





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963 A



817

AD-A188

TECHNICAL REPORT HL-87-17

GALLIPOLIS LOCK INTAKE VORTEX STUDY OHIO RIVER MC FILE COPY

Hydraulic Model Investigation

by

Robert A. Davidson

Hydraulics Laboratory

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Waterways Experiment Station, Corps of Engineers PO Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-0631





December 1987 Final Report

Approved For Public Release, Distribution Unlimited



Prepared for US Army Engineer District, Huntington Huntington, West Virginia 25701-2070

U1	nclassi	fied	
SECURITY	CLASSIFICA	TION OF	THIS PAGE

|--|

REPORT	DOCUMENTATIO	N PAGE		8	Form Approved OM8 No. 0704-0188
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
Unclassified					
28. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION Approved	for public i	REPORT	; distribution
20. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	LE	unlimited	1		
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION RE	PORT NU	MBER(S)
Technical Report HL-87-17					
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGAN "ATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORGAN	ZATION	
USALWES Hydraulics Laboratory	WESHS-L				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		76. ADDRESS (Cit	ty, State, and ZIP Co	ode)	
PO Box 621			-		
Vicksburg, MS 39180-0631					
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT IDE	NTIFICATI	ON NUMBER
USAED, Huntington	<u> </u>				
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10 SOURCE OF	UNDING NUMBERS		
502 8th Street		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK NO	ACCESSION NO.
Huntington, WV 25701-2070					
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)			<u></u>		
Gallipolis Lock Intake Vortex	Study, Ohio Rive	er; Hydraulio	n Model Inves	stigati	lon
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)					
Davidson, Robert A.					0465 COUNT
Final report FROM 19	82 TO <u>1983</u>	Decen	aber 1987	ay) 15.	69
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
Available from National Techni VA 22161	cal information	Service, 528	35 Port Royal	Road,	, Springfield,
17 COSATI CODES	18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revers	e if necessary and	identify t	ov block number)
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Gallipolis Loc	:k (Ohio River		,,
	Hydraulic mode	1 1	Vortices		
	Intake structu	res			
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block ni	umber)			
The existing Gallipolis	Locks are locate	d on the Oh:	lo River at m	nile 27	79.2. Because
of their location on an inside	bend, the orier	tation for a	approach char	inels,	velocity cur-
lock is hererdous and time con	sign of the appr	vach walls,	the second se		i tows into the
ing traffic and tow sizes, the	se locks have be	come a seri	gii i i tuw, AS	A 1650	sel movement
along the Ohio River. Replace	ment locks will	be construct	ted in the ne	ear fut	ture to allevi-
ate these problems.					
Tests were conducted on	a 1:25-scale mod	lel that ren	roduced 2.50) ft of	f the Obio
River beginning 188 ft upstrea	m of the existin	e lock guide	e wall. App	oximat	telv 250 ft of
the width of the river was rep	roduced. This m	odel was us	ed to evaluat	te the	performance of
the intake structures for the	new locks.				
					(Continued)
		21 ABSTRACT SE Unclassi	CURITY CLASSIFICA	TION	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		226 TELEPHONE	(Include Area Code)	220 OF	FICE SYMBOL
				.1	

DD Form 1473, JUN 86

Previous editions are obsolete.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

	Unclassifie	ed –		
ECURITY	CLASS FICATION	07	THIS	PAG

19. ABSTRACT (Continued).

Two different alternate plans for the filling of the locks were tested. One plan consisted of filling the lock through long culverts extending from the river to the lock chamber. The other plan consisted of an intake canal extending from the river to the guide wall. Two intakes were located on the riverward side of the new lock approach wall, and one intake was located in the filling canal. Air-entraining vortices developed at the intake structure in both plans. The air-entraining vortices observed in both plans were eliminated by modification to the intake structure.

> Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

PREFACE

The model investigation reported herein was authorized by the Office, Chief of Engineers (OCE), US Army, on 19 July 1982, at the request of US Army Engineer District, Huntington (ORH).

The study was conducted by personnel of the Hydraulics Laboratory, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES), during the period August 1982 to December 1983 under the direction of Messrs. H. B. Simmons and F. A. Herrmann, Jr., former and present Chiefs, Hydraulics Laboratory, and under the general supervision of Mr. J. L. Grace, Jr., Chief, Hydraulic Structures Division. Tests were conducted by Messrs. R. A. Davidson and T. E. Murphy, Jr., Locks and Conduits Branch, under the supervision of Mr. G. A. Pickering, Chief, Locks and Conduits Branch. This report was prepared by Mr. Davidson and edited by Mrs. Nancy Johnson, Information Technology Laboratory, under the Inter-Governmental Personnel Act.

Messrs. D. Armstrong and G. Drummond of the US Army Engineer Division, Ohio River, and C. Vandervelde, K. Crisp, K. Waddell, W. Barnes, and R. Spurlock, ORH, visited WES during the study to discuss test results and to correlate these results with concurrent design work.

COL Dwayne G. Lee, CE, is the Commander and Director of WES. Dr. Robert W. Whalin is the Technical Director.



CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE	1
CONVERSION FACTORS, NON-SI TO SI (METRIC) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT	3
PART I: INTRODUCTION	5
Project Description Purpose of Model Investigation	5 7
PART II: MODEL	8
Description Model Appurtenances Scale Relations	8 8 11
PART III: TESTS AND RESULTS	12
Alternative I Filling Scheme Alternative II Filling Scheme	12 14
PART IV: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	16
TABLES 1-2	
PHOTOS 1-18	

PLATES 1-24

CONVERSION FACTORS, NON-SI TO SI (METRIC) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

Non-SI units of measurement used in this report can be converted to SI (metric) units as follows:

Multiply	By	To Obtain
cubic feet	0.02831685	cubic metres
degrees (angle)	0.01745329	radians
feet	0.3048	metres
inches	2.54	centimetres
miles (US statute)	1.609344	kilometres



LOCATION MAP

Figure 1. Location map

GALLIPOLIS LOCK INTAKE VORTEX STUDY OHIO RIVER

Hydraulic Model Investigation

PART I: INTRODUCTION

Project Description

1. The Gallipolis Locks and Dam, constructed in 1937, are located in the Middle Ohio Valley at Ohio River mile 279.2, about 14 miles* downstream from the mouth of the Kanawha River (Figure 1). The navigation pool extends 41.7 miles up the Ohio River to Racine Lock and Dam and 31.1 miles up the Kanawha River to Winfield Locks and Dam. The normal pool elevation is 538.** The Gallipolis Dam is a high-lift, nonnavigable gated structure. Because of the location of the locks on an inside bend, the orientation for approach channels, velocity currents in the river, and the design of the approach walls, entry of downbound tows into the lock is hazardous and time consuming during periods of high flow. As a result of increasing traffic and tow sizes, these locks have become a serious problem to vessel movement on the Ohio River. Replacement locks will be constructed in the near future to alleviate these problems. The proposed replacement locks are to be located in a canal on the West Virginia side of the river directly east of the existing lock (Figure 2). The flow from Flatfoot Creek that presently empties into the Ohio River downstream from the existing locks on the West Virginia side will be directed into a new channel upstream from the replacement locks.

2. Two alternatives for filling the locks were considered. Alternative I (Figure 2a) consisted of filling the locks from an intake structure located on the river side of the island. The structure would contain three intake passages for filling the main lock and an auxiliary lock. A single barrel entrance would be provided for each intake culvert.

3. Alternative II (Figure 2b) consisted of an intake canal extending

^{*} A table of factors for converting non-SI units of measurements to SI (metric) units is presented on page 3.

^{**} All elevations (el) cited herein are in feet referred to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD).



a. Alternative I filling scheme



b. Alternative II filling scheme



from the river to the proposed guide wall. Two filling culverts would have ported intakes located on the riverward face of the proposed lock guide wall, and one filling culvert would have a ported intake located in the filling canal.

Purpose of Model Investigation

4. A model was considered necessary to determine whether or not vortex formation would be a problem in either of the alternate filling schemes.

PART II: THE MODEL

Description

5. The model, constructed to a scale of 1:25, reproduced approximately 2,500 ft of the Ohio River beginning 1,800 ft upstream of the existing lock guide wall (Figure 3a). Approximately 250 ft of the width of the river was reproduced. Portions of the model representing upstream topography, approach, exit, and overbank areas were modeled of cement mortar to sheet metal templates and were given a brushed finish.

6. Two different alternatives for filling the locks were tested. The alternative I filling scheme consisted of filling the locks from the river through long culverts. Approximately 310 ft of culvert was reproduced. The intake structure and culverts (Figure 3b, Plate 1) were constructed of plastic.

7. The alternative II filling scheme consisted of filling the locks from a ditch (Figure 4a). Two intakes were located on the riverward side of the new lock approach wall, and one intake was located in the filling ditch (Figure 4b). The intakes were constructed of plastic and sheet metal, and the conduits were constructed of plastic. The intake tower and the new lock approach wall were constructed with plastic-coated plywood.

Model Appurtenances

8. Water was supplied to the model through a circulating system. The headbay and tailbay of the model contained a skimming weir that maintained essentially a constant upper pool during filling operations. The filling curves of the locks were reproduced by placing computer-controlled actuators at the end of each conduit. Dye and confetti were used to study subsurface and surface current directions in the approach, particularly in the vicinity of the problem near the intakes. Steel rails, set to grade along both sides of the model, provided a reference plane for measuring devices. Velocities were measured with a current and kent meter.

9. Discharges through the model were controlled by means of venturi and orifice meters. Certain flow conditions in the model were recorded photographically.



a. General view



b. Type I design intake

Figure 3. Alternative I filling scheme: dry bed



a. General view



b. Intake locations

-

Figure 4. Alternative II filling scheme: dry bed

Scale Relations

10. The accepted equations of hydraulic similitude, based on Froudian relations, were used to express mathematical relations between the dimensions and hydraulic quantities of the model and prototype. General relations for transference of model data to prototype equivalent are presented in the following tabulation:

Dimension*	Ratio	Model to Prototype Scale Relation
Length	$L_r = L$	1:25
Area	$A_r = L_r^2$	1:625
Time	$T_r = L_r^{1/2}$	1:5
Velocity	$V_r = L_r^{1/2}$	I:5
Discharge	$Q_{r} = L_{r}^{5/2}$	1:3,125

* Dimensions are in terms of length.

PART III: TESTS AND RESULTS

11. Tests were conducted to determine if any air-entraining vortices would form at the entrance to the intake structure during a filling operation. The hydrographs for filling the locks were furnished by the Huntington District and are shown in Plates 2 and 3. Also, if a vortex was found, modifications were made to alleviate the problem. Two alternate filling schemes were tested.

Alternative I Filling Scheme

12. The alternative I filling scheme consisted of filling the locks from the river through long culverts. The intake structure contained three passages for filling the main lock and an auxiliary lock (Figure 2). Several different designs were tested in an effort to relieve the vortex problem. A description and the results of each test are given in Table 1.

Type 1 design intake

13. Flow conditions with both a 2- and a 4-min valve time were observed and found to be unsatisfactory. Approach flow was found to be unsymmetrical, and this caused the majority of flow to concentrate along the side of the approach area. Flow conditions were documented photographically and are shown in Photo 1. Also the intake approach walls did not begin at the intake structure's abutments, which caused severe vortices to form at the intake structure (Photo 2). Velocities measured in the approach are shown in Plates 4-6. Alternate wall design

14. Tests of different approach wall positions were conducted in an effort to reduce the severity of the vortices at the entrance to the intake structure. The wall alignment, designated as the No. 2 wall in Plate 7, was found to be the best design. This wall reduced the severity of the vortices (Photo 3) and improved the approach conditions (Photo 4). However, the vortices were still present.

Alternate intake designs

15. Various modifications to the intake structure were tested in an effort to reduce or eliminate the vortices. These tests were conducted with both the No. 1 and 2 wing walls in place as described in Table 1.

16. Tests were conducted with gridded bar racks having 1- by 2-ft

openings positioned in the proposed stop log recesses. This design, designated as type 2 design intake (Plate 8), eliminated the air-entraining vortices (Photo 5), but the small grid openings would require periodic cleaning. Thus, this design was not considered feasible.

17. Extensions 10 ft long placed on the center piers, type 3 design intake (Plate 9), did not decrease the intensity of the vortices (Photo 6).

18. Vortex suppressor plates were installed at the same elevation as the roof of the conduit and were extended upstream over the full length of the intake piers, type 4 design intake (Plate 9). This design eliminated airentraining vortices (Photo 7) but did not provide access for cleaning the trashracks; therefore, the type 4 design intake was not considered to be a feasible solution.

19. Tests conducted with types 5, 6, and 7 design intakes (Plates 10 and 11) indicated little improvement in eliminating air-entraining vortices during filling operations.

Recommended design

20. Vortex suppressors were installed at the same elevation as the roof of the conduit and were extended upstream 17 ft along the length of the intake piers. The vortex suppressor had a thickness of 15.4 ft. This design, designated type 8 design intake (Plates 11 and 12, Photo 8), eliminated the vortex.

21. The invert of the approach channel from the intake to 50 ft upstream was graded to el 490 and then extended upstream on a 1V on 3H slope to el 501 (Plate 13). Approach wing walls were installed in position No. 2 at a 30-deg angle. A dike was placed between the old lock approach wall and the mooring pier immediately upstream of the old lock approach wall (Photo 9, Plate 13). Flow conditions were documented photographically and are shown in Photo 10. Without this dike in place, small vortices occurred during some runs (Table 1). But with this dike in place, the air-entraining vortices were completely eliminated during filling operations. Velocities measured in the approach area are shown in Plates 14-16.

Log boom

22. Log booms constructed of 1- by 2- by 20-ft timbers were tested in the approach area to the intake structure (Photo 11) to determine if ice could be kept from entering the approach area to the intake structure during a filling operation. Simulated ice was placed upstream of the log booms and allowed to flow downstream during a fill operation (Photo 12). 23. The ratios of cable length to chord length (straight-line distance from one end of cable to another) used in successful boom designs have varied from 1.06 to 1.25. A cable to chord ratio of 1.15 was tested and found to be 95 percent effective in keeping ice out of the approach area during a filling operation. A cable to chord ratio of 1.06 was also tested and found to be 99 percent effective in keeping ice from entering the approach area to the intake structure during a fill operation.

Alternative II Filling Scheme

Original design (type 1 design approach wall)

24. The alternative II filling scheme involved filling the main and auxiliary locks from the river through a ditch (Figure 2, Plate 17). The location of the three intake structures is shown in Photo 13. Flow conditions were observed near the intake during the filling of both the main and auxiliary locks simultaneously. The 4-min valve opening time caused a more severe condition in the model than the 2-min valve time. Therefore, all tests were conducted with a 4-min valve opening time. An upper pool or river stage of 538.0 was maintained during the tests. Flow conditions were documented photographically and are shown in Photo 14. Velocities measured in the ditch are shown in Plate 18.

25. With the 4-min value opening time, air-entraining vortices formed at the intake tower (Photo 15). No vortices formed at either of the wall intakes.

Alternate designs

26. Several different approach wall shapes, lengths, and positions (Plates 19-22) were tested in an attempt to alleviate vortex formation at the intake tower. Results of tests with various designs are described in Table 2. As shown in from this table, some of the modifications were successful in eliminating air-entraining vortices while others were not.

Recommended design

27. The type 11 design approach wall (Plate 19) was considered the best design of all the approach walls tested. This design included a quarter of an ellipse with a major axis of 90 ft and a minor axis of 46 ft placed immediately upstream from the intake tower (Photo 16). No air-entraining

vortex formed during any part of the filling operation (Photo 17). The trashrack guides caused a slight disturbance of flow near the face of the intake tower, but this was not considered objectionable and did not cause an airentraining vortex to form. A layout of the recommended alternative II filling scheme is shown in Plate 23. Photographic documentation of flow conditions in the ditch using the type 11 design approach wall during a filling operation can be seen in Photo 18. Velocities obtained in the ditch are shown in Plate 24.

The second second

PART IV: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

28. Model tests were conducted to determine if there would be a problem of vortex formation at the intakes of the proposed locks. Observations of flow conditions with both alternative I and alternative II filling schemes showed that a vortex problem would exist in either of the alternate filling schemes as originally designed.

29. In testing of the alternative I filling scheme, it was observed that several factors contributed to the formation of severe air-entraining vortices:

a. The flow entering the intake structure was unsymmetrical.

- b. The intake approach walls did not begin at the intake abutments, thereby causing water to swirl around the abutments.
- c. There was not enough submergence for this intake design.

30. As a result of the testing of several intake designs and different approach wall positions, a design that eliminated all air-entraining vortices was developed. The invert of the intake was lowered from el 505 to el 490. The invert of the approach channel from the intake to 50 ft upstream was graded to el 490 and then extended upstream on a 1V on 3H slope to el 501. A 15.4-ft-thick vortex suppressor was placed at the same elevation as the roof of the conduit and was extended 17 ft upstream along the intake piers. The flow entering the structure was made more symmetrical by starting the intake approach walls at the intake abutments and by placing a dike upstream of the existing lock guide wall.

31. In testing of the alternative II filling scheme, it was observed that during a filling operation the water swirled around the sharp corner of the upstream front face of the intake tower, causing a severe air-entraining vortex to set up during most of the fill.

32. As the result of testing several different approach walls, in an attempt to streamline flow along the face of the intake tower, a design that eliminated all air-entraining vortices was developed. This design included a straight vertical wall and a quarter of an ellipse with a major axis of 90 ft and a minor axis of 46 ft immediately upstream of the intake tower.

Table l

Alternative I Filling Scheme

Results of Intake Designs Tested, 2- and 4-Min Valve Speed

Pool el 538.0

Type I300ut505.0Severe alr-entraining vortesType I300ut501.0Severe alr-entraining vortenType I300ut501.0Severe alr-entraining vortenType I300ut501.0Severe alr-entraining vortenType I300ut501.0Severe alr-entraining vortenType I300ut495.0Large alr-entraining vortenType I300ut495.0Large alr-entraining vortenType I300ut505.0Large alr-entraining vortenType I360ut505.0Large alr-entraining vortenType I360ut505.0Large alr-entraining vortenType I360ut505.0Large alr-entraining vortenType I360ut505.0Large alr-entraining vortenType I360ut501.0Large alr-entraining vorten<	1	Intake Desfon	Wing Wall Position No. 1* 01	Angle, deg Position No. 2* ^O 2	Cofferdam Cells 1-8*	Invert Elevation of Intake	Comments
Type I30Out501.0Severe air-entraining vor- tices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.Type I30Out495.0Large air-entraining vor- tices occurred during most of the length of each test run.Type I30Out505.0Large air-entraining vor- tices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.Type I36Out505.0Large air-entraining vor- tices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.Type I36Out505.0Large air-entraining vor- tices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.Type I36Out505.0Large air-entraining vor- tices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.Type I36Out501.0Large air-entraining vor- tices occurred 50 percent of the time during each		Type 1 (See Plate 1)	30		Out	505.0	Severe air-entraining vor- tices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.
Type 130Out495.0Large air-entraining vortices occurred during most of the length of each test run.Type 136Out505.0Large air-entraining vortices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.Type 136Out505.0Large air-entraining vortices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.Type 136Out501.0Large air-entraining vortices occurred 50 percent of the time during each test run.		Type l	30		Out	501.0	Severe air-entraining vor- tices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.
Type 136Out505.0Large air-entraining vortices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.Type 136Out501.0Large air-entraining vor- tices occurred 50 percent of the time during each test run.		Type 1	30		Out	495.0	Large air-entraining vor- tices occurred during most of the length of each test run.
Type I36Out501.0Large air-entraining vortices occurred 50tices occurred 50 percentof the time during eachtest run.		Type 1		36	Out	505.0	Large air-entraining vor- tices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.
		Type 1		36	Out	501.0	Large air-entraining vor- tices occurred 50 percent of the time during each test run.

Second States

Table 1 (Continued)

		Wing Wall	Angle, deg		T	
lest Mo	Intake	Position No. 1 0,	Position No. 2 O,	Cofferdam	Elevation of	
	Design	I	7	Cells 1-0	IIILAKE	COMMETILS
9	Type 1		36	Out	495.0	Small air-entraining vor- tices occurred 30 percent of the time during each test run.
2	Type 1		36 600-ft Radius circular wall	In	495.0	Small air-entraining vor- tices occurred during 7 percent of the test runs.
œ	Type 1		36 600-ft Radius circular wall	In	501.0	Small air-entraining vor- tices occurred during 25 percent of the test runs.
6	Type 1		36 600-ft Radius circular wall	Out	495.0	Small air-entraining vor- tices occurred during 10 percent of the test runs.
10	Type 2 (See Plate 8)	30		Out	505.0	Small air-entraining vor- tices occurred 30 percent of the time during each test run.
11	Type 2		36	Out	505.0	No air was entrained during any test run.
12	Type 3 (See Plate 9)	30	(Conti	Out inued)	505.0	Severe air-entrai ing vor- tices occurred almost the entire length of each test run.
						(Sheet 2 of 5

 \cdot

÷.,

(Sheet 2 of

Table 1 (Continued)

B

Researce of

Constraints

ments	trained dur- run.	raining vor- d during each	raining vor- d during each	raining vor- d during each	raining vor- ed during the test	raining vor- d in 85 per- est runs.	raining vor- ed in 7 percent uns.
Con	No air was en ing any test	Small air-ent tices occurre test run.	Small air-ent tices occurre test run.	Small air-ent tices occurre test run.	Small air-ent tices occurre 85 percent of runs.	Small air-ent tices occurre cent of the t	Small air-ent tices occurre of the test r
Invert Elevation of Intake	505.0	505.0	501.0	505.0	505.0	501.0	495.0
Cofferdam Cells 1-8	Out	Out	Out	Out	Out	Out	Out
Angle, deg Position No. 2 Θ_2	36				36	36	36
wing wall Position No. 1 Θ_1		30	30	30			
Intake Design	Type 4 (See Plate 9)	Type 5 (See Plate 10)	Type 5	Type 6 (See Plate 10)	Type 6	Type 6	Type 6
est Vo.	13	14	15	16	17	18	19

(Sheet 3 of 5)

(Continued)

Table 1 (Continued)

 $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

()

.

 ∞

	r- Cent	1. L.	L L	는 는	ኒኒ	11	cent
Comments	Small air-entraining vor tices occurred in 7 perc of the test runs.	Small air-entraining vor tices occurred in 50 per cent of the test runs.	Small air-entraining vor tices occurred in 50 per cent of the test runs.	Small air-entraining vor tices occurred in 50 per cent of the test runs.	Small air-entraining vor tices occurred in 50 per cent of the test runs.	Small air-entraining vor tices occurred in 50 per cent of the test runs.	Small air-entraining vor tices occurred in 7 perc of the test runs.
Invert Elevation of Intake	495.0	495.0	505.0	505.0	505.0	495.0	490.0
Cofferdam Cells 1-8	In	Out	uI	In	In	Out	uI
Angle, deg Position No. 2 ⁰ 2	36	36	13	0	30	30	30
Wing Wall Position No. 1							
Intake Design	Type 6	Type 6	Type 6	Type 6	Type 6	Type 6	Type 6
Test No.	20	21	22	23	24	25	26

(Sheet 4 of 5)

(Continued)

The external boost of the boost

(Sheet 5 of 5)

	Wing Wall Position No. 1	Angle, deg Position No. 2		Invert	
Intake Design	οl	02	Cotterdam Cells 1-8	Elevation of Intake	Comments
Type 6		30	Out	490.0	Small air-entraining vor- tices occurred in 20 per- cent of the test runs.
Type 7 (See Plate 11)		30	In	505.0	Small air-entraining vor- tices occurred in 50 per- cent of the test runs.
Type 7		30	IJ	501.0	Small air-entraining vor- tices occurred in 10 per- cent of the test runs.
Type 7		30	II	495.0	Small air-entraining vor- tices occurred in 90 per- cent of the test runs.
Type 7		30	In	490.0	Small air-entraining vor- tices occurred in 90 per- cent of the test runs.
Type 8 (See Plate 12)		30	Out	490.0	Small afr-entraining vor- tices occurred in 10 per- cent of the test runs.
Type 8 (Recommended)		30	In	490.0	No air-entraining vor- tices developed in any test run.

Table 1 (Concluded)

Table 2

Alternative II Filling Scheme

Results of Approach Wall Designs Tested, 4-Min Valve Speed

Pool el 538.0

Approach Wall Design	Description	Type of Wall	Comments
Type l	No approach wall. Original design.	None	A large vortex occurred 80 per- cent of the time during each run.
Type 2	A quarter-circle wall with a 30-ft radius was placed immediately upstream of the intake tower.	Free standing	Small air-entraining vortex formed several times during 30 percent of the test runs.
Type 3	A 50-ft straight wall was placed immediately upstream of the intake tower.	Free standing	Small air-entraining vortex formed several times during 30 percent of the test runs.
Type 4	A 50-ft straight wall was placed in series immediately upstream of the intake tower.	Free standing	Small vortices occurred during each test run; but none were air entraining.
Type 5	A quarter of an ellipse with a major axis of 90 ft and a minor axis of 60 ft was placed immediately upstream of the intake tower.	Free standing	Small air-entraining vortex formed in 15 percent of the test runs.
Type 6	A 10-ft straight wall and a quarter of an ellipse with a major axis of 90 ft and a minor axis of 60 ft were placed immediately upstream of the intake tower.	Free standing	Small air-entraining vortex formed in 15 percent of the test runs.

(Continued)

فخلط خديدك grows secondy assess areaning become address annum assessing and

Table 2 (Concluded)

. .

Approach Wall Design	Description	Type of Wall	Comments
Type 7	A 15-ft straight wall and a quarter of an ellipse with a major axis of 40 ft and a minor axis of 30 ft were pluced immediately upstream of the intake tower.	Free standing	Small air-entraining vortex formed in 15 percent of the test runs.
Type 8	A 25-ft straight wall and a quarter of an ellipse with a major axis of 50 ft and a minor axis of 30 ft were placed in series immediately upstream of the intake tower.	Free standing	Small air vortex formed in 15 percent of the test runs.
Type 9	A 25-ft straight wall and a quarter of an ellipse with a major axis of 40 ft and a minor axis of 30 ft were placed immediately upstream of the intake tower.	Full	Small dimple vortices were ob- served. No air-entraining vortices formed in any of the test runs.
Type 10	A quarter of an ellipse with a major axis of 90 ft and a minor axis of 60 ft was placed immediately upstream of the intake tower.	Fu11	Small dimple vortices were ob- served. No air-entraining vortices formed in any of the test runs.
Type 11	A quarter of an ellipse with a major axis of 90 ft and a minor axis of 46 ft was placed immediately upstream of the intake tower. A 2-ft wall was placed tangent to this wall; then a 5-ft quarter-circular wall was placed on this short wall. The wall was tied back to the intake tower with a 40-ft straight wall.	Full	No air-entraining vortex was seen in any of the test runs.

A DA DA DA TAKAN KADA TA DA DA DA TAKAN MANANA M

-

.

.



a. Before filling started



b. 2.0 min after filling started

Photo I. Surface currents during filling operation; type 1 design intake, time exposure 5 sec, upper pool el 538.0 (Continued)



DESTRUCT

c. 4.0 min after filling started



d. 6.0 min after filling started



e. 8.0 min after filling started Photo 1. (Concluded)



Photo 2. Type 1 design intake



Photo 3. Type 2 design approach walls



a. Before filling started



b. 2.0 min after filling started



c. 4.0 min after filling started

Photo 4. Surface currents during filling operation; type 1 design intake structure, wall position No. 2, time exposure 5 sec, upper pool el 538.0 (Continued)



d. 6.0 min after filling started



e. 8.0 min after filling started Photo 4. (Concluded)



AND A DESCRIPTION OF A

Photo 5. Type 2 design intake



Photo 6. Type 3 design intake



Photo 7. Type 4 design intake



Photo 8. Type 8 design intake

1.1.1.



Photo 9. Alternative I filling scheme (recommended design)



a. Before filling started



b. 2.0 min after filling started

Photo 10. Surface currents during filling operation; type 8 (recommended) design intake, time exposure 5 sec, upper pool el 538.0 (Continued)



c. 4.0 min after filling started



d. 6.0 min after filling started



e. 8.0 min after filling started

Photo 10 (Concluded)



Photo 11. Log boom



Photo 12. Ice flow during filling operation







a. Before filling started



b. 2.0 min after filling started

Photo 14. Surface currents during filling operation; original design, time exposure 10 sec, upper pool el 538.0 (Continued)



c. 4.0 min after filling started



d. 6.0 min after filling started



e. 8.0 min after filling started

Photo 14. (Concluded)



Photo 15. Type 1 design approach wall



Photo 16. Type 11 (recommended) design approach wall



Photo 17. Type 11 (recommended) design approach wall flow shot



a. Before filling started



b. 2.0 min after filling started



c. 4.0 min after filling started

Photo 18. Surface currents during a filling operation; type 11 (recommended) design approach wall, upper pool el 538.0 (Continued)



d. 6.0 min after filling started



e. 8.0 min after filling started

Photo 18. (Concluded)



the task attack to the the test of the task

PLATE 1

a sector of the se

Service.







PLATE 3









1.64 8

PLATE 7



N. C. C.

n in

dia sale

-14- 1414

at the states





Sec. Original

PLATE 9



110X12512512512512

المستريد المربع الم

A.





S. S.

ciaine.

Nico

بالمسلح المراجع والمراجع

2

PLATE 11







PLATE 13











PLATE 17









PLATE 20









in the area of

OUCC'S





PLATE 24

