

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS			
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT			
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) BRL-TR-2918		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Ballistic Research Laboratory		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) SLC BR-IB-A	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102A	PROJECT NO. 1L161102AH43	TASK NO. 00	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. 00
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Report on JANNAF Workshop "Influence of Gas-Phase Chemical Kinetics on the Low-Pressure Ignition and Flamespreading in Solid Propellant"					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) G. E. Keller					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical Report		13b. TIME COVERED FROM Oct 1986 TO Oct 1986	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)		15. PAGE COUNT
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Gas-Phase, Kinetics, Finite-Rate, Propellant Ignition, Propellant Combustion		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP			
19	01				
21	02				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Under the auspices of the JANNAF Combustion Subcommittee, a workshop on the subject of Influence of Gas-Phase Chemical Kinetics on the Low-Pressure Ignition and Flamespreading in Solid Propellants was held at Hampton, VA, on 23 and 24 October 1986 in conjunction with the 23rd JANNAF Combustion Meeting. The objectives of the workshop were to a) force a synergistic interaction between those whose measurements have provided evidence for the participation of chemical kinetics in low-pressure solid propellant burning and those who are striving to simulate these events with interior ballistic models and b) address problems of anomalous ignition behavior in gun propelling charges. The workshop participants a) reviewed the evidence for the participation of chemical kinetics in the low-pressure burning of solid propellants, b) reviewed model simulations which include finite-rate kinetics, and c) determined which model simulations and further experimental characterizations would be most fruitful. This report documents the workshop. (ALE)					
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL George E. Keller		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (301) 278-6190		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL SLC BR-IB-A	

DD Form 1473, JUN 86

Previous editions are obsolete.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. SYSTEM OBSERVATIONS	2
III. LABORATORY OBSERVATIONS	4
IV. MODELING STATUS	5
V. CONCLUSIONS	7
VI. SUGGESTED FUTURE ACTIVITY	8
VII. REQUIREMENTS FOR THE STUDY OF FUNDAMENTAL CHEMISTRY	8
REFERENCES	9
DISTRIBUTION	11



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

I. INTRODUCTION

Under the auspices of the JANNAF Combustion Subcommittee, a workshop on the subject "Influence of Gas-Phase Chemical Kinetics on the Low-Pressure Ignition and Flamespreading in Solid Propellants" was held at Hampton, VA, on 23 and 24 October 1986 in conjunction with the 23rd JANNAF Combustion Meeting.

The stated objectives of the workshop were to a) force a synergistic interaction between those whose measurements have provided evidence for the participation of chemical kinetics in low-pressure solid propellant burning and those who are striving to simulate these events with interior ballistic models and b) address problems of anomalous ignition behavior in gun propelling charges.

The issue areas were to a) review the evidence for the participation of chemical kinetics in the low-pressure burning of solid propellants, b) review model simulations which include finite-rate kinetics, and c) determine which model simulations and further experimental characterizations would be most fruitful.

The justification for the workshop was stated as follows: Very long ignition delays (tens to hundreds of milliseconds) often accompany the low-pressure ignition event encountered with artillery charges; however, measured heat input to the propellant suggests that ignition should take place in just a few milliseconds. Further, high-speed movies of ignition and flamespreading in transparent howitzer simulators show first luminosity (following that of the igniter itself) often to be in the gas-phase regions of ullage downstream from the propellant bed; first propellant combustion with visible flame within the bed itself is often considerably downstream of the ignition source. Significant gas-phase chemistry is also suggested by the occasional, vigorous combustion event (e.g. breechblow) which accompanies extremely long ignition delays exhibited in firings of cold-conditioned charges. Recently, nitramine gun propellants, particularly low-vulnerability (LOVA) compositions, have revealed, on occasion, large disparities between calculated and experimental performance; significant flame-zone gas-phase reactions have been postulated as an explanation. Modeling efforts have begun to address these considerations. New interior ballistic codes include provision for several species and several reactions. Available input data from the measurements community are often unsuitable, however. Progress in this area depends critically on constructive interactions between the modeling and the measurements communities.

The following people were active participants in the workshop:

George Keller, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, APG, MD
Carl Roller, U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center, Dover, NJ
Ludwig Stiefel, U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center, Dover, NJ
Martin Summerfield, Princeton Combustion Research Laboratories, Inc., Monmouth Junction, NJ
Martin Miller, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, APG, MD
Tim Parr, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, CA
Channon Price, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, CA
Paul Gough, Paul Gough Associates, Portsmouth, NH
William Vienna, Naval Ordnance Station, Indian Head, MD
Arpad Juhasz, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, APG, MD

The workshop presentations can be roughly divided into observations of systems or system simulators, observations in the laboratory, and modeling status.

II. SYSTEM OBSERVATIONS

Keller presented observations of T.C. Minor and L.M. Chang which were made in the transparent gun chamber simulator at the Ballistic Research Laboratory. Using transparent and translucent chamber-diameter tubes, the simulator makes it possible to use high speed photography and X-rays to observe primer functioning, igniter functioning, and low-pressure flamespreading phenomena in full sized charges for 105-mm and 120-mm tank guns, for a 5"/54-caliber Navy gun, and for 155-mm howitzers. For the case of charges that used M1 propellant, interesting observations were made of luminosity at the forward end of the charge that followed the primer functioning but definitely preceded the ignition of the main propellant. In some of these situations, enough pressure was generated to cause motion of the forward bag of a multiply-bagged howitzer charge. The observation that this luminosity could be severely reduced by filling the chamber with nitrogen gas, rather than having it full of ambient air, seemed to indicate that gas phase chemistry was responsible for the luminosity. Later observations with low vulnerability propellant (LOVA) revealed very long (tens of milliseconds) ignition delays, followed by what appeared to be normal functioning, which again suggested that gas-phase reactions could be responsible for ignition delays in systems using LOVA propellant. For this discussion, "ignition delay" is understood to be the time from the primer firing to the beginning of rapid chamber pressurization.

The discussion following the presentation emphasized the fact that ignition delays were certainly not unique to LOVA, but that if there were a weak ignition system, LOVA propellant would certainly exacerbate the problem. Suggestions were made to include firings in oxygen as well as the ones in nitrogen.

Roller reported on tests of new primers that have been specifically designed to ignite LOVA propellants more efficiently. LOVA was designed to resist thermal initiation; a complication of this design has been that it exhibits marginal ignition characteristics with standard Benite primers. As a result, some rounds with LOVA propellants have had long ignition delay times, poor ballistic uniformity, and poor propulsion efficiency. Laboratory studies suggested that oxygen as an igniter product would be beneficial. Thus, primers using "Oxite," which is designed to yield free oxygen at equilibrium, have been developed. The latest in the series uses a modified Oxite, which yields 7% oxygen at equilibrium. The ballistic tests with these primers have been good, and ballistic variability has been significantly reduced. Not surprisingly, the main propellant is also more completely burned up. Another feature of the new primers, of less interest to this workshop, was that they also pressurize differently, and that improves their performance with LOVA propellants. The studies concluded that an oxygen-producing igniter material is more effective for LOVA propellant than non-oxygen-producing material of similar energy and flame characteristics.

The ensuing discussion emphasized the need both for reactions in the condensed phase and for gas phase reactions in the foam layer (which would be a function of pressure) in a flame model of the combustion of solid propellant. It has been observed that first light and first measurable pressure are not coincident for nitramines.

Stiefel then presented the "highlights" of a meeting of the JANNAF Panel on ignitability properties of LOVA propellants, which was held at Naval Ordnance Station, Indian Head, MD

on 12 August 1986. The panel considered emerging LOVA compositions, primer output characterization methods, generalized propellant bed ignition studies, fundamental ignition studies, and ballistic modeling. The newest of the emerging LOVA's is HELOVA, in which energetic plasticizers with high temperature stability have been substituted for ATEC, and in which a titanate or zirconate coupling agent has been added. The processing agent gives improved processability and mechanical properties while also yielding reduced vulnerability. The advantages of HELOVA are higher impetus, higher solid density, higher loading density, lower temperature coefficient, lower barrel wear, and increased survivability. A very interesting device for studying primer output in detail was described. It provides pressure-time data of events within the primer, and it permits observing the flamespread in the primer. A large number of tests to explain the observed temperature coefficients in LOVA propellants were listed. Flamespreading mechanisms that might be important to the performance of LOVA propellant grains have been investigated in detail, and it has been found that the pressure at which flame penetrates the perforation is inversely proportional to the perforation diameter. Fundamental propellant ignitability studies on LOVA propellants were described, including flow ignition studies, laser ignition studies, and hot fragment conductive ignition. Considerable success in modeling the global ignition kinetics for hot fragment conductive ignition was reported.

Summerfield then presented an extensive review of the rocket literature, extending back many years, which supports the importance of the role of detailed chemical kinetics in the flame of a burning solid propellant. Practically, detailed chemical kinetics affects a) efficiency of combustion, b) stability of a rocket motor, and c) the dynamics of extinguishment. It has application to a) rocket motors utilizing metalized propellants, b) smokeless rocket propellants, and c) high energy rocket propellants.

For solid propellants, one can infer from the thickness of the dark zone a time constant of about 0.010 seconds. For a rocket, for which the core velocity is about 1000 ft/sec, heat release would take place about 10 feet downstream! Similar calculations for a gun propellant, for a pressure of, say, 10,000 psi, and a time of reaction of about 0.001 second (for a bimolecular reaction, the time of reaction goes as $1/p$), then heat is released about 1 foot from the propellant surface. In this case, the gas phase flame zone, smoke, luminosity, and heat release are far downstream from sources. [See later comments by Kuo, however.]

In the Zeldovich theory, all the heat is released in a thin flame. For ammonium perchlorate (AP), theory matches observations. For double base propellants, that theory doesn't work. Slow gas phase reactions are going on, and, unless the volume is sufficient to let all gas phase reactions go to completion, to get steady state burning, all heat will not be released. There was no particular theory for this situation.

As reported in the Journal of Spacecraft, Vol 10, 1973, experiments were performed with transparent-walled rocket motors at 500 to 700 psi. The work was performed with four different propellants. One was nitrocellulose (NC) double base, but it did not contain NG, and it had an inert binder. There should have been a thin flame zone, but instead, a large dark zone was observed. The investigators added AP until the flame zone was all luminous. Recall now that the dark zone is the invisible portion of the visible flame in which there are appreciable slow reactions. Thin flames and AP go together. Summerfield suggested that one should, at first, assume a 50/50 ratio between heat release at the surface and heat release in the gas phase.

Previously, when one of Summerfield's students modeled flame chemistry, he included a limited number of species. The effort was based upon shock tube work, with other known

chemistry. With much faster computers now available, one could put in many more reactions. One would observe slow reactions still proceeding at the end of the calculation.

In an AGARD proceeding, Schoyer reported some work on solid propellants. He made an L-star rocket. Its behavior was easy to explain for AP, but completely confusing when double base propellant was used. The instability that then appeared was dominated at two different times by two processes, where the second process has to do with a second type of heat release in the gas phase. This work is still unexplained.

In work by Kubota, the length of the dark zone was observed to vary inversely with pressure. The nature of the flame for nitramine or double base propellant is fundamentally different from AP flame, for there is no "dark zone" with AP. Pictures of nitramine propellant combustion at 300 psi., 20 atmospheres, showed a thick flame with a large dark zone.

Work by Weaver, in which measurements are made of several molecules including CN using laser fluorescence to a spatial resolution of 20 μm , concludes that a highly unsteady process like interior ballistics is very hard to model and depends strongly on gas phase reactions.

In the discussion that followed this review, Kuo pointed out that the products of the combustion of solid propellant have to go through the boundary layer, where the flow velocity is less than that in the free stream. Thus the spacing may be overestimated in the rough estimates that were made earlier. Stiefel emphasized that it is the ignition event, not full-flame burning, in which slow gas phase reactions are important. Gough remarked that we now model double base propellants fairly well, but not LOVA. LOVA seems fundamentally different, and our lack of understanding of its behavior leads to problems in modeling it.

III. LABORATORY OBSERVATIONS

Martin Miller reported that, in the course of research on burning rate mechanisms of solid propellants, he and his colleagues have developed a semi-empirical method for characterizing the effective kinetics controlling the rate of heat release in the fizz zone (the "near-field" flame) of burning solid propellants. The method requires an experimental temperature profile through the zone of heat release. It assumes that the far-field flame zone does not influence burn rate, and further assumes a good measurement of temperature profile and the ability to pinpoint the location of the propellant surface. The same analysis could be adapted to characterize the kinetics of heat release in the visible flame zone provided the temperature could be profiled there. Techniques involving refractory thermocouples or laser Raman thermometry might be developed to provide the necessary calibration measurements. The applicability of these kinetics, derived from isobaric conditions, to gun chambers may be hindered by convective detachment of the visible flame from the burning grain and the attendant uncertainties associated with the mixing and dilution of the reactants. However, these issues might be put to the test by modeling and instrumenting the pressurization of a small closed bomb by propellant grains (or a single grain) of simple geometry.

Miller has observed that, unlike single base M10 and double base M9, triple base M30 has no dark zone, burning with a flame structure like AP. He notes that, at 50 atmospheres, Zenin observed staged combustion related to first luminosity at the downstream end of double base propellant.

In the discussion that followed, it was pointed out that turbulence may slow down -- or speed up -- reactions. Also, in the combustion of solid propellant in a gun charge, gases will have been percolating through the bed. Further, pyrolysis gases may have passed through no fizz zone, so that pyrolysis considerations may have to be included.

Several participants emphasized the fact that pyrolysis can be either exothermic or endothermic, and that it must be treated correctly for flame models to be successful.

Parr described his experiments using imaging Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence (PLIF) to measure species profiles during CO_2 laser ignition and steady state deflagration of HMX and aluminized nitramine high energy propellant (HEP's). All experiments were done at one atmosphere, in air, though the technique could be used at higher pressures. Time resolved PLIF images were obtained for NO_2 , NO , CN , NH , and OH during ignition of both HMX and HEP's. CN and NH were observed to form at reasonably large heights off the sample surface in gas phase ignition kernels at finite delays, and then to reform into relatively thin flame sheets which "snap" back towards the sample surface. NO_2 and NO are initial products during laser ignition, beginning to form at minimum delay times and producing tall plumes until ignition occurs in the gas phase. After that, PLIF signals for both NO_2 and NO separate into an expanding shell and a solid, bell-shaped core close to the propellant surface. Thus it appears that NO_2 and NO are early decomposition products which are consumed in a flame separated significantly from the surface. The CN and NH are produced in this flame as transient radicals. OH is produced at the same delay as CN and NH , but it extends very far beyond the thin CN/NH flame front. Evidence was given that the flames are two phase, with particulates well above the surface, even for neat HMX.

The participants agreed that it would be exciting to see PLIF applied to LOVA combustion; some discussion followed concerning obtaining the propellant for the tests.

Juhasz then described the mobile combustion diagnostic fixture (MCDF), which is now under construction at the Ballistic Research Laboratory. MCDF is composed of a combustion chamber and an expansion chamber which are separated by a calibrated rupture disk. The entire device is mobile and is mounted on a trunnion so that it may be rotated at will. MCDF was designed with a sufficient safety factor that it can be operated in a laboratory with diagnostic facilities, even a laser laboratory, rather than having to be operated in a gun range. The combustion chamber and the expansion chamber have many diagnostic ports, which can be used with pressure gauges, windows for laser probes, or fiber-optic probes. The expansion chamber has additional ports for evacuation or backfilling before use and for sampling stable combustion intermediates. By using blowout disks with a range of pressures, one hopes to examine combustion intermediates as a function of pressure and shed light on the complicated kinetic processes involved in the combustion of solid (or liquid) propellants.

IV. MODELING STATUS

Price described a kinetics energetics modeling effort (for three propellants, including HMX) in which steady state burn rate data from three initial temperatures and arc image ignition data are fitted in order to deduce detailed reaction rates. He uses two reaction paths to final products,



and



having found that using only one reaction path just doesn't permit fitting the observed data. The A to B or B' reaction is the condensed phase reaction, with B or B' to C the flame zone reaction. If the condensed phase reaction is exothermic, it produces mostly formaldehyde. If endothermic, it produces mostly HCN. At higher pressures, he and his colleagues believe the condensed phase reaction is more and more endothermic. This method for arriving at global reaction rates caused much discussion.

Vienna summarized the TRESP code, which was written by Paul Gough. TRESP is a one-dimensional unsteady code which describes the thermal response of a reacting solid propellant. The code uses a one dimensional Fourier transport expression coupled with multiple Arrhenius (or absolute) chemical reactions, and the "hot end" boundary condition may take the form of variable heat flux or temperature, as input by the user. These options allow a wide variety of heating and reaction scenarios for investigation or verification of combustion kinetic schemes.

Vienna next presented a simplified combustion model for solid propellants in which the surface regression is controlled by a limiting surface temperature. An analogy of this qualification is an equilibrium phase change from liquid to gas where the liquid temperature is held constant by a vapor pressure law. It is significant that the solution of the equations describing heat transport (Fourier's + reaction heat) and reaction rate (absolute theory) for different temperatures reduces to an $r = a * P^n$ law. A vigorous discussion of the shortcomings of the model followed. The discussion ended with a consensus that if ignition and combustion of solid propellants is to be modeled at a reaction kinetics level, neither the gas nor the solid phase kinetics can be ignored.

Gough detailed the capabilities of the XNOVAK code, which includes detailed chemical reactions in propellant combustion. It is one of the latest in the family of NOVA codes, which are one-dimensional, two-phase-flow interior ballistic codes. In XNOVAK, the gas phase was replaced by a multiphase mixture of both gas- and condensed-phase combustion products, the latter consisting of either liquid droplets or solid particles. The mixture of combustion products is treated as homogeneous, in that all its constituents are assumed to have the same velocity and temperature. Chemical reactions may occur in the mixture of combustion products. There may be, as the code is presently written, as many as ten different species and ten different reactions. All reactions are assumed to have rates governed by a general Arrhenius law. Each reaction is assumed to proceed in the forward direction only, consistent with the assumption that the reactions are global and not fundamental reactions. Reactions are assumed to proceed with time constants of the same order as interior ballistic functioning, so that a stiff integration package is not included. The user provides a list of species and their properties, and the initial mass fractions of each of the species. He provides the igniter function as a mass flux, as before, but now also specifies the chemical composition of the igniter products, which is assumed to be the same at all positions and times. As the surface of the propellant heats to ignition temperature and begins to regress, intermediate propellant combustion products of a type specified are produced, and a fraction of the total combustion energy of the propellant is released. Later reactions transform these intermediate products to final combustion products and release the remainder of the available energy. Since all the species move with time, the final release of energy may or may not take place at or near the original propellant location.

Gough expressed a desire to add a natural transition from near field flame to far field flame and to add proper heat feedback to the model.

Keller reported calculations he has performed with XNOVAK over the last two years, which were presented at both the 1985 and the 1986 JANNAF Combustion meetings. The calculations show that it is plausible for gaseous products of the igniter to react in the gas phase with the intermediate products of combustion of the solid propellant to speed the conversion of intermediate products to final products. In that case, the energy associated with the conversion to final products would be released earlier in the ballistic trajectory, and that would lead to increased weapon system ballistic performance. While these calculations do not prove why oxygen-rich primers work better with LOVA propellants, they do help substantiate the most likely hypothesis.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The workshop participants formulated the following conclusions from the workshop:

1. Transparent simulator results suggest energy-releasing gas-phase reactions at sites away from the igniter stimulus.
2. Primer chemistry matters.
3. History shows slow reactions probably important in rockets and, in the ignition phase, for guns.
4. Slow reactions should be important for nitramines and double base propellant, but only nitramines have obvious problems. The flame structures look the same. We may have overlooked clues.
5. There is laboratory evidence for staged heat release in the burning of nitrate ester (except M30) and nitramine solid propellant.
6. A reliable process for deducing global kinetics from temperature profiles has been worked out and validated.
7. Simple flamespreading tests might prove to be useful to sort propellants with respect to relative behavior.
8. Diagnostic techniques have come a long way in the last few years.
9. Progress is being made in the area of kinetics.
10. Transient combustion / ignition is a strong function of kinetics.
11. There are now interior ballistic codes which include rudimentary chemistry models.
12. Interior ballistic code provides plausibility argument for Oxite primer / LOVA performance observations.

VI. SUGGESTED FUTURE ACTIVITY

The workshop participants formulated the following list of activities that should take place in the future:

1. More one-dimensional transparent simulator observations are needed for LOVA, JA2, etc.
2. More analyses of primer tests are needed.
3. The effects of reactions on closed bomb measurements should be determined.
4. MCDF could be used to find that pressure at which the expected equilibrium products predominate.
5. The thermocouple - temperature measurement technique needs to be established.
6. Laser-based diagnostics should be applied to the flames of a wide variety of propellants -- there are many candidates.
7. The use of a variety of propellants illuminates proposed models. Workers should not use just one; using only one can deceive!
8. Interior ballistic codes need near-field flame model.
9. Near-surface and sub-surface reactions' effects on interior ballistics require elucidation.
11. Thermal response studies using several models are needed.

VII. REQUIREMENTS FOR THE STUDY OF FUNDAMENTAL CHEMISTRY

The workshop participants formulated the following list of reasons that detailed chemical reaction rates and mechanisms must be determined:

1. They help sort out the applicability of models.
2. Ultimately, they could help predict the performance of a new propellant.
3. Ultimately, detailed chemical paths could be reduced to global kinetics.
4. They may help diagnose the source of problems in deviant propellant lots.

REFERENCES

The following references to some of the work which was presented at the workshop are included here for the benefit of the reader.

T. P. Coffee, A. J. Kotlar, and M. S. Miller, "The Overall Reaction Concept in Premixed, Laminar, Steady-State Flames. I. Stoichiometries," *Combustion and Flame*, Vol. 54, pp. 155-169, 1983.

T. P. Coffee, A. J. Kotlar, and M. S. Miller, "The Overall Reaction Concept in Premixed, Laminar, Steady-State Flames. II. Pressure and Initial Temperature," *Combustion and Flame*, Vol. 58, pp. 59-67, 1984.

T. P. Coffee, A. J. Kotlar, and M. S. Miller, "Applicability of the Overall Reaction Concept to Propellant Combustion," *Proceedings of the 21st JANNAF Combustion Meeting*, CPIA Publication 412, Vol. II, pp. 437-444, Laurel, MD, October 1984.

A. J. Kotlar, M. S. Miller, and T. P. Coffee, "Effective Kinetic Parameters for Gas Phase Heat Release During Solid Propellant Combustion," *Proceedings of the 21st JANNAF Combustion Meeting*, CPIA Publication 412, Vol. II, pp. 445-455, Laurel, MD, October 1984.

M. S. Miller and A. J. Kotlar, "Thermal Transport Properties in the Primary Gas-Phase Combustion Zone of Double-Base Propellant: A Sensitivity Study," *BRL Memorandum Report BRL-MR-3545*, September 1986. AD A174892.

C. F. Price and T. L. Boggs, "A Simultaneous Mathematical Treatment of Deflagration and Ignition Phenomena," *Proceedings of the 22nd JANNAF Combustion Meeting*, CPIA Publication 432, Vol. I, pp. 505-513, Pasadena, CA, October 1985.

T. C. Minor, "Characterization of Ignition Systems for Bagged Artillery Charges," *BRL Technical Report ARBRL-TR-02377*, October 1981. AD A108119.

T. C. Minor, "Experimental Studies of Multidimensional Two-Phase Flow Processes in Interior Ballistics," *BRL Memorandum Report ARBRL-MR-03248*, April 1983. AD A128034.

L. M. Chang, "Early Phase Ignition Phenomena Observed in a 105-mm Tank Gun Chamber," *Proceedings of the 21st JANNAF Combustion Meeting*, CPIA Publication 412, Vol. II, pp. 301-311, Laurel, MD, October 1984.

L. M. Chang, K. P. Resnik, and J. J. Rocchio, "Ignition Studies for Charge Development for an Advanced 105-mm Kinetic Energy Cartridge," *Proceedings of the 23rd JANNAF Combustion Meeting*, CPIA Publication 457, Vol. II, pp. 307-317, Hampton, VA, October 1986.

P. S. Gough, "Theoretical Modeling of Navy Propelling Charges," *Paul Gough Associates, PGA-TR-84-1*, November 1984.

P. S. Gough, "Modeling Chemical Interactions in Ignition of Gun Propellant," *Proceedings of the 22nd JANNAF Combustion Meeting*, CPIA Publication 432, Vol. I, pp. 223-237, Pasadena, CA, October 1985.

G. E. Keller, A. W. Horst, and P. S. Gough, "A Study of Ignition Dynamics for a LOVA-Type Propellant Using XNOVAK, Proceedings of the 22nd JANNAF Combustion Meeting, CPIA Publication 432, Vol. II, pp. 365-375, Pasadena, CA, October 1985.

G. E. Keller, "Interior Ballistic Simulations of Advanced Tank Run Ammunition Using XNOVAKTC," Proceedings of the 23rd JANNAF Combustion Meeting, CPIA Publication 457, Vol. II, pp. 151-163, Hampton, VA, October 1986.

T. P. Parr and D. M. Hanson-Parr, "The Application of Imaging Laser Induced Fluorescence to the Measurement of HMX and Aluminized Propellant Ignition and Deflagration Flame Structure," Proceedings of the 23rd JANNAF Combustion Meeting, CPIA Publication 457, Vol. II, pp. 249-267, Hampton, VA, October 1986.

C. Roller, B. Strauss, and D. S. Downs, "Development of Oxite -- A Strand Igniter Material for LOVA Propellant," Proceedings of the 22nd JANNAF Combustion Meeting, CPIA Publication 432, Vol. II, pp. 377-390, Pasadena, CA, October 1985.

D. Devynck, "Design of a Mobile Combustion Diagnostic Fixture (MCDF)," BRL Memorandum Report BRL-MR-3412, November 1984. AD A151814.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
12	Administrator Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DTIC-FDAC Cameron Station, Bldg 5 Alexandria, VA 22304-6145	5	Project Manager Cannon Artillery Weapons System, ARDC, AMCCOM ATTN: AMCPM-CW, AMCPM-CWW AMCPM-CWS M. Fisette AMCPM-CWA H. Hassmann AMCPM-CWA-S R. DeKleine Dover, NJ 07801-5001
1	Commander USA Concepts Analysis Agency ATTN: D. Hardison 8120 Woodmont Avenue Bethesda, MD 20014-2797		
1	HQDA/DAMA-ZA Washington, DC 20310-2500	2	Project Manager Munitions Production Base Modernization and Expansion ATTN: AMCPM-PBM, A. Siklosi AMCPM-PBM-E, L. Laibson Dover, NJ 07801-5001
1	HQDA, DAMA-CSM, Washington, DC 20310-2500		
1	HQDA/SARDA Washington, DC 20310-2500		
1	C.I.A. OIR/DB/Standard GE47 HQ Washington, D.C. 20505	3	Project Manager Tank Main Armament System ATTN: AMCPM-TMA, K. Russell AMCPM-TMA-105 AMCPM-TMA-120 Dover, NJ 07801-5001
1	Commander US Army War College ATTN: Library-FF229 Carlisle Barracks, PA 17013	1	Commander US Army Watervliet Arsenal ATTN: SARWV-RD, R. Chierry Watervliet, NY 12189-5001
1	US Army Ballistic Missile Defense Systems Command Advanced Technology Center P. O. Box 1500 Huntsville, AL 35807-3801	1	Commander U.S. Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-MSI Dover, NJ 07801-5001
1	Chairman DOD Explosives Safety Board Room 856-C Hoffman Bldg. 1 2461 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22331-9999	1	Commander U.S. Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-TDC Dover, NJ 07801-5001
1	Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCPM-GCM-WF 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5001	4	Commander US Army Armament Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: AMSMC-IMP-L Rock Island, IL 61299-7300
1	Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDRA-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5001	1	HQDA DAMA-ART-M Washington, DC 20310-2500
1	Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDE-DW 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5001	1	Commander US Army AMCCOM ARDEC CCAC ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL Benet Weapons Laboratory Watervliet, NY 12189-4050

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
3	Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-MSI SMCAR-TDC SMCAR-LC LTC N. Barron Dover, NJ 07801-5001	1	Commander US Army Communications - Electronics Command ATTN: AMSEL-ED Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5301
7	Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-LCA A. Beardell D. Downs S. Einstein S. Westley S. Bernstein C. Roller J. Rutkowski Dover, NJ 07801-5001	1	Commander CECOM R&D Technical Library ATTN: AMSEL-M-L (Report Section) B.2700 Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5000
3	Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-I D. Spring SMCAR-LCE SMCAR-LCM-E S. Kaplowitz Dover, NJ 07801-5001	1	Commander US Army Missile and Space Intelligence Center ATTN: AIAMS-YDL Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
4	Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-LCS SMCAR-LCU-CT E. Barriercs R. Davitt SMCAR-LCU-CV C. Mandala Dover, NJ 07801-5001	1	Commander US Army Missile Command Research, Development, and Engineering Center ATTN: AMSMI-RD Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5245
3	Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-LCW-A M. Salsbury SMCAR-SCA L. Stiefel B. Brodman Dover, NJ 07801-5001	1	Commandant US Army Aviation School ATTN: Aviation Agency Fort Rucker, AL 36360
1	Commander US Army Aviation Systems Command ATTN: AMSAV-ES 4300 Goodfellow Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63120-1798	1	Commander US Army Tank Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-TSL Warren, MI 48397-5000
1	Director US Army Aviation Research and Technology Activity Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035-1099	1	Commander US Army Tank Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-CG Warren, MI 48397-5000
		1	Project Manager Improved TOW Vehicle ATTN: AMCPM-ITV US Army Tank Automotive Command Warren, MI 48397-5000

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
2	Program Manager M1 Abrams Tank System ATTN: AMCPM-GMC-SA, T. Dean Warren, MI 48092-2498	1	Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CS-OR Fort Benning, GA 31905-5400
1	Project Manager Fighting Vehicle Systems ATTN: AMCPM-FVS Warren, MI 48092-2498	1	Commandant US Army Command and General Staff College Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027
1	President US Army Armor & Engineer Board ATTN: ATZK-AD-S Fort Knox, KY 40121-5200	1	Commandant US Army Special Warfare School ATTN: Rev & Tng Lit Div Fort Bragg, NC 28307
1	Project Manager M-60 Tank Development ATTN: AMCPM-M60TD Warren, MI 48092-2498	3	Commander Radford Army Ammunition Plant ATTN: SMCRA-QA/HI LIB Radford, VA 24141-0298
1	Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATOR-TSL White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002	1	Commander US Army Foreign Science & Technology Center ATTN: AMXST-MC-3 220 Seventh Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396
1	Commander US Army Training & Doctrine Command ATTN: ATCD-MA/ MAJ Williams Fort Monroe, VA 23651	2	Commandant US Army Field Artillery Center & School ATTN: ATSF-CO-MW, B. Willis Ft. Sill, OK 73503-5600
2	Commander US Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center ATTN: AMXMR-ATL Tech Library Watertown, MA 02172	1	Commander US Army Development and Employment Agency ATTN: MODE-ORO Fort Lewis, WA 98433-5099
1	Commander US Army Research Office ATTN: Tech Library P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211	1	Office of Naval Research ATTN: Code 473, R. S. Miller 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-9999
1	Commander US Army Belvoir Research and Development Center ATTN: STRBE-WC Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606	3	Commandant US Army Armor School ATTN: ATZK-CD-MS M. Falkovitch Armor Agency Fort Knox, KY 40121-5215
1	Commander US Army Logistics Mgmt Ctr Defense Logistics Studies Fort Lee, VA 23801	2	Commander Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: SEA 62R SEA 64 Washington, DC 20362-5101

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Commander Naval Air Systems Command ATTN: AIR-954-Tech Lib Washington, DC 20360	1	Program Manager AFOSR Directorate of Aerospace Sciences ATTN: L. H. Caveny Bolling AFB, DC 20332-0001
1	Assistant Secretary of the Navy (R, E, and S) ATTN: R. Reichenbach Room 5E787 Pentagon Bldg. Washington, DC 20350	6	Commander Naval Ordnance Station ATTN: P. L. Stang L. Torreyson T. C. Smith D. Brooks W. Vienna Tech Library Indian Head, MD 20640-5000
1	Naval Research Lab Tech Library Washington, DC 20375	1	AFSC/SDOA Andrews AFB, MD 20334
5	Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Code G33, J. L. East W. Burrell J. Johndrow Code G23, D. McClure Code DX-21 Tech Lib Dahlgren, VA 22448-5000	3	AFRPL/DY, Stop 24 ATTN: J. Levine/DYCR R. Corley/DYC D. Williams/DYCC Edwards AFB, CA 93523-5000
2	Comander US Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: J. P. Consaga C. Gotzmer Indian Head, MD 20640-5000	1	AF Astronautics Laboratory AFAL/TSTL (Technical Library) Edwards AFB, CA 93523-5000
4	Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: S. Jacobs/Code 240 Code 730 K. Kim/Code R-13 R. Bernecker Silver Spring, MD 20903-5000	1	AFATL/DLYV Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
2	Commanding Officer Naval Underwater Systems Center Energy Conversion Dept. ATTN: CODE 5B331, R. S. Lazar Tech Lib Newport, RI 02840	1	AFATL/DLXP Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
5	Commander Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Code 388, R. L. Derr C. F. Price T. Boggs T. Parr Info. Sci. Div. China Lake, CA 93555-6001	1	AFATL/DLJE Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
2	Superintendent Naval Postgraduate School Dept. of Mech. Engineering Monterey, CA 93943-5100	1	AFATL/DOIL ATTN: (Tech Info Center) Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5438
		1	NASA/Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center ATTN: NHS-22, Library Section Houston, TX 77054
		1	AFELM, The Rand Corporation ATTN: Library D 1700 Main Street Santa Monica CA 90401-3297
		2	AAI Corporation ATTN: J. Hebert J. Frankle D. Cleveland P. O. Box 6767 Baltimore, MD 21204

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Aerojet Ordnance Company ATTN: D. Thatcher 2521 Michelle Drive Tustin, CA 92680-7014	1	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ATTN: L-324/M. Constantino P. O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550-0622
1	Aerojet Solid Propulsion Co. ATTN: P. Micheli Sacramento, CA 95813	1	Olin Corporation Badger Army Ammunition Plant ATTN: R. J. Thiede Baraboo, WI 53913
1	Atlantic Research Corporation ATTN: M. K. King 5390 Cheorokee Avenue Alexandria, VA 22312-2302	1	Olin Corporation Smokeless Powder Operations ATTN: D. C. Mann P.O. Box 222 St. Marks, FL 32355-0222
1	AVCO Everett Rsch Lab ATTN: D. Stickler 2385 Revere Beach Parkway Everett, MA 02149-5936	1	Paul Gough Associates, Inc. ATTN: P. S. Gough P. O. Box 1614, 1048 South St. Portsmouth, NH 03801-1614
2	Calspan Corporation ATTN: C. Morphy P. O. Box 400 Buffalo, NY 14225-0400	1	Physics International Company ATTN: Library H. Wayne Wampler 2700 Merced Street San Leandro, CA 94577-5602
1	General Electric Company Armament Systems Dept. ATTN: M. J. Bulman, Room 1311 128 Lakeside Avenue Burlington, VT 05401-4985	1	Princeton Combustion Research Lab., Inc. ATTN: M. Summerfield 475 US Highway One Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852-9650
1	IITRI ATTN: M. J. Klcin 10 W. 35th Street Chicago, IL 60616-3799	2	Rockwell International Rocketdyne Division ATTN: BA08 J. E. Flanagan J. Gray 6633 Canoga Avenue Canoga Park, CA 91303-2703
1	Hercules Inc. Allegheny Ballistics Laboratory ATTN: R. B. Miller P. O. Box 210 Cumberland, MD 21501-0210	1	Science Applications, Inc. ATTN: R. B. Edelman 23146 Cumorah Crest Drive Woodland Hills, CA 91364-3710
1	Hercules, Inc. Bacchus Works ATTN: K. P. McCarty P. O. Box 98 Magna, UT 84044-0098	3	Thiokol Corporation Huntsville Division ATTN: D. Flanigan R. Glick Tech Library Huntsville, AL 35807
1	Hercules, Inc. Radford Army Ammunition Plant ATTN: J. Pierce Radford, VA 24141-0299	2	Thiokol Corporation Elkton Division ATTN: R. Biddle Tech Lib. P. O. Box 241 Elkton, MD 21921-0241
1	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ATTN: L-355, A. Buckingham M. Finger P. O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550-0622		

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Veritay Technology, Inc. ATTN: E. Fisher 4845 Millersport Hwy. P. O. Box 305 East Amherst, NY 14051-0305	3	Georgia Institute of Tech School of Aerospace Eng. ATTN: B. T. Zinn E. Price W. C. Strahle Atlanta, GA 30332
1	Universal Propulsion Company ATTN: H. J. McSpadden Black Canyon Stage 1 Box 1140 Phoenix, AZ 85029	1	Institute of Gas Technology ATTN: D. Gidaspow 3424 S. State Street Chicago, IL 60616-3896
1	Battelle Memorial Institute ATTN: Tech Library 505 King Avenue Columbus, OH 43201-2693	1	Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory Chemical Propulsion Information Agency ATTN: T. Christian Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20707-0690
1	Brigham Young University Dept. of Chemical Engineering ATTN: M. Beckstead Provo, UT 84601	1	Massachusetts Institute of Technology Dept of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: T. Toong 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02139-4307
1	California Institute of Tech 204 Karman Lab Main Stop 301-46 ATTN: F. E. C. Culick 1201 E. California Street Pasadena, CA 91109	1	G. M. Faeth Pennsylvania State University Applied Research Laboratory University Park, PA 16802-7501
1	California Institute of Tech Jet Propulsion Laboratory ATTN: L. D. Strand 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91109-8099	1	Pennsylvania State University Dept. of Mech. Engineering ATTN: K. Kuo University Park, PA 16802-7501
1	University of Illinois Dept of Mech/Indust Engr ATTN: H. Krier 144 MEB; 1206 N. Green St. Urbana, IL 61801-2978	1	Purdue University School of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: J. R. Osborn TSPC Chaffee Hall West Lafayette, IN 47907-1199
1	University of Massachusetts Dept. of Mech. Engineering ATTN: K. Jakus Amherst, MA 01002-0014	1	SRI International Propulsion Sciences Division ATTN: Tech Library 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025-3493
1	University of Minnesota Dept. of Mech. Engineering ATTN: E. Fletcher Minneapolis, MN 55414-3368	1	Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst. Department of Mathematics Troy, NY 12181
1	Case Western Reserve University Division of Aerospace Sciences ATTN: J. Tien Cleveland, OH 44135		

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
2	Director Los Alamos Scientific Lab ATTN: T3, D. Butler M. Division, B. Craig P. O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87544		
1	Stevens Institute of Technology Davidson Laboratory ATTN: R. McAlevy, III Castle Point Station Hoboken, NJ 07030-5907		
1	Rutgers University Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering ATTN: S. Temkin University Heights Campus New Brunswick, NJ 08903		
1	University of Southern California Mechanical Engineering Dept. ATTN: OHE200, M. Gerstein Los Angeles, CA 90089-5199		
2	University of Utah Dept. of Chemical Engineering ATTN: A. Baer G. Flandro Salt Lake City, UT 84112-1194		
1	Washington State University Dept. of Mech. Engineering ATTN: C. T. Crowe Pullman, WA 99163-5201		

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Dir, USAMSAA
 ATTN: AMXSY-D
 AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen

Cdr, USATECOM
 ATTN: AMSTE-SI-F
 AMSTE-CM-F, L. Nealley

Cdr, CSTA
 ATTN: STECS-AS-H, R. Hendricksen

Cdr, CRDC, AMCCOM
 ATTN: SMCCR-RSP-A
 SMCCR-MU
 SMCCR-SPS-IL

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. BRL Report Number _____ Date of Report _____

2. Date Report Received _____

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.) _____

4. How specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.) _____

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate. _____

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.) _____

CURRENT
ADDRESS

Name

Organization

Address

City, State, Zip

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the New or Correct Address in Block 6 above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

OLD
ADDRESS

Name

Organization

Address

City, State, Zip

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, staple or tape closed, and mail.)

FOLD HERE

Director
U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$300

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 12062 WASHINGTON, DC
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY



Director
U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-9989

FOLD HERE

END
DATE
FILMED
DTIC
10-88