispersion of cementite particles, <u>Acta Met</u>. 12:617 (1964).

2. T. Hanabusa, J. Fukura, and H. Fujiwara, X-ray stress measurement on the cementite phase in steels, <u>Bull. JSME</u> 12:931 (1969).

3. I.C. Noyan. Equilibrium conditions for the average stresses measured by x-rays, <u>Met. Trans. A</u> 14A:1907 (1983).

4. I.C. Noyan and J.B. Cohen, An x-ray diffraction study of the residual stress-strain distributions in shot-peened two-phase brass, <u>Mat. Sci. Engr</u>. 75:179 (1985).

5. I.C. Noyan, Determination of the usnstressed lattice parameter "a_o" for (triaxial) residual stress determination by x-rays, <u>Adv. X-Ray Anal</u>. 28:281 (1985).

6. J.B. Cohen, The measurement of stresses in composites, <u>Powder</u> <u>Diffraction</u> 1:15 (1986). 7. H. Dölle, The influence of multiaxial stress states, stress gradients and elastic anisotropy of the evaluation of (residual) stresses by x-rays, <u>J. Appl.</u> Cryst. 12:489 (1979).

8. R.A. Winholtz and J.B. Cohen, A generalised least-squares determination of triaxial stress states by x-ray diffraction and the associated errors, <u>Aust. J. Physics</u>, in press.

9. H. Lipson and N.J. Petch, The crystal structure of cementite, Fe₃C, J. Iron Steel Inst., 142:95 (1940).

10. I.C. Noyan and J.B. Cohen, "Residual Stress: Measurement by Diffraction and Interpretation," Springer-Verlag, New York (1987).

11. G.K. Wertheim, M.A. Butler, K.W. West, and D.N.E. Buchanan, Determination of the Gaussian and Lorentzian content of experimental line shapes, <u>Rev. Sci. Instrum.</u>, 45:1369 (1974).

12. L.H. Schwartz and J.B. Cohen, "Diffraction from Materials," Springer-Verlag, New York (1987).

13. H. Dolle and J.B. Cohen, Residual stresses in ground steels, <u>Met.</u> <u>Trans. A. 11A:159 (1979).</u>

Security Classification									
DOCUMENT CON	NTROL DATA - R	E D							
(Security classification of fille, body of abstract and indexis 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	ng annotation must be	28. REPORT	e overell report is classified) Security classification						
J. B. Cohen									
Northwestern University		26. GROUP							
The Technologial Institute, Eva	nston, IL 6020	8							
3. REPORT TITLE		<u> </u>							
Separation of the Macro and Mic	ro-Stresses in	n Plastica	lly Deformed						
1080 Steel									
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Deport #25									
I COMICAL REPORT #25		····,···-							
P A Winholtz and 1 R Cohen									
	,								
. AEPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO. O	FPAGES	76. NO. OF REFS						
August 1988	13								
SA. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	SE. ORIGINATOR	S REPORT NU	MBER(S)						
N00014-80-C-116	25								
5. PROJECT NO.	25								
c.	AD OTHER REPO	AT NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be assigned						
	this report)								
d.									
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT									
Distribution of document is unl	imited								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	MILITARY ACT							
	Metallurgy Branch								
	UTTICE	OT NAVAI	kesearch						
13. ABSTRACT									
The stress tensors were measured w	ith x-ray diff	fraction i	in both the ferrite						
and cementite phases of a lubu steel sp The macro and micro-stresses were separ	ecimen derorm	ed in unia	axial tension.						
tensors All the components except the	hydrostatic	components	of the micro-stresses						
could be determined without an accurate	value of the	unstresse	ad lattice parameter.						
Tensile stresses were found in the ceme	ntite and com	pressive s	stresses in the						
ferrite along the deformation direction	. The tensil	e stresses	s in the cementite						
were quite large.									
			i i						
	•								
		,							
•									
DD FORM 1472 (PAGE 1)	وتنرحف بنورة بنصح نيوا محفدي								
	_								
S/N 0101-807-6801		Secur	ity Classification						

Residual stresses in composites Residual stresses in cementite Micro and Macrostresses	WT	1			
Residual stresses in composites Residual stresses in cementite Micro and Macrostresses	1	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
	-				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					÷
FORM 1472 (1940)					

.