Research Report 1481

AD-A199 077

Job Analysis of U.S. Army Civilian First-Line Supervisors

Douglas B. Rosenthal, Barry J. Riegelhaupt, and John P. Ziemak Human Resources Research Organization

Leadership and Management Technical Area Manpower and Personnel Research Laboratory





U.S. Army

Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences

May 1988

88 9 1 007

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

U. S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

A Field Operating Agency under the Jurisdiction of the

Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel

EDGAR M. JOHNSON Technical Director WM. DARRYL HENDERSON COL, IN Commanding

Research accomplished under contract for the Department of the Army

Human Resources Research Organization, Inc.

Technical review by

Sue Fumes Joel M. Savell Alma Steinberg

NOTICES

FINAL DISPOSITION. This report may be destroyed when it is no longer needed. Please do not return it to the U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences

NOTE: The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents

UNCLASSIFIED

REPORT DO	CUMENTATIO	N PAGE	Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188
10 REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		16 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF PEPORT	
26 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		Approved for public release distribution unlimited.	;
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NU	MBER S'
#HII 88-02		ARI Research Report 1481	
Human Resources Research Organization, Inc.	OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Research Institut Behavioral and Social Scien	e for the
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	
1101 S. Washington St. Alexandria, VA 22314		5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5600	
88 NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING 85 ORGANIZATION Defense Supply	OFF CE SYMBOL (If applicable)	3 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICAT	ON NUMBER
Service-Washington	DSS-W	MDA903-86-C-0399	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM	WORK UNIT
Rm 1D-245, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20310-5220		ELEMENT NO NC 20263 NO 6.37.31A 731A792 4.5	ACCESSION NO
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)		<u></u>	
Job Analysis of U.S. Army Civilian	n First-Line S	Supervisors	
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Douglas B. Rosenthal, Earry J. Rie			
13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVER Final FROM 09/86	RED 5 то <u>02/88</u>	14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15 1988, May	PAGE COUNT 125
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION			
		Continue on reverse if necessary and identify	
	ob analysis irst-line supe	Selection Prvisor Job requirements	CODAP
		Ils, abilities and other chara	cteristics (KSAOs
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and	l identify by block no	imber)	
The objective of this project first-line supervisors. Prelimina abilities, and other characterist bents in a series of workshops at into a survey mailed to 4,400 Army seas locations. Respondents' answ first-line supervisors are general of the work group. The supervisor work being carried out by the supe first-line supervisors (e.g., Know supervisors needed to know the mos supervisors (e.g., Policies for Su	ary lists of s ics (KSAOs) we 18 Army insta y civilian fin wers to backgo lly filled at ry tasks perfo ervisor's subo wledge of the st about. Kno upervising Loo	supervisory job tasks and know ere developed and revised by 4 allations. The final lists we rst-line supervisors in both U round questions indicated that the local level and typically ormed most are those directly ordinates. Knowledges utilize Performance Appraisal Process owledges utilized only by a su	nledges, skills, 27 job incum- ere incorporated U.S. and over- c openings for go to a member related to the ed across all c) are what ubset of
XI UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	OTIC USERS	22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c O	FFICE SYMBOL
Joel Savell		(703) 274-8243	
DD Form 1473, JUN 86	Previous editions are		STION OF THIS PAGE

Ś

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

ARI Research Report 1481

19. Abstract_(Continued)

least. A long list of skills, abilities, and other characteristics were found to be important to successful supervisory performance. Eight general dimensions underlie this list: the supervisor's character, interpersonal skills, drive, communication skills, general management skills, leadership ability, competence, and ability to handle stress. It was recommended that knowledges not be used as selection criteria because respondents indicated that knowledges were typically acquired within the first 12 months on the job, and it would be inappropriate to screen candidates for possession of knowledges that they would learn soon after they started work. Since the data largely failed to uncover meaningful types of first-line supervisors, it may be possible to use a single set of skills, abilities, and other characteristics for all supervisors. It is recommended that a group of subject matter experts be convened to establish linkages between the important skills, abilities, and other defensibility of selection procedures developed from this job analysis.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

ii

Research Report 1481

Job Analysis of U.S. Army Civilian First-Line Supervisors

Douglas B. Rosenthal, Barry J. Riegelhaupt, and John P. Ziemak

Human Resources Research Organization

Leadership and Management Technical Area Robert F. Holz, Chief

Manpower and Personnel Research Laboratory Newell K. Eaton, Director

U.S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia 22333-5600

Office, Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel Department of the Army

May 1988

Army Project Number 2Q263731A792 Manpower and Personnel

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Many individuals are cratefully acknowledged for their contributions to the successful completion of this project. From the U.S. Army Research Institute (ARI), Dr. Faul van Rijn and Dr. Joel Savell served at different times as the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) and were responsible for ensuring the technical adequacy of the effort. Dr. van Rijn has recently left ARI and we wish him luck in his new career. Gordon Darmsteadt, from the U.S. Total Army Personnel Agency (TAPA) functioned as the link between ourselves and the world of the Army civilian first-line supervisor. The strong support we received in the field for this project is largely due to Mr. Darmsteadt's diligent efforts. We thank the members of the Study Advisory Group (SAG) for their support and guidance at critical decision points in this project. A list of individuals who contributed to this project through the SAG includes Lacy L. Saunders (chair), Colonel James Bushong, Karen Horn, Homer Musselman, Melinda Darby, Kenneth Carpenter, Tom Boyle, Earl Govert. and Cynthia Brockman. We thank Mary Ellen Hartman of Questar Data Systems for the development, mailing, and receipt of the Job Analysis Survey, as well as the preparation of the data tape. With regard to analysis of the survey data, we appreciate the untiring efforts of Johnny Weissmuller and Mike Staley of Sensible Systems. Particularly appreciated is the typing support provided by Becky Hsu and Emma James. Last, but not least, thanks are due to the survey coordinators in the field, as well as all the first-line supervisors who participated in this project.

Accession For ENTER LEASE U solutioner d J.J. Clessica. BV Stotribut: n/ Availability Cones AVELSE SE / PE tpectal Vist

FOREWORD

Most managers would agree that civilian first-line supervisors play a critical role in the Army and that selecting the best individuals for those positions is essential for the Army's figure. Officials responsible for doing the selecting, however, have difficulty estimating supervisory potential because most of the candidates for these positions have never before worked as supervisors. In many cases, therefore, the deciding factor is not the candidate's (judged) supervisory potential but rather his/her technical competence in the position previously held.

A research effort to identify supervisory aspects of civilian firstline-supervisor positions and to develop criteria for filling these positions has been initiated by the U.S. Army Research Institute (ARI). The information derived from this research should make it possible to develop procedures for assessing more accurately a candidate's potential.

This effort was part of the Civilian Personnel and Management task, which is being conducted by the Leadership and Management Technical Area (LMTA) of the Manpower and Personnel Research Laboratory (MPRL). The effort was supported by a Memorandum of Agreement ("Support for Army Civilian Personnel Research Program") dated 5 November 1986. The results of the research were briefed to the Director of Civilian Personnel (DAPE-CP) on 14 March 1988 and provided input for the planning of a major research effort that ARI is conducting for DAPE-CP.

Aline

EDGAR M. JOHNSON Technical Director

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Requirement:

The objective of this project was to identify selection criteria for the nontechnical portions of the job of Army civilian first-line supervisor. Individuals who work in this job require both technical and supervisory knowledges, skills, abilities, and other characteristics (KSAOs). The present research addressed only the supervisory KSAOs.

Procedure:

A job analysis was conducted to identify the supervisory job tasks and supervisory KSAOs. Based on a review of the scientific literature, Army documents, and interviews with first-line supervisors, a preliminary list of supervisory job tasks performed by Army civilian first-line supervisors was developed. The information from these sources was also used to develop a preliminary list of supervisory KSAOs. The two lists were revised by 427 job incumbents in a series of workshops at 18 Army installations.

The final lists were incorporated into a survey mailed to 4,400 Army civilian first-line supervisors in both U.S. and overseas locations. For each job task, respondents rated the task's importance to their job and the relative amount of time they spent performing that task. For each knowledge in the KSAO list, respondents were asked the depth of understanding required and how long it took to learn that knowledge. For each skill, ability, and other characteristic, respondents rated its importance to the job. The survey also contained questions about the respondents' background and work environment.

Findings:

Few supervisory knowledges are typically acquired before job entry. In only one case did a majority of the respondents indicate that they had acquired a knowledge before being hired. Instead, knowledges are more often acquired within the first year on the job.

A lengthy list of skills, abilities, and other characteristics (SAOs) was found to be important to supervisory performance. Eight dimensions were found to underlie them: the supervisor's character, interpersonal skills, drive, communication skills, general management skills, leadership ability, competence, and ability to handle stress.

The supervisory tasks that first-line supervisors perform most are also the ones that they rate as most important for the job. Tasks supervisors spend relatively large amounts of time performing are those that have a direct impact on their subordinates' completion of the work at hand. Supervisors spend less time on tasks that tend to be more peripheral to the immediate work. Supervisory task ratings were analyzed to determine if first-line supervisors could be sorted into meaningful types. Finding different types might imply that different supervisory KSAOs should be used to select each supervisory type. However, the data largely failed to support the conclusion that more than one type of first-line supervisor exists.

Responses indicated that more than half of the supervisors were hired from the work group they now supervise. Fifty-four percent of the Wage supervisors and 36 percent of the GS/GM supervisors indicated they had served as their work group's group/team leader. Few supervisors (only 15 percent of the sample) moved to a different installation to take their present job. In combination, these figures indicate that openings for first-line supervisors are generally filled at the local level and typically go to a member of the work group. In the case of Wage Grade employees, it is often the work/team leader who is selected.

Utilization of Findings:

Although several supervisory knowledges were identified as important for effective job performance, it may be inappropriate to apply all of them as selection criteria. This is because many knowledges are not typically acquired before job entry. To make employment contingent on the applicant's possession of them could eliminate most if not all candidates for some positions. Furthermore, as discussed in the <u>Uniform Guidelines on Employee</u> <u>Selection (1978)</u>, it is not appropriate to select candidates for possession of knowledges that can be taught in a brief orientation or when new hires are expected to learn the knowledges on-the-job. It is recommended that the Army use the results of this study to determine the knowledges to use as selection criteria and those to cover in supervisory training.

Since the data largely failed to uncover meaningful types of first-line supervisors, it may be possible for selection purposes to use a single set of KSAOs for all supervisors. As a step in the development of selection procedures to assess the KSAOs, it is recommended that a group of subject matter experts be convened to establish linkages between the important KSAOs and the important supervisory job tasks. This recommendation is made for two reasons. First, for selection procedures to be content valid, the Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection (1978) require evidence that the KSAOs they measure map onto individual job tasks. Second, when appropriate, it is desirable that assessment methods require job applicants to perform behaviors resembling the behaviors they will perform on the job. The linkage workshop information will be useful in providing the information to devise realistic assessment methods.

JOB ANALYSIS OF U.S. ARMY CIVILIAN FIRST-LINE SUPERVISORS

CONTENTS

Page	5
INTRODUCTION	1
Definition of First-Line Supervisor	2
DEVELOPMENT OF THE JOB ANALYSIS SURVEY	5
Task and KSAO ListsImage: State of State	202
ADMINISTRATION OF THE SURVEY	4
ANALYSIS OF SURVEY DATA	ç
Characteristics of the Sample11Background and Work Environment Questions11Types of First-Line Supervisors2Knowledges2Skills, Abilities, and Other Characteristics3	9 1 2
CONCLUSIONS	7
RECOMMENDATIONS	8
REFERENCES	0
APPENDIX A. JOB ANALYSIS SURVEY	3
B. MATERIALS SENT TO POCS IN ADVANCE OF SURVEYS 7	2
C. MATERIALS SENT TO POCS ALONG WITH SURVEYS	7
D. SURVEY RESPONSES TO BACKGROUND AND WORK ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS	1
E. TASKS ORDERED (HIGH TO LOW) BASED ON TIME SUPERVISORS SPEND PERFORMING THEM	3
F. TASKS ORDERED (HIGH TO LOW) BASED ON SUPERVISORS' RATING OF IMPORTANCE	4

CONTENTS (Continued)

.....

LIST OF TABLES

Table	1.	Survey Development Workshop participants by location and occupational family 9
	2.	Categories of tasks in the Job Analysis Survey 12
	3.	Sites and numbers of surveys sent to respondents 15
	4.	Number of Army civilian first-line supervisors by occupational family
	5.	Tasks supervisors spend most time performing
	6.	Tasks supervisors rate as most important to the job 26
	7.	Mean ratings on amount required of supervisory knowledges 29
	8.	When supervisory knowledges are typically acquired 30
	9.	Skills, abilities, and other characteristics ranked according to importance
	10.	Factor loadings of skills, abilities, and other characteristics

х

JOB ANALYSIS OF U.S. ARMY CIVILIAN FIRST-LINE SUPERVISORS

INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Army employs more than 400,000 civilians in nearly 800 whiteand blue-collar occupations (U.S. Army War College, 1985). Those employees who demonstrate superior technical skills and who wish to advance are often promoted to first-line supervisor. First-line supervisors are responsible for carrying cut the day-to-day operations of the organization through their subordinates. Army first-line civilian supervisors can be found in depots, training centers, hospitals, command headquarters, and a variety of other activities in the United States and throughout the world. Their subordinates hold occupations in a wide variety of professional, technical, clerical, and administrative fields. Selection of the best first-line supervisors is critical for the efficient and effective accomplishment of the Army's mission.

Candidates for the job of Army civilian first-line supervisor should be selected for both their technical competence and supervisory potential. However, there is evidence that candidates are selected primarily for their technical competence because valid measures of supervisory potential are rarely available (Atlanta Field Office, 1987). The purpose of this project was to conduct a job analysis to identify supervisory selection criteria (i.e., the knowledges, skills, abilities, and other characteristics [KSAOs]) for the job of Army civilian first-line supervisor. Future efforts can then develop valid measures of these criteria.

There are several ways to establish the validity of selection measures. One strategy, referred to as content validity, begins with a thorough job analysis which identifies both the important job tasks and the important KSAOs. As the last step in the job analysis, or the first step in the development of measures to assess the KSAOs, subject matter experts (SMEs) are asked to confirm that the KSAOs are relevant to performance of the job. SMEs do this by indicating which KSAOs are needed for accomplishment of each of the important job tasks. Having this information strengthens the claim that the KSAOs are job relevant and thus measures of them are content valid. Several professional and governmental agencies have published guidelines that describe in detail the steps to take when developing a selection system based on content validity (American Psychological Association, American Educational Research Association, & National Council on Measurement and Education, 1985; Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 1987; U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Personnel Management, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, & U.S. Department of Labor, 1978). These guidelines were followed in conducting the present job analysis. For further discussion of content validity and its relationship to the present project, the

reader is referred to this project's research plan (Riegelhaupt, Rosenthal, Ziemak, and Kuhn, 1987).

The research described in this report is intended as a contribution to a larger effort, initiated in 1986 by the Directorate of Civilian Personnel, to determine the best approaches for selecting and developing first-line supervisors. This larger effort, which the Directorate referred to as its "Professional Development of Supervisors Study (PDS²)," was viewed as having three parts. One part sought to identify the current procedures for selecting and developing civilian first-line supervisors (Atlanta Field Office, 1987). This effort was conducted by the Atlanta Field Office, U.S. Army Civilian Personnel Center, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel (ODCSPER). Another part, conducted by HumRRO, for The Total Army Personnel Agency (TAPA), sought to identify the <u>ideal</u> personal characteristics and traits of first-line supervisors and the developmental conditions which foster them (Camara, Kuhn, & Ziemak, 1987). The third part sought to identify supervisory selection criteria and it is this part that is described in the present report.

<u>Definition of First-Line Supervisor</u>

The population examined in this research was Army civilian first-line supervisors. This includes those in both the General Schedule and Wage Grade classifications. To clarify the nature of this population, the terms "supervisor" and "first-line supervisor" are discussed as well as the method used in operationalizing the definition of first-line supervisors in the present investigation.

<u>Supervisor</u>. The term "supervisor" is used in several federal documents. The most complete definition appears in the <u>Supervisory Grade Evaluation Guide</u> (SGEG). The SGEG, published by the U.S. Civil Service Commission in 1976, provides standards for the classification of General Schedule positions. According to this guide, the title "supervisor" is reserved for incumbents who direct the work of at least three subordinates and who have a sufficient level of supervisory responsibility to be considered part of the management team. According to the SGEG (p. 43), supervisors are responsible for:

ensuring timely performance of a satisfactory amount and quality of work, and duties of reviewing work products of subordinates and accepting, amending or rejecting work. It also involves at least three of the first four, and six of the eight following duties and responsibilities:

- 1. Planning work to be accomplished by subordinates. Setting priorities and preparing schedules for completion of work;
- 2. Assigning work to subordinates based on priorities, selective consideration of the difficulty and the requirements of the assignments, and the capabilities of employees;

- 3. Evaluating performance of subordinates;
- 4. Giving advice, counsel, or instruction to individual employees on both work and administrative matters;
- 5. Interviewing candidates for positions in his unit. Making recommendations for appointment, promotion, or reassignment involving such positions;
- 6. Hearing and resolving complaints from employees. Referring group grievances and the more serious complaints not resolved to higher level supervisors;
- 7. Effecting minor disciplinary measures such as warnings and reprimands. Recommending action in more serious cases;
- 8. Identifying developmental and training needs of employees. Providing or making provision for such development and training.

Another definition of the term "supervisor" appears in the Federal Service Labor-Management Relations Statute (i.e., Title VII of the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978). Within this document, a supervisor is described as an individual with the authority to hire, assign, promote, reward, transfer, furlough, lay off, recall, suspend, discipline, or remove employees, to adjust their grievances, or to effectively recommend such actions. In addition, a supervisor is someone who exercises independent rather than merely routine or clerical judgments.

First-line supervisor. The above definitions help clarify the term "supervisor". A definition of a first-line supervisor appears in an Office of Personnel Management (OPM) classification guide for Wage Grade supervisors (Job Grading Standard for Supervisors, FPM Supplement 512-1, August 1982). Wage Grade first-line supervisors are described as individuals who supervise nonsupervisory workers, are accountable to their supervisor for the quantity and quality of work done, act within general instructions and standard procedures, and assure the efficient accomplishment of work assigned to their subordinates based upon general schedules set by higher management. The supervisory responsibilities of first-line supervisors are described within the three categories of planning, work direction, and personnel administration. Planning includes establishing deadlines, setting priorities, and assigning work, considering factors such as the number and skill of workers. Work direction includes motivating workers, explaining assignments, defining standards of work, and coordinating the unit's progress with other units. Personnel administration includes scheduling and approving leave, conducting performance appraisals, adjusting grievances, and instituting disciplinary actions.

<u>Operational Definition of Army civilian first-line supervisors</u>. The Army maintains information about its civilian workforce in the Civilian Personnel Systems Information Center (CIVPERSINS) data base. In the present project, first-line supervisors were operationally defined using two

3

criteria. First they had to be listed in CIVPERSINS as supervisors. Second their subordinates had to all be nonsupervisors.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE JOB ANALYSIS SURVEY

The objective of this phase of the project was to develop a comprehensive job analysis survey which included the supervisory (non-technical) tasks performed by Army civilian first-line supervisors and the KSAOs necessary to perform the supervisory tasks effectively. There were two reasons for collecting the job task information. First, this information was needed to determine if more than one type of Army civilian first-line supervisor existed. If more than one type existed, this might imply that a different set of KSAOs would be needed to select each type. A second reason for collecting this data was so future efforts directed towards developing content valid measures of the KSAOs would be able to conduct a linkage workshop. In a linkage workshop subject matter experts (SME) indicate the KSAOs needed to perform specific job tasks. This information strengthens the claim that the selection measures are assessing job relevant KSAOs. This section of the report describes the development of the job analysis survey.

Task and KSAO Lists

The identification of tasks and KSAOs was accomplished in two steps. In step 1, an initial list of tasks and KSAOs was compiled from descriptions of supervisory jobs appearing in the scientific literature and various Army and other federal agency documents and reports. Interviews with eight Army civilian first-line supervisors were conducted to obtain additional information for tasks and KSAOs. In step 2, 427 job incumbents reviewed and refined the lists in a series of survey development workshops.

<u>Initial task and KSAO lists</u>. Published articles about first-line supervisors were reviewed to suggest tasks and KSAOs for the preliminary lists (Byham, 1982; Dowell & Wexley, 1978; Flanders & Utterback, 1985; MacDonald, 1982; Northrop, Cowen, Plas, & Fulmer, 1978; Prien, 1963; Turner & Utley, 1979). Documents were also obtained from the Office of Personnel Management, the Department of the Army, and other federal agencies. Examples of documents that were useful for writing tasks and KSAOs were the <u>Supervisory Grade Evaluation Guide</u> (1979), job descriptions, classification standards, qualification standards, existing task inventories, crediting plans, training materials, and Individual Development Plans for first-line supervisors.

Relevant tasks and KSAOs were also suggested in several government research reports. For example, Carlyle (1986) completed a report for the Army in which she reviewed the literature on first-line supervisors and concluded that nine common KSAOs appeared in most studies: oral communication, written communication, interpersonal relations, planning and organizing, monitoring others' work, decision making, leadership, initiative, and stress tolerance.

Hill, Kerr, and Broedling (1984) reviewed studies of first-line

supervisors for the Navy and suggested the following as representative of the task categories of first-line supervisors:

- 1. Planning and scheduling work and the associated record keeping and report writing.
- 2. Human relations counseling.
- 3. Coordination and control of subordinates' work.
- 4. Maintaining external relations.
- 5. Managing performance-reward contingencies.
- 6. Maintaining quality and efficiency.
- 7. Maintaining safety and cleanliness.
- 8. Maintaining machinery and equipment.
- 9. Selecting employees.
- 10. Training employees.
- 11. Stimulating suggestions.
- 12. Maintaining union-management relations.

Ideas for tasks and KSAOs were also drawn from job analysis information collected on Army civilian managers by the U.S. Army Management Engineering Training Activity (USAMETA) to develop a training needs assessment system (McAreavy, King, & Eichhorn, 1985). Corts (1982) developed a procedure for evaluating candidates for federal trades and labor first-line supervisory positions. He used the job elements method to generate a list of 31 "subelements". Subelements are behavioral characteristics that distinguish superior from less qualified workers. The subelements suggested KSAOs needed by first-line supervisors in the present project.

Finally, a competency-based model of effective managerial performance was reviewed (OPM, 1985). The model contains a taxonomy of "management functions" and "effective characteristics". In the terminology of job analysis, the functions are roughly equivalent to tasks and the characteristics roughly equivalent to KSAOs needed to perform the tasks.

The leadership literature was also reviewed for ideas about tasks and KSAOs to include in the preliminary lists. Examples of studies reviewed include Bass (1981), Fleishman (1953, 1973), Fleishman and Hunt (1973), Gibb (1969), Hollander and Julian (1969), Vroom (1976), and Yukl (1981). Many of the dimensions identified in this literature (e.g., motivating, planning, developing subordinates) overlapped with the tasks and KSAOs identified in the literature on first-line supervisors.

Since most of the literature reviewed was on white-collar first-line supervision, initial interviews were conducted with eight Wage Grade firstline supervisors working at Cameron Station in Alexandria, Virginia. Position titles of the supervisors were Electrical Forman, Air Conditioning and Refrigeration Forman, Roads and Grounds Forman, Carpenter Forman, and The supervisors were asked to describe the tasks and Warehouse Forman. KSAOs relevant to their blue-collar jobs. Each interview lasted approxi-mately two hours. During the first hour, discussion focused on supervisory tasks. The supervisors described how they selected subordinates, conducted performance appraisals, and assigned and monitored work. These individuals emphasized the difficulty they experienced in obtaining supplies, tools, and equipment. They also stressed their responsibilities for ensuring that their subordinates followed established safety procedures. Finally, they discussed the impact of the union contract on their interactions with During the second hour, the supervisors were asked what subordinates. personal qualities first-line supervisors need to be successful in their jobs. The qualities they discussed were commitment to the job, a willingness to confront subordinates about poor performance, and the ability to plan and coordinate their efforts with those of other work groups. They also emphasized the need for flexibility because their work assignments frequently changed.

<u>Survey development workshops</u>. Based upon these various sources of information, initial lists of Army civilian first-line supervisory tasks and KSAOs were written. The preliminary lists were then revised by 427 Army civilian first-line supervisors in 68 survey development workshops conducted at 18 Army installations.¹

Sites were selected based on a sampling plan of first-line supervisors which ensured that participants would be representative of the Army in terms of occupations. Jobs in the Army can be categorized by job series and occupational family. It was impractical to try and create a realistic sampling plan based on job series because supervisors work in approximately 500 job series. A sampling plan based on job series would have been overly complex requiring choosing sites based on their distribution of supervisors across this large number of job series.

Instead, sampling was performed at the level of occupational family, using data from the Army's Civilian Personnel Information System (CIVPERSINS). An occupational family is a grouping of job series. For example, all job series between 000 and 099 comprise the first occupational family and all job series between 100 and 199 comprise the second

¹Workshops were conducted at Fort Ritchie, MD; Walter Reed, D.C.; HQ Security Agency, VA; HQ MTMC, VA; Hoffman Bldg, VA; Fort Meyer, VA; MDW, Pentagon, VA; Office Secretary of Army, Pentagon, VA; Fort Belvoir, VA; Fort Monroe, VA; Fort Meade, MD; Fort McPherson, GA; Baltimore, MD; Pulaski Bldg, D.C.; Fort Monmouth, NJ; Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD; Tobyhanna Depot, PA; HQ AMC, VA

occupational family. There are 57 occupational families in the Army compared to almost 500 job series.

To determine the number of first-line supervisors in each occupational family at each location, a two-way table of occupational family by location was constructed. Because CIVPERSINS does not distinguish between first-line supervisors and higher level supervisors, the calculations included all supervisors. Individuals at the Total Army Personnel Agency (TAPA) with experience in the staffing and classification of Army civilian supervisors estimated that 75% of all Army civilian supervisors were first-line supervisors. Therefore, each cell in the table was multiplied by .75. Using this table it was possible to select sites which, together, contained first-line supervisors who were representative of occupational families in the Army.

Other criteria also influenced the choice of sites. An attempt was made to select different kinds of installations where supervisors with the same job might perform different tasks. Thus, selected sites were depots, hospitals, headquarters, and so on. Attention was also given to the size and geographic location of selected sites since supervisory tasks could also have varied as a function of these variables. Sites were also selected so that different major commands were represented.

Table 1 lists the sites selected for survey development workshops. Cccupational families are listed across the top. The numbers in the body of the table indicate how many participants from a particular occupational family and installation attended a survey development workshop. The next to last row in the table contains the total number of participants by occupational family; out of 57 possible occupational families, 40 were represented by at least one participant. The last row in the table shows the estimated number of civilian job incumbents by occupational family for the entire Army. In general, these numbers are quite small for occupational families that were not represented at workshops.

The actual assignment of supervisors to workshops was made by a Pointof-Contact (POC) at each site. POCs were requested to select supervisors with a diversity of jobs and backgrounds. Of the 427 workshop participants, 355 were General Schedule (GS)/General Manager (GM) and 72 were Wage Supervisor (WS). This is a ratio of approximately 5 to 1. which compares to an estimated Army-wide ratio of 4.5 to 1.

The typical workshop was attended by six-to-eight first-line supervisors and lasted approximately 3 hours. When possible, several workshops were scheduled at each site. A trained job analyst began the session by describing the purpose of the project and the workshops. Participants were told that a job analysis was being conducted for Army civilian first-line supervisory jobs to develop selection systems which would assess a job applicant's supervisory potential. Participants understood that their help was needed to develop a survey containing comprehensive and accurate lists of tasks and KSAOs. The analyst asked the workshop participants to first review the task list and then review the KSAO list. Copies of the lists were distributed and participants indicated additions, deletions, and Table 1 Survey Development Workshop Participants by Location and Occupational Family

,

•

. . h

.

					l						ļ	200	OCCUPATIONAL FAMILY	DNAL	LAMIL													
LOCATION	0 0000	100 02	0000 0100 0200 0300 0400	0 00		0000	0100	0900	1 0060	88	8	0500 0400 0700 0800 0800 1000 1100 1200 1400 1400 1400 1400 14	91 9	150	1600	1700	90 1	8	2000	3100	2500	7600 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100 3	00 34(095 050	0 380	370
FL RHchie, MD (ISC)	-			~	-						-					-			-			-	-					
Walter Reed, D.C. (HSC)	-		~	-	-	~		-			~								~	-		-	-					
HQ. Security Agency, VA (INSCOM)		-	2	~						-	-								+									
HQ. MTMC, VA (MTMC)		-		*																•								
Holtman Bidg, VA (MDW)										-0				-	-													
FL. Meyer, VA (MDW)		~	•		-					-			-	-														
Penlegon, VA (MDW)			2	-	-			-	-	-				-	-							-						
Office Sec of Army, Pent., VA (MDW)			~	•	~						-					-			-									
Ft. Belvolr, VA (TRADOC)	-			æ	•			-					-			2			-									
FL. Monroe, VA (TRADOC)		-	•	~	•			-		~	-					-			-									
Ft. Meade, MD (FORSCOM)	n	-		ų2	•			-		-	~									-								
Ft. McPherron, GA (FORSCOM)		•	8	*	1			-					_			-			~	-		-	-					
Baltimore, Md (COE)			-	-	~			1		-	~																	
Pulaski Bidg, DC (COE)			-	~				-																				
F1. Monmouth, NJ (AMC)			1	~	~			Ξ			-			~	~				-		-							
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD (AMC)			~	-	~			•			-		~	~					•				-		-			
Tobyhanna Depol, PA (AMC)	~		-	-				•			-								-	-		4	-					-
HQ. AMC. VA (AMC)	-	-			-				-	~																		
Participant Totals	•	:	36 104			~	•	45	~	Ξ	2	•		~	-	-	0	•	=	=	-	Ξ	•	, ,	-	0	•	-
Estimated Civitian First-Line																												
Supervisors in Army	1145 8	12 10	1145 812 1091 5024 158	1 158		1847 481	~	3846	3846 122 577 1757	11 1	187	1 37.	377 140 278	278	569	569 1118 21		297 1731 465 - 71	167	185		332 100	01 21	12	101	3	•	5

9

(Continued)

-

Survey Development Workshop Participants by Location and Occupational Family Table 1 (Continued)

LOCATION	38.00	3800 3900		1100 4	200 4	00 44	00 460	0 470	0 480	0 6000	0000 4100 4200 4300 4400 4600 4700 4800 5000 5200 5400 5700 5500 5500 6500 5600 7000 7400 7400 5200 5500 5500	\$300	5400	1700 5	10 G	800	100 BE	00 200	0 730	0 7400	7600	8200	999		Total ESª
Ft. Rikchie, MD (18C)							-		-		 	-													
Walter Reed, D.C. (HSC)								-						+				-	_	~					212 212
HQ. Security Agency, VA (INSCOM)														•						•					
HQ. MTMC, VA (MTMC)																									2
Holtman Bidg, VA (MDW)																									17 223
Ft. Meyer, VA (MDW)																									20 217
Peniagon, VA (MDW)																									26 183
Office Sec of Army, Pent., VA (MDW)																									13 142
Ft. Belvok, VA (TRADOC)	-											-	+		-			-						-	27 440
Ft. Monroe, VA (TRADOC)												-													16 362
FL Meade, MD (FORSCOM)					-			-	_	-					•			-							27 404
FL McPherson, GA (FORSCOM)												-	-												46 480
Battimore, MD (COE)																									14 431
Pulaski Bidg, DC (COE)																									6 104
FL Monmouth, NJ (AMC)																									30 869
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD (AMC)								-	2					~	-	~	2	n							40 623
Tobyhanna Depol, PA (AMC)	•							~										-							20 230
HQ. AMC, VA (AMC)																									13 186
Participant Totals	•	0	0	0	-	•	-	1 13	~	-	٥	-	~	•	\$	~	2		•	~	•	0	0	-	4146
Estimated Civilian First-Line																									
Supervisors in Army	:	4	•	3	3	12 10	100 121	1 547	4	2	=	346	210	357 3	515 1	115	82 747	17 122	1 17	205	11	15	20	74 20	26695

NOTE: Occupational Families 0000 = Miscattaneous Occupations, 0100 = Soc Science, Psychology & Weikare, 0200 = Personnal Mgmi & Indusirial Relations, 0200 = Geneval Administrative. 0400 = Biological Sciences, 0500 = Accounting, and Budget, 0500 = Med. Hosp., Dent., & PubMc Health, 9700 = Velevinary Madical Science, 0800 = Engineering and Achiliciure, 0800 = Legal and Kindred, 1000 = Information and Aray, 1100 = Bucines and Indusiry, 1300 = Copyright Patient Tradmark, 1300 = Phytical Science, 0400 = Engineering and Archives, 1300 = Mathematics = 1800 = Information and Aray, 1100 = Bucines and Industry, 1300 = Copyright Patient Tradmark, 1300 = Phytical Science, 0400 = Engineering and Archives, 1300 = Mathematics = Raiulsics, 1800 = Equipment, Facillae, A Services, 1700 = Education, 1800 = Investigation, 1800 = Oriy Assurance, Insp., 4 Grading, 2000 = Supply, 2100 = Transportation, 2300 = Wer Comm Eqp. Inst. A Mainteance, 2800 = Electronic Eggt. Inst. A Mainteance, 2800 = Electronic Eggt. Inst. A Mainteance, 2800 = Electronic Eggt. 1801. A Mainteance, 2800 = Printing and Fabric and Leather Work, 3300 = Instrument Work, 3600 = Machina Taol Work, 1500 = Copyright Work, 3700 = Structural and Finishing Work, 3700 = Matai Processing, 3300 = Plateita Work, 300 = Industrial Equipment Mainteanance, 2800 = Inana and Engonnol Machina Eguipment Mainteanance, 2000 = Final and Animati Ung. 4300 = Plateita Work, 4000 = Mode, 1700 = Ganeral Sarvices and Eupport Work, 3600 = Structural and Finishing Work, 3700 = Plateita Processing, 4300 = Plateita Work, 4000 = Mode, 4700 = Copyright Work, 4100 # Fungeroute Marineance, 5000 = Plateit and Animati Ung. 4300 = Mathematics 500 = Inturkial Equipment Mainteanance, 500 = Final and Animati Work, 3000 = Mateita Work, 4000 = Mode, 4700 = Food Prosesion, 500 = Final and Animati Work, 300 = Mateita Work, 400 = Endustr Engine Overhaul, 3000 = Aircraft Overhaul

 a ES = Estimated number of first-line supervisore at each site.

"Thirteen participants failed to provide their job series and ware excluded from this table.

revisions which made the lists reflect the supervisory aspects of their jobs more accurately. Participants also completed a form to indicate their command, job series, number of subordinates, and years worked as an Army civilian first-line supervisor.

The workshops were conducted during the months of March, April, and May of 1987. At the conclusion of each workshop, the task and KSAO lists were revised for the following workshop. Thus, the lists were subjected to a process of continual refinement. As evidence of the success of this process, few revisions were deemed necessary by participants in the last several workshops.

The final survey contained 226 tasks sorted into 11 categories. The categories and their descriptions are shown in Table 2. There were some tasks that did not clearly fit into any one category. In such cases the researchers made a somewhat arbitrary decision about which category would receive an item. The purpose for sorting tasks into categories was primarily to aid respondents by permitting them to focus their attention on a single area of their job while they rated each block of tasks.

Several of the categories contained in the survey are similar to those listed by Hill, et al. (1934). However, new categories include EEO, Administrative duties, and Security concerns. The need for these categories may reflect the special demands placed on federal employees in general and Army employees in particular. Finally, because of the classified nature of many participants' jobs, several tasks relating to security concerns were developed.

Task and KSAO Rating Scales

Rating scales were developed for the final task and KSAO lists. Two rating scales were developed for task statements: (a) relative amount of time spent performing a task and (b) relative importance of the task to the job. The importance scale was included to determine if tasks supervisors perform most are also tasks supervisors rate as most important to the job.

Knowledges, from the KSAO list, were rated on two scales: (a) depth to which the job required possession of a knowledge and (b) number of months needed to learn it, once hired. Because it is generally considered to be inappropriate to screen applicants for possession of knowledges which they would learn soon after being hired (<u>Uniform Guidelines on Employee</u> <u>Selection</u>, 1976), the second scale was needed to determine when the knowledges were acquired. A single rating scale was used to rate the skills, abilities, and other characteristics (SAOs) from the KSAO list. Respondents simply indicated the "relative importance" of each SAO to job performance.

11

Table 2

Categories of Tasks in the Job Analysis Survey

Cat	egory	Description
1.	Personnel Staffing	Tasks performed when hiring subordinates.
2.	Position Management	Tasks performed to document or revise the work requirements of subordinates' jobs.
3.	Equal Employment Opportuníty	Tasks performed to promote EEO and affirmative Action
4.	Managing the Workforce	Tasks performed to evaluate subordinates' job performance and based on the evaluations to provide rewards or disciplines.
5.	Training and Development	Tasks performed to identify subordinates' training needs and ensure that those needs are met.
6.	Employee-Management Communications	Tasks which convey information to subordinates or superiors about work progress, policies, and procedures.
7.	Administrative Duties	Tasks which are largely record-keeping in nature and are completed to support the administrative function of the Army.
8.	Safety	Tasks performed to reduce the potential fo work related accidents.
9.	Managing Work and Operations	Tasks performed to facilitate the completion of the work through explaining assignments, monitoring work, and identifying and removing work related obstacles.
10.	Planning/Budgeting/ Executing	Tasks completed to receive, monitor, and conserve budgetary resources.
11.	Security Concerns	Tasks performed to reduce the potential fo compromise of sensitive or classified materials.

Background Items

Several background items covering such areas as the respondents' job series, grade level, job tenure, race, sex, and ethnicity were added to the survey. These data were needed to describe the sample of first-line supervisors who completed the survey.

Work Environment Items

It was assumed that Army civilian first-line supervisors vary in the supervisory tasks they perform. One goal of this project was to determine if the variance was due to the existence of different types of supervisors. If different types existed then a different set of selection criteria might be necessary for each type. Although statistical techniques can be used to create groups of supervisors based on task performance, responses to the work environment items were needed to help determine if the groupings were meaningful.

Pilot Test of Survey

The survey was pilot tested with 12 Army civilian first-line supervisors (a mixture of Wage Grade supervisors and GS/GM supervisors) working at Aberdeen Proving Ground in Aberdeen, Maryland. The supervisors took, on average, 1.5 hours to complete the survey. Based upon their recommendations, the wording of some instructions and questions was revised to improve their clarity. The final version of the survey was printed onto optically scannable booklets. A copy of the final survey is contained in Appendix A.

ADMINISTRATION OF THE SURVEY

Surveys were distributed to 4,400 Army civilian first-line supervisors working at 155 locations both within and outside the Continental United States. The number of surveys distributed ensured that at least 15% of all first-line supervisors had an opportunity to provide data for the job analysis. A list of sites and number of surveys mailed to each site is contained in Table 3.

A POC was identified for each location. The POCs were responsible for receipt, distribution, and collection of surveys at their site. POCs were sent an advance package describing the project and instructing them to take steps to prepare for the arrival of the surveys. The names of a random sample of supervisors to receive surveys were included in the advance package. POCs were instructed to contact first-line supervisors from the list and confirm that they would be able to complete a survey. See Appendix B for copies of materials included in the advance package.

Approximately three weeks later, the surveys and instructions for their administration were mailed to POCs. POCs were encouraged to schedule a meeting in which all respondents could complete the survey simultaneously. This would ensure that surveys would be returned and the POC could answer questions raised by respondents. If group administration was not feasible, then POCs were instructed to distribute the surveys to the appropriate individuals and follow up to see that they were completed and returned by the suspense date. See Appendix C for copies of these materials.

POCs were allowed approximately seven weeks to return surveys with the cutoff date for receipt of surveys set as 30 October 1987. A total of 3,008 surveys were received by that time for a response rate of 68%. Of those returned, 244 surveys were discarded because respondents left major sections of their surveys blank or indicated they were not first-line supervisors. This left 2,764 surveys for the data analysis.

m
¢
5
La

Sites and Numbers of Surveys Sent to Respondents

 001 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Memphis, TW 002 Aberdeen Proving Ground, U.S. Aray, MD 003 U.S. Aray Engineer District, W 004 U.S. Aray Engineer District, W 005 Firtsianons Aray Medical Center, CO 006 Fort Sam Houston, U.S. Aray, TX 007 Vestern Area MTHC, Oakland, CA 008 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Savanna, IL 009 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Savanna, IL 012 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Savannah, CA 013 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Savannah, CA 014 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Savannah, CA 015 Aray Engineer District, Savannah, CA 015 U.S. Aray Fort Benkin, NB 016 U.S. Aray Fort Monroe, VA 017 U.S. Aray Pept Actiloin, AL 018 U.S. Aray Fort Monroe, VA 019 U.S. Aray Pept Actiloin, AL 014 U.S. Aray Fort Cambell, W 015 U.S. Aray Fort Cambell, WA 015 U.S. Aray Fort Cambell, WA 016 U.S. Aray Fort Cambell, WA 017 U.S. Aray Fort Day NA 018 U.S. Aray Fort Cambell, WA 019 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Norfolk, VA 020 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Norfolk, VA 021 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Norfolk, VA 022 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Norfolk, VA 023 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Norfolk, VA 024 W.S. Aray Engineer District, Norfolk, VA 025 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Sandah, CA 026 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Canha, ME 027 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Canha, MA 028 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Canha, MA 029 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Canha, MA 030 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Canha, MA 031 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Canha, MA 032 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Canha, MA 033 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Canha, MA 034 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Canha, MA 035 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Canha, MA 036 U.S. Aray Engineer District, Canha, MA 	141			
<pre>Aberdeen Proving Ground, U.S. Army, HD U.S. Army Engineer District, NY U.S. Army Engineer District, NY Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, CO Fort Sam Houston, U.S. Army, TX U.S. Army Prize Accounting Center, IN Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, CO Fort Sam Houston, U.S. Army, NY U.S. Army Missile Haterial Readiness Command, AL U.S. Army Propet Activity, Savanna, IL U.S. Army Physic Enterior, Savannah, GA U.S. Army Piptneer District, Savannah, GA U.S. Army Proving Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army Prove, VA U.S. Army Prove, Sierra, CA U.S. Army Prove, NA U.S. Army Prove, VA U.S. Army Prove, VA U.S. Army Prove, VA U.S. Army Prove, VA U.S. Army Prove, NA U.S. Arm</pre>		106	81	
<pre>U.S. Army Engineer District. NY U.S. Army Engineer District. NY U.S. Army Finance and Accounting Center. IN Fitzsimons Army Medical Center. CO Fort Sam Houston. U.S. Army TX U.S. Army Vilitary Academy, West Point. NY U.S. Army Missile Material Readiness Command. AL U.S. Army Finstle Material Readiness Command. AL U.S. Army Engineer District. St. Louis. NO Dugway Proving Ground. U.S. Army. UT U.S. Army Province District. Mashville. TH U.S. Army Province District. Mashville. TH U.S. Army Province District. Mashville. TH U.S. Army Prove District. Mails VA U.S. Army Prove District. Norfolk. VA U.S. Army Engineer District. Onlo River. OH U.S. Army Engineer District. South Atlantic. GP U.S. Army Engineer District. Onlo River. OH U.S. Army Engineer District. Onlo R</pre>	6 1/1	671	301	
<pre>U.S. Army Engineer protect. The Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, CO Fort Sam Houston. U.S. Army, TX U.S. Army Fort Detrick, MD Western Area MTHC, Oakland, CA U.S. Army Propot Activity. Savanna. IL U.S. Army Engineer District, Savannah, CA U.S. Army Printe Bluff Arsenal, MH U.S. Army Printe Bluff Arsenal, MH U.S. Army Poot Monroe, VA U.S. Army Poot, Siera, CA U.S. Army Poot, Siera, CA U.S. Army Poot, Siera, CA U.S. Army Engineer District, Monrolk, VA U.S. Army Poot, NC U.S. Army Engineer District, Monrolk, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Monrolk, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Monrolk, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Onto River, OH U.S. Army Engineer District, Onto River, OH U.S. Army Engineer District, Onto River, OH U.S. Army Engineer District, Nouth Atlantic, CP U.S. Army Engineer District, Onto River, OH U.S. Army Engineer District, Nouth Arlea U.S. Army Engineer District, No</pre>		(3) (0)	100	
<pre>U.S. Army Finance and Accounting Center, in Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, CO Fort Sam Houston, U.S. Army, TX U.S. Army Missile Haterial Readiness Command, AL U.S. Army Missile Haterial Readiness Command, AL U.S. Army Missile Haterial Readiness Command, AL U.S. Army Fightneer District, Savanna, CA U.S. Army Fightneer District, St. Louis, HO Dugway Proving Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army Direst District, St. Louis, HO Dugway Proving Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army Proveng Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army Protect Monroe, VA U.S. Army Protect Hashville, TW U.S. Army Protect Hashville, TW U.S. Army Protect Hours and Fort Benning, CA U.S. Army Protect Claupbell, KY U.S. Army Protect District, Walla Valls, VA U.S. Army Protect District, Nalla Valls, VA U.S. Army Protect District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Protect District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Nortland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Nortland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Nortland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV U.S. Ar</pre>	140	36		
<pre>FILZESIMONS Army redical center, CO Fort Sam Houston, U.S. Army, TX U.S. Army Pepot Activity, Savanna, IL Vestern Area WTHC, Oakland, CA U.S. Army Dispot Activity, Savanna, IL U.S. Army Fingineer District, Savannah, GA U.S. Army Vhite Sands Hissile Range, WH U.S. Army Vhite Sands Hissile Range, WH U.S. Army Proving Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army Prove, VA U.S. Army Prove, VA U.S. Army Prove, VA U.S. Army Probe, VA U.S. Army Prove, VA U.S. Army Prove, VA U.S. Army Probell, KY U.S. Army Profited District, Walla Valls, VA U.S. Army Profited District, Walla Valls, VA U.S. Army Profiter District, Valla Valls, VA U.S. Army Profiter District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Profiter District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Profiter District, Canha, NE U.S. Army Profiter District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Profineer District, South Atlantic, M U.S. Army Profineer District, South Atlantic, NV U.S. Army Profineer District, Huntington, VV U.S. Army Profineer District, Presidio of Honterey, Difentery Brigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Profineer District, Presidio of Honterey, District Brigade, Panama Canal Area, U.S. Army Profineer District, Presidio of Honterey, District Prigade, District, Pristolo of Noter, District Pristole District, Presidio of Honterey, District Pristole District, Pristole ON District Pr</pre>	5 4 6 	101) دارد با دارد	
<pre>Fort Sam Houston. U.S. Army. TX U.S. Army. Fort Detrick. MD U.S. Army Depot Activity. Samana. IL U.S. Army Missile Material Readiness Command, AL U.S. Army Front Material Readiness Command, AL U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Lewis, MO U.S. Army. Fort Montoe. VA U.S. Army. Fort Cambbell. KY U.S. Army. Fort Brant. CA U.S. Army. Fort Brant. CA U.S. Army. Fort Brant. CA U.S. Army. Proper. Solut. Atlant. CA U.S. Army. Fort Bragg. NC U.S. Army. Engineer District. South Atlant. U.S. Army. Engineer District. Brantagen. WI U.S. Army. Engineer District. Portland. WI U.S. Army. Engineer District. Portland. Engit</pre>	182	137	23	
<pre>U.S. Army. Fort Detrick, MD U.S. Army. Fort Detrick, MD Vestern Area MTMC, Oakland, CA U.S. Army Missile Material Readiness Command, AL U.S. Army Engineer District, Savannah, GA U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis, MO Dugway Proving Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army Print Sands Missile Range, NH U.S. Army, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army, Pine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army, Pine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army Pine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army Profilion, AL U.S. Army Print Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army Print District, Washville, TH U.S. Army Print Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army Print District, Walla Valls, VA U.S. Army Profilion, Plant, OK MCALester Army Anunition Plant, OK U.S. Army Profile District, Valla Valls, VA U.S. Army Profile District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Profile District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Profile District, Portland, NA U.S. Army Profile District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Profile District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Profile District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Prigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Publier District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Prigade, Alaska U.S. Army Prigade, Alaska U.S. Army Publier District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Publier District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Publier District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Publier District, Portland, DR U.S. Army Publier District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Publier District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Publier District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army Publier District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army Publier District, Presidio of Monterey, D.S. Army Publier District, Presidio of Nonterey, D.S. Army Publier District, Putterford Monterey, D.S. Army Publier District, Putter</pre>	376	282	47	
<pre>Vestern Area MTMC, Oakland, CA U.S. Army Depot Activity, Saanna, IL U.S. Army Missile Material Readiness Command, AL U.S. Army Engineer District, Savannah, GA U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis, MO Dugway Proving Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army District, Mashville, TN U.S. Army Proving Ground, U.S. Army, G U.S. Army Proving Ground, U.S. Army, W U.S. Army Prot Campbell, KY U.S. Army Poot Campbell, KY U.S. Army Prot Cambbell, KY U.S. Army Protic Campbell, KY U.S. Army Protic Campbell, KY U.S. Army Protic Cambbell, KY U.S. Army Protic Cambbell, KY U.S. Army Proving Canter and Fort Benning, GA McAlester Army Amunition Plant, OK U.S. Army Profineer District, Walla Walls, VA U.S. Army Profineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Pariado NV U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army Engineer District, Prosidio of Honterey, U.S. Army Canineer District, Proteidio of Noiterey, U.S. Army Canineer District, Proteidio of Noiterey, U.S. Army Canineer District, Proteidio of Noiterey, District District, Proteidio of Noiterey, District District, Proteidio of Noiterey, U.S. Army Canineer District, Proteidio of Noiterey, U.S. Army Canineer District, Proteidio of Noiterey, District D</pre>	122	92	15	
<pre>U.S. Army Depot Activity, Savanna, IL U.S. Army Missile Material Readiness Command, AL U.S. Army Engineer District, Savannah, GA U.S. Army Engineer District, Savannah, GA U.S. Army Engineer District, Savannah, GA U.S. Army Vhite Sands Missile Range, NH U.S. Army Port Monroe, VA U.S. Army Port Monroe, VA U.S. Army Port Monroe, VA U.S. Army Prot Acclelion, AL U.S. Army Poet, Sierra, CA U.S. Army Poet Sittict, Walla Walls, VA U.S. Army Poet Sittict, Walla Walls, VA U.S. Army Poet, Sierra, CA U.S. Army Poet, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Popot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Poet Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Popot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Popoter Poistrict, Pottland, PA U.S. Army Popoter Poistrict, Pottland</pre>	66	74	12	
<pre>U.S. Army Sulitary Academy, West Point, NY U.S. Army Engineer District, Savannah, GA U.S. Army Engineer District, Savannah, GA U.S. Army Proving Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army Vhite Sands Hissile Range, NH U.S. Army Port Monroe, VA U.S. Army Prine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army, Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army, Fort Cambbell, KY U.S. Army, Fort Cambbell, KY U.S. Army, Fort Cambbell, KY U.S. Army Engineer District, Nashville, TW U.S. Army Pepot, Sierca, CA U.S. Army Prine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army Pepot, Sierca, CA U.S. Army Prote District, Narlla Valls, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Varlla Valls, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, RA U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army Engineer District, Presidio of Honterey, Diffense Language Instrict, Presidio of Honterey, Di Presidense Instrictor, Presidio of</pre>	38	29	5	
<pre>U.S. Army Missile Material Readiness Command, AL U.S. Army Engineer District, St Louis, MO Dugwar Proving Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army Vhite Sands Missile Range, MM U.S. Army Prot Monroe, VA U.S. Army Prot Monroe, VA U.S. Army Prot Monroe, VA U.S. Army Prot KCTellon, AL U.S. Army Prot KCTellon, AL U.S. Army Prot KCTellon, AL U.S. Army Prot Sierra, CA U.S. Army Prot Sierra, CA U.S. Army Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army Fort District, Malha Valls, VA U.S. Army Fort District, Malla Valls, VA U.S. Army Ergineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Propot, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Ergineer District, Fortland, OR U.S. Army Ergineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Portisti, TX U.S. Army Engineer District, Briade, Nee, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Briade, Nee, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portisti, TX U.S. Army Engineer District, Briade, Va U.S. Army Engineer District, Runtington, W U.S. Army Engineer District, Portisti, TX U.S. Army Engineer District, Portisti, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Briade, Alaska U.S. Army Panguage Institute, Prissidio of Monterey, D.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Prissidio of Annterey, U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Prissidio of Noterey, D.S. Army Panguage Institute, Prissidio of Noterey, D.S. Army Panguage Institute, Prissidio of Noterey, D.S. Army Panguage Instit</pre>	296	2,22	37	
<pre>U.S. Army Engineer District, Savannah, GA U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis, HO Dugway Proving Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army Vhite Sands Missile Range, MH U.S. Army, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army, Prine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army Prine District, Walla Valls, VA U.S. Army Ergineer District, Valla Valls, VA U.S. Army Ergineer District, Valla Valls, VA U.S. Army Ergineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Propot, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Prigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Portisti, TX U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV 172d Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Engineer District, Prostolo of Honterey, D.S. Army Engineer District, Prostolo of Monterey, D.S. Army Engineer District, Prostolo of Not Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Prostolo of Attivity, District, Prostolo of Attivity, District, Prostolo of District, Prostolo of Attivity, District, Prostolo of Prostol</pre>	1073	805	136	
<pre>U.S. Krmy Engineer District, St. Louis, HO Dugway Proving Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army Vhite Sands Hissile Range, NH U.S. Army, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army Peptot, Sierra, VA U.S. Army Peptot, Sierra, CA U.S. Army Pept, Sierra, CA U.S. Army Pept, Sierra, CA U.S. Army Prote District, Walla Valls, VA U.S. Army Pept, Senter and Fort Benning, CA McAlester Army Amunition Plant, OK U.S. Army Profineer District, Valla Valls, VA U.S. Army Profineer District, Valla Valls, VA U.S. Army Profineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Profineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Profineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Profineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Profineer District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army Profineer District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army Profineer District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army Panteermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Honterey, District, District, Pritsion of Honterey, District, District, Pittsion of Honterey, District, District, Pittsion of Honterey, District, District, Pittsion of Honterey, District, District, Pittsion of Honterey, Distributer Distributer Pittsion of Honterey,</pre>	165	124	21	
Dugway Proving Ground, U.S. Army, UT U.S. Army, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army, Prine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army Depot, Sierta, CA U.S. Army Prot Campbell, KY U.S. Army Prot Cambbell, KY U.S. Army Prot Neuriton Plant, OK U.S. Army Prot Neuriton Plant, OK U.S. Army Prot Neuriton, Pa U.S. Army Prot Neuriton, Pa U.S. Army Prot Neuriton, Pa U.S. Army Prot Neuriton, Not Folk, VA U.S. Army Prot Neuriton, Not VI U.S. Army Prot Neuriton, Not Neuriton, W U.S. Army Proton Pistrict, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Protoner District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army Prigade, Alaska U.S. Army Prigade, Alaska U.S. Army Prigade Instrict, Pristion of Monterey, Defense Language Institute, Pristion of Monterey, Defense Language Institute, Pristin of Mon	106	30	ĴĴ	
 U.S. Army Phite Sands Hissile Range, NH U.S. Army Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army Fort McClellon, AL U.S. Army Pepot. Sistict, Mashville, TH U.S. Army Pepot. Sistict, Mashville, TH U.S. Army Pepot. Sistera, CA U.S. Army Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army Fort Dix, NU U.S. Army Prote Land Fort Benning, GA McAlester Army Ammunition Plant, OK U.S. Army Different District, Walla Walls, VA U.S. Army Prote District, Naula Valls, VA U.S. Army Different District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Different District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Prote District, Portland, PA Carlisle Barracks, U.S. Army, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Conha, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Different District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Different District, Noticiti, TX U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Different District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Different District, Nuntington, WV U.S. Army District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army District, Prostidio of Alaska U.S. Army Different District, Puntington, WV U.S. Army District, Plaska U.S. Army Different District, Puntington, WV U.S. Army District, Puntington, WV U.S. Army District, Plaska U.S. Army Different District, Puntington, WV U.S. Army District, Plaska 	86	65	11	
 U.S. Arry, Fort Monroe, VA U.S. Army, Fort McClellon, AL U.S. Army, Pine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army, Pepot, Sierra, CA U.S. Army, Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army, Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army, Fort Cambbell, KY U.S. Army Engineer District, Walla Walls, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Walla Walls, VA U.S. Army Dipot, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Pariada, Res, UK U.S. Army Engineer District, Pariadio, NV U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army Engineer District, Prostolo of Honterey, District, District, Prostolo of Honterey, District, District, Prostolo of Notterey, District, Prostolo of Notterey, Notterey, District, Prostolo of Notterey, Notterey, District, Prostolo of Notterey, Notterey, District, Prostolo of Nottere	497	373	63	
 U.S. Army. Fort McClellon, AL U.S. Army. Pine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army. Fine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army. Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army. Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army. Fort Cambbell, KY U.S. Army. Fort District, Walla Walls, VA U.S. Army Ergineer District, Walla Walls, VA U.S. Army Ergineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Ergineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Ergineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Ergineer District, Canal, NE U.S. Army Ergineer District, Onio River, OH U.S. Army Ergineer District, Onio River, OH U.S. Army Ergineer District, Huntington, V U.S. Army District, Huntington, V U.S. Army District, Huntington, V U.S. Army Ergineer District, Prostol ON U.S. Army Ergineer District, Runtington, V U.S. Army Ergineer District, Prostol ON U.S. Army Ergineer District, Proston Artivity, U.S. Army Partermaster and Fort Lee, VA District, District, Prostol ON U.S. Army Ergineer District, Prostol Proston, VA 	482	362	62	
<pre>U.S. Army Engineer District, Mashville, TW U.S. Army Pine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army Pepot, Sierra, CA U.S. Army, Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army Fort Dix, NU U.S. Army Infantry Center and Fort Benning, GA McAlester Army Amanuntion Plant, OK U.S. Army Engineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Digneer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Pigneer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Pigneer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Canaa, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, Onto River, OH U.S. Army Engineer District, Onto River, OH U.S. Army Engineer District, Onto River, OH U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, VV U.S. Army District, Huntington, VV U.S. Army District, Huntington, VV U.S. Army Cantermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, D.S. Army Cantustitue, Pittschio of Monterey, D.S. Army Cantuster Institute, Pittschio of Monterey, D.S. Army Cantuster Institute, Pittschio of Monterey, D.S. Army Cantuster Institute, Pittschio of Netrey, D.S. Army Cantuster Institute, Pittschio of Netrey, D.S. Army Cantuste</pre>	216	162	28	
<pre>U.S. Army Pine Bluff Arsenal, AR U.S. Army Depot, Sierra, CA U.S. Army, Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army, Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army Infantry Center and Fort Benning, GA McAlester Army Amunition Plant, OK McAlester Army Amunition Plant, OK U.S. Army Digneer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Dupot, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Pupot, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Pigineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Pepot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army District, Huntington, VV 172d Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittschio of Monterey, U.S. Army Contustict, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, Districtere, District, Pittschio of Monterey, Districtere, District, Pittschio of Monterey, Districtere, District, Pittschio of Monterey, Districtere, Pittschi, Pit</pre>	123	92	15	
 U.S. Army Depot, Sierra, CA U.S. Army, Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army Fort Dix, NJ U.S. Army Infantry Center and Fort Benning, GA McAlester Army Amunition Plant, OK N.S. Army Depot, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Depot, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Depot, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Propot, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Propot, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Propinser District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Depot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army District, Huntington, W I.2. Army Pantnesser and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Prosidio of Monterey, District, Distitute, Provineer District, Puttender P. 	118	89	15	
<pre>U.S. Krmy, Fort Campbell, KY U.S. Army, Fort Dix, NJ U.S. Army Infantry Center and Fort Benning, GA McAlester Army Amunition Plant, OK U.S. Army Ergineer District, Walla Walls, WA U.S. Army Depot. New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Depot. New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Ergineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Canha, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, Conha, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV 193d Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, District, District, Pittschio of Monterey, District, Distri</pre>	95	71	12	
 U.S. Army, Fort Dix, NJ U.S. Army Infantry Center and Fort Benning, GA McAlester Army Amunition Plant, OK U.S. Army Ergineer District, Walla Walls, WA U.S. Army Dipote, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Dipote, New Cumberland, PA Carlisle Bauracks, U.S. Army, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Camha, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, Conthand, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Conthantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Onto River, OH I.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Nuntington, WV I.S. Army Dipote Activity, Conversion of Nove, U.S. Army District, Huntington, WV I.Z. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Anterey, Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Nonterey, District, District, District, Puttermaster 	256	192	33	
<pre>U.S. Army Infantry Center and Fort Benning, GA McAlester Army Ammunition Plant, OK U.S. Army Ergineer District, Warla Walls, VA U.S. Army Dryot, New Cumberland, PA U.S. Army Dryot, New Cumberland, PA Carlisle Rarrasks, U.S. Army, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Canha, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, Cunha, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, Conth Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Nun Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Nun Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Nun Atlantic, W U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV 1934 Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language District, Pittsburdh Pa District, District, Pittsburdh Pa</pre>	297	223	37	
<pre>HCAlester Army Ammunition Plant, OK U.S. Army Ergineer District, Valla Valls, VA U.S. Army Ergineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Dropol, New Cumberland, PA Carlisle Barracks, U.S. Army, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WY 193d Infantry Brigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Depot Activity, Coirus Christi, TX U.S. Army Dustremaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Prosidio of Monterey, Defense Language Institute, Prosidio of Monterey, District, District, Puttshirdh Pa</pre>	115	308	52	
<pre>U.S. Army Ergineer District, Valla Valls, VA U.S. Army Ergineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Depot. New Cumberland, PA Carlisle Barracks. U.S. Army, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Camaha. NE U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Ohio River, OH 193d Infantry Brigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, VY 10.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, VY 112d Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Cantermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Pristidio of Monterey, D.S. Army Contineer District, Puttschio of Monterey, Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, District, District, Puttschio of Pattschieler, Pattschief, Pattsch</pre>	105	79	13	
<pre>U.S. Army Engineer District, Norfolk, VA U.S. Army Dupot, New Cumberland, PA Carlisle Rarracks, U.S. Army, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Camaba, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Ohio River, OH 193d Infantry Brigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Depot Activity, Co: rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Depot Activity, Co: rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Dupteer District, Huntington, WV 172d Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittsbio Pa</pre>	103	77	13	
U.S. Army Dupot, New Cumberland, PA Carlisle Barracks, U.S. Army, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Camaba, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, Camaba, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, Ohio River, OH 193d Infantry Brigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Depot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Pepot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Pepot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Papot Activity, Paska U.S. Army Papot Activity, Paska U.S. Army Partermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, U.S. Army Forineer District, Pittsburdh Pa	68	51	9	
Carlisle Barracks, U.S. Army, PA U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army Engineer District, Canha, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, Canha, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantıc, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantıc, GP U.S. Army Depot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Dattermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Pittshio of Monterey, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittshio of Pa	352	264	45	
 U.S. Army Engineer District, Portland, OR U.S. Army. Fort Bragg, NC U.S. Army Engineer District, Camba, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Pepot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Prigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Difense Lanuaged District, Pittschio of Anneev, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittschio of Anneev, U.S. Army Contineer District, Pittschio of Anneev, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittschio of Anneev, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittschio of Anneev, 	66	50	9	
<pre>U.S. Army. Fort Bragg. NC U.S. Army Engineer District. CmmAa. NE U.S. Army Engineer District. South Atlantic. GP U.S. Army Engineer District. Onio River, OH 1934 Intantry Brigade. Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Depot Activity. Co:rus Christi. TX U.S. Army Engineer District. Huntington, WV 1724 Infantry Brigade. Alaska U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee. VA U.S. Army Pusttermaster and Fort Lee. VA Defense Language Institute. Prostolo of Monterey. U.S. Army Engineer District. Puttshirdh PA</pre>	<i>i</i> 81	742	24	
U.S. Army Engineer District, Cmaha, NE U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Ohio River, OH 193d Infantry Brigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Depot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV 172d Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittshurch Pa	506	380	64	
U.S. Army Engineer District, South Atlantic, GP U.S. Army Engineer District, Ohio River, OH 1934 Infantry Brigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Depot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV 1724 Infantry Brigade, Alaska 1724 Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittshurch PA	243	182	31	
U.S. Army Engineer District, Ohio River, OH 193d Infantry Brigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Depot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, VV 172d Infantry Brigade, Alaska 172d Army Quarternaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittsburch PA	017	06	6	
193d Infantry Frigade, Panama Canal Area U.S. Army Depot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, WV 172d Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Pristadio of Monterey, U.S. Army Endineer District, Pristadio of Monterey, U.S. Army Endineer District, Pristaburdh PA	47	J5	ę	
U.S. Army Depot Activity, Co:rus Christi, TX U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, VV 1724 Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittsburdh PA	221	166	29	
U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntington, VV 172d Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Prosidio of Monterey, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittsburdh PA	298	216	36	
172d Infantry Brigade, Alaska U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, U.S. Army Engineer District, Pittsburch, PA	98	74	12	
U.S. Army Quartermaster and Fort Lee, VA Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, U.S. Arav Environer District, Pittsburdh PA	303	227	96	
Defense Language Institute, Presidio of Monterey, U.S. Arav Envineer District, Pritsburgh, PA	512	384	65	
II S. Brav Engineer District Pittshurgh	116	87	14	
DID: Mail BUNANCEL PLACE FILTER, 1111-DUNE	89	67	11	
041 Eastern Area MTMC, Bayonne, NJ	113	85	74	
042 U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Stn. Vicksburg		299	15	
043 U.S. Army, Fort Riley, KS	299	224	66	
011 U.S. Army, Fort Leonard Vood, NO	265	661	1,1	

I

.

15

Site Number	Site Name	Total Number of All Supervisors	Estimated Number of First-Line Supervisors	Number of Surveys Distributed
045	U.S. Årmv. Fort Leavenvorth. KS	201	151	25
	/ Milita	289	217	36
1 210	Army Engineer District, Mobile, AL	247	185	32
048 (315	236	40
	Army Engineer District, Jac	92	69	12
	Army,	360	270	46
	upport Command, Fort	582	437	74
	Army,	373	280	47
		471	353	59
	Army Engineer District,	137	103	18
		175	161	22
	Army,	312	159	26
057		322	242	41
	Army Depot,	164	123	21
059		107	80	13
	Army. Fort Huachuca, A2	520	061	66
061 0	U.S. Army Fort Hood, TX	505	379	64
	U.S. Army, Fort Jackson, SC	207	155	26
063 (U.S. Army Troop Support Command and Aviation Systems			
	Command, St. Louis, NO	644	483	81
	Army, Fort McPherson, GA	640	480	81
	Army Engineer Center and Fort Belvoir,	597	448	76
066	U.S. Army Armament Research and Development Command,			
	Dover, NJ	559	619	72
	Army Engineer District, Seal	221	166	29
	Angeles.	111	83	14
	U.S. Army Engineer District, New Orleans, LA	240	100	31
	Office, Secretary of Army, Pentagon, DC	189	142	24
	Army Depot, Red River, TX	518	389	66
	Army Depot Activity, Lexington-B.	206	155	26
	Army Engineer Division, Chic	25	19	4
		141	106	18
075 1				I
	St. Louis, MO	132	66	17
	U.S. Army, Fort Rucker, AL	318	239	41
		314	236	40
078 1	U.S. Army, Air Defense Center and Fort Bliss, TY	370	278	47
079 (U.S. Army Depot, Anniston, AL	404	303	52
080 (425	319	54
		349	262	44
082		202	152	25
	HQ U.S. Army Security Agency, Arlington, VA	115	86	14
084 1	Natick Research and Development Labs, MA	67	50	9
085 1		172	181	31
	U.S. Armv. Presidio of San Francisco. CA	515	235	40

Table 3 (Continued) Sites and Numbers of Surveys Sent to Respondents

)

Table 3 (Continued) Sites and Numbers of Surveys Sent to Respondents

Site Number	Site Name	Total Number of All Supervisors	Estimated Number of First-Line Supervisors	Number of Surveys Distributed	
087	U.S. Army Engineer District, Sacramento, CA	92	69	12	
088	Augsburg Area, Germany	68	51	6	
089	HQ, Berlin Brigade, USAREUR, Germany	45	34	Q	
060	Yuma Proving Ground, U.S. Army, AZ	106	80	13	
160	U.S. Army Materiels and Mechanic Research Center,				
	Vatertown, MA	73	55	6	
260	8th Support Group, Leghorn, Italy	29	22	4	
660	U.S. Army Communications and Electronics Material				
	Command, Fort Monmouth, NJ	1158	869	147	
P 60	U.S. Army Depot, Tooele, UT	436	327	55	
260	U.S. Army Depot, Letterkenny, På	348	261	44	
960	U.S. Army Depot, Sacramento CA	371	278	47	
260	U.S. Army Garnson, Honshu, Japan	204	153	26	
860	Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington, DC	363	272	46	
660	U.S. Army Engineer District, Rock Island, IL	105	79	13	
100	U.S. Army, Fort Sill, OK	410	308	52	
101	U.S. Army Engineer District, Tulsa, OK	160	120	20	
102	U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Paul, MN	89	67	11	
103	Nuernberg Community, Germany	06	68	11	
104	Fort George G. Meade, U.S. Army, MD	538	404	68	
105		109	82	14	
106	Harry Diamond Laboratories, U.S. Army, MD	154	116	20	
107	U.S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia, PA	66	50	6	
108	Eighth U.S. Army, Seoul, Korea	342	257	67	
109	Stuttgart Årea, Germany	281	211		
011	Heidelberg Area, Germany	273	205	35	
111	U.S. Army Engineer District, Kansas City, MO	149	112	19	
112	U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Material Readiness Command,				
	Varren, MI	633	475	80	
113	U.S. Army, Fort Polk, LA	248	186	32	
114	Bremehaven Area, Germany	64	48	8	
115	Jefferson Proving Ground, U.S. Army, IN	49	37	7	
116	U.S. Army, Fort Ritchie, MD	149	112	19	
117	Waterliet Arsenal, U.S. Army, NY	188	141	24	
118	Frankfurt Area, Germany	259	194	55	
119	U.S. Army Materiel Dev. and Readiness Command,				
	Alexandria, VA	248	186	32	
120	HQ, Military Traffic Management Command, Falls Church, VA	82	62	10	
121	Glessen Area, Germany	64	48	8	
122	Vuerzburg Area, Germany	06	68	11	
123		65	49	6	
124	U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Centre.				
		2	18		
125	U.S. Army Engineer District, Huntsville, AL	46	35	6	

17

Table 3 (Continued) Sites and Numbers of Surveys Sent to Respondents

	SILG NAME	Supervisors	Supervisors ^a	Distributed	
	II S Irau Port McCou UT	010	20.1	71	
				• •	
	Zweidriecken Area, Germany	191	103	5	
	Military Ocean Terminal, Sunny Point, NC	38	29	5	
129 U.S.	U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Lab.,				
Nai	Hanover, NH	129	97	17	
130 Bad 1	Bad Kreuznach Årea, Germany	9 €	26	S	
	Baumbolder Area. Germany	06	23	Å	
	Hanau Area, Germany	11	5.3	6	
	Mannheim Area, Germany	137	101	18	
	Vicenza Area, Germany	67	35	Q	
	Pirmasens Area, Germany	10	23	5	
-	Fort Drum, Watertown, MY	177	[[]	22	
	U.S. Army Engineer District, Buffalo, NY	57	£9	8	
138 U.S.	U.S. Army Engineer (Middle East), Winchester, VA	£Ę,	25	5	
139 Ansh	Ansbach Area, Germany	50	38	7	
	Aschaffenburg Area, Germany	26	24	ŝ	
-	Bamberg Area, Germany	b £	26	5	
	Darmstadt Årea, Germany	61	29	q	
14) Grafe	Grafenwoehr Area, Germany	76	57	10	
144 Karls	Karlsruhe Area, Germany	11	5)	6	
145 Munic	Munich Area, Germany	64	48	80	
	Schweinfurt Area, Germany	17	31	Q	
	Veisbaden Area, Germany	172	129	22	
148 U.S.	U.S. Army MDV, Pentagon, Washington, DC	244	18]	31	
149 U.S.	Aray MDV, Noffman, Alexandria, VA	297	223	76	
150 Chiel	Chief of Engineers, Pulaski Building, Washington, DC	138	104	18	
151 U.S.	U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command,				
Va.	Warrenton, VA	87	65	11	
152 Natio	National Training Center, Fort Irwin, CA	(1	55	6	
-	Rheinberg, Germany	51	38	1	
	19th Support Command - Taegu, Korea	125	94	15	
155 FULDI	FULDA Community CPO, Germany	11	53	6	

Note. Data Source: Department of Army, Civilian Personnel Information System (CIVPERSINS) as of 29 January 1987.

•Because the CIVPERSINS data base does not distinguish first-line from higher level supervisors, these numbers were estimated by multiplying the total number of first-line supervisors at each site by .75.

ANALYSIS OF SURVEY DATA

Characteristics of the Sample

Analyses were conducted to determine the degree to which jobs held by respondents in the sample were representative of jobs held by the entire population of Army civilian first-line supervisors. Table 4 lists the number and percentage of survey respondents by occupational family. Similar information is also listed for the entire population of first-line supervisors. In general, the relative distribution of first-line supervisors Army-wide matches the relative distribution of first-line supervisors in the sample. The mean absolute difference, between the percentage of first-line supervisors Army wide and the percentage of first-line supervisors within the sample, across each of the 57 occupational families was .26 of a percent.

Survey respondents were asked to indicate their pay system. Of the 2,584 supervisors who responded to the item, 81% were GS/GM and 19% were Wage Grade. This is a ratio of 4.2 GS/GM for every 1 WS supervisor and compares to an estimated Army-wide ratio of 4.5 to 1.

In response to questions about gender, ethnic background and minority status, 26% of the supervisors were female, 4% were hispanic, 2% were American Indian/Alaskan Native, 2% were Asian/Pacific islander, 9% were black, and 88% were white. The Army does identify the gender, ethnic, and minority composition of its civilian employees in the CIVPERSINS data base. However, because it does not record first-line supervisors as distinct from higher level supervisors, it was not possible to compare percentages for the entire population of Army civilian first-line supervisors with percentages for the sample. The Department of the Army EEO agency was also contacted for these figures but likewise indicated they do not maintain separate statistics for first-line supervisors (C. Vitek, DAPE-CPA, February 22, 1988).

Background and Work Environment Questions

Frequency distributions, calculated across the total sample, for all categorical items in Sections 1 and 5 appear in Appendix D. However, only those items which relate directly to the selection of first-line supervisors are addressed in this part of the report. In response to the question, "Were you previously part of the work group for which you are now the first-line supervisor?", 58% of all respondents answered yes. Responses to the question were analyzed separately by the supervisor's pay system. For Wage Grade supervisors, 75% indicated they were hired from the work group they now supervise, compared to 54% for GS/GM supervisors.

Respondents were asked if they served as the officially designated group/team leader prior to becoming the supervisor. Thirty-nine percent of the total sample indicated they had served in this capacity. For Wage Grade supervisors, the percentage was 54% and for GS/GM supervisors the percentage was 36%.

Table 4

Number	of	Army	Civilian	first-Line	Supervisors	bу	Occupational	Family
--------	----	------	----------	------------	-------------	----	--------------	--------

family		Army-Wide*		Sample	
Number	Occupational Family Title	<u> N</u>	Percent	<u>N</u> N	Percen
000	Miscellaneous Occupations	1.145	4.30	82	3.34
1000	Soc Science, Psychology & Welfare	612	2.30	63	2.57
200	Personnel Mgmt & Industrial Relations	1,091	4.10	168	6.85
300	General Administrative	5,024	18.89	483	19.68
400	Biological Sciences	158	.59	28	1.14
	•				
500	Accounting and Budget	1,867	7.02	170	6.93
600	Med, Hosp, Dent, & Public Health	491	1.85	46	1.87
700	Veterinary Medical Science	1		0	0.00
800	Engineering & Architecture	3,846	14.46	351	14.30
900	Legal and Kindred	122	. 46	11	0.45
000	Information and Arts	577	2.17	56	2.28
100	Business and Industry	1,757	6.61	131	5.34
200	Copyright Patent Trademark	8	.03	0	0.00
300	Physical Sciences	377	1.42	44	1.79
400	Library and Archives	148	.56	19	0.77
500		278	1 04		
500 600	Hathematics & Statistics	569	1.04 2.14	37	1.51
700	Equipment, Facilities, & Services	1,118	4.20	49	2.00
	Education Investigation	21		78	3.18
800 900		21	.08 1.12	1	0.04
	Qlty Assurance, Insp, & Grading			26	
000	Supply	1,731	6.51	170	6.93
100	Transportation	485	1.82	45	1.83
500	Vire Comm Eqpt Inst & Maintenance	71	. 27	3	0.12
600	Electronic Eqpt Inst & Maintenance	332	1.25	33	1.34
800	Electrical Installation & Maintenance	109	.41	13	0.53
100	Fabric and Leather Work	29	.11	5	0.20
300	Instrument Work	42	.16	7	0.29
400	Nachine Tool Work	181	.69	19	0.77
500	General Services and Support Work	68	.26	4	0.16
600	Structural and Finishing Work	8	.03	2	0.08
	•	-			
700	Hetal Processing	60	.23	11	0.45
800	Metal Work	83	. 31	3	0.12
900	Notion Picture, Radio, TV & Eqpt Operato		.01	0	0.00
000	Lens and Crystal Work	3	.01	0	0.00
100	Painting and Paperhanging	56	. 21	4	0.16
200	Plumbing and Pipefitting	54	. 20	4	0.16
300	Pliable Materials Work	12	.05	1	0.04
400	Printing	100	.38	13	0.53
600	Wood Work	121	. 45	12	0.49
700	General Maintenance & Operation	587	2.21	39	1.59
800	General Equipment Maintenance				
000	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	49	.18	5	0.20
	Plant and Animal Work	53	.20	0	0.00
200	Miscellaneous Occupations	11	.04	1	0.04
300	Industrial Equipment Maintenance	345	1.30	21	0.86
400	Industrial Equipment Operator	210	.79	14	0.57
700	Transportation/Mobile Eqpt Operator	357	1.34	19	0.77
800	Transportation/Mobile Eqpt Maintenance	515	1.94	35	1.43
500	Ammunition, Explosives, Toxic Materials	115	. 4 3	9	0.37
600	Armament Work	82	.31	8	0.33
900	Warehousing and Stock Handling	747	2.81	64	2.61
000	Packing and Processing	122	. 46	13	0.53
300	Laundry, Dry Cleaning & Processing	122	.06	13	0.55
400	Food Preparation and Serving	205	.08	7	0.04
600	Personal Services	205	.06	0	0.29
200	Fluid Systems Maintenance	17	.06	0	0.00
600	Engine Overhaul	20	.07	1	0.00
800	Aircraft Overhaul	74	.28_	6	0.04
	WTTPETE AACTHERT		. 40	0	
tal		26,597	101.010	2,435°	99.21 ^b

Note. Source: Department of the Army, Civilian Personnel Information Systems (CIVPERSINS).

*CIVPERSINS does not distinguish between first-line supervisors and higher level supervisors. Thus, CIVPERSIN totals within each occupational family were multiplied by .75 to estimate numbers of firstline supervisors. *Numbers do not equal 100 percent due to rounding error. <329 respondents did not provide their job series numbers and were excluded from this table. Mobility was apparently not an issue for most respondents in the sample. Only 15% of the total sample indicated they moved to a different installation in order to take their job as a first-line supervisor. The figure for Wage was 5% compared to 18% for GS/GM.

In combination, these responses portray the current selection of firstline supervisors as being locally filled positions which typically go to a member of the work group. In the case of Wage grade employees, it is often the work/team leader who is selected.

Types of First-Line Supervisors

In this project, analyses were conducted to determine whether there were distinct types of first-line supervisors, based on performance of the supervisory job tasks contained in the survey. If different types were found, this could imply that different criteria should be used to select each type. The determination was made, in part, based on data analyses conducted with statistical routines from the CODAP computer software package. A technical discussion of the CODAP routines and computer printouts comparing average task ratings for various grouping of first-line supervisors appears in a report by Rosenthal, Ziemak, and Riegelhaupt (in preparation). In this section, a nontechnical summary of that report is presented.

CODAP was used to sort survey respondents into groups based on their ratings of time spent performing the job tasks. Ratings based on the time spent scale, rather than the importance scale, were used for two reasons. First, CODAP was designed specifically to analyze job tasks based on time spent ratings. Although researchers have used other rating scales with apparent success, the researchers in the current project felt that the rational for their use had not been fully developed in the literature. Second, it was suspected that individuals are more likely to apply idiosyncratic interpretations to the word "importance" when asked to provide task ratings based on relative importance than they are to the word "time" when asked to provide tasks ratings based on relative time.

Using the relative time spent scale, individuals with similar patterns of ratings were placed in the same group. The procedure began by placing the two most similar respondents together and averaging their ratings on each job task. Since the procedure began with 2,764 respondents, there then existed 2,762 individual respondents and one group with two respondents. In the next step, each of the 2,762 remaining respondents were compared against all other individuals' ratings and against the average ratings for the group of two. Then, two individual respondents (or one individual respondent and the group composed of two respondents) with the most similar ratings were combined and their ratings averaged. This process was repeated again and again until finally all individuals and groups of individuals were combined into a single final group.

The cluster analysis used in the present effort generated a diagram showing how individuals were successively combined into fewer and fewer groups. It was up to the researcher and subject matter experts (SMEs) to identify potentially meaningful groups and determine the extent to which they contained distinct types of first-line supervisors. To help the researcher make this decision, CODAP calculates a value summarizing the degree of overlap of ratings of individuals within a given group as well as values summarizing the degree of overlap of ratings of these individuals and those of others in adjacent groups. When the within-group overlap is high (i.e., high similarity among members within a particular group) and the between-group overlap is low (i.e., low similarity between members of adjacent groups), this is an indication of a group with a distinct type of supervisor.

Because the differences in overlap measures were uniformly small, additional criteria were used to help pick groups with potential as representing supervisor types. Clusters were chosen at points in the diagram where relatively large branches were combined. Because clusters located close together tend to contain similar individuals, groups were selected from locations throughout the diagram. This increased the odds of findings a variety of meaningful clusters. A total of 41 clusters were selected for further study. The reader who wishes to examine the withinand between-group overlap values for the clusters is referred to the report by Rosenthal, Ziemak, and Riegelhaupt (in preparation).

Characteristics of respondents in each cluster (e.g., job series, pay level, grade level, job tenure, number of subordinates, major command) were contrasted. In general these characteristics failed to distinguish among the clusters although, it was noted that one group had a relatively high proportion of Wage supervisors while three others had substantial numbers of supervisors of similar grade levels. Therefore, a decision was made to calculate the average task ratings for all Wage supervisors and compare them with all GS/GM supervisors. Also, average task ratings for GS/GM supervisors categorized by the Professional, Administrative, Technical, Clerical and Other (PATCO) classification were also calculated and compared. Finally, average task ratings were calculated based on the grade level of four categories of supervisors (i.e., 1-5, 6-10, 11-12, and 13-15).

The results of the analyses described in this section were reviewed by a panel of five subject matter experts who worked at either the Total Army Personnel Agency (TAPA) or Headquarters Department of Army (HQDA) and had backgrounds in Army civilian staffing and classification. Two members of the panel were staffing specialists, two were position classification specialists and one was a personnel psychologist. The panel concluded that the data largely failed to support the existence of distinct types of firstline supervisors although some support was found for distinguishing Wage supervisors from GS/GM supervisors. Wage supervisors appeared to spend more time performing tasks concerned with safety and the acquisition and maintenance of equipment than GS/GM. However, in most other respects, the supervisory tasks performed by the two groups (GS/GM versus WS) were highly similar.

The lack of strong evidence for the existence of different types of Army civilian first-line supervisors is consistent with results found by Dowell and Wexley (1978) among groups of private sector first-line supervisors. Dowell and Wexley identified seven categories of job tasks performed by 251 first-line supervisors in a major American manufacturing corporation. The supervisors were classified into eight production/ function groups (i.e., preparation, components, production, inspection, finishing, maintenance, housekeeping, and shipping) and compared on performance of the seven task categories. The results indicated that few differences existed among the eight supervisory groups.

Table 5 presents the top 39 of the 226 supervisory tasks across the total sample ranked high to low based on the mean ratings respondents gave to the job tasks using the time-spent scale. The complete ranked list is presented in Appendix E. Two means appear beside each task. The first mean is based on all supervisors and includes those who indicated they did not perform a task. Zeros were used to represent the time "non-performers" spent on tasks. These values can be interpreted as the relative time being spent on supervisory tasks across all Army civilian first-line supervisors. The rank ordering of the tasks in Table 5 and Appendix E is based on these means. Each mean in the next column was calculated after first excluding those supervisors who did not perform the particular task. These means indicate the relative time spent on tasks based just on supervisors who perform them. Finally, Table 5 and Appendix E also show the percentage of the sample that performed each task.

Comparing the top-rated tasks (i.e., those appearing in Table 5) with tasks receiving lower mean ratings (i.e., tasks not appearing in Table 5), researchers observed that tasks supervisors spend relatively large amounts of time performing are those which have a direct impact on their subordinates' completion of the work at hand. For example, tasks ranked 2 through 6 in Table 5 all concern the assignment and review of subordinate's work. Similarly the tasks ranked 1, 7, 9, 12, 13, and 20 concern activities that supervisors perform to enhance the performance of subordinates. In comparison, tasks involving activities that are more peripheral to the immediate work tended to be ranked lower by the respondents.

Table 6 presents the top 39 supervisory tasks ranked high to low on the importance of the tasks to the job. Appendix F contains the complete list. As in Table 5, the first column of means contains all supervisors while each mean in the second column excludes non-performers. In general, tasks rated high on the time-spent scale also were rated high on the importance scale. Of the 39 highest ranked tasks on time (i.e., Table 5), 34 appear in Table 5.

The mean ratings made by supervisors concerning the time they spent on tasks (Table 5) are consistently smaller than mean ratings they made concerning the importance of the tasks (Table 6). It is hypothesized that the differences are at least partially due to the manner in which supervisors interpreted the directions for rating tasks. Supervisors were instructed to rate each item relative to all other items in the list. Had all supervisors followed those instructions then one would expect to find that the distribution of mean task ratings would be centered around the midpoint on the scale for both time and importance ratings. An explanation why

Table 5

Tasks Supervisors Spend Most Time Performing

Rank Order	Mean for all Raters ^a	Mean for Those who Perform ^D	% who Perform	Task
1.	3.3	3.4	98	Set an example for subordinates to follow
2.	3.0	3.1	98	Assign work to subordinates
3.	2.9	3.1	94	Review and approve subordinates' work upon completion
4.	2.9	3.0	96	Assign work priorities and deadlines to subordinates
5.	2.9	2.9	97	Explain work assignments to subordinates
6.	2.8	2.9	94	Review subordinates' work when in progress
7.	2.7-	2.8	96	Motivate subordinates
8.	2.7	3.0	91	Establish work priorities and deadlines to meet milestones
9.	2.7	2.8	95	Encourage subordinates to perform their jobs to the best of their ability
10.	2.7	2.9	93	Foster/develop a positive work environment that will serve to motivate subordinates
11.	2.6	2.8	95	Complete written performance appraisals for civilian subordinates
12.	2.6	2.6	98	Praise subordinates for effective job performance
13.	2.5	2.6	98	Provide informal feedback to subordinates concerning their performance
14.	2.5	3.0	86	Formally review and evaluate job performance of subordinates
15.	2.5	2.6	96	Implement plans to achieve goals or milestones
16.	2.5	2.9	84	Write or keep records/logs (e.g., suspense dates, work status sheets, project logs, work orders)
17.	2.4	2.9	83	Develop subordinates' performance standards
18.	2.4	2.6	92	Develop plans to achieve goals or milestones
19.	2.4	2.9	82	Evaluate program/project status or progress
20.	2.4	2.5	97	Review performance standards with subordinates
21.	2.4	2.6	90	Read memos, newsletters, regulations, journals, etc., to keep up with developments related to supervision

Table 5 continued

Rank Order	Mean for all Raters ^a	Mean for Those who Perform ^D	% who Perform	Task
22.	2.4	2.5	95	Involve subordinates in decision-making or planning processes
23.	2.3	2.5	91	Brief upper management on progress of work or project activities
24.	2.3	2.4	95	Review performance ratings with subordinates
25.	2.3	2.4	95	Inform subordinates of management objectives, decisions, and views
26.	2.3	2.5	89	Participate in staff meetings, conferences, etc.
27.	2.2	2.5	88	Delegate authority to work leader or other subordinates
28.	2.2	2.5	88	Communicate with other supervisors in your organization to improve operations
29.	2.2	2.7	81	Provide on-the-job training
30.	2.2	2.5	89	Inform subordinates of impending changes in policies or procedures
31.	2.2	2.6	84	Brief subordinates on progress of work or project activities
32.	2.2	2.3	96	Integrate the work of several subordinates to create a final product
33.	2.2	2.5	88	Counsel subordinates about how to improve performance
34.	2.1	2.3	93	Recognize and take steps to correct morale problems
35.	2.1	2.3	92	Inform second-line supervisor or above of your subordinates' accomplishment
36.	2.1	2.3	91	Communicate with members of other organizations to improve operations
37.	2.1	2.5	81	Nominate subordinates for formal honors or awards
38.	2.0	2.2	92	Explain personnel policies and procedures to subordinates
39.	2.0	2.1	95	Coordinate annual leave/vacation schedules for subordinates

<u>Note</u>. Respondents first indicated which tasks they performed. For tasks performed, respondents provided relative time spent ratings using the scale: 1 - much less, 2 - somewhat less, 3 - about the same, 4 - somewhat more, 5 - much more.

^aFor these calculations zeros were used as ratings for supervisors who indicated they did not perform a task. Tasks were rank ordered according to these values. ^bOnly ratings of supervisors who performed a task were used in these calculations.
Table	96
-------	----

Tasks Supervisors Rate as Most Important to the Job

Rank Order	Mean for all Raters ^a	Mean for Those who Perform ^D	% who Perform	Task
1.	4.1	4.2	98	Set an example for subordinates to follow
2.	3.8	3.9	98	Praise subordinates for effective job performance
3.	3.8	3.9	96	Motivate subordinates
4.	3.7	3.9	95	Encourage subordinates to perform their jobs to the best of their ability
5.	3.7	3.8	97	Assign work to subordinates
6.	3.7	3.8	96	Assign work priorities and deadlines to subordinates
7.	3.6	3.9	94	Review and approve subordinates' work upon completion
8.	3.6	3.7	97	Explain work assignments to subordinates
9.	3.6	3.9	93	Foster/develop a positive work environment that will serve to motivate subordinates
10.	3.5	3.6	98	Provide informal feedback to subordinates concerning their performance
11.	3.5	3.7	95	Complete written performance appraisals for civilian subordinates
12.	3.5	3.8	91	Establish work priorities and deadlines to meet milestones
13.	3.4	3.6	95	Implement plans to achieve goals or milestones
14.	3.4	3.6	94	Inform subordinates of management objectives, decisions, and views
15.	3.4	3.6	93	Review subordinates' work when in progress
16.	3.4	3.7	90	Communicate with members of other organizations to improve operations
17.	3.4	3.5	97	Review performance standards with subordinates
18.	3.3	3.5	95	Review performance ratings with subordinates
19.	3.3	3.8	88	Counsel subordinates about how to improve perfórmance
20.	3.3	3.4	95	Integrate the work of several subordinates to create a final product
21.	3.3	3.6	92	Develop plans to achieve goals or milestones
22.	3.3	3.6	92	Identify training needed by subordinates

Rank Order	Mean for all Raters ^a ,	Mean for Those who Perform ^D	% who Perform	Task
23.	3.3	3.5	93	Recognize and take steps to correct morale problems
24.	3.2	3.5	91	Brief upper management on progress of work or project activities
25.	3.2	3.5	92	Inform second-line supervisor or above of your subordinates' accomplishment
26.	3.2	3.7	85	Formally review and evaluate job performance of subordinates
27.	3.2	3.6	89	Participate in staff meetings, conferences, etc.
28.	3.2	3.5	90	Read memos, newsletters, regulations, journals, etc., to keep up with developments related to supervision
29.	3.1	3.7	84	Write or keep records/logs (e.g., suspense dates, work status sheets, project logs, work orders)
30.	3.1	3.7	85	Estimate time required to complete projects
31.	3.1	3.5	89	Communicate with other supervisors in your organization to improve operations
32.	3.1	3.5	87	Assign experienced employee(s) to train new workers
33.	3.0	3.2	95	Involve subordinates in decision-making or planning processes
34.	3.0	3.4	87	Implement plans for improving work operations
35. 36.	3.0 3.0	3.7 3.4	82 87	Inspect work area for safety hazards Delegate authority to work leader or other subordinates
37.	3.0	3.1	95	Coordinate annual leave/vacation schedules for subordinates
38.	3.0	3.6	82	Evaluate program/project status or progress
39.	3.0	3.5	83	Brief subordinates on progress of work or project activities

<u>Note</u>. For tasks performed, respondents provided relative importance rating using the following scale: 1 - much less, 2 - somewhat less, 3 - about the same, 4 - somewhat more, 5 - much more.

^aFor these calculations zeros were used as ratings for supervisors who indicated they did not perform a task. Tasks were rank ordered according to these values. ^bOnly ratings of supervisors who performed a task were used in these calculations. this did not happen is that some supervisors ignored the instructions and instead rated each item thinking in terms of an absolute scale (i.e., "Out of each day how much actual time do I spend on the task and in general how important do I view it"). This would explain the low ratings for items rated on time since for any one of the supervisory 226 tasks it is unlikely that supervisors spent large amounts of time performing it. Supervisors may, however, view many of the tasks as serving a useful purpose and therefore rate them as more important than time allows to complete them. Regardless of whether all or some of the supervisors rated tasks using an absolute scale, it is doubtful that the rank ordering of tasks based on mean ratings would be affected considering the large sample size. Comparisons can be meaningfully made among the order of tasks within Tables 5 and 6 and between Tables 5 and 6.

Knowledges

The objective of this project was to identify the KSAOs (i.e., the supervisory selection criteria) needed by Army civilian first-line supervisors to complete their supervisory job tasks. Table 7 lists the supervisory knowledges rank ordered (high to low) based on mean ratings given to the "Amount of Understanding Required" scale. As with Tables 5 and 6, two ways of calculating means are shown in this table. Across all supervisors, including those not needing a knowledge, the highest mean rating was 3.1 (Performance Appraisal System) and the lowest was 0.3 (Policies for Supervising Local Nationals).

Table 8 display information about when a knowledge is typically acquired. For only one knowledge, Position Management and Classification, did a majority indicate it was acquired before job entry. The percentages of supervisors indicating that applicants enter the job with a knowledge ranges from 10% for Planning, Programming, Budgeting, and Executing System (PPBES) to 54% for Position Management and Classification.

The percent of supervisors who indicated that knowledges are learned within the first 3 months ranged from 22% for Discipline Policies and Procedures to 52% for Internal Controls. The percent of supervisors indicating that knowledges were acquired between the 4th and 12th month ranged from 11% for both Probation Policies and Procedures and for NAF Personnel Policies and Procedures to 43% for PPBES. For most knowledges, relatively few supervisors indicated they are learned after the first year. The range was 2% for Uniform Code of Justice to 32% for Discipline Policies and Procedures.

Table 7

Mean Ratings on Amount Required of Supervisory Knowledges

	Knowledge	Mean for all Raters	Mean for those needing the Knowledge	% Needing the Knowledge
1	Performance Appraisal System	3.1	3.2	95
· · ·	Nun Organization's Mission	3.0	3.3	93
	Own Organization's Mission	2.8	2.9	95
	Leave Policies	2.5	2.9	
	Standards of Conduct			91
	Discipline Policies and Procedures	2.4	2.6	91
	Training Polices and Procedures	2.3	2.5	91
	Comp Time and Overtime	2.2	2.6	86
	Decoration, Awards and Honors	2.2	2.5	87
	EEO/Affirmative Action	2.2	2.4	89
	Security Policies and Procedures	2.1	2.6	83
	Accountability of Property	2.1	2.7	77
	Drug and Alcohol Abuse	2.0	2.3	89
13.	Salary Administration	2.0	2.5	78
14.	Merit Promotion System	1.9	2.4	79
15.	Position Management and			
	Classification	1.9	2.5	76
16.	Probation Period and Policies	1.9	2.3	81
	Grievance and Appeal Procedures	1.9	2.3	82
	Referral Sources for Subordinates			
.0.	with Personnal Problems	1.9	2.2	85
10	EEO/Affirmative Action	1.8	2.2	82
	Freedom of Information Act	1.7	2.2	80
		1.7	2.2	75
	Safety and Occupational Health			
	Army Chain of Command	1.6	2.4	66
23.	Reassignment, Downgrade,		0.0	70
. .	Transfer Procedures	1.6	2.2	70
	Productivity Improvement Programs	1.5	2.1	72
	Army's General Mission	1.5	2.3	66
26.	Labor - Management Relations	1.5	2.3	66
27.	Worker's Compensation	1.4	2.1	64
	Military Rank Structure	1.3	2.5	53
	Budget Policies and Process	1.3	2.4	53
	Career Management	1.3	2.2	57
	Staffing/Manpower Requirements	1.3	2.3	55
	Part Time, Summer, Temporary		2.0	
52.	Hiring Procedures	1.2	2.0	59
22	RIF/TOF Policies and Procedures	1.2	2.0	57
		1.2	2.1	57
	Military/Defense Structure			
-	PPBES	0.9	2.4	37
	Internal Controls	0.9	2.2	41
	Military Customs	0.8	2.1	36
38.	Commercial Activities and			
_	Efficiency Reviews	0.7	2.1	32
39.	Military Performance Evaluation	0.6	2.5	24
40.	Uniform Code of Military Justice	0.4	2.0	22
41.	NAF Personnel Policies & Procedures	5 0.3	2.2	16
	Policies for Supervising Local			
	Nationals	0.3	2.5	13

Table 8

When Supervisory Knowledges Are Typically Acquired

		Percent of Respondents Indicating When Knowledge Is Acquired						
	Knowledge	Before Job	By 3rd Month	By 12th Month	After 12th <u>Month</u>			
1.	Performance Appraisal System	21	38	22	19			
	Own Organization's Mission	15	31	30	23			
	Leave Policies	32	29	23	16			
	Standards of Conduct	20	37	31	11			
5.	Discipline Policies and Procedures	13	22	33	32			
	Training Polices and Procedures	40	29	20	10			
	Comp Time and Overtime	12	33	37	18			
	Decoration, Awards and Honors	18	26	34	22			
	EEO/Affirmative Action	38	28	21	12			
	Security Policies and Procedures	14	23	35	27			
	Accountability of Property	14	37	37	12			
	Drug and Alcohol Abuse	11	24	37	27			
	Salary Administration	12	26	42	20			
	Merit Promotion System	16	29	37	17			
	Position Management and Classification	54	30	11	5			
	Probation Period and Policies	13	35	35	17			
	Grievance and Appeal Procedures	16	40	33	11			
18	Referral Sources for Subordinates							
10.	with Personal Problems	46	30	16	9			
10	EEO/Affirmative Action	15	28	41	16			
	Freedom of Information Act	16	31	38	16			
	Safety and Occupational Health	42	35	16	8			
22	Army Chain of Command	22	40	30	9			
	Reassignment, Downgrade, Transfer	£. £.	10	50	5			
25.	Procedures	32	33	23	9			
21		19	38	34	9			
	Productivity Improvement Programs	16	42	32	10			
	Army's General Mission	15	36	36	14			
	Labor - Management Relations	17	42	33	8			
	Worker's Compensation	14	30	37	19			
	Military Rank Structure Budget Policies and Process	23	31	36	11			
		35	42	20	3			
	Career Management	19	35	33	13			
	Staffing/Manpower Requirements	19	55	55	15			
32.	Part Time, Summer, Temporary Hiring	26	41	25	9			
	Procedures				6			
	RIF/TOF Policies and Procedures	35	40	19	7			
	Military/Defense Structure	22	41	30				
	PPBES	10	36	43	11			
	Internal Controls	22	52	21	5			
	Military Customs	11	38	41	10			
	Commercial Activities and Efficiency Reviews	15	43	32	10			
	Military Performance Evaluation	45	33	17	5 2			
	Uniform Code of Military Justice	38	46	13				
	NAF Personnel Policies and Procedures	44	42	11	4			
	Policies for Supervising Local Nationals	16	42	33	9			

Skills, Abilities, and Other Characteristics

Table 9 presents a rank-ordered list (high to low) of SAOs based on mean ratings of importance. The means ranged from 4.5 (Leadership) to 2.5 (Risk Taker) on a relative importance scale of 0 (Not At All Important) to 5 (Much More Important). Although the list of SAOs appears lengthy, it is recommended that most be considered for use as selection criteria, because in compiling the SAO list for the survey, SAOs were included only if they received general support across numerous survey development workshops. Thus, to some degree, the list in the survey was prescreened to weed out unimportant SAOs.

There are, however, three SAOs that should perhaps not be considered for selection criteria. These are Risk Taker, Aggressive, and Job Involved. These three did not receive general support in survey development workshops but were purposely added to check on the accuracy of the results. As expected, these three SAOs were rated as relatively unimportant.

In an effort to further understand the SAOs, researchers performed a factor analysis. Factor analysis is a statistical procedure that can suggest general dimensions underlying a set of measures. The analysis revealed 8 dimensions underlying the 51 SAOs (based on eigenvalues greater than 1.0). Table 10 presents the "loadings" of each SAO on the eight factors after being subjected to a VARIMAX rotation. A common convention used to interpret a factor is to examine those variables which load on it with a value of .40 or larger. These values are printed in bold type in Table 10.

Interpretation of the factors may be summarized as follows:

- The first factor appears to reflect the supervisor's character. The SAOs which load highly on it include Self-Confident, Thorough, Honest, Responsible, Dependable, Cooperative, Fair, and Trustworthy.
- The second factor appears to be a measure of the person's skill in dealing with people, especially in difficult situations. This factor includes Conflict Resolution, Response to Criticism, Non-Discriminatory, Human Relations, Courage to Confront, and Performance/Conduct Counseling.
- The third factor seems to reflect the individuals drive and includes such SAOs as Energetic, Creative, Risk Taker, Aggressive, Job Involved, and Emotionally Stable.
- The fourth factor is a reflection of the communication skills needed by first-line supervisors and is defined by Written Communication, Reading Ability, Oral Communication, Public Speaking, Teaching Ability, and Persuasion.

TABLE 9

Skills, Abilities, and Other Characteristics Ranked According to Importance

Ot	Skill, Ability, or her Characteristic	Mean Rating of Importance	
1.	Leadership	4.5	
2.	Trustworthy	4.3	
3.	Dependable	4.3	
4.	Responsible	4.3	
5.	Honest	4.3	
6.	Decision Making	4.2	
7.	Fair	4.2	
8.	Technically Competent	4.2	
9.	Oral Communication	4.1	
10.	Thorough	4.1	
11.	Self-Starter	4.1	
12.	Self-Confident	4.0	
13.	Motivate Others	4.0	
14.		4.0	
15.	Gets Facts Before Acts	4.0	
16.		4.0	
17.		4.0	
18.	Cooperative	4.0	
19.		4.0	
20.	Learning Ability	3.9	
21.	Reading Ability	3.9	
22.	Coordination	3.8	
23.	Flexible	3.8	
24.	Tactful & Diplomatic	3.8	
25.		3.8	
26.	Courage to Confront	3.8	
27.	Planning	3.8	
28.		3.8	
29.	Conflict Resolution	3.8	
30.	Monitoring Work	3.7	
31.	Teamwork	3.7	
32.	Written Communication	3.7	
33.		3.7	
34.		3.6	
35.	Problem Identification	3.6	
36.	Time Management	3.6	
37.	Self-Sufficient	3.6	
38.	Emotionally Stable	3.6	
39.	Energetic	3.5	
40.	Creative	3.5	

TABLE 9 (Continued)

-

ng nce

Table 10

Factor Loadings of Skills, Abilities, and Other Characteristics

Skill, Ability, or				Facto	r				
Other Characteristic	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Leadership	.17	.09	.07	.10	07	.77	.07	.01	
Learning Ability	.15	.16	.04	.36	06	.43	.38	.02	
Delegation	.10	.18	.02	.16	19	. 54	.13	.16	
Conflict Resolution	.21	.51	.08	.16	06	.44	.01	.21	
Motivate Others	.25	.33	.12	.15	19	.53	06	.10	
Written Communication	.11	.29	.06	.78	18	.53	.11	.05	
Reading Ability	.22	.14	.01	.71	11	.11	.30	.12	
Dral Communication	.26	.09	.07	.65	07	.19	.05	.22	
Public Speaking	.04	.25	.28	.66	11	.00	08	02	
Teaching Ability	.11	.37	.12	.40	13	.28	.18	.01	
Persuasion	.12	.22	.31	. 41	28	.20	15	.24	
Decision Making	.19	.08	.13	.18	36	. 44	62	.36	
Stress Tolerance	.18	.18	.10	.12	18	.19	.15	.63	
feamwork	.21	.43	.12	.17	22	.07	.11	.32	
Response to Criticism	.22	.52	.17	.22	18	.07	.11	. 41	
Ion-Discriminatory	.37	.54	.04	.07	10	.24	.01	.25	
luman Relations	.40	.40	.02	.12	~.16	.26	00	.33	
Courage to Confront	.29	. 48	.08	.14	23	.27	.11	.22	
Coordination	.26	.27	.13	.15	56	.15	.08	.17	
Planning	.19	.20	.14	.19	73	.10	.04	.10	
)rganizing	.20	.16	.06	.10	75	.15	.12	.11	
Problem ID	.21	.34	.16	.14	57	.14	.13	.20	
Economical	.15	.57	.22	.12	39	.02	.06	11	
Dev. Counseling	.15	.68	.23	.16	33	.15	.10	05	
Performance/Conduct									
Counseling	.20	. 64	.10	.09	29	.23	.17	.05	
ime Management	.18	.29	.10	.13	41	.15	.24	.22	
Ionitoring Work	.17	.31	.03	.11	39	.18	. 43	.09	
lexible	.33	.09	.11	.11	29	.13	.17	.05	
Self-Confident	. 49	.05	.16	.10	20	.21	.27	.25	
horough	. 49	.12	.01	.15	24	.16	.44	.11	
lonest	.69	.15	.04	.14	11	.11	02	.08	
Self-Starter	. 57	.04	.26	.13	30	.11	.16	.04	
esponsible	.70	.06	.12	.12	25	.18	.10	.07	
ependable	.68	.02	.09	.12	27	.13	.21	.02	
chievement Oriented	. 48	.17	.36	.07	28	.11	.27	02	
Cooperative	. 59	.26	.17	.10	15	.02	.29	.08	

Table 10 (Continued)

Skill, Ability, or				Factor	r							
Other Characteristic	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Emphatic Technically Competent Fair Gets Facts Before Acts Tactful & Diplomatic	.54 .33 .60 .53 .52	. 45 .05 .37 .36 . 41	.14 .08 .04 .07 .15	.06 .11 .03 .16 .15	07 12 04 08 05	.04 .17 .19 .10 04	.20 .53 .11 .22 .17	.14 .03 .11 .15 .27				
Realistic Self- Appraisal Self-Sufficient Tolerant of Ambiguity Energetic Creative Risk Taker Aggressive Job Involved Emotionally Stable Trustworthy	.49 .28 .22 .35 .32 .07 .05 .12 .37 .62	.39 .11 .18 .21 .14 .04 .06 .18 .24 .19	.27 .36 .44 .48 .76 .78 .64 .43 .07	.11 .06 .09 .08 .19 .13 .08 .03 .08 .10	09 05 09 16 25 08 12 05 .04 06	.00 09 06 .23 .02 .06 .08 .09 .06 .14	.21 .51 .48 .43 .17 11 .09 .22 .07 .00	.17 .15 .37 .14 .14 .14 .03 06 .22 .13				

<u>Note</u>: Loading of .40 or greater are printed in bold type. Results obtained through use of a principal components factor analysis with VARIMAX rotation. Cutoff for selecting factors was eigenvalues greater than one.

35

- The fifth factor reflects a set of skills sometimes referred to as general management skills and includes Coordination, Planning, Organizing, Problem Identification, Time Management, and Monitoring Work.
- The sixth factor appears to measure SAOs considered leadership traits and includes Leadership, Delegation, Motivate Others, and Decision Making.
- The seventh factor is somewhat more ambiguous than the previous six factors. SAOs which load heavily on it include Thorough, Technically Competent, Self-Sufficient, Tolerant of Ambiguity, and Energetic. This is perhaps a general measure of the supervisor's competence.
- The last factor appears to measure the supervisor's reaction to stressful situations. SAOs loading highest on it are Stress Tolerance, Response to Criticism, and Flexible.

Carlyle (1986) reviewed the literature on first-line supervisors and presented 9 SAOs as important for job performance. These were oral communication, written communication, interpersonal relations, planning and organizing, monitoring others' work, decision making, leadership, initiative, and stress tolerance. Several of the SAOs found in her review are similar to the factors derived empirically in this project. A difference, however, is that this project found a "character" factor to be important to job performance.

CONCLUSIONS

Few supervisory knowledges are typically acquired before job entry. In only one case did a majority of the respondents indicate that a knowledge was acquired prior to being hired. Instead, knowledges are more often acquired within the first year that a supervisor works on the job.

A lengthy list of SAOs were found to be important to supervisory performance. Eight dimensions were found to underlie them: the supervisor's character, interpersonal skills, drive, communication skills, general management skills, leadership ability, competence, and ability to handle stress.

The data largely failed to support a conclusion that, based on performance of supervisory tasks, more than one type of first-line supervisor exists. Some support was found for distinguishing Wage supervisors from GS/GM supervisors. Wage supervisors perform more tasks concerning safety and the operation of equipment; however, in most other respects the groups are highly similar.

The supervisory tasks that first-line supervisors perform most are also the ones that they rate as most important for the job. Tasks supervisors spend relatively high amounts of time performing are those that have a direct impact on their subordinates' completion of the work at hand. Supervisors spend less time on tasks that tend to be more peripheral to the immediate work.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Decisions Must be Made About Which Knowledges to Use as Selection Criteria

Although several supervisory knowledges were identified as important for effective job performance, some may be imappropriate for use as selection criteria because they are not typically acquired before job entry. To make employment contingent upon the applicant's possession of them could eliminate most if not all candidates for some positions. Furthermore, as discussed in the <u>Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection (1978)</u>, it is not appropriate to select candidates for possession of knowledges which can be taught in a brief orientation or when new hires are expected to learn them on-the-job. It is recommended that the Army use the results of this study to help determine which knowledges to use as selection criteria and which knowledges to cover in supervisory training.

A Large Number of SAOs Should Be Considered as Criteria for Selection

Because SAOs were included in the survey only if workshop participants generally agreed they were important, it is recommended that all SAOs with the possible exception of Risk Taker, Aggressive, and Job Involved be considered as criteria for selection.

Linkage Workshops Should Be Conducted Prior to Development of Assessment Methods

As the first step in developing procedures to assess candidates' possession of the important KSAOs, it is recommended that a group of subject matter experts be convened to identify those KSAOs that are required in performing each of the important job tasks. This is a process called "linkage" and can be accomplished quickly and easily. It is a recommended for two reasons. First, for selection procedures to be content valid, the <u>Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection</u> (1978) requires that there be evidence that the KSAOs they measure map onto individual job tasks. Second, when appropriate, it is desirable that assessment methods require job applicants to perform behaviors which resemble the actual behaviors they will perform on the job. For example, tests of reading ability might include passages from Army regulations, mission and function statements, or letters of recommendation. The linkage workshop will supply information that will be useful in devising realistic assessment methods.

<u>Consideration Should Be Given to Using the Same Set of SAOs for all</u> <u>Supervisors</u>

The data largely failed to uncover different types of first-line supervisors based on the performance of the supervisory tasks contained in the survey. Thus, it may be possible to use a single set of SAOs for all supervisors. Ic may also be possible to develop selection measures which assess the eight dimensions rather than the 51 SAOs. Such a strategy would simplify the development and implementation of new selection procedures. However, to defend a claim of content validity for the new selection procedures, it is important that the individual SAOs constituting the dimensions (i.e., those loading .40 or greater) or the dimensions themselves be found to map back on the important job tasks when linkage workshops are performed.

)

•

REFERENCES

- American Psychological Association, American Educational Research Association, and National Council on Measurement and Education.(1985) <u>Standards for</u> <u>educational and psychological tests</u>. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Atlanta Field Office (1987). <u>Professional Development of Supervisors Study:</u> <u>Phase I Report</u>. Washington, D.C.: Department of the Army.
- Bass, B. M. (1981). <u>Stogdill's handbook of leadership: A survey of theory</u> <u>and research</u>. New York: Free Press.
- Byham, W. C. (1982). <u>Dimensions of managerial competence: What they are, how</u> <u>they differ between levels, how they are changing.</u> <u>Monograph VI</u>. Pittsburgh, PA: Development Dimensions International.
- Camara, W. J., Kuhn, D. B., & Ziemak, J. P. (1987). <u>Development and training</u> of <u>Army first-line supervisors</u> (FR-PRD-87-36). Alexandria, VA: Human Resources Research Organization.
- Carlyle, J. J. (1986). <u>Supervisory selection: Problems, methods, choices</u>. Washington, DC: Department of the Army.
- Civil Service Reform Act of 1978, Title VII. Federal service laboratory management relations statute.
- Corts, D. B., (1982). <u>A manual for evaluating applicants for promotion to</u> <u>federal trades and labor first-line supervisory position</u> (OPRD-82-1). Washington, DC: U.S. Office of Personnel Management.
- Dowell, B. E., & Wexley, K. N. (1978). Development of a work behavior taxonomy for first-line supervisors. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, <u>63</u>, 563-572.
- Flanders, L. R. & Utterback, D. (1985). The management excellence inventory: A tool for management development. <u>Public Management Forum</u>, 403-410.
- Fleishman, E. A. (1953). The description of supervisory behavior. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Applied Psychology 37</u>, 1-6.

Fleishman, E. A. (1973). Twenty years of consideration and structure. In E.A. Fleishman & J.G. Hunt (Eds.), <u>Current developments in the study</u> <u>of leadership</u>. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press.

Fleishman E. A. & Hunt, J. B. (1973). <u>Current developments in the study of</u> <u>leadership</u>. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press.

- Fleishman, E. A., & Quaintance, M. K. (1984). <u>Taxonomies of human perform-</u> <u>ance: The description of human tasks</u>. Orlando, FL: Academic Press, Inc.
- Gael, S. (1983). Job analysis. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Gavin, A. T. (1977). <u>Guide to the development of written tests for selection</u> <u>and promotion: The content validation model</u> (TM 77-76). Washington, DC: US Civil Service Commission.
- Gibb, C. A. (1969). Leadership. In G. Lindzey and E. Aronson (Eds.), <u>The</u> <u>handbook of social psychology</u> (2nd ed.), Vol. IV. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Guion, R. M. (1978). Content validity in moderation. <u>Personnel Psychology</u>, <u>31</u>, 205-214.
- Hill, K., Kerr, S., & Broedling, L. (1984). <u>The first-line supervisor</u>: <u>Literature review</u> (TR-84-18). San Diego, CA: Navy Personnel Research and Development Center.
- Hollander, E. P., & Julian, J. W. (1969). Contemporary trends in the analysis of leadership processes. <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, <u>71</u>, 387-397.
- McAreavy, J. F., King, G. C., & Eichhorn, R. (1985). <u>Army civilian executive</u> <u>and manager development system (ACE-MDS): Volume 2: System operating</u> <u>documents and sample products.</u> Rock Island, IL: U.S. Army Management Engineering Training Activity.
- MacDonald, C. R. (1982). <u>Performance based supervisory development: Adapted</u> <u>from a major AT&T study</u>. Amherst, MA: Human Resource Development Press.
- Northrop, H. R., Cowen, R. M., Plas, L. G. V., & Fulmer, W. E. (1978). <u>The</u> <u>objective selection of supervisors</u>. Philadelphia, PA: Industrial Research Unit (Wharton School).
- Office of Personnel Management (1982 August). <u>Job grading standard for</u> <u>supervisors</u> (TS-49, FPM Supplement 512-1). Washington, DC: Office of Standards Development.
- Office of Personnel Management (1985). <u>The management excellence framework</u>. <u>A competency-based model of effective performance for Federal managers</u>. Washington, DC: Policy andSystems Division, Office of Training and Development.
- Prien, E. P. (1963). Development of a supervisor position description questionnaire. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, <u>47</u>, 10-14.

- Riegelhaupt, B. J., Rosenthal, D., Ziemak, J. P., & Kuhn, D. B. (1987). <u>Job</u> <u>requirements for civilian supervisors: Research plan</u>. (LMTA Working Paper 87-6). Alexandria, VA: U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.
- Rosenthal, D. B., Ziemak, J. P. & Riegelhaupt, B. J. (In preparation). <u>Types</u> of U.S. <u>Army civilian first-line supervisors based on performance of</u> <u>supervisory tasks</u>. (ARI Research Note in preparation). Alexandria, VA: U.S. Army Research Institutefor the Behavioral and Social Science.
- Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Inc. (1987). <u>Principles</u> for the Validation and Use of Personnel Selection Procedures. (Third Edition) College Park, MD: Author.
- Turner, T. S. & Utley, J. A. (1979). Foreman selection: One company's approach. <u>Personnel</u>, <u>56</u>, 47-55.
- U.S. Army War College (1985). Civilian Personnel Management in <u>Army command</u> <u>and management: A reference text</u>. Army War College, PA.
- U.S. Civil Service Commission (1976). <u>Supervisory grade evaluation quide</u> (TS-23, No. 006-000-00926-3). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Personnel Management, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and U.S. Department of Labor (1978). <u>Uniform</u> <u>quidelines on employee selection procedures</u>. Federal Register, <u>43</u> (166,) 38290-38315.
- Vroom, V. H. (1976). Leadership. In M. Dunnette (Ed.), <u>Handbook of indus-</u> trial and organizational psychology. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Yukl, G. A. (1981). <u>Leadership in organizations</u>. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

APPENDIX A

- 1

JOB ANALYSIS SURVEY

-

Approval Authority: U.S. Army Soldier Support Center Survey Control Number: ATNC-AO-87-42 RCS: MILPC-3

JOB ANALYSIS SURVEY

ARMY CIVILIAN FIRST-LINE SUPERVISORS



U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5600

September 1987

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR PERSONNEL WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0300

Dear Colleague:

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

There have been a number of efforts in recent years to identify leader development requirements for commissioned and non-commissioned officers. The idea behind these efforts has been that if the Army knew what officers and NCOs actually did in their roles as leaders, it would be in a better position to select and/or train individuals who have the required capabilities. Until recently however there has been no comparable effort to identify these tasks and capabilities for DA civilians.

The attached survey is a critical step in the analysis of civilian first-line supervisor jobs in the Army. The job analysis is being done to systematically identify the important supervisory (non-technical) tasks that are performed by Army civilian first-line supervisors, and the knowledges, skills, abilities, and other characteristics (KSAOs) required for effective performance of critical first-line supervisory tasks. The information obtained will form the basis for recommended improvements to the procedures currently being used to select firstline supervisors.

The survey is being sent to a random sample of 4,000 first-line supervisors representing every Wage Grade (WG) and General Schedule (GS) job series in the Army. Responses to it will allow us to determine the major work activities of first-line supervisors and the KSAOs required for the effective performance of the tasks and activities.

This survey will take most people on the average approximately two hours to complete. It will be a worthwhile investment of your time since the information you provide will form the basis for any recommended improvements to the procedures being used to select first-line supervisors. You may be assured that no information of an individual nature will be provided to any Army supervisor or manager. Also, your responses will not influence your grade or job classification. The purpose of the analysis is to obtain accurate data on the type of work activities presently being performed by first-line supervisors. I urge you to give this survey your best effort and sincere professional support.

Please complete this survey as soon as possible at your workplace during regular work hours. After you have completed the survey, place the survey in the enclosed return envelope. It would help speed up the analysis of the results if you would complete your survey within 5 days. If you have been on leave or travel, please complete and return the survey as soon after your return as possible.

FOR THE DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR PERSONNEL

Sincerely,

hall Alund

-RAYMOND J. SUMSER Director of Civilian Personnel

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

Authority: 10 USC Sec 4503

Principal Purpose: The data collected with this form are to be used for research purposes only.

Routine Uses: This is an experimental personnel data collection form developed by the U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences pursuant to its research mission as prescribed in AR 70-1. When identifiers (name) are requested they are to be used for administrative and statistical control purposes only. Full confidentiality of the responses will be maintained in the processing of these data.

Disclosure: Your participation in this research is strictly voluntary. Individuals are encouraged to provide complete and accurate information in the interests of the research, but there will be no affect on individuals for not providing all or any part of the information.

WHAT'S IN THIS SURVEY?

First, this is a survey for <u>FIRST-LINE SUPERVISORS</u> only.

- If you are a first-line supervisor, continue reading the instructions below.
- If you are not a first-line supervisor, return this survey to the survey administrator.
- If you are not sure whether you are a first-line supervisor, ask your own supervisor. (He/she should be able to tell you.)

Second, the survey has 5 sections:

SECTION I: General background information - to help us understand the results better.

SECTION II: List of <u>supervisory</u> tasks — some will be part of your job, and some won't. We'll ask you to indicate those supervisory tasks that <u>are part of your job</u> so we can ask you some questions about them.

SECTION III: List of "knowledges" needed in <u>some</u> kinds of jobs. We'll ask you some questions about those things you need to know in your job.

SECTION IV: List of abilities, skills and other characteristics (ASOs) needed in some kinds of jobs. We'll ask you some questions about the ASOs you need in your job.

SECTION V: Some general questions about the kind of job you have and the environment in which you work.

Third, there is some general information that relates to all five sections:

- 1. This is NOT a test. It is simply an effort to get a better handle on the kinds of tasks first-line supervisors are and are not called on to perform.
- 2. Your responses to this survey will NOT affect your classification, grade, promotion opportunities, etc., in any way. We came to you because you are the one who knows the most about the job you perform.
- 3. The survey will take most people about 2 hours to complete. But some will need more time, and some will need less.
 - · Be sure to read all the tasks and KASOs in the survey.
 - Remember that <u>some</u> of the tasks will <u>not</u> be part of your job. We'd just like you to indicate those that are so we can ask you some questions about them.
 - Do not be concerned if there are tasks or KASOs that are not relevant to your position. This survey was
 designed to cover all Army civilian first-line supervisors. Thus, many of the tasks may be performed in
 other jobs.
 - Answer the questions only in terms of your <u>own present job</u>—not the job your subordinates or supervisors perform or the job you held at some earlier time.
 - Respond in terms of the job as you actually perform it which is not necessarily the same as what is
 described in your position description, or as you think it should be performed.
 - If you have any questions, contact the survey administrator for your location.
- 4. When you have finished (and checked to be sure you haven't overlooked anything), please do the following:
 - · Place the survey in the envelope that came with it;
 - · Seál the envelope;
 - · Sign your name across the flap; and

•

• Return the signed envelope to the survey administrator for your location.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR MARKING

Your responses will be read by an optical mark reader. Please observe the following rules to assure that your answers will be correctly read.

- Use only a soft lead pencil (a standard No. 2 pencil is ideal).
- · Make heavy marks that fill the oval.
- · Erase cleanly any answer you wish to change.
- Make no stray markings of any kind. Please write any comments on the last page of the survey.



EXAMPLE:

Will marks made with ball point pen or felt-tip pen be properly read?

SECTION I BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Please answer the following questions as completely as possible.

1. Name:

	_	
1.4	51	

First

MI

- 2. What is your level of supervision? (Darken only one.) O Not a supervisor
 - O Team Leader (not an officially designated supervisor)
 - First-line supervisor (directly supervise no other supervisors)
 - Second-line supervisor (directly supervise one or more first-line supervisors)
 - Above second-line (directly supervise one or more second-line supervisors)

IF YOU ARE NOT A FIRST-LINE SUPERVISOR, PLEASE RETURN THIS SURVEY TO THE SURVEY ADMINISTRATOR.

3. What is your present job series? (Write in the number of your job series, and darken the appropriate ovals.) In the example, this supervisor is a 334, so he/she wrote in "0334" and darkened the appropriate ovals under each number.



4. What is your present pay system?

- O WG
- O ws

OGS

O GM

5. What is your present grade level?

O 01	0 09
0 02	O 10
O 03	011
0 04	0 12
0 05	O 13
0 06	0 14
O 07	O 15
0 08	

- How long have you been a civilian employee of the Department of the Army?
 - O Less than 6 months
 - O 6 months to less than 1 year
 - O 1 year to less than 3 years
 - \bigcirc 3 years to less than 5 years
 - 5 years to less than 10 years
 - O 10 years or more
- 7. Were you ever on active duty in the military? O YES
 - O NO
- 8. How long have you been a first-line supervisor in your present JOB SERIES and GRADE LEVEL at your PRESENT LOCATION (fort, post, or installation)
 - O Less than 6 months
 - O 6 months to less than 1 year
 - 1 year to less than 3 years
 - 3 years to less than 5 years
 - 5 years to less than 10 years
 - 10 years or more
- 9. In total, how long have you been a Department of the Army first-line supervisor?
 - O Less than 6 months
 - O 6 months to less than 1 year
 - O 1 year to less than 3 years
 - \bigcirc 3 years to less than 5 years
 - O 5 years to less than 10 years
 - 10 years or more
- 10. Did you have to move to a different installation to take your present job as a first-line supervisor?
 - **O** YES
 - O NO

- 11. Were you previously part of the work group for which you are now the first-line supervisor?
 - O YES
 - O NO
- 12. Before you became a first-line supervisor, were you officially designated as a group/team leader?
 - O YES
 - O NO
- 13. For how many of each of the following types of employees are you officially designated as the direct first-line supervisor? Do not count seasonal or summer employees. (Write in the number and darken the appropriate oval. If you don't supervise a type of employee shown below, write in and darken "00" for that category.)

	CIVILIA	N			MILITARY							
w	G	GS&	GN	л Е	NLI	STE	D O	FFIC	CERS			
		Π			\square							
			_									
Ø	Ø	Ø	0		ത	Ø		0	Ø			
D	Ð	O	D		Ð	Θ		Ð	O			
Ð	Œ	Ð	മി		D	Ø		Θ	Ð			
Ð	Ð	0	Ð		Ø	θ		θ	Ð			
0	0	O	œ١		O	0		O	Ø			
G	D	D	D		G	Θ		G	Ð			
O	0				O	0		Ð	0			
Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø		Ø	0		θ	Ø			
G		1 _ 1			G			G	Ø			
O	0	O	D		G	0		9	0			
_												

- 14. Is your immediate supervisor civilian or military?
 - O Civilian
 - O Military
- 15. What is your major command or activity? (Darken only one.)
 - C Eighth US Army (Korea)
 - O Army Materiel Command
 - O Military Traffic Management Command
 - O US Army Military District of Washington
 - O US Army, Europe & Seventh Army
 - O US Army Forces Command
 - O US Army Health Services Command
 - O US Army Information Systems Command
 - O US Army Intelligence & Security Command
 - O US Army, Japan
 - O US Army Recruiting Command
 - O US Army Training and Doctrine Command
 - O US Army Western Command
 - O US Army Military Academy
 - O HQDA
 - US Army Corps of Engineers
 - O Others, please specify
 - O Don't Know

- 16. What is the organizational level of your current assignment? (Darken only one.)
 - O Department of Defense
 - O Joint Activity
 - Department of Army
 - O Major Army Command
 - O Major Sub-Command/Numbered Unit/School/ Center
 - O Intermediate Command
 - O Activity/Facility/Office
 - O Installation (Post/Camp/Station)
 - O Don't Know
- 17. What is your sex?
 - O MALE
 - O FEMALE
- Are you of Hispanic origin? (Hispanic is defined as a person of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central or South American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.)

:

- O YES
- O NO
- 19. In which racial category are you?
 - O American Indian or Alaskan Native: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North America, and who maintains cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.
 - O Asian or Pacific Islander: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent, or the Pacific Islands, and Samoa.
 - O Black: A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.
 - O White: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, North Africa, or the Middle East.
 - O Other, please specify
- 20. What is the HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION that you have attained? (Darken only one.)
 - O Less than high school diploma
 - O High School diploma or equivalency
 - O Some college
 - O Associate's degree
 - O Bachelor's degree
 - O Bachelor's degree plus some graduate credits
 - O Master's degree
 - O Master's degree plus some graduate credits
 - O Doctorate
 - O Other, please specify

SECTION II JOB TASKS

This section contains a list of supervisory tasks. The range of tasks is very broad; first-line supervisors from every General Schedule.(GS) and Wage Grade (WG) job series are responding to this survey. It is important that you carefully read each item. Do not be concerned if you see many tasks that you do not perform. It is unlikely that any first-line supervisor performs every task in this survey.

Step One

Read each task and decide if you have performed it within the last year. If you recently became a supervisor (i.e., for less than a year) then base your decision on the months you have been in your supervisory position. If you performed the task, darken the oval in the YES column under PART OF JOB. If not, darken the oval in the NO column under PART OF JOB. Think of your job as you actually perform it, not as a position description or as others say it should be performed.

YOU SHOULD MARK "YES" ONLY TO TASKS YOU PERSONALLY DO, NOT TASKS DONE BY YOUR SUBORDINATES OR YOUR OWN SUPERVISOR.

Go through the entire list of tasks in this way. Do not pay any attention to the columns marked RELATIVE TIME SPENT and RELATIVE IMPORTANCE until you have identified all of the tasks you have performed. If there are additional supervisory tasks that you performed as part of your job, please write them in the spaces provided at the end of this section on page 15.

Step Two

After you have indicated which of the tasks are part of your job, go back to the beginning of the list (page 9) and complete the RELATIVE TIME SPENT and RELATIVE IMPORTANCE sections according to the following directions:

- 1. Complete these two judgments ONLY for those tasks that you have indicated are a part of your job.
- 2. For each task you have performed, consider the amount of time you spent on it <u>relative</u> to the time you spent on all of the other tasks that are a part of your job. Using the scale definitions below, darken the oval in the column of the RELATIVE TIME SPENT section that best describes the relative time you spent on a task compared to all the other tasks that are a part of your job.
 - 1. MUCH LESS time spent on this task compared to other tasks that are a part of your job.
 - 2. SOMEWHAT LESS time spent on this task compared to other tasks that are a part of your job.
 - 3. ABOUT THE SAME amount of time spent on this task compared to other tasks that are a part of your job.
 - 4. SOMEWHAT MORE time spent on this task compared to other tasks that are a part of your job.
 - 5. MUCH MORE time spent on this task compared to other tasks that are a part of your job.
- 3. Next, consider how <u>important</u> each task is for doing your job properly. One way to think about importance is in terms of consequences of error. In general, the more serious the consequences of an error or non-performance, the more important a task is to your job. Using the scale definition on the next page, darken the oval in the column of the RELATIVE IMPORTANCE section that best describes the relative importance of each task compared to all the other tasks that are a part of your job.

1. MUCH LESS important than other tasks that are a part of your job.

- 2. SOMEWHAT LESS important than other tasks that are a part of your job.
- 3. ABOUT THE SAME importance as other tasks that are a part of your job.
- 4. SOMEWHAT MORE important than other tasks that are a part of your job.
- 5. MUCH MORE important than other tasks that are a part of your job.

Do both RELATIVE TIME SPENT and RELATIVE IMPORTANCE ratings for each item at the same time.

EXAMPLE

An example of how one first-line supervisor responded to two tasks is shown below:

	PAR OF JOE	-	RELATIVE TIME SPENT	RELATIVE IMPORTANCE
			LESS AME NORE	ESS ANF MORE
TASKS	YES	NO	NJCH (ESS SOMEWHAT (SOMEWHAT (SOMEWHAT A NUCH MORE	MUCH LES SOME VES 480UT 11E 5 SOME VHAT 50ME VHAT AUCH MORE
 Identify changes in position duties/requirements. Interview candidates for vacant positions. 		a	00000	00000

Step One

Task 1 is part of this first-line supervisor's job so the oval for "YES" under PART OF JOB? has been darkened.

Task 2 was not performed by this first-line supervisor during the past year so the oval for "NO" under PART OF JOB? has been darkened.

Step Two

After reading all of the tasks, and deciding which tasks were and were not part of his/her job, this first-line supervisor returned to the beginning of the list to make RELATIVE TIME SPENT and RELATIVE IMPORTANCE ratings.

MUCH LESS TIME is spent on this task compared to other tasks performed by this supervisor; therefore, the "1" in the RELATIVE TIME SPENT column has been darkened. The "2" in the RELATIVE IMPORTANCE column has been darkened to indicate that this task is SOMEWHAT LESS IMPORTANT than other tasks that are a part of this first-line supervisor's job.

Since Task 2 was not performed during the past year, the **RELATIVE TIME SPENT** and **RELATIVE IMPORTANCE** columns have been left blank.

Remember, read each task carefully and darken the oval if it is something you have performed during the past year. Do this for all tasks before returning to the beginning of the list to make the **RELATIVE TIME SPENT** and **RELATIVE IMPORTANCE** ratings for those tasks you <u>do</u> perform.

Į

JOB TASKS

		РА 0 ЈО	F	RELATIVE TIME SPENT	RELATIVE IMPORTANCE
	PERSONAL STAFFING	YES	NO	MUCH LES SOMEWHAT LES ABOUT THE LES MUCH MOAF MARE MUCH MOAF MORE	MUCH LES SOMEWHAT LES ABOUF WHAT LES SOMEWHAT LESS MUCH MOHE MUCH MOHE
1.	Identify requirements and hiring criteria for position vacancies (e.g., skills and knowledges, SKAP/ACCES elements, crediting plans).	Θ	6	00003	000000
1,	Initiate requests (SF-52) for recruitment or other staffing actions.	Ø	9 (9		
1	Recruit/interview candidates at high schools or college campuses or conferences or job fairs, etc.		_		
1	Review candidates' gualification summaries (e.g., resumes, DA 2302,	G	9	00033	IIII
	SF 171, 201 files, performance ratings, SKAP (ACCES)	\odot	6	DDDDD	DELDE
5.	Interview candidates for vacant positions.	Ð	Θ		EEEEE
6.	Check with references concerning candidates' background.	G	8	00000	000000
7.	Participate as a member on selection boards/panels.	\odot	9	00000	DEEEE
8.	Recommend candidates for vacant position to the next level of supervision.	Ø	8	00000	DDDDCC
9.	Select candidates for vacant position.	Ø	9	00000	COCC
10.	Justify in writing the selection/non-selection of candidates.	Ø	9	00000	00000
	POSITION MANAGEMENT				
11	Justify the need for current/new positions.	Ø	B	നനനരം	00000
	Review subordinates' job descriptions for accuracy.	Ø	9	00000	00035
1	Identify changes in position duties/ requirements.	Ð	9	00003	CIDII
	Develop revisions to job descriptions.	G	9	00000	00003
	Request that positions be audited or reviewed by the Civilian Personnel	1	•		
}	Office (CPO).	G	9	DDDGG	OIDII
16.	Establish upward mobility, intern, co-op student positions, etc.	Ø	9	00003	00000
17.	Identify/ restructure vacant positions that can be filled at lower grade levels with promotion potential to full level.	Θ	B	00000	DOOCS
18	Justify relaining grade level of vacant positions.	Ø	6	00000	00000
J	Obtain and provide information for manpower surveys and studies (e.g., Schedule X, Efficiency Reviews, Commercial Activities Review).				
		G	9	00000	
	Develop/provide input to Table of Distribution and Allowances (TDAs). Adjust the composition of workforce (e.g., number of part time vs full time,	Ø	9	00000	00000
	low vs high grade) to satisfy TDA while maximizing work group productivity.	Ø	\odot	00000	00000
	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY (EEO)				
	Coordinate with EEO office to determine if unit contains a representative number of minorities and women.	B	6	00000	00005
23.	Review own personnel practices (e.g., recruitment, selection, employee development, complaints resolution, workload distribution) to identify areas requiring affirmative action.	9	6	00000	000000
24.	Revise own personnel practices (e.g., recruitment, selection, employee development, complaints resolution, workload distribution) to achieve affirmative action.	G	9	00000	CQQQQ
25.	Take appropriate actions to minimize/eliminate discriminatory attitudes/ behaviors of subordinates toward each other.		6		
20		0		00000	
1	Follow EEO procedures in response to EEO complaints.	9 9	88	00000	
1.	Participate in EEO/Affirmative Action conferences or workshops.	l a	G I	00000	00000
28.	Encourage subordinates to participate in Black History Month, Federal Women's Program, Hispanic Week, etc.	Ð	9	രാമാമാമ	00000

SKA 30. Devi 31. Revi 32. Forr 33. Prov 34. Corr 35. Corr 36. Corr 36. Corr 37. Revi 38. Revi 39. Eval 39. Eval 39. Eval 30. Eval 30. prov	MANAGING THE WORKFORCE Iuate subordinates' suitability for promotion (e.g., local merit, AP/ACCES). relop subordinates' performance standards. rew performance standards with subordinates. mally review and evaluate job performance of subordinates. wide informal feedback to subordinates concerning their performance, nplete written performance appraisals for civilian subordinates. nplete./provide input to Officer Efficiency Report (OER) nplete/provide input to Enlisted Evaluation Report (EER). rew subordinates, performance ratings with approving official prior eviewing ratings with subordinates. rew performance ratings with subordinates. Iuate probationary employees to determine suitability for permanent us. Iuate trainees (e.g., interns, upward mobility) for target grade	YES 000000000000000000000000000000000000	ତ ଅଧିଧିଶିଶିଶିଶି 🥇	1 1 <th>$\begin{array}{c} (1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \$</th>	$\begin{array}{c} (1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ $
SKA 30. Devi 31. Revi 32. Forr 33. Prov 34. Corr 35. Corr 36. Corr 36. Corr 37. Revi 38. Revi 39. Eval 39. Eval 39. Eval 30. Eval 30. prov	AP/ACCES). relop subordinates' performance standards. rew performance standards with subordinates. mally review and evaluate job performance of subordinates. wide informal feedback to subordinates concerning their performance, inplete written performance appraisals for civilian subordinates, inplete written performance appraisals for civilian subordinates, inplete/provide input to Officer Efficiency Report (OER) inplete/provide input to Enlisted Evaluation Report (EER). rew subordinates, performance ratings with approving official prior eviewing ratings with subordinates. rew performance ratings with subordinates. rew performance ratings with subordinates. Huate probationary employees to determine suitability for permanent us.	000000000	888888	00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 0000	00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 0000
 30. Devi 31. Revi 32. Forr 33. Prov 34. Corr 35. Corr 36. Corr 37. Revi 36. Corr 37. Revi 38. Revi 39. Eval stati 40. Eval pror 	relop subordinates' performance standards. new performance standards with subordinates. mally review and evaluate job performance of subordinates. wide informal feedback to subordinates concerning their performance. nplete written performance appraisals for civilian subordinates. nplete / provide input to Officer Efficiency Report (OER) nplete/provide input to Enlisted Evaluation Report (EER). new subordinates_performance ratings with approving official prior eviewing ratings with subordinates. new performance ratings with subordinates.	000000000	888888	00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 0000	00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 0000
 Revia Forr Forr Prov Com Com Com Com Com Revia Revia	new performance standards with subordinates. mally review and evaluate job performance of subordinates. wide informal feedback to subordinates concerning their performance, nplete written performance appraisals for civilian subordinates, nplete/provide input to Officer Efficiency Report (OER) nplete/provide input to Enlisted Evaluation Report (EER), new subordinates, performance ratings with approving official prior eviewing ratings with subordinates, new performance ratings with subordinates. New performance ratings with subordinates, new performance ratings, new performance, new perf	() 888888	88888	00000 00000 00000 00000	00000 00000 00000 00000 10000
 Prov Corr Corr Corr Corr Corr Revi Revi Revi Revi Stati Eval stati pror 	vide informal feedback to subordinates concerning their performance, implete written performance appraisals for civilian subordinates, implete./provide input to Officer Efficiency Report (OER) implete./provide input to Enlisted Evaluation Report (EER), iew subordinates performance ratings with approving official prior eviewing ratings with subordinates, iew performance ratings with subordinates. Huate probationary employees to determine suitability for permanent us,	() () () () ()	8888	00000 00000 00000	00000 00000 00000 10000
 Prov Corr Corr Corr Corr Corr Revi Revi Revi Revi Stati Eval stati pror 	vide informal feedback to subordinates concerning their performance, implete written performance appraisals for civilian subordinates, implete./provide input to Officer Efficiency Report (OER) implete./provide input to Enlisted Evaluation Report (EER), iew subordinates performance ratings with approving official prior eviewing ratings with subordinates, iew performance ratings with subordinates. Huate probationary employees to determine suitability for permanent us,	(-) (-) (-)	8 8 8	000000	00000 10000
 Corr Corr Corr Revi to re Revi Revi Revi Feal stati Eval pron 	nplete./provide input to Officer Efficiency Report (OER) nplete./provide input to Enlisted Evaluation Report (EER). iew subordinates performance ratings with approving official prior eviewing ratings with subordinates. iew performance ratings with subordinates. Juate probationary employees to determine suitability for permanent us.	(-) (-) (-)	B B	00000	TIIII
 Corr Revi Revi Revi Revi Revi Feal stati For 	nplete/provide input to Enlisted Evaluation Report (EER). iew subordinates performance ratings with approving official prior eviewing ratings with subordinates. iew performance ratings with subordinates. Juate probationary employees to determine suitability for permanent us.	() ()	Ø	· · · ·	
 37 Revi to re 38. Revi 39 Eval stati 40. Eval pron 	iew subordinates, performance ratings with approving official prior eviewing ratings with subordinates, iew performance ratings with subordinates, luate probationary employees to determine suitability for permanent us,	E	-	00000	
to re 38. Revi 39 Eval stati 40. Eval pron	eviewing ratings with subordinates. iew performance ratings with subordinates. Juate probationary employees to determine suitability for permanent us.		ব্র		
38. Revi 39 Eval stati 40. Eval pron	iew performance ratings with subordinates. Juate probationary employees to determine suitability for permanent us.		2		
39 Eval stati 40. Eval pron	luate probationary employees to determine suitability for permanent us.	9		00000	DDDDG
40. Eval pron		6	9 (00000	
pror		S	ଭ	CEICI	DOCII
11 0	notion. Ifer with staff from Management Employée Relations (MER) prior to	ତ	6	00000	00000
	ng actions on subordinates.	Ø	Ð	00000	00000
	insel subordinates about how to improve performance.	0	Ð	00000	00000
	insel subordinates about now to improve performance.	6	6	00000	
	ument subordinates' poor performance.	Ø	ଞ	00000	00000
45. Doc	ument subordinates' unauthorized absences, tardiness, or behavioral blems	Ø	8	DCDCE	
	vide subordinates with written guidelines on how to improve formance.	ତ	69	00000	00000
subo	rm chain of command of performance problems with military ordinates.	Ø	®	00000	DODEI
tardi	rm chain of command of conduct/behavioral problems (e.g., absences, iness) with military subordinates.	Ø	8	00000	00000
beca	ermine if adverse actions (e.g., suspension, removal) should be taken ause of poor performance. ermine if formal disciplinary steps should be taken in response to	Ø	8	00000	OOCEE
cond	duct/behavioral problems (e.g., absences, tardiness). ate adverse actions (e.g., suspension, removal) for subordinates	0	®	୦୦୦୦୦	00000
who	ose performance is below acceptable levels. y within grade increase to subordinates whose performance is below	Ø	1	00000	00000
acce	eptable levels.	Ø	Ø	୦୦୦୦୦୦ 🐘	୦୦୦୦୦
	te letters of reprimand.	\odot	•	00000	00003
finai	ect/recognize personal problems of subordinates (e.g., drugs, alcohol, ncial, family) that affect their job performance.	G	G	00000	00000
lop t	er to assist subordinates with personal problems that affect their performance	Θ	B	02000	00000
perf	cuss with subordinates personal problems that affect their job ormance.	ଡ	8	00000	00000
	er subordinates with personal problems affecting their job ormance for assistance.	Ø	6	00003	TTTTTT
•	ninate subordinates for formal honors or awards.	Ð	6	000000	12000
	sent on the spot cash awards to subordinates from discretionary funds	E	e e	00033	DOJEC
JJ 1163	serve and the sport cosh amaras to solar amares nom discretionary 10005.	1	-		

		PART OF JOB?		RELATIVE TIME SPENT	RELATIVE IMPORTANCE
	MANAGING THE WORKFORCE (Contd.)	YES	NO	MUCH SOMENLES SOMEWLAT SOMEWLAT SOMEWLAT MUCHMORE MOREMORE	MUCH LES SOMEWIES BONEWIAT ES BOUTTHE LES BONEWIA SAME MUCHMA SAME
	Establish rewards or incentives to motivate subordinates (e.g., certificate				
	of achievement, employee of the month).	Ø	B	00000	00000
	Praise subordinates for effective job performance.	Ø	8	00000	୦୦୦୦୦
	Write letters of appreciation/commendation for subordinates.	Θ	B	$\Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box$	COOOS
	Motivate subordinates.	0	Ð	00000	ତ୍ତ୍ରତ୍ତ୍ର
	Recognize and take steps to correct morale problems.	Ø	B	OOOOO	CCOCI
66.	Encourage subordinates to perform their jobs to the best of their ability. Foster: develop a positive work environment that will serve to motivate subordinates.	Ø	9	00000	
	Foster: develop a positive working relationship between civilian and	Ø	9	000033	TETEI
	military subordinates.	Ð	Ð	00000	DIDIE
68.	Set an example for subordinates to follow.	Ø	8		CODEE
	TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT				
69.	Develop Individual Development Plans (IDPs) with subordinates.	Ø	9	00000	ලංගාගග
	Develop training plans for subordinates in special employment programs (e.g., apprentices, co-op students, interns, upward mobility, veterans, re-adjustment employees).				
		Ø	B	00000	
	Identify training needed by supprainates.	Ø	8	00000	
	Complete training needs survey. Determine if currently available training programs meet subordinates	Ø	ଷ	00000	TTTT
, .	needs.	0	\odot	00000	CODDEI
74	Identify available training/developmental opportunities for subordinates.	G	9	00000	
	Inform subordinates of training/ development opportunities.	\odot	8	00000	
	Nominate subordinates to receive training.	0	6) (00000	
	Designate subordinates to receive cross-training.	Ø	6	00000	
	Provide cross-training for subordinates.	0	9	00000	
	Design on-the-job training programs.	Ø	9	00000	
	Provide on-the-job training.	0	69 (00000	
	Instruct subordinates on how to use new equipment.	0	9	00000	
	Document effectiveness of training attended by subordinates (e.g.,)	00000	
	complete DD 1556).	Ø	Ð	00000	00000
83.	Evaluate long term effectiveness of training attended by subordinates.	0	B (00000	
	Assign experienced employee(s) to train new workers.	0	9	00000	00000
	Provide career development counseling to subordinates.	Ø	B (00000	000003
	Justify need for non-government sources of training.	Ø	69	00000	
	Ensure subordinates are free for PT, training, etc.	Ð	69	00000	00003
	EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT COMMUNICATIONS			,,,,,,, _	
88.	Provide orientation to new employees.	Ø	9	00000	00000
89.	Inform higher management of employees' views and concerns.	Ø	B	00000	00000
	Inform subordinates of management objectives, decisions, and views.	Ø	9	00000	$\bigcirc \bigcirc $
	Ask second-line supervisor or above for help or advice with work-related				
	problems.	Ø	9	00000	00000
92.	Inform second-line supervisor or above when problems arise which will	ŀ			

		PART OF JOB?		RELATIVE TIME SPENT	RELATIVE IMPORTANCE
EM	PLOYEE-MANAGEMENT COMMUNICATIONS (Contd.)	YES	NO	MUCH (ES SOMEWHAT (ES ABOUT THE LES SOMEWHAT LES MUCH MORE MORE	MUCH LESS SOMEWIA: LESS ABOUT THE SAAL SOMEWIAT LESS MULCI, MOAF
93.	Encourage subordinates to participate in productivity improvement programs (e.g., suggestion, cost-reduction, quality assurance, quality circles).	Ð	ଞ	00000	00000
	Explain personnel policies and procedures to subordinates. Explain administrative policies and procedures to subordinates (e.g., TDY, work hours, leave).	Ð	Ð	00000	00000
	Explain local installation policies and procedures to subordinates. Explain military policies and procedures to civilian supervisors and/or	6 6	E E	00000	000000
98.	subordinates. Explain civilian policies and procedures to military supervisors and/or military subordinates.	Θ	8		000000
00	-	G	9		000000
1	Conduct starf meetings Conduct meetings with subordinates at beginning of their shift.	9 (9	6) (S)	00000	000000
1	Involve subordinates in decision-making or planning processes.	3) (E)	(S)	DEJEE	
1	Participate in staff meetings, conferences, etc.	0	ତ୍ତ	00000	00000
1	Inform subordinates of impending changes in policies or procedures.	0 (9	00000	
1 -	Communicate with union representatives/stewards.	Ø	6	00000	00000
ł	Communicate with EEO counselors.	Ø	B	-00000	000000
106.	Inform second-line supervisor or above of your subordinates' accomplishments.	Ø	B	00000	00000
	Answer subordinates' questions concerning position vacancy announcements.	Ø	8	00000	00003
1	Answer subordinates' questions concerning their non-selection for vacant positions.	Ø	Ð	00000	00000
	ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES				
109.	Write or keep records/logs (e.g., suspense dates, work status sheets,				
1	project logs, work orders).	\odot	3	OOOO	$\bigcirc \bigcirc $
110.	Request TDY for your subordinates.	Ø	$\mathbf{\Theta}$	00000	00003
ļ.	Recommend/approve TDY for your subordinates.	\odot	8	JQQQQ	COOOC
	Review subordinates' travel itineraries.	\odot	Ø	OOOOO	OOOOO
	Review and approve subordinates' travel vouchers.	Ø	B	00000	OOOO
1	Review and approve subordinates' trip reports.	\odot	9	00000	00000
1	Complete accident reports.	\odot	9	$\Box \Box $	
1	Complete workers' compensation forms.	0	B	00000	00000
1	Follow grievance procedures in response to grievances. Request/justify comp time or overtime for subordinates.	\odot	8 8	000000	00000
		0	9 9	00000	00000
	Approve comp time or overtime for subordinates. Coordinate annual leave/vacation schedules for subordinates.	00	B B	00000	0000 0 00000
	Determine causes of subordinates' repeated absences/tardiness.		66	00000	00000
1	Approve/disapprove requests for absences and leave.	0	B	00000	00000
1	Write policies and procedures (e.g., SOP).	0	E C	00000	00000
	Complete subordinates' time cards and overtime sheets.	0	9	00000	00000
- ·	Certify time sheets/time cards.	Ø	9 (00000	00000
126.	Read memos, newsletters, regulations, journals, etc., to keep up with developments related to supervision.	Ð	6	00000	00000
127.	Read/research regulations pertaining to personnel management and administrative procedures.	Ð	3	00000	00000
128.	Review personnel records and reports (e.g., time and attendance, sick leave, overtime) for accuracy and potential abuses (e.g., excessive tardiness, sick leave).	_			
1.20		Ø	9	00000	00000
129	Provide job references for subordinates.	\odot	8	ଦେଅଅତ୍ତ	CCOOC

•

		PART OF JOB?		RELATIVE TIME SPENT	RELATIVE IMPORTANCE
	ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES (Contd.)	YES	NO	MUCH ESS SOMEWHAT ESS ABONEWHAT ESS SOMEWHAT ESS MUCH MORE SAME	MUCH LESS SOME VESS A BOUF VIAT LESS SOME WILL AND MUCH ALOHL
+	Enforce smoking/non-smoking regulations.	9	B		
	Assign work space to subordinates.	0	8	00000	00000
	Allocate supplies or equipment to subordinates. Participate as a member on applicant evaluation boards (e.g., local merit	Ø,	Ð	00000	OOOOC
133.	promotion or SKAP panels).	Ø	ସ	00000	00000
	CAFETY				
	SAFETY		i	· ·	
1	Instruct personnel in the use of safety equipment.	Ø	8	00000	C C C I I
	Instruct personnel in the observance of safety regulations.	S	9 6		ODDEI
1	Investigate work-related accidents.	9.9	Ø (3)	CDDEI	
	Conduct safety tests on equipment. Request safety tests on equipment.	0	6	00000 00000	DDDDEI DDDEE
1	Inspect work area for safety hazards.	Ø	6 (00000	00032
	Ensure that safety hazards are addressed.	Ø	6	00000	
	Conduct safety meetings.	0	9	00000	00000
	Instruct personnel in the proper handling of hazardous materials.	Ø	69	00000	00000
•	Instruct personnel how to use work equipment safely.	Ð	9	00000	00000
	Inspect safety equipment.	0	9	00000	00000
1	Enforce safety programs and procedures.	Ø	Ð	୦୦୦୦୦	00000
146.	Report accidents.	\odot	9	00000	000033
147.	Document safety violations.	Ø	\bullet	୦୦୦୦୦	CCOOII
	MANAGING WORK AND OPERATIONS				
148.	Determine the supplies, parts, equipment, or tools needed to accomplish work.	C	9	COQOO	
149.	Determine if supplies, parts, equipment or tools are available to accomplish work.	G	Ø	00003	00003
150.	Request/order supplies, parts, equipment or tools required to	[
	accomplish work.	G	9	$\mathbf{O}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{O}$	000003
151.	Receive/verify receipt of supplies, parts, equipment or tools.	Ø	8	OOOOO	00000
1	Schedule subordinates' work hours.	\odot	9	\mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O}	
153.	Revise work schedules to adjust for subordinates' vacations, retirements, feaves of absence, attendance at training, etc.	9	69	00000	00000
154.	Revise work schedule to meet changes in the demands for unit's products			_	
	or services.	Ø	Ð	00000	00000
1	Consult with off-going supervisor about shift conditions.	Ø	G	00000	00006
	Check equipment to assure it is working properly.	Ø	9 6	00000	
	Request repairs on down equipment.	0	99	00000	00000
1	Schedule preventive maintenance on equipment.	Ø	9 8	00000	
1	Develop internal controls to minimize waste, fraud or abuse. Implement internal controls to minimize waste, fraud or abuse.	00	66	00000	00000
1	Assess internal controls designed to minimize waste, fraud or abuse.	0	8 6	00000	00000
	Account for hand receipt equipment on the survey list.	0	6	00000	00000
	Report missing tools/equipment.	0	e (00000	00000
	Brief upper management on progress of work or project activities.	Ø	6	Ĵ0Ĵ0Ĵ	
	Brief subordinates on progress of work or project activities.	Ø	9	00000	00000
1 .	Delegate authority to work leader or other subordinates.	Ø	6	00000	00000
167.	Assess/verify accuracy of facts, statements, or complaints by others				
1.00	before they become part of a record or a basis for action.	Ø	8	00000	00000
168.	Resolve work-related complaints, conflicts or disputes among subordinates.	Ø	Ð	00000	00000

		0	RT)F 187	RELATIVE TIME SPENT	RELATIVE IMPORTANCE
	MANAGING WORK AND OPERATIONS (Contd.)			MUCH LESS SOME VIAT LESS ABOUT THE LESS SOME WHAT LESS MUCH MORE MORE	MUCH (55 SOAF 44:55 ABOUT 14 ESS SOAF 14 ESS AUL:11 AURE AUL:11 AURE
160	Resolve work-related conflicts between your subordinates and people	YES	NO	* 0 * 0 ×	4 8 4 8 4 8 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
103.	in other offices.	Ø	6	DDDCCI	CODTE
170.	Monitor/evaluate work performed for the Army by outside vendors or contractors.	Ø	B	00000	00000
171.	Keep up-to-date on union contracts or activities	G	B	COCT	COCÓI
	Monitor compliance with union agreements.	G	ନ	COQQS	DOTTI
	Establish work priorities and deadlines to meet milestones	9	S)	OCICI	CLEE
	Develop plans to achieve goals or milestones.	G	କ	00000	00000
	Implement plans to achieve goals or milestones	G	କ୍ର	CCCEE	OCILI
	Evaluate program/project status or progress	9	ଭ	00000	DOJIJ
177	formin work to subordinates	Θ	ب ۲	CECEI	CIIII
	Assign work priorities and deadlines to subordinates	9 9	B B	00000	$\Theta \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{T}$
	Explain work assignments to subordinates) :	A A	CTIII	
	Review subordinates' work when in progress.	E C	G G	DOIII	CIDDIC
	Review and approve subordinates, work upon completion	(F)	3		
	Represent your subordinates during their absence	9	କ୍ତ	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{Q}$	DODOJ
	Represent your immediate supervisor during his ther absence.	9	9	CDIOO	DODEE
	Evaluate work requests to determine if work can be accomplished and is within the mission of the unit.	0	ତ	00000	00000
185.	Determine when work should be performed in-house and when to		Ψ.		00000
	contract work out	\odot	Θ	00303	OOOOS
186.	Participate in writing mission and function statements.	Ø	θ	00000	00000
187	Monitor update mission and function statements	\odot	(z)	CODEE	CODEI
188	Communicate with other supervisors in your organization to improve operations.	Ø	B	00000	00003
189	Communicate with members of other organizations to improve operations.	Ø	ଡ	00000	COCCI
	Integrate the work of several subordinates to create a final product.	Ø	3	DODOO	CCCC
	PLANNING/BUDGETING/EXECUTING				
191	Project resources (e.g., personnel, equipment, training, TDY) required on an annual basis so budgets can be determined.		-		
102	-	() ()	9 6		
	Allocate/reallocate budget resources among different work/projects.	0	8 8	00000	DDJJE
	Justify allocation of budget resources for work/projects.	\odot			
	Develop internal work group operating budgets from allocations received.	Ð	ତ୍ତି	00000	000000
	Estimate financial resources required to complete projects.	S	Ø		
196.	Monitor currently available financial resources.	99	ତ ତ	000000	000000
	Monitor long-term availability of financial resources. Negotiate with others to obtain personnel, equipment, materials or financial resources to accomplish mission.	0	ତ୍ତ	00000	COQEC
199	Monitor use of overtime, travel funds, training funds, incentive rewards,				
200	etc. to ensure that spending keeps within budgetary constraints.	0	8		
	Assess the impact of future workload on staffing requirements. Assess the impact of mission changes on workload and staffing	0	69	00000	
	requirements	G	ତ		
	Arrange for support from other offices or activities.	0	ନ		00000
	Survey customers lusers to estimate future workload	Q	ଭ		
	Survey customers/ users to assess quality of services provided.	0	9		
	Estimate unit's short-range workload.	Ĥ	ତ୍ର		
!	Estimate unit's long-range workload	0	ଚ		
1207	Estimate time required to complete projects	Θ	3	\square	(正) 티 프 포

		PART OF JOB?		RELATIVE TIME SPENT	RELATIVE IMPORTANCE
	PLANNING/BUDGETING/EXECUTING (Contd.)	YES	NO	MUCILLES SOMEWHAT LES SOMEWHAT LES SOMEWHAT LES MUCH MORE MUCH MORE	MUCH LESS SOME LESS ABOUT THE SS MUCH MUAT LESS MUCH MUAT MODE
	Assess impact of new technology on work group.	\odot	3	00000	CODOI
	Assess efficiency of work operations.	Ø	Ø	00000	OOOCO
210.	Develop plans for improving work operations.	Ð	\odot	CIICE	OQOCI
	Implement plans for improving work operations.	Ð	Ø	OOOO	00000
212.	Justify the need for retaining allocated supplies or equipment for				
.	subordinates.	Ξ	3		OODEE
213.	Justify the need for retaining allocated work space for subordinates.	9	•	00000	00000
	SECURITY CONCERNS				
214	Explain security policies and procedures to subordinates	12	E	EIIE	VEREE
	Determine sensitivity of positions for security purposes.	C	(\mathbf{v})	CCDES	TTTT
	Request security clearances for subordinates	E	E	CIOIE	$\mathbb{D} \cong \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$
217.	Review subordinates Personal History Statement (i.e., DD 398).	Ð	\odot	D D D O O O	C I O O O I
218	Maintain privacy/security of personnel information in accordance with the Freedom of Information/Privacy Act.	E	ত	0000	TODOT
210		G	G G	00000	00000
	Develop SOP in accordance with security regulations.	E	9 S	33000 33000	00000
	Implement SOP in accordance with security regulations	1	2		
221.	Notify appropriate personnel (e.g., security officer, Military Intelligence) of suspected security risks or violations.	Ø	ଭ	00000	00000
	Report document security violations.	$\overline{\mathfrak{D}}$	କ୍ତ		
	Monitor the physical security of the work area.	\odot	ଜ	00000	$\Box \Box $
	Conduct security training meetings	\odot	9	00000	000000
	Maintain records of subordinates' attendance at security meetings	0	8 6	00000	20003
	Identify changes in job requirements which require that subordinates		ų.		00002
220	clearance be upgraded or downgraded	$\overline{\mathbb{C}}$	S	CTTTT	COQEE
belo	ou perform any additional supervisory tasks, please list them w and make your ratings on the RELATIVE TIME SPENT and ATIVE IMPORTANCE scales.				
227		\odot	8	CDDDE	
228.		9	ଞ	00000	00000
229		Ð	\Im	00003	CCCCI
230.		Ð	ତ୍ତ		00000
231		S	Ð		COOCE
232.		Ð	ଭ	00000	00000
233		Ð	A	COOCO	orace
					1

1. The tasks that you just rated cover the SUPERVISORY aspects of your job. We recognize that in your present position, there may be more to your job than the tasks listed in this section. Specifically, there are tasks that you may perform that are related to your job series. Think about the supervisory aspects of your job <u>AND</u> the non-supervisory aspects and answer the following question:

.

Over the past year, approximately what percentage of your time HAS BEEN SPENT performing the supervisory aspects of your job?

- 0% 10%
 11% 20%
 21% 30%
 31% 40%
 41% 50%
 51% 60%
 61% 70%
 71% 80%
 81% 90%
 91% 100%
- 2. In your present position, what percentage of your time do you think you <u>SHOULD</u> be spending performing the supervisory aspects of your job?
 - 0% 10%
 11% 20%
 21% 30%
 31% 40%
 41% 50%
 51% 60%
 61% 70%
 71% 80%
 81% 90%
 91% 100%

Go on to Section III on the following page.

SECTION III KNOWLEDGES

This section contains a list of knowledges that you may need to perform the supervisory part of your job. This survey is being completed by first-line supervisors who hold a broad range of jobs. Therefore, do not be concerned if some of the knowledges do not apply to your present position. It is unlikely that any first-line supervisor requires every knowledge listed in this survey.

It is possible that in a previous position you may have required a high level of some of the knowledges but do not require those knowledges to be effective in your present position. For this survey, it is important that you respond only in terms of your **PRESENT POSITION**, not previous supervisory or non-supervisory positions which you held or might know about.

Step One

Read each knowledge and decide if it is required to perform the supervisory aspect of your job. If the knowledge is required, darken the oval in the YES column under IS KNOWLEDGE REQUIRED? If not, darken the oval in the NO column under IS KNOWLEDGE REQUIRED?

MARK ONLY THOSE KNOWLEDGES THAT YOU PERSONALLY REQUIRE, NOT KNOWLEDGES REQUIRED BY YOUR SUBORDINATES, YOUR OWN SUPERVISOR, OR OTHER FIRST-LINE SUPERVISORS.

Go through the entire list of knowledges in this way. Do not pay any attention to the columns marked AMOUNT OF UNDERSTANDING REQUIRED and WHEN USUALLY ACQUIRED until you have identified all the knowledges required of your job. If there are additional supervisory (non-technical) knowledges that are important for your present position, please write them in the spaces provided at the end of this section of page 20.

Step Two

After you have indicated which knowledges are required for your job, go back to the beginning of the list (page 19) and complete the AMOUNT OF UNDERSTANDING REQUIRED and WHEN USUALLY ACQUIRED ratings for the KNOWLEDGES.

- 1 Complete these two judgments ONLY for those knowledges that you have indicated are required for your job.
- 2. For each knowledge that is required, consider how much of an understanding is required for successfully performing your supervisory responsibilities. Using the scale definitions below, darken the oval in the column of the AMOUNT OF UNDERSTANDING REQUIRED section that best describes the amount of each knowledge required for successfully performing your job as a first-line supervisor.
 - 1. SLIGHT UNDERSTANDING
 - 2. MODERATE UNDERSTANDING
 - 3. SUBSTANTIAL UNDERSTANDING
 - 4. COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING
- 3. Next, consider when each knowledge is USUALLY ACQUIRED. Using the scale definitions below, darken the oval that best describes when a first-line supervisor usually acquires proficiency in each knowledge.
 - 1. PRIOR TO JOB ENTRY.
 - 2. BY THE 3rd MONTH ON THE JOB.
 - 3. BY THE 12th MONTH ON THE JOB.
 - 4. AFTER THE 12th MONTH ON THE JOB.

Do both AMOUNT OF UNDERSTANDING REQUIRED and WHEN USUALLY ACQUIRED ratings for each knowledge at the same time.

EXAMPLE

An example of how one first-line supervisor responded to two knowledges is shown below

	ls Knowledge Required?	Amount of Understanding Required	When Usually Acquired
KNOWLEDGES	YES NO	^{SUIGITI} MOOERATE SUBSTANTA COMPLETE	PRICA TO JOB EWINY BY JIE Jui MONIN AV JIE Jui MONIN AV III III IZII MONIN
 Career management policies and program requirements Union contracts 			

Step One

Knowledge 1 is required of this first-line supervisor's job so the oval for "YES" under IS KNOWLEDGE REQUIRED? has been darkened.

Knowledge 2 was not required by this first-line supervisor so the oval for "NO" under IS KNOWLEDGE REQUIRED? has been darkened

Step Two

This supervisor requires a MODERATE UNDERSTANDING of "Career management policies and program requirements"; therefore the "2" in the AMOUNT OF UNDERSTANDING REQUIRED column has been darkened. The "3" in the WHEN USUALLY ACQUIRED column has been darkened to indicate that this knowledge is usually acquired BY THE 12th MONTH.

Since knowledge of "Union contracts" is not required for this supervisor's job, the AMOUNT OF UNDERSTANDING and WHEN USUALLY ACQUIRED columns have been left blank.

Please begin rating each knowledge.
		is Know Requ	edge	Amount of a la Understanding Requireds-	When Usually Acquired
				StlgHT MODERATE SUBSTANTE COMPLETE	PRION TO JOU ENTHY BY THE JUALOUTHY BY THE JUALOUTH AFTEN THE JAILANTH
	KNOWLEDGES	YES	NO	811 800 208 208	44
1.	Merit promotion system. Includes vacancy announcements, competitive and noncompetitive merit promotion actions, priority placements, reinstatement eligibles, and the rating/ranking process for applicants (e.g., FPM 335 and AR 690-300 chapter 335).	θ	B	0000	0003
3.	Employee reassignment, downgrade, and transfer procedures. Part time, summer, temporary, or term hiring procedures.	88	8 8	0000 0000	9099 9093
4.	Position management and classification system. Includes job descriptions, position classification standards, position review, and position management principles (e.g., AR 690-500 chapter 501).	Θ	Ø	ତନନତ	TTT
5.	Statfing, Manpower requirements (e.g., Schedule X, AR 570-4 and TDA, staffing guides, DA PAM 570-551, DA PAM 570-558, APERS)	Ð	3	TDIT	000.
	EEO/Affirmative Action practices and procedures. Affirmative Action Programs. Includes Federal Women's, upward mobility,	3	9		
8.	Hispanic Employment, and hire the handicapped. Eligibility requirements and nominating procedures for decorations, awards, and honors (e.g., career service recognition for civilian employees, quality step increases, public service award).	0	9	0000	0000
9.	Probation period policies and procedures.	0	Ð	0000	0000
10.	Performance appraisal system. Includes performance counseling process, feedback, guidance, review, goal setting, and development of performance standards (e.g., FPM 430, AR 690-430).	G	Ø	0000	0000
11	Productivity improvement programs (e.g., suggestion, cost-reduction, quality assurance, quality circles).	Θ	Θ	0000	COIC
12.	Policies for supervising local nationals which apply to the foreign country where one works.	Ð	B	0000	0000
	Drug and alcohol abuse, its effect on worker performance, and the supervisor's responsibilities to detect and help correct problems.	Ð	9	0000	0000
	Referral sources available for subordinates with personal problems (e.g., tinancial counselor, drug and alcohol counselor, judge advocate office).	G	Θ	0000	JODI
	Discipline policies and procedures. Includes both formal and informal disciplinary actions (AR 690-700 chapters 751 and 752).	Ø	6	0000	
10.	Training policies and procedures. Includes determination of training needs, procedures to follow to try and ensure subordinates/oneself receive training, and the types of training available (e.g., on-the-job training, Army/DOD courses, non-government courses).	0	Ē		
17.	Career management policies and program requirements (e.g., AR 690-950-1).	9	6	0000	0000
18.	Comp time and overtime regulations. Includes the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) and Title 5 United States Code Overtime Entitlement.	6	e e	0000	0000
	Salary administration. Includes pay periods and steps within grades.	0	9	0000	COCE
20.	Leave policies and procedures (e.g., sick leave, court leave, annual leave, military leave).	9	Ð	୦୦୦୦	0000
	Workers' compensation policies and procedures.	G	9	0000	$\bigcirc \bigcirc $
	Reduction-In-Force (RIF)/Transfer of Function (TOF) policies and procedures.	0	9	0000	୦୦୦୦
	Commercial activities and efficiency reviews.	Ø	\odot	0000	\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc
	Standards of conduct (AR 600-50). Accountability of property policies and procedures (e.g., hand receipt survey, AR 735-5).	0	8 8	0000	0000

•

126 Grievance and appeal procedures (AR 890-700 chapter 771). ()		l Know Requ	ledge	Amount of Understanding Required	When Usually Acquired
26 Grevance and appeal procedures (AR 590-700 chapter 771). () <td< th=""><th>KNOWLEDGES (Contd.)</th><th>YES</th><th>NO</th><th>SUGHT MODERATE SUBSTANTA COMPLETE</th><th>PRIOR TO JOB ENTRY BY THE JU MONTH BY THE JU MONTH AFTER THE JUN MONTH</th></td<>	KNOWLEDGES (Contd.)	YES	NO	SUGHT MODERATE SUBSTANTA COMPLETE	PRIOR TO JOB ENTRY BY THE JU MONTH BY THE JU MONTH AFTER THE JUN MONTH
apply to one's subordinates, employee union rights, and management rights. C	26. Grievance and appeal procedures (AR 690-700 chapter 771).	G	B	0000	
28. Safety and accupational health regulations, procedures and practices (AR 40-5, AR 385-10, AR 385-32, AR 385-40) C <	apply to one's subordinates, employee union rights, and management	0	ଭ	നതരം	00000
29. Nonappropriated fund (NAF) personnel policies and procedures (AR 215-3). C G C<			-		
31. Budget policies and process. 0	29. Nonappropriated fund (NAF) personnel policies and procedures (AR 215-3).	1			1
32 Planning, Programing, Budgeting, and Execution System (PPBES): Image: Constraint of Constraint of Privacy Act of 1972. Image: Constraint of Constrai	30. Internal controls for Army functions (AR 11-2).	1 -			
33 Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act of 1972. S S C	31. Budget policies and process.	G	6		0000
34 Security policies and procedures (e.g., personne) security. classified documents security. ADP security, physical security. T <td>32 Planning, Programing, Budgeting, and Execution System (PPBES).</td> <td>Œ</td> <td>2</td> <td>ලවාවම</td> <td>JOUIS</td>	32 Planning, Programing, Budgeting, and Execution System (PPBES).	Œ	2	ලවාවම	JOUIS
documents security. ADP security: physical security: D C		O	\odot	TOTT	0000
(EER)/Officer Evaluation Report (OER) procedures. 0 0 C C C C C C C C C C 36. Military rank structure. 0 0 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C 37. Military cations (e.g., military protocol, promotion and award ceremonies). 0 0 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	documents security, ADP security, physical security).	Ð	(z)	TTT	0003
36. Military rank structure. 0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td></td<>			0		
37. Military customs (e.g., military protocol, promotion and award ceremonies). 9 0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
38. Military/Defense structure (e.g., DOD, DA, MACOMS) 9	•	-			
39. Army chain of command. 0. Army's general mission. 0. Army's general mission. 0. C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		1 -			1
40. Army's general mission. Image: Constraints a specific mission. Image: Constraints a specifi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
41. Own organization's specific mission. Ø <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		-			
42. Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). T		-	_		
(non-technical) aspects of your present position, please list them below and make your ratings on the AMOUNT OF UNDERSTANDING REQUIRED and WHEN USUALLY ACQUIRED scales.	•				-
44. Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø	(non-technical) aspects of your present position, please list them below and make your ratings on the AMOUNT OF UNDERSTANDING				
45. 9 9 10000 00000 00000 46. 9 9 9 10000 00000 00000 47. 9 9 10000 10000 00000 00000 00000 48. 9 9 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 49 9 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 50. 10 100000 100000	43	Ø	ଷ	0000	0000
46. 0	44	Ø	ً	0000	0000
47. 9 9 10000 10000 48. 9 00000 10000 10000 49 0 0 00000 10000 50. 0 00000 10000 10000 51 0 0 00000 10000	45	Ø	Ø	0000	0000
48. 9 0	46	Ø	Ø	0000	0000
49	47	Ø	8	0003	0000
50. 0			6	0000	0000
51 Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø					
		[
	52.	0	6	0000	0000

SECTION IV: ABILITIES, SKILLS, AND OTHER CHARACTERISTICS (ASOs)

This section contains a list of abilities, skills, and other characteristics that may be important for successfully performing the supervisory part of your job. Remember, in making your ratings in this survey, it is important that you respond only in terms of your **PRESENT POSITION**.

Scan through the list of ASOs and think about how important each ASO is for successfully performing the supervisory aspect of your job.

Do not pay any attention to the column marked RELATIVE IMPORTANCE until you have read through all of the ASOs. If there are additional ASOs that are important for your present position, please write them in the spaces provided at the end of this section on page 24.

Now, return to the beginning of the list of ASOs (page 22) and using the scale definitions below, darken the ovai in the column of the **RELATIVE IMPORTANCE** section that best describes the relative importance of each ASO compared to all other ASOs that are important for performing your job as a first-line supervisor

- **0 NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT**
- 1. MUCH LESS important than other ASOs
- 2. SOMEWHAT LESS important than other ASOs
- 3. ABOUT THE SAME IMPORTANCE as other ASOs
- 4. SOMEWHAT MORE important than other ASOs
- 5. MUCH MORE important than other ASOs

EXAMPLE RELATIVE IMPORTANCE An example of how one first-line supervisor responded to two ASOs is shown below: Image: Comparison of the supervisor responded to two ASOs is shown below: ABILITIES, SKILLS, AND OTHER CHARACTERISTICS Image: Comparison of the supervision of the promote action among subordinates 1. Leadership: The ability to take charge of a situation, to instill confidence, and promote action among subordinates Image: Comparison of the promote action among subordinates 2. Self Sufficient: Works independently with little need for supervision or help from co-workers Image: Comparison of the promote action among subordinates

- ASO 1: This supervisor felt that "Leadership" is a MUCH MORE important ASO compared to other ASOs: therefore the "5" in the RELATIVE IMPORTANCE column has been darkened.
- ASO 2: This supervisor felt that being "Self-Sufficient" is MUCH LESS important compared to other ASOs: therefore the "1" in the RELATIVE IMPORTANCE column has been darkened.

Remember, read each ability, skill, and other characteristic carefully before making your RELATIVE IMPORTANCE ratings.

Please begin rating each ability, skill, and other characteristic.

		RELATIVE IMPORTANCE
	ABILITIES, SKILLS, AND OTHER CHARACTERISTICS	NOT AT ALL MUCH LES SOMEWHAT ESS ABOUT THE SAME SOMEWHAT ESS MUCH MORE SAME MUCH MORE MORE
1.	Leadership: The ability to take charge of a situation, to instill confidence, and promote	
2.	action among subordinates. Learning Ability: The ability to grasp and apply new information, skills, methods, and	000000
3.	procedures. Delegation: The ability to assign work, establish controls, and ensure that subordinates have the necessary authority and resources.	000000
4.	Conflict Resolution: The ability to listen to all sides of a dispute, objectively evaluate the situation, and respond appropriately.	0000000
5	Motivate Others: The ability to motivate subordinates to perform to the best of their abilities	
	Written Communication: The ability to write memos, letters, instructions, and other materials in a clear and organized fashion.	000000
7	Reading Ability: The ability to read and comprehend written material.	
	Oral Communication: The ability to clearly express oneself in a one-on-one or small group situation.	000000
9	Public Speaking: The ability to communicate orally to large groups of people.	000000
10.	Teaching Ability: The ability to impart new skills and knowledges to subordinates. Persuasion: The ability to obtain acceptance or agreement to an idea, plan, or course	000000
	of action.	000000
12.	Decision Making: The ability to make timely decisions based on factual information, personal experience, and knowledge of the mission of one's unit, specific organization, and the Army in general.	
13	Stress Tolerance: The ability to maintain acceptable level of performance and conduct under stressful conditions.	000000
• .4	Teamwork: The ability to function as a team member in groups, committees, or projects.	
	Response to Criticism: The ability to respond positively to constructive criticism.	0000000
	Non-Discriminatory. The ability to relate without prejudice to individuals whose backgrounds, appearances, values, or physical condition are different from yours.	
17.	Human Relations: The ability to develop and maintain cooperative and productive working relationships with peers, subordinates, and superiors.	
18.	Courage to Confront: The ability to confront one's subordinates about performance or conduct problems.	000000
19.	Coordination: The ability to coordinate the efforts of several people to efficiently achieve work or project goals.	000000
20.	Planning: The ability to set goals, establish plans, anticipate obstacles, and identify means to overcome them.	000000
	Organizing: The ability to prioritize tasks, schedule people, and arrange resources so as to most effectively achieve objectives.	000000
	Problem Identification: The ability to assess the overall effectiveness of the work unit and identify any issues or problems.	000000
	Economical: The ability to economize to keep costs down while keeping quality of work up Developmental Counseling: Skill in helping subordinates identify their developmental needs and means for meeting them.	0000000
25.	Performance/Conduct Courseling, Skill in counseling employees about work-related problems (e.g., performance deficiencies, attitude problems, conduct problems).	000000
26.	Time Management: Skill in managing one's time efficiently.	000000
27.	Monitoring Work: Skill in monitoring the progress of subordinate's work (e.g., seeing that orders are carried out, correcting and assisting subordinates, making sure that work	
	is up to standard, and knowing early when something goes wrong).	000000

		RELATIVE IMPORTANCE	
	ABILITIES, SKILLS, AND OTHER CHARACTERISTICS (Contd.)	NOT AT ALL INPOATANT MUCH LESS SOMEWHAT LESS ABOUT THE SAAN MUCH MIT AND SOMEWHAT MOUT	
28.	Flexible: Responds appropriately and competently when confronted with work changes, adversity, or other pressures. Adapts as needed to factors beyond personal control.	000000	
29.	Self-Confident: Believes in own abilities to get the job done. Acts with sureness and certainty.	0000000	
٥	Thorough: Concerned for the completeness, accuracy, and overall quality of the work.	000000	
11.	Honest: Values the truth and refrains from making misleading statements. Self-Starter: Originates actions to obtain self-imposed or other-imposed goals rather	000000	
	than waiting to be told what to do next.	OCCIII E	
33.	Responsible. Accepts responsibility for own actions as well as the actions of one's subordinates.	200193	
34	Dependable: Reliably completes assignments, meets deadlines, follows up on requests,		
15.	and pursues important matters until they are resolved. Achievement Oriented: Approaches the work situation ambitiously. Likes responsibility,	3033£3	
	Interested in getting ahead.	000000	
86.	Cooperative: Can usually be counted on to provide help when requested.	000000	
	Empathic: Aware of the impact of own behavior on the feelings and needs of others.	0000000	
	Technically Competent: Knows the work to be supervised. Has sufficient technical knowledge to be respected by other workers. Can make sound technical decisions.	0000000	
39.	Fair: Treats subordinates without favoritism. Evaluates work and administers rewards based on subordinate's true ability and accomplishments.	000003	
1 0.	Gets Facts Before Acting: Seeks complete information from all available sources beforc making judgments or decisions about important work matters.	000000	
1	Tactful and Diplomatic: Presents negative information clearly, yet without raising the listener's defenses or forcing the listener to "lose face."	000000	
12.	Realistic Self Appraisal: Knows own strengths and weaknesses and tends to see himself/herself as others do.	0000033	
1 3.	Self-Sufficient: Works independently with little need for supervision or help from co-workers.		
14.	Tolerant of Ambiguity: Performs effectively under sometimes unclear demands and	000000	
	situational factors.	000000	
	Energetic: Sustains high level of work activity throughout the day. Creative: Capable of generating and/or recognizing imaginative and original solutions	0000000	
17.	that are practical in work-related situations. Risk Taker: Takes action that involves a deliberate gamble in hopes of achieving a	000000	
18.	recognized benefit or advantage. Aggressive: Does not let things stand in the way of achieving work goals. Demands that	000033	
	others comply with his/her requests. Refuses to take no for an answer.	000003	
• 3 .	Job Involved: Work is an extremely important part of the individual's life. It is as important as family, friends, and recreation.	തരനാവര	
i0.	Emotionally Stable: Reacts appropriately and predictably to everyday events. Is neither moody nor suspicious around others.		
• •	Trustworthy: Handles confidential, classified, and/or personal information appropriately.	000000	

If you require any additional abilities, skills, or other characteristics to perform the supervisory aspects of your present position, please list them on the next page and make your ratings on the RELATIVE IMPORTANCE scale.

	RELATIVE IMPORTANCE
ABILITIES, SKILLS, AND OTHER CHARACTERISTICS (Contd.)	NOT AT ALL MUCH LES SOMEWHAT LES ABOUT THE LESS MUCH MORE MARE MUCH MORE ORE
52	
53	. ©©©©©©© . ©©©©©©©
54	
55	
56	000003
57	000000
58	000000
59	000000
60	
61	000000

SECTION V WORK ENVIRONMENT

This section contains items which describe your job and the environment in which you work. Please answer each question as accurately as possible.

 Which statement best describes the location of your subordinates? My subordinates perform their work in: the same general area at just one building or location. several areas of just one building or location. several different but adjacent buildings or location. several widely separated buildings or locations. Co you supervise subordinates who perform shift work? 	 YES NO No S. Do you supervise any employees who are members of a union? YES
O YES C NO	
3. How frequently do you change the work assignme of your subordinates to accommodate new or revi	sed O 1 day to less than 1 week
requests for your unit's products of services? Never Rarely Occasionally Often O Very often	 1 week to less than 1 month 1 month to less than 1 year 1 year or more
 4. How many of your subordinates have worked in t current job for a year or longer? C Don't know O None C Less than half C About half More than half All 	 10. Taken as a whole, how would you describe the quality of your subordinates? heir O Very poor Fairly poor Average Fairly high Very high
 5. Since you have been the supervisor, how often has size of your work group/unit changed? It hasn't changed Rarely Occasionally Often Very often 	 11. Think back over the past year and decide which of the following best describes the degree to which your work group has been fully staffed. For example, if you are supposed to have ten subordinates and for most of the year you have had eight, you would answer 80%. 100% (fully staffed) 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% (half-staffed)
 6. When vacancies exist in your work group/unit, he long does it typically take to fill a vacancy? Cless than 1 month 1 month to less than 3 months 3 months to less than 6 months 6 months but less than 1 year 1 year or more 	

ŀ

- 12. Using the scale below, think back over the past year and describe how much time you spent in person or on the telephone with the following people in a typical week:
 - 0 I spent NONE OF MY TIME with these people.
 - 1. I spent MUCH LESS TIME with these people than other people.
 - 2. I spent SOMEWHAT LESS TIME with these people than other people.
 - 3. I spent ABOUT THE SAME AMOUNT OF TIME with these people as with other people.
 - 4. I spent SOMEWHAT MORE TIME with these people than with other people.
 - 5. I spent MUCH MORE TIME with these people than with other people.
 - 6. I spent ALL OF MY TIME with these people.



- 13. How many people (including yourself) applied for your present job?
 - C Less than 5
 - 5 to less than 10.
 - \bigcirc 10 to less than 20
 - ◯ 20 or more
 - O Don't know
- 14. How many of the applicants for your present job (including yourself, if it applies) were working in the unit you now supervise?
 - -
 - O Don't know
 - O None
 - C Less than half
 - About half
 - O More than half.

15. If given a choice, would you prefer a civilian or military supervisor?

- 🔿 Civilian
- O Military
- O It does not matter to me.

16. If given a choice, would you prefer civilian or military subordinates?

O Civilian

O Military

It does not matter to me.

17. Below are listed some reasons for becoming an Army civilian first-line supervisor. How important were each of them in your decision to become a first-line supervisor?

	Not At All Important	Somewhat Important	Extremei- Importan
A. To earn more money	····· ① ···· ②) D @) 3
B. To get a promotion) (D) (J) D
C. For prestige) ()) I
D. To lead people	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·) (D (D) I
E. I felt I was doing most of the work anyway, so why not take it) (D (a	D D
F. Nobody else would take it) Ø e) D
G. To move toward management and away from the technical side of the w	ork 🛈 🤇) () 3) I
H. To avoid working for others who had applied for the job) Ø @	3 3
1. To have a chance to do things my way for a change) (D) (E	1 -
J. My current job was being eliminated	① 3		: ī
K. I was clearly the best qualified	····· ① · · · · a)Ð	ΣΣ

18. How similar is your present job compared to what you expected?

- O Not similar at all
- O Slightly

5

- O Moderately
- O Extremely
- Exactly the same

19. To what degree does your supervisor involve you in decision-making that affects your work?

- O Not at all
- O Slightly
- O Moderately
- O Very much
- O Totally

20. Do you feel more like a WORKER/TECHNICIAN/ACTION OFFICER or a MEMBER OF MANAGEMENT?

- O Much more like a manager
- O Somewhat more like a manager
- O About equal
- O Somewhat more like a worker/technician/action officer
- O Much more like a worker/technician/action officer

21. How often had you filled in for your supervisor prior to becoming a first-line supervisor yourself?

- O Never
- O Rarely
- O Occasionally
- O Often
- O Very often

22. In general, how satisfied are you with your present job?

O Not at all

O Slightly

O Moderately

- O Very
- C Extremely

23. Imagine you could go back to when you accepted the job of first-line supervisor. Based on what you know now, would you still take the job?

- O YES
- O NO

COMMENTS

You have now completed this survey. Please make sure that all of your responses are clearly marked. If you have any comments or suggestions about this survey, please write them in the space below.

<u></u>
 <u></u>

<u></u>
<u>-</u>
 <u> </u>

Please place your completed survey in the envelope provided, seal it, sign your name across the flap, and return it to the person at your location responsible for the administration of this job analysis survey.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION.

APPENDIX B

MATERIALS SENT TO POCS IN ADVANCE OF SURVEYS



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR PERSONNEL WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0300

PECC-TDM (690-400a)

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

MEMORANDUM FOR: SURVEY COORDINATOR

SUBJECT: Job Analysis Survey for Army Civilian First-Line Supervisors

1. You have been selected to be the coordinator for an Armywide survey to be carried out at your installation. The purpose of this memorandum is to (a) notify you of this fact, (b) tell you what the survey will be about, (c) explain your part in the survey, and (d) provide you with materials you will need in preparing for the survey. Please contact us within 48 hours to confirm that you received this memorandum (See below for details)

2. The purpose of the survey is to systematically identify the important supervisory (non-tecnnical) tasks that are performed by Army civilian firstline supervisors, and the knowledges, skills, abilities and other characteriscics (KSAOs) required for effectively performing the supervisory tasks. The survey will take most supervisors about one and a half to two hours to complete.

3. Your part_in_the_survey will be to serve as survey coordinator for your installation. In approximately four weeks you will receive surveys to distribute to a sample of first-line supervisors. Instructions for administering the survey will arrive at that time. To ensure an efficient survey administration. you need to begin preparing immediately for administration.

4. Materials needed in preparing for survey administration are enclosed. They include a roster of some of the supervisors at your installation and instructions for you to follow in identifying first-line supervisors who agree to complete surveys. Please read and complete these instructions as soon as possible.

5. If vou have questions or need assistance contact Dr. Joel Savell, U.S. Army Research Institute, Autovon 284-8293 or Commercial (202) 274-8293.

6. Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

FOR THE DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FCR PERSONNEL

RAYMOND J. SUMSER

3 Encls

1. Supervisor Roster

Director of Civilian Personnel

JUL 1987

- 2. Instructions for Identifying First-
- Line Supervisors to Receive Surveys
- 3. Survey-Participant Notification Cards

MEMORANDUM FOR SURVEY COORDINATORS

SUBJECT: Instructions For Identifying First-Line Supervisors to Receive Surveys

Surveys will arrive in about four weeks. Before their arrival, please follow the three steps below and identify a sample of first-line supervisors who agree in advance to complete the surveys.

Step 1: Check Materials and Notify Their Receipt

Please see that you received the following materials

- 1. Supervisor Roster.
- 2. Instructions for Identifying First-Line Supervisors to Receive Surveys.
- 3. Survey-Participant Notification Cards.

Survey coordinators should provide notification of receipt of these materials within 48 hours of their arrival by message to SAUNDERSL, VIA OPTIMIS. The message should read:

"I have received the materials for the Job Analysis Survey for Army Civilian First-Line Supervisors."

After you have acknowledged receipt, proceed to establish the roster of "eligible first-line supervisors" as described below.

Step 2: Identify First-Line Supervisors From the Supervisor Roster

ONLY FIRST-LINE SUPERVISORS SHOULD BE PROVIDED SURVEYS. The enclosed supervisor roster contains a random sample of civilian supervisors at your installation. Unfortunately, the data base which was used to draw the sample cannot distinguish first-line supervisors from higher-level supervisors. Thus, the roster includes names of upper-level supervisors (e.g., 2nd, 3rd, and 4th level) in addition to first-line supervisors.

Please begin at the top of the list and put a line through the name of any individual who is <u>not</u> a first-line supervisor. Also, cross-out the name of any first-line supervisor who is no longer at your installation. Finally, cross-out the name of any first-line supervisor who has been in his/her present job for <u>less</u> than one year.

The names you <u>do not</u> cross-out are eligible to receive surveys. Please work your way down the list until you identify ______ eligible first-line supervisors. This number corresponds to the number of surveys that you will receive in about four weeks. Step 3: Notify First-Line Supervisors about the Surveys, and Determine if the Supervisors will Participate.

Notify eligible first-line supervisors you identified in Step 2, and ask each one if he/she is willing to participate. We have enclosed a set of "survey-participant notification cards" you can use for this purpose. Simply address and distribute them. For each supervisor who indicates that he/she is unable to participate, we ask you to return to the supervisor roster and select the next candidate. You may have to repeat this process several times until you arrive at a list of ______ first-line supervisors who agree to participate.

Upon completion of Step 3, you will be ready to administer surveys when they arrive in about four weeks.

NAM PHO	ENE NUMBER ()
Filo	
first	ave been randomly selected to take part in an Armywide survey of -line supervisors. The survey will arrive within the next four . Please acknowledge receipt of this notification immediately.
	_ I will be available to complete the survey.
	I will not be available to complete the survey (Explain reason for unavailability.)
	SURVEY-PARTICIPANT NOTIFICATION CARD
PHO	E
PHON You ha first-	<pre>IE NUMBER (</pre>
PHON You ha first- weeks.	IE NUMBER (
PHON You ha first- weeks.	IE NUMBER (

APPENDIX C

MATERIALS SENT TO POCS ALONG WITH SURVEYS

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR PERSONNEL WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0300

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

SEP 1987

PECC-TDM (690-400a)

MEMORANDUM FOR: SURVEY COORDINATOR

SUBJECT: Job Analysis Survey for Army Civilian First-Line Supervisors

1. Several weeks ago I wrote to you concerning your selection as the coordinator for an Armywide survey to be carried out at your installation.

2. This package contains surveys for you to distribute to Army civilian firstline supervisors. In a previous mailing dated July 1987 you were requested to identify a group of first-line supervisors to receive these surveys. In case you did not receive this mailing, a copy of the materials is attached. If you have not already done so, follow those instructions immediately and select participants to receive surveys.

3. Assuming participants have already been selected, your tasks as survey coordinator are now to distribute, collect, and return the surveys. To facilitate this effort, the surveys were made to be as self-contained as possible. Each survey contains complete instructions and space for recording responses.

4. The participation of first-line supervisors is voluntary. However, the supervisors' participation is extremely important. If a large number of first-line supervisors fail to respond, the survey results may be inaccurate. We are concerned about individuals forgetting to complete the survey or postponing the effort until time runs out. The response rate for persons in higher-level positions is of special concern because of the small number of people involved.

5. Enclosed with this letter are instructions for you to follow to administer the job analysis surveys. We ask that you do your utmost to see that the appropriate individuals receive, complete, and return the surveys within the specified time. If you have questions about the materials, instructions, or other matters related to the administration, please call Dr. Joel Savell, U.S. Army Research Institute, Autovon 284-8293 or Commercial (202) 274-8293.

6. The surveys must be received at Questar Data Systems, Inc. by the date specified in the attached instructions.

FOR THE DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR PERSONNEL

life Miran

4 Encls

RAYMOND J. SUMSER Director of Civilian Personnel

- Copy of July 1987 Memorandum with Attachments.
- Instructions for Administering the Job Analysis Survey for Army Civilian First-Line Supervisors.

4. Return Envelopes for Completed Surveys.

^{3.} Surveys.

MEMORANDUM FOR SURVEY COORDINATORS

SUBJECT: Instructions For Administering the Job Analysis Survey For Army Civilian First-Line Supervisors

This instruction sheet is your guide for administering the Job Analysis Survey. The instructions contain the following four steps: (1) Check Materials and Notify their Receipt, (2) Administer the Surveys, (3) Check-Off Names of Supervisors who Returned Completed Surveys, and (4) Mail Completed Surveys.

Step 1: Check Materials and Notify Their Receipt

Please see that you received the following materials:

- 1. Copy of (July 1987) Memorandum With Attachments (Supervisor Roster, Instructions for Identifying First-Line Supervisors to Receive Surveys, and Survey-Participant Notification Card).
- 2. Instructions for Administering the Job Analysis Survey for Army Civilian First-Line Supervisors.
- 3. Surveys.
- 4. Return Envelopes for Completed Surveys.

Survey coordinators should provide notification of receipt of these materials within 48 hours of their arrival by message to SAUNDERSL, VIA OPTIMIS. The message should read:

> "I have received the materials for the Job Analysis Survey for Army Civilian First-Line Supervisors."

Step 2: Administer the Surveys

Administer the surveys to the first-line supervisors you previously identified. See memorandum dated (date) if you have not already completed this step. The surveys can be administered in several ways. The recommended way is to schedule employees in groups to come to a particular room at a specific time during their normal working hours and complete their surveys at one time. If all participants meet together, it will be easier to answer any questions that come up, and will ensure that the surveys are completed and returned promptly. To ensure confidentiality of responses, you should distribute one return privacy envelope along with the survey to each employee. Employees should seal their survey in the privacy envelope after completing it and sign their name across the seal. If it is not possible to have everyone attend a group session, you may distribute a survey and a return privacy envelope to employees to complete independently and return it to you at a specified time. Tell the employees to seal their survey in the privacy envelope after completing the survey and sign their name across the seal. Be sure to follow up on any surveys that are not returned on time.

Step 3: Check-Off Names of Those who Returned Completed Surveys

We ask that you keep complete records of each supervisor who received a survey. On the supervisor roster you used to select participants, please place a <u>check</u> () to the left of each person's name who was given a survey. Place an <u>"X" to the right of the name of the person when they return the</u> completed survey. The supervisor roster, after it is returned to us, will be saved as part of the official documentation of the project. Thus, it is important that you retain accurate records. For those first-line supervisors who fail to return completed surveys, please write the reason for their non-return. Write reasons for non-return to the <u>right</u> of the name on the supervisor roster. Examples of reasons for non-return include refusal to participate, non-receipt of a survey (indicate whether individual was on TDY, leave, etc.).

Step 4: Mail Completed Surveys

To prepare the surveys for mailing, first bundle them all together. Next, photocopy the supervisor roster and keep the copy in case we have any questions concerning your entries. Enclose the original copy of the roster with the surveys, and send this package to:

Questar Data Systems, Inc. ATTN: Processing 2905 W. Service Road Eagan, MN 55121-1224

The surveys must be received at Questar Data Systems, Inc. by 30 September 1987.

Thank you very much for your assistance.

APPENDIX D

SURVEY RESPONSES TO BACKGROUND AND WORK ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS

81

Section 1: Background Information

	Frequency	% Who Responded
WG	32	1.24
WS	462	17.88
GS	1511	58.48
GM	579	22.41
Missing	180	

4. What is your present pay system?

6. How long have you been a civilian employee of the Department of the Army?

Frequency	% Who Responded
1	0.04
12	0.43
100	3.62
157	5.69
462	16.74
2028	73.48
4	
	1 12 100 157 462

7. Were you ever on active duty in the military?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
YES NO	1587 1168	57.60 42.40
Missing	9	

· · · ·

8. How long have you been a first-line supervisor in your present JOB SERIES and GRADE LEVEL at your PRESENT LOCATION (fort, post, or installation)?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
Less than 6 months	86	3.13
6 months to less than 1 year	205	7.45
1 year to less than 3 years	982	35.71
3 years to less than 5 years	616	22.40
5 years to less than 10 years	551	20.04
10 years or more	310	11.27
Missing	14	

82

9. In total, how long have you been a Department of the Army first-line supervisor?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
Less than 6 months	34	1.23
6 months to less than 1 year	115	4.16
1 year to less than 3 years	729	26.39
3 years to less than 5 years	583	21.11
5 years to less than 10 years	696	25.20
10 years or more	605	21.90
Missing	2	

10. Did you have to move to a different installation to take your present job as a first-line supervisor?

	Frequency	∦ Who Responded
YES NO	421 2339	15.25 84.75
Missing	4	

11. Were you previously part of the work group for which you are now the first-line supervisor?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
YES NO	1608 1152	58.26 41.74
Missing	4	

12. Before you became a first-line supervisor, were you officially designated as a group/team leader?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
YES NO	1085 1673	39.34 60.66
Missing	б	

14.	Is your immediate supervisor civilian	or military?	a 111 -
		Frequency	% Who Responded
	Civilian Military	2279 479	82.63 17.37
	Missing	6	

15.	What	is	vour	ma ior	command	or	activity?	? (Dari	ken onl	lv one.	.)
1	nnac	13	¥0001	101	communu	U I					ļ

What is your major command or activity? (Darken only one.)	e Who
	Frequency	% Who Responded
Eight US Army (Korean)	14	1.63
Army Materiel Command	787	0.51
Military Traffic Management Command	43	28.47
US Army Military District of Washington	20	1.56
US Army, Europe & Seventh Army	133	0.72
US Army Forces Command	296	4.81
US Army Health Services Command	148	10.71
US Army Information Systems Command	154	5.35
US Army Intelligence & Security Command	11	5.57
US Army, Japan	16	0.40
US Army Recruiting Command	16 5	0.58
US Army Training and Doctrine Command	309	0.18
US Army Western Command	3	11.18
US Army Military Academy	16	0.11
HQDA	65	0.58
US Army Corps of Engineers	420	2.35
Others	253	15.20
Don't Know	26	9.15
Missing	45	0.94

16. What is the organization level of your current assignment? (Darken only one.) e Wh

	Frequency	号 Who Responded	
Department of Defense Joint Activity Department of Army Major Army Command Major Sub-Command/Numbered/Unit/School/Center Intermediate Command Activity/Facility/Office Installation (Post/Camp/Station) Don't Know	192 21 673 223 316 54 471 669 86	7.10 0.78 24.88 8 11.68 2.00 17.41 24.73 3.18	
Missing	59		

84

17. What is your sex?

.

-

	Frequency	% Who Responded
MALE FEMALE	2050 710	74.28 25.72
Missing	4	

18. Are you of Hispanic origin?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
YES NO	108 2638	3.93 96.07
Missing	18	

19. In which racial category are you?

n which fucture category are you.	Frequency	∦ Who Responded
American Indian or Alaskan Native	48	1.75
Asian or Pacific Islander	46	1.68
Black	236	8.60
White	2348	85.60
Other	65	2.37
Missing	21	

20. What is the HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION that you have attained?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
Less than high school diploma High School diploma or equivalency Some college Associate's degree Bachelor's degree Bachelor's degree plus some graduate credits Master's degree Master's degree plus some graduate credits Doctorate Other	44 555 733 206 369 359 240 166 39 31	0.80 1.59 20.08 26.52 7.45 13.35 12.99 8.68 6.01 1.41
Missing	22	1.12

Section 5: Work Environment

1. Which statement best describes the location of your subordinates? % Who Frequency Responded My subordinates perform their work in: The same general area at just one building or location. 1660 60.45 Several areas of just one building or location. 313 11.40 Several different but adjacent buildings or 187 6.81 location. Several widely separated buildings or locations 586 21.34 Missing 18 2. Do you supervise subordinates who perform shift work? % Who Frequency Responded YES 449 16.36 83.64 NO 2295 Missing 20

3. How frequently do you change the work assignments of your subordinates to accommodate new or revised requests for your unit's services?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
Never	59	2.15
Rarely	555	20.20
Occasionally	1372	49.95
Often	570	20.75
Very often	191	6.95
Missing	17	

4. How many of your subordinates have worked in their current job for a year or longer?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
None Loss than half	44	1.60
Less than half	252	9.17

About half	306	11.13
More than half	1262	45.91
All	885	32.19
Missing	15	

5. Since you have been the supervisor, how often has the size of your work group/unit changed?

I

Þ

•

F

ŧ

	Frequency	考 Who Responded
It hasn't changed	360	13.11
Rarely Occasionally	726 1068	26.43 38.88
Often	449	16.35
Very often	144	5.24
Missing	- 17	

6. When vacancies exist in your work group/unit, how long does it typically take to fill a vacancy?

	Frequency	∦ Who Responded
Less than 1 month	139	5.11
1 month to less than 3 months	1058	38.91
3 months to less than 6 months	940	34.57
6 months but less than 1 year	423	15.56
1 year or more	159	5.85
Missing	45	

7. Do you supervise any term or temporary employees?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
YES	1111	40.43
NO	1637	59.57
Missing	16	

8. Do you supervise any employees who are members of a union?

,

ķ

-

	Frequency	% Who Responded
YES NO	1342 1387	49.18 50.82
Missing	35	

9. Typically, how long does it take your subordinates to complete most of the tasks that you assign?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
Less than 1 day	712	26.07
1 day to less than 1 week	1100	40.28
1 week to less than 1 month	481	17.61
1 month to less than 1 year	389	14.24
l year or more	49	1.79
Missing	33	

10. Taken as a whole, how would you describe the quality of your subordinates?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
Very poor	7	0.25
Fairly poor	30	1.09
Average	465	16.92
Fairly high	1320	48.03
Very high	925	33.70
Missing	16	

11. Think back over the past year and decide which of the following best describes the degree to which your work group has been fully staffed. For example, if you are supposed to have ten subordinates and for most of the year you have had eight, you would enswer 80%.

	Frequency	% Who Responded
100% (fully staffed)	ُ ع	0.33
90%	6	0.22
80%	6	0.22
70%	16	0.58
60%	26	0.95
50% (half-staffed)	103	3.74
40%	158	5.74
30%	406	14.76

20%	617	22.43
10%	861	31.30
0% (totally unstaffed)	543	19.74
Missing	13	

- 12. Using the scale below, think back over the past year and describe how much time you spent in person or on the telephone with the following people in a typical week:
 - o. I spent NONE OF MY TIME with these people
 - 1. I spent MUCH LESS TIME with these people than other people.
 - 2. I spent SOMEWHAT LESS TIME with these people than other people.
 - 3. I spent ABOUT THE SAME AMOUNT OF TIME with these people as with other people.
 - 4. I spent SOMEWHAT MORE TIME with these people than with other people.
 - 5. I spent MUCH MORE TIME with these people than with other people.

6. I spent ALL OF MY TIME with these people.

Don't know

Missing

Mean Response

891

35

32.65

A. My subordinates	4.65
B. My supervisor(s)	3.03
C. Army personnel other than my	
subordinates or supervisor(s)	2.87
D. Federal employees outside of Army	1.87
E. Non-Federal employees (e.g., contractors)	2.10

13.	How many people (including y	ourself)applied for your present	job? % Who
		Frequency	Responded
	Less than 5	937	34.33
	5 to less than 10	533	19.53
	10 to less than 20	191	7.00
	20 or more	177	6.49

14. How many of the applicants for your present job (including yourself, if it applies) were working in the unit you now supervise?

to appried, work working in the	Frequency	% Who Responded
Don't know	753	27.62
None	1024	37.56
Leus than half	590	21.64
About half	139	5.10
More than half	101	3.71

A11	119	4.37
Missing	38	

15. If given a choice, would you prefer a civilian or military supervisor? % Who Frequency Responded

Civilian	1450	53.02
Military	174	6.36
It does not matter to me	1111	40.62
Missing	29	

16. If given a choice, would you prefer civilian or military subordinates? % Who Frequency Civilian Military It does not matter to me Missing 42

17. Below are listed some reasons for becoming an Army civilian first-line supervisor. How important were each of them in your decision to become a first-line supervisor?

Response Options:	Not At All Important 1	2	Somewhat Important 3		Extremely Important 5
			•	Mean	Response
 A. To earn more money B. To get a promotion C. For prestige D. To lead people d E. I felt I was doing most take it F. Nobody else would take G. To more toward manageme side of the work H. To avoid working for ot job I. To have a change to do J. My current job was bein K. I was clearly the best 	of the work it nt and away f hers who had things my way g eliminated	anywa rom t appl	ay, so why the technic ied for the a change .	not al	3.60 2.30 3.13 1.95 1.20 2.69 1.23 2.05 1.21

18.	How similar	is your	present	job	compared	to what you	expected	1? % Who
						Frequency		Responded
	Not similar	at all				113		4.14
	Slightly					201		7.37
	Moderately					910		33.35
	Extremely					931		34.12
	Exactly the	same				574		21.03
	Missing					35		

. .

19. To what degree does your supervisor involve you in decision-making that affects your work?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
Not at all Slightly Moderately Very much Totally	87 342 539 1280 486	3.18 12.51 19.71 46.82 17.78
Missing	30	

20. Do you feel more like a WORKER/TECHNICIAN/ACTION OFFICER or a MEMBER OF MANAGEMENT?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
Much more like a manager	652	23.86
Somewhat more like a manager About equal	562 704	20.56 25.76
Somewhat more like a worker/technician/ action officer	460	16.83
Much more like a worker/technician/ action officer	335	12.99
Missing	31	

21. How often had you filled in for your supervisor prior to becoming a first-line supervisor yourself?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
Never	738	27.10
Rarely	314	11.53

Occasionally	759	27.87
Often	580	21.30
Very often	332	12.19
Missing	41	

22.	In general, how satisfied are you wi	th your present job?	% Who
		Frequency	Responded
	Not at all Slightly Moderately Very Extremely	94 211 909 1071 439	3.45 7.75 33.37 39.32 16.12
	Missing	40	10.1L

23. Imagine you could go back to when you accepted the job of first-line supervisor. Based on what you know now, would you still take the job?

	Frequency	% Who Responded
YES NO	2279 437	83.91 16.09
Missing	48	

APPENDIX E

TASKS ORDERED (HIGH TO LOW) BASED ON TIME SUPERVISORS SPEND PERFORMING THEM

Rank Order	Mean for all Raters	Mean for those who Perform	% who Perform	Task
1.	3.3	3.4	98	Set an example for subordinates to follow
2. 3.	3.0 2.9	$3.1 \\ 3.1$	98 94	Assign work to subordinates Review and approve subordinates' work
J.	2.5	5.1	1	upon completion
4.	2.9	3.0	96	Assign work priorities and deadlines to subordinates
5.	2.9	2.9	97	Explain work assignments to subordinates
6.	2.8	2.9	94	Review subordinates' work when in
7.	2.7	2.8	96	progress Motivate subordinates
8.	2.7	3.0	91	Establish work priorities and deadlines
0	o -	0.0	05	to meet milestones
9.	2.7	2.8	95	Encourage subordinates to perform their jobs to the best of their ability
10.	2.7	2.9	93	Foster/develop a positive work
				environment that will serve to motivate
1 1	26	2.0	05	subordinates
11.	2.6	2.8	95	Complete written performance appraisals for civilian subordinates
12.	2.6	2.6	98	Praise subordinates for effective job
13.	2.5	2.6	98	performance Provide informal feedback to subordinates
15.	2.5	2.0	90	concerning their performance
14.	2.5	3.0	86	Formally review and evaluate job
15	0.5	0.6	00	performance of subordinates
15.	2.5	2.6	96	Implement plans to achieve goals or milestones
16.	2.5	2.9	84	Write or keep records/logs (e.g.,
				suspense dates, work status sheets,
17.	2.4	2.9	83	project logs, work orders)
1/.	2.4	2.9	00	Develop subordinates' performance standards
18.	2.4	2.6	92	Develop plans to achieve goals or
10	0.4	0.0	0.0	milestones
19.	2.4	2.9	82	Evaluate program/project status or progress
20.	2.4	2.5	97	Review performance standards with
			<u></u>	subordinates
21.	2.4	2.6	90	Read memos, newsletters, regulations, journals, etc., to keep up with
				developments related to supervision
22.	2.4	2.5	95	Involve subordinates in decision-making
				or planning processes

23.	2.3	2.5	91	Brief upper management on progress of work or project activities
24.	2.3	2.4	95	Review performance ratings with
25.	2.3	2.4	95	subordinates Inform subordinates of management
23.				objectives, decisions, and views
26.	2.3	2.5	89	Participate in staff meetings, conferences, etc.
27.	2.2	2.5	88	Delegate authority to work leader or
28.	2.2	2.5	88	other subordinates Communicate with other supervisors in
				your organization to improve operations
29.	2.2	2.7	81	Provide on-the-job training
30.	2.2	2.5	89	Inform subordinates of impending changes in policies or procedures
31.	2.2	2.6	84	Brief subordinates on progress of work or
				project activities
32.	2.2	2.3	96	Integrate the work of several subordinates to create a final product
22	<u> </u>	2 F	00	
33.	2.2	2.5	88	Counsel subordinates about how to improve
	~ .	~ ~		performance
34.	2.1	2.3	93	Recognize and take steps to correct
				morale problems
35.	2.1	2.3	92	Inform second-line supervisor or above of
				your subordinates' accomplishment
36.	2.1	2.3	91	Communicate with members of other
				organizations to improve operations
37.	2.1	2.5	81	Nominate subordinates for formal honors
				or awards
38.	2.0	2.2	92	Explain personnel policies and procedures
				to subordinates
39.	2.0	2.1	95	Coordinate annual leave/vacation
				schedules for subordinates
40.	2.0	2.6	78	Inform second-line supervisor or above
				when problems arise which will delay
				completion of work
41.	2.0	2.1	95	Explain administrative policies and
	2.0		20	procedures to subordinates (e.g., TDY,
				work hours, leave)
42.	2.0	2.2	92	Evaluate work requests to determine if
72.	2.0	L • L	52	work can be accomplished and is within
				the mission of the unit.
42	2.0	.	02	
43.	2.0	2.2	92	Identify training needed by subordinates
44.	2.0	2.3	87	Assign experienced employee(s) to train
45		0.6	76	new workers
45.	2.0	2.6	76	Approve/disapprove requests for absences and leave
46.	1.9	2.6	75	Read/research regulations pertaining to
701	1.7	2.0	15	personnel management and administrative
				procedures
17	1 0	2 2	84	
47.	1.9	2.3	04	Represent your subordinates during their
				absence

48. 49.	1.9 1.9	2.6 2.0	75 95	Assess efficiency of work operations Develop plans for improving work
50.	1.9	2.1	87	operations Implement plans for improving work
51.	1.9	2.3	80	operations Review subordinates' job descriptions for accuracy
52. 53.	1.9 1.8	2.1 2.1	87 86	Certify time sheets/time cards Inform subordinates of
54. 55.	1.8 1.8	2.1 2.0	87 91	training/development opportunities Provide subordinates to new employees Inform higher management of employees' views and concerns
56.	1.8	2.3	78	Determine the supplies, parts, equipment, or tools needed to accomplish work
57.	1.8	2.2	85	Estimate time required to complete projects
58. 59.	1.8 1.8	2.5 2.0	73 89	Nominate subordinates to receive training Review personnel records and reports (e.g., time and attendance, sick leave, overtime) for accuracy and potential abuses (e.g., excessive tardiness, sick leave)
60.	1.8	2.0	90	Develop Individual Development Plans (IDPs) with subordinates
61.	1.8	2.3	78	Ask second-line supervisor or above for help or advice with work-related problems
62.	1.8	2.3	77	Determine if supplies, parts, equipment,
63.	1.8	2.3	76	or tools are available to accomplish work Request/order supplies, parts, equipment, or tools required to accomplish work
64.	1.8	2.2	80	Resolve work related complaints, conflicts or disputes among subordinates
65.	1.8	2.0	87	Counsel suborlinates about absences, tardiness, behavioral problems
66.	1.7	2.0	85	Represent your immediate supervisor during his/her absence
67.	1.7	2.2	79	Explain local installation policies and
68.	1.7	2.0	86	procedures to subordinates Assess/verify accuracy of facts, statements, or complaints by others before they become part of a record or a
69.	1.7	2.3	76	basis for action. Review candidates' qualification summaries (e.g., resumes, DA 2302, SF 171, 201 files, performance ratings, SKAP/ACCES)
70.	1.7	2.0	83	Evaluate subordinates' suitability for promotion (e.g., local merit, SKAP/ACCES)
71.	1.7	2.1	80	Review subordinates' performance ratings with approving official prior to reviewing ratings with subordinates

72.	1.7	2.5	66	Identify available training/developmental opportunities for subordinates
70	1.7	2.0	82	Revise work schedules to adjust for
73.	1.7	2.0	02	subordinates' vacations, retirements,
				leaves of absence, attendance at
				training, etc.
74.	1.6	2.0	80	Select candidates for vacant position
75.	1.6	2.3	72	Write policies and procedures (e.g., SOP)
76.	1.6	2.4	68	Interview candidates for vacant positions
77.	1.6	2.0	82	Take appropriate actions to
	1.0	2.0	02	minimize/eliminate discriminatory
				attitudes/behaviors of subordinates
				toward each other
78.	1.6	2.1	79	Write letters of
				appreciation/commendation for
				subordinates
79.	1.6	2.1	78	Request/justify comp time or overtime for
				subordinates
80.	1.6	1.9	86	Answer subordinates' questions concerning
				position vacancy announcements
81.	1.6	2.0	82	Inspect work area for safety hazards
82.	1.6	2.1	76	Identify changes in position
				duties/requirements
83.	1.6	2.1	75	Revise work schedule to meet changes in
				the demands for unit's products or
~				services
84.	1.6	1.9	84	Estimate unit's short-range workload
85.	1.6	2.0	79	Monitor the physical security of the work
0.0		0.0	70	area
86.	1.6	2.2	73	Instruct personnel in the observance of
07	1 6	1 0	0.2	safety regulations
87.	1.6	1.9 2.4	83 64	Ensure that safety hazards are addressed
88.	1.6	2.4	04	Explain security policies and procedures to subordinates
89.	1.5	2.2	70	Instruct subordinates on how to use new
09.	C•1	2.2	70	equipment
90.	1.6	1.9	81	Assign work space to subordinates
91.	1.5	2.4	65	Schedule subordinates' work hours
92.	1.5	1.9	78	Resolve work related conflicts between
56.	1.5	1.5	, 0	your subordinates and people in other
				offices
93.	1.5	2.0	77	Develop revisions to job descriptions
94.	1.5	1.8	84	Provide cross-training for subordinates
95.	1.5	2.3	64	Encourage subordinates to participate in
• - ·			-	productivity improvement programs (e.g.,
				suggestion, cost-reduction, quality
				assurance, quality circles)
96.	1.5	2.0	75	Enforce safety programs and procedures
97.	1.5	2.2	69	Project resources (e.g., personnel,
				equipment, training, TDY) required on an
				annual basis so budgets can be
				determined.

.
98.	1.5	2.0	74	Document subordinates' poor performance
99.	1.5	2.0	74	Determine if currently available training programs meet supordinates needs.
100.	1.4	1.9	74	Conduct staff meetings
101.	1.4	2.4	60	Implement internal controls to minimize
	1	_ · · ·		waste, fraud or abuse
102.	1.4	1.9	74	Assess the impact of future workload on
		• •	-	staffing requirements
103.	1.4	2.4	59	Encourage subordinates to participate in
				Black History Month, Federal Women's Program, Hispanic Week, etc.
104.	1.4	2.4	60	Document subordinates' unauthorized
104.	1.4	2.4	00	absences, tardiness, or behavioral
				problems
105.	1.4	2.4	60	Discuss with subordinates personal
				problems that affect their job
				performance
106.	1.4	1.9	72	Complete subordinates' time cards and
			C A	overtime sheets
107.	1.4	2.2	64	Receive/verify receipt of supplies,
108.	1.4	2.0	71	parts, equipment, or tools Estimate unit's long-range workload
108.	1.4	2.2	64	Maintain privacy/security of personal
103.	1.4	C • C	04	information in accordance with the
				Freedom of Information/Privacy Act
110.	1.4	2.4	58	Complete training needs survey
111.	1.4	2.0	69	Designate subordinates to receive cross-
				training
112.	1.4	2.2	62	Identify requirements and hiring criteria
				for position vacancies (e.g., skills and knowledges, SKAP/ACCES elements,
				crediting plans)
113.	1.4	2.4	58	Review own personnel practices (e.g.,
1101		2		recruitment, selection, employee
				development, complaints resolution,
				workload distribution) to identify areas
				requiring affirmative action
114.	1.4	2.2	62	Account for hand receipt equipment on the
	1 4	1 7	70	survey list
115.	1.4	1.7	79	Assess the impact of mission changes on
116.	1.4	2.2	60	workload and staffing requirements Arrange for support from other offices or
110.	1.4	<i>L</i> • <i>L</i>	00	activities
117.	1.3	2.2	61	Justify in writing the selection/non-
				selection of candidates
118.	1.3	1.9	70	Offer to assist subordinates with
				personal problems that affect their job
			70	performance
119.	1.3	1.9	70	Design on-the-job training programs
120.	1.3	2.1	65	Determine causes of subordinates' repeated absences/tardiness
121.	1.3	1.7	78	Enforce smoking/non-smoking regulations
****	1 · J	L • /	,0	enter de billek my neur billek my regulae fons

122.	1.3	2.0	65	Request repairs on down equipment
123.	1.3	1.8	73	Justify the need for current/new
				positions
124.	1.3	1.8	70	Provide job references for subordinates
125.	1.3	2.4	54	Develop internal controls to minimize
100	1 2	2 2	50	waste, fraud or abuse`
126.	1.3	2.2	58	Negotiate with others to obtain
				personnel, equipment, materials or financial resources to accomplish mission
127.	1.3	2.0	62	Detect/recognize personal problems of
* = / •	1.5	2.0	02	subordinates (e.g., drugs, alcohol,
				financial, family) that affect their job
				performance
128.	1.3	1.7	72	Document effectiveness of training
				attended by subordinates (e.g., complete
				DD 1556)
129.	1.2	1.7	73	Initiate requests (SF-52) for recruitment
1 20	1 0	1 0	65	or other staffing actions'
130.	1.2	1.9	65	Revise own personnel practices (e.g.,
				recruitment, selection, employee development, complaints resolution,
				workload distribution) to achieve
				affirmative action
131.	1.2	1.8	68	Confer with staff from Management
		2.2		Employee Relations (MER) prior to taking
				actions on subordinates
132.	1.2	1.9	62	Allocate supplies or equipment to
				subordinates
133.	1.2	2.1	57	Obtain and provide information for
				manpower surveys and studies (e.g.,
				Schedule X, Efficiency Reviews,
134.	1.2	2.2	55	Commercial Activities Review)
104.	1.2	2.2	55	Foster/develop a positive working relationship between civilian and miliary
				subordinates
135.	1.2	2.0	59	Request TDY for your subordinates
136.	1.2	2.0	58	Report accidents
137.	1.2	2.1	57	Assess internal controls designed to
				minimize waste, fraud or abuse
138.	1.2	2.6	44	Assess impact of new technology on work
				group
139.	1.2	2.0	57	Evaluate probationary employees to
				determine suitability for permanent
140.	1.1	2 2	E 1	status Desuida subendinatas with written
140.	1.1	2.3	51	Provide subordinates with written
141.	1.1	1.9	60	guidelines on how to improve performance Provide career development counseling to
* - * *	* • *	1.1	00	subordinates
142.	1.1	1.8	63	Recommend/approve TDY for your
				subordinates
143.	1.1	2.1	54	Monitor/evaluate work performed for the
				Army by outside vendors or contractors

144.	1.1	2.1	53	Follow EEO procedures in response to EEO complaints
145.	1.1	1.9	60	Ensure subordinates are free for PT,
146.	1.1	2.2	51	training, etc. Monitor currently available financial
147.	1.1	1.8	61	resources Determine if formal disciplinary steps
, .				should be taken in response to conduct/behavioral problems (e.g.,
				absences, tardiness)
148.	1.1	2.4	46	Estimate financial resources required to
140.	1.1	2.4	40	complete projects
149.	1.1	1.6	67	Implement SOP in accordance with security
143.	*•*	1.0	0/	regulations
150.	1.1	2.6	41	Answer subordinates' questions concerning
1001		2.0		their non-selection for vacant positions
151.	1.1	1.9	57	Monitor use of overtime; travel funds,
				training funds, incentive rewards, etc.
				to ensure that spending keeps within
				budgetary constraints
152.	1.1	2.3	46	Justify the need for retaining allocated
				supplies or equipment for subordinates
153.	1.1	2.3	46	Justify the need for retaining allocated
154	• •	1 0	r 0	work space for subordinates
154.	1.1	1.8	58	Participate in EEO/Affirmative Action conferences or workshops
155.	1.0	2.6	40	Instruct personnel in the use of safety
100.	1.0	2.0	40	equipment
156.	1.0	1.8	57	Check with references concerning
1001		1.0	•	candidates' background
157.	1.0	2.2	47	Refer subordinates with personal problems
				affecting their job performance for
				assistance
158.	1.0	2.5	42	Establish rewards or incentives to
				motivate subordinates (e.g., certificate
				of achievement, employee of the month)
159.	1.0	2.2	46	Complete accident reports
160.	1.0	2.0	51	Approve comp time or overtime for
161	1 0	1.0	E /	subordinates
161. 162.	1.0	1.9 2.2	54 45	Conduct safety meetings
102.	1.0	2.2	40	<pre>Instruct personnel how to work equipment safely</pre>
163.	1.0	2.3	42	Check equipment to assure it is working
105.	1.0	2.5	76	properly
164.	1.0	1.9	52	Participate in writing mission and
			• -	function statements
165.	1.0	2.1	47	Justify allocation of budget resources
				for work/projects
166.	1.0	1.8	56	Present on-the-spot cash awards to
				subordinates from discretionary funds
167.	1.0	2.1	47	Review subordinates' travel itineraries
168.	1.0	2.0	49	Report missing tools/equipment

169.	1.0	1.9	51	Survey customers/users to assess quality
105.		,		of services provided
170.	1.0	1.7	57	Evaluate trainees (e.g., interns, upward
1,0,			•	mobility) for target grade promotion
171.	1.0	2.4	39	Determine if adverse actions (e.g.,
1/1.	1.0		0,0	suspension, removal) should be taken
				because of poor performance
172.	0.9	2.0	47	Justify need for non-government sources
1/2.	0.5	2.0		of training
173.	0.9	2.0	46	Investigate work-related accidents
173.	0.9	2.0	45	Review and approve subordinates' trip
1/4.	0.9	2.0	40	reports
175.	0.9	1.7	54	Monitor/update mission and function
1/5.	0.9	1./	14	statements
176	0 0	1 0	51	Evaluate long term effectiveness of
176.	0.9	1.8	51	training attended by subandinates
	0.0	0.0	45	training attended by subordinates
177.	0.9	2.0	45	Conduct meetings with subordinates at
	0.0	0.0	20	beginning of their shift
178.	0.9	2.3	39	Review and approve subordinates' travel
				vouchers
179.	0.9	2.0	44	Survey customers/users to estimate future
				workload
180.	0.9	2.3	37	Develop training plans for subordinates
				in special employment programs (e.g.,
				apprentices, co-op students, interns,
				upward mobility, veterans re-adjustment
				employees)
181.	0.9	2.0	44	Explain military policies and procedures
				to civilian supervisors and/or
				subordinates
182.	0.9	1.9	46	Communicate with EEO counselors
183.	0.9	1.8	48	Document safety violations
184.	0.9	2.1	40	Allocate/reallocate budget resources
				among different work/projects
185.	0.8	1.9	45	Recommend candidates for vacant position
1001	0.0			to the next level of supervision
186.	0.8	1.9	44	Initiate adverse actions (e.g.,
100.	0.0	1.7	-1-1	suspension, removal) for subordinates
				whose performance is below acceptable
				levels
187.	0.8	1.8	45	Follow grievance procedures in response
10/.	0.0	1.0	40	
100	0 0	2.6	31	to grievances
188.	0.8	2.0	51	Keep up-to-date on union contracts or
100	<u> </u>	1 7	40	activities
189.	0.8	1.7	46	Notify appropriate personnel (e.g.,
				security officer, Military Intelligence)
100		0.0	20	of suspected security risks or violations
190.	0.8	2.0	39	Write letter of reprimand
191.	0.8	1.8	41	Determine when work should be performed
			•••	in-house and when to contract work out
192.	0.8	2.3	32	Justify retaining grade level of vacant
				positions

193.	0.7	1.8	41	Monitor long-term availability of financial resources
194.	0.7	2.4	30	Determine sensitivity of positions for
				security purposes
195.	0.7	2.3	32	Request that positions be audited or
				<pre>reviewed by the Civilian Personnel Office (CPO)</pre>
196.	0.7	2.3	33	Identify/restructure vacant positions
				that can be filled at lower grade levels
4				with promotion potential to full level
197.	0.7	1.6	47	Schedule preventive maintenance on equipment
198.	0.7	2.4	30	Report/document security violations
199.	0.7	1.8	39	Participate as a member on selection
				boards/panels
200.	0.7	1.6	44	Communicate with union
201	0 7	1.0	36	representatives/stewards
201.	0.7	1.9	30	Instruct personnel in the proper handling of hazardous materials
202.	0.7	2.2	31	Inspect safety equipment
203.	0.7	1.7	41	Monitor compliance with union agreements
204.	0.7	1.8	37	Develop/provide input to Table of
005	0.7	2.1	21	Distribution and Allowances (TDAs)
205. 206.	0.7 0.6	2.1 1.7	31 37	Complete workers compensation forms Request security clearances for
200.	0.0	1.7	57	subordinates
207.	0.6	1.7	37	Develop SOP in accordance with security
			_	regulations
208.	0.6	1.8	35	Deny within grade increase to
				<pre>subordinates whose performance is below acceptable levels</pre>
209.	0.6	1.5	42	Explain civilian policies and procedures
205.	0.0	1.0	. –	military supervisors and/or military
				subordinates
210.	0.6	2.0	30	Develop internal work group operating
211	06	25	24	budgets from allocations received
211. 212.	0.6 0.5	2.5 1.8	24 28	Request safety tests on equipment Establish upward mobility, intern, co-op
~ 1 ~ •	0.5	1.0	20	student positions, etc.
213.	0.5	1.6	30	Participate as a member on applicant
				evaluation boards (e.g., local merit
214	0 5	1 7	20	promotion or SKAP panels) Maintain records of subordinates'
214.	0.5	1.7	29	attendance at security meetings
215.	0.5	1.7	28	Coordinate with EEO office to determine
			-	if unit contains a representative number
			• •	of minorities and women
216.	0.5	1.7	26	Complete/provide input to Enlisted
217.	0.4	2.1	21	Evaluation Report (EER) Adjust the composition of workforce
<u> </u>	0.4	2.1	<u> </u>	(e.g., number of part time vs full time,

218. 219.	0.4 0.4	1.9 2.3	23 17	low vs high grade) to satisfy TDA while maximizing work group productivity Conduct security training meetings Identify changes in job requirements which require that subordinates clearances be upgraded or downgraded
220.	0.4	2.1	20	Inform chain of command of performance problems with military subordinates
221.	0.4	1.8	20	Inform chain of command of conduct/behavioral problems (e.g., absences, tardiness) with military subordinates
222.	0.4	1.8	20	Conduct safety tests on equipment
223.	0.4	2.5	14	Consult with off-going supervisor about shift conditions
224.	0.3	1.8	15	Complete/provide input to Officer Efficiency Report (OER)
225.	0.3	2.0	12	Review subordinates Personal History Statements (i.e., DD 398)
226.	0.2	1.5	11	Recruit/interview candidates at high schools or college campuses or conferences or job fairs, etc.

APPENDIX F

TASKS ORDERED (HIGH TO LOW) BASED ON SUPERVISORS' RATINGS OF IMPORTANCE

ŀ

	Mean	Mean of		······································
Rank		those who	% who	
<u>Order</u>	Raters	Perform	Perform	Task
1.	4.1	4.2	98	Set an example for subordinates to follow
2.	3.8	3.9	98	Praise subordinates for effective job
2.	5.0	3.5	50	performance
3.	3.8	3.9	96	Motivate subordinates
4.	3.7	3.9	95	Encourage subordinates to perform their
				jobs to the best of their ability
5.	3.7	3.8	97	Assign work to subordinates
6.	3.7	3.8	96	Assign work priorities and deadlines to
-				subordinates
7.	3.6	3.9	94	Review and approve subordinates' work
Q	2 E	27	97	upon completion
8. 9.	3.6 3.6	3.7 3.9	97 93	Explain work assignments to subordinates Foster/develop a positive work
9.	5.0	2.9	90	environment that will serve to motivate
				subordinates
10.	3.5	3.6	98	Provide informal feedback to subordinates
	0.0	010	50	concerning their performance
11.	3.5	3.7	95	Complete written performance appraisals
				for civilian subordinates
12.	3.5	3.8	91	Establish work priorities and deadlines
_		_		to meet milestones
13.	3.4	3.6	95	Implement plans to achieve goals or
1.4	~ 4	2.6	0.1	milestones
14.	3.4	3.6	94	Inform subordinates of management objectives, decisions, and views
15.	3.4	3.6	93	Review subordinates' work when in
10.	3.4	5.0	30	progress
16.	3.4	3.7	90	Communicate with members of other
		017	20	organizations to improve operations
17.	3.4	3.5	97	Review performance standards with
				subordinates
18.	3.3	3.5	95	Review performance ratings with
				subordinates
19.	3.3	3.8	88	Counsel subordinates about how to improve
00	~ ~	2.4	05	performance
20.	3.3	3.4	95	Integrate the work of several
21.	3.3	3.6	92	subordinates to create a final product
21.	2.2	3.0	92	Develop plans to achieve goals or milestones
22.	3.3	3.6	92	Identify training needed by subordinates
23.	3.3	3.5	93	Recognize and take steps to correct
		5.5	20	morale problems
24.	3.2	3.5	91	Brief upper management on progress of
	-			work or project activities
25.	3.2	3.5	92	Inform second-line supervisor or above of
				your subordinates' accomplishment

26.	3.2	3.7	85	Formally review and evaluate job
		-		performance of subordinates
27.	3.2	3.6	89	Participate in staff meetings,
47.	5.2	5.0	05	conferences, etc.
00	2.0	2 5	00	
28.	3.2	3.5	90	Read memos, newsletters, regulations,
				journals, etc., to keep up with
				developments related to supervision
29.	3.1	3.7	84	Write or keep records/logs (e.g.,
				suspense dates, work status sheets,
				project logs, work orders)
30.	3.1	3.7	85	Estimate time required to complete
30.	3.1	5.7	00	projects
21	3.1	25	89	
31.	3.1	3.5	09	Communicate with other supervisors in
				your organization to improve operations
32.	3.1	3.5	87	Assign experienced employee(s) to train
				new workers
33.	3.0	3.2	95	Involve subordinates in decision-making
				or planning processes
34.	3.0	3.4	87	Implement plans for improving work
J4.	5.0	5.4	07	operations
25	2 0	2 7	0.2	
35.	3.0	3.7	82	Inspect work area for safety hazards
36.	3.0	3.4	87	Delegate authority to work leader or
				other subordinates
37.	3.0	3.1	95	Coordinate annual leave/vacation
				schedules for subordinates
38.	3.0	3.6	82	Evaluate program/project status or
50.	0.0	0.0	02	progress
39.	3.0	3.5	83	Brief subordinates on progress of work or
23.	5.0	3.0	00	
10	2 0	2.4	06	project activities
40.	3.0	3.4	86	Inform subordinates of
				training/development opportunities
41.	2.9	3.2	92	Evaluate work requests to determine if
				work can be accomplished and is within
				the mission of the unit
42.	2.9	3.1	95	Explain administrative policies and
				procedures to subordinates (e.g., TDY,
				work hours, leave)
43.	2.9	3.1	95	Develop plans for improving work
43.	2.9	3.1	90	
	a a	2 2	07	operations
44.	2.9	3.3	87	Counsel subordinates about absences,
				tardiness, behavioral problems
45.	2.9	3.2	92	Explain personnel policies and procedures
				to subordinates
46.	2.9	3.5	83	Develop subordinates' performance
		••••		standards
47.	2.9	3.6	81	Provide on-the-job training
47.	2.9	3.4	84	
				Estimate unit's short-range workload
49.	2.8	3.2	87	Certify time sheets/time cards
50.	2.8	3.1	89	Develop Individual Development Plans
				(IDPs) with subordinates
51.	2.8	3.4	81	Nominate subordinates for formal honors
				or awards

· · ·

106

. -

52.	2.8	3.6	79	Take appropriate actions to
52.	2.0	J.0	15	minimize/eliminate discriminatory
				attitudes/behaviors of subordinates
53.	2.8	3.6	77	toward each other Determine if supplies, parts, equipment,
55.	2.0	5.0	,,	or tools are available to accomplish work
54.	2.8	3.0	91	Inform higher management of employees'
55.	2.7	3.4	80	views and concerns Select candidates for vacant position
56.	2.7	3.1	87	Provide subordinates to new employees
57.	2.7	3.6	76	Review candidates' qualification
				summaries (e.g., resumes, DA 2302, SF
				171, 201 files, performance ratings, SKAP/ACCES)
58.	2.7	3.0	89	Inform subordinates of impending changes
50	0 7	2 2	0.2	in policies or procedures
59. 60.	2.7 2.7	3.2 3.5	83 76	Ensure that safety hazards are addressed Approve/disapprove requests for absences
00.	2.,	5.5	, 0	and leave
61.	2.7	3.1	86	Assess/verify accuracy of facts,
				statements, or complaints by others before they become part of a record or a
				basis for action.
62.	2.6	3.0	89	Review personnel records and reports
				(e.g., time and attendance, sick leave,
				overtime) for accuracy and potential abuses (e.g., excessive tardiness, sick
				leave)
63.	2.6	3.3	79	Monitor the physical security of the work
64.	2.6	3.4	78	area Inform second-line supervisor or above
04.	2.0	5. 1	,0	when problems arise which will delay
				completion of work
65. 66.	2.6 2.6	3.4 3.5	76 74	Develop revisions to job descriptions
67.	2.0	3.5	75	Assess efficiency of work operations Read/research regulations pertaining to
••••		•••	, -	personnel management and administrative
60	2 6	2 1	0.4	procedures
68.	2.6	3.1	84	Represent your subordinates during their absence
69.	2.6	3.4	75	Revise work schedule to meet changes in
				the demands for unit's products or
70.	2.6	3.2	80	services Resolve work related complaints,
/0.	2.0	J.E	00	conflicts or disputes among subordinates
71.	2.6	3.3	79	Explain local installation policies and
72.	2.6	3.4	75	procedures to subordinates
12.	2.0	3.4	/5	Identify changes in position duties/requirements
73.	2.6	3.2	79	Review subordinates' performance ratings
				with approving official prior to
				reviewing ratings with subordinates

74.	2.5	3.3	78	Request/justify comp time or overtime for subordinates
75.	2.5	3.4	74	Document subordinates' poor performance
	2.5	3.3	78	
76.	2.5	3.3	/0	Determine the supplies, parts, equipment,
				or tools needed to accomplish work
77.	2.5	3.1	80	Review subordinates' job descriptions for
				accuracy
78.	2.5	3.5	72	Instruct personnel in the observance of
				safety regulations
79.	2.5	2,9	86	Answer subordinates' questions concerning
, , ,	2.0	-,-	••	position vacancy announcements
80.	2.5	3.2	77	Ask second-line supervisor or above for
00.	2.5	J.C	//	help or advice with work-related problems
01	25	2.9	85	
81.	2.5	2.9	00	Represent your immediate supervisor
				during his/her absence
82.	2.5	3.0	82	Write letters of
				appreciation/commendation for
				subordinates
83.	2.5	3.0	83	Evaluate subordinates' suitability for
				promotion (e.g., local merit, SKAP/ACCES)
84.	2.5	3.3	73	Determine if currently available training
011	2.5	5.5	, 3	programs meet subordinates needs
85.	2.5	3.3	74	Conduct staff meetings
		3.2		
86.	2.4	3.2	76	Request/order supplies, parts, equipment,
	~ .	~ ~	70	or tools required to accomplish work
87.	2.4	3.3	72	Write policies and procedures (e.g., SOP)
88.	2.4	3.3	73	Nominate subordinates to receive training
89.	2.4	3.3	72	Complete subordinates' time cards and
				overtime sheets
90.	2.4	2.9	82	Revise work schedules to adjust for
				subordinates' vacations, retirements,
				leaves of absence, attendance at
				training, etc.
01	^ >	3.4	70	Provide job references for subordinates
91. 02	2.3			
92.	2.3	3.4	68	Interview candidates for vacant positions
93.	2.3	3.3	70	Offer to assist subordinates with
				personal problems that affect their job
				performance
94.	2.3	3.3	68	Project resources (e.g., personnel,
				equipment, training, TDY) required on an
				annual basis so budgets can be
				determined.
95.	2.3	2.8	80	Assign work space to subordinates
96.	2.3	3.2	70	Estimate unit's long-range workload
90. 97.	2.3	3.5	65	Devise own personnel prostings (o o
9/.	2.3	J.J	05	Revise own personnel practices (e.g.,
				recruitment, selection, employee
				development, complaint resolution,
				workload distribution) to achieve
				affirmative action
98.	2.2	3.2	70	Instruct subordinates on how to use new
				equipment

99.	2.2	2.8	78	Resolve work related conflicts between your subordinates and people in other
100.	2.2	3.4	66	offices Identify available training/developmental opportunities for subordinates
101. 102. 103.	2.2 2.2 2.2	2.6 2.9 3.0	84 74 72	Provide cross-training for subordinates Enforce safety programs and procedures Encourage subordinates to participate in Black History Month, Federal Women's
104. 105.	2.1 2.1	3.3 2.8	65 74	Program, Hispanic Week, etc. Schedule subordinates' work hours Assess the impact of future workload on staffing requirements
106.	2.1	3.3	65	Determine causes of subordinates' repeated absences/tardiness
107.	2.1	3.3	64	Encourage subordinates to participate in productivity improvement (programs, (e.g., suggestion, cost-reduction, quality assurance, quality circles)
108.	2.1	2.9	73	Justify the need for current/new positions
109.	2.1	3.2	64	Explain security policies and procedures to subordinates
110.	2.1	3.5	59	Document effectiveness of training attended by subordinates (e.g., complete DD 1556)
111.	2.1	3.3	62	Detect/recognize personal problems of subordinates (e.g., drugs, alcohol, financial, family) that affect their job performance
112.	2.1	3.5	59	Implement internal controls to minimize waste, fraud or abuse
113.	2.1	3.3	61	Identify requirements and hiring criteria for position vacancies (e.g., skills and knowledges, KAP/ACCES elements, crediting plans)
114.	2.0	3.2	63	Receive/verify receipt of supplies,
115.	2.0	3.1	64	parts, equipment, or tools Discuss with subordinates personal problems that affect their job performance
116. 117.	2.0 2.0	3.4 2.7	59 74	Request repairs on down equipment Initiate requests (SF-52) for recruitment or other staffing actions'
118.	2.0	2.5	79	Assess the impact of mission changes on workload and staffing requirements
119. 120.	2.0 2.0	2.9 3.2	69 61	Design on-the-job training programs Account for hand receipt equipment on the survey list
121. 122.	2.0 2.0	3.4 2.8	58 69	Complete training needs survey Designate subordinates to receive cross- training

*----

123.	2.0	3.2	60	Arrange for support from other offices or activities
124.	1.9	3.3	59	Provide career development counseling to
				subordinates
125.	1.9	3.3	58	Review own personnel practices (e.g.,
				recruitment, selection, employee
				development complaints resolution,
				<pre>workload distribution) to identify areas requiring affirmative action</pre>
126.	1.9	3.2	60	Document subordinates' unauthorized
120.	1.5	3.2	00	absences, tardiness, or behavioral
				problems
127.	1.9	3.3	58	Participate in EEO/Affirmative Action
				conferences or workshops
128.	1.9	2.4	78	Enforce smoking/non-smoking regulations
129.	1.9	3.3	58	Report accidents
130.	1.9	3.0	64	Maintain privacy/security of personal
				information in accordance with the
				Freedom of Information/Privacy Act
131.	1.9	3.3	58	Negotiate with others to obtain
				personnel, equipment, materials or
122	1 0	2 1	61	financial resources to accomplish mission
132.	1.9	3.1	01	Justify in writing the selection/non- selection of candidates
133.	1.9	3.2	59	Ensure subordinates are free for PT,
155.	1.5	J.E	5.2	training, etc.
134.	1.9	2.8	67	Implement SOP in accordance with security
				regulations
135.	1.9	3.3	57	Obtain and provide information for
				manpower surveys and studies (e.g.,
				Schedule X, Efficiency Reviews,
125	1 0	2.1	r	Commercial Activities Review)
136.	1.8	3.1	57	Check with references concerning candidates' background
137.	1.8	3.3	54	Develop internal controls to minimize
10/.	1.0	5.5	54	waste, fraud or abuse
138.	1.8	3.2	57	Evaluate probationary employees to
		0.1	•	determine suitability for permanent
				status
139.	1.8	3.1	57	Assess internal controls designed to
				minimize waste, fraud or abuse
140.	1.8	3.3	53	Follow EEO procedures in response to EEO
	1 0	2.5	F 1	complaints
141.	1.8	3.5	51	Approve comp time or overtime for subordinates
142.	1.7	3.2	54	Foster/develop a positive working
146.	1.1	J. L	JT	relationship between civilian and
				military subordinates
143.	1.7	3.3	52	Participate in writing mission and
				function statements
144.	1.7	2.5	68	Confer with staff from Management
				-

_ -

				Employee Relations (MER) prior to taking
145	1 7	2 0	50	actions on subordinates Request TDY for your subordinates
145. 146.	1.7 1.7	2.9 3.6	59 47	Document safety violations
140.	1.7	3.0	47	Estimate financial resources required to
				complete projects
148.	1.7	2.7	62	Allocate supplies or equipment to subordinates
149.	1.7	2.7	63	Recommend/approve TDY for your subordinates
150.	1.7	3.1	53	Conduct safety meetings
151.	1.7	3.5	47	Review subordinates' travel itineraries
152.	1.6	2.8	58	Evaluate trainees (e.g., interns, upward
152.	1.0	2.0	50	mobility) for target grade promotion
153.	1.6	3.6	45	Justify the need for retaining allocated
100.	1.0	5.0	-J	work space for subordinates
154.	1.6	2.6	61	Determine if formal disciplinary steps
1041	1.0	2.0	01	should be taken in response to conduct/
				behavioral problems (e.g., absences,
155	1 5	2 0	57	tardiness) Manitan usa of eventime, theyal funds
155.	1.6	2.8	57	Monitor use of overtime, travel funds,
				training funds, incentive rewards, etc.
				to ensure that spending keeps within
150	1 6	2.0	5 1	budgetary constraints
156.	1.6	3.2	51	Monitor currently available financial
1 - 7	1 C	~ 4	10	resources
157.	1.6	3.4	48	Refer subordinates with personal problems
				affecting their job performance for
			• •	assistance
158.	1.6	3.5	46	Complete accident reports
159.	1.6	3.6	44	Assess impact of new technology on work
				group
160.	1.6	3.1	50	Provide subordinates with written
				guidelines on how to improve performance
161.	1.6	3.4	45	Review and approve subordinates' trip
				reports
162.	1.5	2.8	54	Monitor/evaluate work performed for the
				Army by outside vendors or contractors
163.	1.5	2.7	56	Present on-the-spot cash awards to
				subordinates from discretionary funds
164.	1.5	3.3	46	Communicate with EEO counselors
165.	1.5	3.3	44	Explain military policies and procedures
				to civilian supervisors and/or
				subordinates
166.	1.5	3.2	46	Investigate work-related accidents
167.	1.5	3.2	46	Justify the need for retaining allocated
				supplie. or equipment for subordinates
168.	1.5	3.0	49	Report missing tools/equipment
169.	1.5	3.6	41	Monitor long-term availability of
				financial resources
170.	1.4	3.2	45	Instruct personnel how to work equipment
				safely

171.	1.4	3.4	42	Check equipment to assure it is working properly
172.	1.4	3.6	40	Instruct personnel in the use of safety equipment
173.	1.4	2.8	51	Evaluate long term ettectiveness of
174.	1.4	2.8	51	training attended by subordinates Survey customers/users to assess quality
175.	1.4	3.4	41	of services provided Answer subordinates' questions concerning
176.	1.4	2.9	47	their non-selection for vacant positions Justify allocation of budget resources
177.	1.4	3.1	45	for work/projects Follow grievance procedures in response
178.	1.4	3.3	41	to grievances Establish rewards or incentives to motivate subordinates (e.g., certificate
179.	1.3	2.8	47	of achievement, employee of the month) Justify need for non-government sources of training
180.	1.3	2.4	53	Monitor/update mission and function statements
181.	1.3	2.7	47	Schedule preventive maintenance on equipment
182.	1.3	2.8	45	Conduct meetings with subordinates at beginning of their shift
183.	1.3	3.1	40	Allocate/reallocate budget resources among different work/projects
184.	1.3	3.3	38	Determine if adverse actions (e.g., suspension, removal) should be taken because of poor performance
185.	1.2	2.8	44	Survey customers/users to estimate future workload
186.	1.2	3.2	38	Write letter of reprimand
187.	1.2	2.7	44	Recommend candidates for vacant position to the next level of supervision
188.	1.2	3.1	39	Review and approve subordinates' travel vouchers
189.	1.2	2.7	44	Initiate adverse actions (e.g., suspension, removal) for subordinates whose performance is below acceptable
190.	1.2	3.2	37	levels Develop training plans for subordinates in special employment programs (e.g., apprentices, co-op students, interns, upward mobility, veterans re-adjument
191.	1.2	2.9	41	employees) Determine when work should be performed
192.	1.2	3.1	37	in-house and when to contract work out Develop/provide input to Table of
193.	1.2	2.5	46	Distribution and Allowances (TDAs) Notify appropriate personnel (e.g.,

				security officer, Military Intelligence)
194.	1.2	2.6	44	of suspected security risks or violations Communicate with union representatives/stewards
195.	1.1	3.1	37	Request security clearances for subordinates
196.	1.1	3.6	32	Request that positions be audited or reviewed by the Civilian Personnel Office
197.	1.1	3.0	37	(CPO) Develop SOP in accordance with security
198.	1.1	3.7	30	regulations Determine sensitivity of positions for security purposes
199.	1.1	3.3	33	Identify/restructure vacant positions that can be filled at lower grade levels
200.	1.1	3.5	31	with promotion potential to full level Complete workers compensation forms
201.	1.1	2.6	41	Monitor compliance with union agreements
202.	1.0	3.3	31	Keep up-to-date on union contracts or activities
203.	1.0	2.7	39	Participate as a member on selection boards/panels
204.	1.0	3.3	31	Inspect safety equipment
205.	1.0	3.2	32	Justify retaining grade level of vacant positions
206.	1.0	2.4	42	Explain civilian policies and procedures military supervisors and/or military subordinates
207.	1.0	2.8	36	Instruct personnel in the proper handling of hazardous materials
208.	1.0	3.2	30	Report/document security violations
209.	0.9	2.6	34	Deny within grade increase to subordinates whose performance is below acceptable levels
210.	0.9	2.9	30	Develop internal work group operating budgets from allocations received
211.	0.8	2.7	30	Participate as a member on applicant evaluation boards (e.g., local merit promotion or SKAP panels)
212.	0.8	2.9	28	Establish upward mobility, intern, co-op student positions, etc.
213.	0.8	3.0	26	Complete/provide input to Enlisted Evaluation Report (EER)
214.	0.8	2.6	29	Maintain records of subordinates' attendance at security meetings
215. 216.	0.7 0.7	3.2 2.6	23 28	Request safety tests on equipment Coordinate with EEO office to determine if unit contains a representative number
217.	0.7	3.2	21	of minorities and women Adjust the composition of workforce (e.g., number of part time vs full time,

				low vs high grade) to satisfy TDA while maximizing work group productivity
218.	0.6	3.2	19	Inform chain of command of performance problems with military subordinates
219.	0.6	2.7	23	Conduct security training meetings
220.		3.5	18	Identify changes in job requirements
220.	0.0	J.J	10	which require that subordinates
				clearances be upgraded or downgraded
221.	0.6	2.9	20	Inform chain of command of
-				<pre>conduct/behavioral problems (e.g.,</pre>
				absences, tardiness) with military
				subordinates
222.	0.6	2.8	20	Conduct safety tests on equipment
223.	0.5	3.2	14	Consult with off-going supervisor about
				shift conditions
224.	0.4	2.7	15	Complete/provide input to Officer
				Efficiency Report (OER)
225.	0.4	2.9	12	Review subordinates Personal History
		- · ·		Statements (i.e., DD 398)
226.	0.3	2.2	12	Recruit/interview candidates at high
				schools or college campuses or
				conferences or job fairs, etc.