<b>JN6-81</b>	1 356	CON FUE	PACT A	EGENE S(U)	RADLE GINER	SCRU	MA J	OR PHO GINER	SPHOR ET N	IC ACI	> 1/	1
UNCLAS	SIFIE	D				 			F/6 :	LO/3	NL.	
						 	_					



	$\frown$
	$\left( \right)$
(	7)
	~

Report C86-12

# TTIC FILE COPY

AD-A191 356

COMPACT REGENERABLE SULFUR SCRUBBER FOR

#### PHOSPHORIC ACID FUEL CELLS

Prepared by:

José Giner, Ph.D. Cecelia C. Cropley

**GINER, INC.** 14 Spring Street Waltham, MA 02254-9147



November, 1987

Final Report

September 30, 1986 - September 30, 1987

Contract No. DAAK70-86-C-0113

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public release Distribution Unlimited

Prepared For:

U.S. ARMY BELVOIR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER

Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-5606

# 88 2 17 001

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270

Report C86-12

## COMPACT REGENERABLE SULFUR SCRUBBER FOR

PHOSPHORIC ACID FUEL CELLS

Prepared by:

José Giner, Ph.D. Cecelia C. Cropley

GINER, INC.

14 Spring Street Waltham, MA 02254-9147

November, 1987

Final Report

September 30, 1986 - September 30, 1987

Contract No. DAAK70-86-C-0113

Prepared For:

U.S. ARMY BELVOIR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER

Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-5606

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

NOTICES

#### DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE AND SALE. ITS DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED.

#### DISCLAIMERS

THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION, UNLESS SO DESIGNATED BY OTHER AUTHORIZED DOCUMENTS.

THE CITATION OF TRADE NAMES AND NAMES OF MANUFACTURERS IN THIS REPORT IS NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS OFFICIAL GOVERNMENT ENDORSEMENT OR APPROVAL OF COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS OR SERVICES REFERENCED HEREIN.

#### DISPOSITION

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED. Accessor For DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.



GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET • WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

	JUNICATION OF						Form A	Daroved
		REPORT	DOCUMENTATIO	ON PAGE			OMEN	0.0704-0188
REPORT SI	ECURITY CLASSIF	ICATION		16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS			
a. SECURITY	CLASSIFICATION	AUTHORITY	3. DISTRIBUTION	V / AVAILABILITY	OF REPORT			
b. DECLASSIF	CATION / DOWN	IGRADING SCHED		Distributi	ion Unlimit	ted		
	G OBGANIZATIC	N PEPOPT NUMP		5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NUM	ARER(S)	
							10211(37	
C86-1		RGANIZATION	66 OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	IONITORING OR	GANIZATION		
GINER.	INC.		(If applicable)	Belvoir F	RD&E Center	r		
ADDRESS	City State and	ZIP Code)	_l	76. ADDRESS (C	ity, State, and Z	(IP Code)		
14 Spr	ing Street			STRBE-FCF				
Walthar	n, MA 02254	1-914/		FORT BEIN	voir, VA 22	2000-5606		
a. NAME OF	FUNDING / SPON	ISORING	86. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMEN	NT INSTRUMENT	IDENTIFICATI	ON NUM	BER
URGANIZA			(" appicable)	DAAK 70-8	36-C-0113			
C. ADDRESS (	City, State, and	ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUME	BERS		
				PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.		NORK UNIT
				665502	M400	87273		1A-10-0040
TITLE (Inc. Cor PERSONAL Gir . TYPE OF Find . SUPPLEME	ude Security Cla npact Reger AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al ENTARY NOTATIO	Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 86	Fur Scrubber for ccelia C. $\frac{\text{COVFPED}}{5,9,30} \times \frac{87,9,30}{7}$	Phosphoric /	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30	Cells th, Day) 15.	PAGE CC 47	DUNT
2. PERSONAL Gi 3a. TYPE OF Fina 5. SUPPLEME	ude Security Cla npact Reger AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al ENTARY NOTATIO	Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM <u>86</u>	Fur Scrubber for ecelia C. $\frac{COVEPED}{5,9,30} \approx 87,9,30$	Phosphoric /	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30	Cells th, Day) [15.	PAGE CC 47	DUNT
2. PERSONAI Gi 3a. TYPE OF Find 5. SUPPLEME 7.	ude Security Cla npact Reger AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al NTARY NOTATION COSATI CO	Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 80 0N	Eur Scrubber for ccelia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TL 87,9,30 18. SURFECT TERMIS	Phosphoric /	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mont 30	Cells th, Day) 15. and identify b	PAGE CC 47 by block (	DUNT
2. PERSONAL Gil 3a. TYPE OF Find 5. SUPPLEME FIELD	ude Security Cla npact Reger AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al INTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP	Sufication) nerable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 80 ON ODES SUB-GROUP	Fur Scrubber for ecelia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TL 87,9,30 18. SURJECT TERMS Desulfuriza Mixed Oxide	Phosphoric / 14. DATE OF REPO 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 Se if necessary o pric Acid, F	Cells th, Day) 15. and identify b fuel Cells talvsts	PAGE CC 47 by block ( s, Die	DUNT number) sel Fuel,
2. PERSONAL Gi 3a. TYPE OF Fin 5. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD	ude Security Cla npact Reger AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al NTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP	Sufication) The rable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM <u>86</u> ON ODES SUB-GROUP	Tur Scrubber for ccelia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TC 87,9,30 18. SURJECT TERMS Desulfuriza Mixed Oxide	Phosphoric / 14. DATE OF REP( 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 Se if necessary o pric Acid, F ipported Ca	Cells th, Day) 15. and identify b fuel Cells italysts	PAGE CC 47 by block of s, Die	DUNT humber) sel Fuel,
2. PERSONAL Gi 3a. TYPE OF Find 5. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD	ude Security Cla mpact Reger AUTHOR(S) mer, Jose; REPORT al ENTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP	Sufication) The rable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 86 ON ODES SUB-GROUP	Fur Scrubber for ccelia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TC 87,9,30 18. SURJECT TERMS Desulfuriza Mixed Oxide y and identify by block	Phosphoric / 14. DATE OF REPO 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number)	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 rse if necessary o pric Acid F ipported Ca	Cells th, Day) 15. and identify b iuel Cells italysts	PAGE CC 47 by block r s, Die	ount number) sel Fuel,
2. TITLE (Inc. Coi Gi 3a. TYPE OF Fin. 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT	ude Security Cla npact Reger AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al NTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP	Sufication) Therable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 86 ON ODES SUB-GROUP everse if necessar of for the	Fur Scrubber for ccelia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TL 87,9,30 18. SURJECT TERMS Desulfuriza Mixed Oxide y and identify by block e direct desul	Phosphoric / 14. DATE OF REP( 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number) Ifurization	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 oric Acid, F upported Ca	cells th, Day) 15. and identify b fuel Cells italysts ocessed	PAGE CC 47 by block r s, Die	DUNT number) sel Fuel, sel
1. TITLE (Inc. Coi Gil 3a. TYPE OF Fin. 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT	AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al NTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP (Continue on ro Continue on ro	ssufication) herable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM <u>80</u> ON ODES SUB-GROUP everse if necessar y for the hg regener	Tur Scrubber for celia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TL 87,9,30 18. SURFECT TERMS Desulfurizat Mixed Oxide y and identify by block e direct desulf table copper-B	Phosphoric A 14. DATE OF REPO 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number) Ifurization based mixed	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 Se if necessary o pric Acid, F ipported ta	cells th, Day) 15. and identify b italysts ocessed oxide	page co 47 by block ( s, Die sorbe	ount number) sel Fuel, sel nts
1. TITLE (Inc. Coi Gi 3a. TYPE OF Fin. 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT T f	AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al ENTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP (Continue on re Cosati CO GROUP (Continue on re Cosati CO Cosati CO CO COSATI CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO	Sufication) Therable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 86 ON ODES SUB-GROUP everse if necessar y for the hg regener Loped for FC) gener	Tur Scrubber for celia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TC 87,9,30 18. SURJECT TERMS Desulfurizat Mixed Oxide y and identify by block e direct desult table copper-1 incorporation tators. Remo	Phosphoric / Phosphoric / 14 DATE OF REPO 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number) Ifurization pased mixed n in modula pval of gre	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 Dric Acid, F apported Ta a of unpre a metal ar phosphe eater tha	th, Day) 15. and identify b fuel Cells italysts ocessed oxide oric ac n 60%	PAGE CC 47 by block of s, Die die sorbe id f of	DUNT number) sel Fuel, sel nts uel the
1. TITLE (Inc. Coi Gil 3a. TYPE OF Fin. 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT T f	AUTHOR(S) ner, JOSE; REPORT al NTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO COSATI CO CO COSATI CO COSATI CO CO COSATI CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO	sufication) herable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 86 ON ODES SUB-GROUP everse if necessar y for the hg regener Loped for FC) gener h diesel	Tur Scrubber for ccelia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TL 87,9,30 18. SURJECT TERMS Desulfurizat Mixed Oxide y and identify by block e direct desulf table copper-b incorporation cators. Remo fuel was desult	Phosphoric / Phosphoric / 14. DATE OF REP( 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number) Ifurization based mixed n in modula bval of gre emonstrated	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 oric Acid, F apported Ca a of unpro- l metal ar phospho- eater tha 1, and	cells th, Day) 15. and identify b fuel Cells italysts ocessed oxide oric ac n 60% sorbent	page co 47 by block o s, Die sorbe id f of sul	DUNT number) sel Fuel, sel nts uel the fur
2. PERSONAL Gi 3a. TYPE OF Fin 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT f g S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al NTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO COSATI CO CO COSATI CO COSATI CO CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO	Sufication) herable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 86 ON ODES SUB-GROUP everse if necessar ( for the hg regener Loped for FC) gener h diesel of appro indicated	Tur Scrubber for celia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TC 87,9,30 18. SURJECT TERMS Desulfuriza Mixed Oxide y and identify by block e direct desulf table copper-b incorporation cators. Remo fuel was de oximately 1 to that the sort	Phosphoric / Phosphoric / 14. DATE OF REPO 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number) Ifurization based mixed n in modula oval of gre emonstrated wt% were bents are r	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 se if necessary of pric Acid, F ipported ta nof unpri metal in phosphi eater tha l, and attained	th, Day) 15. and identify b italysts ocessed oxide oric ac n 60% sorbent . Pre le, with	page co 47 by block o s, Die sorbe id f of sul limin h up	SUNT Sel Fuel, sel Fuel, uel the fur ary to
2. PERSONAI Gi 3a. TYPE OF Find 5. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT T f S. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 7. FIELD 7. FIELD 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7.	AUTHOR(S) ner, JOSE; REPORT al COSATIC GROUP (Continue on re cechnology uel usin ras devel cell (PAI culfur in oadings tudies 0% of	Sufication) herable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 86 ON ODES SUB-GROUP everse if necessar y for the hg regener loped for FC) gener h diesel of appro indicated the sor	Fur Scrubber for celia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TC 87,9,30 18. SUBJECT TERMS Desulfuriza Mixed Oxide y and identify by block e direct desulf that the sort that the sort that the sort that the sort	Phosphoric / Phosphoric / 14 DATE OF REP( 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number) Ifurization based mixed n in modula oval of gre emonstrated wt% were bents are r removed	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 The of unprise oric Acid, F upported Ca ar phosphic eater that attained regenerab during	cells th, Day) 15. and identify b fuel Cells italysts ocessed oxide oric ac n 60% sorbent . Pre le, with regen	PAGE CC 47 by block of s, Die die sorbe id f of sul limin h up erati	ount number) sel Fuel, sel nts uel the fur ary to on.
2. TITLE (Inc. Coi Giu 3a. TYPE OF Fin. 5. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT T f S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al NTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO COSATI CO CO COSATI CO COSATI C	Sufication) Therable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 80 ON ODES SUB-GROUP everse if necessar ( for the hg regener Loped for FC) gener h diesel of appro- indicated the sor tion of the weight of	Tur Scrubber for tecelia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TC 87,9,30 18. SUBJECT TERMS Desulfurizat Mixed Oxide y and identify by block e direct desulf table copper-B incorporation tators. Remo fuel was de oximately 1 to that the sort that the sort the sulfur	Phosphoric A 14 DATE OF REPO 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number) Ifurization based mixed n in modula oval of gre emonstrated wt% were bents are r removed gy into a P removal un	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 Dric Acid, F pported ta ar phosphic ater tha attained egenerab during PAFC powe bit by a	cells th, Day) 15. and identify b iuel Cells italysts ocessed oxide oric ac n 60% sorbent . Pre le, with regent r plant minimum	page co 47 by block o s, Die sorbe id f of sul limin h up erati sho of 2	SUNT Sel Fuel, sel Fuel, uel the fur ary to on. uld 5%
A. TITLE (Inc. Coi Gi Gi Ba. TYPE OF Fin. 5. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 7. FIELD 7. FIELD 7. FIELD 7. T f f S. ABSTRACT 7. T f f f S. SUPPLEME 7. T f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f	AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al NTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO CONTINUE ON NOTATION COSATI CO CONTINUE ON NOTATION COSATI CO CONTINUE ON NOTATION COSATI CO COSATI CO GROUP CONTINUE ON NOTATION COSATI CO COSATI CO CO CONTINUE ON CO CO CONTINUE ON CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO	Sufication) herable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 86 ON ODES SUB-GROUP everse if necessar ( for the hg regener Loped for FC) gener h diesel of appro- indicated the sor tion of t e weight c	Tur Scrubber for celia C. COVEPED 5,9,30 TC 87,9,30 18. SUBJECT TERMS Desulfurizat Mixed Oxide y and identify by block e direct desulf table copper-b incorporation cators. Remo fuel was de oximately 1 to that the sort that the sort chis technoloco of the sulfur	Phosphoric A 14 DATE OF REPO 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number) Ifurization based mixed n in modula bval of gree emonstrated wt% were bents are r removed gy into a P removal un	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 se if necessary of oric Acid, F apported ta netal in phosphicater tha attained attained during DAFC powe hit by a	th, Day) 15. and identify b uel Cells italysts ocessed oxide oric ac n 60% sorbent . Pre le, with regent r plant minimum	page co 47 by block o s, Die die sorbe id f sul limin h up erati sho of 2	Summber) sel Fuel, sel fuel, uel the fur ary to on. uld 5%.
1. TITLE (Inc. Coi Gi 3a. TYPE OF Fin. 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT 1 f W C S 1 S 7 T f f C 1 S 7 7 1 I S	AUTHOR(S) mpact Reger AUTHOR(S) mer, Jose; REPORT al COSATIC GROUP (Continue on re Cosatic GROUP (Continue on re Cosatic GROUP (Continue on re Cosatic GROUP (Continue on re Cosatic GROUP (Continue on re Cosatic GROUP (Continue on re Cosatic GROUP (Continue on re Cosatic Cosatic GROUP (Continue on re Cosatic Cosatic GROUP (Continue on re Cosatic Cosatic GROUP (Continue on re Cosatic Cosatic GROUP (Continue on re Cosatic Cosatic (Continue on re Cosatic Cosatic Cosatic (Continue on re Cosatic Cosatic Cosatic (Continue on re Cosatic Cosatic (Continue on re Cosatic Cosatic (Continue on re Cosatic (Continue on re) (Cosatic (Continue on re) (Cosatic (Cosatic (Continue on re) (Cosatic (Cos	Sufication) herable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 86 ON ODES SUB-GROUP everse if necessar y for the hg regener loped for FC) gener h diesel of appro- indicated the sor Lion of t e weight of	Fur Scrubber for celia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TC 87,9,30 18. SUBJECT TERMS Desulfurizat Mixed Oxide y and identify by block e direct desulfur table copper-b incorporation table copper-b incorporation fuel was desulfur that the sort that the sort that the sort that the sulfur this technological	Phosphoric / 14 DATE OF REPO 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number) Ifurization based mixed n in modula bval of gre emonstrated wt% were bents are r removed gy into a P removal un	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 Se if necessary of oric Acid, F apported Ca a of unpre a metal ar phospho- bater tha attained egenerab during PAFC powe hit by a	th, Day) 15. and identify b fuel Cells italysts ocessed oxide oric ac n 60% sorbent . Pre le, with regent r plant minimum 	PAGE CC 47 by block of s, Die die sorbe id f sul limin h up erati sho of 2	DUNT number) sel Fuel, sel fuel, sel nts uel the fur ary to on. uld 5%.
1. TITLE (Inc. Coi Gi 3a. TYPE OF Fin. 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT 9. ABSTRACT 1 1 5. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	AUTHOR(S) ner, JOSE; REPORT al NTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO COSATI CO CO CO COSATI CO COSATI CO COSATI CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO	SSUFICATION) Therable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 80 ON ODES SUB-GROUP everse if necessar y for the hg regener loped for FC) gener h diesel of appro- indicated the sor tion of t e weight of D □ SAME AS	That the sort that the sort full sulfur table copper-bincorporation full was de full sulfur full sulfur	Phosphoric A 14. DATE OF REPO 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number) Ifurization based mixed n in modula oval of gre emonstrated wt% were bents are r removed gy into a P removal un 21 ABSTRACT S Unclass	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 Dric Acid, F upported Ta ar phosphe ater tha attained egenerab during PAFC powe hit by a	Cells th, Day) 15. and identify b Fuel Cells Italysts ocessed oric ac n 60% sorbent . Pre le, with regent r plant minimum . Fication	PAGE CC 47 by block of s, Die sorbe id f of sul limin h up erati sho of 2	Sum sel Fuel, sel Fuel, sel fuel, the fur ary to on. uld 5%.
1. TITLE (Inc. Coi 2. PERSONAI Gi 3a. TYPE OF Fin. 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 3. NAME O Mr. b	AUTHOR(S) ner, Jose; REPORT al ENTARY NOTATION COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO GROUP COSATI CO CONTINUE ON CO CO CONTINUE ON CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO	ssufication) herable Sulf Cropley, Ce 13b. TIME FROM 86 ON ODES SUB-GROUP everse if necessar ( for the hg regener Loped for FC) gener h diesel of appro- indicated the sor Con of t Weight con NDIVIDUAL hek	Fur Scrubber for celia C. COVERED 5,9,30 TC 87,9,30 18. SUBJECT TERMS Desulfurizat Mixed Oxide y and identify by block e direct desulfur table copper-b incorporation fuel was deserved that the sort that the sort that the sort that the sort this technoloco of the sulfur this technoloco	Phosphoric A 14. DATE OF REPO 87, 11, (Continue on rever tion, Phospho Sorbents, Su number) Ifurization based mixed n in modula based mixed n in modula based mixed n in modula based mixed gy into a P removed gy into a P removal un 21 ABSTRACT S Unclass 225 TELEPHONE	Acid Fuel ( ORT (Year, Mon 30 se if necessary of oric Acid, F ipported ta nof unpre metal in phosphic eater that attained during PAFC powe hit by a ECURITY CLASSI ified (Include Area Co	th, Day) 15. and identify b fuel Cells talysts ocessed oxide oric ac n 60% sorbent . Pre le, with regener r plant minimum 	page co 47 by block o s, Die die sorbe id f sul limin h up erati sho of 2	DUNT humber) sel Fuel, sel nts uel the fur ary to on. uld 5%. BOL

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Under a one-year reduced level of effort Phase II SBIR contract with the U.S. Army Belvoir RD&E Center, Giner, Inc. has conducted an investigation for the desulfurization of unprocessed (nonreformed) diesel fuel for use in modular phosphoric acid fuel cell (PAFC) generators.

Incorporation of the technology for desulfurization of unprocessed diesel fuel into a PAFC power plant may decrease the size and weight of the fuel processing system. An alternative to current PAFC fuel processing technology may be a pre-reformer sorbent bed, using the technology for desulfurization of unprocessed diesel, followed by a post-reformer regenerable sorbent bed to remove the remaining  $H_2S$ . Replacing the currently used high pressure, bulky hydrodesulfurization unit with an atmospheric pressure desulfurizer of current technology (developed in this program) should reduce the weight and volume of the sulfur removal unit by a minimum of 25%.

The effort in this program concentrated on developing a regenerable mixed metal oxide sorbent for the desulfurization of diesel fuel. The emphasis was on the development of a regenerable sorbent with a high sulfur capacity.

Fourteen sorbents were evaluated, all containing a mixture of copper oxide and iron, manganese, or zinc oxides. Both unsupported oxides and oxides of varying metal ratios supported on zeolite or alumina were evaluated. Of the sorbents tested, the three best appear to be: zeolite-supported  $CuO/Fe_XO_Y$  with a 2.7 Fe:Cu atomic ratio; zeolite-supported CuO/MnO; and alumina-supported  $CuO/Fe_XO_Y$ . These sorbents had the highest sulfur loadings, approximately 1 wt%, which corresponds to a theoretical conversion of metal oxides to sulfides of approximately 20%.

In this program, the removal of greater than 60% of the sulfur from unprocessed diesel was demonstrated. Preliminary studies also

ii

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270

e e la la calenda da c

indicated that the sorbents are regenerable, with up to 70% of the sorbed sulfur removed during regeneration.

The mechanism of direct desulfurization of diesel was also briefly investigated. The mechanism is complex and not completely understood, but partial cracking of the diesel occurs, as well as direct sorption of some of the large organic sulfur compounds. Cracking of the diesel is more severe on zeolite-supported sorbents than on other sorbents tested.

Improvement in sorbent sulfur loading, sulfur removal efficiency and sorbent regenerability are expected with optimization of sorbent composition, sorbent structure and operating conditions.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was performed by Giner, Inc. for the U.S. Army Belvoir Research, Development, and Engineering Center under Contract No. DAAK 70-C-86-0113. The authors would like to thank Mr. Walter Taschek of the Belvoir RD&E Center, who acted as the contract officer's Technical Representative, and Dr. Alayne Adams, also of the Belvoir RD&E Center, for providing general technical guidance and assistance.

Those who contributed to this study were Dr. A.B. LaConti, Dr. J.A. Kosek, Mr. Clyde Brooks, Ms. Lynne McConchie, and Mr. Steven Melly of Giner, Inc. We would also like to thank Dr. Maria Flytzani-Stephanopoulos of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology for providing sorbent samples and technical advice.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
EVEC	T DOCUMENTATION PAGE	÷
	VIEDCEMENTC	•
MACKNO		1
TADL	OF EIGUDES	.,
LIST	OF FIGURES	· ·
LIST	OF TABLES	v
1.0	INTRODUCTION	
2 . 0	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	
2.0	2.1 Desulfurization	
	2.2 Regeneration	
	2.3 Analytical Methods	
	2.4 Sorbent Preparation	
3.0	RESULTS	1
	3.1 Sorbent Sulfur Capacity Studies	1
	3.2 Regeneration Studies	1
	3.3 Sulfur Concentration in Effluent Diesel	2
	3.4 Effect of Operating Conditions on Sorbent	
	Sulfur Loadings	2
	3.4.1 Temperature	2
	3.4.2 Carrier Gas Composition	2
	3.4.3 Space Velocity	2
	3.4.4 Sorbent Pre-Reduction	2
	3.5 Mechanism of Desulfurization	2
4.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	3
	4.1 Conclusions	3
	4.2 Future Work	3
5.0	REFERENCES	3

## LIST OF FIGURES

∊⋺⋳∊⋺⋳⋰⋐⋳⋰⋻⋳⋰⋳⋳⋰⋳⋳⋰⋻⋳⋰⋎⋸⋬⋰⋎⋬∊⋎⋬∊⋎⋬∊⋎⋬∊⋎<mark>⋳</mark>∊⋎⋎⋳∊⋎⋑⋍⋎⋽⋍⋎⋽⋶⋎⋽⋬⋍⋎⋽⋍⋎

FIGURE 1	Block Diagram of a Conventional PAFC Fuel	
	Processing Train	1
FIGURE 2	Desulfurization Test Unit	5
FIGURE 3	Chromatogram of Unprocessed Diesel	29
FIGURE 4	Peak Areas vs. Retention Time for	
	Several Sorbents	30
FIGURE 5	Peak Areas vs. Retention Time for	
	Unsupported Sorbents	31
FIGURE 6	Peak Areas vs. Retention Time for	
	Zeolite-Supported Sorbents	33
FIGURE 7	Peak Areas vs. Retention Time at Various	
	Times During a Desulfurization Run	34

#### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	1	Standard Operating Conditions for	
		Sorbent Screening Tests	6
TABLE	2	Range of Operating Conditions Utilized	6
TABLE	3	Source and Composition of Sorbents Tested	11
TABLE	4	Sorbent Sulfur Loadings	13
TABLE	5	Effect of Cu/M Ratio on Sorbent S Loading	15
TABLE	6	Theoretical Sulfur Capacity and Percent of	
		Theoretical Attained for Selected Sorbents	17
TABLE	7	Sulfur Content of Regenerated Sorbents	18
TABLE	8	Effect of Saturator Temperature and Duration of	
		Oxidation Step on Sorbent Regenerability Over	
		Two Cycles	19
TABLE	9	2.7 Fe:Cu CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>v</sub> Surface Area and Sulfur Content	
		in Fresh, Sulfided, and Regenerated States	20
TABLE	10	Sulfur Concentration in Effluent Diesel	22
TABLE	11	Effect of Temperature on Sulfur Loading and	
		Carbon Content of the G66B Sorbent	24
TABLE	12	Average Sorbent Sulfur Loadings at 400°C and 500°C	24
TABLE	13	Effect of Carrier Gas Composition on	
		Sorbent Sulfur Loadings	25
TABLE	14	Effect of Pre-Reduction on Sorbent Sulfur Loadings	27

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Under a one-year reduced level of effort, Phase II SBIR contract with the US Army Belvoir RD&E Center, Giner, Inc. investigated a regenerable sulfur scrubbing process for use in modular phosphoric acid fuel cell (PAFC) generators.

The overall objective of this program was to develop a lightweight, compact, cost-effective method of desulfurizing diesel fuel to supply a PAFC with fuel gas hydrogen containing <10 ppm sulfur. At the time the program was conceived, the Army was interested in developing modular, transportable PAFC generators that would use logistic fuels, primarily diesel, as a source of hydrogen. An important consideration in the design of these generators was the overall weight and volume of the units. The fuel cell itself can be made lightweight and compact, but a fuel processing train for the conversion of diesel to sulfur free, low CO content hydrogen adds excessive weight and volume.

A schematic block diagram for the fuel processing section of a diesel fueled phosphoric acid fuel cell is shown below:



- A: Hydrogenator
  B: Sulfur Scrubber
- C: Reformer
- D: Sulfur (ZnO) Guard bed
- E: Shift converter

Figure 1 Block Diagram of a Conventional PAFC Fuel Processing Train

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270

These five units perform three basic functions:

• Bulk Sulfur Removal: Unit A, hydrogenation step of hydrodesulfurization (HDS), converts organic sulfur to  $H_2S$ ; it requires hydrogen gas feed. Unit B (Bulk Sulfur Scrubber) reduces the sulfur (now as  $H_2S$ ) content of diesel fuel to levels which can be tolerated by a conventional reformer (Unit C), (typically less than 200 ppm  $H_2S$ ).

• Steam Reforming: Unit C converts the now low sulfur hydrocarbon fuel to  $H_2$ ,  $CO_2$  and CO. Small amounts of  $H_2S$  still remain. The carbon monoxide level in the post reformer stream is about 10-20% and must be reduced to below 1%.

Unit C is assumed to be a conventional steam reformer using a nickel based catalyst. Nickel based catalysts are very sulfur sensitive, but are more efficient than catalysts which are more sulfur tolerant.

• Reduction of CO Content: Unit E shifts water and carbon monoxide to hydrogen and carbon dioxide. The shift conversion reaction is favored at relatively low temperatures (175°C - 350°C) where the shift conversion catalyst is very sulfur sensitive. A ZnO guard bed, Unit D, is required to lower the  $H_2S$  content of the shift converter feed to acceptable levels for PAFC fuels (typically less than 10 ppm  $H_2S$ ).

The three units required for sulfur removal contribute significantly to the weight and volume, as well as the complexity, of the power plant. For a modular 150 kw power plant, the weight of the HDS unit (including the sulfur scrubber) is approximately 15% of the total system weight (1).

With the goal of reducing the weight and volume of the fuel processing train, the effort in this program concentrated on developing technology for the regenerable direct desulfurization of unprocessed (non-reformed) diesel fuel on mixed metal oxide

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham. Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

sorbents. This technology was aimed at replacing the HDS unit, including the sulfur scrubber, and the final ZnO guard bed in a conventional fuel cell power plant with a single pre-reformer regenerable sulfur removal unit. A regenerable sorbent bed prior to the reformer would provide a lightweight and compact method of sulfur removal, while allowing the more efficient sulfur intolerant reformers to be used, and eliminating the final sulfur guard bed.

The effort to develop this technology grew out of the work conducted in Phase I of this program. In the Phase I program, under Contract No. DAAK70-85-C-0096 with the US Army Belvoir RF&E Center, the feasibility of a regenerable, high-temperature sorption process for removing sulfur from reformed diesel fuel was established (2). For a simulated reformed diesel fuel, the sulfur concentration, as H<sub>2</sub>S, was reduced from 100 ppm in the feed to less than 10 ppm in the reactor effluent. The CuO/ZnO sorbent used in this study showed excellent regenerability. Replacing the throwaway ZnO guard bed in a conventional fuel processing train with a post-reformer regenerable sorbent bed could result in considerable savings in weight and volume. With this configuration, however, a sulfur removal step before the reformer, or the use of a lessefficient, sulfur-tolerant, reforming catalyst would be required. A preferred option is the removal of sulfur from diesel fuel before the reformer. This would provide greater savings in weight and volume of the fuel processing train, as well as simplify the process. However, desulfurization of unprocessed diesel is difficult because the sorbent must remove large organic sulfur compounds, rather than H<sub>2</sub>S. In the Phase I program, preliminary studies indicated greater than 40% removal of sulfur by sorption on mixed metal oxides. These results were promising enough to focus the Phase II effort on development of this technology.

The major objective of the reduced scope Phase II program was to develop the technology for desulfurization of unprocessed diesel using copper based mixed metal oxide sorbents. The emphasis was on the development of a regenerable sorbent with a high sulfur capacity. Some effort was also devoted to investigating the

3 GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham. Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270 effects of temperature, carrier gas composition and space velocity on sorbent sulfur removal efficiency and capacity. A preliminary investigation of the mechanism of desulfurization on the various sorbents was also conducted.

#### 2.0 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The desulfurization and regeneration studies were conducted in a fixed bed, atmospheric pressure reactor, shown in Figure 2. The reactor consisted of a stainless steel tube lined with a 1.6 cm ID quartz tube, packed with 5-10 grams of sorbent, forming a sorbent bed approximately 4 cm in length. Both below the sorbent bed, for support, and above the bed, for flow distribution, the reactor was packed with porcelain saddles. A thermocouple was located in the center of the sorbent bed. The reactor was heated with a Hoskins tube furnace.

The diesel fuel used in this program was purchased from a local service station. The quantity of diesel purchased was sufficient to last the entire length of the program. It contained 1340 ppmw sulfur. All of the gases ( $N_2$ ,  $H_2$ , air) used in this program were supplied from cylinders, with their flow regulated by metering valves and measured by rotameters.

#### 2.1 <u>Desulfurization</u>

During desulfurization runs, diesel flowed through electrically heated lines to a junction slightly before the reactor entrance, where the vaporized diesel was mixed with heated carrier gas. The mixture then flowed down through the reactor to the sorbent bed where desulfurization occurred. The reactor effluent was run through a water-cooled condenser and into a collection flask; the non-condensable gases were vented. The concentration of  $H_2S$  in the non-condensable gases was periodically measured with Sensidyne or Kitagawa gas detection tubes. At the end of the run, the reactor was dismantled, and a portion of the sorbent analyzed

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270



for total sulfur content. The sulfur content of selected condensed diesel samples was also analyzed.

a<sup>n</sup> 81 at 81

The standard operating conditions during the sorbent screening tests are listed in Table 1. These conditions were selected after a preliminary investigation of the effect of operating conditions on sulfur removal, discussed in Section 3.4. These conditions were used for the bulk of the testing; the range of operating conditions utilized in this program is listed in Table 2.

#### TABLE 1

#### Standard Operating Conditions for Sorbent Screening Tests

Temperature:	500°C
Carrier Gas:	H <sub>2</sub> at 100 cc/min
Diesel Flow Rate:	0.5 cc/min
Diesel Liquid Space Velocity:	4.3 $hr^{-1}$
Duration of Run:	4 hours

Sorbent fresh and not reduced prior to the run.

#### TABLE 2

#### Range of Operating Conditions Utilized

Temperature:	400°, 500°, 650°C
Carrier Gas:	$H_2$ , $N_2$ , $H_2/H_2O$ (approx. 50/50)
Diesel Flow Rate:	0.14 cc/min, 0.5 cc/min
Diesel Liquid Space Velocity:	0.5 hr $^{-1}$ , 4.3 hr $^{-1}$
Duration of Run:	2 hours, 4 hours

Both pre-reduced and non pre-reduced sorbents were tested.

During the course of the project, revisions to the diesel flow system were made. Initially, a variable flow, plunger-type metering pump was used. However, the pump was oversized for the typical diesel flow of 0.5 cc/min. To produce this low flow, the pump took about two strokes/minute. At this setting, the flow rate

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET + WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 + (617) 899-7270

a ser a s

appeared to vary slightly, and frequent calibration was required. Also, due to the pulsing operation of the pump, a rotameter could not be used.

<u>;</u>ͺͺϧͺϧͺ;ͺϧͺϧͺ;ϧͺϗϹʹϧͺϥϹϧϧϗϽϧϧϗϹϧϧϗϽϧϧϗϽϧϧϥϽϧϧϯϽϧϧϏϽϧϗϹ</u>

Approximately six months into the program, the metering pump was replaced with a pressurized flow system. In this system, a constant  $N_2$  pressure forced diesel out of a tank, through a metering valve and rotameter, to the reactor. With this pressurized system, the diesel flow was constant, measurable, and reproducible, and required only initial calibration of the rotameter.

Several desulfurization tests used a carrier gas containing approximately 50% water to evaluate the effect of water on sorbent sulfur loading and fuel cracking. In these experiments, the carrier gas was bubbled through a saturator which contained water at a known and constant temperature. This saturator was also used to humidify the regeneration air stream.

Reduction of the sorbent prior to desulfurization was also evaluated in a few runs. Two different pre-reduction procedures were used. Initially the sorbent was pre-reduced overnight with a low concentration of  $H_2$  (approximately 2%) in  $N_2$  at a bed temperature of 250°C. Later the pre-reduction step was accelerated, running 50%  $H_2$  in  $N_2$  through the reactor for one hour, with the sorbent bed at 500°C.

#### 2.2 Regeneration

Several different regeneration conditions were evaluated during the course of the program. Basically, however, the sorbent was regenerated upflow at a sorbent bed temperature of 650-750 °C using a water/air stream for 1 to 2 hours, followed by an overnight purge with N<sub>2</sub> at a bed temperature of 700-800 °C to decompose metal sulfates. Two different compositions of the regeneration gas stream were tested: 50% water/50% air and 80% water/20% air. The water content was varied by changing the saturator temperature.

7

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

The regeneration effluent gases were passed through a scrubber to absorb the SO<sub>2</sub> produced during regeneration. From the amount of  $\omega_2$  absorbed, a semi-quantitative measure of the extent of regeneration was obtained. During the initial regeneration runs, a sodium hydroxide solution was used in the scrubber; the amount of SO<sub>2</sub> absorbed was determined by titrations of the hydroxide with hydrochloric acid. However, the calculations based on sodium hydroxide usage indicated that sorbent regeneration was complete, while actual analysis of the regenerated sorbents showed that sulfur was still present. It appears that some other component of the regeneration effluent stream, probably CO<sub>2</sub>, also neutralized the hydroxide. When this was discovered, the sodium hydroxide was replaced by an iodine solution which was titrated with sodium thiosulfate. The qualitative values for extent of regeneration obtained from the iodine absorption method were in good agreement with the sorbent sulfur analysis.

#### 2.3 Analytical Methods

The routine analyses performed in this program consisted of total sulfur analysis of the sulfided or regenerated sorbent, and concentration of  $H_2S$  in the non-condensable reactor effluent gases. Selected samples of condensed diesel were analyzed for total sulfur content. During the last three months of the program, a gas chromatograph was used to qualitatively identify the changes occurring to the diesel sulfur compounds throughout the course of a desulfurization run.

The total sulfur analyses of the condensed diesel and sulfided/regenerated sorbents was performed by an outside laboratory, Luvak, Inc. in Boylston, MA. To confirm Luvak's results, samples were occasionally sent to a second laboratory, Galbraith Laboratories, Inc. in Knoxville, TN.

The concentration of  $H_2S$  in the non-condensable reactor effluent gas was monitored at approximately thirty (30) minute

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

intervals throughout a desulfurization run using Sensidyne or Kitagawa gas detection tubes. The lower detection limit of  $H_2S$  with the tubes is 2-3 ppm. During the first few runs of this program, attempts to measure the COS and CS<sub>2</sub> concentration in the reactor effluent with gas detection tubes were made, but interference by hydrocarbon vapors prevented accurate measurements.

The sulfur species in diesel fuel were analyzed with a Varian 3400 gas chromatograph equipped with a sulfur sensitive flame photometric detector (FPD). A 6' x 1/8" stainless steel column packed with 3% SP-2100 on 80/100 mesh Supelcoport obtained from Supelco, Inc. was used to separate the diesel components. For analysis of diesel samples, the GC method was: injection port temperature of 350°C; N<sub>2</sub> carrier gas at 30 ml/min; initial column temperature of 60°C, held for three minutes; temperature ramp to 300°C at 8°C/min.

Since there are more than 20 sulfur containing species in diesel fuel, many of which are unidentified, the diesel chromatograms were used to determine shifts in relative peak sizes due to the desulfurization reaction, rather than to quantitatively determine the concentration of a particular sulfur species or the total sulfur concentration. For comparing chromatograms from various runs, the areas of selected peaks were normalized by dividing the peak area by the average area of the corresponding peaks in fresh diesel.

In addition to the routine analyses, the structural and chemical properties of selected sorbents were evaluated using BET surface area, X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses. These analyses were all performed by outside laboratories.

## 2.4 Sorbent Preparation

Nine of the fourteen mixed metal oxide sorbents tested in this program were prepared by Giner, Inc. All of the sorbents

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270

prepared by Giner, Inc. were supported on commercially available materials: Linde SK-500 faujasite y zeolite, Harshaw alumina Al-4126 or United Catalysts alumina T-2432. The unsupported sorbents were either obtained commercially (United Catalysts G66B) or were provided by Dr. M. Stephanopoulos of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). The sorbents obtained from Dr. Stephanopoulos are in a highly dispersed, porous form prepared by a gelation technique (3). The sources and compositions of all of the sorbents tested are listed in Table 3.

The supported sorbents were prepared by impregnation of the appropriate metal nitrate salts in aqueous solution on the support, which had been pre-stabilized by heat treatment at 700°C (500°C for T-2432 alumina). The concentrations of the metal nitrate solutions were chosen to produce a total metal loading of approximately 10 wt%. After impregnation, the sorbent was dried overnight at 105-110°C, and then heat-treated in a horizontal tube furnace in air for approximately 3 hours. The heat treatment temperature was 700°C, except for the Cu0/Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> supported on United Catalysts T-2432 alumina, which was heat-treated at 500°C to minimize the formation of copper aluminates. Following the heat treatment, the metal loading of the sorbents was analyzed by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc.

The oxidation states of the iron and manganese oxides following the heat treatment step are most likely to be  $Fe_2O_3$  and MnO, respectively, although this could not be confirmed analytically due to the low metal loadings. However, in the reducing atmosphere of the desulfurizer, the oxidation state of the iron oxides may change, possibly producing mixed oxidation states. Due to this uncertainty, the iron oxides in the sorbents prepared at Giner, Inc. are referred to as  $Fe_xO_y$  throughout this report.

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

				·······
	Supported	Sorbents Prep	ared By Giner, I	nc.
Туре	wt% Cu	wt% Other Metal	Atomic Ratio (Cu/M)	Support
CuO/ZnO 1.1 Cu:Zn	4.15	3.89	1.1/1	faujasite y SK-500 zeolite
CuO/MnO <sub>X</sub>	5.37	5.44	1/1.2	**
Cu0/Fe <sub>x</sub> 0 <sub>y</sub>	3.75	3.78	0.9/1	**
CuO/ZnO 2Cu:Zn	5.21	2.61	2.05/1	"
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 1.3 Cu:Fe	4.50	3.02	1.3/1	11
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 1.5 Cu:Fe	5.52	3.20	1.5/1	11
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 2.7 Fe:Cu	2.11	4.97	1/2.7	11
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub>	3.75	3.87	1/1.2	alumina Harshaw Al-4126
Cu0/Fex <sup>0</sup> y	4.79	4.02	1.05/1	alumina United Catalysts T-2432

## Source and Composition of Sorbents Tested

Unsupported Sorbents				
Туре	Composition	Source		
CuO/ZnO G66B	65% ZnO, 33% CuO, 2% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	United Catalysts		
CuO/Fe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub>	Assume ferrite composition	MIT		
3Cu0/Fe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub>	Approximately 48% CuO, 32% Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , 20% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	MIT		
Cu0/Al <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub>	50% CuO, 50% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	MIT		
3Cu0/2Zn0	Approximately 60% Cu0,40% ZnO	MIT		

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET • WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

### 3.0 <u>RESULTS</u>

Most of the effort in this program was concentrated on determining the sulfur loading capacities of the sorbents under consideration. effort was also devoted sorbent Some to regeneration studies and investigations of the effects of temperature, carrier gas composition and space velocity on sorbent removal efficiency and capacity. sulfur Α preliminary investigation of the mechanism of desulfurization on the various sorbents was also conducted.

#### 3.1 Sorbent Sulfur Capacity Studies

Fourteen copper based mixed metal oxide sorbents, both supported and unsupported, were evaluated for sulfur loading capacity under standard conditions in a series of screening tests. In these studies the sorbent was analyzed for total sulfur content after a four-hour diesel desulfurization run at 500°C with H<sub>2</sub> as the carrier gas. The sorbent sulfur loadings ranged from 0.3 to 1.2 wt%, as shown in Table 4. The three sorbents with the consistently highest loadings, in the 0.9-1.0 wt% range were: zeolite-supported CuO/Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> with a 2.7 Fe:Cu atomic ratio; zeolitesupported CuO/MnO; and alumina (Harshaw Al-4126)-supported  $CuO/Fe_{v}O_{v}$ . Although there was considerable scatter in the sulfur loading data, these three sorbents appear to have significantly higher loadings than the other sorbents, with the possible exception of the unsupported 3CuO/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sorbent, for which the data was very mixed.

For the supported sorbents, the sulfur loadings were normalized on the basis of the sorbent molar metal content. The normalization accounts for differences in the sorbent metal loadings which may effect the sulfur loading capacity. Although normalizing the loadings changes the rank of the sorbents slightly, the three sorbents with the highest absolute sulfur loadings also have the highest normalized loadings. Due to the scatter in the data, and

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MASS CHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270

## TABLE 4

## Sorbent Sulfur Loadings

Sorbent	Range of Loadings (wt%)	Average Loading (wt%)	Normalized Avg. Loading (wt%)
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 2.7Fe:Cu zeolite	0.71-1.2	0.96	7.58
CuO/MnO zeolite	0.9-1.02	0.96	5.24
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> alumina (Al-4126	0.66-0.97	0.81	6.30
3CuO/Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> unsupported	0.42-1.30	0.77	
CuO/ZnO G66B (United Catalysts) unsupported	0.45-0.613	0.56	
CuO/ZnO 1.1 Cu:Zn zeolite	0.34-0.77	0.56	4.45
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 0.9Cu:Fe zeolite	0.32-1.06	0.51	4.02
3CuO/2ZnO unsupported	0.43-0.52	0.48	
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> alumina (T-2432)	0.37-0.58	0.48	3.2
CuO/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> unsupported	0.45	0.45	
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 1.3Cu:Fe zeolite	0.20-0.63	0.44	2.82
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 1.5Cu:Fe zeolite	0.41-0.42	0.42	2.9
CuO/ZnO 2Cu:Zn zeolite	0.27	0.27	2.22
CuO/Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> unsupported	0.12-0.30	0.21	· · · ·

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

مرجع والمرجع والمرجع والمرجع

the relatively small amount of data available, the differences in loadings between the top three sorbents are not significant.

Examination of the sulfur loading data indicates that for the supported sorbents, the ratio of metals may be more important than the type of metal present. As shown in Table 5, the sorbent sulfur loading decreases as the atomic ratio of copper to the other metal present in the sorbent increases. This trend holds for all three metals investigated, Fe, Mn, and Zn. However, this trend does not hold for the unsupported sorbents, where the highest sulfur loading on a sorbent with a high Cu to obtained Fe ratio was  $(3CuO/Fe_{2}O_{3}/Al_{2}O_{3})$ . The conflicting data is interesting but is difficult to explain without detailed mechanistic and physical studies. Further work is required to determine if the trend on the supported sorbents holds over a wider range of compositions, and if so, to explain it.

There was a considerable amount of scatter in the sulfur loading data, as indicated by the range of loadings for the various sorbents listed in Table 4. For two runs on the same sorbent under the same operating conditions, the sulfur loadings often varied by a factor of two. There are several possible causes of the scatter, including channeling of the bed, sorbent non-homogeneities, and the steep sulfur gradient in the bed. Due to the small size of the bed, its low length to diameter ratio (<3), and the relatively large size of the sorbent pellets in comparison to the bed diameter, bed channeling is the most likely explanation for the scatter.

The 0.9-1.0 wt% sulfur loadings obtained in this program appear to be quite low when compared with the typical loadings of 10-15 wt% (4) on similar sorbents used in desulfurization of coal gas or reformed fuels. However, in that application the sorbents are primarily removing  $H_2S$ , COS, CS<sub>2</sub> and other low molecular weight sulfur gases, compared to the complex high molecular weight sulfur compounds that must be removed when desulfurizing unprocessed

14

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270

C.S.S.C.
Sector Sec.
بخت يونين بالالال
Understand and
82.22.22.2.2
and the second
100000000
1111111111
SCOLUMN STATES
2000000000

TABLE 3
---------

#### Effect of Cu/M Ratio on Sorbent Sulfur Loading

Supported Sorbents				
Cu/M Ratio	м	Support	S Loading	Normalized S Loading
0.37	Fe	Zeolite	.96	7.58
0.83	Mn	Zeolite	.96	5.24
0.83	Fe	Al-4126	.91	6.30
0.9	Fe	Zeolite	.51	4.02
1.05	Fe	T-2432	.48	3.22
1.1	Zn	Zeolite	.56	4.45
1.3	Fe	Zeolite	.44	2.80
1.5	Fe	Zeolite	.42	2.98
2.0	Zn	Zeolite	.27	2.22

Unsupported Sorbents				
Cu/M Ratio	м	Sorbent	S Loading	
0.5	Fe	Cu0/Fe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub>	0.123	
0.52	Zn	G66B	.56	
1.5	Zn	3CuO/2ZnO	.48	
1.5	Fe	$3CuO/Fe_2O_2/Al_2O_3$	.77	
Cu or	nlv	CuO/Al_Ő	.43	

diesel. When removing  $H_2S$ , the reaction on the sorbent is simply conversion of the metal oxide to metal sulfide with production of water. With aromatic or long chain high molecular weight sulfur species, however, the reaction mechanism is complex and not completely understood. Our research, discussed in Section 3.5, indicates that some cracking of the diesel occurs, forming lower molecular weight sulfur species. Direct sorption of both high and

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, MA 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270 • Telex 9102409489

low molecular weight sulfur compounds also occurs. These sulfur compounds may be quite large, too large to enter the internal sorbent pores, and therefore, would be adsorbed on the surface. With these large molecules on the sorbent surface, stearic hindrance would prevent other molecules from being adsorbed, leading to low utilization of the sorbent.

The utilization of the metal oxides in the zeolite-supported sorbents was actually not as low as initial indications suggest. Since the total metal loadings on the zeolite-supported sorbents are only approximately 10 wt%, the theoretical sulfur capacities, based on full conversion of the oxides to sulfides, is less than 5 wt%, as opposed to 38 wt% for the unsupported G66B. For the 2.7 Fe:Cu and CuO/MnO zeolite-supported sorbents, the 0.96 wt% sulfur loading attained in this program corresponds to approximately 20% of the theoretical sulfur capacity. This is a respectable conversion; a 10 wt% loading on pure zinc ferrite corresponds to a 25% theoretical conversion. Table 6 lists the loadings and conversions for selected sorbents.

However, the theoretical conversions attained on the unsupported sorbents tested in this program were very low. For the unsupported  $3CuO/Fe_2O_3/Al_2O_3$  sorbent, which had the highest sulfur loading among the unsupported sorbents, only 2.7% of theoretical conversion was attained. This low conversion may indicate that only the outer surface of the unsupported sorbent is utilized in direct sorption of large organic sulfur compounds.

Further improvement in sorbent sulfur loadings requires further optimization of the supported materials with respect to surface area, pore volume, metal loading, and other physical/chemical factors. It should be emphasized that it is not uncommon to have loadings in the 1-2 wt% range during the initial sorbent development stage.

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MA 02254-9147 . 1617 899-7270 . TELEX 9102409489

#### Theoretical % of S Capacity Theoretical S Loading Attained Sorbent (wt%) (wt%) SUPPORTED 0.96 4.52 21.2 Cu0/Mn0 zeolite 2.7 Fe:Cu 0.96 4.79 20.0 zeolite CuO/Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> 0.81 4.24 19.1 A1-4126 UNSUPPORTED G66B 0.56 38.8 1.4 Cu0/Zn0 0.77 28.8 2.7 $3CuO/Fe_2O_3/$ A1203

#### Theoretical Sulfur Capacity and Percent of Theoretical Attained for Selected Sorbents

#### 3.2 <u>Regeneration Studies</u>

Preliminary investigations of sorbent regenerability were conducted. Five sorbents were run through two desulfurization/ regeneration cycles to determine the extent of sorbent regenerability, which was obtained from an analysis of the sulfur content of the regenerated sorbent. As shown in Table 7, the lowest residual sulfur loading, 0.2 wt%, was obtained on the United Catalysts G66B CuO/ZnO sorbent. This low residual loading corresponds to a removal of over 60% of the sulfur sorbed during the desulfurization step. The residual sulfur loadings on the other sorbents tested were generally in the 0.4-0.7 wt% range.

GINER. INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM. MA 02254-9147 . 16171 899-7270 . TELEX 9102409489

. . .

Sorbent	Run Nos.	Wt% Sulfur
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 1.5 Cu:Fe zeolite	69/70	0.46
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 2.7 Fe:Cu zeolite	76/77 86/87	0.70 0.58
CuO/MnO	71 72/73 82/83 98	0.53 0.90 0.54 0.38
CuO/ZnO zeolite	74/75 88/89	0.68 0.58
CuO/ZnO G66B	80/81 92/93	0.28

#### Sulfur Content of Regenerated Sorbents

In the initial regeneration tests, the sorbent was regenerated for approximately 1 hour, at a sorbent bed temperature of 650°C-750°C with a gas composition of approximately 50% water/50% air. An overnight purge with N<sub>2</sub> at a sorbent bed temperature of 700°C-800°C was conducted to decompose metal sulfates which may have formed during the first regeneration step. At these operating conditions, the residual sulfur loadings were fairly high, generally above 0.6 wt%. To improve the regeneration, the water concentration in the gas stream was increased to approximately 80% by raising the saturator temperature, and the duration of the oxidation step was increased to 2 hours. As shown in Table 8, the residual sulfur content of the sorbents after two cycles decreased with the increase in duration and in the water content, except for the G66B sorbent. From the data it is difficult to determine whether the increase in water content or duration was more effective in improving the regenerability, since these factors were not studied individually.

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham. MA 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270 • Telex 9102409489

Sorbent	Run No.	Saturator Temperature °C	Duration of Oxidation Step (hr)	Wt % Sulfur Remaining Regenerated Sorbent After 2 Cycles
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 2.7 Fe:Cu zeolite	76 77 86 87	70-73 88-92 95-100 87-89	1 1 2 2	0.70
CuO/MnO zeolite	72 73 82 83	86 85 94 93	1 1 2 2	0.90
CuO/ZnO G66B	80 81 92 93	83-90 88-92 88-90 83-85	2 2 1 2	0.276
CuO/ZnO zeolite	74 75 88 89	58-67 70-73 89-92 87-91	1 1 2 2	0.68

#### Effect of Saturator Temperature and Duration of Oxidation Step on Sorbent Regenerability Over Two Cycles

TABLE 8

The lower residual sulfur loadings obtained in the program, in the 0.2-0.5 wt% range, are similar to the levels obtained on regenerated zinc ferrite used in coal gas desulfurization (4,5). This degree of regenerability is promising and may be improved further by optimization of regeneration conditions, particularly gas compositions, bed temperature and duration of regeneration step.

Over the two desulfurization/regeneration cycles, no physical deterioration of the sorbent was noted. Physical deterioration of a sorbent over two cycles would be most unusual; the sorbents must be tested over tens of cycles to determine their physical stability. However, a significant decrease in the BET surface area

19

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM MA 02254-9147 . 617 899-7270 . TELEX 9102409489

over two cycles was noted for the zeolite supported CuO/Fe<sub>v</sub>O<sub>v</sub> with a 2.7 Fe:Cu atomic ratio. The single-point BET surface areas of the fresh, sulfided, and regenerated sorbents are shown in Table 9. There was a 92% decrease in area between the fresh and sulfided sorbent, probably due to the very large organic sulfur molecules sorbed on the surface. Following two cvcles of desulfurization/regeneration on a different sorbent sample, the surface area of the regenerated sorbent was slightly lower than that of the sulfided sample, despite a decrease in the sulfur content. This failure to recover surface area during regeneration may effect the sorbent activity in subsequent desulfurization runs. This will have to be tested over several cycles.

Ata 124.6%

#### TABLE 9

## 2.7 Fe:Cu CuO/Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> Surface Area and Sulfur Content in Fresh, Sulfided, and Regenerated States

	FRESH	SULFIDED	REGENERATED
BET SURFACE AREA (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	304.2	24.49	21.75
SULFUR CONTENT (wt%)		0.71	0.58

Despite the significant change in surface area between the fresh. sulfided, and regenerated sorbents, no significant differences between these states were noted in Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) or X-ray Diffraction (XRD) analyses. Samples of fresh, sulfided, and regenerated G66B, zeolite-supported CuO/MnO, and zeolite-supported  $CuO/Fe_xO_v$  with a 2.7 Fe:Cu ratio were No major differences analyzed by SEM. in the surface characteristics, including particle size, were noted between the different states at magnifications up to 5000X. Samples of fresh, sulfided, and regenerated G66B and zeolite-supported CuO/MnO were also analyzed by XRD in an attempt to determine the chemical states of the metals present. Unfortunately, the metal loadings on the zeolite-supported CuO/MnO were too low to be detected by XRD. The same was true of the sulfided states of the G66B; with less than a

20

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham. MA 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270 • Telex 9102409489

0.6 wt% sulfur loading, presumably distributed between CuS and ZnS, the concentration of the sulfides in both the sulfided and regenerated samples was too low to detect. The only difference noted in the G66B diffraction patterns was the oxidation state of Cu; in the fresh sorbent, Cu was present as CuO, while in both the sulfided and regenerated samples, metallic Cu was the only copper phase detected.

The regenerability studies in this program were aimed simply at determining if sulfur could be removed from the sulfided sorbent. No attempts to determine the long-term regenerability of these sorbents were made. It is recognized that much further testing is required to determine the suitability of these sorbents for incorporation in a regenerable system.

#### 3.3 <u>Sulfur Concentration in Effluent Diesel</u>

Although the sulfur loadings were low, the sorbents removed a significant portion of the sulfur from the diesel, especially in the early stages of a run. For a sample of condensed diesel taken at 30-45 min. into a run on zeolite-supported CuO/MnO, the sulfur concentration was 0.052 wt%, representing a reduction of over 60% from the 0.134 wt% sulfur concentration in the feed. For this same run, the sulfur concentration in the diesel collected throughout the 4 hour run, was 0.083 wt%. This indicates that the sorbent activity declines throughout the run and to obtain low sulfur concentrations in the effluent, shorter desulfurization cycle times or a larger sorbent bed should be considered.

The highest sulfur removal obtained for a 4 hour run was just under 50% on the G66B sorbent, as shown in Table 10. However, since diesel samples were analyzed for only a small number of runs, in which only a few of the sorbents were tested, conclusions regarding the best sorbent for producing low effluent sulfur concentrations cannot be made. Also, these concentrations reflect only the sulfur concentration in the condensed liquid; in some runs there was also a significant amount of  $H_2S$  in the non-condensable gases.

21

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, MA 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270 • Telex 9102409489

## TABLE 10

Run	Sorbent	Diesel 8 Conc. (wt%)	% S Removal	Comments
4	G66B	0.11	17.9	
5	G66B	0.078	41.8	
11	G66B	0.069	48.5	run for 2 hr at LHSV = $0.5 \text{ hr}^{-1}$
12	G66B	0.068	49.3	
31	2Cu:Zn zeolite	0.112	16.4	run at 500-630°C
32	CuO/Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> unsupported	0.112	16.4	
86	2.7Fe:Cu zeolite	0.104	22.4	sample at 20-30 min
87	2.7Fe:Cu	0.15		sample at 1 hr
	Zeoffice	0.083	38.1	whole run sample
90	CuO/MnO zeolite	0.052	61.2	sample at 30-45 min

#### Sulfur Concentration in Effluent Diesel

From the effluent diesel sulfur concentrations obtained in this program, it appears unlikely that the very low sulfur levels (<10ppm) required for PAFC operation can be achieved by single-stage desulfurization of unprocessed diesel fuel. However, use of this technology to remove approximately 60% of the sulfur from unprocessed diesel before the reformer, followed by a post-reformer regenerable sorbent bed to remove the remaining  $H_2S$  to <10ppm may be a viable alternative to current PAFC fuel processing technology. Replacing the high-pressure bulky HDS unit with an atmospheric pressure regenerable desulfurizer should reduce the weight of the sulfur removal unit by a minimum of 25%, assuming a one-day duty cycle and sorbent sulfur loadings of 1 wt%. A potential drawback to

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, MA 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270 • Telex 9102409489

this change is reduced reformer efficiency due to higher sulfur levels in the feed. Perhaps the combination of a pre-reformer diesel desulfurizer and a sulfur tolerant reformer, such as the Toyo reformer, would provide a more efficient system. Further studies are required to determine the optimum configuration and the overall efficiency and practicality of this fuel processing train, keeping in mind the expected improvements in desulfurization of unprocessed diesel with optimization of sorbent composition and operating conditions.

#### 3.4 Effect of Operating Conditions on Sorbent Sulfur Loadings

In the early stages of this program, the effects of bed temperature, carrier gas composition, and diesel space velocity on sorbent sulfur loading were briefly investigated. Also examined was the effect of reducing the sorbent prior to the desulfurization run.

#### 3.4.1 <u>Temperature</u>

Temperature was the most studied parameter. Initial desulfurization runs using the G66B sorbent were conducted at 400°C, 500°C, and 650°C. As shown in Table 11, the average sorbent sulfur loadings at 400°C and 500°C were the same, although there was some variation from run to run. A slight increase in sorbent carbon content between 400°C and 500°C was noted, but not enough data is available to determine if this was a real trend or just normal variation in the data. Increasing the bed temperature to 650°C, however, caused a significant decrease in sulfur loading and an equally significant increase in sorbent carbon content. Physical evidence of sorbent coking was also noted at 650°C. Based on the results of these early runs, 650°C was dropped from further testing because of the poor sulfur loading and undesired coking of the sorbent at this temperature.

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

#### TABLE 11

Temperature (°C)	S Loading (Wt%)	Average S Loading (Wt%)	C Content (Wt%)
400	0.488-0.611	0.55	4.01
500	0.40 -0.613	0.55	5.87-6.11
650	0.251-0.275	0.26	10.3-11.4

#### Effect of Temperature on Sulfur Loading and Carbon Content of the G66B Sorbent

early runs showed inconclusive differences The between operation at 400°C and 500°C, a trend which continued in further testing. Six different sorbents were tested at both 400°C and 500°C with all other operating conditions fixed. Variations in the sulfur loadings between the two temperatures were noted for individual sorbents, as shown in Table 12. However, no overall trend existed; three of the sorbents had higher loadings at 400°C while two of the sorbents had higher loadings at 500°C, and the average loading on the G66B was the same at both temperatures. То simplify the testing, 500°C was arbitrarily chosen as the temperature to use in the sorbent selection runs.

#### TABLE 12

Average Sorbent Sulfur Loadings at 400°C and 500°C

Sorbent	400°C	500°C
G66B (Cu0/ZnO)	0.55	0.55
CuO/MnO zeolite	1.13	0.96
CuO/ZnO zeolite	0.45	0.27
2.7Fe:Cu zeolite	0.60	0.96
CuO/FexOy Al-4126	0.50	0.81
CuO/Fe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub> unsupported	0.30	0.12

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET + WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 + (617) 899-7270

a na sana ang kana na kana kana kana ka

## 3.4.2 Carrier Gas Composition

The effect of three different carrier gases  $(H_2, N_2,$ 50% H<sub>2</sub>O in H<sub>2</sub>) on sulfur removal was briefly and approximately Table 13 lists the loadings obtained with these carrier studied. gases on the G66B sorbent at both 400°C and 500°C. The sorbent loadings using  $H_2$  and  $H_2/H_2O$  were very similar; in fact at 500°C loadings were the same. However, with  $N_2$  as the carrier gas, the the sorbent sulfur loadings were much lower, and also showed a considerable variation with temperature. No conclusions regarding the effect of temperature can be drawn, however, since only one run at each temperature was conducted. The poor sulfur loading with  $N_{2}$ may indicate that some hydrocracking or hydrogenation reactions of diesel are required for efficient removal of the sulfur the compounds. Due to the poor performance in these initial runs with  $N_2$ , no further tests with  $N_2$  were conducted. A mixture of  $H_2O/N_2$ is another possible carrier gas; the water vapor would supply  $H_2$ for hydrogenation. This composition however, was not tested. Hydrogen was arbitrarily chosen over  $H_2/H_2O$  as the carrier gas to use in the bulk of the testing.

#### TABLE 13

	Sulfur Loading (wt% Temperature	
Carrier Gas	400°C	500°C
H <sub>2</sub>	0.553	0.60
$H_{2}/H_{2}O$	0.488	0.60
N <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup>	0.154	0.070

#### Effect of Carrier Gas Composition on Sorbent Sulfur Loadings

#### 3.4.3 Space Velocity

The effect of lowering the diesel space velocity on sulfur removal was also examined. A lower space velocity provides more contact time between the diesel and sorbent, and also provides more time for hydrogenation reactions to occur. A lower space

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET + WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 + (617) 899-7270

velocity should increase sulfur removal if the reactions are limited by mass transfer or kinetics. The diesel liquid space velocity (LHSV) used in the bulk of the runs was approximately 4.3  $hr^{-1}$ , based on a diesel flow rate of 0.5 cc/min through the  $7 \text{ cm}^3$ sorbent bed. The space velocity was decreased to approximately 0.5 hr<sup>-1</sup> by cutting the diesel flow rate to 0.14 cc/min and by doubling the height, and thus the volume, of the For the tests at the lower space velocity the sorbent bed. duration of the runs were also cut from 4 hours to 2 hours. This was done to maintain high sorbent activity throughout the run.

Unfortunately, the data for the runs at the  $0.5 \text{ hr}^{-1}$  space velocity was guite scattered, with sulfur loadings on the G66B sorbent varying by an order of magnitude, from 0.016 wt%-0.103 wt%. Some of this variation may have been due to fluctuations in the diesel flow rate caused by operating the metering pump at the very low end of its capacity range; however, this is unlikely to be the sole cause of the variation. The possible fluctuation of the diesel flow rate also makes comparison of the loadings obtained on the two different space velocities difficult, since the loadings at the low space velocity must be adjusted for the lower diesel flow rate, as well as for the amount of sorbent and the duration of the Due to the wide range of loadings and the run. other uncertainties, no useful comparisons of performance between the two space velocities can be made. No tests were conducted at the lower diesel space velocity using the pressurized reactor diesel flow system.

## 3.4.4 Sorbent Pre-Reduction

One other operating parameter, the use of a sorbent reduction step prior to the desulfurization run, was evaluated. This data was also mixed, but tends to show that reduction of the sorbent prior to desulfurization has little effect on sorbent sulfur loadings. This was expected, because  $H_2$  will quickly reduce the sorbent during the run.

GINER. INC. 14 SPRING STREET + WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 + (617) 899-7270

Initial tests of the pre-reduction step were conducted on the G66B sorbent. Prior to the desulfurization run, the sorbent bed, held at 250°C, was purged overnight with 2%  $H_2$  in  $N_2$ . In later tests, on zeolite-supported CuO/Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> sorbents, the pre-reduction step was accelerated by running 50%  $H_2$  in  $N_2$  through a 500°C sorbent bed for one hour. No comparisons of the reduction efficiencies of these two methods were made.

The sorbent sulfur loadings for desulfurization runs in which the sorbent was pre-reduced and not pre-reduced are listed in Table 14. There was little difference in the G66B loadings. On the zeolite-supported CuO/Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub>, however, the loading for the prereduced run was anomalously low.

#### TABLE 14

Sorbent	Sulfur I Pre-Reduced	Loading (wt%) Not Pre-Reduced
G66B	0.51	0.58
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 0.9 Cu:Fe zeolite	0.095	0.51
CuO/Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> 2.7 Fe:Cu zeolite	1.2	0.96

Effect of Pre-Reduction on Sorbent Sulfur Loadings

The opposite effect was noted on the zeolite-supported 2.7 Fe:Cu sorbent, where the sulfur loading for the pre-reduced run was slightly higher than the average sulfur loading for runs in which the sorbent was not pre-reduced. These results tend to indicate that reduction of the sorbent prior to desulfurization is not necessary to achieve high sulfur loadings.

27

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street + Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 + (617) 899-7270

### 3.5 <u>Mechanism of Desulfurization</u>

the reactions Insight into that occur during desulfurization of diesel was obtained by examining chromatograms of diesel leaving the reactor during runs with several sorbents. A Varian 3400 gas chromatograph with a sulfur sensitive flame photometric detector was used to analyze samples of diesel collected in the reactor effluent condenser at various times detailed For a description of throughout a run. the chromatographic method used, see Section 2.3. Since there are more than 20 sulfur containing species in diesel, many of them unknown, no attempts to identify the individual compounds were made. The peaks were designated by retention times, which were quite consistent from sample to sample. A typical diesel chromatogram is shown in Figure 3. To compare chromatograms from different samples of treated diesel, the areas of selected peaks were normalized by dividing the peak area by the average area of the corresponding peaks in the untreated diesel samples. The relative areas were then plotted versus peak retention times, and the plots examined for shifts in relative peak size to give some insight into the reactions occurring during desulfurization.

Figure 4 is a plot of the relative peak areas versus retention times for tests on six different sorbents. The samples were taken approximately 30 minutes into a run, which appears to be the time of peak reactivity. All of the runs used standard operating conditions, including a temperature of 500°C and H<sub>2</sub> as the carrier gas. As shown in this figure, for runs on the zeolite-supported sorbents, particularly the CuO/MnO and 2.7 Fe:Cu, the treated diesel has much larger peaks at low retention times and smaller peaks at higher retention times compared to untreated diesel. For unsupported sorbents, the normalized peak areas were considerably smaller and the largest peaks were at slightly higher retention times than for the zeolite-supported sorbents. Also, at the lowest retention times, the peak areas were smaller than in untreated diesel. The behavior on the unsupported sorbents is shown more clearly in Figure 5.

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270









Figure 5. Peak Areas vs. Retention Time for Unsupported Sorbents

These trends in the chromatograms indicate that different reactions may occur on the various sorbents. A possible explanation for the large shift in the relative peak areas from high retention times to low retention times on zeolite-supported sorbents is cracking of the diesel, forming lower molecular weight sulfur species (with lower retention times) from higher molecular weight species. On the unsupported sorbents, however, cracking occurs to a lesser degree, and preferential sorption of the lower molecular weight sulfur species may occur to a greater extent.

The structure of the sorbent, whether supported or unsupported, rather than the type of metal present, appears to influence the degree of cracking. To confirm this, a short run using just the zeolite support was performed. The chromatogram of condensed diesel from this run showed a more pronounced shift to larger peaks at low retention times than occurred during runs on the zeolitesupported sorbents, as shown in Figure 6. Also, very high levels of  $H_2S$  were detected in the reactor effluent during the run on blank zeolite. Thus it appears that the zeolite contributes significantly to cracking of the diesel.

Both diesel cracking and sorption of sulfur compounds appear to be most active in the first hour of a run. This is clearly shown in Figure 7, a typical run-time profile of normalized areas versus retention times for zeolite-supported sorbents. In most cases, the sorbent activity was low during the first ten minutes of a run, but peaked shortly thereafter, at approximately 15-45 minutes into the run, with little change in the chromatograms after one hour. By two hours into the run, the chromatograms were very similar to those of untreated diesel, indicating that the diesel was no longer reacting. It appears that for more efficient sulfur removal, the desulfurization run time should be reduced.

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270



Figure 6. Peak Areas vs. Retention Time for Zeolite-Supported Sorbents



ፚ፝ዿፚ፟ዿዄዺዀፚዹዄዹዄዹዄዹዄዿዄዿዄዺዄዿዄኯዀ

# 4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

#### 4.1 <u>Conclusions</u>

にん うちん うちん いい

Technology for the direct desulfurization of unprocessed diesel fuel using regenerable mixed metal oxide sorbents was developed. Removal of greater than 60% of the sulfur in diesel fuel was demonstrated, and sorbent sulfur loadings of approximately 1 wt% were attained. Preliminary studies indicated that the sorbents are regenerable, with up to 70% of the sorbed sulfur removed during regeneration.

Of the fourteen sorbents tested, the three best appear to be: zeolite-supported  $CuO/Fe_xO_y$  with a 2.7 Fe:Cu atomic ratio; zeolitesupported CuO/MnO; and alumina-supported CuO/Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub>. These sorbents had the highest sulfur loadings, and also exhibited good regenerability. The conversion of the metal oxides to sulfides on the supported sorbents was approximately 20%, considerably higher than the conversions attained on the unsupported sorbents.

The mechanism of direct desulfurization of diesel is complex and is not completely understood, but partial cracking of the diesel occurs, as well as direct sorption of some of the large organic sulfur compounds. Cracking of the diesel is more severe on zeolite-supported sorbents than on other sorbents tested.

Incorporation of the technology for desulfurization of unprocessed diesel fuel into a PAFC power plant may decrease the size and weight of the fuel processing system. An alternative to current PAFC fuel processing technology may be a pre-reformer sorbent bed, using the technology for desulfurization of unprocessed diesel, followed by a post-reformer regenerable sorbent bed to remove the remaining  $H_2S$ . Replacing the high pressure bulky HDS unit with an atmospheric pressure desulfurizer should reduce the weight of the sulfur removal unit by a minimum of 25%, assuming a one-day duty cycle and sorbent sulfur loadings of 1 wt%.

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

Improvements in sorbent sulfur loading, sulfur removal efficiency and sorbent regenerability are expected with optimization of sorbent composition, sorbent structure, and operating conditions. With improvements in sorbent sulfur loadings, further reductions in size and weight of the fuel processing unit are expected; increasing the sorbent sulfur loading to 5 wt% would reduce the weight of the sulfur removal unit by a minimum of 75%, assuming a one-day duty cycle.

#### 4.2 Future Work

Future research on sorbent optimization should investigate possible modifications to both the sorbent composition and structure. In addition to copper, iron, and manganese oxides, the substitution or addition of other select metal oxides should be Further studies are also required to determine evaluated. the optimum ratio of the oxides and, for supported sorbents, the optimum metal loading. Regarding sorbent structure, further studies of support material, with emphasis on minimizing the extent of cracking of the fuel, are recommended. Included in these studies should be zeolites and aluminas with neutral or basic compositions.

In addition to optimization of sorbent composition and structure, further research on the long-term regenerability of sorbents, including expected sorbent life, these structural stability, and optimization of regeneration conditions, should be conducted. Other potential areas for future research are process operating conditions optimization of and reactor dimensions, detailed studies of the mechanism of desulfurization, and extension of this technology to desulfurization of other liquid fuels. Systems and cost analyses, including tradeoff studies of efficiency versus cost, should also be conducted.

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

#### 5.0 <u>REFERENCES</u>

- (1) Telephone conversation with Walt Taschek, Belvoir Research& Development Center.
- (2) Jalan, V., "Compact Regenerable Sulfur Scrubber for Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cells", Final Report, U.S. Army, Belvoir Research and Development Center, Contract No. DAAK70-85-C-0096, March, 1986
- (3) Flytzani-Stephanapoulos, M., et al., "Novel Sorbents for High-Performance Regenerative H<sub>2</sub>S Removal," Final Report, DOE/MC/20417-1898, 1985.
- (4) Jha, M.C., M.T. Kepworth, and L.K. Baltich (AMAX),
   "Enhanced Sorbent Durability for Hot Coal Gas Desulfurization", Final Report, DOE/MC/21168-2023, March, 1986
- (5) Grindley, T., "Analysis of Fuel Gas Cleanup for Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells", Proceedings of Sixth Annual Contractors Meeting on Contaminant Control in Coal Derived Gas Streams, (K.E. Markel, Editor) DOE/METC-86/6042, 1986.

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST

Giner, Inc. 14 Spring St. Waltham, MA 02254-9147

Institute of Gas Technology Attn: Ms. J. Cronin 3424 South State Street Chicago, IL 60616

International Fuel Cells, Inc. Fuel Cell Operations (A. Meyers) PO Box 109 South Windsor, CT 06074

Jet Propulsion laboratory California Institute of Technology Attn: Dr. G. Voecks 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91109

Management & Technical Consultants, Inc. Attn: Dr. A.M. Mansour PO Box 21 Columbia, MD 21045

Commander US Army Materiel Command 5001 Eisenhower Ave. Alexandria, VA 22333

Power Information Center Franklin Research Center 20th and Race Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Ralph M. Parsons Attn: J.B. O'Hara 100 West Walnut St. Pasadena, CA 91124

Mr. D. Jewell (3 copies) Department of Energy Morgantown Energy Technology Center PO Box 880 Morgantown, MV 26505

Electric Power Research Institute Attn: E.A. Gillis (2 copies) PO Box 10412 Palo Alto, CA 94304

Dr. Paul Stonehart Stonehart Associates, Inc. 34 Five Fields Road Madison, CT 06443

Dr. R.T. Foley Chemistry Department The American University Washington, DC 20016 Membrane Technology & Research, Inc. 1030 Hamilton Ct. Att: Dr. H. Wijmans Menlo Park, CA 94025 R.H. Fry US Department of Energy Fossil Energy (FE-30, GTN) Washington, DC 20545 S.H. Langer University of Wisconsin Department of Chemical Engineering Madison, Wisconsin 53706 Walter G. Taschek (5 copies)

ANALY REPORT REPORT BUILDED REPORT

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

ESSERVE RELIEVE

Belvoir RD&E Center STRBE-FCP Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606

Chief Research Development & Acquisition Office Deputy Chief of Staff Department of the Army Washington, DC 20310

Marvin Warshay NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brook Park Road Cleveland, OH 44135

Science Applications International Corporation 710 Goodridge Dr. McLean, VA 22102-3799

Office of the Under Dep. Sec. of Defense (Res & Adv Tech) Attn: Asst. Dir., Electronics & Physical Sciences Washington, DC 20301

Westinghouse Electric Corp. Research & Development Center Attn: Mr. M.P. Weisel -801-3 1310 Beulah Rd. Pittsburg, PA 15235

Mr. R. Woods Gas Research Institute 10 West 35th St. Chicago, Il 60616

Dr. Paul Nelson, Director Argonne National Laboratory Bldg. 205 9700 South Cass Ave. Argonne, IL 60439

38

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM. MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Advanced Red Proj Agency Director, Tech Information 1400 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209

Aerospace Power Division Attn: AFWAL/PO (Mr. J.D. Reams) Wright Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, OH 45443

Commander US Army Electronics R&D command Attn: DRSEL-TL-P Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Energy Research Corporation Attn: Dr. Bernard Baker 3 Great Pasture Rd. Danbury, Ct. 06810

Engelhard Corporation Attn: Dr. A. Kaufman Specialty Chemical Division 70 Wood Avenue South, CN770 Iselin, NJ 08830

Director US Army Signals Warfare Laboratory Attn: DELSW-OS Vint Hill Farms Station Warrenton, VA 08830

Haldor Topsoe, Inc. Attn: Mr. P.E. Nicks PO Box 58767 Houston, TX 77258-8767

Science Applications International Corp. Energy Systems and Conservation Div. 10260 Campus Point Drive San Diego, CA 92121

Graham Hagey (2 copies) U.S. Department of Energy, FE-25 Washington, DC 20545

Aerospace Power Division Attn: AFWAL-POOA (J. Turner) Wright Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, DH 45443

US Army Belvoir RDE Center Technical Library (2 copies) Attn: STRBE-BT Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606

Commander Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station (12 croies) Alexandria, VA 22314 US Coast Guard (DOT) Research & Development Center Attn: Cpt. Tony J. Barrett Avery Pt. Groton, CT 06340-6096

Mr. Joseph A. Woerner David W. Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center Code 2722 Annapolis, MD 21402

Dr. Tyler X. Mahy Central Intelligence Agency C/O DTS Washington, DC 20505

39

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270

