



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL HUREAU OF STANDARDS 1967 A

.....

OTIC FILE COPY AD TITLE Development of a DNA-Based Method for Distinguishing the Malaria Vectors, Anopheles gambiae from Anopheles arabiensis TYPE OF REPORT Annual Report AUTHOR .ECTE Victoria Finnerty, Ph.D. APR 3 0 1987 DATE June 1986 D Supported by U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701-5012 Contract No. DAMD17-85-C-5184 Emory University Atlanta, GA 30322

862

AD-A179

DOD DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

-i-

87

041

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

REPORT I	DOCUMENTATIO	N PAGE		For	n Approved B No 0704-0188
a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS	Exp	Date Jun 30, 1986
Unclassified					
		3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT			
b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	ILE	distribution unlimited			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION RE	PORT NUMBE	R(S)
a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Emory University Department of Biology	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORGAI	NIZATION	
c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Atlanta, Georgia 30322	_	7b. ADDRESS (Ci	ty, State, and ZIP (Code)	
a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Comman	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER DAMD17-85-C-5184			
c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMBER	S	
t. Detrick, Frederick, MD 217	01-5012	ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO 3M162, 770A870	NO AO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO 008
Finnerty, Victoria Ph.D. 3a. TYPE OF REPORT Annual 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	overed -6-15 to <u>86-6-</u> 14	14. DATE OF REPO	DRT (Year. Month) 1986 Ju	Dav) 15. PAG	GE COUNT
				·	
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	T8. SUBJECT TERMIS (Continue on rever	se it necessary and	identify by b	lock number)
	Recombinant DNA	A / Species 1	Identificatio	on / Anopł	neline Malari Vectors
9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block r	number)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	lex includes six	morphologic	ally identic	al specie tors toda	s, two of wh y. Since tw
The Anopheles gambiae compl (A. gambiae and A. arabiens or more of the species are involvement of each in mala our efforts to identify a I Southern blots containing b fragment length polymorphis A. gambiae genomic library. fragment which shows hybric 1.5% EcoRI fragment in A. of whole genomic DNA. The abdomens although the DNA e	sis) are the pri commonly sympat aria transmissio DNA segment from both A. gambiae sm. Thus far we . From this we dization to abou gambiae. These method can also extraction metho	mary African ric, epidemi A. gambiae and A. arabi have succes have obtaine t a 6kb Ecof diagnostic be used on d currently	ological stu difficult. which when u ensis DNA wi sfully clone d a .5kb Eco I fragment i bands are co dried single used does no	dies to d This repo sed as a 11 reveal ed an rDNA RI-SalI s n A. arab mosistentl mosquito it always	etermine the rt describes probe on a restricti gene from a ubcloned iensis and t y seen on bl es or mosqui yield
The Anopheles gambiae compl (A. gambiae and A. arabiens or more of the species are involvement of each in mala our efforts to identify a I Southern blots containing t fragment length polymorphis A. gambiae genomic library. fragment which shows hybric 1.5% EcoRI fragment in A. of whole genomic DNA. The abdomens although the DNA e	sis) are the pri commonly sympat aria transmissio DNA segment from both A. gambiae sm. Thus far we From this we fization to abou gambiae. These method can also extraction metho	mary African ric, epidemi n have been A. gambiae and A. arabi have succes have obtaine t a 6kb Ecof diagnostic be used on d currently	ological stu difficult. which when u ensis DNA wi sfully clone d a .5kb Eco I fragment i bands are co dried single used does no	dies to d This repo sed as a 11 reveal ed an rDNA RI-SalI s n A. arab ensistentl mosquito t always	etermine the rt describes probe on a restricti gene from a ubcloned iensis and t y seen on bl es or mosqui yield
The Anopheles gambiae compl (A. gambiae and A. arabiens or more of the species are involvement of each in mala our efforts to identify a I Southern blots containing t fragment length polymorphis A. gambiae genomic library. fragment which shows hybric 1.5½ b EcoRI fragment in A. of whole genomic DNA. The abdomens although the DNA e 'O DISTRIBUTION TAVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS F	sis) are the pri commonly sympat aria transmissio DNA segment from both A. gambiae am. Thus far we . From this we dization to abou gambiae. These method can also extraction metho	mary African ric, epidemi n have been A. gambiae and A. arabi have succes have obtaine t a 6kb Ecof diagnostic be used on d currently 21 ABSTRACT SE 22b TELEPHONE	ological stu difficult. which when u ensis DNA wi sfully clone ed a .5kb Eco I fragment i bands are co dried single used does no CURITY CLASSIFICA	dies to d This repo sed as a 11 reveal ed an rDNA RI-SalI s n A. arab onsistentl mosquito at always	etermine the rt describes probe on a restricti gene from a ubcloned iensis and t y seen on bl es or mosqui yield

l

j S ^{on} south in a substant relation. In a substant relation and the relation relation relation relation relation relation

AD _____

1244444

TITLE Development of a DNA-Based Method for Distinguishing the Malaria Vectors, Anopheles gambiae from Anopheles arabiensis

> TYPE OF REPORT Annual Report

AUTHOR Victoria Finnerty, Ph.D.

> DATE June 1986

Supported by

(

U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701-5012

Contract No. DAMD17-85-C-5184

Emory University Atlanta, GA 30322

DOD DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

SUMMARY

The Anopheles gambiae complex includes sim morphologically identical species, two of which (A. gambiae and A. arabiensis) are the primary African malaria vectors today. Since two or more of the species are commonly sympatric, epidemiological studies to determine the involvement of each in malaria transmission have been difficult. This report describes our efforts to identify a DNA segment from A. gambiae which when used as a probe on Bouthern blots containing both A. gambiae and A. arabiensis DNA will reveal a restriction fragment length polymorphism. Thus far we have successfully cloned an rDNA gene from an A. gambiae genomic library. From this we have obtained a .5kb EcoRI-Sall subcloned fragment which shows hybridization to about a 6kb EcoRI tragment in A. arabiensis and to a 1.5kb EcoRI fragment in A. gambiae.T These diagnostic bands are consistently seen on blots of whole genomic DNA. The method can also be used on dried single mosquitoes or mosquito abdomens although the DNA extraction method currently used does not always yield sufficient DNA for the test.

end a set a server provide the server as a second

(
•
i.
Ì
i



FOREWORD

. 5

(

(

Studies with Recombinant DNA: The investigator has abided by the National Institutes of Health Guidelines for Researach Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules (April 1992) and the Administrative Practices Supplements.

Emory University Biosafety File No. 142-85

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Front Cover	i
DD Form 1473	i i
Title Page	iii
Summary	iv
Foreword	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables and Figures	vii
Body of Report Background Rationale Expernents and Results A. Heterologous Probes B. Mosquito Mitochondrial DNA Probes C. Mosquito rDNA Probes D. Partial Characterization of Fragment 128X E. Southern Analysis of Single Mosquitoes	1 2 2 3 5 6
Literature Cited	8
Appendices (Tables and Figures)	10
Distribution List	24

(

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1 (p. 10). Strains available from CDC.

Table 2 (p. 11). Restriction fragments from A. gambiae clones AM12 and Am20 showing no homology to the Sciara heterologous probe. Coccession in the second

A STANDAR BASE

adda a shara a shara a shekara shekara

Table 3 (p. 13). Hybridization profiles of EcoRI, Sall and EcoRI plus Sall restriction fragments of clone AM12 to different probes.

Figure 1 (p. 13). Autoradiogram. Southern analysis of genomic DNA.

Figure 2 (p. 14). Hybridization pattern of Sciara probe to A.g. and A.a. genomic DNA.

Figu = 3 (p. 15). Hybridization pattern of A.g. rDNA clones to Sciara probe.

Figure 4 (p. 15. Hybridization pattern of probe 12TR to A.g. and A.a.

Figure 5 (p. 17). Hybridization pattern of probe 12BX to A.g. and A.a.

Figure 6 (p. 12). Hybridization pattern of probe 23TR to A.g. and A.a.

Figure 7 (p. 19). Tentative restriction map of probe 12BX region of AM12.

Figures 9,9 (pp. 20,21). Southern analysis of single mosquitoes with probe 12BX.

Figure 10 (p. 22). Southern analysis of various amounts of A.a. and A.g. DNA; single mosquito analysis; probed with 12BX.

Figure 11 (p. 23). Southern analysis of single dried A.g. abdomens probed with 12BX.

ANNUAL REPORT

1

- 1. Contract No: DAMD 17-85-0-5184
- 2. Principal Investigator: Victoria Finnerty, Ph.D.
- 3. Date of Report: 15 June 1986
- 4: Address: Dept. of Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322
- 5. Project Title: Development of a DNA-based method of distinguishing the malaria vectors A. gambiae from A. arabiensis.

6. Statement of Problem Under Study:

A. gambiae and A. arabiensis, the two most prominent African malaria vectors are morphologically indistinguishable (1,2). However, biological evidence suggests that these two sympatric species may not be equally involved in malaria transmission in those areas where they co-exist (3-4). The resolution of this important epidemiological question requires a reliable means for species identification of individual field specimens. Moreover, such specimens must also be examined for the presence of the malaria sporozoite. At present, the only useful means for species identification of adults is examination of the nurse cell polytene chromosomes (5). Alternative procedures based on electrophoretic enzyme variation or cuticular hydrocarbon profiles determined by HPLC (6) are not reliable. It is clear that none of the above-mentioned procedures are practical epidemiological tools for field specimens. On the other hand, several types of reliable immunological procedures for detecting sporozoites in dried field material have recently been developed (7-11). Therefore, a very useful addition to these studies would be a means of reliably identifying the species of single dried mosquitoes. This report will discuss our current efforts to develop such an assay.

7. Background:

Many of the major malaria vectors are members of species complexes, for instance, <u>A. culicifacies (12), A. leucosphyrus (13)</u>, and the <u>A. farauti</u> sibling series (14). In these complexes, as well as in the <u>A. gambiae</u> complex, reliable species identification of individuals is currently tedious and difficult. Since malaria continues to represent a major world health problem, epidemiological studies with these species is crucial.

Our studies are focused on two sympatric species, A. <u>gambiae</u> and A. <u>arabiensis</u>. The original proposal hypothesized that the genomic DNA of these two species currently differs in ways that would permit reliable species identification. In particular, we sought to develop a species differentiating assay based upon restriction fragment length polymorphism as detected by either heterologous or species-specific probes.

8. Rationale:

A substantial body of evidence argues that RFLP exist between members of related species (15,16,17). Given that we expected to find such differences between A.

<u>gambiae</u> and <u>A</u>. <u>arabiensis</u>, it seemed that a DNA-based assay would be an ideal epidemiological tool. The major advantages of a DNA-based assay should be the ability to use dried material and the sensitivity of Southern analysis.

9. Experiments and Results:

(A) <u>Heterologous Probes</u>. Our proposal suggested an initial survey of heterologous probes which are known to be highly conserved throughout various phyla (18,19). One choice was the <u>Drosophila</u> actin sequence (20) because in addition to its highly conserved sequence, it is a member of a multigene family, thus giving us more copies per genome for detection of single mosquito patterns by Southern analysis. However, in our preliminary studies hybridization with the <u>Drosophila</u> actin probe required such low stringency conditions that the signal to noise ratio made the blots impossible to interpret. We quickly decided to examine appropriate mosquito sequences to use as probes for distinguishing RFLPs. Moreover, since our long term goals include isolation of species-specific mosquito sequences, we did not examine any other heterologous probes.

(B) <u>Mosquito Mitochondrial DNA Probes</u>. Studies of mitochondrial RFLPs have been widely used to construct the phylogeny of closely related species. We therefore decided to utilize this approach for <u>A. gambiae</u> and <u>A. arabiensis</u>. We obtained a clone pDyHB from David Wolstenhome, bearing a 4.8kb HindIII fragment from <u>Drosophila yakuba</u> which includes the cytochrome oxidase I and II genes. Since these genes are highly conserved, we sought to use this fragment to identify a homologous sequence in <u>A. gambiae</u>. When pDyHB (shown below in red) was used to probe <u>A. gambiae</u> DNA restricted with various enzymes, Southern analysis showed a small number of hybridizing bands.



The combined molecular size of these bands was in no case greater than that (14-17kb) expected for the mitochondrial genome. One of the hybridizing bands is a 5.5kb EcoRI fragment which is a convenient size for cloning into the lambda-gtl0 vector. We therefore electroeluted 5-6kb DNA from a preparative EcoRI digest, ligated the fragments with lambda-gtl0, and packaged the phage using the commercially available "Gigapack." The resulting minilibrary was screened using lowered stringency (hybridization and washing at 42°C) with the pDyHB probe.

One of the selected clones, MR7, had a 5.5kb insert which was used for further study. Of the several enzymes tried, the most interesting differences between gambiae and arabiensis were seen with PstI digests probed with MR7, an example of which is shown as figure 1. In addition to the interspecies difference, there is also a suggestion that this probe may be useful for diagnosing various geographical isolates of a given species, such as those listed in Table 1. We did not investigate this interesting possibility any further.

One question concerning MR7 is whether it actually represents mitochondrial DNA. Dne possibility would be that it is actually a nuclear DNA sequence containing a tRNA also present (see hatched areas above) in mitochondria. Since tRNA sequences tend to be highly conserved, the <u>D</u>. yakuba probe could have selected either nuclear or mitochondrial sequence. We found that the fragment of pDyHC (shown above in green) also hybridizes to MR7, which indicates that MR7 most likely is a mitochondrial sequence. However, we have not characterized MR7 any further and therefore we cannot make definite statements concerning its origin. Regardless of its origin, MR7 is formally equivalent to a mitochondrial probe since it hybridizes to mitochondrial DNA. In summary, we found interesting differences between A. gambiae and A. arabiensis using MR7, but even the PstI pattern differences (shown in figure 1) would be difficult to discern with confidence if one were using single mosquitoes. We might have then cloned other portions of the mosquito mitochondrial genome to see if a more useful probe could be found, had we not already obtained interesting preliminary data from mosquito rDNA clones. Thus we began to examine rDNA probes which might be more useful as potential diagnostic tools.

(C) Mosquito rDNA Probes. The rDNA genes of most eukaryotic organisms contain con-transcribed spacer regions (NTS) which have been shown to diverge quite rapidly compared to the rDNA sequences and even single copy genes. Insect rDNA genes may also contain introns and/or various other middle repetitive sequences (21). In the dipterans thus far examined these genes are generally present in excess of 200 copies per genome and are arranged in a few large tandem arrays. In short, the NTS regions (and possibly introns) possess the same advantages as do mitochondrial DNA of high copy number per cell and probable divergence between species.

In order to examine the possibility that rDNA probes would be useful, Alina Mendez (an undergraduate honors student) used restriction digests of whole genomic DNA probed with the Sciara coprophila clone diagramed below:



The clone contains an 8.4kb repeat unit (shown in red) which contains both 18s and 28s genes. These genes in turn contain sequences which are highly conserved between species. Although the restriction patterns shown in fig. 2 are generally similar, the SmaI pattern shows a striking difference, thus indicating this avenue was worthy of further study. We therefore proceeded to isolate rDNA clones from A. gambiag. A group of 13 mosquito rDNA clones were isolated from an <u>A. gambiae</u> library in EMBL-3 by Alina Mendez. The library (kindly provided by Nora Beszansky) was probed (42°, 50% formamide) with the 8.4kb segment of <u>S. coprophila</u> rDNA (22) shown above in red. After preliminary restriction analysis it was clear that several different patterns were represented, indicating that the rDNA genes of <u>A</u>. gambiae are probably not a homogeneous array. Insect rDNA is known to vary, particularly with respect to the presence of introns (21,23).

In order to quickly identify potentially interesting regions, two apparently different clones, AM23 and AM12, were chosen for further study. Southern analysis of EcoRI plus SalI digests probed with the Sciara rDNA revealed hybridization to a 6.64kb fragment present in both clones and a 1kb fragment (see figure 3) present only in AM12. The non-hybridizing fragments are indicated in green on the figure as dotted lines. Since by definition these fragments are non-conserved with respect to Sciara, we assumed that they might represent NTS, intron, or flanking (unique) sequences. However, the latter possibility is very unlikely. A list of the fragments with their molecular sizes is given in Table 2. In order to quickly determined whether any of the non-hybridizing fragments would reveal any useful RFLP differences, the fragments were electroeluted from preparative digests of AM12 and AM23. Due to the closeness of the fragments at 1.40 and 1.27kb, these were eluted together as a doublet as were the 0.90 and 0.80kb, both from AM23. The fragments (23TR doublet, 23BR doublet, 23BX, 12TR, and 12BX) were nick-translated and used to probe EcoRI digested genomic Southern blots. As shown in figure 4, the 12TR fragment showed hybridization in arabiensis at 5-7kb while gambiae showed a somewhat different pattern of hybridization in the 5-15kb range. Since these size differences were modest and not particularly useful for single mosquitoes we have not studied 12TR any further. In contrast, the 12BX probe revealed remarkable differences: in gambiae, a 1.3kb band hybridizes whereas arabiensis shows hybridization at 6-7.7kb, as shown in figure 5. Hybridization of 12BX to genomic EcoRI plus SalI digests shows hybridization at 0.5kb (presumably with itself) in both strains. However, 12BX hybridizes to

three additional bands in gambiae, 1.43kb, 1.3kb, and 0.9kb, which are not present in any of the arabiensis strains. Thus, because of the size differences in hybridizing bands, 12BX is probably our best candidate for use as a diagnostic probe on single mosquito blots. The 23BX pattern is identical to that seen with 12BX. When 23BX is used to probe an EcoRI plus SalI digest of AM23, it shows hybridization to itself as well as to the 23TR and 23BR doublets, but we have not yet determined whether one or both fragments of a doublet are hybridizing. Thus 23BX shares some homology with 23TR and 23BR.

2000

With EcoRI digests the 23TR probe hybridizes strongly to 5.1kb, 3.8kb, and 2.5kb in arabiensis, but these bands are not found in gambiae as shown in figure 6. However, there are some common bands hybridizing at the higher molecular weights. Weak hybridization is seen to the 1.3kb EcoRI band, revealed by 12BX and 23BX. The SalI digests show most hybridization in gambiae is concentrated around a 1.4kb band which represents the top (SalI) band of the 23TR doublet. This fragment does not hybridize in <u>A. arabiensis</u> strains. At higher molecular sizes, both species show similar hybridization patterns. For EcoRI plus SalI digests most hybridization is occurring in gambiae at the 1.4 and 1.27kb bands which presumably represent the 23TR doublet, but these fragments do not hybridize in the arabiensis strains. Our interest in 23TR revolves around the possibility that its very much stronger hybridization with gambiae compared to that in arabiensis could make it useful as a species specific probe for dot blots of whole undigested single mosquitoes.

Hybridization patterns obtained from the 23BR probe are very similar but not identical to those obtained from 23TR. EcoRI digests show hybridization to 5.1kb and 3.8kb bands of <u>A. gambiae</u>, which are also found in the EcoRI pattern seen with 23TR. There is strong hybridization to the 1.3kb EcoRI band seen with the 12BX, 23BX, and 23TR probes. In <u>A. arabiensis</u>, EcoRI digests probed with 23BR show hybridization in several bands around 5.5kb. Using SalI digests we see the same general pattern found with other probes: most hybridization in <u>A. gambiae</u> occurs at low molecular weights while for the <u>A. arabiensis</u> strains it occurs at high molecular weights. Thus, the 23BR, 23TR, and 23BX probes are all potentially useful for distinguishing <u>A. gambiae</u> from <u>A. arabiensis</u>. However, we felt that 12BX (and 23BX) were most useful because of their complete lack of overlap in the areas of hybridization.

In order to further study the properties of fragment 12BX, we subcloned it into a vector "M13+ Bluescribe," purchased from Vector Labs. This vector has a multiple cloning site flanked by T4 and T7 promoters so that single stranded RNA probes (sense and antisense) can be generated. We could also, if necessary, have the vector behave as an M13 phage and thereby sequence the inserted fragment. We have definitely confirmed that the subcloned 12BX reveals a Southern pattern identical to that of the 12BX fragment we studied by electroeluting from a digest of AM12.

(D) Partial Characterization of Fragment 12BX. In order to determine the approximate location of 12BX within clone AM12, a series of restriction digests of AM12 were made using EcoRI and SalI. These were blotted and probed separately with three fragments obtained from the Sciara rDNA clone, as well as with 12BX. The origin of the Sciara probes as well as the results obtained are shown in Table 3. It is important to note that the 28s β probe also contains the "gap" sequence plus 270bp of the 28s α coding region. The 28s α probe contains the internal transcribed spacer, the 5.8s coding sequence and 225bp of 18s sequence. Due to these overlaps in the probes, the hybridizations were not all as clear cut

as we would like. Nonetheless, it is possible to construct a crude restriction map giving the probable location of 12BX as shown in figure 7. From this we tentatively assume that 12BX represents a portion of an intron in the 2Bs β gene.

A second property of 12BX is that the hybridization patterns it reveals on Southern analysis are identical to those of 23BX. The relationship of these fragments to each other and to 23BR and 23TR is currently being worked out using subclones of the EcoRI/Sall portions of these doublets. Thus far we know that 12BX is not homologous to either of the EcoRI/Sall fragments. Thus whatever similarities we see in the Southern patterns revealed by these fragments must be due to sequences found in the other portion of each doublet.

(E) <u>Southern Analysis of Single Mosquitoes</u>. Our goal is to determine whether single dried mosquitoes from various populations of the gambiae and arabiensis species can be reliably classified using one or more of our probes.

Our first experiments with single mosquitoes compared dried (2 weeks), ethanol preserved, and frozen individuals. Basically, we used 4 mosquitoes for each treatment and probed the blot with 12BX. After a 3 hour exposure, most of the lanes could be correctly classified, with the dried mosquitoes showing the strongest hybridization. We incorrectly assumed (on the basis of this limited trial) that the analysis of single mosquitoes would present no problems. We thus began to test the reliability of the probe by accumulating blots of hundreds of individuals from the CDC colonies. As this was being done, we were attempting to subclone 12BX and the other potentially useful fragments. However, when the blots were finally probed, we found that we had two problems. One, the DNA, al-though present in sufficient quantity, was not restricted. If that occurs one sees a dark smear of uncut material at relatively high molecular sizes. Two, we found that we often did not obtain a sufficient amount of DNA as judged by lanes with no hybridization.

Obviously, to some extent these problems are related. Figure 8 illustrates some of the difficulty: the photograph (figure 9) shows a reasonably acceptable DNA quantity in each lane. Given this amount of DNA, lanes 3, 4, and 5 appear not to have transferred during blotting. Lane 2 appears as if it transferred but did not cut. Only lanes 7 and 8 are scoreable as A. arabiensis.

As shown in fig. 10, we have placed various amounts of whole genomic DNA in lanes 2-7. Lanes 8-12 contain single mosquitoes, dried for 1 month before extraction. Lanes 2-7 show that the gambiae DNA (KWA) and arabiensis DNA (Kisumu) give the expected patterns. Lane 8 shows partially digested gambiae DNA which hybridizes at high molecular sizes as does arabiensis, but only faintly at about 1.5kb as we expect it to do. Thus we could reliably score only lane 10 as gambiae and 12 as arabiensis.

The problems associated with the extraction, recovery, and ability to cut DNA from single mosquitoes does not thus far appear to depend upon the length of dessication for the limited times we've tested. Although the problem of poor transfer of DNA from the gel to the filter is sporadic, we attempted to use an electroblot device marketed by IBI specifically designed for horizontal agarose gels. The apparatus was so cumbersome and time-consuming to use that we've abandoned further consideration of the blotting problem.

A second possibility could be the sensitivity of the probe, such that we would literally miss hybridization in lanes which had a relatively small amount of DNA. We tried to raise the sensitivity of our probe in two ways. First, we compared 2 x 10° cpm/Hg of the electroeluted 12BX fragment with the same specific activity for the nick-translated whole plasmid. Although 12BX is only about 12% of the total plasmid sequences, we obtained equally good results with each probe. Thus, increasing our specific activity about ten-fold did not appear to increase the sensitivity. Second, we made a single-stranded (RNA) version of 12BX, again in an attempt to rescue some of the apparently blank, unscoreable single mosquito lanes. We found that this probe gives a lower background but the signal was weaker, so that it does not amount to any increase in sensitivity. Moreover, the utilization of single-stranded RNA is inherently less practical than doublestranded DNA probes because everything (including solutions and gel boxes) must be made RNAse-free.

The DNA extraction protocol appears to be the major culprit both in terms of recovery and the ability to restrict the DNA. We have therefore used alternative protocols for DNA extraction, but as yet we do not know if they will prove to be more effective.

The analysis of single dried abdomens has been one of our long-term goals. Due to our sporadic success with the whole mosquito, we've thus far only done one experiment with abdomens. Figure 11 shows that analysis: 11 single dried abdomens from A. gambiae were run on the gel, and 7 of them are clearly scored as A. gambiae. The other lanes would not be scored at all due to either lack of DNA or DNA that is obviously not restricted. Thus, we look forward to continued success in this regard.

In summary, what we've demonstrated thus far is as follows:

1. The probe 12BX (when nick-translated as part of the M13 Bluescribe vector) is perfectly capable of giving us an easily recognized, distinctive hybridization pattern difference using whole genomic DNA.

2. Individual dried mosquitoes contain a sufficient number of copies of the rDNA sequences to allow clear differentiation between the species. This probably applies as well to single dried abdomens.

1日間になっていたが、実際できたのでは影響にたれたたたを制度がたちのかの値動でいた。このを見ついたからではないできたがたたたちので、トランシンでは、空間にためたちの内内

-

10. References

(1) Coluzzi M, A Sabatini, V Petrarca, and MA DiDeco. 1979. Chromosomal differentiation and adaptation to human environments in the <u>Anopheles gambiae</u> complex. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 73:483-97.

(2) Davidson G, HE Paterson, M Coluzzi, GF Mason, and DW Micks. 1967. The Anopheles gambiae complex. In: Genetics of Insect Vectors of Disease (ed. JW Wright and R Pal), Amsterdam, Elsevier, pp. 211-50.

(3) Coluzzi M, A Sabatini, V Petrarca, MA DiDeco. 1977. Behavioral divergences between mosquitoes with different inversion karyotypes in polymorphic populations of the Anopheles gambiae complex. Nature 266:832-33.

(4) Molibneaux L and G Gramiccia. 1980. The Garki Project: Research on the epidemiology and control of malaria in the Sudan savanna of West Africa. World Health Organization, Geneva.

(5) Coluzzi M and A Sabatini. 1967. Cytogenetic observations on species A and B of the Anopheles gambiae complex. Parasitologia 9:73-88.

(6) Carlson DA and MW Service. 1980. Identification of mosquitoes of Anopheles gambiae species complex A and B by analysis of cuticular components. Science 207:1089-91.

(7) Zavala F, RW Gwadz, FH Collins, RS Nussenzweig, and V Nussenzweig. 1982. Monoclonal antibodies to circumsporozoite proteins identify the species of malaria parasite in infected mosquitoes. Nature 299:737-38.

(8) Collins FH, F Zavala, PM Graves, AH Cochrane, RW Gwadz, J Akoh, and RS Nussenzweig. 1984. First field trial of an immunoradiometric assay for the detection of malaria sporozoites in mosquitoes. Am J Trop Med Hyg 33:538-43.

(9) Collins FH, RW Gwadz, LC Koontz, F Zavala, and RS Nussensweig. 1984. Laboratory assessment of a species-specific radioimmunoassay for the detection of malaria sporozoites in mosquitoes. J Med Entomol (in press).

(10) Burkot TR, F Zavala, RW Gwadz, FH Collins, RS Nussenzweig, and DR Roberts. 1984. Identification of malaria-infected mosquitoes by a two-site enzymelinked immunosorbent assay. Am J Trop Med Hyg 33:227-31.

(11) Burkot TR, JL Williams, and I Schneider. 1984. Identification of Plasmodium falciparum infected mosquitoes by a direct antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Am J Trop Med Hyg (in press).

(12) Miles SJ. 1981. Unidirectional hybrid sterility from crosses between species A and species B of the taxon <u>Anopheles</u> (<u>Cellia</u>) <u>culicifacies Giles</u>. J Trop Med Hyg 84:13-16.

(13) Baimai V, BA Harrison, and L Somchit. 1981. Karyotype differentiation of three anopheline taxa in the Balabacensis complex of Southeast Asia (Diptera: Culicidae). Genetica 57:81-86.

(14) Mahon RJ and PM Miethke. 1982. Anopheles farauti No. 3, a hitherto unrecognized biological species of mosquito within the taxon A. farauti Laveran (Diptera: Culicidae). Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 76:8-12.

(15) Jeffreys AJ. 1981. Recent studies of gene evolution using recombinant DNA. In: Genetic Engineering 2 (ed R Williamson), NY, Academic Press, pp. 1-48.

(16) Avise J, RA Lansman, and RO Shade. 1979. Use of restriction endonucleases to measure mtDNA sequence relatedness in natural populations. I. Peromyscus. Genetics 92:279-95.

(17) Langley CH, E Montgomery, and W Quattlebaum. 1982. Restriction map variation in the AdH region of Drosophila. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 79:5631-35.

(18) Nagao RT, DM Shah, VK Eckenrode and RB Miagher. 1981. Multigene family

of actin-related sequences isolated from a soybean genomic library. DNA 1:1-9. (19) Ng E and J Abelson. 1980. Isolation and sequence of the gene for actin

in <u>S.</u> cerevisiae. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 77:3912-16.

(20) Sanchez F, SL Tobin, U Rdest, E Zulauf, and BJ McCarthy. 1983. Two Drosophila actin genes in detail. Gene structure, protein structure, and transcription during development. J Mol Biol 163:533-51.

(21) Beckingham K. 1982. Insect rDNA. In: The Cell Nucleus, Vol. X. Academic Press, NY.

(22) Gerbi S and R Renkowitz. 1979. Sciara rDNA has a small homogenous repeat. Mol Gen Genet 173:1-13.

and static the second

(23) Gray MW, D Sankoff, and RJ Cedergren. 1982. On the evolutionary descent of organisms and organelles: A global phylogeny based on a highly conserved structural core in small subunit ribosomal RNA. Nucl Acids Res 12:5837-52.

(24) Maniatis T, EF Fritsch, and J Sambrook. 1982. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spg Harb Labs, NY.

9

Table 1. List of mosquito strains available from the CDC.

Designation	Origin	Specie	25
GMAL	Sudan	A. ara	abiensis
SENNAR	Sudan	Α.	
KISUMU	Kenya	Α.	н
6-3	The Gambia	A. gan	nbiae
KWA	Kenya	Α.	41
BAD	Nigeria	Α.	
MU	Tanzania	Α.	11
ZAN	Zanzibar	Α.	15
BREF	The Gambia	Α.	*1

sagagaga kasaanan kasaanan kasaanan basasasa "basaana kasaana ka kasaana ka kasaana ka kasaana ka kasaana kasa

Table 2. Restriction fragments from A. gambiae clones AM12 and AM23 showing no homology to the <u>Sciara</u> heterologous probe.

<u>i'rament (115)</u>	fromons on is:	<u>decimatian</u>
clone 23:		
1.40	Sal-I	23TR
1.27	EcoR-I/Jal-I	23TR
0,90	EcoR-I/Cal-I	231R
0,30	Sal-I	208R
0.50	EcoR-I/Sal-I	23BX
clone 12:		
5.3	EcoR-I	12TR
0,90	EcoR-I/Cal-I	12TX
0,50	EcoR-I/Sal-I	123X

* Separate EcoR-I and Sal-I digests were performed in order to determine the fragments' ends

(

Table 3. Hybridization profiles of EcoRI, Sall, and EcoRI/Sall restriction fragments of clone AM12 to different probes whose origin is shown below.







Figure 1. Autoradiogram from Southern analysis of whole genomic DNA (5 µg/lane). The probe was nick-translated to a specific activity of 4.5×10^7 cpm/µg. The electrophoresis and blotting were done according to standard protocols (24). The prehybridization and hybridization (20 hrs.) solutions contain 50% formamide, 6 x SSC, 0.5% SDS, 5 x Denhardts, 10 µg/ml salmon sperm DNA. The blots are washed at 42° for 2 hrs. This blot was exposed for 20 hrs. at -80°C. The position of the lambda EcoRI standards is given on the right.

-13-

AND ALLER AND AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPANTA DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPO

Figure 2. Hybridization of §. coprophila EcoRI repeat unit to various restriction digests of A. gambiae, strain G3 (indicated as g) and A. arabiensis, strain Kisumu (indicated as a). Left to right, lanes 1, 2 are EcoRI digests; 3, 4 are HindIII; 5, 6 are Sall; 7, 8 are Smal; 9, 10 are HaeIII. The blot was treated as described in fig. 1, and exposed for 72 hrs. Each lane contains 5 µg DNAs. The probe was 1.4×10^7 cpm/µg. The position of the lambda R1 standards is shown on the left.

SAMANANA, KUUUUU KANANAN UUUUUU

164494449



Figure 3. Hybridization patterns of clones AM23 (a, at the right) and AM12 (b, at the left) to the Sciara rDNA repeat unit. The relative locations of the non-hybridizing fragments are shown as horizontal green dotted lines. The lambda R1 standard positions for each gel are shown on the extreme right and left of the figure. Specific activity of the probe is 3.6×10^7 cpm/µg. The blot was exposed for 2.5 hrs. Note that 12TR actually does hybridize faintly to the probe. All other manipulations were described in fig. 1.

いっていたの



-16-

Figure 4. Hybridization of fragment 12TR to EcoRI digests of A. gambiae (strains G-3 and BAD) and A. arabiensis (strains Gmal and Sennar). The probe was 1.1 x 107 cpm per ug; the blot was exposed for 1 hr. All other manipulations were as described in the legend to fig. 1.



Figure 5. Hybridization of probe 12BX to EcoRI digests of A. gambiae, strains G-3 and BAD (B) and A. arabiensis, strains Gmal (G) and Sennar (S). The specific activity of the probe was 3.6×10^7 cpm/µg and the blot was exposed for 3 hrs. All other manipulations are as described in the legend to fig. 1.



Figure 6. Hybridization of probe 23TR to EcoRI restriction digests of <u>A</u>. gambiae, strains G-3 (G) and BAD (B), and to <u>A</u>. arabiensis strains Kisumu (K), Sennar (S), and Gmal (Gm). The blot in panel 1 was exposed for 20 hrs., the blot in panel 2 was exposed for 3 hrs. The specific activity of the probe was 2.8 x 10⁷ cpm/µg. All other manipulations were performed as described in the legend to fig. 1. Here we note that the hybridization to the arabiensis strains is much weaker than to gambiae.



0-6-



Figure 7. Tentative restriction map of a 12BX containing region of clone AM12.

A lease the second s

Sirkyle mosq. 245 BAD 210+36 237 70-36 6-3 70-56 6-3 70-76 Bref 70-76 Emoty cmal 70-36 Ke 20-36 1 2 3 4 8 ÷2 子を見て あいろうち plasmid 12.BX 3doy exp. 1ev 6/15/86 5-6 W (6-5-86) com × 10 1.8 :3.3

Figure 9. Photograph of ethidium fluorescence. The strains BAD, Zan, and G-3 are A. gambiae. The Bref is A. melas; Gmal and Kisu are A. arabiensis. The live mosquitoes were placed briefly at -20° C to kill them and were then placed in separate tubes in an airtight container containing dessicant. After drying for three wks., the mosquitoes were homogenized and extracted directly in those tubes.

マンシンシンション たいかかかから アイ・シング

Contraction and a second



Figure 10. Southern analysis of <u>A</u>. <u>gambiae</u> and <u>A</u>. <u>arabiensis</u>. Lanes 2-7 contain whole genomic DNA placed there in order to confirm the expected RFLP pattern, as well as to compare the intensity of ethidium bormide fluorescence with that seen in the single mosquito lanes. The KWA is <u>A</u>. <u>gambiae</u> and Kisumu is <u>A</u>. <u>arabiensis</u>.



Figure 11. Southern analysis of single dried abdomens from the Zanzibar strain of <u>A. gambiae</u>. Lanes 4, 6, and 9 apparently have insufficient DNA to allow hybridization signal. Lane 3 has sufficient but apparently non-cut DNA. It is possible that since lanes 6, 8, and 11 do show ethidium bromide fluorescence, sufficient DNA is present for hybridization, but these individuals simply lack homology to 12BX. We are currently testing this idea by hybridizing this blot to 28s sequences from <u>C. erythrocephala</u>.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

12 copies Director Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Walter Reed Army Medical Center ATTN: SGRD-UWZ-C Washington, DC 20307-5100

ないというとの

25232220

222222223737424222222

ì

<

- 1 Copy US Army Medical Research and Development Command ATTN: SGRD-RMI-S Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012
- 2 copies Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) ATTN: DTIC-DDAC Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
- l copy Dean School of Medicine Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences 4301 Jones Bridge Road Bethesda, MD 20814-4799
 - l copy Commandant Academy of Health Sciences, US Army ATTN: AHS-CDM Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6100

-24-

