



ME' Na

•

!

ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETEING FORM
I. REPORT NUMBER J2. GOVT ACCESSION N	
, TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Ada Compiler Validation Summary Report: OASYS VADS Ada compiler, Version 1.7	19 Jun 1986 to 19 Jun 1987
InterPro 32 (NSC 32000)	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
, AUTHOR(s) Wright-Patterson	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Ada Validation Facility ASD/SIOL Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6503	AREA & WURK UNIT NUMBERS
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Ada Joint Program Office United States Department of Defense	19 June 1986
United States Department of Defense Washington, DC 20301-3081	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 34
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) Wright-Patterson	15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDDLE N/A
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from F	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from F UNCLASSIFIED	Report) DTIC ELECTE
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from F UNCLASSIFIED 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Report) DTIC ELECTE
UNCLASSIFIED 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEYWORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	Report) DTIC ELECTE MAY 0 7 1987 E
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from F UNCLASSIFIED 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEYWORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ada Programming language, Ada Compiler Valida Compiler Validation Capability, ACVC, Validat 	Report) DTIC ELECTE MAY 0 7 1987 E E Ation Summary Report, Ada
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from F UNCLASSIFIED 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEYWORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ada Programming language, Ada Compiler Valida Compiler Validation Capability, ACVC, Validat Validation Office, AVO, Ada Validation Facili 1815A, Ada Joint Program Office, AJPO 	Report) DTIC ELECTE MAY 0 7 1987 E E Ation Summary Report, Ada
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from F UNCLASSIFIED 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEYWORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ada Programming language, Ada Compiler Valida Compiler Validation Capability, ACVC, Validat Validation Office, AVO, Ada Validation Facili 1815A, Ada Joint Program Office, AJPO 	Report) DTIC ELECTE MAY 0 7 1987 E E Ation Summary Report, Ada
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from F UNCLASSIFIED 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEYWORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ada Programming language, Ada Compiler Valida Compiler Validation Capability, ACVC, Validat Validation Office, AVO, Ada Validation Facili 1815A, Ada Joint Program Office, AJPO	Report) DTIC ELECTE MAY 0 7 1987 E E Ation Summary Report, Ada
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from F UNCLASSIFIED 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEYWORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ada Programming language, Ada Compiler Valida Compiler Validation Capability, ACVC, Validat Validation Office, AVO, Ada Validation Facili 1815A, Ada Joint Program Office, AJPO	Report) DTIC ELECTE MAY 0 7 1987 E E Ation Summary Report, Ada
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from F UNCLASSIFIED 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEYWORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ada Programming language, Ada Compiler Valida Compiler Validation Capability, ACVC, Validat Validation Office, AVO, Ada Validation Facili 1815A, Ada Joint Program Office, AJPO 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 	Report) DTIC ELECTE MAY 0 7 1987 E E Ation Summary Report, Ada

AD-A180 072

•

٦

Ada[®] Compiler Validation Summary Report:

Compiler Name: OASYS VADS Ada compiler, Version 1.7

Host Computer: InterPro 32 (NSC 32000) under Intergraph System V Release 2.0

Target Computer: InterPro 32 (NSC 32000) under Intergraph System V Release 2.0

> Accession For NTIS GRALI DTIC TAB

Unannounced

By____

Dist

Justification.

Distribution/

5

57

Availability Codes Avail and/or Special

Testing Completed 19 JUN 1986 Using ACVC 1.7

This report has been reviewed and is approved.

1RU Dann

Ada Validation Facility Georgeanne Chitwood ASD/SIOL Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Ada Validation Office Dr. John F. Kramer Institute for Defense Analyses Alexand - 1 - 1A

Castor Rocala) 7

Ada Joint Program Office Virginia L. Castor Director Department of Defense Washington DC

CLEARED FOR OPEN PUBLICATION

MAR 2.0 1987 3

DIRECTORATE FOR FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND SECURITY REVIEW (DASD-PA) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

87 1237

103

6

[©]Ada is a registered trademark of the United States Government (Ada Joint Program Office).

AVF Control Number: AVF-VSR-42-1086

Ada[©] COMPILER VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT: OASYS OASYS VADS Ada compiler, Version 1.7 InterPro 32 (NSC 32000)

Completion of On-Site Validation: . 19 JUN 1986

Prepared By: Ada Validation Facility ASD/SIOL Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Prepared For: Ada Joint Program Office United States Department of Defense Washington, D.C.

[•]Ada is a registered trademark of the United States Government (Ada Joint Program Office).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Validation Summary Report (VSR) summarizes the results and conclusions of validation testing performed on the OASYS VADS Ada compiler, Version 1.7, using Version 1.7 of the Ada[®] Compiler Validation Capability (ACVC).

The validation process includes submitting a suite of standardized tests (the ACVC) as inputs to an Ada compiler and evaluating the results. The purpose is to ensure conformance of the compiler to ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A Ada by testing that it properly implements legal language constructs and that it identifies and rejects illegal language constructs. The testing also identifies behavior that is implementation dependent but permitted by ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A. Six classes of tests are used. These tests are designed to perform checks at compile time, at link time, or during execution.

On-site testing was performed 15 JUN 1986 through 19 JUN 1986 at ZAIA/ Integnational, Huntsville AL, under the direction of the Ada Validation Factility (AVF), according to Ada Validation Organization (AVO) policies and procedures. The OASYS VADS Ada compiler, Version 1.7, is hosted on an InterPro 32 (NSC 32000) operating under Intergraph System V, Release 2.0.

RESULT				CLASS			TOTAL
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	D	E	Ŀ	
Passed	68	820	1144	17	11	23	2083
Failed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inapplicable	0	4	176	0	0	0	180
Withdrawn	0	4	12	0	0	0	16
TOTAL	68	828	1332	17	11	23	2279

The results of validation are summarized in the following table:

[©]Ada is a registered trademark of the United States Government (Ada Joint Program Office).

There were 16 withdrawn tests in ACVC Version 1.7 at the time of this validation attempt. A list of these tests appears in Appendix D.

Some tests demonstrate that some language features are or are not supported by an implementation. For this implementation, the tests determined the following:

- . SHORT_INTEGER and SHORT_FLOAT are supported.
- . LONG_INTEGER and LONG_FLOAT are not supported.
- . The additional predefined types TINY_INTEGER, SHORT_INTEGER, and SHORT_FLOAT are supported.
- . Representation specifications for noncontiguous enumeration representations are supported.
- . Generic unit specifications and bodies can be compiled in separate compilations.
- . Pragma INLINE is supported for procedures and functions.
- . The package SYSTEM is not used by package TEXT IO.
- . Modes IN_FILE and OUT_FILE are supported for sequential I/O.
- . Instantiation of the package SEQUENTIAL_IO with unconstrained array types is supported.
- . Instantiation of the package SEQUENTIAL IO with unconstrained record types with discriminants is supported.
- . RESET and DELETE are supported for sequential and direct I/O.
- . Modes IN_FILE, INOUT_FILE, and OUT_FILE are supported for direct I/O.
- . Instantiation of package DIRECT IO with unconstrained array types and unconstrained types with discriminants is supported.
- . Dynamic creation and deletion of files are supported.
- . More than one internal file can be associated with the same external file.
- . An external file associated with more than one internal file can be reset.
- . Illegal file names can exist.

ACVC Version 1.7 was taken on-site via magnetic tape to ZAIAZ International, Huntsville AL. All tests, except the withdrawn tests and any executable tests that make use of a floating-point precision greater than SISTEM.MAX DIGITS, were compiled on an InterPro 32 (NSC 32000). Class A, C, D, and E tests were executed on an InterPro 32 (NSC 32000).

On completion of testing, execution results for Class A, C, D, or E tests were examined. Compilation results for Class B tests were analyzed for correct diagnosis of syntactic and semantic errors. Compilation and link results of Class L tests were analyzed for correct detection of errors.

The AVF identified 2093 of the 2279 tests in Version 1.7 of the ACVC as potentially applicable to the validation of the OASYS VADS Ada compiler, Version 1.7. Excluded were 170 tests requiring a floating-point precision greater than that supported by the implementation and the 16 withdrawn tests. After the 2093 tests were processed, 10 tests were determined to be inapplicable. The remaining 2083 tests were passed by the compiler.

The AVF concludes that these results demonstrate acceptable conformance to ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	1	INTRODUCTION
	1.1	PURPOSE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT 1-1
	1.2	USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT 1-2
	1.3	RELATED DOCUMENTS
	1.4	DEFINITION OF TERMS
	1.5	ACVC TEST CLASSES
CHAPTER	2	CONFIGURATION INFORMATION
	2.1	CONFIGURATION TESTED
	2.2	
	2.3	IMPLEMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS
CHAPTER		TEST INFORMATION
	3 •	
	3.1 3.2	TEST RESULTS
		SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CLASS
	3.3	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CHAPTER
	3.4	WITHDRAWN TESTS
	3.5	
	3.6	
	3.1	ADDITIONAL TESTING INFORMATION
		Prevalidation
		Test Method
	3.7.3	Test Site
	٠	

• · ·---

- APPENDIX A COMPLIANCE STATEMENT
- APPENDIX B APPENDIX F OF THE Ada STANDARD
- APPENDIX C TEST PARAMETERS

APPENDIX D WITHDRAWN TESTS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This Validation Summary Report (VSR) describes the excent to which a specific Ada compiler conforms to ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A. This report explains all technical terms used within it and thoroughly reports the results of testing this compiler using the Ada Compiler Validation Capability (ACVC). An Ada compiler must be implemented according to the Ada Standard (ANSJ/MIL-STD-1815A). Any implementation-dependent features must conform to the requirements of the Ada Standard. The entire Ada Standard must be implemented that is not in the Standard.

Even though all validated Ada compilers conform to ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, it must be understood that some differences do exist between implementations. The Ad. Standard permits some implementation dependencies--for example, the maximum length of identifiers or the maximum values of integer types. Other differences between compilers result from limitations imposed on a compiler by the operating system and by the hardware. All of the dependencies demonstrated during the process of testing this compiler are given in this report.

VSRs are written according to a standardized format. The reports for several different compilers may, therefore, be easily compared. The information in this report is derived from the test results produced during validation testing. Additional testing information as well as details which are unique for this compiler are given in section 3.7. The format of a validation report limits variance between reports, enhances readability of the report, and minimizes the delay between the completion of validation testing and the publication of the report.

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

This VSR documents the results of the validation testing performed on an Ada compiler. Testing was carried out for the following purposes:

. To attempt to identify any language constructs supported by the compiler that do not conform to the Ada Standard

1-1

- . To attempt to identify any unsupported language constructs required by the Ada Standard
- . To determine that the implementation-dependent behavior is allowed by the Ada Standard

Testing of this compiler was conducted by SofTech, Inc., under the direction of the AVF according to policies and procedures established by the Ada Validation Organization (AVO). Testing was conducted from 15 JUN 1986 through 19 JUN 1986 at ZAIAZ International, Huntsville AL.

1.2 USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

Consistent with the national laws of the originating country, the AVO may make full and free public disclosure of this report. In the United States, this is provided in accordance with the "Freedom of Information Act" (5 U.S.C. \$552). The results of this validation apply only to the computers, opecating systems, and compiler versions identified in this report.

The organizations represented on the signature page of this report do not represent or warrant that all statements set forth in this report are accurate and complete, or that the subject compiler has no nonconformances to ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A other than those presented. Copies of this report are available to the public from:

> Ada Information Clearinghouse Ada Joint Program Office OUSDRE The Pentagon, Rm 3D-139 1211 S. Fern, C-107 Washington DC 20301-3081

or from:

Ada Validation Facility ASD/SIOL Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-6503

Questions regarding this report or the validation test results should be directed to the AVF listed above or to:

Ada Validation Organization Institute for Defense Analyses 1801 North Beauregard Alexandria VA 22311

1.3 RELATED DOCUMENTS

- 1. <u>Reference Manual for the Ada Programming Language</u>, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, FEB 1983.
- 2. Ada Validation Organization: Policies and Procedures, MITRE Corporation, JUN 1982, PB 83-110601.
- 3. <u>Ada Compiler Validation Capability Implementers' Guide</u>, SofTech, Inc., DEC 1984.

1.4 DEFINITION OF TERMS

- ACVC The Ada Compiler Validation Capability. A set of programs that evaluates the conformance of a compiler to the Ada language specification, ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A.
- AGA Standard ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A, February 1983.
- Applicant The agency requesting validation.
- AVF The Ada Validation Facility. In the context of this report, the AVF is responsible for conducting compiler validations according to established policies and procedures.
- AVO The Ada Validation Organization. In the context of this report, the AVO is responsible for setting policies and procedures for compiler validations.
- Compiler A processor for the Ada language. In the context of this report, a compiler is any language processor, including cross-compilers, translators, and interpreters.
- Failed test A test for which the compiler generates a result that demonstrates nonconformance to the Ada Standard.

Host The computer on which the compiler resides.

Inapplicable A test that uses features of the language that a compiler is test not required to support or may legitimately support in a way other than the one expected by the test. 1

1

4

.

- LMC The Language Maintenance Committee whose function is to resolve issues concerning the Ada language.
- Passed test A test for which a compiler generates the expected result.
- Target The computer for which a compiler generates code.
- Test A program that evaluates the conformance of a compiler to a language specification. In the context of this report, the term is used to designate a single ACVC test. The text of a program may be the text of one or more compilations.
- WithdrawnA test found to be inaccurate in checking conformance to the
Ada language specification. A withdrawn test has an invalid
test objective, fails to meet its test objective, or contains
illegal or erroneous use of the language.

1.5 ACVC TEST CLASSES

Conformance to ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A is measured using the ACVC. The ACVC contains both legal and filegal Ada programs structured into six test clarged: A, B, C, D, E, and E. The first letter of a test name identifies the class to which it belongs. Special program units are used to report the results of the Class A, C, D, and E tests during execution. Class B tests are expected to produce compilation errors, and Class L tests are expected to produce link errors.

Class A tests check that legal Ada programs can be successfully compiled and executed. (However, no checks are performed during execution to see if the test objective has been met.) For example, a Class A test checks that reserved words of another language (other than those already reserved in the ida language) are not treated as reserved words by an Ada compiler. A Class A test is passed if no errors are detected at compile time and the program executes to produce a message indicating that it has passed.

Class B tests check that a compiler detects illegal language usage. Class B tests are not executable. Each test in this class is compiled and the resulting compilation listing is examined to verify that every syntactical or semantic error in the test is detected. A Class B test is passed if every illegal construct that it contains is detected by the compiler.

Class C tests check that legal Ada programs can be correctly compiled and executed. Each Class C test is self-checking and produces a PASSED, FAILED, or NOT-APPLICABLE message indicating the result when it is executed.

Class D tests check the compilation and execution capacities of a compiler. Since there are no requirements placed on a compiler by the Ada Standard for some parameters (e.g., the number of identifiers permitted in a compilation, the number of units in a library, and the number of nested loops in a subprogram body), a compiler may refuse to compile a Class D test and still be a conforming compiler. Therefore, if a Class D test fails to compile because the capacity of the compiler is exceeded, the test is classified as inapplicable. If a Class D test compiles successfully, it is self-checking and produces a PASSED or FAILED message during execution.

Each Class E test is self-checking and produces a NOT-APPLICABLE, PASSED, or FAILED message when it is compiled and executed. However, the Ada Standard permits an implementation to reject programs containing some feasures addressed by Class E tests during compilation. Therefore, a Class E lest is passed by a compiler if it is compiled successfully and executes to produce a PASSED message, or if it is rejected by the compiler for an allowable reason.

Class L tests check that incomplete or illegal Ada programs involving multiple, separately compiled units are detected and not allowed to execute. Class L tests are compiled separately and execution is attempted. A Class L test passes if it is rejected at link time--that is, an attempt to execute the main program must generate an error message before any declarations in the main program or any units referenced by the main program are elaborated.

Two library units, the package REPORT and the procedure CHECK FILE, support the self-checking features of the executable tests. The package REPORT provides the mechanism by which executable tests report results. It also provides a set of identity functions used to defeat some compiler optimization strategies and force computations to be made by the target computer instead of by the compiler on the host computer. The procedure CHECK FILE is used to check the contents of text files written by some of the Class C tests for chapter 14 of the Ada Standard.

The operation of these units is checked by a set of executable tests. These tests produce messages that are examined to verify that the units are operating correctly. If these units are not operating correctly, then the validation is not attempted.

Some of the conventions followed in the ACVC are intended to ensure that the tests are reasonably portable without modification. For example, the tests make use of only the basic set of 55 characters, contain lines with a maximum length of 72 characters, use small numeric values, and place features that may not be supported by all implementations in separate tests. However, some tests contain values that require the test to be customized according to implementation-specific values. The values used for this validation are listed in Appendix C.

A compiler must correctly process each of the tests in the suite and demonstrate conformance to the Ada Standard by either meeting the pass criteria given for the test or by showing that the test is inapplicable to the implementation. Any test that was determined to contain an illegal

1

language construct or an erroneous language construct is withdrawn from the ACVC and, therefore, is not used in testing a compiler. The nonconformant tests are given in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 2

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

2.1 CONFIGURATION TESTED

.

1

The candidate compilation system for this validation was tested under the following configuration:

Compiler: OASYS VADS Ada compiler, Version 1.7

Test Suite: Ada Compiler Validation Capability, Version 1.7

Host Computer:

Machine(s): InterPro 32 (NSC 32000)

Operating System: Intergraph System V Release 2.0

Memory Size: 4 megabytes

Target Computer:

...

Machine(s):	InterPro 32 (NSC 32000)
Operating System:	Intergraph System V Release 2.0
Memory Size:	4 megabytes

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

2.2 CERTIFICATE INFORMATION

Base Configuration:

+

Compiler: OASYS VADS Ada compiler, Version 1.7

Test Suite: Ada Compiler Validation Capability, Version 1.7

Certificate Date:

3 September 1986

Host Computer:

Machine(s):

Operating System:

• • • •

InterPro 32 (NSC 32000)

Intergraph System V Release 2.0

Target Computer:

Machine(s):	InterPro 32 (NSC 32000)
Operating System:	Intergraph System V Release 2.0

2.3 IMPLEMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS

One of the purposes of validating compilers is to determine the behavior of a compiler in those areas of the Ada Standard that permit implementations to differ. Class D and E tests specifically check for such implementation differences. However, tests in other classes also characterize an implementation. This compiler is characterized by the following interpretations of the Ada Standard:

. Nongraphic characters.

Nongraphic characters are defined in the ASCII character set but are not permitted in Ada programs, even within character strings. The compiler correctly recognizes these characters as illegal in Ada compilations. The characters are printed in the output listing. (See test B26005A.)

. Capacities.

The compiler correctly processes compilations containing loop statements nested to 65 levels, block statements nested to 65 levels, and recursive procedures nested to 17 levels. It correctly processes a compilation containing 723 variables in the same declarative part. (See tests D55A03A through D55A03H, D56001B, D64005E through D64005G, and D29002K.)

. Universal integer calculations.

An implementation is allowed to reject universal integer calculations having values that exceed SYSTEM.MAX_INT. This implementation does not reject such calculations and processes them correctly. (See tests D4A002A, D4A002B, D4A004A, and D4A004B.)

. Predefined types.

This implementation supports the additional predefined types SHORT_INTEGER, SHORT_FLOAT, and TINY_INTEGER in the package STANDARD. (See tests B86001CR, B86001CP, and B86001DT.)

. Based literals.

An implementation is allowed to reject a based literal with a value exceeding SYSTEM.MAX_INT during compilation, or it may raise NUMERIC_ERROR during execution. This implementation raises NUMERIC ERROR during execution. (See test E24101A.)

. Array types.

When an array type is declared with an index range exceeding the INTEGER'LAST values and with a component that is a null BOOLEAN array, this compiler raises NUMERIC ERROR when the type is declared. (See tests E36202A and E36202B.)

2-3

CONFIGURATION INFORMATION

A packed BOOLEAN array having a 'LENGTH exceeding INTEGER'LAST raises NUMERIC_ERROR when the array type is declared. (See test C52103X.)

A packed two-dimensional BOOLEAN array with more than INTEGER'LAST components raises NUMERIC_ERROR when the array type is declared. (See test C52104Y.)

A null array with one dimension of length greater than INTEGER'LAST may raise NUMERIC_ERROR either when declared or assigned. Alternatively, an implementation may accept the declaration. However, lengths must match in array slice assignments. This implementation raise: NUMERIC_ERROR when the array type is declared. (See test E52103Y.)

In assigning one-dimensional array types, the entire expression appears to be evaluated before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype.

In assigning two-dimensional array types, the entire expression does not appear to be evaluated before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype. (See test C52013A.)

. Discriminated types.

During compilation, an implementation is allowed to either accept or reject an incomplete type with discriminants that is used in an access type definition with a compatible discriminant constraint. This implementation accepts such subtype indications during compilation. (See test E38104A.)

In assigning record types with discriminants, the entire expression appears to be evaluated before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised when checking whether the expression's subtype is compatible with the target's subtype. (See test C52013A.)

. Aggregates.

In the evaluation of a multi-dimensional aggregate, all choices appear to be evaluated before checking against the index type. (See tests C43207A and C43207B.)

In the evaluation of an aggregate containing subaggregates, all choices are evaluated before being checked for identical bounds. (See test E43212B.)

All choices are evaluated before CONSTRAINT_ERROR is raised if a bound in a nonnull range of a nonnull aggregate does not belong to an index subtype. (See test E43211B.)

. Functions.

The declaration of a parameterless function with the same profile as an enumeration literal in the same immediate scope is rejected by the implementation. (See test E66001D.)

. Representation clauses.

Enumeration representation clauses are supported. (See test BC1002A.)

. Pragmas.

The pragma INLINE is supported for procedures and functions. (See tests CA3004E and CA3004F.)

. Input/output.

The package SEQUENTIAL_IO can be instantiated with unconstrained array types and record types with discriminants. The package DIRECT_IO can be instantiated with unconstrained array types and record types with discriminants without defaults. (See tests CE2201D, CE2201E, and CE2401D.)

More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for sequential I/O for both reading and writing. (See tests CE2107A through CE2107F.)

More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for direct I/O for reading only. (See tests CE2107A through CE2107D and CE2107F.)

An external file associated with more than one internal file can be deleted. (See test CE2110B.)

More than one internal file can be associated with each external file for text I/O for both reading and writing. (See tests CE3111A through CE3111E.)

An existing text file can be opened in OUT_FILE mode, can be created in OUT_FILE mode, and can be created in IN_FILE mode. (See test EE3102C.)

Temporary sequential files are given a name. Temporary direct files are given a name. Temporary files given names are deleted when they are closed. (See tests CE2108A and CE2108C.)

CHAPTER 3

TEST INFORMATION

3.1 TEST RESULTS

The AVF identified 2093 of the 2279 tests in Version 1.7 of the ACVC as potentially applicable to the validation of the OASYS VADS Ada compiler, Version 1.7. Excluded were 170 tests requiring a floating-point precision greater than that supported by the implementation and the 16 withdrawn tests. After they were processed, 10 tests were determined to be inapplicable. The remaining 2083 tests were passed by the compiler.

The AVF concludes that the testing results demonstrate acceptable conformance to the Ada Standard.

3.2 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CLASS

RESULT			TEST	CLASS			TOTAL
	<u> </u>	B	<u>_</u>	<u>D</u>	Ē	Ŀ	
Passed	68	820	1144	17	11	23	2083
Failed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inapplicable	0	4	176	0	0	0	180
Withdrawn	0	4	12	0	0	0	16
TOTAL	68	828	1332	17	71	23	2279

3-1

TEST INFORMATION

3.3 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS BY CHAPTER

RESULT						Cł	IAPTE	ER					TOTAL
	2	3	4	5	6	_1	8	2	<u>_10</u>	<u>_11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u> 14</u>	
Passed	102	234	308	244	161	97	158	198	105	28	216	232	2083
Failed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0
Inapplicable	14	73	86	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	180
Withdrawn	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	2	6	0	1	1	16
TOTAL	116	308	398	247	161	97	162	201	111	28	217	233	2279

3.4 WITHDRAWN TESTS

The following tests have been withdrawn from the ACVC Version 1.7:

B4A010C	C41404A	CA1003B
B83A06B	C48008A	CA3005A through CA3005D (4 tests)
BA2001E	C4A014A	CE2107E
BC3204C	C92005A	
C35904A	C940ACA	

See Appendix D for the test descriptions.

3.5 INAPPLICABLE TESTS

Some tests do not apply to all compilers because they make use of features that a compiler is not required by the Ada Standard to support. Others may depend on the result of another test that is either inapplicable or withdrawn. For this validation attempt, 180 tests were inapplicable for the reasons indicated:

- . C34001E, B52004D, B55B09C, B86001CS, and C55B07A use LONG_INTEGER which is not supported by this compiler.
- . C34001G, C35702B, and B86001CQ use LONG_FLOAT which is not supported by this compiler.
- . C86001F redefines package SISTEM, but TEXT_IO is made obsolete by this new definition in this implementation.
- . C96005B checks implementations for which the smallest and largest values in type DURATION are different from the smallest and largest values in DURATION's base type. This is not the case for this implementation.

170 tests were not processed because SISTEM.MAX_DIGITS was 15. These tests were:

> C24113L through C24113Y (14 tests) C35705L through C35705Y (14 tests) C35706L through C35706Y (14 tests) C35707L through C35707Y (14 tests) C35708L through C35708Y (14 tests) C35802L through C35802Y (14 tests) C45241L through C45241Y (14 tests) C45321L through C45321Y (14 tests) C45424L through C4542Y (14 tests) C45424L through C4542Y (14 tests) C45424L through C4542Y (14 tests) C45521L through C45521Z (15 tests) C45621L through C45621Z (15 tests)

3.6 SPLIT TESTS

If one or more errors do not appear to have been detected in a Class B test because of compiler error recovery, then the test is split into a set of smaller tests that contain the undetected errors. These splits are then compiled and examined. The splitting process continues until all errors are detected by the compiler or until there is exactly one error per split. Any Class A, Class C, or Class E test that cannot be compiled and executed because of its size is split into a set of smaller subtests that can be processed.

Splits were required for 19 Class B tests.

B24104A	. B37201A	B67001B
B24104B	B38008A	B67001C
B24104C	B41202A	B67001D
B2A003A	B44001A	B910ABA
B2A003B	B64001A	B95001A
B2A003C	. B67001A	B97101E
833004A	· · · · · · ·	

3.7 ADDITIONAL TESTING INFORMATION

3.7.1 Prevalidation

Prior to validation, a set of test results for ACVC Version 1.7 produced by the OASYS VADS Ada compiler, Version 1.7, was submitted to the AVF by the applicant for prevalidation review. Analysis of these results demonstrated that the compiler successfully passed all applicable tests.

TEST INFORMATION

3.7.2 Test Method

Testing of the OASIS VADS Ada compiler using ACVC Version 1.7 was conducted on-site by a validation team. The base configuration consisted of an InterPro 32 (NSC 32000) host and target operating under Intergraph System V.

I

A magnetic tape containing ACVC Version 1.7 was taken on-site by the validation team. The magnetic tape contained all tests applicable to this validation, as well as all tests inapplicable to this validation except for any Class C tests requiring a floating-point precision exceeding the maximum value supported by the implementation. Tests that make use of values that are specific to an implementation were customized before being written to the magnetic tape. Tests requiring splits during the prevalidation testing were included in their split form on the magnetic tape. No editing of the test files was necessary when the validation team arrived on-site.

The contents of the magnetic tape were loaded onto an InterPro 32 computer and stored on a Maxtor hard disk. The Maxtor hard disk was moved to a second InterPro 32 and the tests were written to low density diskettes. After the test files were loaded from the diskettes, the full set of tests was compiled on the InterPro 32 and all executable tests were run on the InterPro 32. Results were written to low density diskettes and loaded on a ZAIAZ 32 and printed.

The compiler was tested using command scripts provided by OASYS. These scripts were reviewed by the validation team.

Tests were run in batch mode using two host and target computer(s). Test output, compilation listings, and job logs were captured on low density diskettes and archived at the AVF. The listings examined on-site by the validation team were also archived.

3.7.3 Test Site

The validation team arrived at ZAIAZ International, Huntsville AL on 15 JUN 1986 and departed after testing was completed on 19 JUN 1986.

APPENDIX A

4

COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

ZAIAZ has submitted the following compliance statement concerning the OASIS VADS Ada compiler.

A-1

.

.

.........

COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

Compliance Statement

Y

Base Configuration:

Compiler: DASYS Ada, Version 1.7

• . • .

Test Suite: Ada Compiler Validation Capability, Version 1.7

Host Computer:

Machine:

InterPro 32 [NSC 32000]

-

Operating System: Intergraph System V Release 2.0

Target Computer:

Machine:

interPro 32 (NSC 32000)

Operating System: Intergraph System V Release 2.0

ZAIAZ has made no deliberate extensions to the Ada ianguage standard.

ZAIAZ agrees to the public disclosure of this report.

ZA:AZ agrees to comply with the Ada trademark policy, as defined by the Ada Joint Program Office.

June 20, 1986 Date:_

ZAIAZ William W. Smith President

A-2

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX F OF THE Ada STANDARD

The only allowed implementation dependencies correspond to implementationdependent pragmas, to certain machine-dependent conventions as mentioned in chapter 13 of MIL-STD-1815A, and to certain allowed restrictions on representation classes. The implementation-dependent characteristics of the OASYS VADS Ada compiler, Version 1.7, are described in the following sections which discuss topics one through eight as stated in Appendix F of the Ada Language Reference Manual (ANSI/MIL-STD-1815A). Package STANDARD is also included in this appendix.

(1) Implementation-Dependent Pragmas

SHARE BODY Pragma

The SHARE BODY pragma takes the name of a generic instantiation or a generic unit as the first argument and one of the identifiers TRUE or FALSE as the second argument. This pragma is only allowed immediately at the place of a declarative item in a declarative part of package specification, or after a library unit in a compilation, but before any subsequent compilation unit.

When the first argument is a generic unit, the pragma applies to all instantiations of that generic. When the first argument is the name of a generic instantiation, the pragma applies only to the specified instantiation, or to overloaded instantiations.

If the second argument is TRUE, the compiler will try to share code generated for a generic instantiation with code generated for other instantiations of the same generic. When the second argument is FALSE, each instantiation will get a unique copy of the generated code. The extent to which code is shared between instantiations depends on this pragma and the kind of generic formal parameters declared for the generic unit.

EXTERNAL NAME Pragma

The EXTERNAL NAME pragma takes the name of a variable defined in another language and allows it to be referenced directly in Ada. The pragma will replace all occurrences of the variable name with an external reference to the second name which is a link argument. The pragma is allowed at the place of a declarative item in a package specification and must apply to an object declared earlier in the same package specification. The object must be declared as a scalar or an access type. The object cannot be any of the following:

```
a loop variable,
a constant,
an initialized variable,
an array, or
a record.
```

(2) Implementation-Dependent Attributes

NONE.

ł

(3) Specification of Package SYSTEM

package SYSTEM is type ADDRESS is private; type NAME is (ipro sysv); SYSTEM NAME : constant NAME := ipro sysv; STORAGE UNIT : constant := 8; MEMORY SIZE : constant := 16 774 144; -- System-Dependent Named Numbers MIN_INT : constant := -2 147 483 647 - 1; MAX INT : constant := 2 147 483 647; MAX_DIGITS : constant := 15; MAX MANTISSA : constant := 31; FINE_DELTA : constant := 2.0**(-14); TICK : constant := 0.01; -- Other System-dependent Declarations subtype PRIORITY is INTEGER range 0 .. 7; MAX REC SIZE : integer := 64*1024; private type ADDRESS is new INTEGER; end SYSTEM;

B-2

(4) Restrictions On Representation Clauses

Pragma PACK:

Bit packing is not supported. Objects and components are packed to the nearest whole STORAGE_UNIT.

Size Specification:

The size specification T'SMALL is not supported.

Record Representation Clause:

Component clauses must be aligned on STORAGE_UNIT boundaries.

Address Clauses:

Address clauses are not supported.

Interrupts:

Interrupts are not supported.

Change of Representation:

Change of representation is not supported for record types.

Representation Attributes:

The ADDRESS attribute is not supported for the following entities:

Packages Tasks Labels Entries

Machine Code Insertions: Machine code insertions are not supported.

(5) Conventions for Implementation-generated Names

There are no implementation-generated names.

-

(6) Interpretation of Expressions in Address Clauses

Address clauses are not supported.

(7) Restrictions on Unchecked Conversions

The predefined generic function UNCHECKED_CONVERSION cannot be instantiated with a target type which is an unconstrained array type or an unconstrained record type with discriminants.

(8) Implementation Characteristics of I/O Packages

Instantiations of DIRECT IO use the value MAX_REC_SIZE as the record size (expressed in STORAGE_UNITS) when the size of ELEMENT_TYPE exceeds that value. For example, for unconstrained arrays such as string, where ELEMENT_TYPE'SIZE is very large, MAX_REC_SIZE is used instead. MAX_REC_SIZE is defined in SYSTEM and can be changed by a program before instantiating DIRECT_IO to provide an upper limit on the record size. In any case, the maximum size supported is 1024 x 1024 x STORAGE_UNIT bits. DIRECT_IO will raise USE_ERROR if MAX_REC_SIZE exceeds this absolute limit.

Instantiations of SEQUENTIAL IO use the value MAX_REC_SIZE as the record size (express in STORAGE UNITS) when the size of ELEMENT_TYPE exceeds that value. For example, for unconstrained arrays such as string, where ELEMENT_TYPE'SIZE is very large, MAX_REC_SIZE is used instead. MAX_REC_SIZE is defined in SYSTEM and can be changed by a program before instantiating INTEGER_IO to provide an upper limit on the record size. SEQUENTIAL_IO imposes no limit on MAX_REC_SIZE.

B-4

Package STANDARD

type INTEGER is range -2_147_483_648 .. 2_147_483_647; type FLOAT is digits 15 RANGE -1.79769313486232E+308 .. 1.79769313486232E+308; type SHORT_FLOAT is digits 6 RANGE -3.40282E+38 .. 3.40282E+38; type DURATION is delta 2.0E-14 range -86400.0 .. 86400.0; type TINY_INTEGER is -128 .. 127;

X

DURATION'SMALL = 6.10351562500000E-05 seconds

APPENDIX C

TEST PARAMETERS

Certain tests in the ACVC – ke use of implementation-dependent values, such as the maximum length of an input line and invalid file names. A test that makes use of such values is identified by the extension .TST in its file name. Actual values to be substituted are identified by names that begin with a dollar sign. A value is substituted for each of these names before the test is run. The values used for this validation are given below.

MAX IN LEN

MAX IN LEN

MAX IN LEN

Name and Meaning

Identifier of size MAX IN LEN

with varying last character.

with varying last character.

with varying middle character.

with varying middle character.

An integer literal of value 298

with enough leading zeroes so that it is MAX_IN_LEN characters

Identifier of size

Identifier of size

Identifier of size

\$BIG ID1

\$BIG ID2

\$BIG ID3

\$BIG ID4

\$BIG INT LIT

long.

Value

(1..498 => 'A', 499 => '1', 500 => line feed character)

(1..498 => 'A', 499 => '2', 500 => line feed character)

(1..249 | 251..499 => 'A', 250 => '3',
500 => line feed character)

(1..249 | 251..499 => 'A', 250 => '4', 500 => line feed character)

(1..496 => '0', 497..499 => "298", 500 => line feed character)

C-1

TEST PARAMETERS

Name and Meaning	Value
<pre>\$BIG_REAL_LIT A real literal that can be either of floating- or fixed- point type, has value 690.0, and has enough leading zeroes to be MAX_IN_LEN characters long.</pre>	(1493 => '0', 494499 => "69.0E1", 500 => line feed character)
<pre>\$BLANKS Blanks of length MAX_IN_LEN - 20</pre>	(1479 => ' ', 480 => line feed character)
<pre>\$COUNT_LAST Value of COUNT'LAST in TEXT_IO package.</pre>	2_147_483_647
<pre>\$EXTENDED_ASCII_CHARS A string literal containing all the ASCII characters with printable graphics that are not in the basic 55 Ada character set.</pre>	<pre>mabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzi\$\$?@[]^`{}~"</pre>
<pre>\$FIELD_LAST Value of FIELD'LAST in TEXT_I0 package.</pre>	2_147_483_647
<pre>\$FILE_NAME_WITH_BAD_CHARS An illegal external file name that either contains invalid characters or is too long.</pre>	<pre>"/illegal/file_name/2{]\$\$?@[]^`{}-"</pre>
\$FILE_NAME_WITH_WILD_CARD_CHAR An external file name that either contains a wild card character or is too long.	"/illegal/file_name/CE2102C*.DAT"
\$GREATER_THAN_DURATION A universal real value that lies between DURATION'BASE'LAST and DURATION'LAST or any value in the range of DURATION.	100_000.0
\$GREATER_THAN_DURATION_BASE_LAST The universal real value that is greater than DURATION'BASE'LAST.	10_000_000.0
\$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME1 Illegal external file name.	"/no/such/directory/ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME 1"
\$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME2 Illegal external file names.	"/no/such/directory/ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME2"

TEST PARAMETERS

Name and Meaning	Value
\$INTEGER_FIRST The universal integer literal expression whose value is INTEGER'FIRST.	-2_147_483_648
\$INTEGER_LAST The universal integer literal expression whose value is INTEGER'LAST.	2_147_483_647
\$LESS_THAN_DURATION A universal real value that lies between DURATION'BASE'FIRST and DURATION'FIRST or any value in the range of DURATION.	-100_000.0
\$LESS_THAN_DURATION_BASE_FIRST The universal real value that is less than DURATION'BASE'FIRST.	-10_000_000.0
\$MAX_DIGITS Maximum digits supported for floating-point types.	15
<pre>\$MAX_IN_LEN Maximum input line length permitted by the implementation.</pre>	500 (499 plus line feed character)
\$MAX_INT The value of MAX_INT in package SISTEM.	2_147_483_647
<pre>\$NAME A name of a predefined numeric type other than FLOAT, INTEGER, SHORT_FLOAT, SHORT_INTEGER, LONG_FLOAT, or LONG_INTEGER.</pre>	TINY_INTEGER
<pre>\$NEG_BASED_INT A based integer literal whose highest order nonzero bit falls in the sign bit position of the representation for SYSTEM.MAX_INT.</pre>	16#FFFFFFD#

C-3

1

)

TEST PARAMETERS

.

\$NON_ASCII_CHAR_TYPE An enumerated type definition for a character type whose literals are the identifier NON_NULL and all non-ASCII characters with printable graphics.

- - ----

-

1000

(NON_NULL)

······

- ...

C-4

APPENDIX D

WITHDRAWN TESTS

Some tests are withdrawn from the ACVC because they do not conform to the Ada Standard. When testing was performed, the following 16 tests had been withdrawn at the time of validation testing for the reasons indicated:

- . B4A010C: The object declaration in line 18 follows a subprogram body of the same declarative part.
- . B83A06B: The Ada Standard 8.3(17) and AI-00330 permit the label LAB_ENUMERAL of line 80 to be considered a homograph of the enumeration literal in line 25.
- . BA2001E: The Ada Standard 10.2(5) states: "Simple names of all subunits that have the same ancestor library unit must be distinct identifiers." This test checks for the above condition when stubs are declared. However, the Ada Standard does not preclude the check being made when the subunit is compiled.
- . BC3204C: The file BC3204C4 should contain the body for BC3204C0 as indicated in line 25 of BC3204C3M.
- . C35904A: The elaboration of subtype declarations SFX3 and SFX4 may raise NUMERIC_ERROR (instead of CONSTRAINT_ERROR).
- . C41404A: The values of 'LAST and 'LENGTH are incorrect in IF statements from line 74 to the end of the test.
- . C48008A: This test requires that the evaluation of default initial values not occur when an exception is raised by an allocator. However, the Language Maintenance Committee (LMC) has ruled that such a requirement is incorrect (AI-00397/01).

D-1

WITHDRAWN TESTS

• • ~ ~

- . C4A014A: The number declarations in lines 19-22 are incorrect because conversions are not static.
- . C92005A: At line 40, "/=" for type PACK.BIG_INT is not visible without a USE clause for package PACK.
- . C940ACA: This test assumes that allocated task TT1 will run prior to the main program, and thus assign SPYNUMB the value checked for by the main program; however, such an execution order is not required by the Ada Standard, so the test is erroneous.
- CA1003B: This test requires all of the legal compilation units of a file containing some illegal units to be compiled and executed. According to AI-00255, such a file may be rejected as a whole.
- . CA3005A..D (4 tests): No valid elaboration order exists for these tests.
- . CE2107E: This test has a variable, TEMP_HAS_NAME, that needs to be given an initial value of TRUE.

