



TIDE DELT TON TEST CHART

[-	)	J
6	5	Ϊ

Report C86-14

- 1

### ELECTROCHEMICAL HYDROGEN CONCENTRATOR FOR

PHOSPHORIC ACID FUEL CELLS

Prepared by:

José Giner, Ph.D. Nancy D. Kackley



38 2 17

009

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street Waltham, MA 02254-9147

November, 1987

Final Report

September 30, 1986 - September 30, 1987

Contract No. DAAK70-86-C-0114

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public release Distribution Unlimited

NOTICE:

APPENDIX MUST BE REMOVED PRIOR TO PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

"Discloses an intention Distribution is limited for U.S. Government personnel pending patent eview." Prepared For:

U.S. ARMY BELVOIR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER

Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-5606

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

Report C86-14

# ELECTROCHEMICAL HYDROGEN CONCENTRATOR FOR

PHOSPHORIC ACID FUEL CELLS

Prepared by:

José Giner, Ph.D. Nancy D. Kackley

GINER, INC.

14 Spring Street Waltham, MA 02254-9147

November, 1987

Final Report

September 30, 1986 - September 30, 1987

Contract No. DAAK70-86-C-0114

#### NOTICE:

APPENDIX MUST BE REMOVED PRIOR TO PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

"Desloses an intention. Distribution is limited to U.S. Sovernment personnel pending parent review." Prepared For:

U.S. ARMY BELVOIR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER

Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-5606

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET • WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

### NOTICES

# DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE AND SALE. ITS DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE APPENDIX WHICH IS LIMITED TO GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL.

### DISCLAIMERS

THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION, UNLESS SO DESIGNATED BY OTHER AUTHORIZED DOCUMENTS.

THE CITATION OF TRADE NAMES AND NAMES OF MANUFACTURERS IN THIS REPORT IS NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS OFFICIAL GOVERNMENT ENDORSEMENT OR APPROVAL OF COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS OR SERVICES REFERENCED HEREIN.

### DISPOSITION

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.

pro-
Idealan For
THIS CRASH N
10 EAT 2010
Constances and Co
<ul> <li>Justification</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>I and the second se</li></ul>
and the second s
1 Seal testy Chicas
A-1

0.00000000

CONTRACTOR OF THE	S PAGE		<u> </u>	19122	5	
	REPORT	DOCUMENTATIO	N PAGE		F	orm Approved OM8 No. 0704-0188
a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS	<b>. L</b>	
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AL	JTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION	N/AVAILABILIT	OF REPORT	ad OtaFishe
DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGR	ADING SCHED	ULE	tion-of Ap	pendices	limited to-	Government
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	REPORT NUMB	IER(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATIO	N REPORT NUMB	
C86-14						
NAME OF PERFORMING ORG	ANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF N	IONITORING OR	IGANIZATION	
GINER, INC.			Belvoir RD	0&E Center		
ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP	Code)		75. ADDRESS (C	ity, State, and .	ZIP Code)	
14 Spring Street Waltham, MA 02254-9	147		STRBE-FCP	ir VA 2200	50-5606	
······						
ORGANIZATION	RING	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	-C-0114	DENTIFICATION	NUMBER
ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP)	Code)		10. SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUM	BERS	
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT
			665502	M400	87273	1A-10-004
Flectrochemical L	ication) Hydrogon (	Concontrator Far	Dhacabania	Acid Turl	C-11-	
		concentrator for	Phosphoric	ACTO FUEL	Cells	
Giner, Jose; Kad	ckley Nai	ncy				
Giner, Jose; Kad	ckley Nam		14. DATE OF REP	ORT (Year, Mor	nth, Day) 15. PA	
Giner, Jose; Kar a. TYPE OF REPORT Final	ckley Nai 136. TIME ( FROM 86	ncy оvered <u>9,30</u> то <u>87,9,</u> 30	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30	ORT (Year, Mor	nth, Day) 15. PA 4	ge count 1
Giner, Jose; Kar a. TYPE OF REPORT Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	ckley Nar 136. TIME ( FROM 86	ncy COVERED <u>9,30</u> то <u>87,9,</u> 30	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30	ORT (Year, Mor	nth, Day) 15. PA 4	NGE COUNT 1
Giner, Jose; Kai a. TYPE OF REPORT Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE	ckley Nar 135. TIME ( FROM 86	ncy OVERED <u>9,30</u> то <u>87,9,</u> 30 18. SUBJE <b>CT</b> TERMS (	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30	ORT (Year, Mor	and identify by L	IGE COUNT
Giner, Jose; Kai a. TYPE OF REPORT Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP	ckley Nar 13b. TIME ( FROM 86 508-GROUP	ncy Overed 5,9,30 то <u>87,9,</u> 30 18. subject телмя Hydrogen, Pl	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac	ORT (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ce	and identify by Dell, Gas Sep	GE COUNT 1 block number) Daration,
Giner, Jose; Kai TYPE OF REPORT Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP	ckley Nar 135. TIME ( FROM <u>86</u> 508-GROUP	ncy COVERED <u>9,30</u> то <u>87,9,</u> 30 18. SUBJECT TERMS Hydrogen, Pl Electrochem	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R	ORT (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ce eformed Di	and identify by bell, Gas Sep	GE COUNT 1 block number) Daration,
Giner, Jose; Kai a. TYPE OF REPORT Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	ckley Nar 135. TIME ( FROM 86 508-GROUP	18. SUBJECT TERMS Hydrogen, P Electrochem	(Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R	ort (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ce eformed Di	and identify by a ell, Gas Sep	AGE COUNT 1 block number) baration,
Giner, Jose; Kai a. TYPE OF REPORT Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on rever The production of	ckley Nar 13b. TIME ( FROM 86 50B-GROUP rse if necessary of high	18. SUBJECT TERMS Hydrogen, Pl Electrochem y and identify by block of purity hydrog	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use	ort (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ce eformed Di e in a ph	and identify by be ell, Gas Sep iesel <	AGE COUNT 1 block number) Daration, acid
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on rever The production of fuel cell from an electrochem	ckley Nar 13b. TIME ( FROM <u>86</u> 50B-GROUP of high heavily	18. SUBJECT TERMS of Hydrogen, Pl Electrochem y and identify by block of purity hydrog contaminated	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use reformed demonstrat	ORT (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ce eformed Di e in a ph diesel f	and identify by 1 and identify by 1 ell, Gas Sep iesel < nosphoric fuel gas e electro	AGE COUNT 1 block number) baration, acid using bchem-
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP ANSTRACT (Continue on rever The production of fuel cell from an electrochem: ical hydrogen s	ckley Nar 13b. TIME ( FROM 86 FROM 86	18. SUBJECT TERMS Hydrogen, P Electrochem y and identify by block r purity hydrog contaminated rice has been or (EHS) is ba	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use reformed demonstrat sed on cur	ort (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ce eformed Di e in a ph diesel f ced. The crent PAF	and identify by d and identify by d ell, Gas Sep iesel hosphoric fuel gas e electro fC techno	AGE COUNT 1 block number) Daration, acid using ochem- blogy.
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION FIELD GROUP FIELD GROUP The production of fuel cell from an electrochem: ical hydrogen is remo	ckley Nar 13b.TIME ( FROM 86 508-GROUP of high heavily ical dev separato oved fro	18. SUBJECT TERMS of Hydrogen, Pl Electrochem y and identify by block r purity hydrog contaminated ice has been or (EHS) is ba	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use reformed demonstrat sed on cur nated gas	ORT (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ce eformed Di diesel f ced. The stream b	and identify by 4 and identify by 4 ell, Gas Sep iesel cuel gas e electro C techno by oxidati	AGE COUNT 1 block number) baration, baration, using bchem- blogy. on to
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar TYPE OF REPORT Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP ANS/RACT (Continue on rever The production of fuel cell from an electrochem: ical hydrogen is remo hydrogen ions a	ckley Nar 135.TIME ( FROM 86 FROM 96 FROM 96	18. SUBJECT TERMS Hydrogen, P Electrochem y and identify by block of purity hydrog contaminated vice has been or (EHS) is ba om the contami diffusion an	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use reformed demonstrat sed on cur nated gas ode and re	ort (Year, Mor id Fuel Ce eformed Di diesel f ced. The trent PAF stream b combinat	and identify by a and identify by a ell, Gas Ser iesel iesel c electro c techno by oxidati	acid using ochem- ology. on to agas
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP FIELD GROUP A STRACT (Continue on rever The production of fuel cell from an electrochem: ical hydrogen is remo hydrogen ions a diffusion catho high levels of (	ckley Nar 135.TIME ( FROM 86 FROM 96 FROM 96	18 SUBJECT TERMS Hydrogen, P Electrochem y and identify by block r purity hydrog contaminated rice has been or (EHS) is ba om the contami anode cataly	(Continue on rever 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use reformed demonstrat sed on cur nated gas ode and re st with ex ts has bee	ort (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ce eformed Di diesel f ced. The crent PAF stream b ecombinat	and identify by 4 and identify by 4 ell, Gas Sep iesel cuel gas e electro fC techno by oxidati tolerance pred. The	AGE COUNT 1 block number) baration, acid using bchem- blogy. on to a gas be to a use
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP A STRACT (Continue on rever The production of fuel cell from an electrochem: ical hydrogen is remo hydrogen ions a diffusion catho high levels of of of this anode of	ckley Nar 13b. TIME ( FROM 86 FROM 96 FROM 9	18 SUBJECT TERMS Hydrogen, Pl Electrochem y and identify by block of purity hydrog contaminated rice has been or (EHS) is ba diffusion an anode cataly S contaminan would allow	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use reformed demonstrat sed on cur nated gas ode and rever st with ex ts has bee the EHS de	ort (Year, Mor id Fuel Ce eformed Di e in a ph diesel f ced. The stream b stream b combinat cellent en develo	and identify by a and identify by a ell, Gas Ser iesel cuel gas e electro for techno by oxidati toleranc oped. The produce	acid using ochem- ology. on to a gas ce to e use pure
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar TYPE OF REPORT FIELD GROUP FIELD GROUP FIELD GROUP ANSTRACT (Continue on rever The production of fuel cell from an electrochem: ical hydrogen is remon hydrogen ions a diffusion cathon high levels of of of this anode of hydrogen with	ckley Nar 135 TIME ( FROM 86 FROM 96 FROM 96	18. SUBJECT TERMS Hydrogen, P Electrochem wand identify by block of purity hydrog contaminated vice has been or (EHS) is ba m the contami anode cataly 2 S contaminan would allow voltage imp	14. DATE OF REP 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use reformed demonstrat sed on cur nated gas ode and rever st with ex ts has bee the EHS de act on the	ort (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ce eformed Di diesel f ced. The crent PAF stream b combinat cellent en develc evice to e overal	and identify by definition of the second sec	AGE COUNT 1 block number) baration, acid using bchem- blogy. bon to a gas be to be use pure power
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar a. TYPE OF REPORT Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP FIELD GROUP FIELD GROUP ANSTRACT (Continue on rever The production of fuel cell from an electrochem: ical hydrogen is remo hydrogen ions a diffusion catho high levels of of of this anode of hydrogen with cutput. Reduct	ckley Nar 13b. TIME ( FROM 86 FROM 96 FROM 9	18 SUBJECT TERMS of Hydrogen, P Electrochem y and identify by block of purity hydrog contaminated tice has been or (EHS) is ba om the contami diffusion an anode cataly 2S contaminan would allow voltage imp a size and wei	(Continue on rever 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use reformed demonstrat sed on cur nated gas ode and re st with ex ts has bee the EHS de act on the ght of the	se if necessary id Fuel Ce eformed Di diesel f ced. The stream b combinat cellent cellent en develce vice to e overal e PAFC po	and identify by 4 and identify by 4 ell, Gas Ser iesel cuel gas e electro for techno by oxidati tolerand oped. The produce l PAFC ower plar	acid using block number) baration, acid using bochem- blogy. bon to a gas ce to e use pure power at is
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar a. TYPE OF REPORT Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP FIELD GROUP ANTRACT (Continue on rever The production of fuel cell from an electrochem: ical hydrogen is remo hydrogen ions a diffusion catho high levels of of of this anode of hydrogen with cutput. Reduct also indicated for	ckley Nar 136 TIME ( FROM 86 FROM 86 FROM 86 ES SUB-GROUP Of high heavily ical dev separato oved fro at a gas ode. An CO and H catalyst minimal tion in through	18 SUBJECT TERMS Hydrogen, P Electrochem y and identify by block r purity hydrog contaminated rice has been or (EHS) is ba om the contami anode cataly 25 contaminan would allow voltage imp size and wei reduced load	(Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use reformed demonstrat sed on cur nated gas ode and re st with ex ts has bee the EHS de act on the ght of the on fuel pr	ort (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ca eformed Di diesel f diesel f ced. The stream b ecombinat cellent en develo evice to e overal e PAFC po cocessing	and identify by 4 and identify by 4 ell, Gas Sep iesel cuel gas e electro fC techno by oxidati tolerance produce l PAFC ower plar componer	acid using block number) baration, acid using bchem- blogy. on to a gas ce to e use pure power nt is nts.
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar a. TYPE OF REPORT Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP ANTRACT (Continue on rever The production of fuel cell from an electrochem: ical hydrogen is remo hydrogen ions a diffusion catho high levels of of of this anode of hydrogen with output. Reduct also indicated of DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY	ckley Nar 13b. TIME ( FROM 86 FROM 96 FROM 9	18 SUBJECT TERMS of Hydrogen, P Electrochem y and identify by block of purity hydrog contaminated tice has been or (EHS) is ba om the contami diffusion an anode cataly 2S contaminan would allow voltage imp size and wei reduced load	(Continue on rever 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use reformed demonstrat sed on cur nated gas ode and res the EHS de act on the ght of the on fuel pr	ort (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ca eformed Di diesel f ced. The crent PAF stream b combinat cellent en develo evice to e overal e PAFC po cocessing	and identify by 4 and identify by 4 ell, Gas Sep iesel cuel gas electro for techno by oxidati tolerand oped. The produce l PAFC ower plar componer (1/4 - ) iFICATION	AGE COUNT 1 block number) baration, acid using bchem- blogy. on to a gas ce to e use pure power ht is hts.
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP ANTRACT (Continue on rever The production of fuel cell from an electrochem: ical hydrogen is Hydrogen is remo hydrogen ions a diffusion catho high levels of of of this anode of hydrogen with output. Reduct also indicated DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY CONCLASSIFIED UNIC MITED A NAME OF RESPONSIBLE NO	ckley Nar 13b. TIME ( FROM <u>86</u> FROM <u>86</u>	18. SUBJECT TERMS of Hydrogen, Please and identify by block of purity hydroge contaminated tice has been or (EHS) is bather the contaminated tice has been or (EHS) is bather the contamination anode cataly 12S contaminant anode cataly 12S contaminant would allow voltage impleasize and wei reduced load         RPT       DTIC USERS	(Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R number) en for use reformed demonstrat sed on cur nated gas ode and re st with ex ts has bee the EHS de act on the ght of the on fuel pr	se if necessary id Fuel Ce eformed Di diesel f diesel f ced. The stream b ecombinat cellent e overal e PAFC po cocessing ECURITY CLASS ified	and identify by 4 and identify by 4 ell, Gas Sep iesel iesel cuel gas electro cuel gas cuel cuel gas electro cuel gas cuel gas cuel gas electro cuel gas electro cuel gas cuel gas electro cuel gas electro cuel gas electro cuel gas cuel gas electro cuel gas electro	acid using ochem- ology. on to a gas ce to power nt is nts.
Giner, Jose; Kar Giner, Jose; Kar a. TYPE OF REPORT Final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODE FIELD GROUP ALLIART Continue on rever The production of fuel cell from an electrochem. ical hydrogen is remo hydrogen is remo hydrogen is remo hydrogen is remo hydrogen with output. Reduct also indicated DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY CONTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY CONTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY Mr. Walter Taschek	ckley Name 13b. TIME ( FROM 86 FROM 96 FROM	NCY COVERED 3.9,30 TO 87,9,30 18. SUBJECT TERMS of Hydrogen, Pl Electrochem y and identify by block of purity hydrog contaminated rice has been or (EHS) is ba om the contaminated ice has been or (EHS) is ba om the contaminated is diffusion and anode cataly 25 contaminan would allow voltage imp size and wei reduced load RPT □DTIC USERS	14. DATE OF REPA 87,11,30 (Continue on rever hosphoric Ac ical Cell, R oumber) en for use reformed demonstrat sed on cur nated gas ode and re st with ex ts has bee the EHS de act on the ght of the on fuel pr 21 ABSTRACT S Unclass 225 TELEPHONE (713) 664	ort (Year, Mor se if necessary id Fuel Ce eformed Di e in a ph diesel f ced. The crent PAF stream b combinat cellent e overal e overal e PAFC po cocessing ECURITY CLASS ified (include Area C -5871	and identify by 4 and identify by 4 ell, Gas Sep iesel nosphoric tuel gas e electro for techno by oxidati tolerance produce l PAFC ower plar (1/4 - )- GEICATION and identify by 4 ell, Gas Sep iesel tolerance produce l PAFC ower plar (1/4 - )- STRBE	acid using ochem- ology. on to gas ce to pure power nt is nts.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The phosphoric acid fuel cell (PAFC) requires hydrogen feed gas at the anode which is low in contaminants such as carbon monoxide and hydrogen sulfide. These contaminants will poison the typical PAFC anode for hydrogen oxidation. Therefore, in order to utilize a logistic fuel such as diesel gas in the PAFC, extensive gas cleanup is necessary. However, the fuel processing components add excessive weight and volume to a PAFC power generator system. Simplification of the fuel processing system through the development of an electrochemical hydrogen separator (EHS) was addressed in this program. The EHS electrochemically consumes hydrogen gas from a heavily contaminated fuel gas at the anode, providing essentially pure hydrogen fuel at the cathode for subsequent use in the PAFC.

The experimental goals of this program included the identification of the best anode catalyst for the EHS through parametric testing of six candidate catalysts at varied cell temperatures, current densities and H<sub>2</sub> gas utilizations. The performance goal was to minimize the operating voltage of the EHS by developing a catalyst with high activity for the hydrogen oxidation reaction as well as tolerance for contaminant gases such as CO and H<sub>2</sub>S. In addition, the H<sub>2</sub>S, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> content of the product gas was measured. The catalysts and electrodes were analyzed by elemental analysis, transmission electron microscopy, and X-ray diffraction. Catalyst surface area was measured by electrochemical hydrogen adsorption using cyclic voltammetry. Testing for the water-gas shift reaction or direct CO oxidation was also performed. Generation of additional hydrogen from the high CO content of reformed diesel fuel gas by the shift reaction or direct oxidation of CO to CO<sub>2</sub> by H<sub>2</sub>O would increase the energy content of the EHS product gas.

An efficient anode catalyst for the EHS was identified. This catalyst was able to operate at low cell voltages at 210°C, 230°C and 250°C on simulated reformed diesel fuel gas (SRDFG) based on

the output of the Ralph M. Parsons' Co. reformer. This SRDFG contained 49%  $H_2$ , 16% CO, 11% CO<sub>2</sub>, 24% N<sub>2</sub> and 800 ppm  $H_2$ S. The catalyst showed tolerance for these high contaminant concentrations. Performance of the EHS cell on Parsons' SRDFG was possible at only 14 mV above the operating voltage on pure hydrogen at 230°C, 70% gas utilization, 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. The product gas contained only 6 ppm  $H_2$ S, 0.3% CO and 0.6% CO<sub>2</sub>. This catalyst showed good potential for allowing the EHS device to efficiently produce pure hydrogen from heavily contaminated diesel fuel gas for use in the PAFC.

The benefits of using this device are reduced weight and volume of the fuel processing unit with minimal loss in overall output voltage of the PAFC power generating plant. Complete elimination of the shift convertor components of the fuel processing unit is probably not feasible since a large portion of the energy value of the SRDFG is in the CO content of the gas. However, the increased tolerance to CO of the EHS anode would permit reductions in the size and weight of the shift convertor catalyst bed. An increase in CO content of the post-shift reformer gas from 2% to 5% could be tolerated by use of the EHS with the advanced anode catalyst identified in this program. This would allow an estimated 10 to 20% reduction in size and weight of the shift reformer. In addition, since tolerance to high levels of  $H_2S$  has been demonstrated with this catalyst, the ZnO guard bed may also be reduced in size or eliminated, resulting in additional reduction of the fuel processing system size and weight.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was performed by Giner, Inc. for the U.S. Army Belvoir Research, Development, and Engineering Center under Contract No. DAAK 70-86-C-0114. The authors would like to thank Mr. Walter Taschek of the Belvoir RD&E Center, who acted as the Contract Officer's Technical Representative, and Dr. Alayne Adams, also of the Belvoir RD&E Center, for providing general technical guidance and assistance.

Those who contributed to this study were Dr. A.B. LaConti, Dr. J.A. Kosek, Mr. Craig Wright and Mr. Vince Bianchi of Giner, Inc. Their efforts are greatly appreciated by the authors.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Č

Restances and the

Ì,

K

REPORT	DOCUMENTATION PAGE	i
EXECUTI	VE SUMMARY	ii
ACKNOWL	EDGEMENTS	iv
TARIE O	R CONTENTS	_ v
INDEE OF		••••
	FIGURES	VI 
LIST OF	TABLES	Vl
1.0 IN	TRODUCTION	1
2.0 EX	PERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	3
2.1	EHS Cell Parametric Testing	3
2.2	Preparation and Characterization of	
	Catalysts and Electrodes	7
3.0 RE	SULTS	8
3.1	10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72	8
3.2	900°C Heat-Treated 10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72	10
3.3	Catalyst G86-14-3	12
3.4	Catalyst G86-14-4	14
3.5	Catalyst G86-14-5	18
3.6	- Catalyst G86-14-6	19
4.0 CO	NCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	21
4.1	Conclusions	21
4.2	Recommendations	24
		67
DFFFDFN	CES	25
X DDENDT	v	20
AFFENDI	Λ ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	20

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham. Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

### LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	1	Schematic of fuel processing section of conventional	
		diesel fueled phosphoric acid fuel cell	1
FIGURE	2	Schematic of EHS cell	4
FIGURE	3	Catalyst G86-14-3 from pre-test anode	15
FIGURE	4	Catalyst G86-14-3 from post-test anode	16

### LIST OF TABLES

E

TABLE	1	Parameters varied in EHS testing 5
TABLE	2	Simulated reformed diesel fuel gas mixtures based on Parson's and IFC reformer outputs
TABLE	3	EHS cell voltages using 10% Pt/Vulcan anode catalyst
TABLE	4	EHS cell voltages using 900°C heat-treated 10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72 anode catalyst
TABLE	5	EHS cell voltages using the G86-14-3 anode electrocatalyst 13
TABLE	6	EHS cell voltages using the G86-14-4 formulation 17
TABLE	7	EHS cell voltages using the G86-14-5 anode catalyst 19
TABLE	8	EHS cell voltages using the G86-14-6 anode catalyst

vi

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham. Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

### 1.0 <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The overall objective of this program was develop an to electrochemical hydrogen concentrator for use in the fuel processing system of a diesel fueled phosphoric acid fuel cell. Α schematic diagram of a conventional diesel fueled PAFC is shown in Figure 1. The five separate units perform three basic functions; 1) conversion of the hydrocarbon fuel to  $H_2$ ,  $CO_2$  and CO; 2) removal of sulfur-based contaminants; and 3) removal of CO through shift conversion with water to H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. These units are necessary to convert the diesel fuel to a purified hydrogen-rich gas stream for direct use in a phosphoric acid fuel cell. The PAFC anode is easily poisoned by contaminant gases such as CO and  $H_2S$  (1). These species, when adsorbed on the platinum catalyst surface, reduce the number of available sites for the hydrogen oxidation reaction which reduces the overall power output of the fuel cell. Dilutant gases, such as  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$ , also decrease the fuel cell efficiency by reducing the amount of hydrogen available for utilization (2).



- A: HydrogenatorB: Sulfur Scrubber
- C: Reformer
- D: Sulfur (ZnO) Guard bed
- E: Shift converter

Figure 1 Block Diagram of a Conventional PAFC Fuel Processing Train

While the PAFC can be a lightweight, compact power generating unit, the fuel processing components necessary to convert a logistic fuel like diesel into a useable PAFC fuel gas add excessive weight and volume. Simplification of the fuel processing system to three basic units; reformer, sulfur scrubber and hydrogen

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

concentrator was one approach identified by the U.S. Army Belvoir RD&E Center. This program has addressed the development of a hydrogen concentrator unit, specifically, an Electrochemical Hydrogen Separator (EHS). The EHS produces essentially pure  $H_2$  from a contaminated feed gas by electrochemical removal of the hydrogen from the gas stream. The product gas can be fed directly into a PAFC.

The EHS device is based on phosphoric acid fuel cell technology. A major difference, however, is that hydrogen-based gases are present at both the anode and the cathode. Hydrogen is removed from a contaminated gas stream by oxidation to hydrogen ions at a gas diffusion anode. The hydrogen ions are then electrochemically transported across the acid electrolyte matrix and are recombined into hydrogen at a gas diffusion cathode. Since current must be supplied to perform the separation, the unit operates at a voltage polarized slightly away from zero volts due to internal resistance and some activation polarization.

The absence of an air or oxygen cathode in the EHS cell provides three primary benefits important to this project: 1) due to the absence of oxygen, operation at temperatures higher than normally used in PAFC cells can be investigated without causing corrosion of the cathode material, 2) the cathode functions essentially as a reproducible hydrogen electrode, with minimal polarization, which allows even small decreases in anode polarization due to improved catalysts to be visible, and 3) experimental safety is increased as volatile hydrogen-oxygen gas mixtures are not present.

The polarization of the EHS cell, and therefore the power requirement, can be minimized by choosing the optimum operating conditions and using the most efficient anode catalyst. Six anode catalyst formulations supported on high surface area carbon were tested under an extensive matrix of conditions. Performance of the various catalysts was tested using pure hydrogen gas and a simulated reformed diesel fuel gas. Performance on pure hydrogen

2

gas feed to the anode was considered a baseline, as the contaminant and dilutant effects of the SRDFG gas mixture were absent.

In the majority of the work performed, the SRDFG gas composition was based on the output of the Ralph M. Parsons Co. two-stage diesel reformer (3). (In some limited preliminary testing, an SRDFG mixture based on the International Fuel Cell diesel reformer was used.) The Parsons' SRDFG mixture contained 49%  $H_2$ , 16% CO, 11% CO<sub>2</sub>, 24%  $N_2$  and 800 ppm  $H_2$ S. Carbon monoxide and hydrogen sulfide are known to be poisons for the hydrogen oxidation reaction on platinum catalysts (1,2). The advanced anode catalysts tested in this program were selected with the goal of developing an efficient, contaminant-tolerant anode catalyst for use in the EHS cell.

Contaminant-induced poisoning of the anode catalyst is indicated by increased cell voltage required to drive the hydrogen separation process. This may lead to decreased current and concomitant decreased hydrogen output at the cathode side of the cell. The performance of various anode catalysts was evaluated by measuring the cell voltage during operation on pure H<sub>2</sub> and on the SRDFG mixture under a test matrix of varying cell temperature, gas utilization and current density. The result of this investigation was the development of an EHS cell configuration capable of producing essentially pure hydrogen from a heavily contaminated reformer gas with minimal voltage input requirement. A novel, Ptalloy anode catalyst has been identified for the hydrogen oxidation reaction which can operate on a feed gas containing high levels of carbon monoxide and hydrogen sulfide with minimal polarization. The experimental details and results are presented below.

#### 2.0 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

### 2.1 EHS Cell Parametric Testing

The EHS cell consisted of two graphite blocks encasing gas diffusion anode and cathode electrodes separated by a SiC matrix. A schematic of the cell is shown in Figure 2. The active

3



Figure 2. Schematic of EHS Cell

5165-555

cell area was 2" x 2"; approximately 25 cm<sup>2</sup>. The cathode electrocatalyst was 10% platinum supported on Vulcan XC-72 carbon at a platinum loading of 0.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. The cathode electrode was formed with 40% PTFE, sintered at 345°C. The anode structure consisted of a Pt or Pt-alloy electrocatalyst on Vulcan XC-72 with 50% PTFE, sintered at 335°C, in all but one case (where 40% PTFE was used). The Pt loading was also 0.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> on the anode. The details of the anode electrode compositions are presented in the Appendix. The electrolyte, encased in the SiC matrix layer, was 100% H3PO4.

The testing parameters for evaluation of different anode electrocatalysts included variations in cell temperature, hydrogen utilization, and current density. The parameters used are shown in Table 1. The hydrogen utilization was controlled by adjusting the inlet gas flow rate to the anode at each current density. At each cell temperature and gas utilization, the cell voltage was measured at current densities from 200 to 1000 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. The operating voltage of the EHS was measured under the above parameters first using pure H<sub>2</sub> gas feed to the anode. Then, the experimental matrix was repeated using a simulated reformed diesel fuel gas. The majority of the testing was done using an SRDFG mixture based on the Ralph M. Parsons Co. reformer (3). Some preliminary tests using only one anode catalyst were performed using a gas mixture based on the International Fuel Cell reformer (4). The compositions of these two gas mixtures are shown in Table 2. The Parsons' SRDFG has much higher CO and H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations than the IFC mixture, and constitutes a "worst-case" fuel gas. The CO,  $co_2$ and  $H_2S$  content of the cathode exit gas was measured. A small amount of these contaminant gases may cross the cell by diffusion through the electrolyte.

### TABLE 1

# Parameters varied in EHS testing

Parameter	Values
Cell Temperature	190, 210, 230 & 250°C
Hydrogen Utilization	30%, 70% & 90%
Current Density	200, 400, 600, 800, 1000 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>

5

Company	H <sub>2</sub>	Volume CO <sub>2</sub>	e % (dry CO	basis) N <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> S(ppm)
Parsons	49	11	16	24	800
IFC	73	21.4	5.7		180

### Simulated reformed diesel fuel gas mixtures based on Parsons' and IFC reformer outputs

The feed gas was saturated by bubbling through water. This was necessary to maintain the water content of the electrolyte at the elevated cell temperatures. The saturator temperature was normally held at 73°C. However, in later testing, the saturator temperature was raised to 85°C for testing at cell temperatures of 230°C and 250°C. This was done in an attempt to prolong cell life and reduce the cell resistance by increasing the water vapor pressure over the phosphoric acid. The conductivity of phosphoric acid sharply decreases with increasing concentrations above 95% (5). The resistance of the cell package was measured using a current interrupt technique.

The high CO content of the reformed diesel fuel gas can be converted into additional hydrogen through the water-gas shift reaction:

$$CO + H_2O = CO_2 + H_2$$

or direct CO oxidation

BXXXXXX

$$CO + H_2O = CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$$

In order to test for the occurrence of either of these reactions at the EHS anode, a gas composition of 24% CO, 76%  $N_2$  saturated with  $H_2O$  at 73°C was fed to the EHS cell. The amount of hydrogen exiting from the cathode and CO and CO<sub>2</sub> content of the anode exit were measured at current densities from 0 to approximately 240 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. As the current density increased, the

increasing CO<sub>2</sub> content of the anode exit gas indicated that either the shift reaction or direct CO oxidation was occurring. The cell voltage necessary to drive this reaction was also measured. Since this testing was likely to poison catalysts that were not COtolerant, it was only performed after all other testing on the cell was completed.

# 2.2 <u>Preparation and Characterization of Catalysts</u> <u>and Electrodes</u>

The Pt-alloy catalysts were prepared by depositing the alloy component onto a platinized high surface area carbon support material. Starting materials were metal salts. Through the addition of hydroxide based dispersing agents, the deposition of small particles of metal hydroxides on the platinized carbon support material was accomplished. The Pt-alloy catalysts were then heat-treated at 900°C in N<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub> in order to reduce the hydroxides to pure metals.

Elemental analyses were performed on catalyst preparations to confirm that compositions of Pt-alloy catalysts were as desired. The particle size of the electrocatalysts was determined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of the supported catalyst powders.

Pre-test and post-test anodes and cathodes were also examined using transmission electron microscopy. Particles of the supported catalyst were scraped from the electrodes onto TEM grids. The morphology and distribution of catalyst particles before and after testing was compared. Surface areas of the pre-test and post-test anodes and cathodes were measured using an electrochemical hydrogen adsorption technique.

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270

#### 3.0 <u>RESULTS</u>

The results presented below are grouped by the type of anode catalyst used in the EHS cell, since other cell components and variables were equivalent for all cells, as discussed in Section 2.1. Evaluation of the EHS cell performance using different anode catalysts was made by comparing the overall cell voltage during operation on the heavily contaminated SRDFG (see Table 2 for composition) and on pure  $H_2$ . Operation on pure  $H_2$  was regarded as a baseline for hydrogen oxidation efficiency of the catalyst, since the contaminant and dilutant gases are not present. Operation on the SRDFG measured the contaminant tolerance of the six anode catalyst formulations. As discussed in Section 1.0, minimization of the EHS cell voltage required to produce pure H<sub>2</sub> from SRDFG was a measure of the efficiency of the anode catalyst. In addition, the cathode exit gas was analyzed for trace contaminant content to measure diffusion of these species across the cell package. The results of testing for the water-gas shift reaction or CO oxidation are also presented for each catalyst formulation. Results of preand post-test characterization of the catalysts and electrodes are discussed in order to explain differences in performance of the six catalyst formulations.

# 3.1 <u>10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72</u>

The first electrocatalyst tested in the EHS cell was 10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72, a commercially available product (Johnson & Matthey, Inc.) often used in phosphoric acid fuel cell anodes. The platinum component had a particle size of 15-25 Å. In initial tests, this anode catalyst was used in an EHS cell with a 4 mil thick, 1500 grit SiC electrolyte matrix. The standard cathode electrode, also 10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72, was used. Performance on pure H<sub>2</sub> and on SRDFG based on the International Fuel Cell reformer output was tested in this cell. Another EHS cell with the same 10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72 anode and cathode catalysts and an 8 mil, 1500 grit SiC electrolyte matrix was tested using SRDFG based on the Parsons' reformer output. The voltages of these two cells at 70%  $H_{2}$ 

8

utilization are shown in Table 3. The cell with the 4 mil matrix displayed much lower voltages on pure  $H_2$  than the cell with the 8 mil matrix. The difference in the cell resistance correction factor accounted for some, but not all, of this difference in performance. The performance on SRDFG demonstrated the effect of the higher contaminant concentrations present in Parsons' mixture. The increase in cell polarization (difference between voltage on SRDFG and on pure  $H_2$ ) during operation on Parsons' SRDFG indicated the increased poisoning effects due to higher CO and  $H_2S$  content.

### TABLE 3

EHS cell voltages using 10% Pt/Vulcan anode catalyst. Uncorrected cell voltages on  $H_2$  and on IFC and Parsons' SRDFG at 70%  $H_2$  utilization rate.

Cell Temp., °C	Current Density mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	4 mi <sup>H</sup> 2	C l Matr IFC	ell ix ΔV	Voltage, m 8 mi <sup>H</sup> 2	V 1 Matrix Parsons'	∆ <b>⊽</b>
210	200	27	35	8	76	Not tested	
	400	48	74	26	151	Not tested	
	600	72	112	40	223	Not tested	
	800	95	156	61	298	Not tested	
	1000	119	"		376	Not tested	
Cell Resi	stance						
Correctio	n Factor						
(ohm-	·Cm * )	0.09	0.11		0.30		
230	200	27	32	5	88	112	24
	400	54	64	10	169	210	41
	600	81	99	18	251	303	52
	800	108	130	22	342		
	1000	134	164	30	429		
Cell Resi	stance						
Correctio	n Factor						
(ohm-	·cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.09	0.11		0.32	0.30	
250	200	33	33	0	98	118	20
	400	59	66	7	194	228	34
	600	88	100	12	291	327	35
	800	132	132	0	392	459	67
	1000	151	163	12	497	600	103
Cell Resi	stance						
Correctio	n Factor						
(ohm-	·cm')	0.09	0.11		0.38	0.38	

\*Voltage unstable and rising

9

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

Testing for the shift reaction or direct CO oxidation using a  $CO/H_2O/N_2$  gas mixture was not carried out in either of these cells. There was no evidence for conversion of CO to  $CO_2$  under normal testing conditions on the SRDFG mixture.

After testing, the surface area of the platinum catalyst from the cell with a 4 mil matrix was measured by hydrogen adsorption using cyclic voltammetry. The pre-test surface area measured The anode post-test surface area was 48  $m^2/q$ ; the 110  $m^{2}/q$ . cathode measured 51  $m^2/g$ . The surface area of the anode from the cell with the 8 mil matrix could not be measured as the electrode was dried out by failure of the saturator. The cathode surface area dropped from 110  $m^2/g$  to 68  $m^2/g$ . This reduction in surface area while the cells were on test was due to sintering and agglomeration of the supported Pt crystallites. Since this is a diffusional process, it is enhanced by high temperature operation. However, the surface area loss cannot be attributed to any one aspect of EHS operation, as testing was performed under a wide variety of conditions.

The product gas composition (cathode exit) showed lower contaminant gas contents in the cell with a thicker electrolyte matrix even though a more heavily contaminated SRDFG was used. The EHS cell with an 8 mil SiC matrix averaged 31 ppm  $H_2S$ , 1% CO and 1%  $CO_2$ , dry basis in the cathode exit gas. The EHS cell with a 4 mil SiC matrix averaged 35 ppm  $H_2S$ , 1% CO and 3-4%  $CO_2$ , dry basis. All other catalyst formulations were tested only in EHS cells with an 8 mil electrolyte matrix due to failures by electrical shorting of EHS cells with a 4 mil matrix.

# 3.2 900°C Heat-Treated 10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72

High surface area platinized carbon materials used in phosphoric acid fuel cells are often heat-treated to improve their performance and long-term stability. Heat treatment of platinized carbon materials also results in an increase in particle size of the catalyst component. The performance of a 900°C heat-treated

10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72 anode catalyst was investigated in the EHS cell. This catalyst had a particle size of 40-60 Å, approximately 2.5 times that of the non-heat-treated 10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72 catalyst. Testing of this catalyst was performed in an EHS cell with an 8 mil SiC electrolyte matrix and a standard 10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72 cathode. The cell voltages on pure  $H_2$  and on Parsons' SRDFG at 230°C and 250°C are shown in Table 4.

#### TABLE 4

EHS cell voltages using 900°C heat treated 10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72 anode catalyst. Uncorrected cell voltages on  $H_2$  and on Parsons' SRDFG at 70%  $H_2$  utilization rate.

Cell Temp., °C	Current Density mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	Cel: H <sub>2</sub>	l Voltage, SRDFG	, mV ΔV
230	200	48	67	19
	400	96	126	30
	600	145	*	
	800	194		
	1000	242		
Cell Resistanc	e Correction			
Factor (ohm-cm	<sup>2</sup> )	0.17	0.14	
250	200	51	75	24
	400	100	127	27
	600	158	175	17
	800	200		
	1000	253		
Cell Resistanc	e Correction			
Factor (ohm-cm	<sup>2</sup> )	0.16	0.14	

\*Voltage unstable and rising

The cell voltages for the heat-treated catalyst were consistently lower than for the non-heat-treated catalyst. This indicates that the heat-treated 10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72 was a more efficient catalyst for the hydrogen oxidation reaction, with either pure hydrogen or a heavily contaminated diesel fuel gas. Performance on SRDFG for the heat-treated catalyst at 230°C and 250°C (and the non-heat-treated catalyst at 230°C) was limited to low current densities; at higher current densities, the cell

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

voltage was unstable and rising rapidly. The product gas at the cathode of this cell contained 0.4% CO, 0.3%  $CO_2$  and 50 ppm  $H_2S$  at 600 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, 250°C.

The surface area of the 900°C heat-treated catalyst, measured by hydrogen adsorption with cyclic voltammetry, was 60  $m^2/q$  before The effect of heat-treatment in increasing the EHS testing. catalyst particle size is evident from comparing this to the pretest surface area of non-heat-treated 10% Pt/Vulcan XC-72 catalyst; 110  $m^2/g$ . However, the post-test surface area of the heat-treated catalyst, 50  $m^2/q$ , is about equal to that of the post-test nonheat-treated catalyst. The cathode catalyst displayed a larger decrease in surface area; 110  $m^2/g$ , pre-test and 36  $m^2/g$ , posttest. The reason for this large decrease in surface area on the cathode is unclear. X-ray analysis of the pre-test and post-test anode electrodes was performed. The peak positions remained essentially the same, but the peak heights were greatly reduced after EHS cell testing. This indicates that some loss of catalyst may have occurred.

Experiments using a  $CO/H_2O/N_2$  feed gas indicated that the shift reaction or direct CO oxidation was occurring in this cell at voltages from 550 mV to 650 mV. At 250°C, the amount of  $CO_2$  in the anode exit increased from 4% at 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to 9% at 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, while the CO content decreased from 8.5% to 3%. However, the cell voltage increased from 552 mV at 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to 650 mV at 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. Similar voltage levels and  $CO_2/CO$  contents were measured at 230°C. The amount of hydrogen produced by this reaction also increased with increasing current density, from 9.75 cc/min at 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to 29.7 cc/min at 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>.

### 3.3 <u>Catalyst G86-14-3</u>

In the Phase I portion of this program, this material was identified as an efficient anode catalyst for EHS cell operation on gas containing up to 10% CO and 2000 ppm  $H_2S$  (6). Further testing of this catalyst in this Phase II program using Parsons' SRDFG confirmed the prior results. EHS cell voltages and cell

polarizations (difference between cell voltage on H<sub>2</sub> and on SRDFG) using the G85-14-3 anode electrocatalyst are shown in Table 5. When compared to the performance of the heat-treated 10% Pt/Vulcan electrocatalyst (Table 4), a significant performance improvement was noted. The EHS cell voltages on Parsons' SRDFG using the G86-14-3 anode catalyst were 14 mV less on average, than those for the heat-treated Pt/Vulcan XC-72. In addition, the cell was able to operate with stable performance at up to 800 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at 230°C and up to 1000 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at 250°C. At low current densities, 200 and 400 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, reasonable performance was achieved at 210°C. The data in Table 5 was gathered from Cell HS-114. This performance was repeated with an equivalent cell, HS-117, with comparable results. The product gas at the cathode contained 0.2% CO, 0.5% co, and 6 ppm  $H_2S$  at 600 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> and 250°C.

### TABLE 5

EHS cell voltages using the G86-14-3 anode electrocatalyst. Uncorrected cell voltage on pure  $H_2$  and on Parsons' SRDFG at 70%  $H_2$  utilization rate.

Cell Temp., °C	Current Density mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	Cell H <sub>2</sub>	Voltage, SRDFG	mV △V
210	200	41	59	18
	400	81	122	41
	600	118	*	
	800	159		
	1000	196		
Cell Resistanc	e Correction			
Factor (ohm-cm	<sup>2</sup> )	0.15	0.16	
230	200	43	57	14
	400	83	102	19
	600	125	152	27
	800	167	199	32
	1000	208		
Cell Resistanc	e Correction			
Factor (ohm-cm	<sup>2</sup> )	0.16	0.16	
250	200	47	66	19
	400	93	113	20
	600	135	164	29
	800	184	218	34
	1000	223	260	37
Cell Resistance	e Correction			
Factor (ohm-cm	<sup>2</sup> )	0.17	0.16	

\*Voltage unstable and rising

This catalyst powder was heat-treated at 900°C in N<sub>2</sub>. The average particle size, 45 Å, was determined by transmission electron microscopy of the as-prepared powder. The pre-test surface area, as determined by hydrogen adsorption was 71 m<sup>2</sup>/g, slightly higher than the 900°C heat-treated 10% Pt/Vulcan catalyst. The posttest surface area of Cell HS-114 was 74 m<sup>2</sup>/g, slightly larger than the pre-test value. This increase is within experimental error and, therefore, is not significant. The post-test cathode showed only a small decrease in surface area, from 116 m<sup>2</sup>/g to 92 m<sup>2</sup>/g. Catalyst sintering may have been limited by reduced time of operation at high temperatures for this cell. Only nine polarization scans were run on this cell at 230°C or above. Using an equivalent cell, HS-117, a decrease in surface area from 80 m<sup>2</sup>/g, pre-test to 49 m<sup>2</sup>/g, posttest was measured after fifteen polarization scans at 230°C or above.

The pre-test and post-test anodes from Cell HS-117 were examined by transmission election microscopy. The supported catalyst particles scraped from these electrodes are shown in Figures 3 and 4. The catalyst particle size had increased from 45 Å to approximately 100 Å. Agglomeration of several smaller particles into a larger particle is also evident.

Testing for the shift reaction or direct CO oxidation was performed by running Cell HS-117 on a  $CO/H_2O/N_2$  feed at 230°C and 250°C. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the anode exit stream increased from about 3% at 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to about 12% at 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> while the CO content decreased from 8% to 1%. However, the cell voltage increased from 550-600 mV at 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to over 700 mV at 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.4 <u>Catalyst G86-14-4</u>

The results of testing this anode catalyst are shown in Table 6. Cell polarizations were higher than those seen with the G86-14-3 catalyst. Cell voltage on pure  $H_2$ , after iR-correction, was only slightly higher than that measured for the previous cell, but the iR-corrected cell voltage on Parsons' SRDFG was 1.5 to 2





Figure 4. Catalyst 386-14-3 From Post-Test Anode, 460,300X GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

times larger with this anode catalyst. The cathode exit gas contained 0.9% CO, 1.5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 20 ppm  $H_2S$  at 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> and 250°C. These contaminant levels are slightly higher than those measured at 600 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, 250°C in the cells discussed previously. Although higher contaminant concentrations might be expected at lower current densities, where less  $H_2$  is produced at the cathode, no systematic relationship between contaminant concentrations and operating conditions could be discerned in any of the cell configurations.

### TABLE 6

EHS cell voltages using the G86-14-4 formulation. Uncorrected cell voltage on pure  $H_2$  and on Parsons' SRDFG at 70%  $H_2$  utilization rate.

Cell Temp., °C	Current Density mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	Cell <sup>H</sup> 2	Voltage, SRDFG	, mV △V
230	200	70	102	32
	400	140	194	54
	600	208	300	92
	800	273	*	
	1000	340		<b></b>
Cell Resistan Factor (ohm-c	ce Correction (m <sup>2</sup> )	0.27	0.27	
250	200	78	107	29
	400	156	191	35
	600	226	277	51
	800	300	380	80
	1000	377	462	85
Cell Resistan	ce Correction			
Factor (ohm-c	m ² )	0.28	0.27	

\*Voltage unstable and rising

The pre-test anode surface area, 68 m<sup>2</sup>/g, had decreased to  $16 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$  after EHS testing. The cathode surface area, however, only decreased from 116 m<sup>2</sup>/g to 73 m<sup>2</sup>/g. The large decrease in anode surface area may have been due to loss of catalyst. This is consistent with the extremely high voltages, high cell resistance and low H<sub>2</sub> output measured at the end of testing of this cell. Analysis of the pre-test and post-test anode electrodes by transmission electron microscopy also suggests that loss of catalyst contributed to the failure of this cell. The post-test

anode showed a very light loading of catalyst particles on the carbon support material, much lighter than seen on the pre-test anode. The pre-test catalyst particle size was the same as the G86-14-3 catalyst and the heat-treated Pt/Vulcan XC-72; approximately 40-60 Å.

The shift reaction or direct CO oxidation was noted in this cell at voltage levels similar to those seen in previous cells. At 230°C, the  $CO_2$  content of the anode exit gas increased from 5% at 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to 10% at 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> while the CO content decreased from 9% to 2%. Cell voltage was approximately 570 mV at 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> and rose to 770 mV at 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>.

# 3.5 <u>Catalyst G86-14-5</u>

The EHS cell voltages using the G86-14-5 anode catalyst are shown in Table 7. Comparison of the cell voltages on pure  $H_2$  of this catalyst and the other catalysts (Tables 3-6) shows that this catalyst performed better than the non-heat-treated Pt/Vulcan and the G86-14-4 catalyst, but slightly worse than the G86-14-3 catalyst. The cell voltages on pure  $H_2$  of the G86-14-5 were very similar to those of the cell with a 900°C heat-treated Pt/Vulcan anode catalyst. However, operation on the Parsons' SRDFG resulted in extremely high cell voltages at current densities of 400 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> or higher. Although this catalyst was expected to show tolerance to CO, the  $H_2S$  content of the SRDFG may have been responsible for this severe poisoning effect.

The cathode exit gas contained 0.2% CO, 0.2%  $CO_2$  and a trace quantity of H<sub>2</sub>S at 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, 250°C. The pre-test anode surface area, 74 m<sup>2</sup>/g, decreased to 54 m<sup>2</sup>/g after testing. The cathode surface area decreased from 121 m<sup>2</sup>/g to 36 m<sup>2</sup>/g. TEM examination of scraping from pre-test and post-test anodes indicated that the loss of anode surface area was due, in part, to an increase in particle size. The pre-test catalyst particle size was approximately 35 Å. The post-test particle size was approximately 58 Å. This increase in particle size was not as large as that seen on the G86-14-3 post-test anode. However, the density of particles or catalyst

loading appeared lighter on the G86-14-5 post-test anode than on the G86-14-3 post-test anode. Some of the decrease in surface area of the G86-14-5 may have been due to loss of catalyst as well as catalyst agglomeration and sintering.

#### TABLE 7

EHS cell voltages using the G86-14-5 anode catalyst. Uncorrected cell voltages on pure  $H_2$  and on Parsons' SRDFG at 70%  $H_2$  utilization rate.

Cell Temp., °C	Current Density mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	Cell H <sub>2</sub>	Voltage, SRDFG	<b>™</b> ⊽ ∆∆
230	200	52	74	22
	400	104	230	126
	600	151	590	439
	800	202	890	688
	1000	250	*	
Cell Resistance Correction				1
Factor (ohm-cm <sup>2</sup> )		0.18	0.18	
250	200	56	73	17
	400	112	152	40
	600	161	375	214
	800	214	735	521
	1000	266		
Cell Resistance Correction				
Factor (ohm-cm <sup>2</sup> )		0.18	0.18	

Voltage unstable and rising

Testing for the shift reaction or direct CO oxidation revealed an increase in  $CO_2$  content from 4% at 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to 7.5% at 160 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. The CO content decreased from 9% to 1.5%. The voltage required rose from 498 mV at 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to 740 mV at 160 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. Performance was unstable above 160 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. These results are poorer than seen with the G86-14-3 anode catalyst both in terms of voltage and amount of CO converted to  $CO_2$ .

# 3.6 <u>Catalyst G86-14-6</u>

This catalyst did not show good performance in the EHS cell. Cell voltages on pure  $H_2$  and on Parsons' SRDFG are shown in Table 8. Cell voltages were generally higher in this cell than in

the cell with the G86-14-5 anode catalyst. Performance on Parsons' SRDFG was possible only at 250°C, with extremely high cell polarization.

#### TABLE 8

EHS cell voltages using the G86-14-6 anode catalyst. Uncorrected cell voltage on pure  $H_2$  and on Parsons' SRDFG at 70%  $H_2$  utilization rate.

Cell Temp., °C	Current Density mA/cm <sup>2</sup>	Cell <sup>H</sup> 2	Voltage, SRDFG	mV ∆V
230	200	61		
	400	122		
	600	178		
	800	240		
	1000	295		
Cell Resistance Correction				
Factor (ohm-cm <sup>2</sup> )		0.19		
250	200	74	98	24
	400	145	240	95
	600	225	435	210
	800	320	620	300
	1000	440	*	
Cell Resistance Correction		)		
Factor (ohm-cm <sup>2</sup> )		0.23	0.23	

\*Voltage unstable and rising

Measurement of product gas composition and testing for the shift reaction were not performed on this cell, as performance on SRDFG was extremely poor. Pre and post-test anode surface areas were 51 and 48 m<sup>2</sup>/g, respectively. All of the other heat-treated catalysts had pre-test surface areas of 60 m<sup>2</sup>/g or more. While this result indicates that poisoning of the anode surface or loss of catalyst during testing are not responsible for the poor performance, low surface area may have been a cause. The cathode surface area dropped from 121 m<sup>2</sup>/g to 30 m<sup>2</sup>/g. The reason for this large decrease in cathode surface area is unclear.

TEM analysis of the G86-14-6 catalyst, as prepared showed a particle size of 58 Å for the precious metal alloy component. As the catalyst particle size was significantly larger than the

particle size of the G86-14-3 or G86-14-5 catalysts (35-36 Å), a second preparation of the catalyst was made. The particle size, as measured by TEM, of the second preparation was 42 Å. The surface area of a pre-test anode manufactured from this second preparation as measured by hydrogen adsorption was 86 m<sup>2</sup>/g. These values are similar to the results achieved with the other heat-treated catalysts.

However, when the second preparation of G86-14-6 was formed into an electrode, extremely high voltages at low current densities were measured on two subsequent cells. Further analysis of the reasons for this poor performance was not pursued due to time limitations.

### 4.0 <u>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

### 4.1 <u>Conclusions</u>

An electrochemical hydrogen separator has been developed which can produce essentially pure hydrogen from heavily contaminated simulated reformed diesel fuel gas. The EHS device operates by oxidizing the hydrogen content of the fuel gas to hydrogen ions at a gas-diffusion anode. The hydrogen ions are electrochemically transported across an electrolyte matrix to a gas diffusion cathode where they are recombined into hydrogen gas. The product gas contains only small quantities of CO, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S which diffuse through the electrolyte matrix to the cathode. No systematic relationship between contaminant concentrations in the product gas and operating conditions or anode catalyst could be discerned.

An essential component of this device is an anode catalyst which can efficiently oxidize hydrogen in the presence of high levels of CO and  $H_2S$ . Efficient operation was highlighted by low operating voltages on  $H_2$  and on SRDFG. Low polarization, the voltage difference between operation on pure  $H_2$  and on SRDFG, typified an anode catalyst which resisted poisoning by CO and  $H_2S$ and was tolerant to dilution of the hydrogen by  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$ .

Six anode catalysts supported on Vulcan XC-72 were tested: 10% Pt, 10% Pt heat-treated at 900°C in  $H_2$ , and four proprietary formulations. The best performance was achieved with a proprietary formulation, G86-14-3. An operating voltage of 57 mV (25 mV after iR-correction) was measured at 230°C, 70% utilization, 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> during operation on SRDFG based on the output of the Ralph M. Parsons Co. reformer. This was only 14 mV above the operating voltage on pure  $H_2$ . Good performance was also achieved at current densities up to 800 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at 230°C, up to 1000 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at 250°C and up to 400 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at 210°C. The product gas contained only 0.2% CO, 0.5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 6 ppm  $H_2$ S at a current density of 600 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> and a temperature of 250°C.

The cell polarization generally increased with increasing current density and with increasing  $H_2$  gas utilization in all cells. The effect of cell temperature was less clear. During operation on pure  $H_2$  and operation on Parsons' SRDFG, the cell voltage generally increased with increasing temperature. During operation on Parsons' SRDFG, the cell voltages were generally lowest at 230°C. Slight increases in voltage were seen at 250°C and 210°C (stable operation at 210°C was possible only with the G86-14-3 catalyst).

Testing for the shift reaction or direct CO oxidation was also performed in order to determine if the high CO content of the SRDFG could be used to generate additional hydrogen through oxidation of CO to  $CO_2$  with  $H_2O$ . The EHS cell was operated on a  $CC/N_2/H_2O$  feed at 230°C and 250°C.  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  were produced in increasing amounts at current densities from 80 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to 160-200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. However, the cell voltage required also increased with current density from 500-600 mV to 650-770 mV; the exact values varied depending on the anode catalyst.

TEM analysis of as-prepared catalyst powders and pre-test and post-test electrodes revealed the effect of cell operation on catalyst particle size and loading. Significant increases in particle size were measured, likely due to agglomeration and sintering. In the case of the G86-14-3 catalyst, the average

particle size more than doubled, from 45 Å to 100 Å. However, this did not seem to seriously degrade the cell performance. Poor performance may be related to a loss of catalyst particles during testing, as was seen in post-test analysis of the G86-14-4 and G86-14-5 electrodes.

Pre-test and post-test catalyst surface area was determined by hydrogen adsorption via cyclic voltammetry. In general, the posttest surface areas decreased, relative to the pre-test surface areas. However, a large decrease in surface area could not be directly related to poor performance. The amount of testing done at 230°C and above did appear to relate to the decrease in surface extensively Cells which were not tested at high area. temperatures, e.q., nine or fewer polarization scans at 230°C and above, showed little decrease in anode surface area. The majority of the anodes showed a post-test surface area of approximately 50  $m^2/g$  after extensive testing.

In conclusion, the G83-14-3 material has been identified as the best anode catalyst for the EHS device. This catalyst shows excellent tolerance to high levels of CO and  $H_2S$  present in Parsons' SRDFG. The EHS cell was capable of producing high quality, pure H<sub>2</sub> with minimal voltage requirement. Operation at 210, 230 and 250°C was achieved at maximum current densities of 400, 800, and 1000 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively, with 230°C being identified as the optimum operating temperature. Use of the EHS device with this anode catalyst would allow pure hydrogen gas for use in the PAFC to be generated from fuel gases with increased contaminant concentrations. This would allow reduction in size and weight of the shift convertor component of the fuel processing train. The shift convertor represents approximately 7% of the total system weight of a 150 kW PAFC power plant. Complete elimination of the shift convertor is probably not feasible since a large portion of the energy value of the SRDFG is in the CO content of the gas. An increase in post-shift convertor CO content from 2% to 5%, however, would allow a reduction in the size and weight of the shift convertor by approximately 10 to 20%. Tolerance to CO levels well above 5% has been demonstrated in the EHS using the advanced anode

catalyst developed in this program. The tolerance to high levels of  $H_2S$  in the EHS cell would also reduce the gas cleanup requirements in other components of the fuel processing train. For instance, the requirements for the zinc oxide guard bed would be greatly reduced or eliminated, resulting in additional reduction of the fuel processor size and weight. These weight and volume savings would be realized at minimal loss in overall voltage output of the PAFC power generation system due to the efficiency of the EHS device.

#### 4.2 <u>Recommendations</u>

Several directions for future work are suggested by the results of this investigation. The catalyst system G86-14-3 identified in this program merits further study. Modifications of this formulation may provide comparable performance at a wider range of temperatures, i.e., above 250°C and/or below 210°C. Additions to the catalyst system may be developed which would encourage the shift reaction or CO oxidation to take place at the EHS anode, thus utilizing the available energy from the CO. This would further reduce the need for the shift convertor components of the fuel processing train. This investigation has focused on the of reformed diesel fuel gas as a hydrogen source for the use phosphoric acid fuel cell. The EHS device has shown promise for making this feasible. This approach could easily be transferred to allow the use of other hydrogen-containing fuel gases in the PAFC, such as coal gas. Coal gas can have a wide variety of compositions, but CO and H<sub>2</sub>S, two of the major contaminants, are present in amounts similar to that seen in the SRDFG used here. Lastly, this technology may be transferred to use in the PAFC anode so that the PAFC can operate directly on contaminated gases. This would likely require extensive testing and development to determine the low temperature tolerance, long-term stability and performance under pressurized operation. The motivation for this work is provided by expected reductions of size and cost in the fuel processing components of a PAFC power generation system.

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET + WALTHAM. MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 + (617) 899-7270

ومحمدته والمراجعة المحارب والمراجعة ومراجع والموجع والموجع والموجع والموجع والموجع والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والم

### REFERENCES

- Ross, Jr., P.N. "The Effect of H<sub>2</sub>S and COS in the Fuel Gas on the Performance of Ambient Pressure Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cells", Interim Report for EPRI Contract RP-1676-2 by Lawrence Berkeley Lab., LBL-18001, April, 1985.
- 2. Benjamin, T.G., Camara, E.H., and Marianowski, L.G., "Handbook of Fuel Cell Performance," Report on DOE Contract No. EC-77-C-03-1545 by Institute of Gas Technology, Report #61012, May, 1980.
- 3. O'Hara, J.B., Chow, T.K., and Roszkowski, T.R., Hydrogen Production from Liquid Hydrocarbons Demonstration Program, U.S. Army Belvoir RD&E Center Contract No. DAAK-70-85-C-0092 by The Ralph M. Parsons Co., April 1, 1986.
- 4. Sandelli, G.J. "Demonstrate Cyclic Reforming of Logistic Fuel for Fuel Cell Power Plants", Interim Report on U.S. Army Belvoir RD&E Center Contract DAAK-70-85-C-0091 by International Fuel Cells Corp., April 1, 1986.
- 5. MacDonald, D.I. and Boyack, J.R., J. Chem. Eng. Data, <u>14</u>, 380 (1969).
- 6. Jalan, V. and Poirier, J.A., "Electrochemical Hydrogen Concentrator for Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cells", Final Report on U.S. Army Belvoir RD&E Center Contract No. DAAK-70-85-C-0080 by Giner, Inc., June, 1986.

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST

Giner, Inc. 14 Spring St. Waltham, MA 02254-9147

Institute of Gas Technology Attn: Ms. J. Cronin 3424 South State Street Chicago, IL 60616

International Fuel Cells, Inc. Fuel Cell Operations (A. Meyers) PO Box 109 South Windsor, CT 06074

Jet Propulsion laboratory California Institute of Technology Attn: Dr. G. Voecks 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91109

Management & Technical Consultants, Inc. Attn: Dr. A.M. Mansour PO Box 21 Columbia, MD 21045

Commander US Army Materiel Command 5001 Eisenhower Ave. Alexandria, VA 22333

Power Information Center Franklin Research Center 20th and Race Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Ralph M. Parsons Attn: J.B. O'Hara 100 West Walnut St. Pasadena, CA 91124

Mr. D. Jewell (3 copies) Department of Energy Morgantown Energy Technology Center PO Box 880 Morgantown, MV 26505

Electric Power Research Institute Attn: E.A. Gillis (2 copies) PO Box 10412 Palo Alto, CA 94304

Dr. Paul Stonehart Stonehart Associates, Inc. 34 Five Fields Road Madison, CT 06443

Dr. R.T. Foley Chemistry Department The American University Washington, DC 20016

Membrane Technology & Research, Inc. 1030 Hamilton Ct. Att: Dr. H. Wijmans Menlo Park, CA 94025 R.H. Fry US Department of Energy Fossil Energy (FE-30, GTN) Washington, DC 20545 S.H. Langer University of Wisconsin Department of Chemical Engineering Madison, Wisconsin 53706 Walter G. Taschek (5 copies) Belvoir RD&E Center STRBE-FCP Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606 Chief Research Development & Acquisition Office Deputy Chief of Staff Department of the Army Washington, DC 20310 Marvin Warshay NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brook Park Road Cleveland, OH 44135 Science Applications International Corporation 710 Goodridge Dr. McLean, VA 22102-3799 Office of the Under Dep. Sec. of Defense (Res & Adv Tech) Attn: Asst. Dir., Electronics & Physical Sciences Washington, DC 20301 Westinghouse Electric Corp. Research & Development Center Attn: Mr. M.P. Weisel -801-3 1310 Beulah Rd. Pittsburg, PA 15235 Mr. R. Woods Gas Research Institute 10 West 35th St. Chicago, IL 60616 Dr. Paul Nelson, Director Argonne National Laboratory Bldg. 205 9700 South Cass Ave.

32

Argonne, IL 60439

GINER, INC. 14 SPRING STREET . WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254-9147 . (617) 899-7270

# DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Advanced Red Proj Agency Director, Tech Information 1400 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209

Aerospace Power Division Attn: AFWAL/PO (Mr. J.D. Reams) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, OH 45443

Commander US Army Electronics R&D command Attn: DRSEL-TL-P Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Energy Research Corporation Attn: Dr. Bernard Baker 3 Great Pasture Rd. Danbury, Ct. 06810

Engelhard Corporation Attn: Dr. A. Kaufman Specialty Chemical Division 70 Wood Avenue South, CN770 Iselin, NJ 08830

Director US Army Signals Warfare Laboratory Attn: DELSW-OS Vint Hill Farms Station Warrenton, VA 08830

Haldor Topsoe, Inc. Attn: Mr. P.E. Nicks PO Box 58767 Houston, TX 77258-8767

Science Applications International Corp. Energy Systems and Conservation Div. 10260 Campus Point Drive San Diego, CA 92121

Graham Hagey (2 copies) U.S. Department of Energy, FE-25 Washington, DC 20545

Aerospace Power Division Attn: AFWAL-POOA (J. Turner) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, OH 45443

US Army Belvoir RDE Center Technical Library (2 copies) Attn: STRBE-BT Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606

Commander Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station (12 copies) Alexandria, VA 22314 US Coast Guard (DOT) Research & Development Center Attn: Cpt. Tony J. Barrett Avery Pt. Groton, CT 06340-6096

Mr. Joseph A. Woerner David W. Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center Code 2722 Annapolis, MD 21402

Dr. Tyler X. Mahy Central Intelligence Agency C/O OTS Washington, DC 20505

GINER, INC. 14 Spring Street • Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9147 • (617) 899-7270

