
AD-A181 440	HORLD REFERENCE CENTER FOR ARBOUIRUS HAUEN CONNISCHOOL OF MEDICINE R E S DAME17-81-C-1121	ES(U) VALE UNIV NEN HOPE JAN 83	1/1
UNCLASSIFIED		F/G 6/13	NL
			- · ·
	END ant 2 B		



٠,

「「「「「「「「」」」」

DIK THE COPY

AD-A181 440

WORLD REFERENCE CENTER FOR ARBOVIRUSES

AD

ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

Robert E. Shope, M.D.

January 1983



Supported by

U.S. Army Research and Development Command, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701

Contract DAMD 17-81-C-1121

Yale University School of Medicine New Haven, Connecticut 06510

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Deparment of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

		EAD INSTRUCTIONS
		IT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AD-A181	440	Ì
4 TITLE (and Sublitio)		REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
	Annual	
World Reference Center for Arboviruses		- 12/31/82 MING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(a)		CT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Robert E. Shope, M.D.	DAMD I	-81C-1121
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGR	AM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Yale University	ł	J
155 Whitney Avenue	61102A	.3M161102BS10.AA.073
New Haven, CT 06510 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPOR	
Department of the Army	January	
U.S. Army Medical Research & Development Comman	d 13. 84MBE	R OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)	_	TY CLASS. (of this report)
	Uncla	assified
	154. DECL	ASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	SCHE	
Approved for public release; distribution unlim	rom Report)	
	'ram Report)	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different i	irom Report)	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different i	(raan Report)	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different i	raen Report)	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in Block 20, if di	4	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in Block 20, if di		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on revorce elde II necessary and identify by block number Arboviruses, serologic identification, orbivirus	m) s, rhabdov	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different i 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block numb	w) s, rhabdov virus, Rij	t Valley fever virus,
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different is 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Arboviruses, serologic identification, orbivirus serologic survey, RNA segment analysis, dengue in the second second	w) s, rhabdov virus, Rij	t Valley fever virus,
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different is 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block number Arboviruses, serologic identification, orbiviru: serologic survey, RNA segment analysis, dengue Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus, monoclon. 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde H meressary and identify by block number (Continue on reverse olde H meressary and identify by block number (Continue on reverse olde H meressary and identify by block number (Continue on reverse olde H meressary and identify by block number) 	w) s, rhabdov virus, Rij al antiboo	t Valley fever virus, ly, ELISA.
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different is 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block number Arboviruses, serologic identification, orbivirus serologic survey, RNA segment analysis, dengue Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus, monoclonation in reverse olde M meneousy and identify by block number Revisions were made of the genera Phlebovirus, 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	») s, rhabdov virus, Rij al antiboo	t Valley fever virus, ly, ELISA. Bunyavirus, and the
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different is 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block number Arboviruses, serologic identification, orbivirus serologic survey, RNA segment analysis, dengue Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus, monoclone 18. AGSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde W menseemy and identify by block number Revisions were made of the genera Phlebovirus, family Rhabdoviridae. New viruses of the Kemere 	w) s, rhabdov virus, Rif al antiboo Drbivirus, ovo and Sa	t Valley fever virus, ly, ELISA. <u>Bunyavirus</u> , and the ukhalin serogroups
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different is 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if accessery and identify by block number Arboviruses, serologic identification, orbivirus serologic survey, RNA segment analysis, dengue Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus, monoclone 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds N measures and identify by block number family Rhabdoviridae. New viruses of the Kemer were identified. Serological evidence of phlebasera from Greece, and of flavi- alpha- and buny. 	m) s, rhabdow virus, Rif al antiboo Orbivirus ovo and Sa ovirus and aviruses	t Valley fever virus, ly, ELISA. <u>Bunyavirus</u> , and the ikhalin serogroups ibody was found in in sera from the
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different is 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if accessary and identify by block number Arboviruses, serologic identification, orbiviru: serologic survey, RNA segment analysis, dengue Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus, monoclone 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds N measurery and identify by block number from a reverse of the genera Phlebovirus, family Rhabdoviridae. New viruses of the Kemer were identified. Serological evidence of phlebaera from Greece, and of flavi- alpha- and buny. Sudan. Zinga virus was identified as Rift Vall 	s, rhabdov virus, Rii al antiboo <u>Orbivirus</u> ovo and Sa ovirus and aviruses i ey fever v	t Valley fever virus, ly, ELISA. <u>Bunyavirus</u> , and the skhalin serogroups tibody was found in in sera from the virus, extending the
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different in the supplementation of the superior of the superi	ovirus, Rif al antiboo orbivirus, Rif al antiboo ovirus and Sa ovirus and aviruses ey fever v fever ant: was still	t Valley fever virus, ly, ELISA. <u>Bunyavirus</u> , and the khalin serogroups tibody was found in in sera from the virus, extending the body was shown to in high titer. A
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed in Block 20, if different 20, if diffe	ovirus, Rif al antiboo orbivirus, Rif al antiboo ovirus and Sa ovirus and aviruses ey fever v fever ant: was still	t Valley fever virus, ly, ELISA. <u>Bunyavirus</u> , and the khalin serogroups tibody was found in in sera from the virus, extending the body was shown to in high titer. A
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed in Block 20, if different 2	») s, rhabdow virus, Rif al antiboo Orbivirus ovo and Sa ovirus and aviruses ey fever w fever ant: was still was devel	t Valley fever virus, ly, ELISA. <u>Bunyavirus</u> , and the khalin serogroups tibody was found in in sera from the virus, extending the body was shown to in high titer. A

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

cont.

⇒of the Southern blot. Monoclonal ant body to Rift Valley fever virus established that there are at least 6 surface-reactive sites, 4 of these involved in the neutralization reaction. The ELISA was used effectively to screen Rift Valley fever vaccinees for antibody. Both the IgM and IgG ELISA were developed for Rift Valley fever. The ELISA was also adapted to Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus for antibody detection, Antigendetection ELISA was applied to dengue, and in another study to eastern encephalitis and Highland J viruses for detection in mosquito pools, both infected in the laboratory and infected in nature. The correlation with conventional virus isolation methods was excellent. A collection of low passage arboviruses was continued. >Fifty-two new acquisitions, including Japanese encephalitis, dengue, yellow fever, phlebotomus fever viruses, and Ross River, were lyophilized and are available for investigators on request ~ The reference center distributed 568 ampoules of reference sera, antigens, and viruses during 1982. Of the viruses distributed, there were represented/110 different serotypes.

Keywords: viruses ; hemonhagie fever viruses.



A AN WAR AND A LAND

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

وساحد رعدأر واللاحم أمحم محارثها المدواة الأشاجمية

· · · · ·

TITLE PAGE	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES4	
SUMMARY	
	۳
BODY OF REPORT	*
I. VIRUS CLASSIFICATION	ŧ.
as Rift Valley fever virus	
RHABDOVIRUSES	
ORBIVIRUSES	
BUNYAVIRUSES	
II. IDENTIFICATION OF VIRUSES. 31 Identification of a new phlebovirus from Italy. 31 RML-85. 32 Conn Ar-560-79. 33 Zim Bat Pool A/81. 34 EthAr 3554. 36 FrBrest/Ar/T577 and Fr Brest/Ar/T578. 36 FrBrest/Ar/T261 and FrBrest/Ar/T578. 36 Tribec. 36 Viruses isolated in C6/36 Ae. albopictus cells in 39 III.SEROLOGIC SURVEYS. 39 Serologic survey of human sera from Greece. 39 Survey of Egyptian and Sudanese sera for antibody to 40 IV. DIAGNOSIS OF DISEASE. 40 Diagnosis of CNS cases in Connecticut. 40	JUANTED .
Serologic diagnosis of febrile illnesses among gravely ill pediatric patients in a Jakarta hospital	
170[ty Codes
	and (or Special
M-/	44 y 41 1 1 1
-7-	

•

Rift Valley fever antibody persisting for 49 years after infection47
Diagnosis of flavivirus encephalitis in a Canadian
patient exposed in Manchuria
Febrile illness in Spanish workers exposed in Libya48
V. DEVELOPMENT OF NEW TECHNIQUES
The enzyme-linked solid phase immunoassay for antibody
(IgG and IgM) and antigen detection
Monoclonal antibodies to Rift Valley fever virus51
Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus ELISA54
Latex bead technique for rapid antibody or antigen
detection
Yellow fever virus studies with nectar meals and
mosquitoes
yellow fever virus infection
Suitability of fresh yellow fever virus vs. frozen virus
for oral infection studies with mosquitoes
Detection of dengue viral antigen in infected cell culture fluids and in suckling mouse brain suspensions by the
modified double antibody sandwich enzyme linked immuno-
sorbent assay
Original antigenic sin in dengue fever patients
Modified Southern blotting to determine genetic relatedness
of RNA viruses
Detection of eastern equine encephalitis virus and Highland
J virus antigens within mosquito pools by enzyme immuno-
assays (EIA)
Field Studies in Connecticut
Arbovirus studies in New Jersey and Florida
VI. COLLECTION OF LOW PASSAGE VIRUS REFERENCE STRAINS
VII. DISTRIBUTION OF REAGENTS, WHO COLLABORATING CENTRE FOR
REFERENCE AND RESEARCH

.

ł

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Tabl	e Page
l	Indirect fluorescent antibody assay of ZV using monoclonal antibodies to RVFV
2	Plaque reduction neutralization tests of RVF and ZV.12
3	Results of complement-fixation tests with 36 phlebotomus fever group virus serotypes
4	Results of CF tests14
5	Results of plaque reduction neutralization tests with selected phlebotomus fever group viruses15
6	Results of plaque reduction neutralization tests with selected phlebotomus fever group viruses
7	Results of complement fixation tests with Kimberly, Kununurra and Parry Creek viruses
8	Results of plaque reduction neutralization tests with Kimberly, Kununurra and Parry Creek viruses
9	Changuinola serogroup viruses included in this study
10	Growth of Changuinola virus (BT-436) in <u>Lutzomyia</u> <u>longipalpis</u> (LL-5) and <u>Aedes</u> <u>albopictus</u> (C6/36) cells23
11	Palyam group viruses examined by PRNT in this study25
12	Results of complement fixation tests with Palyam serogroup viruses26
13	Results of plaque reduction neutralization tests with Palyam serogroup viruses27
14	Complement-fixation tests comparing members of the Tete serogroup29
15	Hemagglutination-inhibition tests comparing members of the Tete serogroup
16	Plaque-reduction neutralization tests in Vero cells comparing members of the Tete serogroup
17	Results of CF test with ISS.Ph1.18
18	Results of plaque-reduction neutralization tests with Salehabad and ISS.Phl.18 viruses

. 19	Complement-fixing tests comparing RML-85 with other members of the Kemerovo serogroup
20	Serologic tests comparing Conn Ar-560-79 with members of the Bunyamwera serogroup
21	Serologic tests comparing Zim Bat Pool A/81 with members of the Sindbis complex of alphaviruses35
22	Complement-fixation tests comparing EthAr 3554 with Kemerovo group viruses
23	Complement-fixation tests comparing Brest/Ar/T577 and Brest/Ar/T578 with other members of the Kemerovo serogroup37
24	Complement-fixation tests comparing Brest/Ar/T439 and Brest/Ar/T261 with members of the Sakhalin serogroup.38
25	Complement-fixation tests comparing the four geno- types of Tribec virus
26	Serologic test results of encephalitis patients with evidence of flaviviral infection
27	Serologic evidence of dengue infection in Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, 198243
28	Rift Valley fever antibody of patient GM over a 49 year period47
29	Serological reactions of suspected JE encephalitis patient
30	Cross-reactions encountered with antisera to phlebo- viruses tested in the Rift Valley fever antibody detection ELISA50
31	Antibody titers of monoclonals to RVFV53
. 32	Specificity of RVFV Monoclonals by IFA53
33	ELISA to determine RVFV monoclonal antibody isotype
34	<u>Aedes</u> <u>albopictus</u> clone C6/36 infected cell_culture fluids
35	Sucrose acetone extracted suckling mouse brain antigens
36	Limits of dengue virus detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

.

.

•

ł

37	IFA and neutralizing dengue antigody in patients following dengue viremia61
38	Ticks and louse-flies collected from birds and mammals at the Hammonasset River Study Site in Killingworth, Connecticut during 1982
39	Virus and antibody in birds and mammals from the Hammonasset River Study Site during 198265
40	Seropositive samples from birds and mammals at the Hammonasset River Study Site during 1982
41	Adult female mosquitoes from the Hammonasset River Study Site tested for virus during 1982
42	Virus and antibody in birds and reptiles captured in New Jersey during 1981 and 1982
43	Virus isolations from birds collected in New Jersey70
44	Virus and antibody in first year (hatching year) birds captured in New Jersey during 1981 and 198271
45	Hemagglutination-inhibiting antibody in turtles collected in Dennisville, New Jersey, during 198172
46	Virus isolation attempts from <u>Aedes sollicitans</u> and <u>Coquillettidia perturbans</u> collected in New Jersey during 1982
47	Cache Valley-like virus isolates from <u>Aedes</u> <u>sollicitans</u> collected at Dennisville, New Jersey, during 198273
48	Low-passage virus strains obtained and lyophilized 1982-1983
49	Virus referred to YARU for identification and study 198277
50	Vertebrate and invertebrate cell lines distributed during 1982
FIGU	RES
1	Changuinola serogroup: DsRNA profiles
2	Changuinola serogroup: DsRNA profiles22
3	Palyam serogroup: DsRNA profiles28
4	Dengue fever cases reported by week June 9 through October 10, 1981, Cuba44

- 5 Monthly Morbidity reported among U.S.Navy personnel Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1980 - March 1982......45
- 6 Monthly Morbidity reported in non-U.S. personnel Guantanamo Bay, Cuba 1980 - March 1982.....46

SUMMARY

<u>Virus classification</u>. Taxonomic study of phleboviruses has led to the discovery that Zinga virus, previously believed to be ungrouped, is a strain of Rift Valley fever (RVF) virus. This surprising finding extends the known range of RVF from Senegal to Madagascar. A New World phlebovirus, Belterra, was closely related to RVF by CF and neutralization tests. Identification of a new phlebovirus from Italy brings the number of known serotypes to 36. Three new rhabdoviruses from Australia were distantly related to each other and two of them to VSV-New Jersey. The Tete group of bunyaviruses was revised to include a new bird isolate from India. Two major serogroups of orbiviruses were studied by serological tests and by PAGE. The genetic variance in the Changuinola group was amazingly broad with at least 20 distinct profiles. That in the Palyam group was quite narrow. This suggests that Palyam group viruses are genetically quite stable.

<u>Virus identification</u>. New viruses were identified in the Kemerovo group from Alaska and France, and in the Sakhalin group from France. For the first time, the Reference Center is receiving viruses isolated in mosquito cells; some of these appear to be mosquito viruses but some others appear to be arboviruses which do not infect laboratory animals. New methods are being developed to replace classical techniques for identifying these agents.

Serologic survey. Serosurveys were undertaken in Egypt and Sudan where 20% flavi- and a lower percentage of alpha- and bunyavirus antibodies were found. RVF IFA, ELISA, and neutralizing antibody was detected in high titer in sera of a laboratory technician infected in New York 49 years ago. Human sera from Greece, some of which have RVF HI reactivity, have a high prevalence of Naples sandfly fever virus neutralizing antibody which may account in part for the RVF crossreactivity.

Diagnosis of disease. Dengue was diagnosed in a traveller returning to Connecticut from Puerto Rico, but not in accompanying persons who had similar illness. Dengue neutralizing antibody rises were recorded in 6% of the CNS disease cases in a pediatric hospital in Jakarta; these children had no antibody rises to JE virus. Six of 25 U.S. Navy personnel who reported fever during the 1981 dengue-2 outbreak had dengue-2 neutralizing antibody. Almost none of those not reporting ill developed antibody. A Canadian developing encephalitis on returning from Manchuria had a postive IgM antibody capture ELISA with JE antigen. An outbreak of fever in Spaniards working in Libya was negative with arbovirus antigens and remains undiagnosed.

<u>Development of techniques</u>. Major emphasis was placed on monoclonal antibodies and ELISA as methods for early and rapid diagnosis and identification of viruses. IgG and IgM ELISA functioned well with Rift Valley fever. The ELISA correlated with PRNT tests of post-vaccination human sera. The ELISA was a broadly cross-reacting test with both human and mouse immune sera immune to other phleboviruses. An 8-minute latex agglutination test was adapted from rubella to arboviruses. It was more rapid and more sensitive than the HI test. The Crimean hemorrhagic fever-Congo virus ELISA was also employed and the technology transferred to a laboratory in Greece. Monoclonal antibodies for Rift Valley fever were generally specific, all IgG, reacted the same in HI and neutralization when directed to glycoproteins and in CF when directed to nucleocapsids. There were 6 antigenic sites, 4 of them involved in neutralization.

The antigen-detection ELISA was used to identify infected pools of mosquitoes. With eastern encephalitis and Highland J viruses, the correlation was excellent with results of virus isolation using conventional techniques and field specimens from Massachusetts.

A series of experiments with yellow fever virus and mosquitoes failed to demonstrate transmission by nectar, failed to show any marked effects of colonization through 6 generations on susceptibility to infection, and failed to show a difference between fresh and frozen meals in capacity to infect mosquitoes.

ł

A modification of the Southern blotting technique was applied successfully to hybridize ssRNA of orbiviruses after the dsRNA was denatured by glyoxalation. This novel technique permits identification and comparison of RNA viruses at the genome level and should be applicable also to ssRNA viruses.

<u>Collection of low passage virus reference strains</u>. A collection of 52 unpassaged or low passage arboviruses was made, aliquoted, and lyophilized to be sent to interested scientists and to be stored for future experimental approaches. These include Highland J, eastern encephalitis, phlebotomus fever viruses, dengue viruses, Japanese encephalitis, and several other flaviviruses, rhabdoviruses, and bunyaviruses.

Distribution of reagents. The reference centre distributed 568 ampoules of reference sera, antigens, and viruses during 1982; mosquito, tick, and vertebrate cells were also distributed. Of the viruses distributed, there were represented 110 different serotypes.

FOREWORD

In conducting the research described in this report, the investigator adhered to the "Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care", as promulgated by the Committee on the Guide for Laboratory Animal Resources, National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council.

BODY OF REPORT

I. VIRUS CLASSIFICATION

PHLEBOVIRUSES

<u>Use of Monoclonal Antibodies to Identify Zinga Virus as Rift Valley Fever</u> <u>Virus</u> (J. Meegan, J.P. Digoutte, C.J. Peters, R.E. Shope). A significant crossreaction was detected between Zinga virus (ZV) and RVF virus (RVFV) while testing antisera to a number of African viruses in an indirect fluorescent antibody (IFA) test using inactivated RVFV infected cells as antigen. Until now, ZV was considered a serologically ungrouped arthropod-borne virus isolated from man and mosquitoes in central and western Africa. Because of the biohazard RVFV represents to man and animals, research on it is restricted to high containment laboratories. Consequently, it was desirable to determine rapidly if ZV was RVFV or a related virus in the phlebotomus fever group of the family Bunyaviridae.

We recently characterized a bank of monoclonal antibodies developed against inactivated RVF virion antigens (see V. Development of new techniques). Three of these were selected for testing ZV. Each reacts in the IFA test with all strains of RVFV, and binds to non-overlapping antigenic sites present on three different virion structural proteins. Two of these monoclonal antibody preparations bind to sites on the two surface glycoproteins and show no crossreactions when tested against the 33 other viruses of the phlebotomus fever serogroup. Additionally, one of these monoclonal preparations efficiently inhibits hemagglutination and neutralizes the virus. The third monoclonal antibody binds to a site on the nucleocapsid protein, and cross-reacts in the IFA test with four phleboviruses, all isolated only from South America. It was postulated that if these three monoclonal antibodies reacted to high titer with ZV, it would be a strain of RVFV.

Table 1 shows IFA results indicating that each monoclonal antibody reacted to titer with RVFV and ZV infected cells. Based on these results, ZV was sent to a high containment laboratory (USAMRIID) where virus stocks were prepared, titered, and plaque reduction neutralization (PRNT) tests were performed. Table 2 reveals PRNT results indicating ZV is closely related to the Zagazig 501 and Entebbe strains of RVFV. The differences in titer are reproducible and could indicate slight antigenic differences which should be further investigated. However, since none of the other phlebotomus fever group viruses have shown such significant PRNT cross-reactions with RVFV, these differences between ZV and RVFV should be considered minor strain variations. Preliminary animal inoculation studies indicate ZV is a classic pantropic, virulent strain of RVFV.

In this study, the use of defined monoclonal antibodies in an IFA test provided an advantage over the PRNT test. Since we had produced and inactivated ZV infected cells as antigens, and had been supplied with inactivated RVFV antigen slides, the IFA test was easily and rapidly performed with a minimal biohazard. An IFA test using reference polyclonal antisera to RVFV would have cross-reacted with other phleboviruses. For laboratories where reference virus strains cannot be grown and titered for PRNT tests, or for laboratories attempting to identify new isolates as one of the over 500 arthropod-borne viruses, specific monoclonal antibodies, and more importantly those that are broadly cross-reactive within a group, represent valuable new reagents.

ZV has been isolated from mosquitoes collected in Central African Republic (CAR), Senegal, Madagascar, and Guinea, and from naturally infected humans in

Senegal and CAR. The isolations extend the confirmed range of RVFV circulation into West Africa, and add two new mosquitoes, <u>Aedes</u> (<u>Aedimorphus</u>) <u>dalzieli</u> and <u>Ae</u>. (<u>Neomelaniconior</u>) <u>palpalis</u> group to the list of over 20 mosquitoes implicated as possible vectors of RVFV in Africa.

Zinga strain of RVFV is similar to other African RVFV strains in its pathogenicity in laboratory animals, and apparently in its ability to infect humans. However, there are no reports of epizootics caused by ZV and no isolations of ZV from animals. Although local outbreaks may have been misdiagnosed, he repeated individual isolations of ZV during periods when active field coudies were ongoing in CAR and Senegal suggest RVFV has recently circulated in a non-epizootic form in these areas.

During the last few years ZV has been studied in many laboratories in Nort America, Europe, and Africa. Numerous ZV infections have resulted from laboratory accidents. Any laboratory with ZV in its collection should be aware it is a strain of RVFV and represents a substantial biohazard to people and animals.

	Antigen										
Antisera	ZV	RVFV	Other**								
Monoclonal 4328D	≥10000	>10000	≤10								
Monoclonal 1295F	≥10000	≽1000 0	≤10								
Monoclonal 143P	≥10000	≥10000	≤10								
Polyvalent anti-RVFV	160	160	≤10-80								

Table 1 - Indirect fluorescent antibody assay of ZV using monoclonal antibodies to RVFV

*Reciprocal of highest dilution giving positive results. Antigen preparation and test methodology as described in Tesh RB, Peters CJ, Meegan JM. Studies the antigenic relationship among phleboviruses. Am J Trop Med Hyg 31:149-15 1982.

**Other phleboviruses from Africa: sandfly fever Sicilian, sandfly fever Naple Gordil, Saint-Flores, Arumowot, and Gabek Forest.

Table 2 - Plaque reduction neutralization tests of RVFV and ZV

	Virus									
Antibody	<u>ZV</u>	RVFV-Zagazig 501	RVFV-Entebbe							
Anti-ZV	160*	320	40							
Anti-RVFV-Zagzig 501	2560	10240	10240							
Anti-RVFV-Entebbe	160	1280	1280							

*100 plaque forming units of RVFV incubated for 1 hr at 37°C with antibody before assay for residual infectivity in Vero cells to determine highest dilution neutralizing 80% of inoculum.

Antigenic relationship among phleboviruses (R.B. Tesh, A. Travassos, J. Travassos and J.M. Meegan). In 1981, complement fixation (CF) tests were done comparing 34 known phlebovirus antigens and antisera. These results were reported in the 1981 Annual Report. During the current year, additional CF tests were done to include 2 other phleboviruses (Rift Valley fever and ISS.Phl.18). A complete summary of the CF results is shown in Table 3. From these data, it appears that there are at least 6 antigenic complexes within the phlebotomus fever serogroup. Furthermore, by CF test some of the virus serotypes are practically indistinguishable. Pert ps the most interesting finding in this serologic study was the close antigenic relationship demonstrated between Rift Valley fever, Belterra and Icoaraci viruses (Table 4).

Because of the close antigenic relationship among some phleboviruses by CF test, plaque reduction neutralization tests (PRNT) were done on selected members of the group. These results are shown in Tables 5 and 6. Neutralization tests with Rift Valley fever virus were done by Dr. C. J. Peters, USAMRIID, Fort Detrick. By PRNT, each of the viruses could be differentiated. Interestingly, the antigenic relationship among Rift Valley fever, Belterra and Icoaraci viruses was confirmed by PRNT. In fact, the Belterra mouse hyperimmune ascitic fluid inhibited Rift Valley fever virus as well as it did the homologous virus.

Results of these studies increase the known serotypes in the genus Phlebovirus (phlebotomus fever serogroup) to 36.

-12-

Та	ь1	е	3
----	----	---	---

Besults of complement fination trate with 36 phishysmuc frees proof virus setetypes

TIQE	ACO	Lin .	HC1		BTA	100	CHE	601	25	174	-	»1Q	CDØ	TUA	DRX	n	BUE	706	164	874	-	198.0	-	111	578	410	781	JOA	-	CHI	CA 1	147	MT		
f-12060	2540 138	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	٠	•		•			;	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	٠		•	•	•		•	•	٠	•	•
-jaru	32	끎	234 128	q	٠	•	•	•	٠		•	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•		•			•		•		•		•	•
nguha	_16 128	128	254 128	•	٠	•	ŧ	•	•	•	•	•	÷	٠	•		٠	٠			•	٠	٠	•	•	ł	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
its Talley forme	•	•	ł	128 254	2%4 256	끮	.4	ł	ł	끮	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠			٠	•	•	•		•	•			•	٠	•	•
lterra	•	٠	•	•	256		•	•	•	•	•			٠	٠	<u>)2</u> 72	•		•	٠	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•
***** *	•	٠	٠	•			٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	ŧ	٠	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•
	•	•	٠	•	•	•	<u>734</u> 128	٠	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	•		٠	•	•		•	•		•	•		•		•	•	•	
rdil	•	ė	•	-	٠	•		괚	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
• Crande	•		٠	•		٠	•	**	<u>134</u>	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•				•	•	•				•	•	•			
eitubs	•	•	•	•	•			•	-	꺪	•	14 128	<u> </u>	178 74	쁖		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		
	•	•	•	-	•	•	٠	•	•		꽭		•	4 4		•		•	•	•			•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
6-4	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•					4 754	ŧ	4 254	뱎	•	•		•	•	•		•			•		•		•	•	•	•		
ntisu	•	•	•	-	•		•	•		-		16 128	•	-		•	•		•		•			•	•	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•		•
	•	•		-		•		•						128 156 32	111	14		•				•	•		•	•	•	• •			•		•		
ici olos	•	•		•		•							쎬		8 254	14 8 16		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	• •		•	•				e
nta Tere	•			-		•	•	•					ය *		盗	<u>뷰</u> 274	16	•		•							•.				•		•		•
seventure	•	•	•		•	•					•	77	•	16 128 8		254 128	16 128 254	•			•									•	•				
	•	•			16	•		•		•			•	*	•	120	254 126	***	•••	•					-	•			,	•	•	•	•	•	٢
-					¥ •					•		•	•						236 138	***						•					•	•		•	
	•	•				Ì													254 126					•	•	•			ŀ	•		•	•	•	(
instal	•			_							-					•		, 24	<u>194</u> 32	렸			•	•	•	•			1				•	•	t
	•	•	•	-		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		7	121	7	178	•	•	•					1	• •	•	•	•	•	•
eort	•	•	•	•	ł	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	ł	•	•	•	٠	ł	•	٠	• :	**	•	•	• •		• •	•	(• •	• •	•	•	•
4	•		•	-	đ	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	14 B	•	٠	•	6 <u>1</u>	<u>34</u>	•	•		•	٠				•	•	•	•
leren ge		•	•	-	ŧ	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• =	<u>نه</u>	• •		•	٠	•	•	•	•	, ,	•	•
lise			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	• •	. 1	6 0 12		•	٠	•	•		•	•	•	•
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• .•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•		막		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	
jatos (•	,	•	-	•	•	•	•	• 1		•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	• (•	•	22	: 꾸	•	•	•	•	•	, ,	•	•
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•					•	•	•	•	• •	•	•
-	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	• •	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•			250	4	4 0	•	•	• 1	•	٠
ibra (•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•		•			갬		•	•	• •	•	•
ito (•) 1		- (•	•	• •		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• (• •		•		•		<u>.</u> •	r 4		•	•
e Floria - I	•			- (•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •		•			•	•		22	<u>.</u>	•	•	•
	•	•		- (•	•			•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •		•		•		•		•		4 (•	•
Turant (, .		•	•			•	1			•	•	•	•	•		•	•	• •	•	• •		•	•	•			•	•	••• • •		14 54	•
habad I	•			•			•			•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•				•	•	•		, (ł
	-																																		Ĩ

-

Φ = - b/b = indicates antiser and trated

• -

.

Results of CF tests

		Antiseru	<u>m</u> *
Antigen	RVF .	Belterra	Icoaraci
RVF	>256/>256*	>256/256	32/64
Belterra	< 4/4**	>256/>128	16/>128
Icoaraci	< 4/4	256/128	128/128

*Reciprocal of highest antiserum dilution/highest antigen dilution.

**Not tested.

The RVF antigen used was a BPL-treated infected mouse liver. The immune serum was a rabbit antiserum received from USAMRIID.

Results	ot	plaque	reduction	i neut:	raliza	tion	tests	with	selected	
		phl	ebotomus	fever	group	viru	uses.			

					AN	FISERU	M				
VIRUS	BUJ	MUN	RVF	BTA	ICO	ITA	ALE	NIQ	CDU	TUA	ORX
BUJURU	l,280*	160	-		-	-		-	-	-	-
MUNGUBA	80	5,120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
RIFT VALLEY FEVER	<10	ব0	320	640	40	<10	<10	<10	-	<10	<10
BELTERRA	-	-	<10	320	2,560	-	-	-	-	-	-
ICOARACI	-	-	<10	<10	10,240	-	-	-	-	-	-
ITAITUBA	-	-	<10	10	<10	5,120	<10	640	640	<10	320
ALENQUER	-	-	-	-	-	<10	80	10	10	10	<10
NIQUE	-	-	-	-	-	<10	<10	80	40	20	<10
CANDIRU	-	-	-	-	-	<10	<10	<10	1,280	10	<10
TURUNA	-	-	-	-	-	<10	<10	40	320	1,280	40
ORIXIMINA	-	-	-	-	-	160	20	160	320	20	160

* Reciprocal of highest antiserum dilution producing 90% plaque inhibition.
- not tested

5 21

Ta	Ь	1e	6
----	---	----	---

					ANTISE	RUM			
VIRUS .	PT	BUE	TOS	TEH	SFN	FRI	JOA	SAL	ISS
PUNTA TORO	1,280*	40			-	*	-	-	-
BUENAVENTURA	20	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOSCANA	-	-	>5,120	< 10	40	-	-	-	-
TEHRAN	-	-	<10	80	<10	-	-	-	-
NAPLES	-	-	20	<10	320	-	-	-	-
FRIJOLES	-	-	-	-	-	5,120	1,280	-	-
JOA	-	-	-	-	-	320	10,240	-	-
SALEHABAD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	320	<10
ISS.Ph1.18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	< 10	160

Results of plaque reduction neutralization tests with selected phlebotomus fever group viruses

* Reciprocal of highest antiserum dilution producing 90% plaque inhibition.

- not tested.

-16-

RHABDOVIRUSES

Serologic studies with 3 new Australian rhabdoviruses (R.B. Tesh & A.J. Main). In the 1981 Annual Report, results of serological studies with 51 known vertebrate rhabdoviruses were described. During 1982, we received 3 new mosquito-associated rhabdoviruses (Kimberly, Kununurra and Parry Creek) from Dr. N. Stanley, Perth, Australia. Kimberly, Kununurra and Parry Creek antigens were examined by CF test against the following antigens and/or mouse immune ascitic fluids: Kimberly, Kununurra, Parry Creek, Kamese, Charleville, Barur, Jurona, Obodhiang, Aruac, Inhangapi, Porton S, Tibrogargan, VSV-New Jersey, VSV-Indiana, rabies, Lagos bat, Mokola, Duvenhage, Kotonkan, Joinjakaka, Mt Elgon bat, Yata, Kwatta, Timbo, Almpiwar, DakA94, Kwatta, and bovine ephemeral fever. By CF test, Kimberly, Kununurra and Parry Creek were interrelated as shown in Table 7. The antigenic relationship between Kimberly, Kununurra and Parry Creek was also confirmed by indirect fluorescent antibody test. However, by plaque reduction neutralization test, these 3 agents were distinct (Table 8). Interestingly, Kununurra antiserum also reacted at a 1:4 dilution with VSV-New Jersey antigen, and VSV-New Jersey antiserum reacted at the same dilution with Kimberly antigen in cross-CF tests. These latter reactions will be rechecked in the near future.

Table 7

Results of complement fixation tests with Kimberly, Kununurra and Parry Creek viruses

		ANTISERUM	
	Kimberly	Kununurra	Parry Creek
VIRUS			
Kimberly	512/≥32*	4/4	4/4
Kununurra	8/16	32/16	<4/4
Parry Creek	8/16	8/8	128/>32

*Reciprocal of highest positive antiserum dilution/highest positive antigen dilution.

Results of plaque reduction neutralization tests with Kimberly, Kununurra and Parry Creek viruses

		ANTISERUM	
	Kimberly	Kununurra	Parry Creek
VIRUS			
Kimberly	1,280*	<10	<10
Kununurra	<10	<u>160</u>	<10
Parry Creek	<10	<10	2,560

*Reciprocal of highest antiserum dilution producing>90% plaque inhibition.

ORBIVIRUSES

Characterization of the Changuinola virus serogroup (R.B. Tesh and D.L. Knudson). The Changuinola serogroup consists of a large number of antigenically related viruses which have been associated with phlebotomine sandflies, mosquitoes, and various species of wild mammals. These viruses have been found only in Tropical America, and they are presumed to be arthropod-borne. On the basis of their physicochemical and morphological properties, they are included in the family Reoviridae, genus Orbivirus.

Between 1960 and 1980, a total of 178 Changuinola group virus strains were isolated from various geographic localities in Brazil, Colombia and Panama. Samples of each of these virus strains have been collected at YARU and are available for study. We are presently attempting to characterize the Changuinola serogroup by comparing the biological, serological and chemical properties of some of its members. A sample of 24 representative Changuinola group viruses were selected for study. These agents are listed in Table 9. Last year, we reported results of complement-fixation (CF) and neutralization tests done with some of these viruses. By CF test, the viruses were indistinguishable; but by neutralization technique, at least 12 distinct serotypes were identified. During 1982, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of ds RNA of the 24 viral agents was done. By this technique, 20 distinct profiles were identified. These profiles are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Viruses which were indistinguishable by neutralization test had identical RNA profiles. These data indicate that the Changuinola group is probably comprised of a large number of different virus serotypes. A manuscript is now in preparation describing this heretofore obscure arbovirus serogroup.

Growth of the prototype Changuinola virus strain (BT-436) was compared in mosquito and sandfly cell cultures. Insect cell cultures used were the C6/36 clone of <u>Aedes albopictus</u> and a newly established cell line (LL-5) from the sandfly, <u>Lutzomyia longipalpis</u>. Each cell line was inoculated with 100 PFU of BT-436 virus. Samples of the infected cells were taken daily, frozen, and subsequently titrated in monolayer cultures of Vero cells. The results are shown in Table 10. BT-436 virus grew equally well in both cell lines. Interestingly, BT-436 virus produced transient cytopathic effect in the LL-5 cells; but after the cells regenerated a persistent infection was established.

-19-

ひとうちゃう ないろう

Changuinola serogroup viruses included in this study

Virus*	Strain number	Source	Geographic locality**	Date of isolation
Changuinola	BT-436	Lutzomyia sp. (sandfly)	Bocas del Toro*, Panam	a 1960
-	BT-104	Lutzomyia sp.	Bocas del Toro, Panama	1960
-	BT-766	<u>Lutzomyia</u> sp.	Bocas del Toro, Panama	1960
-	BT-2164	Lutzomyia sp.	Bocas del Toro, Panama	1961
-	BT-2365	Lutzomyia sp.	Bocas del Toro, Panama	1961
- ,	BT-2380	Lutzomyia sp.	Bocas del Toro, Panama	1961
-	VP-19A	Lutzomyia sp.	Panama, Panama	1969
-	VP-46F	<u>Lutzomyia</u> sp.	Panama, Panama	1969
	VP-188G	<u>Lutzomyia trapidoi</u>	Panama, Panama	1970
-	VP-202A	Lutzomyia sp.	Panama, Panama	1970
-	CoAr 2837	Lutzomyia sp.	Valle, Colombia	1964
Irituia	BeAn 28873	<u>Oryzomys</u> sp. (rice rat)	Para, Brazil	1961
Gurupi	BeAr 35646	Lutzomyia sp.	Para, Brazil	1962
Ourem	BeAr 41067	Lutzomyia sp.	Para, Brazil	1962
Caninde	BeAr 54342	Lutzomyia sp.	Para, Brazil	1963
Jamanxi	BeAr 243090	Lutzomyia sp.	Para, Brazil	1973
Altamira	BeAr 264277	Lutzomyia sp.	Para, Brazil	1974
Purus	BeAr 361064	<u>Psorophora albipes</u> (mosquito)	Acre, Brazil	1977
Jari	BeAn 385199	<u>Choloepus didactylus</u> (sloth)	Para, Brazil	1980
Saraca	BeAr 385278	Lutzomyia sp.	Para, Brazil	1980
Monte Dourado	BeAn 385401	Dasypus novemcinctus (armadil)	lo)Para, Brazil	1980
Almeirim	BeAr 389709	Lutzomyia umbratilis	Para, Brazil	1980
-	BeAr 385274	Lutzomyia sp.	Para, Brazil	1980
-	BeAr 385279	Lutzomyia sp.	Para, Brazil	. 980

* Hyphen denotes unnamed strain; ** State province department, country

-20-




Figure 1

-21-

L -

ر. مو




Figure 2

-22-

Growth of Changuinola virus (BT-436) in <u>Lutzomyia</u> <u>longipalpis</u> (LL-5) and <u>Aedes albopictus</u> (C6/36) cells

	Virus	titer*
Day post-inoculation	<u>LL-5</u>	<u>C6/36</u>
_		
1	1.0	0.7
2	3.5	3.9
3	5.5	5.2
4	6.0	6.2
5	6.2	6,4
6	5.9	6.6
7	6.4	6.7

*Titer expressed as \log_{10} of PFU/m1 of frozen cell harvest.

<u>Characterization of the Palyam Virus Serogroup</u> (R.B. Tesh, D.L. Knudson, A.J. Main). Viruses included in the Palyam serogroup have been associated with a variety of arthropods and large mammals (principally bovines) in Africa, Asia and Australia. On the basis of their physiochemical and morphological properties, these agents are included in the family Reoviridae, genus <u>Orbivirus</u>. Because of their frequent recovery from blood-sucking arthropods (mosquitoes, ticks and <u>Culicoides</u> midges), they are presumed to be vector-borne. In view of their taxonomic and biological similarities with other orbiviruses causing disease in humans and animals (African horsesickness, bluetongue, Colorado tick fever, equine encephalosis, epizootic disease of deer, Kemerovo and Orungo viruses), the Palyam group agents may also be of some veterinary or public health importance. In fact, one member of the Palyam serogroup (Nyabira) has been isolated on several occasions from aborted bovine fetuses in East Africa. However, at present, the disease potential of other viruses in this serogroup is still unknown.

During the past year, 31 Palyam serogroup viruses were examined by complement-fixation (CF) and plaque reduction neutralization (PRN) tests and by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). Ten distinct virus serotypes were identified. These agents as well as their source, geographical origin, and date of isolation are listed in Table 11. By CF test, these viruses were indistinguishable, as shown in Table 12. However, by PRN test each of the viruses was distinct, except for Abadina and DakArK58 which appeared to be strains of the same virus (Table 13). Similar results were obtainted by PAGE: viruses which were indistinguishable by PRN test had identical RNA profiles, whereas those agents which were distinct by neutralization test also had different RNA patterns (Figure 3). A sample of 23 Palyam group viruses, collected in Australia over a 9-year period (1972-1981), were also examined by PAGE. These agents had previously been identified by neutralization test as D'Aguilar, Bunyip Creek and CSIRO Village viruses. Results of PAGE indicated that those viruses belonging to the same serotype had identical RNA profiles, suggesting that these agents are genetically stable.

Ξ
م
ρľ
[a]

Palyam group viruses examined by PRNT in this study

Virus identification	Strain	Source	<u>Geographical origin</u>	Date of isolation
Palyam	G5287	Culex "vishnui"	Vellore, Indía	1956
Kasba	GI 5534	Culex "vishnui"	Vellore, India	1957
Vellore	68886	<u>Culex pseudovishnui</u>	Vellore, Indía	1966
D'Aguilar	B8112	Culicoides brevitarsis	Bunya, Q., Australia	1972
CSIRO VÍllage	CSIRO 11	Culicoides spp.	Beatrice Hill, N.T., Australia	1974
Bunyip Creek	CSIRO 87	<u>Culicoides</u> schultzei	Beatrice Hill, N.T., Australia	1976
Marrakai	CSIRO 82	Culicoides schultzei + peregrinus	Beatríce Hill, N.T., Australia	1975
Nyabira	792/73	calf (aborted fetus)	Nyabira, Zimbabwe	1973
Abadina	IB Ar 223	IB Ar 22388 Culicoides spp.	Ibadan, Nigeria	1967
Dak Ar B 2032	Dak Ar B 2032	Amblyomma variegatum	Bangui, Central African Republic	1978
Dak Ar K 58	Dak Ar K 58	Amblyomma variegatum	Kindia, Rep. of Guinea	1978

-25-

12
le
ab
6

)

Results of complement fixation tests with Palyam serogroup viruses

ANTISERUM

ANTIGEN	рацуам	CS I RO VILLAGE	MARRA- Kai	BUNYIP CREEK	VELLORE	B2032	KASBA	ABADINA	K58	D'AGUILAR	NYABIRA
								-			
рагуам	128/32*	64/32	128/64	64/32	256/128	256/32	256/64	256/32	64/16	256/64	128/64
CSIRO VILLAGE	16/16	128/8	32/4	64/32	128/64	128/8	128/4	64/8	8/4	256/16	128/8
MARRAKAI	128/64	128/64	256/64	128/64	256/128	128/64	256/64	256/128	64/32	512/64	128/ ≱28
BUNYIP CREEK	128/32	64/64	128/64	128/128	128/≽128	128/64	256/64	128/64	32/32	256/64	128/≽128
VELLORE	128/64	128/64	128/64	64/64	512/>128	256/64	256/64	256/64	64/32	256/64	128/ _{\$} 128
DAK AR B2032	128/64	128/64	128/128	64/128	256/≽128	256/128	256/128	256/128	64/64	256/≽128	128/ _{>>} 128
KASBA	128/64	128/64	128/64	128/64	256/≽128	256/64	512/128	256/64	64/32	512/64	128/>>128
ABADINA	128/32	64/32	128/64	64/64	256/≽128	128/64	256/64	256/64	64/64	256/64	128/>128
DAK AR K58	32/16	128/16	128/16	64/32	256/64	128/16	256/16	256/16	64/8	256/16	128/32
D'AGUILAR	128/64	64/64	128/64	128/64	128/≽128	128/64	256/64	256/64	32/32	512/>128	128/>>64

* Reciprocal of highest antiserum dilution/ highest antigen dilution.

Results of plaque reduction neutralization tests with Palyam serogroup viruses

.


VIRUS											
	PALYAM	CSIRO VILLAGE	MARRA- Kai	BUNY I P CREEK	VELLORE	B2032	KASBA	ABADINA	K 58	D'AGUILAR NYABIRA	NYABIRA
PALYAM 3:	320*	320	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSIRO VILLAGE	40	5,120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARRAKAI	0	0	20,480	0	0	0	01	20	0	0	0
BUNYIP CREEK	0	0	0	80	640	0	0	0	0	0	0
VELLORE	0	0	0	20	10,240	0	0	0	0	0	0
DAK AR B 2032	0	0	0	0	01	10,240	0	0	0	0	0
KASBA	0	0	40	0	0	0	<u>>20,480</u>	2,560	320	0	0
ABADINA	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,280	5,120	1,280	0	0
DAK AR K 58	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,560	2,560	1,280	0	0
D'AGUILAR	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	320	1,280

-27-

* Reciprocal of highest antiserum dilution producing >90% plaque inhibition.

1.

į,



BUNYAVIRUSES

Characterization of the Tete serogroup and a new member, 1612045 (G. Modi and A. J. Main). This strain was isolated from the blood of a myna bird in India during 1961. It was shown to be a member of the Tete serogroup by complement-fixation (Table 14), hemagglutination-inhibition (Table 15), and neutralization (Table 16) tests. Plaque-reduction neutralization tests are incomplete.

Table 14

Complement-fixation tests comparing members of the Tete serogroup

			·	ASCITIC FL	UIDS*	
	1612045	Tete SAAn4511	Batama DakAnB1292	Bahig EgB90	Matruh UARAn1047	Tsuru se Mag271580
1612045	512/>256	128/>256	128/≥256	64/≥256	32/8	0
Tete	128/≽128	1024/>128	512/≽128	64/≥128	32/32	16/8
Batama	128/≽128	1024/ ≥128	>1024/>128	64/≥128	128/64	8/≥128
Bahig	256/16	0	128/128	256/>128	256/>128	16/8
Matruh	0	0	16/16	256/≽32	256/>32	0
Tsuruse	32/>128	0	128/>128	64/≥128	16/64	64/>128

-29-

Reciprocal of serum titer/reciprocal of antigen titer.

*initial dilution 1:8

**initial dilution 1:64 (anticomplementary at 1:32).

Hemagglutination-inhibition tests comparing members of the Tete serogroup

ASCITIC FLUIDS

ANTIGEN		1612045	Bahig	Tsuruse	Tete	Batama	Matruh
1612045	(8 HA units) <u>≽10240</u> *	20	10	80	160	80
Bahig	(8 HA units) 160	<u>40</u>	40	10	160	20
Tsuruse	(l HA unit) ≽10240	40	320	20	160	20
Tete,	(2 HA units) 10	<10	<10	<u>40</u>	320	<10

*Reciprocal of serum titer.

Table 16

Plaque-reduction neutralization tests in Vero cells comparing members of the Tete serogroup

ASCITIC FLUID

I 612045	Bahig	Batama	Tsuruse
1280*	20	40	<10
≽320	<u>80</u>	20	<10
10	<10	<u>640</u>	<10
160	10	<10	160
≥320	20	20	<10
<10	<10	<10	<10
	<u>1280</u> * ≥320 10 160 ≥320	$\frac{1280}{320} \times 20$ $\frac{320}{10} \times 10$ 160×10 $\frac{320}{20} \times 20$	$1280*$ 20 40 $\geqslant 320$ $\underline{80}$ 20 10 <10 $\underline{640}$ 160 10 <10 $\geqslant 320$ 20 20

*Reciprocal of highest dilution reducing the number of plaques by 90%.

30-

II. IDENTIFICATION OF VIRUSES

Identification of a new phlebovirus from Italy (R.B.Tesh and A.J. Main). Virus strain ISS. Phl. 18 was received from Dr. Paola Verani, Istituto Superiore di Sanita, Rome. It is one of 4 antigenically similar viruses isolated from <u>Phlebotomus perniciosus</u> in central Italy in 1980-1981. Initially, ISS. Phl.18 antigen (spot slides of infected Vero cells) was screened by indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) against the following mouse immune ascitic fluids: phlebotomus fever group, Naples, Karimabad, Salehabad, Toscana, Arumowot, Gordil, Saint Floris, Sicilian, Rift Valley fever, Gabek Forest, VSV group, Isfahan, Chandipura and Jug Bogdanovac. Positive reactions were obtained in the IFAT with Toscana, Naples, Gordil, Saint Floris, Salehabad, Gabek Forest and the phlebotomus fever grouping antisera.

ISS.Ph.18 antigen and antiserum were then tested by complement-fixation method against Salehabad, Gordil, Gabek Forest, Saint Floris, Toscana and Naples antigens and mouse immune ascitic fluids. Positive reactions were obtained between ISS.Phl.18, Salehabad and Gabek Forest as shown in Table 17.

ISS.Phl.18 and Salehabad viruses and antisera were then examined by plaque reduction neutralization method with the results shown in Table 18. In summary, our findings indicate that ISS.Phl.18 is a new phlebovirus which is related to but distinct from Salehabad virus.

Table 17

Results of CF test with ISS.Ph1.18

ANTISERUM

ANTIGEN	ISS.Ph1.18	Salehabad	Gabek Forest
ISS.Ph1.18	256/≩256*	128/≥64	0
Salehabad	64/≥128	256/>128	0
Gabek Forest	16/4	0	≥256/≥128

*Reciprocal of highest positive antiserum dilution/highest positive antigen dilution.

0 = <4/4

-31-

Results of plaque-reduction neutralization tests with Salehabad and ISS.Ph1.18 viruses

ANTISERUMVirusISS.Ph1.18SalehabadISS.Ph1.18160*<10</td>Salehabad<10</td>320

*Reciprocal of highest antiserum dilution producing 90% plaque inhibition.

<u>RML-85</u> (M. Fletcher and A. J. Main). Forty-one strains of virus, rickettsiae, and spiroplasms, in various stages of characterization, were received from Dr. Conrad Yunker at the Rocky Mountain Laboratory in Hamilton, Montana. Most of these isolates had been recovered from ticks. Mouse brain stocks of most viruses have been prepared and deposited in the WHO bank. One strain, RML-85 has been partially identified at YARU. This strain was isolated from the blood of 2 murre (<u>Uria</u> sp.) from Alaska. It was shown to be in the Great Island complex of the Kemerovo serogroup by complement-fixation (Table 19). Plaque reduction neutralization tests to determine specificity are underway.

-32-

	RML-85		
	Antigen	Ascitic Fluid	
Kemerovo	64/256*	64/128	
Tribec	64/64	64/128	
Lipovnik	16/32	16/128	
Cape Wrath	128/128	64/128	
Okhotskiy	512/128	32/128	
Tindholmur	64/32	32/128	
Mykines	32/64	16/32	
Yaquina Head	256/64	64/128	
Great Island	128/128	64/128	
Bauline	128/128	64/128	
Kenai	128/32	64/128	
Poovoot	256/128	32/32	
Nugget	256/256	64/128	
Chenuda	<16/256	<8/128	
Mono Lake	<8/128	8/128	
Huacho	16/128	16/128	
Baku	64/>512	8/128	
Sixgun City	16/128	<8/32	
Wad Medani	<8/32	<8/128	
Seletar	16/128	<8/32	

Complement-fixation tests comparing RML-85 with other members of the Kemerovo serogroup

*Heterologous titer/Homologous titer.

<u>Conn Ar-560-79</u> (L. Lorenz and A. J. Main). This strain was isolated from a pool of 22 nonblooded adult female <u>Aedes triseriatus</u> collected between 10-14 September 1979 in light traps in North Madison (New Haven Co.) Connecticut. A sucrose-acetone extracted mouse-brain antigen failed to agglutinate goose erythrocytes but did fix complement in the presence of the homologous antibody and with Bunyamwera group ascitic fluids (Table 20). Plaque-reduction neutralization tests comparing this strain with the members of this serogroup, as well as Bunyamwera group isolates from Ohio (17 strains from Ms. Margaret Parsons of the Vector-borne Disease Unit of the State Department of Health), New Jersey (14 strains isolated at YARU from mosquitoes submitted by Dr. Wayne Crans of Rutgers University), Ontario (1 strain from Dr. Harvey Artsob of the National Arbovirus Reference Service), and Manitoba (4 strains from Dr. Artsob) are incomplete (Table 20).

-33-

Table	20
-------	----

	<u>Co</u> Antiger	0-79 Ascitic 1	Fluid	
•	CF	NT	CF	NT
.Cache Valley (6V633)	16/16 <	10/-	64/64	
Tensaw (A9-1716)	16/32 <	10/-	16/64	-
Northway (0234)	≥512/≥512	40/-	32/64	-
Wyeomyia (original)	<8/64	-	<8/64	-
Main Drain (BFS5015)	64/128	-	64/64	-
Lokern (FMS 4332)	16/16	-	16/64	-
Guaroa (352111)	<8/128	-	<8/64	-

Serologic tests comparing Conn Ar-560-79 with members of the Bunyamwera serogroup

Zim Bat Pool A/81 (A.J.Main). This strain was isolated from pooled organs from ten bats of at least two species. The virus was submitted by Dr. N.K. Blackburn of the Veterinary Research Laboratory in Causeway, Zimbabwe. It is a strain of Sindbis virus by complement-fixation, hemagglutination-inhibition, and plaque-reduction neutralization tests (Table 21).



Serologic tests comparing Zim Bat Pool A/81 with members of the Sindbis complex of alphaviruses

Zim Bat Pool A/81

			Antigen			ASCIC	ASCITIC FLUID	
	CL	HI* (lhr)		* * LN	5	H <u>I</u> * (l hr)	HI* (24 hrs)	**TN
Sindbis	32/64	40/40	80/80	32/32	128/256	1	160/≽5120 640/≽5120 16/128	16/128
Whataroa	I	ı	I	ı	16/256	ι	1	L
Aura	≼8/3 2	01/01×	<10/40	ł	16/256	20/>5120	20/>5120	ı
Y 62-33	41/8	10/40	<10/80	ı	<8/256	160/>5120	160/≽5120 320/≽5120	ı
Fort Morgan	48/16	-/01>	-/01>	ı	32/256	ı	ł	ł
Highlands J	8/64	40/2560	40/2560 40/≽5120	I	32/256	160/≽5120	160/≽5120 640/≽5120	١
WEE	<8/32	20/80	20/640	ı	8/256	40/≽5120	80/≥5120	ı

35

**plaque-reduction neutralization tests in Vero cells with 90% end-points.

ł Ì ł

EthAr 3554 (D.L. Knudson and A.J. Main): This strain was isolated from <u>Rhipicephalus</u> ticks in Ethiopia by Dr. Owen Wood of the U.S.Naval Medical Research Unit #3. It was shown by PAGE analysis to have an RNA profile similar to viruses in the Kemerovo serogroup. It was shown to be a member of the Wad Medani/Seletar complex by complement-fixation (Table 22).

Table 22

	(R10)	Lipovnik (Lip91)	<u>Wad Medani</u> (Jamaican Tick)	<u>Seletar</u> (RudSM-214)
EthAr, 3554	<8/<4	<8/<4	≥32/≥32	128/64
Kemerovo	>32/>32	- `	-	-
Lipovnik	-	16/>32	-	-
Wad Medani	-	-	32/16	64/32
Seletar	-	-	16/8	128/64

Complement-fixation tests comparing EthAr 3554 with Kemerovo group viruses

*Reciprocal of serum titer/Reciprocal of antigen titer.

<u>FrBrest/Ar/T577 and Fr Brest/Ar/T578</u> (A.J. Main). These two strains of virus were recovered from <u>Ixodes ventalloi</u> and <u>Ixodes ricinus</u> ticks, respectively, collected from wild rabbits (<u>Oryctolagus cuniculi</u>) near Laval, Mayenne, France. They were submitted by Dr. Claude Chastel of the Faculte de Medecine de Brest in France. Both isolates appear to be new members of the Kemerovo serogroup by complement-fixation tests (Table 23).

<u>FrBrest/Ar/T261 and FrBrest/Ar/T439</u> (A.J. Main). These strains were isolated from <u>Ixodes uriae</u> collected at Cape Sizun, France, during 1979 and 1980, respectively. They appear to be in the Sakhalin serogroup, closest to the Avalon virus by complement-fixation tests (Table 24).

<u>Tribec</u> (D. L. Knudson, R.E.Shope, and A.J. Main). The prototype strain of Tribec virus was shown by PAGE analysis to be composed of four distinct genotypes. Ascitic fluids prepared against plaque-picked clones of each of four genotypes were tested by complement-fixation and found to be indistinguishable (Table 25).



			itigen	Ascitic	Fluids
Virus	Strain	т577 —	T578	T577 (Brest)	T578 (YARU)
	Brest/Ar/T577	-	640/160	_	≥1024/512
	Brest/Ar/T578	≥1024/512	-	640/160	-
Kemerovo	R10	<8/256	<8/256	<10/40	-
Lipovnik	Lip 91	<8/32	<8/32	<10/40	-
Tribec	original	8/128	<8/128	<10/40	-
Tribec	original (471)	<8/512	<8/512	<10/40	-
Tribec .	original (472)	<8/256	<8/256	<10/40	-
Tribec	original (473)	. <8/512	<8/512	<10/40	-
Tribec	original (475)	<8/256	<8/256	<10/40	-
Cape Wrath	ScotAr 20	-	~	<20/160	<8/512
•	FinV-808	-	-	<20/160	<8/512
	FinV-873	-	-	<20/160	<8/512
	FinV-962	-	~	<20/160	<8/512
Poovoot	RML 57493-71	-	~	<20/160	8/512
Kenai	RML 71-1629	-	~	<20/160	8/512
Great Island	CanAr 41	-	-	<20/160	16/512
Bauline	CanAr 14	-	-	<20/160	8/512
Yaquin a Head	RML-15	-	~	20/160	<8/512
Okhotskiy	LEIV 287ka	-	~	<20/160	<8/512
Tindholmur	DenAr 2	-	~	<20/160	8/512
Mykines	DenAr 12	-	~	<20/160	8/512
Nugget	AusMI-14847	-	~	<20/160	<8/512
Seletar	RudSM 214	-	~	<20/160	16/512
Wad Medani	EgAr 492	-	~	<20/160	16/512
	UK FT 363	-	-	<20/160	<8/512
fluacho	CalAr 883	-	-	<20/160	<8/512
Mono Lake	CalAr 861	-	-	<20/160	<8/512
	Brest/Ar/T222	-	-	<20/160	8/512
Sixgun City	RML 52451	-	-	<20/160	<8/512
Baku	LEIV 46A	-	-	<20/160	16/512
Chenuda	EgAr 1152	-		<20/160	35/512

Table 23. Complement-fixation tests comparing Brest/Ar/T577 and Brest/Ar/T578 with other members of the Kemerovo serogroup

-

÷

	T439	T261	AVA	СМ	TAG	TILL	SAK
T439	128/>128	-	1024/16	0	0	0	0
T261	-	128/>64	512/16	-	-	4/≥64	-
Avalon	128/≽128	64/≽64	>1024/>128	-	-	-	-
Clo Mor	0	-	-	32/32	-	-	-
Taggert	, 0	4/4	-		<u>>1024/>128</u>	-	-
Tillamook	ο .	4/4	-	-	-	512/>128	-
Sakhalin	0	4/4	-	-	-	-	64/16

Complement-fixation tests comparing Brest/Ar/T439 and Brest/Ar/T261 with members of the Sakhalin serogroup

0 = <4/<4

Table 25

Complement-fixation tests comparing the four genotypes of Tribec virus

			ASCITIC FLUIDS			
ANTIGENS	Tribec 471	Tribec 472	Tribec 473	Tribec 475	Tribec original	
Tribec-471	512/>128	128/>128	512/128	256/128	64/≥128	
Tribec-472	512/ <u>≽</u> 128	256/>128	512/≥128	256/≥128	128/>128	
Tribec-473	256/>128	128/>128	512/>128	256/>128	64/≥128	
Tribec-475	512/≥128	256/>128	512/≥128	256/>128	128/≥128	
Tribec-original	512/>128	256/>128	512/≥128	256/≥128	128/>128	
Normal	0	0	0	0	0	

Reciprocal of serum titer/reciprocal of antigen titer.

-38-

•

<u>Viruses isolated in C6/36 Ae. albopictus cells in Indonesia</u> (J. Converse, R.E. Shope, R.B. Tesh). Fifteen agents isolated in Bali and Flores, Indonesia by Dr. Converse of the NAMRU-2 were referred for identification. Each of the agents produced CPE in either C6/36 or A. <u>pseudoscutellaris</u> mosquito cells in the Indonesia Laboratory. On passage at Yale, 8 of the strains produced CPE in C6/36 cells in two to four days. Three of these, JKT6468, JKT5441, and JKT8442 reacted by IFA with group B ascitic fluid. These 3 viruses plus JKT8132 killed infant mice ic. The other C6/36 fluids did not kill mice.

The C6/36 cells are an efficient means of isolating arboviruses from field material. When the agent adapts readily to mice, the identification can proceed in a routine manner. Those agents which do not adapt to mice pose a special problem in identification. Studies are underway to see if infection-immune mouse serum of these viruses can be used in IFA to establish homologous system reactions. If not, it may be necessary to identify the agents by neutralization test in C6/36 cells.

III. SEROLOGIC SURVEYS

Serologic survey of human sera from Greece (R.B. Tesh and A. Antoniadis). In collaboration with Dr. A. Antoniadis, Aristotelian University of Thessaloniki, a total of **245 Greek human sera was examined by plaque reduction** neutralization test (PRNT) for antibodies to Naples and Sicilian sandfly fever viruses. These same sera had previously been examined by HI test for antibodies to Rift Valley fever virus. Twenty-four of the sera had reacted in HI test with positive titers of 1:10 to 1:20 with Rift Valley fever antigen. Results of PRNT with Naples and Sicilian viruses are shown below.

Locality (county)	Total Sera <u>tested</u>	Naples* positive	Sicilian* positive
Erros	58	8	2
Imalhia	36	8	1
Magnisia	49	l	1
Lakonia	23	4	0
Chania	27	5	l
Iraklio	46	15	0

*Sera screened at a 1:10 dilution. Specimens producing 90% plaque inhibition were recorded as positive.

Of the 24 Rift Valley fever positive sera, 14 reacted in PRNT with either Naples or Sicilian virus. The remaining 7 sera did not neutralize either agent, however. Since Rift Valley fever is not known to occur in Greece, the most likely explanation for the remaining 7 positive sera is that they represent cross-reactions with antibodies to a phlebovirus other than Naples or Sicilian. Further studies are necessary to identify this agent(s). Survey of Egyptian and Sudanese sera for antibody to arboviruses (J.M.Meegan, G. Tignor). The two separate areas of study in this project are a) determination of the prevalence of antibodies to the hemorrhagic fever viruses -- Lassa, Marburg, RVF, Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever (CCHF) and Ebola (Zaire and Sudan strains) (EBO-Z and EBO-S), and b) determination of prevalence of antibodies to 15 other arthropod-borne viruses which have been implicated in human disease. The study of hemorrhagic fevers was supported by a US Army contract other than the Reference Center and will be reported separately. The second part of this study was evaluating antibody prevalence for arthropod-borne viruses. Over 1000 sera have been screened by IFA tests against 15 viral agents, and those reacting positively are being retested in microneutralization tests. The neutralization studies have confirmed our initial IFA studies indicating countrywide circulation of flaviviruses (especially West Nile) at antibody prevalence rates of 20%. Less prevalent (2-8%) are antibodies to alphavirus, Bunyamwera virus, phleboviruses, and a variety of ungrouped arthropod-borne viruses implicated in human disease.

IV. DIAGNOSIS OF DISEASE

Diagnosis of CNS cases in Connecticut (A.J. Main). Acute and/or convalescent, sera from four Connecticut residents were submitted for arboviral serology by Dr. Douglas Moore and Ms. MaryAnn Markowski of the State Department of Health Services. All samples were negative by HI and/or CF with the following antigens: EEE, WEE, Highlands J, SLE, Powassan, Connecticut, snowshoe hare, Jamestown Canyon, California encephalitis, Keystone, La Crosse, and Cache Valley.

A single acute serum was received from Dr. Mary Jean Ahern of the Griffin Hospital in Derby, Connecticut. The patient had recently returned from Puerto Rico with clinical dengue. Attempts to isolate virus or demonstrate HI antibody to each of the four dengue serotypes were unsuccessful.

Serologic diagnosis of febrile illnesses among gravely ill pediatric patients in a Jakarta hospital (G.J. Olson, J. Boshell). During 1978 and 1979 pediatric patients were selected for study to determine if Japanese encephalitis (JE) virus was responsible for meningitis and encephalitis occurring in Sumber Waras Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia. Further, the study was designed to estimate the relative importance of arthropod-borne viruses in this series of seriously ill pediatric patients.

A total of 108 subjects was studied who had clinical diagnoses of encephalitis and from whom acute and convalescent phase serum specimens were collected. Each pair of sera was tested by hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test for evidence of flaviviral infection. Of the 10 petients who showed fourfold increases in antibody from the acute to the convalescent phase, 7 showed diagnostic rises in neutralizing antibodies to dengue (DEN) virus (Table 26). Three of the 10 showed no evidence sero-conversion to any of the flaviviruses used in the test (DEN, JE, and Zika).

Our studies continue to determine the relative importance of other viral agents as cause of illness in this patient population. Measles, mumps, lymphocytic choriomeningitis, varicella, herpes and cytomegalo-viruses and other arthropod-borne viruses will be studied. However, preliminary studies completed suggest that at least 6% of the pediatric encephalitis in this Jakarta hospital population was caused by DEN and that JE was not responsible for the pediatric encephalitis which occurred.

Serum	HI (JE) titer	DEN-1	DEN-2	DEN-3	DEN-4	JE	Zika
10314 A	>640	_	160	80	20	<5	<5
С	>640	-	>640	80	160	<5	<5
10469 A	<10	<10	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5
. . C	160	<10	40	<10	10	<5	<5
10516 A	20	<5	20	<5	<5	<5	<5
С	160	5	>320	10	20	<5	<5
10587 A	40	<20	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5
С	640	<5	100	<100	<5	<5	<5
10590 A	320	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
С	320	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5	- <5
10595 A	<10	<20	<20	<20	5	<5	<5
С	80	20	20	40	5	<5	<5
10629 A	160	<20	40	40	40	<5	<5
С	160	<5	160	40	>640	<5	<5
10751 A	<10	-	-	-	<5	<5	<5
С	40	20	20	40	<5	<5	<5
107 87 A	<10	-	<40	<4	<5	<5	<5
С	80	-	80	80	20	< 5	<5
107 88 A	<10	-	<40	<40	<4	<5	<5
C	20	-	<40	<40	<4	<5	< 5

Serologic test results of encephalitis patients with evidence of flaviviral infection

NT titer

Table 26

Retrospective Studies on U.S. Naval Station Populations, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba after the Cuban dengue epidemic of 1981 (Capt. C. J. Maas, MC, USN, Ens. J.G.Gee, MSC, USNR, and J.G.Olson). The last epidemic of dengue fever in Cuba began in 1977 and lasted into 1978. A total of 553,132 clinical cases were reported and an estimated 5 million people infected. Following the 1977-78 epidemic which was caused by the dengue 1 serotype, the incidence of dengue fever was sporadic with 1,497 cases reported in 1979 and 169 in 1980.

In June 1981, the reported number of dengue fever cases exceeded 1,000 per day. Reported numbers of cases increased until July 6, when the numbers of cases per day was 11,721. The last case occurred on October 10 and the epidemic was declared over on November 19th. During the epidemic 344,203 cases were reported with 158 deaths. More than 100 deaths were due to the severe forms of dengue infection known as dengue hemorrhagic fever and dengue shock syndrome and had occurred in children under 15 years of age. Incidence rates of reported cases were similar for all age groups. Infants under one year of age experienced 24.1 cases per 1,000; 1-4 year-olds had 24.5 per 1,000; 5-14 yearolds had 25.8 per 1,000 and those 15 years of age and older experienced 28.6 cases per 1,000 population at risk. The virus responsible for this epidemic has been identified as dengue-2 serotype.

Objectives of our study

We wanted to determine whether the dengue fever outbreak in Cuba had resulted in clinical or subclinical dengue infections among the personnel of the U.S. Naval Station at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. We contacted Captain C.J. Maas, Commanding Officer of the U. S. Naval Hospital, Guantanamo Bay who had no reports of dengue-like illness during the epidemic period. We arranged to conduct a seroepidemiologic study of the personnel and obtained data on vector control operations and surveillance. Four subpopulations were sampled: Cubannationals who live off the base but commuted to work on a daily basis, U.S. personnel who experienced illnesses during this epidemic period, U.S.⁷ personnel who because of their occupations were at great risk of being bitten by mosquitoes and U.S. personnel whose occupations made mosquito contact less⁴

Methods

Hemagglutination inhibition tests were completed on all sera received using dengue-2 hemagglutinating antigen using the method of Clarke and Casals. Subjects whose sera had 1:10 titers or greater of dengue-2 hemagglutination inhibiting antibody were considered to have had a flavivirus infection at some time. All sera positive by HI test were then tested by microneutralization for antibodies to dengue-2 virus. The presence of dengue-2 neutralizing antibodies in serum specimens suggests that these subjects may have been infected during the 1981 epidemic. Subjects were informed about the purpose of the study and gave their consent to be included. Venous blood specimens were obtained, centrifuged and frozen serum transported to the Yale Arbovirus Research Unit, New Haven, CT where all laboratory tests were performed. Morbidity data routinely reported by the U.S. Naval hospital was obtained in order to evaluate whether the incidence of illnesses which might be confused with dengue fever had increased during the epidemic period.

Results

Figure 4 represents the dengue fever cases reported by week in Cuba during 1981 (Epidemiological Bulletin, PAHO 3(1), 1982). The outbreak began in June, peaked early in July and was over by October. Figures 5 and 6 show that there was no obvious excess morbidity at the U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay during the months of the epidemic. Table 27 shows the results of serologic testing with DEN-2. Persons who experienced febrile illnesses had a statistically significantly greater (24%) prevalence of DEN-2 neutralizing antibody than either control population. These data are difficult to interpret since there was no evidence of when the 6 clinically ill patients whose sera indicated a DEN infection were infected. The interpretation of the 95% prevalence in the Cuban community population is confounded by the fact that persons infected with more than one serotype of DEN may exhibit cross-reactive antibody. Further studies are underway to determine whether the infections are recent and to determine the DEN serotype responsible using IgM assays. Travel histories of the patients who had evidence of DEN infections have been requested in order to determine if infection could have been acquired elsewhere.

Table 27

Serologic evidence of dengue infection in Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, 1982

(------

	No. positive* /	No. Tested	(percent positive)
Population	hemagglutination inhibition test	neutralization	n test
Cuban commuters	74/77 (96%)	73/77	(95%)
U.S. personnel with history of illness during epidemic	8/25 (32%)	6/25	(247)
U.S. personnel occupationally exposed to mos- quito bites	1/25 (4 7)	0/25	
U. S. personnel without occupa- tional exposure	•	2/62	(3)

*Antibody titers 1:10 were considered evidence of previous infection.

-43-






Figure 4

Figure	5
--------	---

MONTHLY MORDIDITY REPORTED AMONG U.S. NAVY PERSONNEL GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA 1900-MARCH 1902





* population at risk was not reported.

NON-U.S. PERSONNEL

Pigure 6 MONTHLY MORBIDITY Rift Valley fever antibody persisting for 49 years after infection (C.J. Peters, J.M. Meegan, R.E. Shope). A Laboratory technicism, G.W.M., working for Dr. Max Theiler at the Rockefeller Foundation Virus Laboratories, New York developed a laboratory infection with Rift Valley fever virus. His illness which started on Monday, October 9, 1933 is documented in Kitchen, SF, Am. J. Trop. Med. 14:547-564, 1934. Rift Valley fever was isolated from his acute phase serum and protective antibodies were demonstrated 2 weeks later. G.W.M. subsequently worked with the Lunyo strain of Rift Valley fever in the 1950's. He moved to Yale in 1964 and continued to work, but not with RVF virus, until retirement in 1975. He was re-bled June 16, 1982 in order to find out how long Rift Valley fever antibody persists.

Table 28 shows results of neutralization, IFA, and ELISA tests of serial bleedings between 1952 and 1982. Surprisingly he has maintained high titers -up to 1280 by PRNT -- in each method used for testing. The ELISA results indicate that the antibody is not primarily IgM and is presumably therefore IgG.

RVF neutralizing antibody has been previously recorded to persist for at least 12 years (Sabin and Blumberg. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 64:385-389, 1947) but this is the first demonstration of persistence for 49 years.

Table 28

Rift Valley fever antibody of patient GM over a 49 year period

	Plaque rec	duction NT*		E	LISA
Date	Lunyo	2H201	IFA	Ig	IgM
01/16/52	640	640	320	-**	-
11/10/53	320	640	320	-	-
10/25/57	160	640	80	-	-
06/04/58	320	640	160	-	-
02/01/63	320	320	160	-	-
01/29/65	640	640	320	800	< 200
06/09/69	640	640	320	400	< 200
04/23/75	1280	1280	. 320	800	<200
06/16/82	640	640	160	-	-

3. K. . .

2

*80% plaque reduction; PRNT and IFA done at USAMRIID. **Not tested Diagnosis of flavivirus encephalitis in a Canadian patient exposed in Manchuria (H. Artsob, D. Burke, R.E. Shope, J.M. Meegan, R.B. Tesh). Three sera of patient H.B. were referred to Yale from the Canadian National Arbovirus Reference Service. H.B. developed encephalitis immediately on her return to Canada from Manchuria with a brief stopover in Hong Kong. A brain biopsy showed no evidence of herpes. Test results to date are shown in Table 29.

Table 29

	JE	SI	E	DH	EN	P	W		MAC ELIS	A
Sera	PRNT	HI	CF	HI	CF	PC HI	CF	JE	POW	SOK
Sept _. 2 '82	160	80	4	10	0	0	0	+	-	+
Sept 15 '82	80	80	4	10	· 0	0	0	+	-	+
Sept 29 '82	80	80	0	10	0	0	0	+	-	+

Serological reactions of suspected JE encephalitis patient

There is clear evidence, especially with the positive IgM antibody capture (MAC) ELISA performed at Yale by Dr. Donald Burke, that the patient had a flavivirus infection, probably JE virus. The MAC ELISA cross-reaction with Sokuluk virus needs to be explored further for definitive diagnosis of this illness.

Febrile illness in Spanish workers exposed in Libya (R. Najera, R.E. Shope). **Eight sets of paired sera from Spanish laborers**, evacuated to Madrid from Libya with severe febrile illness were referred for testing by the Centro Nacional de Microbiologia, Madrid. The sera were tested and found negative by HI with dengue and Rift Valley fever antigens. The cause of the illness remains undetermined.

-48-

V. DEVELOPMENT OF NEW TECHNIQUES

The enzyme-linked solid phase immunoassay for antibody (IgG and IgM) and antigen detection (J. Meegan).

The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) has been used for several serological groups (see previous Annual Reports). We have developed an ELISA for the detection of antigen or antibody to Rift Valley fever (RVF) virus. The ELISA for antibody detection was developed using inactivated antigens. Virus bands recovered after ultracentrifugation (potassium tartrate-glycerol gradients) of either formalin inactivated tissue culture vaccine or infected mouse liver yielded excellent ELISA antigens. After binding the antigen to 96well plates, the test proceeded with the sequential addition of: human serum, goat anti-human serum conjugated with alkaline phosphatase, and finally enzyme substrate. The test for antigen detection involved binding mouse anti-RVF virus antisera to 96-well plates followed by the sequential addition of: presumptive viremic serum, rabbit anti-RVF virus antisera, goat anti-rabbit antisera conjugated with alkaline phosphatase, and enzyme substrate. We also employed for antigen detection a method which did not involve antibody capture of antigen. This competitive method utilized a reference anti-RVF virus serum which gave a specific titer in our standard antibody detection ELISA. We then added the suspected RVF viremic sera to the reference antisera, and retitered the sera to determine if there were RVF virus antigen in the suspect sera which bound reference antisera and lowered its titer in the antibody detection ELISA.

Studies continued on the use of the ELISA for the detection of antibodies to RVF virus. The test had been developed and perfected during past years, and initial studies revealed good correlation between the ELISA and plaque-reduction neutralization (PRNT) tests. There is a statistically significant correlation between ELISA titers and titers found in the hemagglutination-inhibition (HI) and plaque reduction neutralization (PRNT) tests (See 1981 Annual Report). These studies employed Egyptian sera collected 6 months after the epidemic of 1977. ELISA antibodies appear at day 4 post onset of RVF disease (See 1981 Annual Report), and are thus detected earlier than antibodies measured by all other methods.

To study the usefulness of the ELISA to detect antibody after vaccination, 54 samples representing pre- and post-RVF immunization sera were evaluated in a blind study to correlate PRNT and ELISA antibody titers. Previous studies comparing the complement-fixation (CF) test, IFA test and the HI test to the PRNT showed poor correlation with postimmunization sera. The ELISA correlated with the PRNT with all except for three sera. All three sera were post-immunization; one was negative by ELISA and titered 10 by the PRNT, and two were positive in ELISA but titered less than 10 by the PRNT. These results indicate that the ELISA could be used for rapid screening of large numbers of sera to determine immunization status to RVF virus.

Studies using hyperimmune mouse sera revealed the ELISA detects cross-reacting antibodies in animals immunized with a number of phleboviruses (Table 30). In each instance where a cross-reaction was detected in the ELISA, that same antisera cross-reacted in one or more standard serological test. Thus, this ELISA is one of the broadest

	Titer			
Antisera	Homologous*	RVF virus		
	CF	ELISA		
Aquacate	256/128	0		
Alenquer	256/32	100		
Anhanga	256/8	100		
Arumowot	256/128	200		
Belterra	256/128	1600		
Buenaventura	256/128	0		
Bujaru	256/128	200		
Cacao	256/128	100		
Caimite	256/8	0		
Candiru	256/128	400		
Chagres	256/128	800		
Chilibre	256/128	0		
Frijoles	256/32	400		
Gabek Forest	256/128	0		
Gordil	256/32	1600		
Icoaraci	128/128	1600		
Itaituba	256/128	100		
Itaperanga	256/8	400		
Joa	256/128	1600		
Karimabad	32/128	200		
Munguba	256/128	100		
Naples	256/32	200		
Nique	256/8	200		
Oriximina	256/128	0		
Pacui	256/32	0		
Punta Toro	256/128	400		
Rio Grande	256/8	200		
Saint Floris	256/32	800		
Salehabad	256/128	0		
Sicilian	256/32	0		
Tehran	256/128	0		
Toscana	256/128	0		
Turuna	256/32	0		
Urucuri	64/32	0		

Cross-reactions encountered with antisera to phleboviruses tested in the Rift Valley fever antibody detection ELISA

Table 30

*Supplied by Dr. R. B. Tesh

~50~

cross-reacting tests available. Human convalescent sera from patients infected with sandfly fever-Naples (but not those infected with Sicilian) showed this same cross-reactivity in the RVF ELISA. In a series of collaborative studies, we have compared the ELISA to the PRNT using field collected sera from Egypt, Sudan, and Greece to determine if this broad cross-reactivity will be a major problem. To date, only in the collection from Greece did we find sera negative in PRNT but positive in ELISA. Most likely this represents the circulation of a cross-reacting virus. Although phleboviruses circulate in Egypt and Sudan, they are either not cross-reactive (e.g. sandfly fever-Sicilian), are cross-reactive but at lower titers and were not scored as positsive in the RVF ELISA, or are limited in distribution and not represented in the collections tested. The broad cross-reactivity of the RVF ELISA will undoubtedly be a continuing problem and attempts should be made to develop a more specific ELISA antigen perhaps from individual virion glycoproteins or protein subunits.

We have developed an ELISA method for measuring RVF virus specific immunoglobulin M (IgM) based on the isolation of IgM antibody by reaction with a 96-well plate coated with antihuman IgM. The measurement of specific IgM was accomplished by the subsequent addition of RVF virus or control antigen, monoclonal anti RVF virus antibody, and enzyme labelled antimouse antibody. The use of monoclonal antibodies substantially reduced background readings when compared to use of a polyvalent enzyme-labelled anti RVF virus. We compared the sensitivity and specificity of this method with those of a conventional form of ELISA in which RVF virus antigen is bound to the plate followed by the patient's serum, and then an enzyme-labelled antihuman IgM antibody. Titers obtained with the indirect monoclonal method correlated to a highly significant level with titers obtained with the direct method. Additionally, contrary to the direct method, the indirect method did not yield false positive results when rhematoid factor was present in the test serum.

Monoclonal antibodies to Rift Valley fever virus (J. Meegan).

Monoclonal antibodies will be utilized not only for exploring the antigenic sites on phlebotomus fever group viruses but also as a source of large quantities of purified antibodies for use with ELISA and other rapid diagnostic tests. Monoclonal antibodies are produced from hybrid cells formed by fusion of a myeloma cell line with spleen cells from an immunized mouse. Briefly, the method involves immunization of C57 BL/6 mice with 2-3 weekly doses of viral antigen mixed with equal volumes of adjuvant. Three days after the final booster, spleens are removed from immunized mice and fused (using polyethylene glycol 1500) with BALB/C NSI/l myeloma cells at a 4:l ratio. In media containing hypoxanthine, aminopterin, and thymidine the parental myeloma cells are not viable. Parental non-fused spleen cells will not grow in cell culture. Thus, only hybridomas grow, and supernatants from these can be tested for antibody. Hybridomas producing specific antibodies can then be maintained in cell culture or inoculated i.p. in isogenic mice with the resulting ascitic fluids containing monoclonal antibody.

-51-

In an attempt to maximize the variety of antigenic sites to which monoclonal antibodies could be produced, we employed a number of different inactivated RVF virus antigens. Crude and purified antigen preparations from cell culture-produced vaccine and infected mouse liver were utilized in their native configuration or treated with detergent. A number of hybridoma cells secreting antibody measurable by the ELISA have been produced (see 1981 Annual Report).

Our desire to obtain monoclonal antibodies to the external glycoproteins of the virion prompted us to select for further study those hybridomas produced from mice immunized with whole virion preparations from the formalin treated vaccine. Additionally, since our most abundant ELISA antigen was a purified mouse liver preparation, work with monoclonal antibodies produced with vaccine antigen would eliminate detection of non-virion antibody.

All hybridomas were cloned twice using soft agar techniques (those few hybrids which did not grow well on soft agar were cloned by limited dilution). Cells were then grown in quantity, and portions frozen in liquid N₂ and inoculated in pristane primed mice to produce ascitic fluid.

Table 31 lists the monoclonal ascitic fluids which have been tested to date by ELISA, IF, HI, and PRNT. The PRNT was performed by Dr. C.J. Peters of USAMRIID.

All of the ascitic fluids were high titered in the ELISA, but varied in their reactivity in the PRNT, HI, and CF tests. All which were neutralization positive were positive in the HI. Three were reactive at low levels in the HI but negative in the PRNT test. Only one was CF positive. Some reacted only in the ELISA. Table 32 reveals the cross reactions encountered in the IFA test using those monoclonals. Most are extremely specific. Using an ELISA test, all monoclonal antibodies were found to be of the IgG class, with eleven G_{2a} , two G_{2b} , and three G_1 isotypes (Table 33).

The proteins to which the monoclonals bind were determined by immunoprecipitation using detergent disrupted virus, then polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. These studies are continuing, but to date, most of the monoclonals bind to the surface glycoproteins and one (the CF positive monoclonal) binds to the nucleocapsid protein (N).

Competition ELISA studies using unlabeled monoclonals to block attachment of an enzyme labeled monoclonal allowed us to topologically map the antigenic sites on the surface of the virion. We have discovered at least six antigenic sites. Attachment of antibody to four of the sites neutralizes the virus.

1
1

		Tite	rs
Monoclonal	ELISA	<u>NT(E)</u>	HAI CF
1-20-90	2.2X105	2560	4000 <10
4-8-10C	6.6X10 ⁶	2560	4X10 ⁴ <10
4-10-10A	2.2X106	1280	4000 <10
4-10-3C	2.2X106	2560	4000 <10
4-39-CC	2.28106	80	4000 <10
4-32-8D	1.8X10 ⁷	4X104	4000 <10
1-25-6A	6.6x10 ⁵	8x104	4x10 ⁴ <10
1-25-1B	2.0x106	4 X10 4	4x10 ⁴ <10
1-21-3B	2.2X106	< 10	<10 <10
1-4-3P	2,2X106	<10	<10 1000
1-29-5B	2.2X106	<10	40-80 <10
1-29-5F	2.2X106	<10	40-80 <10
1-29-4B	6.0X106	<10	40-80 <10
4-10-8B	1.8X107	NT	<10 <10
4-8-11B	6.6X106	<10	<10 <10
4-8-2B	1.8X10 ⁷	<10	<10 <10

Antibody titers of monoclonals to RVFV

Table 32

Specificity of RVFV Monoclonals by IFA

				-	IGENS				
Monoclonal	RFV	BTA	CHG	FRI	100	JOA	KAR	MUN	OTHERS**
143P	104	+	+	-	~	~		+	-*
B1~12***	104	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
Others-25	10-105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

***** + **=** >250; - **=** <40

** AUR, FG, GOR, SFS, SFN, SAF, SAL, ALE, ANH, BUJ, CAC, CDU, ITA, NIQ, PT, RG, TER, TOS, UTU. Underlined viruses have demonstrated a cross-reaction with RVF virus in a standard serological test.

-53-

***Monoclonal supplied by Dr. J. Dalrymple, USAMRIID.

			0.D. 4	05		
Monoclonal	G1	G2A	G2B	G3	M	A
4-10-3C	.10	.56	.29	.14	.04	.04
4-10-10A	.14	.59	.20	.19	.11	.02
1-20-9C	.20	. 59	.29	.17	.04	.09
4-8-10C	.14	.58	.19	.10	.05	.05
4-8-11B	د 1 7	.85	.16	.09	.10	.05
4-10-8B	.12	.88	.18	.06	.05	.09
l-29-5F	.15	<u>.56</u> .59 .59 .58 .85 .88 .67 1.19	.26	.11	.16	.07
4-8-2B	.20	1.19	.25	.10	.03	.02
.1-29-4B	.15	.61	.25	.12	.06	.02
1-29-5B	.17	<u>.61</u> <u>.53</u> <u>.54</u> .20	.20	.09	.06	.03
4-32-8D	.15	.54	.32	.09	.02	.06
1-4-3P	.29	.20	1.31	.21	.01	.08
4-39-CC	.11	.10	.53	.06	.05	.04
l-25-1B	. 36	.03	.06	.07	.03	.07
l-21-3B	1.09	.09	.09	.05	.02	.02
1-25-6A	. 39	.05	.07	.07	.03	.04

ELISA to determine RVFV monoclonal antibody isotype

<u>Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus ELISA</u>. (J.M. Meegan A. Antoniades, and R.E. Shope) The methodology used to develop antigens for the RVF antibody detection ELISA was employed to prepare an inactivated antigen for Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever (CCHF) virus. The CCHF ELISA reliably detected antibodies in hyperimmunized mice, and when tested in preliminary studies of sera from residents of Greece, it correlated well with the HI and IF tests. Further studies are planned in collaboration with Greek colleagues studying an endemic CCHF site in northern Greece.

Latex bead technique for rapid antibody or antigen detection. (J.M. Meegan) A pilot study was undertaken to evaluate the usefulness of antigen or antibody covalently bound to latex beads as a means of rapid antibody or antigen detection. Such methods are being used more frequently especially by commercial companies. We evaluated, as a prototype for arthropod-borne viruses, an 8minute, latex-agglutination rubella antibody detection kit. In comparative tests with the HI, ELISA, and PRNT, it proved accurate, rapid, and more sensitive than the HI test. Future studies should explore the use of such solid phase spheres for antibody and antigen detection with arthropod-borne viruses.

-54-

Yellow fever virus studies with nectar meals and mosquitoes (T.H.G.Aitken, B.J.Beaty and L. Lorenz). Female mosquitoes, when not taking blood meals, and males maintain themselves in nature by feeding on flower nectar. One of the spin-off ideas resulting from the 1978 yellow fever (YF) transovarial virus transmission studies was the possibility that infected mosquitoes could contaminate flower nectaries and a subsequent nectar feeder of either sex might acquire an infection. Previous observations covering several years in this laboratory indicated that male mosquitoes are readily infected by feeding on artificial meals containing YF virus; in fact they appear to be more susceptible than female mosquitoes. Furthermore, other studies by us (Beaty and Aitken, Mosq. News 39(2):232, 1979) demonstrate that infected female mosquitoes are capable of <u>in vitro</u> transmission of YF virus to artificial meals of 107 fetal calf serum (FCS) and 107 sucrose. Thus the stage was set to try and demonstrate the artificial up-take of virus by male and female mosquitoes feeding on an artificial nectar meal previously exposed to an infected mosquito.

.Experiment #1:

<u>Aedes</u> <u>aegypti</u> (Amphur_{F23}) females were inoculated intrathoracically with 20% BMB YF virus (Asibi) titering 10.75 log 10 TCID50/ml (C6/36 cells). After an incubation period of 17 days at ca. 28°C and 75-90% RH, 2 "donor" females each (starved 39 hours) were confined to 19 tubes provided with nylon netting on which was placed a drop (0.1 ml) of nectar (10% honey, 10% FCS and 80% buffered water pH 7.5). Exposure to the meal varied from 1 3/4 to 2 hours after which the mosquitoes were removed and subsequently tested for infectivity by direct fluorescent antibody microscopy; all were strongly positive for Y.F. antigen by head squash examination. Most mosquitoes fed to repletion but at least one fed in each tube.

Following withdrawal of the "donor" females, clean "recipient" mosquitoes (2 of each sex) were introduced into each tube and allowed to feed for 15 - 20 minutes on the contaminated nectar drop. Thereafter the engorged "recipients" were confined to cages to incubate "virus" for 14 days at which time they were harvested and head and abdomen squashes prepared, stained with specific YF conjugate and examined by FA microscopy. In 13 successful tests of one or more feeding "recipient" mosquitoes, 21 males and 23 females were negative. That is they failed to produce a demonstrable YF infection.

Experiment #2:

Aedes aegypti (Amphurp23) females were inoculated as above and allowed to incubate virus for 8 days. Thereafter 2 "donor" females each (starved 48 hours) were confined to tubes and allowed to engorge on a nectar droplet for 43 minutes to 1 1/2 hours. Most mosquitoes fed to repletion, but at least one fed in each tube. The "donors" were then removed and all subsequently shown to be infected by head squash. "Recipient" mosquitoes (2 of each sex) were then introduced into each tube to feed on the nectar droplet for about 40 minutes (note: about double the time in Exp. #1). Engorging "recipient" mosquitoes were then permitted to incubate "virus" for 21 days (rather than 14 days in the previous experiment) on the chance that small amounts of ingested virus might have a longer time to replicate and be demonstrable. In 33 successful tests, 57 male and 54 female "recipients" proved negative.

-55-

「「「「「「」」」」」

An attempt was made to recover all test nectar meals which had not dried. These were inoculated into 6-day old clean Amphur females and held at 30°C for 21 days. Meal recipients varied from 2 to 11 females depending on the size of the inoculum. Eight meals were thus tested and 3 were shown to contain YF virus derived from feeding "donor" mosquitoes. The virus recovery rate could well have been greater had the inoculated mosquitoes survived better. Mortality caused by the concentrated honey nectar was high. In any event, virus was surviving in the nectar meal for at least 4-5 hours in the 3 successful instances. There is thus still a tenuous possibility that besides transovarial transmission of virus, mosquitoes might acquire virus through nectar feeding and provide a means of virus survival through adverse climatic conditions. Nectar feeding of an infectious nature might be significant under certain rural epidemiological situations where the virus infection of mosquitoes is concentrated in a small area and there are few flowers as a source of nectar meals (supplemental in the case of haemophilic females).

.<u>The effect of mosquito colonization on susceptibility to yellow fever virus</u> <u>infection</u> (T.H.G.Aitken, L. Lorenz and R.B.Tesh). Studies were undertaken to <u>determine what effect insectary colonization of a mosquito population might have</u> on the susceptibility to yellow fever (YF) virus infection of that population. A strain of <u>Aedes aegypti</u> from Vero Beach, Florida was obtained (courtesy of Dr. J. K. Nayar) and 7 filial generations of adults were <u>simultaneously</u> exposed to an oral meal of YF virus.

Asibi virus was grown in C6/36 cells for 6 days following which it was harvested and a meal prepared of virus (2.5 ml), washed human red cells (10 ml), and PBS and 10% sucrose (10 ml). Virus titers varied from a pre-exposure titer of 8.8 log 10 TCID₅₀/ml to a post-exposure titer of 8.3. Mosquitoes, 5-8 days old, were exposed to the blood meal in droplet form placed on cage netting for ca. 1% hours. Thereafter engorged (3/4 to full) females were selected and incubated for 14 days (ca. 28°C and 75-100% RH) at which time they were head squashed on glass slides. The resultant smears were stained with specific YF conjugate and examined by immunofluorescence for the presence of YF viral antigen. The results are shown in the following table.

0	L	2	3	4	. 5	6
27	75	92	75	90	95	78
14/23	5/60	23/119	30/123	20/89	19/60	25/84
41	8	19	24	22	32	30
	14/23	14/23 5/60	14/23 5/60 23/119	14/23 5/60 23/119 30/123 41 8 19 24	14/23 5/60 23/119 30/123 20/89 41 8 19 24 22	14/23 5/60 23/119 30/123 20/89 19/60 41 8 19 24 22 32

			G					

Females of the original E₀ generation (i.e., progeny of eggs from wildcaught females) had a poor survival rate over the 2-week incubation period, making for few specimens for testing; otherwise the 7 generations were fairly comparable. The results indicate a sharp drop in infectivity following colonization, however the subsequent rise in susceptibility suggests that this negative effect may not be real, i.e., colonization for 6 generations did not markedly change the susceptibility of <u>Ae</u>. <u>aegypti</u> to YF virus infection. The study also disclosed great variation in infectivity results between the 5 cages of mosquitoes comprising each filial generation, suggesting the need for an adequate number of cages in future infectivity studies of a comparative nature.

<u>Suitability of fresh yellow fever virus vs. frozen virus for oral infection</u> <u>studies with mosquitoes</u> (R.B.Tesh, T.H.G.Aitken and L. Lorenz). Mosquito infection studies to compare blood meals composed of fresh (actively growing) yellow fever (YF) virus and frozen YF virus were undertaken with <u>Aedes aegypti</u> (Amphur F₂₄ strain). The reason for this study stems from earlier investigations with dengue virus where it was shown that mosquito (<u>Ae. aegypti</u>) infection and transmission studies were enhanced if actively-growing virus were used for blood meal preparation instead of frozen virus (Miller, et al., 1982, AJTMH 31: 1232).

In the present experiment, YF virus (Asibi) was grown in C6/36 cells for 6 days when it was harvested. One half of the recovered virus suspension was frozen (-70°C) for ca. 2 hours, after which it was thawed and the two virus preparations (fresh and frozen) were offered to starved mosquitoes as a blood meal in the form of: virus (2.5 ml), washed human red cells (10 ml), and PBS and 10% sucrose (10 ml). The meals were applied to mosquito cage netting as droplets; virus titers were 8.5 log 10 TCID50/ml (pre meal) and 9.3 (post). Mosquitoes were exposed to the meals for ca. 12 hours after which engorged females (3/4-full) were selected and incubated for 14 days (ca. 24°C and 70-100% RH) when they were harvested, head squashed, stained with specific YF conjugate and examined by immunofluorescence for presence of virus antigen. The results indicated that 40% of frozen meal mosquitoes were YF positive - 50/124 and 34% of the fresh virus mosquitoes were YF positive - 30/88. Thus, it would appear that frozen YF virus is equally efficient as actively growing YF virus as a source of virus for mosquito infection studies. As in the case of the "mosquito colonization" studies, these experiments disclosed a fairly wide variation of infectivity among the 7 cages of mosquitoes representing the two samples of virus.

Detection of dengue viral antigen in infected cell culture fluids and in suckling mouse brain suspensions by the modified double antibody sandwich enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (J.G. Olson and T.L. Thirkill). An antigen detection enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for dengue virus (DEN) was developed for virus stocks prepared in <u>Aedes albopictus</u> clone C6-36 cells and in suckling mouse brains. A pool of human convalescent sera from laboratory confirmed dengue fever patients was coated on 96-well flat bottomed microtiter plates. The virus stocks, previously titrated by either hemagglutination (HA) or by cell culture, and uninfected control antigens were added after the costing step. Mouse DEN immune ascitic fluids were added next followed by goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin conjugated with alkaline phosphatase. The enzyme substrate, pnitrophenyl phosphate added in the final step, is colorimetrically altered in the presence of the bound enzyme. The subsequent reactions were read spectrophotometrically on a Titer-Tek Multiscan plate reader at 405 nm. Absorbance values exceeding the mean of multiple replicates of the uninfected control antigens plus three times their standard deviation were recorded as positive.

-57-

Using a combination of hyperimmune mouse ascitic fluids and mouse ascitic fluids which contained monoclonal DEN antibodies, we were able to detect and identify all 4 serotrypes of DEN. ELISA was a slightly less sensitive technique than cell culture (Table 34) and more sensitive than HA for detecting DEN antigen (Table 35). The ELISA was capable of detecting antigen at levels below those normally found in human patients with DEN infections (Table 36).

Preliminary attempts to detect antigen in patient sera were disappointing. Of 8 acute phase sera from dengue fever patients tested, only one gave a positive result. Similar negative results were observed when sera negative for DEN antibody by HI and NT testing were pooled and then used as a diluent for DEN antigen. This experiment suggested that the failure of the antigen detection ELISA to detect DEN antigen in human patient sera may have been due to a nonspecific interfering substance in serum. Two separate methods for treating serum were employed to remove substances which may be interfering with the test. Kaolin sulfate extracted and acetone extracted sera were used as diluent for DEN antigen with differing results. The interference observed with untreated sera continued after Kaolin treatment, but acetone extracted sera used as a diluent showed positive results similar to those using PBS-Tween as a diluent. Further tests are underway to determine whether DEN antigen can be detected in a dilution of antigen in serum followed by acetone extraction and in patient sera.

Table 34

Virus plaque forming units/ml			sue culture us dose (50%)/ml	ELISA colorimetric 507 endpoint		
	immuno fluorescence	cytopathic effect				
DEN-1	1.08 X 10 ⁴	102.5	102.65	102.5		
DEN-2	6.37 X 10 ⁴	103.5	107.1	103.5		
DEN-3	6.0 X 10 ³	101.5	102.65	102.5		
DEN-4	2.12 x 10 ⁵	104.5	104.35	102.5		

Aedes albopictus clone C6/36 infected cell culture fluids

Virus dengue l dengue 2	hemagglu	tinstion test	enzyme linked immunosorbent assay			
	titers*	minimum protein detected (g/ml)	titers*	minimum protein detected (g/ml)		
dengue l	64	68	102	44		
dengue 2	256	19	103	50		
dengue 3	256	49	102	124		
dengue 4	64	135	103	86		
Sindbi s	256	49	ND**	125		

Sucrose acetone extracted suckling mouse brain antigens

*Reciprocal of highest dilution of antigen which gave a positive result. **Not detected.

Table 36

Limits of dengue virus detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

plaque forming units/ml		mum protein cted (g/ml)_
3.29		12
2.52		11
2.45	•	35
14.6		9
	3.29 2.52 2.45	plaque forming units/ml dete 3.29 2.52 2.45

1

)

1

-59-

and the state of the second second

Original antigenic sin in dengue fever patients (J. G. Olson). Studies by Okuno, et al (1980) and by Halstead (in press) have shown that dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) patients experiencing secondary infections with dengue (DEN) virus may respond immunologically with serum neutralizing antibodies directed against the DEN serotype which was responsible for the original infection and not the currently infecting strain. This phenomenon, known as original antigenic sin, is well described for influenza viral infections (Francis et al., 1953; and Davenport et al., 1953). The possibility that the host's response to a non-infecting serotype may help to explain why persons experiencing secondary DEN infections are more likely to develop DHF and dengue shock syndrome (DSS) was suggested by Okuno et al. (1980).

Our studies were designed to determine whether patients with uncomplicated dengue fever (DF) show evidence of original antigenic sin. The study population was comprised of 10 DF patients from whom virus was isolated and identified. Acute and convalescent phase sera were tested by hemagglutination inhibition (HI), indirect immunofluorescence (IFA) and microneutralization (NT) for antibodies. Results are summarized in table 37.

From the data in the table we classified 6 of the infections as primary and 4 as secondary. Secondary infections had evidence of DEN antibody on the acute phase when tested by IFA or NT. Among the patients who were experiencing their first infections with DEN virus, convalescent serum NT antibody titers were higher to a serotype other than the one isolated in all 6 (100%). Three of the 4 (75%) patients with secondary infections had higher NT antibody titers to a serotype other than the infecting one.

IFA test results were less easy to interpret since there was less variation in the antibody titers. However, in the patients experiencing their first DEN infections (14759 and 14760) the antibody titer to the infecting serotype was significantly lower than the others.

Clearly DF patients show evidence of original antigenic sin and this as an explanation for contributing to serious forms of DEN infections must be questioned. The observation of original antigenic sin in patients having their inital DEN infection suggests that our method of classifying the primary and secondary cases may have been incorrect. Other means of dichotomizing the population are being investigated.

<u>Modified Southern blotting to determine genetic relatedness of RNA viruses</u> (D.L. Knudson). A micro-extraction for dsRNA was developed (see 1981 Annual Report) that allows the preparation and processing of a large number of orbivirus isolates. Over 150 samples can be processed within 2 weeks including PAGE analysis. A second new technique has now been developed for dsRNA. The dsRNA is denatured by glyoxalation, and the ssRNA is separated by electrophoresis in agarose gels. The gel is treated following the Southern blotting protocol with modifications resulting in the transferance of ssRNA to nitrocellulose The RNA is bound to the sheet which is incubated in a solution of radioactive nucleic acid probe. Thus the genetic relatedness, determined by hybridization, can be shown. Since a by-product of the micro-extraction procedure is mRNA, the technology should be applicable also to ssRNA viruses, end-labeling the 3' end using the pCp technique representing the radioactive probe.

-60-

.

IFA and neutralizing dengue antibody in patients following dengue viremia

	virus	days after	HI titer		IFA	titer (NT titer	l	
Serum	isolated	onset	(JE)	DEN-1	DEN-2	DEN-3	DEN-4	DEN-1	DEN-2	DEN-3	DEN-4
1 3928 A	DEN-1	2	40		20	40	ŝ	4>	\$	01>	°10
ပ		12	> 640	5,120	> 20,480	5,120>	5,120>20,480	*	320	80	>1280
14185 A	DEN-1	ŝ	01	<5 <	\$	\$	ŝ	ı	\$ 5	<10 <	°10
U		61	> 640	5,120	10,240	5,120	5,120	91	80	40	160
14367 A	DEN-1	۳	01	<5	ĉ	\$\$ \$	\$ S	4>	< 5 < 5	ı	80
U		10	> 640	160	320	160	320	91	01	1	640
14759 A	DEN-1		10	ŝ	\$ \$	ŝ	Ŝ	4>	\$\$	01 <i>></i>	01×
υ		14	>640	40	2,560	640	5,120	91	40	40	320
14760 A	DEN-1	0	<5 <5	\$ S	\$ \$	\$\$ \$	Ş	4×	\$\$ \$	01 >	01×
ပ		23	>640	320	2,560	1,200	2,560	32	80	160	640
1 5045 A	DEN-3	0	20	\$\$ \$	S	20	01	4×	91	4>	4×
ပ		21	320	2,560	5,120	2,560	5,120	128	2,560	2,560	256
15296 A	DEN-1	-1	01	\$	\$ S	ĉ	ŝ	4>	4>	*	<4 د
ပ		11	160	1,280	2,560	5,120	5,120	<4>	I	† >	4 >
15316 A	DEN-2	2	\$	\$ \$		ŝ	ŝ	ø	4>	4>	4>
U		13	>640	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	Ŧ	I	4>	<4
15353 A	DEN-3	2	10	Ś	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	4>	4>	4>	4>
ပ		23	>640	2,560	10,240		20,480	4 >	I	4>	4 >
16012 A	DEN-3	1	01	ŝ	ŝ	\$ \$		4>	4>	4>	4>
U		12	320	2,560	5,120	5,120	5,120	4×	640	64	80

·6

المالي المحالية المحالية

Detection of eastern equine encephalitis virus and Highland J virus antigens within mosquito pools by enzyme immunoassays (EIA) (S.W. Hildreth, B.J. Beaty, H.K. Maxfield, R.F. Gilfillan, and B.J. Rosenau). Enzyme-linked immunoassays were developed to replace common virological isolation and identification assays used in surveillance of arboviruses. These techniques were capable of detection and identification of EEE and HJ viruses within 24 hours after collection.

An indirect sandwich ELISA was used. Micro-titer plates were coated with antivirus mouse IgG, followed by the sequential addition of mosquito pool sample, antivirus rabbit IgG, goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated with alkaline phosphatase, and substrate (either chromogenic= p-nitrophenyl phosphate or fluorogenic= 4-methyl umbelliferyl phosphate).

In laboratory studies, the minimum number of EEE or HJ infected <u>Ae. triseriatus</u> mosquitoes was determined using pools of known composition. Complete concordance was found between virus isolation with BHK-21 cells and the EIA with mosquito pools containing various numbers of non-infected mosquitoes (7 to 99) and 1 or 3 infected mosquitoes (all at 15 days post inoculation). Growth curve studies of EEE in parenterally infected <u>Ae. triseriatus</u> revealed that virus isolation was more sensitive than EIA (95.5% and 36.4%, respectively) during the first 3 extrinsic incubation days. After 3 days, however, there was complete concordance between the two assays; both techniques detected 100% of the infected pools. Specificity was 100% by both assays; serological cross-reactivity between EEE and HJ was minimal in the EIA.

In a retrospective study, the EIA was used to re-test 495 mosquito pools collected by the Massachusetts Department of Public Health (MDPH) between 1978 through 1981. These pools were tested for virus with a chick embryo tissue culture method. Of the 495 pools, 215 (43.4%) were diagnosed by MDPH to contain either EEE, HJ, or both viruses. Of these, 165/215 (76.7%) were found positive when tested by EIA. When the 50 "false negatives" were retested, virus was isolated from only 8 pools. Thus, an adjusted estimate of the sensitiveity of EIA was approximately 95%. Of the mosquito pools classified as positive by both assays, there was 98.7% agreement (kappa=0.987) in the identification of the two viruses. In one pool previously classified only as a EEE positive by MDPH, the EIA also detected HJ antigen. Of the 280 pools clasified by MDPH as negative, 278 (99.3%) were also negative by FIA, but 2 (0.7%) were positive. HJ virus was subsequently isolated from these two "false positive" pools.

For the purpose of surveillance of a known virus within a mosquito population, the EIA method for the detection of viral antigens appears to be as sensitive and specific as a standard surveillance method.

Field studies were initiated during 1981 and 1982 in Connecticut, New Jersey and Florida in order to obtain fresh materials for field-testing of the antigen-detection EIA and other newer technologies. These collections were funded by sources other than the Reference Center, but the surveillance results are reported here as background for the development of techniques which is currently in progress.

A STATE OF A

 <u>Field Studies in Connecticut</u> (Ling Lee, L. Lorenz, T. Scott, M. Fletcher and A. J. Main): A limited field study was conducted at one locality in southern Connecticut during the summer and fall of 1982. One purpose of the project was to collect louse-flies (Hippoboscidae) for colonization and vector competency studies in the laboratory. A small number of <u>Ornithomya anchineuria</u> (6 males, 11 females) and <u>Ornithoica vicina</u> (2 females) were collected from 307 birds examined at the Hammonasset River Study Site in Killingworth, Connecticut (Table 36). These were supplemented with 53 males and 51 females <u>O. anchineuria</u> and 3 female <u>O. vicina</u> collected by Dr. Trevor Lloyd-Evans at the Manomet (Massachusetts) Bird Observatory; however, attempts to rear them on house sparrows were unsuccessful.

<u>Ixodes dammini</u> ticks were collected from 7% of the birds and 64% of the mammals examined at this site (Table 38). One nymphal <u>Haemaphysalis</u> <u>leporispalustris</u> was. removed from a rufous-sided towhee and 15 <u>Dermacentor variabilis</u> were taken from 6 mammals (Table 38). Previous studies in this area yielded fewer <u>I</u>. <u>dammini</u> and more <u>D</u>. <u>variabilis</u>, confirming our previous observations of the spread and increased populations of I. dammini.

Blood samples were drawn from 180 of the 307 birds and 53 of the 56 mammals captured at the study site. While virus was not detected in any of these samples using Vero cells, these samples await EIA antigen detection. Serologic evidence suggests that eastern equine encephalomyelitis virus was circulating in the area. EEE HI antibody was demonstrated in 8% of the avian sera and 6% of the mammalian sera (Table 39) including at least three first year birds indicating current activity (Table 40). Antibody was also detected in three blood samples from two immature white-footed mice suggesting spillover into the mammalian populations. Highlands J antibody was demonstrated in 2% of the birds. All these seropositive birds were at least one-year-old, making it impossible to pinpoint the time and place of infection. Antibody was detected in one sample using a WEE antigen, although this same sample had a higher titer to Highlands J virus (1:20 and 1:40, respectively). SLE antibody was not demonstrated in any of the bird sera and only one mammal sample reacted with the SLE antigen (1:10). This same sample had a higher (1:160) titer to Powassan virus. Both opossums sampled had POW antibody.

Twenty species of mosquitoes were collected in CDC light traps at the Hammonasset River Study Site (Table 41). All 1,244 adult female mosquitoes were tested in suckling mice; virus was not recovered from any of the pools. These pools well be tested by EIA for antigen detection. <u>Coquillettidia perturbans</u>, <u>Culiseta</u> <u>melanura</u>, and <u>Aedes canadensis</u> were the three most abundant species, accounting for 70% of the collections. Fifty additional female mosquitoes, including 31 <u>Cs</u>. <u>melanura</u>, 11 <u>Cs</u>. <u>morsitans</u>, 7 <u>Anopheles punctipennis</u>, and 1 <u>Culex restuans</u>, were aspirated from natural shelters in Farmington, Connecticut. All were negative in suckling mice for virus isolation attempts.

1 1

	No.		Ticks		Louse-flies			
	Examined	<u> </u>	dammini		0. anchineuria	<u>0</u> . <u>vicina</u>		
		Larvae	Nymphs	Adults	Adults	Adults		
Downy Woodpecker	8							
Blue Jay	2	4/4*						
Black-capped Chickadee	13							
Gray Catbird	33		1/1		1/1			
Wood Thrush	23		2/2		9/4	1/1		
Hermit Thrush	10							
Veery	7	1/1	7/3		1/1	1/1		
Yellow-throated Vireo	l		9/1		·			
Black & White Warbler	11		3/1					
Yellow-rumped Warbler	38		•					
Ovenbird	24		2/1		3/1			
Common Yellowthroat	12		2/2					
Canada Warbler	8		-, -					
American Redstart	10		1/1		1/1			
Scarlet Tanager	4		1/1		-,			
Cardinal	8	2/2	19/3		1/1			
Rufous-sided Towhee**	15	11/5	4/2		1/1			
White-throated Sparrow	16		., -		-, -			
Song Sparrow	19		5/3					
Other Birds(18 species)			21 2					
Total Birds	307	18/19	56/21	-	17/10	2/2		
Opossum Short-tailed Shrew	2 3		4/2					
White-footed Mouse**	-	21/27	£ / 5					
Meadow Jumping Mouse	8	3/1	5/5	•				
Norway Rat**	8 1	3/1	2/1		N			
Raccoon**	6	1/1	2/1					
	0	1/1	8/3					
Gray Fox Man**	(3)		1/1	2/2				
Total Mammals	58 1	25/29	20/12	2/2				

Ticks and louse-flies collected from birds and mammals at the Hammonasset River Study Site in Killingworth, Connecticut during 1982

*Number of ectoparasites/number of hosts.

**Plus 1 nymphal <u>Haemaphysalis</u> <u>leporispalustris</u> from a towhee and 15 <u>Dermacentor</u> <u>variabilis</u> from two white-footed mice (1L, 1N), a Norway rat (1L), 2 raccoons (6 males, 5 females), and man (1 female).

-64-


Table 38

~

Virus	and	antibody	in	birds	and	mammals	from	the	Hammonasset River	
				Study	Sit	e during	1982			

		H	I Antibody	
	No. Tested	EEE	HJ	POW
Summer Resident Species				
Eastern Phoebe	3			
Gray Catbird	33	6	2	0
American Robin	3			
Wood Thrush	20	2		
Hermit Thrush	10			
Veery	7			
Ovenbird	7			
Northern Waterthrush	.2			
Scarlet Tonager	4 .			
Rose-breasted Grosbeak	i			
Rufous-sided Towhee	14	ı		
Song Sparrow	18	•		
Swamp Saprrow	2			
Swamp Sapirow Subtotals	124	9	2	0
Permanent Resident Species				
Hairy Woodpecker	4		1	
Downy Woodpecker	7			
Blue Jay	2			
Black-capped Chickadee	11	1	0	
Tufted Titmouse	4			
Cardinal	8	1		
Subtotals	36	2	ι	0
Migrants & Winter Resident Speci	.es			
Swainson's Thrush	4			
Slate-colored Junco	i			
White-throated Sparrow	15	4	0	
while chroaced oparrow		•	-	
Subtotals	20	4	0	0
Total Birds	180	15	3	0
Mammals				
Opossum	2			2
Short-tailed Shrew	1			-
White-footed Mouse	35	3		
Meadow Jumping Mouse	7	2		
Norway Rat	í			
Raccoon	6			
Gray Fox	1			
JLGJ IVA	L.			
Total Mammal	s 53	3	0	2

*all samples were negative for virus in vero cells and for WEE (except one Gray Catbird with a higher titer to HJ) and SLE (except one opossum with a higher titer to POW) HI antibody.



Blood No.	Species	Age	Sex	Date	EEE	HJ	WEE	POW	SLE
B-16-82	Wood Thrush	АНУ	F	7/13/82	1:160		-	-	-
B-24-82	Gray Catbird	AHY	F	7/14/82	1:10		-	-	-
B-28-82	Hairy Woodpecker	AHY	F	7/21/82	-	1:20	-	-	-
B-43-82	Rufous-sided Towhee	AHY	F	7/22/82	1:160	-	~	-	-
B-50-82	Gray Catbird	AHY	F	7/28/82	-	1:10	-	~	-
B-90-82	Gray Catbird	AHY	Μ	8/19/82	1:20	-	~	-	-
B-118-82	Song Sparrow	АНҮ	М	9/04/82	1:40	-	-	-	-
B-119-82	Cardinal	AHY	F	9/04/82	1:20	-	-	-	-
B-128-82	Gray Catbird	AHY	?	9/04/82	-	1:40	1:20	-	-
B-129-82	Gray Catbird	AHY	?	9/04/82	1:80	-	-	-	
B-145-82	White-throated Sparrow	?	?	10/08/82	1:40	-	-	-	-
B-158-82	Gray Catbird	HY	?	10/09/82	1:10	-	-	-	-
B-159-82	Gray Catbird	HY	?	10/09/82	1:80	-	-	-	-
B-165-82	Wood Thrush	HY	?	10/09/82	1:20	-	-	-	-
B-168-82	White-throated Sparrow	?	?	10/09/82	1:20	-	-	-	-
B-172-82	Black-capped Chickadee	AHY	?	10/15/82	1:20	-	-	-	-
B-173-82	White-throated Sparrow	?	?	10/15/82	1:20	-	-	-	-
B-174-82	White-throated Sparrow	?	?	10/15/82	1:20	-	-	-	-
M-13-82	Opossum	Imm	M	08/11/82	-	-	-	1:20	-
M-23-82	White-footed Mouse	Imm	М	08/25/82	1:80	-	-	-	-
M-29-82	White-footed Mouse	Imm	М	09/04/82	1:80	-	-	-	-
M-39-82	Opossum	Adult	F	10/09/82	-	-	-	1:160	1:10
M-45-82	White-footed Mouse	Imm	М	10/16/82	1:20		-	-	-

Seropositive samples from birds and mammals at the Hammonasset River Study Site during 1982

- * <1:10 AHY = after hatching year HY = hatching year ? = unknown

F = Female M = Male Imm = Immature

M-23-82 and M-29-82 are from the same mouse.

-66-

Table 4	+1
---------	----

	July	August	September	October	Total
Anopheles crucians		1			۱
Anopheles punctipennis	13	31	1	t	46
Anopheles quadrimaculatus	4				4
Ancpheles walkeri				1	1
Aedes abserratus	4				4
Aedes aurifer	53	6			59
Aedes canadensis	99	23	l	2	125
Aedes cantator	1	2			3
Aedes cinereus	55	.6			61
Aedes excrucians group	14	3			17
Aedes thibaulti	4				4
Aedes triseriatus/hendersoni	5	6	3		14
Aedes vexans	30	7		4	41
Culex pipiens	5	5			10
Culex restuans	52	14		l	67
Culex salinarius	13	19		ι	33
Culiseta melanura	72	111	3	4	190
Culiseta morsitans	4	4			8
Coquillettidia perturbans	285	263	5		553
Uranotaenia sapphirina		1		2	3
Total	713	502	13	16	1244
Trap Nights	12	14	4	6	36

Adult female mosquitoes from the Hammonasset River Study Site tested for virus* during 1982

*All samples were negative in suckling mice.

-67

Arbovirus surveillance in New Jersey and Florida (Ling Lee, L. Lorenz, and A.J.Main). During 1982, a cooperative program on the epidemiology of EEE virus in New Jersey was initiated with Dr. Wayne Crans of Rutgers University. Blood samples from 1096 birds and 37 reptiles collected at two sites in 1981 and from 532 birds captured at one site in 1982 were submitted for virus isolation attempts in Vero cells and for HI and plaque-reduction neutralization tests for EEE, Highlands J, and SLE antibody (Table 42). EEE virus was recovered from 0.4% of the birds from the first year and from 1.2% the second; Highlands J virus was isolated from birds only once in 1981 and twice in 1982 (Table 43). Antigen detection EIA will be initiated on these samples. EEE HI antibody was detected in 7.9% of the birds from West Creek tested to date (Table 42) including 1.7% of the first year birds suggesting current virus activity in the area (Table 44). Less than half of the samples have been tested; those collected between mid-June and early September, remain. At Dennisville, where all of the HI testing for 1981 is complete, 28.8% of all birds and 28.9% of the hatching year birds have EEE antibody (Table 42). Highlands J antibody was detected in 10.7% and 12.4%, at the two sites, respectively, in all birds and 6.97 and 11.77 of the first year birds (Table 42). SLE antibody was not detected at either site. None of the sera from 1982 have been tested by HI yet.

EEE HI antibody was detected in one of 19 box turtles (5%) and 10 of 17 mud turtles (59%) collected in Dennisville during 1981 (Table 42, 45). Highlands J antibody was not detected in any of the reptiles and one serum from a mud turtle gave a 1:10 reaction with SLE antigen.

In addition to the bird and reptile bloods, 13,368 adult female mosquitoes were submitted for virus isolation attempts in suckling mice and for antigen-detection EIA. These included 12,606 <u>Aedes sollicitans and 762 Coquillettidia perturbans</u> and represent approximately one-third of the <u>Ae</u>. <u>sollicitans</u> collections in New Jersey during 1982 (Table 46). While EEE, Highlands J, and SLE viruses were not recovered from any of the 149 pools tested at YARU, 14 isolates of a Cache Valley-like virus were isolated from <u>Ae</u>. <u>sollicitans</u> collected at Dennisville (Table 47). This represents approximately 12% of the pools of <u>Ae</u>. <u>sollicitans</u> from this site for a minimum field infection ratio of 1:754. The remaining two-thirds of the <u>Ae</u>. <u>sollicitans</u> were tested in wet chicks at the New Jersey state virus laboratory as were all of the <u>Culiseta melanurs</u>. EEE virus was recovered from three pools of <u>Ae</u>. <u>sollicitans</u> and many pools of <u>Cs</u>. <u>melanura</u> in that laboratory.

Approximately 6,150 <u>Dermacentor variabilis</u> and 1,096 <u>Amblyomma maculatum</u> collected from feral pigs in Florida were received from Dr. E.P.J. Gibbs of the University of Florida for virus isolation attempts in suckling mice. Virus was not recovered from 700 <u>D. variabilis</u> or 575 <u>A. maculatum</u> tested to date.



Yellow-billed Cuckoo 0 Common Flicker 0/4 0/2 1/2 Mairy Woodpecker 0/1 0/1 0/1 Downy Woodpecker 0/3 0/1 0/1 0 Great-crested Flycatcher 0 0 0/5 2/4 2/4 0 Blue Jay 0/5 2/4 2/4 0 0 1 0/4 0/4 0/4 0/4 0 Great-crested Flycatcher 0 0 1 0/4 0/4 0/4 0/5 2/4 2/4 0 Carolina Chickadee 0/14 0/6 0/6 0 0 1/126 5/33 8/33 0 American Robin 1/22 2/17 1/17 0 Wodd Thrush 0/36 3/10 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 0 10 0 0 0 10 0 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 <t< th=""><th></th><th>19</th><th>Dennis</th><th></th></t<>		19	Dennis	
Common Flicker 0/4 0/2 1/2 0 Hairy Woodpecker 0/1 0/1 0/1 0 Downy Woodpecker 0/3 0/1 0/1 0 Great-crested Flycatcher 0 0/5 2/4 2/4 0 Blue Jay 0/5 2/4 2/4 0 0 Carolina Chickadee 0/14 0/6 0/6 0 Tufted Titmouse 0/11 0/4 1/4- 1 Red-breasted Nuthatch 0 0 0/3 0 Gray Catbird 1/126 5/33 8/33 0 American Robin 1/22 2/17 1/17 0 Wood Thrush 0/36 3/10 3/10 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Weery 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Black-throated Blue W. Yellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18	rus	EEE	HJ	l982 Virus
Common Flicker 0/4 0/2 1/2 0 Hairy Woodpecker 0/1 0/1 0/1 0 Downy Woodpecker 0/3 0/1 0/1 0 Great-crested Flycatcher 0 0/5 2/4 2/4 0 Garolina Chickadee 0/14 0/6 0/6 0 0 Tufted Titmouse 0/11 0/4 1/4- 1 Red-breasted Nuthatch 0 0/3 8/33 0 American Robin 1/22 2/17 1/17 0 Wood Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Black-throated Blue W. Yellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5)/5	0/5	0/5	1/10
Hairy Woodpecker 0/1 0/1 0/1 0 Downy Woodpecker 0/3 0/1 0/1 0 Great-crested Flycatcher 0 0 0 0 Blue Jay 0/5 2/4 2/4 0 Carolina Chickadee 0/14 0/6 0/6 0 Tufted Titmouse 0/11 0/4 1/4. 1 Red-breasted Nuthatch 0 0 3 0/3 Gray Catbird 1/126 5/33 8/33 0 American Robin 1/22 2/17 1/17 0 Wood Thrush 0/36 3/10 3/10 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Weery 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Black & White Warbler 0/18 1/18 0 0 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Northern Waterthrush)/8	0/8	4/8	0/5
Downy Woodpecker 0/3 0/1 0/1 0 Great-crested Flycatcher 0 </td <td>)/6</td> <td>2/6</td> <td>2/6</td> <td>0/5</td>)/6	2/6	2/6	0/5
Great-crested Flycatcher 0 Blue Jay 0/5 2/4 2/4 OCarolina Chickadee 0/14 0/6 0/6 Tufted Titmouse 0/11 0/4 1/4. 1 Red-breasted Nuthatch 0 0 0/3 0 Gray Catbird 1/126 5/33 8/33 0 American Robin 1/22 2/17 1/17 0 Wood Thrush 0/36 3/10 3/10 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/18 0/10 0 0 Black-throated Blue W. Yellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0 Venbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 0 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 <)/9	0/9	0/9	0/6
Blue Jay 0/5 2/4 2/4 0 Carolina Chickadee 0/14 0/6 0/6 0 Fufted Titmouse 0/11 0/4 1/4. 1 Red-breasted Nuthatch 0 0/1 0/4 1/4. 1 Red-breasted Nuthatch 0 0/1 0/4 1/4. 1 Red-breasted Nuthatch 0 0/1 0/4 1/4. 1 Red-breasted Nuthatch 0/16 5/33 8/33 0 American Robin 1/22 2/17 1/17 0 Wood Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Weery 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/18 0/10 0 Black-throated Blue W. 0/18 1/18 0 0 Vellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 <td>)/5</td> <td>1/5</td> <td>1/5</td> <td>0/8</td>)/5	1/5	1/5	0/8
Carolina Chickadee 0/14 0/6 0/6 0 Tufted Titmouse 0/11 0/4 1/4. 1 Red-breasted Nuthatch 0 0 0 1/126 5/33 8/33 0 American Robin 1/22 2/17 1/17 0 0 0 0 0 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 0 0 0 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0)/17	11/17	11/17	0/13
Tufted Titmouse 0/11 0/4 1/4. 1 Red-breasted Nuthatch 0 0 0 0 Gray Catbird 1/126 5/33 8/33 0 American Robin 1/22 2/17 1/17 0 Wood Thrush 0/36 3/10 3/10 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Wood Thrush 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Weery 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-ryed Vireo 0/24 0/10 0/10 0 Black & White Warbler 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 Black & White Warbler 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Verlow-rumped Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1)/80	29/80	9/80	1/48
Red-breasted Nuthatch 0 Gray Catbird 1/126 5/33 8/33 0 American Robin 1/22 2/17 1/17 0 Wood Thrush 0/36 3/10 3/10 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Weery 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 0 Black & White Warbler 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 0 Black & White Warbler 0/18 1/18 0 0 0 Vellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0 0 0 Pine Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 Marerican Redstart 0/11 0/6	/39	16/39	12/39	1/26
Gray Catbird 1/126 5/33 8/33 0 American Robin 1/22 2/17 1/17 0 Wood Thrush 0/36 3/10 3/10 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/18 0 0 Black-throated Blue W. V 0/13 0/18 0 Yellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0 0 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 0 0 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0)/10	0/10	0/10	
American Robin 1/22 2/17 1/17 0 Wood Thrush 0/36 3/10 3/10 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Weery 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 White-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/24 0/10 0/10 0 0 Black & White Warbler 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 Black-throated Blue W. 0 1/18 0/18 0 Yellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Pine Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/18 0/15 1 Marcican Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Grown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3)/50	13/50	17/50	1/40
Wood Thrush 0/36 3/10 3/10 0 Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Swery 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 White-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/10 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/24 0/10 0/10 0 Black & White Warbler 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 Black-throated Blue W. 0 1/18 0/18 0 Yellow-rumped Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 1 Northern Waterthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 <td< td=""><td>)/3</td><td>1/3</td><td>1/3</td><td>0/3</td></td<>)/3	1/3	1/3	0/3
Swainson's Thrush 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Weery 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 White-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/24 0/10 0/10 0 Black & White Warbler 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 Black-throated Blue W. 0 0/18 1/18 0/18 Yellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Pine Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Guidos-sided Towhee 0/26 2/13 1/13)/69	40/69	34/69	0/51
Veery 0/16 0/3 0/3 0 Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 White-eyed Vireo 0/24 0/10 0/10 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/24 0/10 0/10 0 Black & White Warbler 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 Black-throated Blue W. 0 0/18 1/18 0/18 Yellow-rumped Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 Rufous-sided Towhee 0/26 2/13 1/13 0 <td>)/7</td> <td>0/7</td> <td>0/7</td> <td>0/6</td>)/7	0/7	0/7	0/6
Ruby-crowned Kinglet 0 White-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/24 0/10 0/10 0 Black & White Warbler 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 Black-throated Blue W. 0 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Yellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Pine Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 0 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Common Grackle 0/1 0 0 0 0 Guidous-sided Towhee 0/26 2/13 1/13 0 <td< td=""><td>)/5</td><td>0/5</td><td>0/5</td><td>0/9</td></td<>)/5	0/5	0/5	0/9
White-eyed Vireo 0/18 1/11 3/11 0 Red-eyed Vireo 0/24 0/10 0/10 0 Black & White Warbler 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 Black-throated Blue W. 0 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Yellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 0 Pine Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 0 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 0 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 Merican Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 Gates-colored Junco 0/1 0/1 0/1 0/1 White-throated Sparrow <td>)/i</td> <td>0/1</td> <td>0/1</td> <td>1/2</td>)/i	0/1	0/1	1/2
Red-eyed Vireo 0/24 0/10 0/10 0 Black & White Warbler 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 Black - throated Blue W. 0 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 Slack-throated Blue W. 0 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 Vellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Pine Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 0 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 Refours-sided Towhee 0/26 2/13 1/13 0 Slate-colored Junco 0/1 0/18 1/18 <td>)/7</td> <td>0/7</td> <td>1/7</td> <td>0/10</td>)/7	0/7	1/7	0/10
Black & White Warbler 0/13 0/8 1/8 0 Black-throated Blue W. 0 0 0 0 0 Kellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Pine Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 Korthern Waterthrush 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0/1 0/1 0 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 0 0 Rufous-sided Towhee 0/26 2/13 1/13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td>)/13</td> <td>2/13</td> <td>4/13</td> <td>0/2</td>)/13	2/13	4/13	0/2
Black-throated Blue W. 0 Kellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Pine Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 0 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 0 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 0 Common Grackle 0/1 0 0 0 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 0 0 0 Cardinal 0/1 0/1 0/1 0 0 0 0 0 State-colored Junco 0/1 0/18 0/18 1/18 0 0 <)/22	5/22	0/22	0/21
Yellow-rumped Warbler 0/18 1/18 0/18 0 Pine Warbler 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Common Grackle 0/1 0 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 Rufous-sided Towhee 0/26 2/13 1/13 0 Slate-colored Junco 0/1 0/1 0/1 0 White-throated Sparrow 0/18 1/18 0 0 Total Birds 2/468 17/214 23/214 3 Perc)/9	3/9	0/9	0/5
Pine Warbler 0 Dvenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 Sommon Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Dther Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Common Grackle 0/1 0 0/3 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 Indigo Bunting 0 0/1 0/1 0/1 State-colored Junco 0/1 0/1 0/1 0/1 White-throated Sparrow 0/18 0/18 1/18 0 Dther birds 0/19 1/10 1/10 0)/24	1/24	0/24	0/62
Ovenbird 0/14 0/5 0/5 1 Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Common Grackle 0/1 0 0 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0 0 0 State-colored Junco 0/1 0/1 0/1 0 Mite-throated Sparrow 0/18 0/18 1/18 0 Other birds 0/19 1/10 1/10 0)/17	5/17	0/17	1/10
Northern Waterthrush 0/11 0/5 0/5 0 Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Common Grackle 0/1 0 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 Cardinal 0/26 2/13 1/13 0 State-colored Junco 0/1 0/1 0/1 White-throated Sparrow 0/18 0/18 1/18 0 Other birds 0/19 1/10 1/10 0	/64	24/64	8/64	0/60
Common Yellowthroat 0/28 0/15 0/15 1 American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Common Grackle 0/1 0 0 0/3 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 0 Indigo Bunting 0 0 0/1 0/1 0 State-colored Junco 0/1 0/1 0/1 0 0 Total Birds 2/468 17/214 23/214 3 Percent positive 0.4 7.9 10.7 0)/5	0/5	0/5	0/8
American Redstart 0/11 0/6 0/6 0 Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0 0/3 0/3 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 0 Common Grackle 0/1 0 0 0 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0	./14	4/14	2/14	0/23
Other Warblers 0/17 0/4 0/4 0 Redwinged Blackbird 0 0 0 0 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 0 Common Grackle 0/1 0 0 0 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 0 0 0 0 Indigo Bunting 0 <)/8		1/8	0/14
Redwinged Blackbird 0 Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Common Grackle 0/1 0 0 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 0 0 0 Indigo Bunting 0<		0/8		_
Brown-headed Cowbird 0/4 0/3 0/3 0 Common Grackle 0/1 0 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 Indigo Bunting 0 0 0 0 Rufous-sided Towhee 0/26 2/13 1/13 0 Slate-colored Junco 0/1 0/1 0/1 White-throated Sparrow 0/18 0/18 1/18 0 Other birds 0/19 1/10 1/10 0 Total Birds 2/468 17/214 23/214 3 Percent positive 0.4 7.9 10.7 0)/10	2/10	0/10	0/18
Common Grackle 0/1 0 Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 Indigo Bunting 0)/5	0/5	0/5	1/3
Cardinal 0/3 0/3 0/3 0 Indigo Bunting 0 0 0 0 Rufous-sided Towhee 0/26 2/13 1/13 0 Slate-colored Junco 0/1 0/1 0/1 White-throated Sparrow 0/18 0/18 1/18 0 Other birds 0/19 1/10 1/10 0 Total Birds 2/468 17/214 23/214 3 Percent positive 0.4 7.9 10.7 0	0/6	1/6	0/6	0/3
Indigo Bunting 0 Rufous-sided Towhee 0/26 2/13 1/13 0 Slate-colored Junco 0/1 0/1 0/1 0 White-throated Sparrow 0/18 0/18 1/18 0 Other birds 0/19 1/10 1/10 0 Total Birds 2/468 17/214 23/214 3 Percent positive 0.4 7.9 10.7 0)/36	0/36	1/36	0/22
Rufous-sided Towhee 0/26 2/13 1/13 0 Slate-colored Junco 0/1 0/1 0/1 0/1 White-throated Sparrow 0/18 0/18 1/18 0 Other birds 0/19 1/10 1/10 0 Total Birds 2/468 17/214 23/214 3 Percent positive 0.4 7.9 10.7 0)/26	8/26	0/26	0/15
Slate-colored Junco 0/1 0/1 0/1 White-throated Sparrow 0/18 0/18 1/18 0 Other birds 0/19 1/10 1/10 0 Total Birds 2/468 17/214 23/214 3 Percent positive 0.4 7.9 10.7 0)/12	1/12	0/12	0/3
White-throated Sparrow 0/18 0/18 1/18 0 Other birds 0/19 1/10 1/10 0 Total Birds 2/468 17/214 23/214 3 Percent positive 0.4 7.9 10.7 0)/3	1/3	0/3	1 / 0
Other birds 0/19 1/10 1/10 0 Total Birds 2/468 17/214 23/214 3 Percent positive 0.4 7.9 10.7 0		o / o	o / o	1/3
Total Birds 2/468 17/214 23/214 3 Percent positive 0.4 7.9 10.7 0)/2	0/2	0/2	
Percent positive 0.4 7.9 10.7 0)/31	7/31	5/31	0/18
·		181/628		8/532
lox Turtle 0	.5	28.8	17.4	1.5
	/19	1/19	0/19	
Mud Turtle 0	/17	10/17	0/17	
)/i	0/1	0/1	
Total Reptiles 0 Percent Positive	/37	11/37 29.7	0/37	

69-

Virus and antibody in birds and reptiles captured in New Jersey during 1981 and 1982

						HI Ant	ibody
Blood No.	Species	Age	Sex	Date	Locality	EEE	HJ
EEE Virus:							
DV-349 (1981)	Tufted Titmouse	HY	?	7/16/81	Dennisville	-	-
DV-465 (1981)	Ovenbird	HY	?	8/19/81	. Dennisville	-	-
DV-556 (1981)	Common Yellowthroat	HY	М	9/09/81	Dennisville	1:80	-
WC-415 (1981)	American Robin	HY	?	9/21/81	West Creek	-	-
DV-50 (1982)	Pine Warbler	AHY	М	5/25/82	Dennisville	NT	NT
DV-52 (1982)	Yellow-billed Cucko	AHY	?	5/25/82	Dennisville	NT	NT
DV-60 (1982)	Carolina Chickadee	AHY	E	5/26/82	Dennisville	NT	NT
DV-86 (1982)	Gray Catbird	AHY	F	6/08/82	Denni sville	NT	NT
DV-89 (1982)	Red-winged Blkbird	AHY	F	6/08/82	Dennisville	NT	NT
DV-260 (1982)	Tuffed Titmouse	HY	?	7/27/82	Dennisville	NT	NT
Highlands J Vi	rus:						
WC-431 (1981)	Gray Catbird	HY	?	9/30/81	West Creek	-	
DV-447 (1982)	Ruby-crowned Kingle	t ?	М	10/07/82	Dennisville	NT	NT
DV-448 (1982)	Slate-colored Junco	НY	?	10/07/82	Dennisville	NT	NT

Virus isolations from birds collected in New Jersey

? = unknown M = Male

F = Female

-70

		West (Creek			Dennisvi	11e
		198	31		19	81	1982
		Antibo	ody		Antil	body	Antibody
	Virus	EEE	HJ	Virus	EEE	HJ	Virus
Downy Woodpecker				0/7			0/3
Blue Jay	0/1			0/7	3/7	3/7	0/8
Carolina Chickadee	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/26	11/26	2/26	0/21
Tufted Titmouse	0/7	0/2	0/2	1/25	10/25	5/25	1/22
Gray Catbird	1/39	0/9	2/9	0/6	2/6	2/6	0/9
American Robin	1/3	0/3	1/3,	0/1	0/1	0/1	0/1
Wood Trhush	0/11	-, -		0/14	8/14	4/14	0/20
Swainson's Thrush	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6
Veery	0/9	0/1	0/1	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/8
White-eyed Vireo	0/2	•, •	•/ •	0/3	0/3	1/3	0/3
Red-eyed Vireo	0/14	0/6	0/6	0/3	0/3	1/3	0/1
Black & White Warbler	0/1	0,0	070	0/9	2/9	0/9	0/15
Black-throated Blue W.	•,•			0/6	2/6	0/6	0/5
Yellow-rumped Warbler	0/17	1/17	1/17	0/19	0/19	0/19	0/61
Pine Warlber	•/ •/	•,•,•,	.,.,	0/4	1/4	0/4	0/3
Ovenbird	0/2	0/1	0/1	1/38	16/38	7/38	0/41
Northern Waterthrush	0/5	0/1	0/1	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/8
Common Yellowthroat	0/2	•/ •	0/1	1/7	4/7	2/7	0/10
American Redstart	0/6	0/2	0/2	0/1	0/1	0/1	0/9
Other Warblers	0/3	V/ C	5,2	0,1	0/1	0,1	0/10
Common Grackle	0/ 5			0/20	2/20	0/20	0/5
Cardinal				0/18	8/18	0/18	0/9
Rufous-sided Towhee	0/5	0/2	0/2	0/10	0/10	0/10	07.5
Slate-colored Junco	0/5	0/2	0/2				1/3
White-throated Sparrow	0/7	0/7	1/7				())
Other Birds	0/2	0/1	0/1	0/13	0/13	0/13	0/19
							0/19
Total Birds	2/142	1/58	4/58	3/239	69/239	28/239	2/300
Percent Positive	1.4	1.7	6.9	1.3	28.9	11.7	0.7

Virus and antibody in first year (hatching year) birds captured in New Jersey during 1981 and 1982

-71-

				HI	Antibody	
Species	Age	Sex	Date	EEE	НJ	SLE
Box Turtle	Adult	M	5/13/81	1:20	_	
Mud Turtle	?	?	5/27/81	1:20	-	-
Mud Turtle	?	?	5/27/81	1:20	-	-
Mud Turtle	?	?	5/27/81	1:20	-	-
Mud Turtle	Adult	F	5/28/81	1:20	-	-
Mud Turtle	Adult	F	5/28/81	1:40	-	-
Mud Turtle	Adult	F	5/28/81	1:40	-	-
Mud Turtle	Adult	F	6/02/81	1:80	-	1:10
Mud Turtle	Adult	F.	6/02/81	1:160	-	-
Mud Turtle	?	F	6/24/81	1:80	-	-
Mud Turtle	?	F	7/01/81	1:320	-	-
	Mud Turtle Mud Turtle Mud Turtle Mud Turtle Mud Turtle Mud Turtle Mud Turtle Mud Turtle Mud Turtle	Box TurtleAdultMud Turtle?Mud Turtle?Mud TurtleAdultMud Turtle?	Box TurtleAdultMMud Turtle??Mud Turtle??Mud TurtleAdultFMud TurtleAdultFMud TurtleAdultFMud TurtleAdultFMud TurtleAdultFMud TurtleAdultFMud TurtleAdultFMud TurtleAdultFMud TurtleAdultFMud Turtle?F	Box Turtle Adult M 5/13/81 Mud Turtle ? ? 5/27/81 Mud Turtle Adult F 5/28/81 Mud Turtle Adult F 5/28/81 Mud Turtle Adult F 5/28/81 Mud Turtle Adult F 6/02/81 Mud Turtle Adult F 6/02/81 Mud Turtle ? F 6/24/81	Species Age Sex Date EEE Box Turtle Adult M 5/13/81 1:20 Mud Turtle ? ? 5/27/81 1:20 Mud Turtle Adult F 5/28/81 1:20 Mud Turtle Adult F 5/28/81 1:40 Mud Turtle Adult F 5/28/81 1:40 Mud Turtle Adult F 6/02/81 1:80 Mud Turtle Adult F 6/02/81 1:160 Mud Turtle ? F 6/24/81 1:80	Box Turtle Adult M 5/13/81 1:20 - Mud Turtle ? ? 5/27/81 1:20 - Mud Turtle Adult F 5/28/81 1:20 - Mud Turtle Adult F 5/28/81 1:40 - Mud Turtle Adult F 5/28/81 1:40 - Mud Turtle Adult F 6/02/81 1:80 - Mud Turtle Adult F 6/02/81 1:80 - Mud Turtle Adult F 6/02/81 1:80 - Mud Turtle ? F 6/24/81 1:80 -

Hemagglutination-inhibiting antibody in turtles collected in Dennisville, New Jersey, during 1981

Table 46

Virus isolation attempts from <u>Aedes sollicitans</u> and <u>Coquillettidia</u> <u>perturbans</u> collected in New Jersey during 1982

	No. Tested	No. Pools tested	No. Pools negative	CV-like isolates
edes sollicitans				
Dennisville	10,562	118	104	14
Leed's Point	2,017	20	20	0
Stone Harbor	27	l	1	0
Total	12,606	139	125	14
quillettidia perturba	ins			
oquillettidia perturba Dennisville	<u>ans</u> 87	2	• 2	0
		2 2	• 2 2	0 0
Dennisville	87			-
Dennisville Franklinville	87 200	2	2	Ő

· · · · ·

Strain	Pool size*	Date Collected
RU- 68	100	9/13/82
RU- 69	100	9/13/82
RU- 70	100	9/13/82
RU- 75	100	9/16/82
RU- 92	38	9/21/82
RU-100	100	9/30/82
RU-101	100	9/30/82
RU-102	100	9/30/82
RU-107	100.	10/01/82
RU-109	100	10/01/82
RU-110	100	10/01/82
RU-111	100	10/01/82
RU-114	100	10/01/82
RU-139	100	10/07/82

Cache Valley-like virus isolates from <u>Aedes sollicitans</u> collected at Dennisville, New Jersey, during 1982

VI. COLLECTION OF LOW PASSAGE VIRUS REFERENCE STRAINS (R.B. Tesh and A.J. Main)

As noted in our last annual report, an effort has been made to collect and to prepare lyophilized stocks of low passage strains of arboviruses of public health and veterinary importance. Last year we reported strains of yellow fever and chikungunya viruses, which were prepared in 1980-81. During the current year, stocks of an additional 52 virus strains were prepared and lyophilized. These agents as well as their origin and passage history are listed in Table 48. Letters of inquiry have recently been sent to a number of arbovirus laboratories, requesting other samples of low passage virus strains from different geographic localities and time periods.

We intend to create a data file with pertinent information on each virus in the collection. This information as well as the lyophilized virus stocks will be available to interested investigators at no cost. It is anticipated that this collection will prove to be an invaluable reference resource for future comparative studies of viral genetics, biochemistry, pathogenicity and antigenic relationships.

いたいななななないないであるとないのである

^{*}All were nonblooded adult female Ae. sollicitans

8	
4	
ble	
Tal	
•	

5

Low-Passage Virus Strains Obtained and Lyophilized 1982-1983

	Virus Identification	Genus	Strein	Passage History	Source	Locality	Date
	Wi <i>c</i> hlanda 1	Alshavi rus	78-1131	r6/36 #1			
					CULTSELA DELANUTA	cancon, mass.	sept.
ů.	r sputtustu	ALPNAVITUS	13-2131			Westport, Mass.	Aug.
-	EEE	Alphavirus	78-3372	C6/36 # 1	-	Raynham, Mass.	Sept.
	EEE	Alphavirus	79-2138	C6/36 #1	-	Westport, Mass.	Aug.
	Chagres	Phlebovirus	PaAr3419	Vero #2	Lutzomyia sanguinaria	Bayano, Panama	Oct.
	Punta Toro	Phlebovirus	PaAr 2381	Vero #2		Bayano. Panama	Nov.
	DEN-I	Flavivirus	Fiji 40130	Mosq ?, C6/36 #1	Human serum	Fii	
, ,	DEN-1	2	Manila 19076			Manila.Philippines	8
	DEN-1	=	Burma 10378		= =	Burna	
	DEN-1	z	Jamaica 44684	=	=	Jamaica	
	DEN-1	Ŧ	Nauru 16299	-		Nauru	
₹ ¹	DEN-1	=	Dak H29177	Mosq #1.C6/36#1	:	Bandia.Senegl	
	DEN-2	2	Bangkok 16803	Mosq ? , C6/36#1		Bangkok, Thailand	
	DEN-2	2	Indonesia 10410	-	= =	Java. Indonesia	
	DEN-2	=	- 00	C6/36 #1	= =	Jamaica	Aug.
-	DEN-2	Ξ	NC 9163		=	New Caledonia)
	DEN-2	=	Burma 40479	-	=	Burma	
	DEN-2	=	PM 33974	Mosq #1,C6/36#1	Aedes africanus	Rep. of Guinea	Nov.
	DEN-2	:	PR-159	c6/36 #1	Human serum	Puerto Rico	
	DEN-3	:	Burna DHF 190	Mosq ?, C6/36#1	=	Burna	
_	DEN-3	=	Tahiti 18	-	=	Tahiti	
	DEN-3	=	PR-9311	=	=	Puerto Rico	
	DEN-3	=	Thailand 49080		=	Thailand	
	DEN-3	=	Singapore 16182	=	=	Singapore	
	DEN-4	=	Medan 12524	-	=	Sumatra, Indonesia	_
	DEN-4	:	Tahiti 79	=	=	Tahiti	
	DEN-4	=	Sri Lanka	=	=	Sri Lanka	
	DEN-4	=	Niue	=	=	Niue	
	DEN-4	=	Gilberts 49367	=	-	Kiribati, Gilberts	
	DEN-4	=	PR-TVP 376	C6/36 #1	=	Puerto Rico	Feb.
	Snowshoe hare	Bunyavi rus	82-Y-21	C6/36 #1	<u>Aedes nigripes</u>	Yukon Ter.,Canada July	luly

-

Table 48 continued

:

.

VITUB Identification	Genus	Strain	Passage History	Source	Locality	Date
2	Flavivirus " " " " " " " " " " " " "	Osaka 222681 Sagiyama 224052 Java 222682 HK 8256 M 37 PM 27340 91045-AG 91045-AG 91025-C 91025-C 91025-C 91025-C 91025-C 72V-4089 69V-2152 MB7-34EJ 72V-4089 69V-2152 S-48125 CH-165322 CH-16532 CH-16532 CH-165322 CH-1653	Mcsq ?, C6/36#1 Mcsq #7, C6/36#1 Mosq #7, C6/36#1 Mosq #2, C6/36#1 Wero #3 Vero 25 Mosq #1, C6/36#1 C6/36 #1 C6/36#1 C6/36#1 Vero #1, C6/36#1 Vero #1, C6/36#1 Vero #1, C6/36#1 Vero #1, C6/36#1 Vero #1, Mosq #1 Vero #1, Mosq #1	Culex tritaeniorhynchus """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	Osaka, Japan Sagiyama, Japan Java, Indonesia Taiwan Senegal Kedougou, Senegal Isfahan, Iran Isfahan, Inan Isfahan, Inan Isfahan Isfahan Isfaha	Nov. Oct. Aug. Aug. Feb. Sept. March Jan.
	JE JE JE JE Karimabad Sicilian Isfahan Isfahan Alajuelva Kokobera Kokobera Kokobera Keystone San Angelo Cache Valley Cache Valley Cache Valley Catk Kunjin SLE CHIK CHIK	Flavivirus Phlebo	Flavivirus Osaka 222681 " Sagiyama 224052 " HK 8256 " HK 8256 " HK 8256 " HX 8256 " H19620 " HX 8256 " HX 8266 "	Flavivirus Osaka 222681 " Sagiyama 224052 " HK 8256 " HK 8256 " M 37 " M 37 " M 77 " M 76 " M 77 " M 76 " M 76	Flavivirus Osaka 22681 Mosq 7, C6/36#1 " Sagiyama 224052 Mosq 7, C6/36#1 " HK 8256 Mosq 7, C6/36#1 " HK 8256 Mosq 7, C6/36#1 " HK 8256 Mosq 7, C6/36#1 " HX 8256 Mosq 7, C6/36#1 " M 37 Vero 93 " 91025-B Vero 93 Vero " 91026-167 Vero 93 Vero " 91025-B Vero 93 Vero 76/36#1 Bunyavirus 76V-2441 Mosq 72, C6/36#1 Mosq 76/36#1 Bunyavirus 67V-4089 Mosq 72, C6/36#1 Mosq 76/36#1 76/36#1	FlavivirueOsaka 222681Nosq 7, C6/36#1Culex tritaeniorhynchus"Java 222682"""""Java 222682""""""Java 222682Mosq 7, C6/36#1Culex annulus"""HK 8256Mosq 7, C6/36#1Culex annulus"""HK 8256Mosq 7, C6/36#1Multas anulus"""HK 8256Mosq 7, C6/36#1Multas anulus""M 37Mosq 7, C6/36#1Mosq 7, C6/36#1Multas anulus"Phlebovirus91025-6Vero 73"""91025-6Vero 73Vero 73"""91025-6Vero 73""""91025-6Vero 73""""91025-6Vero 73""""91025-6Vero 73""""91025-6Vero 73""""91025-6Vero 73""""91025-6Vero 73""""91025-6Vero 73"""""1005-8Nosq 71.C6/36#1Moleconyia signipennis""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""

「一」 一下の 一下の 一下の 一下の

ł

VII. DISTRIBUTION OF REAGENTS, WHO COLLABORATING CENTRE FOR REFERENCE AND RESEARCH (R.E. Shope, A. Main, R. Tesh, S. Buckley, G.H. Tignor, J. Meegan).

The equivalent of 568 ampoules of arbovirus reagents were distributed from the WHO Centre to laboratories in 23 countries during 1982. This total consisted of 206 ampoules of virus stock, 237 ampoules of virus antigen, and 125 ampoules of mouse immune ascitic fluid or sera. Of the viruses and antibody distributed, there were represented 110 different arboviruses.

During 1982, the equivalent of 245 ampoules of arbovirus reagents was referred to this Centre from laboratories in 13 different countries. The referrals consisted of 176 viruses (Table 49), 3 ampoules of antigens, and 66 ampoules of immune reagents. In addition, 723 human, 157 ungulate, 1279 wild bird, and 80 wild rodent sera were received for arbovirus antibody survey testing and 37 human sera for diagnostic tests.

Seven different cell lines were distributed in 1982. The lines and recipients are listed in Table 50.



	Virus referred to YARU for id	identification and study, 1982
Country of origin; strain	Source	Information from donor YARU identification
Australia		
OR 189 Culex OR 194 Aedom OR 250 Culex Aus 96037	Culex annulirostris Aedomyia catastica Culex annulirostris	new rhabdovirus, Parry Creek new rhabdovirus new rhabdovirus, Kununurra new rhabdovirus new rhabdovirus, Kimberley new rhabdovirus new virus
<u>Brazil</u>		
SPAn 64962		Cananeia, new virus
Burna		
Bu 40546 humai	human serum	type
Bu 40979 human	n serum	
Bu DHF190 human	n serum	dengue, type y
Canada .		
Ca 1216-77 Aede	Aedes vexans	
898-77	Culiseta morsitans	
943-77	Culiseta inornata	Cache Valley
Ca 550-81 Culi	Culiseta inornata	Cache Valley
Ca 629-81 Culi	Culiseta inornata	CACRE VALLEY
	Aedes nigripes	shovshoe hare
82Y46	ss nigripes	snowshoe hare
Ca 82Y69 Ca 82Y188 Aedes	es communes	snowshoe hare

-77-

in more in

Central African Republic	blic	
Dak AnB 1227 Dak ArB 2078 Dak ArB 3689	<u>Culex</u> perfuscus	Orungo virus new virus, Bambari
Ecuador		
7601565		Dalastina nau virus
7644357		ralestina, new vitus VSV-Nov. Toreau
75V3066		Plavas, new virus
75V807		Vinces, new virus
77V14814		Brucouna, new virus
Egypt		
	rodent	
EgAn 1270	rodent	fever
EgAn 1273 ·	rodent	phlebotomus fever group
EgAn 1291	rodent	fever
Ethiopia		
OMR virus	rodent	new virus
Fiji		
Fi 40130	human serum	dengue, type l

-78-

.

Table 49 (continued)

-

1

	Virus referred to YA	referred to YARU for identification and study, 1982	2
Country of origin; strain	Source	Information from donor	YARU identification
France			
FrT 439	tick		
FrBrestArT 707	tick		
FrBrestArT 261	tick		
<u>Gilberts</u>			
Gi 49376	human serum	dengue, type 4	
Greece			
Ap-92			
GrPaAr 814	Phlebotomus	unknown virus	Phlebotomus fever gp.
Vergina virus	ticks	new subtype of CETB	
Indonesia			
Indo 222682	Culex tritaeniorhynchus	Japanese encephalitis	
Indo 10410	human serum	dengue, type 2	
		unknown virus	group B
	Culex tritaeniorhynchus	unknown virus	
	Culex tritaeniorhynchus	unknown virus	
	Anopheles subpictus	unknown virus	
Indo 9411/6539	Culex tritaeniorhynchus	unknown virus	
	Anopheles vagus	unknown virus	
	Anopheles vagus	unknown virus	group B
Indo 8935/8118	Culex fuscocephalus	unknown virus	
Indo 8949/8132	Culex vishnui	unknown virus	

-79-

Virus referred to YARU for identification and study, 1982	Information from donor YARU identification		unknown virus group B unknown virus unknown virus unknown virus unknown virus		Soldado Rock, Hughes gp.		unknown virus		Orungo virus Unknown virus unknown virus, Olifantsvlei gp.		dengue, type l		Japanese encephalitis Japanese encephalitis Hirota virus, Nyamanini gp.	
Virus referred to YARU fo	Source		Culex tritaeniorhynchus Anopheles annuloris Culex vishnui Anopheles vagus Anopheles vagus				Ph1ebotomus		<u>Aedes taylori</u> <u>Culex abserratus</u> <u>Culex group rima</u>		human serum		Culex tritaeniorhynchus Culex tretaeniorhynchus	
	Country of origin; straín	<u>Indonesia</u> continued	Indo 9219/8442 Indo 10817/8547 Indo 10915/8645 Indo 10920/8650 Indo 11376/9126	Ireland	59972-6	Italy	ISS Ph1 18	Ivory Coast	Dakara 142/79 Dakara 1131 Dak Ara3673	Jaimaica	Jam 44684	Japan	Ja 222681 Ja 224052 Cap 44	

-

Table 49 (continued)

••

-80-

f origin; Source Information from donor est human serum dengue, type 1 human serum dengue, type 1 human serum dengue, type 1 dengue, type 2 human serum dengue, type 2 dengue, type 2 human serum dengue, type 1 human serum dengue, type 2 human serum dengue, type 2 human serum dengue, type 2 human serum dengue, type 1 human serum dengue, type 4 human serum dengue type 4 human serum	Virus referred to YAR	U for identification and study,	1982
princehuman serumdengue, type76human serumdengue, type299human serumdengue, type63human serumdengue, type63human serumdengue, type63human serumdengue, type63human serumdengue, type63human serumdengue, type63human serumdengue, type63Ornithodoroscapensis97Ornithodoroscapensis98Ornithodoroscapensis14Ornithodoroscapensis15Ornithodoroscapensis16Ornithodoroscapensis17Ornithodoroscapensis18Ornithodoroscapensis19Ornithodoroscapensis10hushessroup11Onnaton strois12Onnaton strois13Onnaton strois14Ornithodoros15Onnaton strois16Ornithodoros17Onnaton strois18Onnaton strois19Onnaton strois10Interio11Interio12Interio13Interio14Interio15Interio16Interio17Interio18Interio19Interio19Interio19Interio10Interio10Interio11Interio	Source	Information from donor	YARU identification
76human serumdengue, type299human serumdengue, type81human serumdengue, type83human serumdengue, type83human serumdengue, type840rnithodoroscapensis970rnithodoroscapensis980rnithodoroscapensis990rnithodoroscapensis910rnithodoroscapensis920rnithodoroscapensis930rnithodoroscapensis940rnithodoroscapensis950rnithodoroscapensis960rnithodoroscapensis970rnithodoroscapensis98140rnithodoros990rnithodoroscapensis900rnithodoroscapensis910rnithodoroscapensis920rnithodoroscapensis930rnithodoroscapensis940rnithodoroscapensis950rnithodoroscapensis960rnithodoroscapensis970rnithodoroscapensis981xodes eudyptidissamarez Ref			
299human serumdengue, typealedoniahuman serumdengue, type63human serumdengue, type97Ornithodoroscapensis98Ornithodoroscapensis99Ornithodoroscapensis91Ornithodoroscapensis92Ornithodoroscapensis93Ornithodoroscapensis94Ornithodoroscapensis95Ornithodoroscapensis96Ornithodoroscapensis97Ornithodoroscapensis98Ixodes eudyptidisSaumarez Reef90Innon normdengue, type	human serum	type	
human serum human serum human serum human serum human serum dengue, type dengue, type			
human serum Crnithodoros <u>capensis</u> Ornithodoros <u>capensis</u> <u>Ornithodoros</u> <u>capensis</u> <u>Ornithodoros</u> <u>Ornithodoros</u> <u>Capensis</u> <u>Ornithodoros</u> <u>Capensis</u> <u>Ornithodoros</u> <u>Ornithodoros</u> <u>Capensis</u> <u>Ornithodoros</u> <u>Ornith</u>	human serum	type	
land land Ornithodoros capensis Ornithodoros capensis Ornithodoros capensis Ornithodoros capensis Ornithodoros capensis Ornithodoros capensis Drnithodoros capensis Drnithodor			
OrnithodorosCapensisHughesgroupOrnithodorosCapensisHughesgroupOrnithodorosCapensisHughesgroupOrnithodorosCapensisHughesgroupOrnithodorosCapensisJohnston AtolOrnithodorosCapensisJohnston AtolOrnithodorosCapensisJohnston AtolOrnithodorosCapensisJohnston AtolOrnithodorosCapensisJohnston AtolOrnithodorosCapensisJohnston AtolOrnithodorosCapensisJohnston AtolOrnithodorosCapensisJohnston AtolOrnithodorosCapensisJohnston AtolOrnithodorosCapensisJohnston Atol	human serum	type	
97OrnithodoroscapensisHughesgroup97OrnithodoroscapensisHughesgroup66OrnithodoroscapensisHughesgroup14OrnithodoroscapensisHughesgroup69OrnithodoroscapensisJohnston Atol69OrnithodoroscapensisJohnston Atol80IxodeseudyptidisSaumarezRef			
dengue, type	Ornithodoros capensis Ornithodoros capensis Ornithodoros capensis Ornithodoros capensis Ornithodoros capensis Ixodes eudyptidis	Hughes group Hughes group Hughes group Hughes group Johnston Atoll Saumarez Reef	
himmen seriim dengue, type			
	human serum	dengue, type 4	
N1 44440		Virus referred to YAR Source Source human serum human serum human serum human serum buman serum <u>Ornithodoros capensis</u> <u>Ornithodoros capensis</u> <u>ornithodoros capensis</u> <u>ornithodoros capensis</u> <u>ixodes eudyptidis</u> human serum	ferred to YARU for i e capensis capensis capensis capensis idis idia

F,

Virue reformed to XRU for identification and study, 1982Country of originSourceInformation from donorVARU identificationCountry of originSourceInformation from donorVARU identificationCountry of originSourceInformation from donorVARU identificationPana <t< th=""><th></th><th>Tabl</th><th>Table 49 (continued)</th><th></th></t<>		Tabl	Table 49 (continued)	
try of origin; Source Information from donor train train train the information from donor arguer of the information of the inf		rus referred	for identification and study,	1982
Element2381Lutzonnyia sanguinaria0419Lutzonnyia sanguinaria0419Lutzonnyia sanguinaria0419Lutzonyia sanguinaria0684Choleopus hoffmanni0683Choleopus hoffmanni0683Choleopus hoffmanni0683Choleopus hoffmanni0683Choleopus hoffmanni0684Choleopus hoffmanni0685Choleopus hoffmanni0686Choleopus hoffmanni0686Choleopus hoffmanni0686Choleopus hoffmanni0701eopus hoffmanniCholeopus hoffmanni0885Choleopus hoffmanni0885Choleopus hoffmanni0886Choleopus hoffmanni111human serum1247Macus type 31318Macus serum1319Yalovit tever1317/M28human serum1317/M28human serum1317/M28human serum1317/M28human serum1317/M28human serum1317/M28human serum1319Here1319human serum1319 </th <th>Country of origin; strain</th> <th>Source</th> <th>Information from donor</th> <th>YARU identification</th>	Country of origin; strain	Source	Information from donor	YARU identification
2381Lutzonyia intrometriaSanguinaria chagePuta Toro6419Untzonyia foloeopus foloeopus foloeopus foloeopus 	Panama			
 10.1 <u>Intromyta sanguinaria</u> 11 <u>Intromyta group</u> 11 <u>Intromyta group</u> 11 Intromyta group 12.1 <u>Intromyta group</u> 12.1 Intromyta group 13.1 Intromyta group 14.1 Intromyta group	101- 1301		1	
04.17Chargenes06.64Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanniCharguinola group Charguinola group Choleopus hoffmanniCholeopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanniCholeopus hoffmanni Charguinola group Charguinola group Choleopus hoffmanni06.85Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanniCharguinola group Charguinola group Charguinola group Choleopus hoffmanni05.85Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanniCharguinola group Charguinola group Charguinola group Charguinola group Charguinola group Charguinola group Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Chargene Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Chargene Chargene Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Chargene Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Chargene Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Chargene Chargene Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni Chargene Choleopus hoffmanni <td>24T 23010</td> <td>•</td> <td>Punta Toro</td> <td></td>	24T 23010	•	Punta Toro	
0001Otholeopus Choleopus boffmanni 6683Otholeopus 	aar 3419		Chagres	
0004Choleopus 6001eopus boffmanni 0885Choleopus 6001eopus boffmanni Choleopus boffmanni Choleopus boffmanni Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus boffmanniChanguinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Choleopus DoffmanniChanguinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus HoffmanniChanguinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Choleopus Hotknown1247Choleopus Choleopus DoffmanniNanguinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Choleopus Doffmanni11human serum Baldengue, type 3211human serum human serum D340/MI4yellow fever yellow fever gellow fever177/M38human serum human serum D374/M33yellow fever human serum dengue, type 1279human serum balaOrungo3074/M33Aedes africanus human serum balaOrungo258feileeOrungo	8903681	•		
5682Choleopus Choleopus DoffmanniDoffmanni Stanguinola group Changuinola group Choleopus hoffmanni StateCholeopus Choleopus hoffmanni Changuinola group Changuinola group Cha	ayu 3684			
3683Choleopus boffmanni Choleopus Bioffmanni Choleopus Choleopus Gholeopus Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Hoffmanni Choleopus Homma serum Human serum Human serum Human serum Human serum ChungoChanguinola group Changuinola group Heney Human serum Human serum Human serumChangue, type 3 Heney HeneyChangue, type 3 Heney HeneyChangue, type 1 Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney Heney Heney HeneyCholeopus Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney HeneyHeney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Heney Hen	a903682	•		
3686Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus DoffmanniChanguinola group Changuinola group Changuinola group Unknown361Choleopus Choleopus Choleopus DiffmanniChanguinola group Changuinola group Unknown301human serum Aedes furcifer-tayloridengue, type 3 Yellow fever yellow fever dengue, type 1379Muman serum buman serum Dorungoyellow fever dengue, type 13014/M13Aedes furcifer-taylori buman serum Dorungoorungo3014/M33Aedes ferrem dengue, type 14000Aedes dengue, type 1orungo3014/M33Aedes ferrem buman serumorungo4000Aedes dengue, type 1orungo4000Aedes dengue, type 2dengue, type 2	a903683			
5685Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanniChanguinola group Unknown1247Choleopus hoffmanni Choleopus hoffmanni UnknownUnknown111human serumdengue, type 3111human serumdengue, type 3111human serumdengue, type 11210human serumyellow fever berum1279human serumgellow fever berum177/M28human serum buman serumorungo177/M28edes africanus buman serumorungo177/M28human serum buman serumorungo177/M28fedes africanus buman serumorungo177/M28fedes africanus buman serumorungo177/M28fedes africanus buman serumorungo177/M28fedes africanus buman serumorungo	a903686	•		
1247Choiceopus hoffmanni UnknownUnknown10EEUnknown11human serumdengue, type 331human serumdengue, type 13240/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori human serumyellow fever yellow fever dengue, type 1374/M33Aedes africanus human serumOrungo4668africanus fuman serumOrungo374/M33Aedes africanus human serumOrungo4680furcifer-taylori bluman serumOrungo4680furciferue fuman serumOrungo458furcedengue, type 2	a903685			
Co Rico311human serum311human serum321yellow fever321yellow fever7340/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori7340/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori7340/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori7340/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori7374/M33Aedes africanus974/M33Aedes africanus10 22196human serumAedes africanusOrungo4ense, type 1dengue, type 24558type 2	Pa401247	•••		
co Rico311human serum311human serum321human serum3240/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori buman serum7340/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori buman serum7340/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori buman serum7340/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori buman serum7340/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori buman serum7340/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori buman serum7340/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori buman serum7379Numan serum dengue, type 17379Aedes africanus buman serum7378Aedes for taylori dengue, type 2758Aedes type 2		•		
311human serumdengue, type 3321321dengue, type 33240/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori human serumyellow fever yellow fever dengue, type 11279Numan serum dengue, type 13974/M33Aedes africanus human serum buman serum10 22196Anuman serum dengue, type 166106Aedes africanus dengue, type 166106Aedes africanus human serum buman serum6758Aedes type 2	Puerto Rico			
Bal7340/M14Aedesfurcifer-taylori7340/M14Aedesfurcifer-taylori7340/M14Humanserum1279Numanserum1279Humanserum974/M33Aedesafricanus1022196MumanHumanserumOrungoaellesfricanus4558Aegue, type 1	R 9311	human serum	type	
7340/M14Aedes furcifer-taylori human serumyellow fever yellow fever yellow fever dengue, type 11279human serum buman serumyellow fever dengue, type 1374/M33Aedes africanus human serumorungo10 22196orungoorungo10 25196fedes africanus human serumorungo10 22196fedes africanus human serumorungo10 22196fedes africanus human serumorungo	enegal			
1279human serumyellow fever0177/M28human serumyellow fever3974/M33Aedes africanusdengue, type 13074/M33Aedes africanusorungo1D 22196human serumorungoAellesfengue, type 2	M 27340/M14	Aedes furcifer-tavlori	vellow fever	
1279 vellow fever 177/M28 human serum 3974/M33 <u>Aedes africanus</u> HD 22196 human serum aerum aerum <u>nelles</u> 458 dengue, type 1 dengue, type 2	37		flor	
/M28 human serum yertow tever /M33 Aedes africanus dengue, type 1 /M30 Aedes africanus orungo 2196 human serum dengue, type 1	ak 1279		yellow rever	
human serum dengue, type l dengue, type Aedes <u>africanus</u> dengue, type human serum Orungo dengue, type 2	an 1677			
Aedes africanus human serum Orungo dengue, type 2	H 29177/M28			
human serum Orungo dengue, type 2	M 33974/M33			tvpe
	ak HD 22196		Orungo	
dengue, type				
dengue, type	ACUETTER			
	e 44558		type	
			•	

Ľ.

T

- 二日日日 - 二

-82-

	F	Table 49 continued	
	Virus referred to YA	Virus referred to YARU for identification and study, 1982	2
Country of origin; strain	Source	Information from donor	YARU identification
Singapore			
Sing 16182	human serum	dengue, type 3	
South Africa			
Natal bat	wild bat	rabies related	Straín of Lagos bat
SA-Congo	ticks	Crimean-Congo HF virus	I
SA 640	wild bat	rabies-related	rabies-related
SA 679	wild bat	rabies-related	rabies-related
SA 1248	wild bat	rabies-related	rabies-related
SA 1486	wild bat	rabies-related	rabies-related
Sri Lanka			
SL 228800	human serum	dengue, type 4	
<u>Tahiti</u>			
Ta 18 T 20	human serum	type	
Ta /9	human serum	dengue, type 4	
Thailand			
Thai Bkk 16803 Thai 40080	human serum human serum	dengue, type 2 dencue ture 3	
USA		- i he	
Ieri, TR8762 USA-PR	acute phase human serum	For confirmation as an orbivirus suspect dengue	dengue, type 4

-83-

strain) 3 4 3 3		IAKU IQENLIILCALION
USA (continued)			
USA MS1-7		St Ionie encoholitio	
USA R 19420		Colorado tick favor	
		Colorado tich feuer	
Evach (original)			
78V3531		VER. BUDEVDA IF	
Ag 80-663		tuna 6	
TB4-1054		Rahia Cranda	
7975816		141114 AT 8114	
USA An 4090			
USA BFS 1703		western encephalítís	
LT 1			
LT 2			
D5			
Lost H		Colorado tíck fever	
HLP		tick	
Florio/p29		tick	
Harrie		tick	
LH		tick	
Suckling mouse cataract	agent tick		
Murre H	,	Murre	
USA 109214D 0	Ornithodoros occidentalis	Cascade	
-		Sunday Canyon	
Sapphire I		Ungrouped	
Alk 71-1628		Kachemah virus. Sakhalin	50.
66394-B			
47156		Green Kure	

-84-

,

st, **1**

Table 49 (continued)

ł

> . ž,

> > Such . C. Auto

		Þ
Country of origin; strain	Source	Information from donor YARU identification
<u>USA</u> continued		
Aedes albopictus CTF		Colorado tick favar
5518		Colorado tick fevel Colorado tick fever
66944B		tick
105365	Argas coolei	Six Gun City. Kemerovo op.
105353	ł .	Yaquina Head plus Sakhalin
109166B	Ixodes uriae Tyodae nacificus	new wember, Uukuniemi gp.
60121-62		
63668-4		soldade broth unches conne
65660-59		nugnes
66269-34a		SOLUADO KOCK, HUGRES Group
66484		Six Gun City, Kemerovo eroun
Garcia		Colorado tick fever
Alk bird blood		Kemerovo group
65821-3		Aves Island virus. Huches on
113704-14	Ornithodoros con.	
109213D		Cascade virus
		Hughes group
57759-48		Hughes group
75V 12076	Culex pipiens	St. Louis encephalitis
TNH4- 212		St. Louis encephalitis
Northway virus		new virus. Bunvanwera go.
Naranjal virus		
66-1550	Anopheles quadrimaculatus	Bunyamwera gp.
66–1850	Anopheles punctipennis	
66-2126	Anopheles quadrimaculatus	
66-3212		
75-1615	Anopheles punctipennis	
75-874	Anonhelee munchineerie	

Table 49 (continued)

r, -

,

•

and the second second

à

-85-

Table 49 (continued)

Virus referred to YARU for identification and study, 1982

Country of origin; strain

Information from donor

Source

YARU identification

USA continued

Aedes trivittatus	Anopheles punctipennis	Aedes trivittatus	Anopheles quadrimaculatus	Aedes trivittatus						
78-1974	78-4582	78-5874	78-5912	78-7286	78-7367	78-7402	78-7435	78-7437	78-7457	

-86-

8P.	8p.
Bunyamwera	Bunyamwera

Bunyamwera gp. Bunyamwera gp.

Bunyamwera gp.

Bunyamwera gp. Bunyamwera gp.

Bunyamwera gp.

Bunyamwera gp. Bunyamwera gp.

▲11日2日 ● 11日1日日 And a strength

•

۰. •

•

· • · ·

•

Vertebrate and invertebrate cell lines distributed during 1982

Name of recipient	Location	Date sent	Cell line	Number	Size of flask
Dr. C.J. Peters	USAMRIID, Frederick, MD	12 March	Lutzomyia longipalpis	2	25 cm ²
Dr. M. Rebello	Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	19 March	Aedes albopictus C6/36	ł	25 cm ²
Dr. O. Wood	NAMRU-3, Cairo	23 March	Aedes albopictus C6/36	1	25 cm ²
Dr. B.A. Vaxton	Auburn, AL	l3 April	Aedes pseudoscutellaris Aedes albopictus C6/36	6 6	25 cm ² 25 cm ²
Dr. T.G. Ksiazek	Berkeley, CA	l0 May	XTC-2 (toad)	1	25 cm ²
Dr. P. Hsieh	Cambridge, MA	l7 May	Aedes pseudoscutellaris Aedes albopictus C6/36		25 сm ² 25 сm ²
Dr. J. Peleg	Biet-Dagan, Israel	30 July	<u>Lutzomyia longipalpis</u> <u>Aedes albopictus</u> C6/36		25 cm ₂ 25 cm ²
Dr. H. Artsob	Toronto, Canada	29 Sept.	Aedes albopictus C6/36	l	25 cm ²
Dr. I.P. Schneider	WRAIR, Washington, DC	22 Nov.	Lutzomyia longipalpis Phlebotomus papatasi		25 cm ² 25 cm ²
Dr. C. Rossi	Auburn, AL	22 Nov.	Sarcoma 180/TG	l	5 ml
Dr. C. House	USDA, Plum Island, NY	12 Dec.	<u>Aedes albopictus</u> C6/36 <u>Dermacentor variabilis</u> RML-15	1	25 cm ² 25 cm ²

-

ł

-87

