AFWAL-TR-87-4009

# DEVELOPMENT OF IRON ALUMINIDES

Dr. R. G. Bordeau United Technologies Corporation Pratt & Whitney Government Products Division P.O. Box 109600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33410-9600

May 1987

190

AD-A185

Final Report for Period September 1981 - January 1986

THE FILE COPY

MARCHT AFRINAUTICAL

1.05

1 0 1037 8

このには、対応ないないでは、「ないななななな」

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

Materials Laboratory Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433-6533

| han kananan kanan ka |  |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| UNCLASSIFIED |
|--------------|
|--------------|

1.00

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

| UNCLASSIFIED   | ADDIGE  | an l  | distribution is   | unlimited.   |   |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE   | רפוראת  | 10  |   |  |   |
|  | REPORT DOCUM  | ENTATION  | traine  |  |   |
| 1. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>UNCLASSIFIED  |   | 16. RESTRIC   | TIVE MARKINGS   |  |   |
| 2. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORIT  | ſΥ  | 3. DISTRIBU   | TION/AVAILABILITY   | OF REPORT  |   |
| 20. DECLASSIFICAT: ON/DOWNGRADING S  | CHEDULE   |   |   |  |   |
| · PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT<br>P&W FR-18807   | NUMBER(S)   | S. MONITOR  | TR-87-4009  | REPORT NUMBER  | (5)   |
| 6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO<br>United Technologies Corporation<br>Pratt & Whitney<br>Government Producta Division  | IN 55, GFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If epplicable)   | 76. NAME OF<br>Materia<br>Air For   | MONITORING ORGA<br>ls Laboratory (AF<br>ce Wright Aerona  | WAL/MLLM)  | ries  |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>P.O. Box 109600<br>West Palm Brach, FL 33410-9600  |   | 7ь. ADORES<br>Wright-<br>Ohio 45  | s (City, State and ZIP C<br>Patterson Air For<br>433-6533   | rce Base   |   |
| B. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING<br>ORGANIZATION<br>Air Force Materials Lab   | Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If spplicable)<br>AFWAL/MLLM  | 9. PROCURE<br>F33615-8  | MENT INSTRUMENT   | IDENTIFICATION   | NUMBER  |
| Bc. ADDRESS (City, State and ZiP Code)   |   | 10. SOURCE  | OF FUNDING NOS.   |  |   |
| AFWAL/MLLM   |   | PROGRA<br>ELEMENT   | NO. PROJECT   | TASK<br>NO.  | WOAX UNIT   |
| 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)  |   | 62102   | F 2417  | 04   | 18  |
| Development of Iron Aluminides   |   |   |   |  |   |
| 134. TYPE OF REPORT 136. TI<br>Final FROM<br>16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION  | ME COVERED<br>Sept 1981 Yo Jan 1986   | 14. DATE OF<br>5/5/87   | REPORT (Yr., Mo., D   | ay) 15. PAGE<br>26   |   |
| R. G. Bo   | ourdeau, C. M. Adam, N  | M. J. Blackh  | urn, C. V. Law, I   | E. R. Slaughter  |   |
| 17. COSATI CODES   | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (C  | Continue on reve  | rse if necessary and ide  | ntify by block numb  | er)   |
| 111 06   | Powder Metallurgy, Raj<br>Managanese Steels, Cor  | pid Solidification<br>nsolidation, and l  | , Iron Aluminides, Disper<br>Post Working.  | sions, Ferritic and Au   | stenitic  |
| ASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessar   | ry and identify by block numbe  | r)  |   |  |   |
| This program addresses the develop<br>their potential for use in aircraft to<br>program was divided into three tas<br>requirements for Fe <sub>3</sub> Al base alloys,<br>alloys produced by the P&W rapidi<br>alloys with improved elevated tem | oment of iron aluminide l<br>urbine engines as alterna<br>iks. A process and model<br>a task to produce and o<br>ly solidified powder proce<br>perature properties. | base alloys b<br>atives to hig<br>I developmen<br>le!iver to the<br>css and a tas | by rapid solidification<br>the chromium steels<br>at task to define to<br>Air Force Mater<br>and for the develops | ion and the dete<br>and nickel-base<br>hermo:echanica<br>tals Laboratory<br>ment of austenit | rmination of<br>e alloys. The<br>al processing<br>a number of<br>.ic Fe-Mn-Al |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABS   | TRACT   | 21. ABSTRAC   | T SECURITY CLASSI   | FICATION   |   |
| UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 📓 SAME AS   | APT. D DTIC USERS   |   | 2001L1117   |  |   |
| 224. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL W. R. Kerr   |   | 225. TELEPH<br>(Include<br>513-25)  | ONE NUMBER<br>Area Codei<br>5-4769  | 22c. OFFICE EY<br>AFWAL/   | MBOL<br>MLLM  |
| DD FORM 1473, 83 APR   | EDITION OF 1 JAN 73   | S OBSOLETE  | UN  | CLASSIFIED   | فمكالي عنينا والمتحاد والمتحاد  |

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

「こうない」、これないないない。

### UNCLASSIFIED

#### SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Variations in consolidation and working conditions for the processing of  $Fe_3Al+TiB_2$  and the use of fine vs coarse powder caused variations in microstructure which were found to be the major reason for variation in strength and ductility. In general, the finer and more continuous grain structures, derived from a fine  $TiB_2$  dispersion, produced the best combination of mechanical properties.

The Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy produced as rolled plate from a rectangular extrusion was found to have a tensile strength of 140 ksi (965 MPa) and an elongation of 15% to 16%. The alloy rolled at 1150F (621C) recrystallized at about 1200F (650C) and transformed from an aligned to an equiaxed grain structure with an elongation reduced to about 8%. In contrast, the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al+TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy produced in rolled plate had a tensile strength of 180 ksi (1241 MPa) and an elongation of 11% to 15%. The alloy rolled at 1500F (815C) resisted recrystallation (abnormal grain growth in this case) to about 1800F (982C) and this temperature was raised to over 2000F (1093C) by alloying or by working under conditions which limited residual stresses. Abnormal grain growth significantly reduced the ductility of the alloy.

Investigation of alloys containing aluminum concentrations beyond that in Fe<sub>3</sub>Al, to effect an increase in elastic modulus, produced a material with a relatively high specific elastic modulus. An Fe-18.3Al-8Cr-2Mo-1.9TiB<sub>2</sub> (wt%) alloy, in the as-extruded condition, was found to have a tensile strength of 163 ksi (1124 MPa), an elongation of 6% and a specific modulus of 145  $(10)^6$  in. (based on a density of 0.228 lb/in.<sup>3</sup> (6.26 gm/cm<sup>3</sup>)).

Three types of alloys based on Fe-Mn-Al were prepared and evaluated in the austenitic alloys development program. The first type relied on the precipitation of an ordered perovskite carbide for strength. A second series was modeled after the austenitic iron-based superalloys from the FeNi(Cr)Al system. The final alloy was taken from development work performed in the 1950's designated BA36-1. Significant strength and creep resistance were achieved in the nustenite:perovskite alloys. It was found that modulated structures could be produced by a variety of thermal treatments. Slow continuous cooling from high temperatures resulted in the most stable structures with the best balance of properties. In certain alloys, the formation of ferrite:perovskite phase mixtures by a cellular reaction reduced the creep capability. The use of a more stable austenite to suppress this transformation coupled with the addition of small amounts of refractory elements yielded alloys with excellent creep properties. However, workability and ductility of such alloys were found to be limited and future efforts for enhancing these properties by processing and alloying changes were needed. For alloys in the second series, stabilization of the L1<sub>2</sub> phase in an austenitic matrix was proved difficult and poor creep resistance was observed due to the formation of an ordered bcc phase. The use of higher titanium levels for stablization of the L1<sub>2</sub> structure, instead of the bcc phase, was also investigated. The excellent creep resistance of Alloy BA36-1 was verified. However, it was also observed that exposure of this alloy for long periods of time (over 200 hours at 650°C) or at higher temperatures would reduce the creep resistance drastically which could be correlated with dissolution of a coherent precipitate and formation of a plate-like phase in this aloy.

MINE ALL SCALLES AND AND A

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

### FOREWORD

This final technical report describes the work performed by Pratt & Whitney/Engineering Division (P&W/ED) of the United Technologies Corporation (UTC) for the Air Force, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio under Contract F33615-81-C-5110. This report covers the period 1 September 1981 to 31 January 1986. It was assigned internal report number FR-18807. The contract was administered under the technical direction of Mr. W. R. Kerr of the AFWAL Materials Laboratory.

A SALED DE RESERVES FOR COURSE ESSANT

Task I and II efforts were performed at P&W/ED - South with Dr. C. M. Adam and Mr. E. R. Slaughter acting as principal investigators, and Mr. V. R. Thompson responsible for the production of rapidly solidified (RSR) powder. Task III was performed at P&W/Engineering Division — North under the direction of Dr. M. J. Blackburn with Dr. C. C. Law as principal investigator. The program was administered at P&W/ED-S by Dr. R. G. Bourdeau.

report does not have military applicat This ion' Distribution Statement A is correct. Per Mr. W. R. Kerr, AFWAL/MLLM ١ ı DIIC COPY NSPECTE Special яŶ. iii

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| Section |  | Page |
|---------|--|------|
| I       | INTRODUCTION   | 1    |
| II      | PROGRAM PLAN AND SCHEDULE  | 3    |
|         | Process and Model Development — Task I                             | 3    |
|         | Powder for Allov Development — Task II                             | 5    |
|         | Austenitic Iron Aluminides — Task III                              | 6    |
|         |  |      |
| III     | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION   | 13   |
|         | Process and Model Development — Task I                             | 13   |
|         | Powder Processing and Evaluation                                   | 13   |
|         | Consolidation and Working of Fe <sub>2</sub> Al                    | 34   |
|         | Laboratory Scale Processing  | 34   |
|         | Consolidation and Working of Fe <sub>2</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> | 42   |
|         | Laboratory Scale Processing  | 42   |
|         | Large Scale Processing of Fe-Al and Fe-Al + TiB.                   | 59   |
|         | Heat Treatment   | 63   |
|         | Min. structural Analysis   | 67   |
|         | Microstructural Analysis   | 67   |
|         | Microstructure of Larra Scale Extended and Worked Material         | 70   |
|         | Microstructure of Large Scale Extruded and worked Maderial.        | 13   |
|         |  | 79   |
|         | Deformation Process Modeling                                       | 90   |
|         | Texture of Material Processed in Small Lots                        | 100  |
|         | Texture of Material Processed in Large Lots                        | 103  |
|         | Property Evaluation  | 109  |
|         | Tensile Properties of Swaged $Fe_3Al$ and $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$         | 109  |
|         | Properties as a Function of Powder Size                            | 115  |
|         | Tensile Properties of Alloys Produced in Large Lots                | 124  |
|         | Stress Rupture Properties c. Alloys Produced in Large Lots         | 128  |
|         | Fracture Toughness Properties of Alloys Produced in Large          |      |
|         | Lots   | 133  |
|         | Evaluation of Dispersoids — Task I Extension                       | 139  |
| IV      | CONCLUSIONS — Task I   | 147  |
|         | Powder for Allov Development — Task II                             | 148  |
|         | Powder Processing  | 148  |
|         |  |      |
| V       | TASK III   | 149  |
|         | Introduction   | 149  |
|         | Alloy Screening  | 149  |
|         | Allow Formulation  | 140  |
|         | Functimental   | 140  |
|         | Daptimental  | 127  |
|         | Detailed Evoluations of Solasted All                               | 107  |
|         | Detailed Evaluations of Delected Alloys                            | 230  |
|         |  | 236  |
|         | Kesults and Discussion   | 241  |
|         | CONCLUSIONS — Task III   | 263  |
|         | REFERENCES   | 264  |

------KAUALULU INNOUNDE 

1684.3

### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 1      | Program Schedule for Tasks I, II, and III  | 4    |
| 2      | Extended Program Schedule for Tasks I and II   | 5    |
| 3      | The P&W AGT 400000 Rapid Solidification Rate Powder Rig  | 6    |
| 4      | Predicted Mean Cooling Rates Versus Particle Size for the P&W Rapid<br>Solidification Powder Rig             | 7    |
| 5      | Fe-Mn-Al Ternary Diagram at 1400°F   | 7    |
| 6      | One-Hundred-Hour Specific Creep Strength of Fe-Al Alloys and Commercial Alloys                               | 8    |
| 7      | Detailed Plan for Task III   | 10   |
| 8      | Particle Size Distribution of the $Fe_3Al$ and $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Alloys<br>Produced for Task 1                | 14   |
| 9      | SEM of the Surface of $-15 \mu$ Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Powder (Alloy 724)                     | 15   |
| 10     | SEM of the Surface of $-30 + \mu m$ Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Powder (Alloy 724)                 | 16   |
| 11     | SEM of the Surface of $-65 + 60 \ \mu m \ Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Powder (Alloy 724)                                 | 17   |
| 12     | SEM of the Surface of $-150 + 125 \ \mu m \ Fe_3Al + TiB_2 \ Powder$ (Alloy 724)                             | 18   |
| 13     | SEM of the Surface of $-15 \ \mu m$ Fe <sub>3</sub> Al Powder (Alloy 729)                                    | 19   |
| 14     | SEM of the Surface of $-30 + 20\mu$ Fe <sub>3</sub> Al Powder (Alloy 729)                                    | 20   |
| 15     | SEM of the Surface of -65 +60µ Fe <sub>3</sub> Al Powder (Alloy 729)   | 21   |
| 16     | SEM of the Surface of $-150$ $-25\mu$ m Fe <sub>3</sub> Al Powder (Alloy 729)                                | 22   |
| 17     | Microstructure of $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ (724) Powder Particles — As-Produced                                      | 25   |
| 18     | Microstructure of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al (729) Powder Particles As-Produced                                      | 26   |
| 19     | SEM of Fine (-270 Mesh) and Coarse (-80 + 100 Mesh) $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Alloy Powder                            | 28   |
| 20     | SEM of Fine (-270 Mesh) and Coarse (-80 + 100 Mesh) $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Alloy Powder                            | 29   |
| 21     | Solidification Structure and Particle Entrapment/Porosity in Fe <sub>3</sub> Al +<br>TiB <sub>2</sub> Powder | 30   |

1584C

| Figure |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 22     | Microstructure of Fine (-270 Mesh) and Coarse (-80 + 100 Mesh) $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Powder  | 31   |
| 23     | Microstructure of Fine (-270 Mesh) and Coarse (-80 +100 Mesh)<br>Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Powder   | 32   |
| 24     | Microstructure of Fine and Coarse $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Powder Particles<br>Randomly Selected  | 33   |
| 25     | Alloy 729 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al) — HVC 1700°F/30 ksi –140 +200 Mesh Powder  | 36   |
| 26     | Alloy 729 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al) — HIP 2000°F (1093°C) — Koll 2100°F (1149°C)<br>Kalling's Etch   | 37   |
| 27     | Development of Fiber Structure in Fe <sub>3</sub> Al HIP at 2000°F and Rolled at 2100°F   | 38   |
| 28     | Alloy 729 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al) — HIP at 2000°F –140 +200 Mesh Powder  | 39   |
| 29     | Alloy 729 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al) — HIP 2000°F (1093°C) — Roll 2100°F (1149°C)<br>Kalling's Etch   | 40   |
| 30     | Alloy 729 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al) — HIP 2000°F (1093°C) — Roll 2100°F (1149°C) to 50% — Roll 1150°F (621°C) to 95% Total   | 41   |
| 31     | Bend Samples Employed to Evaluate the Effect of Heat Treatment on Ductility of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al Rolled Strip  | 44   |
| 32     | Fracture Surface of Rolled FE <sub>3</sub> Al (729) Alloy Bend Samples  | 45   |
| 33     | TEM of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al (729) Alloy Consolidated, Post Worked and Annealed at 1200°F (649°C) — Polygonized Area, Partially Recrystallized                               | 46   |
| 34     | TEM of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al (729) Alloy Consolidated, Post Worked, and Annealed<br>at 1200°F (649°C) — Recrystallized and Polygonized Area Showing<br>Abnormal Grain Growth | 47   |
| 35     | Microstructure of Extruded and Swaged Fe <sub>3</sub> Al+1<br>9% TiB <sub>2</sub> (723) Alloy   | 48   |
| 36     | Microstructure of Extruded and Swaged Fe <sub>3</sub> Al+1<br>9% TiB <sub>2</sub> (545) Alloy   | 50   |
| 37     | Microstructure of Extruded and Swaged Fe <sub>3</sub> Al+3<br>82TiB <sub>2</sub> (549) Alloy  | 51   |
| 38     | Alloy 723 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) — HVC 1700°F/30 ksi — Ion/Mill 5 deg<br>-140 +200 Mesh Powder   | 52   |

1584C

| Figure     |  | Page |
|------------|--|------|
| 39         | Alloy 723 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al - TiB2) — HVC 2000°F (1093°C)/30 ksi — Ion<br>Beam Mill —140 +200 Mesh Powder  | 53   |
| 40         | Microstructure of Extruded Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Powder Extruded 4 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C)  | 54   |
| 41         | Alloy 545 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded and Swaged Microstructures  | 55   |
| 42         | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Extruded 10 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C) and Rolled 90% at 1700°F (927°C)  | 56   |
| 43         | Bend Samples Employed to Evaluate the Effect on Heat Treatment on Ductility of $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ (Alloy 545) Rolled Strip   | 57   |
| 44         | Alloy 545 — Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C) — Rolled 90% at<br>1700°F (927°C) and Heat Treated   | 58   |
| 45         | Extrusion Can  | 61   |
| 46         | Schematic of Hot Dynamic Outgasser   | 62   |
| 47         | $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Alloy Rolled 66% in Light Passes at 1500°F Showing Abnormal Grain Growth After 2-Hour Heat Treatment at 1800°F  | 65   |
| 48         | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Alloy Rolled 66% in Heavy Passes at 1750°F Showing<br>Resistance to Abnormal Grain Growth After 2-Hour Heat Treatment at<br>2200°F | 66   |
| 49         | TEM of Sample R-82 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and<br>Swaged 75% at 1300°F Fine (-270 Mesh) Powder   | 68   |
| 50         | TEM of Sample R-80 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and<br>Swaged 75% at 1600°F Fine (-270 Mesh, Powder   | 69   |
| 51         | TEM of Sample R79 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and<br>Swaged 75% at 1600°F Coarse (-80 +100 Mesh) Powder  | 70   |
| 52         | TEM of Sample R69 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F and<br>Swaged 75% at 2000°F Coarse (-80 Mesh Powder)   | 71   |
| <b>5</b> 3 | TEM of $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F -80 + 100 Mesh<br>Powder — Three General Areas A, B, and C   | 73   |
| 54         | TEM of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and Swaged 75% at 130.°F (-270 Mesh Powder) — Four General Areas A, B, C, and D                   | 74   |
| 55         | TEM of $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and Swaged 75% at<br>Two Different Temperatures (-80 + 100 Mesh Powder)  | 75   |

1.840

| Figure     |   | Page |
|------------|---|------|
| 56         | TEM of Sample R069 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F and<br>Swaged 75% at 2000°F (-80 Mesh) Powder  | 77   |
| 57         | TEM of Sample R079 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and<br>Swaged 75% at 1600°F (80 +100 Mesh) Powder  | 78   |
| 58         | TEM of Sample R081 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and<br>Swaged 75% at 1300°F (-80 +100 Mesh) Powder   | 80   |
| 59         | TEM of Sample R080 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and<br>Swaged 75% at 1600°F (-270 Mesh) Powder   | 81   |
| <b>6</b> 0 | TEM of Sample R082 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and<br>Swaged 75% at 1300°F (-80 +100 Mesh) Powder   | 82   |
| 61         | TEM of Sample R082 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and<br>Swaged 75% at 1300°F (-80 +100 Mesh) Powder   | 83   |
| 62         | Microstructure of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al (A- as Extruded 7.4 to 1 at 1700°F; B- as<br>Rolled 68% at 1150°F)   | 84   |
| 63         | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al from (-80 Mesh) Powder (A- as Extruded 8 to 1; B- as Rolled<br>73% at 1150°F)  | 85   |
| 64         | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> from Fine (-270 Mesh) Powder, A- as Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F and B- as Rolled 73% at 1500°F  | 87   |
| 65         | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiE <sub>2</sub> from Fine (-270 Mesh) Powder a. Extruded 8:1 at 1700°F b. Rolled 65% at 1500°F  | 88   |
| 66         | $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ from Coarse (-80 ¼0 Mesh) Powder, A- as Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F and B- as Rolled 69% at 1500°F   | 89   |
| 67         | TEM of $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ (Alloy 545) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C)<br>Showing Elongated Grains and the Distribution of $TiB_2$ Inherited from<br>the Original Solidification Structure | 91   |
| 88         | TEM of $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ (Alloy 545) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C)<br>Showing the Distribution of $TiB_2$ in Prior Dendrite Arms   | 92   |
| 69         | (110) and (200) Pole Figures of Extruded Alloy $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$<br>(RSR 545) Showing Strong <110> Fiber Textures  | 93   |
| 76         | (110) Pole Figures for Different Thermomechanical Processing<br>Sequences for Alloy 729 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al)  | 95   |
| 71         | (110) Pole Figures from Different Thermomechanical Processing Sequences for $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ (Alloy 723)  | 96   |

ELECTION DE LA LESSE DE LA LA LA LA LA

1584C

| Figure         |  | Page |
|----------------|--|------|
| 72             | (110) Pole Figure of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> (Alloy 545) Rolled at 1700°F<br>(927°C) Showing Ductile at Bend Test Behavior   | 97   |
| 73             | Bright Field TEM of Alloy 729 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al) Rolled and Annealed at 1150°F (521°C) for 1 Hour, Showing Extensive Polygonization Networks                                   | 98   |
| 74             | Bright Field TEM of Alloy 729 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al) Rolled and Annealed at 1150°F (621°C) for 1 Hour, Showing Nucleation of New Strain Free Gains Within the Deformed Matrix      | 99   |
| 75             | Dark Field TEM of Rolled and Annealed 545 Alloy (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2)<br>Showing the General Grain Elongation and Subgrain Polygonization<br>Within the Grain              | 101  |
| 76             | TEM Alloy 545 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Showing the Distribution of $\sim$ 200Å $\uparrow$ Diameter TiB <sub>2</sub> and Their Relationship to Polygonized Subgrain Boundaries | 102  |
| 77             | (110) Pole Figures of Extruded and Swaged $Fe_3Ai + TiB_2$   | 104  |
| 78             | (110) Pole Figures of Extruded, Swaged, and Annealed $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  | 105  |
| 7 <del>9</del> | <110> Pole Figure Resulting from the Extrusion of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al to a Rectangular Cross Section  | 106  |
| 80             | <110> Pole Figure Resulting from the Warm Rolling of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al Which<br>Had Been Extruded to a Rectangular Cross Section  | 107  |
| 81             | <110> Pole Figure of As-Extruded Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Produced from Fine (-270 Mesh) Powder   | 107  |
| 82             | <110> Pole Figure of As-Extruded $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Produced from<br>Coarse (-80 +140 Mesh) Powder   | 108  |
| 83             | <110> Pole Figure of Rolled $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Produced from Fine (-270 Mesh) Powder   | 108  |
| 84             | <110> Pole Figure of Rolled Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Produced from Coarse<br>(-80 +140 Mesh) Powder   | 109  |
| 85             | Microstructure of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al (729) Alloy Extruded and Swaged   | 111  |
| 86             | Tensile Properties of Alloy 729 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al) HIP at 2200°F (1093°C)  | 112  |
| 87             | Tensile Properties of Alloy 729 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al) Extruded 4 to 1 at 1700°F<br>(92,°C)  | 113  |
| 88             | Tensile Properties of Alioy 723 (Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB2) Extruded 4 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C)  | 114  |

| Figure |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 89     | Effect of Particle Size and Working Temperature on the 1800°F<br>Tensile Strenth of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> | 119  |
| 90     | Effect of Particle Size on Yield Strength of $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ at Different<br>Test Temperatures                           | 119  |
| 91     | Effect of Swaging Temperature on 1800°F Stress Rupture Strength of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub>                  | 121  |
| 92     | Stress Rupture Samples Tested at 1800°F (982°C)   | 122  |
| 93     | Stress Rupture Samples Tested at 1800°F (982°C)   | 123  |
| 94     | Yield Strength Versus Temperature for Extruded and Rolled Fe $_3$ Al With and Without TiB $_2$                            | 127  |
| 95     | Tensile Strength Versus Temperature for Extruded and Rolled $Fe_3Al$ With and Without $TiB_2$                             | 128  |
| 96     | Elongation Versus Temperature for Extruded and Rolled $Fe_3Al$ With and Without $TiB_2$                                   | 129  |
| 97     | Reduction of Area Versus Temperature for Extruded and Rolled Fe <sub>3</sub> Al With and Without $TiB_2$                  | 130  |
| 98     | Stress Rupture Samples of $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Produced from Fine (A) and Coarse (B) Powder and Tested at 1800°F              | 134  |
| 99     | Stress Rupture in 100 Hours for $Fe_3Al$ and $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Provided from a Large Rolled Extrusion                      | 135  |
| 100    | Typical Fracture Surface of the Fe <sub>3</sub> Al and Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Alloys                       | 136  |
| 101    | Cross Sections of Fracture Toughness Samples Produced from Extruded<br>and Rolled Material Longitudinal Fractures         | 137  |
| 102    | Fracture Surface of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al Alloy  | 138  |
| 103    | Fracture Surface of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Alloy   | 139  |
| 104    | Dynamic Modulus vs. Temperature   | 142  |
| 105    | (110) Pole Figure for Extruded Fe-Al-TiB <sub>2</sub> Alloy   | 143  |
| 106    | (110) Pole Figure for Extruded Fe-Al-Cr-Mo-TiB <sub>2</sub> Alloy   | 144  |
| 107    | (110) Pole Figure for Extruded and Swaged Fe-Al-Mo-TiB <sub>2</sub> Alloy   | 145  |

| Figure |  | Page            |
|--------|--|-----------------|
| 108    | Fe-MrAl Ternary Diagram at 760°C, (Schmatz, 1959). The<br>Approximate Position of the Base Alloy (Alloy 103) Is Indicated by the<br>Filled Circle in the Austenite Phase Field   | 150             |
| 109    | Compositional Dependence of As-Quenched Structure of Fe-Mn-Al-2.0C<br>Alloys Formed by Rapid Quenching (Melt Spinning) Technique. After<br>Inone et al, 1981 (Rcf. 3)  | 153             |
| 110    | Fe-Ni-Al Ternary System at 950°C. After Bradley, 1949 <sup>*</sup> . Positions of<br>Alloys in the Present Studies Are Shaded, Assuming Interchangeability<br>of Ni and Mn in These Types of Alloys. $\gamma$ -fcc, $\gamma$ -Ni <sub>3</sub> Al $\beta$ -bcc,<br>$\beta$ '-FeAl, NiAl   | 154             |
| 111    | Isothermal Section of the Fe-Ni-Ti System at 700°C. After Speich,<br>1963**. The Positions of Some of the Alloys Selected for the Present<br>Studies Are Indicated by Dots   | 155             |
| 112    | Hardness of Alloys 103 Through 106 vs Aging Temperature. Specimens<br>Aged for 16 Hours at the Indicated Temperatures. a. Alloys Rolled at<br>593°C, b. Alloys Rolled at 1150°C  | 159             |
| 113    | Hardness of Alloys 107 Through 111 vs Aging Temperature. Specimens<br>Aged for 16 Hours at the Indicated Temperatures. (a) — Alloys Rolled<br>at 593°C, (b) — Alloys Rolled at 1050°C  | 160             |
| 114    | Microstructure of Alley 106 (a) After Rolling at 593°C and After Aging<br>for 16 Hours at (b) 593°C (c) 649°C (d) 704°C  | 162             |
| 115    | Microstructure of Alloy 110 (a) After Rolling at 593°C and After Aging<br>for 16 Hours at (b) 593°C (c) 649°C (d) 704°C  | 163             |
| 116    | Microstructure of Alloy 104 After Rolling at 593°C and Aging for 16<br>Hours at 704°C (a) Optical Micrograph Showing No Evidence of<br>Recrystallization, (b) Secondary Electron Micrograph Illustrating the<br>Morphology and Distribution of the Precipitates, (c) Transmission<br>Electron Micrograph Showing High Dislocation Density in the Warm-<br>Rolled Alloy | 16 <del>4</del> |
| 117    | Microstructure of Alloy 110 (a) After Rolling at 1150°C. After Solution<br>Heat Treatment at 1120°C for 1 Hour Followed by an Oil Quench and<br>Aged for 16 Hours at (b) 593°C (c) 649°C (d) 704°C   | 165             |
| 118    | Secondary Electron Micrographs Illustrating the Relative Quantity of<br>Precipitates Formed in Alloy 111. (a) After 593°C Rolling (b) After<br>1150°C Rolling. The Alloy Was Aged at 649°C for 16 Hours After<br>Rolling in Both Cases   | 166             |

1584C

| Figure |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 119    | Microstructure of Alloy 106 (a) After Rolling at 1150°C. After Solution<br>Heat Treatment at 1120°C for 1 Hour Followed by an Oil Quench and<br>Aged for 16 Hours at (b) 593°C (c) 649°C (d) 704°C  | 167  |
| 120    | Transmission Electron Micrographs Illustrating the Major Precipitates<br>in Alloy 111 After Warm Rolling and Aging Treatment at 650°C for<br>16 hours. (a) Bright Field (b) Dark Field  | 168  |
| 121    | Part of 1000°C Ternary Isothermal Section of Mn-Fe-Al System<br>(Chakrabarti, 1977). Within the bcc Phase Field, Alloys Showing CsC1-<br>Type Superlattice Lines Are Indicated By Filled Circles (*), and<br>Disordered Alloys by Open Circles (O). The Approximate Position of<br>the Base Alloy (103) Is Indicated by the (X) in the Austenite Phase<br>Field | 179  |
| 122    | Fe-Ni-Al Ternary System at 950°C (Bradley, 1949). The Approximate Compositions of Alloys 107 Through 111, Assuming Mn Behaves Like Ni, Is Indicated by the Filled Circle in the $(\gamma + \beta)$ Phase Field. $\beta =$   | 112  |
| 123    | bcc, $\gamma = \text{fcc}$ , $\gamma = \text{Ni}_3 \text{Al}$ , $\beta' = \text{FeAl}$ , NiAl<br>Creep Behavior of Alloys 103 Through 106 in Warm-Rolled, 650°C/16  | 173  |
|        | Hours Aged Condition at 650°C and 293 MPa   | 174  |
| 124    | Creep Behavior of Alloys 107 Through 111 in Warm-Rolled, 600°C/16<br>Hours Aged Condition at 650°C and 293 MPa  | 174  |
| 125    | Creep Behavior of Alloys 107 Through 111 in Hot-Rolled, Solution<br>Heat Treated (1038°C/1hr/OQ) and Aged (650°C/16 Hours) Condition<br>at 650°C and 293 MPa  | 175  |
| 126    | Duplex Phase Microstructures of Powder Compacts of (A) Alloy 67,<br>and (B) Alloy 68 Consolidated by Vacuum Hot Pressing at 1040°C, 3<br>ksi for 0.5 Hour   | 176  |
| 127    | Microstructure of Alloy 140 After Heat Treatments of 1040°C/1h/OQ<br>and 650°C/16h/AC. Note the Inhomogeneous Grain Structure in This<br>Material   | 178  |
| 128    | Detailed Microstructures of Alloy 140 With Heat Treatments Described<br>in the Preceding Illustrating (a) Densely Populated Fine Coherent<br>Precipitate a Recrystallized Grains (b) Coarser Particles in Grains<br>Undergoin Recovery and Recrystallization  | 179  |
| 129    | Microstructure of Alloy 140 After Aging at 705°C for 75 Hours<br>Illustrating the Presence of a New Phase, A. With a Plate Morphology<br>and Equiaxed Particles, B. The Latter Are Present Also at a Lower  | 110  |
|        | Aging Temperature. The Fine Coherent Precipitate Which Forms at a<br>Lower Aging Temperature Can No Longer be Observed (See 128)  | 180  |
|        | xiii  |      |
| 1584C  |   |      |

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 130    | Creep Behavior of Alloy 140 at 650°C and 293 MPa After Various<br>Aging Heat Treatments Indicated. Solution Heat Treatment<br>1040°C/1h/OQ   | 181  |
| 131    | Grain Structure in Alloy 97 Ingot  | 182  |
| 132    | Transmission Electron Micrograph of Alloy 97 Ingot Showing the<br>Ordered icc Phase. The White Rectangular Pattern Was Believed To<br>Be the Boundaries of Ordered Domains (Ref. 3)  | 183  |
| 133    | Selected Area Diffraction Patterns of Alloy 97 Ingot With Zone Axes<br>(a) [001], (b) [011], (c) [112], and (d) [013]  | 184  |
| 134    | Unit Cell of T <sub>3</sub> BC   | 185  |
| 135    | Phase Fields of Some Ternary $T_3AlC_x$ Compounds  | 185  |
| 136    | Isothermal Section Through the Fe-Al-C Phase Diagram at 1000°C.<br>After Morral, 1934***. The Positions of Alloys Which Have the Same<br>Al and C Contents as Alloys 97 and 103 Are Indicated by the Filled<br>Circles 1 and 2, Respectively. $\alpha$ Ferrite, $\gamma$ Austenite, $\varepsilon$ = Perovskite | 186  |
| 137    | Microstructure of Alloy 97 RSR Powder (a) Optical Micrograph<br>Showing the Usual Dendritic Structure, (b) Transmission Electron<br>Micrograph Illustrating That the RSR Powder Particle Is Essentially a<br>Single Phase Solid Solution   | 187  |
| 138    | Microstructures of (a) Alloy 97 (b) Alloy 101 and (c) Alloy 102 After<br>Hot Isostatic Consolidation at 1040°C and 100 MPa. Cracks Are<br>Present in All the Powder Compacts in the As-Consolidated Condition  | 188  |
| 139    | Transmission Electron Micrographs of Consolidated Powder Alloy 97<br>(a) Elongated L1 <sub>2</sub> -Type Ordered Precipitates in a Matrix With<br>Modulating Contrast, (b) Modulating Structure Shown in a High<br>Magnification, (c) Modulating Structure Imaged Using a Superlattice<br>Reflection           | 189  |
| 140    | Creep Behavior of Alloy 97 in As-Cast Condition at 650°C and 290 MPa   | 191  |
| 141    | Electron Micrographs of Alloys 97 After a Solution Treatment at<br>1150°C (2100°F) for 5 Minutes Followed by Quenching in Oil.<br>(a) Bright Field (b) Dark Field Using a Superlattice Reflection (c)<br>Sected Area Diffraction Pattern, <001> Zone Axis  | 194  |

たただたでいいやい

Read & SPARACON CONTRACTOR EXTERNAL CONTRACTOR

| Figure |  | Page            |
|--------|--|-----------------|
| 142    | Optical Micrographs of Alloy 97 After a Solution Treatment at 1150°C<br>(2100°F) for 5 Minutes Followed by Quenching in Oil and Aging at<br>(a) 650°C for 24 Hours (b) 760°C for 8 Hours (c) Illustrates the Grain<br>Boundary Microstructure Resulting from Heat Treatments in (a)<br>and (b)   | 195             |
| 143    | Electron Micrograph of the Lamellar Structure at Grain Boundary<br>Regions in Alloy 97. The Dark and Light Phases Were Identified,<br>Using Microelectron Diffraction Techniques, as Perovskite Carbide and<br>Ferrite, Respectively   | 1 <del>96</del> |
| 144    | Secondary Electron Micrographs of Alloy 97 Illustrating the Modulated<br>Phase Structures Resulting from Isothermal Aging Treatments at<br>(a) 650°C for 24 Hours (b) 760°C for 8 Hours  | 197             |
| 145    | Micrographs of Alloy 97 After the 650°C/24 Hour Aging Treatment<br>Illustrating the Modulated Phase Structure in Greater Detail<br>(a) Secondary Electron Image Showing Square and Rectangular Cross<br>Sections of the Perovskite Particles (b) Dark Field Transmission<br>Electron Micrography Imaged With a Superlattice Reflection. The<br>Perovskite Carbide Particles Are Aligned Along <001> Directions | 198             |
| 146    | Optical Micrograph of Alloy 97 After a Furnace-Cool Heat Treatment<br>from 1040°C to 815°C at an Average Rate of 2.5°C per Minute  | 200             |
| 147    | Optical Micrographs of Alloy 97 After the Furnace-Cool Treatment<br>Described in the Preceding Figure with Additional Exposure at 650°C<br>for 50 Hours. Note the Presence of Lamellar Colonies at Grain<br>Boundary Regions Which Were Produced During the 650°C Exposure .   | 201             |
| 148    | Microstructures of Alloy 154 After Solution Treatment of<br>1040°C/1h/OQ (a), Reheated to 1040°C and Furnace Cooled to 815°C<br>at an Average Rate of 2.5C per Minute (b) (c) Detailed Microstructure<br>Resulting from Heat Treatment (b) Illustrating the Blocky Perovskite<br>Carbide at Grain Boundary Regions and Coarsening of the Modulated<br>Structure in Certain Regions Within the Grains           | 202             |
| 149    | Transmission Electron Micrographs of Alloy 154 After the Furnace<br>Cool-Heat Treatment Described in the Preceding Figure Illustrating<br>(a) the Very Fine Modulated Structure Produced in the Grains (b)<br>Coarsened Modulated Structure in Certain Regions of the Grains<br>Shown in the Preceding Figure  | 203             |
| 150    | Microstructure of the Furnace-Cooled Alloy 154 After Additional<br>Exposure at 650°C for 100 Hours. The Apparent Discontinuous<br>Precipitation at Grain Boundary Regions Shown in (a) and (b) Is in<br>Fact Coarsening of Perovskite Carbide Particles. (c) Illustrates the<br>Blocky Perovskite Carbide at Grain Boundaries and Extensive  |                 |
|        | Coarsening of the Modulated Structure  | 204             |

55**8**55

 $\lambda \to \gamma$ 

AN AND

1584C

CC 233

27.62

2

| Figure      |  | Page |
|-------------|--|------|
| 151         | Microstructures of Alloy 155 After (a) "Solution" Treatment of 1040°C/1h/OQ (b) Solution Treatment of 1095°C/1h/OQ   | 205  |
| 152         | Microstructure of Alloy 155 After Heat Treatment at 1095°C for 1<br>Hour Followed by Cooling to 815°C at an Average Rate of About<br>2.5°C Per Minute. Note the Rapid Coarsering of the Modulated<br>Structure During the Slow Cooling from 1095°C                         | 206  |
| 153         | Transmission Electron Micrograph Illustrating the Mcdulated Structure<br>in Alloy 155 After the Slow-Cool Heat Treatment Described in the<br>Preceding Figure  | 207  |
| 154         | Microduplex Structure Consisting of Perovskite Particles and Austenite<br>Grains Observed in Alloy 142 After Heat Treatment at 1040°C for 10<br>Minutes Followed by Cooling to 815°C at an Average Rate of 2.5°C<br>Per Minute   | 210  |
| 155         | Creep Behavior of Alloy 37 at 650°C and 293 MPa After Various<br>Aging Heat Treatments Indicated. Solution Heat Treatment<br>1150°C/5 min/OQ   | 211  |
| 156         | Creep Behavior of Alloy 141 at 650°C and 293 MPa After Various<br>Aging Heat Treatments Indicated. Solution Heat Treatment<br>1040°C/1h/OQ   | 211  |
| 157         | Creep Curves of Alloys 97, 142, 145, 146 and 154 at 650°C and 293 MPa Illustrating the Effect of Mn on Creep Resistance  | 212  |
| 158         | Creep Curves of Alloys 97 and 144 at 650°C and 293 MPa Illustrating<br>the Effect of Two Different Combinations of Al and C Contents on<br>Creep Resistance  | 212  |
| 15 <b>9</b> | Creep Curves of Alloys 141, 144, 154 and 155 at 650°C and 293 MPa<br>Illustrating the Affects of Co and Refractory Metals Additions on<br>Creep Resistance   | 213  |
| 160         | Harciness and Room Temperature Bend Ductility of Alloy 97 After<br>Various Heat Treatments   | 213  |
| 161         | Fracture Features of Alloy 97 in a Room Temperature Bend Test.<br>Heat Treatment: 1150°C/5 min/OQ+ 650°C/24h/AC  | 214  |
| 162         | Fracture Features of Alloy 154 in a Room Temperature Bend Test.<br>Heat Treatment: (a) 1040°C/10 min/Cooled to 815°C at a Rate of<br>2.5°C/min Followed by Cooling to Room Temperature in Air (b) Heat<br>Treatment as in (a) Plus Isothermal Aging at 650°C for 100 Hours | 215  |
|             |  |      |

1584C

| rigu  | ure and the second s   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 163   | Fracture Surface Features of Alloy 142 in a Room Temperature Bend<br>Test. Heat Treatment: 1040 C/10 min/Cooled to 815°C at a Rate of<br>2.5°C/min Followed by Cooling to Room Temperature in Air   | 216  |
| 164   | 0.2% Yield Strengths of Alloys 142, 154, and 155 and the Iron-Base<br>Superalloy A286   | 216  |
| 165(  | (a) Optical Micrographs of Alloys in the Third Series After a Solution<br>Treatment of 1095°C for 30 min Followed by Furnace Cooling to<br>815°C a. Base Composition (Alloy 168)  | 218  |
| 165(  | <ul> <li>Optical Micrographs of Alloys in the Third Series After a Solution<br/>Treatment of 1095°C for 30 min Followed by Furnace Cooling to<br/>815°C, b. Base + 0.5 wt% Ti (Alloy 156) c. Base + 0.5 wt% Zr (Alloy<br/>157) d. Base + 0.5 wt% Hf (Alloy 158) e. Base + 0.5 wt% V</li> </ul>                                  |      |
| 165(  | <ul> <li>c) Optical Micrographs of Alloys in the First Series After a Solution<br/>Treatment of 1095°C for 30 min Followed by Furnace Cooling to<br/>815°C f. Base + 0.5 wt% Cr (Alloy 160) g. Base + 0.5 wt% Mo (Alloy<br/>161) h. Base + 0.5 wt% W (Alloy 162) i. Base + 1.5 wt% Co</li> </ul>                                | 219  |
| 165(  | <ul> <li>(Alloy 163)</li> <li>(Alloy 163)</li> <li>(Alloy 163)</li> <li>(Alloy 163)</li> <li>(Alloy 164)</li> <li>(Alloy 165)</li> <li>(Alloy 165)</li> <li>(Alloy 165)</li> <li>(Alloy 166)</li> <li>(Alloy 167)</li> <li>(Alloy 167)</li> </ul>   | 220  |
| 166   | Transmission Electron Micrograph of Alloy 159 After the Furnace Cool<br>Hoat Treatment Described in the Preceding Figure Illustrating the<br>Precipitation of Very Fine Perovskite Carbide Particles in the Matrix<br>(a), the Equiaxed Shaped Primary Perovskite Carbide Particles (b), and<br>the Vanadium-Rich Particles (c) | 222  |
| 167   | Creep Curves of Alloys 155 (1 wt% Mo + 0.5 wt% W), 161 (0.5 wt% Mo), 162 (0.5 wt% W), 167 (0.5 wt% + 0.2 wt% $\beta$ ) and 168 (Base) at 650°C and 293 MPa  | 224  |
| 168   | Creep Curves of Alloys 156 (1.5 wt% Co), 164 (1.5 wt% Ni) 165 (1.5 wt% Cu) and 168 (Base) at 650°C and 293 MPa  | 224  |
| 169   | Creep Curves of Alloys 156 (0.5 wt% Ti), 157 (0.5 wt% Zr), 158 (0.5 wt% Hf), 159 (0.5 wt% V), 160 (0.5 wt% Cr), 161 (0.5 wt% Mo), and 166 (0.5 wt% Si and 168 (Base)) at 650°C and 293 MPa  | 225  |
|       | xvii  |      |
| 1584C |   |      |

A CAMPANY AND

KINERER BESCHREITER REFERENCEN UND DER MENNEN UND

| Figure |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 170    | Optical Micrographs of Selected Alloys in the Fe-Ni/Mn-Ti Alloy<br>Series Illustrating Microstructure Changes When an Increasing Amount<br>of Ni Was Replaced With Mn Alloys Were a Solution Heat Treated at<br>1040°C for 1 hr Followed by Quenching in Oil and Then Aging at<br>650°C for 16 hr. a. Alloy 243 (30 a/o Ni) b. Alloy 244 (18.5 a/o<br>Mn+11.5 a/o Ni) c. Alloy 245 (22.5 a/o Mn + 7.5 a/o | 227  |
| 171    | Transmission Electron Micrographs of Alloy 243 After the Heat<br>Treatment Described in the Preceding Figure. These Figures Illustrate<br>the Precipitation of Very Fine L1 <sub>2</sub> -Type Particles (A) Bright Field <sup>2</sup><br>(B) Dark Field Illuminated by a Superlattice Reflection   | 228  |
| 172    | Electron Micrograph of Alloy 245 Illustrating the Absence of $L1_2$ Type<br>Particles in the Matrix and the Formation of More Massive Ti-Rich<br>Particles Distributed Throughout the Alloy   | 229  |
| 173    | Micro-micro Electron Diffraction Patterns from the Ti-rich Particles<br>Shown in the Preceding Figure. These and Other Diffraction Patterns<br>Could be Indexed as hcp With Lattice Parameters of $a = 4.56A$ , $c = 7.40A$ , (a)(100), (b)(231)  | 230  |
| 174    | Creep Curves of Alloys 147, 151, 152, and 153 at 650°C and 293 MPa  | 231  |
| 175    | Creep Curves of Alloys 169 (2 a/o Ti) and 170 (4 a/o Ni), at 650°C and 293 MPa  | 232  |
| 176    | Creep Curves of Alloys 243 (30 a/o Ni), 246 (45 a/o Ni), and 170 (23 a/o Ni + 37 a/o Mn) at 650°C and 293 MPa   | 233  |
| 177    | Creep Curves of Alloys 243 (30 a/o Ni), 244 (11.5 a/o Ni + 18.5 a/o<br>Mn) and 245 (7.5 a/o Ni + 22.5 a/o Mn) at 650°C and 293 MPa  | 233  |
| 178    | Creep Curves of Alloys 246 (45 a/o Ni), 247 (17.3 a/o Ni + 27.7 a/o Mn) and 248 (11.25 a/o Ni + 33.75 a/o Mn) at $650^\circ C$ and 293 MPa .  | 234  |
| 179    | Creep Curves of Alloys 244 (0 Cu) and 250 (2 Cu) at 650°C and 293<br>MPa  | 234  |
| 180    | (A) Weight Change Versus Exposure Time in Cyclic Oxidation<br>Between 20 and 760°C in 2-Hour Cycle. (B) Appearance of the<br>Specimens After 250 Hours  | 235  |
| 181    | (A) Weight Change Versus Exposure Time in 650°C Sulfidation Tests With a Mixture of 50 Wt% $Na_2SO_4$ and 50 Wt% $NaCl.$ (B) Appearance of the Specimens After 250 Hours  | 237  |
| 182    | (A) Weight Change Versus Exposure Time in $650^{\circ}$ C Sulfidation Tests With a Mixture of 75 Wt% Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> and 25 Wt% NaCl. (B) Appearance of the Specimens After 250 Hours   | 238  |

Ċ.

And a fast a fast a fast a fast a fast

£

xviii

| Figure |  |
|--------|--|
| 183    | (A) Weight Change Versus Exposure Time in 650°C Sulfidation Tests<br>With a Mixture of 50 Wt% Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 50 Wt% NaCl. Specimens<br>Protected With Aluminized Coatings. (B) Appearance of the Specimens<br>After 250 Hours |
| 184    | (A) Weight Change Versus Exposure Time in 650°C Sulfidation Tests With a Mixture of 50 Wt% $Na_2SO_4$ and 50 Wt% NaCl. Specimens Protected With Chromized Coatings. (B) Appearance of the Specimens After 250 Hours                            |
| 185    | Standard Specimen Configurations   |
| 186    | Specimen Configuration for Dynamic Modulus Determinations by the Longitudinal Vibration Method   |
| 187    | Schematic of the Dynamic Modulus Apparatus   |
| 188    | The Relative Dimensions and Appearance of the Extrusion Preform<br>and the Extruded Bar of Alloy 252   |
| 189    | Microstructure of Alloy 252 in the As-extruded Condition   |
| 190    | Microstructure of Alloy 253 in the As-extruded Condition   |
| 191    | Yield Strength and Ultimate Tensile Strength of Alloy 252 and A286 .   |
| 192    | Tensile Fracture of Alloy 252 at 400°F (204°C)   |
| 193    | Tensile Fracture of Alloy 252 at 1300°F (704°C)  |
| 194    | Dislocation Structure in Alloy 252 Resulting from Tensile Deformation<br>at Temperatures Below the Brittle-Ductile Transition  |
| 195    | Dislocation Structure in Alloy 252 Resulting from Tensile Deformation<br>at Temperatures Above the Brittle-Ductile Transition (a) Bright Field<br>Micrograph (b) Dark Field Showing Microtwins in the Same Area as                             |
|        | (a)  |
| 196    | (a) General Microstructure of Alloy 252 After Creep Testing at 1200°F (650°C) for 110 hours $g = [020]$ . (b) Detailed Appearance of the Fine Perovskite Carbide Particles Showing Presence of Interfacial Distribution (2021)                 |
|        | Dislocations, $g = [020]$  |
| 197    | Dislocations in the Large Perovskite Carbide Particles of Alloy 252<br>After Creep Testing at 1200°F (650°C) for 110 hours. Arrow Indicates  |
|        | Paired Dislocations, $g = 1200$ ]  |

| Figure |  | Page        |
|--------|--|-------------|
| 198    | Stress vs Number of Cycles to Failure for Alloys 252 and 253 Fatigue<br>Tested at Room Temperature, R=0.1 and 1 Hz. Corresponding Data<br>for an Austenitic Stainless Steel Type 301 Are Also Included for |             |
|        | Comparison   | 257         |
| 199    | Fatigue Fracture of Alloy 252 of 70F (20C). (a) Sectional View   |             |
|        | Showing Formation of Cracks in Large Perovskite Carbide Particles at   |             |
|        | Grain Boundary (b) Appearance of Fracture Surface  | 258         |
| 200    | Fatigue Fracture of Alloy 253 at 70F (20C) (a) Sectional View Showing  |             |
|        | Intergranular Fracture (b) Appearance of Fracture Surface  | 259         |
| 201    | Fatigue Crack Growth Rates of Alloys 252 and 253 at Room   |             |
|        | Temperature, Stress Ratio of 0.1 and Frequency of 1 Hz.  |             |
|        | Corresponding Data for A286 Are Also Shown for Comparison  | <b>26</b> 0 |
| 202    | Thermal Expansion Characteristics of Alloys 252 and 253.   |             |
|        | Corresponding Data for Austenitic Stainless Steels and A286 Are  |             |
|        | Included tor Comparison  | 262         |
|        | •  |             |

USP CON

 а.

### LIST OF TABLES

| Table      |   | Page |
|------------|---|------|
| 1          | Occurrence of Phases in Binary and Ternary Transition Elements<br>Systems (Reference 9)                               | 9    |
| 2          | Lattice Parameter of Iron Aluminide Powders as a Function of Particle<br>Size   | 27   |
| 3          | Composition of the $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Alloy Produced During This<br>Reporting Period — in Weight Percent                | 34   |
| 4          | Consolidation and Working of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al Alloys  | 34   |
| 5          | Composition of Alloys   | 49   |
| 6          | Thermomechanical Processing of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub>  | 60   |
| 7          | Large Scale Extrusion at AMAX   | 63   |
| 8          | Rolling Conditions Employed for the Large Extrusions of $Fe_3Al \div TiB_2$ Alloy                                     | 63   |
| <b>9</b> 1 | Temperatures for Abnormal Grain Growth in Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Alloys                                | 64   |
| 10         | $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Alloy Samples Examined in TEM With Thinnec Foils   | 67   |
| 11         | Grain Aspect Ratio and TiB <sub>2</sub> Dispersion Size for $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$<br>Produced With Fine and Coarse Powders | 76   |
| 12         | Grain Size in Fe <sub>3</sub> Al and Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> After Extrusion and Rolling                | 90   |
| 13         | Texture Analysis  | 94   |
| 14         | Strength of <110> Fiber Texture (Times Random) Versus Swaging<br>Temperature  | 103  |
| 15         | l'exture Results  | 109  |
| 16         | Tensile Properties of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al (729) Alloy  | 110  |
| 17         | Tensile Properties of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> at Ambient Temperature                                    | 116  |
| 18         | Tensile Properties of $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ at 500°F (260°C)   | 116  |
| 19         | Tensile Properties of $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ at 1000°F (538°C)  | 117  |
| 20         | Tensile Properties of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> at 1200°F (649°C)   | 117  |
| 21         | Tensile Properties of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> at 1800°F (982°C)   | 118  |
| 22         | Tensile Properties at Elevated Temperatures   | 118  |

ļ

•

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

C. S.C.

| Table |  | Page        |
|-------|--|-------------|
| 23    | Stress Rupture Properties of $Fe_3Al > TiB_2$ at 1800°F (932°C) (-270<br>Mesh Fine Powder)   | 120         |
| 24    | Longitudinal and Tressverse Tensile Properties of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al Alloy as<br>Rolled at 1150°F (621°C) and Recrystallized at 1350°F (732°C) | 125         |
| 25    | Longitudinal and Transverse Tensile Properties of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> Alloy<br>as Rolled at 1500°F (815°C)                   | 126         |
| 26    | Stress Rupture Properties of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al in the Longitudinal (Rolling Direction)  | 131         |
| 27    | Stress Rupture Properties of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al Produced From Fine and Coarse<br>Powder Tested in the Longitudinal/Rolling Direction           | 131         |
| 28    | Stress Rupture Properties of Fe <sub>3</sub> Al Produced From Fine and Coarse<br>Powder Tested in the Transverse Direction                     | 132         |
| 29    | Fracture Toughness Properties of Rolled Fe <sub>3</sub> Al   | 1 <b>36</b> |
| 30    | Fracture Toughness Properties of Rolled $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ Alloy Produced With Fine and Coarse Powder  | 136         |
| 31    | Processing Parameters for Alloy (1)  | 140         |
| 32    | Processing Parameters for Alloys (2) and (3)   | 140         |
| 33    | Room Temperature Tonsile Properties  | 141         |
| 34    | Dynamic Electic Modulus  | 142         |
| 35    | Texture Strength (X random)  | 145         |
| 36    | Aluminide Powders Produced for AFML  | 148         |
| 37    | Alloys For Basic Studies, At.%, (Wt%)  | 150         |
| 38    | Promising Allovs of the 1950's and Present Modifications, $Wt\%$   | 151         |
| 39    | Nominal Compositions of Alloys (Wt%) Studied in Cyclic Oxidation<br>and Sulfidation Tests  | 152         |
| 40    | Compositions of Fe-Mn-Al-C Alloys, At. (Wt%)   | 152         |
| 41    | Fe-Mn-Ni-Al Alloys At.% (Wt%)  | 155         |
| 42    | Nominal Compositions of Fe-Mn/Ni-Ti/Al Alloys, At.% (Wt%)  | 156         |
| 43    | Purity of Raw Materials Used For Melting   | 156         |

15\*4C

0.3

### LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

| Table      |  | Page        |
|------------|--|-------------|
| 44         | Analyzed Compositions of Cast + Wrought Alloys, Wt%  | 158         |
| 45         | Compositions of Matrix and Precipitates by Energy Dispersive<br>Spectroscopy 593C Rolled + 650C/16h Aged | 169         |
| <b>4</b> 6 | Structures of Extracted Precipitates From 593C Rolled + 650°C/16h<br>Aged Alloys                         | 170         |
| 47         | Phases and Structures in Annealed Filings of Hot-Rolled<br>Alloys 103-111 <sup>*</sup>                   | 171         |
| 48         | Analyzed Compositions of Powder Alloys   | 171         |
| 49         | Analysed Compositions, Wt%   | 175         |
| 50         | Analyzed Phase Compositions of Alloy 67, Wt %  | 177         |
| 51         | Results of Phase Identification By X-Ray From Filings of Alloy 140<br>CrKa Radiation                     | 177         |
| 52         | Analyzed Compositions of Powder Alloys   | 181         |
| 53         | X-Ray Diffraction Data of Alloy 97 Ingot Sample Annealed at 1040°C<br>For 4 Hours                        | 184         |
| 54         | Structural Data of Alloys 101 and 102 Obtained by X-Ray Diffraction Analysis                             | 190         |
| 55         | Austenite — Perovskite Alloys Nominal Composition (Wt%)  | 192         |
| 56         | Analyzed Compositions of Alloys Wt% Austenite-Perovskite Series  | 192         |
| 57         | Nominal Compositions of Fe-Mn-Al-C Alloys, Wt%   | 217         |
| 58         | Analyzed Compositions of Fe-Mn-Al-C Alloys, Wt%  | 217         |
| 59         | X-Ray Analysis From Filings  | 222         |
| 60         | Effect of Alloying on Austenits and Perovskite Carbide Latticed<br>Parameters                            | <b>22</b> 3 |
| 61         | Analyzed Compositions of Fe-Ni/Mn-Al Alloys, Wt%   | 225         |
| 62         | Analyzed Compositions of Fe-Ni/Mn-Ti Alloys, Wt%   | 225         |
| 63         | Results of Phase Identification By X-Ray From Filings of Alloys 147-<br>153, CrKa Radiation              | 226         |
| 64         | Analyzed Compositions of Phase III Alloys, Wt%   | 244         |

15**84C** 

Į

F,

### LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

| Table |  | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 65    | Tensile Properties of Phase III Alloys   | 247  |
| 53    | Creep Rupture Properties of Phase III Alloys   | 254  |
| 67    | One-Hundred-Hour Rupture Strength kai (MPa)  | 254  |
| 68    | Fracture Toughness of Austenite-Perovskite Alloys at Room<br>Temperature               | 256  |
| 69    | Physical Data For Calculation of Densities and Elastic Moduli of<br>Alloys 252 and 253 | 260  |
| 70    | Dynamic Modulus Data at Room and Elevated Temperatures For<br>Alloys 252 and 253       | 261  |
| 71    | Mean Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (70° to temp.) $\times 10^{-6}$ /°F              | 261  |

1 Contraction of the

15840

#### SECTION |

#### INTRODUCTION

This program addressed the development of iron aluminide base alloys by rapid solidification rate (RSR) and the determination of their potential for use in aircraft turbine engines as alternatives to high chromium steels and nickel-base alloys. The program was an initial 36-month effort followed by a 12-month extension divided into three concurrent tasks performed at Pratt & Whitney/Engineering Division-South (P&W/ED-S) and Pratt & Whitney/Engineering Division-North (P&W/ED-N). A fourth task performed at TRW on the development of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloys was part of a total program aimed at the development of this unique class of alloys.

The potential of these iron aluminides rests on (1) a lower density than iron and nickel-base alloys, (2) excellent oxidation/sulfidation resistance, (3) no critical element requirements, and (4) a lower base cost than currently employed elevated temperature alloys. The overall goals of the program were to investigate and define thermomechanical processing requirements for optimum mechanical properties, and to modify a base composition for improved elevated temperature properties while enhancing or retaining base alloy ductility and processability.

Task I was a 24-month initial effort and a 12-month extension performed at the P&W/ED-S. It was a process and model development task employing  $Fe_3Al$  with and without TiB<sub>2</sub>. Under a prior DARPA/AFWAL program (F33615-77-C-5114), TiB<sub>2</sub> had been found to be effective in retaining a fine grain size for improved thermomechanical processing characteristics and mechanical properties. The information generated during this task was to provide a basis for the thermomechanical processing of alloy modifications investigated in Task III and IV of the total program. The extension to Task I evaluated the effects of titanium diboride dispersions on the tensile properties, and especially, the specific modulus of two alloys having aluminum contents significantly greater than that in  $Fe_3Al$ .

Task II was a 36-month effort and a 12-month extension performed at P&W/ED-S for the production of Rapid Solidified Iron Base Powders which were delivered to the AFWAL Materials Laboratory.

Task III was a 36-month effort conducted at P&W/ED-N for the development of austenitic iron aluminides for use at temperatures up to about 1200°F (650°C). Alloy compositions based on the Fe-Mn-Al ternary phase diagram were chosen for this study. Although Fe-Mn-Al alloys were investigated about 20 years ago (References 1 and 2), the physical metallurgy of the alloys and the strengthening mechanisms were not studied in any detail. Renewed interest for developing the Fe-Mn-Al as a low-cost alloy to replace 12 wt% Cr steels was inspired by a recent discovery of a nonequilibrium ordered phase with LI<sub>2</sub>-type structure when the alloy is rapidly quenched from the melt (Reference 3). The ordered alloys have high strength and good ductility. Such structures could also Le anticipated to give superior creep resistance. Thus, another one of the goals was to gain insight into the effect of alloying additions on precipitation processes in Fe-Mn-Al alloys, and to study the development of ordered phases in the Fe-Mn-Al alloys. Two types of processing were also evaluated during the studies. The physical metallurgy and strengthening mechanisms were investigated using cast and wrought alloys. The formation of ordered phases was studied using powder alloys.

Cast and wrought alloys can be designed to form carbides as the particle dispersion strengthener; some background information was available for this approach. Other potentially useful phases for strengthening include intermetallics and borides; however, basic information of such compounds in the Fe-Mn-Al system was lacking. Therefore, a preliminary microstructural

対象したいたちと

As stated previously, the major objective of Task III was to identify useful alloys for use at intermediated temperatures. Any alloys must also exhibit adequate corrosion resistance in a gas turbine environment if they are to replace existing alloys. A second goal of this program was to study the oxidation and sulfidation resistance of the Fe-Mn-Al alloys.

This is the final technical report on contract F3361d-81-C-5110. It summarizes the work performed during the period 1 September 1981 to 1 February 1986.

「20001期間のなななる」「「そうななななな」」「そうななどので、「このないない」」「「そうない」」「「そうないない」」「「そうなななない」」「「そうなななない」」「「そうない」」「「そうない」」「「そうない」」「「そうなななない」」「「そうなななない」」「「そうない」」「「そうなななない」」「「そうななない」」「「そうない」」」「「そうない」」」「「そうない」」」「「そうない」」」「「そうない」」」「「そうない」」」「「そうない」」」「「そうない」」」「「そうない」」」「「そうない」」」」

#### SECTION II

#### PROGRAM PLAN AND SCHEDULE

#### PROCESS AND MODEL DEVELOPMENT - TASK I

Interest in iron-aluminum alloys was stimulated by the finding that a fine and stable titanium diboride dispersion could be produced by rapid solidification rate (RSR). The  $TiB_2$  dispersion in an Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy stabilized a fine grain size for increased high temperature strength while it enhanced the ductility of the alloy. As a result, iron aluminides which possess very good oxidation resistance, contain no strategic elements, and are 15% to over 25% lighter than nickel or iron base alloys, may be considered for gas turbine engine applications.

Rapidly solidified powders of iron-aluminum alloys containing titanium and boron consist of dendrites of ferrite with  $TiB_2$  dispersed in interdendritic regions. The diboride particles are very fine (100 to 300 angstroms), but they are segregated to some extent. In comparison, the consolidated and worked powder product contains slightly coarser diboride particles, but the particles appear to be more uniformly distributed (Reference 4). It was proposed that deformation during metalworking tends to randomize the distribution, since deformation occurs by shear, and shear implies mixing. Observations of the  $TiB_2$  dispersion in iron all in mulliloys suggested that two possible conditions that may be related to the amount of mixing (deformation) are required to randomize the distribution. One is the magnitude of the distance between centers of similar regions, the interdendritic regions. The second is the ratio of the distance between particles in a uniform distribution to the corresponding distance in the original segregated gions. Comparison of powder and consolidated materials produced on the program aimed at verifying these assumptions.

Task I was divided into two subtasks. The first entailed definition of processing variables from the characterization of rapidly solidified powder structures through consolidation and post working to material characterization. The second was concerned with process model development and model verification for achieving optimum microstructure and mechanical properties in mill product forms produced from rapidly solidified iron aluminide powders.

As shown in Figure 1, Task I was completed during CY 1983, and Tasks II and III during CY 1984. An extension to the program covering Tasks I and II was awarded, and the schedule starting 1 February 1985 is shown in Figure 2.

Task I was extended to evaluate the effects of  $\text{TiB}_2$  on the microstructure and mechanical properties, especially specific modulus, of two solid solution alloys with aluminum concentrations greater than that in Fe<sub>3</sub>Al. Results obtained on this program and on P&W programs revealed that the specific modulus of Fe-Al alloys could be increased from 112 (10)6 in. to 129 (10)6 in. by increasing the aluminum concentration from 25 at.% to about 32 at.% (13.8 wt% to over 18 wt%). However, the ductility of the alloy decreased with increasing aluminum concentration to about 4 or 5% elongation. Since there is considerable interest in high specific modulus alloys for the shafts of high speed turbine engines, a goal was established to develop an alloy with a specific modulus of at least 140(10)6 in. and an elongation of 10%.

3



4



ļ

Figure 2. Extended Program Schedule for Tasks I and II

#### POWDER FOR ALLOY DEVELOPMENT --- TASK II

The basic concept of a rapid solidification process to achieve a rapid quench rate in pilot scale quantities of material was conceived at P&W in 1974. The device shown schematically in Figure 3 became operational in early 1976. The process has since been used to investigate a number of alloy systems in several IR&D, DARPA, and AFWAL/ML sponsored programs, and is thoroughly discussed in the interim final report, AFWAL-TR-80-4051, to contract F33615-76-C-5136.

The technique uses rotary atomization to convert an induction melted alloy into high velocity droplets which are quenched to the solid state by cross flowing gas of high thermal conductivity, typically helium. The exact quench rate achieved depends on a number of factors including: (1) gas type, (2) temperature and pressure, (3) thermal properties and temperature of the droplets, (4) and droplet size and velocity. Theoretical mean quench rates calculated for typical processing conditions and for several alloys are shown in Figure 4. Depending on the particle size, range produced, and the quantity needed for study, variations of up to two orders of magnitude in quench rate may be obtained (from about  $10^4$  to about  $10^6$  C/sec mean quench rate).

P&W was to produce by its RSR powder process a specified number of alloyed powders for delivery to AFWAL/ML. Individual powder lots were to be screened to -80 mesh size and packaged in a vacuum. The requirements were:

- 25 kg/55 lb minimum of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al
- 25 kg/55 lb minimum of FeAl
- 8 kg/18 lb minimum of 12 alloys specified by AFML.



FD 218660

#### Figure 3. The P&W AGT 400000 Rapid Solidification Rate Powder Rig

An additional six alloys were added for processing during the follow on 12-month extension.

### AUSTENITIC IRON ALUMINIDES - TASK I

Extensive work (References 1 and 2) in the 1950's and the early 1960's showed that  $Fe_3Al$  based alloys had the potential to replace titanium alloys and some stainless steels. However, reservations over the ductility of these ferritic materials at lower temperatures prompted the development of a second alloy series with an austenitic structure. It was shown that the best, and lowest cost system for such a development, was Fe-Mn-Al. For reasons discussed below, the optimum aluminum content was about 7%.

The earlier studies showed that alloys of the basic type Fe-30Mn-7Al had excellent tensile ductility and intermediate strength. It would seem desirable to add more aluminum to the system to improve strength and more importantly creep rupture capability. As shown in Figure 5, this was restricted by the extent of the austenitic phase field in the Fe-Mn-Al system. It seems apparent that it would be advantageous to expand the austenite stability region, and to find alloying additions that produce more desirable bonding phases to those that occur in the ternary system. Alloying additions were evaluated in earlier development programs which improved the creep properties considerably, but as the alloys became more complex, they tended to be more difficult to process. The creep rupture properties of the best alloys, circa 1960, are compared with other materials used in gas turbine engines in Figure 6. It can be seen that creep capability is intermediate between the best 12% Cr steels, for example, H46, and the iron-based superalloy, A286.



Ņ

Figure 4. Predicted Mean Cooling Rates Versus Particle Size for the P&W Rapid Solidification Powder Rig



Figure 5. Fe-Mn-Al Ternary Diagram at 1400°F



FD 22909

Figure 6. One-Hundred-Hour Specific Creep Strength of Fe-Al Alloys and Commercial Alloys

2711C

Strength and creep capability of the alloys can be improved by several methods; one of which is by increasing the aluminum content which, as mentioned before, can only be tolerated if the extent of the sustenite phase field is expanded. One way to accomplish this is by the addition of austenite stabilizing elements. However, Ni, Co, and Cu, which extend the austenite field in the Fe-Cr-C system, surprisingly had little effect on the ferrite/austenite relationship when added to Fe-Mn-Al-C alloys in amounts as high as 10% (References 5 and 6). In view of this result, it appears that a different approach would be more fruitful. Schmatz and Chakrabarti (References 6 and 7) have shown that the austenite field extends slowly to higher Al with increasing temperatures. Thus, it may be possible to obtain a higher Al austenite by rapid solidification techniques. Indeed, a nonequilibrium Ni<sub>3</sub>Al type compound has been produced from Fe-Mn-Al-C systems by splat quenching (Reference 8), and such compounds have been produced in compositions of present interest, for example, Fe-35Mn-11Al-2C. These nonequilibrium compounds were found to have high strength and good ductility. The ordered structure should also be beneficial for creep resistance by analog with A286 and other superalloys. Therefore, it appears that rapid solidification, per se, offers the potential of achieving novel microstructures in Fe-Mn-Al alloys with accompanying property benefits.

Fe-Mn-Al alloys can also be strengthened, and can be made more creep resistant by precipitation of fine, thermally stable particles. Two types of particles can be considered for the Fe-Mn-Al systems: intermetallics and nonmetallics, such as carbides, borides, and sulfides. The  $B_3A$  compounds with  $LI_2$  type structures are the most useful intermetallics for strengthening austenitic iron alloys due to the equiaxed morphology, small interparticle spacing, coherency with the lattice and the high ductility of these phases compared with those containing other precipitates (Reference 9). However, no phase of the  $B_3A$  type has been found in which the B element is Mn or Fe, Table 1. under equilibrium conditions. The most likely phase to occur in Fe Mn austenite with a third transition element, such as titanium, is the B<sub>2</sub>A Laves phase which has a strong tendency to form continuous precipitates along grain boundaries resulting in embrittlement and little strengthening at high temperatures (Reference 8). It is not known to what extent the presence of 7 to 10 wt% Al changes the type of intermetallics. However, it has been demonstrated that intergranular precipitation of the Fe<sub>2</sub>(TiMn) Laves phase can be avoided by mechanical working prior to aging, to introduce a dense distribution of heterogeneous nucleation sites, and also provide a defect structure to accelerate the diffusion process. The resulting microstructure is a uniform dispersion of fine Fe<sub>2</sub> ('fiMn) particles which resisted coarsening at 1500°F (816°C). A rather large enhancement of the mechanical properties was obtained from the thermomechanically processed Fe-Mn austenite (Reference 1). Therefore. additions of transition elements to the Fe-Mn-Al system, together with thermomechanical treatment, is another potential method for improving the strength and creep resistance of Fe-Mn-A! alloys.

|                  |  | A Ele   | ement   |   |   | _  |   |   |
|------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| Group IV Group V |  |   |   | Group VI  |   |  |   |   |
| Ti               | Zr   | Hf  | V   | Nb  | Ta  | Cr   | Мо  | W   |
| B <sub>2</sub> A | B <sub>2</sub> A                                 | B <sub>2</sub> A  |   | B <sub>2</sub> A  | B <sub>2</sub> A  |  |   |   |
| -                | -  | -   | BA  | •   | •   |  |   |   |
| B <sub>2</sub> A | $B_2A$   | $B_2A$  |   | $B_2A$  | B <sub>2</sub> A  |  | B,A   | B,A   |
| BĂ               | -  |   | BA  |   | -   |  | •   | •   |
|                  | Ti<br>B <sub>2</sub> A<br>B <sub>2</sub> A<br>BA | <u>Group IV</u><br><u>Ti Zr</u><br>B <sub>2</sub> A B <sub>2</sub> A<br>B <sub>2</sub> A B <sub>2</sub> A<br>BA | A Ele<br>Group IV<br>Ti Zr Hf<br>B <sub>2</sub> A B <sub>2</sub> A B <sub>2</sub> A<br>B <sub>2</sub> A B <sub>2</sub> A B <sub>2</sub> A<br>BA | A Element<br>Group IV<br>Ti Zr Hf V<br>B <sub>2</sub> A B <sub>2</sub> A B <sub>2</sub> A<br>BA<br>BA<br>BA<br>BA<br>BA<br>BA | A Element<br>Group IV Group V<br>Ti Zr Hf V Nb<br>B <sub>2</sub> A B <sub>2</sub> A B <sub>2</sub> A B <sub>2</sub> A<br>BA<br>BA<br>BA<br>BA<br>BA | $\begin{tabular}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | A ElementGroup IVGroup VTiZrHfVNbTaCr $B_2A$ $B_2A$ $B_2A$ $B_2A$ $B_2A$ BABABABA | À ElementGroup IVGroup VGroup VITiZrHfVNbTaCrMoB2AB2AB2AB2AB2AB2ABABABABABABA |

# TABLE 1. OCCURRENCE OF PHASES IN BINARY AND TERNARY TRANSITIONELEMENTS SYSTEMS (REFERENCE 9)

As shown in Figure 7, this task was divided into four parts. Three subtasks were performed concurrently in the first 24 months of the program, and the results were used to formulate alloys for the scale-up effort conducted in the final year.





and a state of the state of the

FD 229014

.

Attempts to change the corrosion characteristics of Fe-Mn-Al alloys were based on the additions of limited amounts of chromium to the alloys. Corrosion resistance in saline environments and resistance to low melting salts were evaluated using standard methods. Alloys were produced as castings for this segment of the program.

To form a uniform dispersion of fine carbide particles, a strong carbide former was required. In ferritic steels, the carbide forming tendencies in the order of increasing intensities are Mn, Cr, Mo, W, Te, V, Nb, Zr, and Ti. The stability of carbide particles with respect to coarsening depends on, among other factors, the surface energy between the matrix and the carbide phase which, in austenitic steels has been correlated directly with the lattice misfit between the carbide and the matrix. The smaller the misfit the smaller the carbide nize and the slower rate of coarsening, resulting in higher creep strength (Reference 2). Single or combined additions of small quantities (0.01 to 0.1%) of N, B, and P are also effective in producing a uniform dispersion of fine carbide particles in austenitic steels (Reference 3). Other types of nonmetallic precipitates, such as borides and sulfides, can also enhance strength and creep resistance if a uniform dispersion of very fine, dimensionally stable particles can be produced. It is considered that rapid solidification, mechanical working, and judicious alloying additions are essential for achieving such a particle dispersion.

The major screening effort to define useful alloy additions was performed on castings. The choice of alloy compositions were based on the principles outlined above and included alloys strengthened by intermetallic particles, nonmetallic particles, and substitutional solutes.

Rapidly solidified powder was used for the third part of the initial evaluation. Alloys were to be chosen to: (1) check the occurrence and stability of metastable  $LI_2$  phases; and (2) evaluate the processability and properties of the best alloys identified in programs conducted in the 1950's and 1960's.

The program schedule for Tasks 1, 2, and 3 was shown in Figure 1.

### SECTION III

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### PROCESS AND MODEL DEVELOPMENT - TASK I

#### **Powder Processing and Evaluation**

The  $Fe_3Al+1.93\%$  TiB<sub>2</sub> and  $Fe_3Al$  billet stock obtained in approximately 350 pound lots from Certified Alloys was processed to powder in the P&W 50 to 250 pound capacity atomization apparatus (AGT 400000).

The following quantities of powder were produced for Task I process development studies.

| Run No. | Alloy   | -80 Mesh, lb |
|---------|---|--------------|
| 722     | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + 1.93<br>TiB <sub>2</sub> | 30           |
| 723     | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + 1.93<br>TiB <sub>2</sub> | 92.6         |
| 724     | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + 1.93<br>TiB <sub>2</sub> | 139.8        |
| 727     | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al                            | 15           |
| 729     | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al                            | 70           |

The +80 mesh powder fraction which contained coarse material produced during start up transients and which amounted to less than 6 pounds per run was discarded. The first powder run (722) was saturated with water by a water line break subsequent to atomization. But the powder was used to determine the effects of exposure to water and of post outgassing heat treatments. ad Edda of the Sector State of the Data of the Sector State Sector State State Sector State Sector State Sector

On a poured weight basis, the yield of -80 mesh (-177 um) powder was high as 84%. The powders were screened with precision sieves, and the results are shown in Figure 8. The powder size distribution of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al with TiB<sub>2</sub> (alloys 723 and 724) was similar to that of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al (alloy 729) and the apparent difference with the size distribution for nickel-base alloys was more a result of the use of precision and finer sieves than because of alloy composition. The powder was also separated into three size fractions with about 60 wt% of the powder from -120 to +230 mesh for the bulk of the studies on consolidation and post working and the remainder split between a fine and a coarse size fraction to determine the effects of solidification rate on microstructure and mechanical properties.

In scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis, the powders were seen to be spherical with some elongated cylindrical particles and fragments of broken particles especially in the larger size fractions. As shown in Figures 9 through 12, the surface of the  $Fe_3A! + TiB_2$  powder particles are featureless to  $5400 \times$  in particles less than 35 microns in diameter. A very faint cellular type structure appears in some of the 60- to 65 micron particles and in the 125- to 150-micron range a few particles reveal a dendritic substructure. In comparison, the surfaces of the  $Fe_3Al$  powder particles shown in Figures 13 through 16, are strongly cellular or microcrystalline in the fine 15-micron size and dendritic in the larger particle sizes.


Figure 8. Particle Size Distribution of the  $Fe_3Al$  and  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  Alloys Produced for Task 1

]





."m

. . . . .

..........



Figure 11. SEM of the Surface of  $-65 + 60 \ \mu m \ Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  Powder (Alloy 724)



「日本はなるなななないであった」では、「ないなななななな」、「それなななない」で、いたななない。

i, ia



FD 241842

Figure 13. SEM of the Surface of  $-15 \ \mu m \ Fe_3Al$  Powder (Alloy 729)



Figure 14. SEM of the Surface of  $-30 + 20\mu$  Fe<sub>3</sub>Al Powder (Alloy 729)

2711C



FD 241844

Figure 15. SEM of the Surface of -65 +60µ Fe<sub>3</sub>Al Powder (Alloy 729)



Figure 16. SEM of the Surface of -150 +125µm Fe<sub>3</sub>Al Powder (Alloy 729)

The internal microstructure of the  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  powder was found to be dendritic with an optically unresolved interdendritic phase in all particle sizes but with possibly a mixed dendritic cellular structure in the finer particle sizes as shown in Figure 17. The microstructures of the  $Fe_3Al$  particles were very difficult to etch because of lack of coring or change in composition during solidification. The cross sections, shown in Figure 18, revealed a multigrain structure except for the finer particles which consisted of many single-grain particles.

The major structural difference between the two alloys was a finer dendrite or cell size spacing in the alloy with  $TiB_2$ . The secondary dendrite arm spacing for  $Fe_3Al$  (alloy 729) varied from approximately 1 micron to 3 microns for 15- to 150 micron diameter particles, while the spacing for  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  (alloy 724) was about half that size varying from 0.5 to 1.5 microns for the same particle size range. As in other alloy systems, including nickel- and iron-base alloys, dendrite size was related to alloy composition. In the iron aluminide, the addition of titanium and boron produced a finer microstructure.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) on prepared thin sections of powder samples was carried out. This work showed that the  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  powder ordered as the B2 phase on solidification. The  $Fe_3Al$  (alloy 729) powder was analyzed for lattice parameter variation as a function of powder particle size by conventional X-ray powder diffractometry. These lattice parameters, shown in Table 2, indicate that the rapidly solidified powder has a disordered B2 structure. There was evidence of a very weak (100) diffraction peak in all powder size fractions indicating that some attempt at structural ordering had commenced during the period of time taken for the powder to cool from the B2 phase field to room temperature. There was no change in lattice parameter as a function of particle size over the range of diameters from 15 to 150 microns. In alloys containing TiB<sub>2</sub> (alloy 724), some evidence of supersaturation in the B2 lattice was apparent in powders of the finest size examined (-45 to +35 micron size fraction), presumably due to increased solidification velocity in these fine powders and the associated solute trapping of titanium and boron.

For the production of larger quantities of powder required for the processing of wrought material in larger cross sections for transverse and fracture toughness property measurements, a newer and larger 12-foot diameter atomization device (AGT 5000000) was employed. With this system, over 300 pounds of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  powder were produced. The powders produced in both atomization systems were similar except for the minor differences noted as follows.

Initially, it was planned to use a -140 + 230 mesh powder size fraction for the bulk of the processing study with the finer (-230 mesh) and coarser (-80 +140) mesh powder for a cursory evaluation of particle size or cooling rate on microstructure and mechanical properties. With the larger quantities of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> powder available, it was possible to carry out a more extensive study of cooling rate effects while providing sufficient material for large extrusions from fine (-270 mesh) and coarser (-80 +140 mesh) powder size fractions. Approximately 50-pound quantities of each size fraction were consolidated by extrusion in 7-inch diameter cans to provide the cross sections of required fracture toughness and other property measurements.

The surface characteristics and appearance of coarse (-80 + 100 mesh) and fine (-270 mesh) powder size fractions produced in the larger 12-ft diameter device are shown in Figures 19 and 20. The powder is spherical with some broken fragments of larger particles in the finer powder. However, the greater difference between the two size fractions is in their surface structure. The coarser (-80 + 100 mesh) powder has a well defined cellular/dendritic structure with a cell size in the range of 1 to 3 microns while the finer (-270 mesh) powder structure is too fine to resolve at the same magnifications. Comparing the structure of this powder with the structure of powder produced in the smaller atomization device, it appears that a coarser microstructure was produced in the larger atomization device. The Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy produced

in the smaller system had a carbon content about 10 times that in the larger system while the solidification rate, which is known to be related to the velocity of atomized droplets through a helium atmosphere, should be the same for both atomization systems. Therefore, it is believed that the difference in structure, which was observed, is probably due to the difference in the composition of the alloy.

The microstructure of the fine (-270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +100 mesh)  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ powder produced are shown in Figures 21 to 23. The solidification microstructure, which was correlated to powder particle diameter, was determined by the solidification rate. The extremes in structure for  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  are seen in Figure 21 with a small 20-micron diameter particle attached to a large particle some 150 microns in diameter. Also shown in Figure 21 is an example of porosity and/or particle entrapment which has been observed in RSR powder. Some gas (helium) porosity can be expected as a result of entrapment during spheroidization especially in non-filament degradation mode (for example, the film degradation mode). However, particle entrapment also takes place and to a greater extent than might be expected. It was not uncommon to observe fine solidified droplets embedded in larger powder particles but often these droplets were removed during metallographic preparation. Nevertheless, porosity resulting from helium gas entrapment can occur especially in coarse powder, and eliminating such porosity may be accomplished most readily by use of the finer powder size fractions.

The differences in structure between fine (-270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +100 mesh)powders are shown in Figure 22. The two coarse (-80 +100 mesh) particles in the figure have different structures but on closer examination it is seen that, except for the presence of large primary dentrites in one of the particles, the secondary dentrite arm spacing is reasonably uniform. The dendrite arm spacing of the finer 50 microns (-270 mesh) particle in Figure 22 was enlarged in Figure 23 and compared to the larger particles. The difference in secondary dendrite arm spacing was found to be about three to one. However, as shown in Figure 24 for two different randomly selected particle sizes, the dendrite spacing may not appear to be that different and as a result a number of particles must be examined to obtain a true correlation between particle size and dendrite arm spacing. For the powders produced, the typical structures shown in Figure 23 gave a value of 1 micron for the finer 50 microns (-270 mesh) particles and 3 microns for the coarser 160 microns (-80 +100 mesh) particle. In subsequent consolidation and working studies, an attempt was made to correlate powder particle size and structure with final wrought structure and mechanical properties.

The lattice parameter of the  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloy produced in the larger atomization system was essentially constant for powder sizes from -270 mesh to -80 + 100 mech. The  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ alloy produced in the smaller system (alloy 724) gave some evidence of supersaturation in the B2 lattice in -270 mesh powder. However, this was found only in very fine 35- to 45-micron particles. The lattice parameter of 2.899 angstroms (Å), measured for the powder produced in the larger system, was essentially the same as that of the -270 mesh powder size fraction produced in the smaller system.

The composition of the  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  produced and employed for thermomechanical processing during this period has the aim and measured compositions listed in Table 3. The composition of each batch of powder was measured by atomic absorption, and in a few cases, by an inductively coupled plasma emission method. The measurements by the two techniques are in good agreement and measured compositions are on the average close to the aim composition.



Ì

1999 B





-65 +60 µm

10 μm



FD 241847

Figure 18. Microstructure of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al (729) Powder Particles As-Produced

|                   | Fe <sub>3</sub> AL Alloy 429 | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiS <sub>P</sub> Alloy 72 |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Size Fraction, µm | Lattice I                    | Parameter (Å)                                  |
| -150 +125         | $0.2837 \pm 0.0001$          | 0.2896 ± 0.0001                                |
| -75 +70           | $0.2896 \pm 0.0001$          | 0.2897 ± 0.0001                                |
| -65 +60           | 0.2898 ± 0.0001              |  |
| -50 +45           | 0.2895 ± 0.0001              | 0.2898 ± 0.0001                                |
| -45 +35           | 0.2896 ± 0.0001              | 0.2895 ± 0.0001                                |
| -35 +30           | $0.2397 \pm 0.0001$          |  |
| -30 +20           | $0.2897 \pm 0.0001$          |  |
| -20 +15           | $0.2897 \pm 0.0001$          |  |
| -15               | $0.2897 \pm 0.0001$          |  |
|                   |                              |  |

# TABLE 2.LATTICE PARAMETER OF IRON ALUMINIDE POWDERS AS A<br/>FUNCTION OF PARTICLE SIZE



ŝ











CN

| Run No. | Fe    | Al    | Ti   | B    |
|---------|-------|-------|------|------|
| II3*    | 85.6  | 12.3  | 1.55 | 0.55 |
| 113     | 84.87 | 13.0  | 1.55 | 0.58 |
| 116     | 84.51 | 13.49 | 1.45 | 0.55 |
| 117     | 84.2  | 13.99 | 1.35 | 0.46 |
| 118     | 84.03 | 13.99 | 1.45 | 0.53 |
| II9     | 83.49 | 14.49 | 1.45 | 0.57 |
| II10*   | 83.9G | 14.00 | 1.55 | 0.49 |
| II 10   | 84.13 | 13.99 | 1.40 | 0.48 |
| AIM     | 84.39 | 13.6  | 1.41 | 0.6  |

## TABLE 3.COMPOSITION OF THE $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ ALLOY PRODUCED DURING<br/>THIS REPORTING PERIOD — IN WEIGHT PERCENT

Inductively coupled plasma — others by atomic absorption.

### CONSOLIDATION AND WORKING OF Fe3AI

#### Laboratory Scale Processing

The consolidation and working of  $Fe_3Al$  was carried out under the conditions specified in Table 4. The table was arranged to show the consolidation by HVC and extrusion and of working by swaging, rolling, and forging. Included in the table are conditions used for the processing of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  produced from two lots of powder.

|                 | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al (729)                               | $Fe_{3}Al + TiB_{2} (723)$   | $Fe_{3}Al + TiB_{2} (545)$ |
|-----------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| HVC             | • 1700°r' (927°C) — 15 ksi —<br>2 hr                   | <ul> <li>1700°k ^27°C) - 30 ksi -</li> <li>2 hr</li> <li>200C°F (1093°C) - 30 2si -</li> <li>2 hr</li> </ul> |                            |
|                 | 2000°F (1093°C) — 15 ksi ?<br>hr                       |  |                            |
| HID             | • 2000°F (1093°C) — 15 ksi                             | · ·  |                            |
| Extrude         | • 1700°F (927°C) — 4/1                                 | • 179°F (927°C) - 4/1  | • 1700°F (927°C) - 8/1     |
|                 | • 1750°F (945°C) - 10/1                                | • 1750°F (945°C) - 10/1  |                            |
|                 | • 1950°F —10/1   | • 1950°F — 10/1  |                            |
| Extrude + Swage | • 1750°F (945°C) - 10/1 +                              | • 1750°F (945°C) 10/1 +  | • 1700°F (927°C) - 8/1 +   |
|                 | 1250°F to 1150°F - 6/1 and 3.7/1                       | 1700°F (927°C) — 3.5/1   | 1550°F - 4.5/1             |
|                 | • 1950°F — 10/1 + 1250°F to<br>1150°F — 6/1 and 3.7/1  | • 1950°F 10/1 + 1700°F<br>3.5/1  |                            |
| HIP + Roll      | <ul> <li>2000°F + 1350°F to 2000°F —<br/>NG</li> </ul> |  |                            |
|                 | • 2000°F + 2100°F - 80%                                |  |                            |
|                 | • 2000°F + 2100°F 50% +                                |  |                            |
|                 | 1350°F + 1150°F - 90%                                  |  |                            |
| Extrude + Roll  | • 1750°F (945°C) — 4/1 +                               | • 1750°F (945°C) — 10/1 +  | • 1700°F (927°C) - 8/1 +   |
|                 | 1350°F to 1150°F 90%                                   | 1700°F (927°C) — 90%   | 1700°F (927°C) - 93%       |
| Forge           |  |  |                            |

TABLE 4. CONSOLIDATION AND WORKING OF Fe3Al ALLOYS

The Fe<sub>3</sub>Al (alloy 729) powder with a -140 +200 mesh size, which had been stored in vacuum subsequent to its atomization, was consolidated by HVC and by hot isostatic pressing (HIP). For HVC, the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al powder was exposed to the atmosphere prior to loading in a cylindrical die while for HIP the powder was transferred under a vacuum of  $10^{-6}$  torr to stainless containers. After filling, the containers were sealed by forging of the filler tube.

The Fe<sub>3</sub>Al (729) alloy was HVC'ed at 15 ksi (103 MPa) for two hours at 1700°F (927°C) and 2000°F (1093°C). The compacts were fully dense at both temperatures. However, the lower temperature compact contained numerous cracks, which followed powder particle boundaries almost exclusively. The 2000°F (1093°C) compact was free of cracks. Figure 25 shows the microstructure of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al HVC'ed at 1700°F (927°C) after chemical etching with two reagents to reveal the grain structure. The prior particle boundaries were clearly delineated, and at both temperatures, the particle boundaries were found to be effective barriers to grain boundary motion. At the lower temperature, there were typically multigrains per particle, while at the higher 2000°F (1093°C) temperature, the powder particles were single grain.

The  $Fc_3Al$  (729) alloy was HIP'ed at 2000°F (1093°C) and 15 ksi (103 MPa) pressure for two hours. Consolidation was performed only at the higher temperature to produce stronger material as shown in Figure 23, however, the material still cracked along prior particle boundaries. Exposure of the powder to the atmosphere, at least for relatively short times prior to consolidation, did not appear to affect interparticle bond strength. However, even by processing under all inert or vacuum conditions the strength of  $Fc_3Al$  consolidated by both HIP and HVC methods remained relatively poor for subsequent working operations.

The Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy extruded at 4 to 1 was warm rolled without difficulty at 1350°F to 1150°F (732°C to 621°C) to a true strain of 2.6. In comparison, rolling of HIP Fe<sub>3</sub>Al led to early cracking of the material along prior particle boundaries. Additional rolling trials failed until the rolling temperature was increased to 2100°F (1149°C), initial reduction passes reduced to 5%, and the bulk of the rolling carried out in approximately 15% reduction steps to 90% total reduction. Figure 27 shows the microstructures with a modified glyceregia etch which attacked prior particle boundaries. Between 55% and 65% reduction, the prior particle boundaries appeared to become discontinuous. As shown in Figure 28, with a Kalling's etch, abnormal grain coarsening had taken place in material rolled to and above 65% reduction in thickness. Figure 29 shows the same microstructures at a lower magnification. The resistance of the material to abnormal grain growth appears to be due to interparticle boundaries, which behave as a second phase in inhibiting grain growth. However, Fe<sub>3</sub>Al, with the grain sizes shown in the latter figures, is inherently brittle with tensile elongations generally less than 2%.

The development of a fine microstructure with a maximum grain size related to the original powder particle size and to the degree of working was obtained by rolling HIP'ed Fe<sub>3</sub>Al according to the following schedule. The material was first rolled at 2106°F (1149°C) to 50% reduction in thickness to increase interparticle bond strength. It was subsequently rolled at 1140°F (621°C) in approximately 15% reduction steps to a total reduction in thickness of 95%. As shown in Figure 30, the as-rolled structure annealed for 1 hour at 1150°F (~621°C) was produced by fiberization of the original powder particles. The average fiber diameter, shown in Figure 30, agrees with calculated values derived from simply transforming individual powder particles to fibers. An unexpected finding was that Fe<sub>3</sub>Al powder hand<sup>1</sup>°' entirely in a high-purity inert atmosphere produced relatively stable interparticle bour.





IN THE PARTY OF

and a second second





Figure 27. Development of Fiber Structure in Fe<sub>3</sub>Al HIP at 2000°F and Rolled at 2100°F



Figure 28. Alloy 729 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al) — HIP at 2000°F -140 +200 Mesh Powder

∆t = 65%

100 μm

∆t = 80%

FD 251071





FD 251073



| Ē |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
| 8 |  |

As shown in Figure 31, Fe<sub>3</sub>Al rolled sheet annealed at 1100°F to 1150°F (593 to 621°C) for 1 hour to relieve internal stress had a reasonable degree of ductility; whereas rolled sheet annealed at 1200°F (649°C) recrystallized and was embrittled. The fracture bend samples exhibited cleavage failure over the entire fracture surface as shown in Figure 32. No evidence was found of point initiation from defects.

The Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy 729 consolidated by extrusion, warm rolled at 1150°F (621°C) to 0.055-inch thick sheet and annealed at 1200°F (649°C) for 1 hour, was examined by TEM microscopy. This alloy showed large regions of typically warm worked dynamically polygonized microstructural areas, with subgrain sizes of 0.1 to 0.5 microns, interspersed with very large areas showing abnormal grain growth and grain dimensions larger than 15 microns. Figure 33 shows a typical dynamically polygonized area, with the accompanying electron diffraction pattern showing ordered B2 (001) lattice reflections. The average grain dimensions in the microstructural areas showed evidence of partical recrystallization were 0.3 by 1 to 3 microns with the elongated grain axis parallel to the rolling direction. Microscopy clearly showed that abnormal grain growth had already commenced in this alloy after annealing at 1200°F (649°C) for 1 hour, and that it had progressed to the point where 15- to 20-micron diameter recrystallized grains had replaced the dynamically polygonized areas in some 30% of the microstructure examined. Figure 34 shows a typical area where a large grain of (111) zone axis orientation, adjacent to a heavily polygonized area typical of the recovered and dynamically polygonized structure, has replaced that structure by a process of abnormal recrystallization and grain growth.

#### CONSOLIDATION AND WORKING OF FegAl + TIB2

#### Laboratory Scale Processing

The consolidation and working of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  was carried out under the conditions specified in Table 4. The structure of alloy 723, after extrusion at 1750°F (954°C) and swaging to a 0.5-inch diameter rod at the same temperature, is shown in Figure 35. The microstructure of the alloy was found to be coarser and less fibrous than that of alloys of similar composition processed under equal conditions under a P&W in-house program. The  $Fe_3Al+TiB_2$  alloy investigated (Table 5) includes the other alloy compositions (545 and 549) which have the microstructures shown in Figures 36 and 37. The difference in structure was found to be due to a relatively high carbon content with excess boron in the 723 alloy. The presence of carbon in the latter alloy apparently reduced the stability of the boride and its ability to resist grain coarsening during working. This section examines the difference in the microstructures of these alloys.

The evaluation of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloys was hampered initially by difficulties in preparing satisfactory metallographic samples. Various chemical etchants and examination by light and SEM produced poorly defined structures where neither the grain structure or even the coarse end of the TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion could be resolved. The most satisfactory metallographic method currently available consists of etching with a low angle (approximately 5 degrees) ion beam for 24 hours followed by examination of samples in SEM using the back scatter mode. With this method, both the grain structure and TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion of the alloys could be compared.

The microstructure of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  (alloy 723) HVC'ed at 1700°F and 30 ksi (927°C and 207 MPa) and at 2000°F and 30 ksi (1093°C and 207 MPa) are shown in Figures 38 and 39. The cellular or dendritic microstructure of as-quenched powder particles, with a secondary dendrite arm spacing of the order of 1 to 3 microns, is still partially defined in consolidated material by the TiB<sub>2</sub> in interdendritic cell walls. As shown in Figure 38, the smaller powder particles which were cooled at a higher solidification rate during atomization have the finer dendritic microstructures. The TiB<sub>2</sub>, which is imaged but not clearly defined by SEM, appears to be

coarsening at the higher 2000°F (1093°C) temperature, and a subgrain structure has become better defined. The principal features of these consolidated microstructures include the following. The prior powder particles are clearly defined by apparent segregation of  $TiB_2$  on the surface of the particles and in comparison with Fe<sub>3</sub>Al with  $TiB_2$ , the grain size is significantly finer since it is established by the borides within the powder particles. In addition, the structure at some of the triple points appears to have been modified to a considerable extent. Figure 40 shows one of these regions which contains a small undeformed particle adjacent to a larger particle. The titanium X-ray reveals the increased density of titanium and in all probability of  $TiB_2$  in prior particle boundaries. In triple point regions, the absence of titanium suggests that the region forms by diffusion of iron and aluminum during consolidation. The small particle in the triple point area appears to have a finer  $TiB_2$  compared to that in larger particles. Consequently, it is possible that finer particle size fractions will produce finer  $TiB_2$  dispersions in consolidated material.

The microstructure of alloy 723 extruded 4 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C) is shown in Figure 40. The identity of the initial powder microstructure was retained by the presence of  $TiB_2$  in interdendritic cell walls and prior particle boundaries are delineated by coarsened  $TiB_2$ . The structure became aligned in the extrusion direction and the grain size which appears to be related to the size of original dendrites is not well defined. As shown in Figure 40, extrusion at 1750°F (954°C) and a 10 to 1 ratio followed by swaging at 1700°F (954°C) and a 3.5-to-1 ratio produced an aligned structure where coarsening of the  $TiB_2$  as well as some grain growth across prior dendrite arms appears to have taken place. The latter structure, which was clearly defined by TEM, will be shown in a following section of this report. The microstructure of the lower carbon containing Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy 545 after extrusion and swaging is shown in Figure 41. At the lower magnification, the alloy extruded at 1700°F (927°C) and a 8-to-1 ratio appears to contain the same features found in alloy 723, but it has a finer structure. The same 545 alloy swaged at 1550°F (843°C) was found to have a still finer fibrous structure; thus, suggesting that processing at low temperatures will produce the finest structure.

A direct comparison of alloys 723 and 545 was made with the alloys extruded 10 to 1 and rolled 90% both at 1700°F (927°C). The results are shown in Figure 42. In general, the microstructures have aligned and partly recrystallized or coarsened grain structures with agglomeration or coarsening of the  $TiB_2$  phase. These microstructures do not appear significantly different. However, in the simple bend test described previously, alloy 545 could be bent to a 2t radius, without failure while alloy 723 failed in every test. Figure 43 shows the effects of heat treatment on the ductility of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  (alloy 545) rolled strip. As-rolled or heat treated to 1900°F (1038°C) for 1 hour, the alloy could be bent to a 2t radius, but the neat treatment at 2000°F (1093°C) for 1 hour severely embrittled the alloy. Figure 44 shows a general coarsening of the structure of alloy 545 heat-treated at the higher temperature.

The foregoing results point 'o the importance of controlling the evolution of microstructure during working to obtain ductility in this class of alloys. The situation with  $Fe_3Al$  without  $TiB_2$  was straightforward since the changes in microstructure with processing, unaffected by a dispersion, could be defined with standard metallographic techniques. With  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloys, which have initially finer structures, the change in microstructure with deformation was far more difficult to define, because worked structures became ill defined with respect to both grain size and morphology. The work employing refined preparation and SEM techniques for the definition of microstructure was performed to define the structure on a macro-scale. The results are combined with TEM and texture results to fully define the structures developed in  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ . These results are discussed in a following section of this report.



Figure 31. Bend Samples Employed to Evaluate the Effect of Heat Treatment on Ductility of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al Rolled Strip



Figure 32. Fracture Surface of Rolled Fe<sub>3</sub>Al (729) Alloy Bend Samples

FD 243608

Proceeding of the second of the second of the







FD 243613

Figure 35. Microstructure of Extruded and Swaged Fe<sub>3</sub>Al+1.9% TiB<sub>2</sub> (723) Alloy

|           | Hom | Vominal Composition, wt% |      |     | Analysis of Master Heat, |      |     | Heat, | wt%      |
|-----------|-----|--------------------------|------|-----|--------------------------|------|-----|-------|----------|
| Alloy No. | Fe  | Al                       | Ti_  | B   | Fe                       | Al   | Ti  | В     | <u>C</u> |
| 723, 724  | bal | 13.6                     | 1.49 | 0.6 | bal                      | 11.3 | 1.5 | 0.69  | ~500     |
| 729       | bal | 13 <b>.9</b>             |      |     | bal                      | 14.3 |     | -     |          |
| 545       | bal | 13.6                     | 1.33 | 0.6 |                          | -    |     |       | ~50      |
| 549       | bal | 13.3                     | 2.82 | 1.2 |                          |      | -   |       |          |
|           |     |                          |      |     |                          |      |     |       | 2711     |

### TABLE 5. COMPOSITION OF ALLOYS

book baresses filler share ar strategy

ŝ


Figure 36. Microstructure of Extruded and Swaged Fe<sub>3</sub>Al+1.9% TiB<sub>2</sub> (545) Alloy



Figure 37. Microstructure of Extruded and Swaged Fe<sub>3</sub>Al+3.82TiB<sub>2</sub> (549) Alloy



المحدث والمحاط

ないため、美いなななな事業であるためで、「「なななななな」」というないです。

Figure 38. Alloy 723 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub>) — HVC 1700°F/30 ksi — Ion/Mill 5 deg -140 +200 Mesh Powder



うちょうち かんていちょうかん

2711C





Figure 40.

19月1日になるのでは、19月2日になったので、19月1日になった。19月1日になった。

25225CC-64





Figure 42. Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> Extruded 10 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C) and Rolled 90% at 1700°F (927°C)

56

Ś



Bend Samples Employed to Evaluate the Effect on Heat Treatment on Ductility of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> (Alloy 545) Rolled Strip

2711C

**G**ay



The processing of  $Fe_3AlTiB_2$  to bar stock to evaluate the effects of processing on structure and mechanical properties was accomplished by extrusion and swaging. Stainless steel extrusion cans, 3-inch diameter containing about 6 pounds of powder each, were filled in a vacuum and soaked for 2 hours at temperature prior to extrusion. The  $Fe_3AlTiB_2$  powder employed had been produced in a small experimental powder atomization device where the carbon content was held between 50 and 120 parts per million (ppm). The powder size fractions and processing employed are given in Table 4. The processing was separated into three lots with the first lot, 3063 through R075, on the processing of -80 mesh powder; the entire powder size distribution. The second lot, R079 through R100, employed the fine (-270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +100 mesh) powder size fractions. The last lot, R105 through R108, employed four intermediate mesh size fractions with consolidation and working performed under the same conditions to determine the effects of varying powder size or solidification rate on alloy microstructure and properties.

Extrusions of all powder lots listed in Table 6 were at a nominal 8-to-1 ratio and subsequent swaging was carried out to a 75% reduction in area. The first lot of extrusions, R065 through R075, were extruded at temperatures from 1700 to  $2250^{\circ}$ F (927 to  $1232^{\circ}$ C) to determine the degree of structural coarsening with increasing temperature. Subsequent swaging was performed at 1500°F and 2000°F (815 to 1693°C). In some cases, one half of the swaging was performed at the higher (2000°F) temperature followed by swaging at the lower (1500°F) temperature. In other cases, the order of swaging was reversed. Subsequent annealing of swaged material was carried out at the final swaging temperature for times of 1 hour or less as indicated in Table 5. The second lot of extrusions, R079 through R100, which contained the fine and coarse powders, was extruded at temperatures of 1600 or 1650°F (871 or 899°C) and subsequently swaged at temperatures from 1200 to 1600°F (649 or 871°C). The third lot of extrusions which contained different powder size fractions, R105 through R108, were all extruded at 1650°F (899°C) and swaged at 1300°F (704°C).

Microstructural and mechanical property evaluations of the material produced in Table 5 are discussed in a following section of this report.

# Large Scale Processing of Fe<sub>3</sub>AI and Fe<sub>3</sub>AI + TiB<sub>2</sub>

Large scale consolidation and working of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al and Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy powders were carried out to produce the cross sections of material required for transverse and other property measurements. The consolidation was carried out by the extrusion of 7.25-inch diameter stainless containers each filled with approximately 45 pounds of powder. The extrusion container used and a schematic of a hot dynamic outgassing unit employed to fill the containers are shown in Figures 45 and 46. The outgasser consists of mechanical and diffusion pumps, a transfer tube with heating furnace and means for attaching a reservoir prefilled with powder (transferred in a helium drybox) and an extrusion container. The system including the powder reservoir and extrusion container were evacuated to  $10^{-5}$  torr and the furnace heated to  $1000^{\circ}$ F (538°C) prior to transfer of the powder. By this procedure, the powder was heated to about 500°F (260°C) to drive off absorbed gases as it was transferred to the extrusion container. Subsequent to filling of the container, the transfer tube was pinched off with a hydraulic press and welded with a tungsten arc to ensure that the sealed tube survives subsequent processing.

The extrusion conditions are stated in Table 7. The  $1700^{\circ}F$  (927°C) preheat temperature was determined from experience with small 3-inch diameter extrusions produced in a P&W press. The temperature selection was made on the basis of anticipated microstructure and mechanical properties and  $1700^{\circ}F$  (927°C) was selected instead of a somewhat lower temperature, i.e.,  $1650^{\circ}F$  (900°C), to ensure that the billet would extrude satisfactorily. The 18 hours soak time was estimated from actual times required to heat powder billets of different sizes to different temperatures. The breakthrough pressures of 3050 tons for the Fe<sub>4</sub>Al and 3100 and 3000 tons for the  $Fe_3AITiB_2$  were well within press capacity. Extruded bar stock of each alloy or particle size distribution was 1.6 by 3.75 inches in cross section by about 6 feet long.

| Lot |             | Powder<br>Mesh Size   | Extrusion(a)<br>Temperature (°F) | Swaging(b)<br>Temperature (°F) | Annealing<br><u>Temp/Time (</u> °F/hr) |
|-----|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 1   | R063        | -80                   | 1700                             | 2000/1500                      | 1500/1                                 |
| 1   | R064        | -80                   | 2050                             | 2000/1500                      | 1500/1                                 |
| 1   | R065        | -80                   | 1700                             | 1500                           | 1500/1                                 |
| 1   | R066        | -80                   | 2250                             | 1500                           | 1500/1                                 |
| 1   | R068        | -80                   | 2050                             | 1500                           | 1500/1                                 |
| 1   |             | 80                    | 1700                             | 2000                           | 2000/0.25                              |
| L   | K070        | ~80                   | 2050                             | 2000                           | 2600/0.25                              |
| i   | R071        | -80                   | 1700                             | 1500/2000                      | 2000/0.25                              |
| 1   | R072        | 80                    | 2250                             | 1500/2000                      | 2000/0.25                              |
| 1   | R073        | -80                   | 2050                             | 1500/2000                      | 2000/0.25                              |
| 1   | R075        | -80                   | 1850                             | 1500/1900                      | 1900/0.25                              |
| 2   | R079        | -80 + 100             | 1650                             | 1600                           | 1600/1                                 |
| 2   | R080        | -270                  | 1650                             | 1600                           | 1600/1                                 |
| 2   | R081        | -80 + 100             | 1650                             | 1300                           | 1300/1                                 |
| 2   | R082        | -270                  | 1650                             | 1300                           | 1300/1                                 |
| 2   | <b>R088</b> | -80+100               | 1650                             | 1450                           | 1450/0.5                               |
| 2   | R089        | 80 +100               | 1600                             | 1450                           | 1450/0.5                               |
| 2   | R090        | -270                  | 1650                             | 1450                           | 1450/0.5                               |
| 2   | R091        | -270                  | 1600                             | 1450                           | 1450/0.5                               |
| 2   | R097        | -80 +1 <del>0</del> 0 | 1650                             | 1200                           | 1200/0.25                              |
| 2   | R098        | $-80 \div 100$        | 1600                             | 1200                           | 1200/0.25                              |
| 2   | R099        | -270                  | 1650                             | 1200                           | 1200/0.25                              |
| 2   | R100        | -270                  | 1600                             | 1200                           | 1200/0.25                              |
| 3   | R105        | -80 + 100             | 1650                             | 1300                           | 1300/0.5                               |
| 3   | R106        | -120 +140             | 1650                             | 1300                           | 1300/0.5                               |
| 3   | K107        | -170 200              | 1650                             | 1300                           | 1300/0.5                               |
| 3   | R108        | -230 -270             | 1650                             | 1300                           | 1300/0.5                               |

TABLE 6. THERMOMECHANICAL PROCESSING OF  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ 

(a) All extrusions were at a nominal 8 to 1 extrusion ratio.

2711C

(b) Where two temperatures are shown, one half of the swaging was at the first temperature listed and the balance at the second temperature.

2711C

The three AMAX extrusions were cut into convenient lengths for subsequent rolling and forging. The cut surfaces of cross sections were sound, and all extrusions appeared satisfactory for subsequent working. The Fe<sub>3</sub>Al extruded bar stock was rolled without de-jacketing at 1150°F (621°C) in 3 to 10% reduction steps (0.05-inch per pass) from 1.6- to 0.5-inch thick plate, Table 8 The total reduction was about 68%. Between each rolling pass, the insterial was reheated for approximately 10 minutes. The Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> extruded bar stock produced with the two powder size fractions was rolled at 1500°F (815°C) by the same procedure except that the reduction per pass was increased to 6 to 13% (0.1 inch per pass). A portion of the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> extrusion was rolled to a total reduction of about 68% as for the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy, and another portion was rolled to a 0.9-inch thick necess to provide plate from which to machine 0.5-inch thick fracture toughness samples.







<u>k</u>

2

ALA MANA ALA ALA ALA

.....



2

Figure 46. Schematic of Hot Dynamic Outgasser

2711C

|                     | Alloy                           |                                 |                                 |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                     | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al              | $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$                | $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$                |
| Mesh Size           | -80                             | -270                            | -80 + 140                       |
| Preheat Temperature | 1700°F (927°C)                  | 1700°F (927°C)                  | 1700°F (927°C)                  |
| Preheat Time        | 18 hr                           | 18 hr                           | 18 hr                           |
| Press Temperature   | 700°F (371°C)                   | 700°F (371°C)                   | 70 <b>0°F</b> (371°C)           |
| Extrusion Ratio     | 7.4:1                           | 7.4:1                           | 7.4:1                           |
| Ram Speed-Initial   | 3.5 in./sec                     | 3.5 in./sec                     | 3.5 in./sec                     |
| Ram Speed-Steady    | 2 in./sec                       | 2 in./sec                       | 2 in./sec                       |
| Breakthrough Load   | 3050T                           | 3100T                           | 3600T                           |
| Section Size        | $1.6 \times 3.75$ in.           | 1.6 $\times$ 3.75 in.           | $1.6 \times 3.75$ in.           |
|                     | $(4.06 \times 9.52 \text{ cm})$ | $(4.06 \times 9.52 \text{ cm})$ | $(4.06 \times 9.52 \text{ cm})$ |
|                     |                                 |                                 | 271                             |

## TABLE 7. LARGE SCALE EXTRUSION AT AMAX

# TABLE 8. ROLLING CONDITIONS EMPLOYED FOR THE LARGE EXTRUSIONS OF $Fe_3Al + TiB_2 ALLOY$

|                                 | Light Pass<br>Schedule | Heavy PassSchedule |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Roll Diameter                   | 10 in.                 | 10 in.             |
| Roll Speed                      | 30 fpm                 | 60 fpm             |
| Draft/Pass                      | 5%                     | 25%                |
| No. Passes for 66%<br>Reduction | 24                     | 5                  |
| No. Passes for 83%<br>Reduction | 37                     | 8                  |
| Temperature                     | 1500°F and 1750°F      | 1500°F and 1750°F  |
| -                               | (815°C and 954°C)      | (815°C and 954°C)  |

#### Heat Treatment

As discussed previously, the heat treatment of worked  $Fe_3Al$  at or above its recrystallization temperature of 1150 to 1200°F (621 to 650°C) caused grain growth which reduced the ductility of the alloy. In contrast,  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  was found to be resistant to grain growth to significantly higher temperatures, for example, i.e., 2000°F (1093°C). Where growth did occur, it produced an abnormally large and aligned grain structure which also reduced ductility. A heat treatment study was carried out on the large  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  extrusions after rolling under varying conditions to determine the stability of the alloy. Rolling parameters were stated in Table 7.

Table 9 gives the abnormal grain growth temperature of the rolled material as determined for samples heat treated for 2 hours at 100°F intervals from 1500 to 2200°F (815 to 1204°C). Included in the table are the results obtained with an Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> containing 2 wt% molybdenum. These results obtained on P&W funded programs are included to show the pronounced effect of alloying on the resistance to grain growth in this class of alloys. The criterion used to define abnormal grain growth was the presence of at least one abnormally large grain in the polished section of the sample. Typically, abnormal grains were as large as one millimeter thick and one centimeter long and they appeared first on the outside surface in contact with the rolls. The results obtained are represented in Figures 47 and 48.

| Rolling<br>'I'emperature (°F)                  | Reduction<br>(%)                                   | Type of<br>Pass              | Fe <sub>9</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> <sup>(1)</sup><br>270 Mesh<br>(°F) | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al + TiB <sub>2</sub> <sup>(2)</sup><br>-80 +140 Mesh<br>(°F) | FezAl+2 w/o Mo+TiB2 <sup>(3)</sup><br>-80 Mesh<br>(°F) |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 1750   | 68   | Light                        | 2100   |   | >>200  |
| 1750   | 68   | Heavy                        | 1800   | 2000  | >2200  |
| 1750   | 83   | Light                        | 2000   | _   | >2200  |
| 1750   | 83   | Heavy                        | 1500   | 1700  | >2200  |
| 1500   | 68   | Light                        | 1800   | <u></u>   | >2200  |
| 1500   | 68   | Heavy                        | 1600   | 2000  | >2200  |
| 1500   | 83   | Light                        | 1800   | _   | >2200  |
| 1500   | 83   | Heavy                        | 1600   | 1700  | >2200  |
| (1)Growth Temperature<br>(2)Growth Temperature | of the Extrusion of the Extrusion of the Extrusion | on was 1800°<br>on was 2000° | F  |   |  |
| Growin Temperature                             | OI the Extrus                                      | on was 2200°                 | <u>r</u>   |   |  |

# TABLE 9. TEMPERATURES FOR ABNORMAL GRAIN GROWTH IN $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ ALLOYS

As shown in Table 8, the effects of using fine (-270 mesh) instead of coarse (-80 + 140 mesh) powder for the processing of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> were a decrease in the abnormal grain growth temperature of about 200°F (111°C), i.e., 2000 to 1800°F (1093 to 982°C). In addition, a decrease in abnormal grain growth temperature of at least 200°F (111°C) was obtained by increasing the reduction per pass from 5 to 25%. The combination of light reductions per pass and the higher 1750°F (954°C) rolling temperature raised the abnormal growth temperature at least 300°F (167°C) above the 1500°F (815°C) extrusion temperature. The lowering of the abnormal grain growth temperature (shown in Table 8), due to an increase in total reduction from 63 to 83%, may be as much a result of an increase in quenching from the rolls as it is from an increase in deformation. The effects of alloying with molybdenum were a high abnormal grain growth temperature over 2200°F (1204°C), irrespective of rolling schedule. The latter data are presented to show the high temperature microstructural stability of this class of alloys.

2711C

FD283931 100 µm At Surface 100 µm Near Surface 100 µm Center

Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> Alloy Rolled 66% in Light Passes at 1500°F Showing Abnormal Grain Growth. After 2-Hour Heat Treatment at 1800°<sub>x</sub>' Figure 47.



Figure 48. Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> Alloy Rolled 66% in Heavy Passes at 1750°F Showing Resistance to Abnormal Crain Growth After 2-Hour Heat Treatment at 2200°F

2711C

The results of the heat treatment study may be summarized as follows. The recrystallization or abnormal grain growth temperature of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  was reduced from about 2100 to 1500°F (1149 to 815°C) by processing or rolling under conditions which increased the amount of strain in the material. The use of lower rolling temperatures, for example, 1500°F versus 1750°F (815°C versus 954°C), high reduction per pass; and increased amount of reduction (85% versus 68%), all tended to reduce the abnormal grain growth temperature. In addition, the use fine (-270 mesh) instead of coarse (-80 +140 mesh) powder also tended to lower the abnormal grain growth temperature. In contrast,  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  with 2 wt% molybdenum had an abnormal grain growth temperature over 2200°F (1204°C) for material rolled under all conditions.

#### MICROSTRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

#### Microstructure of Material Processed in Small Lots

The extruded and swaged  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloy produced from small 3-inch diameter extrusions and which was described in the last section was examined by TEM employing both carbon replicas and thinned foils of the material. The microstructure of  $Fe_3Al$  which was found to be considerably simpler was discussed in the section on the processing of  $Fe_3Al$ . したうやきを見ど

P22-11-1-5-5

#### **TEM With Carbon Replicas**

The extruded and swaged  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloy samples listed in Table 10 were produced with the fine (-270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +100 mesh) as well as with the entire (-80 mesh) particle size distribution. The alloy was extruded 8 to 1 at 1600 or 1700°F (871 or 927°C) and post swaged at 1300, 1600, 1700 and 2000°F (704, 871, 927 and 1093°C). The photomicrographs in Figures 49 through 52 were selected to describe, at a reasonably high magnification, the TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion and grain structure obtained as a function of post working and powder particle size distribution. The following analysis which describe: the TiB<sub>2</sub> obtained were found to be in agreement with the results obtained with thinned foils of the alloy. However, the thin foils gave a more accurate measure of the grain size produced in the alloy.

## TABLE 10. $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ ALLOY SAMPLES EXAMINED IN TEM WITH THINNED FOILS

| <u>ID</u>    | Powder<br><u>Mesh Size</u> | Extrusion <sup>1</sup><br>Temperature (°F) | Swaging <sup>2</sup><br>Temperature_(°F) |
|--------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| R069         | -80                        | 1700                                       | 2000                                     |
| R079         | -80 +100                   | 1650                                       | 1600                                     |
| R080         | -270                       | 1650                                       | 1600                                     |
| <b>R</b> 081 | -80 + 100                  | 1650                                       | 1300                                     |
| R082         | -270                       | 1650                                       | 1300                                     |

Comparison of Figures 49 through 52 shows that the fine (-270 mesh) powder produced a significantly higher density of a finer  $\text{TiB}_2$  dispersion in the alloy than either the entire (-80 mesh) powder size distribution or the coarse (-80 +100 mesh) size fraction. The effect of working (swaging) temperature is pronounced also. Comparison of Figures 49 and 50 shows the increase in the density of TiB<sub>2</sub> obtained by lowering the swaging temperature from 1600 to 1300°F (871 to 704°C) for material produced with the finer (-270 mesh) powder. Figures 51 and 52 for the coarser (-80 mesh and -80 +100 mesh) powders show, as was expected, the coarser TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion in the material swaged at the higher 2000°F (1093°C) temperature.



<u>ا م</u>

Figure 49. TEM of Sample R-82 ( $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ ) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and Swaged 75% at 1300°F Fine (-270 Mesh) Powder



an de la companya de Na de la companya de l

Figure 50. TEM of Sample R-80 ( $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ ) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and Swaged 75% at 1600°F Fine (-270 Mesh) Powder



Ä

Ò

Figure 51. TEM of Sample R79 ( $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ ) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and Swaged 75% at 1600°F Course (-80 +100 Mesh) Powder



Figure 52. TEM of Sample R69 ( $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ ) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F and Swaged 75% at 2000°F Coarse (-80 Mesh Powder)

#### TEM With Thin Foils

27110

The extruded and swaged  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  samples listed in Table 10 were examined by TEM. A number of foils were employed for each sample to define the microstructures produced with two different powder size distributions and for material post worked under varying conditions. Previously, it was found that rolling of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  under conditions which produced a material with excellent ductility also produced a material with a high aspect ratio grain 1 micron wide by 10 to 20 microns long with a fine substructure 1000 to 5000 angstroms in size. The  $TiB_2$ dispersion on the order of 200 angstroms was found effective in pinning grain and subgrain boundaries and in resisting subsequent grain coarsening during post working and annealing operations. The current investigation describes more fully the effect of powder size and post working on resulting TiB<sub>2</sub> particle size, grain size, and morphology in  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  processed by extrusion and swaging.

The TEM photomicrographs in Figures 54 and 55 present an overview of the structures obtained in the alloy samples listed in Table 10. Figure 53 shows three areas at two magnifications which are representative of the structure obtained in  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  produced with coarse (-80 +100 mesh) powder. The variation in structure which is due to partial recrystallization or grain growth was found to be characteristic of material produced with the coarse powder sizes. In comparison, Figure 54 shows four areas at a single magnification in material produced with fine (-270 mesh) powder extruded under the same conditions with post swaging at 1300°F. These figures show how the finer powder produced a more uniform structure with finer and higher aspect ratio grains. The third set of photomicrographs in Figure 55 for material produced with the coarse (-80 +100 mesh) powder extruded at 1650°F (899°C) and swaged at 1300 and 1600°F (704 and 871°C) again show the variation in structure but they also show the effect of working temperature on grain size. The following and closer examination of individual foil samples takes the foregoing findings under consideration to arrive at a correlation between powder mesh size, working temperature, grain size, and TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion size.

The following table, which summarizes the results obtained, may be referred to in viewing the following photomicrographs selected to show both the structure and the role of the  $TiB_2$ dispersion in the development of structure in  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloys. The entire (-80 mesh) powder distribution and the coarse (-80 +100 mesh) powder size fractions extruded at 1700°F (927°C) and swaged at 2000°F (1093°C) produced the coarsest structure of the series (sample R069 in Table 11) with a grain size averaging 1.5 micron wide by 2 to 10 microns long. The grains were relatively strain free as shown in Figure 56 with dislocations tied to the  $TiB_2$  dispersions. The grain boundaries were also pinned by the dispersion. The  $TiB_2$  which was uniformly dispersed in the structure was found to have a particle diameter in the range of 700 to 1800 angstroms.

As shown in Figure 57, a decrease in the swaging temperature from 2000 to  $1600^{\circ}F$  (1092 to 871°C) for Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> produced with the coarse (-80 +100 mesh) powder resulted in a substantial increase in matrix deformation, with a decrease in the size of the dispersion to the range of 300 to 900 angstroms. Variations were observed in the structure, as previously indicated, with grain width 1 to 1.5 microns and corresponding lengths from 2 to about 10 microns. A matrix substructure, approximately 0.5 micron in size was observed where subgrain boundaries are pinned by TiB<sub>2</sub>. In general the TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion was associated with dislocations, grain boundaries, and sub boundaries in all regions of the sample. The dispersion played a dominant role in the development of the fine structures in the alloy.



TEM of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2 Extruded 8$  to 1 at  $1650^{\circ}F - 80 + 100$  Mesh Powder — Three General Areas A, B, and C Figure 53. ないないない たんかん たいてい ひょう たいかい ない 見た ひらう ショット たいさい シング とうかん チャッチャッチ ない シング・シッチャ



ß

のなかないのない。たいとうないです



75

2711C

# TABLE 11. GRAIN ASPECT RATIO AND TIB2 DISPERSION SIZE FOR F03Al +TiB2 PRODUCED WITH FINE AND COARSE POWDERS

|                                     | Swaging Temperature                            |  |               |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|---------------|--|--|
| ID/Mech                             | 1300°F   | 1603*F                                     | 2000°F        |  |  |
| R069                                | _  |  | 1.5 × 2-10 μm |  |  |
| 80                                  |  |  | 700Å-1800Å    |  |  |
| R079                                | _  | A. 1.5 × 10 μm<br>B. 1 × 2-4 μm            | -             |  |  |
| -80 +100                            |  | 300A-900A                                  | · ·····       |  |  |
| R081                                | A. 0.5 × 6 μm<br>B. 0.5 × 2 μm                 | _  | -             |  |  |
| -80 +100                            | C. 1 × 2 µm<br>250Å-70CÅ                       |  |               |  |  |
| R080                                | _  | 1 × 10 μm                                  | _             |  |  |
| -270                                |  | 200Å-400Å                                  | _             |  |  |
| R082                                | 0.5 × 10 µm                                    |  |               |  |  |
| -270                                | 200Å-400Å                                      | _  | -             |  |  |
| All material ext<br>indicated A-C p | ruded 8:1 at 1650-170<br>rain aspect ratio — t | 0°F and swaged 75% a<br>hickness × length. | t temperature |  |  |
|                                     |  |  | 311           |  |  |

2711C



Sec.

*どくようといい* 

Figure 56. TEM of Sample R069 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al +  $TiB_2$ ) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F and Swaged 75% at 2000°F (-80 Mesh) Powder



とうとうかがい

にいいく

Figure 57. TEM of Sample R079 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al +  $TiB_2$ ) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and Swaged 75% at 1600°F (-80 +100 Mesh) Powder

A further decrease in swaging temperature from 1600 to 1300°F (871 to 704°C) refined the structure as shown in Figure 58. The structure which was derived from the initial powder dendritic structure was found to degenerate to the extent shown in Table 10 for sample R081. The finer structures (region A and B) are fiber structures approximately 0.5 micron in width with lengths varying from 6 to about 2 microns depending on the degree of recovery or partial recrystallization in the alloy. The third structural variation (C) appears as a more equiaxed and somewhat coarser structure with a lower concentration of TiB<sub>2</sub> generally at triple points in the structure.

In contrast with the structures obtained with coarse (-80 +100 mesh) powder, the structure with fine (-270 mech) powder produced a fiber structure with greater continuity and uniformity. The structure also contained a finer TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion which was more effective in resisting recrystallization and grain growth. The material produced with fine powder and swaged at 1600°F (871°C), sample R080 in Table 11, has the structure shown in Figure 59. The material contains a moderate dislocation density with a fine polygonized subgrain structure and uniform TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion 200 to 400 angstroms in size. The fiber structure which is more uniform than found in material produced with coarse (-80 +100 mesh) powder has an average width of 1 micron and length of approximately 10 microns. In comparison to the above, the R082 sample swaged at a lower temperature of 1300°F (704°C) has a similar but finer structure. The structure shown in Figure 60 is completely fibrous with one half the fiber width (0.5 micron) and an equivalent length. The matrix dislocation and TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion density shown in Figure 61 is moderate, and no greater than observed in the R080 sample swaged at a higher temperature. The TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion, 200 to 400 angstroms, is about the same as in sample R080, but the lower swaging temperature resulted in a finer structure.

In summary, the use of fine (-270 mesh) instead of coarse (-80 + 100 mesh) powder or the entire (-80 mesh) powder size distribution produced Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> with a higher concentration of a finer TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion. The finer dispersion offered greater resistance to recrystallization and grain growth during consolidation and post working operations. The use of the finer powder size fraction also produced a more uniform fiber structure of reduced size with a higher aspect ratio and a finer and more uniform TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion size. The grain size was found to be dependent on swaging temperature with the finer and higher aspect ratio grains produced at the lowest temperature.

#### Microstructure of Large Scale Extruded and Worked Material

#### Fe<sub>3</sub>Al

Extruded Fe<sub>3</sub>Al produced with -80 mesh powder was found to have an equiaxed recrystallized grain structure as shown in Figure 62A. The grain size is seen to be on the order of 50 to 100 microns and coincidentally close to the average powder particle size employed. In TEM's there was little evidence of strain in the material.

As shown in Figure 63A, strings of small irregular particles were found in the structure of extruded  $Fe_3Al$ . These particles spaced at intervals of 3.5 to 10 microns in some boundaries were identified as aluminum oxide. They are believed to be spheroidized oxides originating on the surface of the prior powder particles. Also shown in the figure are occasional fine grains, approximately 2 microns, inside boundaries piened by the oxide particles.



-

Figure 58. TEM of Sample R081 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al +  $TiB_2$ ) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and Swaged 75% at 1300°F (-80 +100 Mesh) Powder







- A C C

Figure 60. TEM of Sample R082 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub>) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and Swaged 75% at 1300°F (-80 + 100 Mesh) Powder



**Vertisesses** 

ないため、またたたいたる。またかいたないは、たいというが、たいないたが、またないたたが。 ないため、またたたたらの、またかいたないは、たいというが、たいないたか。またないたたが。

Figure 61. TEM of Sample P^82 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub>) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1650°F and Swaged 75% at 1390°F (~80 +100 Mesh) Powder




The structure of rolled  $Fe_3Al 1150^{\circ}F(621^{\circ}C)$  rolling and annealing temperatures) shown in Figures 62B and 63B, revealed grain refinement and a moderate dislocation density as a result of rolling just below the recrystallization temperature. The aligned grains also contained a 4- to  $2^{\prime}/2$ -micron subgrain structure. The strings of aluminum oxide particles found in the extruded material were also observed in the rolled structure. The presence of aluminum oxide particles in  $Fe_3Al$  was not unexpected since they were found previously in the alloy produced from different batches of powder and consolidated by both HIP and extrusion.

### Fe<sub>3</sub>Ai + TIB<sub>2</sub>

The  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  extruded from fine (-270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +140 mesh) powder fractions were found to have few but significant differences in microstructure. A relatively large rod type precipitate was found in the material produced from fine powder. As shown in Figure 64, the precipitates about 0.1 to 0.5 micron thick and 0.4 to 2 microns in length were located in grain boundaries and oriented parallel to the extrusion/rolling direction. These precipitates were identified as TiB<sub>2</sub> possibly deficient in boron. In comparison, the extrusion from coarse powder, Figure 66A, did not contain these large precipitates. Differences in processing should not account for the presence of these coarse precipitates in the material produced with fine powder since the extrusions were carried out sequentially with the extrusion billets pre-heated in the same furnace. However, this type of precipitation was not found in prior small 6-pound extrusions produced previously with the same or equivalent fine (-270 mesh) powder size. Therefore, the precipitation or accelerated coarsening of the TiB<sub>2</sub> phase could be a result of the relatively long soak time (18 versus 2 hours) employed for the larger extrusion billets.

The  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  extrusion produced with coarse (-80 +140 mesh) powder had a somewhat more elongated grain structure and localized areas containing a higher dislocation density. The elongated grains, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> to 2 microns in thickness and 1 to 3 microns in length, were essentially strain free while equiaxed grains <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> to 3 microns in the localized areas contained the higher dislocation density. In comparison, the extrusion produced with fine powder, Figure 64 versus 66, had a more equiaxed and relatively strain free structure with grains about 2 to 6 microns with an aspect ratio less than 2 to 1.

The  $TiB_2$  dispersion in the extrusion produced with fine powder, excluding the relatively large rod  $TiB_2$  precipitate, was estimated to be 350 to 650 angstroms in size. The  $TiB_2$  in the extrusion produced with coarse powder was somewhat larger and in the range of 400 to 1000 angstroms.

Rolling of the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy produced from fine (-270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +140 mesh) powder, Figure 65 and 66, generated similar microstructures (except for the large TiB<sub>2</sub> rod precipitate mentioned above). The rolled alloy produced with the fine and coarse powders had an elongated grain structure with the average grain sizes given in Table 12. The material from fine powder had about half the grain size of the material produced with coarse powder. The microstructures of both extrusions also contained, in different regions, a subgrain structure approximately 14 by 1 micron. There appeared to be a somewhat higher dislocation density in the subgrain solucture of the material produced with the coarse powder. The difference in the microstructures of the extrusions produced with fine and coarse Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> were retained during rolling except for an increase in the aspect ratio of the grains and a reduction in the grain size for rolled material. The grain aspect ratio of the extrusions were found to vary, and it was difficult to assign values to the length of the grains. The TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion size apparently did not change as a result of rolling.





ЪŬ

2711C

R



Figure 65.  $Fe_{3}Al + TiB_{2}$  from Fine (-270 Mesh) Powder a. Extruded 8:1 at 1700°F b. Rolled 65% at 1500°F

1.22

10.0XX



 $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  from Coarse (-80 +140 Mesh) Powder, A- as Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F and B- as Rolled 69% at 1500°F Figure 66.



|            |          |        |   | $Fe_{3}Al + TiB_{2}$ |        |          |  |
|------------|----------|--------|---|----------------------|--------|----------|--|
|            | FesAl    |        | Extra                                   | ıded                 | Rolled |          |  |
|            | Extruded | Rolled | -270                                    | -80 +140             | -270   |          |  |
| Grain Size | 50-100   | 14-30  | 2-6                                     | 1/2-2                | 1-3    | 14-114   |  |
| TìB2       |          | -      | 0.1-0.5 by<br>0.4-2 microns<br>350-650Å | 400-1000Å            |        | 350-650Å |  |
|            |          |        |   |                      |        | 271      |  |

# TABLE 12. GRAIN SIZE IN FE3AL AND F03Al + TiB2 AFTER EXTRUSIONAND ROLLING

In summary, the results obtained with  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  processed in larger 45-pound powder lots were different in some respects from the results reported previously for the same alloy, and equivalent particle sizes, produced from small 6-pound powder lots. Extrusion and rolling parameters were not equal, but a sufficiently broad range of conditions had been covered with the small extrusions for a comparison to be made between the microstructures of small and the large extrusions. Comparison of the grain size results in Table 5 and those presented in Table 9 for swaged material produced from small extrusion suggests the following conclusions.

The grain size of  $\frac{1}{2}$  to  $\frac{1}{2}$  microns in the rolled material (large extrusion) produced from coarse (-80 +140 mesh) powder was not significantly different from the 1- to  $\frac{1}{2}$ -micron grain size of the swaged material (small extrusion) produced with -80 mesh powder. The latter grain size range for the swaged material was for material swaged at 1600°F (871°C). In contrast, the 1to 3-micron grain size in the rolled material (large extrusion) produced with fine powder was much larger than the measured 1 micron grain size of the swage material (small extrusion) produced from the same -270 mesh powder size. In addition, the grain size as well as the grain aspect ratio were found to vary to a much greater extent in the large extrusion produced with fine powder.

The relatively coarse  $TiB_2$  phase found in the large extrusion from fine powder was not present in the extrusion from coarse powder or in any of the small extrusions previously produced with fine powder. The normal  $TiB_2$  dispersion present in all  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  in both small and large extrusions were close to the same size in the material produced from coarse powder. These were 400 to 1000 angstroms for the coarse powder and 300 to 900 angstroms for the fine powder swaged at 1600°F (871°C). However, the  $TiB_2$  particle size in material produced in the large extrusion with fine powder was 350 to 650 angstroms compared to 200 to 400 angstroms in the material from fine powder produced in the small extrusions. In addition, the material from the small extrusion produced with fine powder did not contain the relatively coarse  $TiB_2$ precipitate found in the large extrusion produced during this period. As mentioned previously, the differences noted in the microstructures, including the accelerated coarsening of the  $TiB_2$ , appears to be due to the long soak time which was required to achieve a uniform temperature in the large extrusion billets prior to extrusion.

#### DEFORMATION PROCESS MODELING

27110

In a previous section, both  $Fe_3Al$  and  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  were investigated by optical and SEM/TEM microscopy to discern the major features related to grain size and orientation, and to quantify the  $TiB_2$  distribution. In this section, the macroscopic crystallographic orientation of  $Fe_3Al$  grains was determined by standard X-ray texture analysis. The deformation texture of those alloys which performed successfully in bend tests, shown previously, were investigated in an attempt to determine the succession of microstructural stages during thermomechanical

processing which led to extremely ductile behavior in bend tests. TEM showed that these alloys had a fine polygonized subgrain structure which when combined with a (110) sheet normal rolling texture produced ductile behavior.

During the extrusion process, spherical powder particles become aligned with aspect ratios (length/diameter) which are a function of the extrusion ratio. The diameter of the elongated grains was found to be related to both the extrusion ratio and the diameter of the powder particles. In Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub>, a significantly finer diameter grain structure was produced which originated from the powder particle solidification structure. This structure is shown in Figure 67. The linear distribution of TiB, which in this case was found to be 1/4 to 1/4 microns apart was derived from the dendrite arm spacing of the original powder and the extrusion ratio used in consolidation. Figure 68 shows a distribution of 3000 angstroms transverse spacing with sizes ranging from 200 to 800 angstroms. Dark field microscopy showed that the average transverse grain size in the extruded alloy 545 was 1.5 microns, with up to several TiB<sub>2</sub> distributions within each Fe-Al grain. The average grain dimensions were 1.5 microns in the transverse direction and up to 20 microns long. X-ray texture analysis showed that the deformation was <110> fiber texture (Figure 69 with approximately nine times random intensity. Work on nickel-base and aluminum alloys (References 10 and 11) has shown that textural intensity is dependent on extrusion reduction ratio (at least below the recrystallization temperature) with the strongest textures being produced by the highest extrusion ratios.



FD 253152

Figure 67. TEM of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  (Alloy 545) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C) Showing Elongated Grains and the Distribution of  $TiB_2$  Inherited from the Original Solidification Structure

The effects of thermomechanical processing on texture evolution in bend test samples described previously and in the  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloys produced from different powder lots are shown in Table 13. The ductile behavior refers to bending a sample through 180 degrees around a 3t radius where "t" is the sheet thickness, and "fracture" behavior refers to failure to pass this test. As shown in a following section, alloy 723 had up to several percent elongation on tensile testing; however, considerably more ductility appears to be required for successful completion of

3t bend test. In comparing the two alloys containing  $TiB_2$  (545 and 723) with the alloy without  $TiB_2$  (729) it is apparent that attainment of a simple deformation texture will not explain the differences in bend fracture behavior of these alloys. The sequence of textural changes associated with thermomechanical processing of each alloy type are shown in Figures 70 and 71, indicating that the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy 729 processed to a (112) <110> texture survived the bend test but has a significantly different texture from the also successful Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy (545) texture shown in Figure 72. This deformation texture was very close to that of the extruded alloy, indicating that the role of TiB<sub>2</sub> in alloy 545 may be to prevent major grain reorientation, with its deformation texture showing some of the characteristics of a polygonized structure, for example, a reduction in the central <110> intensity and a broad distribution of orientations in the rolling direction ranging from <110> to <223>.





FD 253153

Figure 68. TEM of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  (Alloy 545) Extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C) Showing the Distribution of  $TiB_2$  in Prior Dendrite Arms

The alloys whose textures were described in Table 13 were examined by both bright field and dark field TEM. The onset of "brittle" behavior in the 3t bend test samples of alloy 729 annealed at 1200°F (649°C) for 1 hour was shown to result from premature abnormal grain growth. The sample annealed at 1150°F (621°C) for one hour showed elongated grains of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al with extensive subgrain boundary polygonization networks (Figure 73) and a few strain free grains. Those strain-free grains, Figure 74, resulted from static recrystallization of the dynamically polygonized structure. In the several thin foils examined, only two areas of recrystallization were observed, indicating that recrystallization nuclei were present but not widely developed after annealing for 4 hours at 1150°F (621°C). It is those recrystallization nuclei which presumably are responsible for the gross textural changes in which the (112) <110) polygonized structure is systematically replaced by the (110) <110> structure with a corresponding increase in grain size from the 1- to 2-micron size present at 1150°F (621°C) to 20 to 50-micron size after one hour at 1200°F (649°C).



Figure 69. (110) and (200) Pole Figures of Extruded Alioy Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> (RSR 545) Showing Strong <110> Fiber Textures

#711C

E)

ፚኯጏጘፚኯፚኯፚኯፚኯፚኯፚኯፚ

| Proceesing             | FesAl (eg., Alloy 729) | Fe,Al + TiB; (eg., Alloy 545, 793) |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| As Extruded            |                        | <110> Fiber Texture                |
| As-Rolled, Parallel    | 900°K (1150-1100°F)    | 1900K (1700°F)                     |
| to Extrusion Direction | (110) <001>            | (110) < 001 > (723)                |
|                        |                        | (110) <110> and                    |
|                        |                        | (110) <223> (545)                  |
| As-Rolled and Annealed | 900°K (1150°F)/1 hr    | 1900K (1700°F)/1 hr                |
|                        | (112) <110>            | (223) <110> Ductile (720)          |
|                        | Ductile                | (110) <001> Fracture (723)         |
|                        | ·                      | (110) <113> Ductile (545)          |
| As-Rolled and Annealed | 990°K (1900°F)/1 hr    | 1300K (1900°F)/1 hr                |
|                        | Weak (112) <110>       | (223) < 110 > Ductile (720)        |
|                        | Stronger (110) <110>   | (110) <110> Frecture (723)         |
|                        | • • •                  | (110) <113> Ductile (545)          |
| Cross Rolled           | -                      | 1200K (1700°F)/1 hr                |
|                        |                        | Strong (001) <110> Fracture        |
|                        |                        | 17110                              |

### TABLE 13 TEXTURE ANALYSIS

2711C

00-00



(110) Pole Figures for Different Thermomechanical Processing Sequences for Alloy 729 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al) Figure 70.

in marine

2002 Deserved at the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of







111 - 000000 - 1**-00000000 - 2000000 - 20000000** 

Figure 72. (110) Pole Figure of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  (Alloy 545) Rolled at 1700°F (927°C) Showing Ductile at Bend Test Behavior

1711C

and the following the followin



Figure 73. Bright Field TEM of Alloy 729 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al) Rolled and Annealed at 1150°F (521°C) for 1 Hour, Showing Extensive Polygonization Networks



Figure 74. Bright Field TEM of Alloy 729 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al) Rolled and Annealed at 1150°F (621°C) for 1 Hour, Showing Nucleation of New Strain Free Gains Within the Deformed Matrix

£.30

Alloys containing TiB<sub>2</sub> showed distinctly different microstructural behavior on rolling. The ductile 545 alloy after rolling and annealing at 1700°F (926°C), Figures 75 and 76 showed elongated pairs of 1 micron thickness and  $10-t_0$  20-microns long, with 200 angstroms TiB<sub>0</sub> particles uniformly distributed throughout the microstructure. Figure 75 shows clearly that dynamic deformation and static annealing have produced a very fine matrix substructure of 1000 to 5000 angstrom size in which subgrain boundaries are pinned by TiB<sub>2</sub>. The presence of TiB<sub>2</sub> within subgrains is responsible for a high degree of slip "delocalization" during which cross-slip off the primary slip plane is necessary to bypass TiB<sub>2</sub> obstacles, with the associated generation of both partial dislocations and Orowan segments. This increasing dislocation density with plastic deformation is ultimately responsible for the development of polygonization networks and the establishment of a fine polygonized subgrain structure which showed development in dispersion strengthened aluminum alloys (Reference 11). A basic difference between the recrystallization and grain growth behavior of alloys with and without  $TiB_2$  is that alloys with  $TiB_2$  can store a high level of deformation energy in subgrain boundaries, which are prevented from movement by Zener drag forces, while in alloys without TiB<sub>2</sub>, subgrain boundaries become quickly unstable and the stored energy is adequate for the onset of grain growth. Based on these observations, the presence of TiB<sub>2</sub> confers an approximate 500 to 600°F (260 to 315°C) processing advantage before grain coarsening consumes the stored deformation energy in polygonized subgrain boundaries.

1.00.000 July

22222

#### Texture of Material Processed in Small Lots

X-ray analysis was performed with  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloy samples R069 and R079 through R082 listed in Table 14. The samples produced with fine (-270 mesh), coarse (-80 +100 mesh) an ' the entire (-80 meth) powder size distribution had been extruded 8 to 1 at 1650 or 1700°F (89 or 927°C) and swaged at 1300, 1600, and 2000°F (704, 871, and 1093°C). Post annealing of swaged material was carried out at the swaging temperatures, and for thi study a number of samples were given an additional anneal at 1800°F (982°C) for 30 minutes.

As shown in Table 14 and Figures 77 and 78, extruded  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  with a <110> crystallographic fiber texture approximately nine times random was found only to strengthen in texture with swaging. The deformation texture increased with decreasing swaging temperature, as for a number of alloys, while the material produced with fine (-270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +100 mesh) powders behaved differently. The fine powder sample swaged at 1300°F (704°C) had a stronger texture than the coarse powder sample swaged at the same temperature. However, the order was reversed for the same powder size fractions swaged at 1600°F (899°C). There are currently no explanations for this anomaly.

Annealing of swaged samples at 1800°F (982°C) for 30 minutes did not change the texture of the samples except that of sample R081 (-80 +100 mesh powder swaged at 1300°F) which changed to a <111> fiber texture. However, the change in texture was limited to the periphery of the swaged bar where recyrstallization and grain coarsening had taken place. In addition, sample R081 may have been swaged at a lower temperature thereby increasing strain energy and reducing the recrystallization temperature. Gross textural change with a corresponding increase in grain size was reported previously for rolled Fe<sub>3</sub>Al which had been annealed at a temperature which caused partial recrystallization and grain coarsening.



Figure 75. Park Field TEM of Rolled and Annealed 545 Alloy ( $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ ) Showing the General Grain Elongation and Subgrain Polygonization Within the Grain



FD 253161

Figure 76. TEM Alloy 545 ( $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ ) Showing the Distribution of ~200Å Diameter  $TiB_2$  and Their Relationship to Polygonized Subgrain Boundaries

| Powder   | 1             | 'emperature ( | °F)           |  |
|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Size     | 1300          | 1600          | 2000          |  |
| -80      | _             |               | 16X<br>(R069) |  |
| -80 +100 | 38X<br>(R081) | 30X<br>R079   |               |  |
| -270     | 49X           | 20 <b>X</b>   |               |  |
|          | (R082)        | (R080)        |               |  |

## TABLE 14. STRENGTH OF <110> FIBER TEXTURE (TIMES RANDOM) VERSUSSWAGING TEMPERATURE

Swaged Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> analyzed by X-ray diffraction was found to have a BCC iron base structure with some DO<sub>3</sub> type (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al) ordering. In the coarse grain region of annealed sample R081, some B2 type ordering was observed. The dispersion was identified as TiB<sub>2</sub> in both swaged and 1800°F annealed samples. The TiB<sub>2</sub> was found to possess a <001> texture in the swaged samples produced with coarse (-80 +100 mesh) powder but the TiB<sub>2</sub> was randomly oriented in samples produced with fine (-270 mesh) powder. The difference in textural response of the TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion may be due to the difference in the size of the dispersion derived from the fine (-270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +100 mesh) powder size fractions. This is discussed in the following section.

#### Texture of Material Processed in Large Lots

Iron aluminides ( $Fe_3Al$  and  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ ) produced from fine (-270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +140 mesh) powder were extruded in 50 pound lots at an 8-to-1 ratio and 1700°F (927°C). The  $Fe_3Al$  was subsequently rolled at 1150°F (621°C) and the  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  rolled at 1500°F (815°C) to 0.9-inch thick slabs. The latter consolidated and worked conditions were selected from results of prior investigations with small extrusions post worked by swaging or rolling. The meterial produced in the larger size was investigated to verify the deformation mode or mechanisms identified previously, and to measure mechanical properties including tensile properties, in the transverse (to rolling) direction, and fracture toughness properties.

The deformation structures obtained in extruded and rolled Fe<sub>3</sub>Al and Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> were similar to those obtained with small extruded lots of material post worked by swaging and rolling except for the differences noted below. In small extrusions, the alloys developed <110> fiber textures which increased in strength with increasing extrusion ratios or amount of subsequent swaging. The presence or absence of TiB<sub>2</sub> in the alloy did not markedly affect the texture in symmetrically extruded material. In the larger Fe<sub>3</sub>Al rectangular extrusion, the alloy developed a <110> fiber texture with some secondary sheet type texture component. In the larger Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> rectangular extrusions, the alloy developed an axial texture about 15 degrees off the <110>. The rolling textures were typical of BCC materials, but differed somewhat from prior results with rolled material produced from small extrusions. The texture of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al rolled from small extrusions was (112) <110> and in some cases (110) <110> while the texture of rolled Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> was (110) <001>, (110) <113> and (110) <110>. The deformation textures of inaterial produced as large extrusions were as follows.



Figure 77. (110) Pole Figures of Extruded and Swaged  $Fe_{3}Al + TiB_{2}$ 

104

2711C

M ADD





\$711C

N

#### Extruded Fe<sub>3</sub>Al

A strong 14 times random <110> fiber texture with a weak sheet texture spread from a (001) <110> to (112) <110> is shown in Figure 79.



いのしていい 学校 からつび シャント 御書 しょうたいこう 御書 かんたい ひと 御書 かんのう 日本学校

Figure 79. <110> Pole Figure Resulting from the Extrusion of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al to a Rectangular Cross Section

#### Rolled Fe<sub>3</sub>Al

A moderate rolling texture five times random formed with a spread from (112) <110> to (001) <110> is shown in Figure 80.

### Extruded Fe<sub>3</sub>AI + TIB<sub>2</sub>

Material produced from both powder fractions were found to have extrusion or fiber textures similar to those of the  $Fe_3Al$  alloy except that the orientation was <133> or about 15 degrees off the <110>. In addition, since the extrusion was rectangular, a weak sheet texture from (611) <133> to (321) <113> was also found as shown in Figures 81 and 82. Areas near the surface of the extruded bar could have a texture varying by as much as 10 degrees, but it is possible that the texture in the large extrusions was a result of partial recrystallization especially in the material produced with fine powder. These textures were relatively weak. The extrusion produced with fine powder was 1.8 times random, while the extrusion produced with coarse powder was five times random.

### Rolled Fe<sub>3</sub>AI + TiB<sub>2</sub>

The deformation texture which developed in rolled material produced with both fine and coarse powder was similar to that found in rolled  $Fe_3A^{1} + TiB_2$ . As shown in Figure 83, rolling of the material produced with fine powder was found to have a five times random texture spread from (001) <110>, (112) <110> to (111) <110>. Rolling of the material produced with the



coarse powder was found to have a stronger 12 times random texture from (012) < 10 > to (001) < 110 > as shown in Figure 84. All of the above textures are found in BCC alloys.

Figure 80. <110> Pole Figure Resulting from the Warm Rolling of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al Which Had Been Extruded to a Rectangular Cross Section



Figure 81. <110> Pole Figure of As-Extruded Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> Produced from Fine (-270 Mesh) Powder

) و ا ما ا







Figure 84. <110> Pole Figure of Rolled Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> Produced from Coarse (-80 +140 Mesh) Powder

The following table, Table 15, summ, rizes the texture results obtained with the large extrusions produced during the last reporting period.

| Alloy                                    | Fraction  | Extrusion Texture   | Rolling Texture   |
|--|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Fe <sub>3</sub> Al                       | -80 mesh  | $14 \times random$  | $5 \times random$ |
| •  |           | <110> fiber +       | (112) < 110 > to  |
|  |           | (001) <110> to      | (001) <110>       |
| Fe <sub>s</sub> Al +<br>TiB <sub>2</sub> | -270 mesh | $1.8 \times random$ | $5 \times random$ |
|  |           | <133> fiber +       | <001> <110><br>to |
|  |           | (611) <113> to      | (112) <110> to    |
|  |           | (321) <113>         | (111) <110>       |
| Fo <sub>3</sub> Al +<br>TiB.             | -80 +140  | $5 \times random$   | 12 × random       |
|  |           | <133> fiber + (0    | 01)               |
|  |           | <                   | 110>              |
|  |           | 1                   | to                |
|  |           | (611) <133> to      | (112) <110> to    |
|  |           | (321) <133>         |                   |

| TABLE | 15. | TEXTURE | RESULTS |
|-------|-----|---------|---------|
|-------|-----|---------|---------|

#### PROPERTY EVALUATION

### Tensile Properties of Swaged Fe<sub>3</sub>AI and Fe<sub>3</sub>AI + TiB<sub>2</sub>

The Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy 729 extruded 10 to 1 at 1750 and 1950°F (954 and 1065°C) was swaged to strains of 1.3 and 1.8, and was annealed for 1 hour at various temperatures, the swaging

Lemperature was 1250°F (621°C) for the first swaging pass, and was reduced for each of the succeeding three passes to 1150°F (621°C), and held constant for the remaining passes. The room temperature tensile properties of the swaged material are given in Table 16. The tensile properties and especially the elongation are seen to be rolated to processing. The higher strength and more ductile samples had been extruded at the lower temperature, swaged to the higher strain and annealed at 1150°F (621°C). The 18% elongation measured is the highest obtained on this contract for Fe<sub>3</sub>Al. Figure 85 shows that the alloy extruded at 1750 and 1950°F (954 and 1065°C), and subsequently swaged at 1150°F (621°C) reflects the initial grain size of the extruded material. The elongations of the latter swaged material were 18 and 9% respectively.

| Extrusion<br>Tempercture Swaging |  | Anr<br>Tempe  | real<br>trat <u>ure</u>  | 0.2%<br>   | Yield<br>ength   | Ultimate Tensile<br><u>Strength</u>                    |  | Flonention   | Reduction  |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| (°F) (°C) Strain                 | (*F)   | <u>(°C)</u>   | (ksi)  | (MPa)  | (ksi)  | (MPa)  | (%)  | (%)  |  |
| 954                              | 1.8  | *   | •  | 177  | 1220   | 189  | 1363   | 15   | 35.2   |
| 954                              | 1.8  | 1150  | 621  | 159  | 1034   | 171  | 1179   | 18   | 30,9   |
| 954                              | 1.8  | 1200  | 649  | 142  | 979  | 162  | 1117   | 10   | 15.4   |
| 954                              | 1.3  | •   | •  | 147  | 1014   | 166  | 1145   | 13   | 32.5   |
| 954                              | 1.3  | 1150  | 621  | 141  | 972  | 160  | 1103   | 12   | 15.7   |
| 954                              | 1.3  | 1200  | 849  | 130  | 896  | 150  | 1134   | 9  | 12.2   |
| 1065                             | 1.8  | ٠   | ٠  | 174  | 1200   | 185  | 1276   | 6  | 11.6   |
| 1065                             | 1.8  | 1150  | 621  | 145  | 1000   | <b>16</b> 3  | 1124   | 9  | 6.5  |
| 1065                             | 1.8  | 1200  | 649  | 132  | <b>9</b> 10  | 149  | 1027   | 8  | 6.5  |
| 1065                             | 1.3  | ٠   | ٠  | 142  | 979  | 158  | 1090   | 4  | 6.5  |
| 1065                             | 1.3  | 1150  | 621  | 111  | 972  | 161  | 1110   | 9  | 9.6  |
| 1065                             | 1.3  | 1200  | 649  | 140  | 965  | 160  | 1103   | 9  | 10.7   |
|                                  | ture<br>(*C)<br>954<br>954<br>954<br>954<br>954<br>954<br>954<br>954<br>954<br>954 | iture Swaging   (*C) Strain   954 1.8   954 1.8   954 1.8   954 1.3   954 1.3   954 1.3   954 1.3   954 1.3   1065 1.8   1065 1.8   1065 1.3   1065 1.3   1065 1.3   1065 1.3 | ture Swaging Tempe   (*C) Strain (*F)   954 1.8 *   954 1.8 1150   954 1.8 1200   954 1.3 *   954 1.3 1150   954 1.3 1150   954 1.3 1200   1065 1.8 1150   1065 1.8 1200   1065 1.8 1150   1065 1.3 *   1065 1.3 150   1065 1.3 1200   1065 1.3 1200 | ture Swaging Temperature   (*C) Strain (*F) (*C)   954 1.8 * *   954 1.8 1150 621   954 1.8 12C0 649   954 1.3 * *   954 1.3 1150 621   954 1.3 1150 621   954 1.3 1150 621   954 1.3 1200 649   1065 1.8 1065 621   1065 1.8 1200 649   1065 1.8 1150 621   1065 1.8 1200 649   1065 1.3 1200 649   1065 1.3 1150 621   1065 1.3 1200 649 | ture Swaging Temperature Strain   (*C) Strain (*F) (*C) (hei)   954 1.8 * 177   954 1.8 1150 621 159   954 1.8 1150 621 159   954 1.8 1200 649 142   954 1.3 * 147   954 1.3 1150 621 141   954 1.3 1150 621 141   954 1.3 1200 649 130   1065 1.8 1150 621 145   1065 1.8 1200 649 132   1065 1.3 * 142 1065 1.3 142   1065 1.3 1150 621 111 1065 1.3 140 | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ |

| IADLE 10, IENGILE FRUPERIIEG UF FERAL (148) AL |
|--|
|--|

The tensile properties of consolidated  $Fe_3Al$  (alloy 729) and  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  (alloy 723) were measured as a function of temperature. The consolidated material was produced by extrusion at a low 4-to-1 ratio and by HIP. Figure 86 gives the tensile properties of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al (alloy 729) HIP at 2000°F (1093°C) and 15 ksi (103 MPa). As previously discussed, this material was difficult to work due to apparent weak bonding of prior powder particles and this was reflected in the low ductility of the alloy. The increase in strength to 1000°F (538°C) which should be a result of a change in ordering from the B2 to DO3, was also found in Fe3Al after a 4-to-1 extrusion at 1700°F (927°C), Figure 87. The extruded Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy also has a low ductility at ambient temperature, but the elongation increases rapidly with increasing temperature. As a result of improved interparticle bonding, the extruded Fe<sub>3</sub>Al could be hot rolled to sheet without difficulty. In comparison with the latter results, the tensile properties of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  (alloy 723) extruded 4 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C) shown in Figure 88, includes a higher elongation and tensile strength which are believed to be a consequence of a finer grain size, retained by the TiB, dispersion. However, the HIP or extruded Fe<sub>3</sub>Al with and without a dispersion worked under conditions, which produced or retained a fine grain size, were found to have a tensile elongation to 20%. The difference between the alloys is related to the role of TiB<sub>2</sub> in preventing grain growth above a temperature of approximately 1150°F (621°C). Without a dispersion, abnormal grain growth in an Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy causes the alloy to fail in a brittle manner in a simple bend test.

\$711C

Extruded 1750°F (954°C) 200 µm Extruded 1950°F (1066°C) 1 200 µm

Figure 85. Microstructure of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al (729) Alloy Extruded and Swaged



Figure 86. Tensile Properties of Alloy 729 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al) HIP at 2200°F (1093°C)



44493673

Figure 37. Tensile Properties of Alloy 729 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al) Extruded 4 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C)

2711C



Figure 88. Tensile Properties of Alloy 723 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub>) Extruded 4 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C)

#### Properties as a Function of Powder Size

The tensile properties of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> produced from three lots of powder are given in Tables 17 through 22. The powder particle sizes and processing conditions employed were given in Table 6. The results of the first lot of extrusions produced at different extrusion and swaging temperatures with -80 mesh powder show that consolidation and post working at the lowest temperature produced the highest yield and ultimate strengths at all test temperatures. The lowest temperature sequence, extrusion at 1700°F (926°C) followed by swaging at 1500°F (815°C), produced strengths at ambient temperature that were 11 to 12% greater than the average of the lot. Corresponding increases at 1200°F (649°C) were 19% greater in yield and 24% greater in tension than the average of the lot while the increases in strength at 1800°F were 62 and 76% respectively. Processing at a relatively high temperature such as extrusion and swaging at temperatures over 2000°F (1093°C), produced material of slightly lower strength but with excellent ductility. Extrusion at a high temperature followed by swaging at a lower temperature, for example, 2000°F extrusion and 1500°F swaging, yielded similar results. The latter processing sequences produced material with elongations in the range of 18 to 20%. In comparison, working at a low temperature, for example, 1500°F (815°C), followed by working at a higher temperature, for example, 2000°F (1093°C), significantly lowered the ductility of the alloy. The reduction in ductility from 18 to 20% to 4% was due to abnormal grain growth and, as is known, a large grain size in Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> is associated with a low ductility. In summary, the lowest consolidation and working temperatures produced the highest strength material with the magnitude of the change increasing with increasing temperature to 1800°F (982°C). The ductility did not vary appreciably except with material worked at a high temperature subsequent to working at an appreciably lower temperature. As shown in the following section on microstructure, processing, which introduces strain in the material, will cause partial recrystallization or grain growth and an increase in grain size is a primary cause for reduced ductility in this class of alloys. However, it needs to be pointed out that  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  can be processed at relatively high temperatures and that a fine grain and ductile alloy will be produced.

The second and third lots of extrusions (R079 through R108) were produced with different powder size fractions. The material was extruded at 1600 or 1650°F (871 or 899°C) and swaged at temperatures from 1200 to 1600°F (649 to 871°C). Tensile data for these extrusions are listed also in Tables 16 through 21. In general, decreasing the swaging temperature from 1600 to 1300°F (871 to 704°C) increased tensile strength some 15%. This increase, however, was probably due to work hardening. Interestingly, the ductility of the alloy did not change.

Analysis of the data in Tables 17 through 22 for the second and third lots of extrusions revealed a strong correlation between alloy strength and powder size (solidification rate). Figure 89 shows the increase in strength obtained with the fine (-270 mesh) powder and the increase in strength as it relates to the working temperature. The average working temperature was the weighted average of the extrusion and swaging temperatures. Figure 90 presents the data plotted as a function of average powder particle diameter. The increase in yield strength with decreasing powder size, which is related to the strength of the coarsest powder, is on the order of 10% or less at ambient temperature and over 80% at the 1800°F test temperature. The increase in yield strength at ambient temperature may be due to a finer grain size, a finer TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion or a combination of these factors. The larger increase in yield strength obtained at the higher test temperatures with the finer powder fraction is believed to be due to a uniform microstructure, a high aspect ratio grain and a fine TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion.

| •   |                | 0.2% Offset<br>Yield Strength | Ultimate<br>Tensile<br>Strength | Elongation | Reduction<br>in<br>Area |
|-----|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Lot | Identification | (kei)                         | (ksi)                           | (%)        | (%)                     |
| 1   | R063           | 124                           | 1 <b>66</b>                     | 18         | 46                      |
| 1   | R064           | 121                           | 159                             | 18         | 48                      |
| 1   | R065           | 134                           | 180                             | 18         | 44                      |
| 1   | R068           | 124                           | 164                             | 20         | 50                      |
| 1   | R069           | 120                           | 162                             | 20         | 49                      |
| 1   | <b>R070</b>    | 115                           | 156                             | 18         | 41                      |
| 1   | R071           | 124                           | 167                             | 19         | 50                      |
| 1   | <b>R</b> 073   | 105                           | 130                             | 4          | 8                       |
| 2   | R079           | 130                           | 177                             | 19         | 46                      |
| 2   | R080           | 144                           | 191                             | 16         | 38                      |
| 2   | R081           | 129                           | 188                             | 18         | 41                      |
| 2   | R082           | 159                           | 205                             | 18         | 36                      |
| 2   | R088           | 130                           | 176                             | 18         | 45                      |
| 2   | R089           | 133                           | 180                             | 17         | 34                      |
| 2   | R090           | 147                           | 196                             | 18         | 40                      |
| 2   | R091           | 151                           | 201                             | 17         | 34                      |
| 2   | R097           | 156                           | 196                             | 17         | 38                      |
| 2   | R098           | 154                           | 195                             | 13         | 33                      |
| 2   | R099           | 169                           | 213                             | 15         | 27                      |
| 2   | R100           | 170                           | 214                             | 17         | 33                      |
| 3   | R105           | 148                           | 190                             | 17         | 37                      |
| 3   | <b>R106</b>    | 155                           | 199                             | 15         | 31                      |
| 3   | <b>R107</b>    | 160                           | 203                             | 17         | 38                      |
| 3   | R108           | 163                           | 205                             | 14         | 29                      |

# TABLE 17. TENSILE PROPERTIES OF Fe3Al + TiB2 AT AMBIENTTEMPERATURE

TABLE 18. TENSILE PROPERTIES OF Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> AT 500°F (260°C)

| Lot_ | Identification | 0.2% Offset<br>Yield Strength<br>(ksi) | Ultimate<br>Tensile<br>Strength<br>(ksi) | Elongation<br>(%) | Reduction<br>in<br>Area<br>(%) |
|------|----------------|--|--|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1    | R063           | 116                                    | 161                                      | 15                | 54                             |
| 1    | R064           | 105                                    | 154                                      | 14                | 50                             |
| 1    | R065           | 139                                    | 177                                      | 14                | 36                             |
| 1    | R068           | 124                                    | 164                                      | 20                | 50                             |
| 1    | R069           | 98                                     | 158                                      | 14                | 46                             |
| 1    | <b>R</b> 070   | 115                                    | 156                                      | 18                | 41                             |
| 1    | R071           | 114                                    | 165                                      | 15                | 45                             |
| 1    | R073           | 65                                     | 133                                      | 12                | 29                             |
|      |                |  |  |                   | 2                              |

With respect to ductility, the data in Tables 17 through 22 show the elongation increasing with test temperature with the smallest change for material swaged at the lowest temperature. However, the elongation also decreased with increasing temperature over 1000°F (538°C) and the stronger material produced with the finer powder has the lowest ductility. The level of ductility in this case should be related to the level of strain in the material. The same trend was observed to some extent with reduction in area, but in either case the ductility of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  was quite good, and for its strength level it is not unlike that of a conventional steel.

うちんてんてんしんしょう

| Lot | Identification | 0.2% Offset<br>Yield Strength<br>(ksi) | Ultimate<br>Tensile<br>Strength<br>(kei) | Elongation<br>(%) | Reduction<br>in<br>Area<br>(%) |
|-----|----------------|--|--|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2   | R079           | 73.8                                   | 79.8                                     | 23                | 86                             |
| 2   | R080           | 81.0                                   | 88.8                                     | 17                | 82                             |
| 2   | R081           | 83.6                                   | 91.6                                     | 18                | 85                             |
| 2   | R082           | 83.6                                   | 90.3                                     | 24                | 82                             |
| 2   | R088           | 77.2                                   | 80.4                                     | 60                | 88                             |
| 2   | R089           | 73.2                                   | 80.3                                     | 15                | 87                             |
| 2   | <b>R090</b>    | 75.8                                   | 82.5                                     | 20                | 83                             |
| 2   | R091           | 77.2                                   | 84.6                                     | 15                | 81                             |
| 2   | R097           | 82.5                                   | 89.7                                     | 80                | 88                             |
| 2   | R098           | 83.2                                   | 91.0                                     | 105               | 89                             |
| 2   | <b>R100</b>    | 82.6                                   | <b>98</b> .1                             | 89                | 87                             |
| 3   | R105           | 85.2                                   | 91.0                                     | 16                | 86                             |
| 3   | R106           | 86.9                                   | 92.6                                     | 9                 | 84                             |
| 3   | <b>R</b> 107   | 80.0                                   | 83.7                                     | 13                | 84                             |
| 3   | <b>R108</b>    | 88.0                                   | 95.1                                     | 6                 | 81                             |

### TABLE 19. TENSILE PROPERTIES OF Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> AT 1000°F (538°C)

TABLE 20. TENSILE PROPERTIES OF Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> AT 1200°F (649°C)

| Lot | Identification | 0.2% Offset<br>Yield Strength<br>(ksi) | Ultimate<br>Tensile<br>Strength<br>(hsi) | Elongation<br>(%) | Reduction<br>in<br>Area<br>(%) |
|-----|----------------|--|--|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1   | R063           | 27.6                                   | 33.1                                     | 83                | 94                             |
| 1   | R064           | 26.0                                   | 32.7                                     | 82                | 94                             |
| 1   | R065           | 33.1                                   | 39.4                                     | 67                | 93                             |
| 1   | R068           | 26.4                                   | 32.7                                     | 72                | 95                             |
| 1   | R069           | 26.4                                   | 34.6                                     | 101               | 95                             |
| 1   | <b>R</b> 070   | 24.4                                   | 29.8                                     | 93                | 95                             |
| 1   | R071           | 26.3                                   | 32.8                                     | 115               | 95                             |
| 1   | R073           | 22.9                                   | 29.5                                     | 122               | 95                             |
| 2   | R079           | 29.2                                   | 34.5                                     | 23                | 92                             |
| 2   | R080           | 35.9                                   | 40.6                                     | 45                | 90                             |
| 2   | R081           | 29.4                                   | 37.7                                     | 20                | 91                             |
| 2   | R082           | 39.1                                   | 47.0                                     | 33                | 87                             |
| 2   | R088           | 30.9                                   | 35.8                                     | 28                | 91                             |
| 2   | R089           | 29.7                                   | 34.8                                     | 22                | 91                             |
| 2   | R090           | 37.3                                   | 43.1                                     | 26                | 86                             |
| 2   | R091           | 38.2                                   | 43.4                                     | 49                | 86                             |
| 2   | R097           | 28.6                                   | 39.2                                     | 108               | 94                             |
| 2   | R098           | 32.0                                   | 41.0                                     | 75                | 93                             |
| 2   | R099           | 38.5                                   | 45.9                                     | 22                | 36                             |
| 2   | R100           | 41.9                                   | 48.2                                     | 69                | 8 <b>8</b>                     |
| 3   | R105           | 32.4                                   | 40.7                                     | 16                | 89                             |
| 3   | R106           | 35.6                                   | 47.6                                     | 23                | 88                             |
| 3   | <b>R</b> 107   | 40.4                                   | 48.7                                     | 18                | 86                             |
| 3   | R108           | 39.0                                   | 45.1                                     | 30                | 82                             |

1711C

| Lot | Identification | 0.2% Offset<br>Yield Strength<br>(ksi) | Ultimate<br>Tensile<br>Strength<br>(ksi) | Elongation<br>(%) | Reduction<br>in<br>Areu<br>(%) |
|-----|----------------|--|--|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1   | R063           | 4.2                                    | 6.9                                      | 63                | 96                             |
| 1   | R064           | 4.2                                    | 4.4                                      | 72                | 94                             |
| 1   | R065           | 8.6                                    | 11.0                                     | 50                | 87                             |
| 1   | R068           | 4.1                                    | 6.7                                      | 86                | 96                             |
| 1   | R069           | 5.7                                    | 6.9                                      | 83                | 97                             |
| 1   | R070           | 4.4                                    | 5.5                                      | 88                | 96                             |
| 1   | R071           | 7.1                                    | 8.0                                      | 71                | 95                             |
| 1   | R073           | 4.4                                    | 5.3                                      | 92                | 94                             |
| 2   | R079           | 7.3                                    | 10.1                                     | 13                | 84                             |
| 2   | R080           | 12.3                                   | 15.7                                     | 16                | 62                             |
| 2   | R081           | 7.4                                    | 10.0                                     | 64                | 81                             |
| 2   | R082           | 14.6                                   | 18.8                                     | 8                 | 56                             |
| 2   | R088           | 7.7                                    | 10.6                                     | 42                | 84                             |
| 2   | R089           | 8.3                                    | 10.5                                     | 6                 | 80                             |
| 2   | R090           | 14.3                                   | 15.9                                     | 11                | 61                             |
| 2   | R091           | 16.5                                   | 19.3                                     | 9                 | 53                             |
| 2   | R097           | 8.5                                    | 11.5                                     | 23                | 76                             |
| 2   | R098           | 8.0                                    | 11.1                                     | 39                | 83                             |
| 2   | R099           | 15.0                                   | 17.9                                     | 16                | 62                             |
| 2   | R100           | 15.2                                   | 19.1                                     | 18                | 65                             |
| 3   | <b>R105</b>    | 8.1                                    | 12.8                                     | 26                | 81                             |
| 3   | R106           | 9.6                                    | 12.8                                     | 22                | 80                             |
| 3   | <b>R107</b>    | 11.2                                   | 15.6                                     | 24                | 79                             |
| 3   | <b>R108</b>    | 14.0                                   | 17.5                                     | 13                | 70                             |

## TABLE 21. TENSILE PROPERTIES OF Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> AT 1800°F (982°C)

TABLE 22. TENSILE PROPERTIES AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES

| Lot | Identification                        | Test<br>Temperature |      | 0.2% Offset<br>Yield Strength | Ultimate<br>Tensile<br>Strength | Elongation | Reduction<br>in<br>Area |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
|     |                                       | (°F)                | (°C) | (ksi)                         | <u>(ksi)</u>                    | (%)        | (%)                     |
|     |                                       | 300                 | 149  | 150.8                         | 188.5                           | 13         | 25                      |
| 3   | R105                                  | 300                 | 315  | 145.1                         | 188.2                           | 13         | 30                      |
|     | (-80 mesh)                            | 1400                | 760  | 17.2                          | 23.3                            | 14         | 88                      |
|     |                                       | 1600                | 871  | 11.7                          | 16.0                            | 28         | 88                      |
| 3   | R106                                  | 600                 | 315  | 150.8                         | 191.8                           | 15         | 31                      |
|     | (-120 mesh)                           |                     |      |                               |                                 |            |                         |
| 3   | R107                                  | 600                 | 315  | 159.3                         | 193.9                           | 13         | 30                      |
|     | (-170 mesh)                           |                     |      |                               |                                 |            |                         |
|     |                                       | 300                 | 149  | 162.2                         | 201.4                           | 13         | 20                      |
| 3   | R108                                  | 600                 | 315  | 167.6                         | 197.5                           | 13         | 25                      |
|     | (-230 mesh)                           | 1400                | 760  | 23.8                          | 30.0                            | 24         | 81                      |
|     | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1600                | 871  | 18.7                          | 23.4                            | 18         | 72                      |
|     |                                       |                     |      |                               |                                 |            | 2                       |

5-54542



Effect of Particle Size and Working Temperature on the 1800°F Tensile Figure 89. Strenth of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ 



#### Effect of Particle Size on Yield Strength of $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ at Different Test Figure 90. **Temperatures**

The stress rupture properties at 1800°F (932°C) listed in Table 23, for  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  were obtained for both fine (-270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +100 mesh) powder. The trend observed in the data, especially for material produced with the coarser powder, is that of stress rupture life

increasing with decreasing swaying temperature. The data extrapolated to a 100-hour rupture life, and presented in Figure 91, show that the rupture strength at 1800°F (982°C) of material produced with coarse pcwder increased from about 3.5 to 4.7 ksi (over 30%) by lowering the swaging temperature to 1200°F. However, the material produced with the coarse (-80 + 100mesh) powder swaged at 1200°F ( $\dot{6}49^{\circ}$ C) was found to have recrystallized to an abnormally large grain size during the 1800°F test. In this case, a large grain size alone can account for the increase in stress rupture strength. In comparison, the stress rupture strength of material produced with the fine (-270 mesh) powder did not vary with working temperature. The increased stability of material produced with fine powder which is believed to be related to a more uniform structure and finer TiB<sub>2</sub> distribution was discussed in a prior section describing the microstructure of this alloy. Figures 92 and 93 shows the abnormal grain growth in samples swaged at the lowest te.nperatures and the fine structure of material processed under conditions which limited strain in the material.

| ID   | Stress<br>(ksi)                 | 0.2% Creep<br>(hr) | Rupture<br>L'fe (hr) | Prior<br>Elongation | Total<br>Elongation | RA<br>_(%) | Swaging <sup>1</sup><br>Temp (°F) |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| R108 <sup>2</sup>                                  | 9.0                             | 0.5                | 1.8                  | 0.475               | 5.1                 |            | 1300                              |
| R103   | 6.8                             | <0.4               | 1.0                  | 0.751               | 13.4                | 94         | 1300                              |
| R108   | 5.2                             | 2.0                | 4.9                  | 0.402               | 13.0                | 94         | 1300                              |
| R108   | 4.3                             | 3.0                | 6.8                  | 0.851               | 13.1                | 93         | 1300                              |
| R082   | 4.3                             | 3.4                | 7.3                  | 2.44                | 10.9                | 75         | 1300                              |
| R082 <sup>A</sup>                                  | 4.3                             | 10.5               | 18.5                 | 0.733               | 4.4                 | 17         | 1300                              |
| R080   | 4.3                             | 6.0                | 8.6                  | 0.829               | 7.8                 | 57         | 1600                              |
| R080 <sup>A</sup>                                  | 4.3                             | 0.5                | 2.0                  | 1.477               | 9.7                 | 38         | 1600                              |
| R080   | 3.5                             | 13.0               | 23.3                 | 2.58                | 21.5                | 74         | 1600                              |
|  |                                 |                    | (~80 +100 Me         | sh Coarse Powder    | )                   |            |                                   |
| R097   | 4.3                             | _                  | 442                  | 0.11                | 6.6                 | -          | 1200                              |
| <b>R</b> 105                                       | 5.2                             | 1.4                | 5.8                  | 0.564               | 10.9                | 71         | 1300                              |
| R195   | 4.3                             | 57.2               | 64.6                 | 0.369               | 7.7                 | 40         | 1300                              |
| <b>R</b> 105                                       | 4.0                             | 99                 | 103.7                | 0.386               | 6.7                 | 55         | 1300                              |
| R^/9   | 4.3                             | 1.9                | 14.2                 | 2.746               | 8.2                 | 45         | 1600                              |
| R079 <sup>A</sup>                                  | 4.3                             | 5.9                | 14.2                 | 0.649               | 6.7                 | 23         | 1600                              |
| <sup>1</sup> Alloys extr<br><sup>2</sup> -230 +270 | -<br>uded at 1650<br>mesh powde | °F (899°C)<br>r    |                      |                     |                     |            |                                   |
| Annealed 2   | 2100°F/4 hr (                   | (1150°C) after sv  | vaging               |                     |                     |            |                                   |

TABLE 23. STRESS RUPTURE PROPERTIES OF  $FE_3AL + TIB_2 AT 1800^{\circ}F (932^{\circ}C)$ (-270 MESH FINE POWDER)

The stress rupture strength at high temperatures of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  compared favorably with that of some nickel-base alloys. For example, the 100- and 1000-hour rupture strength of Hastelloy "X" at 1800<sup>-</sup>F (982<sup>o</sup>C) are about 3.8 and 2 ksi respectively, while the equivalent rupture strength of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  with a fine structure is not that much lower.

マイト たいのの



Figure 91. Effect of Swaging Temperature on 1800°F Stress Rupture Strength of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ 

2711C


5.

. 1.

#711C

Ю



## **Tensile Properties of Alloys Produced in Large Lots**

Mechanical properties of the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al and Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy plate rolled from 1.6 to 3.75 (4.06 by 9.52 cm) 50 pound extrusions were determined in both the longitudinal and transverse directions. The Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy produced from -80 mesh powder was produced in the colled and annealed condition (1150°F (621°C) rolling and annealing temperatures) as well as in the rolled and recrystallized condition (1150°F (621°C) rolling and 1350°F (732°C) annealing temperatures) while the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy was tested in the rolled and annealed condition (1500°F (815°C) rolling and annealing temperatures). Tensile properties were determined from ambient temperature to 1400°F (760°C), fracture toughness at ambient temperature, and rupture strength at 1000, 1200, and 1800°F (538, 650, and 982°C).

The tensile properties of the  $Fe_3Al$  and  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloys are given in Tables 24 and 25. The longitudinal yield and tensile strengths of the  $Fe_3Al$  in the rolled and annealed, and rolled and recrystallized conditions, and of the  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  produced with fine and coarse powder are plotted in Figures 94 and 95. The yield and tensile strengths of the  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloy produced from both fine and coarse powders were nearly equal.

The yield and tensile strengths of the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al in the rolled and annealed condition were found to be greater than the strengths of the recrystallized material from room temperature to about 900°F (482°C). The difference in strength could be related to the difference in both grain size and degree of grain alignment. At higher temperatures, as could be expected, the strengths of the recrystallized Fe<sub>3</sub>Al were somewhat greater. In contrast to the latter results, the yield and tensile strengths of the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> were significantly higher from room temperature to about 900°F (482°C) than those of the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al. For example, at 700°F (371°C), the yield strength of the TiB<sub>2</sub> containing alloy was at least 2.5 times that of the recrystallized Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy (139.8 ksi versus 54.5 ksi). The increase in strength, in this case, should be derived from both a fine aligned grain size and the presence of the TiB<sub>2</sub> dispersion. At higher temperatures to 1200°F (650°C), the strengths of the TiB<sub>2</sub> containing alloy fell in the range of the strengths for the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy, but at still higher temperatures, 1400°F (760°C) and above, its tensile strength increased due to the aligned grain structure and pinning of grain boundaries.

The elongation at room temperature of as-rolled and annealed Fe<sub>3</sub>Al was found to be at least twice (15 to 16% versus 6 to 8% elongation) that of recrystallized Fe<sub>3</sub>Al as shown in Table 24 and Figures 96 and 97. The higher elongation of the rolled and annealed material could be related to both a fine and aligned grain structure. As shown previously, recrystallized Fe<sub>3</sub>Al has an equiaxed and appreciably coarser grain size. The room temperature elongation for the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> produced from coarse (-80 +140 mesh) powder was close to that of the rolled and annealed Fe<sub>3</sub>Al alloy and somewhat lower (11 to 15% versus 15 to 20%) than for the same alloy produced from extruded and swaged material, Figure 25. The lower ductility of the rolled alloy could be due to a somewhat coarser grain size and a different texture. This was discussed in a prior section. The apparent lower elongation of 11% for Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> produced from fine powder could be related to residual strain in the material rolled at 1500°F (815°C). The reduction in area measurements plotted in Figure 12 for the same alloys reflect the results obtained for tensile elongation.

The transverse tensile properties are given in Tables 24 and 25. The transverse yield and ultimate strengths of  $Fe_3Al$  at room temperature were nearly equal to the longitudinal strengths, but the elongation in the transverse direction was significantly lower. With increasing temperatures, the transverse and longitudinal strength and ductility of the alloy were more nearly equal. Additional data is required to verify these measurements.

TABLE 24. LUNGITUDINAL AND TRANSVERSE TENSILE PROPERTIES OF Fe<sub>3</sub>AI ALLOY AS ROLLED AT 1150°P (621°C) AND RECRYSTALLIZED AT 1350°P (732°C)

| Test Annealed Recrystallized A   Tenperati (1150°F) (1350°F) (   (°F) Long Trans Long Trans Long   (°F) Long Trans Long Trans Long 112.6 138.4   Ambient 113.2 125.2 101.2 111.8 137.   300 114.6 - 98.4 - 132.   500 112.2 120.7 72.0 82.4 135.7   700 94.3 - 54.5 - 138.   900 87.0 96.4 63.6 69.1 101.  | ()   | Strength<br>(ksi)          | Telon<br>Telon       | pation<br>())              | Nect.<br>Lin .       | ktien<br>Ares<br>X,   |          |
|--|--|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| (°F) Long Trans Long Mathieut Lills 135.2 101.2 111.2.6 1384 Mathieut Lills Long 137.2 300 114.6 - 132.2 500 111.2.2 120.7 72.0 82.4 1355.7 1301.2 1302.7 1302.7 1365.7 1301.2 1355.7 1301.2 1302.7 1365.7 1301.2 13 | Recrystallized Annealed<br>(1350°F) (1150°F) | Recrystallized<br>(1350°F) | Annealed<br>(1150°F) | Recrystallized<br>(1350°F) | Annewled<br>(1150°P) | Recrystall<br>(1366*) | paris a  |
| Ambient 113.2 126.4 101.3 112.6 138.4   Ambient 114.8 125.2 101.2 111.8 137.3   Ambient 114.6 - 98.4 - 132.5   300 114.6 - 98.4 - 132.5   500 1112.2 120.7 72.0 82.4 135.5   700 94.3 - 54.5 - 138.6   900 87.0 96.4 63.6 69.1 101.1   | Long Trans Long Tra                          | ns Long Trans              | Long Trans           | Long Trans                 | Long Trans           | Long 7                | Tane     |
| Ambient 114.8 125.2 101.2 111.8 137.   300 114.6 - 98.4 - 132.   500 112.2 120.7 72.0 82.4 135.2   700 94.3 - 54.5 - 138.   900 87.0 96.4 63.6 69.1 101.   | 101.3 112.6 138.9 142                        | .7 126.7 139.6             | 15 9                 | 90<br>90                   | 20 13                | ų                     | <b>9</b> |
| 300 114.6 - 98.4 - 132.4   500 112.2 120.7 72.0 82.4 135.5   700 94.3 - 54.5 - 138.5   900 87.0 96.4 63.6 69.1 101.  | 101.2 111.8 137.7 142                        | .7 129.5 138.2             | 16 4                 | <b>40</b>                  | 80<br>62             | 12                    | 6        |
| 500 112.2 120.7 72.0 82.4 135.   700 94.3 - 54.5 - 138.   900 87.0 96.4 63.6 69.1 101.   | 98.4 - 132.4                                 | 122.4 -                    | 16 -                 | 15 -                       | 1<br>8               | 41                    | ł        |
| 700 94.3 - 54.5 - 138.<br>900 87.0 96.4 63.6 69.1 101.   | 72.0 82.4 135.7 140                          | .8 132.0 137.8             | 12 10                | 14 9                       | 36 13                | \$                    | 18       |
| 900 87.0 96.4 63.6 69.1 101  | 54.5 - 138.7                                 | 128.8 -                    |                      |                            | 33                   | \$                    | I        |
|  | 63.6 69.1 101.5 106                          | 1.96 6.06 7.               | 38 24                | 64 37                      | 8                    | 74                    | 4        |
| 1100 34.7 37.1 48.2 47.9 38.   | 48.2 47.9 38.5 41                            | .9 48.2 47.9               | 54 48                | 25 10                      | <b>2</b><br>23       | 8                     | 5        |
| 1200 20.9 22.6 24.6 26.6 23.   | 24.6 26.6 23.7 25                            | .0 24.6 26.6               | 41 48                | L1                         | 88                   | 8                     | 74       |

11

S. A. in Sala

| E           |              | 0.2% Off<br>Stre<br>(h | iset Yield<br>ngth<br>si) |        |        | Ultimate<br>Strei<br>(ki | · Tensile<br>ngth<br>11) |        |        | Elong | ution<br>11 |            |        | Redu<br>in A | rea      |         |
|-------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|----------|---------|
| Temperature | Fine         | Powder                 | Course 1                  | Powder | Fine 1 | Powder                   | Course                   | Powder | Pine P | owder | Course 1    | 20under    | Pine P | ander 78     | Course 1 | Prender |
| (eF)        | Long         | Trans                  | Long                      | Trans  | Long   | Trans                    | Long                     | Trans  | Long   | Trans | Lonz        | Tran       | Lone   | Trans        | Lone     | Trees   |
| Ambient     | 143.1        | 157.4                  | 140.7                     | 154.0  | 179.0  | 181.7                    | 180.7                    | 177.4  | H      | -     | 11          | -          | 2      | -            | ž        |         |
| Ambient     | <b>137.4</b> | 139.3                  | 144.3                     | 155.7  | 173.7  | 178.1                    | 183.0                    | 182.0  | H      | 17    | : 5         | r 40       | 1 2    | , <b>d</b>   | 3 8      | 5 4     |
| 300         | I            | 1                      | 139.4                     | ł      | I      | 1                        | 175.5                    | I      | 1      |       | : =         | <b>,</b> I | 2      | 9            | 3 8      | Þ       |
| 500         | 143.8        | 136.6                  | 136.0                     | ł      | 177.8  | 175.0                    | 177.1                    | 1      | 6      | 9     | , ac        | I 1        |        | 1 2          | 8 8      | I       |
| 700         | 139.8        | 119.1                  | 128.9                     | 141.8  | 179.7  | 162.8                    | 169.1                    | 167.7  | -      | 11    | . 1         | ~          | 2 w    | 9 <b>6</b>   | 12       | •       |
| 900         | I            | 1                      | 119.0                     | I      | I      | 1                        | 147.4                    | I      | ' 1    | : 1   | : 8         | - 1        | •      | P,           | 5 3      | Ð       |
| 006         | 105.7        | 108.5                  | 110.4                     | 109.2  | 113.2  | 117.6                    | 119.2                    | 118.5  | 24     | 18    | 3 4         | 11         | 1      |              | 8 2      | 1 2     |
| 1100        | 41,9         | ł                      | 42.6                      | ł      | 49.5   | 1                        | 50.1                     | I      | 2      |       | 9           | : 1        | 3      | 3 1          | : 5      | 5       |
| 1200        | 24.2         | 26.0                   | 26.1                      | 25.8   | 31.6   | 32.9                     | 34.8                     | 34.3   | 73     | 32    | \$          | 35         | 1      | 2            | 5 5      | 2       |
| 1400        | 9.8          | 9.2                    | 11.1                      | 10.1   | 14.5   | 13.8                     | 15.7                     | 15.9   | #      | 32    | 52          | 7          | 8      | 2            | 58       | 2 2     |
|             |              |                        |                           |        |        |                          |                          |        |        |       |             |            |        |              |          | THIC    |

TABLE 25. LONGITUDINAL AND TRANSVERSE TENGLE PROPERTIES OF Fe<sub>3</sub>AI + TIB<sub>2</sub> ALLOY AS ROLLED AT 1500-P (815°C)

2711C

Υ.

**DENER**A



## Figure 94. Yield Strength Versus Temperature for Extruded and Rolled Fe<sub>3</sub>Al With and Without TiB<sub>2</sub>

As shown in Table 25, the transverse yield and tensile strengths of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ , were found to be similar in both the longitudinal (rolling) and transverse directions. However, greater scatter in the data and a lower ductility, as measured by elongation and reduction in area, was measured for the transverse direction. At room temperature, the elongation in the transverse direction was lower by 60 to 80%, but lower by only 15 to 40% at 900 to 1400°F (482 to 760°C). Differences in the elongation of fine and coarse powder material were alsc measured and anomalies were found which indicate that the use of finer powder may provide a significant advantage. For example, with three of seven samples, the elongation in the transverse direction of material produced with the finer (-270 mesh) powder was equal to or greater than for the same material tested in the longitudinal or rolling direction.

120

ちょうりょう しょうしょう ちょうしょう しんしんしん ちょうちょう しんしん しんしん



FD 271846

and the later of

Figure 95. Tensile Strength Versus Temperature for Extruded and Rolled Fe<sub>3</sub>Al With and Without TiB<sub>2</sub>

## Stress Rupture Properties of Alloys Produced in Large Lots

### Fe<sub>3</sub>Al

The stress rupture properties of  $Fe_3Al$  after rolling and annealing at 1150°F (621°C) (below the recrystallization temperature) and rolling at 1150°F (621°C) followed by annealing at 1350°F (732°C) (above the recrystallization te: perature) are presented in Table 26. The measurements at 900, 1000, and 1200°F (482, 538 and 643°C) were made with samples machined in the longitudinal or rolling direction.



## Figure 96. Elongation Versus Temperature for Extruded and Rolled $Fe_3Al$ With and Without $TiB_2$

The effects of recrystallization are a decrease in elongation, especially in the total elongation, at the lower 900°F (482°C) temperature, and an appreciable increase, i.e., 28% to 101%, at the higher 1200°F (650°C) test temperature. These results are at variance with the results obtained in tensile where the elongation at 1200°F (650°C) for recrystallization Fe<sub>3</sub>Al was about half (22% versus 41%) that of the as worked material. At the lower 900°F temperature, the tensile elongation of the recrystallized material was significantly higher, 64 versus 38%. This difference in elongation between tensile and creep may be related to strain rate and grain boundary effects since at higher stresses, in stress rupture tests, the elongation, as shown in Table 27, became more nearly equal at 1200°F (650°C).



Figure 97. Reduction of Area Versus Temperature for Extruded and Rolled Fe<sub>3</sub>Al With and Without TiB<sub>2</sub>

2711C

| ID <sup>(1)</sup> | Temperature<br>(°F) | Stress<br>(ksi) | 0.2%<br>Creep<br>(hr) | Rupture<br>Life<br>(hr) | Prior<br>Elongation<br>(%) | Total<br>Flongation<br>(%) | RA<br>(%) |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Α                 | 900                 | 35.0            | 2.0                   | 127.0                   | 33.7                       | 55                         | 67        |
| В                 | 900                 | 35.0            | 17.7                  | 129.6                   | 22.2                       | 26                         | 55        |
| A                 | 1000                | 20.0            | 0. <del>9</del>       | 55.4                    | 25.8                       | 36                         | 71        |
| В                 | 1000                | 15.0            | 5.2                   | 418.5                   | 48.7                       | <b>69</b>                  | 77        |
| в                 | 1000                | 20.0            | 3.3                   | 60.6                    | 45.6                       | 62                         | 71        |
| В                 | 1000                | 15.0            | 12.1                  | 312.4                   |                            | 78                         | 62        |
| Α                 | 1200                | 7.5             | 0.1                   | 8.0                     | 39.7                       | 116                        | 86        |
| Α                 | 1200                | 5.0             | 0.2                   | 1 <b>9</b> .9           | 19.4                       | 29                         | 85        |
| Α                 | 1200                | 3.5             | 13                    | 439.5                   | 27.0                       | 28                         | 66        |
| в                 | 1200                | 7.5             | <0.1                  | 7.1                     | 41.5                       | 89                         | 89        |
| В                 | 1200                | 5.0             | 0.6                   | 27.7                    | 29.1                       | 42                         | 89        |
| В                 | 1200                | 3.5             | 6.4                   | 388.7                   | 81.7                       | 101                        | 70        |

# TABLE 26. STRESS RUPTURE PROPERTIES OF Fe3Al IN THE LONGITUDINAL<br/>(ROLLING DIRECTION)

TABLE 27. STRESS RUPTURE PROPERITES OF Fe3Al PRODUCED FROM FINE AND<br/>COARSE POWDER TESTED IN THE LONGITUDINAL/ROLLING DIRECTION

| <u>ID<sup>(1)</sup></u> | Temperature<br>(°F) | Stress<br>(ksi) | 0.2%<br>Creep<br>(hr) | Rupture<br>Life<br>(hr) | Prior<br>Elongation<br>(%) | Total<br>Elongation<br>(%) | RA<br>_(% |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| F                       | 1000                | 26.0            | 0.3                   | 36.7                    | 43.5                       | 54                         | 65        |
| F                       | 1000                | 20.0            | 0.7                   | 161.5                   | 44.8                       | 51                         | 51        |
| С                       | 1000                | 20.0            | 1.4                   | 659.1                   | 38.9                       | 37                         | 70        |
| F                       | 1200                | 20.0            | <0.1                  | 6.8                     | 4.6                        | 71                         | 81        |
| F                       | 1200                | 12.0            | < 0.1                 | 14.0                    | 45.0                       | 69                         | 74        |
| F                       | 1200                | 10.0            | 0.2                   | 41.6                    | 49.4                       | 61                         | 63        |
| F                       | 1200                | 8.5             | 0.3                   | 98.4                    | 24.7                       | 67                         | 64        |
| С                       | 1200                | 12.0            | 0.1                   | 34.1                    | 12.6                       | 18.6                       | 7:        |
| С                       | 1200                | 11.0            | <0.8                  | 226.9                   | 36.1                       | 38.9                       | 78        |
| С                       | 1200                | 9.0             | 0.5                   | 513 Disc                |                            | _                          | ~         |
| F                       | 1800                | 4.0             | 3.2                   | 9.2                     | 1.51                       | 8                          | 1         |
| F                       | 1800                | 3.0             | _                     | 344.7                   | 0.43                       | 7                          | 6         |
| C                       | 1800                | 4.0             | <0.1                  | 1.5                     | 4.9                        | 46                         | 9         |
| С                       | 1800                | 3.0             | 0.3                   | 36.3                    | 9.7                        | 12                         | 2         |
| С                       | 1800                | 2.0             | 9.3                   | 510 Disc                |                            | _                          |           |

The stress rupture life was apparently little affected by the recrystallization. This is surprising in view of the difference in grain structure which is aligned  $\frac{1}{2}$  to 30 microns in diameter in the worked Fe<sub>3</sub>Al and equiaxed 50 t<sup>----</sup> 0 microns in the recrystallized material.

0.00104.040404040414.0404.04040404040

2711C

## $Fe_3A + TIB_2$

The stress rupture properties of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  produced from fine (~270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +140 mesh) powder extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C) and rolled 65% at 1500°F (815°C) are given in Table 28. The tests were conducted at 1000, 1200, and 1800°F (538, 649, and 982°C) with samples machined in the longitudinal or rolling direction.

| TABLE 28 | . STRESS RUPTUF | le properties | S OF Fe <sub>3</sub> AI PRODU | CED FROM FINE AND |
|----------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
|          | COARSE POWDER   | TESTED IN T   | HE TRANSVERSE                 | DIRECTION         |

| 1D <sup>(1)</sup> | Temperature<br>(°F) | Stress<br>(ksi) | 0.2%<br>Creep<br>(hr) | Rupture<br>Life<br>(hr) | Prior<br>Elonzation<br>(%) | Total<br>Elongation<br>(%) | RA<br>(%) |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| С                 | 1000                | 20.0            | <1.0                  | 252.7                   | 31.5                       | 35                         | 26        |
| F                 | 1200                | 20.0            | <0.1                  | 0.4                     | 28.4                       | 37                         | 49        |
| F                 | 1200                | 10.0            | <0.1                  | 13.3                    | 33.6                       | 35                         | 32        |
| F                 | 1200                | 8.5             | 0.1                   | 23.8                    | 30.8                       | 31                         | 28        |
| С                 | 1200                | 9.0             | 0.1                   | 85.8                    | 23.6                       | 24                         | 24        |
| С                 | 1200                | 7.0             | 0.6                   | 363.3                   | 8.9                        | 10.4                       | 13.8      |
| F                 | 1800                | 2.0             | (2)                   | 29.8                    | _                          | 2                          | 0         |
| F                 | 1800                | 1.4             | _                     | 154.3                   |                            | 8                          | 3         |
| F                 | 1800                | 4.0             |                       | <0.1                    |                            | 51                         | 21        |
| F                 | 1800                | 2.0             |                       | 2.4                     | _                          | 31                         | 2         |
| F                 | 1800                | 1.4             |                       | 23.3                    | _                          | 16                         | 14        |

2711C

The material produced with coarse powder was found to have a significantly greater stress rupture life (659.1 versus 161.5 hours) at the lower  $1000^{\circ}F$  (538°C) test temperature. The reverse (36.3 versus 344.7 hours) was found to be the case at the higher  $1800^{\circ}F$  (982°C) test temperature. At the intermediate  $1200^{\circ}F$  (650°C) test temperature, the increase in stress rupture life for the material produced from coarse powder was 34.1 versus 14.0 for the material produced from fine powder. These results suggest that some change in structure is taking place with increasing temperature, and that the rupture life of both materials could be equal at about  $1600^{\circ}F$  (871°C).

The elongation of the allcys was found to be related to the rupture life with the correlation being different at the higher 1800°F temperature. That is, the total elongation at 1800°F was lower (7 versus 12%) for the material produced with fine powder. However, the reduction in area which could be more accurately measured was greater (65 versus 25%) for the material produced with the fine powder. According to the stress rupture results discussed previously, the 1800°F (982°C) test samples of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> produced from fine powder and swaged at 1600°F (650°C) retained a fine grain size during test, while the same material swaged at a lower 1200°F (650°C) temperature recrystallized during test to an abnormal grain size in the gauge section of the sample. In comparison, the 1800°F (982°C) test samples of material produced from coarse powder and swaged at a low temperature, for example, 1200°F (650°C), recrystallized to an abnormal grain size in the gage as well as in the grip section of the samples while the same material is a same

The stress rupture results obtained with the large extrusions, and discussed above, were found to be related to their microstructures as shown in Figure 98. The Fe<sub>3</sub>Al  $\sim$  TiB<sub>2</sub> samples produced with fine powder and rolled at 1500°F (815°C) and tested at 1800°F (982°C) were found

to have an abnormally large grain structure. In comparison, the material produced with coarse powder and tested under the same conditions retained a fine grain size. As noted in Figure 98, the stress rupture life (highest for the sample with large grains) correlated very well with microstructure. The microstructure of stress rupture samples tested at the lower temperatures (1000 and 1200°F) were fine grain as in the gage section of Figure 97B. The higher stress rupture lives, for example, 659.1 versus 161.5 hours, were for the material produced with coarse powder which had the finer and higher ratio grain structure.

2711C

The stress rupture results described previously, especially the 1800°F (982°C) results, are at variance with the results for swaged material discussed previously. The variance which was related to the microstructures of the alloys also demonstrated the difficulties which can be encountered with scale up of a new alloy. The significance of the stress rupture results obtained with the large extrusions of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> are believed to be as follows.

The stress rupture properties of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  in the transverse direction are shown in Table 27. The stress rupture life at 1800°F (982°C) for the material produced with fine powder was appreciably greater (153.3 versus 23.3 hours) than the material produced with coarse powder. The reverse was obtained for the 1200°F (650°C) tests. These results are comparable to the results obtained in the longitudinal direction except that rupture life was generally much lower in the transverse direction. The longitudinal to transverse stress rupture life from Tables 27 and 28 is in some cases more than an order of magnitude greater. However, the difference in rupture strength is considerably less. The correlation between microstructure and stress rupture results are essentially the same as described for the stress rupture results in the longitudinal direction.

The relative stress rupture properties of  $Fe_3Al$  and  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  are shown in Figure 99. The  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  was found to be stronger than the  $Fe_3Al$ , and especially at the higher 1800°F (982°C) temperature. The  $TiB_2$  dispersion in an aligned fine (or coarse) grain  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloy greatly enhanced stress rupture strength attaining a level comparable to that of Hastelloy "X." Although the use of fine versus coarse powder and varying processing schedules could affect grain size, grain alignment, dispersion size, and resistance to abnormal grain growth, the stress rupture results for  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  shown in Figure 99 did not vary over that wide a range. Of greater concern was the effect of grain size on alloy ductility, and this could be controlled to a large extent. The resistance to grain coarsening was found to be related to the level of residual strain in the material, but as was discussed in a prior section, alloying was found to be more effective in raising the recrystallization or abnormal grain growth temperature in this class of alloys. The alloying of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  with molybdenum, studied under Company-funded IR&D programs, also increased the stress rupture of the alloy to a comparatively high level. These results are presented to show the relatively high temperature strengths as well as the potential of the alloys for use in aircraft engine applications.

#### Fracture Toughness Properties of Alloys Produced in Large Lots

The fracture toughness properties of  $Fe_3Al$  and  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  were determined using compact tensile samples. Tests were made with crack propagation parallel and transverse (perpendicular) to the rolling direction. The  $Fe_3Al$  alloy was tested after rolling and annealing at 1150°F (621°C) and after recrystallization at 1350°F (732°C). The  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  alloy derived from fine (-270 mesh) and coarse (-80 +140 mesh) powder was tested after rolling at 1500°F (815°C).



2711C



Figure 99. Stress Rupture in 100 Hours for  $Fe_3Al$  and  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  Provided from a Large Rolled Extrusion

In accordance with ASTM E399, "Plane Strain Fracture Toughness of Metallic Materials," sample size must be such that linear elastic behavior is obtained. Analysis of the load/displacement data for the iron aluminides indicated that sample thickness was inadequate to obtain plane strain conditions. Since all test load ratios  $(P_{max}/P_Q)$  exceeded the maximum (1.10), the presence of considerable plastic deformation was implied. However, pure plane strain fractures were obtained for all samples tested.

Examination of samples fractures, Figure 100, revealed a "lamellar type" structure with considerable secondary cracking. It appears that the failure mechanism in these alloys contributed to the plastic behavior observed in the recorded data, and consequently the tests were judged as meeting basic plane strain conditions. Nevertheless, the calculated  $K_Q$  values presented in Tables 29 and 30 should be qualified as approximations for engineering comparison, and should not be considered as critical plane strain  $K_{1C}$  values.



FD 270894

| TABLE 29. F | <b>FRACTURE</b> | TOUGHNESS | PROPERTIES | OF | ROLLED | Fe <sub>3</sub> Al |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|----|--------|--------------------|
|-------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|----|--------|--------------------|

|                             | K <sub>Q</sub> , ks | i √in.          |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|                             | Direction of Cro    | ick Propagation |
|                             | Longitudinal        | Transverse      |
| Rolled and                  | 36.8                | 34.1            |
| Annealed<br>at 1150°F       | 36.2                |                 |
| Recrystallized<br>at 1350°F | 27.9                |                 |
|                             |                     |                 |

TABLE 30. FRACTURE TOUGHNESS PROPERTIES OF ROLLED Fe3Al + TiB2ALLOY PRODUCED WITH FINE AND COARSE POWDER

|           | K <sub>Q</sub> ks | i √in.         |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------|
|           | Direction of Cro  | ck Propagation |
|           | Longitudinal      | Transverse     |
| -270 Mesh | 69.6              | 43.6           |
| -80 +140  | 62.7              | 71.4           |
| Mesh      | 50.7              | 65.8           |

As shown in Figure 101, metallographic sections of fracture samples showed considerable plastic deformation in the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al and Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> alloys, and much less in the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al with the recrystallized grain structure. Numerous cracks in the rolling plane of the fracture surface emphasized the lamella structure of the rolled alloys. These were over 400 microns in thickness in the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al and 100 to 200 microns in the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub>. As indicated previously, the deformation within the structure no doubt contributed to the deformation in the alloys. Although the K<sub>Q</sub> values listed in Tables 28 and 29 cannot be equated to K<sub>C</sub>, it appears that the alloys do possess a significant fracture toughness.



SEM examination of the fracture surfaces, shown in Figure 102, revealed occasional secondary cracks and large cleavage facets. In comparison, the fracture surface of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$ , shown in Figure 103, revealed relatively large cracks spaced about 100 microns apart along with finer cracks on the order of 10 microns apart. At high magnification, the latter fracture surface consists of fine dimples characteristic of void coalescence as well as cleavage.



Rolled at 1150°F



Rolled 1150°F and Recrystallized at 1350°F

FD 276875

Figure 102. Fracture Surface of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al Alloy



FD 276888

Figure 103. Fracture Surface of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> Alloy

The average  $K_Q$  of 65.8 ksi  $\sqrt{in}$ . for  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  compared to 33.8 ksi  $\sqrt{in}$ . for the  $Fe_3Al$  alloy is believed to be due to its finer structure and the type of fracture obtained. As expected, the recrystallized  $Fe_3Al$  had the lower  $K_Q$  of 27.5 ksi in. The difference in transverse  $K_Q$  of the  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  produced from fine and coarse powder was related to alloy structure. The alloy produced with the coarse powder had the finer and higher aspect grain structure and the higher  $K_Q$ .

### Evaluation of Dispersuids - Task | Extension

The goal of this task was to increase the specific modulus of iron aluminum from  $112 (10)^6$  for Fe<sub>3</sub>Al to at least 140 (10)<sup>6</sup> by increasing the aluminum content of the alloy. This goal was to be accomplished in an allow with a tensile elongation approaching 10%. Three alloys, (1) Fe-18.3Al-8Cr-2Mo-1.9TiB<sub>2</sub>, (2) Fe-18.3Al-1.3Mo-1.9TiB<sub>2</sub>, and (3) Fe-18.8Al-1.9TiB<sub>2</sub> (in wt%), produced on a P&W program in sufficient quantities for use on this program, were selected for processing. The allow without chromium or molybdenum extruded 8 to 1 at 1700°F (927°C) and swaged 4 to 1 at 1500°F (816°C) had been found to have a modulus of 29.3 (10)<sup>6</sup> psi. (specific modulus of 129 (10)<sup>6</sup> in.) and a tensile elongation of 5%, but the first allow with both chromium and molybdenum was believed to be the most promising in terms of microstructural stability and strength.

#### Processing

Alloy powders were screened through a -80 mesh screen in a high purity helium atmosphere and transferred to stainless steel extrusion cans. Fourteen extrusions were produced at temperatures from 1750 to 2250°F (954 to 1232°C) at ratios from 8 to 1 to 30 to 1. Processing parameters for alloy number (1) are listed in Table 31. The first six samples (00688 to 00693) were extruded 8 to 1 subsequent to a blank die precompacted. Extrusion of the remaining samples in Table 31 (00750 to 00764 was carried out without pre-compaction. Extrusion and swaging conditions for alloys number (2) and (3) (samples 00807 to R251) are listed in Table 32. High extrusion ratios, feasible at the highest temperatures, were employed to extend processing conditions and to produce a high texture directly with little or no subsequent working. Subsequent swaging of the extruded material was carried out at a temperature of 1450°F (788°C), where swaging was laborious, to a temperature of 2100°F (1149°C). All extruded bars were swaged to 0.5-inch bar stock. Subsequent to swaging, the material was annealed at the swaging temperature for one hour.

|             |              |       | Fe-18.3A       | l-8Cr-2M  | o-1.9TiB <sub>2</sub> |           |            |        |
|-------------|--------------|-------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|--------|
|             | Extrusion    |       |                | Sw        | aging Ten             | nperature | <u>(a)</u> |        |
| <u>1.D.</u> | Temp<br>(°F) | Ratio | La             | nw        | Med                   | lium      | Hi         | gh     |
|             |              |       | (With          | Precomp   | action)               |           |            |        |
| 00688       | 1750         | 8:1   | 1450°F         | (R197)    | 1750 <b>°F</b>        | (R198)    | 2000°F     | (R199) |
| 00689       | 1850         | 8:1   | 1450°F         | (R200)    | 1850°F                | (201)     | 2000°F     | (R202) |
| 0690        | 1950         | 8:1   | 1550°F         | (R203)    | 1950°F                | (R204)    | 2100°F     | (R205) |
| 0691        | 2250         | 8:1   | 1550°F         | (R206)    | 1750°F                | (R207)    | 2100°F     | (R208) |
| 00692       | 2250         | 20:1  | 1550°F         | (R209)    | 1750°F                | (R210)    | 2100°F     | (R211) |
| 00693       | 2250         | 30:1  | 1550 <b>*F</b> | (R212)    | 1750° <b>F</b>        | (K213)    | 2100°F     | (R214) |
|             |              |       | (Withou        | it Precom | paction)              |           |            |        |
| 00750       | 1950         | 8:1   |                |           |                       |           | -          |        |
| 00751       | 2250         | 8:1   |                |           | <u></u>               |           | ~~         |        |
| 00752       | 2250         | 20:1  |                |           |                       |           | ~          |        |
| 00764       | 2250         | 30:1  |                |           |                       |           | ~          |        |

## TABLE 31. PROCESSING PARAMETERS FOR ALLOY (1)

(a) All extrusions swaged to 0.5 inch bars and the bars annealed 1 hour at the swaging temperature.

#### TABLE 32. PROCESSING PARAMETERS FOR ALLOYS (2) AND (3)

2711C

|              | Con          | nposition | w/o              | Ext  | rusion | Sw   | aging |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|------------------|------|--------|------|-------|
|              |              |           |                  | Ter  | np.    | Ter  | nyo   |
| <u> </u>     | Al           | Mo        | TiB <sub>2</sub> | (°F) | Ratio  | (°F) | Ratio |
| 00807        | 18.3         | 1.3       | 1.9              | 2250 | 30:1   | _    |       |
| 80800        | 18.8         |           | 1.9              | 2250 | 30:1   | _    |       |
| R250         | 18.8         | -         | 1.9              | 1900 | 8:1    | 1700 | 4:1   |
| <b>R</b> 251 | <b>18</b> .3 | 1.3       | 1. <del>9</del>  | 1900 | 8:1    | 1700 | 4:1   |

(a) All extrusions swaged to 0.5 inch bars and the bars annealed 1 hour at the swaging temperature.

Longitudinal cracking plagued the processing of the first Fe-Al-Cr-Mo-TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy during hot working and machining operations. This did not occur with the chromium-free alloys except for less extensive longitudinal cracking of sample 00808 during machining.

## Alloy Evaluation

Alloy number (1) (samples 00688 to 00693) extruded at an 8-to-1 ratio at 1750 to 2250°F (954 to 1232°C) were found to contain near diametrical longitudinal cracks. Subsequent swaging at temperatures from 1450 to 2100°F (788 to 1149°C) did not seal these cracks. These results were unexpected, since the same alloy had been processed without much difficulty on a P&W program. Subsequent extrusions (00750 to 00764) in Table 1 were carried out without a blank die pre-compaction.

Sample 00692 extruded at a 20-to-1 ratio at 2250°F (1232°C), subsequent to precompaction, was sound for part of the extrusion. The material swaged satisfactorily to 0.5-inch diameter bar stock, however, the material could not be machined without cracking. Examination of cracked material revealed transgranular fracture.

Sample 00693 extruded at a 30-to-1 ratio at 2250°F (1232°C), subsequent to precompaction, was sound and swaged at 1750°F (954°C) without difficulty. Interestingly, the microstructure of this 30-to-1 extrusion was not significantly different than that of the 8-to-1 extrusion. Therefore, a greater apparent ductility of the 30-to-1 extrusion may be due to higher grain or prior particle boundary strength.

Samples 00750 and 00751 extruded at 1950 and  $2250^{\circ}$ F (1065 and  $1232^{\circ}$ C) and an 8-to-1 extrusion ratio, without pre-compaction, were found to be cracked diametrically along the entire length of the bars. It appears that the precompaction step was not responsible for the cracking, but that it was due to marginal tensile properties which could not sustain the tensile stressed generated during nonuniform cool down from the extrusion temperature.

The 20-to-1 extrusion (sample 00752) carried out at  $2250^{\circ}$ F resulted in a nonuniform extrusion where the can material periodically stuck to the die and thickened locally (about every 3 inches) possibly due to failure of the lubricant. This failure of the canning material resulted in extrusion of the alloy at ratios varying from 20 to 1 to as high as 80 to 1, and the process repeating over the entire length of the extrusion. However, the material was crack free, and a 20-to-1 extrusion could be produced with better lubrication.

Sample 00764 extruded at 2250°F (1232°C) and a 30-to-1 ratio, without precompaction, was sound for part of the extrusion. The material swaged satisfactorily to 0.5-inch diameter bar stock, however, the material could not be machined without cracking. Examination of cracked material revealed partial transgranular fracture.

From the preceeding processing work, material suitable for evaluation was produced. The 30-to-1 as-extruded alloy (1) (sample 90764) and the 8-to-1 extruded and swaged alloys (2) and (3) (samples 00307, 00808, R250 and A251) were tested. The room temperature tensile properties are presented in Table 33 and dynamic modulus data in Table 34.

|                        | YS -      | <u>– ksi</u> | UTS   | — ksi | % E | long. |
|------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|
| Alloy                  | <u>A*</u> | B*           | A     | В     | A   | В     |
| Fe-Al-TiE <sub>2</sub> | 69.5      | 91.5         | 114.4 | 131.7 | 4   | 4     |
| Fe-Al-Mo-TiB2          | 89.0      | 106.0        | 164.6 | 180.0 | 8   | 6     |
| Fe-Al-Cr-Mo-TiB        | 108.0     |              | 184.7 |       | 6   |       |

TABLE 33. ROOM TEMPERATURE TENSILE PROPERTIES

|                              | Elastic  <br>pei > | Modulus<br>( 10 <sup>8</sup> | Specific Modulus<br>in. × 10 <sup>8</sup> |     |  |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---|-----|--|
| Alloy                        | A*                 | B*                           | A   | B   |  |
| Fe-Al-TiB <sub>2</sub>       | 26.5               | 29.6                         | 116                                       | 130 |  |
| Fe-Al-Mo-TiB <sub>2</sub>    | 27.7               | 29.6                         | 121                                       | 130 |  |
| Fe-Al-Cr-Mo-ŤiB <sub>2</sub> | 32.5               |                              | 142                                       |     |  |

## TABLE 34. DYNAMIC ELASTIC MODULUS

The Fe-Mo-TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy was stronger and more ductile than the Fe-Al-TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy for both thermomechanical treatments. The room temperature ductility fell short of the goal of 10% elongation. However, the elongation in a tensile test may be misleading in that the ductility in these materials is anisotropic. For example, the chromium-containing alloys cracked longitudinally while the Fe-Al-TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy did not. Yet the chromium-containing alloy had the higher elongation. Apparently the ductility in the longitudinal direction is greater than in the circumferential direction.

2711C

The dynamic elastic modulus of the alloys with various thermomechanical treatments are given in Table 34 and Figure 104.



Figure 104. Dynamic Modulus vs. Temperature

1700°F

The modulus was affected by composition and the thermomechanical treatment. The chromium-containing alloy extruded 30 to 1 had the highest modulus,  $32.5 \ 10_6$  psi, while the

Fe-Al-TiB<sub>2</sub> alloy had the lowest, 26.5  $10_6$  psi. While the metal chromium has a higher elastic modulus (36.6  $10_6$  psi) than iron (28.5  $10_6$  psi), for which it substituted, the rule of mixtures would predict a smaller effect than was obtained and, as shown below, it was not apparent that the chromium increased the modulus by increasing texture.

For both the F2-Al-TiB<sub>2</sub> and the Fe-Al-Cr-Mo-TiB<sub>2</sub>, the process of extrusion plus swaging produced higher modulii than the extrusion process alone, but the program goal of a specific modulus of  $140 \times 10^6$ -inch was achieved only with the chromium containing alloy.

The (110) and (200) pole figures of the five alloy/treatments listed in Table 31 are given in Figures 105 through 107. All of the materials had a (110) wire type texture with varying strengths depending on composition and thermomechanical processing. The strength of the textures are given in Table 35.



Figure 105. (110) Pole Figure for Extruded Fe-Al-TiB<sub>2</sub> Alloy

The strength of the (110) texture varied with processing; the textures of the extruded plus swaged materials were stronger than those of the 30-to-1 extruded materials.

#### Summary of Task 1 Extension

The Fe-18.5 wt% Al alloys were found to be far more difficult to extrude and work, without cracking, than the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al base alloy. A high specific modulus over 140  $(10)^6$  inches was obtained with the chromium and molybdenum alloy, and this was coupled with a tensile elongation of 6%. Changes is the composition of 18.5% Al alloys along with further processing investigations would be needed to produce a satisfactory material for aircraft engine application.

アイシンシュ



FD 319853

A CREW BURGLAND AND A CREW BURGLAND

Figure 106. (110) Pole Figure for Extrude.' Fe-Al-Cr-Mo-TiB<sub>2</sub> Alloy

 $\mathbf{b}$ 





| TABLE 35. | TEXTURE | STRENGTH | <b>(X</b> | RANDOM) |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|
|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|

|  | <u>A*</u>              | B     |
|--|------------------------|-------|
| Fe-Al-TiB <sub>2</sub>                         | 3.1                    | 24.0  |
| Fe-Al-Mo-TiB <sub>2</sub>                      | 9.6                    | 32.7  |
| Fe-Al-Cr-Mo-TiB <sub>2</sub>                   | 15.0                   |       |
| *A Extructed 30:1 at 2<br>Extruded 8:1 at 1900 | 2250°F, B<br>PF plus s | waged |
| 4:1 at 1700°F                                  |                        |       |
|  |                        | 2711C |

2711C

## SECTION IV

## CONCLUSIONS - TASK 1

The following conclusions are offered with regard to the study of iron aluminides.

- 1. Fe<sub>3</sub>Al produced as rolled plate from a rectangular extrusion was found to have a tensile strength of 140 ksi and an elongation of 15 to 16%. The alloy rolled at 1150°F (621°C) was found to recrystallize at about 1200°F (650°C) and transform from an aligned to an equiaxed grain structure with an elongation reduced to about 8%. The alloy should be limited in use to a temperature below 1000 to 1100°F (538 to 595°C).
- 2.  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  produced in rolled plate from a rectangular extrusion was found to have a tensile strength of 180 ksi and an elongation of 11 to 15%. The alloy which was rolled at 1500°F (815°C) resisted recrystallization (abnormal grain growth in this case) to about 1800°F (982°C), and this temperature could be raised to over 2000°F (1093°C) by alloying or by working under conditions which limit residual stresses. Abnormal grain growth significantly reduced the ductility of the alloy, but this could be avoided and an alloy produced which has a use temperature to at least 2000°F (1093°C).
- 3. The transverse (perpendicular to the rolling direction) strengths of  $Fe_3Al$ and  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  were similar to the strength in the longitudinal direction, but the elongations were reduced by about one half. The rupture strength of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  at 1800°F (982°C) in the transverse direction was found to be about half that in the longitudinal direction. For comparison, the rupture strength of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  in the longitudinal direction at 1800°F was about equal to that of Hastelloy "X." The elongation in stress rupture and in the transverse direction at 1800°F (982°C) was found to vary over a wide range (<10 to about 50%) depending on stress or strain rate.
- 4. Variations in consolidation and working conditions for the processing of  $Fe_3Al + TiB_2$  and the use of fine versus coarse powder caused variations in microstructure which were found to be the major reason for variation in strength and ductility. In general, the finer and more continuous grain structures produced the best combination of mechanical properties.
- 5. The fracture toughness properties of the iron aluminides as defined by  $K_Q$  was 65.8 ksi  $\sqrt{in}$ . for Fe<sub>3</sub>Al + TiB<sub>2</sub> compared to 33.8 ksi  $\sqrt{in}$ . for Fe<sub>3</sub>Al. The difference is believed to be due to a finer lamellar structure in the latter alloys. Examination of fracture surfaces revealed considerable secondary cracking which contributed to the plastic behavior observed in the recorded data. The recrystallized Fe<sub>3</sub>Al with a larger grain size had a lower  $K_Q$  of 27.5 ksi  $\sqrt{in}$  as was expected.
- 6. The Fe-18.5 wt% Al alloys were found to be far more difficult to extrude and work, without cracking, than the Fe<sub>3</sub>Al base alloy. A high specific modulus over 140  $(10)^6$  inches was obtained with the chromium and molybdenum alloy, and this was coupled with a tensile-elongation of 6%. Changes is the composition of 18.5% Al alloys along with further

276%

processing investigations would be needed to produce a satisfactory material for aircraft engine application.

## POWDER FOR ALLOY DEVELOPMENT - TASK II

#### Powder Processing

Quantities of rapidly solidified aluminide powders were produced by the Pratt & Whitney rapid solidification rate (RSR) rotary atomization process for delivery to the Air Force Material Laboratory. Table 36 lists the alloy compositions and the amounts of -80 mesh powder produced and delivered.

| A!loy |                                       | Yield         |
|-------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| ID    | Composition (wt%)                     | in Pounds     |
| 1     | Fe-13.87Al                            | 35            |
| 2     | Fe-32.57Al                            | 57            |
| 394   | Fe-20.65Al                            | 16.5          |
| 395   | Fe-20.25Al-1.40Ti-0.60B               | 15            |
| 434   | Fe-19.78Ai-2.19V-1.98W                | 13.4          |
| 435   | Fe-13.50Al-6.51Nb                     | 12.3          |
| 436   | Fe-12.10Al-1.72Si-1.96Mo              | 11 <i>.</i> 9 |
| 437   | Fe-11.85Al-1.68Si-1.92Mo-1.49Ti-0.59B | 16.3          |
| 438   | Supplied by Howmet                    | 16.7          |
| 439   | Supplied by Howmet                    | 14.1          |
| 468   | Fe-13.66Al-3.76Nb                     | 17.6          |
| 469   | Fe-13.06Al-3.75Nb-0.97Ti              | 17.1          |
| 471   | Fe-20.11Al-3.80Hf                     | 16.3          |
| 472   | Fe-10.76Al-2.80Si-1.92Mo-1.49Ti-).59B | 15.7          |
| 476   | Fe-12.79Al-3.68Nb-2.42Ti-0.59B        | 9.6           |
| 477   | Fe-13.77Al-1.86Zr                     | 9.7           |
| 400   | Fe-10.65Al-6.13Ti-0.6B                | 12.1          |
| 403   | Fe-13.21Al-0.94Ti-7.09Ta              | 19.2          |
| 441   | Ni-13.28% Al                          | 19.7          |
| 442   | Ni-14.53% Al                          | 16.5          |
| 443   | Ni-4.80% Al-15.20% Fe                 | 7.1           |
| 444   | Ni-4.90% Al-15.00% Mn                 | 22.5          |
|       |                                       | 7450          |

TABLE 36. ALUMINIDE POWDERS PRODUCED FOR AFML

The processing of  $Fe_3Al$  (Alloy No. 1) in a AGT 400,000 P&W atomization device met with limited success in terms of powder yield. The difficulty was due to reaction between a SiO<sub>2</sub> bonded MgO crucible and the liquid metal which had to be held at a temperature of 3100°F (1704°C) for its metering prior to atomization. In some cases, the liquid metal reacted to such an extent that i<sup>+</sup> had to be discarted. In comparison, only 2850°F (1565°C) was required for the atomization of alloy No. 2, and it atomized without difficulty. Additional Fe<sub>3</sub>Al was processed in a smaller 30-po\_nd capacity experimental atomization device where alumina crucibles were available for use. Nevertheless, 35 pounds of Fe<sub>3</sub>Al were produced and delivered to the Air Force. The Fe32.57Al alloy (No. 2) was produced without difficulty in the larger AGT 400,000 atomization device. The remaining alloy compositions were converted to powder in a 30-pound capacity experimental atomization device.

The alloys were obtained from vendors or produced by vacuum induction melting of the metals in alumina crucibles and cast in copper molds. Cast billets were normally 30 pounds in weight.

## SECTION V

#### TASK III

### INTRODUCTION

Task III effort was conducted at P&W/Engineering Division — North to develop wrought austenitic iron aluminides with low strategic element contents which can be used at temperatures up to about 650°C. The material should be capable of replacing 12% Cr stainless steel such as H-46 and perhaps iron-base superalloys of the A286 type in engine applications.

Austenitic iron aluminides are known to form in three alloy systems: Fe-Mn-Al, Fe-Mn-Al-C, and Fe-Ni-Al/Ti. Extensive work (References 1 and 2) in the 1950's and the early 1960's showed that Fe<sub>3</sub>Al-based alloys had the potential to replace titanium alloys and some stainless steels. However, reservations over the ductility of these ferritic materials at lower temperatures prompted the development of a second alloy series with an austenitic structure. It was shown that the best, and lowest cost, system for such a development was Fe-Mn-Al. Although Fe-Mn-Al alloys were investigated about 20 years ago, the physical metallurgy of the alloys and the strengthening mechanisms were not studied in any detail. One of the goals of this program task is to further develop Fe-Mn-Al alloys. The approach taken here is to achieve an understanding of the microstructure as a basis for further improvement.

Formation of an ordered face-centered cubic phase of the  $Ll_2$  type in the Fe-Mn-Al-C system is a recent discovery by Japanese workers. They concluded that the austenitic phase was a metastable phase produced as a result of rapid solidification. This phase was found to have excellent strength and ductility (Reference 3). Improved Fe-Mn-Al-C alloys will be formulated and produced as rapidly solidified powder for study in this program.

The third alloy system, Fe-Ni-Al/Ti has also been studied extensively in the past. It is the basis for the development of superalloys. The goal is to determine if the material cost can be reduced by substitution of Ni with Mn, both of which are austenitic stabilizers.

Thus, three series of alloys based on the Fe-Mn-Al, Fe-Mn-Al-C, and Fe-Ni-Al/Ti systems were screened in the first 2 years of this program task. The formulation of these alloys is provided in the following section. Results from the alloy screening were used to select the alloys which were studied in greater detail during the 3rd-year program described at the end of this section.

#### ALLOY SCREENING

#### Alloy Formulation

#### Fe-Mn-AI Alloys

#### Alloys for Basic Studies

The nominal compositions of the alloys formulated for basic studies are given in Table 37. The base alloy, containing 30 at. % Mn, 12 at. % C, and balance Fe (alloy 102), lies well within the fcc phase field in the Fe-Mn-Al ternary diagram, Figure 108, so that with modest additions of ferrite stabilizers the alloys should remain completely austenitic. The relatively high C level was selected since a preliminary internal study indicated that the yield strengths of alloys with lower C contents decreased significantly at elevated temperatures. Further, the higher C content may also prevent formation of ferrite which is considered to have deleterious effects on creep-rupture

properties. Si and Ni were selected as the major alloying elements in the initial phase of this study, again based on results of previous (1950) studies which indicated that these elements significantly improved the tensile and rupture strength of Fe-Mn-Al alloys. Chromium was chosen as the first carbide former to be studied, as Cr carbides are probably the best characterized interstitial compounds in commercial steels in terms of crystallography, phase transformation, etc., thus providing a good reference system for studying carbides in the Fe-Mn-Al system. To study the individual and interactive effects, Si, Ni, and Cr were added singly and in various combinations. Note that in alloys 107 through 110, Ni substitutes for Mn with a Ni/Mn ratio of 0.2. Alloy 111, with a Ni/Mn ratio of 1.0, will yield information on the effects of higher Ni substitutions, and will provide a basis for comparing nonequilibrium phase formation in the rapidly solidified powder alloys described below.

|      | Alloy | Fe   | Mn     | Al    | С                   | Si    | Cr    | Ni     |
|------|-------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|--------|
|      | 103   | Bal. | 30     | 12    | 4                   |       | _     |        |
|      |       |      | (32.7) | (6.4) | (0.95)              |       |       |        |
|      | 104   | Bal. | 30     | 12    | 4                   | 4     | -     |        |
|      |       |      | (33.4) | (6.6) | (0. <del>9</del> 7) | (2.3) |       | -      |
|      | 105   | Bal. | 30     | 12    | 4                   |       | 2     |        |
|      |       |      | (32.8) | (6.4) | (0.95)              | _     | (2.0) |        |
|      | 106   | Bal. | 30     | 12    | 4                   | 2     | 2     |        |
|      |       |      | (33.1) | (6.5) | (0.97)              | (1.1) | (2.1) |        |
| Cast |       |      |        |       |                     |       |       |        |
|      | 107   | Bal. | 25     | 12    | 4                   | -     | -     | 5      |
|      |       |      | (27.2) | (6.4) | (0.95)              | _     |       | (5.8)  |
|      | 108   | Bal. | 25     | 12    | 4                   | 2     | P     | 5      |
|      |       |      | (27.2) | (6.4) | (0.95)              | (1.1) |       | (5.8)  |
|      | 109   | Bal. | 25     | 12    | 4                   | —     | 2     | 5      |
|      |       |      | (27.2) | (6.4) | (0.95)              |       | (2.1) | (5.8)  |
|      | 110   | Bai. | 25     | 12    | 4                   | 2     | 2     | 5      |
|      |       |      | (27.5) | (6.5) | (0. <b>96</b> )     | (1.1) | (2.1) | (5.9)  |
|      | 111   | Bal. | 15     | 12    | 4                   | -     |       | 15     |
|      |       |      | (16.2) | (6.4) | (0.94)              |       |       | (17.3) |

TABLE 37. ALLOYS FOR BASIC STUDIES, AT.%, (WT%)



FDA 318367

となっていた

ビジャット

Figure 108. Fe-Mn-Al Ternary Diagram at 760°C, (Schmatz, 1959). The Approximate Position of the Base Alloy (Alloy 103) Is Indicated by the Filled Circle in the Austenitc Phase Field

## Alloys Based on Previous Investigations

The compositions of the most promising alloys studied during the 1950's are summarized in Table 38 together with the modified alloy compositions (alloys 67 and 68) for the present investigation. Alloy BA28-2 showed good stress rupture properties (Reference 12); however, the high Ni, Mo, and W contents, which had beneficial influence on creep rupture properties, led to difficulties in mechanical working of this alloy, which was subsequently modified to BA36-1 (Reference 1). The compositions of both alloys are rather complex. Schmatz (Reference 2) took a simpler approach and determined, based on tensile properties and oxidation resistance, promising alloy composition ranges.

| Alloy           | Fe   | Mn             | Al               | С                | Si               | Ni  | Мо  | W        | v   | Oth                | vers            | Ref.    |
|-----------------|------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----|-----|----------|-----|--------------------|-----------------|---------|
| BA28-2          | Bal. | 36             | 7.0              | 0.6              | -                | 6.0 | 2.0 | 3.0      | 0.5 | 1.5                | Cu              | 5       |
| BA36-1<br>(140) | Bal. | 33             | 7.0              | 0.7              | 0.5              | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.5      | 0.5 | 0.01               | B               | 1       |
| Ford            | Bal. | 25<br>to<br>35 | 8.0<br>to<br>9.0 | 0.8<br>to<br>1.0 | 1.0<br>to<br>2.0 | _   | _   | <u> </u> | -   |                    |                 | 2       |
| 67              | Bal. | 32             | 8.0              | 0.2              | 0.5              | 6.0 | 3.0 | _        | 0.3 |                    | -               | Present |
| 68              | Bal. | 32             | 8.0              | 0.2              | 0.5              | 6.0 | 3.0 | -        | -   | 0.2<br>0.2<br>0.01 | Ti,<br>Nb,<br>B | Study   |

## TABLE 38. PROMISING ALLOYS OF THE 1950'S AND PRESENT MODIFICATIONS, WT%

In the present studies, it was considered that the powder metallurgy techniques may overcome early workability problems associated with BA28-2-type alloys. However, the high C contents in the alloys of the 1950's could present a prior powder particle boundary decoration problem in the consolidated materials, as experienced during the early stage of the nickel-base powder alloy development program. Therefore, the C level was reduced to 0.2 at.% for alloys 67 and 68. In alloy 68, V is replaced by Ti and Nb, which are also strong carbide formers. Further, structural characterization was also conducted on BA36-1, designated alloy 140 in the present studies, to provide insights for further improvements.

#### Alloys for Corrosion Studies

Studies in the 1950's have shown the good oxidation resistance of Fe-Mn-Al alloys can be attributed to the high Al content. Unfortunately, the sulfidation resistance of Fe-Mn-Al alloys could  $t_{\mathcal{S}}$  lower due to the absence of Cr. A minimum of 12 at.% Cr is generally considered necessary to impart corrosion and oxidation resistance to stainless steels. It was considered worthwhile to study small Cr additions (5 at.%) to Fe-Mn-Al alloys to determine if the element would act synergistically with Al and/or Si.

Simple alloys, Table 38, similar to those studied by Schmatz, were selected for this study. Si, which was determined to be beneficial to oxidation resistance and tensile strength, was maintained at a recommended level of 1.5 at.%. The concentrations of Al were set at 8 and 10 at.% and Cr, at 0, 3, and 5 at.%. Sulfidation resistance of these alloys in an environment of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and NaCl were studied under three different conditions: (1) uncoated surface, (2) surface protected by an aluminized coating, and (3) by a chromized coating. For comparison, the oxidation and sulfidation resistances of commercial alloys A286 and H46, Table 38, under the same conditions were also evaluated. Results are given in Section 2.C.(7).

R

# TABLE 39. NOMINAL COMPOSITIONS OF ALLOYS (WT%) STUDIED IN CYCLICOXIDATION AND SULFIDATION TESTS

| Alloy | Fe   | Mn   | Al   | Cr   | Si  | С   | Ni   | Mo  | Ti  | V   |
|-------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 50    | Bal. | 30.0 | 8.0  |      | 1.5 | 1.0 | P    |     |     | _   |
| 74    | Bal. | 30.0 | 8.0  | 3.0  | 1.5 | 1.0 | _    | —   |     |     |
| 75    | Bal. | 30.0 | 8.0  | 5.0  | 1.5 | 1.0 |      |     |     | -   |
| 76    | Bal. | 30.0 | 10.0 | 3.0  | 1.5 | 1.0 | _    |     |     |     |
| A286  | Bal. | 1.5  |      | 15.0 | 0.7 |     | 25.5 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 0.3 |
| H46   | Bal. | 0.7  |      | 11.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5  | 1.0 |     | 0.3 |

## Fe-Mn-Al-C Alloys --- First Series

Table 40 shows the composition of the powder alloys selected for the present studies. Alloy 97 is one of the alloys which forms a metastocket single phase  $L1_2$  structure under rapid solidification conditions, as recently reported by Japanese workers (Reference 3), Figure 109. Alloy 101, which differs significantly from alloy 97, is predicted to behave similarly under rapid solidification conditions according to the Japanese studies. Chromium is added to increase the thermal stability and strength of the metastable phase (Reference 3). Alloy 102 is a variant of alloy 101 with Ni substituting for half of the Mn, because Ni has also been shown to be an  $L1_2$ stabilizer.

TABLE 40. COMPOSITIONS OF Fe-Mn-Al-C ALLOYS, AT.% (WT%)

|        | Alloy | Fe   | Mn     | Al    | C     |   | Cr    | Ni     |
|--------|-------|------|--------|-------|-------|---|-------|--------|
|        | 97    | Bal. | 20.0   | 16.0  | 8.0   | _ | _     | _      |
|        |       |      | (23.1) | (9.1) | (2.0) | _ |       | _      |
| Powder | 101   | Bal. | 35.8   | 9.1   | 8.2   | - | 4.7   |        |
|        |       |      | (40.0) | (5.0) | (2.0) |   | (5.0) | _      |
|        | 102   | Bal. | 17.9   | 9.1   | 8.2   | - | 4.7   | 17.9   |
|        |       |      | (19.7) | (4.9) | (2.0) |   | (4.9) | (21.1) |

## Fe-Ni-AI/Ti Systems

Two systems which show formation of coherent face-centered cubic phases,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$ , are Fe-Ni-Al and Fe-Ni-Ti, Figures 110 and 111, respectively. These alloys are the prototypes of superalloys, the most creep-resistant alloys currently available. The key questions are the effectiveness of replacing Ni with Mn, and whether the  $\gamma + \gamma'$  phase field can be shifted to higher Fe contents. The stabilization of the  $\gamma'$  phase (rather than the ordered bcc phase) is anticipated to be difficult. Work on phase stability in the austenitic Fe-Ni alloys in the early 1960's showed that with Al additions, the ordered bcc phase was formed. However, if most of the Al was replaced by Ti, then the  $\gamma'$  phase was stable. It may be noted that the iron base superalloy, A286, illustrates this trend in that Ti is the  $\gamma'$  former rather than Al. The role of carbon in such systems will have to be carefully evaluated as there is a very close relationship between  $\gamma'$  and perovskite structures. However, the addition of Ti may cause the formation of MC carbides, thus upsetting the desired phase balance.



Figure 109. Compositional Dependence of As-Quenched Structure of Fe-Mn-Al-2.0C Alloys Formed by Rapid Quenching (Melt Spinning) Technique. After Inone et al, 1981 (Ref. 3)

#### Fe-Ni/Mn-Al Alloys

To explore the Fe-Ni-Al systems, alloys indicated in Table 41 were formulated for the present studies. Alloys 147 and 149 lie at the boundary of the  $(\gamma +')$  phase field and alloys 150 and 151 well within the  $(\gamma +')$  phase field. The effects of Ti and C in the formation of the  $\gamma'$  phase are to be evaluated in alloys 148, 149 and 151. Alloys 152 and 153 are intended to be baseline alloys.

#### Fe-Ni/Mn-Ti Alloys

To further pursue the development of a  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma$  phase mixture, alloys were also selected from the Fe-Ni-Ti ternary system as shown in Figure 111. An interesting feature of this system is that for alloys which lie in the  $\gamma$ +Ni<sub>3</sub>Ti phase field or its vicinity, an Ni<sub>3</sub>Ti phase with an L1<sub>2</sub>type structure will be produced initially as a metastable precipitate which will eventually transform to the equilibrium Laves phase (Fe,Ni)<sub>2</sub>Ti and/or the DO<sub>24</sub> structure, depending on the specific alloy composition. For this type of alloy to be an attractive alternative to commercial iron-base superalloys, a significant cost advantage is essential which can only be achieved if the Ni content can be reduced. Thus, the predominant variable to he studied was the extent to which Mn can be substituted for Ni without upsetting the desired phase balances. The compositions of alloys formulated for the present studies are given in Table 42. Nickel at levels of 30, 45 and 60 a/o will be studied, each at Mn:Ni ratios of 0, 1.6 and 3.0. Assuming that Ni and Mn are interchangeable, the relative positions of the alloys are indicated in Figure 111. Further, following the development experience of iron-base superalloys, 0.1 wi% Al is added to the alloy to reduce the propensity of cellular phase reaction, and 1 wt% Mo is added to increase the temperature capability. Alloying additions with high electron-to-atom ratios (e/a) have been considered important for the stabilization of the  $L1_9$  structure in iron-base alloys. In order to compensate for the lower average e/a in alloys No. 244 and 245, which have reduced levels of Ni

(e/a-10), additions of Cu (e/a-11) to alloys No. 250 and 251 were included in this study. The effect of the Ti level should be defined by alloys 169 and 170 which contain 2 and 4 a/o Ti, respectively.



FDA 318369

Figure 110. Fe-Ni-Al Ternary System at 950°C. After Bradley, 1949\*. Positions of Alloys in the Present Studies Are Shaded, Assuming Interchangeability of Ni and Mn in These Types of Alloys.  $\gamma$ -fcc,  $\gamma'$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>Al,  $\beta$ -bcc,  $\beta'$ -FcAl, NiAl

#### Experimental

#### **Preparation of Materials**

The cast alloys were produced by nonconsumable arc melting of pure raw materials, Table 43, in an inert atmosphere and casting into a water-cooled copper mold. The dimensions of the castings for alloys listed in Table 37 are about 33 mm  $\times$  33 mm  $\times$  100 mm, and weigh about 0.77 kg each. These castings were hot rolled at 2100°F (1150°C) to about 60% reduction in thickness with a reheating after about 30% reduction. To study the effect of dislocation substructure on aging behavior, sections of the hot-rolled alloys were solution heat treated at 2048°F (1120°C) for 1 hour followed by an oil quench, and then further rolled at 1112°F (593°C) to a thickness of about 6 mm. Smaller castings of alloys listed in Table 39 were produced in the form of hemispherical buttons weighing about 0.1 kg each using the same raw materials and casting technique.

<sup>\* \*</sup> A. J. Bradley: Iron and Stell Inst. J. 1949, Sept., p. 9.



Figure 111. Isothermal Section of the Fe-Ni-Ti System at 700°C. After Speich, 1963\*\*. The Positions of Some of the Alloys Selected for the Present Studies Are Indicated by Dots

| Alloy | Fe   | <u>Mn</u>      | Ni     | AL    | Ti    | C     |
|-------|------|----------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| 147   | Bal. | 37.0           | 23.0   | 10.0  | _     | _     |
|       |      | (38.2)         | (25.3) | (5.1) | -     |       |
| 148   | Bal. | 37.0           | 23.0   | 5.0   | 5.0   |       |
|       |      | (37.4)         | (24.9) | (2.5) | (4.4) | _     |
| 149   | Bal. | <b>33</b> .0   | 23.0   | 10.0  |       | 4.0   |
|       |      | <b>(35</b> .27 | (26.2) | (5.2) |       | (0.9) |
| 150   | Bal. | 50.0           | 10.0   | 12.5  |       | -     |
|       |      | (52.8)         | (11.3) | (6.5) |       | _     |
| 151   | Bal. | 46.0           | 10.0   | 12.5  |       | 4.0   |
|       |      | (50.2)         | (11.7) | (6.7) |       | (1.0) |
| 152   | Bal. | 35.0           | -      | 5.0   |       | _     |
|       |      | (35.6)         | -      | (2.5) |       | _     |
| 153   | Bal. | 35.0           |        | 5.0   | 5.0   |       |
|       |      | (35.8)         | _      | (2.5) | (4.5) |       |

TABLE 41. Fe-Mn-Ni-Al ALLOYS AT % (WT%)

The powder alloys, with the exception of alloy 97, we produced by vacuum atomization at Homogenous Metals, Inc. (HMI) and screened to -80 mesh. Alloy 97 was produced as a 11.4 kg ingot by vacuum induction melting, and then atomized at P&W/GPD using the RSR powder process and equipment. Alloys 67 and 68 were consolidated by vacuum hot pressing using a 32 mm diameter molybdenum (TZM) die. The other powder alloys (97, 101, and 102) were consolidated by HIP.

<sup>\*\*</sup> G. R. Speich: Trans. AIME, 1963, p. 754

| Alloy | Fe               | Mn               | Ni      | Ti     | Mo            | A!     | (Mn+Ni) | Mn: Ni |
|-------|------------------|------------------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|---------|--------|
| 169   | 38               | 37               | 23      | 2      | ****          |        | 60      | 1.6    |
|       | (37. <b>89</b> ) | (36.29)          | (24.11) | (1.71) |               |        |         |        |
| 243   | <b>95.2</b>      | -                | 30.0    | 4.0    | 0.59          | 0.21   | 30      | 0      |
|       | (64.37)          | -                | (31.14) | (3.39) | (1.00)        | (0.10) |         |        |
| 244   | 65.2             | 18. <del>.</del> | 11.5    | 4.0    | 0.59          | 0.21   | 30      | 1.6    |
|       | (65.13)          | (18.19)          | (12.09) | (3.43) | (1.01)        | (0.10) |         |        |
| 245   | 65.2             | 22.5             | 7.5     | 4.0    | 0.59          | 0.21   | 30      | 3.0    |
|       | (65.35)          | (22.19)          | (7.90)  | (3.44) | (1.02)        | (0.10) |         |        |
| 246   | 50.2             | _                | 45.0    | 4.0    | 0.59          | 0.21   | 45      | 0      |
|       | (49.19)          |                  | (46.36) | (3.36) | <b>(0.99)</b> | (0.10) |         |        |
| 247   | 50.2             | 27.7             | 17.3    | 4.0    | J.59          | 0.21   | 45      | 1.6    |
|       | (50.11)          | (27.12)          | (18.15) | (3.42) | (1.01)        | (0.10) |         |        |
| 248   | 50.2             | 33.75            | 11.25   | 4.0    | 0.59          | 0.21   | 45      | 3.0    |
|       | (50.31)          | (33.28)          | (11.85) | (3.44) | (1.02)        | (0.10) |         |        |
| 170   | 36.0             | 37.0             | 23.0    | 4.0    | _             |        | 60      | 1.6    |
|       | (36.00)          | (36.39)          | (24.18) | (3.43) |               |        |         |        |
| 249   | 35.2             | 37.0             | 23.0    | 4.0    | 0.59          | 0.21   | 60      | 1.6    |
|       | (35.09)          | (36.28)          | (24.10) | (3.42) | (1.01)        | (0.10) |         |        |
|       |                  |                  |         |        |               |        | Cu      |        |
| 250   | 63.2             | 18.5             | 11.5    | 4.0    | 0.59          | 0.21   | 2.0     |        |
|       | (63.00)          | (18.14)          | (12.05) | (3.42) | (1.01)        | (0.10) | (2.27)  |        |
| 251   | 63.2             | 22.5             | 7.5     | 4.0    | 0.59          | 0.21   | 2.0     |        |
| _     | (63.17)          | (22.13)          | (7.88)  | (3.43) | (1.01)        | (0.10) | (2.27)  |        |

## TABLE 42. NOMINAL COMPOSITIONS OF Fe-Mn/Ni-Ti/Al ALLOYS, AT.% (WT%)

## TABLE 43. PURITY OF RAW MATERIALS USED FOR MELTING

| Iron      | 99.9%        |
|-----------|--------------|
| Aluminum  | 99.9%        |
| Carbon    | 99.99%       |
| Silicon   | 99.99%       |
| Chromium  | 99.9%        |
| Nickel    | <b>99.9%</b> |
| Manganese | ٠            |
|           | 7450C        |

#### **Microstructural** Characterization

Microstructural characterization was performed using optical metallography, analytical electron microscopy, and X-ray diffraction techniques. Standard specimen preparation procedures for ferrous alloys were followed for the optical metallography. Thin foils for electron microscopy were prepared using a twin-jet polishing technique. The electrolyte consists of a mixture of 150 ml each of ethanol, methanol, and butanol and 30 ml of perchloric acid used at -10 to 0°C and 45 volts, producing a current of 60 mA. To study the structures of phases by X-ray samples consisting of -400 mesh, filings were taken from solution heat treated alloys, evacuated, and encapsulated in quartz. The capsules were then aged at 650°C for 16 hours which

a she was a start a

7448C

also reduced the residual stresses in the filings, and resulted in more distinctive diffraction peaks. This procedure was observed to yield more reliable results than annealing in a vacuum or inert atmosphere without encapsulation, which could result in depletion of Mn in the filings and produce misleading results. The annealed filings were examined using a diffractometer technique with CrKa radiation.

To extract carbides from Fo-Mn-Al alloys, various digestive solutions were tried including: (1) an electrolyte (consisting of 10 volume % hydrochloric acid, 90 volume % methanol with 1g of tartaric acid added per 100 ml of mixture) was used at a current density of 200 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at 20°C; (2) a bromine solution consisting of 10% bromine and 1% tartaric acid in methanol; and (3) an aqueous electrolyte consisting of 15g or sodium citrate, 1.2g of potassium bromine, and 30g of citric acid in a volume of 100 ml. The first two digestive solutions were found to be unsatisfactory

'le Fe-Mn-Al alloys as the hydrochloric acid electrolyte attacked the alloys at an extremely slow rate; the bromine solution produced insoluble reactants during the digestion process. The aqueous electrolyte tends to dissolve both the matrix and the major precipitates.

#### Cyclic Oxidation and Sulfidation Tests

The specimens for these tests were cut from the cast buttons of compositions given in Table 38 and have dimensions of approximately 17 mm  $\times$  17 mm  $\times$  2 mm. For comparison, similar specimens from two commonly used alloys, A286 and H46, were also included in these tests. All the specimens were polished to a No. 600 grit finish prior to testing. The cyclic oxidation tests were conducted in static air between 68 and 1400°F (20 and 760°C) in 2-hour cycles with 10 minutes per cycle for cooling. Sulfidation resistance to mixtures of NaCl and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was studied isothermally at 1200°F (650°C) in static air. Two mixtures with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> concentrations of 50 and 75% by weight were used. A layer of the salts was applied by airspraying a preheated 302°F (150°C) specimen with a saturated aqueous solution. The salt-layer thickness of 0.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> was maintained throughout the tests. Sulfidation resistance of specimens protected by either a chromized or aluminized coating was also studied. The coatings were applied following the procedures described in the PWA specifications PWA 70 and PWA 73, respectively. Both the oxidation and sulfidation tests were interrupted periodically for weight change measurements and visual inspections. A fresh coating of salt was applied to the sulfidation specimen after each measurement.

### Mechanica: Tests

Three types of properties, creep, yield, and bend ductility, were used for screening alloys in the present studies. Creep and yield tests were conducted in compression using rectangular specimens of 5 mm  $\times$  5 mm  $\times$  13 mm (approximate dimensions). The specimens were compressed between two alumina plattens to which extensioneter fixtures were attached. Deformation of the specimens were measured by a linear voltage differential transformer with output continuously recorded by 9 strip chart for the creep tests and by a X-Y recorder for the yield tests. All creep tests were conducted at 1200°F (650°C) and (42.5 ksi) 293 MPa. Yield stresses at 0.2% plastic strain were determined in compression using the same experimental set up. As a measure of low temperature ductility, bend tests were conducted at 68°F (20°C) using specimens 2 mm thick, 13 mm wide, and 65 mm long.

#### **Results and Discussions**

## Fe-Mn-Al Alloys

### Workability and Aging Response

The analyzed compositions of the alloys in this study are given in Table 44. Small castings of these alloys weighing about 0.77 kg were hot rolled at 2102°F (1150°C) to about 60% reduction

in thickness. Rolling was performed without difficulty, and no edge cracking was observed. A portion of each hot-rolled alloy was solution heat treated at 2048°F (1120°C) for 1 hour, oil quenched, and then further warm rolled at 1100°F (593°C) for a total thickness reduction of slightly over 80%. After this operation, the majority of the alloys were uncracked, except for the high-Ni alloy (Alloy 111) which split open after several rolling passes along the midplane parallel to the rolling plane. The results of the rolling experiments confirm the generally good hot workability observed in the previous studies of this class of alloys.

| Alloy | Fe   | Mn           | Al  | Ni   | Cr   | Si   | <u> </u>     |
|-------|------|--------------|-----|------|------|------|--------------|
| 103   | Bal. | 30.2         | 6.6 | _    |      | -    | 0.89         |
| 104   | Bal. | 32.0         | 6.5 | —    |      | 2.24 | 0.87         |
| 105   | Bal. | 31.4         | 6.4 | _    | 2.10 |      | 0.96         |
| 106   | Bal. | 31. <b>9</b> | 6.4 | -    | 2.16 | 1.12 | 1.04         |
| 107   | Bal. | 25.7         | 6.4 | 5.8  |      |      | 0.82         |
| 108   | Bal. | 25.8         | 6.4 | 5.8  |      | 1.06 | 0. <b>66</b> |
| 109   | Bal. | 25.6         | 6.4 | 5.8  | 2.10 |      | 0.81         |
| 110   | Bal. | 26.0         | 6.6 | 5.8  | 2.10 | 1.04 | 0.74         |
| 111   | Bal. | 15.9         | 6.5 | 17.5 |      |      | 0.84         |
|       |      |              |     |      |      |      | 7450C        |

| TABLE 44. ANALYZED COMPOSITIONS OF CAS | ST + | WROUGHT | ALLOYS, | WT% |
|--|------|---------|---------|-----|
|--|------|---------|---------|-----|

Room temperature hardness measurements of the warm-rolled and hot rolled alloys aged at 1100, 1200, 1320, and 1400°F (593, 650, 705, and 760°C) for 16 hours are shown in Figures 112, and 113. Prior to aging, the hot-rolled alloys were given a solution treatment of 2000°F (1095°C) for 45 minutes followed by an oil quench. Figure 112a shows that in the warm-worked condition, the baseline alloy (alloy 103) softened during aging treatments. Addition of 2 at.% Cr (alloy 105), 2 at.% Si (alloy 104), and 1 at.% Si + 2 at.% Cr (alloy 106) did not change the aging response. The rapid hardness decrease in these alloys above 1200°F (650°C) will be shown to be accompanied by recrystallization. Figure 112b shows the aging response of the hot-rolled, nickelfree alloys after solution heat treatment. The initial hardness is about four times lower than that of the warm-rolled alloys. Aging at temperatures around 1112°F (600°C) produced some hardening; however, the general hardness levels of the alloys are low, around Rc 16 which is about two and one half times lower than those in the warm-rolled alloys. These observations underscore the important role of thermomechanical history in the strengthening of the Fe-Mn-Al alloys.

In contrast to the nickel-free alloys, the hardness of all the warm-rolled nickel-containing alloys shows a peak at aging temperatures between 1090 and 1200°F (590 and 650°C) (Figure 113a). Strengthening is amplified by small additions of Si and Cr either singly or in combination, but the highest hardness is associated with the alloy with the highest Ni content (alloy 111). From Figure 113a, the hardness decreases much more slowly after aging at temperatures above 1200°F (650°C) compared with the Ni-free alloys. Figure 113b shows that, with the exception of alloy 111, the hardness of the hot-rolled alloys is very low, about Rc 10, and did not respond to aging treatments. The initial hardness of alloy 111 is about Rc 20 and increases to a peak hardness of about Rc 40 at 1200°F (650°C). As will be shown, the large difference between the warm-rolled and the hot-rolled alloys can be explained in terms of grain size and precipitation structure.


FDA 318371

Figure 112. Hardness of Alloys 103 Through 106 vs Aging Temperature. Specimens Aged for 16 Hours at the Indicated Temperatures. a. Alloys Rolled at 593°C, b. Alloys Rolled at 1150°C

7448C



መምበት በእስከ መስከኛስ አስቲካ የፖለቲካ የብዙ የሆኑስ እለ ችላጊዜና እና የራ

大学が大学

Figure 113. Hardness of Alloys 107 Through 111 vs Aging Temperature. Specimens Aged for 16 Hours at the Indicated Temperatures. (a) — Alloys Rolled at 593°C, (b) — Alloys Rolled at 1050°C

#### Microstructure

Figures 114 and 115 show the microstructures of alloys 106 and 110 which are representative of those observed in the Ni-free alloys and the Ni-containing alloys. Elongated

grains and linear features due to precipitation on specific crystallographic planes can be observed in all alloys after rolling at 1100°F (593°C). It is evident from Figures 114 and 115 that an important difference between the Ni-free and the Ni-containing alloys is in the kinetics of recovery and recrystallization. With the exception of alloy 104, all the warm-rolled Ni-free alloys show evidence of recrystallization in the optical micrographs when aged at temperatures around 1290°F (700°C). Alloy 104, which contains 2% Si, Figure 116, and the nickel-containing alloys show no evidence of recrystallization after aging treatments at temperatures at least up to 1400°F (760°C). These differences in recovery and recrystallization kinetics between the alloys are entirely consistent with the observed variations in hardness as a function of age temperature, Figures 112a and 113a. Microstructures of alloys, after rolling at 2100°F (1150°C) and solution heat treated at 2050°F (1120°C), at various temperatures are shown in Figure 117 for the Ni-free alloys and Figure 118 for the Ni-containing alloys. The equiaxed coarse grain structure in the heat treated alloys shows that recrystallization and grain growth had occurred in both types of alloys during the solution heat treatment.

A microstructural difference between the warm-rolled and the corresponding hot-rolled alloys is in the amount of precipitate observed after aging. Comparison of Figure 114 with Figure 119 for alloy 106 and Figure 115 with Figure 118 for alloy 110 shows a higher density of precipitate is formed in the warm-rolled material than in the hot-rolled material. This difference is illustrated in greater detail in Figure 119 showing the secondary electron micrographs in alloy 111 in warm-rolled and hot-rolled conditions. It is not clear at this time if these observations are due to differences of the kinetics of precipitation, or to the working operation changing the nature of the precipitates.

More detailed microstructural and compositional information has been obtained by transmission electron microscopy studies which were conducted on warm-rolled materials after the 1200°F (650°C) 16-hour aged treatment, which produced high hardness, especially in the Ni-bearing alloys 107 through 111. High dislocation densities were observed in all warm-rolled alloys, Figure 116. The major precipitate phases in all the Ni-containing alloys are morphologically similar, having an ellipsoidal shape, and are distributed uniformly in the matrix. Figure 120, taken from alloy 111, illustrates the typical appearance of the major precipitates in the Ni-containing alloys. The dimensions and density of the ellipsoidal precipitates vary somewhat, depending on specific alloy compositions. In alloy 111, the precipitates are 100 to 200°Å in diameter and 500 to 1000°Å in length. Analysis of electron diffraction patterns indicates that the structure of the major precipitates in alloys 107 to 111 is an ordered body-centered phase with an CsC1-type structure, having a Kurdjumov-Sach and Nishiyama-type crystallographic relationship with the austenitic matrix.

The precipitation behavior in the warm-rolled Ni-free alloys (103 through 106) is distinctly different from that of the Ni-containing alloys described in the preceding paragraphs. In Alloys 103 through 10<sup>47</sup> the precipitates are coarser and tend to be heterogeneous, occurring in both grain boundaries and intergranularly (Figure 116). Results of compositional studies by energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) and analysis summarized in Table 45 indicated that in most cases there are little differences between matrix and precipitate chemistries. Limited analysis of the hot-rolled alloys showed the same trend. For comparison, the alloy compositions determined by chemical analyses are also included in Table 44. Whenever several precipitate phases have been observed in a given alloy, the major one is indicated as Precipitate A in Table 45. By comparing the matrix compositions with the alloy compositions, it can be concluded that the EDS gives a reasonably accurate measurement for elements with high concentrations of Fe and Mn. For Al, it is obvious that the EDS results are less reliable in the absolute sense, but may still be meaningful in a relative sense. Relative to the matrix compositions, the major precipitates in the Nicontaining alloys are enriched in Al and the Mn content is equal to or less than that of the

matrix. The opposite trends appear to be true for the Ni-free alloys. Further, for a given alloy, the Al concentrations in the precipitates increase with increasing Ni contents. These observations indicate strong affinity of Ni for Al, which may account for the differences in precipitate morphology and distribution between the Ni-containing and the Ni-free alloy series.



FD 243623





THE REAL PROPERTY IN

je stali u substant v skorstor i stori skala je stratili v sorieti se societi se societi se K

Figure 115. Microstructure of Alloy 110 (a) After Rolling at 593°C and After Aging for 16 Hours at (b) 533°C (c) 649°C (d) 704°C

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .





2.6



ŝ

Figure 117. Microstructure of Alloy 110 (a) After Rolling at 1150°C. After Solution Heat Treatment at 1120°C for 1 Hour Followed by an Oil Quench and Aged for 16 Hours at (b) 593°C (c) 649°C (d) 704°C



Figure 118. Secondary Electron Micrographs Illustrating the Relative Quantity of Precipitates Formed in Alloy 111. (a) After 593°C Rolling (b) After 1150°C Rolling. The Alloy Was Aged at 649°C for 16 Hours After Rolling in Both Cases

No honor cho



いたがたた

FD 318375

Figure 119. Microstructure of Alloy 106 (a) After Rolling at 1150°C. After Solution Heat Treatment at 1120°C for 1 Hour Followed by an Oil Quench and Aged for 16 Hours at (b) 593°C (c) 649°C (d) 704°C



Figure 120. Transmission Electron Micrographs Illustrating the Major Precipitates in Alloy 111 After Warm Rolling and Aging Treatment at 650°C for 16 hours. (a) Bright Field (b) Dark Field

| TABLE 45. | COMPOSITIONS OF MATRIX AND PRECIPITATES BY ENERGY | GY |
|-----------|---|----|
|           | DISPERSIVE SPECTROSCOPY                           |    |
|           | 593C ROLLED + 650C/16H AGED                       |    |

|                                  |              |                 | Compo            | wition, | W1%  |      |     |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|------|------|-----|
|                                  | Fe           | Al              | Mn               | Si      | Cr   | Ni   | С   |
| Alley 103                        | 62.3         | 6.6             | 30.2             |         | -    | -    | 0.9 |
| Matrix                           | 61.7         | 7.2             | 31.1             |         | _    | -    | •   |
| G.B. Ppt**                       | 55.7         | 8.6             | 35.7             | -       | -    | -    | •   |
| Alloy 104                        | 58.4         | 6.5             | 32.0             | 2.2     | _    |      | 0.9 |
| Matrix                           | 60.7         | 4.4             | 32.9             | 2.0     |      |      | *   |
| Ppt                              | 62.0         | 4.6             | 31.7             | 1.7     | -    | -    | ٠   |
| Alloy 105                        | <b>59</b> .1 | 6.4             | 31.4             | _       | 2.1  | -    | 1.0 |
| Matrix<br>G.B. Pot <sup>ce</sup> | 60.7         | 5.8             | 31.0             | -       | 2.5  |      | •   |
| A                                | 47.8         | 2.9             | 41.0             |         | 8.3  | -    |     |
| B                                | 39.2         | 1.6             | 46.7             |         | 12.5 |      | •   |
| С                                | 54.3         | 4.5             | 35.8             | -       | 5.4  |      | ٠   |
| Alloy 106                        | 57.4         | 6.4             | 31.9             | 1.1     | 2.2  | -    | 1.0 |
| Matrix<br>Pot                    | 61.8         | 3.0             | 31.8             | 0.7     | 2.6  | -    | ٠   |
| Ā                                | 46.5         | 1.4             | 43.0             | 2.1     | 6.9  | •    |     |
| B                                | 57.0         | 2.3             | 36.1             | 0.8     | 3.8  | -    | ٠   |
| Alloy 107                        | 61.3         | 6.4             | 25.7             |         | _    | 5.8  | 0.8 |
| Matrix                           | 64.8         | 4.9             | 26. <del>9</del> | —       | —    | 3.4  | ٠   |
| Ppt                              | 59.8         | 6.1             | 26.5             |         | -    | 7.6  | •   |
| Alloy 108                        | 60.2         | 6.4             | 25.3             | 1.1     | -    | 5.8  | 0.7 |
| Matrix<br>Pot                    | 61.5         | 5. <del>9</del> | 26.2             | 1.7     |      | 4.7  | •   |
| A                                | 56.7         | 7.6             | 24.6             | 1.7     | _    | 9.4  |     |
| В                                | <b>46</b> .1 | 10.7            | 21.1             | 1.2     |      | 20.8 | *   |
| Alloy 109                        | 59.3         | 6.4             | 25.6             | _       | 2.1  | 5.8  | 0.8 |
| Matrix<br>Pot                    | 62.8         | 5.7             | 24.7             |         | 2.3  | 4.4  |     |
| A                                | 58.7         | 6.8             | 23.9             |         | 2.4  | 7.8  | ٠   |
| B                                | 52.2         | 2.6             | 35.1             |         | 6.6  | 3.2  | ٠   |
| Alloy 110                        | 57.7         | 6.6             | 26.0             | 1.1     | 2.1  | 5.8  | 0.7 |
| Matrix                           | 63.9         | 4.8             | 23.7             | 1.4     | 2.5  | 3.8  |     |
| Ppt                              | 55.5         | 7.2             | 23.8             | 1.2     | 2.4  | 9.9  | •   |
| Alloy 111                        | 59.3         | 65              | 15 9             |         | _    | 15.5 | ΛP  |
| Matrix                           | 64.8         | 4.5             | 16.1             |         | _    | 14.6 | *   |
| Ppi                              |              |                 |                  |         |      |      |     |
| Ā                                | 62.2         | 4.9             | 15.7             |         |      | 17.2 |     |
| В                                | 11.9         | 14.5            | 13.3             | _       |      | 60.3 | ٠   |
| С                                | 37.3         | 7.7             | 12.9             |         | —    | 42.1 | •   |
| <u>D</u>                         | 25.9         | 13.6            | 11.7             | _       | -    | 48.8 | ٠   |
| * Cannot be                      | detected     | by EDS          |                  |         |      |      |     |
| ** Grain bou                     | ndary pr     | scipitate       |                  |         |      |      |     |

X-ray diffraction techniques were used to determine the structure of the precipitates. Initial attempts involved extraction of precipitates by selective dissolution of the matrix phase using

7448C

b

various electrolytes and a bromine solution. Such efforts were unsuccessful, since the major precipitates, which have similar chemical compositions as the matrices, Table 45, also tended to be dissolved. The digestive process yielded only chemically inert residues such as carbides, as indicated in Table 46, but the presence of the ordered phase  $L_{1_2}$ -type structure in alloys 103 and 104 should be noted. The formation of this ordered phase will be described in detail later in a following Section. Powder diffraction technique was used subsequently, and proved far more successful. Filings from the hot-rolled materials were produced from machining chips and ages at 650°F (342°C) for 16 hours. The phases and structures identified by subsequent X-ray analyses are presented in Table 47. The major precipitate in all the annealed filings from the hot-rolled alloys, with the exception of alloy 103, has been identified as an ordered bcc phase with a CsC1type structure having lattice constants of about 2.89°Å. The minor precipitate phases identified include  $M_{23}C_6$ -type fcc carbide and tetragonal sigma phase. The analyzed compositions of powder alloys are given in Table 48.

# TABLE 46. STRUCTURES OF EXTRACTED PRECIPITATES FROM 593C ROLLED+ 650°C/16H AGED ALLOYS

| Alloy | X-Ray Results  |
|-------|--|
| 103   | L12-type, a. = 3.84 to 3.90 Å  |
| 104   | MC-type, a <sub>o</sub> = 4.32Å<br>Li <sub>2</sub> -type, a <sub>o</sub> = 3.87 to 3.94Å |
| 105   | M <sub>23</sub> C <sub>6</sub> -type, a <sub>o</sub> ≭ 10.58Å                            |
| 106   | One diffraction peak, possible $M_{23}C_6$   |

The powder diffraction data obtained from the annealed filings of the warm-rolled alloys are, with minor variations in amount of precipitates and lattice parameters, rather similar to those given `n Table 47. The only notable exception is alloy 103 in which 5 vol percent of an ordered pha  $\dots^{++}$  `\_--type structure (a. = 3.812Å) was observed in the annealed filings of the warm-rolled

74500

servations presented above show that the physical metallurgy of the The microstrue. Fe-Mn-Al-X-C alloys is quite complex. According to the Fe-Mn-Al ternary diagram given in Figure 108, alloys 103 through 111 were expected to be essentially single-phase austenitic alloys. This is found to be true only for alloy 103, the base composition. Remarkably, small additions of Si, Cr, and Ni to the base composition led to the formation of moderate amounts of ordered bcc phase, which is not anticipated from the Fe-Mn-Al ternary diagram. Note that a more recent Fe-Mn-Al ternary by Chakrabarti (7), given in Figure 121, does predict formation of the ordered bcc phase. However, as noted by this author, ordering was not detectable by X-ray diffraction until the Al content in the alloy is above 35 at.%. Since the Al content in alloys 104 through 111 is no more than half this amount, the observation of the ordered bcc phase in these alloys can only be explained if one assumes that small additions of Si, Cr or Ni reduce significantly the solubility of Al in the Mn-stabilized austenite. Alternatively, the formation of the ordered bcc phase can be rationalized using the Fe-Ni-Al ternary, given in Vigure 122. The approximate position of alloys 104 through 111, assuming Mn behaves like Ni, is indicated by a dot which lies within the austenite and ordered bcc phase field. The formation of the ordered phase with a  $L_{1_2}$ -type structure in alloy 1.3 will be discussed later.

7448C

# TABLE 47. PHASES AND STRUCTURES IN ANNEALED FILINGS OF HOT-ROLLED ALLOYS 103-111\*

| <u>Alloy</u> |   |
|--------------|---|
| 103          | ≥ 99 v/o fcc (a <sub>o</sub> 3.656Å)                                      |
|              | $\leq$ 1 v/o fec M <sub>23</sub> C <sub>6</sub>                           |
| 104          | 55 v/o fcc ( $a_0 = 3.651$ Å)   |
|              | 35-40 v/o ordered bcc (a <sub>o</sub> = 2.886Å)                           |
|              | 10-5 v/o tetragonal sigma phase plus + a phase                            |
|              | $\leq 1$ v/o SiO <sub>2</sub> as hexagonal $\alpha$ -quartz               |
| 105          | 90-95 v/o fcc ( $a_0 = 3.664$ Å)  |
|              | 5 v/o ordered bcc ( $a_0 = 2.888$ Å)                                      |
|              | $\leq$ 3 v/o fcc M <sub>23</sub> C <sub>6</sub> (a <sub>0</sub> = 10.60Å) |
| 109          | 75 v/o fcc (a <sub>o</sub> = 3.653Å)                                      |
|              | 15-20 v/o ordered bcc (a <sub>o</sub> = 2.897Å)                           |
|              | 10-5 v/o tetragonal sigma phase plus a phase (a)                          |
| 107          | 85 v/o fcc (a <sub>o</sub> = 3.642Å)                                      |
|              | 13-15 v/o ordered bcc (a <sub>o</sub> = 2.894Å)                           |
|              | $\leq$ 2 v/o fcc M <sub>23</sub> C <sub>6</sub>                           |
| 108          | 80 v/o fcc ( $a_0 = 3.638$ Å)   |
|              | 18-25 v/o ordered bcc (a <sub>o</sub> = 2.893Å)                           |
|              | $\leq$ 5 v/o tetragonal sigma phase + fcc M <sub>23</sub> C <sub>6</sub>  |
| 109          | 85 v/o fcc ( $a_0 = 3.637$ Å)   |
|              | 10 v/o ordered bcc ( $a_0 = 2.693$ Å)                                     |
|              | 5 v/o fcc M <sub>23</sub> C <sub>6</sub> (a <sub>o</sub> ⊷ 10.56Å)        |
| 110          | 80 v/o fcc $(a_0 = 3.634 \text{\AA})$                                     |
|              | 13-15 v/o ordered bcc (a <sub>o</sub> = 2.891Å)                           |
|              | 4-3 v/o fcc $M_{23}C_{\ell}$  |
|              | 3-2 v/o tetragonal sigma phase  |
| 111          | 70 v/o fcc ( $a_0 = 3.612$ Å)   |
|              | 25-30 v/o ordered bcc (a <sub>o</sub> = 2.899Å)                           |
|              | 5-3 v/o fcc $M_{ee}C_{e}(a = 10.55\text{\AA})$                            |

Phase (a): either with  $Mn_5 Si_3$ -type hexagonal structure or  $Mn_{23}Th_8$ -type fcc structure

7450C

AN AN AND AN AND AND

## TABLE 48. ANALYZED COMPOSITIONS OF POWDER ALLOYS

| Alloy | Fe   | Mn   | Al         | С    | Cr  | Ni    |
|-------|------|------|------------|------|-----|-------|
| 97    |      |      |            |      |     |       |
| Ingot | Bal. | 23.4 | 9.2        | 1.94 |     | _     |
| 97    | Bal. | 24.1 | <b>ə.4</b> | 1.92 |     | _     |
| 101   | Bal. | 39.8 | 5.1        | 1.81 | 5.0 |       |
| 102   | Bal. | 19.6 | 4.8        | 1.74 | 4.9 | 20.6  |
|       |      |      |            |      |     | 7450C |



FDA 318379

NAMES OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION

TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK

Figure 121. Part of 1000°C Ternary Isothermal Section of Mn-Fe-Al System (Chakrabarti, 1977). Within the bcc Phase Field, Alloys Showing CsC1-Type Superlattice Lines Are Indicated By Filled Circles (•), and Disordered Alloys by Open Circles (O). The Approximate Position of the Base Alloy (103) Is Indicated by the (X) in the Austenite Phase Field

It may also be noted that although the precipitation of an ordered bcc phase occurred in most alloys, the hardening produced varied considerably. The rather fine precipitates formed in the Ni-containing alloys caused quite high levels of hardness, but the much coarser precipitates formed in the other alloys produced little or no hardness change.

## Creep Behavior

1200002200022560000

Creep data have been obtained primarily on the cast alloys (alloys 103 through 111) in warm-rolled and hot-rolled conditions. All tests were conducted at 1200°F (650°C) and a stress of 42.5 ksi (293 MPa).



FDA 318380

Figure 122. Fe-Ni-Al Ternary System at 950°C (Bradley, 1949). The Approximate Compositions of Alloys 107 Through 111, Assuming Mn Behaves Like Ni, Is Indicated by the Filled Circle in the  $(\gamma + \beta)$  Phase Field.  $\beta = bcc, \gamma = fcc, \gamma' = Ni_3Al, \beta' = FeAl, NiAl$ 

The creep behavior of warm-rolled and 1200°F (650°C) aged materials is shown in Figure 125 for alloys 103 through 106, and Figure 124 for alloys 107 through 111. For comparison, the creep curve of H46 steel, determined under identical test conditions, was also included in the figures. It can be observed that the creep resistance of the warm-rolled alloys is lower than the H46 steel. The alloys which show the highest hardness, alloy 104 in the Ni-free series, and alloy 111 in the Ni-containing series, exhibit the lowest creep resistance. Thin' foil samples from selected creep specimens for TEM studies have been prepared to determine the cause for this poor performance of the warm-rolled alloys.

Prior to creep test, the hot-rolled a'loys were given a solution heat-treatment at 1900°F (1040°C) for 1 hour followed by an oil quench and then aged at 1200°F (650°C) for 16 hours. The hardness numbers of the hot-rolled Ni-containing alloys after this heat-treatment are Rc 8, 30, 13 and 8 for alloys 107 through 110, respectively, compared with Rc 10 when the solution heat treatment was performed at a higher temperature, 2050°F (1120°C), as in the aging studies.

The hardness of alloy 111 was not changed by the lower solutioning temperature. Creep curves of the hot-rolled Ni-containing alloys, given in Figure 125, show some important differences from the warm-rolled alloys. The hot-rolled alloys show a prominant primary creep



stage followed by a secondary stage with creep rates comparable to H46 steel. The creep resistance of alloy 110 appears to be close to that of H46 steel.

Figure 123. Creep Behavior of Alloys 103 Through 106 in Warm-Rolled, 650°C/16 Hours Aged Condition at 650°C and 293 MPa



Figure 124. Creep Behavior of Alloys 107 Through 111 in Warm-Rolled, 650°C/16 Hours Aged Condition at 650°C and 253 MPa

174



Figure 125. Creep Behavior of Alloys 107 Through 111 in Hot-Rolled, Solution Heat Treated (1038°C/1hr/OQ) and Aged (650°C/16 Hours) Condition at 650°C and 293 MPa

## Fe-Mn-AI Alloys Based on Previous Investigation

Three alloys were chosen for the present studies. Alloy 140, formerly designated BA36-1 in the 1950's, was produced as a small casting and two of its modifications, alloys 67 and 68, were produced as powder which was consolid ded using vacuum hot pressing. The analyzed compositions of the three alloys are given in Table 49 which shows no major deviations from the aim compositions except for the Mn content in alloy 67, which is 16% lower.

| Alloy | Fe   | Mn   | Al          | С    | Cr | Ni   | Si   | Mo   | Nb   | Ti   | v    | B    | W   |
|-------|------|------|-------------|------|----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| 67    | Bal. | 27.0 | 7. <b>9</b> | 0.24 | _  | 6.1  | 0.49 | 2.9  | 0.17 | _    | 0.27 | -    | _   |
| 68    | Bal. | 32.5 | 8.0         | 0.19 |    | છે.1 | 0.48 | 2.7  | 0.22 | 0.16 | . –  | 0.02 |     |
| 140   | Bal. | 32.4 | 6.6         | 0.7  | _  | 3.0  | 0.5  | 1.1_ |      |      | 0.6  | 0.01 | 0.6 |

 TABLE 49.
 ANALYZED COMPOSITIONS, WT%

#### Microstructure (Alloys 67, 68 and 140)

X-ray diffraction analyses indicates that the structures of the loose powders of both alloys 67 and 68 consist primarily of ferrite with a lattice parameter of 2.91Å. However, upon annealing the powders at 1904°F (1040°C) for 2 hours, the structural changes to an approximately equivolume mixture of bcc and fcc phases with lattice parameters of 2.90Å and 3.66Å, respectively. Figure 126 shows the duplex phase structure of alloys 67 and 68 consolidated by vacuum hot pressing at 1904°F (1040°C) at 3000 psi (21 MPa) for 0.5 hour. Full density was achieved in both alloys using this hot pressing condition.

「いっていていてい」

「たちょうです」



**建化化的分离的外心分离的**的形式的复数的

Figure 126. Duplex Phase Microstructures of Powder Compacts of (A) Alloy 67, and (B) Alloy 68 Consolidated by Vacuum Hot Pressing at 1040°C, 3 ksi for 0.5 Hour

The compositions of the bcc and fcc phases in alloy 67 were determined using an analytical electron microscope (AEM), and results are given in Table 50 together with the bulk alloy composition. The partitioning behavior of the alloving elements in the Fe-Mn-Al-X system follows the expected trend except for Ni, which shows a higher concentration in ferrite than in austenite. As a check for the accuracy of AEM results, the alloy composition was calculated from

the phase compositions, and results are presented in Table 49 which show reasonable agreements between the calculated and the actual alloy contents.

|              | Fe   | Mn   | <u>Al</u> | <u> </u> | <u>Si</u> | Ni  | Mo  | Nb   | <u>v</u>   |
|--------------|------|------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----|-----|------|------------|
| Bulk         | Bal. | 27.0 | 7.9       | 0.2      | 0.5       | 6.1 | 2.9 | 0.17 | <b>C.3</b> |
| Ferrite      | Bal. | 23.6 | 12.4      | _        | -         | 8.3 | 2.4 | -    | _          |
| Austenite    | Bal. | 31.0 | 7.0       |          |           | 5.2 | 2.1 | -    |            |
| Bulk (Calc.) | Bal. | 26.5 | 10.3      | _        | -         | 7.1 | 2.3 | -    | _          |

TABLE 50. ANALYZED PHASE COMPOSITIONS OF ALLOY 67, WT %

The third alloy, No. 140, was prepared to verify the reported excellent creep system results from the 1950's, and to characterize the microstructure of the alloy which was not studied in any detail in the previous work. Such characterization was considered essential for insight into design of improved alloys. Alloy 140 creating was hot-rolled under the same conditions as other alloys.

The X-ray results from alloy 140 are summarized in Table 51. The heat treatment No. 1, Table 51, which was that used in the studies during the 1950's, results in a rather inhomogeneous grain structure consisting of recrystallized grains mixed with irregular grains containing a high dislocations density, Figure 127. TEM studies of material in this condition revealed two types of precipitation behavior. In fully recrystallized grains, a homogeneous and dense dispersion of very thin, small precipitates (about 50Å) are observed, Figure 128a. These precipitates are apparently coherent with the austenitic matrix as evidenced by the elastic strain contrast and absence of diffraction effects. In the partially recrystallized grains, which contain dislocation substructure, the precipitates are equiaxed in shape with considerably larger particle size and are less dense, Figure 128b. Preliminary electron diffraction analysis shows that the larger precipitates have a bcc structure and are enriched in refractory metals. However, confirming evidence has not been obtained using X-ray diffractometry as shown in Table 51. To coarsen the precipitates for easier phase structure identification, allow 140 was heat treated at a higher temperature (No. 2 in Table 51). Additional structures were observed after this treatment including a bcc phase and the presence of a small quantity of a phase tentatively identified as a hexagonal MoC. TEM of the material reveals, in addition to the equiaxed particles, the formation of thin plates, Figure 129, which may correspond to the MoC phase identified by X-ray. The plates are enriched in Al and Ni. Interestingly, the dense population of the thin, coherent precipitates could no longer be observed after the higher temperature heat treatment.

## TABLE 51. RESULTS OF PHASE IDENTIFICATION BY X-RAY FROM FILINGS OF ALLOY 140 CRKa RADIATION

ļ

| 3.6596Å)   |
|--|
| ≈95-90 v/o disordered fcc $(a_0 \approx 3.653 \text{Å})$<br>≈5-10 v/o bcc <sup>*</sup> $(a_0 \approx 2.393 \text{Å})$<br>≈≤1 v/o unidentified phase, possibly<br>hexagonal MoC |
|  |

Similar changes are observed after aging at lower temperatures 1200°F (650°C) and 1250°F (675°C) for long times (about 300 hours). It appears that the coherent precipitates may well be

74800

the precursor of the more stable equiaxed particles. As will be shown later, there is an apparent correlation of creep resistance in alloy 140 with the presence of the coherent precipitate.



FD 318384

Figure 127. Microstructure of Alloy 140 After Heat Treatments of 1040°C/1h/OQ and 650°C/16h/AC. Note the Inhomogeneous Grain Structure in This Material



Figure 128. Detailed Microstructures of Alloy 140 With Heat Treatments Described in the Preceding Figure Illustrating (a) Densely Populated Fine Coherent Precipitate in Recrystallized Grains (b) Coarser Particles in Grains Undergoing Recovery and Recrystallization



-7.3.7

Figure 129. Microstructure of Alloy 140 After Aging at 705°C for 75 Hours Illustrating the Presence of a New Phase, A. With a Plate Morphology and Equiaxed Particles, B. The Latter Are Present Also at a Lower Aging Temperature. The Fine Coherent Precipitate Which Forms at a Lower Aging Temperature Can No Longer be Observed (See Figure 128)

#### Creep Behavior

Compressive creep tests on alloys 67 and 68 were performed at 1200°F (650°C) and a stress of 425 ksi (293 MPa). The minimum creep rates of these alloys are  $2.57 \times 10^{-2}$ h<sup>-1</sup> and  $3.82 \times 10^{-2}$ h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively which are considerably higher than those observed in some of the Fe-Mn-Al alloys studied in a previous P&W program. The factors which contribute to the poor creep resistance in these two powder alloys were considered to be the fine grain structure, and the presence of a substantial amount of bcc phase. Based on the creep results, further study of these two alloys will not be continued.

The microstructural studies on alloy 140 indicated formation of at least three different precipitate phases in this alloy after different heat treatments. To determine the effects of these phases on the creep behavior of alloy 140, creep testing was conducted on a series of specimens which had been given aging treatments of  $1200^{\circ}F$  (650°C) for 16 hours,  $1250^{\circ}F$  (675°C) for 290 hours and  $1300^{\circ}F$  (705°C) for 100 hours, respectively. Results, given in Figure 130, show the highest creep resistance to be associated with the formation of the coherent precipitate in the  $1200^{\circ}F$  (650°C)/16 hours aging treatment. The creep resistance decreases drastically when the coherent precipitates are replaced by the thin plate phase after prolonged aging/exposure at  $1200^{\circ}F$  (650°C) and above.



Figure 130. Creep Behavior of Alloy 140 at 650°C and 293 MPa After Various Aging Heat Treatments Indicated. Solution Heat Treatment 1040°C/1h/OQ

#### Fe-Mn-Al-C Alloys --- First Series

The analyzed compositions of alloys 97, 101, and 102 are given in Table 52. All three alloy compositions have been predicted by recent Japanese work (Reference 3) to result in a single phase with an ordered fcc  $L1_2$ -type structure under rapid solidification conditions, as previously shown in Figure 109. Alloy 97 was produced using the RSR powder process, and alloys 101 and 102 were vacuum atomized at Homogeneous Metals, Inc. (HMI).

| Alloy | Fe          | Mn   | Al  | С    | Cr  | Ni   | Si | Mo | Nb       | Ti | v | B |
|-------|-------------|------|-----|------|-----|------|----|----|----------|----|---|---|
| 97    | <b>D</b> _1 | 00.4 |     | 1.04 |     |      |    |    |          |    |   |   |
| ingot | Bai.        | 23.4 | 9.2 | 1.94 | -   | -    | -  | -  | -        |    | - |   |
| 97    | Bai.        | 24.1 | 9.4 | 1.92 | -   | -    | _  | -  | ~-       |    | - | _ |
| 101   | Bal.        | 39.8 | 5.1 | 1.81 | 5.0 | -    |    | -  | <u> </u> | -  | - | - |
| 102   | Bal.        | 19.6 | 4.8 | 1.74 | 4.9 | 20.6 |    |    |          |    |   |   |

TABLE 52. ANALYZED COMPOSITIONS OF POWDER ALLOYS

## Microstructure of Alloy 97

Alloy 97 was studied in ingot form, as-received powder, and consolidated powder. The ingot microstructure was characterized to provide structural information on the material after a slow solidification sequence. In this case, a sample was taken from the core region of the ingot subsequently remelted to make powder.

An optical micrograph of an alloy 97 ingot sample, Figure 131, shows coarse grains within which a very fine "basket weave" microstructure can be observed. The details of the ingot microstructure, illustrated by the transmission electron micrograph in Figure 132, shows a somewhat irregular toctangular blocky phase distribution similar to that observed by the Japanese workers in the material rapidly quenched from the melt. Further, the rectangular pattern is oriented in <100> directions, a feature also reported by the Japanese workers. The origin of the rectangular pattern will be discussed later. Electron diffraction patterns with some axes of [001], [110], [211], and [301] are given in Figure 133. Indexing of these patterns is consistent with the phase being an ordered fcc with  $L1_2$ -type structure. Definitive evidence of ordering in the Alloy 97 ingot sample was also obtained from X-ray powder diffraction data on filings (annealed at 1900°F (1040°C) for 4 hours in a vacuum). The X-ray data in Table 53 show the presence of two fcc phases with lattice parameters of 3.672°Å and 3.781°Å. The phase with the larger lattice constant is ordered and constitutes 30 to 40 volume percent in the ingot sample.



FD 318388

Figure 131. Grain Structure in Alloy 97 Ingot

74486

The presence of the ordered phase in the ingot samples was a major surprise as Inone et al., had described the ordered  $L1_2$ -type phase as a nonequilibrium phase with its occurrence restricted to conditions of rapid solidification. It seems clear from the present work that the  $L1_2$ type phase also occurs as an equilibrium phase, which has important implications for the stability of these alloys and their creep resistance. Since neither Fe nor Mn forms an  $L1_2$ -type compound with Al, it is logical to assume that the observed  $L1_2$ -type phase in alloy 97 is a ternary compound involving carbon. A ternary compound, which bears a close relationship to the ordered phases of the  $L1_2$ -type, is characterized by the general formula  $T_3BC_x(x = 0.3 \text{ to } 1)$ . About 40 of these compounds have been identified by Stadelmaier (Reference 13). Compounds of present interest consist of Fe, Mn, and Ni as the T (transition) elements, and Al as the B element. An ideal structure of the ternary compound in which x = 1 is shown in Figure 134. In this ideal (perovskite) structure, the Al atoms occupy the unit cell corners, the transition element atoms occupy the face centers, and the C atoms occupy the octahedral positions in the centers of the cells. One of the ternary compounds which exhibits the ideal structure is Mn\_AlC. 

Figure 132. Transmission Electron Micrograph of Alloy 97 Ingot Showing the Ordered fcc Phase. The White Rectangular Pattern Was Believed To Be the Boundaries of Ordered Domains (Ref. 3)

The phase fields of the ternary compounds of present interest have been summarized from the work of Stadelmaier by Rosen and Sprange (Reference 14), and are given in Figure 135 which may have an important implication on the stability of mixed transition element ternary compounds. If one can assume continuous phase fields for such compounds, then replacing Fe with Mn requires a decrease of Al and an increase of C, whereas replacing Fe with Ni requires an increase of Al and a decrease in C for stability.

The formation of the ordered  $L1_2$ -type phase as an equilibrium phase can be expected from the Fe-Al-C ternary, shown in Figure 136. The position of a ternary alloy, which has the same Al and C contents as alloy 97, is indicated by point 1 in Figure 136 in the three-phase field consisting of ferrite (a), austenite ( $\gamma$ ) and perovskite ( $\epsilon$ ). If the substitution of Fe by Mn can be assumed to have a similar effect as increasing the carbon content with the resulting elimination of the ferrite phase, the formation of phases with the disordered fice and  $L1_2$ -type structure in alloy 97 can be understood. Similarly, the observation in alloy 103, indicated by point 2 in Figure 136, of the  $L1_2$ -type ordered phase in the austenitic matrix can be rationalized on the same basis. These observations obviously have important implications for formulating Fe-Mn-Al austenitic alloys containing  $L1_2$ -type precipitate.

Turning now to the characterization of the materials in the powder form, an optical micrograph of alloy 97 R 3R powder in the as-received condition, Figure 137a, shows the usual dendritic structure. X-ray diffraction analysis of the powder indicated a single austenitic phase with lattice constant of 3.708°Å. Transmission electron micrographs obtained from the powder particle, Figure 137b, reveal a single phase with no discernible rectangular pattern observed in the relatively slowly solidified ingot material. The structure of the powder particles was determined by electron diffraction to be a weakly ordered fcc with  $L1_2$ -type lattice. Note that the linear features in Figure 137b are probably slip bands resulting from deformation of the powder particles during the thin foil preparation process.





FD 243628

Figure 133. Selected Area Diffraction Patterns of Alloy 97 Ingot With Zone Axes (a) [001], (b) [011], (c) [112], and (d) [013]

| TABLE 53. | X-RAY | DIFFRACTION | DATA  | OF   | ALLOY | 97 | INGOT | SAMPLE |
|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|------|-------|----|-------|--------|
|           |       | ANNEALI     | ED AT | 104( | D.C   |    |       |        |
|           |       | FOR         | 4 HOU | RS   |       |    |       |        |

| d Spacing<br>nm | (hki)                      | a<br>nm | 1/I <sub>o</sub> * | Structure   |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|
| 0.3778          | 100                        | 0.3778  | 0.121              | Ordered fcc |
| 0.2675          | 110                        | 0.3783  | 0.021              | Ordered fcc |
| 0.2183          | 111                        | 0.3 781 | 1.000              | Ordered fcc |
| 0.2120          | 111                        | 0.3672  | 1.000              | fcc         |
| 0.1891          | 200                        | 0.3782  | 0.395              | Ordered fcc |
| 0.1835          | 200                        | 0.3670  | 0.284              | fcc         |
| 0.1 <b>691</b>  | 210                        | 0.3781  | 0.026              | Ordered fcc |
| 0.1337          | 220                        | 0.3782  | 0.316              | Ordered fcc |
| 0.1297          | 220                        | 0.3668  | 0.209              | fcc         |
| * Intensitie    | s are based<br>intensities | on peak | heights not        | on          |
|                 |                            |         |                    |             |



Figure 134. Unit Cell of T<sub>3</sub>BC



Figure 135. Phase Fields of Some Ternary T<sub>3</sub>AlC<sub>x</sub> Compounds

Alloy 97 powder was consolidated by hot isostatic pressing at 1900°F (1040°C) and 15 ksi (100 MPs). The powder compact appeared metallographically to be fully dense. However, cracks were observed in the powder compact in the as-consolidated condition. The origin of cracks was considered to be due to the poor inter-particulate bonding. Similar situations have been observed in other iron-aluminide studies (Reference 15), and were rectified by consolidating the powder by extrusion. The optical micrograph, Figure 138, shows the fine-grained structure in the powder compact. Phase structures of the compacted material, determined by X-ray analysis, consist of about 70 volume % austenite with a lattice parameter of  $3.678^{\circ}$ Å and the remainder an ordered L1<sub>2</sub>-type phase with a lattice parameter of  $3.764^{\circ}$ Å. These results are virtually identical with those determined from the ingot sample. The distribution of the phases was studied by TEM techniques and the results, illustrated in Figure 139a, show an elongated phase in a matrix consisting of very fine rectangual patterns. The structure of the elongated phase was determined to be a L1<sub>2</sub>-type phase by selected area diffraction technique. Detailed examination of the matrix, shown in Figure 139b, revealed a modulating phase structure with a wavelength of about  $360^{\circ}$ Å. The  $L1_2$ -type diffraction patterns were also obtained from the matrix, indicating the presence of either isomorphous-ordered fcc phases or coherent-ordered and disordered fcc phases in a modulated structure. However, only the latter is consistent with the X-ray data. The composition of the elongated phase determined by EDS analysis is 50 6Fe, 28.2Mn, and 21.2Al in at.%, which is close to the stoichiometric composition (Fe, Mn)<sub>3</sub>Al; carbon is not detectable by the EDS technique used. The elongated phase is, therefore, considered a perovskite carbide.



FDA 318393

からからのでの

Figure 136. Isothermal Section Through the Fe-Al-C Phase Diagram at 1000°C. After Morral, 1934\*\*\*. The Positions of Alloys Which Have the Same Al and C Contents as Alloys 97 and 103 Are Indicated by the Filled Circles 1 and 2, Respectively. a Ferrite,  $\gamma$  Austenite,  $\varepsilon$  = Perovskite

The Japanese workers explained the formation of the modulating structure in terms of ordered domain boundaries. In view of the observations made in the present studies, a different explanation is offered. It is proposed that the modulating structure was produced by spinodal decomposition of an initially homogeneous solid solution into ordered and disordered fcc phases. An approximation to the homogeneous solid solution has, in fact, been observed in the RSR powder particles, shown in Figure 137b. Since spinodal decomposition cannot be completely suppressed, irrespective of the quenching rate, clusters of ordered regions must have been present in the RSR powder particles resulting in the very weak superlattice reflections observed in the electron diffraction patterns. Heat treatment of a spinodal alloy above the spinodal temperature results in classical nucleation and growth of the precipitate phase. This apparently occurred in alloy 97 during consolidation at 1900°F (1040°C) resulting in formation of the elongated perovskite carbide, Figure 139a. Spinodal decomposition occurred subsequently during cooling of the powder compact and resulted in the modulated phase structure. Other microstructural features observed in alloy 97 favoring the spinodal origin of the modulated structure include similarity in structures of the matrix and precipitate phases and the <001> directions of the modulation which is an elastically soft direction in fcc structure.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> F. R. Morral: Iron and Steel Inst. J., 1934, Vol. 130, p. 419.



 $\mathbb{C}$ 

Figure 137. Microstructure of Alloy 97 RSR Powder (a) Optical Micrograph Showing the Usual Dendritic Structure, (b) Transmission Electron Micrograph Illustrating That the RSR Powder Particle Is Essentially a Single Phase Solid Solution

The COURT

K

Ri

 $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{N}} \in \mathcal{X}$ 





3.

Carlos Carlos

FD 316060

188



Figure 139. Transmission Electron Micrographs of Consolidated Powder Alloy 97 (a) Elongated L1<sub>2</sub>-Type Ordered Precipitates in a Matrix With Modulating Contrast, (b) Modulating Structure Shown in a High Magnification, (c) Modulating Structure Imaged Using a Superlattice Reflection

FD 3:8396

#### Microstructure of Alloys 101 and 102

The microstructure of the powder alloys 101 and 102 after HIP at 1900°F (1040°C) for three hours is shown in Figures 138b and 138c. As in the case of alloy 97, cracks have also been observed in these alloys in the as-consolidated conditions. The interparticulate nature of the crack can be clearly observed in alloy 101. The structural data, obtained by X-ray analysis, are summarized in Table 54. Note that both alloys have nominally the same compositions; the only difference is that half of the Mn is substituted by Ni in alloy 102. The effect of Ni in promoting formation of the ordered bcc phase with a CsC1-type structure is evident by comparing the phase structures of the as-received powder and the compacted pewder between these two alloys. The structure of the as-received powder in alloy 101 is a single-phase aurtenite while an ordered bcc phase was present in small quantities in the as-received powder and in much larger amounts in the consolidated powder of alloy 102. The  $M_{23}C_6$ -type carbide was the only precipitate observed in the consolidated powder of alloy 101.

## TABLE 54. STRUCTURAL DATA OF ALLOYS 101 AND 102 OBTAINED BY X-RAY DIFFRACTION ANALYSIS

| Alloy                                      | Form                     | Structure and Phase  |
|--|--------------------------|--|
| 101<br>Fe-40Mn-5Al<br>-5Cr-2C              | -325 Mesh Powder         | Single phase austenite, $a_0 \approx 3.695 \text{\AA}$ ;<br>no evidence of orderi::g |
|  | Consolidated Powder*     | ∼ 90-95 v/o austenite, $a_0$ ~ 3.674Å.<br>~ 10-5 v/o fcc $M_{23}C_6$ .               |
| 102<br>Fe-19.7Mn-21.1Ni<br>-4.9Al-4.9Cr-2C | -325 Mesh Powder         | ≈ 93-97 v/o austenite, $a_o \approx 3.661$ Å;<br>no evidece of ordering.             |
|  |                          | ≈ 7-3 v/o bec.   |
|  | Consolidated Powder*     | ≈ 80-85 v/o austenite, a <sub>o</sub> ≈ 3.624Å                                       |
|  |                          | $\approx$ 15 10 v/o bcc, a <sub>o</sub> = 92 2.892Å                                  |
|  | ains at 1040°C under 100 | $\leq 5$ v/o possible Cr <sub>7</sub> C <sub>3</sub> (hexagonal)                     |

The above microstructural observations indicate that an ordered bcc phase with B2 structure and/or  $M_{23}C_6$ ,  $Cr_7C_3$ -type carbides were formed in preference to the perovskite phase when Cr and Ni were added to the base composition (alloy 97), a trend consistent with that of the cast and wrought Fe-Mn-Al alloys described in the microstructure subsection. As previously discussed in the Fe-Mn-Al alloy creep behavior subsection, the ordered bcc precipitate resulted in low creep resistance. Thus, alloys 101 and 102 would not be studied further.

## Creep

The cracking problems in the powder compacts of alloys 97, 101, and 102 precluded the evaluation of these alloys in powder form. Alloy 97 was therefore tested in ingot form in the ascast condition and after a heat treatment for 4 hours at  $1900^{\circ}F(1040^{\circ}C)$ , which is a thermal cycle used for powder consolidation. The creep tests were conducted at  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  and a stress of 42.5 ksi (290 MPa). The creep behavior of the alloy in these two conditions was determined to be practically the same. Creep curve for the as-cast material, shown in Figure 140, shows that the creep resistance of alloy 97 is considerably better than H46 steel. The good creep resistance of alloy 97 was attributed to the formation of uniform fine-scale coherent perovskite precipitate.



FDA 318397

Figure 140. Creep Behavior of Alloy 97 in As-Cast Condition at 650°C and 290 MPa

#### Fe-Min-AI-C Alloys --- Second Series

ビアア・イイトナイ

Interest to further develop the austinite-perovskite alloys stemmed from several key observations derived primarily from alloy 97.

- A ternary compound (FeMn)<sub>3</sub>AlC<sub>x</sub> with the perovskite (CaTiO<sub>3</sub>) structure can be produced in the austenitic matrix of Fe-Mn-Al-C alloys under equilibrium conditions. The perovskite carbide is an ordered fcc compound structurally similar to the gamma prime phase in Ni-base superalloys. Because of these similarities, it was anticipated that the austenite-perovskite alloys would also possess the desirable characteristics of the superalloys. In fact, the austenite-perovskite alloy studied (No. 97) exhibited the highest creep resistance of all the Fe-Mn-Al-C-X alloys tested during the first year of this program.
- The precipitation behavior of the perovskite carbide in alloy 97 exhibited several features characteristic of spinodal decomposition, including a modulated structure with phase aligned along <001> directions. Spinodal alloys can result in fine-scale coherent precipitates with considerable stability to coarsening.

The scope for formulation of a second alloy series was found to be constrained by the observation that an ordered bcc phase with FeAl-type structure was formed in preference to the perovskite carbide when Cr, Si, Ni were added (2-5 wt%) to the base composition. The ordered bcc compound resulted in lower creep resistance. These alloying additions also resulted in the formation of  $M_{23}C_6$ -type carbides. To circumvent the stabilization of ferrite and MC-type carbide, additions of elements such as Ti, V, Mo, etc., were, in general, excluded from the present alloy series. This consideration severely limited the choice of alloying elements. Thus, the

primary goal of the alloy series, Table 55. evaluated in the second year of the program was to optimize the base composition with respect to the Mn and Al contents, using creep resistance as the major criterion.

| Alloy | Fe   | Mn   | Al   | С   | Other           | Comment  |
|-------|------|------|------|-----|-----------------|--|
| 97    | Bal. | 23.1 | 9.1  | 2.0 | _               | Base composition   |
| 141   | Bal. | 18.0 | 9.0  | 2.0 | 5% Co           | Co substituted for Mn to reduce<br>perovskite lattice parameter. |
| 145   | Bal. | 0.0  | 7.5  | 2.0 | <b>_</b> .      |  |
| 142   | Bal. | 13.0 | 9.0  | 2.0 |                 | Mn content increases   |
| 154   | Bal. | 30.0 | 9.0  | 2.0 |                 | systematically.  |
| 143   | Bal. | 40.0 | 9.0  | 2.0 | _               | •  |
| 144   | Bal. | 23.0 | 8.0  | 2.0 | <u>—</u>        | Low Al content   |
| 146   | Bal. | 20.0 | 10.5 | 3.0 | _               | High Al and C content.   |
| 155   | Bel. | 30.0 | 9.0  | 2.0 | 1% Mo,<br>0.5%W | Alloy 154 + Mo and W   |

TABLE 55. AUSTENITE - PEROVSKITE ALLOYS NOMINAL COMPOSITION (Wt%)

In alloys 145, 142, 154, and 143 the Mn content was systematically increased from 0% to a maximum of 40 wt%. In Alloy 146 the Al content was increased to 10.5 wt%, and to offset the rerrite forming tendency of Al, the C content was simultaneously increased to 3.0 wt%. To control the number of compositional variables in other alloys, the carbon content was kept constant at 2 wt%. This carbon level would theoretically produce a maximum of 35% perovskite carbide assuming all the carbon atoms reside in the carbide phase.

Cobalt was added (alloy 141) as it could be beneficial in promoting coherency between the perovskite structure and the austenite matrix by changing the lattice parameter. Substitution of Fe or Mn should reduce the parameter of the ordered phase and, therefore, result in better lattice registry with the matrix.

The analyzed compositions of the alloys in this series are given in Table 56, which show that most of the values are close to the aim chemistries. However, relatively large deviations can be observed for several alloy: in the autenite-perovskite series. Alloys 141 and 145 are about 0.5% Al deficient, and alloys 97 and 154, 0.6%C deficient relative to aim. The most serious deviations can be noted in alloy 143. These deviations will again be noted in subsequent sections.

| Alloy       | Fe    | Mn   | .41  | <u>c</u> | Other            |
|-------------|-------|------|------|----------|------------------|
| 97          | Bal.  | 0.00 | 9.2  | 1.35     |                  |
| i <b>41</b> | But.  | 15.2 | 8.4  | 1.93     | 5.2 Co           |
| 145         | Bel.  |      | 7.0  | 2.12     | _                |
| 142         | દેવી. | 13.2 | 8.3  | 2.08     | -                |
| 154         | Bal.  | 29.9 | 9.1  | 1.45     |                  |
| 143         | Bal.  | 19.8 | 11.3 | 3.10     |                  |
| 144         | Bal.  | 22.6 | 7.7  | 2.04     |                  |
| 145         | Bal.  | 19.4 | 10.2 | 3.00     | <u> </u>         |
| 155         | Bal.  | 30.0 | 9.2  | 2.04     | 1.0 Mo, 0.7<br>W |
|             |       |      |      |          | 744              |

## TABLE 56. ANALYZED COMPOSITIONS OF ALLOYS Wt% Austenite-Perovskite Series

#### Workability

7448C

With the exception of alloys 143 and 155, all the alloys in the austenite-perovskite series were successfully rolled to 60% reduction at 2100°F (1150°C). Alloy 143 appeared to be extremely

brittle as the inget cracked during removal from the mold and again during heating prior to rolling. The basic causes for the poor workability of alloys 143 and 155 are unknown but the compositional factors can be observed from Table 55. By comparing alloy 143 with alloy 146, and alloy 155 with alloy 154 and with alloy 140, it is seen that the brittleness in alloy 143 can be attributed to an increase of Al content from 10.2 to 11.3%. In the case of alloy 155 the poor workability was probably attributable jointly to the high Al and C content and the refractory metal additions.

#### Microstructure

Because of its interesting microstructural characteristics and good creep resistance, the alloys in this series, Tables 55 and 56, were studied in more detail than alloys in other series. X-ray diffraction analyses revealed that, with the exception of alloy 145, the phases present in alloys of this series were predominantly austenite and perovskite carbide. The phases present in alloy 145 were determined to be ferrite and perovskite carbide. The formation of ferrite may not be unexpected in the absence of Mn, although the Fe-Al-C ternary phase diagram places this alloy composition within the austenite plus perovskite phase field at 1830°F (1000°C). This observation clearly shows that the equilibrium phases present in Fe-Mn-Al-C alloys include austenite, ferrite and perovskite carbide. Increasing the Mn content and/or higher temperatures favor formation of austenite over ferrite. Because of the poor creep resistance associated with formation of bcc structures, interest for high temperature applications centers on alloys containing higher Mn levels, typically over 20%.

Although the phase structures of alloys in this series, with the exception of alloy 145 noted previously, are similar, the morphology and distribution of the perovskite carbides are rather different depending on the Mn content. The microstructures of high Mn alloys are typified by alloys 97, 154, and 155, and those for the low Mn alloys by alloy 142. In the following paragraphs the microstructures of these alloys will be described in detail.

Alloy 97, the baseline composition, was analyzed carefully with the objectives of elucidating the precipitation mechanism and optimizing heat treatment with respect to creep resistance. Figure 141 shows transmission electron micrographs of alloy 97 after a solution treatment of 2100°F (1150°C) for 5 minutes followed by quenching in oil. The alloy appears to be a single phase in the bright field micrograph, Figure 141a, but does exhibit superlattice reflections, Figure 141c, from an  $L_{12}$ -type lattice. Dark field imaging with a superlattice reflection (Figure 141b) clearly shows the presence of very fine ordered particles. Similar microstructural features were observed when alloy 97 was guenched from 1900°F (1040°C). These observations indicate that precipitation occurred during the quench, and at temperatures below 1900°F (1040°C). After solution and isothermal aging treatments at 1200°F (650°C) and 1400°F (760°C), a prominent microstructural feature of alloy 97, shown in Figure 142, is a discontinuous phase reaction occurring preferentially at grain boundary regions. The cellular colonies are shown in greater detail in Figure 142c. Microelectron diffraction analysis revealed that the structures of the constituent phases, Figure 143, comprising the colonies are disordered bcc (ferrite) and L12-type (perovskite carbide). The precipitate within the grains in isofuermally-aged materials are distributed homogeneously, and are crystallographically aligned, producing various tweed patterns shown by the secondary electron micrographs in Figure 144. Considerably more coarsening of the precipitate occurred at 1400°F (760°C), Figure 144b, than at 1200°F (650°C), Figure 144a. The morphology of the precipitate particles in the 1200°F (650°C) aged material, shown by the seondary electron micrograph and the dark field transmission electron micrograph in Figures 145a and 145b, respectively, appear to be rectangular and tend to coalesce preferentially glong certain crystallographic directions which have been determined to be <001>. Electron diffraction analysis showed that the particles are the perovskite carbide phase which precipitated coherently in the austenitic matrix. The cause for the poor contrast in Figure 145b is considered to be the coherency strain resulting from a large lattice mismatch between the austenite and the perovskite carbide phases (about 3%).



and the second second

1997-1976 B

Figure 141. Electron Micrographs of Alloys 97 After a Solution Treatment at 1150°C (2100°F) for 5 Minutes Followed by Quenching in Oil. (a) Bright Field (b) Dark Field Using a Superlattice Reflection (c) Selected Area Diffraction Pattern, <001> Zone Axis


FD 318399

Figure 142. Optical Micrographs of Alloy 97 After a Solution Treatment at 1150°C (2100°F) for 5 Minutes Followed by Quenching in Oil and Aging at (a) 650°C for 24 Hours (b) 760°C for 8 Hours (c) Illustrates the Grain Boundary Microstructure Resulting from Heat Treatments in (a) and (b)



で、 「シット」の「東京としていた」またなどの2014年メリアノアンは「東京としていた」「東京の人ののなどは1000日の1500年代のの2015年代の人の2014年の2014年代の人の2015年代が

Figure 143. Electron Micrograph of the Lamellar Structure at Grain Boundary Regions in Alloy 97. The Dark and Light Phases Were Identified, Using Microelectron Diffraction Techniques, as Perovskite Carbide and Ferrite, Respectively



. 1

Figure 144. Secondary Electron Micrographs of Alloy 97 Illustrating the Modulated Phase Structures Resulting from Isothermal Aging Treatments at (a) 650°C for 24 Hours (b) 760°C for 8 Hours

7448C

EX C



X

11

Figure 145. Micrographs of Alloy 97 After the 650°C/24 Hour Aging Treatment Illustrating the Modulated Phase Structure in Greater Detail (a) Secondary Electron Image Showing Square and Rectangular Cross Sections of the Perovskite Particles (b) Dark Field Transmission Electron Micrography Imaged With a Superlattice Reflection. The Perovskite Carbide Particles Are Aligned Along <001> Directions

X2101010101.2020

The microstructural features and precipitation behavior described above and the structural similarity of the austenite and perovskite phases strongly suggest that the precipitation in alloy 97 is by spinodal decomposition. Further supporting evidence was reported most recently by Korean workers (Reference 16) who studied, using X-ray diffraction techniques, early stages of precipitation in Fe-Mn-Al-C alloys similar in composition to alloy 97. A sidebanding phenomenon characteristic of initial phase separation in spinodal systems was reported by these workers. It is therefore considered established tight alloy 97 precipitates by a spinodal decomposition mechanism. From the limited heat treatment studies performed, the spinodal temperature of alloy 97 is estimated to be about  $1850^{\circ}F$  ( $1010^{\circ}C$ ).

The observation of discontinuous phase coarsening reaction in spinodal systems is not new. It has been reported in systems such as Cu-Ni-Fe (Reference 17) and Cu-Ni-Sn (Reference 18). The formation of a different phase mixture by the cellular transformation is not so common. However, the discontinuous precipitation behavior in spinodal systems appears to have a common origin, initially involving a loss of coherency with the matrix because of a large difference in lattice mismatch and/or composition. It is considered that controlling the wavelength of the phase separation will be important in achieving a large difference in composition without a loss of coherency and possibly suppressing the grain boundary reaction (Reference 17). DeFontain (Reference 19) and Ditchek (Reference 20) have developed a criterion for the loss of coherency in modulated structures. It requires that as the misfit between two adjacent phases increases, the spinodal wavelength necessary to maintain coherency decreases. Thus, it is predicted that short wavelengths allow the two phases to be coherent with a large difference in composition. To achieve this desired condition, one obvious method is simply to age the solution treated spinodal material at a low temparature for a long period of time. This method is usually impractical because an excessively long aging time is involved. As an alternative, a step-aging heat treatment was proposed by Kubarych et al. (Reference 17) which involves initially aging at a relatively high temperature for a short time sufficient for phase separation to be complete without coarsening of the spinodal microstructure. This aging procedure is repeated for successively lower temperatures. The proper aging time for each selected temperature in the aging sequence is determined, in separate experiments, by noting the age hardening response of the spinodal material. The step-aging treatment takes advantage of the fast diffusivities at high temperatures and drastically reduces the time to achieve a given phase separation compared with isothermal aging at a low temperature. A variation of the stepaging treatment, which is simpler in practice, is continuous cooling in a furnace with a reduced power level.

Based on the above considerations, alloy 97 was given a slow cool heat treatment consisting of a solution treatment at 1900°F (1040°C) for 10 minutes followed by cooling in the furnace to 1500°F (815°C) at an average rate of about 2.5°C per minute and air cooling from 1500°F (815°C) to room temperaure. The furnace-cooled microstructure of alloy 97, illustrated by optical micrograph in Figure 146, appears to be a single-phase material because the modulated structure was too fine to be resolved. Such structures will be shown in detail later in derivative alloys 154 and 155. An important difference is the absence of discontinuous phase reaction in the furnace cooled material in strong contrast to the isothermally aged material (Figure 142). Although cellular microstructure was not present in alloy 97 after the furnace cool heat treatment, creep testing of the treated material at 1200°F (650°C) resulted in gradual formation of this microstructure, shown in Figure 147, during the test and led to deterioration of creep resistance. It was clear that heat treatment alone could not stabilize the spinodal microstructure in alloy 97 against the grain boundary cellular reaction. Since the grain boundary heterogeneity in alloy 97 involves an austenite-to-ferrite transformation, the creation of a more stable austenite could be considered beneficial. This was the reason for the selection of alloys 154 and 155 which contain a higher Mn content. Figure 148 shows the microstructures of alloy 154 after a solution treatment at 1900°F (1040°C) and after the furnace cool heat treatment. In the solution heat treated condition, the microstructure, Figure 148a, appears to be a single phase. Precipitation and

coarsening of particles at grain boundaries and within the grains are evident after the furnace cool heat treatment, Figure 148b. These particles, identified as perovskite carbide by electron diffraction, are shown in greater detail in the secondary electron image given in Figure 148c. The matrix of the furnace cool material consists of a very fine modulated austenite perovskite structure illustrated by transmission electron micrograph shown in Figure 149a. Some coarsening of the modulated structure occurred heterogeneously during the furnace cooling and resulted in patches of <100> aligned arrays of cuboidal particles, Figure 149. To determine the stability of this higher Mn alloy against the grain boundary cellular reaction, the furnace cooled material was exposed at 650°C for 100 hours. The microstructure, illustrated in Figure 146, shows an apparent discontinuous precipitation reaction at grain boundary regions which is in fact coarsening of perovskite carbide particles. The blocky perovskite particles at grain boundaries and patches of coarsened modulated structure within the grains are shown in detail in Figure 150c. As will be shown later, the improved phase stability in alloy 154 leads to higher creep resistance.



FD 318403

# Figure 146. Optical Micrograph of Alloy 97 After a Furnace-Cool Heat Treatment from 1040°C to 815°C at an Average Rate of 2.5°C per Minute

Alloy 155 has similar Mn content as alloy 154 but contains, in addition, small amounts of Mo and W. It was observed that the small refractory metal additions produced a significant increase in the spinodal temperature. This is evident from the microstructure of this alloy after the similar solution treatment as that for alloys 97 and 154. Instead of a single phase microstructure, a "basket weave" precipitate pattern shown in Figure 151a was observed probably as a result of coarsening of preexisting spinodal structure during the solution treatment. Solution treatment at 2000°F (1095°C), followed by oil quenching, showed a single phase structure Figure 151b. From the limited heat treatment studies conducted on this alloy, the spinodal temperature is estimated to be about 1950°F (1060°C), 125°F (50°C) higher than alloys 97 and 154. Consequently a higher solution temperature 2000° if (1095°C) was used for alloy 155, and the material was furnace cooled from this temperature to about 1500°F (815°C). The furnace-cooled microstructure is shown in Figures 152 and 153. A prominent "basket weave" microstructure is again observed which must have developed by coarsening of the spinodal structure during the furnace cooling. The various stages of coarsening of the modulated structure and coalescence of the correspond particles to form <001> aligned arrays are shown in Figure 153. The rapid coarsening of the modulated structure in alloy 155 compared with alloy 97 and 154 is simply due to the higher temperatures, thus, faster diffusivities, involved during the furnace cooling. The amount of intragranular coarsening can be reduced, if deemed desirable, by

furnace cooling at a temperature lower than that for the solution treatment. The higher spinodal temperature in alloy 155 results in improved stability of the modulated structure at low temperatures and elimination of the cellular reaction. As will be shown, alloy 155 has the best creep resistance of all Fe-Mn-Al alloys tested.



FD 318404

Figure 147. Optical Micrographs of Alloy 97 After the Furnace-Cool Treatment Described in the Preceding Figure with Additional Exposure at 650°C for 50 Hours. Note the Presence of Lamellar Colonies at Grain Boundary Regions Which Were Produced During the 650°C Exposure



Figure 148. Microstructures of Alloy 154 After Solution Treatment of 1040°C/1h/OQ (a), Reheated to 1040°C and Furnace Cooled to 815°C at an Average Rate of 2.5C per Minute (b) (c) Detailed Microstructure Resulting from Heat Treatment (b) Illustrating the Blocky Perovskite Carbide at Grain Boundary Regions and Coarsening of the Modulated Structure in Certain Regions Within the Grains





Figure 149. Transmission Electron Micrographs of Alloy 154 After the Furnace Cool-Heat Treatment Described in the Preceding Figure Illustrating (a) the Very Fine Modulated Structure Produced in the Grains (b) Coarsened Modulated Structure in Certain Regions of the Grains Shown in the Preceding Figure

7448C



Figure 150. Microstructure of the Furnace-Cooled Alloy 154 After Additional Exposure at 650°C for 100 Hours. The Apparent Discontinuous Precipitation at Grain Boundary Regions Shown in (a) and (b) Is in Fact Coarsening of Perovskite Carbide Particles. (c) Illustrates the Blocky Perovskite Carbide at Grain Boundaries and Extensive Coarsening of the Modulated Structure

See.



.

area starters areas analysed strategy societies by and the second in and and and and and and all a

Figure 151. Microstructures of Alloy 155 After (a) "Solution" Treatment of 1040°C/1h/OQ (b) Solution Treatment of 1095°C/1h/OQ



Figure 152. Microstructure of Alloy 155 After Heat Treatment at 1095°C for 1 Hour Followed by Cooling to 815°C at an Average Rate of About 2.5°C Per Minute. Note the Rapid Coarsening of the Modulated Structure During the Slow Cooling from 1095°C



Figure 153. Transmission Electron Micrograph Illustrating the Modulated Structure in Alloy 155 After the Slow-Cool Heat Treatment Described in the Preceding Figure

At the other end of the microstructural spectrum in the custenite-perovskite series is the highly uniform microduplex structure exhibited by alloy 142 after the furnace cool heat treatment (Figure 154). The perovskite particles have an equiaxed shape and are located preferentially at the austenite grain boundaries. The particle/grain diameter is about 10 microns. The reasons for the formation of a microduplex structure in alloy 142 are not completely clear. Similar microstructure has been observed in a Cu-Ni-Fe spinodal material which was rolled and annealed, in which the formation of the microduplex structure was attributed to: (1) coarsening and coagulation of Ni-rich phases, and (2) subsequent recrystallization in arc as depleted of Ni which are free of the modulated structure (Reference 21). A lower spinodal temperature in alloy 142 due to the lower Mn content may have contributed to the formation of this structure.

#### Mechanical Properties

v Ex Ex Ex Ex Ex Ex Ex Ex Ex

A heat treatment study was conducted on selected alloys in the austenite-perovskite family to maximize the creep resistence. The selected heat treatment was then used throughout in the subsequent study of compositional effects. Two types of heat treatment were conducted: (1) solution treatment and quenching followed by an isothermal age, or (2) slow cooling from the solutioning temperature. It was considered that the latter heat treatment would promote coherency of the spinodal structure at large phase separation. The first type of heat treatments studied included a solution treatment at either 2100°F (1150°C) for 5 minutes or 1900°F (1040°C) for 1 hour followed by quenching in oil and aging isothermally at temperatures ranging from 1200 to 1700°F (650°C to 925°C). For the second type of heat treatment, material was cooled slowly from 1900°F to 1500°F (1040 to 815°C) at an average rate of 2.5°C per minute. The influence of heat treatment on creep properties is shown in Figure 155 for alloy 9″ and Figure 156 for alloy 141. For both alloys, the creep resistance increases with increasing aging temperature, but the hest properties are found after the slow cool treatment. Similar results were obtained for alloys 144 and 146. The poorer creep resistance of the materials after isothermal age, especially at 1200 to 1500°F (650 to 815°C), may well be associated with the presence of ferrite produced during aging as a result of discontinuous precipitation reaction at grain boundary regions, Figure 142. The kinetics of such reaction in solution treated alloy 97 was determined to be most rapid at these temperatures. Likewise, the onset of tertiary creep in the furnace-cooled or solution-treated materials may also be traced to formation of a critical amount of ferrite at grain boundary regions during the creep test at 1200°F (650°C). It is also of interest to compare the creep behavior of alloy 97, shown in Figure 155, in the solution treated and quenched condition with that of the furnace-cooled material. The initial slow creep rates of materials in either condition are attributed to austenite-perovakite structures; the creep rates accelerate as a critical amount of ferrite is produced at grain boundaries. The better phase stability of the furnace-cooled material, as evidenced by the prolonged secondary creep stage, may be attributed to a larger amount of phase separation which had occurred during the furnace-cooling heat treatment, reducing the propensity for the discontinuous precipitation.

19272353

Using the slow-cool aging treatment defined above, the effects of Mn, Al and C contents on creep resistance of the austenite-perovskite alloys were evaluated. The effect of Mn on creep behavior can be observed readily by comparing the results from alloys 145 (0 wt% Mn), 142 (13 wt% Mn), 146 (19 wt% Mn), 97 (22 wt% Mn); and 154 (30 wt% Mn) shown in Figure 157. Although there are differences in the Al and C contents among the alloys being compared, there is no doubt that creep resistance clearly increases with increasing Mn content. Some of the beneficial effect of the Mn may be simply attributed to its austenite stabilizing tendency as indicated in the preceding section. Another effect of Mn is on the grain structure, morphology and distribution of the perovskite phase. Low Mn alloys tend to exhibit fine grains and equiaxed perovskite carbide distributed at austenite grain boundaries, Figure 154. In contrast, the high Mn alloys have considerably coarser grains and the perovskite carbide particles are distributed homogeneously in the grains, Figure 150; both of these microstructural features contribute to creep resistance. The Al or C effect cannot be evaluated independently from the present set of alloy compositions. However, it is interesting to compare for a given Mn content the creep behavior of alloy 144 (7.7 wt% Al, 2 wt% C) with alloy 97 (9.2 Al, 1.4C) shown in Figure 158. It can be observed that the high Al-low C combination imparts better creep resistance than low Alhigh C combination.

The effects of alloying with Co, Mo, and W on creep behavior can be observed by comparing results of alloy 141 (5% Co) with those of alloys 144 and 155 (1% Mo + 0.7% W) with alloy 154 as shown in Figure 159. It appears that the additions of both the Co and the refractory metals have a beneficial effect on creep resistance, if the effects arising from variations in Al and C contents could be considered secondary in making these comparisons. The creep resistance and stability of alloy 155 is especially remarkable.

Strength and ductility in the austenite-perovekite alloys were explored using compressive yield tests over a range of temperatures and bend tests at room temperature as a measure of low-temperature ductility. An alloy is considered ductile if it can be bent through 180 degrees without fracture. Alloys selected for evalution were given the same heat treatments as chose for the microstructural studies. Results shown in Figure 160 indicate that the base alloy 97 is ductile in as solution heat treated condition and after the slow cool or the 1400°F (760°C) isothermal aging treatment. However, the alloy fractured with only limited ductility when aged at 1200°F (650°C) which also resulted in the highest hardness. As shown in Figure 161, the fracture occurred intergranularly. Alloy 154 was tested in bending in two aging conditions: (1) slow cool, and (2) slow cool plus additional aging at 1200°F (650°C) for 100 hours. The ductility was observed to be limited under both conditions, and the fracture mode is intergranular in the case of the slow-cool aging, Figure 162a, and transgranular with the additional isothermal aging, Figure 162b. A

possible reason for the change in fracture mode can be deduced from Figure 162a, which also shows some cracking of the large perovskite particles at grain boundaries indicating the brittle nature of the perovskite cavbide phase. Since the additional isothermal aging resulted in considerable coarsening of the perovskite carbide throughout the microstructure (and hence the transgranular fracture), these observations suggest that ductility in an alloy 154-type microstructure may be achieved by: (1) minimizing the amount of coarse perovskite particles at grain boundaries, (2) stabilizing the perovskite phase against coarsening, and (3) modifying thermal processing. Allow 142, treted in the slow-cool aging condition only, shows a rather different mode of fracture consisting of ductile failure in the austenite grains and cleavage fracture in the perovskite particles, Figure 163. These fracture features resulted from a microduplex phase distribution in this alloy shown in Figure 154. The yield strengths of alloys 142, 154, and 155 at various temperatures are shown in Figure 164 along with A286 for comparison. The yield strength of alloy 142 is highest at room temperature 1610 MPa (234 ksi), but decreases rather rapidly with increasing temperature to 415 MPa (60 ksi) at 1200°F (650°C). The yield strengths of alloys 154 and 155 are higher than A236 for temperatures up to about 1200°F (650°C) and the temperature dependence appears to be similar in these three alloys which is considerably lower than that in alloy 142. The rapid decrease in strength with increasing temperature in the case of alloy 142 may be attributed to its fine grain size ( $\sim 10$  microns).

200000000

## Fe-Mn-Al-C Alloys — Third Series

The studies conducted on the second alloy series have led to several advances as described in the preceding section. Significant strength and creep resistance improvements have been achieved in this type of alloy by microstructural control through a slow-cool hoat treatment which allows large phase separation in the modulated structure without loss of coherency. This minimizes the discontinuous grain boundary cellular reaction which was shown to be detrimental to creep resistance. The use of high manganese levels to stabilize the austenite against transformation to ferrite has also been found to increase creep capability. The addition of small quantities of refractory metals, 1 Mo+0.5W (wt%) which increase the spinodal temperature thereby improving the thermal stability at low temperatures, is also an effective approach. The latter observation suggested that further creep performance improvement of this type of alloy may be achieved by more extensive alloying additions. For this purpose, a series of alloys, shown in Table 57 has been formulated for studies. The base alloy 168, contains 33 wt% Mn, 8 wt% Al, and 2 wt% C. The level of alloying additions that could be studied was restricted, since it was observed during the first year of this program that an ordered bcc phase with B2-type structure was formed in preference to the perovskite carbide when Cr. Si, Ni were added in moderate amounts (2 to 5 wt%). This ordered bcc compound reduced the creep resistance dramatically. To reduce the risk of mover radical perturbations of the system, carbide formers are added in small quantities, 0.5 wt% and singly so that individual effects could be identified. The austenite stabilizers were also added singly, but in larger quantities, 1.5 wt%.

The alloys were produced as castings which were sectioned and one piece of each alloy was heated to 1150°C (2100°F) and, where possible, rolled on 130 mm diameter unheated rolls to about 60% reduction in thickness. Intermediate reheating after each 7% reduction was employed to facilitate processing.

The analyzed compositions of this series of alloys, given in Table 58, show no major deviations from the nominal compositions except for alloy 166, in which the Si content is 46% lower than aim. Hot rolling of the cast ingots proved to be difficult as most fractured during rolling at 2100°F (1150°C). (Most recent work has shown that this type of alloy can be extruded successfully in stainless steel containers coupled with a carefully controlled process cycle.) Consequent'y, only cast material was evaluated. Prior to testing, the castings were given a homogenization heat treatment of 2100°F (1150°C) for 72 hours under a partial pressure of argon. The homogenized materials were then reheated to 2000°F (1095°C) for 15 minutes, furnace cooled to 1500°F (815°C) followed by cooling in air. The slow cooling promotes large phase separation which has been shown to produce superior creep resistance among the various heat treatment cycles evaluated previously.



Figure 154. Microduplex Structure Consisting of Perovskite Particles and Austenite Grains Observed in Alloy 142 After Heat Treatment at 1040°C for 10 Minutes Followed by Cooling to 815°C at an Average Rate of 2.5°C Per Minute



Figure 155. Creep Behavior of Alloy 97 at 650°C and 293 MPa After Various Aging Heat Treatments Indicated. Solution Heat Treatment 1150°C/5 min/OQ



Figure 156. Creep Behavior of Alloy 141 at 650°C and 293 MPa After Various Aging Heat Treatments Indicated. Solution Heat Treatment 1040°C/1h/OQ



Figure 157. Creep Curves of Alloys 97, 142, 145, 146 and 154 at 650°C and 293 MPa Illustrating the Effect of Mn on Creep Resistance



Figure 158. Creep Curves of Alloys 97 and 144 at 650°C and 293 MPa Illustrating the Effect of Two Different Combinations of Al and C Contents on Creep Resistance



Figure 159. Creep Curves of Alloys 141, 144, 154 and 155 at 650°C and 293 MPa Illustrating the Affects of Co and Refractory Metals Additions on Creep Resistance



Figure 160. Hardness and Room Temperature Bend Ductility of Alloy 97 After Various Heat Treatments

このののとなる。 ディング・アイ

N



Figure 161. Fracture Features of Alloy 97 in a Room Temperature Bend Test. Heat Treatment: 1150°C/5 min/OQ+ 650°C/24h/AC



Figure 162. Fracture Features of Alloy 154 in a Room Temperature Bend Test. Heat Treatment: (a) 1040°C/10 min/Cvoled to 815°C at a Rate of 2.5°C/min Followed by Cooling to Room Temperature in Air (b) Heat Treatment as in (a) Plus Isothermal Aging at 650°C for 100 Hours

7448C



FC 318420

·\*\*''\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Figure 163. Fracture Surface Features of Alloy 142 in a Room Temperature Bend Test. Heat Treatment: 1040°C/10 min/Cooled to 815°C at a Rate of 2.5°C/min Followed by Cooling to Room Temperature in Air



Figure 164. 0.2% Yield Strengths of Alloys 142, 154, and 155 and the Iron-Base Superalloy A286

| Other          | <u> </u>   | Al   | Mn  | Fe  | Alloy  |
|----------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| 0.5 <b>T</b> i | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 156  |
| 0.5 <b>Zr</b>  | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 157  |
| 0.5 <b>Hf</b>  | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 158  |
| 0.5V           | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 159  |
| 0.5Cr          | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 160  |
| 0.5Mo          | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 161  |
| 0.1W           | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 162  |
| 0.1Co          | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 163  |
| 0.5Ni          | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 164  |
| 0.5Cu          | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 165  |
| 0.5Si          | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 166  |
| 0.5Mo          | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 167  |
|                | 2  | 8  | 33  | Bal   | 168  |
|                | 0ther<br>0.5Ti<br>0.5Zr<br>0.5Hf<br>0.5V<br>0.5Cr<br>0.5Mo<br>0.1W<br>0.1Co<br>0.6Ni<br>0.5Cu<br>0.5Si<br>0.5Si<br>0.5Si | C         Other           2         0.5Ti           2         0.5Zr           2         0.5Hf           2         0.5V           2         0.5Cr           2         0.5Cr           2         0.5Cr           2         0.1W           2         0.1Co           2         0.6Ni           2         0.5Cu           2         0.5Si           2         0.5Sio           2         0.5Sio           2         0.5Sio | Al         C         Other           8         2         0.5Ti           8         2         0.5Zr           8         2         0.5Hf           8         2         0.5V           8         2         0.5Cr           8         2         0.5Cr           8         2         0.5Mo           8         2         0.1W           8         2         0.1W           8         2         0.6Ni           8         2         0.5Cu           8         2         0.5Cu           8         2         0.5Si           8         2         0.5Si           8         2         0.5Si           8         2         0.5Mo | Mn         Al         C         Other           33         8         2         0.5Ti           33         8         2         0.5Zr           33         8         2         0.5Hf           33         8         2         0.5V           33         8         2         0.5Cr           33         8         2         0.5Cr           33         8         2         0.5Co           33         8         2         0.1W           33         8         2         0.1W           33         8         2         0.6Ni           33         8         2         0.6Ni           33         8         2         0.6Ni           33         8         2         0.5Cu           33         8         2         0.5Si           33         8         2         0.5Si           33         8         2         0.5Mo           33         8         2         0.5Mo           33         8         2         0.5Mo           33         8         2         0.5Mo | Fe         Mn         Al         C         Other           Bal         33         8         2         0.5Ti           Bal         33         8         2         0.5Zr           Bal         33         8         2         0.5Hf           Bal         33         8         2         0.5V           Bal         33         8         2         0.5V           Bal         33         8         2         0.5Cr           Bal         33         8         2         0.5Cr           Bal         33         8         2         0.5Mo           Bal         33         8         2         0.1W           Bal         33         8         2         0.1W           Bal         33         8         2         0.6Ni           Bal         33         8         2         0.6Ni           Bal         33         8         2         0.5Cu           Bal         33         8         2         0.5Cu           Bal         33         8         2         0.5Si           Bal         33         8         2         0.5Mo |

TABLE 57. NOMINAL COMPOSITIONS OF Fe-Mn-AI-C ALLOYS, Wt%

TABLE 58. ANALYZED COMPOSITIONS OF Fe-Mn-Al-C ALLOYS, Wt%

|          | Other    | С | <u>Al</u> | <u>Mn</u> | Fe  | Alloy |
|----------|----------|---|-----------|-----------|-----|-------|
|          | 0.62T'i  | 2 | 7.8       | 33.5      | Bal | 156   |
|          | 0.41Zr   | 2 | 8.2       | 33.8      | Bal | 157   |
|          | 0.45Hf   | 2 | 8.2       | 33.1      | Bal | 158   |
|          | 0.58V    | 2 | 7.8       | 33.9      | Bal | 159   |
|          | 0.67Cr   | 2 | 8.2       | 33.2      | Bal | 160   |
|          | 0.55Mo   | 2 | 7.7       | 34.4      | Bal | 161   |
|          | 0.76W    | 2 | 7.6       | 34.2      | Bal | 162   |
|          | 1.60Co   | 2 | 7.6       | 34.4      | Bal | 163   |
|          | 1.59Ni   | 2 | 8.2       | 33.8      | Bal | 164   |
|          | 1.58Cu   | 2 | 8.3       | 32.5      | Bal | 165   |
|          | 0.27Si   | 2 | 8.2       | 33.8      | Bal | 166   |
| + 0.54Mo | 0.041B · | 2 | 8.2       | 33.5      | Bal | 167   |
|          |          | 2 | 7.7       | 34.0      | Bal | 168   |

## Microstructure (Alloys 156-168)

Important microstructural features of this type of alloy have been established in preceding sections. Basically the microstructure of the Fe-Mn-Al-C alloys of interest consists of uniformly distributed perovskite carbide particles precipitated in the austenitic matrix. The size of these perovskite carbide particles depends on heat treatment. In particular, with the selected slow-cool heat treatment at 2000°F (1095°C) which is below the carbide solvus, coarsening of the primary carbide particles and precipitation of fine particles occur simultaneously during the clow cooling. The cooling perovskite carbide particles are submicron in size and coherent with the matrix. Particle coarsening occurs by growth and coalescence mechanisms. The coarsened particles tend to be rectangular in shape with sides aligned along <001> directions. Coarsening of the perovskite carbide is accompanied by a gradual loss of lattice coherency with the matrix.

The microstructure of the base alloy 168 is presented in Figure 165a, and those with alloying addition in Figures 165b to 165m. The phase structures of some of these alloys were determined using X-ray powder diffraction technique. Results, given in Table 59, show that the major phases present are austenite and perovskite carbide, confirming the expectation that small alloying additions would not change the phase balance in the base composition. The microstructure of the base alloy, Figure 165a, consists of coarsened primary perovskite carbide particles distributed intragrannulariy and at grain boundary regions. The intragrannular particles appear to be rod-like with some preferred alignment, and those at the grain boundary

R HADDOO

region are block-type. These microstructural characteristics, with millior variations, prevail in all the modified alloys with the exception of the vanadium modified alloy 159. In this case, spheroidized perovskite carbide particles were observed. Figure 165b. Further, the dimensions of the particles are considerably smaller than those in other alloys of this series. These observations suggest that V has a major effect on the perovskite carbide consening kinetics, and could be used to control the size and morphology of the perovskite carbide particles. The microstructure of the V-modified alloy was studied in greater detail using thin-foil electron microscopy techniques. The transmission electron micrograph, shown in Figure 166, shows the coarsened equiaxedshaped perovskite carbide particles, labeled b, and the mottled appearance of the matrix due to the formation of a very fine modulated structure. Figure 166 also shows large strain contrast around the coarsened particles. Examination of the particle/matrix interface revealed some fringes and a low density of isolated dislocations indicating that the coarsened particles may be semi-coherent with the matrix. A small number of V-rich particles, labeled c in Figure 166, probably vanadium carbide, were also observed in this alloy.



Base

FD 270172

キャインス 第一日 アイア そうたい ひょうかい アイド いた 読得する かんせんがい 副

Figure 165(a). Optical Micrographs of Alloys in the Third Series After a Solution Treatment of 5°C for 30 min Followed by Furnace Cooling to 815°C a. Conse Competition (Alloy 168)



0.5 Hf



0.5 V

FD 270173

Figure 165(b). Optical Micrographs of Alloys in the Third Series After a Solution Treatment of 1095°C for 30 min Followed by Furnace Cooling to 815°C, b. Base + 0.5 wt% Ti (Alloy 156) c. Base + 0.5 wt% Zr (Alloy 157) d. Base + 0.5 wt% Hf (Alloy 158) e. Base + 0.5 wt% V (Alloy 159)

1000000000

222222









0.5 W



1.5 Co

FD 270174

Figure 165(c). Optical Micrographs of Alloys in the First Series After a Solution Treatment of 1095°C for 30 min Followed by Furnace Cooling to 815°C f. Base + 0.5 wt% Cr (Alloy 160) g. Base + 0.5 wt% Mo (Alloy 161) h. Base + 0.5 wt% W (Alloy 162) i. Base + 1.5 wt% Co (Alloy 163)



1.5 Ni







74490



FD 270175

Figure 165(d). Optical Micrographs of Alloys in the First Series After a Solution Treatment of 1095°C for 30 min Followed by Furnace Cooling to 815°C j. Base + 1.5 wt% Ni (Alloy 164) k. Base + 1.5 wt% Cu (Alloy 165) l. Base + 0.5 wt% Si (Alloy 166) m. Base + 0.5 wt% Mo + 0.02 wt% β (Alloy 167)

# TABLE 59. X-RAY ANALYSIS FROM FILINGS

# Structures of Phases and Estimated Amounts in Fe-33Mn-8Al-2C-X (wt%) Alloys HT:2000°F/1 min/Furnace Cooled to 1500°F CrKn Radiation

| Alloy | Wt%X           |   |
|-------|----------------|---|
| 168   | -              | 80-85 v/o disordered fcc Fe Solid Solution ( $a_o \sim 3.6786$ )<br>15-30 v/o ordered fcc AlFe <sub>3</sub> C Structure ( $a_o \sim 3.7960$ )       |
| 156   | <b>0.5 T</b> i | 85-90 ∨/o disordered fcc Fe Solid Solution (a, ~ 3.6835)<br>10-15 v/o ordered fcc AlFe <sub>3</sub> C Structure (a, ~ 3.7969)                       |
| 159   | 0.5V           | ~90-95 v/o disordered fcc Fe Solid Solution ( $a_o \sim 3.6835$ )<br>~5-10 v/o ordered fcc AlFe <sub>3</sub> C Structure ( $a_o \sim 3.8006$ )      |
| 161   | 0.5 Mo         | 70-75 v/o disordered fcc Fe Solid Solution ( $a_0 \sim 3.6810$ )<br>25-30 v/o ordered fcc AlFe <sub>3</sub> C Structure ( $a_0 \sim 3.7973$ )       |
| 162   | 0.5 W          | 70-75 v/o disordered fcc Fe Solid Solution ( $a_n \approx 3.6860$ )<br>25-30 v/o ordered fcc AlFe <sub>3</sub> C Structure ( $a_n \approx 3.7969$ ) |
| 163   | 1.5 00         | 75 v/o disordered fcc Fe Solid Solution (a, ~ 3.6736)<br>25 v/o ordered fcc AlFe <sub>3</sub> C Structure (a, ~ 3.7952)                             |
|       |                |   |



FD 270176

「 」 とうとう とうかい

Ī

Figure 166. Transmission Electron Micrograph of Alloy 159 After the Furnace Cool Heat Treatment Described in the Preceding Figure Illustrating the Precipitation of Very Fine Perovskite Carbide Particles in the Matrix (a), the Equiaxed Shaped Primary Perovskite Carbide Particles (b), and the Vanadium-Rich Particles (c) It is also of interest to examine the effect of alloying on precipitate/matrix lattice mismatch using the lattice parameters of austenite and perovskite carbide shown previously in Table 59. The calculated mismatch values, shown in Table 60, are slightly over 3% for all the alloys studied.

| Alloy            | Wt%X   | Atomic<br>Radius of X   | ∆a <sup>z</sup> <b>"%</b> | کھ <sup>ت</sup> ہ % | ۵ <sub>۰-</sub> ۳_% |
|------------------|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 168              | Fe, Mn   | 1.26Å   | 0                         | 0                   | 3.191               |
| 156              | 0.5 Ti   | 1.47A   | 0.133                     | 0.0237              | 3.079               |
| 159              | 0.5 V  | 1.34Å   | 0.133                     | 0.1211              | 3.179               |
| 161              | 0.5 Mo   | 1.39Å   | 0.065                     | 0.0474              | 3.173               |
| 162              | 0.5 W  | 1. <b>39Å</b>   | 0.201                     | 0.0237              | 3.009               |
| 163              | 0.5 Co   | 1 98 1  | _0 196                    | -0.0911             | 0 1 0 0             |
| 4 <sup>1</sup> , | = Change   | in austenite lattic   | × parameter               | by alloying e       | lement x            |
| 4 <sup>1</sup> , | = Change<br>= (a <sub>a</sub> <sup>1</sup> - a <sub>a</sub>                | in austenite lattic<br>)/a <sub>a</sub> × 100   | × parameter               | by alloying e       | lement x            |
| 4 <sup>1</sup> , | = Change<br>= $(a_a^{2} - a_b^{2})$<br>= Change<br>= $(a_p^{2} - a_b^{2})$ | in austenite lattic<br>)/a <sub>a</sub> × 100<br>in perovakite latt:<br>p)/a <sub>p</sub> × 100 | ice parameter             | by alloying e       | element x           |

# TABLE 60. EFFECT OF ALLOYING ON AUSTENITE AND PEROVSKITE CARBIDE LATTICED PARAMETERS

## Creep Behavior

The creep results for this alloy series are presented in three groups. The group with the highest creep resistance consists of alloys with additions of Mo (alloys 161, 167), W (alloy 162), Mo+W (alloy 155), and V (alloy 159). The results, presented in Figure 167 show there are no important differences between these alloying additions. The best resistance in this group was observed in alloy 155 which contains a 1 wt% Mo+0.5 wt% W. The second group consists of alloys modified with an austenite stabilizer Co (alloy 163), Ni (alloy 164), and Cu (alloy 165). Results, given in Figure 168, again indicate only minor differences between these alloys which exhibit lower creep resistance compared with alloys in the first group. The third group comprises the remaining alloys in this series, including primarily those with additions of carbide-forming elements. Results, illustrated in Figure 169, show that creep resistance increases in the order Zr, Si, Ti, Cr=Hf, V.

LABO

## Fe-NI/Mn-AI/TI Alloys

The analyzed compositions of the Fe-Ni/Mn-Al and Fe-Ni/Mn-Ti alloys, given in Tables 61 and 62, respectively, show no major deviations from the aim compositions. The Fe-Ni/Mn-Ti alloys were studied in the cast form after a solution treatment of  $1900^{\circ}F(1040^{\circ}C)$  for 1 hour followed by quenching in oil and then aging at  $1200^{\circ}F(650^{\circ}C)$  for 16 hours. In the case of the Fe-Ni/Mn-Al alloys, a section of each casting was heated to  $2100^{\circ}F(1150^{\circ}C)$ , and then rolled between 130 mm diameter unheated rolls (with reheating after each 7% reduction) to an approximately 60% reduction in thickness. It was observed that the high-Ni, alloys 147, 148, and 149, cracked during rolling. The remaining alloys (150 through 153) were successfully rolled to about 60%.



FDA 318422

Figure 167. Ureep Curves of Alloys 155 (1 wt% Mo + 0.5 wt% W), 161 (0.5 wt% Mo), 162 (0.5 wt% W), 167 (0.5 wt% + 0.2 wt% β) and 168 (Base) at 650°C and 293 MPa



Figure 168. Creep Curves of Alloys 156 (1.5 wt% Co), 164 (1.5 wt% Ni) 165 (1.5 wt% Cu) and 168 (Base) at 650°C and 293 MPa



FDA 318424

Figure 169. Creep Curves of Alloys 156 (0.5 wt% Ti), 157 (0.5 wt% Zr), 158 (0.5 wt% Hf), 159 (0.5 wt% V), 160 (0.5 wt% Cr), 161 (0.5 wt% Mo), and 166 (0.5 wt% Si and 168 (Base)) at 650°C and 293 MPa

| TABLE 61. ANALYZED COMPOSITIONS OF FE-N1/Mn-AI ALLO | UYS. Wt | ι% |
|---|---------|----|
|---|---------|----|

|     | Fe  | Mn   | Ni   | Al  | Other  |
|-----|-----|------|------|-----|--------|
| 147 | Bal | 37.8 | 25.8 | 5.3 | _      |
| 148 | Bal | 37.3 | 25.5 | 2.3 | 4.0 Ti |
| 149 | Bai | 34.8 | 26.4 | 5.1 | 0.86 C |
| 150 | Bal | 52.3 | 11.7 | 6.6 | —      |
| 151 | Bal | 50.6 | 12.2 | 6.8 | 1.05 C |
| 152 | Bai | 24.8 |      | 2.4 | -      |
| 153 | Bal | 33.8 |      | 2.4 | 4.8 Ti |
|     |     |      |      |     | 74500  |

TABLE 62. ANALYZED COMPOSITIONS OF Fe-Ni/Mn-Ti ALLOYS, Wt%

F F F

69

| Alloy | Fe  | Mn               | Ni   | Ti  | Мо   | Al   | Other  |
|-------|-----|------------------|------|-----|------|------|--------|
| 169   | Bal | 35.2             | 25.1 | 1.6 | _    |      |        |
| 243   | Bai | _                | 30.8 | 3.4 | 0.95 | 0.11 |        |
| 244   | Bal | 17.9             | 12.3 | 3.2 | 0.92 | 0.10 | _      |
| 245   | Bal | 21.5             | 7.8  | 3.2 | 0.97 | 0.11 |        |
| 246   | Bal | _                | 46.6 | 3.3 | 0.96 | 0.11 | _      |
| 247   | Bal | 26.9             | 18.3 | 3.4 | 0.97 | 0.10 |        |
| 248   | Bal | 33.4             | 11.8 | 3.2 | 0.98 | 0.10 | -      |
| 170   | Bal | 35. <del>9</del> | 24.6 | 3.3 |      | -    |        |
| 249   | Bal | 36.5             | 23.9 | 3.3 | 0.98 | 0.16 |        |
| 250   | Bal | 18.9             | 11.8 | 3.2 | 0.94 | 0.10 | 2.29Cu |
| 251   | Bal | 23.4             | 7.6  | 3.2 | 0.94 | 0.10 | 2.27Cu |

XI Y Y '

## Microstructure of Fe-Ni/Mn-Al Alloys

The structures of alloys 147-153, as determined by X-ray analysis, are summarized in Table 63. With the exception of alloy 152, major constituents in these alloys are austenite and a CsCl-type ordered bcc phase. Based on projections from some of the results from the first year of this program and the literature, an ordered fcc phase with  $L1_2$  type structure was anticipated instead of the ordered bcc phase. These structural results coupled with the poor creep performance of alloys in this series, as will be described in a following section, indicate the difficulty of this particular alloying approach.

## TABLE 63. RESULTS OF PHASE IDENTIFICATION BY X-RAY FROM FILINGS OF ALLOYS 147-153, CRKa RADIATION

| Alloy <sup>+</sup> | $\approx$ 60-65 v/o disordered f.c.c. ( $a_0 \approx 3.628$ Å) and  |
|--------------------|---|
| 147+               | $\approx$ 40-35 v/o ordered b.c.c. ( $a_0 \approx 2.914$ Å)   |
| 148+               | $\approx$ 75-70 v/o disordered f.c.c. (a, $\approx$ 3.629Å),  |
|                    | $\approx 25-30$ v/o ordered b.c.c. (a. $\approx 2.934$ Å) and   |
|                    | $\approx <<1$ v/c possibly MnO (f.c.c.) single peak   |
| 149+               | $\approx$ 55 v/o disordered f.c.c. (a <sub>o</sub> $\approx$ 3.628Å)  |
|                    | $\approx$ 40 v/o ordered b.c.c. ( $a_0 \approx 2.916$ Å) and  |
|                    | $\approx 5$ v/o tetragonal Fe <sub>0.4</sub> Mn <sub>3.6</sub> C ( $a_0 \approx 6.722$ Å and $c_0 \approx 9.427$ Å)               |
| 150*               | $\approx$ 60-55 v/o primitive cubic, FeMN <sub>4</sub> ( $a_o \approx$ 6.293Å) and  |
|                    | $\approx$ 40-45 v/o ordered b.c.c. ( $a_0 \approx 2.896$ Å)   |
| 151*               | $\approx$ 55-50 v/o disordered f.c.c. ( $a_0 \approx 3.662$ Å),   |
|                    | $\approx$ 35-30 v/o ordered b.c.c. ( $a_0 \approx 2.888$ Å),  |
|                    | $\approx 10$ v/o primitive cubic, FeMn <sub>4</sub> ( $a_0 \approx 6.291$ Å) and  |
|                    | $\approx$ 5-10 v/o tetragonal Fe <sub>0.4</sub> Mn <sub>3.6</sub> C ( $a_o \approx 6.774$ Å and $c_o \approx 9.426$ Å)            |
| 152*               | Single phase, disordered f.c.c. $(a_o \approx 3.614 \text{\AA})$  |
| 153*               | $\approx$ 75 v/o-80 v/o disordered f.c.c. ( $a_0 \approx$ 3.628Å) and   |
|                    | $\approx 25$ v/o-20 v/o disordered b.c.c. a-Mn ( $a_0 \approx 8.894$ Å)   |
| * Alloys           | s were solution heat treated at 1040°C/1h/OQ and aged at 650°C/16h/AC   |
| + Alloy<br>the se  | fractured during hot rolling. Castings were homogenized at 1150°C/50h prior to<br>plution and age heat treatments described above |
|                    |   |

#### Microstructure of Fe-Ni/Mn-Ti Alloys

Microstructures of alloys with increasing Mn/Ni ratio are shown in Figure 170. With high-Ni content, alloys 243, 246, and 170 appear to be essentially single phase, Figure 170a. However, detailed electron micrographs (Figure 171) show the precipitation of fine particles in these alloys about 50Å in diameter which exhibit  $L1_2$ -type structure, and are coherent with the austenitic matrix. The presence of a more massive second phase became noticeable when the Ni content was lower than about 12 a/o, Figure 170b, and became increasingly evident as the Mn content increased at the expense of Ni, Figure 170c. The more massive phase is distributed throughout the microstructure with some preference for grain boundaries. The composition and structure of this phase were determined using electron microscopy techniques. Results, given in Figures 172 and 173, show that the second phase is enriched in Ti (21 a/o) and exhibits a hexagonal structure with a = 4.56Å and c = 40Å. These parameters correlate with the Laves phases Fe<sub>2</sub>Ti and Mn<sub>2</sub>Ti with hexagonal MgZn<sub>2</sub>-type structure (C14) although with lattice parameters slightly larger than those measured in the present studies. The substitution of Mn and Fe with the smaller Ni atoms may account for the smaller lattice parameters.

7450C



Figure 170. Optical Micrographs of Selected Alloys in the Fe-Ni/Mn-Ti Alloy Series Illustrating Microstructure Changes When an Increasing Amount of Ni Was Replaced With Mn Alloys Were a Solution Heat Treated at 1040°C for 1 hr Followed by Quenching in Oil and Then Aging at 650°C for 16 hr. a. Alloy 243 (30 a/o Ni) b. Alloy 244 (18.5 a/o Mn+11.5 a/o Ni) c. Alloy 245 (22.5 a/o Mn + 7.5 a/o Ni)

7448C



Figure 171. Transmission Electron Micrographs of Alloy 243 After the Heat Treatment Described in the Preceding Figure. These  $F_{i'}$  ; Illustrate the Precipitation of Very Fine  $L1_2$ -Type Particles (A) Bright Fiew (B) Dark Field Illuminated by a Superlattice Reflection



COLOR STOCK

K

1000

74480

HONOLOGICAL DI

FD 270182

Figure 172. Electron Micrograph of Alloy 245 Illustrating the Absence of L1<sub>2</sub> Type Particles in the Matrix and the Formation of More Massive Ti-Rich Particles Distributed Throughout the Alloy



the Preceding Figure. These and Other Diffraction Patterns Could be Indexed as hep With Lattice Parameters of a = 4.56A, c = 7.40A, (a)(100), (b)(231)

S CANADOLICA DA C
## Creep Behavior of Fe-Ni/Mn-Al Alloys

Creep results of selected alloys in this series, illustrated in Figure 174, show that the creep resistance of the alloys in this series is generally much lower than that of the austeniteperovskite series. Alloys 148 and 149, which cracked during hot rolling, were given a homogenizing heat treatment at 2100°F (1150°C) for 50 hours. Alloy 148 showed incipient melting at grain boundary regions after this heat treatment, and therefore was not tested. Difficulty was encountered in machining specimens from alloy 150 as the specimen cracked readily at room temperature, and therefore no test was conducted. Because of the poor performance of the alloys in this series, further evaluations do not appear to be worthwhile.



FDA 318425

Figure 174. Creep Curves of Alloys 147, 151, 152, and 153 at 650°C and 293 MPa

The effect of Ti level on creep resistance can be observed in Figure 175, which shows the creep behavior of alloys 169 and 170 with 1.7 and 3.4 wt% Ti, respectively. It is evident from these results that for good creep resistance a Ti level larger than 2 wt% is required (for comparison, iron base superalloy A286 contains about 2.15 wt% Ti).

Alloys with different Ni levels (243, 246, and 170) and situated in the  $\gamma$ + (Fe,Ni)<sub>2</sub> Ti or  $\gamma$  phase field of the Fe-Ni-Ti ternary diagram, see Figure 111, can be used to assess the effect of Ni level on creep behavior. The creep behavior of these alloys is illustrated in Figure 176, which appears to show that alloys in the  $\gamma$ + (Fe,Ni)<sub>2</sub> Ti phase field exhibit better creep resistance than alloys in the  $\gamma$  phase field.

The effect of replacing Ni with Mn can be observed from the creep results of two groups of alloys: (1) alloys 243, 244, and 245 with (iMn + Ni) = 30 a/o, and (2) alloys 246, 247, and 248 with (Mn = Ni) = 45 a/o, given in Figures 177 and 178 respectively. Figure 177 shows that the creep resistance of alloy 243 was reduced drastically when the Ni content was reduced to less than 12 a/o. The poor creep resistance in alloys 244 and 245 can be correlated with the formation of the Laves phase in these alloys. Similar relationships between resistance and microstructure can also

7448C

be seen in the results of the second group of alloys, alloys 246, 247, and 248. It is interesting to note the similarity in the creep resistance of alloys 246 and 247 even though the Ni contents differ significantly, i.e., 45 a/o and 17 a/o, respectively. This observation, coupled with those from the first group of alloys, Figure 177, indicates the minimum Ni content required to stabilize the  $L1_2$  structure in the Fe-Mn/Ni-Ti alloys is in the range of 12 to 17 a/o. However, ternary Fe-Ni-Ti alloys with these Ni contents lie in the ferrite + austenite + Laves 3-phase field, Figure 111. The absence of ferrite in the present alloys can be directly attributed to the austenite stabilizing effect of Mn. It may therefore be concluded that although Mn does not appear to promote the formation of the  $L1_2$  phase, it does exhibit a beneficial effect by suppressing the formation of the ferrite phase, thus allowing creep resistance to be retained to a lower Ni level.



Figure 175. Creep Curves of Alloys 169 (2 a/o Ti) and 170 (4 a/o Ni), ct 650°C and 293 MPa

The effect on creep resistance of alloying with Cu can be observed from the creep behavior of alloys 244 and 250 illustrated in Figure 179 which shows that Cu does not have a beneficial effect on resistance of this type of alloy.

## **Corrosion Studies**

Cyclic oxidation between 68 and 1400°F (20 and 760°C) was studied in alloys 74, 75, 76, A286, and H46. The changes in weight as a function of exposure time are shown in Figure 180. The appearance of the specimens after 250 hours (125 thermal cycles) is shown in Figure 180b. Results show that all the Fe-Mn-Al alloys have a better oxidation resistance than the stainless steels A286 and H46. There are no significant differences between the individual Fe-Mn-Al alloys, but alloy 76, which has the higher aluminum content, appears to be slightly better than alloys 74 and 75. The weight change data for the latter two alloys fall almost on the same curve, indicating that a 2 wt% difference in Cr content has no effect on oxidation.



Figure 176. Creep Curves of Alloys 243 (30 a/o Ni), 246 (45 a/o Ni), and 170 (23 a/o Ni + 37 a/o Mn) at 650°C and 293 MPa



Figure 177. Creep Curves of Alloys 243 (30 a/o Ni), 244 (11.5 a/o Ni + 18.5 a/o Mn) and 245 (7.5 a/o Ni + 22.5 a/o Mn) at 650°C and 293 MPa

7448C



FDA 318429

Figure 178. Creep Curves of Alloys 246 (45 a/o Ni), 247 (17.3 a/o Ni + 27.7 a/o Mn) and 248 (11.25 a/o Ni + 33.75 a/o Mn) at 650°C and 293 MPa









Corrosion (sulfidation) of the uncoated alloys in a mixture of  $Na_2SO_4$  and NaCl at 1200°F (650°C) in static air was studied at two NaCl concentration levels, 50 and 25% by weight. The weight change data and the surface appearance of specimens after 250 hours using these two mixtures of salts are shown in Figures 181 and 182, respectively. Although the data show some differences among the alloys, the test results were judged to be nondiscriminating because of the severity of the sulfidation attack as evidenced by the surface appearance after the 250-hour exposure. With the less severe corroding media (25% NaCl mixture), alloy 76 appears to be less severely attacked than the other alloys studied. Among the Fe-Mn-Al alloys, the Cr-free alloy (alloy 50) appears to be inferior to the Cr-containing ones. In general, the sulfidation results of the uncoated alloys taken collectively seem to suggest that the sulfidation resistance of the Fe-Mn-Al alloys under the conditions atudied is about the same as A286 and H46.

The results of the corrosion tests on the specimens protected with aluminized coatings and chromized coatings are presented in Figures 183 and 184. As can be seen from Figure 183 the aluminized coating (PWA 73) provided excellent sulfidation protection for A286 and to a lesser extent H46 also. However, such coating was ineffective for the Fe-Mn-Al alloys which show severe pitting and spalling. In contrast, chromized coating (PWA 70) provides considerably better sulfidation protection to all the alloys (Figure 184). We may also note that alloy 75, which contains the highest Cr content (5%) among the Fe-Mn-Al alloys, compares favorably with A286 and H46.

## DETAILED EVALUATIONS OF SELECTED ALLOYS

The goal for the final stage of this program task was to select promising alloys for detailed evaluations. Alloys based on the austenite-perovskite phase structure were selected because of their interesting microstructure and good creep resistance. The nominal compositions of the selected alloys, designated No. 252 and 253 are (in wt%):

| Alloy | Fe  | <u>Mn</u> | <u>A!</u>  | <u>c</u> | Others       |
|-------|-----|-----------|------------|----------|--------------|
| 252   | Bal | 30        | <b>9.0</b> | 2.0      | 1Mo,<br>0.5W |
| 253   | Bal | 33        | 9.0        | 1.5      | 1Mo,<br>0.5W |

The alloys based on the Fe-Ni/Mn-Ti system exhibited equally desirable microstructure and excellent creep resistance, but were not as cost effective because of a moderate amount of Ni needed for stabilization of the  $L_{12}$  precipitate phase. Further, the environmental resistance of Fe-Ni/Mn-Ti alloys is probably inferior to the Fe-Mn-Al-C alloys at elevated temperatures.

### Experimental

#### Alloy Preparation

Vacuum induction melted ingots of alloys 252 and 253 were procured from Homogeneous Metals Incorporated (HMI). To eliminate the casting pores, the ingots were enclosed in stainless steel cans and then hot isostatically pressed at 2200°F (1205°C) for 8 hours under 15 ksi (103 MPa). After machining into extrusion preforms 2.95 inches (7.5 cm) in diameter and 7 inches (17.8 cm) long, the HIP ingots were equilibrated in a furnace preheated to 1000°F (540°C) followed by a slow rate of temperature increase until the extrusion temperature was reached. The ingots, still in HIP containers, were extruded at 2150°F (1175°C) using an extrusion ratio of 9. The extrusion was conducted at the Air Force Materials Processing Laboratory.



11.1

Figure 181. (A) Weight Change Versus Exposure Time in 650°C Sulfidation Tests With a Mixture of 50 Wt% Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 50 Wt% NaCl. (B) Appearance of the Specimens After 250 Hours

þ

e.

7448C

and the second second

 $\gamma$ 

and a second second



Figure 182. (A) Weight Change Versus Exposure Time in 650°C Sulfidation Tests With a Mixture of 75 Wt% Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 25 Wt% NaCl. (B) Appearance of the Specimens After 250 Hours

238









7448C

## Mechanical Evaluations

Prior to mechanical evaluations, the extruded alloys 252 and 253 were given a heat treatment cycle (identified in the alloying screening studies) which consisted of a solution treatment at  $2050^{\circ}F(1120^{\circ}C)$  followed by furnace cooling to  $1500^{\circ}F(815^{\circ}C)$  at an average rate of  $3.5^{\circ}F(2^{\circ}C)$  per minute, and removal from the furnace for air cooling to room temperature. Mechanical properties evaluated include tensile, creep rupture, fracture toughness, fatigue, and elastic stiffness. Standard specimen configurations, given in Figure 185, were machined with the specimer, axis parallel to the extrusion direction. Except for the elastic stiffness, all testing was conducted following the respective ASTM-prescribed procedures. The elastic properties were measured a' Southern Research Institute, Birmingham, Alabama using a resonance technique. Specimens machined to the configuration, shown in Figure 186, were used for the measurement of dynamic elastic moduli. The technique involved exciting the specimen by electrostatic means, detecting and measuring the resonant frequency of the specimen by similar means. Figure 187 is a block diagram of the equipment used. Support of the specimen was accomplished at the middle of its length which coincides with a vibration node, and therefore has no effect on the resonant frequency. The following relationship was used to calculate the dynamic modulus.

#### Where:

 $\mathbf{E} = 4\rho \mathbf{L}^2 \mathbf{f}$  $\mathbf{E} = Young's dynamic modulus$ 

 $\rho = \text{Density}$ 

L = length, in.

f = resonant frequency, Hz.

## **Physical Evaluations**

The physical properties measured on alloys 252 and 283 include density and thermal expansivity. Density was determined from a cylindrical specimen shown in Figure 18' by accurately weighing and measuring the specimen dimensions. To determine the thermal expansivity, a dilatometer with a platinum standard was used to measure dimensional changes in a cylindrical specimen 2 inches long and 0.128 inch diameter (5.1 cm long, 0.3 cm diameter) as a function of temperature.

## **Results and Discussion**

74455

Cracking problems were encountered during the initial extrusion of alloys 252 and 253. It was found that by eliminating the ingot casting defects and severe temperature gradient in the ingot during the extrusion cycle, the present alloys could be extruded successfully at 2150°F (1175°C) without difficulties. Figure 188 shows the relative dimensions and appearance of the preform and the extruded bar. The chemical analysis of the alloys, given in Table 64 shows no major deviations from the aims. The microstructure of the alloys in the as-extruded condition are given in Figures 189 and 190. The higher carbon content in alloy 252 is reflected in the microstructure in terms of larger and more numerous perovskite carbide particles. Another remarkable microstructural feature is a continuous decoration of grain boundaries with carbide particles in alloy 253. The microstructures of alloys 252 and 253 after the furnace cooling heat treatment appear to be essentially similar to the respective microstructures in the as-extruded condition.



Ì



7448C



Note 1: Diameter should not vary more than 0.0005 in. along the entire specimen length.

FDA 318432





Figure 187. Schematic of the Dynamic Modulus Apparatus

## **Tensile Properties**

secondrugation by the base of the property of

The tensile properties of alloys 252 and 253, given in Table 65, show similar characteristics. Both alloys exhibit high yield strength to intermediate temperatures and poor ductility at low temperatures. The yield strength of both alloys compares favorably with A286, but the ultimate tensile strength is considerably lower due to a lower degree of work hardening, Figure 191, in these alloys. As shown in Figure 192, the low temperature brittleness of the present alloys can be attributed to the cracking of the large perovskite carbide particles at grain boundaries and linking of the microcracks to produce intergranular fracture. At temperatures ever  $400^{\circ}F$  (205°C) both alloys show good tensile ductility, and less cracking of intergranular carbide particles was observed. The tensile fracture mode at intermediate temperatures tends to be a mix of intergranular and transgranular (Figure 193). The ductility changes can apparently be correlated with changes in deformation behavior at various temperatures. Figures 194 and 195 show that the low temperature brittleness of alloy 252 is associated with the formation of slip bands and that microtwinning becomes the predominant mode of deformation at temperatures above  $400^{\circ}$ F (205<sup>o</sup>C).



FD 318434

Figure 188. The Relative Dimensions and Appearance of the Extrusion Preform and the Extruded Bar of Alloy 252

| TABLE 64. | ANALYZED | COMPOSITIONS | OF PHASE | III | ALLOYS, | , WT% |
|-----------|----------|--------------|----------|-----|---------|-------|
|-----------|----------|--------------|----------|-----|---------|-------|

| лноу | Fe  | Mn   | Al  | С   | Others        |
|------|-----|------|-----|-----|---------------|
| 252  | Bai | 30.6 | 8.6 | 2.0 | 1.14Mo, 0.39W |
| 20   | Bal | 32.2 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 1.16Mo, 0.45W |



FD 318435

e- e

Figure 189. Microstructure of Alloy 252 in the As-extruded Condition

Ŕ



アンドンシン

このでいいのないのでは、単いないのないが、単いなののないのでのでので、「このない」

Figure 190. Microstructure of Alloy 253 in the As-extruded Condition

| Temp       | 0.2% YS      | UTS    | El           | RA   |
|------------|--------------|--------|--------------|------|
| •F         | ksi          | hsi    | %            | %    |
|            | АЦ           | oy 252 |              |      |
| <b>'/0</b> | 136.7        | 144.8  | 0.7          |      |
| 70         | 152.9        | 137.5  | 0.6          | 0.5  |
| 400        | 96 9         | 111.3  | 2.7          | 2.8  |
| 400        | 100.2        | 115.3  | 2.1          | 2.6  |
| 800        | <b>32</b> .1 | 125.6  | 15.0         | 13.9 |
| 800        | 90.7         | 124.3  | 13.2         | 10.8 |
| 1200       | 83.6         | 103.5  | 23.2         | 28.0 |
| 1200       | <b>01.6</b>  | 98.3   | 31.7         | 39.1 |
| 1300       | 74.3         | 88.8   | 26.0         | 28.9 |
| 1500       | 78.9         | 88.5   | 27.4         | 28.0 |
|            | Al           | oy 253 |              |      |
| 70         | ٠            | *      | ٠            | ٠    |
| 70         | ٠            | ٠      | *            | *    |
| 400        | 99.2         | 111.0  | 13.1         | 12.2 |
| 400        | 102.5        | 112.6  | 10.5         | 10.4 |
| 800        | 95.5         | 109.6  | 2 <b>∂.6</b> | 48.6 |
| 800        | 95.3         | 109.2  | 36.2         | 45.3 |
| 1200       | 82.4         | 95.7   | 12.0         | 29.7 |
| 1200       | 87.5         | 96.2   | 10.1         | 31.1 |
| 1300       | 78.2         | 83.9   | 21.6         | 26.2 |
| 1300       | 76.9         | 85.8   | 23.8         | 26.0 |

## TABLE 65. TENSILE PROPERTIES OF PHASE III ALLOYS

100 St. 10 St. 10



85558

6.0

and the second states as the last of the second states as the second

Figure 191. Yield Strength and Ultimate Tensile Strength of Alloy 252 and A286



Figure 192. Tensile Fracture of Alloy 252 at 400°F (204°C)

についている。

07.352.4745A2

5

8.X6X-3-6X



FD 318439

**6**. .

Figure 193. Tensile Fracture of Alloy 252 at 1300°F (704°C)

e Xi V



1µm

FD 319440

Figure 194. Dislocation Structure in Alloy 252 Resulting from Tensile Deformation at Temperatures Below the Brittle-Ductile Transition

74480

1010101010101

MUNDALWADINA

A.



Ľ.

## **Creep Rupture Properties**

Creep rupture data of alloys 252 and 253, given in Table 66 show that, in contrast to tensile properties, alloy composition has an important effect on rupture life. The rupture life of alloy 252, which contains 2 wt% carbon, is two to three times longer than that of alloy 253 with 1.2 wt% carbon. It is also rewarkable that Alloy 252 showed considerably higher creep rupture ductility than alloy 253. Table 67 shows a comparison of the 100-hour creep rupture strength of the present alloys with A286. It can be seen that the creep rupture strength of alloy 252 is equivalent to A286 at 1200°F (650°C), but is slightly lower at 1300°F (704°C). As may be expected, creep fracture in both alloys 252 and 253 was found to be caused by intergrannular separation.

| Temperature | s          | tress        | Fracture Time | El | RA |
|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|----|----|
| °F          | <u>kri</u> | <u>(MPa)</u> | hr            |    | %  |
|             |            | All          | oy 252        |    |    |
| 1200        | <b>4</b> 0 | (976)        | 343           | 32 | 50 |
|             | 40         | (270)        | 467           | 27 | 42 |
|             | 50         | (945)        | 398           | 18 | 21 |
|             | 50         | (343)        | 310           | 20 | 25 |
|             | 60         | (419)        | 102           | 16 | 13 |
|             | 60         | (413)        | 108           | 13 | 14 |
| 1300        | 35         | (941)        | 169           | 21 | 16 |
|             | 35         | (241)        | 202           | 23 | 18 |
|             | 40         | (976)        | 75            | 23 | 15 |
|             | 40         | (270)        | 82            | 25 | 20 |
|             | 50         | (245)        | 15            | 22 | 38 |
|             | 50         | (040)        | 24            | 18 | 20 |
|             |            | All          | oy 253        |    |    |
| 1200        | 40         | (976)        | 94            | 7  | 4  |
|             | 40         | (210)        | 110           | 4  | 3  |
|             | 50         | (245)        | 80            | 8  | 5  |
|             | 50         | (040)        | 78            | 5  | 4  |
|             | 60         | (412)        | 51            | 7  | 5  |
|             | 60         | (413)        | 38            | 4  | 4  |
| 1300        | 30         | (207)        | 57            | 7  | 8  |
|             | 35         | (941)        | 40            | 13 | 11 |
|             | 35         | (241)        | 35            | 11 | 7  |
|             | 40         | (070)        | 29            | 8  | 5  |
|             | 40         | (276)        | 35            | 7  | A  |

## TABLE 66. CREEP RUPTURE PROPERTIES OF PHASE III ALLOYS

7440C

|         | Alloy 252 | 253   | A286  |
|---------|-----------|-------|-------|
| 1200°F  | 60        | 40    | 61    |
| (650°C) | (413)     | (276) | (420) |
| 1300°F  | 38        | 25    | 44    |
| (704°C) | (262)     | (172) | (303) |
|         |           |       | 7450C |

## TABLE 67. ONE-HUNDRED-HOUR RUPTURE STRENGTH ksi (MPa)

Transmission electron microscopy was performed on alloy 252 after creep testing at 1200°F (650°C) for 100 hours. Figure 196a shows that considerable coarsening of the perovskite carbide had occurred during the test. Further, the fine perovskite carbide: matrix interface is no longer coherent which is apparent from the formation of the interfacial dislocations as shown in Figure 196b. The interfacial dislocations were determined to be of pure edge type with spacing of about 80Å. Analysis of dislocations in the austenitic matrix was unsuccessful because of the small spacing between the fine perovskite carbide particles. However, analysis was conducted on dislocations observed in the large perovskite particles, Figure 197. The dislocation systems were determined to be {  $\overline{111L} < 011>$  with a mixed character. Evidence of dislocation pairing (shown by an arrow in Figure 197 was also observed.

#### Fracture Toughness

The fracture toughness of alloys 252 and 253 was determined at room temperature using the slow bending technique, and results are given in Table 68. As may be expected from the brittleness of these two alloys at low temperatures, the plane strain fracture toughness was found to be relatively low, two to three times lower than superalloys.

#### **Fatigue Properties**

Fatigue tests were conducted on alloys 252 and 253 at room temperature using cylindrical specimens with smooth gage sections and at a stress ratio R (minimum stress: maximum stress) of 0.1 and a frequency of 1 cycle per second. Results, given in Figure 198, show that the fatigue properties of the present alloys are comparable to the austinitic stainless steel of type 304 (fatigue data of A286 at similar test conditions were not available for comparison). The fatigue fracture of alloys 252 and 253 was found to be rather similar to tensile fracture at low temperatures which occurred intergrannular, Figures 199 and 200. The relatively low fatigue strength of these two alloys could be related to the ease of grain boundary cracking at low temperatures.

The fatigue crack growth behavior of alloys 252 and 253 at room temperature. R=0.1 and 1 Hz, is shown in Figure 201. Relative to A286, the present alloys showed poor crack growth characteristics.

### Dynamic Elastic Modulus and Density

The physical data used for calculating the dynamic elastic moduli and density are given in Table 69. The measured densities for the alloys are generally lower than iron-base superalloys, by 15% when compared with A286. The dynamic moduli calculated from the resonance frequency measurements are tabulated in Table 70. The dynamic moduli for alloy 252 were found to be considerably higher than those of alloy 253. In general, the elastic moduli for both alloys are lower than those of iron-base superalloys by 10 to 20% in the temperature range studied.



K

7448C



FD 318442

Figure 196. (a) General Microstructure of Alloy 252 After Creep Testing at 1200°F (650°C) for 110 hours g = [020]. (b) Detailed Appearance of the Fine Perovskite Carbide Particles Showing Presence of Interfacial Dislocations, g = [020]



FD 318443

0.2µm

Figure 197. Dislocations in the Large Perovskite Carbide Part cles of Alloy 252 After Creep Testing at 1200°F (650°C) for 110 hours. Arrow Indicates Paired Dislocations, g = [200]

## TABLE 68. FRACTURE TOUGHNESS OF AUSTENITE-PEROVSKITE ALLOYS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE

| Alloy | Kic, ksi $\sqrt{in}$ . (MPa $\sqrt{m}$ )           |
|-------|--|
| 252   | 19.5, 21.7, 26.3, 29.6<br>(21.4, 23.8, 28.9, 32.5) |
| 253   | 12.4, 15.5, 17.9, 19.1<br>(13.6, 17.0, 19.7, 21.0) |
|       | 74500  |

## **Thermal Properties**

The mean thermal expansion of alloys 252 and 253 as a function of temperature are given in Table 71, and shown plotted in Figure 202. The dimensional changes with temperature in alloys 252 and 253 were found to be larger relative to both austinitic stainless steels and the iron-base superalloy A286, Figure 202.



FDA 318444

Figure 198. Stress vs Number of Cycles to Failure for Alloys 252 and 253 Fatigue Tested at Room Temperature, R=0.1 and 1 Hz. Corresponding Data for an Austenitic Stainless Steel Type 301 Are Also Included for Comparison



FD 318445

Figure 199. Fatigue Fracture of Alloy 252 of 70F (20C). (a) Sectional View Showing Formation of Cracks in Large Perovskite Carbide Particles at Grain Boundary (b) Appearance of Fracture Surface



Figure 200. Fatigue Fracture of Alloy 253 at 70F (20C) (a) Sectional View Showing Intergranular Fracture (b) Appearance of Fracture Surface

744.3C

SE SSECOL

1. 1. 1. 1



1 31 1

7448C

FDA 318447

Figure 201. Fatigue Crack Growth Rates of Alloys 252 and 253 at Room Temperature, Stress Ratio of 0.1 and Frequency of 1 Hz. Corresponding Data for A286 Are Also Shown for Comparison

| TABLE 69. | PHYSICAL DATA | FOR  | CALCULATION     | OF | DENSITIES | AND | ELASTIC |
|-----------|---------------|------|-----------------|----|-----------|-----|---------|
|           |               |      | MODULI OF       |    |           |     |         |
|           |               | ALL( | NVS 252 AND 255 | 2  |           |     |         |

| Alloy | Diameter<br>in.                       | Length<br>in. | Weight<br>gm | Spevific<br>Weight<br>lb/in. <sup>3</sup> |
|-------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---|
| 252   | 0.5002                                | 4.3224        | 93.7086      | 0.2432                                    |
| 253   | 0.5001                                | 4.6446        | 99.5387      | 0.2405                                    |
|       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |               |              | 74500                                     |

# TABLE 70.DYNAMIC MODULUS DATA AT ROOM AND ELEVATEDTEMPERATURES FORALLOYS 252 AND 253

| Temperature | Alloy 252             | 253                   |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| °F          | (10 <sup>6</sup> psi) | (10 <sup>6</sup> psi) |
| 70          | 25.58                 | 23.00                 |
| 70          | 25.57                 | 23.02                 |
| 70          | 25.58                 | 23.01                 |
|             | Λvg. 25.58            | 23.01                 |
| 400         | 24.18                 | 21.67                 |
| 400         | 24.18                 | 21.67                 |
| 400         | 24.18                 | 21.67                 |
|             | Avg. 24.18            | 21.67                 |
| 800         | 22.08                 | 19.82                 |
| 800         | 22.08                 | 19.82                 |
| 800         | 22.08                 | 19.82                 |
|             | Avg. 22.08            | 19.82                 |
| 1200        | 19.90                 | 17.94                 |
| 1200        | 19.93                 | 17.98                 |
| 1200        | 19.90                 | 17.95                 |
|             | Avg. 19.91            | 17.96                 |
| 1300        | 19.27                 | 17.41                 |
| 1300        | <b>19.2€</b>          | 17.43                 |
| 1300        | 19.28                 | 17.43                 |
|             | Avg. 19.27            | 17.42                 |
|             |                       | 7450C                 |

## TABLE 71. MEAN COEFFICIENT OF THERMAL EXPANSION (70° TO TEMP.) $\times 10^{-6}$ /° F

| Temperature                              | 252       | Alloys<br>253 | A286* |
|--|-----------|---------------|-------|
| 400                                      | 8.34      | 8.07          | 9.35  |
| 600                                      | 9.41      | 9.04          | 9.47  |
| 800                                      | 10.06     | 9.68          | 9.64  |
| 1000                                     | 10.52     | 10.21         | 9.78  |
| 1200                                     | 10.84     | 10.63         | 9.88  |
| 1400                                     | 11.10     | 10 <b>.94</b> | 10.32 |
| 1600                                     | 11.35     | 11.25         |       |
| 1800                                     | 17.55     | 11.59         | -     |
| 2000                                     | 11.79     | 11.89         | •     |
| 2100                                     | .—        | 12.01         | _     |
| <ul> <li>Nickel Base<br/>1964</li> </ul> | Alloys IN | ICO Data      | Book, |

7448C



Figure 202. Thermal Expansion Characteristics of Alloys 252 and 253. Corresponding Data for Austenitic Stainless Steels and A286 Are Included for Comparison

7448C

**NACE STOCK** 

## CONCLUSIONS - TASK III

- 1. A new class of precipitation hardened alloys based on the Fe-Mn-Al-C system was identified. These alloys consist of an austenitic matrix with an ordered and semi-coherent perovskite carbide precipitate (Fe, Mr)<sub>3</sub> AlC<sub>x</sub>.
- 2. It was shown that the microstructure and mechanical properties of the austenite-perovskite alloys could be significantly changed by heat treatment and alloying modification. Alloys with tensile and creep strengths equivalent to iron-base superalloys were identified.
- 3. The current austenite : perovskite alloys have mechanical property deficiencies at ambient temperatures including ductility, fracture toughness, and fatigue cracking characteristics.
- 4. Future work should concentrate on defining compositions for optimum properties.