CURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
AFIT/CI/NR 88-85	ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TITLE (and Sublifie) METHOD FOTL OBTAINING E	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
FORRELATIONS FOR PREDICTING CRACK PR	MARICAL MS THESIS
N A BURNING SOLID PLOPELLANT GRA	IN 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
VERFREY A. NIMIS	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
AFIT STUDENT AT: PENNJYLVANIA STAT UNIVERSITY	
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
•	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Cont	trolling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
AFIT, NR	UNCLASSIFIED
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6583	15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
DISTRIBUTED UNLIMITED: APPROVED FOR PUE	
DISTRIBUTED UNLIMITED: APPROVED FOR PUE	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20 SAME AS REPORT SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for Public	AUG 0 4 1988 H C Release: IAW AFR 190-1 Walk I gful fr Walk I gful fr Technology B OH 45433-6583
SAME AS REPORT SAME AS REPORT Supplementary notes LYNN E. WOLAVER Air Force Institute Wright-Patterson Af	o, Il dillerent from Report) AUG 0 4 1988 H c Release: IAW AFR 190-1 Walan I gful fr and Professional Development e of Technology B 0H 45433-6583 by block number)
SAME AS REPORT SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for Public LYNN E. WOLAVER Dean for Research g Air Force Institute Wright-Patterson AF KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify of ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessa	o, Il dillerent from Report) AUG 0 4 1988 H c Release: IAW AFR 190-1 Walan I gful fr and Professional Development e of Technology B 0H 45433-6583 by block number)

N.S.S.S.S.S.

<u>8</u>3

) C

66.45.5

э,

T 2555553

1,010,018

₽₹

1.1.1.1

ABSTRACT

The importance of crack propagation in solid rocket motors is widely recognized. However, the processes of crack propagation and branching in burning solid propellants are not as yet well understood. These processes could be instrumental in creating large specific surface areas for burning causing rocket motor failure. Numerous samples have been recovered from interrupted-burning experiments. Depending on the pressurization rate and sample geometry, different modes of crack propagation and/or branching were observed. This problem was analyzed through the use of basic physical principles, current theories on crack propagation in viscoelatic media, and experimental results. ( A set of governing dimensionless parameters which control and characterize the degree of damage was obtained. >Key factors influencing the crack combustion, propagation and branching process considered in the dimensional analysis include chamber pressurization rate, initial crack length, initial temperature, sample geometry, and mechanical and thermal properties of the solid propellant. Based on experimental results, a multiple regression analysis was performed to obtain a set of correlations between the dimensionless parameters. The procedures developed in this work demonstrate a methodology for obtaining similar correlations which could be used as guidelines for safe operating conditions of rocket motors.



111

For

The Graduate School

Department of Mechanical Engineering

A Method for Obtaining Empirical Correlations for Predicting Crack Propagation in a Burning Solid Propellant Grain

A Thesis in

Mechanical Engineering

Ъy

Jeffrey A. Nimis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science

December 1987

I grant The Pennsylvania State University the nonexclusive right to use this work for the University's own purposes and to make single copies of the work available to the public on a not-for-profit basis if copies are not otherwise available.

hom Jeffrey X. Nimis

We approve the thesis of Jeffrey A. Nimis.

Date of Signature:

Oct 12, 1987

- Kenneth K. Kur

Kenneth K. Kuo, Distinguished Alumni Professor of Mechanical Engineering, Thesis Advisor

Oct 12, 1987

egn Yr

Vigor Yang, Assistant Professor of Mechanical Engineering

Oct 14, 1987

Harold R. Jacobs, Professor of Mechanical Engineering, Head of the Mechanical Engineering Department

ABSTRACT

The importance of crack propagation in solid rocket motors is widely recognized. However, the processes of crack propagation and branching in burning solid propellants are not as yet well understood. These processes could be instrumental in creating large specific surface areas for burning causing rocket motor failure. Numerous samples have been recovered from interrupted-burning experiments. Depending on the pressurization rate and sample geometry, different modes of crack propagation and/or branching were observed. This problem was analyzed through the use of basic physical principles, current theories on crack propagation in viscoelatic media, and experimental results. A set of governing dimensionless parameters which control and characterize the degree of damage was obtained. Key factors influencing the crack combustion, propagation and branching process considered in the dimensional analysis include chamber pressurization rate, initial crack length, initial temperature, sample geometry, and mechanical and thermal properties of the solid propellant. Based on experimental results, a multiple regression analysis was performed to obtain a set of correlations between the dimensionless parameters. The procedures developed in this work demonstrate a methodology for obtaining similar correlations which could be used as guidelines for safe operating conditions of rocket motors.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																					Pa	ige
ABSTRACT	Γ		• •	•••	•	••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	j	ii
LIST OF	TABLE	zs	• •	•••	•	••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		vi
LIST OF	FIGUE	RES	••	••	•		•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	۷	'ii
NOMENCL	ATURE.	• • •	• •	••	•	••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	t v	.ii
ACKNOWLE	EDGEME	ENTS	••	••	•		٠	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		x
<u>Chapter</u>																						
1	INTRO	DUCTIO	N	•••	•	• •	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1
		Motiva Brief																				
2	EXPER	RIMENTA	L SET	UP.	•	••	•	•	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
	2.1 2.2 2.3	Select Sample Test S	Conf	`igu	rat	ion		•		•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	6
		2.3.1 2.3.2																				
	2.4	Test P	roced	lure	•	••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	14
3	THEOF	RETICAL	ANAL	YSI.	s.	••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15
		Crack Determ																				
		3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Crac	k P	rop	aga	tic	n	Vel	oc	ity	1.	•	•	•		•	•		•		17
	3.3 3.4	Energy Dimens																				
		3.4.1	Grou	ips.	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•					•	•	26
		3.4.2	Func Dime							-										•		28

F,

																160
4	RESU	LTS	• • •		•••	•••		•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
	4.1	Determ	ination	of Dim	nensio	onless	s Par	ame	ter	s.	•	•	•	•	•	29
		4.1.2	Pressun Interpi Determ	retatic	on of	Film	Data	۰.		•	•	•	•	•	•	33
	4.2	Relati	onships	Betwee	en Din	nensio	onles	ss P	ara	met	cer	s	•	•	•	39
	4.3	Discus	sion of	Result	.s	• • •		•	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	41
5	SUMM	ARY AND	CONCLUS	SIONS .	•••	• • •		•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	47
REFERENC	CES.		• • •		• • •	• • •		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	49
APPENDI	X A.		ROCEDURI ATION TI							•	•	•	•	•	•	51
APPENDI	ХB.	ERROR	ANALYSI:	s	• • •	• • •		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	56

Page

v

¥(

vi

4.441.441.441

# LIST OF TABLES

3.4. ALA 10. ALA 10. ALA

£.

<u>Tables</u>		<u>P</u> :	age
I	Film Interpretation of Crack Propagation Process	•	35
II	Steady State Burning Rate Constants	•	39
III	Experimental Values of Dimensionless Parameters	•	40
IV	Estimation of Measurement Errors	•	58

vii

- AMARA REPART SOUND SOUND BARANA SU

LIST (	)F	FI	GU	RES
--------	----	----	----	-----

1.1.8

D

Figure		P	age
1	Crack Propagation Velocity versus Pressurization Rate .	•	3
2	Propellant Sample Configuration Before Loading	•	7
3	Test Chamber Schematic,	•	8
4	Driving Motor	٠	10
5	Data Acquisition System	•	12
6	Geometry of the Damaged Propellant Sample	•	16
7	Time Shift Factor versus Temperature	•	18
8	Master Relaxation Curve	•	19
9	Strip Test Specimen for Crack Propagation Velocity Characterization	•	20
10	Crack Propagation Velocity versus Stress Intensity Factor for ARC 4525	•	21
11	Control Volume Used in Energy Analysis	•	24
12	Pressure-Time Trace for DNICP 27	•	30
13	Recovered Sample from DNICP 27	•	31
14	Pressure-Time Trace for DNICP 40	•	32
15	Film Record from DNICP 40	•	34
16	Instantaneous Bright Flame Front Contour from DNICP 40.	•	36
17	Crack Tip Location versus Time	•	37
18	Instantaneous Bright Flame Front Contour from DNICP 31.	•	38
19	Data Correlation for the Extended Crack Length $\pi_{A}$	•	42
20	Data Correlation for the Rate of Increase in Specific Surface Area $\pi_B$	•	43
21	Data Correlation for the Number of Macrocracks $^{ m \pi}{_{ m C}}$	•	44
22	Data Correlation for the Crack Propagation "Plocity $\pi_{p}$ .	•	45

# NOMENCLATURE

	1215" APATHA "BULYAR	<u>ĸŢŗ</u> ĸĔĸĨŖĸĬĿĸĔĸĔĸĔĸĔĸĔĸĔĸĔĸĔĸĔĸĔĿ
	aran ny trana ta	
P		
6		
8		NOMENCLATURE
ğ		
ç	Symbol	Description
	Ab	Burning surface area in the affected region $(m^2)$
	A <sub>bt</sub>	Total burning surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )
X	As	Specific burning surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )
	а	Total crack length (m)
	a <sub>o</sub>	Initial crack length (m)
2	a <sub>T</sub>	Time shift factor
	ъ	Propellant Sample thickness (m)
	с <sub>р</sub>	Constant pressure specific heat
	Е	Relaxation Modulus, total energy (MPa/J)
	e(x)	Error interval for the variable $x (+/-$ units of $x)$
Ś	hp	Enthalpy of the propellant (J/Kg)
	ht	Stagnation enthalpy of product gases (J/Kg)
	ĸı	Stress intensity factor (MPa√m)
	к <sub>г</sub> с	Critical stress intensity factor (MPa $\sqrt{m}$ )
ş	L <sub>D</sub>	Length of extended macrocrack (m)
	'n	Mass flow rate (Kg/s)
	n	Number of macrocracks
	Р	Pressure (MPa)
	Q	Heat loss (J/s)
	R <sup>2</sup>	Sample multiple coefficient of determination
	r <sub>b</sub>	Propellant burning rate (m/s)
Ę	rx	Relative error in the dimensionless parameter x
	t	Time (s)
	Т	Temperature (K)

Sec. Sec.

**X** 

the second provided

L.C.C.C.L.L

S.65555555

المنطبط فأكحذ

الخخيكجيان

لكشيخ يشعشه

V	Voltage (Volts)
۷a	Affected volume (m <sup>3</sup> )
٧ <sub>c</sub>	Chamber free volume (m <sup>3</sup> )
V p	Crack propagation velocity (m/s)
αp	Thermal diffusivity of propellant $(m^2/s)$
Ŷ	Ratio of specific heats
Г	Fracture energy (J/m <sup>2</sup> )
ε	Strain
ξ	Shifted time (s)
ν	Poisson's ratio
ρ <sub>p</sub>	Density of propellant (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
π()	Dimensionless parameter
σ	Stress (MPa)
$\sigma_{fm}$	Maximum stress in the failure zone (MPa)
$\Psi_{\mathbf{i}}$	Crack cavity angle (degrees)
Ψο	Outside taper angle (degrees)

ix

VT

- <u>15555555</u>

5

22222222

3

15' -14 -5"A" -1"B -4"A - 4-4"A

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the guidance and support of his research advisor, Dr. K. K. Kuo. Appreciation is also expressed to fellow graduate students Jir-Ming Char and Jesse Smedley for their invaluable assistance in data acquisition and reduction.

This thesis represents a portion of research performed under Contract No. N00014-79-C-0762 at The Pennsylvania State University under the sponsorship of the Power Program of the Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Virginia.

Chapter 1

1

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Motivation and Objectives

Solid propellant rocket safety is a key factor in several of today's most important civilian and military propulsion systems. With both civilian and military agencies presently pursuing solid rocket booster safety and ballistic risk assessment programs, near-term advances in this area are foreseeable. Of particular concern is overpressurization and subsequent catastrophic failure of a rocket motor due to anomalous burning, which may be caused by the presence of voids, cracks, or debonded regions in the propellant grain. Such regions are susceptible to further damage under transient loading conditions. A theoretical model has been developed to predict the flame spreading and combustion processes inside a propellant cavity<sup>1</sup> and various models have also been developed for crack initiation and growth in viscoelastic, inert material. $^{2-5}$  However, the coupling effects of these two processes are complicated and not totally understood. Based on previous work by Kuo and co-workers  $^{6-8}$  on crack growth in burning propellant grains, a set of governing dimensionless parameters have been identified to obtain empirical correlations.

The primary objectives of this research are:

a) to define a group of meaningful dimensionless parameters for characterizing the operating conditions and resulting damage of a solid propellant sample;

b) to obtain test data necessary for developing correlations between the dimensionless parameters; and,

c) to establish correlations, demonstrating a methodology for generating useful safety criteria.

#### 1.2 Brief Survey of Related Work

Early studies of the crack propagation phenomena under burning conditions by Siefert and Kuo<sup>6</sup> provided good insight into the basic mechanisms involved. They studied the crack propagation process of a burning propellant sample of constant geometry under varying pressurization rates. Experimental evidence supported the assumption that the crack propagation velocity was limited by the rate of deformation of the crack cavity walls. In this case, a critical stress state was maintained in the failure zone at the crack tip. A nearly linear relationship between the crack velocity and pressurization rate was observed,

$$V_{p}(m/s) = 0.086[dp/dt(MPa/s)]^{0.037}$$
 (1)

For low pressurization rates, the crack tip maintained its original contour. However, at pressurization rates on the order of 20 GPa/s, the crack tip appeared to square off and a fan structure developed suggesting the occurrence of crack branching and microstructure damage. It is interesting to note that the maximum crack velocities measured correspond to terminal velocities of inert propellants and rubber reported by Gent and Marteny.<sup>9</sup>

Further studies by Kuo, Moreci and Mantzaras<sup>7,8</sup> have identified four different modes of damage which were related to the pressurization rate of the crack cavity. Figure 1 shows the measured crack propagation velocity as a function of pressurization rate and where each of these



Fig. 1 Crack Propagation Velocity versus Pressurization Rate

modes was observed. Mode A represents little or no increase in crack length and corresponds to very low pressurization rates. No departure from normal burning is expected for this case. Mode B represents the propagation of a single crack. The rate of crack growth increases almost linearly with pressurization rate in the range of 1.4 to 15.0 GPa/s. Although burning is enhanced at the crack tip, the overall increase in burning due to the damage is not significant. Mode C represents an initial single crack propagation with local branching at various locations. This was observed for intermediate pressurization rates and has the potential of significantly increasing the burning surface area. Mode D represents crack branching from the initial crack tip location in either discrete macrocracks or a fan of numerous smaller cracks. This type of damage was characteristic of higher pressurization rates and also can significantly increase the burning surface area. Some of the data from these experiments, as well as newly acquired data, were used in the current analysis.

4

NAVOR SUBARA DURANA DURANA PARANS NAVOR

121.12N

# Chapter 2

#### EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

# 2.1 Selection of Sample Propellant Type

In selecting a propellant sample for this research, the following criteria were considered:

a) the propellant must be available for a basic study in a university environment;

b) its combustion behavior must be well characterized;

c) its mechanical properties and failure criterion under non-buring conditions must be known; and,

d) propellants of the same family must be available so that similar tests can be conducted to determine the effect of burning rate and oxidizer size.

Two composite propellants (ARC 5051 and ARC 4525) were selected. Each of these contain 27% HTPB and 73% AP with average oxidizer particle sizes of 200µm (ARC 5051) and 20µm (ARC 4525). Due to the similarities in composition thermodynamic properties such as enthalpy, density, burning rate, etc., are nearly equal. Their combustion behavior and mechanical properties have been well characterized. In addition, propellants of similar compostion but with different burning rates are available for future studies. Although these propellants have a lower solids loading than the typical high-energy solid propellants used in most of today's advanced rocket propulsion systems, once the basic method for determining crack combustion correlations has been established, similar studies can be made for other propellants.

# 2.2 Sample Configuration

The two-dimensional sample configuration used in previous studies is adopted for this study (Fig. 2). This general geometry is similar to one point of a star grain or to a segment of a grain containing a void. This configuration provides an initial crack of variable length and propagation along the horizontal axis. The geometric parameters  $\psi_0$  and  $\psi_i$  can also be varied to represent different local boundary conitions of the damaged zone.

#### 2.3 Test Setup

The test setup was designed to induce combustion and mechanical damage in a localized zone of a pre-cut propellant sample by subjecting it to high-pressure, high-temperature gases and to interupt the buring by rapid depressurization for sample recovery. In these tests variations of several independent parameters were made, including pressurization rate, initial crack length, sample geometry and propellant composition. The damage was then measured in terms of cracks.

#### 2.3.1 Test Chamber

The test rig (Fig 3) consisted of a high-pressure, windowed chamber with the following subsystems:

a) a driving motor to pressurize the free volume of the chamber;

b) a rupture disk and venting port to rapidly depressurize the chamber; and,

c) a nitrogen injection system to cool the exposed surfaces and reduce the chance of sample reignition.



DDU

Fig. 2 Propellant Sample Configuration Before Loading



1242555555

Ņ

# 2.3.1.1 Driving Motor

The driving motor contained four key components (Fig 4). The ignition primer (type M52A3B1), when supplied with three to four volts DC, would fire and in turn ignite the propellant shavings. The shavings burn extremely fast due to their very high burning surface area. Their purpose was to supply hot gases and boost the pressure in the segment containing the main igniter charge. The igniter charge would then burn, exhausting through the perforated nozzle into the main chamber. By varying the mass of the main igniter charge, pressurization rates ranging from near zero to 50 Gpa/s were achieved.

# 2.3.1.2 Rupture Disk and Venting Port

When the chamber pressure exceeds a predetermined value the brass rupture disk bursts, allowing the high pressure gases to escape through the venting port. As described by De Luca,<sup>10</sup> dynamic extinction can be achieved by exceeding a critical depressurization rate. This rate increases as chamber pressure increases and is also substantially affected by propellant composition. Due to its complexity, no attempt was made to characterize the extinction properties of the test propellants. Instead, an exit port area of 2.54 cm<sup>2</sup>, based on experimental data of Kuo and Moreci,<sup>7</sup> used with rupture pressures between 7.0 and 15.0 MPa proved to be sufficient.

#### 2.3.1.3 Nitrogen Injection System

After the rupture disk bursts and the chamber pressure is reduced, hot spots on the chamber walls could reignite the propellant surfaces and the sample would be completely consumed. In an effort to improve the reliability of interrupting the burning process, nitrogen was



We have been

1

10

112222220 122255514 12222222

144223441

25.22.22

22111111

NUMBER REPORT PARAMETER STREET



Fig. 4 Driving Motor

injected following depressurization to cool the surfaces of the free volume.

# 2.3.2 Data Acquisition System

The data needed from these tests to calculate key parameters consisted of a pressure-time trace of the crack cavity as well as a visual record of the crack itself as it propagated. A complete schematic of the data acquisition system is shown in Fig 5.

#### 2.3.2.1 Pressure Measurements

The pressure measuring device selected was a miniature piezoelectric quartz transducer (Kistler Model 601B1). This transducer has a 10% to 90% rise time of 3.0 microseconds over the range of 0 to 15,000 psi. This was more than adequate for measuring pressurization rates of up to 50 GPa/s with rise times on the order of 1 millisecond. To protect the transducers from the high temperature product gases, they were recess-mounted in water-cooled adapters. An insulated high-impedence cable with a nominal capacitance of 30 pF/ft was used to transmit the signal from the transducer to the charge amplifiers. The signal attenuation under the test conditions was less than one percent.

The charge amplifiers (Kistler Model 504E) output the transducer charge signal as an amplified voltage. Calibration is achieved in the charge amplifier by supplying a DC voltage of equal magnitude to that of the pressure transducer sensitivity. The output voltage of the charge amplifier is then adjusted to satisfy the equation

$$V_{out} = \frac{-V_{in} \times 1000 \text{pF}}{\text{Range x Sensitivity}}$$
(2)

A typical range setting of 2000 psi/volt resulted in a calibration



ANTING ANTING PARTICULAR

Fig. 5 Data Acquisition System

output of 0.5 volts. A long time constant was used to provide a continuous signal.

The output from the charge amplifiers was recorded on a Physical Data Model 515A Transient Waveform Recorder. It recorded the voltage signals at sampling intervals of 5 to 50 microseconds for the initial pressurization period and then shifted to a sampling rate of 2.5 to 5 milliseconds in order to extend the total time recorded. The limiting factor was its maximum capacity of 4096 data points. The recording mechanism was triggered by a small signal from the transducer in the crack cavity. A negative delay of 512 data points was used to insure the beginning of the event was recorded. The recorded signal could then be played back to a Nicolet Digital Oscilloscope for analysis and recording on floppy disk or to an X-Y plotter for a hardcopy.

#### 2.3.2.2 High Speed Film Recording

A Hycam, 16 mm camera was used to record the ignition, combustion, and crack propagation of the propellant sample through a veiwing port in the chamber. Typical framing rates were 5,000 frames per second in a half frame format resulting in 10,000 pictures per second. In order to insure the event occurred before the roll of film was completely exposed, the camera was used to trigger the ignition of the driving motor. The camera was started and when a prescribed footage of film was exposed, allowing the camera to reach the set film speed, an internal switch would close the igniter circuit. This would also trigger a common time pulse which was recorded both on the film and on the Physical Data Recorder. Another light pulse with a frequency of 1 KHz was also recorded on the film, to one side of the main image, in order

13

للالالتالية المناطقة

#### 2.4 Test Procedure

Appendix A contains a complete checklist and sample data sheet used for the interrupted crack propagation tests. The following is a brief description of the key steps in a typical test firing:

a) measure the main ignitor charge and shavings and assemble ignitor. Measure the resistance of the primer and short the primer circuit;

b) measure and record the crack geometry of the propellant sample. Cover all surfaces, except crack cavity, with flame retardant grease to prevent flame spreading over those surfaces. Install the sample and bolt on the window and retainer;

c) install pressure transducers and calibrate the charge amplifiers. Connect amplifier outputs to the Physical Data recorder;

d) set up the high-speed camera and test ingition circuit;

e) put charge amplifiers in the OPERATE position, reset the Physical Data recorder and start the camera;

f) when the rupture disk bursts, open the nitrogen line;

g) turn off charge amplifiers, store and plot the pressure traces and disassemble the chamber to examine the recovered sample.

# Chapter 3

### THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Crack Sample Geometry

Figure 6 shows the damaged sample geometry considered in this analysis. From this geometry, some key parameters can be defined. First,  $_{0}$  and  $_{1}$  are direct geometric factors affecting the crack propagation process, and the number of cracks n, is a key factor in defining the degree of damage.<sup>11</sup> The affected volume, or damaged-zone volume is defined as

$$V_{a} = \pi L_{D}^{2} b$$
 (3)

The burning surface surface area in the affected region is given by

$$A_{\rm b} = 2\pi (n+1)L_{\rm b}$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

For this analysis, a specific burning surface area is defined as

$$A_{s} = \frac{A_{b}}{V_{a}} = \frac{2(n+1)}{L_{D}}$$
 (5)

The functional forms of equations (3) and (5) are

$$V_{a} = V_{a}(L_{D}, b)$$
(6)

$$A_{s} = A_{s}(L_{D}, n)$$
(7)

# 3.2 Determination of Mechanical Properties

A series of mechanical tests was conducted by Harbert and Schapery $^{12}$  to determine the mechanical properties of the two propellants



Fig. 6 Geometry of the Damaged Propellant Sample

(ARC 5051 and ARC 4525) under non-burning conditions.

# 3.2.1 Relaxation Modulus

Uniaxial constant strain tests on propellant bar specimens were performed at various temperatures. Relaxation moduli versus time data are plotted for each temperature. In order to obtain a continuous curve for all temperatures, the relaxation moduli are plotted versus a shifted time  $\xi$ , where

 $\xi = t/a_{\rm T} \tag{8}$ 

The time shift factor  $a_T$  was determined based on a reference temperature of 297 K (i.e.,  $a_T = 1.0$  at 297 K). Figure 7 shows the functional relationship between temperature and time shift factor. The resulting master relaxation curve for each propellant is shown in Figure 8.

# 3.2.2 Crack Propagation Velocity

Crack growth tests were conducted on strip specimens with a precut crack (Fig. 9) at different crosshead rates. The crack velocity and strain were measured directly and the stress intensity was calculated using

$$K_{I} = \sigma \sqrt{h/2(1-v^{2})}$$
(9)

where Poisson's ratio v = 0.5 and  $\sigma$  is the stress far from the crack tip exerted by the crosshead.<sup>13</sup> Figure 10 shows the relationship between crack propagation velocity and stress intensity factor for ARC 4525 propellant. It can be seen that the lines of constant strain are parallel (i.e., independent of strain). This fact suggests the applicability of linear viscoelastic theory to characterize the crack propagation velocity.<sup>14</sup> The results of this theory can be expressed by



18

TO LY IC



Relexation Modulus, E(E), MPa

) }



Strip Test Specimen for Crack Propagation Velocity Characterization Fig. 9







21

Fig. 10 Crack Propagation Velocity versus Stress Intensity Factor for ARC 4525

the following power law

$$V_{\rm p} = \frac{C}{a_{\rm T}} (K_{\rm I})^{\rm q} \tag{10}$$

In this equation q is the strain-independent slope obtained from Figure 10, and C is a material parameter which varies with strain. The functional representation of equation (10) is then

$$V_{\rm p} = V_{\rm p}(K_{\rm I}, \epsilon, a_{\rm T}) \tag{11}$$

# 3.2.3 Fracture Energy and Related Parameters

From an idealized crack propagation model for viscoelastic media as used by Knauss<sup>2</sup> and Schapery<sup>3-5</sup>, the fracture energy ( $\Gamma$ ) can be defined as the energy required to elongate a segment of area dA to the point of failure. This quantity has the functional relationship

$$\Gamma = \Gamma(K_{I}, V_{p}, \sigma_{fm})$$
(12)

where  $\sigma_{\rm fm}$  is the maximum stress in the failure zone. The stress intensity factor is generally a function of the geometry of the continuum in which the failure zone is embedded and the stress in that zone, represented by chamber pressure in this application. Thus

$$K_{I} = K_{I}(a_{o}, \psi_{o}, \psi_{i}, P)$$
(13)

and

$$K_{I_{C}} = K_{I}$$
(14)

for crack initiation. From Langlois and Gonard,<sup>15</sup> the extended crack length can be related to stress intensity factor and the maximum stress in the failure zone

$$L_{\rm D} = L_{\rm D}(K_{\rm I}, \sigma_{\rm fm}) \tag{15}$$

#### 3.3 Energy Balance on the Control Volume

An energy balance is applied to the control volume (Figure 11) in order to relate key parameters in the combustion process. The basic assumptions are:

a) The heat loss from the control volume to the surroundings is negligible since the damage occurs in an extremely short time interval (of the order of 10 ms).

b) The combustion gases of the driving motor are considered to follow the perfect gas law. This assumption is valid since the gas temperature is high (2000 K) and the maximum chamber pressure is less than 35 MPa.

c)  $\dot{m}_{out} = 0$  for the time of interest since the initial damage occurs before the rupture disk bursts.

d) The gas phase control volume can be treated as a constant since the volume increase due to flow work and surface burning is extremely small compared to the total control volume during the time of interest. The conservation of energy for the gas phase can be written as

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = \dot{m}_{ign}h_{t,ign} + \dot{m}_{p}h_{p} - \dot{m}_{out}c_{p}T - \dot{Q} - P\frac{\partial V_{c}}{\partial t}$$
(16)

Using the basic assumptions, equation (16) reduces to

$$\frac{V_{c}}{\gamma-1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{\hbar}{ign}h_{t,ign} + \frac{\hbar}{p}h_{p}$$
(17)

After replacing  $\mathring{\textbf{m}}_n$  according to

 $\mathbf{\hat{m}}_{p} = \rho_{p} \mathbf{r}_{b} \mathbf{A}_{bt}$ (18)





24

SARAN MUNICIPAL PODERIC POLICIES AND

1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1

الالدلالالالالالالالا

and the second second

Second States
where the total burning surface area  ${\rm A}_{\rm bt}$  can further be related to the crack geometry

$$\mathbf{A}_{bt} = \mathbf{A}_{bt}(\mathbf{V}_{a}, \mathbf{A}_{s}, \mathbf{L}_{D}, \mathbf{a}_{o}, \psi_{i})$$
(19)

Equation (17) then takes on the functional form

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} (V_c, \hat{m}_{ign}, h_{t,ign}, r_b, V_a, a_o, L_D, \rho_p, \psi_i, A_s, h_p) (20)$$

The burning rate under a pressure transient has been characterized by  ${\rm Krier}^{16}$  as

$$r_{b}(t) = r_{0}\left[1 + \frac{\Phi n\alpha}{P(t)r_{0}^{2}} \frac{dp}{dt}\right]$$
(21)

where  $r_o$  is the steady state burning rate according to the Saint-Robert law,<sup>17</sup>  $\alpha_p$  is the solid phase thermal diffusivity, and n and  $\Phi$  are numerical constants. Since the thermal diffusivities were the same for both propellants<sup>12</sup> it also was treated as a numerical constant. The instantaneous burning rate can therefore be related as

$$r_{b} = r_{b}(P, \partial P/\partial t)$$
(22)

Since the flow from the driving motor is choked and the ignitor charge is made of the same propellant as the sample, the propellant enthalpy can be related to the stagnation enthalpy of the igniter gases as

$$h_{p} = \frac{2}{\gamma - 1} h_{t,ign}$$
(23)

The propellants are essentially incompressible and of equal density, therefore

25

From evidence obtained in crack propagation experiments,<sup>11</sup> crack propagation velocity in the burning solid propellants is related to the following parameters:

$$V_{p} = V_{p}(\partial p/\partial t, P, \dot{m}_{ign}, \Gamma, \psi_{o}, \psi_{i}, V_{c}, A_{s}, a_{o}, a_{T})$$
(25)

### 3.4 Dimensional Analysis

Equations (6), (7), (11)-(15), (20) and (22)-(25) relate the twenty-three parameters ( $\gamma$  was assumed to be a numerical constant for the combustion product gases) affecting the crack propagation process. These twelve equations may be reduced to one equation involving twelve of the more significant parameters, namely

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{p}}(\partial \mathbf{P} / \partial \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{o}}, \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{C}}}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{D}}, \psi_{\mathbf{o}}, \psi_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{T}})$$
(26)

# 3.4.1 Arrangement into Meaningful Dimensionless Groups

The Buckingham Pi Theorem<sup>18</sup> indicates that there exist nine independent dimensionless parameters involved in the crack propagation process. Three of the key parameters are already dimensionless, thus  $\psi_0$ ,  $\psi_i$  and  $a_T$  were chosen as dimensionless parameters describing the geometry of the continuum around the damaged zone and the effect of initial propellant temperature on mechanical properties. In order to develop additional meaningful groups, the parameters which describe the degree of damage (i.e.,  $L_D$ ,  $V_a$ ,  $A_s$  and  $V_D$ ) were examined individually.

A desireable dimensionless parameter defining the extended crack length was the percentage increase in overall crack length. This dimensionless parameter was defined as

(24)

$$\pi_{A} = \frac{L_{D}}{a_{A}} = \frac{\text{Length of extended macrocrack}}{\text{Length of the initial crack}}$$
(27)

From the parameters V and A s, two meaningful dimensionless groups could be formed,

$$\pi_{\rm B} = \frac{\frac{V_{\rm a}A^2}{2L_{\rm D}}}{\frac{R_{\rm ate} \ of \ increase \ of \ crack \ surface \ area}{area} of the affected \ region}$$
(28)

 $\pi_{C} = \pi A_{s} L_{D} - 1 = n$ , number of macrocracks of length  $L_{D}$  (29)

The crack propagation velocity was normalized to the normal regression rate of the surface due to burning,

$$m_{\rm D} = \frac{V_{\rm p}}{r_{\rm b}} = \frac{Crack \, \text{propagation Velocity}}{Burning \, rate \, of \, the \, main \, \text{propellant}}$$
(31)

The remaining dimensionless parameters relate the input energy from the propellant and the presurization rate to the critical values for crack propagation and were grouped as follows:

$$\pi_{E} = \frac{\frac{h_{p}\rho_{p}r_{b}A_{s}\pi L_{d}^{2}}{V_{p}[K_{L_{c}}^{2}(1-v_{c}^{2})/E_{ref}]} = \frac{\text{Rate of thermal energy input}}{\text{Strain energy release rate}}$$
(32)

$$\pi_{F} = \frac{\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} L_{d}}{K_{I_{C}} r_{b}} = \frac{A te of change of pressure force exerted}{(critical stress for fracture)x(rate of increase of flow area in the damaged zone)} (33)$$

The additional constants such as density, sample thickness and Poisson's ratio were added to give the groups their respective physical meaning.

\*. 66\* . 6.\*. 03\* .66\* .65\* .64\* . 14\*

# 3.4.2 Functional Relationships Between Dimensionless Parameters

The dimensionless parameters  $\pi_A$ ,  $\pi_B$ ,  $\pi_C$ ,  $\pi_D$  characterize the degree of damage in the propellant sample. The driving force causing this damage (i.e., the initial pressurization rate and thermal energy input from the propellant gases) are characterized by the dimensionless parameters  $\pi_E$  and  $\pi_F$ . These parameters were used together with  $\psi_0$ ,  $\psi_1$  and  $a_T$  for constructing the following emperical correlations to predict the crack propagation and branching processes:

$$\pi_{A} = \pi_{A}(\pi_{E}, \pi_{F}, \psi_{o}, \psi_{i}, a_{T})$$

$$\pi_{B} = \pi_{B}(\pi_{E}, \pi_{F}, \psi_{o}, \psi_{i}, a_{T})$$

$$\pi_{C} = \pi_{C}(\pi_{E}, \pi_{F}, \psi_{o}, \psi_{i}, a_{T})$$

$$\pi_{D} = \pi_{D}(\pi_{E}, \pi_{F}, \psi_{o}, \psi_{i}, a_{T})$$
(33)

ファインシンシン

L'ALTERIA

# Chapter 4 RESULTS

### 4.1 Determination of Dimensionless Parameters

Several tests were conducted using the aparatus previously described. From the pressure data, film data and known mechanical and thermodynamic properties, values for each of the parameters could be deduced.

### 4.1.1 Pressure Loading in the Damaged Zone

Figure 12 shows the pressure-time trace at three key locations in a typical test. Location 1 corresponds to the chamber free-volume and location 2 corresponds to the initial crack tip location and the 3rd is further along the crack propagation direction. The first curve is the driving pressurization rate used in calculating the dimensionless parameters since it is generally independent of the initial crack configuration. The pressure trace for the second location illustrates the increased pressurization rate and peak pressure experienced at the tip of an opening crack. This may be caused by the delayed opening of the crack and/or the increased burning rate in the failure zone. As seen, the peak pressure in this case was 13.8 MPa (2000 psi) when the rupture disk burst. The chamber pressure then dropped rapidly, and the sample was recovered. Figure 13 is a close-up photograph of the damaged zone showing the brachening which occurred.

Figure 14 is a similar pressure trace for another test. The rupture disk burst earlier in this case (7.0 MPa), which may have contributed to the reignition and burn-out of the sample. The early



Fig. 12 Pressure-Time Trace for DNICP 27



S. & S. & S. & B. (1)

Fig. 13 Recovered Sample from DNICP 27

. . .

· 31



Fig. 14 Pressure-Time Trace for DNICP 40

rupture appeared to affect the peak pressure at the crack tip as it occurred during the depressurization of the free volume.

### 4.1.2 Interpretation of the Film Data

The degree of damage to the propellant sample was characterized by examining the film record of the event. A typical film record of a Mode B, single crack propagation is shown in Figure 15. Table I contains a frame-by-frame decription of the event. The instantaneous bright flame contours caused by burning in the crack are used to define the crack shape and length. From the contour (Figure 16), the crack tip location was measured as a function of time. Thus the crack velocity, extended length and number of macrocracks were deduced. In this test the initial crack length was longer than in previous tests. Figure 17 shows that the luminous flame front moved through the initially closed region and the crack propagation region at the same rate. The sharp reduction in propagation rate was caused by the depressurization of the chamber. A typical instantaneous bright flame contour for a branching experiment is shown in Figure 18.

### 4.1.3 Determining Other Key Parameters

In order to calculate each dimensionless parameter the values of  $r_b$ ,  $K_{I_C}$  and  $E_{ref}$  must be determined. To represent the normal regression of the propellant surface in relation to the crack propagation, a steady state burning rate was calculated using Saint Robert's law<sup>17</sup>

 $r_{b} = aP^{n}$ (34)

where P was the pressure at the time of crack initiation and a and n are burning rate constants given in Table II. $^{19}$ 



1.000×0×0×0×0×

2

SURVEY ANTARA MARKAN

2222222

PERSON REPORT AND DEPENDED AND DEPENDENT PRODUCT

Picture No.	Time (ms)	Event
1-6	0-0.6	Igniter gases fill crack cavity.
7-12	0.6-1.2	Flame penetrates precut crack.
13–16	1.2-1.6	A single crack propagates. High pressure gas continues to flow into crack region.
17–28	1.6-2.8	Crack cavity is clearly seen. Propagation has stopped.
29-34	2.8-3.4	Rupture disk bursts and flame intensity is reduced.
35 <b>-</b>	3.4-	Propellant sample is not extinguished and burns completely.

CALL NO. OF

Table I. Film Interpretation of Crack Propagation Process



ĨĨ ĨĨ

and here a sources and the sources of the

8

# Fig. 16 Instantaneous Bright Flame Front Contour from DNICP 40



Fig. 17 Crack Tip Location vorsus Time



$$\partial P/\partial t = 49.0 \text{ GPa/s}$$
  
 $V_p = 29.0 \text{ m/s}$   
 $n = 3$ 

Fig. 18 Instantaneous Bright Flame Front Contour from DNICP 31

NUCLARY DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION

Table II.	Steady State Bu	rning Rate Constants
Туре	a (mm/s/(atm) <sup>n</sup>	exponent n
ARC 4525	0.5849	0.5427
ARC 5051	0.8441	0.5611

The critical stress intensity factor  $K_{I_{C}}$ , was calculated using equations (9), (14) and the relationship

$$\sigma = P\cos\psi_i \tag{35}$$

The relaxation modulus  $E_{ref}$ , was taken from Figure 8 where t was the time of crack initiation.

#### 4.2 Relationships Between Dimensionless Parameters

Using the data obtained from numerous experiments, several sets of values for the nine dimensionless parameters have been deduced (Table III). With this data, the functional relationships of these parameters were obtained statistically using a multiple regression analysis program. The results can be expressed as

$$\pi_{A} = 20.697 \pi_{E}^{0.107} \pi_{F}^{0.181} a_{T}^{0.321} (\sin\psi_{i})^{2.49} (\sin\psi_{o})^{0.645}$$
(36)

$$\pi_{\rm B} = 6.16 \times 10^{-7} \pi_{\rm E}^{0.065} \pi_{\rm F}^{0.254} a_{\rm T}^{-0.78} (\sin\psi_{\rm i})^{-6.45} (\sin\psi_{\rm o})^{-0.985}$$
(37)

$$\pi_{\rm C} = 7.389 \ \pi_{\rm E}^{0.274} \pi_{\rm F}^{0.042} a_{\rm T}^{-0.021} (\sin\psi_{\rm i})^{1.14} (\sin\psi_{\rm o})^{-0.045}$$
(38)

$$\pi_{\rm D} = 10.9 \times 10^4 \ \pi_{\rm E}^{0.217} \pi_{\rm F}^{0.076} a_{\rm T}^{0.478} (\sin\psi_{\rm i})^{2.05} (\sin\psi_{\rm o})^{-0.117}$$
(39)

It is important to note that although the exponents of  $\pi_E$  and  $\pi_F$ are small compared to the other exponents, the values of these two parameters are, in general, large compared to  $\sin\psi_i$ ,  $\sin\psi_o$  and  $a_T$ , and . **5555555** 533333

1.2.42445.2

Table III. Experimental Values of Dimensionless Parameters

ŗ

č

								•		
°	11.5	11.5	11.5	3.0	3.0	3.5	3 <b>.</b> 5	3.5	3 <b>-</b> 5	5.0
$\psi_{\mathbf{i}}$	0.0	<b>0°</b> 6	0.0	9.6	9.1	9.7	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.9
ar	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.8	1.5	1.8	1.2	2.5
ى <del>ت</del> ا ل	2970	1060	6530	186900	110800	3910	132100	41500	79300	3500
ដា	0.309	0.115	1.077	18.930	8.160	0.439	18.300	13.120	15.310	0.473
α <sub>⊥</sub>	4420	2420	5960	13490	13400	5940	17000	10250	10900	2720
_ ⊐	-		٢	S	m	-	m	7	m	-
<sup>Π</sup> B	3.963	•	•	29.470	•	•	٠	•		2.945
μ	0.25	0.221	0.428	0.467	0.342	0.204	0.441	0.329	0.338	0.236
Test No.	13	14	15	21	23	24	26	27	31	14 0

40

Processies of

وللككككك

لمتاسق والمنافعة المنافع

00000

In order to check the reliability of these predictions, equations (36) through (39) are plotted with the values obtained from test data (Figures 19 through 22). These figures show that the predictions adequately characterize the experimental data. The multiple regression analysis calculated  $R^2$  values greater than 89% for all correlations.  $R^2$  is defined as the sample multiple coefficient of determination given by

$$R^{2} = \frac{\Sigma(Y_{i} - \hat{Y}_{i})^{2}}{\Sigma(Y_{i} - \bar{Y})^{2}}$$
(40)

where  $Y_i$  are the measured values,  $\overline{Y}$  is the mean value of  $Y_i$  and  $\hat{Y}_i$  is the predicted value of  $Y_i$ .

### 4.3 Discussion of Results

The expressions for  $\pi_A$ ,  $\pi_B$ ,  $\pi_C$  and  $\pi_D$  characterize the damage in the sample in terms of the extended macrocrack length, in rease in burning surface area, number of macrocracks, and crack propagation velocity. From equations (36) through (39), the effects of the operating conditions characterized by  $\pi_E$ ,  $\pi_F$ ,  $\psi_o$ ,  $\psi_i$  and  $a_T$  on the sample damage can be analyzed individually. The equations show that all damage parameters increase with  $\pi_E$  and  $\pi_F$ . The pressurization rate ( $\pi_F$ ) is particularly dominant in extending the crack length and increasing the specific surface area. This agrees with previous studies<sup>5-8</sup> which showed an increase in damage for higher rates of thermal energy input and higher pressurization rates.

Changes in  $\psi_0$  change the confinement of the damaged zone allowing varying degrees of sample deformation. The equations predict that a



Fig. 19 Data Correlation for the Extended Crack Length  $\pi_{\rm A}$ 



Fig. 20 Data Correlation for the Rate of Increases in Specific Surface Area  $\pi_{\mbox{B}}$ 

アナマイト



Fig. 21 Data Correlation for the Number of Macrocracks  $\pi_{C}$ 



Fig. 22 Data Correlation for the Crack Propagation Velocity  $\neg_{D}$ 

greater angle will increase crack growth while each of the other parameters will decrease. Under these conditions the angle at the crack tip is likely to be greater resulting in a higher local stress concentration. Thus, the single crack propagation mode is more probable. With a longer, single crack, equation (37) indicates that these two effects contribute to a decrease in  $\pi_B$ . Also, higher sample deformation work implies less energy is available to propagate the crack, reducing  $V_p$ .

The effects of initial propellant temperature on the crack propagation process can be very important. At lower temperatures (higher values of  $a_T$ ) the propellant becomes more brittle, lowering its fracture energy. Higher crack velocities and longer cracks are expected. However, the range of initial temperatures in this study was limited by the test conditions. Broader ranges are needed to more accurately predict its effect.  $\psi_i$  was essentially unchanged in these tests but was included for the completeness of the correlations.

The agreement of these predictions with previous observations and basic principles in fracture mechanics indicates that the methodology for their development is valid. Similar correlations for different propellant types with different crack configurations could be developed using this method to determine guidelines for the safe operation of high performance rocket motors.

# Chapter 5

### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Two types of composite propellants were selected for this study (ARC 5051 and ARC 4525). They have similar composition but different oxidizer particle sizes and mechanical properties. Several tests were conducted under different loading conditions and sapmle geometries. The damage to the sample was measured in terms of crack length, number of cracks and crack propagation velocity. Using a dimensional analysis of the key variables involved in the crack propagation phenomena, a set of governing dimensionless parameters were established. These parameters can provide some insight into the cause and effect relationship between the momentum and energy input to a propellant sample with existing flaws and the resultant damage.

Correlations of the dimensionless parameters were obtained by performing a multiple regression analysis on the test data. The driving parameters,  $\pi_E$  and  $\pi_F$  have positive relationships with the damage parameters  $\pi_A$ ,  $\pi_B$ ,  $\pi_C$  and  $\pi_D$ . This indicates that higher momentum and energy inputs to the crack cavity will cause a greater degree of damage. The geometry and initial temperature of the propellant sample have additional effects characterized by the correlations. These correlations are only valid for the small ranges of temperature and crack cavity angles studied; however, additional tests could expand the applicability and validity of this method. Enough data has been obtained to predict the strong dependence on the rate of energy input and pressurization on crack propagation. The good agreement with test data and reasonable physical interpretation

47

TO CONTRA

suggests that the methodology outlined in this study can provide a basis for future development of similar correlations defining safe operating conditions for solid propellant rockets.

Future research in this area should include:

þ

a) development of correlations for high-energy propellants used in actual propulsion systems today, including high-elongation propellants;

b) extension of the present analysis to include propagation and flame spreading along a motor casing due to an initially debonded area, and;

c) integration of a crack/debond model into the Rocket Performance Prediction Code.

## REFERENCES

- Kumar, M. and Kuo, K. K., "Ignition of Solid Propellant Crack Tip Under Rapid Pressurization," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 18, No. 7, July 1980, pp. 825-833.
- Knauss, W. G., "On the Steady Propagation of a Crack in a Viscoelastic Sheet: Experiments and Analysis," <u>Deformation and Frac-</u> <u>ture of High Polymers</u>, edited by H. H. Kausch, J. A. Hassel and R. I. Jaffee, Plenum Press, 1974.
- Schapery, R. A., "A Theory in Crack Initiation and Growth in Viscoelastic Media, I. Theoretical Development," <u>Int. J. Fracture</u>, Vol. 11, Feb. 1975, pp. 141-159.
- Schapery, R. A., "A Theory in Crack Initiation and Growth in Viscoelastic Media, II. Approximate Methods of Analysis," <u>Int.</u> <u>J. Fracture</u>, Vol. 11, June 1975, pp. 369-388.
- 5. Schapery, R. A., "A Theory in Crack Initiation and Growth in Viscoelastic Media, III. Analysis of Continuous Growth," <u>Int.</u> J. Fracture, Vol. 11, Aug. 1975, pp. 549-562.
- 6. Siefert, J. G. and Kuo, K. K., "Crack Propagation in Burning Solid Propellants," <u>Dynamics of Shock Waves, Explosions and Detonations</u>, edited by J. R. Bowen, N. Manson, A. K. Oppenheim and R. I. Soloukhin, Vol. 94 of Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics, 1985, pp. 575-595.
- Kuo, K. K. and Moreci, J. A., "Crack Combustion and Branching in Burning Solid Propellants," <u>21st International Combustion</u> <u>Symposium</u>, 1987.
- Kuo, K. K., Moreci, J. A. and Mantzaras, J., "Different Modes of Crack Propagation in Burning Solid Propellants," <u>J. Propulsion</u> and Power, Vol. 3, No. 1, Jan.-Feb 1987, pp. 19-25.
- 9. Gent, A. N. and Marteny, P., "The Effect of Strain upon the Velocity of Sound and the Velocity of Free Retraction for Natural Rubber," ONR Technical Report No. 16. University of Akron, 1982.
- De Luca, L., "Extinction Theories and Experiments," <u>AIAA</u> <u>Progress Series</u>, Vol. 90, edited by K. K. Kuo and M. Summerfield, 1984.

- 11. Kuo. K. K., Moreci, J. A., Kim, J. U., Torikai, T., Grubelich, M., Chang, L. K. and Beelitz, P., "Crack Propagation and Branching in Burning Solid Propellants and Ignition of Nitramine-Based Composite Propellants," Annual Report to Office of Naval Research Power Program, Jan. 1986, 114 pages.
- Harbert, B. C. and Schapery, R. A., "Some Mechanical Properties of Two HTPB Composite Solid Propellants," Report No. MM 5222-86-20, Texas A&M University, 1986.

- 13. Mueller, H. K. and Knauss, W. G., "Crack Propagation in a Linearly Viscoelastic Strip," J. <u>Applied Mechanics</u>, June 1971, pp. 141-158.
- 14. Schapery, R. A., "Fracture Mechanics of Solid Propellants," <u>Fracture Mechanics</u>, edited by N. Perrone, H. Liebowitz, D. Mulville and W. Pilkey, U. Press of Va., 1978, pp. 387-398.
- Langlois, G. and Gonard, R., "New Law for Crack Propagation in Solid Propellant Material," <u>J. Spacecraft</u>, Vol. 16, No. 6, Nov.-Dec. 1979, pp. 357-360.
- Krier, H., "Solid Propellant Burning Rate During a Pressure Transient," <u>Combustion Science and Technology</u>, Vol. 5, 1972, pp. 69-73.
- 17. Cornelisse, J. W., Schoyer, H. F. R. and Walker, K. F., <u>Rocket</u> <u>Propulsion and Spaceflight Dynamics</u>, The Pitman Publishing Co., London, 1979, pp.174-179.
- White, F. M., <u>Fluid Mechanics</u>, 2nd edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1986, pp. 253-259.

- Kumar, M., Kovacic, S. M. and Kuo, K. K., "Gas Penetration, Flame Propagation, and Combustion Processes in Solid Propellant Cracks," <u>AIAA-80-1206</u>, July 1980
- 20. Velikanov, M. A., <u>Measurement Errors and Empirical Relations</u>, Israel Program for Scientific Translations Ltd., 1965, pp. 172-177.

A TELEVISION DE CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTÓRIA DE LA CONTRACTÓRIA DE LA CONTRACTÓRIA DE LA CONT

TEST PROCEDURES AND CHECKLIST FOR CRACK PROPAGATION TESTS

A. Igniter Assembly:

1. Cut igniter charge and record mass and propellant type.

2. Select a primer with a resistance 20 - 50 ohms and record.

\_\_\_\_\_ 3. Assemble primer, primer holder and lexane insulator.

4. Check primer resistance. If it has changed significantly, check leads and reassemble.

\_\_\_\_\_ 5. Place desired amount of propellant shavings in and around the primer.

6. Assemble remaining igniter pieces with the yellow marks aligned.

7. Check igniter circuit continuity.

\_\_\_\_\_ 8. Short igniter leads.

B. Sample preparation:

Measure and record the mass and dimensions of the sample.
 Paint a dot on the front surface of the propellant for focusing purposes.

3. If Spin Physics camera will be used, mark the crack tip location with paint and measure distance between crack tip and focusing dot.

C. Chamber Assembly:

1. Install the desired  $N_2$  inlet lines (except on igniter) and check for unobstructed flow.

2. Assure sacrificial and main windows properly fit into test chamber and clean window surfaces.

3. Spread flame retardant grease over all sample surfaces (except crack surface) and install in test chamber.

4. Insure propellant sample will not extend beyond sample holders when compressed.

5. Install main O-ring with joined ends at the rear of the chamber. Apply permatex to O-ring and groove .

6. Install sacrificial window against the propellant sample.

\_\_\_\_\_7. Install the main window into the chamber window cover.

8. Bolt the chamber window assembly to the main chamber, being careful to evenly compress the sample.

9. Measure and record top bursting diaphragm thickness and install diaphragm and hood assembly to top of chamber. Check for proper alignment of diaphragm holder to allow maximum flow area. ---- 10. Check side rupture disk and replace if necessary.

\_\_\_\_\_11. Install igniter assembly to bottom of chamber being sure to align the yellow marks. Check igniter resistance and short the igniter circuit.

\_\_\_\_\_ 12. Connect  $N_2$  line to igniter. If not used, ensure that igniter ports are plugged.

D. Instrumentation:

Verify that all ignition circuits are in the off position.
 Install pressure transducers and record their respective locations, sensitivities, and data lines.

\_\_\_\_\_ 3. Connect water lines and check for leaks. Turn off water supply.

4. Set up Hycam and install dummy film. Insure the film speed is reduced for ignition circuit test.

5. Connect volt-meter to ignition box and run ignition test.

6. Check focus of the Hycam.

7. Load new film, depress microswitch, and insure triggering arm operates properly as the camera door is secured. Reset PPS dial.

8. Check and record all camera settings.

\_\_\_\_\_9. Record test cell temperature.

10. Setup and focus Spin Physics camera (if used).

\_\_\_\_ 11. Remove lights used for focusing.

12. Install exaust fan and connect to Lights No. 1 receptacle.

13. Check data line connections to charge amplifiers.

14. Set input voltages and calibrate charge amplifiers.

\_\_\_\_\_15. Connect charge amplifier output to Physical Data recorder and record settings. Turn on Physical Data unit and hit reset button.

E. Test firing procedure:

1. Perform final check of all connections.

\_\_\_\_\_ Hycam \_\_\_\_\_ Spin Physics \_\_\_\_\_ Transducers Water connections

\_\_\_\_\_Exhaust fan \_\_\_\_\_N<sub>2</sub> lines

\_\_\_\_\_ Physical Data Charge Amps

2. Open outside door.

Secure safety key.

4. Connect igniter leads to igniter box.

\_\_\_\_\_5. Check igniter resistance.

\_\_\_\_\_6. Close and secure test cell door.

7. Turn on warning light and sound test alarm.

12222222

いたのである

22225

1222222222

8. Verify charge amps are in CHARGE position.

9. Turn on igniter power and charge capacitor.

\_\_\_\_ 10. Turn on ignition panel switches 3 thru 6 and event switch.

\_\_\_\_ 11. Turn off safety switch.

\_\_\_\_ 12. Unground charge amplifiers, reset Physical Data recorder.

13. Turn on Spin Physics Camera and wait for ready light.

14. Turn on ignition switch.

\_\_\_\_\_ 15. After rupture disk bursts, inject N<sub>2</sub>.

\_\_\_\_\_16. When propellant has stopped burning, stop Spin Physics camera.

F. Post Firing Procedures:

\_\_\_\_\_2. Ground charge amplifiers.

3. Turn off all ignition switches and burn on exhaust fan switch.

4. Make a hard copy of pressure traces on X-Y plotter.

5. Transfer pressure data to Nicolet and store on floppy

disk.

6. Generate calibration signals for transducers and record on X-Y plotter.

CRACK PROPAGATION TES	T NO
DATE	
Participants:,,,,,,,	
TEMPERATURE: T(room)C	T(cell)C
CRACK GEOMETRY AND SAMPLE SPEC .:	IGNITER:
Propellant type	Primer
Configuration	Propellant type
Length	Dimensions
Max gap width	Mass
Inner taper angle	Resistance
Taper heigth	
Thickness	FLYING PIN IGNITER:
Weight	Primer resistance
Fuse Wire Location	Propellant weight
	Propellant type
CAMERA:	Diaphragm thickness
Type of Lens	Diaphragm diameter
Framing RateFrames/s	Diaphragm material
Optical Head: 1/4 , 1/2 , frame	
PPS Dial	RUPTURE DISK ACTUATOR:
Multiplier	Primer resistance
Time MarkerPulses/s	Propellant weight
Remote firing atft	Propellant type
Distance of focusft	
Brake Dial	FILM:
Servo	ASA NO
H/L speed reducer	Length
F. Stop:	Color, B & W

In the multiple regression of the data in Table II, the exponential relationships assumed for the dimensionless parameters [equations (36) through (39)] were rearranged into a linear relationship based on the natural logarithm of each value. Using the basic properties of logrithmic representations, the uncertainty in each dimensionless quantity can be expressed as

$$\ln(x_{i}) = \ln(x) + e(x)/x$$
 (41)

where  $x_i$  is the actual value, x is the measured value and e(x) is the error interval associated with that measurement.<sup>20</sup> The method of least square analysis used on the measured data will give more accurate results when

$$\ln(x) \gg e(x)/x \tag{42}$$

An expression for the relative error in each dimensionless parameter is

$$r_{u} = e(x)/(x \ln(x)) \tag{43}$$

Table IV contains estimations of the various errors involved in measuring each of the variables in the experimental setup. Equation (43) can then be evaluated for each of the dimensionless parameters. This equation indicates that for values of x which approach unity, the relative error could be significant. Since the error of  $\pi_{\rm C}$  (n) is assumed to be zero, its correlation is not affected. However,  $a_{\rm T}$  does have a finite error associated with it. For the worst case of  $a_{T} = 1.1$ ,  $r_{x} = 0.095$  or 9.5% relative error. This could be improved by referencing  $a_{T}$  to a temperature outside the normal operating range.

Using equation (43) and the values listed in Table 4, the relative error was calculated for each dimensionless parameter based on its average value.

$$\pi_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{D}} / \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{O}}$$
(27)

Assume  $e(L_D) \ll e(L_D)$  therefore  $e(\pi_A)/\pi_A = e(L_D)/L_D$ . Based on the average value,  $\pi_A = 0.326$ ,  $r_{\pi_A} = 9.9\%$ .

Using this method, the following values of  $r_x$  are obtained:

$$r_{\pi_{A}} = 9.9\%$$

$$r_{\pi_{B}} = 3.9\%$$

$$r_{\pi_{C}} = 0.0\%$$

$$r_{\pi_{D}} = 0.3\%$$

$$r_{\pi_{E}} = 1.7\%$$

$$r_{\pi_{F}} = 3.4\%$$

$$r_{\sin\psi_{i}} = 5.9\%$$

$$r_{\cos\psi_{0}} = 8.2\%$$

$$r_{a_{T}} = 2.1\%$$

### Table IV. Estimation of Measurement Errors

A CARLEY AND A CARL

**N** N 1

Physical <u>Variable</u>	Source and Type of Error	Error Interval (+/-)
$\psi_{\substack{\psi \\ \psi \\ \circ}}$	Random error in the measurement and calculation of the inner taper angle.	0.2 <sup>0</sup>
	The systematic error of symmetry	0.5 <sup>0</sup>
a <sub>T</sub>	Random error in the measurement of the room temperature	0.5 K
	Systematic error in the difference between room temp. and initial propellant temp.	3.0 K
	Random and systematic error in converting temperature to $a_T$ (note: in the temperature range of interest $da_T/dT$ is near zero)	0.01
	Accuracy of the a <sub>T</sub> data (note: a <sub>T</sub> is defined near operating temperatures)	NA
n	Since n is a discrete quantity, it is assumed to have no random error	0.0
ao	Random error of the measuring instrument	0.25 mm
L <sub>D</sub>	Random error in determining the bright flame front tip location	2.0 mm
	Systematic error of correlation between the flame front and actual tip location (note: assumed to be small)	unknown
Vp	Random error of measuring the change of flame front location with respect to time (due to the averaging effect)	0.0
Р	Systematic error of the installation, wear, calibration and recording of pressure data	5%
ap∕∂t	Since dp/dt is calculated using a very large dp and t is very accurate the error should no be greater than in P	5%

A CONTRACT DESCRIPTION

Provence.

12247-1-1-2Z