AD-A190 350   ADA (TRADE NAME) COMPILER VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT: 1/1
VERDIX CORPORATION V.. (U) INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND
TECHNOLOGY CENTER W-P AFB OH ADA VALI..   20 DEC 86
UNCLASSIFIED   F/G 12/5   NL




1.0
2.8
2.5
3.2
2.2
3.6
4.0
2.0
1.1
1.8
1.25
1.4
1.6

UNCLASSIFIED DTIC FILE COPY

(2)

SECU[illegible] Entered)

[illegible]N PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETEING FORM

1. AD-A190 358 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report: Verdix Corporation. VADS VAda-010-03405, Version 5.41 MicroVAX II-to-Fairchild 9450	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 20 Dec 1986 to 20 Dec 1987
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Wright-Patterson AFB	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION AND ADDRESS Ada Validation Facility ASD/SIOL Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Ada Joint Program Office United States Department of Defense Washington, DC 20301-3081	12. REPORT DATE 20 December 1986
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 38
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (If different from Controlling Office) Wright-Patterson	15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from Report)

UNCLASSIFIED

DTIC SELECTE JAN 05 1988 D S E

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEYWORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Ada Programming language, Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report, Ada Compiler Validation Capability, ACVC, Validation Testing, Ada Validation Office, AVO, Ada Validation Facility, AVF, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, Ada Joint Program Office, AJPO

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

See Attached

DD FORM 1473 1 JAN 73   EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE   S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Validation Summary Report (VSR) summarizes the results and conclusions of validation testing performed on the Verdix Ada Development System, VADS VAda-010-03405, Version 5.41, using Version 1.8 of the Ada® Compiler Validation Capability (ACVC). The Verdix Ada Development System is hosted on a MicroVAX II operating under MicroVMS, Version 4.2. Programs processed by this compiler may be executed on a Fairchild 9450 implementation of MIL-STD-1750A.

On-site testing was performed 15 December 1986 through 20 December 1986 at Verdix Corporation in Aloha OR, under the direction of the Ada Validation Facility (AVF), according to Ada Validation Organization (AVO) policies and procedures. The AVF identified 2138 of the 2399 tests in ACVC Version 1.8 to be processed during on-site testing of the compiler. The 19 tests withdrawn at the time of validation testing, as well as the 242 executable tests that make use of floating-point precision exceeding that supported by the implementation, were not processed. After the 2138 tests were processed, results for Class A, C, D, and E tests were examined for correct execution. Compilation listings for Class B tests were analyzed for correct diagnosis of syntax and semantic errors. Compilation and link results of Class L tests were analyzed for correct detection of errors. There were 22 of the processed tests determined to be inapplicable. The remaining 2116 tests were passed.

The results of validation are summarized in the following table:

RESULT	CHAPTER												TOTAL
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	14	
Passed	96	222	298	244	161	97	137	261	130	32	218	220	2116
Failed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inapplicable	20	103	122	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	13	264
Withdrawn	0	5	5	0	0	1	1	2	4	0	1	0	19
TOTAL	116	330	425	247	161	98	140	264	134	32	219	233	2399

The AVF concludes that these results demonstrate acceptable conformity to ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A Ada.

®Ada is a registered trademark of the United States Government (Ada Joint Program Office).

AVF Control Number: AVF-VSR-53.0787
86-10-06-VRX

Ada® COMPILER
VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT:
Verdix Corporation
VADS VAda-010-03405, Version 5.41
MicroVAX II-to-Fairchild 9450

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	X
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

Completion of On-Site Testing:
20 December 1986

Prepared By:
Ada Validation Facility
ASD/SCOL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Prepared For:
Ada Joint Program Office
United States Department of Defense
Washington, D.C.

® Ada is a registered trademark of the United States Government (Ada Joint Program Office).

++++++++++++++++++++++
+ +
+ Place NTIS form here +
+ +
++++++++++++++++++++++

Ada® Compiler Validation Summary Report:

Compiler Name: VADS VAda-010-03405, Version 5.41

Host: MicroVAX II under MicroVMS, Version 4.2

Target: Fairchild 9450 (bare) mounted on a MIL-STD-1750A Software Execution Unit within a Tektronix V1750A using the MicroVAX II for file I/O

Testing Completed 20 December 1986 Using ACVC 1.8

This report has been reviewed and is approved.

Ada Validation Facility
Georgeanne Chitwood
ASD/SCOL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Ada Validation Organization
Dr. John F. Kramer
Institute for Defense Analyses
Alexandria VA

Ada Joint Program Office
Virginia L. Castor
Director
Department of Defense
Washington DC

®Ada is a registered trademark of the United States Government (Ada Joint Program Office).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Validation Summary Report (VSR) summarizes the results and conclusions of validation testing performed on the Verdix Ada Development System, VADS VAda-010-03405, Version 5.41, using Version 1.8 of the Ada® Compiler Validation Capability (ACVC). The Verdix Ada Development System is hosted on a MicroVAX II operating under MicroVMS, Version 4.2. Programs processed by this compiler may be executed on a Fairchild 9450 implementation of MIL-STD-1750A.

On-site testing was performed 15 December 1986 through 20 December 1986 at Verdix Corporation in Aloha OR, under the direction of the Ada Validation Facility (AVF), according to Ada Validation Organization (AVO) policies and procedures. The AVF identified 2138 of the 2399 tests in ACVC Version 1.8 to be processed during on-site testing of the compiler. The 19 tests withdrawn at the time of validation testing, as well as the 242 executable tests that make use of floating-point precision exceeding that supported by the implementation, were not processed. After the 2138 tests were processed, results for Class A, C, D, and E tests were examined for correct execution. Compilation listings for Class B tests were analyzed for correct diagnosis of syntax and semantic errors. Compilation and link results of Class L tests were analyzed for correct detection of errors. There were 22 of the processed tests determined to be inapplicable. The remaining 2116 tests were passed.

The results of validation are summarized in the following table:

RESULT	CHAPTER												TOTAL
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	14	
Passed	96	222	298	244	161	97	137	261	130	32	218	220	2116
Failed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inapplicable	20	103	122	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	13	264
Withdrawn	0	5	5	0	0	1	1	2	4	0	1	0	19
TOTAL	116	330	425	247	161	98	140	264	134	32	219	233	2399

The AVF concludes that these results demonstrate acceptable conformity to ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A Ada.

®Ada is a registered trademark of the United States Government (Ada Joint Program Office).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT 1-2
1.2 USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT 1-2
1.3 REFERENCES 1-3
1.4 DEFINITION OF TERMS 1-3
1.5 ACVC TEST CLASSES 1-4

CHAPTER 2 CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

2.1 CONFIGURATION TESTED 2-1
2.2 IMPLEMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS 2-2

CHAPTER 3 TEST INFORMATION

3.1 TEST RESULTS 3-1
3.2 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CLASS 3-1
3.3 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CHAPTER 3-2
3.4 WITHDRAWN TESTS 3-2
3.5 INAPPLICABLE TESTS 3-2
3.6 SPLIT TESTS 3-3
3.7 ADDITIONAL TESTING INFORMATION 3-4
3.7.1 Prevalidation 3-4
3.7.2 Test Method 3-4
3.7.3 Test Site 3-5

APPENDIX A COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

APPENDIX B APPENDIX F OF THE Ada STANDARD

APPENDIX C TEST PARAMETERS

APPENDIX D WITHDRAWN TESTS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This Validation Summary Report (VSR) describes the extent to which a specific Ada compiler conforms to the Ada Standard, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A. This report explains all technical terms used within it and thoroughly reports the results of testing this compiler using the Ada Compiler Validation Capability (ACVC). An Ada compiler must be implemented according to the Ada Standard, and any implementation-dependent features must conform to the requirements of the Ada Standard. The Ada Standard must be implemented in its entirety, and nothing can be implemented that is not in the Standard.

Even though all validated Ada compilers conform to the Ada Standard, it must be understood that some differences do exist between implementations. The Ada Standard permits some implementation dependencies--for example, the maximum length of identifiers or the maximum values of integer types. Other differences between compilers result from characteristics of particular operating systems, hardware, or implementation strategies. All of the dependencies observed during the process of testing this compiler are given in this report.

The information in this report is derived from the test results produced during validation testing. The validation process includes submitting a suite of standardized tests, the ACVC, as inputs to an Ada compiler and evaluating the results. The purpose of validating is to ensure conformity of the compiler to the Ada Standard by testing that the compiler properly implements legal language constructs and that it identifies and rejects illegal language constructs. The testing also identifies behavior that is implementation dependent but permitted by the Ada Standard. Six classes of tests are used. These tests are designed to perform checks at compile time, at link time, and during execution.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

This VSR documents the results of the validation testing performed on an Ada compiler. Testing was carried out for the following purposes:

- To attempt to identify any language constructs supported by the compiler that do not conform to the Ada Standard

- To attempt to identify any unsupported language constructs required by the Ada Standard

- To determine that the implementation-dependent behavior is allowed by the Ada Standard

Testing of this compiler was conducted by SofTech, Inc., under the direction of the AVF according to policies and procedures established by the Ada Validation Organization (AVO). On-site testing was conducted from 15 December 1986 through 20 December 1986 at Verdix Corporation in Aloha OR.

1.2 USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

Consistent with the national laws of the originating country, the AVO may make full and free public disclosure of this report. In the United States, this is provided in accordance with the "Freedom of Information Act" (5 U.S.C. #552). The results of this validation apply only to the computers, operating systems, and compiler versions identified in this report.

The organizations represented on the signature page of this report do not represent or warrant that all statements set forth in this report are accurate and complete, or that the subject compiler has no nonconformities to the Ada Standard other than those presented. Copies of this report are available to the public from:

Ada Information Clearinghouse
Ada Joint Program Office
OUSDRE
The Pentagon, Rm 3D-139 (Fern Street)
Washington DC 20301-3081

or from:

Ada Validation Facility
ASD/SCOL
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Questions regarding this report or the validation test results should be directed to the AVF listed above or to:

Ada Validation Organization
Institute for Defense Analyses
1801 North Beauregard Street
Alexandria VA 22311

1.3 REFERENCES

1. Reference Manual for the Ada Programming Language, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, FEB 1983.

2. Ada Validation Organization: Procedures and Guidelines, Ada Joint Program Office, 1 JAN 1987.

3. Ada Compiler Validation Capability Implementers' Guide, SofTech, Inc., DEC 1984.

1.4 DEFINITION OF TERMS

ACVC — The Ada Compiler Validation Capability. A set of programs that evaluates the conformity of a compiler to the Ada language specification, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A.

Ada Standard — ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, February 1983.

Applicant — The agency requesting validation.

AVF — The Ada Validation Facility. In the context of this report, the AVF is responsible for conducting compiler validations according to established policies and procedures.

AVO — The Ada Validation Organization. In the context of this report, the AVO is responsible for setting procedures for compiler validations.

Compiler — A processor for the Ada language. In the context of this report, a compiler is any language processor, including cross-compilers, translators, and interpreters.

Failed test — A test for which the compiler generates a result that demonstrates nonconformity to the Ada Standard.

Host — The computer on which the compiler resides.

Inapplicable test — A test that uses features of the language that a compiler is not required to support or may legitimately support in a way other than the one expected by the test.

Passed test — A test for which a compiler generates the expected result.

Target — The computer for which a compiler generates code.

Test — A program that checks a compiler's conformity regarding a particular feature or features to the Ada Standard. In the context of this report, the term is used to designate a single test, which may comprise one or more files.

Withdrawn test — A test found to be incorrect and not used to check conformity to the Ada language specification. A test may be incorrect because it has an invalid test objective, fails to meet its test objective, or contains illegal or erroneous use of the language.

1.5 ACVC TEST CLASSES

Conformity to the Ada Standard is measured using the ACVC. The ACVC contains both legal and illegal Ada programs structured into six test classes: A, B, C, D, E, and L. The first letter of a test name identifies the class to which it belongs. Class A, C, D, and E tests are executable, and special program units are used to report their results during execution. Class B tests are expected to produce compilation errors. Class L tests are expected to produce link errors.

Class A tests check that legal Ada programs can be successfully compiled and executed. However, no checks are performed during execution to see if the test objective has been met. For example, a Class A test checks that reserved words of another language (other than those already reserved in the Ada language) are not treated as reserved words by an Ada compiler. A Class A test is passed if no errors are detected at compile time and the program executes to produce a PASSED message.

Class B tests check that a compiler detects illegal language usage. Class B tests are not executable. Each test in this class is compiled and the resulting compilation listing is examined to verify that every syntax or semantic error in the test is detected. A Class B test is passed if every illegal construct that it contains is detected by the compiler.

Class C tests check that legal Ada programs can be correctly compiled and executed. Each Class C test is self-checking and produces a PASSED, FAILED, or NOT APPLICABLE message indicating the result when it is executed.

Class D tests check the compilation and execution capacities of a compiler. Since there are no capacity requirements placed on a compiler by the Ada Standard for some parameters--for example, the number of identifiers

permitted in a compilation or the number of units in a library--a compiler may refuse to compile a Class D test and still be a conforming compiler. Therefore, if a Class D test fails to compile because the capacity of the compiler is exceeded, the test is classified as inapplicable. If a Class D test compiles successfully, it is self-checking and produces a PASSED or FAILED message during execution.

Each Class E test is self-checking and produces a NOT APPLICABLE, PASSED, or FAILED message when it is compiled and executed. However, the Ada Standard permits an implementation to reject programs containing some features addressed by Class E tests during compilation. Therefore, a Class E test is passed by a compiler if it is compiled successfully and executes to produce a PASSED message, or if it is rejected by the compiler for an allowable reason.

Class L tests check that incomplete or illegal Ada programs involving multiple, separately compiled units are detected and not allowed to execute. Class L tests are compiled separately and execution is attempted. A Class L test passes if it is rejected at link time--that is, an attempt to execute the main program must generate an error message before any declarations in the main program or any units referenced by the main program are elaborated.

Two library units, the package REPORT and the procedure CHECK_FILE, support the self-checking features of the executable tests. The package REPORT provides the mechanism by which executable tests report PASSED, FAILED, or NOT APPLICABLE results. It also provides a set of identity functions used to defeat some compiler optimizations allowed by the Ada Standard that would circumvent a test objective. The procedure CHECK_FILE is used to check the contents of text files written by some of the Class C tests for chapter 14 of the Ada Standard. The operation of these units is checked by a set of executable tests. These tests produce messages that are examined to verify that the units are operating correctly. If these units are not operating correctly, then the validation is not attempted.

The text of the tests in the ACVC follow conventions that are intended to ensure that the tests are reasonably portable without modification. For example, the tests make use of only the basic set of 55 characters, contain lines with a maximum length of 72 characters, use small numeric values, and place features that may not be supported by all implementations in separate tests. However, some tests contain values that require the test to be customized according to implementation-specific values--for example, an illegal file name. A list of the values used for this validation is provided in Appendix C.

A compiler must correctly process each of the tests in the suite and demonstrate conformity to the Ada Standard by either meeting the pass criteria given for the test or by showing that the test is inapplicable to the implementation. Any test that was determined to contain an illegal language construct or an erroneous language construct is withdrawn from the ACVC and, therefore, is not used in testing a compiler. The tests withdrawn at the time of validation are given in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 2

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

2.1 CONFIGURATION TESTED

The candidate compilation system for this validation was tested under the following configuration:

Compiler: VADS VAda-010-03405, Version 5.41

ACVC Version: 1.8

Certificate Expiration Date: 6 March 1988

Host Computer:

Machine:	MicroVAX II
Operating System:	MicroVMS, Version 4.2
Memory Size:	13 megabytes

Target Computer:

Machine:	Fairchild 9450 mounted on a MIL-STD-1750A Software Execution Unit within a Tektronix V1750A using the MicroVAX II for file I/O
Operating System:	bare
Memory Size:	64K words

Communications Network: RS-232

2.2 IMPLEMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS

One of the purposes of validating compilers is to determine the behavior of a compiler in those areas of the Ada Standard that permit implementations to differ. Class D and E tests specifically check for such implementation differences. However, tests in other classes also characterize an implementation. This compiler is characterized by the following interpretations of the Ada Standard:

- Capacities.

  The compiler correctly processes tests containing loop statements nested to 65 levels, block statements nested to 65 levels, and recursive procedures separately compiled as subunits nested to 17 levels. It correctly processes a compilation containing 723 variables in the same declarative part. (See tests D55A03A..H (8 tests), D56001B, D64005E..G (3 tests), and D29002K.)

- Universal integer calculations.

  An implementation is allowed to reject universal integer calculations having values that exceed SYSTEM.MAX_INT. This implementation does not reject such calculations and processes them correctly. (See tests D4A002A, D4A002B, D4A004A, and D4A004B.)

- Predefined types.

  This implementation supports the additional predefined types LONG_INTEGER and LONG_FLOAT in the package STANDARD. (See tests B86001C and B86001D.)

- Based literals.

  An implementation is allowed to reject a based literal with a value exceeding SYSTEM.MAX_INT during compilation, or it may raise NUMERIC_ERROR or CONSTRAINT_ERROR during execution. This implementation raises NUMERIC_ERROR during execution. (See test E24101A.)

- Array types.

  An implementation is allowed to raise NUMERIC_ERROR or CONSTRAINT_ERROR for an array having a 'LENGTH that exceeds STANDARD.INTEGER'LAST and/or SYSTEM.MAX_INT.

A packed BOOLEAN array having a 'LENGTH exceeding INTEGER'LAST raises NUMERIC_ERROR when the array objects are sliced. (See test C52103X.)

A packed two-dimensional BOOLEAN array with more than INTEGER'LAST components raises CONSTRAINT_ERROR when the length of a dimension is calculated and exceeds INTEGER'LAST. (See test C52104Y.)

A null array with one dimension of length greater than INTEGER'LAST may raise NUMERIC_ERROR or CONSTRAINT_ERROR either when declared or assigned. Alternatively, an implementation may accept the declaration. However, lengths must match in array slice assignments. This implementation accepts the declaration. (See test E52103Y.)

In assigning one-dimensional array types, the expression appears to be evaluated in its entirety before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype. In assigning two-dimensional array types, the expression does not appear to be evaluated in its entirety before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype. (See test C52013A.)

- Discriminated types.

During compilation, an implementation is allowed to either accept or reject an incomplete type with discriminants that is used in an access type definition with a compatible discriminant constraint. This implementation accepts such subtype indications. (See test E38104A.)

In assigning record types with discriminants, the expression appears to be evaluated in its entirety before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype. (See test C52013A.)

- Aggregates.

In the evaluation of a multi-dimensional aggregate, all choices appear to be evaluated before checking against the index type. (See tests C43207A and C43207B.)

In the evaluation of an aggregate containing subaggregates, all choices are evaluated before being checked for identical bounds. (See test E43212B.)

All choices are evaluated before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised if a bound in a nonnull range of a nonnull aggregate does not belong to an index subtype. (See test E43211B.)

- Functions.

  An implementation may allow the declaration of a parameterless function and an enumeration literal having the same profile in the same immediate scope, or it may reject the function declaration. If it accepts the function declaration, the use of the enumeration literal's identifier denotes the function. This implementation rejects the declaration. (See test E66001D.)

- Representation clauses.

  The Ada Standard does not require an implementation to support representation clauses. If a representation clause is not supported, then the implementation must reject it. While the operation of representation clauses is not checked by Version 1.8 of the ACVC, they are used in testing other language features. This implementation accepts 'SIZE and 'STORAGE_SIZE for tasks and 'STORAGE_SIZE for collections. 'SMALL is supported only when the value given in the representation specification is the same as the value 'SMALL for the base type. Enumeration representation clauses, including those that specify noncontiguous values, appear to be supported. (See tests C55B16A, C87B62A, C87B62B, C87B62C, and BC1002A.)

- Pragmas.

  The pragma INLINE is supported for procedures and functions. (See tests CA3004E and CA3004F.)

- Input/output.

  The package SEQUENTIAL_IO can be instantiated with unconstrained array types and record types with discriminants. The package DIRECT_IO can be instantiated with unconstrained array types and record types with discriminants without defaults. However, any call to CREATE of such instances of DIRECT_IO with unconstrained array types raises USE_ERROR. (See tests AE2101C, AE2101H, CE2201D, CE2201E, and CE2401D.)

  An existing text file can be opened in OUT_FILE mode and can be created in both OUT_FILE and IN_FILE modes. (See test EE3102C.)

  More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for text I/O for reading only. (See tests CE3111A..E (5 tests).)

  More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for sequential I/O for reading only. (See tests CE2107A..F (6 tests).)

More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for direct I/O for reading only. (See tests CE2107A..F (6 tests).)

An external file associated with more than one internal file can be deleted. (See test CE2110B.)

Temporary sequential files are given a name. Temporary direct files are given a name. Temporary files given names are deleted when they are closed. (See tests CE2108A and CE2108C.)

- Generics.

Generic subprogram declarations and bodies can be compiled in separate compilations. (See test CA2009F.)

Generic package declarations and bodies can be compiled in separate compilations. (See tests CA2009C and BC3205D.)

CHAPTER 3

TEST INFORMATION

3.1 TEST RESULTS

Version 1.8 of the ACVC contains 2399 tests. When validation testing of the Verdix Ada Development System was performed, 19 tests had been withdrawn. The remaining 2380 tests were potentially applicable to this validation. The AVF determined that 264 tests were inapplicable to this implementation, and that the 2116 applicable tests were passed by the implementation.

The AVF concludes that the testing results demonstrate acceptable conformity to the Ada Standard.

3.2 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CLASS

RESULT	TEST CLASS						TOTAL
	A	B	C	D	E	L	
Passed	69	864	1107	17	13	46	2116
Failed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inapplicable	0	3	261	0	0	0	264
Withdrawn	0	7	12	0	0	0	19
TOTAL	69	874	1380	17	13	46	2399

3.3 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CHAPTER

RESULT	CHAPTER												TOTAL
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	14	
Passed	96	222	298	244	161	97	137	261	130	32	218	220	2116
Failed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inapplicable	20	103	122	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	13	264
Withdrawn	0	5	5	0	0	1	1	2	4	0	1	0	19
TOTAL	116	330	425	247	161	98	140	264	134	32	219	233	2399

3.4 WITHDRAWN TESTS

The following 19 tests were withdrawn from ACVC Version 1.8 at the time of this validation:

C32114A	C41404A	B74101B	BC3204C
B33203C	B45116A	C87B50A	
C34018A	C48008A	C92005A	
C35904A	B49006A	C940ACA	
B37401A	B4A010C	CA3005A..D (4 tests)	

See Appendix D for the reason that each of these tests was withdrawn.

3.5 INAPPLICABLE TESTS

Some tests do not apply to all compilers because they make use of features that a compiler is not required by the Ada Standard to support. Others may depend on the result of another test that is either inapplicable or withdrawn. For this validation attempt, 264 tests were inapplicable for the reasons indicated:

- C34001D, B52004E, B55B09D, and C55B07B use SHORT_INTEGER which is not supported by this compiler.

- C34001F and C35702A use SHORT_FLOAT which is not supported by this compiler.

- B86001D requires a predefined numeric type other than those defined by the Ada language in package STANDARD. There is no such type for this implementation.

- C86001F redefines package SYSTEM, but TEXT_IO is made obsolete by this new definition in this implementation and the test cannot be executed since the package REPORT is dependent on the package TEXT_IO.

- C96005B checks implementations for which the smallest and largest values in type DURATION are different from the smallest and largest values in DURATION's base type. This is not the case for this implementation.

- CE2107B..E (4 tests), CE2110B, CE2111D, CE2111H, CE3111B..E (4 tests), and CE3114B are inapplicable because multiple internal files cannot be associated with the same external file. The proper exception is raised when multiple access is attempted.

- CE2401D uses an instantiation of package DIRECT_IO with unconstrained array types. In this implementation, a call to CREATE of such an instance of DIRECT_IO raises USE_ERROR.

- The following 242 tests require a floating-point accuracy that exceeds the maximum of 9 supported by the implementation:

C24113F..Y (20 tests)	C35708F..Y (20 tests)	C45421F..Y (20 tests)
C35705F..Y (20 tests)	C35802F..Y (20 tests)	C45424F..Y (20 tests)
C35706F..Y (20 tests)	C45241F..Y (20 tests)	C45521F..Z (21 tests)
C35707F..Y (20 tests)	C45321F..Y (20 tests)	C45621F..Z (21 tests)

3.6 SPLIT TESTS

If one or more errors do not appear to have been detected in a Class B test because of compiler error recovery, then the test is split into a set of smaller tests that contain the undetected errors. These splits are then compiled and examined. The splitting process continues until all errors are detected by the compiler or until there is exactly one error per split. Any Class A, Class C, or Class E test that cannot be compiled and executed because of its size is split into a set of smaller subtests that can be processed.

Splits were required for 18 Class B tests:

B24204A	B33301A	B67001A
B24204B	B37201A	B67001B
B24204C	B38008A	B67001C
B2A003A	B41202A	B67001D
B2A003B	B44001A	B91003B
B2A003C	B64001A	B95001A

3.7 ADDITIONAL TESTING INFORMATION

3.7.1 Prevalidation

Prior to validation, a set of test results for ACVC Version 1.8 produced by the Verdix Ada Development System was submitted to the AVF by the applicant for review. Analysis of these results demonstrated that the compiler successfully passed all applicable tests, and the compiler exhibited the expected behavior on all inapplicable tests.

3.7.2 Test Method

Testing of the Verdix Ada Development System using ACVC Version 1.8 was conducted on-site by a validation team from the AVF. The configuration consisted of a MicroVAX II host operating under MicroVMS, Version 4.2, and a Fairchild 9450 implementation of MIL-STD-1750A. The Fairchild 9450 chip was mounted on a MIL-STD-1750A Software Execution Unit within a Tektronix V1750A Software Integration System. The host and target computers were linked via RS-232.

A magnetic tape containing all tests except for withdrawn tests and tests requiring unsupported floating-point precisions was taken on-site by the validation team for processing. Tests that make use of implementation-specific values were customized before being written to the magnetic tape. Tests requiring splits during the prevalidation testing were included in their split form on the magnetic tape.

The contents of the magnetic tape were loaded onto a VAX-11/750 under UNIX 4.2 BSD. The file names were converted and the tests were distributed to a directory structure expected by the command scripts. A File Transfer Program (FTP) using an EXCELAM implementation of TCP/IP on VAX/VMS was used to transfer the files to the MicroVAX II. After the test files were loaded to disk, the full set of tests was compiled and linked as appropriate on the MicroVAX II under MicroVMS 4.2, and all executable tests were run on the Fairchild 9450. Object files were linked on the host computer. Executable images were transferred to the target computer using a communications program to download the executable image to the Fairchild 9450 chip via a RS-232 serial link to the Tektronix V1750A Software Integration System. Results were copied over ethernet to the VAX-11/750 and printed.

In this cross-target implementation, the functions of TEXT_IO are physically as well as logically divided; I/O-file system requests are handled by the portion running on the host, and formatting handled by the portion running on the target. For a user, the lower level of the implementation is completely transparent.

A protocol has been developed to allow the target processor to make requests of the host file system, and to do all the I/O there. This protocol is implemented using a daemon on the host that serves all requests for file and I/O activity from the target.

The compiler was tested using command scripts provided by Verdix Corporation and reviewed by the validation team. The compiler option /SUPPRESS was used on some tests. This option suppressed run-time checks from the object code in order to reduce the size of the executable image.

Test output, compilation listings, and job logs were captured on magnetic tape and archived at the AVF. The listings examined on-site by the validation team were also archived.

3.7.3 Test Site

The validation team arrived at Verdix Corporation in Aloha OR on 15 December 1986, and departed after testing was completed on 20 December 1986.

APPENDIX A

COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

Verdix Corporation has submitted the following compliance statement concerning the Verdix Ada Development System.

Compliance Statement

Configuration:

Compiler: VADS VAda-010-03405, Version 5.41

Test Suite: Ada® Compiler Validation Capability, Version 1.8

Host Computer:

Machine:	MicroVAX II
Operating System:	MicroVMS, Version 4.2

Target Computer:

Machine:	Fairchild 9450 mounted on a MIL-STD-1750A Software Execution Unit within a Tektronix V1750A using the MicroVAX II for file I/O
Operating System:	bare

Verdix Corporation has made no deliberate extensions to the Ada language standard.

Verdix Corporation agrees to the public disclosure of this report.

Verdix Corporation agrees to comply with the Ada trademark policy, as defined by the Ada Joint Progr~m Office.



Date: 22 Dec 86

Verdix Corporation
Michael Seyfrit
Manager, Ada PIEM

Ada is a registered trademark of the United States Government (Ada Joint Program Office).

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX F OF THE Ada STANDARD

The only allowed implementation dependencies correspond to implementation-dependent pragmas, to certain machine-dependent conventions as mentioned in chapter 13 of MIL-STD-1815A, and to certain allowed restrictions on representation classes. The implementation-dependent characteristics of the VADS VAda-010-03405, Version 5.41 are described in the following sections which discuss topics in Appendix F of the Ada Language Reference Manual (ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A). Implementation-specific portions of the package STANDARD are also included in this appendix.

```
package STANDARD is

     ...

     type INTEGER is range -32768 .. 32767;
     type LONG_INTEGER is range -2147483648 .. 2147483647;

     type FLOAT is digits 6 range -2#0.01111111111111111111111#E127 ..
          2#0.11111111111111111111111#E127;
     type LONG_FLOAT is digits 9 range
          -2#0.0111111111111111111111111111111111111111#E127 ..
           2#0.111111111111111111111111111111111111111#E127;

     type DURATION is delta 2#1.0#E-14 range -2#100000000000000000.0# ..
          2#11111111111111111.11111111111111#;

     ...

end STANDARD;
```

1. Implementation-Dependent Pragmas

1.1. SHARE_BODY Pragma

The SHARE_BODY pragma takes the name of a generic instantiation or a generic unit as the first argument and one of the identifiers TRUE or FALSE as the second argument. This pragma is only allowed immediately at the place of a declarative item in a declarative part or package specification, or after a library unit in a compilation, but before any subsequent compilation unit.

When the first argument is a generic unit the pragma applies to all instantiations of that generic. When the first argument is the name of a generic instantiation the pragma applies only to the specified instantiation, or overloaded instantiations.

If the second argument is TRUE the compiler will try to share code generated for a generic instantiation with code generated for other instantiations of the same generic. When the second argument is FALSE each instantiation will get a unique copy of the generated code. The extent to which code is shared between instantiations depends on this pragma and the kind of generic formal parameters declared for the generic unit.

1.2. EXTERNAL_NAME Pragma

The EXTERNAL_NAME pragma takes the name of a a subprogram or variable defined in Ada and allows the user to specify a different external name that may be used to reference the entity from other languages. The pragma is allowed at the place of a declarative item in a package specification and must apply to an object declared earlier in the same package specification.

1.3. INTERFACE_OBJECT Pragma

The INTERFACE_OBJECT pragma takes the name of a a variable defined in another language and allows it to be referenced directly in Ada. The pragma will replace all occurrences of the variable name with an external reference to the second, link_argument. The pragma is allowed at the place of a declarative item in a package specification and must apply to an object declared earlier in the same package specification. The object must be declared as a scalar or an access type. The object *cannot* be any of the following:

a loop variable,
a constant,
an initialized variable,
an array, or
a record.

1.4. IMPLICIT_CODE Pragma

Takes one of the identifiers ON or OFF as the single argument. This pragma is only allowed within a machine code procedure. It specifies that implicit code generated by the compiler be

allowed or disallowed. A warning is issued if OFF is used and any implicit code needs to be generated. The default is ON.

2. Implementation of Predefined Pragmas

2.1. CONTROLLED

This pragma is recognized by the implementation but has no effect.

2.2. ELABORATE

This pragma is implemented as described in Appendix B of the Ada RM.

2.3. INLINE

This pragma is implemented as described in Appendix B of the Ada RM.

2.4. INTERFACE

This pragma supports unchecked calls to externally defined subprograms. The Ada subprograms can be either functions or procedures. The types of parameters and the result type for functions must be scalar, access or the predefined type ADDRESS in SYSTEM. An optional third argument overrides the default link name. All parameters must have mode IN. Record and array objects can be passed by reference using the ADDRESS attribute.

2.5. LIST

This pragma is implemented as described in Appendix B of the Ada RM.

2.6. MEMORY_SIZE

This pragma is recognized by the implementation. The implementation does not allow SYSTEM to be modified by means of pragmas, the SYSTEM package must be recompiled.

2.7. OPTIMIZE

This pragma is recognized by the implementation but has no effect.

2.8. PACK

This pragma will cause the compiler to choose a non-aligned representation for composite types. Components that are smaller than a STORAGE_UNIT are packed into a number of bits that is a power of two.

2.9. PAGE

This pragma is implemented as described in Appendix B of the Ada RM.

2.10. PRIORITY

This pragma is implemented as described in Appendix B of the Ada RM.

2.11. SHARED

This pragma is recognized by the implementation but has no effect.

2.12. STORAGE_UNIT

This pragma is recognized by the implementation. The implementation does not allow SYSTEM to be modified by means of pragmas, the SYSTEM package must be recompiled.

2.13. SUPPRESS

This pragma is implemented as described, except that RANGE_CHECK and DIVISION_CHECK cannot be supressed.

2.14. SYSTEM_NAME

This pragma is recognized by the implementation. The implementation does not allow SYSTEM to be modified by means of pragmas, the SYSTEM package must be recompiled.

3. Implementation-Dependent Attributes

3.1. P'REF

For a prefix that denotes an object, a program unit, a label, or an entry:

This attribute denotes the effective address of the first of the storage units allocated to P. For a subprogram, package, task unit, or label, it refers to the address of the machine code associated with the corresponding body or statement. For an entry for which an address clause has been given, it refers to the corresponding hardware interrupt. The attribute is of the type OPERAND defined in the package MACHINE_CODE. The attribute is only allowed within a machine code procedure.

See section F.4.8 for more information on the use of this attribute.

(For a package, task unit, or entry, the 'REF attribute is not supported.)

4. Specification of Package SYSTEM

```
package SYSTEM
is
     type NAME is ( m1750a );

     SYSTEM_NAME               : constant NAME := m1750a;
     EXTENDED_MEMORY           : BOOLEAN       := FALSE;

     STORAGE_UNIT              : constant      := 16;
     MEMORY_SIZE               : constant      := 2097152;

     -- System-Dependent Named Numbers

     MIN_INT                   : constant      := -2147483648;
     MAX_INT                   : constant      := 2147483647;
     MAX_DIGITS                : constant      := 9;
     MAX_MANTISSA              : constant      := 31;
     FINE_DELTA                : constant      := 2.0**(-30);
     TICK                      : constant      := 0.01;

     -- Other System-dependent Declarations

     subtype PRIORITY is INTEGER range 0 .. 99;

     MAX_REC_SIZE              : INTEGER       := 32767;

     type ADDRESS is private;
     type SHORT_ADDRESS is private;
     subtype SEGMENT is INTEGER range 0 .. INTEGER'LAST;

     NO_ADDR                   : constant ADDRESS;
     NO_SHORT_ADDR             : constant SHORT_ADDRESS;

     function OFFSET_OF(A: ADDRESS) return SHORT_ADDRESS;
     function SEGMENT_OF(A: ADDRESS) return SEGMENT;
     function SEGMENT_OF return SEGMENT;
     function MAKE_ADDRESS(A: SHORT_ADDRESS; SEG: SEGMENT) return ADDRESS;

     function PHYSICAL_ADDRESS(I: LONG_INTEGER) return ADDRESS;
     function ADDR_GT(A, B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
     function ADDR_LT(A, B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
     function ADDR_GE(A, B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
     function ADDR_LE(A, B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
     function ADDR_DIFF(A, B: ADDRESS) return INTEGER;
     function INCR_ADDR(A: ADDRESS; INCR: INTEGER) return ADDRESS;
     function DECR_ADDR(A: ADDRESS; DECR: INTEGER) return ADDRESS;

     function PHYSICAL_ADDRESS(I: LONG_INTEGER) return SHORT_ADDRESS;
```

```
     function ADDR_GT(A, B: SHORT_ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
     function ADDR_LT(A, B: SHORT_ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
     function ADDR_GE(A, B: SHORT_ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
     function ADDR_LE(A, B: SHORT_ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN;
     function ADDR_DIFF(A, B: SHORT_ADDRESS) return INTEGER;
     function INCR_ADDR(A: SHORT_ADDRESS, INCR: INTEGER) return SHORT_ADDRESS;
     function DECR_ADDR(A: SHORT_ADDRESS, DECR: INTEGER) return SHORT_ADDRESS;

     function ">"(A, B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN renames ADDR_GT;
     function "<"(A, B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN renames ADDR_LT;
     function ">="(A, B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN renames ADDR_GE;
     function "<="(A, B: ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN renames ADDR_LE;
     function "+"(A: ADDRESS; INCR: INTEGER) return ADDRESS renames INCR_ADDR;
     function "-"(A: ADDRESS; DECR: INTEGER) return ADDRESS renames DECR_ADDR;
     function "-"(A, B: ADDRESS; INCR: INTEGER) return INTEGER
        renames ADDR_DIFF;

     function ">"(A, B: SHORT_ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN renames ADDR_GT;
     function "<"(A, B: SHORT_ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN renames ADDR_LT;
     function ">="(A, B: SHORT_ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN renames ADDR_GE;
     function "<="(A, B: SHORT_ADDRESS) return BOOLEAN renames ADDR_LE;
     function "+"(A: SHORT_ADDRESS; INCR: INTEGER) return SHORT_ADDRESS
        renames INCR_ADDR;
     function "-"(A: SHORT_ADDRESS; DECR: INTEGER) return SHORT_ADDRESS
        renames DECR_ADDR;
     function "-"(A, B: SHORT_ADDRESS; INCR: INTEGER) return INTEGER
        renames ADDR_DIFF;

     pragma INLINE(OFFSET_OF);
     pragma INLINE(SEGMENT_OF);
     pragma INLINE(MAKE_ADDRESS);
     pragma INLINE(PHYSICAL_ADDRESS);
     pragma INLINE(ADDR_GT);
     pragma INLINE(ADDR_LT);
     pragma INLINE(ADDR_GE);
     pragma INLINE(ADDR_LE);
     pragma INLINE(ADDR_DIFF);
     pragma INLINE(INCR_ADDR);
     pragma INLINE(DECR_ADDR);

private

     type ADDRESS is range 0..65535;
     type SHORT_ADDRESS is new ADDRESS;
     for ADDRESS'size use 16;
     for SHORT_ADDRESS'size use 16;

     NO_ADDR                  :constant ADDRESS := 0;
     NO_SHORT_ADDR       : constant SHORT_ADDRESS :=0;

end SYSTEM;
```

5. Restrictions On Representation Clauses

5.1. Pragma PACK

Array and record components that are smaller than a STORAGE_UNIT are packed into a number of bits that is a power of two. Objects and larger components are packed to the nearest whole STORAGE_UNIT.

5.2. Size Specification

The size specification T'SMALL is not supported except when the value given in the representation specification is the same as the value 'SMALL for the base type.

5.3. Record Representation Clauses

Components not aligned on even STORAGE_UNIT boundaries may not span more than four STORAGE_UNITs.

5.4. Address Clauses

Address clauses are supported for variables and constants.

5.5. Interrupts

Interupt entries are not supported.

5.6. Representation Attributes

The ADDRESS attribute is not supported for the following entities:

Packages
Tasks
Labels
Entries

5.7. Machine Code Insertions

Machine code insertions are supported.

The general definition of the package MACHINE_CODE provides an assembly language interface for the target machine. It provides the necessary record type(s) needed in the code statement, an enumeration type of all the opcode mneumonics, a set of register definitions, and a set of addressing mode functions.

The general syntax of a machine code statement is as follows:

CODE_*n*'(*opcode*, *operand* {, *operand*});

where *n* indicates the number of operands in the aggregate.

A special case arises for a variable number of operands. The operands are listed within a subaggregate. The format is as follows:

CODE_N'(*opcode*, (*operand* {, *operand*}));

For those opcodes that require no operands, named notation must be used (cf. RM 4.3(4)).

```
CODE_0'( op => opcode );
```

The *opcode* must be an enumeration literal (i.e. it cannot be an object, attribute, or a rename).

An *operand* can only be an entity defined in MACHINE_CODE or the 'REF attribute.

The arguments to any of the functions defined in MACHINE_CODE must be static expressions, string literals, or the functions defined in MACHINE_CODE. The 'REF attribute may not be used as an argument in any of these functions.

Inline expansion of machine code procedures is supported.

6. Conventions for Implementation-generated Names

There are no implementation-generated names.

7. Interpretation of Expressions in Address Clauses

Address clauses are supported for variables and constants.

8. Restrictions on Unchecked Conversions

None.

9. Restrictions on Unchecked Deallocations

None.

10. Implementation Characteristics of I/O Packages

Instantiations of DIRECT_IO use the value MAX_REC_SIZE as the record size (expressed in STORAGE_UNITS) when the size of ELEMENT_TYPE exceeds that value. For example for unconstrained arrays such as string where ELEMENT_TYPE'SIZE is very large, MAX_REC_SIZE is used instead. MAX_RECORD_SIZE is defined in SYSTEM and can be changed by a program before instantiating DIRECT_IO to provide an upper limit on the record size. In any case the maximum size supported is 1024 x 1024 x STORAGE_UNIT bits. DIRECT_IO will raise USE_ERROR if MAX_REC_SIZE exceeds this absolute limit.

Instantiations of SEQUENTIAL_IO use the value MAX_REC_SIZE as the record size (expressed in STORAGE_UNITS) when the size of ELEMENT_TYPE exceeds that value. For example for unconstrained arrays such as string where ELEMENT_TYPE'SIZE is very large, MAX_REC_SIZE is used instead. MAX_RECORD_SIZE is defined in SYSTEM and can be changed by a program before instantiating INTEGER_IO to provide an upper limit on the record size. SEQUENTIAL_IO imposes no limit on MAX_REC_SIZE.

11. Implementation Limits

The following limits are actually enforced by the implementation. It is not intended to imply that resources up to or even near these limits are available to every program.

11.1. Line Length

The implementation supports a maximum line length of 500 characters including the end of line character.

11.2. Record and Array Sizes

The maximum size of a statically sized array type is 32768 x STORAGE_UNITS. The maximum size of a statically sized record type is 32768 x STORAGE_UNITS. A record type or array type declaration that exceeds these limits will generate a warning message.

11.3. Default Stack Size for Tasks

In the absence of an explicit STORAGE_SIZE length specification every task except the main program is allocated a fixed size stack of 1000 STORAGE_UNITS. This is the value returned by T'STORAGE_SIZE for a task type T.

11.4. Default Collection Size

In the absence of an explicit STORAGE_SIZE length attribute the default collection size for an access type is 1000 STORAGE_UNITS. This is the value returned by T'STORAGE_SIZE for an access type T.

11.5. Limit on Declared Objects

There is an absolute limit of 2 ** 16 x STORAGE_UNITS for objects declared statically within a compilation unit. If this value is exceeded the compiler will terminate the compilation of the unit with a FATAL error message.

APPENDIX C

TEST PARAMETERS

Certain tests in the ACVC make use of implementation-dependent values, such as the maximum length of an input line and invalid file names. A test that makes use of such values is identified by the extension .TST in its file name. Actual values to be substituted are represented by names that begin with a dollar sign. A value must be substituted for each of these names before the test is run. The values used for this validation are given below.

Name and Meaning	Value
$BIG_ID1 Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying last character.	(1..498 => 'A', 499 => '1')
$BIG_ID2 Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying last character.	(1..498 => 'A', 499 => '2')
$BIG_ID3 Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying middle character.	(1..249 | 251..499 => 'A', 250 => '3')
$BIG_ID4 Identifier the size of the maximum input line length with varying middle character.	(1..249 | 251..499 => 'A', 250 => '4')
$BIG_INT_LIT An integer literal of value 298 with enough leading zeroes so that it is the size of the maximum line length.	(1..496 => '0', 497..499 => "298")

TEST PARAMETERS

Name and Meaning	Value
$BIG_REAL_LIT A real literal that can be either of floating- or fixed-point type, has value 690.0, and has enough leading zeroes to be the size of the maximum line length.	(1..493 => '0', 494..499 => "69.0E1")
$BLANKS A sequence of blanks twenty characters fewer than the size of the maximum line length.	(1..479 => ' ')
$COUNT_LAST A universal integer literal whose value is TEXT_IO.COUNT'LAST.	32767
$EXTENDED_ASCII_CHARS A string literal containing all the ASCII characters with printable graphics that are not in the basic 55 Ada character set.	"abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz" & "!$%?@[]^`{}~"
$FIELD_LAST A universal integer literal whose value is TEXT_IO.FIELD'LAST.	32767
$FILE_NAME_WITH_BAD_CHARS An illegal external file name that either contains invalid characters, or is too long if no invalid characters exist.	"/illegal/file_name/2{]$%2102C.DAT"
$FILE_NAME_WITH_WILD_CARD_CHAR An external file name that either contains a wild card character, or is too long if no wild card character exists.	"/illegal/file_name/CE2102C*.DAT"
$GREATER_THAN_DURATION A universal real value that lies between DURATION'BASE'LAST and DURATION'LAST if any, otherwise any value in the range of DURATION.	100_000.0
$GREATER_THAN_DURATION_BASE_LAST The universal real value that is greater than DURATION'BASE'LAST, if such a value exists.	10_000_000.0

Name and Meaning	Value
$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME1 An illegal external file name.	"/no/such/directory/" & "ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME1"
$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME2 An illegal external file name that is different from $ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME1.	"/no/such/directory/" & "ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME2"
$INTEGER_FIRST The universal integer literal expression whose value is INTEGER'FIRST.	-32768
$INTEGER_LAST The universal integer literal expression whose value is INTEGER'LAST.	32767
$LESS_THAN_DURATION A universal real value that lies between DURATION'BASE'FIRST and DURATION'FIRST if any, otherwise any value in the range of DURATION.	-100_000.0
$LESS_THAN_DURATION_BASE_FIRST The universal real value that is less than DURATION'BASE'FIRST, if such a value exists.	-10_000_000.0
$MAX_DIGITS The universal integer literal whose value is the maximum digits supported for floating-point types.	9
$MAX_IN_LEN The universal integer literal whose value is the maximum input line length permitted by the implementation.	499
$MAX_INT The universal integer literal whose value is SYSTEM.MAX_INT.	2147483647

TEST PARAMETERS

Name and Meaning	Value
$NAME A name of a predefined numeric type other than FLOAT, INTEGER, SHORT_FLOAT, SHORT_INTEGER, LONG_FLOAT, or LONG_INTEGER if one exists, otherwise any undefined name.	NO_SUCH_TYPE
$NEG_BASED_INT A based integer literal whose highest order nonzero bit falls in the sign bit position of the representation for SYSTEM.MAX_INT.	16#FFFFFFFD#
$NON_ASCII_CHAR_TYPE An enumerated type definition for a character type whose literals are the identifier NON_NULL and all non-ASCII characters with printable graphics.	(NON_NULL)

APPENDIX D

WITHDRAWN TESTS

Some tests are withdrawn from the ACVC because they do not conform to the Ada Standard. The following 19 tests had been withdrawn at the time of validation testing for the reasons indicated. A reference of the form "AI-ddddd" is to an Ada Commentary.

- C32114A: An unterminated string literal occurs at line 62.
- B33203C: The reserved word "IS" is misspelled at line 45.
- C34018A: The call of function G at line 114 is ambiguous in the presence of implicit conversions.
- C35904A: The elaboration of subtype declarations SFX3 and SFX4 may raise NUMERIC_ERROR instead of CONSTRAINT_ERROR as expected in the test.
- B37401A: The object declarations at lines 126 through 135 follow subprogram bodies declared in the same declarative part.
- C41404A: The values of 'LAST and 'LENGTH are incorrect in the *if* statements from line 74 to the end of the test.
- B45116A: ARRPRIBL1 and ARRPRIBL2 are initialized with a value of the wrong type--PRIBOOL_TYPE instead of ARRPRIBOOL_TYPE--at line 41.
- C48008A: The assumption that evaluation of default initial values occurs when an exception is raised by an allocator is incorrect according to AI-00397.

WITHDRAWN TESTS

- B49006A: Object declarations at lines 41 and 50 are terminated incorrectly with colons, and end case; is missing from line 42.

- B4A010C: The object declaration in line 18 follows a subprogram body of the same declarative part.

- B74101B: The begin at line 9 causes a declarative part to be treated as a sequence of statements.

- C87B50A: The call of "/=" at line 31 requires a use clause for package A.

- C92005A: The "/=" for type PACK.BIG_INT at line 40 is not visible without a use clause for the package PACK.

- C940ACA: The assumption that allocated task TT1 will run prior to the main program, and thus assign SPYNUMB the value checked for by the main program, is erroneous.

- CA3005A..D (4 tests): No valid elaboration order exists for these tests.

- BC3204C: The body of BC3204C0 is missing.

END
DATE
FILMED
4-88
DTIC