



DING FILE. COE	27	(* * *		•		\mathcal{D}
AD-A192 (201 r	READET BOCUN	IENTATION	PAGE		
AD-A 105 C		3116	16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS	\	
UNCLASSILLEU		ELECTE	None		5. 85000 r	
a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUT		AUG 0 5 1987	Appro	ved for Pi	ublic Re	lease.
DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRAD	DING SC DU	JLE	Distr	ibution U	nlimited	1
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RE	PORT NUMB	ER(S)	S. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION F	REPORT NUM	BER(S)
Technical Report No.	. 8					
. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGAN	ZATION	60. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORGA	NIZATION	
University of Connec	cticut	(If applicable)	ONR			
ADDRESS (City State and 7/8 C		L	75 ADDRESS (CI	N State and TIP	Code)	···
97 N. Eagleville Rd.	, ,	•	800 North Ouincy Avenue			
Institute of Materia	als Scien	ice, U-136	Arlington, VA 22217			
Storrs, CI 06268	46	BD OFFICE SYMBOL	9 PROCUREMENT	T INSTRUMENT IN	ENTIFICATION	
ORGANIZATION	•••	(If applicable)				
<u>ONR</u>						
ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Coo	de)		10 SOURCE OF	UNDING NUMBER	RS	
800 North Quincy	Avenue		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION N
Arlington, VA 22	.217					
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION				1307	<u>l</u>	
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	te 28_2	in proce 1987				
FIELD GROUP SUI		Surface Struct	ure and Topo	e if necessary and ilogy, FT-IR	ATR Dich	block number) roism, Contac
		Angle Anisotro	py, Friction	Coefficien	t, Surfac	e Roughness
		1	<u> </u>			
New surfaces gene substantially differen have been characterize FT-IR ATR dichroism te coefficient, are studi ments. Uniaxial drawi polypropylene (PP) and friction coefficients Contact angles measure and not in PET. Surfa And the possible influ fully examined. Attem parameters	erated d it from 1 ed by mea echnique. ied by mu ng incre d polyet when mea ed with ice rough uence of upts are	uring uniaxial of their unoriented souring molecular Surface proper easuring contact ases surface cry hyleneterephthal asured parallel a four different l ness of drawn PP anisotropic roug made to correlat	or biaxial s counterparts orientation rties, such angles and s stallinity a ate (PET). and perpendi iquids also is direction ghness on com e surface pr	tretching o s. Changes and degree as surface by single-st ind molecula This also cular to the exhibit anis nal whereas ntact angle roperties wi	f polymer in surfa of cryst tension a roke fric r orienta leads to e drawing sotropy i that of f measurement th surfac	r films are ce structure allinity usin ind friction ction measure ation in both anisotropic g direction. n oriented PF PET is unifor ents are care ce structural
O DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY O	FABSTRACT		21 ABSTRACT SE	CURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION	
SUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS						
C. S. P. Sung			203-486-	-4630	e) 226. OFFIC	F 21MROF
D FORM 1473, 84 MAR	83 A	PR edition may be used un All other editions are ob	til exhausted. Isolete	SECURITY	CLASSIFICATI	ON OF THIS PAGE
87 8	4	0 1 2				

Office of Naval Research

Contract N00014-86-K-0200

R&T Code NR413C022

Technical Report No. 8

Characterization of Surface Structure and Properties in Oriented Polymers

by

Trougerstates

A CURRENT OF CONTRACT

00000000

onenterse somerral betterress

N. H. Sung, H. Y. Lee, P. Yuan and C.S.P. Sung

Prepared for

ACS Polymer Preprints

University of Connecticut Institute of Materials Science Department of Chemistry Storrs, CT 06268

July 24, 1987

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

CHARACTERIZATION OF SURFACE STRUCTURE AND

PROPERTIES IN ORIENTED POLYMERS

by

N. H. Sung Department of Chemical Engineering Tufts University Medford, Massachusetts 02155

H. Y. Lee, P. Yuan and C.S.P. Sung Institute of Materials Science University of Connecticut Storrs, CT 06268

ABSTRACT

New surfaces generated during uniaxial or biaxial stretching of polymer films are substantially different from their unoriented counterparts. Changes in surface structure have been characterized by measuring molecular orientation and degree of crystallinity using FT-IR ATR dichroism Surface properties, such as surface tension and friction technique. coefficient, are studied by measuring contact angles and by single-stroke friction measurements. Uniaxial drawing increases surface crystallinity and molecular orientation in both polypropylene (PP) and polyethyleneterephthalate (PET). This also leads to anisotropic friction coefficients when measured parallel and perpendicular to the drawing direction. Contact angles measured with four different liquids also exhibit anisotropy in oriented PP and not in PET. Surface roughness of drawn PP is directional whereas that of PET is uniform. And the possible influence of anisotropic roughness on contact angle measurements are carefully examined. Attempts are made to correlate surface properties with surface structural parameters.

$\left(\right)$	DTIC	
ling	COPY PECTED	
(183	COPY PECTED	

	butiu /	
	voilability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special	
A-1		

A STOCKED

INTRODUCTION

Polymers in oriented states are highly anisotropic in their mechanical and physico-chemical properties, and bulk structure-properties relationship has been extensively studied in the past. Relatively little attention has been paid, however, to the surface properties of oriented polymers. When a polymer is subjected to drawing or stretching, surface/mass ratio increases sharply, thereby creating new surfaces along with molecular orientation. In crystalline polymers, molecular ordering on the surface may not be the same as in the bulk. Also when surface is created under no constraints (i.e. air/polymer interface), surface topology, such as roughness, may vary depending on the surface fluid dynamics at any given condition of temperature and strain rate.

In this paper, we are reporting our attempt to characterize the surface structure (molecular orientation and relative crystallinity) and the roughness of oriented polymers and to relate them to the surface properties, such as surface energy and friction coefficient, in two crystalline polymers, polypropylene (PP) and polyethyleneterephthalate (PET).

EXPERIMENTAL

PP films obtained from Hercules Co. were uniaxially drawn at $150^{\circ}-155^{\circ}$ C in an environmental chamber of Instron machine. Uniaxially drawn (at 80° C) PET films were provided by SKC Ltd. of Korea. Surface orientation and relative degree of crystallinity were estimated by FT-IR ATR dichroism technique using a special ATR crystal and ATR attachment (1,2). Contact angle was measured by a Rame-Hart Goniometer, using four different liquids; water ($\gamma = 72.8$ dynes/cm²), glycerol ($\gamma = 63.4$ dynes/cm²), formaldehyde (γ

シュー・

- 2 -

= 58.2 dynes/cm²) and ethylene glycol (γ = 47.7 dynes/cm²) at ambient temperature. Surface roughness was measured by Talysurf profilometer. Friction coefficient was measured by a low-speed, single-stroke method using a stainless steel pin in the direction parallel and perpendicular to the draw direction. Applied load was between 50-100gr.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 1 and 2 show how the uniaxial drawing changes the molecular orientation and the relative crystallinity on the surface ($\sqrt{1}\mu$ in depth) of PP and PET respectively. As seen in Fig. 1, orientation function, f_{xy} , initially increases rapidly with increasing draw ratio up to 3, then levels beyond that. In this work, X is the draw direction, while Y is perpendicular to X within the surface plane. Also noted is that the orientation of crystalline domains measured at 998 cm^{-1} is greater than the average orientation measured at 973 cm^{-1} . The relative crystallinity (Xc) increases modestly with draw ratio from about 56% to about 66% from PP. For drawn PET film surfaces, the molecular orientation of trans ethylene glycol unit (at 975 cm^{-1}) is highest, followed by that of benzene ring (at 795 cm^{-1}) and <u>gauche</u> ethylene glycol unit (at 896 cm^{-1}). Relative amount of trans conformer increases from about 40% to 60% indicating that relative crystallinity increases slightly with drawing. This trend is similar to the changes observed in bulk PET. Relative crystallinity of the bulk measured by DSC also shows a good correlation with surface crystallinity estimated by FTIR-ATR.

Figure 3 shows the contact angles measured on the PET surfaces in both parallel ($\Theta_{||}$) and perpendicular (Θ_{\perp}) directions to the draw direction, as a function of draw ratio. All four test liquids used exhibit isotropic contact angles ($\Theta_{||} \equiv \Theta_{|}$) within the experimental error, and the average

- 3 -

values for each liquid does not change with draw ratio. Compared to PET, PP surfaces show different behavior; $\theta_{||}$ gradually decreases while θ_{\perp} increases with draw ratio leading to an increasing anisotropy in contact angles. The same trend was found with all four test liquids as shown in Figure 4. Anisotropic contact angles on oriented polymer surfaces have been reported earlier by Good <u>et al</u> (3) on fluoropolymers and by Wang and Porter (4) on polystyrene. These authors attributed those unequal contact angles to the anisotropic surface tensions resulting from oriented molecules. Schonohorn (5), on the other hand, has demonstrated that anisotropic contact angles can be observed in unoriented polymer surfaces when surface roughness is directional, i.e. parallel grooved.

To assess the possible role of surface roughness on the anisotropy of contact angles surface profiles were measured along the directions parallel and perpendicular to draw direction. The results are shown in Figure 5. Overall, PET surface is smooth and no discernable difference in roughness are detected between the two directions. In PP, however, surface is rough (center line average $\sim 2\mu$) and the profiles differ significantly when scanned along two directions; the number of peaks and valleys per unit length is much larger along the perpendicular direction, suggesting that the peaks and valleys are elongated along the draw direction. The directionally oriented surface texture, thus, appears to influence the anisotropy in contact angle. The extent of the roughness effect on the contact angle is currently under investigation by systematically varying the surface roughness without altering the molecular orientation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported in part by ONR. We acknowledge the helpful discussions with Dr. H. Schonhorn of Kendall and Dr. S. H. Wu of Dupont.

- 4 -

REFERENCES

1. C.S.P. Sung, Macromolecules, <u>14</u>, 591 (1981).

TON ON ON ON ON ON ON ON ON

- J. P. Hobbs, C.S.P. Sung, K. Krishnan and S. Hill, Macromolecules, <u>16</u>, 193 (1983).
- 3. R. J. Good, J. A. Kuikstad and W. O. Bailey, J. Coll. & Interface Sci., <u>35</u>, 314 (1971).
- 4. L. H. Wang and R. S. Porter, J. Appl. Sci., <u>28</u>, 1439 (1983).
- 5. H. Schonhorn, Abstracts of the Tenth Annual Meeting of the Adhesion Society, Williamsburg, Va, Feb. (1987).



Fig. 1. Surface Orientation Function (fxy) and Relative Surface Crystallinity (Xc) as a function of Draw Ratio (λ) in uniaxially oriented polypropylene.

PODDA

A VICTORIAL VICTORIA

<u>የመንግር የሚያረጉ እንዲከት የእናስ የእናስ የእናስ የእናስ የእናስ የእናስ የእናስ የ</u>



Fig. 2. Surface Orientation Function (fxy) and Relative Surface Crystallinity (Xc) as a function of Draw Ratio (λ) in uniaxially oriented PET.



Fig. 3. Contact Angles as a function of draw ratio (λ) for PET from four different liquids in the perpendicular and parallel direction.







18282323655

Fig. 5. Surface Roughness profiles of oriented PP and PET measured in the direction parallel and perpendicular to the draw direction.

.

