I	RD-R1	90 368	NEP	IBRANE	AND F		IG CONI	CONST	INDEX	ES FOR	BUIL	T-UP NG	1/	2
	UNCLAS	SIFIE		87 CE		-N-87/	13-40	-2			F/G	NL 13/13	NL	
4			,											
				H N	1 1 1	é.		۲÷ کړ						
			•4 											
					88 54	17. 🔛	·	<u>№</u>	187. S ² 1.			<u>n</u> s	4 1 20	
			iic:		<u>ः</u> भ दिक्व	2/		жI Ma						
				17 714			문학 상태				U₽ ₩.		() 	3 M 2
	к 21						-							
ι.,	<u>`</u>	_												



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDRINGS - 1963 - 5

•

AD-A190



US Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering Research Laboratory USA-CERL TECHNICAL REPORT M-87/13, VOL. II September 1987 Roofing Maintenance Management System



ે રે

1

33

Membrane and Flashing Condition Indexes for Built-Up Roofs Volume II: Inspection and Distress Manual

by Mohamed Y. Shahin David M. Bailey Donald E. Brotherson

Because no systematic procedure exists to determine priorities and select repair strategies for low-slope roofs, the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (USA-CERL) is developing a roof maintenance management system that will provide a practical decisionmaking procedure to identify cost-effective repairs.

As part of the system for built-up roofs, this volume presents the standardized information needed to conduct the visual inspection survey, including names, descriptions, severity levels, measurement criteria, causes, and photographs of membrane and flashing distresses. Procedures for distress density calculations are also provided. Roof inspectors can use this information to objectively determine the indexes that reflect the (1) ability of the membrane and flashing to perform their functions, (2) needed level of maintenance, and (3) waterproof integrity.

Volume I describes the development and verification of the indexes.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR

AND AND AND AND AND

ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	<u>HDH 140368</u>
REPORT DOCUMENTAT	FION PAGE
a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	16 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS
a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY	3 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF REPORT
DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited
USA-CERL TR M-87/13, Vol. II	5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER SI
a AME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 6b OFFICE SYMBO U.S. Army Construction Engr (If applicable)	2 Za NAME OF MONIFORING ORGANIZATION
ADDRESS (City, State, and ZiP Code) P.O. Box 4005 Champaign IL 61820-1305	7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)
a NAME OF FUNDING. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION 1. Northern Division (cont d)	PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER FADs N62472-85P05008, N62472-85WR00151, N62472-85WR00132
C ADURESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 1. Philadelphia Naval Base Philadelphia PA 19112-5094 2. 20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. (cont'	PROGRAM PROJECT TASK WORK ELEMENT NO NO NO ACCESSION NO 4A162731 AT41 C 044
Membrane and Flashing Condition Indexes	for Built-Up Roofs Volume II. Inspection
and Distress Manual PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	
and Distress Manual 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Shahin, Mohamed Y.; Bailey, David M.; B 3a TYPE OF REPORT final 5 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATON Copies are available from the National	rotherson, Donald E. 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year Month Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 1987, September Technical Information Service
and Distress Manual 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Shahin, Mohamed Y.; Bailey, David M.; B 3a TYPE OF REPORT final 5 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Copies are available from the National 7 COSATI CODES FELD GROUP 13 13 13 13	rotherson, Donald E. 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year Month Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 1987, September 1987, September 1
and Distress Manual PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Shahin, Mohamed Y.; Bailey, David M.; B a TYPE OF REPORT final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Copies are available from the National COSATI CODES FELD GROUP 13 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by blow Because no systematic procedure exists strategies for low-slope roofs, the U.S. Laboratory (USA-CERL) is developing a roo provide a practical decision making procedure	rotherson, Donald E. 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year Month Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 1987, September 98 Technical Information Service 1d. VA 22161 AS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) t information system built-up roofs ck number) sts to determine priorities and select repair Army Construction Engineering Research f maintenance management system that will e to identify cost-effective repairs.
and Distress Manual PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Shahin, Mohamed Y.; Bailey, David M.; B a TYPE OF REPORT final SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATON Copies are available from the National COSATI CODES FELD GROUP 13 13 13 13 COSATI CODES FELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 13 13 13 13 COSATI CODES FELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Because no systematic procedure exis strategies for low-slope roofs, the U.S. Laboratory (USA-CERL) is developing a roo provide a practical decisionmaking procedure As part of the system for built-up ro information needed to conduct the visual tions, severity levels, measurement criteria flashing distresses. Procedures for distress inspectors can use this information to object (1) ability of the membrane and flashing to maintenance, and (3) waterproof integrity.	rotherson, Donald E. 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year Month Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 1987, September 98 Technical Information Service 1d. VA 22161 AS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) t information system built-up roofs ck number) its to determine priorities and select repair Army Construction Engineering Research f maintenance management system that will e to identify cost-effective repairs. poofs, this volume presents the standardized inspection survey, including names, descrip- a, causes and photographs of membrane and density calculations are also provided. Roof tively determine the indexes that reflect the perform their functions, (2) needed level of
and Distress Manual PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Shahin, Mohamed Y.; Bailey, David M.; B Ba TYPE OF REPORT final Supplementary NOTATON Copies are available from the National Springfie COSATI CODES FELD GROUP 13 13 13 Because no systematic procedure exis strategies for low-slope roofs, the U.S. Laboratory (USA-CERL) is developing a roo provide a practical decisionmaking procedure As part of the system for built-up ro information needed to conduct the visual tions, severity levels, measurement criteria flashing distresses. Procedures for distress inspectors can use this information to objec (1) ability of the membrane and flashing to maintenance, and (3) waterproof integrity. Volume I describes the development an	rotherson, Donald E. 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year Month Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 1987, September 98 Technical Information Service 14. VA 22161 AS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) t information system built-up roofs ck number) sts to determine priorities and select repair Army Construction Engineering Research f maintenance management system that will e to identify cost-effective repairs. poofs, this volume presents the standardized inspection survey, including names, descrip- a, causes and photographs of membrane and density calculations are also provided. Roof tively determine the indexes that reflect the perform their functions, (2) needed level of d verification of the indexes.
and Distress Manual 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Shahin, Mohamed Y.; Bailey, David M.; B 3a TYPE OF REPORT final 6 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Copies are available from the National 7 COSATI CODES 7 SUBJECT TERM 7 Managemen mainframe 7 COSATI CODES 7 SUBJECT TERM 7 Managemen 7 Managemen 7 COSATI CON AVACABLETY OF ABSTRACT 7 COSATI CON AVACABL	rotherson, Donald E. 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year Month Day) 15 PAGE COUNT 1987, September 98 Technical Information Service 1d. VA 22161 AS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) t information system built-up roofs determine priorities and select repair Army Construction Engineering Research f maintenance management system that will to identify cost-effective repairs. pofs, this volume presents the standardized inspection survey, including names, descrip- a, causes and photographs of membrane and density calculations are also provided. Roof tively determine the indexes that reflect the perform their functions, (2) needed level of 21 ABSTRACT SECOR TY (LAD) - (ATION Unclassified

As an art

Unclassified

Block 8a. (cont'd)

Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NORTHNAVFACENGCOM)

2. OCE

.

Block 8c. (cont'd)

Washington, DC 20314-1000

C.

Unclassified

FOREWORD

This project was funded by the Northern Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NORTHNAVFACENGCOM), U.S. Naval Base, Philadelphia, PA, under Funding Authorization Documents N62472-85P05008, N62472-85WR00151, and N62472-85WR00132. The U.S. Navy Technical Monitor was Mr. Tom Wallace. Funds were also provided by the Assistant Chief of Engineers, Office of Chief of Engineers (OCE), under Project 4A162731AT41, "Military Facilities Engineer Technology"; Task C, "Operations/ Management/Repair"; Work Unit 044, "Roofing Maintenance Management System." The U.S. Army Technical Monitor was Mr. Chester Kirk, DAEN-ZCF-B.

The work was performed by the Engineering and Materials (EM) Division, U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (USA-CERL) in cooperation with the U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) and the U.S. Army Facilities Engineering Support Agency (FESA). Dr. R. Quattrone is Chief of USA-CERL-EM. The Technical Editor was Gloria J. Wienke, Information Management Office.

Mr. Donald Brotherson is a member of the Small Homes Council-Building Research Council, University of Illinois.

Special acknowledgement is due to contractors Mr. John Bradford and Mr. Dwight Jennings, who were key members of the development team and participated in all field testing and validation efforts. The assistance of the following individuals is acknowledged and appreciated: Mr. Robert Alumbaugh, Navy Civil Engineering Laboratory (NCEL); Mr. Mark de Ogburn, SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM; Mr. Dennis Firman, Air Force Engineering and Services Center (AFESC); Mr. Ed Humm, NCEL; Mr. Al Knehans, FESA; Mr. Myer Rosenfield, USA-CERL; and Mr. Wayne Tobiasson, CRREL.

COL Norman C. Hintz is Commander and Director of USA-CERL, and Dr. L. R. Shaffer is Technical Director.



CONTENTS

		Page
	DD FORM 1473	1
	FOREWORD	3
	LIST OF FIGURES	5
1	INTRODUCTION	7
	Backround	7
	Objective	7
	Approach	7
	Using the Manual	8
2	PROCEDURES FOR ROOF INSPECTION AND	
	UNT GULATION OF INDEXES	9
	Irtroduction	9
	Roof Sections	9
	Roof Plans	9
	Inspection Procedure	12
	Inspection Guidelines	14
	Calculating the Indexes	18
3	FLASHING DISTRESSES	23
	Index	23
	Base Flashing	24
	Metal Cap Flashing	30
	Embedded Edge Metal	36
	Flashed Penetrations	41
	Pitch Pans	46
	Interior Drains and Roof Level Scuppers	50
4	MEMBRANE DISTRESSES	53
	Index	53
	Blisters	54
	Ridges	57
	Solits	60
	Holes	62
	Surface Deterioration	63
		69
	Databing	70
	Patening	10
	Debus and vegetation	() 76
		10
	ronaing	80
	APPENDIX A: Deduct Value Curves	83
	APPENDIX B: Inspection and Rating Sheets	93

DISTRIBUTION

LIST OF FIGURES

Number		Page
1	Membrane and Flashing Condition Indexes (MCI and FCI) and Ratings	8
2	Roof Inspection Worksheet	10
3	Legend to be Used on Roof Section Plans	11
4	Completed Roof Inspection Worksheet	13
5	Check Height of Base Flashing Above Deck	15
6	Check Base Flashing Height by Running Fingers Behind Counterflashing	15
7	Sweep Gravel Back From Embedded Edge Metal to Expose Joints	16
8	Tear in Stripping Felts Exposed by Sweeping Gravel Away at Joints	16
9	Roof Drain Clogged by Debris	17
10	Removing Debris Frees the Drain	17
11	Scupper Opening is too Small and Easily Clogged. Report in the "Remarks" Section of the Roof Inspection Worksheet.	19
12	Metal Curb Around Scuttle is Corroded and Needs Paint. Report in the "Remarks" Section.	19
13	Steps for Determining Roof Membrane Condition Rating	20
14	Roof Section Rating Form	21

ľ

1

MEMBRANE AND FLASHING CONDITION INDEXES FOR BUILT-UP ROOFS VOLUME II: INSPECTION AND DISTRESS MANUAL

1 INTRODUCTION

Background

いいのののない とうないないのの

Each of the U.S. armed services branches has a very large inventory of low-slope roofs. Roof repairs and reconstruction work are steadily increasing as the roofs approach the end of their service lives, making it increasingly important to better manage maintenance funds. To ensure the optimum use of these funds, the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (USA-CERL) is developing a roofing maintenance management system for low-slope roofs. This work is being performed with the coordination and assistance of the U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) and the U.S. Army Facilities Engineering Support Agency (FESA).

The system will be developed initially for built-up roofs and will include other roofing systems in the future. The system will be based on a roof condition evaluation procedure which includes a visual inspection survey and nondestructive testing of the roof insulation. The visual inspection will provide a membrane condition index (MCI) and flashing condition index (FCI) which are numerical indicators that reflect the condition of each component in terms of its ability to perform its function, needed level of maintenance and repair, and waterproof integrity.

The two condition indexes are calculated based on the type, severity, and density of distress as determined from the visual roof inspection. To obtain repeatable and meaningful condition index values, distress identification and measurement must be standardized.

Objective

The objective of this work effort was to develop a roof condition index procedure for built-up roofs determined from a visual inspection. This volume provides roof inspectors with a standardized reference for distress identification for both the membrane and flashing. The distress information is to be used in conjunction with the procedures presented in Chapter 2 to determine the MCI and FCI.

Approach

The existing U.S. Air Force roof condition index (RCI) procedure¹ was modified to improve its accuracy, reduce field effort, and increase its usefulness. The modifications and improvements were field tested and revised based on results obtained at seven military installations located in different climates. Guidelines for performing roof inspections and procedures for computing the MCI and FCI were developed.

¹Built-Up Roof Management Programs, Air Force Manual, AFM 91-36, 3 September 1980.

Using the Manual

Chapter 2 contains the inspection procedures. Distresses for the flashing and membrane are presented in Chapters 3 and 4, respectively. Names, descriptions, severity levels, photographs, measurement criteria, and causes are presented for each distress.

It is very important that the inspector be able to identify all distress types and their severity levels and specific defects. The inspector should study this manual and carry a copy for reference during the inspection.

The results of the roof inspection are to be used in conjunction with procedures in Chapter 2 to determine the MCI and FCI and their respective ratings (Figure 1). Deduct value curves are in Appendix A. A sample of the sheets to be used by the roof inspectors is provided in Appendix B.

It should be emphasized that inspectors must follow the distress descriptions in this manual to arrive at meaningful and consistent values for the condition indexes.





2 PROCEDURES FOR ROOF INSPECTION AND CALCULATION OF INDEXES

Introduction

Determining the condition indexes requires measurement of all the existing roof membrane and flashing distresses. A thorough roof inspection must be made to determine the types, severity, specific defects, and amounts (density) of each distress present. This inspection must be carefully organized and planned to provide the necessary information for determining the membrane and flashing conditions.

This chapter presents the procedures for visually inspecting built-up roofs and computing the membrane and flashing condition indexes.

Roof Sections

Dividing a building's roof into sections and rating each separately provides a better evaluation of the overall roof condition because (1) a roof section that is in poor condition would not detract from the condition index of a good roof section on the same building, and (2) a condition index indicating that replacement of the section is necessary would not signal replacement of the roof on the entire building.

A section is generally delineated by:

- expansion joints or area dividers
- different roof elevations
- areas of major repair or replacement
- areas that were built at different times
- areas having different roof systems, different amounts of roof traffic and/or rooftop equipment, or radically different occupancies below the roof
- areas having particularly sensitive occupancies below, such as computer centers, operating rooms or command centers.

Some judgment is necessary in cases where the roof is segmented into very small areas or if there are no identifiable delineations on large roofs. Small sections may be combined where practical. However, if areas have distinct differences in characteristics such as different structural systems, roof systems, or environments below the roof structure (i.e., canopies, freezers, unheated warehouses), they should be treated as individual sections. Large areas without delineations can be divided into sections with areas of 25,000 to 40,000 sq ft.

Roof Plans

Each roof section should have a roof plan which is drawn to a scale (approximately 1" = 30') that fits on the Roof Inspection Worksheet (Figure 2). The plan should show all physical roof features, including perimeter conditions (roof edge, expansion joint, penthouse, etc.), rooftop equipment, projections through the roof, roof drains, walkways, sign supports, piping, etc. Standard symbols should be used to identify these items whenever possible (Figure 3).



Figure 2. Roof inspection worksheet. (This form has been reduced in size.)

" x "	H = HATCH E = EQUIPMENT P = PENTHOUSE S = SKYLIGHT SC = SOLAR COLLECTOR T = TRANSFORMER V = VENTILATOR
\bigtriangleup or \checkmark	ANTENNA
A	CORE SAMPLE
Ø	VENT PIPE
•	DRAIN OR DOWNSPOUT
┝━━┥	LADDER
≠ s	SCUPPER
or 🗩	CHIMNEY OR FLUE
\boxtimes	PITCH PAN
0	FLASHED PIPE
\bot	LIGHTNING ROD
	ROOF EDGE
	PARAPET WALL OR ADJACENT BLDG
	EXPANSION JOINT OR ROOF DIVIDER

т. 4,



Inspection Procedure

Survey Team

The roof inspection should be performed by a team of at least two people: an inspector and a recorder. The inspector surveys the roof, identifying distresses and determining severity levels, specific defects, and quantities. The recorder records the data on the Roof Inspection Worksheet and assists in measuring distress quantities when required. The recorder must also serve as the safety observer for the team.

Supplies

The following supplies are required for performing the inspection:

Inspection and Distress Manual Pencil and clipboard Roof Inspection Worksheets Small 3 in. pointing trowel (to scrape gravel) Can of spray paint Stiff bristle whisk broom Pocket knife 12-ft and 100-ft measuring tapes Large plastic bag (to collect rooftop debris) Satchel (for carrying tools and materials)

Survey Preparation

The survey team should complete the general information section at the top of the Roof Inspection Worksheet (Figure 2) and gain access to the roof section. Contact the building superintendent or custodian for assistance. Develop or verify the roof plan before the survey begins. All penetrations, projections, and rooftop equipment should be shown and located correctly. Check plan dimensions against actual field dimensions.

Distress Survey

Perform the distress survey using the following steps:

1. Inspect the perimeter flashing. Establish a starting point at one corner of the roof section. Walk the entire perimeter, examining the base flashing, embedded edge metal flashing, and metal cap flashing.

2. Identify all distresses and specific defects according to the distress manual. Mark each medium and high severity distress with spray paint.* Enter each identified distress by type, severity level, specific defect (specific defects are listed numerically for each distress [see Chapters 3 and 4]), and quantity on the Roof Inspection Worksheet. Note the location of each distress on the roof plan using an identification number (Figure 4). Determine the distress quantities using the measurement criteria described in Chapters 3 and 4.

3. After inspecting the perimeter, work across the roof area inspecting all other flashings: curbed penetrations, flashed penetrations, pitch pans, drains, etc. Record data as in step 2.

^{*}To be used by maintenance personnel for locating necessary work.





4. Inspect the roof membrane. Establish a starting point at one corner of the roof section. Using 10- to 15-ft wide strips, sweep back and forth across the roof section surveying the entire membrane. Record data as in step 2.

5. Complete the "Remarks" section on the back of the Roof Inspection Worksheet.

It is imperative to use the distress definitions listed in this distress manual when performing roof inspections. If these definitions are not followed, accurate indexes cannot be determined. Use a second Roof Inspection Worksheet if the first one becomes filled.

Inspection Guidelines

The guidelines below should be followed when performing the visual inspection and distress survey.

Base Flashing

Measure height of top termination of base flashing above the roof surface (Figure 5). To establish the height, run fingers up behind the counterflashing. If the base flashing height cannot be determined, assume it is adequate (Figure 6).

Metal Cap Flashing

Check for looseness of metal cap flashing by attempting to lift it by hand at several locations.

Embedded Edge Metal

Determine the total number of joints by dividing the total length of embedded edge metal flashing by the length of the edge metal sections (normally 10 ft). Inspect every fourth joint for splits in the stripping felts, removing any loose gravel or dirt from the joint using the small whisk broom and pointing trowel (Figure 7). Determine the number of inspected joints that are high severity (have splits in the stripping felts [Figure 8]) and multiply by 4 to get the total number of high severity joints (one joint equals 1 ft). All other joints are considered medium severity. Multiply the number of inspected joints not counted as high severity by 4 to obtain the total number of medium severity joints. Check that the embedded edge metal flashing is secure by attempting to lift the outside edge.

Flashed Penetrations

Check the height of the flashing sleeve above the roof surface. Use a whisk broom and trowel to examine stripping felts.

Interior Drains and Roof Level Scuppers

Check all drains and scuppers and remove debris whenever possible (Figures 9 and 10). When inspecting drains, make sure the clamping ring is tight. Stripping felts around scuppers should be inspected for holes at corners.



Figure 5. Check height of base flashing above deck.



Figure 6. Check base flashing height by running fingers behind counterflashing.



Figure 7. Sweep gravel back from embedded edge metal to expose joints.



Figure 8. Tear in stripping felts exposed by sweeping gravel away at joints.



Bernaria a

Figure 9. Roof drain clogged by debris.



Figure 10. Removing debris frees the drain.

Membrane

Membrane inspection requires surveying the entire roof area. However, when large areas of a particular distress and severity level are present (especially on large roofs), the representative sampling technique can be used. Select a portion of the roof (approximately 1000 sq ft) which appears to be typical of the entire roof area. Measure the quantity of distress in the sample area and by extrapolation, calculate the quantity for the entire roof area. An area that differs greatly from the majority of the roof should be surveyed separately.

General

The following is a list of general guidelines for the roof inspection:

• Be careful not to damage the roof. Do not step on blisters, kick base flashing or step on edge flashing.

• Note existing problems that are not included in the lists of flashing and membrane distresses in the "remarks" section on the back of the worksheet. Figures 11 and 12 show examples of these problems. Walk the outside of the building and look for water stains, efflorescence, missing mortar, spalled brick, and gutter and drainage problems.

• Walk the interior of the building and examine the ceiling below the roof deck for water marks. Note rusting or other signs of water penetration or leaks in the "remarks" section on the back of the worksheet.

• If there is snow or a large area of ponding on the roof, postpone the inspection until the roof is clear.

• Wherever possible, use a measuring tape to determine roofing distress quantities. Estimating is acceptable when necessary; however, the rating accuracy will be only as good as the estimating accuracy. Pacing to find lengths, or some other quantifying estimating method, is preferable to an "eyeball" estimate.

• If more than one severity level of a distress exists in a localized area, count the entire area at the highest severity level.

Calculating the Indexes

Once the condition survey is completed, determine the FCI and MCI for the roof section. Calculating the condition indexes is a simple procedure which involves five steps (Figure 13).

1. For each combination of distress and severity level, transfer the quantities from the Roof Inspection Worksheet to the Roof Section Rating Form (Figure 14).

2. Total the quantities for each combination, calculate their corresponding densities, and determine the deduct values from the deduct value curves (Appendix A) for each distress type and severity.



-

Figure 11. Scupper opening is too small and easily clogged. Report in the "Remarks" section on the back of the Roof Inspection Worksheet.



Figure 12. Metal curb around scuttle is corroded and needs paint. Report in the "Remarks" section.

STEP 1 INSPECT ROOF DETERMINE DISTRESS TYPES AND SEVERITY LEVELS DETERMINE QUANTITIES AND CALCULATE DENSITIES



STEP 2 DETERMINE DEDUCT VALUES.





STEP 5. DETERMINE MEMBRANE CONDITION RATING.

STEP 3. COMPUTE CORRECTED DEDUCT VALUE. MC1 B 65 CORRECTED DEDUCT VALUE (CDV) 70 55 Deduction I Po 2 40 Greater 160 20 юc 120 140 25 SUM OF DEDUCT VALUES (ZOV)



STEP 4 COMPUTE MEMBRANE CONDITION INDEX (MCI) = 100-CDV



ROOF SECTION RATING FORM								
BUILDING	SECTION	4	ê	_ [DATE MAY	\$7	CALCB	3 Y
PER. FLASHING 527 FT CURB FLASHING 36 FT	FLASHING	56	3_F	T	AREA 16,800	QFT	СНКО В	3 Y
FLASHING					MEMBRANE			
BF - BASE FLASH DR	- DRAIN &] _{ВL} - е	BLIS	UISIMESS TYP STERS SL -	SLIPP	AGE	
MC - METAL CAP	SCUPPER		RG - I	RID	GES PA-	PATCH	ING	
EM - EMBEDDED MET			SP - S	SPL	1 TS DV -	DEBRI	S & VEG	_
PP - FLASHED PEN PP - PITCH PANS		1	HL - H	HOL Sur	ES EQ- FDET PD-	PONDI	SUPPORTS	S
TYPESEV QUANTITIES	TOT. DEN.	DV	TYPES	EV	QUANTITIES	то	T. DEN.	DV
BFL 130+123	253 45	17	BLL	6	4+12	16	10	3
MC H 10	10 1.8	13	PAA	4	10+24	34	4.20 .	7
8F M 31	31 5.5	13	SPI	Y I	123	23	3 73 6	5
MCL 5	5.89	2	PD	2	20	20	s .12	3
DRLI	1.18	1	SRH	4	400+120	52	03./1	4
PP H I	1.18	9	BLI	1	6	4	.036	2
FP H 1+1	2.36		HLI	4	1	1	.006	5
DR.H.I	1 .18	21	EQL	1	3	3	.018 3	3
			SRL		8	8	,048 2	2_
			DV 1	1	30	30	2.18 1	1
							i	
				T		- 1 -		
	1					-	···•	
				-+	<u> </u>		-++	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+		 +	-+			_++	
	┼──┼──┤			+		·+		
	┼╌┼─┤			+		· · · ·		
				-+				
·····	<u> </u>			-+			-++	
·····	<u>↓ </u>			<u>+</u>			++	
	ļ							
						 +		
]						
				- †				
CORRECTED DEDUCT VALU	JE (CDV)	33	COR	RE	CTED DEDUCT VAL	UE (C	DV) 6	5
FCI = 100 - CDV = 4	7		MOL	= 10	0 - CDV . 36	5		-
ELASHING BATING = G	00D	-	MEM	- 1V	ANE BATING . P	DOR		
		-	MEN					

and the second

Figure 14. Roof section rating form. (This form has been reduced in size.)

3. For each component (flashing and membrane), list the individual deduct values in descending order and compute the sum of the deduct values (ΣDV) and q (# of DV's > 1) as shown below. Determine the corresponding corrected deduct values (CDVs) from the Corrected Deduct Value Curves (Appendix A). The CDV of maximum value should be used to compute the condition index.

Flashing (distress data from Figure 14)

DV	ΣDV	_ g _	CDV
21	21	1	21
17	38	2	24
13	51	3	28
13	64	4	32
11	75	5	(33)
9	84	6	33
2	86	7	32
1	87	7	31

Maximum $CDV_{flashing} = 33$

Membrane (distress data from Figure 14)

DV	ΣDV	_ q	CDV
65	65	1	65)
14	79	2	55
7	86	3	53
5	91	4	48
3	94	5	47
3	97	6	43
3	100	7	40
2	102	8	37
2	104	9	38
2	106	10	38

Maximum CDV_{membrane} = 65

4. Compute the condition indexes using the following equations:

$$FCI = 100 - Max. CDV_{flashing}$$
 [Eq 1]

$$MCI = 100 - Max. CDV_{membrane}$$
[Eq 2]

5. Determine the condition ratings from Figure 1.

3 FLASHING DISTRESSES

Index

Distress	Page
Base Flashing	24
Metal Cap Flashing	30
Embedded Edge Metal	36
Flashed Penetrations	41
Pitch Pans	46
Interior Drains and Roof Level Scuppers	50

BASE FLASHING

Description: Base flashing is one or more plies of material which extend from the roof surface up onto a vertical or inclined surface providing a watertight termination of the membrane.

Severity Levels:

Low: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Loss of surfacing on mineralsurfaced sheets or other poor appearance (including patching) but no apparent deterioration of felts.
- 2. Top of base flashing is less than 6 in. above the roof surface.
- 3. Flashing has permanent repairs.

Medium: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Slippage, wrinkling, blistering, or pulling of base flashing material.
- 2. Loss of surfacing with some deterioration of felts but no holes, splits, or tears.
- 3. Grease, solvent, or oil drippings on the base flashing but no deterioration of (elts.
- 4. Flashing has temporary repairs.

High: Any of the following conditions:





BASE FLASHING POOF EDGE

- 1. Holes, splits, or tears in flashing caused by deterioration or physical damage
- 2. Exposed gaps at the top of the base flashing which are not covered by counterflashing or open side laps in the flashing which allow water to channel behind them.
- 3. Grease, solvent, or oil drippings on the base flashing with deterioration of the felts.

Measurement: Measure lineal feet of base flashing having the above conditions. Holes, open side laps, and seams count as 1 ft each. If an area of the base flashing is at medium severity and holes are closer than 6 in., count that entire length of distressed base flashing as high severity.

Base Flashing (BF)

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A = length of base flashing defects (ft)

B = total length of flashed perimeter of roof section being rated (including flashings for penthouses, courtyards, and curbed projections)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.

Causes:

- 1. Flashing splits or tears can result from mechanical damage, material shrinkage, unattached membrane pulling the flashing, or differential movement between the wall and the deck.
- 2. Delamination and sliding result from weak or no attachment between the flashing plies or between the flashing and the substrate. This can result from any of the following conditions:
 - When first installed, the flashing piles were not firmly pressed into the bitumen to form a solid, continuous laminate.
 - No primer was used on the wall.
 - The wrong bitumen was used to attach the flashing plies to the wall.
 - The flashing cement was improper or of poor quality.
 - The hot-mopped bitumen was allowed to cool before the flashing plies were applied.
 - Fasteners were improper type or too few to hold flashing to substrate.

Base Flashing (BF)



BF1 Base Flashing <Low Severity> Curb is not high enough--6 in. minimum



BF2 Base Flashing <Low Severity> Surface looks poor but there are no areas that are worn through



BF3 Base Flashing <Low Severity> Poor appearance of coating but coating is not worn through. Also, counterflashing is displaced «High Severity»



BF4 Base Flashing <Low Severity> Mineral surfacing is worn but surface is still intact

Base Flashing (BF)



BF5 <u>Base Flashing</u> <Medium Severity> Surfacing is worn but hole is not all the way through the flashing



BF6 Base Flashing < Medium Severity> Base flashing is pulled and not firmly bonded to the curb



BF7 Base Flashing <Medium Severity+ Flashing material bas sagged



BF8 Base Flashing <High Severity> Deteriorated flashing material; there are holes through all plies of the flashing

Rep Presser : APA



BF9 Base Flashing <High Severity> There is an open seam and the material has sagged



BF10 Base Flashing <High Severity> There is an open seam in the flashing



REDE Base Plashing 27 go Seveniny 2 1 and 19 millionaded top sciper



BF12 Base Flashing - High Severity -There is a note through the flashing material



SU.S

BF13 Base Flashing <High Severity> There is an open seam in the flashing



BF14 <u>Base Flashing</u> <High Severity> There is a hole in the flashing material

Base Flashing (BF)

METAL CAP FLASHING

Description: Metal cap flashing includes counterflashing and any sheet metal coping cap which serves as part of the counterflashing or the cover over a detail such as a roof area divider, equipment curb, raised roof edge, or an expansion joint (including the rubber bellows of an expansion joint).

Counterflashing is the material, usually sheet metal, which protects the top termination of base flashing and sheds water away from it. Counterflashing should be free to expand and contract.

Severity Levels:

Low: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Loss of paint or protective coating or start of metal corrosion.
- 2. Metal coping cap is deformed and allows water to pond on the top.
- 3. Counterflashing is deformed but still performing its function.
- 4. Counterflashing has been sealed to the base flashing.

P FLASH TOVER



Metal Cap Flashing (MC)

10 MAXIMUM LENGTH

CONTINUOUS CLEAT



METAL CAP FLASHING EXPANSION JOINT

COVER

AETAL CAP

Medium: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Corrosion holes have occurred through the metal on a vertical surface.
- 2. Metal coping cap has loose fasteners, failure of soldered or sealed joints, open joints, or loss of attachment.
- 3. Sealant at reglet or top of counterflashing is missing or no longer functioning, allowing water to channel behind counterflashing.
- 4. Counterflashing is loose at the top, allowing water to channel behind it.
- 5. Counterflashing does not extend over top of base flashing.

High: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Metal coping cap or counterflashing is missing or displaced from its original position.
- 2. Corrosion holes have occurred through the metal on a horizontal surface.
- 3. Metal coping cap has missing joint covers where joint covers were originally installed.

Measurement: Measure lineal feet of metal cap flashing having the above conditions. Individual defects (i.e., joints, holes) count as one foot minimum.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A = length of metal cap flashing defects (ft)

B = total length of flashed perimeter of roof section being rated (including flashings for penthouses, courtyards, and curbed projections)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.

Metal Cap Flashing (MC)



MC1 Metal Cap Flashing <Low Severity> The metal has begun to corrode but no holes are evident



MC2 Metal Cap Flashing <Low Severity> The metal is bent but still functions; there is some corrosion



MC3 Metal Cap Flashing <Low Severity -The metal is pent but still is able for function

Martin Charles and Str.



MC4 Metal Cap Flashing - Low Sevenity -The counterflashing has been served to the base flashing



MC5 Metal Cap Flashing <Low Severity> Metal or accessories have been deformed or damaged



MC6 Metal Cap Flashing <Low Severity> Water can accumulate on top of the cap



MC7 Metal Cap Flashing Medium Seventry The metal maschen port sectors water ran adduct vater of the Select in the name



MC8 Metal Cap Flashing < Medium Severity> The top of the counterflashing holds water

 $M_{\rm PC} \in C$ op P^* is $\operatorname{Sp}_{2}(MC)$


MC9 <u>Metal Cap Flashing</u> <Medium Severity> The top of the counterflashing is loose



MC10 Metal Cap Flashing <Medium Severity> Joint in cap has failed; caulking has not corrected the problem. Also, Base Flashing has holes <High Severity>



MC11 Metal Cap Flashing <Medium Severity> Neoprene cover at joint has failed

Methol Cap Flashing (MC)



MC12 Metal Cap Flashing <High Severity> The metal counterflashing has fallen off of the wall



A Sectorized

t

22222222

7

MC13 Metal Cap Flashing <High Severity> The metal has corroded so that there are holes in the metal



MC14 <u>Metal Cap Flashing</u> <High Severity> Joint covers are missing



MC15 Metal Cap Flashing «No Severity level--report in remarks» The reglet has been cut into the top of the parapet wall; the caulking has failed

MC16 <u>Metal Cap Flashing</u> <No Severity level--report in remarks> There is no cap flashing; water has penetrated the masonry and deterioration has occurred due to freeze-thaw action

Metal Cap Flashing (MC)

EMBEDDED EDGE METAL

Description: Formed strip of metal at the roof edge which continues down the vertical part of the wall to form a fascia or drip. This stripped-in flashing provides a finished termination for the roofing membrane. A formed vertical projection (gravel stop) may be incorporated to prevent loose aggregate from rolling or washing off the roof. Exterior and interior gutters, which are embedded into the membrane, are considered as embedded edge metal. (An interior gutter is a built-in trough of metal or other material which collects water from the roof and carries it to a downspout.)

Note: A raised roof edge which is not stripped in, is rated as metal cap flashing and not as embedded edge metal.

Severity Levels:

Low:



1. The entire length of embedded edge metal flashings is rated low severity as a minimum due to the maintenance problems associated with it.

Medium: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. The joints in embedded edge metal flashings are rated medium severity as a minimum due to the maintenance problems associated with them.
- 2. Nails under the stripping felts are backing out.
- 3. Corrosion of the metal.
- 4. Loose or lifted metal flange without deterioration of the stripping felts.
- 5. The entire length of interior gutter is rated medium severity as a minimum due to the maintenance problems and high potential for leak damage associated with its presence.

High: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Stripping felts are missing or loose.
- 2. Splits in the stripping felts above the metal joints.
- 3. Holes have occurred through the metal.
- 4. Loose or lifted metal flange with deterioration of the stripping felts.
- 5. Holes or joint movement are present in the interior gutter.

Measurement: Measure lineal feet of embedded edge metal flashing having the above conditions. Each split above a joint is counted as one foot. As a method of sampling the joints, determine the total number of joints by dividing the total length of embedded edge metal flashing by the length of edge metal sections (normally 10 ft). Every fourth

Embedded Edge Metal (EM)

joint should be inspected for splits in the stripping felts. Count the number of inspected joints that are high severity and multiply by 4 to determine the total lineal feet of high severity joints. All other joints are rated medium severity. Multiply the number of inspected joints not rated high severity by 4 to determine the total lineal feet of medium severity joints.

Density:

 $\frac{A}{B}$ x 100 = Problem Density

where A =length of embedded edge metal flashing defects (ft)

B = total length of flashed perimeter of roof section being rated (including flashings for penthouses, courtyards and curbed projections)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.

Causes:

- 1. Splits in the stripping felts and loose stripping felts are caused by:
 - Insufficient or improper nailing of the metal flange allowing it to move.
 - Insufficient or no priming of the metal flange (top and bottom) before installation. This prevents bonding of the bitumen to the metal.
 - The widely different expansion coefficients of the metal and bituminous components.
- 2. Exposed metal flanges can result from stripping felt deterioration or the flange may never have been stripped-in.
- 3. Loose or lifted metal edge is caused by insufficient fastening, rotting, or lack of a wood perimeter nailer.

Embedded Edge Metal (EM)





EM1 Embedded Edge Metal <Low Severity> Tapered edge metal is raised but strip-in still presents a potential hazard

j

EM2 Embedded Edge Metal <Medium Severity> There are interior gutters



EM3 Embedded Edge Metal <High Severity> The stripping shows the beginning of a tear or break



EM4 Embedded Edge Metal <High Severity> The metal is loose or lifted

Embedded Edge Metal (EM)



EM5 Embedded Edge Metal <Medium Severity> Stripping felts are missing



EM6 Embedded Edge Metal <High Severity> The stripping is torn or broken



EM7 Embedded Edge Metal <High Severity -The metal movement has torn the stripping



EM8 Embedded Edge Metal <High Severity -The stripping felts are torn

Engedded Esge Metal (EM)



EM9 Embedded Edge Metal <High Severity> The stripping felt is torn along the back edge of the embedded metal



EM10 Embedded Edge Metal <High Severity> The edge metal is bent or deformed; the stripping is torn



EM11 <u>Embedded Edge</u> Metal <High Severity> The stripping is torn

Embedded Edge Metal

FLASHED PENETRATIONS

Description: Open pipes, plumbing vent stacks, flues, ducts, continuous pipes, guy wires, drain sumps, and other penetrations through the roof membrane (excluding pitch pans but including metal curbing for hatches and ventilators, where the flange is stripped into the membrane).

Severity Levels:

Low: Either of the following conditions:

- 1. Flashing sleeve is deformed.
- 2. Opening in the penetration or flashing is less than 6 in. above the roof surface.

Medium: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Edge of stripping felts is exposed but there is no apparent felt deterioration.
- 2. Top of flashing sleeve is not sealed or has not been rolled down into an existing plumbing vent stack.
- 3. The sleeve or umbrella is open or no umbrella is present (where required).
- 4. Metal is corroded.

High: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Flashing sleeve or metal curb has been installed with no stripping felts.
- 2. Flashing sleeve or metal curb is cracked, broken, or corroded through.
- 3. No flashing sleeve is present.
- 4. Penetration is not sealed at the membrane level.

Measurement: Count each distressed flashed penetration as one linear ft at the highest severity level which exists. For metal curbs and ducts with greater than 1 ft of perimeter, count the actual length (in feet) of distressed perimeter.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A = lineal feet of distressed flashed penetrations

B = total length of flashed perimeter of roof section being rated (including flashings for penthouses, courtyards and curbed projections)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.

Flashed Penetrations (FP)





LASHED PENETRATION PLUMBING VENT STACK



FP1 Flashed Penetration <Low Severity> The vent stack is distorted due to movement of the membrane



FP2 Flashed Penetration <Low Severity> The top of the flashing is less than 6 in. above the finished roof



FP3 Flashed Penetration <Low Severity> The top of flashing sleeve is not sealed

Flashed Penetrations (FP)



FP4 Flashed Penetration <Medium Severity> The lead had not been rolled down into the vent



FP5 Flashed Penetration <Medium Severity> The seal at the umbrella is broken



FP6 Flashed Penetration <Medium Severity> The flashing curb has not been stripped-in



FP7 Flashed Penetration <Medium Severity> The flashing sleeve is cracked or broken



FP8 Flashed Penetration <Medium Severity> The flashing umbrella is missing

Fashed Penetrations (FP)



FP9 <u>Flashed Penetration</u> <High Severity> The lead flashing is broken



FP10 Flashed Penetration <High Severity> The joint in the metal sleeve has failed



FP11 Flashed Penetration <High Severity> The metal has corroded and holes are evident



FP12 Flashed Penetration <High Severity> The metal flashing has been left out

Flashed Penetrations (FP)



FP13 Flashed Penetration <High Severity> Unflashed pipe penetration sealed with roofing cement



FP14 Flashed Penetration <High Severity> Guy wire anchor has not been flashed



FP15 Flashed Penetration <High Severity> The sleeve around the penetration is missing; the conduit is lying on the roof without supports

Plasned Penetrations (PP)

PITCH PANS

Description: A pitch pan is a flanged metal sleeve placed around a roof-penetrating element and filled with a sealer.

Severity Levels:

Low:

1. Pitch pans are rated low severity as a minimum due to the maintenance problems associated with them.

High: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Metal corrosion.
- 2. Sealing material is below metal rim.
- 3. Stripping felts are exposed or deteriorated.
- 4. Sealing material has cracked or separated from pan or penetration.

Measurement: Each distressed pitch pan should be counted once at the highest severity level which exists.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A = number of distressed pitch pans

B = total length of flashed perimeter of roof section being rated (including flashings for penthouses, courtyards, and curbed projections)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.





PP1 Pitch Pans
<Low Severity>
Pitch pan installed and maintained correctly but presents a hazard



PP2 Pitch Pans <High Severity> Metal shows light corrosion





PP4 Pitch Pans <High Severity→ Fill material is low; water standing in pan

Pitch Pans (PP)



PP5 Pitch Pans <High Severity> Sides of pan are distorted; fill material is low



PP6 Pitch Pans <High Severity> Pipe sleeve used as pitch pan has not been filled



PP7 Pitch Pans <High Severity> Fill material has pulled away from the sides of the pan



PP8 Pitch Pans <High Severity> Material has pulled away; water can penetrate pan

Pro Pros (PP)



PP9 <u>Pitch Pans</u> <High Severity> Pan not full

Pitch Pans (PP)

INTERIOR DRAINS AND ROOF LEVEL SCUPPERS

Description: A drain is a penetration at the roof membrane which allows water to flow from the roof surface into a piped drainage system. The drain fixture at the roof has a flange and/or elamping arrangement to which the roofing membrane is attached. A roof level scupper is a channel through a parapet or raised roof edge which is designed for peripheral drainage of the roof.

Note: Stripping felts around scuppers should be carefully inspected for holes at corners.

Severity Levels:

Low:

1. Bitumen has flowed into the drain leader but the drain is not clogged.

Medium: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Stripping felts are exposed but there is no apparent deterioration of felts.
- 2. Strainer is broken or missing.
- 3. Scupper shows loss of paint or protective coating or start of metal corrosion.

High: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Stripping felts have holes or are deteriorated.
- 2. Clamping ring is loose or missing from drain body or bolts are missing.
- 3. Drain is clogged.
- 4. Scupper metal is broken or holes have occurred through the metal.

Measurement: Each distressed drain and scupper should be counted once at the highest severity level which exists.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B} \times 100 = Problem Density$$

where A = number of distressed interior drains and roof level scuppers

B = total length of flashed perimeter of roof section being rated (including flashings for penthouses, courtyards and curbed projections)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.

Interior Drains and Roof Level Selippers (DR)







DR1 <u>Drains and Scuppers</u> <Low Severity> Bituminous material has flowed into the drain



DR2 Drains and Scuppers <Medium Severity> The felts around the drain are exposed



DR3 <u>Drains and Scuppers</u> <<u>Medium Severity></u> The strainer is broken



DR4 <u>Drains and Scuppers</u> <High Severity> The clamping ring is loose. Bolts are lying next to the ring

Interior Drains and Roof Level Scuppers (DR)



K Chin

DR5 Drains and Scuppers <High Severity> The clamping ring is missing; also, the strainer is broken and the drain is clogged with bitumen



DR6 <u>Drains and Scuppers</u> <High Severity> The drain is clogged



DR7 Drains and Scuppers <High Severity> The drain is clogged, the clamping ring is missing, and the strainer is broken

Interior Drains and Roof Level Scuppers (DR)



DR8 Drains and Scuppers <High Severity> The drain is clogged

4 MEMBRANE DISTRESSES

¢

0.3555

Index

Distress	Page
Blisters	54
Ridges	57
Splits	60
Holes	62
Surface Deterioration	63
Slippage	68
Patching	70
Debris and Vegetation	73
Improper Equipment Supports	76
Ponding	80

BLISTERS

Description: Blisters are round or elongated raised areas of the membrane which are filled with air.

Note: Blisters and ridges are difficult to differentiate at the low and medium severity levels. The rating error will be insignificant because of the similarity in the deduct curves. At high severity, however, it is important to distinguish between the two distresses due to their different leak potentials.



Graphic Representation of Blister

Severity Levels:

Low:

1. The raised areas are noticeable by vision or feel. The surfacing is still in place and the felts are not exposed.

Medium:

1. The felts are exposed or show deterioration.

High:

1. The blisters are broken.

Measurement:

- 1. Measure the length and width of the blister in lineal feet and calculate the area (length times width). If the distance between individual blisters is less than 5 ft, measure the entire affected area in sq ft.
- 2. When large quantities of this problem are present (especially on large roofs), the representative sampling technique can be used.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A = total area of membrane blisters (sq ft)

AND A COMPANY OF A DAY

B = total area of roof section being rated (sq ft)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.

Causes: Blisters are caused by voids or lack of attachment within the membrane. Moisture and gasses within the void greatly increases the potential for growth.

Blisters (BL)



BL1 Blisters <Low Severity> Low severity blisters. The surface is still in place



BL2 <u>Blisters</u> <Low Severity> Large blister but still low severity level



BL3 Blisters <Low Severity> Blisters in walk pad application



BL4 Blisters <Low Severity> Blisters in gravel surfaced membrane

B. Conservation



BL5 Blisters <Low Severity> General blistering over all of roof surface



BL6 Blisters <Low Severity> Blisters in cap sheet



BL7 Blisters < Medium Severity> Blister is bare and felts are starting to show wear



BL8 Blisters <High Severity> Blister has broken

B. Story (BL)

RIDGES

Description: Ridges are long, narrow (usually less than 3 in.), raised portions of the roof membrane. Their maximum height is about 2 in. Usually ridges occur directly above the insulation board joints and run perpendicular or parallel to the felts. They include all the plies and therefore are generally stiffer than blisters.



Graphic Representation of Ridge

Note: Blisters and ridges are difficult to differentiate at the low and medium severity. The rating error will be insignificant because of the similarity in the deduct curves. However at the high severity, it is important to distinguish between the two distresses due to their different leak potentials.

Severity Levels:

Low:

1. The ridges are noticeable but the felts are not exposed.

Medium:

1. The ridges are raised and clearly visible. The surfacing on the ridge is gone and the top felt is exposed.

High: Either of the following conditions:

- 1. Open breaks have developed in the ridge.
- 2. Felt deterioration has progressed through the top ply, exposing underlying plies.

Measurement: Measure lineal feet of ridges running in all directions.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A = total length of membrane ridges (ft)

B = total area of roof section being rated (sq ft)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.

Causes: Ridging can be the result of internally generated moisture vapor collecting at insulation joints and affecting the membrane or of movement of the substrate.





RG1 <u>Ridges</u> <Low Severity> Beginnings of ridges evident in surface; some picture framing evident

RG2 <u>Ridges</u> <Medium Severity> Closeup of medium severity ridging condition



RG3 Ridges <Low Severity> Ridges in a smooth surface membrane



RG4 <u>Ridges</u> <Medium Severity> Bare felt showing; some slippage of felt at lap is evident

Ridges (RG)



RG5 Ridges «Medium Severity» Smooth surface membrane



RG6 Ridges <High Severity> Felts are distorted; felt iap is opening up; first felt shows deterioration



RG7 Ridges - High Sevenity -Ridge has collapsed; feit - Son sem on term

-

SPLITS

Description: Splits are tears that extend through all membrane felts. They vary in length from a few feet to the length of the roof and in width from a hair-line crack to more than 1 in. Splits generally occur directly above the joints between the long sides of insulation boards and run in the direction the felts were installed.



Graphic Representation of Split

Severity Levels:

High:

1. An unrepaired split or a repaired split which has started to re-open.

Measurement: Measure lineal feet of split.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A = total length of membrane splits (ft) B = total area of roof section being rated (sq ft)

Causes:

- 1. The membrane is too weak.
- 2. There is little or no attachment between any of the following: membrane, insulation, vapor retarder (if any), and deck.
- 3. Areas of the membrane have missed headlaps.
- 4. Differential movement at deck joints.
- 5. Structural movement within the roof system.
- 6. Stress concentration due to shrinkage cracking of a poured deck.

Splits (SP)



SP1 <u>Splits</u> <High Severity>

ALCON DO L

Long split over structural member; yellow markings indicate water penetration determined by infrared (IR) scanning



SP2 <u>Splits</u> <High Severity> Closeup of split in gravel surface; repair attempt made using mastic without reinforcing fabric



SP3 Splits <High Severity> Large split in gravel surface roof



SP4 Splits <High Severity> Split in mineral cap sheet

Splits (SP)

HOLES

Description: A membrane hole is any visible opening which extends through all membrane layers. Holes can be of various sizes and shapes, and can be located anywhere on the roof surface.

Severity Levels:

High:

1. All holes in the membrane are considered high severity due to their high leak potential.

Measurement: Count the total number of holes in the membrane. If the distance between two holes is less than 1 ft, count them as one hole.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A = number of membrane holes

B = total area of roof section being rated (sq ft)



HL1 Holes <High Severity> Membrane below gravel surface has been penetrated by a sharp object





Holes (HL)

SURFACE DETERIORATION

Description: A built-up roofing membrane will generally have one of the following types of surfacing: aggregate surface, mineral surface-cap or smooth surface-coated. The membrane surface may show any of the following distressed conditions:

- 1. Lack of top surface or coating.
- 2. Alligatoring (interconnected hairline cracks that resemble alligator hide).
- 3. Lack of adhesion between the membrane plies.

Note: Walkways are treated as part of the membrane surfacing.

Severity Levels:

Low: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. On aggregate surfaced roofs, the aggregate is not embedded or is poorly embedded but the felts remain covered with aggregate.
- 2. Open edge laps or fishmouths.
- 3. On smooth surfaced roofs, there is evidence of crazing of top surface with hairline cracks (alligatoring).
- 4. Walkway shows loss of surfacing, loss of adhesion, cracks, blistering or cracked coating.

Medium: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. On aggregate surfaced roofs, the aggregate is displaced and the top coat of bitumen is exposed.
- 2. On mineral surfaced-cap sheet roofs, the mineral granules have come off the cap sheet, exposing the underlying felt.
- 3. On smooth surfaced roofs, no surface coating exists or there is a loss of surface coating.
- 4. On smooth surfaced roofs, alligator cracks extend down through the bitumen to the top felt.

High: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. On aggregate surfaced roofs, the aggregate cover has been displaced and the bitumen pour coat is deteriorated, leaving the underlying felts exposed. The felts may be deteriorated.
- 2. On mineral surfaced-cap sheet roofs, the cap sheet felt is deteriorated.
- 3. On smooth surfaced roofs, alligator cracks extend down through one or more plies.
- 4. Shrinking of the walkway has torn the membrane below it.

Measurement:

- 1. Measure square feet of each affected area and rate at highest severity level which exists.
- 2. When large quantities of this problem are present (especially on large roofs), the representative sampling technique can be used.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A = total area of surface deterioration (sq ft)

B = total area of roof section being rated (sq ft)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.

Causes:

- 1. Inadequate top pour coat.
- 2. Little or no embedment of aggregate.
- 3. Wind erosion such as occurs at roof corners and water erosion such as occurs when downspouts empty directly onto the membrane.
- 4. Use of bitumen on a slope that is greater than proper for that bitumen. The bitumen and gravel run off, leaving the felts exposed. This can affect localized areas or the entire roof.
- 5. Alligatoring results from overapplication of bitumen or aging bitumen, combined with weathering and temperature cycling. The bitumen gradually loses the ability to flow back together and alligatoring is enhanced.

Surface Deterioration (SR)



SR1 Surface Deterioration <Low Severity> Gravel is loose and easily displaced by wind; imbedment of gravel is minimal <Medium Severity> Bare areas



SR2 Surface Deterioration <Low Severity> There are open edge laps or fishmouths



SR3 Surface Deterioration <Low Severity> Walkways are cracked or blistered





SR4 Surface Deterioration <Low Severity> Hairline alligator eracking of the surface is evident

Surface Determination (SR)

SR5 Surface Deterioration <Medium Severity> Granule surface on cap sheet is eroded



SR6 Surface Deterioration <Medium Severity> Loss of surface coating on smooth surface roof



SR7 Surface Deterioration <Medium Severity> Migator cracking of the surface extends down to the felt



. . .



SR8 Surface Deterioration «Medium Severity» Alligator cracking of the surface extends down to the felt







SR9 Surface Deterioration < Medium Severity> Gravel surfacing shows erosion from wind coming over corner of building

SR10 Surface Deterioration <High Severity> Gravel surfacing is gone and felts are starting to show wear



SR11 Surface Deterioration < High Severity -Smooth surface memorane is (eteriorated)





SR12 Surface Deterioration - High Severity -Cap sheet is completely worn and det is term or proken

Springer Determinent (SR)

SLIPPAGE

Description: Slippage is a downslope lateral movement of felt plies. Slippage usually occurs on roofs with slopes greater than 1/4 in./ft.

Severity Levels:

Low:

1. Less than 2 in. of slippage has occurred, evidenced by the presence of narrow bare strips perpendicular to the slope.

Note: Low severity slippage requires inspection at 6 month intervals.

High:

1. More than 2 in. of slippage has occurred. There is evidence of humping and wrinkling.

Measurement: Measure square feet of affected roof area. The affected area extends from the high point on the slope where bare felts are noticeable, down to the low point of the slope or the area where humping and wrinkling are noticeable.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A = total affected area of roof (sq ft)

B = total area of roof section being rated (sq ft)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.

Causes:

- 1. Inadequate fastening of felts for the amount of roof slope present.
- 2. Use of improper bitumen.

- 3. Thickness of asphalt interply moppings is too great, reducing horizontal shear resistance between felt plies.
- 4. Phased construction, where the base sheet is glazed and felts applied at a later date.

Slippage (SL)



- SLL Supplign Flow Selentia Supplign Selentian second the Told sufflate



SI 2 - Sopplage High Sciences Sopplage Sciences transformer


PATCHING

Description: A localized repair of the membrane done with cold-applied roofing cement with fabric or felt embedded in it, with hot bitumen and felts, or with a modified bitumen system. It should be obvious that the work is not part of the original roof construction.

Severity Levels:

Low:

1. All patches are rated low severity as a minimum.

Medium:

1. The materials and workmanship of the patch are not equal to or better than the existing membrane.

High:

1. Ruptures or other membrane distresses of at least medium severity are present within the patched area (count as patching distress only).

Measurement:

- 1. Measure square feet of each patch having the above conditions.
- 2. When large quantities of this problem are present (especially on large roofs), the representative sampling technique can be used.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A total area of patching (sq ft)

B - total area of roof section being rated (sq ft)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.

• ,



PA1 Patching <Low Severity> Patching is evident in smooth surfaced roof.



PA2 Patching <Low Severity> Patching is evident in gravel surfaced roof

1

• !



PA3 Patching <Medium Severity> Patching material is not the same as the original roof



PA4 Patching <High Severity> Patched area has a high severity blister

Promatival



PA5 <u>Patching</u> <High Severity> Patched area has a split

Patching (PA)

DEBRIS AND VEGETATION

Description:

- 1. Foreign objects on the roof which could damage or puncture the membrane.
- 2. The growth of vegetation on the roof.
- 3. Accumulation of solvent and oil drippings on the roof.

Severity Levels:

Medium: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. The collection of foreign objects which are not removed from the roof during the inspection.
- 2. Grease, solvent, or oil drippings on the roof which show no degradation of the roof membrane.
- 3. Evidence of vegetation, but not penetrating the felts.

High: Any of the following conditions:

- 1. Grease, solvent, or oil drippings on the roof which is causing degradation to the roofing system.
- 2. Vegetation roots that have penetrated the felts.

Measurement: Measure square feet of affected area. Each isolated case of debris and vegetation of less than 1 sq ft in area should be counted as 1 sq ft.

Density:

100000 S C - 1

$$\frac{A}{B} \times 100 = Problem Density$$

where A = total area of debris and vegetation (sq ft)

B = total area of roof section being rated (sq ft)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.



D.1 Depris and Vegetation Ere in container left on roof; its lector should remove



DV2 Debris and Vegetation < Medium Severity -Accumulation of tree needles on roof



e de la construcción de la constru La construcción de la construcción d





Debris and Vegetation
 Medium Severity Grassign wing on poor



DV6 Debris and Vegetation < Medium Severity -Vegetation growing on roof





IMPROPER EQUIPMENT SUPPORTS

Description: Pipe, conduit, and mechanical equipment supports (wood sleepers, channels, etc.) which are placed directly on the roof surface with no protective pad or placed at insufficient height to allow for maintaining the membrane below the equipment. Repairing this distress may require replacing the surrounding insulation and membrane.

Note: Terminations for guy wires are to be rated as flashed penetrations distresses.

Severity Levels:

Low:

1. All improper equipment supports are rated low severity as a minimum due to the maintenance problems associated with them.

Medium: Either of the following conditions:

- 1. Movement of the support has caused displacement of the roof surfacing but has not damaged the membrane.
- 2. The equipment is bolted through the membrane but the bolts appear to be sealed.

High: Either of the following conditions:

- 1. The support has caused damage to the roof membrane.
- 2. The equipment is bolted through the membrane and the bolts appear not to be sealed.

Measurement: Measure square feet of each improper equipment support. The minimum dimension for length and width of a support shall be 1 ft.

Density:

$$\frac{A}{B}$$
 x 100 = Problem Density

where A = total area of improper equipment supports (sq ft)

B = total area of roof section being rated (sq ft)

Note: The problem density is calculated for each existing severity level.

Improper Equipment Supports (EQ)



EQ1 Improper Equipment Supports <Low Severity> Pipe supported by wood block



EQ2 Improper Equipment Supports <Low Severity> Conduit supported by wood blocks



EQ3 Improper Equipment Supports <Low Severity> Ductwork supported on steel channels which rest on the membrane, unflashed



EQ4 Improper Equipment Supports <Low Severity> Large air-conditioning unit supported on unflashed steel

Improper Equipment Supports (EQ)



EQ5 Improper Equipment Supports <Medium Severity> Air handling equipment installed directly on the roof surface



EQ6 Improper Equipment Supports <High Severity> Blocks supporting piping have moved, tearing the roof membrane



EQ7 Improper Equipment Supports <High Severity> Angle leg set on roof without flashing





EQ8 Improper Equipment Supports <High Severity> Equipment support has damaged membrane



EQ3 Improper Equipment Supports <High Severity> Improper A/C support and pipe penetration



EQ10 Improper Equipment Supports <High Severity> Base for antenna has not been placed on a flashed curb. Bolts penetrate membrane



EQ11 Improper Equipment Supports <High Severity> Antenna base is nailed to roof

Improper Equipment Supplier LEQ.

PONDING

Description: Standing water is present or there is evidence of ponding by the presence of staining. Water which remains after 48 hr. is considered ponded water.

Severity Levels:

Low:

1. Ponding is rated low severity due to the maintenance problems associated with it.

Measurement: Measure square feet of affected area.

Density:

 $\frac{A}{B}$ x 100 = Problem Density

where: A = total area of ponding (sq ft) B = total area of roof section being rated (sq ft)

Causes:

- 1. Improper design of roof drainage system.
- 2. Irregularities of membrane surface.
- 3. Clogged roof drains and scuppers or obstructions.



PD1 Ponding <Low Severity> Ponding is occurring between drains

፟ፚኯዀፙዿዿቘ፼ፙኯፙኯጟኯፚዾጟጞጞኯፙኯጞጞፙፙፙዀዀዀፙፙዀዀ



PD2 Ponding - Low Seven type Ponding of The Education of

Pointing (PD)





Port Ponding (Low Severity> Dependention of ponding)



PD8 Ponding <Low Severity> Drain is placed too high





PD10 Ponding >Low Severity>
Evidence of ponding shown in PD9

APPENDIX A:

DEDUCT VALUE CURVES



CARDON DEVOLUTION CALLAN

Res a

Charles Charles Charles









ᡗᢟᡚᢓᠯᡩᡬ᠋ᡵ᠊ᡬᢧ᠊ᡬᠽᡭᡚᡭᡊᡗᡊ᠘ᡊ᠘ᠪ᠕ᡃᡛᡚ᠙ᠺᡆᡗᡊᠪᡄᡊᡆᡬᡊ᠘ᡊ᠔ᡎ᠘ᡧᡬ᠒ᡷᠿᡭᡇᡭᡇᡚᡛᡭᡛᡚᡇ᠘ᡇ᠔᠘ᡧ᠔ᡧ᠔ᡧᡘᢋᡘᡊ᠘ᡧ᠐ᡧ᠔ᠸ᠔ᡧ᠔ᠸ᠔ᠸ᠔ᠸ᠘ᡧ᠘᠅ᢢᢩ᠋ᠸᡧᢢᢧ



۰. ج

•

0

Corrected deduct values for flashing.





- j



فتنتخذ

• 23.23







ч I





Manager Constants

0



0.000

ALC: N

March The Contraction

WOM S





Corrected deduct values for membrane.

APPENDIX B:

INSPECTION AND RATING FORMS

On the following pages are a Roof Inspection Worksheet (front and back) and a Roof Section Rating Form that may be photocopied for use.

BUILDING SECTION	PER. FLASHING CURB FLASHIN	GFT	DATE NAME .			
BF - BASE FLASH MC - METAL CAP EM - EMBEDDED MET FP - FLASHED PEN PP - PITCH PANS DR - DRAIN & SCUPPER	DISTRESS TYPE BL - BLISTERS RG - RIDGES SP - SPLITS HL - HOLES SR - SURF DET	SL - SLIPPAGE PA - PATCHING DV - DEBRIS & VEP EQ - EQ SUPPORTS PD - PONDING	G N O	D S I E S V T E R R E I S T S Y	0 E F C T	•
			-		•	
				• •	•	•
				• • •	•	٠
			–	• •	•	٠
				••··	•	٠
				• · · ·	-	•
				••	•	•
				••	-	•
				↓	•	•
				+ -+	• •···	•
				.		÷
				+		-
				÷+	+	·
				+	-•	٠
					. .	•
				_		• +-
					- · • · ·	·
					-+	-+
					_+	-+
				+	···	- +-
				. .		-*
						
				. <u>+</u> +	- *·	-•-
				+		
				• •		-•
			⊢	+ · +	.	•
				<u> </u>		
SCALE				NOF	≀тн	

l

C REAL PROPERTY OF

RE	M	A	R	S:
----	---	---	---	----





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963 - 1

		R	OOF	SE	CTIC	N	RAT	ING	FOR	M					
BUILDING SECTION						DATE_				CAL	C.	ΒY			
PER. FLAS CURB FLAS	HING SHING	FT FT	FLA: TOT	SHING	3			FT	AREA_		S	QFT	Снк	D.	BY
FLASHING DISTRESS TYPE BF - BASE FLASH DR - DRAIN & MC - METAL CAP SCUPPER EM - EMBEDDED MET FP - FLASHED PEN					ME DISTR BL - BLISTERS RG - RIDGES SP - SPLITS HL - HOLES				MBRANE RESS TYPE SL - SLIPPAGE PA - PATCHING DV - DEBRIS & VEG EQ - EQU SUPPORTS						
PP - PIICH			TOT	DEN	0.14		SR -	SUP	RF DET		PD -	PON			
CORRECT		VALU	E (C	DV)			со	RRE	CTED	DEDUC	T VAL				
FCI = 100 FLASHING	- CDV = G RATING =				-		MC MEI	= 1(MBR	00 - CI)V = ATING =				l.	-

USA-CERL DISTRIBUTION

Chief of Engineers ATTN: Tech Monitor ATTN: CEIM-SL (2) ATTN: CECC-P ATTN: CECW ATTN: CECW-0 ATTN: CECW-0 ATTN: CECW-P ATTN: CEEC ATTN: CEEC-C ATTN: CEEC-E ATTN: CERD ATTN: CERD-C ATTN: CERD-M ATTN: CERM ATTN: DAEN-ZCE ATTN: DAEN-2CF ATTN: DAEN-2CI ATTN: DAEN-ZCM ATTN: DAEN-ZCZ FESA, ATTN: Library 22060 ATTN: DET III 79906 US Army Engineer Districts ATTN: Library (41) **US Army Engineer Divisions** ATTN: Library (14) US Army Europe AEAEN-ODCS/Engr 09403 ISAE 09081 V Corps ATTN: DEH (11) VII Corps ATTN: DEH (15) 21st Support Command ATTN: DEH (12) USA Berlin ATTN: DEH (11) USASETAF ATTN: DEH (10) Allied Command Europe (ACE) ATTN: DEH (3) 8th USA, Korea (19) **ROK/US Combined Forces Command 96301** ATTN: EUSA-HHC-CPC/Engr USA Japan (USARJ) ATTN: AJEN-DEH 96343 ATTN: DEH-Honshu 96343 ATTN: DEH-Okinawa 96331 416th Engineer Command 60623 ATTN: Facilities Engineer US Military Academy 10966 ATTN: Facilities Engineer ATTN: Dept of Geography & Computer Science ATTN: DSCPER/MAEN-A AMMRC, ATTN DRXMR-WE 02172 USA AMCCOM 61299-6000 ATTN: AMSMC-RI ATTN: AMSMC-IS AMC - Dir., Inst., & Serve ATTN: DEH (23) ATTN: AMCEN-A DLA ATTN: DLA-WI 22314 DNA ATTN: NADS 20305 FORSCOM FORSCOM Engr. ATTN: AFEN-DEH ATTN: DEH (23) HSC

ATTN: HSLO-F 78234 ATTN: Facilities Engineer Fitzsimons AMC 80240 Walter Reed AMC 20012 TRADOC HQ, TRADOC, ATTN: ATEN DEH ATTN: DEH (19) TSARCOM, ATTN: STSAS-F 53120 USACC, ATTN: Facilities Engr. (2) WESTCOM ATTN: DEH, Ft. Shafter 96858 ATTN: APEN-IM SHAPE 09055 ATTN: Surv. Section, CCB-OPS Infrastructure Brancr, LANDA HQ USEUCOM 09128 ATTN: ECJ 4/7-LOE FORT BELVOIR, VA 22060 (7) ATTN: Canadian Liaison Officer ATTN: British Liaison Officer ATTN: Australian Liaison Officer ATTN: French Liaison Officer ATTN: German Liaison Officer ATTN: Water Resources Support Ctr ATTN: Engr Studies Center ATTN: Engr Topographic Lab. ATTN: ATZA-DTE-SU ATTN: ATZA-DTE-SU ATTN: R&D Command CRREL, ATTN: Library 03755 WES, ATTN: Library 39180 HQ, XVIII Airborn Corps and Fort Bragg ATTN: AFZA-FE-EE 28307 Area Engineer, AEDC-Area Office Arnold Air Force Station, TN 37389 Chanute AFB, IL 61868 3345 CES/DE, Stop 27 Norton AFB, CA 92409 ATTN: AFRCE-MX/DEE AFESC, Tyndall AFB, FL 32403 NAVFAC ATTN: Engineering Command (7) ATTN: Division Offices (6) ATTN: Naval Public Works Center (9) ATTN: Naval Civil Engr Lab. (3) NCEL ATTN: Library, Code L08A 93043 Defense Technical Info. Center 22314 ATTN: DDA (2) SETAF Engineer Design Office 09019 Engr Societies Library, NY 10017 Natl Guard Bureau Instl. Div 20310 US Govt Print Office 22304 Receiving Sect/Depository Copies (2) US Army Env. Hygiene Agency ATTN: HSHB-E 21010 National Bureau of Standards 20899 310 06/87

EMC Team Distribution

Chief of Engineers 20314 ATTN: DAEN-ZCF-B ATTN: DAEN-ECZ-A ATTN: DAEN-ECB (2) ATTN: DAEN-ZCP US Army Engineer District New York 10007 ATTN: Chief, Design Br Pittsburgh 15222 ATTN: Chief, ORPCD ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Philadelphia 19106 ATTN: Chief, NAPEN-D Baltimore 21203 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Norfolk 23510 ATTN: Chief, NAOEN-M ATTN: Chief, NAOEN-D Huntington 25721 ATTN: Chief, ORHED-F Wilmington 28401 ATTN: Chief, SAWCO-C ATTN: Chief, SAWEN-D Charleston 29402 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Savannah 31402 ATTN: Chief, SASAS-L Jacksonville 32232 ATTN: Const Div Mobile 36628 ATTN: Chief, SAMEN-D ATTN: Chief, SAMEN-F ATTN: Chief, SAMEN Nashville 37202 ATTN: Chief, ORNED-F Memphis 38103 ATTN: Chief, Const Div ATTN: Chief, LMMED-D Vicksburg 39180 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Louisville 40201 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Detroit 48231 ATTN: Chief, NCEED-T St. Paul 55101 ATTN: Chief, ED-D ATTN: Chief, ED-F Rock (sland 61201 ATTN: Chief, NCRED-F St. Louis 63101 ATTN: Chief, ED-D Kansas City 64106 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Omaha 68102 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div New Orleans 70160 ATTN: Chief, LMNED-DG Little Rock 42203 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Tuisa 74102 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Ft. Worth 76102 ATTN: Chief, SWFED-D Galveston 77550 ATTN: Chief, SWGAS-L ATTN: Chief, SWGCO-C ATTN: Chief, SWGED-DC Albuquerque 87103 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Los Angeles 90053 ATTN: Chief, SPLED-F San Francisco 94105 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Sacramento 95814 ATTN: Chief, SPKED-D ATTN: Chief, SPKCO-C Far East 96301 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Portland 97208 ATTN: Chief, DB-6 ATTN: Chief, FM-1 ATTN: Chief, FM-2

10 - Ton 10

Seattle 98124 ATTN: Chief, NPSCO ATTN: Chief, NPSEN-FM ATTN: Chief, EN-DB-ST Walla Walla 99362 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Alaska 99501 ATTN: Chief, NPASA-R US Army Engineer Division New England 02154 ATTN: Chief, NEDED-T ATTN: Laboratory ATTN: Chief, NEDCD Middle East (Rear) 22601 ATTN: Chief, MEDED-T North Atlantic 10007 ATTN: Chief, NADEN South Atlantic 30303 ATTN: Laboratory ATTN: Chief, SADEN-TC ATTN: Chief, SADEN-TS Huntsville 35807 ATTN: Chief, HNDED-CS ATTN: Chief, HNDED-M ATTN: Chief, HNDED-SR Lower Mississippi 39180 ATTN: Chief, LMVED-G Ohio River 45201 ATTN: Laboratory ATTN: Chief, Engr Div Missouri River 68101 ATTN: Chief, MRDED-G ATTN: Laboratory Southwestern 75202 ATTN: Laboratory ATTN: Chief, SWDED-MA ATTN: Chief, SWDED-TG South Pacific 94111 ATTN: Laboratory Pacific Ocean 96858 ATTN: Chief, Engr Div ATTN: FM&S Branch ATTN: Chief, PODED-D North Pacific 97208 ATTN: Laboratory ATTN: Chief, Engr Div 6th US Army 94129 ATTN: AFKC-EN 7th US Army 09407 ATTN: AETTM-HRD-EHD

Ft. Benning, GA 31905 ATTN: ATZB-FE-EP ATTN: ATZB-FE-BG

Ft. Leavenworth, KS 66027 ATTN: ATZLCA-SA

Ft. Lee. VA 23801 ATTN: DRXMC-D (2)

Ft. McPherson, GA 30330 ATTN: AFEN-CD

Ft. Monroe, VA 23651 ATTN: ATEN-AD (3) ATTN: ATEN-FE-ME ATTN: ATEN-FE-BG (2)

Ft. Richardson, AK 99505 ATTN: AFZT-FE-E

Rocky Mountain Arsenal 80022 ATTN: SARRM-CO-FEP

USA-WES 39180 ATTN: C/Structures ATTN: Soils & Pavements Lab

Naval Facilities Engr Command 22332 ATTN: CODE 2013 C

COMMANDER (CODE 2636) 93555 Naval Weapons Center

Little Rock AFB ATTN: 314/DEEE

Bidg. Research Advisory Board 20418

Dept of Transportation Library 20590 Transportation Research Board 20418

> 112 +1 3/87

HQ, Combined Field Army (ROK/US) 96358 ATTN: CFAR-EN

US Army Foreign Science and Tech Center ATTN: Charlottesville, VA 22901 ATTN: Far East Office 96328

USA ARRADCOM 07801 ATTN: DRDAR-LCA-OK

HQ, USAMRDC ATTN: SGRD-PLC Fort Detrick, MD 21701

West Point, NY 10996 ATTN: Dept of Mechanics ATTN: Library

Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060 ATTN: Learning Resource Center

Tyndall AFB	32403
ATTN: HQ AFESC/D	EMM (3)
FESA	22060
ATTN: CEFE S-EB	(3)
CRREL	07355
ATTN: CECRL-EC	(3)
NAVFACENGCOM	19112
ATTN: Code 102A	(750)

END DATE FILMED DTIC 4/88