REPORT NO. NADC-87014-60





A STUDY OF ZN/SN EMI-SEAL MATERIAL

Dr. Vinod S. Agarwala, Dr. Richard Paciej and James J. Thompson

Air Vehicle and Crew Systems Technology Department NAVAL AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974

April 1986

FINAL REPORT

Approved for Public Release; Distribution is Unlimited

Prepared for NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20316

87 5 15 UU4

NOTICES

REPORT NUMBERING SYSTEM - The numbering of technical project reports issued by the Naval Air Development Center is arranged for specific identification purposes. Each number consists of the Center acronym, the calendar year in which the number was assigned, the sequence number of the report within the specific calendar year, and the official 2-digit correspondence code of the Command Office or the Functional Department responsible for the report. For example: Report No. NADC-86015-70 indicates the fifteenth Center report for the year 1986 and prepared by the Systems and Software Technology Department. The numerical codes are as follows:

CODE OFFICE OR DEPARTMENT 00 Commander, Naval Air Development Center 01 Technical Director, Naval Air Development Center 02 Comptroller 05 Computer Department Planning Assessment Resources Department 07 ĩÓ Anti-Submarine Warfare Systems Department 20 30 Tactical Air Systems Department **Battle Force Systems Department** Communication & Navigation Technology Department Mission Avionics Technology Department Air Vehicle & Crew Systems Technology Department 40

- 50
- 60
- 70 Systems & Software Technology Department
- 80 Engineering Support Group

PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT - The discussion or instructions concerning commercial products herein do not constitute an endorsement by the Government nor do they convey or imply the license or right to use such products.

DATE: 23 MARCH 1987

APPROVED BY:

Sector Sector

ATKINSON J.

DEPUTY, AVCSTD

	SSIFICATION O	F THIS P	AGE			<u> MDH</u>	803	521	
				REPORT DOCU	MENTATION	PAGE			
a REPORT S	ECURITY CLAS	SIFICATIO	N		16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS			
28 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION ALTHORITY				3 DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY	OF REPO	DRT		
b DECLASSI	FICATION / DOV	WNGRADI	NG SCHEDU	JLE	Approved 1 Distribution	or Public Rele i is Unlimited.	ase.		
PERFORMI	NG ORGANIZAT	TION REP	ORT NUMBE	ER(S)	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT	NUMBER(s)
NADC-87014-60			N/A						
Naval Air Development Center 6062			6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 6062	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION N/A					
ADDRESS	(City, State, an	nd ZIP Coo	de)	<u></u>	76. ADDRESS (Cri	y, State, and Zi	P Code)		<u> </u>
Warmin	ster, PA 189	74-500	0		N/A				
NAME OF	FUNDING / SPC	ONSORING	G	86 OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				JMBER
ORGANIZA Naval A	ir Systems Co	ommano	d	(ir applicable) 5304	N/A				
C. ADDRESS	(City, State, and	d ZIP Cod	e)	A	10 SOURCE OF	UNDING NUMB	RS		
Washir	igton, D.C. 2	20361			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. PE6276IN	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO		WORK UNIT
1. TITLE (Inc	lude Security C	lassificati	ion)	<u></u>	12027011	L	<u> </u>		
A Stud	ly of Zn/Sn E	MI-Seal	Material			`			
2. PERSONA	LAUTHOR(S)			·····					
		1/2		Ja Dishard C. Dasisi	lamas I Thomas				
		Vinod	S. Agarwa	ala, Richard C. Paciej	James J. Thomp	oson		Le nice	COUNT
la. TYPE OF Fi	REPORT		S. Agarwa 35. TIME CO FROM	ala, Richard C. Paciej OVERED TO	, James J. Thomp 14. DATE OF REPO 86 April 1	DSON RT (<i>Year, Monti</i> 7	n, Day)	15 PAGE	COUNT 7
3a. TYPE OF Fi 5. SUPPLEM	REPORT inal ENTARY NOTAT		S. Agarwa 36 TIME CO FROM	ala, Richard C. Paciej OVERED TO	, James J. Thomp 14. DATE OF REPO 86 April 1	DSON RT (Year, Month 7	n, Oay)	15 PAGE 2	COUNT 17
Ba. TYPE OF Fi 5. SUPPLEMI	REPORT inal ENTARY NOTAT		S. Agarwa	ala, Richard C. Paciej OVERED TOTO 18 SUBJECT TERMS (James J. Thomp 14. DATE OF REPO 86 April 1	DSON RT (Year, Month 7 e if necessary ar	n, Day) nd ident	15 PAGE 2	COUNT 27 k number)
Ba. TYPE OF Fi S. SUPPLEMI FIELD	REPORT inal ENTARY NOTAT COSATI GROUP		S. Agarwa 3b TIME CI FROM	18 SUBJECT TERMS	James J. Thomp 14. DATE OF REPO 86 April 1 Continue on revers Coating, Electror vs. Corrosion Co	oson RT (Year, Month 7 e if necessary an nagnetic Interf	n, Day) nd ident erence	ify by bloc (EMI) Sea	COUNT 27 k number) al Structural
Ba. TYPE OF F S. SUPPLEMI FIELD	REPORT inal ENTARY NOTAT COSATI GROUP		S. Agarwa 3b TIME C FROM	18 SUBJECT TERMS C Zn/Sn Arc-Spray Corrosion, Al Allo	, James J. Thomp 14. DATE OF REPO 86 April 1 Continue on revers Coating, Electror ys, Corrosion, Co	oson RT (Year, Month 7 e if necessary ar nagnetic Interf prrosion Preven	n, Day) nd ident erence htative (15 PAGE 2 ify by bloc (EMI) Sea Compoun	COUNT 27 k number) al Structural d.
3a. TYPE OF Fi 5. SUPPLEMI FIELD	REPORT inal ENTARY NOTAT COSATI GROUP		S. Agarwa	18 SUBJECT TERMS (Zn/Sn Arc-Spray Corrosion, Al Allo	, James J. Thomp 14. DATE OF REPO 86 April 1 Continue on revers Coating, Electror ys, Corrosion, Co number)	oson RT (Year, Month 7 e if necessary ar nagnetic Interf prrosion Preven	n, Day) nd ident erence htative (15 PAGE 2 ify by bloc (EMI) Sea Compoun Z, I NC, / .	COUNT 27 k number) al Structural d. T- N
Ba. TYPE OF F S. SUPPLEMI FIELD ABSTRACT ABSTRACT Coatin dama aircra metal tigated	REPORT inal ENTARY NOTAT GROUP (Continue on etic environm og on a Arallo ges. Pits up to ft. A study of in marine envi d as a possib	CODES SUB- reverse in A L L in reverse in A L L in this constant this 20% vironmer ble post-	S. Agarwa IB TIME CO FROM FROM GROUP GR	18 SUBJECT TERMS 2 TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS 2 Tn/Sn Arc-Spray Corrosion, Al Allo and identify by block of WII) seals are required craft carriers. A major is continuously expen- the Al alloy have been c-spray coating mater bead blasting and Co of this coating to incl	, James J. Thomp 14. DATE OF REPO 86 April 1 (Continue on revers Coating, Electror ys, Corrosion, Co number) 1 for the Navy's F/ r component used psed to the marin observed under t rial was made to e prosion Preventati rease service the	A-18 aircraft b In the EMI seal after or valuate its com valuate its com	n, Day) nd ident erence ntative (ecause al is a 2(ts caus ie year (patibili , MIL-C seal.	15 PAGE 2 ify by bloc (EMI) Sea Compoun 2 / NC/ 2 of the big 0% Zn/Sn ing major of service ty with the -81309, w	COUNT 27 al Structural d. T-N gh electro- earc-spray structural on F/A-18 e substrate vere inves-
3a. TYPE OF F 6. SUPPLEMI FIELD 9 ABSTRACI 9 ABSTRACI 9 ABSTRACI 1 E magne coatin damae aircra metal tigated	REPORT inal ENTARY NOTAL COSATI GROUP (Continue on etic environming on a Aitalio ges. Pits up to ft. A study of in marine environming d as a possib	Vinod TION CODES SUB- reverse of A L G A L G A L G A L G No SUB- No SUB- No SUB- No SUB- No SUB- No SUB- SUB- No SUB- SU	S. Agarwa 3b TIME CO FROM GROUP GROUP f necessary m 2014 ference (El sent on airo trate. This s deep on the b Zn/Sn arc nts. Glass I treatment	18 SUBJECT TERMS TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS Zn/Sn Arc-Spray Corrosion, Al Allo and identify by block of WI) seals are required craft carriers. A major is continuously expen- tie Al alloy have been c-spray coating mater bead blasting and Co of this coating to incl	, James J. Thomp 14. DATE OF REPO 86 April 1 (Continue on revers Coating, Electror ys, Corrosion, Co number) 1 for the Navy's F/ component used observed under t rial was made to e prosion Preventation rease service the	A-18 aircraft b in the EMI sea e environment he seal after or valuate its com ve Compound life of the EMI	ecause al is a 20 by cause al is a 20 by cause al is c	15 PAGE 2 ify by bloc (EMI) Sea Compoun 2 / NC/ of the big 0% Zn/Sn ing major of service ty with the -81309, w	COUNT 7 al Structural d. T- N structural on F/A-18 substrate vere inves-
3a. TYPE OF F 6. SUPPLEMI 7 FIELD 9 ABSTRACI 9 ABSTRACI 9 ABSTRACI 9 ABSTRACI 9 ABSTRACI 9 ABSTRACI 9 ABSTRACI 9 ABSTRACI 9 ABSTRACI 9 ABSTRACI	REPORT inal ENTARY NOTAL COSATI GROUP (Continue on (Continue on (Continue) (Continue on (Continue on (Continu	Vinod I TION CODES SUB- SUB- SU	S. Agarwa 3b TIME CO FROM FROM GROUP GROUP GROUP Greence (El sent on airo trate. This s deep on to b Zn/Sn aro hts. Glass treatment ABSTRACT SAME AS I	Ila, Richard C. Paciej OVERED TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Zn/Sn Arc-Spray Corrosion, Al Allo and identify by block (WI) seals are required craft carriers. A major is continuously expendent of this coating and Co of this coating to include RPT DTIC USERS	Annes J. Thomp 14. DATE OF REPO 86 April 1 (Continue on revers Coating, Electror ys, Corrosion, Co number) 1 for the Navy's F/ r component used based to the marin observed under t rial was made to e rrosion Preventati rease service the 21 ABSTRACT SE Unclass	A-18 aircraft b In the EMI sea e environment he seal after or valuate its com ve Compound life of the EMI	n, Day) nd ident erence ntative (ecause al is a 20 scause is cause is cause is cause apatibilit MIL-C seal.	15 PAGE 2 ify by bloc (EMI) Sea Compoun Z, J NC of the trig D% Zn/Sn ing major of service ty with the -81309, w	COUNT 2.7 k number) al Structural d. T V sh electro- erc-spray structural on F/A-18 substrate vere inves-
Ba. TYPE OF F 6. SUPPLEMI FIELD ABSTRACT ABSTRACT COATIN dama aircrat metal tigated D DISTRIBU	REPORT inal ENTARY NOTAT COSATI GROUP (Continue on etic environm og on a Aralic ges. Pits up to ft. A study of t in marine env d as a possib SIFIED/UNLIMIT F RESPONSIBLE R.C. Pa	Vinod	S. Agarwa 3b TIME CO FROM GROUP Freence (El sent on airc trate. This s deep on t b Zn/Sn arc nts. Glass I treatment ABSTRACT SAME AS (ala, Richard C. Paciej OVERED TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Zn/Sn Arc-Spray Corrosion, Al Allo and identify by block (VII) seals are required craft carriers. A major is continuously expendences cospray coating mater bead blasting and Co of this coating to incl	Ames J. Thomp 14. DATE OF REPO 86 April 1 (Continue on revers Coating, Electror ys, Corrosion, Co number) 1 for the Navy's F/ r component used posed to the marin observed under t rial was made to e rrosion Preventati rease service the 21 ABSTRACT SE Unclass 22b TELEPHONE (215-441-	A-18 aircraft b anagnetic Interforrosion Preven A-18 aircraft b in the EMI sea e environment he seal after or valuate its com ve Compound life of the EMI curity CLASSIFI sified Include Area Cot 7175	n, Day) nd ident erence htative (ecause al is a 20 is caus is caus is year o ipatibilii MIL-C seal.	15 PAGE 2 ify by bloc (EMI) Sea Compoun 2, / NC of the hig 0% Zn/Sn ing major of service ty with the -81 309, w	COUNT 27 k number) al Structural d. T N gh electro- arc-spray structural on F/A-18 e substrate vere inves- (MBOL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

List of Figures	ü
Introduction	1
Background	4
Materials	8
Tests	8
Results and Discussion	9
Shipboards Exposure	9
SO ₂ /Salt Spray Chamber test	9
Electrochemical	9
Galvanic Couples	16
Electrochemical Polarization	16
Conclusions	18
References	18
Recommendations	18

i

ľ.

Sec. 10.

2000

Accesio	on For		
NTIS DTIC Uttanne Justific	CRA&I TAB ounced ation		
By Distribution /			
Availability Codes			
Dist	Avail a Spec	ind j or ciat	
A-1			



LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.	Schematic of the F/A-18 EMI-seal and a photograph of the specimen used	2
Figure 2.	Corrosion under the EMI-seal area in the F/A-18	3
Figure 3.	Schematic of thermal spray process	5
Figure 4.	Schematic of electric arc-spraying process	6
Figure 5.	Cross sectional view of the metal spray coating	7
Figure 6.	Corrosion of arc-sprayed Zn/Sn coating on 7149-T7 AI in a	10
Figure 7.	Cross-section view of Figure 6	11
Figure 8.	Corrosion of F/A-18 EMI-seal specimens exposed to NaCI/SO ₂ spray chamber for five days	12
Figure 9.	Corrosion behavior of post-treated Zn/Sn arc-sprayed coating with	13
Figure 10.	Cross sectional view of the Zn/Sn coating on 7149-T7 AI panel	14
Figure 11.	Corrosion potential transients for various materials in a	15
Figure 12.	Cross sectional view of corroded Zn/Sn coating on 7149-T7 Al after	17
Figure 13.	Polarization diagrams for Zn/Sn material in a 3.5% solution (pH 2)	19

6303000030303077700300

INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic interference (EMI) seals are required for the Navy's F/A-18 aircraft because of the high electromagnetic environment present on aircraft carriers. These seals prevent the reception as well as broadcast of the electromagnetic energy. They are vital for the proper functioning of avionics and for lightning strike protection. Poor designs and galvanic incompatibility of earlier seals, such as silver-filled epoxy (adhesive material) and Ferrex braid system with aluminum alloy structural materials, have been the major reasons for excessive corrosion damages. Due to the severity of the carrier environment, the corrosion damages have been reported to be beyond the means of simple corrective maintenance efforts. During an Age Exploration Program Depot (AEPD) inspection in 1984, extensive corrosion and pitting, pits up to 70 mils deep (the maximum correctable depth is 10 mils), were found in the aluminum alloy structural materials. A quick solution was instituted to replace the silver-filled epoxy in the EMI seal with a 20% Zn/Sn arc-spray coating. Schematic diagrams of both the old and new seal designs and an unexposed Zn/Sn/Ferrex seal are shown in Figure 1. The 20% Zn/Sn coating was designed to protect the structural aluminum alloy cathodically. However, after three to six months of carrier exposure, structural corrosion damages associated with the new EMI seal were reported (1,2). Figure 2 shows an example of corrosion under the EMI seal and water intrusion on the F/A-18 Radar Nosedome Bulkhead (part number Y128). Additionally, the combination of corrosion and aircraft vibrations caused corrosive wear (dark areas in Figure 2) of the Zn/ Sn coating. The formation of corrosion products may also reduce the effectiveness of the EMI seal since most oxides are insulators and create a non-conductive path. Thus, a study of the 20% Zn/Sn coating material was made and its corrosion behavior with respect to the substrate metal (i.e. Al alloy) was evaluated. Recently, a corrosion inspection of F/A-18 aircraft (AEPD, Dec 1985) was performed after one carrier deployment (3). It was reported that the Dorsal Longeron/EMI installation of the F/A-18 revealed large accumulation of powdery residue, a corrosion product. Corrosion pits were noted in the areas that were covered by the metal spray coating. The deepest pits measured were about 0.020 to 0.035 inches. The inspection report concluded that, one year after the application of the Zn/Sn coating and one carrier deployment, the new EMI installation did not perform as well as expected.

F/A-18 EMI SEAL



SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF SEAL



UNEXPOSED SPECIMEN

Figure 1 - Schematic of the F/A-18 EMI-seal and a photograph of the specimen used.

1.1



Figure 2 - Corrosion under the EMI-seal area in the F/A-18 Radar Nosedome Bulkhead (Y128).

BACKGROUND

Thermal or metal spraying is a process through which metallic substances are deposited onto a substrate to form a coating. The material used for this spray process is usually in the form of wire, rod or powder. Most materials can be thermally sprayed onto a properly prepared substrate surface. Figure 3 shows a schematic of the coating process. After the coating material is melted, a gas jet transfers the molten material into an atomized spray which deposits onto the substrate by impact. A method for depositing the Zn/Sn coating is electric arc-spray; a schematic is shown in Figure 4.

Metal coatings deposited using different thermal spray techniques are very similar in structure and properties. A high quality, dense, well bonded coating can be produced by these processes. However, changes in processing parameters may cause an increase in coating porosity (voids) and oxide content (4). Figure 5 shows a schematic of a cross sectional view of such a coating with possible defects.

Although processing parameters can be altered to affect the coating structure and properties, there will be always some porosity left in the thermal spray coating. The amount of porosity in a typical coating can range from 10 to 15% of the total volume of the coating. Researchers have shown that porosity can increase corrosion susceptibility of a coating and also of the substrate (4,5). Methods to reduce porosity are either through increase of coating thickness or thermo-mechanical treatment after spraying. A technique used to reduce porosity is glass bead blasting of the coated surfaces. However, there is an inherent danger of coating de-adhesion and increase in corrosion susceptibility of the substrate with this process. Another common method to counteract coating porosity problems is to apply a sealant to the coating which covers coating defects and reduces interfacial breakdowns due to corrosion. However, candidate sealants must be conducting to allow EMI protection. In this work, pre-treatments like glass bead blasting and a corrosion preventative compound, MIL-C-81309, were tested for corrosion control.

いたなななない

いた 日本 日本



Figure 3 - Schematic of thermal spray process.



I.

Figure 4 - Schematic of electric arc-spraying process.



Figure 5 - Cross sectional view of the metal spray coating.

{

MATERIALS

The material and the were: Al 7075-T6 panels, 20% Zn/Sn wire and arc-sprayed chips, pure Sn and Zn metal sheets, and Zn Sn coated 7149 Al panels. The Zn/Sn materials and EMI seal assemblies used in this study were provided by McDonnell Aircraft Corporation as tasked by the Corrosion Action Team.

TESTS

A shipboard exposure test of the 20% Zn/Sn arc-sprayed coating was performed by exposing a number of specimens to the carrier environment on the USS CONSTELLATION for six months. Salt spray (5% NaCl/SO₂) tests were performed on both the Zn/Sn/Monel and Zn/Sn/Ferrex braid EMI seal assemblies. as shown in Figure 1. Also tested were 7149 Al panels with a 20% Zn/Sn coating pretreated with (1) a glass bead blast, (2) a coating of MIL-C-81309, (3) a combination of (1) and (2) and (4) no pretreatment. A chemical analysis of the corrosion product, removed from the seal assemblies, was made after a five-day exposure to SO₂/salt spray. The electrochemical tests consisted of the corrosion potential, galvanic corrosion current and potentiodynamic polarization measurements. All electrochemical tests were performed in a 3.5% NaCl (pH2) solution. No special surface cleaning treatments were applied to the coating before testing.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SHIPBOARD EXPOSURE

The 20% Zn/Sn arc-sprayed coating on the 7149-T7 Al alloy showed severe pitting and general corrosion of the substrate after a six-month exposure on the carrier, USS CONSTELLATION. Both the unexposed test specimens from the carrier were as shown in Figure 6. During the carrier's deployment in the Indian Ocean, pitting corrosion had penetrated through the coating and into the substrate. A cross section of an exposed carrier specimen, in Figure 7, shows pits in the aluminum substrate up to 12 mils deep and, the area of the panel where the Zn/Sn coating was removed.

SO2/SALT SPRAY CHAMBER TEST

Figure 8 shows severe corrosion of the Zn/Sn coating in the EMI seal assembly after exposure for five days in 5% NaCl/SO₂ salt spray. The corrosion product analyzed indicated almost 98% leaching of zinc from the Zn/Sn coating leaving the EMI seal enriched in Sn.

A 7149 Al panel coated with Zn/Sn, which was glass bead blasted and then coated with MIL-C-81309 and exposed to SO₂/salt spray is shown in Figure 9. Note that only half of this specimen was sprayed with MIL-C-81309. This specimen was exposed for approximately 60 hours. Specimens with only the MIL-C-81309 compound and no bead-blasting were kept 24 hours longer in the SO₂/salt spray chamber. In all cases, MIL-C-81309 compound appeared to increase the length of time before blistering occurred. As shown on the right half of Figure 9, glass bead blasting was very detrimental as it blistered the coating readily. But where the MIL-C-81309 coating was applied on the left half of specimen in Figure 9, blisters were observed to form at a much slower rate compared to the Zn/Sn coating without any pretreatment.

As mentioned earlier, extensive blistering was observed on the Zn/Sn coated Al panel test specimens. If the blisters on the test specimens were touched, for example, by a pin, they would collapse suggesting formation of gas bubbles during corrosion. The mechanism of the failure may be due to the ingress of the corrosive environment into the coating along oxide layers (cf. Figure 5) or through pores within the coating. A cross sectional view of the Zn/Sn arc-spray coating on the Al panel is shown in Figure 10. The void content in a given section of the coating varied. Also whenever incomplete mechanical bonding occurred, a void was formed (cf. Figure 10.).

ELECTROCHEMICAL

Corrosion potential versus time measurements were plotted as shown in Figure 11. Compared to 7075-TB AI alloy, the Zn/Sn arc-spray material was highly active and closer to the open circuit potential for Zn. The high electrochemical activity of Zn leads to its high dissolution rate, hence, Zn depletion of the coating is possible. The loss of Zn from the Zn/Sn coating during the salt spray exposure tests (cf. Figure 8) confirms this result. Depletion of Zn means that the sacrifical protective properties of the coating is lost as it becomes a cathode instead, because of tin enrichment in the coating.

A potential of -0.90 volts was applied to the Zn/Sn coated 7149 panel until the anodic current (dissolution current for Zn) reached zero. At this potential Sn will not corrode as it will be a cathode and Zn will selectively dissolve away leaving the Zn/Sn coating mostly spongy (porous) and rich in Sn. Figure 12 shows a cross sectional view of such a panel after the controlled potential test.



EXPOSED

UNEXPOSED

EXPOSED

EXPOSED TO CARRIER ENVIRONMENT FOR 6 MONTHS

Figure 6 - Corrosion of arc-sprayed Zn/Sn coating on 7149-T7 Al in a carrier environment.

Figure 7 - Cross-section view of Figure 6.

S. B.C.L

EXPOSED 6 MONTHS TO CARRIER ENVIRONMENT



NADC-87014-60

r.

ľ,





.

Figure 10 - Cross sectional view of the Zn/Sn coating on 7149-T7 Al panel.

1.1.4



Figure 11 - Corrosion potential transients for various materials in a 3.5% NaCl solution (pH 2).

14 3

GALVANIC COUPLES

A galvanic coucle between the 7075-T6 AI and Zn/Sn arc-spray coated material was made. The galvanic current between the two specimens of equal surface area was measured using a zero resistance ammeter and recorded as a function of time. It was found that high initial galvanic corrosion current (approximately 500 microamps/square centimeter) decreased to less than half of the value in about two hours of exposure. This indicates that the Zn component of the Zn/Sn arc-spray coating is sacrificed very rapidly, thus, limiting the coating's ability to protect the AI alloy substrate. However, a galvanic couple made up of 7075-T6 AI and Zn/Sn wire (material used for arc-spraying) showed a significantly initial lower corrosion current (approximately 10 microamps/square centimeter) and continued to protect the AI alloy component even after long exposures at a steady protection corrosion current of approximately 20 microamps/square centimeter. Dezincification of the Zn/Sn arc-spray coating in the former couple will result in a Sn rich coating which will act as a cathode and corrode the aluminum, instead of protecting it. Additionally, the corrosion product formed during the selective oxidation of zinc, which is in the EMI seal installation, will result in the loss of EMI protection (electrical continuity) of the structure. This agrees well with the failures observed on F/A-18 specimens (cf. Figure 8).

ELECTROCHEMICAL POLARIZATION

A potential range of -1.3 to -0.7 volts with respect to a S.C.E. reference electrode and a scan rate of 0.166 mv/sec was selected for potentiodynamic polarization measurements. Before the start of the polarization scan, the steady-state open circuit corrosion potential was determined. Potentiodynamic polarization diagrams for Zn/Sn arc-spray and wire materials were as shown in Figure 13. The anodic polarization curve for the Zn/Sn arc-spray material was at a slightly higher potential (less active) than the Zn/Sn wire indicating a lower dissolution rate for the arc-spray coating. The anodic polarization plot for the Zn/Sn arc-spray coating at potentials more positive than -950 mV showed a decrease in the current due to depletion of Zn or enrichment of Sn; this curve represented the behavior of pure Sn. If there was no depletion of Zn the extrapolated part of the anodic polarization curve for the Zn/Sn arc-spray coating would appear as shown in Figure 13. The current densities for the Zn/Sn wire material were slightly higher than for the arc-spray material. This may indicate that certain changes have occurred in the Zn/Sn material during the arc-spray process.



.....

122222

CONCLUSIONS

A. The corrosion protection properties of the Zn/Sn arc-spray coating are very temporary in nature. From the laboratory tests, it has been estimated that the protective nature of the Zn/Sn coating may last approximately 3 to 6 months. The coating initially offers a high degree of protection but only for a short period of time. This condition changes very quickly because the coating becomes primarily rich in Sn and acts as cathode, thereby causing the substrate, Al alloy, to corrode.

B. The carrier exposure tests have confirmed the limited protective ability of the Zn/Sn arc-spray. This is shown by general corrosion of the substrate, Al alloy panels, during long exposures.

C. The electrochemical tests have shown that the Zn/Sn arc-spray is galvanically incompatible with Al alloys as it becomes cathodic with time (due to depletion of Zn) and may corrode through the substrate structure instead of protecting it.

D. Corrosion product of the Zn/Sn coating produce nonconductive pathways which could be detrimental to the EMI-seal protective properties. The interfacial corrosion between the coating and the Al alloy substrate caused blistering and created corrosion pathways; porosity in the arc-spray coating was the leading cause.

E. Glass bead blasting after the arc-spraying caused severe debonding of the Zn/Sn coating and accelerated the rate of blister formation.

F. MIL-C-81309, Corrosion Preventative Compound, reduced the ingress of environment into the coating, therefore enhanced the time before blistering was observed.

REFERENCES

- 1. STRKFITRON ONE ONE THREE 040808Z May 85
- 2. COMCARAIRWING FOURTEEN 020508Z Jun 85
- 3. NAVAIRREWORKFAC 251646Z Feb 86
- 4. "Metallized Coatings for Corrosion Control of Naval Ship Structures and Components", National Materials Advisory Board, NMAB Report #409, (1983).
- 5. Shaw. B.A., "Corrosion Barrier Coatings-Investigation of Thermal Spray Coating Characteristics", Report DTNSRDC/SME-83/89.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Zn/Sn arc-spray coating should not be used on the Navy's F/A-18 aircraft for the EMI-seal. For the aircraft containing the Zn/Sn coating a maximum of a 42-day corrosion inspection and maintenance interval should be instituted. Seal systems which will provide long term EMI and corrosion protection should be investigated. In the interim, Corrosion Preventative Compound, MIL-C-81309 should be applied on the Zn/Sn coating whenever possible. Glass bead blasting of the Zn/Sn coating should not be attempted as it forms blisters in the coating.



* * * *

1. 1. 1. 1.

1000

6. **6** 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7

19

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

NADC-87014-60

ЬŶ,

....

	No. of Copies
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	. 1
Air Force Logistics Center (MMEMC) Warner-Robbins AFB Warmer, GA 31098 (Mr. W. Thompson)	. 1
Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (Dr. R. Reeber)	. 1
U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center	. 1
U.S. Army Armament R&D Command (DRDAR-SCM) Bldg. 355, Dover, NJ 07801	. 1
U.S. Army Mobility Equipment R&D Command (DRDME-VC) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060 (Mr. D.A. Emeric)	. 1
National Bureau of Standards	. 1
Dr. H. Leidheiser, Jr Center for Coatings and Surface Research Lehigh University Bethlehem, PA 18015	. 1
Dr. M. Kendig Rockwell International Science Center 1049 Camino Dos Rios, P.O. Box 1085 Thousand Oaks. CA 91360	. 1
Dr. C. McMahon, LRSM University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA 19104	. 1
Defense Technical Information Center Attn. DTłC-DDA-1 Cameron Station, Bldg. 5 Alexanderia, VA 22314	. 12
NAVAIRDEVCEN	. 53

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

a state of the

NADC-87014-60

No. of Copies

Commander Naval Air Force U.S. Pacific Fleet Attn: Code 7412 San Diego, CA 92135	1
Naval Sea Systems Command	1
Chief of Naval Material Naval Department Washington, DC 20350	1
Office of Naval Research	1
Office of Naval Technology NAVMAT-0725 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217 (Mr. J.J. Kelly)	1
Naval Research Laboratory	1
David Taylor Ship Research Development Center Code (281) Annapolis, MD 21402 (Mr. A.G.S. Morton, Mr. G. Wacker)	2
Naval Surface Weapons Center (Code R-30) White Oak Silver Springs, MD 20910	1
Naval Air Propulsion Center (Code PE-72) Trenton, NJ 08628 (Mr. A.J. Dorazio)	1
Naval Underwater Systems Center (Code 4493) New London, CT 06320 (Dr. R.G. Kasper)	1
Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Bolling Air Force Base	1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

REPORT NO. NADC-87014-60

. •

No	of	Co	nies
INO.	UI.	00	hiea

Naval Air Systems Command Washington, DC 20361 (2 for AIR-7226) (1 for AIR-31A) (2 for AIR-5304) (1 for AIR-5142) (1 for AIR-03D) (2 for 00D4)	9
Commanding Officer Naval Air Rework Facility Attn: Code (340) Naval Air Station Alameda, CA 94501	1
Commanding Officer Naval Air Rework Facility Attn: Code (340) Naval Air Station Jacksonville, FL 32212	1
Commanding Officer Naval Air Rework Facility Attn: Code (340) Naval Air Station Norfolk, VA 23511	1
Commanding Officer Naval Air Rework Facility Attn: Code (340) Naval Air Station North Island San Diego, CA 92135	1
Commanding Officer Naval Air Rework Facility Attn: Code (340) Naval Air Station Pensacola, FL 32508	1
Commanding Officer Naval Air Rework Facility Attn: Code (340) Marine Corp. Air Station Cherry Point, NC 28533	1
Commander Naval Air Force U.S. Atlantic Fleet Attn: Code 5281 Norfolk, VA 23511	1