. REPORT NUMBER	ION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	السوي السويريون والبراج المروا المرابعة المتحدية السوية ويتواج البراج الباد المتراكبي الوهيية
ALGO PUB 0097		
. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Technical Memo 27, 'Extension of Computer		FINAL
Based Algorithms by Operator Analysts		5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	-	D-4624
7. AUTHOR(.)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
Mathematical Analysis Res	earch Corp.	
(MARC)		
		NAS7-918
D. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Jet Propulsion Laboratory, ATTN: 171-209		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
California Institute of T 4800 Oak Grove, Pasadena,		RE 182 AMEND #18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		RE 102 AMEND #10
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander, USAICS		28 Apr 87
ATTN: ATSI-CD-SF		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Ft. Huachuca, AZ 85613-70	00	7
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Commander, USAICS		UNCLASSIFIED
ATTN: ATSI-CD-SF		15e, DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
Ft. Huachuca, AZ 85613-70	00	NONE
	mination	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for Public Disse. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract		og Report)
Approved for Public Disse		an Report)
Approved for Public Disse. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract of		an Report)
Approved for Public Disse. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared by Jet Propulsio	n Laboratory for	the US Army Intelligence
Approved for Public Disse. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared by Jet Propulsio Center and School's Comba	n Laboratory for t Developer's Sup	the US Army Intelligence port Facility.
Approved for Public Disse 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared by Jet Propulsio Center and School's Comba 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 neces	n Laboratory for t Developer's Sup	the US Army Intelligence port Facility.
Approved for Public Disse TO. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of TR. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared by Jet Propulsio Center and School's Comba 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II neces Fix Estimation Algorithms	n Laboratory for t Developer's Sup many and identify by block number) , Operator Traini	the US Army Intelligence port Facility.
Approved for Public Disse TO DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect TO DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect Prepared by Jet Propulsio Center and School's Comba S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde H mecen Fix Estimation Algorithms TO ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde H mecen	n Laboratory for t Developer's Sup wary and identify by block number) , Operator Traini	the US Army Intelligence port Facility. ng, Bias, Variance
Approved for Public Disse T7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of T8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared by Jet Propulsio Center and School's Comba T9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II neces Fix Estimation Algorithms	n Laboratory for t Developer's Sup many and identify by block number) , Operator Traini	the US Army Intelligence port Facility. ng, Bias, Variance ithms with respect to
Approved for Public Disse TO DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect of This report discusses lime Approved for Public Disses lime Approved for Public Disses lime This report discusses lime	n Laboratory for t Developer's Sup meany and identify by block number) , Operator Traini	the US Army Intelligence port Facility. ng, Bias, Variance ithms with respect to algorithm performance th
Approved for Public Disse Approved for Public Disse TO DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect TO DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect The supplementary notes Prepared by Jet Propulsio Center and School's Comba S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II neces Fix Estimation Algorithms This report discusses lim operator training. Opera	n Laboratory for t Developer's Sup meny and identify by block number) , Operator Traini eary and identify by block number) itations of algor tors can improve ather, history of	the US Army Intelligence port Facility. ng, Bias, Variance ithms with respect to algorithm performance th variance, etc.). As ar
Approved for Public Disse 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared by Jet Propulsio Center and School's Comba 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if neces Fix Estimation Algorithms 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if meces	n Laboratory for t Developer's Sup wary and identify by block number) , Operator Traini	the US Army Intelligenc port Facility. ng, Bias, Variance

A REAL POINT AND AND AND AND AND A

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1

Not the Bar Set Mr. Matchell Set. Bat. Bat. Bat. Sat.

SAMAR BARRIE BARRIE BARRA

Contraction of the

7057-72

U.S. ARMY INTELLIGENCE CENTER AND SCHOOL Software Analysis and Management System

Extension Of Computer Based Algorithms By Operator Analysts

Technical Memorandum No. 27

28 April 1987

Author: Kennil MARC

Mathematical Analysis Research Corporation

Approval: James W. Gillis, Subgroup Leader Algorithm Analysis Subgroup

Edward J. Records Supervisor **USAMS Task**

Condi A. F. El'Iman, Manager

Ground Data Systems Section

Fred Vote, Manager Advanced Tactical Systems

JET PROPULSION LABORATORY California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California

JPL D-4624

PREFACE

The work described in this publication was performed by the Mathematical Analysis Research Corporation (MARC) under contract to the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, an operating division of the California Institute of Technology. This activity is sponsored by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory under contract NAS7-918, RE182, A187 with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, for the United States Army Intelligence Center and School.

This specific work was performed in accordance with the FY-87 statement of work (SOW #2).

Accession For NTIS GRA&I DTIC TAB Unannounced Justification By_ Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Special Dist



iii

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Technical Memorandum was prepared to summarize the results of work preformed under both the FY-86 and FY-87 Statements of Work and was funded by the FY-86 funds.

The purpose of this Technical Memorandum is to clarify the desirable interactions between computerbased algorithms and their operators nessary to optimize their combined effectiveness.

No. 130

Variance . (US)

Extension Of Computer Based Algorithms By Operator Analysts

SUMMARY

 \rightarrow Algorithm development should have two phases. The computer portion and the portion to be incorporated in operator analyst training.

A knowledgeable operator analyst should be able to perform analysis beyond the means of any particular computerized algorithm available to that analyst. This follows from the fact that humans can understand the consequences of the limitations of particular computer implementations and correct, in part, for them.

Computer based algorithm limitations may have different causes:

- i) 1) The algorithms may be based on incomplete or inaccurate models;
- 1) The algorithms may not be flexible enough to adapt to the need for changes in analysis criteria;
- 3)-1117 The algorithms may take shortcuts because of limitations in computer storage or speed;
- 4) iv) There may be refinements in the analysis which could be computed but are not because they depend on parameters which are insufficiently well known; ont
- 5° vT Computer output formats may be restrictive. $-igkarpi_{A}$

It is out of the scope of this memo to illustrate the multitude of variations on the limitations discussed above. Instead one example will be discussed at some length in the sections that follow.

INTRODUCTION TO THE EXAMPLE- BIAS IN FIX ALGORITHMS

Intuitive Definition of Bias-

For simplicity assume there is no difficulty deciding which bearings to use in a fix. If there were no angular error in bearing measurements, then the fix location would be the true location in any reasonable algorithm. A given fix may be near or far from the true emitter location depending on the particular set of angular errors that one actually observes. This set of angular errors is only one of many possible combinations, each yielding a different fix. One hopes that the average' of the fixes that might have occured is near the true location of the emitter. Sometimes it isn't. Whether it is or isn't the difference between the average expected location and the true location is called the bias of the fix.

¹ Weighted by their likelihood of occurence.

Minimizing Bias

Zero bias is not a realistic objective. Small bias may be possible, however. Bias may be considered small if either

- 1) it is much smaller than typical random error
- 2) it is much smaller than application requirements
- (targeting, fusion or whatever)

Bias size can be made smaller by either

- 1) using a better fix algorithm
- 2) using more accurate bearings
- 3) using bearings at a wider range of angles relative to the emitter (often accomplished using a longer base-line) and not permitting the bearings from the middle angles to dominate the fix

In practice there are limits to the extent that these three approaches can be applied. In the case of algorithms for example, the limiting factors are of two types:

- 1) Speed and storage requirements for an algorithm exceeding hardware capabilities.
- 2) A parameter (angular standard deviation) used in models which is not really known but also not sufficiently unknown to be treated as coming solely from the data. Corrections for bias would be possible if this parameter were known.

ANALYST CONTRIBUTIONS

Even though knowledge of factors causing bias is not exact enough for calculating corrections, the analyst can get a rough feel for it based on:

1) The analyst can know the factors affecting the accuracy not incorporated in the model (such as weather).

- 2) The analyst can know more about the angular standard deviation parameter than is used in the calculation. He knows, for example, the history of accuracy.
- 3) The analyst can know patterns in the behavior of the fix algorithm, for example,
 - a) The most commonly used algorithms are biased short.
 - b) Fixes with narrower ellipses have larger bias.
- 4) The analyst may be able to imagine a range of possible biases and qualify decision making on it without actually knowing how large bias actually is.