	UIR FILE (C)P	-	
	CHEMICAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT &		
	ENGINEERING CENTER	CRDEC-TR-88076	
A196 656	REACTION OF MICRO BY THE DIFFUSION OF R THROUGH POROU	OPARTICLES EACTIVE GASES S SHELLS	
AD-A	b RE UNIV	y Glenn O. Rubel, Ph.D. SEARCH DIRECTORATE James W. Gentry, Ph.D. /ERSITY OF MARYLAND College Park, MD 20742	
	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public released Distribution Unlimited	April 1988	
	Aberdeen Proving Ground, Marylar	L MUNITIONS CHEMICAL (COMMAND
		88 8 17	054

Disclaimer

1.010.010.01C

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorizing documents.

Distribution Statement

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

UNCLAS	SSIFIED		
SECURITY	CLASSIFICATION OF	THIS	PAGE

V-VA-0404 - 2-0

5

	REPORT DOCUM	IENTATION	PAGE		
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
UNCLASSIFIED					
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY OF	REPORT	
26. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	Approved distribut	for publi tion is un	c release	e;	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	R(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION RE	PORT NUMBER(S)
CRDEC-TR-88076					
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION			
CRDEC	SMCCR-RSP-B				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	1010 5400	76. ADDRESS (City	y, State, and ZIP (îode)	
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 2	1010-5423				
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	85 OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER			
CRDEC	SMCCR-RSP-B	10.001005.05.5			
BC. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		PROGRAM	PROJECT	5 TASK	WORK LINIT
		ELEMENT NO.	NO	NO.	ACCESSION NO.
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 2	1010-5423		1C161102	A71A	
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)					
Reaction of Microparticles by	the Diffusion o	f Reactive G	ases Throug	h Porous Sh	ells
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Rubel, Glenn O., Ph.D., and Ge	ntry, James W.,	Ph.D.*			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT13b. TIME COTechnicalFROM 86	Sep to 87 May	14. DATE OF REPOR	RT (Year, Month, D 8 April	Day) 15 PAGE (COUNT 24
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
*University of Maryland, (College/Park,	MD			
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	ontinue on reverse	if necessary and	identify by block	number)
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Heterogeneous	reaction	Surface	phase	Auto
	Gas phase diff	usion '	Crystall	ization,	, ju
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block of	umber)			
A source (continue on reverse in necessary	and identity by block in	0			
A new experimental technique, study the heterogeneous reaction ments with phosphoric acid drop dynamics are sequentially contra- and porous shell diffusion-con- reactions terminate when annon porous shell diffusion-control empirical model to describe the droplets with surface layers o effective porous shell diffusion through the porous shell. The	single particle on between sing plets and ammon rolled by surfact trolled reaction ium phosphate c led reactions be e porous shell of f crystallized on coefficient model is compar- (Continue	electrodyna le acid drop ia gas show cc phase, ga ns. Gas pha rystallizes ecome rate 1 diffusion-co solids. The to describe red to react ed on revers	mic balance plets and ba that the dr is phase dif- use diffusio at the drop imiting. W entrolled re model is p the transpo ion data ob e)	(SPEB), wa sic gases. oplet react fusion-cont n-controlle let surface e derive a actions of arameterize rt of react tained from	as used to Experi- ion rolled, e, and semi- acid ad with an ive gases the SPEB
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS R		UNCLASSIFI	ED		
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		226. TELEPHONE (I	nclude Area Code)	22c OFFICE SY	MBOL
SANDRA J. JOHNSON		(301) 671-	2914	SMCCR-SP	S-T
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 AP	K edition may be used uni All other editions are ob	tii exhausted	SECURITY O	LASSIFICATION O	F THIS PAGE
	Shother exitions are ou		UNCLASSIFT	ED	

UNCLASSIFIED			
SECURITY CLASSIEICATION	~	THE	BAGE
SECORITY CEASSIFICATION	06		FAUL

19. ABSTRACT (Continued)

.....

for the reaction of phosphoric acid droplets layered with shells of ammonium phosphate. The characteristic pore radius of the porous shell is determined as a function of reaction condition.

Pitiete di SEE cont

SECURITY CLASSIFICATE ON TO THE CONTRACT

PREFACE

The work described in this report was authorized under Project No. 1C161102A71A, Research in CW/CB Defense. This work was started in September 1986 and completed in May 1987.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement of any commercial products. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of Commander, U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center, ATTN: SMCCR-SPS-T, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010-5423. However, the Defense Technical Information Center and the National Technical Information Service are authorized to reproduce the document for U.S. Government purposes.

This report has been approved for release to the public.



Blank

	CONTENTS	
		Page
1.	INTRODUCTION	7
2.	REACHTON OF ACTD DROPLETS IMMERSED IN	
	AMMONIA GAS	8
		-
3.	ONSET OF PARTICLE CRYSTALLIZATION	10
4.	THEORY	10
4.1	Shell Thickness	12
4.2	Ammonia Concentration Field	13
4.3	Porous Shell Diffusion-Controlled Reaction	
	Model	15
5.	COMPARISON BETWEEN EXPERIMENT AND THEORY	15
6.	SUMMARY	19
	APPENDIX - THE DEPENDENCE OF THE SHELL DIFFUSION COEFFICIENT ON THE POROSITY	21
	5	
	c	~

Blank

REACTION OF MICROPARTICLES BY THE DIFFUSION OF REACTIVE GASES THROUGH POROUS SHELLS

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, we developed a new technique to study the heterogeneous reaction between acid aerosols and basic gases.¹ The technique, single particle electrodynamic balance (SPEB), uses both oscillating and static electric fields to stabilize single, charged droplets at a fixed point in space. The droplet mass is determined from the weight-balancing static electric field that maintains the droplet at the focal plane of a By monitoring the time-dependent, weighttelemicroscope. balancing electric field, we can analyze processes that cause changes in the droplet mass. Rubel and Gentry¹ studied the heterogeneous reaction between single phosphoric acid droplets and ammonia gas by recording the increasing weight-balancing voltage during droplet reaction. We found that the droplet reactions are sequentially controlled by surface phase, gas phase diffusion-controlled, and porous shell diffusion-controlled Observing the droplet through a telemicroscope, we reactions. noted that gas phase diffusion-controlled reactions terminate after particle crystallization.

The time of particle crystallization decreased with increasing ammonia gas partial pressure and decreasing droplet This correlation supported the hypothesis that the size. development of a critical supersaturation of ammonium phosphate initiates phosphate crystallization in the droplet. To test the hypothesis, we derived a model for the time-dependent ammonium phosphate saturation field inside the droplet.* Calculations showed that, for a wide range of experimental conditions, all droplets were characterized by the same surface supersaturation of ammonium phosphate at the time of crystallization. This result substantiated the hypothesis that a critical supersaturation of ammonium phosphate initiates the formation of a porous shell at the droplet surface. Further evidence for the formation of the surface shells was found in photographic records that

¹Rubel, G.O., and Gentry, J.W., "Investigation of the Reaction Between Single Aerosol Acid Droplets and Ammonia Gas," <u>J. Aerosol Science</u> Vol. 15, No. 6, p 661 (1984).

[&]quot;Rubel, G.O., and Gentry, J.W., Unpublished data, 1987.

showed the appearance of opaque annuli at the droplet surface at the time of crystallization.

The formation of the porous shell terminates the gas phase diffusion-controlled reactions, and porous shell diffusioncontrolled reactions became rate limiting. This study was conducted to develop a semiempirical model that describes the porous shell diffusion-controlled reaction of layered microparticles. In particular, we derive a model that predicts the porous shell diffusion-controlled reaction for phosphoric acid droplets with surface layers of ammonium phosphate. The porous shell reaction model is parameterized with an effective diffusion coefficient to describe the transport of ammonia gas through the ammonium phosphate shell. Further depletion of the phosphoric acid reactant inside the droplet is modeled after a shrinkingcore reaction model. The porous shell reaction model is compared to data obtained from the SPEB. We determined the characteristic pore radius of the porous shell as a function of reaction conditions using the parallel pore model of Wheeler.²

2. REACTION OF ACID DROPLETS IMMERSED IN AMMONIA GAS

The use of the SPEB technique to measure the heterogeneous reaction dynamics of single acid droplets has been described in detail earlier.¹ The central component of the experimental system is the electrodynamic balance that stabilizes single, charged droplets at the null point of an ac-driven hyperboloidal electric field. The droplet weight is balanced against a static electric field that maintains the droplet at the focal plane of a telemicroscope. The heterogeneous reaction rate of the single droplet is determined from the rate at which the balancing electric field increases with time. Using a 35mm objective, the system has the additional capability to monitor the time-dependent morphology of the reacting droplet. In the earlier study, Rubel and Gentry¹ measured the heterogeneous reaction dynamics of phosphoric acid droplets immersed in ammonia gas. The investigation involved droplet sizes between 42 and 72 μ m and ammonia gas partial pressures between 115 and 1000 dyn/cm². All experiments were conducted at one atmosphere of pressure.

Figure 1 shows the extent of reaction of phosphoric acid droplets measured as a function of time in the SPEB. The extent

²Wheeler, A., <u>Catalysis</u>, Vol. 2, p 105, Reinhold, New York, 1955.



Figure 1. Comparison of Measured Extent of Reaction (0) and Theoretical Surface Phase (S) and Gas Phase Diffusion-Controlled (D) Extent of Reaction for Phosphoric Acid Droplets Immersed in Ammonia Gas.

of reaction is the ratio of the number of ammonia molecules reacted with the droplet to the number of phosphoric acid molecules initially in the droplet. This follows from the 1:1 stoichiometry of the reaction. Four separate reactions are shown, each characterized by different ammonia gas partial pressures. The data (O) is compared to theoretical surface phase (S) and gas phase diffusion-controlled (D) reaction rates.¹ Thus, the reaction dynamics are initially controlled by surface phase kinetic reactions and then by gas phase diffusioncontrolled reactions. Gas phase diffusion-controlled reactions terminate after particle crystallization. The onset of particle crystallization is monitored with a telemicroscope.

3.

ONSET OF PARTICLE CRYSTALLIZATION

Figure 1 shows that the time of particle crystallization decreased with increasing ammonia gas partial pressure or equivalently increasing reaction rate. For the runs shown in Figure 1, the time of particle crystallization decreased from 20 to 3.5 sec as the ammonia gas partial pressure increased from 115 to 1000 dyn/cm². In a later paper (unpublished data, 1987), we derived a quantitative model that predicts the ammonium phosphate saturation field inside the reacting droplet. The experimenters assumed that the reaction product, ammonium phosphate, obeyed Fick's law of diffusion and was generated at the droplet surface at a rate given by gas phase diffusioncontrolled transport theory. We presented a closed form solution for the internal droplet phosphate saturation field as a function of time and ammonia gas partial pressure. Figure 2 shows the phosphate saturation fields computed at particle crystallization times of 20, 7, 4.5, and 3.5 sec, corresponding to the reactions shown i. Figure 1. At particle crystallization, the surface supersaturation of ammonium phosphate is 1.88, 1.94, 2.02, and 1.90 for the ammonia gas partial pressures of 115, 400, 800, and 1000 dyn/cm², respectively. Rubel and Gentry conclude that the development of a critical supersaturation of ammonium phosphate started particle crystallization.

4. THEORY

To develop a porous shell diffusion-controlled reaction model, we propose the following mechanism to describe the state of the droplet during reaction. At the time of crystallization, all droplet surfaces are characterized by the same value of the ammonium phosphate supersaturation. The excess free energy represented by the phosphate supersaturation provides the driving force for the phase transition. The phase transition relieves the phosphate supersaturation, resulting in the formation of a porous shell at the droplet surface. In addition, an even larger annulus, of saturated ammonium phosphate is circumscribed by the porous shell. Further diffusion of ammonia gas through the shell results in the supersaturation of this phosphate-saturated annulus and the continuous build-up of the porous shell. Thus, after crystallization, the droplet reactions are controlled by the diffusion of ammonia gas through the porous shell that grows as the acid core shrinks.

To model the porous shell diffusion-controlled reaction dynamics, the salient properties of the porous shell must be specified, that is, its time-dependent thickness and the ammonia gas concentration field within the porous shell.



REDUCED RADIAL COORDINATE r/R

Figure 2. Theoretical Ammonium Phosphate Saturation Field Inside a Phosphoric Acid Droplet Reacting with Ammonia Gas (Ammonia gas pressure P is in units of dyn/cm²).

4.1 <u>Shell Thickness</u>.

At crystallization, all ammonium phosphate exceeding the saturation concentration c_s precipitates from the solution into the body of the porous shell. As shown in Figure 2, the super-saturation zone extends inward from the droplet surface about one-tenth the droplet radius. The thickness through which the concentration field exceeds saturation is $R - \delta$, where δ is the thickness of the supersaturated zone (Figure 3a). The mass of phosphate that precipitates as a porous shell at crystallization m_{ph} is

$$m_{\rm ph} = \int_{8}^{R} [c(r) - c_{\rm s}] 4\pi r^2 dr \qquad (1)$$

where c(r) is the radially dependent phosphate concentration at crystallization. The thickness of the shell at crystallization τ_c is defined by the relation

$$4 \pi R^3 / 3 - 4 \pi (R - \tau_c)^3 / 3 = m_{ph} / (1 - \epsilon) \rho_{ph}$$
(2)

where $\rho_{\rm ph}$ is the bulk density of ammonium phosphate, and ϵ is the porosity of the porous shell. In these studies, the shell thickness is much less than the droplet radius. Substituting equation 1 into equation 2, the shell thickness at crystal-lization can be written as



Figure 3. Schematic of Ammonium Phosphate Saturation Field before Crystallization (a) and Ammonia Gas Concentration Field Inside c_i and Outside c_{ex} the Porous Shell (b). where S(r) is the phosphate saturation ratio. The saturation ratio is defined as $S(r) \equiv c(r)/c_s$, c_s being the phosphate saturation concentration in the acid solution. As the reaction proceeds, the shell thickens. The thickness of the shell is related to the extent of droplet reaction as follows. The number of phosphate molecules $n_{\rm ph}$ in the droplet at time t is expressed as

$$n_{ph}(t) = n_{ph}(t_c) + 4\pi c_p^{s} \{ (R - \tau_c)^3 - [R - \tau(t)]^3 \} / 3$$
(4)

where $n_{ph}(t)$ is the sum of the phosphate molecules in the droplet at crystallization $n_{ph}(t_c)$ and the phosphate molecules created by the reaction between phosphoric acid molecules and ammonia gas diffusing through the porous shell. Here c_p^{S} is the molar volume concentration of phosphoric acid molecules in the saturated annulus. We define the extent of reaction & as & $\equiv n_{ph}/n_p(0)$, where $n_p(0)$ is the initial number of moles of phosphoric acid in the droplet. Then, the time-dependent shell thickness is related to the extent of reaction by

$$\tau(t) = R - \left\{ (R - \tau_c)^3 - 3n_p(0) \left[\&(t) - \&_c \right] / (4\pi c_p^s) \right\}^{1/3}$$
(5)

4.2 <u>Ammonia Concentration Field</u>.

The ammonia gas concentration inside the porous shell is shown qualitatively in Figure 3b. The ammonia gas concentration at the liquid acid core surface is set to zero because the reaction between the acid and ammonia molecules is rapid compared to the porous shell diffusion rate. At the droplet surface, a discontinuity exists in the slope of the concentration profile owing to the different boundary conditions imposed onto the interior c_i and exterior c_{ex} solutions for the ammonia gas concentration field. We establish the ammonia gas concentration within the porous shell by forming an imaginary sphere of radius r so that its surface lies within the porous shell. The transport rate of ammonia gas through the shell is

where D_e is the effective porous shell diffusion coefficient. Under steady state conditions, the flux is independent of radius and

$$4\pi r^2 D_{\rho} \partial c_i / \partial r = N = \text{constant}$$
(6)

Integrating equation 6 from $R - \tau$ to R and using the boundary condition $c_i(R - \tau) = 0$, the constant N is

$$N = \frac{4\pi D_{e} C_{i}(R)}{[1/(R - \tau) - 1/R]}$$

Integrating equation 6 from $R - \tau$ to r, and using the expression for N, the interior concentration field is

$$c_{i}(r) = \frac{c_{i}(R) [1/(R - \tau) - 1/r]}{[1/(R - \tau) - 1/R]}$$
(7)

We use mass continuity at the droplet surface, i.e.,

$$4\pi R^2 D_e \partial c_i / \partial r \big|_R = 4\pi R^2 D_g \partial c_{ex} / \partial r \big|_R$$
(8)

where D_g is the gas phase diffusion coefficient of ammonia to determine the surface ammonia gas concentration $c_i(R)$. With $\nabla^2 c_{ex} = 0$ and $c_{ex} = c_{ex}(\infty)$ as $r \to \infty$, the exterior solution becomes

$$c_{ex}(r) = [c_{ex}(R) - c_{ex}(\infty)]R/r + c_{ex}(\infty)$$
(9)

Substituting equations 7 and 9 into equation 8, the interior solution is written as

$$c_{i}(r) = \frac{[R/(R - \tau) - R/r]D_{g}c_{ex}(\infty)}{\left\{D_{e} + [R/(R - \tau) - 1]D_{g}\right\}}$$
(10)

Porous Shell Diffusion-Controlled Reaction Model.

The transport of ammonia gas through the porous shell is determined by substituting equation 10 into equation 8. The molar transport rate of ammonia gas is

$$dn_{\rm NH_3}/dt = \frac{4\pi RD_e D_g c_{ex}(\infty)}{\left\{ D_e + [R/(R - \tau) - 1]D_g \right\}}$$
(11)

Using the definition for &, and because the transport rate of ammonia gas is equal to the rate of production of ammonium phosphate, the porous shell diffusion-controlled reaction rate becomes

$$d\xi/dt = \frac{4\pi R D_e D_g C_{ex}(\infty)}{n_p(0) \left\{ D_e + [R/(R - \tau) - 1] D_g \right\}}$$
(12)

Using equation 5 to express explicitly τ in terms of &, the porous shell diffusion controlled reaction model for a layered acid droplet with a shrinking-core is

$$(D_{e}-D_{g})(\xi-\xi_{c})-2\pi c_{p}^{s} D_{g}R\{[(R-\tau_{c})^{3}-3n_{p}(0)(\xi-\xi_{c})/(4\pi c_{p}^{s})]^{2/3}-(R-\tau_{c})^{2}\}/n_{p}(0)$$

$$= 4\pi D_{e}D_{g}Rc_{ex}(\infty)t/n_{p}(0)$$
(13)

where ξ_{c} is the extent of reaction at crystallization.

5.

COMPARISON BETWEEN EXPERIMENT AND THEORY

Table 1 shows the list of conditions (i.e., droplet size, ammonia gas partial pressure P_A^g , time of crystallization t_c , and extent of reaction at crystallization) for which theory and experiment are compared. The shell thickness at crystallization as calculated from equation 3 is also shown in Table 1. For these calculations, the shell porosity ϵ is assumed to be 0.5. The shell thickness increases with increasing droplet size and decreasing ammonia gas partial pressure. For rapid

4.3

reactions, or alternatively small droplets and large ammonia gas partial pressures, thin shells form, while for large droplets and small ammonia gas pressures, thick shells form. These calculations are supported by observations with a telemicroscope that permits droplet sizing.

The best fit between theory and experiment is obtained by adjusting the value of the product $D_e(1-\epsilon)$ so that the difference between data and theory is a minimum (see Appendix). For the shrinking-core model to be valid, it is necessary that the phosphate-saturated annulus remain saturated during droplet reaction. The characteristic time for the internal liquid acid core to become uniformly mixed is given by R^2/D_1 , where D_1 is the liquid phase diffusion coefficient of ammonium phosphate. Using Stoke's law to estimate D_1 , the characteristic time for uniform mixing of the acid core is about 300 sec. Thus, for the reaction times of interest, the saturated annulus remains saturated and the shrinking-core model is valid. As soon as this zone is depleted, the shrinking-core model is not valid. The extent of reaction at which the saturated zone is depleted is given by

 $\boldsymbol{a_{\text{p}}} = \boldsymbol{a_{\text{c}}} + 4\pi c_{\text{p}}^{\text{s}} (R - r_{\text{c}})^{3} - \delta^{3}]/n_{\text{p}}(0)$

where $\&_D$ is the extent of reaction at which the saturated annulus is depleted. For run 46, the extent of reaction at depletion is 0.55. At this point, the porous shell/shrinking-core model is no longer valid. In the following analyses, we compare the semiempirical model to the reaction data up to the time that the saturated zone is depleted.

Figure 4 shows the comparison between experiment and theory for the four separate runs listed in Table 1. The four reactions correspond to reactions conducted at increasing ammonia gas partial pressures. We adjust the product $D_e(1-\epsilon)$ to minimize the deviation between experiment and theory. The product decreases by an order of magnitude as the ammonia gas partial pressure increases by almost an order of magnitude. Assuming a constant porosity of 0.5, the shell diffusion coefficient decreases from 0.025 to 0.001 cm²/sec as the ammonia gas partial pressure increases from 115 to 1000 dyn/cm². While it can alter the range of the diffusion coefficient variation, uncertainty in the porosity ϵ cannot account for the trend that with increasing



Figure 4. Comparison of Measured Extent of Reaction (0) and Theoretical Porous Shell Diffusion-Controlled Extent of Reaction (Δ) for Different Reaction Conditions. Porous Shell Diffusion-Controlled Reactions Initiated at Particle Crystallization.

17

332222

بالالكاريك الم

ammonia gas pressure the shell diffusion coefficient decreases substantially.

Run	Radius (µm)	P _A g(dyn/cm ²)	t _c (sec)	ξc	^τ c(μm)
46	32	115	20.0	0.36	1.01
42	33	400	7.0	0.31	0.99
50	30	800	4.5	0.30	0.69
48	29	1000	3.5	0.18	0.62

Table 1. Experimental Conditions for Reactions BetweenPhosphoric Acid Droplets and Ammonia Gas.

According to Wheeler's parallel pore model,² the porous shell diffusion coefficient is related to the single pore diffusion coefficient D_p by the relation

$$D_e = \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa^2} D_p$$
 (14)

where ϵ is the porosity of the shell defined as the ratio of the pore surface area to the shell surface area. The tortuosity κ describes the deviation of the pore from an ideal cylinder. The diffusion coefficient decreases if, the individual pore diffusion coefficient decreases, the porosity of the shell decreases or the tortuosity increases. In this study, we assume that the pore tortuosity is equal to unity. The Appendix shows that the porous shell diffusion coefficient is inversely proportional to $(1-\epsilon)$. This fact arises from the reciprocal dependence between the shell thickness and the shell diffusion coefficient. Explicitly showing the dependence on ϵ , equation 14 becomes

$$D_{p} = \frac{A}{\epsilon (1 - \epsilon)}$$
(15)

where A is the value of the product $D_e(1-\epsilon)$ that satisfies equation 13. Recall that for each run, A will assume a different value. Since the product $\epsilon(1 - \epsilon)$ does not vary significantly (less than a factor of two) as the porosity varies from 0.2 to 0.8, we use a mean value approach. For $\epsilon = 0.5$, the pore diffusion coefficient is $D_p = 4A$.

This relation permits the evaluation of the individual pore diffusion coefficient from knowledge of the parameter A, the evaluation of which does not require an explicit knowledge of the shell porosity.

If the capillary radius is much less than the mean free path of the gas, then diffusion through the capillary is described by Knudsen flow. The individual pore diffusion coefficient for a cylindrical capillary is

 $D_{\rm p} = 4r_{\rm c} (2KLT/\pi M)^{1/2}/3$ (16)

where r_c is the individual pore radius, K is Boltzmann constant, L is Avagadro's number, T is the temperature, and M is the molecular weight of the diffusing gas. As the capillary radius decreases, the diffusion coefficient decreases. Table 2 shows the pore radius calculated by substituting the experimentally determined pore diffusion coefficient (equation 15) into the Knudsen flow diffusion coefficient (equation 16). As the ammonia gas partial pressure increases, the pore radius decreases. The dependence of the pore radius on the ammonia gas partial pressure, or equivalently the reaction rate, explains the phenomenon of encapsulation that is observed at ammonia gas partial pressures exceeding 1000 dyn/cm². At these pressures, the pore size could be so small that the ammonia gas molecule is too large to penetrate the individual pore. That is, the shell acts like a molecular sieve. Indeed, the spherical equivalent radius of an ammonia gas molecule is 1.54 Angstroms, and Table 2 shows evidence that the pore radius is approaching the physical radius of the ammonia gas molecule.

6. SUMMARY

We derive a semiempirical reaction model that describes the porous shell diffusion-controlled reaction of layered droplets. Specifically, we present a model for the reaction of phosphate-layered acid droplets immersed in ammonia gas. The model is parameterized with an effective shell diffusion coefficient to describe the transport of ammonia gas through the phosphate shell. The further depletion of phosphoric acid reactant inside the droplet is modeled after a shrinking-We compared the reaction model to data obtained from core model. the electrodynamic balance. We found that the shell diffusion coefficient depends on the droplet reaction rate, decreasing more than an order of magnitude as the ammonia gas pressure increases by an order of magnitude. Furthermore, the average pore radius of the shell decreases with increasing droplet reaction rate. This accounts for the observed negative correlation between the shell diffusion coefficient and ammonia gas pressure. For large ammonia gas partial pressures, the shell diffusion coefficient decreases to zero, and the droplet is encapsulated. Future work will be directed toward the determination of the porosity of the phosphate shells, and thereby a more accurate determination of the shell diffusion coefficient.

PERSONAL A

525555

A PRESS MANUAL SUSSESS NAMES IN TAXABLE INCOMES INCOMES INCOMES INCOMES INCOMES INCOMES IN TAXABLE INCOMES INCOMES

Table 2. Pore Radius as a Function of Ammonia Gas Partial Pressure.

r _c (Å)	$P_A^g(dyn/cm^2)$		
246.24	115		
98.56	400		
9.84	1000		

APPENDIX

THE DEPENDENCE OF THE SHELL DIFFUSION COEFFICIENT ON THE POROSITY

Blank

144

APPENDIX

THE DEPENDENCE OF THE SHELL DIFFUSION COEFFICIENT ON THE POROSITY

The dependence of the shell diffusion coefficient D_e on the porosity ϵ of the shell is derived from the following considerations. Using the definition

$$A = 3c_s \int_{\delta}^{R} [S(r) - 1]r^2 dr/\rho_{ph}$$

we can rewrite equation 3 as

$$\tau_{C} = R - [R^{3} - A/(1 - \epsilon)]^{1/3}$$

Since $\tau_c << R$, A/[R³(1 - ϵ)]<<1, and the shell thickness reduces to

$${}^{\tau}c = R - R \left\{ 1 - A / [3 R^{3} (1 - \sqrt{3})] \right\}$$

=
$$\frac{A}{3R^{2} (1 - \epsilon)}$$
 (A)

Thus, as the shell porosity increases, the shell thickness at crystallization increases. To determine the dependence of the shell diffusion coefficient on the shell porosity, equation A is substituted into equation 13. Preliminary to this substitution, we use the approximation

$$(R - r_{c})^{3} >> 3n_{p}(0) (\& - \&_{c}) / (4\pi c_{p}^{s})$$
(B)

to simplify equation 13. Approximation equation B is clearly valid during the early stages of reaction when $\& = \&_C$. Using this approximation to expand the expression in equation 13, we obtain

$$(D_e - D_q) (\& \& c) + (\& -\& c) D_q R / (R - c_c) = 4\pi D_e D_q R c_{ex}(\infty) + /n_p(O)$$
(C)

With $\tau_{\rm C} << R$, equation C becomes

$$\left(1 + \frac{D_{g} \tau_{c}}{D_{e}R}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\&} - \boldsymbol{\&}_{c}\right) = 4 \pi D_{g} R c_{ex}(\infty) t/n_{p}(0)$$
(D)

Thus, holding all pertinent parameters constant, the ratio $r_{\rm C}/{\rm D}_{\rm e}$ must remain constant. Using equation A, the dependence of the shell diffusion coefficient on the porosity is

 $D_e = constant/(1 - \epsilon)$

APPENDIX