DTC FILE COPY

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

٤.

Contract N00014-87-K-0457

R&T Code 4134015---01

Technical Report No. 16

<sup>31</sup>P MAS-NMR of Crystalline Phosphorous Sulfides. Correlation of <sup>31</sup>P Chemical Shielding Tensors with Local Environments

by

Hellmut Eckert, Cheryl S. Liang, Galen D. Stucky

submitted for publication: Journal of Physical Chemistry

Department of Chemistry University of California Santa Barbara, California 93106



SUSSESS REPORT

222223

1222225

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

\*This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

\*This statement should also appear in Item 10 of the Document Control Data-DD Form 1473. Copies of the form available from cognizant contract administration.

23 3 34 99 **3** 

### Abstract

31 P MAS NMR is used to characterize local phosphorus environments in -P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>9</sub>, and P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>10</sub>. MAS-NMR spectra of P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> enable a chemical shift discrimination of the apical P atoms belonging to the two crystallographically distinct molecules in the unit cell. No line narrowing is observed for the basal P-atoms, indicating reorientation of the molecules about their C<sub>3</sub> axes, as previously suggested from neutron diffraction data. MAS-NMR spectra of P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>7</sub> show distinct resonances for all four crystallographically inequivalent P atoms within the molecular P4S7 units. Since, due to these site inequivalencies, spin-spin couplings are observable (contrary to liquid state NMR), chemical shift assignments to P-P bonded units and  $S=PS_{3/2}$  groups are possible. The spectra of  $P_4S_9$  and  $P_4S_{10}$  disagree with earlier literature reports, and are subject to a reinterpretation in the present study. Analyses of spinning sideband intensities yield the principal tensor components, which are correlated with shieldina data.,  $S=PS_{3/2}$  and  $PS_{3/2}$  units are easily crystallographic distinguished by opposite signs of the chemical shift anisotropies. In  $P_4S_3$  and  $P_4S_{10}$ , where these units have point symmetries close to C<sub>3v</sub>, the chemical shift tensors are close to axially symmetric, whereas the more strongly distorted units in  $P_4S_7$  and  $P_4S_9$  are characterized by sizeable asymmetry parameters. The latter quantity is proposed to be a sensitive measure of the range of S-P-S bonding angles within the cage units. However, for both  $S=PS_{3/2}$  and PS3/2 microstructures, such distortions mainly affect the most deshielded components  $\partial_{33}$ , whereas the most shielded components  $\partial_{11}$  remain essentially unchanged. Regardless of their detailed local symmetries, S=PS<sub>3/2</sub> and PS<sub>3/2</sub> units are easily distinguished by  $\partial_{11}$ components of -50 and 0 ppm, respectively. These results illustrate the advantage of anisotropic over isotropic chemical shift information as a diagnostic tool for the identification of microstructures, and suggests the utility of this approach in the on For structural analysis of disordered systems.

1



A& I DTIC TAB Unfaillioninged ity Codes and/or Dist Special

5.5.5.5.5.4

1.100000

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS		
1. REPORT NUMBER	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
16			
4. TITLS (and Subilio)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE		
p MAS-NMR of Crystalline Phosphorous Sulfides.	Technical		
Correlation of 31 Chemical Shielding Tensors with Locgl Environments	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		
Hellmut Eckert, Cheryl S. Liang, Galen D. Stucky	8. CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(8)		
, selecting, outen b. Stucky	N00014-87-K-0457		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK		
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	IZ. REPORT DATE		
	May 1988		
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		
	Unclassified		
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/ DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
IS. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT ( <i>of this Report</i> )			
IS. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)			
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Black 20, if different i	rom Report)		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Black 20, if different i	rom Report)		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different i	roæ Report)		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotract entered in Black 20, if different i 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotract entered in Black 20, if different i 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	roan Report)		
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effort)</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the efforts of the effort of the eff</li></ul>	rom Report)		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotrect entered in Block 20, if different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Submitted for publication to: Journal of Physical	rom Report) Chemistry		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract microd in Block 20, 11 different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Submitted for publication to: Journal of Physical	rom Report) Chemistry		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotract entered in Block 20, if different in 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotract entered in Block 20, if different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Submitted for publication to: Journal of Physical 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number	rom Report) Chemistry		
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in Block</li></ul>	rom Report) Chemistry		
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebourset subsect of Block 20, if different in Block</li></ul>	rom Report) Chemistry		
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report)</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in Block</li></ul>	rom Report) Chemistry		
<ul> <li>14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report)</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract microd in Block 20, 11 different 20, 11 different 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20,</li></ul>	rom Report) Chemistry		
<ul> <li>14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different 20, 11 different 20, 11 different 20, 11 different 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20,</li></ul>	rom Report) Chemistry		
<ul> <li>14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in Super Ementary notes</li> <li>15. Super Ementary notes</li> <li>Submitted for publication to: Journal of Physical</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number NMR, phosphorous sulfides, zeolites, disorder</li> <li>20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number of the substract of the substract</li></ul>	rom Report) Chemistry		
<ul> <li>14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetreet entered in Block 20, 11 different 20, 11 different in Block 20, 11 different 20, 11 di</li></ul>	rom Report) Chemistry		
<ul> <li>14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetree: entered in Block 20, if different in the second in the second in Block 20, if different in the second in the second in Block 20, if different in the second in the second</li></ul>	rom Report) Chemistry		
<ul> <li>14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different if</li> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>Submitted for publication to: Journal of Physical</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number NMR, phosphorous sulfides, zeolites, disorder</li> <li>20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number</li> </ul>	rom Report) Chemistry		
<ul> <li>14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different in the superconduction statement in Block 20, if different in the superconduction to: Journal of Physical</li> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>Submitted for publication to: Journal of Physical</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number NMR, phosphorous sulfides, zeolites, disorder</li> <li>20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number number of the superconduction of the superconduction is a superconduction of the superconduction of t</li></ul>	rom Report) Chemistry		

SANATAN NEEDEED KANANAN

REPERTAL DESCRIPTION RECORDER

122222

242450

112.22

Contractor

104433333

secondia produced

12/2/02/22/

<sup>31</sup>P MAS-NMR of Crystalline Phosphorus Sulfides. Correlation of <sup>31</sup>P Chemical Shielding Tensors with Local Environments.

Hellmut Eckert, Cheryl S. Liang, and Galen D. Stucky

Department of Chemistry University of California at Santa Barbara Goleta CA 93106

#### Abstract

<sup>31</sup>P MAS NMR is used to characterize local phosphorus environments in x-P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>9</sub>, and P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>10</sub>. MAS-NMR spectra of  $\alpha$ -P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> enable a chemical shift discrimination of the apical P atoms belonging to the two crystallographically distinct molecules in the unit cell. No line narrowing is observed for the basal P-atoms, indicating reorientation of the molecules about their  $C_{3v}$  axes, as previously suggested from neutron diffraction data. MAS-NMR spectra of  $P_4S_7$  show distinct resonances for all four crystallographically inequivalent P atoms within the molecular  $P_4S_7$ units. Since, due to these site inequivalencies, spin-spin couplings are observable (contrary to liquid state NMR), chemical shift assignments to P-P bonded units and  $S=PS_{3/2}$  groups are possible. The spectra of  $P_4S_9$  and  $P_4S_{10}$  disagree with earlier literature reports, and are subject to a reinterpretation in the present study. Analyses of spinning sideband intensities yield the principal shieldina tensor components, which are correlated with  $S=PS_{3/2}$  and  $PS_{3/2}$  units are easily crystallographic data. distinguished by opposite signs of the chemical shift anisotropies. In  $P_4S_3$  and  $P_4S_{10}$ , where these units have point symmetries close to  $C_{3v}$ , the chemical shift tensors are axially symmetric, whereas the more strongly distorted units in  $P_4S_7$  and  $P_4S_9$  are characterized by sizeable asymmetry parameters. The latter quantity is proposed to be a sensitive measure of the range of S-P-S bonding angles within the cage units. However, for both  $S=PS_{3/2}$  and PS3/2 microstructures, such distortions mainly affect the most deshielded components  $\partial_{33}$ , whereas the most shielded components  $\partial_{11}$  remain

essentially unchanged. Regardless of their detailed local symmetries,  $S=PS_{3/2}$  and  $PS_{3/2}$  units are easily distinguished by  $\partial_{11}$  components of -50 and 0 ppm, respectively. These results illustrate the advantage of anisotropic over isotropic chemical shift information as a diagnostic tool for the identification of microstructures, and suggests the utility of this approach in the structural analysis of disordered systems.

こういうどうろん

FUCCESS

## Introduction

While the Phosphorus-Sulfur system has been attractive to investigators for many decades, 1-4 it has been only recently that phosphorus sulfides have gained substantial interest in materials science. Phosphorus chalcogenides are important constituents of many non-oxide chalcogenide glasses, whose infrared transmitting properties offer new opportunities for optical waveguide applications. Furthermore, lithium containing glasses based on phosphorus and silicon sulfides appear promising candidates for solid electrolytes in low-equivalent weight batteries.<sup>5</sup> The structural elucidation of such disordered systems, which may provide useful guidelines for tailoring physicochemical properties in these systems requires (a) techniques that are not inherently limited by the lack of periodicity, such as solid state NMR<sup>6</sup>, and (b) parallel applications of such techniques to crystalline model compounds with well-known nearest neighbor environments. Binary phosphorus sulfides are ideal model systems for such classes. because many stoichiometric compounds, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>7</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>9</sub>, and P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>10</sub>, are known, hence providing the opportunity of a considerable variety of phosphorus-chalcogen studying environments, all of which may occur in chalcogenide glasses. The crystal structures of all of these compounds are well-established,7-12 thus permitting detailed correlations of the solid state NMR characteristics with the local phosphorus environments. All of the compounds in question have been characterized previously by liquid state NMR,<sup>13-18</sup> and, in addition, several solid state NMR studies have been published, 19-28 but very little interpretation has been given. Furthermore, previous solid state NMR studies have concentrated on isotropic chemical shift measurements, in spite of the fact that it is frequently the anisotropic information, that can be connected most directly with structural information. Such information is available from the spinning sideband patterns obtained under conditions of slow magic angle spinning.<sup>29,30</sup> The objective of the present study is to investigate the suitability of <sup>31</sup>P MAS NMR to distinguish between different local phosphorus

environments that may occur in non-oxide glasses. To this end, the the  $^{31}P$  chemical shielding properties in  $P_4S_3$ ,  $P_4S_7$ ,  $P_4S_9$ , and  $P_4S_{10}$ , (see Figure 1) have been are studied and discussed in terms of the known crystal structures of these compounds. These results are intended to serve as benchmark data for the structural analysis of non-crystalline phosphorus chalcogenide systems.

LANCENED DEFENSION

ALL REPORTS

### Experimental

#### Sample Preparation and Characterization

The syntheses followed published procedures, and the identity and purity of the compounds was verified by x-ray powder diffraction, differential scanning calorimetry (using a Dupont 912 analyzer), and by liquid state <sup>31</sup>P NMR. All sample manipulations were carried out in an Argon-filled dry box. P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> was obtained from Strem Chemicals and recrystallized from dried CS<sub>2</sub>, mp. 165-171 °C (lit. 173). P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>7</sub> and  $P_4S_9$  were obtained from the elements in a molar ratio of 4:8.5, heated in vacuo at 500°C for 100 hours. Following the procedure outlined in ref. 31 the product was subsequently refluxed in  $CS_2$ , leaving a residue whose elemental analysis yielded a stoichiometry P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>6.8</sub>, mp. 305-309 °C (lit. 307.5-308). The supernatant solution consists of pure P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>9</sub>, as verified by liquid state NMR. After solvent evaporation, the material was recrystallized from CS<sub>2</sub> to yield yellow crystals, mp. 248-267 °C (lit. 240-270). Differential scanning calorimetry and X-ray powder diffraction indicate that of the two known modifications of P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>9</sub>, only the disordered phase, labeled  $P_4S_9$ -I in ref. 31, was present.  $P_4S_{10}$  was obtained commercially from Eastman Kodak. Previous studies have shown that commercial "P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>10</sub>" is actually a mixture of P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>9</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>10</sub>, and S<sub>8</sub>,<sup>15,32</sup> and our material was no exception. Following the procedure outlined in ref. 15, we Soxhlet-extracted the commercial product twice with CS<sub>2</sub>. The solid formed after solvent evaporation was subsequently recrystallized from CS2; mp. 287-295 °C (lit. 287-288).

<u>NMR Studies.</u> Solid State NMR studies were carried out at 121.65 MHz on a General Electric GN 300 widebore system, equipped with a high power magic-angle spinning probe from Doty Scientific. Samples were spun within sapphire spinners of 7mm o.d. at speeds between 3.5 and 5.5 kHz. Additional experiments were carried out at 202.49 MHz on a Bruker AM 500 spectrometer system, available at the Southern California Regional NMR Facility at Caltech, using a high-speed multinuclear probe from Doty Scientific (typical spinning speeds 5-7 kHz in 5mm sapphire spinners). To avoid hydrolysis by atmospheric moisture, the spinners were sealed with a thin layer of high-vacuum grease, and spun with evaporated liquid nitrogen. Standard single-pulse acquisition (90° pulse lengths 7 $\mu$ s at 121.65 MHz, 4  $\mu$ s at 202.49 MHz) was used. Recycle delays of 3-10 minutes were typically sufficient to yield spectra free from saturation effects. Chemical shift tensor components were extracted from typically 8 spinning sideband intensities, using the graphical procedure of Herzfeld and Berger.<sup>30</sup> Unless noted specifically, agreement of the shift tensor components was typically within ±5 ppm for the two different field strengths used. All values are referenced against external liquid H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (downfield shifts positive).

223344

2222222

2222222

H23333355

## Results, Assignments, and Interpretation

Table 1 summarizes the chemical shift tensor components, the isotropic chemical shifts  $\partial_{iso}$ , obtained from the centerband frequencies, and the asymmetry parameter  $\gamma = (\partial_{22} - \partial_{11})/(\partial_{33} - \partial_{22})$  for the compounds under study. The spectra of the individual compounds are shown in Figures 2-6, and are discussed in the following within the context of the crystallographic information available.

 $P_4S_3$ ,  $P_4S_3$  is known to undergo a first-order phase transition at 314 K from a rigid molecular structure ( $\propto$ -P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>) to a plastic crystalline phase (B-P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>) at 314 K. Our investigations on B-P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> agree with those in the literature<sup>19-21</sup> and are not repeated here. Previous solid state NMR studies of  $\propto$  -P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, carried out with multiple-pulse line-narrowing<sup>25</sup> were able to distinguish between the apical and basal P atoms. However, the crystal structure is known to contain two crystallographically inequivalent molecules in the unit cell, which were not resolved in this previous work. As shown in Figure 2, such site discrimination is easily possible using magic-angle spinning NMR. Spectra obtained at two different field strengths confirm the presence of two singlets in a 1:1 ratio. Both peaks are assigned to poical P atoms of the two crystallographically distinct  $P_4S_3$  molecules, on the basis of their isotropic chemical shifts as well as the near-axial symmetry of the shielding tensors reflected in the spinning sideband patterns. The chemical shift tensor components found for those individual sites agree well with the average values reported in references 25 and 27. Table 1 shows that although both chemical shift tensors assigned to the apical P atoms are close to axially symmetric, there is a significant difference between the asymmetry parameters. The crystal structure of  $\propto$ -P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> reveals that the local environments of the apical P atoms, although fairly close to the ideal  $C_{3v}$  symmetry, are nevertheless subject to slight distortions.<sup>8</sup> Here, and in the discussion to follow, we will use the range  $\Delta$  over which the S-P-S

bond angles within the molecular cages vary, as a convenient measure of such distortions. Since the deviation from axial symmetry of the chemical shift tensor is expected to increase with the extent of these symmetry distortions, we assign the resonance with the higher asymmetry parameter to the site with the wider range of S-P-S bond angles. Thus, the peak centered at 91.0 ppm is assigned to the P(4) site, and that at 84.5 ppm to the more symmetric P(4') site (using the nomenclature of reference 5). The apical P atoms are most deshielded in the direction of the  $C_3$  axis.

Surprisingly, and in contrast to the liquid state NMR spectrum, the solid state NMR spectrum of the B-phase, and the multiple-pulse - phase<sup>25</sup>, no sharp signals assignable to the basal study of the phosphorus atoms are observed in our room-temperature MAS-NMR study. This result is significant in view of a recent re-investigation of the crystal structure by x-ray and neutron diffraction, which suggests that the average vibrational amplitude of the apical P atom is significantly less than that of the basal P atoms<sup>11</sup> at room temperature. As pointed out in that study, this result suggests the occurrence of reorientational jumps of the P4S3 molecules about their  $C_3$  axes in  $P_4S_3$  as this compound approaches the phase transition to the B- phase at 314 K. The MAS-NMR spectrum confirms this interpretation. Inspection of Figure 2 shows that the spinning sideband patterns arising from the apical P-atoms are superimposed upon a broad spectral feature not narrowed by magic-angle spinning. As a result of these jumps, the transverse magnetization of individual spin-packets is not refocused after a full rotor cycle, and thus no line-narrowing can be achieved.

<u>P4S10.</u> The spectrum of P4S10, shown in Figure 3, differs substantially from previous MAS-NMR results<sup>22,23,25</sup>, where only a single sharp resonance was observed. The present result, which agrees with an earlier low-field wideline NMR study<sup>27</sup>, reveals that P4S10 has a sizeable chemical shift anisotropy, with an essentially axially symmetric shielding tensor, as expected from the nearperfect C<sub>3V</sub> symmetry of the S=PS<sub>3/2</sub> units in this compound. Compared to the apical P atom in P4S3, the presence of the terminal sulfur group in P4S10 produces a reversal in the sign of the chemical shift anisotropy: The direction along the  $C_3$  axis is the most shielded one.

Close inspection of the crystal structure<sup>7,8</sup> reveals, that the local environments of ail four P atoms within the adamantanoid structure of  $P_4S_{10}$  differ slightly from each other, with  $\Delta$  values of 0.9 (P-1), 2.0 (P-2), 2.4 (P-3), and 0.4 (P-4), respectively. These inequivalencies manifest themselves in the complicated multiplicity of the spectrum obtained at 11.74 T, which represents an unresolved ABCD pattern. However, the comparison of the spectra obtained at 121.65 and 202.49 MHz indicates that the main splitting observed is due to a chemical shift difference. Following a similar chemical shift asymmetry argument as for  $P_4S_3$  above, we assign the resonance centered at 51.6 ppm to the more symmetric P(1) and P(4) sites, and the resonance centered at 49.7 ppm to P(2) and P(3), respectively.

<u>P4S9</u>. As indicated in Figure 1c, P4S9 contains three fourfold and one three-fold coordinated P-atom. The MAS-NMR spectrum of this compound is shown in Figure 4. The spectrum consists of five resonances (with associated spinning sidebands), four of which are characterized by a chemical shift tensor with approximately the same orientation and sign of the chemical shift anisotropy as 0. 3erved in P4S10. Therefore all of these resonances are assigned to the S=PS3/2 sites. Field dependent spectra confirm that three of these peaks (at 64.0, 61.6, and 59.2 ppm) are visibly split by S-P-S homonuclear spin-spin coupling with a coupling constant of  $80\pm5$  Hz.

Although the NMR spectra were obtained on the disordered phase, for which no detailed crystallographic information is available, we will discuss the NMR results in terms of the known local environments present in  $P_4S_9$ -II, assuming that the S-P-S angles within the molecular cages are similar in both crystal modifications. The local environments of the three S=PS<sub>3/2</sub> units in the  $P_4S_9$  molecule are all different from each other and show moderate deviations from  $C_{3v}$  symmetry ( $\Delta$  values of 1.9, 2.2, 2.2, respectively). Presumably due to the disorder present in the material used in our NMR study, we can discern four instead of three <sup>31</sup>P resonances for these units. However, because of sideband intensity distortions due to excessive peak overlap, the Herzfeld-Berger analysis could only be carried out for the peak at 67.8ppm. The peak centered at 57.7 ppm, which, together with its associated spinning sidebands, accounts roughly for 1/4 of the overall absorption, is assigned to the  $PS_{3/2}$  unit. The corresponding asymmetry parameter is close to one, which is well-accounted for by the extremely distorted local environment around this phosphorus atom ( $\Delta = 11.7^{\circ}$ ), as shown in the crystal structure<sup>10</sup>.

We note that the assignment given above agrees with that of the solution-state NMR spectrum, but is opposite to the one arrived at by Andrew and coworkers on the sole basis of relative centerband intensities<sup>23</sup>.

 $P_4S_7$  The molecular structure of  $P_4S_7$  shows two threecoordinated P atoms with P-P bonds and two S=PS<sub>3/2</sub> units ( $\Delta$  = 5.5 and 7.1, respectively). The liquid-state NMR spectrum shows two singlets which had previously remained unassigned due to the lack of two-bond scalar coupling between these phosphorus atoms. Figure 5 shows the  $^{31}P$  MAS-NMR spectrum of  $P_4S_7$ . In contrast to the liquid state NMR spectrum, in the solid state three different chemical environments are distinct, ging rise to major peak patterns centered at 82.0, 95.8, and 112.3 ppm. The integrated area ratio of these peaks including the overall spinning sideband pattern is 1:1:2. Studies at two different field strengths (see Figure 6) reveal that the multiplicity of the peak centered at 112.3 ppm arises from chemical shift differences (two major peaks at 112.8 and 111.9 ppm), whereas the splitting of the peaks centered at 82.0 and 95.8 ppm arises from homonuclear J-coupling. The corresponding coupling constant of 232 Hz is typical for a P-P single bond.<sup>33</sup> Thus, both resonances are assigned to the three-coordinated P-atoms, whereas the peaks at 112.8 and 111.9 ppm must be assigned to the  $S=PS_{3/2}$ units. Our results and conclusions agree completely with the recent solid-state COSY study of this compound by Bjorholm.<sup>19</sup>

Figures 4 and 5 reveal that, in contrast to the situation in liquid solution,<sup>17</sup> the two three-coordinated P-atoms in  $P_4S_7$  are chemically inequivalent, resulting in a 13 ppm chemical shift difference. This difference can be understood from the crystal

structure of this material, which shows different bond angle distributions for P(1) and P(2), respectively.<sup>6,8</sup> The lack of further peak multiplicity for the resonances of P(1) and P(2) indicates that the two-bond spin-spin coupling constant between three- and four-coordinated P atoms remains close to zero, as it is in the liquid state.

Figure 4 shows that for the resonances due to P(1) and P(2)the of the centerand spinning sidebands intensities are unsymmetrically distributed between the two components of the spin-spin coupling pattern. Effects of this kind have been observed by Harris et al. in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of the ipso carbon atom in dimethyldiphenylphosphonium iodide.<sup>34</sup> The combined effect of direct heteronuclear dipole-dipole interactions and chemical shift anisotropies results in a solid-state powder pattern composed of two subspectra, corresponding to the spin orientation quantum state of the P atom (+1/2 or -1/2). Slow magic-angle spinning would normally result in a sideband pattern forming the envelope of the overall powder pattern, were it not for the presence of additional scalar coupling, which allows separate observation of these subspectra. The MAS-NMR spectrum shown in Figure 4 represents an interesting example for the case of homonuclear spin-spin coupling in an AB system.

25.23.23

The unequal distribution of sideband intensities complicates the extraction of chemical shift tensor components from the spinning sideband patterns, especially since the angle between the principal axis of the shielding tensor and the P-P bond is not known. On the other hand, the simulations carried out by Harris and coworkers indicate that, regardless of this angle, the shapes of both subspectra will start approaching each other, if  $\partial_{33}$ - $\partial_{11}$  (in Hz)  $\geq$  4.5 D, where D is the dipolar coupling constant, given in our homonuclear case by

# $D = 3 \chi^2 \pi / 8 \pi^2 r^3$

i.e. if the difference between the most shielded and the least shielded principal component (in Hz) exceeds the dipolar coupling constant by a factor of 4.5 or more. Using a P-P bond length of 2.33 Å from the crystal structure,<sup>8</sup> we calculate D to be 2340 Hz. The overall breadth of the spinning sideband pattern observed for P(1) and P(2) is ca.160 ppm, i.e. 19500 Hz at 7.05 T and 32500 Hz at 11.74 T. Thus, the error associated with a Herzfeld-Berger type analysis is expected to be relatively small, assuming that the contribution of the anisotropy of the indirect spin-spin coupling to the spinning sideband pattern intensities is also negligible. The chemical shift tensor components extracted by taking the peak height averages of each multiplet are listed in Table I. Our approximation appears to be justified by the observation that the shift tensor values at both field strengths used are identical within  $\pm$ 8ppm, albeit outside experimental error. The tensors of both P(1) and P(2) are characterized by large asymmetry parameters.

The crystal structure of  $P_4S_7$  also indicates differences in the local environments for the four-coordinated P-atoms, which are, however, much less pronounced than for P(1) and P(2). Nevertheless, the inequivalencies between P(3) and P(4) result in a visibly split NMR line. Analysis of the spinning sideband intensities associated with the P(3) and P(4) resonances indicates that the chemical shift tensor of the S=PS<sub>3/2</sub> unit in P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>7</sub> has entirely lost its axial symmetry. This is readily understood from the crystal structure which shows very large variations of S-P-S bond angles within the cages for both P(3) and P(4). The resulting geometric distortions lead to both increased overall chemical shift anisotropies, as well as a strong asymmetry of the shift tensor.

يديدينين

## **Discussion and Conclusions**

The present study illustrates the power of solid state NMR in providing anisotropic chemical shift information, which is not available in the liquid state.  $S=PS_{3/2}$  and  $PS_{3/2}$  units with point symmetries close to  $C_{3y}$  are easily distinguished by the spinning sideband patterns revealing axially symmetric chemical shift tensors with opposite orientations: The S=PS3/2 units are most strongly shielded along their  $C_{3v}$  axes, whereas that orientation is the most de-shielded one in  $PS_{3/2}$  units. Distortions from  $C_{3v}$ symmetry manifest themselves in a loss of axial symmetry of the chemical shift tensors. Figure 7 shows a proposed correlation of the resulting asymmetry parameter with the variability of the S-P-S bond angles within the molecular cages of these compounds. In this assignment uncertainties for crystallographically plot. the inequivalent sites of a specific unit in a given compound are not shown; rather the figure assumes larger  $\Delta$  values to be associated with larger asymmetry parameters. Due to different degrees of distortions observed for both the  $S=PS_{3/2}$  and  $PS_{3/2}$  units, the isotropic chemical shift ranges for these microstructures overlap strongly. Thus, in phosphorus sulfide systems with unknown bond angles or distributions thereof, such microstructures cannot be identified by straightforward isotropic chemical shift comparisons. However, a close inspection of Table I shows that the variation in the S-P-S bonding angles changes primarily  $\partial_{33}$ , whereas the most shielded component  $\partial_{11}$  is virtually unaffected. This is particularly evident from the plot shown for the  $S=PS_{3/2}$  units in Figure 8. Thus, in an analysis of disordered or glassy systems, the presence of such units can be inferred from a unique  $\partial_{11}$  component of ca. -50±10 ppm. Table I reveals that none of the other microstructures present in the compounds studied here will pose interferences in this spectral region. In addition, Figure 8 suggests that distribution functions for intra-cage bond angles are available from  $\partial_{33}$ . However, the latter analysis will generally only be possible if the

presence of other units, which resonate in the same spectral region, has been excluded.

The limited amount of data available for compounds containing  $PS_{3/2}$  units suggests a similar conclusion as above: The most shielded shift tensor component  $\partial_{1,1}$  remains virtually unaffected by symmetry distortions. However, in contrast to the  $S=PS_{3/2}$  units, the least shielded shift tensor component decreases with increasing symmetry distortion, leading to a reduction of the overall chemical shift anisotropy. Further work on the different modifications of  $P_4S_4$  and  $P_4S_5$  is in progress in order to elucidate whether the  $\partial_{1,1}$  tensor component is sufficiently unique to enable a distinction from microstructures that may contain additional phosphorus-phosphorus bonds.

The present work suggests the utility of the anisotropic shielding information preserved in magic-angle spinning sideband patterns for making structural assignments. The approach taken here differs from the usual approach of emphasizing the isotropic chemical shift information by either fast spinning or sideband suppression techniques. We propose to use this method to identify microstructures in disordered systems such as the non-oxide chalcogenide glasses. Such studies are currently underway in our laboratories.

#### Acknowledgments

Research support from the UCSB academic senate (H.E.) and the Office of Naval Research (G.D.S) is gratefully acknowledged. The 202.49 MHz solid state NMR studies were carried out at the NSF Regional NMR Facility at the California Institute of Technology.

#### References

[1] Forthmann, A.; Schneider, A.; Z. Phys. Chem., Neue Folge, 1966, 49, 22. [2] Moedritzer, K.; Van Wazer, W.R.; J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1963, 25. 683. [3] Vincent, H.; Vincent-Forat, C.; <u>Bull. Soc. Chim. France</u> 1973, 499. [4] Treadwell, W.; Beeli, Ch.; <u>Helv. Chim. Acta</u> 1935, <u>18.</u> 1161. [5] Kennedy, J. H.; Zhang, Z. Solid State Ionics, in press. [6] Muller-Warmuth, W.; Eckert, H. Phys. Rep. 1982, 88, 91. [7] Vos, A.; Wiebenga, E. H. <u>Acta Crystallogr.</u> 1955, <u>8</u>, 217. [8] Vos, A.; Othof, R.; Van Bolhuis, F.; Botterweg, R. Acta Crystallogr. **1965**, <u>19</u>, 864 . [9] Hilmer, W. <u>Acta Crystallogr.</u> 1969, <u>B 25</u>, 1229. [10] Leung, Y.C.; Wazer, J.; Van Houten, S.; Vos, A.; Wiegers, G. A.; Wiebenga, E. H.; Acta Crystallogr. 1957, 10, 574 . [11] Chattopadhyay, T. K.; May, W.; Von Schnering, H. G.; Pawley, G.S.; <u>Z. Krist.</u> 1983 165, 47. [12] Dixon, D. T.; Einstein, F. W. B.; Penfold, B. R.; Acta Crystallogr. **1965**, <u>18</u>, 221. [13] Barieux, J. J.; Demarcq, M.C.; J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1982, 177. [14] Heckmann, G.; Fluck, E.; <u>Z. Naturforsch.</u>, Teil B, 1971, <u>26</u>, 982. [15] Thamm, R.; Heckmann, G.; Fluck, E.; Phosphorus Sulfur 1982, 12, 319 [16] Gutowsky, H. S.; McCall, D. W.; J. Chem. Phys. 1954, 22, 162. [17] Brevard, C; Demarcq, M.; <u>Chem. Phys. Lett.</u> 1981, <u>82</u>, 167. [18] Thamm, R.; Heckmann, G.; Fluck, E.; Phosphorus Sulfur 1981, 11, 273 [19] Kolditz, L.; Wahner, E.; <u>Z. Chem. 1972, 12,</u> 389. [20] Jasinski, A. Magnetic Resonance and Related Phenomena, Proc. XVII Congr. Ampere (1973), 367. [21] Andrew, E. R.; Hinshaw, W. S.; Jasinski, A. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1974**, 24, 399. [22] Andrew, E. R.; Wynn, V. T.; Proc. Roy. Soc. A. 1966, 291, 257.

[23] Andrew, E. R.; Vennart, W.; Bonnard, G.; Choisnet, R. M.; Demarcq, M.; Mathieu, E.; <u>Chem. Phys. Lett.</u> 1976, 43, 317
[24] Bjorholm, T.; <u>Chem. Phys. Lett.</u> 1988, 143, 259.
[25] Gibby, M. G.; Pines, A; Rhim, W. K.; Waugh, J. S.; <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u> 1972, <u>56</u>, 991.
[26] Taki, T.; <u>Phys. Status Solidi</u>, (b) 1978, <u>86</u>, K147.
[27] Zumbulyadis, N.; Dailey, B. P.; <u>Chem. Phys. Lett.</u> 1974, <u>26</u>, 273.
[28] Lucken E. A.; Williams, D. F.; <u>Mol. Phys.</u> 1969, <u>16</u>, 17.
[29] Maricq M. M.; Waugh, J. S.; <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u> 1979, <u>70</u>, 3300.
[30] Herzfeld, J.; Berger, A. E.; <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u> 1980, <u>73</u>, 6021.
[31] Meisel, M.; Grunze, H.; <u>Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.</u> 1970, <u>373</u>, 265.
[32] Demarcq, M. C.; <u>Phosphorus Sulfur</u> 1981, <u>11</u>, 65.
[33] Tattershall, B. W.; <u>J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.</u> 1987, 1515.
[34] Harris, R. K.; Packer, K. J.; Thayer, A. M.; <u>J. Magn. Reson.</u> 1985, 62, 284.

Compound	∂11ª	$\partial_{22}^{a}$	933a	∂isob		unit	assigt. <sup>c</sup>
≪-P <sub>4</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	236	30	7	91.0	0.11	PS <sub>3/2</sub>	P(4')
	240	14	0	84.5	0.06	PS <sub>3/2</sub>	P(4)
P <sub>4</sub> S <sub>7</sub>	177	76	35	95.8	0.41	PS <sub>2/2</sub> P <sub>1/3</sub>	P(1)
	158	82	6	81.9	1.0	PS <sub>2/2</sub> P <sub>1/3</sub>	P(2)
	248	137	-49	111.9	0.60	S=PS <sub>3/2</sub>	P(3)
	267	115	-44	112.8	0.96	S=PS <sub>3/2</sub>	P(4)
P <sub>4</sub> S <sub>9</sub>	113 139	57 104	1 40	57.7 67.8 64.0 61.6 59.9	1.0 0.24	PS <sub>3/2</sub> S=PS <sub>3/2</sub> S=PS <sub>3/2</sub> S=PS <sub>3/2</sub> S=PS <sub>3/2</sub>	P(4) P(1-3) d d
P4S10	106	106	- 5 7	51.6	0.0	S=PS <sub>3/2</sub>	P(1,4)
	110	94	-55	49.7	0.11	S=PS <sub>3/2</sub>	P(2,3)

<sup>a</sup>in ppm vs. 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, ±5 ppm <sup>b</sup>in ppm vs. 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, ±0.1 ppm <sup>c</sup>tentative assignments, based on the assumed relationship between and  $\Delta$  (see text). <sup>d</sup>assignment complicated by disorder

Table I. Chemical shift tensor components (in ppm vs. 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) for crystalline phosphorus sulfides.

Figure 1: Molecular structures of the phosphorus sulfides under study. The atomic numbering coincides with the numbering in Table I.

<u>Figure 2:</u> 121.65 MHz <sup>31</sup>P MAS-NMR spectrum of  $\alpha$ -P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>. Spinning speed 3.3 kHz, pulse length 6  $\mu$ s, recycle delay 5 min, 8 scans. Centerbands are indicated by asterisks.

Figure 3: 202.49 MHz <sup>31</sup>P MAS-NMR spectrum of  $P_4S_{10}$ . The inset shows the centerband region. Spinning speed 4.5 kHz, pulse length 4  $\mu$ s, recycle delay 10 min, 4 scans.

<u>Figure 4:</u> 121.65 MHz <sup>31</sup>P MAS-NMR spectrum of  $P_4S_9$ . The inset shows the centerband region. Spinning speed 5.3 kHz, pulse length 7  $\mu$ s, recycle delay 3 min, 12 scans.

<u>Figure 5:</u> 202.49 MHz <sup>31</sup>P MAS-NMR spectrum of  $P_4S_7$ . Spinning speed 4.2 kHz, pulse length 4  $\mu$ s, recycle delay 10 min, 4 scans. Centerbands are indicated by asterisks.

<u>Figure 6:</u> Frequency dependent spectra of the centerband region of  $P_4S_7$ . The respective frequencies are indicated in the figure. Centerbands are indicated by asterisks.

Figure 7: Proposed correlation of the asymmetry parameter with  $\Delta$ (S-P-S), the range of intra-cage bond angles.  $\blacksquare$ : S=PS<sub>3/2</sub> units, •: PS<sub>3/2</sub> units. In compounds with several distinct sites, tentative assignments have been made assuming the validity of this correlation. The datapoint [4.3,0.38] reflects a preliminary assignment for P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>5</sub> (work in progress). The solid curve is a guide to the eye.

<u>Figure 8:</u> Correlation of the chemical shift tensor components  $\partial_{11}$ ,  $\partial_{22}$ , and  $\partial_{33}$  assigned to S=PS<sub>3/2</sub> units with  $\Delta$ (S-P-S), the range of intra-cage bond angles. The validity of the correlation of Figure 7 has not been assumed here. Therefore, the rectangles reflect assignment uncertainties to distinct sites within the structure of a given compound. Solid lines are guides to the eye.



XC: Y









100505555

Èsse





Fig.7

