



ALL ALL CARLES AND

9.,

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BURGAL - STANCARDS 1963 A

# OTIC FILE COP

AD \_\_\_\_\_

ELECTE JAN 1 9 1988

FINAL REPORT

 $\mathbf{\hat{c}}$ 

DEVELOPMENT OF A CALCIFIABLE MATRIX FOR BONE FORMATION

Dan W. Urry, Ph.D.

September 1, 1987

May 1, 1982 - February 28, 1986

Supported by

U. S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012

Contract No. DAMD17-82-C-2129

Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine Birmingham, Alabama 35294

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents

88 1 12 028

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclass if led       1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS         2a. SECURT CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY       3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited         4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)       5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)         5. MARE OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)       5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)         5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)       5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)         5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)       5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION NUMBER(S)         5. MARE OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)       7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION NUMBER(S)         5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION NUMBER(S)       5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION NUMBER(S)         6. ADDRESS (Ciry, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (Ciry, State, and ZIP Code)         8. MAME OF FUNDING/SPONDING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Medical Research & Development Command       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)       9. PROCUREMENT #STRIMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract No. DAMDI7-82-C-2129         7b. ADDRESS (Ciry, State, and ZIP Code)       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)       9. PROCUREMENT #STRIMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract No. DAMDI7-82-C-2129         7b. TITLE (molide Security Classification)       10 SOURCE OF FUNDING MUMBERS       70. COTTACT No. DAMDI7-82-C-2129         7b. ADDRESS (Ciry, State, and ZIP Code)       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING MUMBERS       70. COTTACT NO.	REPORT DOCUMENTATIO			N PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0	
UnitEdspired         2. SECURTY CASSIGNATION AUTHORITY         2. SECURTY CASSIGNATION AUTHORITY         2. DecLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY         3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT         4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)         5. MANE OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION         6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION         6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION         6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION         6. ADDRESS (Ciry, State, and ZIP Code)         1. Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics         Bitmingham, Alabama 35294         8. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING         0. ORGANIZATION U.S. ATRY MEdical         Research & Development Command         8. ADDRESS (Ciry, State, and ZIP Code)         Fort Detrick         Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012         11. THEL (Include Security Classification)         Development of a Calcifiable Matrix For Bone Formation         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         13. TYPE OF REPORT         13. TYPE OF REPORT         13. Subject TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         14. Darte of REPORT (Year, Month, Day)         15. PROCEST         161000         17. THEL (Include Security Classification)         Development of a Calcifiability represent detrify by block number)	1a. REPORT S	ECURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION		15. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWINGRADING SCHEDULE       Approved for public release; distribution unlimited         4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)       S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)         5a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION University of Alabama School of Medicine       6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)       7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION (# applicable)         5a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION University of Alabama School of Medicine       6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)       7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION (# applicable)         7b. ADDRESS(Giv, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS(Giv, State, and ZIP Code)         7b. ADDRESS(Giv, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS(Giv, State, and ZIP Code)         7b. ADDRESS(Giv, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS(Giv, State, and ZIP Code)         7b. ADDRESS(Giv, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS(Giv, State, and ZIP Code)         7b. ADDRESS(Giv, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ODRESS(Giv, State, and ZIP Code)         7b. TREE (Include Research & Development Command Fort Detrick       7b. ODRESS (Giv, State, and ZIP Code)         7b. TREE (Include Freaderick, Maryland 21701-5012       7b. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS         7b. TREE (Include Freaderick, Maryland 21701-5012       7b. DOWNG NUMBERS         7b. TREE (Include Freaderick, Maryland 21701-5012       7b. DOWNG NUMBERS         7b. TREE (Include Freaderick, Maryland 21701-5012       7b. DOWNG NUMBERS         7b. TREE (Include Freaderick, M	Uncl 2a. SECURITY	ASSIFICATION A	UTHORITY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3. DISTRIBUTION	VAVAILABILITY O	F REPORT	<u></u>
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)       distribution unlimited         4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)       5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)         54. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION University of Alabama School of Medicine       6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)       7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION University of Alabama School of Medicine         6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)         7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)         8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Atray Medical Research 6 Development command)       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)       9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract No. DAMDIT-82-C-2129         8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS       Took       ACCESS No. 311.         Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS       Took       ACCESS No. 311.         11. THLE (Include Security Classification)       10. SUPERCENT NO. 30.       30.       11.         12. PERSONAL AUTHORS(S)       11.       11. TME COVERED FIELD CROPT       11a. DATE OF REPORT (very, Month, Day)       115. PAGE COUNT 30.         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       11b. TME COVERED FIELD CROPT       11a. DATE OF REPORT (very, Month, Day)       115. PAGE COUNT 30.	26. DECLASSI	CATION / DOWNG	RADING SCHEDI	ILE	Approved	for public	release;	
*** PARONMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(5)       5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION NUMBER(5)         54. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION University of Alabama School of Medicine       6. OFFICE SYMBOL (ff applicable)       7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION University of Alabama School of Medicine         64. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)         7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)         7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)         7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)         7c. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (ff applicable)       7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)         7c. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (ff applicable)       7b. ADDRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)         7c. The Code of Funding State Code       7c. Contract No. DAMDIT-82-C-2129       7c. ADRESS (Grb, State, and ZIP Code)         7c. The Code State Code Code State Code Code State Code State Code State Code S	4.0505000444				distribut	tion unlimit	ed	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION University of Alabama School of Medicine       6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)       7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION University of Alabama School of Medicine         6c. ADDRESS (Gry, State, and ZIP Code) Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics Birmingham, Alabama ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Medical Research & Development Command Research & Development Command Prodential Contract No. DAMD17-82-C-2129       9b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)       9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract No. DAMD17-82-C-2129         8c. ADDRESS (Gry, State, and ZIP Code)       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS FROGEAM NO. 3NIL 61102A       TASK NO. 3NIL 61102A       NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 61102A       TASK NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 61102A       TASK NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 61102A       TASK NO. 3NIL 61102Ball NO. 3NIL 72 ERESONAL AUTHORISS Dan W. Urry, Ph.D. 73 ABSTRACT (Contrue on reverse if necessary and identify by Block number) 74 ABSTRACT (Contrue on reverse if necessary and identify by Block number) 75 ABSTRACT (Contrue on reverse if necessary and identify by Block number) 75 ABSTRACT (Contrue on reverse if necessary and identify by Block number) 75 ABSTRACT (Contrue on reverse if necessary and identify by Block number) 75 ABSTRACT (Contrue	4. PERFORMIN	IG OKGANIZATION	REPORT NUMB	EK(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION R	EPOKT NUM	RFK(2)
University of Alabama       (# applicable)         School of Medicine       (# applicable)         School of Medicular Biophysics       (# applicable)         Birmingham, Alabama 35294       7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZiP Code)         Ba NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING GRANIZATION U.S. Army Medical Research & Development Command       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)       9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract No. DAMD17-82-C-2129         Ba ADDRESS (City, State, and ZiP Code)       10. SOURCE OF NUMOING NUMBERS Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012       10. SOURCE OF NUMOING NUMBERS FROGRAM       PROGRAM (ACCESS)         Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012       10. SOURCE OF NUMOING NUMBERS FOR (State, and ZiP Code)       10. SOURCE OF NUMING NUMBERS FROGRAM       PROGRAM (ACCESS)         Development of a Calcifiable Matrix For Bone Formation       12. PROSNAL AUTHOR(S) Dam W. Urry, Ph.D.       14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT 30         17.       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       30         18.       SUBGROUP       Peptide Synthesis, sequential polypeptides, polymerizat methods, y-irradiation cross-linking, cross-linked poly apentapeptide, chemotaxis, wound cover	6a. NAME OF	PERFORMING ORG	ANIZATION	66. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORGA	NIZATION	
Decision of the state and 2P Code)       7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and 2P Code)         Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics       7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and 2P Code)         Ba MAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Medical Research 6 Development Command       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)       9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract No. DAMD17-82-C-2129         Be A ADDRESS (Fig. State, and 2P Code)       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING SUBJECT       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING SUBJECT         Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING SUBJECT       Task NO. SMIL- 61102A       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING SUBJECT         10. The (indude Security Classification)       Development of a Calcifiable Matrix For Bone Formation       12. PRESONAL AUTHOR(S)         Dam W. Urry, Ph.D.       13b. TIME COVERED Final       14. DATE OF REPORT (vear, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT Final         17       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       30         16       SupPLEMENTARY NOTATION       13. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       30         17       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       30         16       SupPLEMENTARY NOTATION       14. DATE OF REPORT (vear, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT         18       SUB-GROUP       Peptide Synthesis,	Universi School o	ty of Alabam f Medicine	a	(If applicable)				
Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics Birmingham, Alabama 35294         Ba. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANZATION U.S. A KIMP Medical Research & Development Command       Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)       9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract No. DAMD17-82-C-2129         Bc. ADDRESS (CIP, Size, and ZIP Code) Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM FROMEST NO. NO. 3011- 61102A       TASK NO. 3011- 61102Bs10       TASK NO. 3011- 61102Bs10       TASK NO. 3011- 61102Bs10       MORK NO. 3011- 61102Bs10         11. THILE (Include Security Classification) Development of a Calcifiable Matrix For Bone Formation       11. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 13. TYPE OF REPORT Final       11. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. FAGE COUNT Final       13. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. FAGE COUNT 13. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 15. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 16. SUB-GROUP 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. AS contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide fo in vitor calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility	6c. ADDRESS	City, State, and ZI	P Code)	_I	7b. ADDRESS (Cr	ty, State, and ZIP	Code)	
Bit mingham, Alabama 35294         Ba NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Medical Research & Development Command       Bb OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)       9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract No. DAMD17-82-C-2129         Bc ADDRESS(Cip, Sure, and ZIP Code)       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROCEAT ELEMENT NO.       NO.       MORE NO.         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)       Development of a Calcifiable Matrix For Bone Formation       12. FERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Dan W. Urry, Ph.D.       13. TYPE OF REPORT       13. TIME COVERED FROM 5/1/82 TO 2/28/86       14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT 30         17       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number Peptide Synthesis, sequential polypeptides, polymerizat methods, y-irradiation cross-linking, cross-linking or coss-linking or coss-linkis or coss-linking or coss-linking or coss-linking or co	Laborato	ry of Molecu	lar Biophy	sics	1			
Ba. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Medical Research & Development Command         Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)         9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract No. DAMD17-82-C-2129           Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code)         10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012         10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. DAMD17-82-C-2129           10. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         Development of a Calcifiable Matrix For Bone Formation         10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 10. TYPE OF REPORT         TASK NO. 61102A         MORK 61102BS10         ACCESS 0A           12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Dam W. Urry, Ph.D.         13. TIME COVERED Final         14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)         15. PAGE COUNT 30           15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number 9. ABSTRACT (CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number 30           17         COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 30           18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         30           19. ABSTRACT (CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)           19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         30           19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	Birmingh	am, Alabama	35294					
Uncassified to be velopment Command       (# applicable)       Contract No. DAMD17-82-C-2129         Be cadDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code)       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS       PROCRAM       PROJECT       NO. 3M1_       NO.         Fort Detrick       Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS       MORK       ACCESS         11. TITLE (Indude Security Classification)       Development of a Calcifiable Matrix For Bone Formation       0. 3M1_       NO.       MCCESS       37         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)       Dam W. Urry, Ph.D.       13. TWE OF REPORT       13. TIME COVERED       14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT         Final       FROM 5/1/82_ TO 2/28/86       14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT         71       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number         71       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         71       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         71       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         72       AS a contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide to in vivo subic synthex	8a. NAME OF	FUNDING / SPONSO	DRING	86. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT ID	ENTIFICATIO	N NUMBER
BC ADDRESS (Gry, State, and ZIP Code)       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS         Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012       TASK, NO. 3M1- 61102A       TASK, WORK BLEMENT NO. 3M1- 61102A       TASK, WORK BLEMENT NO. 3M1- 61102A       TASK, WORK BLEMENT NO. 3M1- 61102A         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)       DA       37         Development of a Calcifiable Matrix For Bone Formation       12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)       DA       37         Dan W. Urry, Ph.D.       13b. TIME COVERED       14. DATE OF REPORT (rear, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT 1987 September 1       30         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       IB. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       30         14. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       30       30         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       IB. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       30         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       IB. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       30         16. ASTRACT (Contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grans of synthetic peptide fo in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and wound repair issue         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified/UNLINKTED         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF	Research	& Developme	y medica⊥ nt Command	(IT applicable)	Contract	No. DAMD17	-82-C-21	29
Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012       PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.       PROJECT NO.       TASK NO.       WORK ACCESS         11. TITLE (include Security Classification)       Development of a Calcifiable Matrix For Bone Formation       61102A       61102Bs10       DA       37         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)       Dan       Use of REPORT       Fish       Fish <td>8c. ADDRESS (</td> <td>City, State, and ZIP</td> <td>Code)</td> <td>_l</td> <td>10. SOURCE OF</td> <td>FUNDING NUMBER</td> <td>15</td> <td></td>	8c. ADDRESS (	City, State, and ZIP	Code)	_l	10. SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMBER	15	
Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012       Itenation Notion Notion Motion	Fort Det	rick			PROGRAM	PROJECT		WORK UN
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         Development of a Calcifiable Matrix For Bone Formation         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         Dan W. Urry, Ph.D.         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         Final         FROM 5/1/82         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17. COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number         17. COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number         17. COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number         17. COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         As a contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide for in vivo study of calcifiability, ossification, biocompatibility and wound repair issue The second goal was the characterization of the peptide in matrix form with respect to in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote         20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         Unclassified       Same As RPT       DIC USERS         21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION       Unclassified     <	Frederic	k, Maryland	21701-5012		611024	61102Be10	ПА.	277
Development of a Calcifiable Matrix For Bone Formation         12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         Dan W. Urry, Ph.D.         13a TYPE OF REPORT         13b TIME COVERED         14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)         15 PAGE COUNT         16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17 COSATI CODES         18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number         17 COSATI CODES         18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number         17 COSATI CODES         18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         As a contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide fo in vivo study of calcifiability, ossification, biocompatibility and wound repair issue The second goal was the characterization of the peptide in matrix form with respect to in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote         20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABULTY OF ABSTRACT       [21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         21 ADSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION       Unclassified         22 NAMM	11. TITLE (Incl	ude Security Classi	fication)			1011020810		
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         Dan W. Urry, Ph.D.         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         Final         13b. TIME COVERED         Final         13b. TIME COVERED         Final         13b. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         13b. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         As a contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide for in vitro study of calcifiability, ossification, biocompatibility and wound repair issue the second goal was the characterization of the peptide in matrix form with respect to in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis p	Developm	ent of a Cal	cifiable M	atrix For Bone H	Formation			
Dan W. Urry, Ph.D.         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         Final         Final         13b. TIME COVERED         14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         16. GROUP         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         As a contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide for study of calcifiability, ossification, biocompatibility and wound repair issue The second goal was the characterization of the peptide in matrix form with respect to in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote         20 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT         20 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT         21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         Unclassified         21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         Unclassified         22 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	12 PERSONAL							
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED       14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT         Final       13b. TIME COVERED       14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT         17.       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block numbe)         16. OI       FIELO       GROUP       SUB-GROUP         06       01       methods, y-irradiation cross-linking, cross-linked poly apentapeptide, chemotaxis, wound cover	Dan W. U	rrv, Ph.D.						
FINAL       FROM SLI/82 10 2/2010 1987 September 1       30         16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         17       COSATI CODES       18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         16       01       methods, y-irradiation cross-linking, cross-linked poly apentapeptide, chemotaxis, wound cover         19       ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         As a contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide for in vivo study of calcifiability, ossification, biocompatibility and wound repair issue The second goal was the characterization of the peptide in matrix form with respect to in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote         20       DISTRIBUTION/AAVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         20       DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         20       DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         21       DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22       DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22       DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFIC	13a. TYPE OF	REPORT	13b. TIME C	OVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Month,	Day) 15. P	AGE COUNT
17       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block numbe Peptide Synthesis, sequential polypeptides, polymerizat methods, y-irradiation cross-linking, cross-linked poly 06         06       01       methods, y-irradiation cross-linking, cross-linked poly methods, y-irradiation cross-linking, cross-linked poly 06         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       As a contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide fo in vivo study of calcifiability, ossification, biocompatibility and wound repair issue The second goal was the characterization of the peptide in matrix form with respect to in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	16. SUPPLEME	NTARY NOTATION	FROM S/	1/82 10 2/28/86	Septe	ember 1		30
17       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number         06       01       Peptide Synthesis, sequential polypeptides, polymerizat methods, y-irradiation cross-linking, cross-linked poly apentapeptide, chemotaxis, wound cover         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       As a contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide for in vivo study of calcifiability, ossification, biocompatibility and wound repair issue The second goal was the characterization of the peptide in matrix form with respect to in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         22. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			,	- <b>-</b> -				
FIELD       GROUP       SUB-GROUP       Peptide Synthesis, sequential polypeptides, polymerizat methods, y-irradiation cross-linking, cross-linked polypetides         06       01       methods, y-irradiation cross-linking, cross-linked polypetide         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	17	COSATI COD	<u> ン ^</u>	18 SUBJECT TEDRAC /	Continue on rouse	a if parateset and	idontifi b.	block number
06       01       methods, y-irradiation cross-linking, cross-linked poly apentapeptide, chemotaxis, wound cover         06       15       apentapeptide, chemotaxis, wound cover         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       As a contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide for in vivo study of calcifiability, ossification, biocompatibility and wound repair issue The second goal was the characterization of the peptide in matrix form with respect to in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Peptide Synthes	sis. sequenti	al polypept	ides. no	lvmerizati
05       15       apentapeptide, chemotaxis, wound cover a constant of the second point of the cover and identify by block number)         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       As a contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide for in vivo study of calcifiability, ossification, biocompatibility and wound repair issue. The second goal was the characterization of the peptide in matrix form with respect to in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         23. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       22b TELEPHONE (include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL	06	01		methods, y-irra	diation cros	ss-linking,	cross-li	nked polyn
As a contractual, development-synthetic effort, this project had two goals. The first was the delivery to the USAIDR of approximately 13 grams of synthetic peptide for in vivo study of calcifiability, ossification, biocompatibility and wound repair issue The second goal was the characterization of the peptide in matrix form with respect to in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote in vitro calcification of the peptide in matrix form with respect to in vitro calcification, stress-strain properties, biocompatibility and chemotaxis pote in vitro calcification stress-strain properties, biocompatibility classification unclassified 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		15		apentapeptide,	chemotaxis,	wound cover	<u>,</u>	
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. DTIC USERS 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL	As a first was in vivo s The secon in vitro	a contractua 5 the delive 5tudy of cal 1d goal was calcificati	l, develop ry to the cifiabilit the charac on, stress	ment-synthetic e USAIDR of approx y, ossification, terization of th -strain properti	effort, this kimately 13 g biocompatib ne peptide in les, biocompa	project had grams of syn bility and w a matrix for atibility an	two goa thetic p ound rep m with r d chemot	ls. The eptide for air issues espect to : axis potent
	20. DISTRIBUTI UNCLASS 22a. NAME OF	ON / AVAILABILITY IFIED/UNLIMITED RESPONSIBLE IND	OF ABSTRACT	IPT DTIC USERS	21 ABSTRACT SE Unclassi 22b TELEPHONE (	CURITY CLASSIFIC Ified Include Area Code	ATION	CE SYMBOL
Mrs. Virginia Miller 301/663-7325 SGRD-RMI-S	Mrs. V	/irginia Mil	ler		301/663-73	325	SGRD	-RMI-S

#### FOREWORD

Citations of commercial oganizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

In conducting research using animals, the inverstigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

The second states and the second second states and second s

Materials Sent to the USAIDR	3 - 4
Synthesis and Cross-Linking	4
Matrix Characterization	5 - 10
Introduction	10 - 11
Materials and Methods	11 - 12
Results	13 - 14
Discussion	14 - 17
Matrix Characterization: Chemotaxis	17
Redirection: Biomaterials Development for Wound Repair	17 - 18
Table	19
Chart	20
Legends for Figures	21
Figures	22 - 28
References	29 - 31
Distribution List	32

This project was developmental in nature. Its objective was the elaboration of an "off the shelf" matrix for bone formation with the approach being based on the polypentapeptide,  $HCO-(Val_1-Pro_2-Gly_3-Val_4-Gly_5)_n$ -OME (PPP). This polymer, when cross-linked into an insoluble matrix, calcified massively on exposure to normal human serum dialyzates. The goal of the Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics was twofold: one, the synthesis of such a material in quantity and its subsequent forwarding to the USAIDR for <u>in vivo</u> testing for calcification and ossification and two, the characterization of the material before and after <u>in vitro</u> calcification prior to the <u>in vivo</u> assays.

#### Materials Sent to the USAIDR

Approximately 13 grams of polypeptide were synthesized and forwarded to the USAIDR. In the first phase of the synthetic program, 0.8 grams of the polypentapeptide was sent in September, 1983. This included 400 mg of PPP cross-linked with 10 MRAD and 400 mg of PPP cross-linked with 20 MRAD. The remaining irradiation cross-linked material consisted of two dry strips - a lengthwise cut (10 MRAD) and a crosswise cut (15 MRAD). Delivered in September and December, 1984 was the second phase material. This consisted of 5 grams of the PPP in dry form, 2 grams of PPP in its coacervated form, 1.0 gram of the PPP in matrix form prepared with y-irradiation, 0.9 gram of the PPP in a calcified irradiated cross-linked matrix form and 1 gram of (Val-Gly-Pro- $Gly-Val-Gly)_n$  in dry form. In addition, 5 milligrams of the osteocalcin pentapeptide sequence, Phe-Tyr-Gly-Pro-Val, were sent. The third phase materials were sent in April, 1986 and their total weight was 2.16 grams. This consisted of 712 mg of  $\gamma$ -irradiation cross-linked (20 MRAD) PPP, 644 mg of  $\gamma$ irradiation cross-linked (20 MRAD) PPP covalently coupled with polyhexapeptide

-3-

in a 9 to 1 ratio, and doped with  $10^{-8}$  M hexapeptide and 737 mg of  $\gamma$ -irradiation cross-linked (20 MRAD) PPP covalently coupled with polynonapeptide (PNP) in a 9 to 1 ratio and doped with  $10^{-8}$  M nonapeptide. In summary:

lst Phase Material	PPP 10 MRAD	400 mg	
(1983)	PPP 15 MRAD	<u>400</u> mg	
		800 mg	0.8 gm
2nd Phase Material	PPP dry	5,000 mg	
(1984)	PPP coac.	2,000 mg	
	PPP 20 MRAD	1,000 mg	
	PPP 20 MRAD + Ca	900 mg	
	(VGPGVG) <sub>n</sub>	1,000 mg	
	Phe-Tyr-Gly-Pro-Val	5 mg	
		9,905 mg	9.9 gm
3rd Phase Material	PPP 20 MRAD	712 mg	
(1986)	PHP-PPP 20 MRAD	712 mg	
	PNP-PPP 20 MRAD	736_mg	
		2,160 mg	2.16 gm
Total Amount of Mater	ial		12.86 am

#### Synthesis and Cross-linking

Important to the development of an irradiation cross-linked readily calcifiable matrix was the synthesis of very high molecular weight polypentapeptide. The synthetic pathway was optimized with respect to yield and molecular weight which allowed the subsequent determination of optimal crosslinking conditions. This also facilitated the preparation of 0.8 grams of material in phase one and ~10 grams of material in phase two.

-4-

Matrix Characterization: Calcification, Young's Modulus, Biocompatibility

 $\gamma$ -irradiated high molecular weight PPP calcified <u>in vitro</u> when exposed to a serum diffusate plus/minus exogenous calcium and phosphate. The mineralization was positively correlated with increasing molecular weight, a property which could be due to better matrix filament orientation and minimal matrix perturbations at higher molecular weight. The cross-linked PPP also calcified <u>in vivo</u> ("A Synthetic Polypentapeptide of Elastin for Initiating Calcification," Hollinger, Schmitz, Yaskovich, Long, Prasad and Urry, in press, Calcified Tissue International). The following describes the Laboratory's efforts to optimize the PPP synthetic scheme and its calcification after matrix formation by  $\gamma$ -irradiation cross-linking.

D. W. Urry, S. A. Wood, R. D. Harris and K. U. Prasad, "Polypentapeptide of Elastin as an Elastomeric Biomaterial," In <u>Polymers as Biomaterials</u> (S. W. Shalaby, T. Horbett, A. S. Hoffman and B. Ratner, eds.) Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York, New York, 17-32, 1985.

In Summary: The polypentapeptide of elastin,  $(L \cdot -Val^{1} - L \cdot Pro^{2} - Gly^{3} - L \cdot Val^{4} - Gly^{5})_{n}$ , is synthesized with  $\overline{n} > 200$ . The purity of the synthesis is verified by carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance spectra. Coacervate concentrations are  $\gamma$ -irradiation cross-linked at 2,6,10,14,18,26 and 34 MRADs to produce elastomeric bands. The dependence of the elastic modulus on cross-linking dose is demonstrated and thermoelasticity studies indicate a dominantly entropic elastomeric force. These results are discussed in connection with the property of the polypentapeptide to self-align into fibers, in connection with a proposed entropy source for the elasticity utilizing a class of  $\beta$ -spiral conformations and in terms of specific analogs which had been synthesized to test concepts of conformation and entropy source. Finally, the biocompatibility of the polypentapeptide is considered.

-5-

D. W. Urry and K. U. Prasad, "Syntheses, Characterizations and Medical Uses of the Polypentapeptide of Elastin and Its Analogs," In <u>Biocompa-</u> <u>tibility of Tissue Analogues</u> (D. F. Williams, ed.) CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, 89-116, 1985.

In Summary: It has been found by Sandberg and co-workers that the precursor protein of fibrous elastin contains repeating peptide sequences. The dominant repeat sequences are a polypentapeptide (PPP)  $(L \cdot Val^{1} - L \cdot Pro^{2} - Gly^{3} - Cly^{3})$ polyhexapeptide (PHP)  $(L-Ala^1-L-Pro^2-Gly^3-L-Val^4-Gly^5 L \cdot Val^4 - Gly^5)_n$ a  $L \cdot Val^6$ )<sub>n</sub>, and a polytetrapeptide (PTP) ( $L \cdot Val^1 - L \cdot Pro^2 - Gly^3 - Gly^4$ )<sub>n</sub>. The value of n for the PPP is 11 in pig and 13 in chick (the latter being without a single variation); the value of n for the PHP is greater than 5 for pig; the value for n for the PTP is 4. The monomers, oligomers and high polymers of these repeats have been synthesized and conformationally characterized. The high polymers have been cross-linked and the cross-linked PPP and the crosslinked PTP have been found to be elastomeric and capable of an elastic modulus similar to that of the natural elastic fiber. The PHP forms cellophane-like sheets and is proposed to assume a precross-linked aligning and interlocking role between protein chains in the fiber. Conformational studies using, in addition, cyclic analogs confirmed in the PPP the presence of a recurring secondary structural feature called a "B-turn" and have led to a new concept of elasticity referred to as a "librational entropy mechanism" of elasticity. PPP analogs in which the Gly residues have been replaced by L-Ala and D-Ala residues substantiate the new concept of elasticity and result in additional new biomaterials.

Accordingly, the PPP, the most striking primary structural feature of the precursor protein of the elastic fiber, represents a new type of elastomeric biomaterial which is of direct interest and which can be modified in

-6-

interesting ways. Two intriguing new perspectives have recently become evident. One is that the synthetic elastomeric polypeptide biomaterial can be so constructed as to become covalently cross-linked by tissue enzymes to newly synthesized connective-tissue protein. This is because lysyl oxidase, the natural extracellular cross-linking enzyme, will cross-link PPP chains in which occasional lysine residues have been introduced in position 4. The second new perspective is that the synthetic polypeptide biomaterial can directly be a source of chemotactic peptide for inducing cellular migration into the biomaterial. This derives from the demonstration that the permutation of the hexamer,  $L \cdot Val-Gly-L \cdot Val-L \cdot Ala-L \cdot Pro-Gly$ , is a potent chemotactic peptide for elastin-synthesizing fibroblasts. Thus, a synthetic polymer containing the PHP and the PPP in series in a single chain, once cross-linked, and containing occasional lysine residues, would have interesting structural and cellular effector properties for a biomaterial. The biomaterial could induce migration to its matrix of elastin-synthesizing fibroblasts, and it could become chemically cross-linked to the tropoelastin elaborated from the fibroblasts so induced into the material.

Before such perspectives can be realized, however, there are a number of technical problems that require solving: there is the formation of the crosslinked matrix; there are the required characterizations along the way; there are questions of biocompatibility to be addressed; there are perspectives of varied and directed uses of the biomaterial that can be considered. In the present review, the primary problem of synthesizing large quantities of high-molecular-weight PPP at reasonable cost is discussed in terms of a synopsis of the approaches that have been tried, and the present best approach is given. Both chemical and  $\gamma$ -irradiation cross-linking approaches for matrix preparation are presented. Several useful means of verifying the product and characterizing both the high polymer and the cross-linked matrixes are included.

-7-

ويتعاد ومسترو ويرو

Finally, there is reference to tests for biocompatibility and to means of adapting the basic PPP matrix to selected uses.

K. U. Prasad, M. A. Iqbal and D. W. Urry, "Utilization of 1-Hydroxybenzotriazole in Mixed Anhydride Coupling Reactions," Int. J. Pept. and Protein Res., <u>25</u>, 408-413, 1985.

<u>In summary</u>: The coupling of Boc-Val-OH to either H-Pro-OBzl or H-Pro-Gly-Val-Gly-OBzl by the mixed anhydride method leads to the formation of a urethane by-product in yields of 40 - 60%. This side reaction can be suppressed by the addition of HOBt to the reaction mixture before the amino component is added. This results in a substantially increased yield of the desired peptide.

S. A. Wood, K. U. Prasad and D. W. Urry, "Cross-Linked Polypentapeptide of Elastin as a Calcifiable Matrix: Molecular Weight Dependence," Calcif. Tissue Int., 37, 565-571, 1985.

<u>In Summary</u>: The polypentapeptide,  $(L \cdot Val^{1}-L \cdot Pro^{2}-Gly^{3}-L \cdot Val^{4}-Gly^{5})_{n}$ , when crosslinked by  $\gamma$ -irradiation was shown to calcify when exposed to dialyzates of calcium and phosphate augmented fetal bovine sera and the molecular weight dependence of this calcification is investigated. Five molecular weight fractions, labeled I to V in order of increasing polymer size from under 12,000 dalton (I), that is,  $\overline{n} < 30$ , to over 100,000 daltons (V), that is,  $\overline{n} > 240$ , were  $\gamma$ -irradiation cross-linked at 10 - 12 MRAD to form matrices I - V. Calcium-45 was used to follow the time course and relative amount of calcium uptake from the sera. Scanning electron microscopy and electron probe microanalysis were used to characterize the extent of matrix calcification. All matrices took up calcium-45 from the sera; however, only matrices formed from polypentapeptide with  $\overline{n} > 100$  calcified, that is, matrices III, IV and V. Matrix V with  $\overline{n} > 240$  calcified massively and in a manner comparable to chemically cross-linked polypentapeptide with  $\overline{n} - 40$  using nonaugmented sera.

-8-

Presumably,  $\gamma$ -irradiation results in chain breakage. The  $\gamma$ -irradiation crosslinked matrices with values of  $\overline{n}$  ranging from under 30 to greater than 240 establish the molecular weight dependence of matrix calcification.

S. A. Wood, J. E. Lemons, K. U. Prasad and D. W. Urry, "<u>In Vitro</u> Calcification and <u>In Vivo</u> Biocompatibility of the Cross-Linked Polypentapeptide of Elastin," J. Biomed. Mater. Res., 20, 315-335, 1986.

In summary: The in vitro calcifiability and molecular weight dependence of calcification of the polypentapeptide,  $(L \cdot Val^1 - L \cdot Pro^2 - Gly^3 - L \cdot Val^4 - Gly^5)_n$ , which had been  $\gamma$ -irradiation cross-linked have been determined when exposed to dialyzates of normal, nonaugmented fetal bovine serum. The material was found to calcify: calcifiability was found to be highly molecular weight dependent and to be most favored when the highest molecular weight polymers ( $n \approx 240$ ) had been used for cross-linking. The <u>in vivo</u> biocompatibility, biodegradability and calcifiability of the  $\gamma$ -irradiation cross-linked polypentapeptide were examined in rabbits in both soft and hard tissue sites. The material was found to be biocompatible irrespective of its physical form and to be biodegradable but with n of 200 or less it was not shown to calcify or ossify in the rabbit tibial nonunion model.

J. O. Hollinger, J. P. Schmitz, R. Yaskovich, M. M. Long, K. U. Prasad and D. W. Urry, "Synthetic Polypeptide of Elastin for Initiating Calcification," (in press) Calcif. Tissue Int.

<u>In summary</u>: A polypentapeptide (PPP) of tropoelastin having a repeating amino acid sequence of  $(Val-Pro-Gly-Val-Gly)_n$  was evaluated for its potential to initiate <u>in vivo</u> calcification and to enhance bone formation in non-healing calvarial wounds (8.0 mm) in 396 adult Walter Reed rats. There were four configurations of the PPP (molecular weight range of 50K-100K dalton) consisting of 1-dry PPP, 2-coacervate PPP, 3-gamma irradiated, cross-linked PPP, 4calcified, gamma irradiated, cross-linked PPP. These four iterations plus a

-9-

control group of animals constituted the five treatment classes that were evaluated at days 1, 3, 7, 21, 42, and 147. There were 72 rats used for each treatment and 36 rats for the control. Following euthanatization, specimens were placed into 70% ethanol, embedded in polymethyl methacrylate, sectioned at 3.5 micrometers, and alternating sections were stained with Masson-Goldner trichrome and von Kossa stains.

Histomorphometric analysis was accomplished using a Zeiss Universal microscope (250X) and Videoplan Image Analysis System to evaluate five random histologic fields from margin to margin of the craniotomy. Trabecular bony volume and area of calcification islands were quantitated. A Student's t-test for unpaired data to determine between treatment differences (within the same temporal groups) revealed that there was no significant difference between treatments and control for trabecular bony volume; however, there was a significant difference between experimentals and control for calcification islands (p < 0.05) such that calcification islands for the experimentals were greater than the control. There was not a significant difference between experimental treatments. These data provide the first <u>in vivo</u> demonstration of the validity of the neutral site binding/charge neutralization mechanism of calcification. Although the synthetic peptide did support calcification, in no group was new bone formed in the craniotomies.

KEY WORDS: Polypentapeptide--Calcification--Histomorphometry--Bone Repair.

#### INTRODUCTION

Numerous investigations have been conducted using a variety of implant materials for osseous wound repair. Traditionally used agents such as autogenous and allogeneic banked bone have been reported to have a failure rate that

-10-

ranges from 13-30% (1). Alternatives, therefore, have been sought. Alloplastic agents such as the ceramics and biodegradable polymers, along with bone derivatives and bone matrix proteins have been evaluated for application in osseous A calcification initiator, diphosphoinositide-lysozyme, was repair (2-7). tested for a possible role in bone healing (5). In addition, a combination of the same initiator plus a biodegradable, biopolymer matrix of 50:50 polylactidecoglycolide was investigated for osseous regeneration (6). Histomorphometric evidence indicated a possible enhancement to the bone wound repair process. Other calcification initiators may find utility for regeneration of hard tissue. A polypentapeptide,  $(Val-Pro-Gly-Val-Gly)_n$ , of tropoelastin (8,9,10) has been shown to calcify on incubation in dialyzates of normal fetal bovine serum after being flow oriented and weakly chemically cross-linked (11). This calcification is a surface and bulk property (11) as it is for its parent compound, elastin The end product of this mineralization process is hydroxyapatite (11-14). (15). It was the purpose of our study to determine if three different doses of four variations of the polypentapeptide could effect in vivo calcification of the synthetic polypentapeptide and could produce osseous healing in critical size craniotomies in rats.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

After achieving suitable anesthesia using sodium pentobarbital (3-5 mg/100 mg. of body weight, ip), craniotomy defects of 8 mm in diameter were prepared in the parietal bones of 324 adult Water Reed mixed sex rats using trephines in a dental rotary hand piece and copious saline irrigation. There were 4 treatment groups of 72 rats each. Twenty-four animals in Group 1 received either 3, 8, or 15 mgs of dry PPP. Group 2 similarly was treated with 3, 8, or 15 mgs of the PPP in coacervate form. Groups 3 and 4 were organized exactly the same as the

-11-

first two groups and treatments for 3 and 4 were irradiated, cross-linked PPP and irradiated, calcified PPP, respectively. The latter cross-linked matrix was precalcified in a serum based calcifying medium (12) for 40 days. Experimental material was placed on dura and within the skull defect margins (Figure 1a). Soft tissue was closed with surgical staples. The control group, consisting of 36 rats, received no implants in the craniotomies. Twelve rats (4 from each experimental dosage group) and six control animals, were sacrificed on days 1, 3, 7, 21, 42 and 147. At necropsy, the calvaria were exposed and each implant and control site was removed with 2-3 mm of surrounding host bone. Specimens were immediately placed into 70% ethanol, followed by ascending alcohols and then into polymethyl methacrylate for undecalcified sectioning to 3.5-4.0 micrometers. Consecutive serial sections were stained using a modified Masson-Goldner trichrome and von Kossa stains. Histological specimens were assessed for local host responses. Inflammation and cellular infiltrate associated with the immune system were noted by microscopic examination. Histomorphometric evaluation of new bone formation and calcification in the wound beds was accomplished using a Zeiss Universal microscope (250X) and a Zeiss Videoplan Image Analysis System. Trabecular bony volume (TBV) and calcification islands (CI) were quantitated by making five random tracing measurements across the largest diameter of the craniotomy using a digitizing board and light cursor. Data analyses for between group differences and differences over time were performed using two-way analysis of variance and a Student's t-test was used to determine between treatment

The polypentapeptide was prepared with classical solution peptide syntheses methods as previously reported (16,17) and then a fraction was covalently cross-linked with gamma irradiation (16).

differences (within the same temporal group).

-12-

RESULTS

DAYS 1, 3, and 7.

Polymorphonuclear leukocytes were identifiable at day 1, diminishing in numbers from days 3 to 7. Some small round cells were apparent at days 3 to 7; however, there was no indication of an unusual or adverse tissue reaction to any iteration (at any dose) of the PPP. Infrequent plasma cells were identifiable at 7 days. Also at 7 days there was a flimsy connective tissue capsule of immature, loosely arranged connective tissue investing and isolating islands of PPP. Quantitation of calcification islands (CI) and trabecular bony volume (TBV) revealed no statistical difference in treatments versus control at 1 and 3 days; however, at day 7 there was a statistical difference between CI-control vs CIof all PPP iterations. There was neither a difference between any of the PPP treatments nor was there a difference between TBV-control and TBV-PPP's (Figures 2 and 3). CI's were most abundant along the periphery of connective tissue enclosed islands of PPP. When data in Figure 2 are plotted, CI vs. time, (Figure 5) it is noted that calcification increases between days one and seven and then plateaus out. This rate of calcification compares favorably with previously published in vitro data for  $\alpha$ -elastin, tropoelastin and the polypentapeptide (12, 28).

DAYS 21, 42, and 147

Neutrophylic leukocytes were few and small round cells, plasma cells and macrophages were rare. Multinuclear giant cells occasionally were seen located contiguous to the connective tissue capsule investing the PPP. Giant cells were observed to be both external to and within the capsule. There was no evidence at any time period of an adverse tissue reaction to any iteration or dose of the PPP. At no time period was there a significant difference in the amount of TBV that developed at the control and experiments sites and there was no indication

-13-

of an osseous heal across the 8.00 mm craniotomy wounds. There was a statistically significant difference in the CI-control versus all PPP interations and doses at 7, 21, 42, and 147 days. There was no difference in CI between any of the PPP treatments. The extent of calcification was greatest at the periphery of the connective tissue encapsulated islands of PPP and diminishing centripetally. There was no evidence of ossification within the PPP (Figs. 4a, b, and c). Minimal new trabecular or woven bone was apparent at the craniotomy margins.

#### DISCUSSION

In a prevous paper it was reported that a calcification initiator, diphosphoinositide-lysozyme, hastened bony repair in endochondral wound sites in rats (5). It was hypothesized that the diphosphoinositide-lysozyme possibly could function as a pseudo-matrix vesicle due to its component of phosphatidyl Wuthier maintains that calcification depends upon matrix vesicles inositol. that contain the acidic phospholipids phosphatidyl inositol and phosphatidyl serine (19). The PPP of elastin also initiates calcification in vitro, albeit via a mechanism distinctly different from that of matrix vesicles. It calcifies via the neutral site/charge neutralization mechanism (20). This was formulated in 1971 based on two observations: one - the elastic fiber in the arterial wall is the initial site for in vivo ectopic calcification (21) and two, elastin, the single protein of the elastic fiber, contains a high percentage of glycine (33%) and a higher still percentage of amino acid residues with hydrophobic groups (65%) (22). With few amino acids with functional side chains (23), it is not a likely candidate for calcium binding to charged sites. The neutral site binding/charge neutralization theory proposed that calcium ions bound first to formally neutral yet polarizable oxygens of the peptide bond carbonyls, this

-14-

would make the neutral elastin matrix positive which in turn would cause it to sequester polyvalent anions such as phosphate. Phosphate would neutralize the charge-charge repulsion between calcium ions so more calcium would bind, then more phosphate would bind until a cascading series of events led to calcium phosphate deposition and its conversion to hydroxyapatite. The validity of this mechanism has been established <u>in vitro</u> for elastin and the neutral polypentapeptide of elastin (11-15). With this background understanding, our rationale for using PPP at orthotopic bone wounds was based upon the polypentapeptide's ability to attract to the healing environment the necessary cations and anions associated with the ossification process. We anticipated that the accumulation of these requisite ions in the extracellular healing milieu might encourage bone repair in an otherwise non-healing, critical size defect (24).

The earliest efforts to make calcifiable matrices using the PPP of elastin utilized chemical cross-linking in which two different polymer syntheses were carried out. In one polymer synthesis, an occasional Glu residue was introduced in position four and in the second polymer synthesis, an occasional Lys residue was also included in place of the Val in position four. The two polymers were mixed and a water soluble carbodiimide was used to bring about cross-linking by amide formation utilizing the Glu and Lys side chains. The resulting matrix calcified more readily than the  $\gamma$ -irradiation cross linked matrices. While with this chemical cross-linking the yield of matrix was low, the result raises the possibility, for example, that an occasional Glu in position four might enhance the potential for the matrix to initiate calcification.

All variations and all three doses of the PPP were tissue tolerant. This tolerance is consistent with previous results demonstrating biocompatibility with human gingival fibroblasts, human skin fibroblasts, rabbit muscle and bone (25-28). The encapsulation of the PPP by connective tissue is similar to the

fibrous tissue capsule seen in soft tissue implants with rabbits (28). Also, all variations supported calcification at a significantly higher level than the control by the seventh day. These are the first data to establish the validity of the neutral site binding/charge neutral mechanism <u>in vivo</u>. However, osseous repair of the craniotomy sites was never fulfilled. By using histomorphometry we were able to quantitate the amount of CI and TBV. The graphs (Figures 2 and 3) were based upon an averaging of three different doses of the same iteration of PPP at the same time period. We did this because it was apparent that no significant difference existed between doses. Therefore, each value on our bar graph (plus standard deviation) represents an averaging of 60 fields: 5 random fields per rat, 4 rats per dose.

Although bone formation was not observed in these experiments, the concept of using a synthetically produced repeating elastin pentapeptide to elicit an osseous response could still be a viable approach if the appropriate state of the matrix were used. It has been shown that the extent of calcification of this matrix is dependent on the molecular weight of the polymer (29) and the irradiation doses used for cross-linking (30). The method of cross-linking may be important. Chemically cross-linked PPP matrices readily calcify massively and throughout their bulk (11) while gamma irradiation cross-linked material initially calcifies more slowly with concentration in discrete areas. Significant too, maybe the molecular orientation of the PPP. Rather than a crosslinked sample that is pulverized and dispersed, a carefully flow oriented matrix might be used. Also, bone formation may require a calcifiable matrix that is not as compacted or dense as was the material tested. The matrix could be made porous to facilitate cell entry.

It is possible, that a combination of PPP and osteoblast recruiting and/or differentiating factors may prove to be efficacious (16). For this purpose the

-16-

PPP could be combined with the pentapeptide Phe-Tyr-Gly-Pro-Val which is the carboxyl sequence of osteocalcin (18) and which was originally thought to be chemotactic for osteogenic cells (31). However, care must be exercised since experiments in our Laboratories have shown that osteosarcoma cells (32,33) are not chemotaxtic towards this synthetic peptide. Another peptide of note to test in conjunction with PPP would be the bone morphogenetic protein of Urist (7). Perhaps the activity of an effective osteoblast population will obviate calcification islands and rather, will be capable of packaging rapidly accumulating calcium and phosphate for use in the ossification process.

#### Matrix Characterization: Chemotaxis

Further matrix characterization evaluated the chemotactic potential of the C-terminal pentapeptide fragment of osteocalcin (Phe-Tyr-Gly-Pro-Val) towards osteoblast-like rat osteosarcoma cells and the chemotactic potential of the polyhexapentapeptide and polynonapentapeptide doped with the repeat nonapeptide of elastin respectively towards hexapeptide and repeat fibroblasts. In corroboration of results obtained by M. Somerman, but in contrast to results collected by G. R. Mundy, this Laboratory demonstrated that the pentapeptide, Phe-Tyr-Gly-Pro-Val, was not chemotactic towards osteosarcoma cells. These data showed that it was unwise to pursue the idea that this particular peptide could be added to the PPP to enhance invasion of bone forming cells via in vivo chemotaxis. The Laboratory did demonstrate that the polyhexapentapeptide and polynonapentapeptide were chemotactic for fibroblasts capable of producing elastin.

#### Redirection: Biomaterials Development for Wound Repair

Based on the results described above, it was decided during the September, 1985, USAIDR site visit to change the direction of the research effort

-17-

toward wound repair. The rationale for this new area of endeavor was based in the observation that normal scar tissue is rigid from over production and incorporation of collagen into the wound with a concomitant minimal contribution from elastin. Since the hexapeptide and nonapeptides of elastin are chemotactic for elastin synthesizing fibroblasts, their ability to induce elaboration of elastic fiber during repair would be reasonable to monitor. For this purpose, the Laboratory delivered to the USAIDR the third phase materials (2.16 grams of polypeptides, cf. page 1). Their <u>in vivo</u> properties are currently being tested by the USAIDR.

#### TABLE

#### TREATMENTS

1. poly H(Gly-Val-Gly-Val-Pro)n-OH

> 50K dalton < 100K dalton

DRY

2. poly H(Gly-Val-Gly-Val-Pro)n-OH

> 50K dalton < 100K dalton

COACERVATE

3. poly H(Gly-Val-Gly-Val-Pro)n-OH

COMBINED: 15 Mrad + 10 Mrad Irradiation cross-linked

>100K dalton

4. poly H(Gly-Val-Gly-Val-Pro)n-OH

Irradiation cross-linked

Precalcified

< 100K dalton

5. Control

Each treatment was broken down into a dose response trio consisting of 3, 8 and 15 mgs. There were 12 rats per time period evenly divided between the 3 doses. The exception was the control group where there was 6 rats per time period. The time periods consisted of 1, 3, 7, 21, 42 and 147 days. A total of 324 rats were used (288 experimentals and 36 controls).

#### CHART

22222555

http://www.arkivistic.com

**RECENTED** 

### TREATMENT\* VERSUS TIME

TIME days	1	3	7	21	42	147	TOTAL
TREATMENT							
1	doses 3:4 rats (mgs) 8:4 rats 15:4 rats	11	н	*1	н	II	72 rats
2	12 rats	н	н	"	11	11	72 rats
3	12 rats	*1	u		11	H	72 rats
4	12 rats		н	u	u		72 rats
5	<u>6</u> rats		14	u	11		<u>   36</u> rats
TOTAL:	66 rats				GRAND	TOTAL:	324 rats

\*Each time period consisted of 6 treatment groups with each group divided into a trio of doses, except for the control.

#### Legends for Figures

- Fig. 1a: Schematic for inserting the polypentamer (PPP) into a standard sized non-healing calvarial defect and the six necropsy periods.
   Fig. 1b: Schematic for histomorphometry processing and analysis of undecalcified bone specimens.
- 2. Fig. 2: Graph 1: The percent total area of calcification islands (CI, %) (x +/- SD).
- 3. Fig. 3: Graph 2: The trabecular bony volume (TBV,  $mm^3/mm^3$ ) (x +/- SD).
- 4. Fig. 4a: 42 days, tissue capsule (E) investing pentamer (\*), host bone (B), fibrous tissue (F). (Goldner trichrome, 16 x) Fig. 4b: 42 days, same field as 3a showing numerous calcification islands within pentamer. (von Kosa, 16 x) Fig. 4c: 42 days, a higher magnification demonstrating host bone (B),

calcification islands (C). (63 x)

 Fig. 5: Calcification index as a function of time, replotted from data in Figure 3.





TREATMENTS

ORY POLYPENTAPEPTIDE (PPP)
COACERVATE
IRRADIATED, CROSSLINKED
IRRADIATED, CALCIFIED
CONTROL



EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENTS AND CONTROL) (SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENTS AND CONTROL)







26-



![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Gregory, C.F. (1972) The current status of bone and joint transplants. Clin. Orthop. 87:165-175.
- 2. Jarcho, M.D. (1981) Calcium phosphate ceramics as hard tissue prosthetics. Clin. Orthop. 175:259-273.
- 3. Hollinger, J.O. and Battistone, G.C. (1986) Biodegradable bone repair materials: synthetic polymers and ceramics. Clin. Orthop. 207:290-305
- 4. Harakas, N.K. (1984) Demineralized bone-matrix induced osteogenesis. Clin. Orthop. 188:239-251.
- 5. Hollinger, J.O. (1982) In Vivo calcification induced by a proteolipid complex (lysozyme-acidic phospholipid). Biomat. Med. Dev. Art. Org., 10:71-83.
- 6. Hollinger, J.O. and Schmitz, J.P. (1986) A biodegradable implant for restoring bone discontinuities in dogs. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg., In Press
- 7. Urist, M.R. Lietze, A. and Dawson, E. (1984) Beta tricalcium phosphate delivery system for bone morphogenetic protein. Clin. Orthop. 187:277-280.
- 8. Foster, J.A., Burenger, E., Gray, W.R., Sandberg, L.B. (1973) Isolation and amino acid sequences of tropoelastin peptides. J. Bio. Chem. 248:2876-2879.
- 9. Sandberg, L.B., Soskel, N.T., and Leslie, L.B. (1981) Elastin structure, biosynthesis and relation to disease states.
- Sandberg, L. B., Leslie, L. G., Leach, C. T., Alvarez, V. L., Torres, A. R., and Smith, D. W. (1985) Elastin covalent structure as determined by solid phase amino acide sequencing. Path. Biol. 33:266-274.
- 11. Urry, D. W., Long, M. M., Hendrix, L. F., and Okamoto, K. (1976) Cross-linked polypentapeptide of tropoelastin: an insoluble, serum calcifiable matrix. Biochemistry 15:4089-4094.
- 12. Starcher, B. C., Cox, B. A., and Urry, D. W. (1974) Development of an in vitro system for the calcification of tropoelastin and  $\alpha$ -elastin coacervates in serum. Calcif. Tissue Int. 17:1-7.
- 13. Cox, B. A., Starcher, B. C., and Urry, D. W. (1975) Scanning electron microscopy and electron probe microanalysis of calcified  $\alpha$ -elastin coacervates. Calcif. Tissue Int. 17:219-227.
- 14. Urry, D. W., Hendrix, C. F., and Long, M. M. (1976) Calcification of a-elastin coacervates: a bulk property of elastin. Calcif. Tissue Int. 21:57-65.
- 15. Urry, D. W., Long, M. M., Okamoto, K., Volpin, D., Roveni, N., and Ripamonti, A. (1977) Synthetic polypeptide matrix induces apatite formation when exposed to serum diffusate. Ala. J. Med. Sci. 14:255-258.

- 16. Urry, D. W., and Prasad, K. U. (1985) Syntheses, characterizations and medical uses of the polypentapeptide of elastin and its analogs. In: Williams, D. F. (ed) Biocompatibility of tissue analogs. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, pp 89-116.
- 17. Prasad, K. U., Iqbal, M. A., and Urry, D. W. (1985) Utilization of Ihydroxybenzotiazole in mixed anhydride coupling reactions. Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 25:408-413.
- Poser, J. W., Esch, F. S., Ling, N. C., and Price, P. A. (1980) Isolation and sequence of the vitamin K-dependent protein from human bone. J. Biol. Chem. 255:8685-8691.
- 19. Wuthier, R. (1982) A review of the primary mechanism of endochondral calcification with special emphasis on the role of cells, mitochondria, and matrix vesicles. Clin. Orthop. 169:219-242.
- 20. Urry, D. W. (1971) Neutral sites for calcium ion binding to elastin and collagen: A charge neutralization theory for calcification and its relationship to arteriosclerosis. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 68:810-814.
- 21. Wells, H. A. (1933) The chemistry of arteriosclerosis. In Arteriosclerosis, A Survey of the Problem, ed. by E. V. Cowdry, McMillan, New York, 323-353.
- 22. Starcher, B. C., Saccomani, G., and Urry, D. W. (1973) Coacervation and ionbinding studies on aortic elastin. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 310:481-486.
- 23. Kagan, H. M., and Lerch, R. M. (1976) Amidated carboxyl groups in elastin. Biochim. Biophy. Acta 434(1):223-232.
- 24. Schmitz, J. P., and Hollinger, J. O. (1986) The critical size defect as an experimental model for craniomaxillofacial nonuions. Clin. Orthop. 205:299-308
- 25. Stevens, A., Cogen, R., Urry, D. W., and Long, M. M. (1981) Effect of a calcifiable matrix on human cell viability. J. Dent Res. 61:391.
- 26. Waikakul, A., Cogen, R., Stevens, A., Urry, D. W., and Long, M. M. (1982) Effect of a calcifiable matrix on human cells. J. Dent. Res. 61:189.
- 27. Waikakul, A. (1983) Effect of a calcificable matrix on human cells. M. Sc. Thesis, University of Alabama in Birmingham.
- 28. Wood, S. A., Lemons, J. E., Prasad, K. U., and Urry, D. W. (1986) <u>In vitro</u> calcification and <u>in vivo</u> biocompatibility of the cross-linked polypentapeptide of elastin. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 20:315-335.
- 29. Wood, S. A., Prasad, K. U., and Urry, D. W. (1985) Cross-linked polypentapeptide of elastin as a calcifiable matrix: molecular weight dependence. Calcif. Tissue Int. 37:565-571.
- 30. Urry, D. W. (Unpublished observation)
- 31. Mundy, G. R., and Poser, L. W. (1983) Chemotactic activity of the y- carboxyglutamic acid containing protein in bone. Calcif. Tissue Int. 35:164-168.

- 32. Majeska, R. L., Rodan, S. B., and Rodan, G. A. (1980) Parathyroid hormone responsive clonal cell lines from rat osteosarcoma. Endrocrinology 107:1494.
- Majeska, R.J., Rodan, S.B., and Rodan, G.A. (1980) Parathyroid hormone responsive clonal cell lines from rat osteosarcoma. Endrocrinology 107:1494.

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

1 Сору	Commander US Army Medical Research and Development Command ATTN: SGRD-RMI-S				
	Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012				
12 Copies	Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) ATTN: DTIC-DDAC Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145				
1 Сору	Dean School of Medicine Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences 4301 Jones Bridge Road Bethesda, MD 20814-4799				
1 Сору	Commandant Academy of Health Sciences, US Army ATTN: AHS-CDM Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6100				

LND DATE FILMED 4 - 88 DTIC