

DTIC FILE COPY

ADJ 250 992

2

TECHNICAL REPORT RD-SS-86-10

TOWARD A MORE UNIFIED THEORY OF MONOPULSE  
RHODE'S THEORY OF MONOPULSE - REVISITED

Richard E. Dickson  
System Simulation & Development Directorate  
Research, Development, & Engineering Center

AD-A180 615

SEPTEMBER 1986



**U.S. ARMY MISSILE COMMAND**

*Redstone Arsenal, Alabama* 35898-5000

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

DTIC  
ELECTE  
MAY 13 1987  
S D  
A

**DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS**

**DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.**

**DISCLAIMER**

**THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION UNLESS SO DESIGNATED BY OTHER AUTHORIZED DOCUMENTS.**

**TRADE NAMES**

**USE OF TRADE NAMES OR MANUFACTURERS IN THIS REPORT DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFICIAL INDORSEMENT OR APPROVAL OF THE USE OF SUCH COMMERCIAL HARDWARE OR SOFTWARE.**

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

AD-A180616

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188 Exp Date Jun 30, 1986	
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT DISTRIBUTION A - Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) TR-RD-SS-86-10			7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Sys Sim & Development Dir RD&E Center		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) AMSMI-RD-SS-SD	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Commander US Army Missile Command, ATTN: AMSMI-RD-SS-SD Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5252			9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.
					WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Toward A More Unified Theory of Monopulse Rhode's Theory of Monopulse - Revisited					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Richard E. Dickson					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO Apr 86		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) SEPTEMBER 1986	15. PAGE COUNT 22
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Monopulse Amplitude Monopulse		
			Theory of Monopulse Radar		
			Phase Monopulse Radio Direction Finding		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
A modification to Rhodes' Theory of Monopulse is proposed, and then used to analyze the theory behind an "amplitude phase interferometer" (p. 98, Microwave Journal, Sep 1983).					
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Richard E. Dickson			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (205) 876-1951	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL AMSMI-RD-SS-SD	

DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR

83 APR edition may be used until exhausted  
All other editions are obsolete.SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE  
UNCLASSIFIED

1/(11 blank)

## PREFACE

The author's background is neither in sensors nor electrical engineering but in simulation and physics. So in effect this report is a view of monopulse from an outsider looking in. It is hoped it may be of help to other outsiders, and may be of interest to monopulse insiders.

The author wishes to thank insiders, Larry McWhorter of Computer Sciences Corporation for his comments at an early stage, and Dwight McPherson of Simulation Technology for his at a later stage, in the development of this outsider's view.



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Stat	Avail and/or Special
AI	

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. TOWARD A MORE UNIFORM THEORY OF MONOPULSE.....	1
III. A B AMPLITUDE MONOPULSE.....	3
IV. A B PHASE MONOPULSE.....	4
V. SUM DIFFERENCE MONOPULSE.....	5
VI. AN "AMPLITUDE PHASE INTERFEROMETER".....	7
VII. A VARIATION.....	9
VIII. A B PHASE MONOPULSE-REVISITED.....	10
IX. CONCLUSIONS.....	11
REFERENCES.....	12
APPENDIX - P(u) Versus $G e^{\psi(u)}$ .....	A-1

## I. INTRODUCTION

Pournelles' Law: "Iron is expensive, but silicon is cheap."

In many analysis of monopulse radar sensors small angles are implicitly assumed. The reason for this is that the sensor information is intended as an error signal to drive the antenna gimbles to point the antenna at the target. The gimble rates may in turn be used to command a missile to a collision course with the target via proportional navigation.

Proportional navigation intercept guidance laws may be derived from optimal intercept guidance laws by assuming constant speed and small angles.

If body fixed monopulse sensor signals are to be used in a bearing only tracking filter to estimate the state, and that state then used in a optimal intercept guidance law, small angle assumptions are no longer warranted.

With our rapidly changing technology, assumptions made some decades ago may no longer be necessary and could result in our not taking full advantage of present technology.

## II. TOWARD A MORE UNIFIED THEORY OF MONOPULSE

Monopulse is one form of radio direction finding. The goal of monopulse or simultaneous lobe comparison is to reduce or remove the effects of the variation of the received signal with time in determining the direction of arrival of the received signal.

Assume the receiving antenna's lobe pattern is a complex exponential function of the direction of arrival,

$$A = G e^{\psi(u) + i\phi(u)} , \quad (1)$$

where  $G$  and  $\psi(u)$  determine the amplitude and  $\phi(u)$  determines the phase, and  $u$  is the direction of arrival. Recall that a function is composed of even and odd components, that is,

$$\psi(u) = \psi_e(u) + \psi_o(u) , \quad (2)$$

and

$$\phi(u) = \phi_e(u) + \phi_o(u) . \quad (3)$$

The other lobe pattern is

$$B = G e^{\psi(-u) + i\phi(-u)} , \quad (4)$$

where

$$\psi(-u) = \psi_e(u) - \psi_o(u) , \quad (5)$$

and

$$\phi(-u) = \phi_e(u) - \phi_o(u) \quad (6)$$

Rhodes assumed

$$A = P(u) e^{i\phi(u)} \quad (7)$$

and

$$B = P(-u) e^{i\phi(-u)} \quad (8)$$

and it follows that

$$P(u) = G e^{\psi(u)} \quad (9)$$

and

$$P(-u) = G e^{\psi(-u)} \quad (10)$$

The ratio is

$$\frac{A}{B} = \frac{G e^{\psi_e(u) + i\phi_e(u)} e^{\psi_o(u) + i\phi_o(u)}}{G e^{\psi_e(u) + i\phi_e(u)} e^{-\psi_o(u) - i\phi_o(u)}} \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{A}{B} = e^{2(\psi_o(u) + i\phi_o(u))} \quad (12)$$

Similarly

$$\frac{A - B}{A + B} = \frac{G e^{\psi_e(u) + i\phi_e(u)} \left( e^{\psi_o(u) + i\phi_o(u)} - e^{-\psi_o(u) - i\phi_o(u)} \right)}{G e^{\psi_e(u) + i\phi_e(u)} \left( e^{\psi_o(u) + i\phi_o(u)} + e^{-\psi_o(u) - i\phi_o(u)} \right)} \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{A - B}{A + B} = \tanh(\psi_o(u) + i\phi_o(u)) \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{A - B}{A + B} = \frac{\sinh(2\psi_o(u)) + i \sin(2\phi_o(u))}{\cosh(2\psi_o(u)) + \cos(2\phi_o(u))} \quad (15)$$

Note that, equations (12, 14),

$$1/2 \operatorname{Ln} \left( \frac{A}{B} \right) = \operatorname{arctanh} \left( \frac{A - B}{A + B} \right) \quad (16)$$

a well known identity. Another point to note is that in equations (11, 13) the even components factor out and cancel out, and the monopulse information is contained in the odd components.

### III. A B AMPLITUDE MONOPULSE

Representing A and B as two dimensional vectors instead of complex variables, one has

$$\mathbf{A} = G e^{\psi(u)} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi(u) \\ \sin \phi(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = G e^{\psi(-u)} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi(-u) \\ \sin \phi(-u) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

Taking the dot products,

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} = G^2 e^{2\psi(u)} [\cos^2 \phi(u) + \sin^2 \phi(u)], \quad (19)$$

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} = G^2 e^{2\psi(u)}, \quad (20)$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B} = G^2 e^{2\psi(-u)} [\cos^2 \phi(-u) + \sin^2 \phi(-u)], \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B} = G^2 e^{2\psi(-u)}. \quad (22)$$

The ratio of the dot products is

$$\frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}} = \frac{G^2 e^{2\psi(u)}}{G^2 e^{2\psi(-u)}} \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}} = e^{2(\psi(u) - \psi(-u))} \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}} = e^{4\psi_0(u)} \quad (25)$$

and solving

$$\psi_0(u) = 1/4 \text{ Ln} \left( \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}} \right) \quad (26)$$

This report discusses what to implement and not how to implement. Envelope detectors are implicit in most of the material presented. Equation (26) implies square law detectors, or linear detectors whose outputs are squared.

Of course the magnitudes are the square root of the dot products, and taking the ratio of the magnitudes,



$$\frac{(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A})^{1/2}}{(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B})^{1/2}} = \frac{G e^{\psi(u)}}{G e^{\psi(-u)}} \quad , \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A})^{1/2}}{(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B})^{1/2}} = e^{\psi(u) - \psi(-u)} \quad , \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A})^{1/2}}{(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B})^{1/2}} = e^{2\psi_0(u)} \quad . \quad (29)$$

Solving

$$\psi_0(u) = 1/2 \text{ Ln} \left[ \frac{(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A})^{1/2}}{(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B})^{1/2}} \right] \quad , \quad (30)$$

or

$$\psi_0(u) = 1/2 \text{ Ln} \left[ (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A})^{1/2} \right] - 1/2 \text{ Ln} \left[ (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B})^{1/2} \right] \quad , \quad (31)$$

the form of perhaps the first amplitude monopulse, which was invented by Sommers<sup>1</sup>.

#### IV. A B PHASE MONOPULSE

The dot product of A and B is

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = G^2 e^{\psi(u) + \psi(-u)} \left[ \cos\phi(u) \cos\phi(-u) + \sin\phi(u) \sin\phi(-u) \right] \quad , \quad (32)$$

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = G^2 e^{\psi(u) + \psi(-u)} \cos(\phi(u) - \phi(-u)) \quad , \quad (33)$$

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = G^2 e^{2\psi_0(u)} \cos(2\phi_0(u)) \quad . \quad (34)$$

Let

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad (35)$$

a rotation matrix for ninety degrees, which correspond to a phase shift of ninety degrees. Then,

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{RB} = G^2 e^{\psi(u) + \psi(-u)} \left[ \cos\phi(u) \sin\phi(-u) - \sin\phi(u) \cos\phi(-u) \right] \quad , \quad (36)$$

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{RB} = G^2 e^{\psi(u) + \psi(-u)} \sin(\phi(u) - \phi(-u)) \quad , \quad (37)$$

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{RB} = G^2 e^{2\psi_0(u)} \sin(2\phi_0(u)) \quad . \quad (38)$$

Taking the ratio, one has

$$\frac{A \cdot RB}{A \cdot B} = \tan(2\phi_0(u)) , \quad (39)$$

and solving

$$\phi_0(u) = 1/2 \arctan \left[ \frac{A \cdot RB}{A \cdot B} \right] . \quad (40)$$

## V. SUM DIFFERENCE MONOPULSE

Since

$$\Sigma = A + B , \quad (41)$$

and

$$\Delta = A - B , \quad (42)$$

it follows, since

$$A \cdot B = B \cdot A , \quad (43)$$

that

$$\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = (A + B) \cdot (A + B) , \quad (44)$$

$$\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = A \cdot A + 2A \cdot B + B \cdot B , \quad (45)$$

and

$$\Delta \cdot \Delta = (A - B) \cdot (A - B) , \quad (46)$$

$$\Delta \cdot \Delta = A \cdot A - 2A \cdot B + B \cdot B . \quad (47)$$

From equations (20, 22, 34, 45),

$$\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = G^2 \left[ e^{2\psi(u)} + 2 e^{2\psi_e(u)} \cos(2\phi_0(u)) + e^{2\psi(-u)} \right] , \quad (48)$$

factoring out the even component,

$$\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = G^2 e^{2\psi_e(u)} \left[ e^{2\psi_0(u)} + 2 \cos(2\phi_0(u)) + e^{-2\psi_0(u)} \right] , \quad (49)$$

and from the definition of hyperbolic cosine,

$$\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = 2 G^2 e^{2\psi_e(u)} \left[ \cosh(2\psi_0(u)) + \cos(2\phi_0(u)) \right] . \quad (50)$$

Similarly

$$\Delta \cdot \Delta = 2 G e^{2\psi_0(u)} \left[ \cosh(2\psi_0(u)) - \cos(2\phi_0(u)) \right] \quad (51)$$

Taking the ratio of the magnitudes, one has

$$\frac{(\Delta \cdot \Delta)^{1/2}}{(\Sigma \cdot \Sigma)^{1/2}} = \left( \frac{\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) - \cos(2\phi_0(u))}{\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) + \cos(2\phi_0(u))} \right)^{1/2} \quad (52)$$

As with A B monopulse, the even components have vanished, but note that in this case both odd components remain.

For

$$\psi_0(u) = 0 \quad (53)$$

one has

$$\frac{(\Delta \cdot \Delta)^{1/2}}{(\Sigma \cdot \Sigma)^{1/2}} = \left( \frac{1 - \cos(2\phi_0(u))}{1 + \cos(2\phi_0(u))} \right)^{1/2} \quad (54)$$

which may be simplified to

$$\frac{(\Delta \cdot \Delta)^{1/2}}{(\Sigma \cdot \Sigma)^{1/2}} = \left| \tan \phi_0(u) \right|, \quad \psi_0(u) = 0 \quad (55)$$

and finally

$$\left| \phi_0(u) \right| = \arctan \left[ \frac{(\Delta \cdot \Delta)^{1/2}}{(\Sigma \cdot \Sigma)^{1/2}} \right], \quad \psi_0(u) = 0 \quad (56)$$

sum difference phase monopulse.

Similarly for

$$\phi_0(u) = 0 \quad (57)$$

equation (52) becomes

$$\frac{(\Delta \cdot \Delta)^{1/2}}{(\Sigma \cdot \Sigma)^{1/2}} = \left( \frac{\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) - 1}{\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) + 1} \right)^{1/2} \quad (58)$$

which may be simplified to

$$\frac{(\Delta \cdot \Delta)^{1/2}}{(\Sigma \cdot \Sigma)^{1/2}} = \left| \tanh \psi_0(u) \right|, \quad \phi_0(u) = 0 \quad (59)$$

and solving

$$\left/ \psi_0(u) \right/ = \operatorname{arctanh} \left[ \frac{(\Delta \cdot \Delta)^{1/2}}{(\Sigma \cdot \Sigma)^{1/2}} \right], \quad \psi_0(u) = 0, \quad (60)$$

sum difference amplitude monopulse. Actually equation (59) is the form usually implemented because of the sensitivity to noise of the inverse hyperbolic tangent to quantities near one.

## VI. AN "AMPLITUDE PHASE INTERFEROMETER"<sup>2</sup>

What if neither  $\psi_0(u)$  nor  $\phi_0(u)$  are zero in equation (52)? What was proposed<sup>2</sup> was

$$\operatorname{Ln} \left[ ((A - B) \cdot (A - B))^{1/2} \right] - \operatorname{Ln} \left[ ((A + B) \cdot (A + B))^{1/2} \right], \quad (61)$$

$$\operatorname{Ln} \left[ ((A + RB) \cdot (A + RB))^{1/2} \right] - \operatorname{Ln} \left[ ((A - RB) \cdot (A - RB))^{1/2} \right], \quad (62)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Ln} \left[ (A \cdot A)^{1/2} \right] - \operatorname{Ln} \left[ (B \cdot B)^{1/2} \right] \quad (63)$$

to remove cycle ambiguities which may occur in equations (61, 62). This would correspond to amplitude phase monopulse, and some classification schemes<sup>3</sup> would fail in this case.

Equation (63) was discussed in a preceding section, section III.

From equation (52),

$$\operatorname{Ln} \left[ \frac{((A - B) \cdot (A - B))^{1/2}}{((A + B) \cdot (A + B))^{1/2}} \right] = \operatorname{Ln} \left[ \left( \frac{\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) - \cos(2\phi_0(u))}{\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) + \cos(2\phi_0(u))} \right)^{1/2} \right] \quad (64)$$

Note that the greater  $\psi_0(u)$ , the less the amplitude. The signal would vary between the lower boundary

$$\operatorname{Ln} \left[ \left/ \tanh \psi_0(u) \right/ \right], \quad (65)$$

and the upper boundary

$$\operatorname{Ln} \left[ \left/ \operatorname{ctnh} \psi_0(u) \right/ \right], \quad (66)$$

Also note that zero crossings occur when

$$\cos(2\phi_0(u)) = 0. \quad (67)$$

Since

$$RB \cdot RB = B \cdot B, \quad (68)$$

$$(A + RB) \cdot (A + RB) = A \cdot A + 2A \cdot RB + B \cdot B \quad (69)$$

and

$$(A - RB) \cdot (A - RB) = A \cdot A - 2A \cdot RB + B \cdot B \quad (70)$$

From equations (20, 22, 38), one has

$$(A + RB) \cdot (A + RB) = G^2 e^{2\psi_e(u)} [\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) + \sin(2\phi_0(u))] \quad (71)$$

and

$$(A - RB) \cdot (A - RB) = G^2 e^{2\psi_e(u)} [\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) - \sin(2\phi_0(u))] \quad (72)$$

Taking the natural logarithm of the ratio of the magnitudes yields

$$\text{Ln} \left[ \frac{((A + RB) \cdot (A + RB))^{1/2}}{((A - RB) \cdot (A - RB))^{1/2}} \right] = \text{Ln} \left[ \left( \frac{\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) + \sin(2\phi_0(u))}{\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) - \sin(2\phi_0(u))} \right)^{1/2} \right] \quad (73)$$

and in this case the zero crossings occur when

$$\sin(2\phi_0(u)) = 0 \quad (74)$$

Of course, the same boundaries, equations (65, 66), apply.

It is interesting to note that for

$$\psi_0(u) = 0 \quad (75)$$

$$\frac{((A + RB) \cdot (A + RB))^{1/2}}{((A - RB) \cdot (A - RB))^{1/2}} = \left( \frac{1 + \sin(2\phi_0(u))}{1 - \sin(2\phi_0(u))} \right)^{1/2} \quad (76)$$

$$= \left( \frac{1 - \cos(2\phi_0(u) + \pi/2)}{1 + \cos(2\phi_0(u) + \pi/2)} \right)^{1/2} \quad (77)$$

$$= \tan(\phi_0(u) + \pi/4) \quad (78)$$

a phase shift of 45 degrees when compared with equation (55).

## VII. A VARIATION

What if  $\Sigma$  and  $\Delta$  instead of  $A$  and  $B$  are available? Then equations (61, 62, 63) would be replaced by,

$$\text{Ln} \left[ (\Delta \cdot \Delta)^{1/2} \right] - \text{Ln} \left[ (\Sigma \cdot \Sigma)^{1/2} \right] , \quad (79)$$

$$\text{Ln} \left[ ((\Sigma - R\Delta) \cdot (\Sigma - R\Delta))^{1/2} \right] - \text{Ln} \left[ ((\Sigma + R\Delta) \cdot (\Sigma + R\Delta))^{1/2} \right] , \quad (80)$$

and

$$\text{Ln} \left[ ((\Sigma + \Delta) \cdot (\Sigma + \Delta))^{1/2} \right] - \text{Ln} \left[ ((\Sigma - \Delta) \cdot (\Sigma - \Delta))^{1/2} \right] , \quad (81)$$

respectively, sum difference amplitude phase monopulse.

Equation (79) is just the natural logarithm of equation (52), and equation (81) follows from equation (41, 42), that is,

$$\Sigma + \Delta = 2A , \quad (82)$$

and

$$\Sigma - \Delta = 2B . \quad (83)$$

This leaves equation (80);

$$(\Sigma + R\Delta) \cdot (\Sigma + R\Delta) = \Sigma \cdot \Sigma + 2\Sigma \cdot R\Delta + \Delta \cdot \Delta , \quad (84)$$

and

$$\Sigma \cdot R\Delta = (A + B) \cdot (R\Delta - R\Delta) , \quad (85)$$

$$= -2A \cdot R\Delta , \quad (86)$$

and from equations (50, 51, 38)

$$(\Sigma + R\Delta) \cdot (\Sigma + R\Delta) = 2 G^2 e^{2\psi e(u)} \left[ \cosh(2\psi_0(u)) - \sin(2\phi_0(u)) \right] . \quad (87)$$

Similarly

$$(\Sigma - R\Delta) \cdot (\Sigma - R\Delta) = \Sigma \cdot \Sigma - 2\Sigma \cdot R\Delta + \Delta \cdot \Delta , \quad (88)$$

$$= 2 G^2 e^{2\psi e(u)} \left[ \cosh(2\psi_0(u)) + \sin(2\phi_0(u)) \right] . \quad (89)$$

From equations (87, 89) it is readily apparent that equation (80) is equivalent to equation (62), and the discussion in the previous section would equally apply to equations (79, 80, 81).

### VIII. A B PHASE MONOPULSE - REVISITED

When  $\psi_0(u)$  is large, the boundary equations (65, 66) for amplitude phase monopulse, equation (61, 62, 63, or 79, 80, 81), would present problems, while A B phase monopulse, equation (40), is independent of  $\psi_0(u)$ . Of course, A B amplitude monopulse, equation (26), could be used to remove cycle ambiguities in A B phase monopulse.

Taking the difference of equations (44, 45), one has

$$(A + B) \cdot (A + B) - (A - B) \cdot (A - B) = 4A \cdot B \quad (90)$$

Similarly, the difference of equations (69, 70), is

$$(A + RB) \cdot (A + RB) - (A - RB) \cdot (A - RB) = 4A \cdot RB \quad (91)$$

the ratio of equations (90, 91) is

$$\frac{(A + RB) \cdot (A + RB) - (A - RB) \cdot (A - RB)}{(A + B) \cdot (A + B) - (A - B) \cdot (A - B)} = \tan \phi_0(u) \quad , \quad (92)$$

and solving

$$\phi_0(u) = \arctan \left[ \frac{(A + RB) \cdot (A + RB) - (A - RB) \cdot (A - RB)}{(A + B) \cdot (A + B) - (A - B) \cdot (A - B)} \right] \quad (93)$$

The advantage of equation (92) over amplitude phase monopulse is that it is possible to solve for  $\phi_0(u)$ , and given  $\phi_0(u)$ , for  $u$ .

The disadvantage is that equations (90, 50, 51) yield

$$4A \cdot B = 2G^2 e^{2\psi_e(u)} \left[ \cosh(2\psi_0(u)) + \cos(2\phi_0(u)) \right] - 2G^2 e^{2\psi_e(u)} \left[ \cosh(2\psi_0(u)) - \cos(2\phi_0(u)) \right] \quad (94)$$

For  $\psi_0(u)$  large, one would have the difference of two nearly equal quantities and this would be sensitive to noise.

Similarly, equations (91, 71, 72),

$$4A \cdot RB = 2G^2 e^{2\psi_e(u)} \left[ \cosh(2\psi_0(u)) + \sin(2\phi_0(u)) \right] - 2G^2 e^{2\psi_e(u)} \left[ \cosh(2\psi_0(u)) - \sin(2\phi_0(u)) \right] \quad (95)$$

which has the same noise problem.

To use A B amplitude monopulse, equation (26), to remove cycle ambiguity,  $\psi_0(u)$  need not be large, but there would be a design tradeoff between ambiguity resolution and noise.

Instead of envelope detection to form these dot products, another possibility is coherent detection with digital computation. The desired dot products would be computed from the components of the vectors.

Of course,  $G$  and  $\phi_e(u)$  must be eliminated since they are dependent upon the range to the target. From equations (20, 22),

$$(A \cdot A)^{1/2} (B \cdot B)^{1/2} = G e^{\psi(u)} G e^{\psi(-u)} , \quad (96)$$

$$= G^2 e^{\psi(u) + \psi(-u)} , \quad (97)$$

$$= G^2 e^{2\psi_e(u)} . \quad (98)$$

With equations (34, 38),

$$\frac{A \cdot B}{(A \cdot A)^{1/2} (B \cdot B)^{1/2}} = \cos(2\phi_0(u)) , \quad (99)$$

and

$$\frac{A \cdot RB}{(A \cdot A)^{1/2} (B \cdot B)^{1/2}} = \sin(2\phi_0(u)) . \quad (100)$$

Equations (99, 100) would then be used in a routine like FORTRAN's ATAN2 which yield the angle's quadrant.

## IX. CONCLUSIONS

"There is no one best way."

Rhodes mentioned in the preface to Introduction to Monopulse<sup>1</sup> that he adopted the philosophy of Sir Robert Watson-Watts, "Cult of the Third Best: The Best Never Comes and the Second Best Comes Too Late."

There seems to have been a tendency in some of the monopulse literature to force all monopulse to fit Rhodes' "third best" theory monopulse. The fault lies not with Rhodes but with those who just accepted his initial treatment as final. Instead of dealing with unquestioned answers, attention should be given to unanswered questions.

A natural extension to this modification would be to incorporate polarization via Jones vectors, two dimensional vectors whose components are complex.



#### REFERENCES

1. Rhodes, Donald R., Introduction to Monopulse, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1959 (Dedham: Artech House, 1980).
2. McLendon, Robert, and Turner, Charles, Broadband Sensors for Lethal Defense Suppression, Microwave J., Sep 1983, pp. 85-102.
3. Sherman, Samuel M., Monopulse Principles and Techniques, Dedham: Artech House, 1984.

APPENDIX

P(u) Versus  $G e^{\psi(u)}$

APPENDIX

P(u) Versus  $G e^{\psi(u)}$

From equations (9, 10)

$$P(u) P(-u) = G^2 e^{2\psi_0(u)} \quad (A-1)$$

and

$$\frac{P(u)}{P(-u)} = e^{2\psi_0(u)} \quad (A-2)$$

From an identity for the hyperbolic tangent,

$$\tanh \psi_0(u) = \frac{e^{2\psi_0(u)} - 1}{e^{2\psi_0(u)} + 1} \quad (A-3)$$

and equation (A-2), one has,

$$\tanh \psi_0(u) = \frac{\frac{P(u)}{P(-u)} - 1}{\frac{P(u)}{P(-u)} + 1} \quad (A-4)$$

or

$$\tanh \psi_0(u) = \frac{P_o(u)}{P_e(u)} \quad (A-5)$$

The right hand sides of equations (A-4, A-5) will be familiar to those acquainted with Rhodes' Theory of Monopulse<sup>1</sup>, and the left hand side maybe substituted where appropriate. That  $\tanh \psi_0(u)$  and  $\tanh \phi_0(u)$  are opposite sides of the same coin is pleasing, if not revealing.

The hyperbolic cosine occurs extensively in the main body of this report. From its definition,

$$\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) = \frac{e^{2\psi_0(u)} + e^{-2\psi_0(u)}}{2} \quad (A-6)$$

and equation (A-2),

$$\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) = 1/2 \left( \frac{P(u)}{P(-u)} + \frac{P(-u)}{P(u)} \right) , \quad (A-7)$$

or

$$\cosh(2\psi_0(u)) = \frac{P_e^2(u) + P_o^2(u)}{P_e^2(u) - P_o^2(u)} . \quad (A-8)$$

Those who favor Rhodes' notation,  $P(u)$ , could substitute the right hand sides of equations (A-7, A-8) where appropriate throughout this report.

Though the hyperbolic sine occurred only once in this report, equation (15), for completeness,

$$\sinh(2\psi_0(u)) = 1/2 \left( \frac{P(u)}{P(-u)} - \frac{P(-u)}{P(u)} \right) , \quad (A-9)$$

or

$$\sinh(2\psi_0(u)) = \frac{2 P_e(u) P_o(u)}{P_e^2(u) - P_o^2(u)} . \quad (A-10)$$

**DISTRIBUTION**

	<u>No. of Copies</u>
Computer Sciences Corporation	
ATTN: V. Brewer	1
L. McWhorter	1
200 Sparkman Drive	
Huntsville, AL 35806	
 Simulation Technologies, Inc.	
ATTN: D. McPherson	1
M. Frankford	1
PO Box 7009	
Huntsville, AL 35807	
 US Army Materiel System Analysis Activity	
ATTN: AMXSY-MP	1
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
 ITT Reseach Institute	
ATTN: GACIAC	1
10 W. 35th Street	
Chicago, IL 60616	
 AMSMI-RD, Dr. McCorkle	1
Dr. Rhoades	1
-RD-AS, R. Powell	1
-RD-AS-RA, W. Low	1
-RD-AS-RF, R. Russell	1
J. Lawler	1
L. Root	1
-RD-GC-N, C. Lewis	1
C. Will	1
H. Sterns	1
-RD-SS-AA, B. Jenkins	1
J. Billingsley	1
A. Jolly	1
W. Holmes	1
-RD-SS-RF, J. Davis	1
R. Applegate	1
J. Cole	1
W. Hudson	1
J. Van Swearington	1
-RD-SS-SD, D. Holder	1
R. Calhoun	1
T. Black	1
R. Dickson	40
K. Soto	1
B. Ward	1

DISTRIBUTION

	<u>No. of Copies</u>
AMSMI-RD-SS-SE, M. Hallum	1
J. Jordan	1
-RD-SS-HS, D. Dublin	1
-RD-CS-R	5
-RD-CS-T	1
-GC-IP, Mr. Bush	1