### ARI Research Note 87-12

# AD-A179 797

COMPARISON OF NATIONAL TRAINING CENTER DATA SOURCES

DTIC FILE COPY

Jack Briscoe The BDM Corporation ,

for

ARI Field Unit at Presidio of Monterey, California James H. Banks, Chief

> TRAINING RESEARCH LABORATORY Jack H. Hiller, Director





ng 139

Δ

U. S. Army

Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences

January 1987

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

# U. S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

### A Field Operating Agency under the Jurisdiction of the

Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel

EDGAR M. JOHNSON Technical Director WM. DARRYL HENDERSON COL, IN Commanding

Research accomplished under contract for the Department of the Army

The BDM Corporation

Technical review by James H. Banks

This report, as submitted by the contractor, has been cleared for release to Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) to comply with regulatory requirements. It has been given no primary distribution other than to DTIC and will be available only through DTIC or other reference services such as the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation.

### UNCLASSIFIED

REPORT DOCUMENTATION P	AGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
	. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
ARI Research Note 87-12		
4. TITLE (and Subtivie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERI Final Report
Comparison of National Training Cen Data Sources	ter	July 1986
Data Sources		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(e)		BDM/ARI-TR-0068-86
		6. CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(F)
Jack Briscoe		MDA 903-85-C-0472
. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASH AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
The BDM Corporation		20263743A794
2600 Garden Road, North Building		51101
Monterey, CA 93940		
ARI Field Unit at Presidio of Monte	nov Colifornia	12. REPORT DATE January 1987
P.O. Box 5787, Presidio of Monterey	CA 93944	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		52
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different i U.S. Army Research Institute for th		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
and Social Sciences, 5001 Eisenhowe		Unclassified
Alexandria, VA 22333-5600		154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in	Block 40, it unterent iro	n Keporij
IS. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
James H. Banks, contracting officer	's representation	/e
9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	identify by block number)	
National Training Center Core Inst		
Digital Data Range Dat Data Sources	ta Management Su	ıbsystem
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and i This research note has a twofold it	purpose: to prov	vide an introduction to the gement Subsystem log data, a
National Training Center's (NTC's) to compare the two NTC digital data log tapes and 2) RDMS log tapes. Section One describes the data sou pected from each, Section Two docume segments selected, Section Three pro Section Four presents the conclusion	sources, 1) Con urces and the ty ents the methodo esents the resu	vpes of data that may be ex- blogy used in the mission
National Training Center's (NTC's) I to compare the two NTC digital data log tapes and 2) RDMS log tapes. Section One describes the data sou pected from each, Section Two docume segments selected, Section Three pro	sources, 1) Con urces and the ty ents the methodo esents the resu ns.	vpes of data that may be ex- blogy used in the mission

### COMPARISON OF NATIONAL TRAINING CENTER DATA SOURCES

1

1

Ì.

CONTENTS	
	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
NTC INSTRUMENTED DATA	2
Data Collected/Logged by the RDMS	
RDMS Log Data Processing	
Data Collected/Logged by the CIS	5
CIS Log Data Processing: The NTC Database	
Research System	6
STUDY METHODOLOGY	10
NTC Data	
Selection of Data for Detailed Analysis	
Description of CIS Log (NTCRDS) Source Data Description of RDMS Log Source Data	
Methodology Generation of RDMS Files	
Generation of NTCRDS Files	
Generation of NICRUS Files	15
RESULTS	17
Invalid Messages	
Analyses od Specific Mission Segment Data	
Firing Event Analysis	
Pairing Events Comparison	
"Killers" Demonstration	
Delay Times	
CONCLUSION	29
APPENDIX A - FIRING DATA	~ 1
	31
APPENDIX B - PAIRING DATA	41



Acces	sion Fo	r
NTIS	GRA&I	
DTIC	TAB	1
Unann	ounced	
Justi	ficatio	n
By		
Distr	ibution	1/
Avai	labilit	y Codes
	Avail a	and/or
Dist	Spec	ial
		l
		[
<b>H-1</b>		}
	1	1

### LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

	Figure	
	I-1 NTCIS Subsystems	3
	I-2 Data Elements Loged by the RDMS	4
	I-3 Data Elements Logged by the CIS	7
	I-4 NTCDRS Processing Flow	8
1.5.1	I-5 INGRES Tables vs. Loader File Names	9
	II-1 Selected Rotation Mission Segments	11
	II-2 Sample Rotation RDMS Tape Coverage	14
P.S.	II-3 RDMS Log Processing Flow	16
	III-1 Invalid Messages	17
	III-2 BLUFOR Killers	24
	III-3 OPFOR Killers	25
	III-4 Percentage Distribution of Delay Times Under Ten Seconds	28
	Table	
	III-1 Firing Data Tabulation Firing Data Comparison	20
	III-2 Pairing Data Tabulation	22
****	III-3 Delay Time Distribution	27
	iv	
A CALLARY AND A STATE AND A	<b>ፘፘፘፚ፟ኇፚፘፘጚኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯጟኯ</b>	10101
	ኯዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀ	1.1.1.1

III-1	Firing Data Tabulation Firing Data Comparison	20
I I I - 2	Pairing Data Tabulation	22
I I I - 3	Delay Time Distribution	27

### INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is twofold. It provides an introduction to the Range Data Measurement System (RDMS) log data from the National Training Center (NTC) and it provides a comparison of the two NTC digital data sources, Core Instrumentation Subsystem (CIS) log tapes and RDMS log tapes.

The report is divided into four sections. Section 1 describes the data sources and the types of data that may be expected from each. Section 2 documents methodology used in comparing the two sources, including identification of specific mission segments selected. Section 3 presents the results of the analysis, both at the micro level, for the selected mission segments, and at the macro level, with some collective statistics. Finally, section 4 is the conclusion.

### I. NTC INSTRUMENTED DATA

The NTC Instrumentation System (NTC-IS) supports the collection and retention of data at the National Training Center. The NTC-IS consists of four major subsystems, all of which are involved to some extent in the collection of digital data:

- (1) The Range Data Measurement System (RDMS),
- (2) The Core Instrumentation Subsystem (CIS),
- (3) The Live Fire Subsystem (LFS), and
- (4) The Range Monitoring and Communications Subsystem (RMCS)

The RDMS and CIS are the subsystems principally involved in digital data collection at the NTC. Figure I-1 shows the interrelationships of the relevant components of the RDMS and CIS relating to data collection.

Data collected at the NTC can be divided into three general categories: raw field data, data input manually, and data derived from either or both of the prior two categories.

Raw field data are collected by the RDMS; input data are entered via components of the CIS, and data elements are derived by both subsystems.

### I.1. Data Collected/ Logged by the RDMS

The RDMS collects "real time" data, meaning that each RDMS data element is retrieved as soon after it happens as possible, constrained only by the limits of the instrumentation systems.

Raw field data events collected by the RDMS include trigger pull (fire event) with associated ammunition remaining messages, laser illumination (pairing), and microphone key pressed/ released. In addition to the event data, the RDMS collects raw ranging data from which position/ location is derived for each instrumented player.

The RDMS provides one source of archival data from the NTC. Data elements logged from the Position/ Tracking Computational Component (PTCC) of the RDMS are listed in Figure I-2.

RDMS data are as accurate as the reliability of the collecting/ transmitting hardware allows. The complexity of NTC field instrumentation is conducive to a wide variety of error-producing conditions, including:

- (1) Spurious RF transmissions, leading to erroneous events,
- (2) "Noisy" laser sensors which generate spurious and/or inaccurate pairing events;
- (3) Hardware/electronic player instrumentation problems leading to loss or duplication of valid events, and the generation of invalid events; and





62.0

DATA ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION
Trigger Pull	Event received when a shot is fired by an instrumented weapon system. Event data consist of firer player number and weapon type.
Ammunition Remaining	Pair of events received immediately following trigger pull. Tens digit in former message, units in latter.
Laser Illumination	Event sent by target (player being lased.) This event type is one of three differ- ent kinds of codes, for HIT, NEAR MISS, and KILL. For a HIT message, event indicates general type of weapon. For NEAR MISS and KILL, more exact weapon type information is included.
Communication	An event is sent by a player whenever the microphone key for either net is depressed or released. The message includes the net (1 or 2) and the action (depress = on, release = off).
Live Fire	There are four Live Fire events passed from the targets via RDMS. They are: target UP, target DOWN, HIT by bal- listic projectile, and HIT by Laser.
Position/Location	The Position/Location of each in- strumented player is derived by RDMS software from raw Range Data and logged.
Player Status	Player Status initialization and updates, which are entered from the CIS and trans- mitted to the RDMS are also logged. These data include the B-Unit Player identification/weapon system assign- ment.

Figure I-2 Data Elements Logged by the RDMS

.

. .

0.17

(4) Coverage problems resulting in the loss of track of instrumented vehicles and the corresponding loss of position/ location and event retrieval capability.

Even in the case of perfect hardware performance, it is possible for errors to be introduced by faulty initialization. If the proper B-unit code is not associated with the right player identification, incoming events will be improperly assigned or may be deleted as invalid. Such problems can quickly lead to a serious loss of data integrity.

### I.1.1 RDMS Log Data Processing

Processing of the RDMS log tapes is accomplished by using the ARI-POM developed program GDETAP, which reads RDMS log tapes and creates three different reports:

- (1) Event lists, including firing and pairing data,
- (2) Commo report including the duration in seconds of each radio transmission, and
- (3) Player report, which shows the correspondence between RDMS player numbers and B-units.

Various VAX utilities can be used to reprocess the basic report files to yield a variety of files and reports. A complete description of program GDETAP is contained in the document <u>Program</u> <u>GDETAP</u> <u>Documentation</u>, (Briscoe, 1985).

### I.2 Data Collected/ Logged by the CIS

The Core Instrumentation Subsystem (CIS) is the heart of NTC instrumented operations, serving as the interface between the trainers and the field operations.

The CIS performs several functions related to the collection/ generation of NTC digital data:

(1) The Interactive Display and Control Component (IDCC) provides the interface between Exercise Monitoring and Control (EMC) and Training Analysis and Feedback (TAF) personnel and the ongoing exercise(s).

(2) The CIS/IDCC also supports pre-exercise initialization which includes entry of:

- (a) Player initialization information,
- (b) Control measure information,
- (c) Task Force organization,
- (d) Live Fire scenarios, and
- (e) Pre-planned artillery.

(3) The IDCC provides real-time control of the Live Fire Exercises (LFX) by the Live Fire Control Officer (LFCO).

(4) The CIS Computational Component is used to pair firers with targets for real-time engagement simulation.

(5) The CIS provides computing capacity for real-time data manipulation, such as the calculation of statistical measures and unit roll-up totals.

(6) The CIS logs data in real-time to provide the primary archival source of NTC data.

Figure I-3 lists NTC data logged by the CIS, noting data elements that are logged by both the RDMS and the CIS. Many of the elements logged from the CIS are manually input data elements. Reliability of manual data depends upon the accuracy of the personnel entering the data and the verification procedures that are employed, such as proofreading and consistency checks.

<u>I.2.1 CIS Log Data Processing: The NTC Database Research System</u>

The NTC Database Research System (NTCDRS) was developed for ARI/POM to facilitate analysis of NTC data. The heart of the system is the INGRES relational database system, which allows the researcher freedom in the ways he can formulate queries and generate reports. The NTC Database Research System, then, is the means by which NTC CIS log data for one mission are converted into the INGRES data base format. The system consists of two major steps, TRANSLATE and LOAD. Figure I-4 shows the processing flow of the NTCDRS.

The TRANSLATOR converts the binary formatted NTC data from one mission segment into a man-readable ASCII (text) file. The output from the TRANSLATOR consists of three files, a LOG file summarizing the operations performed by the program, a HOLD file, which lists the message types not processed by the program, and the ASCII DATA file. The ASCII file can be read, edited, or processed by VAX system utilities, and serves as the input file for the LOAD process.

The LOAD consists of two separate FORTRAN programs, LOADER and DBCOPY. The LOADER program converts the DATA file created by the TRANSLATOR into a series of 63 files, each of which relates directly to one or more INGRES tables. Each file is an ASCII file that can be printed, edited, or processed by other programs. The DBCOPY program reads the 63 files into 61 INGRES tables. Figure I-5 shows the relationship between the files output by LOADER and the INGRES tables created by DBCOPY.

The result of NTCDRS processing is an INGRES database for each mission segment processed.

### DATA ELEMENT

Background/Documentation

Unit/Player Status info

Fire Event (RDMS trigger pull)

Pairing (RDMS pairing except as noted)

**Control Measures** 

Indirect Fire Casualty Assessment (IFCAS)

Call Fire Missions

Commo

### DESCRIPTION

History and segment name, start and end times, mission type, exercise conditions, task force and OPFOR organizations.

Status of individual players and/or units, including: Instrumented/Not Instrumented Tracked/Not Tracked Position/Location

Event generated when a shot is fired by an instrumented weapon system. Should be identical with RDMS log, with the exception of the deletion of invalid events

Event (HIT, NEAR MISS, or KILL) generated when the laser sensors of an Instrumented Target System are illuminated and decoded into a valid message. If possible, target is paired with a firer. If unpaired, firing weapon type is discarded.

Locations for control measures entered from IDCC. Message can include control measures added or deleted. Mines are included in this category.

Fire Mission Number, assessment of number of casualties inflicted.

Call for previously planned Indirect Fire (artillery, mortars).

Player Identification, Radio Net, and duration of Commo messages longer than 55 seconds, should agree with RDMS log for those messages, but all others are lost.

\* Manually Entered

Figure I-3 Data Elements Logged by the CIS



Figure I-4 NTCDRS Processing Flow

X

### INGRES table name vs. LOADER file name table

. .

Table Name	File Name	Order
SEGMENTDATA	SECDAT HLD	1
SEGHEADER	SEGHEA HLD	2
MISSIONS	MISSIO. HLD	3
SECSUMRATINO	SECSUM. HLD	4
PLSTATVECTOR	PLSTAT HLD	5
PLSTATVECTOR	PLYRAD HLD	6
PLYREDIT	PLYRED. HLD	7
PLYRCHANGE	PLYRCH. HLD	8
PLYRINSTSTAT	PLYRIN. HLD	9
PLYRREDESIO	PLYRRE. HLD	10
PLYRLOC	PLYRLO. HLD	11
UNITSTATEVT	UNITST. HLD	12
UNITEDIT	UNITED. HLD	13
UNITREDESIG	UNITRE. HLD	14
UNITLOC	UNITLO. HLD	15
MIXEDUNIT	HXUNIT. HLD	16
UNITENCHSO	UNITHG HLD	17
UNITENGAGE	UNITEN HLD	18
IFCASTARGET	IFCAST. HLD	19
IFCASCASULTY	IFCASC. HLD	20
FIRESUPLOG	FIRESU. HLD	21
CABUALTIES	CASULT HLD	22
IFCASALERT	IFCASA. HLD	23
IFPREPLANTAR	IFPREP. HLD	24
IFTARGROUP	IFTARG. HLD	25
CONMESVECTOR	CHVECT. HLD	26
CMDELETE	CMDELT. HLD	27
CHLOCATION	CHLOCA. HLD	28
CONMESTACCAT	CHTACT. HLD	29
FIRINQ	FIRING HLD	30
PAIRING	PAIRIN. HLD	31
COHHO	COMMOM. HLD	32

Table Name	File Name	Order
*******		
EQUIPSTATUS	EGPSTA HLD	33
CPUSTATUS	CPUSTA HLD	34
UNVEHSTATUS	UNVSTA HLD	35
TRACKINGSTAT	TRASTA HLD	36
LFSCENARIO	LFSCEN HLD	37
LFTARGETEVNT	LFTAEV HLD	38
LFTARGETSTAT	LFTAST. HLD	39
TOTHOLVECTOR	TOTHLY. HLD	40
PERCASLITYSUM	PERCAS HLD	41
PERCASLITYSUM	CASLOG HLD	42
MINFLOCASLOG	MNCASL HLD	43
MINEFIELDCAS	MNCAST HLD	44
UNITHOVEMENT	UNHOVE HLD	45
UNITTRANS	UNTRAN HLD	46
ENGRINGSUM	ENGRNG HLD	47
FIRPAIRING	FIRPAR HLD	48
VPRDRENGAG	VPRDRG. HLD	49
OTHERWEAPON	OTHNEA HLD	50
FREEFORMMSG	FREMSG HLD	51
MSOCATEGORY	MEQCAT HLD	52
OCASSESSMENT	OCASHT HLD	53
OCINFOELEMNT	OCELMT HLD	54
AARCOMMANDS	AARCOH. HLD	55
MAPSUTTONS	HAPBUT. HLD	56
BLFORBUTTONS	BLFORD HLD	57
ALERTBUTTONS	ALERTS HLD	58
OPFOREUTTONS	OPFORS. HLD	59
RANGEFANS	RNGFAN HLD	60
LFBUTTONS	LFBUTT HLD	61
OTHERBUTTONS	OTHBUT HLD	62
COORDINATES	COORDS HLD	63

Figure I-5 INGRES Tables vs. Loader File Names

### II. STUDY METHODOLOGY

This chapter is divided into two parts. The first part discusses the data chosen for analysis and the second part documents the methodology used to compare the two data sources.

### II.1 NTC Data

The data which form the basis of this report were from a rotation during FY 85. Figure II-1 shows the times and dates for all missions executed during the rotation. Dates are coded to preclude identification of a specific unit.

II.1.1 Selection of Data for Detailed Analysis

Three mission segments were selected for detailed comparison. The criteria for selection were as follows:

1) Selected segments should be event-rich, in order to provide as many chances for agreement/ disagreement as possible.

2) In order to facilitate processing of RDMS data, segments should be selected which are not running concurrently with the other task force. If concurrent exercises are selected, it is difficult to assign field events to the proper task force.

3) Selected segments should be somewhat separated in time, to enable assessment of improvement or degradation in data quality over time.

4) Both task forces should be examined.

The three mission segments selected were: (1) Mechanized Mission Segment 08, Day 4, (2) Armored Mission Segment 12, Day 7, and (3) Mechanized Mission Segment 19, Day 13. For reasons of expediency, the three segments will be referred to as M008, A012, and M019, respectively, within the text.

While the time distribution was not optimal because of the paucity of events in the early mission segments, the other criteria were fairly well satisfied.

The detailed comparisons focus on two kinds of data that occur abundantly in both sources:

1) <u>Firing Data</u> are initiated by MILES trigger pull events received via the RDMS. These events are logged by the RDMS and transmitted to the CIS, where they are also logged. The NTCDRS creates a FIRING table which can be compared directly with RDMS data.

2) <u>Pairing Data</u> are initiated by MILES sensor illumination events (HIT, NEAR MISS, KILL) received via the RDMS. They are logged by the RDMS and transmitted to the CIS. CIS software attempts to correlate each pairing event with a valid fire event that occurred within a reasonable time of the pairing. Both correlated and uncorrelated pairing events are written to the CIS log. The NTCDRS creates a PAIRING table with all pairing events initiated by combat fire events, including the firer ID if known. Controller gun kills present in the RDMS data should be present in the NTCDRS PLYRCHANGE table.

### Armored Task Force

R.

Q,

<u>Segment</u>	<u> Iitle</u>	<u>Start Date &amp; Time</u>	<u>Duration</u>
1	Nightmov	Day 1, 23:50:24	02:53:58
2	MTC	Day 2, 02:52:30	08:48:47
3	RECON	Day 2, 22:38:08	03:20:07
4	D ATK	Day 3, 02:05:16	09:32:51
5	RECON	Day 3, 17:14:30	06:01:44
6	N ATK	Day 3, 23:45:28	09:01:36
7	RECON	Day 4, 19:14:21	05:53:41
8	DATK	Day 5, 02:00:03	10:46:11
9	DEF IN S	Day 5, 20:55:14	00:16:17
10	LRP	Day 5, 23:55:16	01:22:57
11	RECON	Day 6, 21:22:31	03:49:08
12	DEF IN S	Day 7, 01:20:04	08:18:25
13	GRAPHIC	Day 7, 19:04:41	05:53:04
14	NTC HATK	Day 8, 02:35:12	08:32:22
15	RECON	Day 8, 23:03:33	03:22:10
16	MINI SCT	Day 9, 06:02:43	06:57:53
17	TEST	Day 9, 13:04:53	00:00:00
18	TEST	Day 9, 13:04:53	00:23:47
19	TEST2	Day 9, 13:34:07	03:09:47
20	RECON	Day 9, 22:42:20	12:27:56
21	TASK ORG	Day 11, 07:37:29	00:37:37
22	TEST3	Day 11, 10:14:52	01:05:33

### Nechanized Task Force

Segment	<u>Title</u>	<u>Start Da</u>	<u>te &amp; Time</u>	Duration
1	LFTEST		21:51:33	00:05:27
2	NIGHTMOVN	Day 1,	23:52:25	06:34:31
3	MTC	Day 2,	06:26:55	04:39:46
4	LF VIP B	Day 2,	13:47:29	00:45:34
5	PROBE		20:45:08	06:14:16
6	RECON	Day 3,	22:07:39	00:54:03
6 7 8	RECON2	Day 3,	23:57:23	03:09:12
	DEF BP	Day 4,	03:06:32	05:49:10
9	D ATK	Day 5,	03:36:49	07:23:45
10	DEF BP	Day 7,	19:56:42	12:00:20
11	DEF BP	Day 8,	08:41:06	00:38:35
12	LFX DEF	Day 8,	09:19:42	04:13:04
13	DEF BP N	Day 9,	01:55:05	00:35:27
14	LFX NITE	Day 9,	02:30:23	03:05:06
15	CATK LFX	Day 9,	07:55:17	09:20:44
16	AAR PREP	Day 10,	05:01:32	09:06:08
17	NIGHT AT	Day 11,	00:08:26	06:55:30
18	LRRP	Day 12,	00:05:58	04:15:58
19	DEF IN S	Day 12,	21:17:32	12:00:33
20	D ATK	Day 14,	03:27:21	07:14:19
21	RECON	Day 14,	19:33:14	00:34:02
22	RECON	Day 14,	21:45:36	04:45:18
23	D ATK	Day 15,	03:41:02	04:56:29

Figure II-1 Selected Rotation Mission Segments

11

プロストレイト プログログロ デルスログログログロ

Due to the time required for the FIRING and PAIRING data comparisons, short, event-rich periods within each of the selected segments were chosen for detailed comparison.

II.1.2 Description of CIS Log (NICDRS) Source Data

The NTCDRS data used in this study were extracted from tables in the INGRES data bases containing data for the mission segments selected for analysis. The tables used from these databases included the FIRING, PAIRING, and PLYRCHANGE tables. These tables are described below:

- FIRING This table includes stream data messages which are created based upon weapon firing information received from the RDMS system. The firing information is used by the NTC software for pairing firings with effects, as described below in the definition of the Pairing table. In addition, firing messages are utilized in the NTC software to generate firing alerts. Since the firing data are tied to the instrumentation system, only those weapons equipped with the MILES system and possessing B-units can generate a firing message.
- PAIRING This table defines how weapon firing events were paired with weapon effects events. This pairing information is used in the NTC software in the computation of ground player performance statistics and alert messages. Weapon target pairing is performed using time coincidence and the firer's weapon type code as determined from the LASER code recieved by the target. In cases where the firer's weapon type code in the firing message does not uniquely identify the weapon type which fired, the player's ID is used to obtain a predetermined weapon type associated with the particular player.

PLYRCHANGE - This table includes all stream data messages which define the Player Status.

The FIRING table was compared directly with firing data read from the RDMS log tape; the PAIRING and PLYRCHANGE tables were used in trying to match RDMS log pairing data.

II.1.3 Description of RDMS Log Source Data

The RDMS log data for the rotation are contained on 21 magnetic tapes. The exact time period covered by these tapes begins at 21:39 on Day 1, and ends at 09:21 on Day 15. In general the data are continuous with two exceptions, a gap of over sixteen hours between tapes two and three, and a gap of over four hours between tapes five and six. Otherwise, the time between tapes, during which data were not recorded by the RDMS, ranges from two seconds to almost fifteen minutes. Figure II-2 is a graphic depiction of the time period for which data were recorded on each tape in the series, and the table below lists the time period covered by each tape.

<u>Tape</u>	<u>Started at</u>	<u>Ended at</u>	<u>Between</u>
1	Day 1 21:39:08	Day 2 12:17:23	N/A
2	Day 2 12:20:46	Day 2 17:03:46	3:23
3	Day 3 09:15:57	Day 4 03:36:11	16:12:11
4	Day 4 03:36:37	Day 4 13:37:07	0:26
5	Day 4 13:49:12	Day 5 10:13:51	12:05
6	Day 5 14:26:54	Day 7 02:22:43	4:13:03
7	Day 7 02:23:37	Day 7 09:15:05	0:54
8	Day 7 09:19:39	Day 8 05:42:07	4:34
9	Day 9 05:43:10	Day 8 11:04:44	1:03
10	Day 9 11:07:20	Day 8 14:29:38	2:36
11	Day 9 14:32:25	Day 9 11:46:12	2:47
12	Day 9 11:52:07	Day 10 06:51:18	5:55
13	Day 10 06:52:39	Day 10 15:00:33	1:21
14	Day 10 15:01:53	Day 11 12:46:44	1:20
15	Day 11 12:48:52	Day 12 07:54:36	2:08
16	Day 12 08:00:47	Day 13 04:05:08	6:11
17	Day 13 04:05:04	Day 13 08:45:15	0:04
18	Day 13 08:52:12	Day 14 03:59:13	6:57
19	Day 14 04:04:48	Day 14 12:49:34	5:35
20	Day 14 13:04:20	Day 15 07:33:53	14:46
21	Day 15 07:33:51	Day 15 09:20:49	0:02
	-	•	

RDMS data are logged without reference to specific missions, so tables in the NTCDRS, which are mission-based, can be correlated with RDMS data only by using time of day. Furthermore, NTCDRS data are task force specific, while RDMS data are not, so in the case of concurrent exercises (both task forces running engagement simulation exercises simultaneously), RDMS data contain events from both task forces.

### II.2 Methodology

The basic methodology employed in this study was the comparison of listings from the two sources (RDMS log and NTCDRS) to isolate the elements which compared and did not compare. For those elements which were not comparable, an effort was made to explain the differences. The major thrust of this effort was to assess the fidelity with which RDMS data were received, processed, and stored by the CIS. Accordingly, the emphasis in explaining differences was directed toward RDMS data, and in tracking down the reasons those data did not appear in the NTCDRS tables. Less effort was directed the other way, in determining why data that appear in the NTCDRS tables are not on the RDMS log tapes. Various tools were used to assist in this effort, including system editors, the VAX sort routine, and a number of FORTRAN programs.





### 11.2.1 Generation of RDMS files

Basic files that contained RDMS data were generated by executing program GDETAP for each of the 21 RDMS tapes containing data for the rotation. Figure II-3 diagrams the processing which resulted in RDMS files that were directly comparable with NTCDRS tables. In order to minimize tape reading operations, each tape was read only once, and the maximum amount of data was recovered. The generated files were then split into two specific types, Firing and Pairing, to facilitate comparisons with NTCDRS data. Then, because the RDMS tape periods do not correspond to the time periods covered by the selected mission segments, the files were recombined so that they covered the exact time periods of the target mission segments. At this point time-ordered RDMS files were available which had analogous tables within the NTCDRS.

### II.2.2 Generation of NICDRS Files

CIS log files which were utilized in this study were listings of tables generated by the NTCDRS process, which was briefly described in Chapter 1.



Figure II-3 RDMS Log Processing Flow

### III. RESULTS

This section presents the results of the analyses. It is divided into two parts. The first part presents the results of an investigation into overall error rates, and deals with the entire set of RDMS data. The subsequent section documents the results of more detailed analyses of the three specific mission segments, and include four reports:

- 1) Comparison of fire events,
- 2) Comparison of pairings,
- 3) "Killers" demonstration for the three segments, and
- 4) Delay time analysis for the three segments.

### III.1 Invalid Messages

The initial study undertaken on the RDMS log data was on the number of invalid events contained in the data. Event codes are bit patterns received by the RDMS, but not all patterns represent legitimate events at the NTC/RDMS, and were classed as invalid events. No investigation was made into a second class of invalid events, those which were supported by the RDMS, but not for the player which generated the event. The results indicated an overall error rate of less than one percent, with individual tapes ranging from 0.29 percent up to 1.2 percent. Furthermore, the error rates do not appear to differ significantly from the beginning of the rotation to the end. The table below presents a list of the error counts and percentages for each RDMS tape and in total.

RDMS Tape	<u>Iotal Events</u>	<u>Invalid Events</u>	PCI:
1	42668	325	0.76
2	8347	72	0.86
3	39474	369	0.93
4	23749	227	0.96
5	25042	232	0.93
6	17459	191	1.09
7	31403	253	0.81
8	19093	229	1.20
9	13820	93	0.67
10	2900	28	0.97
11	22204	169	0.76
12	49138	426	0.87
13	9691	90	0.93
14	21544	174	0.81
15	13234	118	0.89
16	25688	236	0.92
17	19311	134	0.69
18	29104	288	0.99
19	18982	117	0.62
20	21093	112	0.53
21	2065	<u>6</u>	0.29
Total	456009	388 <u>9</u>	0.85

Figure III-1 - Invalid Messages

### III.2 Analyses of Specific Mission Segment Data

The following analyses were based on more detailed studies of three mission segments, Mechanized Task Force segments 8 and 19 and Armored Task Force segment 12. Specific terms which are used in the text and tables require definition; they are defined below:

<u>LPN</u> - Logical Player Number. This is an index that is used within the RDMS data to refer to a specific player or vehicle. It is an integer between 1 and 500 and may be assumed to be assigned to a specific RDMS transponder (B-unit). Conversely, each B-unit is assigned one and only one LPN.

<u>PID</u> - Player Identification. This refers to the name by which each player or vehicle is identified within the CIS log. The PID is a 3-character alphanumeric name. The term "bumper number" is used interchangeably. Ideally, each LPN should refer to one and only one PID, and PIDs should be unique. Neither condition is true. Occasionally, the PID for a BLUEFOR player will be the same as an OPFOR PID. Also, two PIDs will "share" an LPN upon occasion.

<u>Delay Time</u> - This term refers to the period of time between the occurrence of an RDMS event and the receipt of the event at the C-station, or RDMS master control station. The delay time is passed to the C-station as part of an event message. In general, if RDMS coverage is adequate, the delay time will be less that or equal to the time between pollings, which is assumed to be 5 seconds at the NTC. When the vehicle is not in constant range or line of sight of the relay/ repeater stations (A-stations), however, the delay is increased significantly.

<u>Pairing</u> - For the purposes of this document, the term pairing refers to an RDMS sensor-illumination event. When a sensor is illuminated by a MILES laser, two pieces of information can be decoded, the intensity, yielding the result (HIT, NEAR MISS, or KILL), and some form of identification. The level of identification depends on the result; for a NEAR MISS only the general category (heavy or light weapon) can usually be determined, but for a HIT or KILL outcome the firer can be identified by weapon type code. A <u>matched pairing</u> is achieved when the firer can also be determined by matching event times. Most pairings are unmatched for any of several reasons:

(1) Uninstrumented Firer (MILES but no B-unit)

(2) Firer or target "hidden" from RDMS relay/repeater stations, precluding event transmission during "window" allowed for matching events, or

(3) Base time discrepancy between CIS and RDMS, preventing time correlation.

### III.2.1 Firing Event Analysis

Firing data were examined for active periods of each of the three target mission segments. The periods were 0500-0600 for Armored segment 12 and Mechanized segment 19, and 0500-0530 for Mechanized segment 8. The process used was to attempt to match each fire event in the RDMS data with a corresponding entry in the NTCDRS FIRING table. Two rules were followed regarding the selection of RDMS data included in the statistics. First, RDMS events with delays of greater than 25 seconds were excluded in an attempt to replicate the action of CIS realtime software. Second, for OPFOR BMP vehicles, the RDMS indicates a number of machine gun firings, while they are conspicuously absent from the NTCDRS FIRING table. The number of RDMS BMP machine gun fire events is shown, but not included in the comparison statistics. Table III-1 presents the results of this effort.

The table shows, for each mission segment and vehicle type, the number of fire events logged by the RDMS, the number of entries in the NTCDRS Firing table, and the number of events for which the two sources agree. When agreement is less than perfect, the percentage of RDMS events that agree with NTCDRS table entries is shown in parentheses.

Two conclusions may be drawn:

(1) The two sources agree very well. One segment shows perfect agreement, while the other two are very close, at 98 and 99 percent. The overall agreement, totalling fire events for all three segments, is 99 percent.

(2) There appears to be a problem with the NTCDRS regarding BMP machine gun firings. While machine gun firings are not a significant killer on the battlefield, they are good indicators of general battlefield activity. In addition, they are captured by the NTCDRS for both BLUFOR and OPFOR tanks. Table III-1 Firing Data Tabulation -- Firing Data Comparison

 Tank firings include main gun firing only
BMP firings include both 73mm and SAGGAR, with machine gun firings shown below in parentheses

### III.2.2 Pairing Events Comparison

Pairing data were examined for active periods of each of the three mission segments. The periods were 0500-0530 for both Mechanized segment 8 and Armor segment 12, and 0500-0600 for Mechanized segment 19.

The analysis of pairing events was slightly more complicated than the firing event analysis because the RDMS pairing data potentially appear in two different NTCRDS tables, the PAIRING table and the PLYRCHANGE table. An additional factor is introduced by the fact that Training Analysis and Feedback (TAF) personnel can enter information on their workstations which ends up in one or both of the NTCRDS tables, but is not in the RDMS log data.

It is important to keep in mind the definition of the term "pairing" as used in this analysis: an RDMS HIT, NEAR MISS, or KILL event caused by laser illumination of MILES sensors. Table III-2 contains the summary statistics for the pairing study. The table is arranged to show the total number of pairings from both the RDMS log and the NTCDRS. The NTCDRS column contains the number of entries in the PAIRING table except for controller gun kills, which are in the PLYRCHANGE table. The RDMS log events which are properly discarded by the CIS are enumerated, and the total number of events which agree is shown.

There are three primary reasons that RDMS events are properly discarded by the CIS software:

(1) Sometimes the electronic instrumentation on a vehicle malfunctions and "floods" the RDMS with event messages. These can either be the repeat of a valid message or the generation of bogus messages. CIS software can be instructed to ignore players which generate events incessantly. For example, in Armored segment 12, 55 events from one player were discarded from both the RDMS and the CIS data.

(2) If the message is delayed more than 25 seconds from occurrence to receipt at the C-station the CIS software ignores it. This is necessary to enable the real time software to maintain efficiency, particularly in matching fire events with pairing events.

(3) When a HIT or NEAR MISS event is received at the same time as a KILL for the same player, it is discarded.

In addition, controller gun kills are retained by the NTCDRS and put in the PLYRCHANGE table rather than the PAIRING table.

### Table III-2 Pairing Data Tabulation

	Mechanized Segment 8 (0500-0530)		Armored Segment 12 (0500-0530)		Mechanized Segment 19 (0500-0600)	
	RDMS	NTCRDS	RDMS	NTCRDS	RDMS	NTCRDS
Total Pairings	96	94	148	147	195	175
Noisy Sensors			55	55		
Excessive Delay	10		3		8	
Duplicate HIT/ NEAR MISS	2		6		3	
Controller Gun Kills	3	(3)	4	(4)	7	(5)
Potential Pairing Matches	81	94	80	92	177	175
Matches	1	80		80	1!	50
Unexplained	1	14	0	12	27	25
		-	h			unhan af

Note: The numbers in parentheses represent the number of controller gun entries in the PLYRCHANGE table that match RDMS log events.

CALCALCALCONCERNAL CALCONNERN

As can be seen in Table III-2 two of the segments show that virtually all RDMS pairings appear in the CIS pairing table (80/81 for M008 and 80/80 for A012). However, each of those segments also contained a significant number of NTCDRS pairing table entries which could not be traced back to the RDMS log. As mentioned above, it is possible for TAF personnel to make entries via their graphics tables which influence the pairing table. This may be the primary reason for the remaining mismatches, although no records are maintained at the TAF to verify it.

The third segment M019 had a large number of mismatches for both sources. Over 15% of the RDMS pairings could not be traced to the CIS pairing table. Closer scrutiny showed that the 27 mismatches were contributed by six players. Of the six, only one also had pairings from the two sources that matched. A possible explanation is that the players were loaded properly for the RDMS system to poll them and retrieve events, but were either not loaded into the CIS, or were improperly loaded. The one player which also had valid messages had them after the invalid messages, so he may have been activated later in the segment.

### III.2.3 "Killers" Demonstration

Figures III-2 and III-3 graph kills by weapon type, and are intended as a demonstration of an additional potential use of the RDMS data that cannot be supported by CIS data. The figure was generated directly from RDMS KILL event data for the entire time periods of the three mission segments examined earlier. Duplicate kills, (the same player killed more than once) were deleted. The data as presented may prove useful for drawing some general trends, but one point should be raised concerning the reliability of the data:

MILES weapon codes which do not absolutely indicate force (BLUEFOR or OPFOR) were not included in the graphs because such kills cannot be absolutely attributed to either side. For example, the same MILES weapon type code is used for machine guns for both sides.

Even allowing for the uncertainty of the data, one thing stands out. All three segments were defensive scenarios for which the killers might be assumed to be roughly equal. For the OPFOR, this is the case. For the BLUFOR, however, there is a significant difference. For segments A012 and M008, the distributions are roughly equal, with tanks being the main killer at over 60%, but for segment M019, the TOWs dominate at over 70%, relegating the tanks to less than 20%. Using this indication as a stimulus, the researcher might then use the other NTC data sources to see why there is such a difference. The other sources that could be used include the Operations Order, the Take Home Package, the NTC workstation, and the NTCDRS. Killers in the Battlefield

BLUFOR KILLS	Ñ	25% 5	50% 7	75%
M60AI/A3				
TOM	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACTOR A A CONTRACTOR A CONTRA		XXXXXXXXXXXXX	
M2/M85 MG				
VIPER				
SINGER				
VULCAN				

Mechanized Segment 19

BLUFOR Killers

Figure III-2

Armored Segment 12

Figure III-3 OPFOR Killers



Armored Segment 12

	KILLS 25% 50% 75%	T-72 1-72 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX					
4	opfor kills	125 MM 7-72	122 MM	73 MM	SAGGER	ZSU 23/4	6- <del>U</del> S

SANTA PARA

### III.2.4 Delay Times

The final investigation was concerned with RDMS delay times. The delay time was defined earlier :

<u>Delay Time</u> - This term refers to the period of time between the occurrence of an RDMS event and the receipt of the event at the RDMS master control station (C-station). The delay time is calculated by the on-board microcomputer and passed to the Cstation as part of each event message. In general, if RDMS coverage is adequate, the delay time will be less than or equal to the time between pollings, which is assumed to be 5 seconds at the NTC. When the vehicle is not in constant range or line of sight of the relay/repeater stations (A- or D- stations) however, the delay is increased significantly.

Use of the delay time allows CIS real time software to determine the event time with a great deal of accuracy, which supports firer-target pairing. In addition, the CIS software can discard those events which are so "old" as to be useless. The delay threshold used at the NTC appears, from examination of RDMS data, to be 25 seconds. Messages with delay times greater than the threshold are summarily discarded.

The comparison of RDMS and CIS data showed that there is apparently no "standard" time used in both systems. The time attached to CIS events was neither the base (C-station) time, nor the adjusted event time. It appeared to be an adjusted time, because the times between events matched for both sources, but it was consistently different, and later, than the RDMS event time.

Base data for the delay figures came from the three segments described earlier. In order to increase the sample size, the entire mission segment periods were used. Two figures are included. Figure III-4 shows a percentage distribution for events with delays less than ten seconds, and Table III-3 shows the percentage distribution of delay times from 0-100 seconds in 25 second increments.

Figure III-4 shows that the distribution of delay times under ten seconds is very similar for the three mission segments selected. It also shows that the distributions peak from two to five seconds, with approximately equal distributions at one and six seconds. The indication is that polling is not being maintained at every five seconds, but appears to be close to six seconds. This condition could be caused by a number of factors, including heavy system loading.

Table III-3 shows the percentages of delay times for messages that are retained by CIS software (less than/equal to 25 seconds) and in 25 second increments up to 100+ seconds. This table shows that Armored Segment 12 had the worst quality, with more than 12.5% of events being deleted, while Mechanized Segment 19 had more than 98.5% events within bounds.

## Table III-3 Delay Time Distribution

Delay Period <u>(Şeconds)</u>	Mechanized <u>Segment 08</u>	Armored <u>Segment 12</u>	Mechanized <u>Segment 19</u>
0 - 25	93.61 %	87.36 🕱	98.66 %
26 - 50	1.68 %	8.17 %	0.62 %
51 - 75	0.82 %	2.59 %	0.05 %
76 +	3.89 %	1.89 %	0.67 🕱



..

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

The results of the study support several conclusions:

(1) The CIS (NTCDRS) data appear to match the RDMS data with sufficient fidelity to justify the use of either data source interchangeably in research. The basis of this conclusion is the high percentage of comparison between the two sources for the data elements compared. Extrapolating this result to encompass other data elements in either, or both, sources without a closer examination of each data element is not advocated; that the level of comparison was high for the sample chosen may be seen as an indicator that the two sources are consistent, but limitations of the study indicate that more study is required before any definitive statements can be made.

(2) There are data elements available from the RDMS log that are not currently available from the CIS log. For example, while the field event PAIRING message carries a weapon type indication along with the result (HIT, NEAR MISS, or KILL), this information is not present in the NTCDRS PAIRING table. This indicates only that, if the data are present in the CIS log file data, they are not translated/ loaded into the NTCDRS table. Due to a lack of documentation on the format of the CIS log files, it is impossible to know if these data are present. If the data are not present in the CIS files, then RDMS data must be used to supplement the NTCDRS. Accordingly, retention of RDMS log tapes becomes important any future NTC research efforts.

Several other insights were gained during the course of the study. A significant amount of use of the NTCDRS left a clear impression that the design of the NTCDRS is inadequate to support the number and breadth of NTC research efforts currently contemplated. While it was possible to achieve some measure of success in using NTCDRS tables, the gains were achieved with much difficulty, owing mostly to the awkward design of the NTCDRS.

The database tables appear to support a specific, and very restricted set of reports, and most tables have proved of little use in deriving tables to generate alternative reports. The index keys to the various tables force a circuitous query structure, making the preparation of queries that seem routine very timeconsuming. Some tables lack information to allow the information they contain to be used in conjunction with other tables. As one example, many of the tables use a three- character player\_identification (PID) field as an index. As conditions exist presently at the NTC, these names are not unique. This makes the correlation of pairing data difficult, because although the table contains a result of a pairing against a PID, if that PID happens to be one of the duplicates, there is not enough information to ascribe the pairing to the proper player.

For example, NTCDRS access to NTC data is limited to one mission segment at a time, while several upcoming studies compare several mission segments. To accomplish this within the NTCDRS

context, the user has to create an additional database, then fill it by retrieving data from the data bases being studied. Only then can the researcher do queries and develop statistical measures.

Similarly, the NTCDRS contains only digital data from the CIS log. There are other data sources that are not digital in form, such as Take Home Packages (THPs), After Action Reviews (AARs), and communications data, that can provide invaluable information to the researcher if they can be put in a usable form and incorporated into the NTCDRS.

Finally, the quality of the NTC data is questionable, particularly for pairings. This seems to be independent of the source, as the two sources compared very well. The samples examined in this study, for example, showed that matched pairings, for which a firer was identified for a pairing event (HIT, NEAR MISS, or KILL), comprised less than 10% of the total number of pairings. Even considering the ruggedness of the Ft Irwin terrain and a natural difficulty with RDMS coverage, this seems very poor. It may be that no single cause is responsible for the poor quality, and it derives from a number of causes, including poor coverage, instrumentation failure, good cover and concealment practices, and poor time-correlation between the RDMS and CIS. Whatever the cause, the quality of the data directly affects the quality of the research that can be based on it.

### APPENDIX A - FIRING DATA

This appendix contains more detailed information on the firing data comparison documented in Section III. It contains a list, per segment, of the number and type of firings per vehicle type. Notes are included to explain most discrepancies.

.
Task Force : Mechanized Mission : Defend in Sector

Firing Summary For BLUFOR Players

Summary for BLUFOR Tanks (0500-0600)

Player	RDMS	RDMS	Log	CIS L	og
Name	LPN	<u>105MM</u>	COAX	<u>105mm</u>	COAX
14D	58	44	0	44	ō
130	42	1	0	1	0
32C	38	6	0	6	0
33C	45	4	0	4	0
66C	179	2	<u>_35</u>	2	<u>_35</u>
TOTALS		57	35	<b>5</b> 7	35

Firing Summary For BLUFOR APCs (0500-0600)

Player	RDMS	RDMS Log	CIS Log
Name	LPN	TOW_Shots	TOW_Shots
<u>E16</u>	210	2	2

Firing Summary For OPFOR Players

Firing Summary for BMPs (0500-0600)

Player	RDMS	- RDM	S Log		CI	S Log		
Name	LPN	<u>73MM</u>	SAG	MG	<u>73mm</u>	SAG	MG	<u>Notes</u>
150	81	2	1	2	2	1	0	1
211	27	1	0	0	1	0	0	
410/	56	2	2	2	1	1	0	1,2
411					1	1	0	1,2
413	57	1	0	7	1	0	0	
414/	59	6	0	11	3	0	0	1,3
415					3	0	0	1,3
416	60	0	1	0	0	1	0	
422	62	12	3	9	12	3	0	1
870	111	2	0	2	2	0	0	1
Totals		26	7	33	26	7	0	

Firing Summary for OPFOR Tanks (0500-0600)

Player	RDMS	RDMS	Log	CIS I	_og ~-	
Name	LPN	125MM	COAX	<u>125MM</u>	COAX	Notes
A23	90		38	8	29	4
B24	98	1	0	1	0	
<b>B66</b>	94	50	2	50	2	
D13	167	15	7	15	7	
D15	158	28	10	28	10	
D26	169	1	18	1	18	
D34	213	12	99	12	102	
D35	172	1	0	1	0	
D36	100	1	0	1	0	
HQ2	176	2	10	2	10	5
TOTALS		119	184	119	$\overline{1}\overline{7}\overline{8}$	

**OPFOR Notes** :

1) Machine gun fire events reported on the RDMS log are not present in INGRES tables, either because they are not on the CIS log or because they are ignored by NTCDRS.

2) RDMS LPN 56 contributed events to two CIS PIDs, 410 and 411.

3) RDMS LPN 59 contributed events to two CIS PIDs, 414 and 415.

4) Three of the RDMS COAX fire events had unacceptable delays.

5) In addition, one fire event ascribed to a TOW weapon type was recorded on the RDMS log, and included in the INGRES FIRING table with no weapon type.

Task Force : Mechanized Segment : 08 Mission : Defend Battle Position

## Firing Summary For BLUFOR Players

Summary for BLUFOR Tanks (0500-0530)

Player	RDMS	RDMS	Log	CIS L	og	
Name	LPN	<u>105mm</u>	COAX	<u>105mm</u>	COAX	Notes
320	154	1	0	1	0	
22C	171	3	4	3	4	
21D	197	5	0	5	0	1
22D	198	16	0	16		
12C	202	3	0	2	0	2
23C	204	6	0	6	0	
TOTALS		34		33		

## Firing Summary For BLUFOR APCs (0500-0530)

Player	RDMS	RDMS Log	CIS Log	
Name	LPN	TOW Shots	IOW_Shots	<u>Notes</u>
E12	116	1	1	
?	189	2	0	3
E43	231	1	1	
E44/	214	4	3	4
E45			1	4
Totals				

# **BLUFOR Notes:**

1) RDMS LPN 197 contributed events both for PID 21D, a BLUFOR tank, and for PID 680, an OPFOR BMP.

2) One fire event for LPN 202 was deleted by the CIS because of excessive delay time.

3) RDMS LPN 189 had two TOW shots. Both had excessive delay times, causing the CIS software to discard them.

4) RDMS Logical Player number 214 events were applied to both player E44 and player E45 at the CIS.

Task Force : Mechanized Segment : 08 Mission : Defend Battle Position

Firing Summary For OPFOR Players

Firing Summary for BMPs (0500-0530)

Player	RDMS	- RDM	S Log	~ -	C	IS Log	g	
Name	LPN	<u>73MM</u>	SAG	MG	<u>73MM</u>	SAG	MG	<u>Notes</u>
322	41	8	1	0	8	1	0	
323	42	1	0	0	1	0	0	
325/	43	10	0	0	2	0	0	1
326					8	0	0	1
327	44	3	0	0	3	0	0	
331	45	9	0	0	9	0	0	
334	46	1	0	0	1	0	0	
336	47	1	0	0	1	0	0	
350	48	1	0	0	1	0	0	
680	197	4	0	0	4	0	0	2
Totals		38	1	0	38	1	0	

Firing Summary for OPFOR Tanks (0500-0530)

Player	RDMS	RDMS	Log	CIS L	og	
Name	LPN	<u>125MM</u>	<u>čoax</u>	<u>125MM</u>	COAX	Notes
D23	56	11	11	11	11	
?	76	1	0			3
A16	79	2	0	2	0	
A24	81	1	0	1	0	
A36	84	0	34	0	6	4
B65	85	1	0	1	0	
B24	88	1	0	1	0	
B33	90	4	0	4	0	
D16	99	1	0	1	0	
HQ2	102	4	0	4	0	
D15	215	3	0	3	0	
TOTALS		29	45	28	17	

Firing	Summary for	OPFOR ZSU 2	3-4 (0500-0530)
Player	RDMS	RDMS	CIS
Name	LPN	Log	Log
343	74	3	3

Task Force : Mechanized Segment : 08 Mission : Defend Battle Position

**OPFOR Notes** :

1) RDMS LPN 43 contributed events to PID 325 and PID 326. There were also 22 SA-9 (BRDM) FIRE events from this player, all but one of which had excessive delay times. The remaining event did not match any FIRING table entries.

2) RDMS LPN 197 contributed events both for PID 21D, a BLUFOR tank, and for PID 680, an OPFOR BMP.

3) RDMS LPN 76 had one apparently valid T72 fire event which did not match any FIRING table entries.

4) RDMS LPN 84 Had 34 COAX FIRE events, all at the same time (05:01:47). 29 had delay times greater than 25 seconds and were candidates for deletion by CIS software. The remaining 5 RDMS events did not match directly with the 6 CIS events.

Rotation	:	85-10	Т	ask Force	:	Armored
Segment	:	12	M	ission	:	Defend in Sector

Firing Summary For BLUFOR Players

Summary for BLUFOR Tanks (0500-0600)

Player	RDMS	RDMS	Log	CIS L	og	
Name	LPN	<u>105MM</u>	COAX	<u>105mm</u>	COAX	<u>Notes</u>
A13	127	15	0	15	0	
A21	128	28	0	28	0	
A23	106	23	0	23	0	
A31	107	6	0	6	0	
A35	188	0	1	0	1	
A66	125	38	0	38	0	
B14/	135	57	0	40	0	1
B21				15	0	1
B22/	136	27	0	26	0	2
B23				1	0	2
B24	145	14	3	14	3	
B66/	132	55	0	45	0	3
A34				10	1	3
H65	119	31	0	31	0	
H66	118	<u>_15</u>	<u>0</u>	_15	0	
TOTALS		309	4	307	5	

Firing Summary For BLUFOR APCs (0500-0600)

Player	RDMS	RDMS Log	CIS Log	
Name	LPN	TOW_Shots	<u>TOW_Shots</u>	<u>Notes</u>
E36	104	11	10	4
E42	88	2	2	
Totals		13	12	

**BLUFOR Notes:** 

1) RDMS Logical Player number 135 events were applied to both player B14 and player B21 at the CIS. Two RDMS log events had excessive delay times and were apparently discarded by the CIS. Two more events have no apparent match in the INGRES FIRING table, however two events that appear in the INGRES table have no apparent match in RDMS log data.

2) RDMS Logical Player number 136 events were applied to both player B22 and player B23 at the CIS.

3) RDMS Logical Player Number 132 events were applied to CIS players A34 and B66. A34 had one COAX firing event in the INGRES table that was not in the RDMS data.

4) One RDMS fire event had an excessive delay time (128 seconds) and was discarded by the CIS.

Task Force : Armored Segment : 12 Mission : Defend in Sector

Firing Summary For OPFOR Players

Firing Summary for BMPs (0500-0600)

Player	RDMS	- RDM	S Lo	a	~- C	IS Lo	a	
Name	LPN	<u>73MM</u>	SAG	MG	<u>73MM</u>	SAG	MG	<u>Notes</u>
120	16		-0-	<u>MG</u> 39		<u> </u>	<u>-0</u>	1
121	17	2	0	0	2	0	0	
132	21	1	0	0	1	0	0	
133	22	7	0	12	7	0	0	1
150	25	0	2	0	0	2	0	
210	24	0	2	0	0	2	0	
216	91	1	1	0	1	1	0	
217	34	1	2	0	1	2	0	
220	28	4	0	38	4	0	0	1
227	57	0	1	0	0	1	0	
230	33	13	3	0	12	2	0	2
250	36	5	3	0	5	3	0	
311	151	1	0	0	1	0	0	
312	167	7	0	21	7	0	0	1
323	187	2	0	2	2	0	0	1
325	190	3	0	2	3	0	0	1
326	8	1	0	0	1	0	0	
330	197	1	0	0	1	0	0	
333	25	3	1	9	3	1	0	1
336	198	8	0	16	8	0	0	1
337	196	15	0	13	15	0	0	1
411	49	5	0	1	5	0	0	1
414	50	1	0	0	1	0	0	
422	95	1	0	0	1	0	0	
430	47	1	0	3	1	0	0	1
435	55	1	0	0	1	0	0	
650	83	5	0	5	5	0	0	1
871	111	12	0	50	12	0	0	1
HQ3	1	3	0	0	3	0	0	
HQ6	82	$\overline{104}$	1	0	$\frac{1}{103}$	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	
Totals		104	16	111	103	15	0	

Firing Summary for OPFOR Tanks (0500-0600)

Player	RDMS	RDMS	Log	CIS L	_og	
Name	LPN	<u>125MM</u>	<u>ČOAX</u>	<u>125MM</u>	COAX	<u>Notes</u>
A23	206	3	56	3	24	3
B13	70	2	2	2	2	
B14	87	6	62	6	26	4
B35	174	7	14	7	14	
836	89	16	18	16	8	5
D13	214	5	0	5	0	
D14	78	13	0	13	0	
D16	79	15	0	15	0	
D23	97	14	0	14	0	
D33/	100	1	130	1	0	6
D34				0	<u>128</u>	6
TOTALS		82	282	82	200	

#### **OPFOR Notes** :

1) Machine gun fire events reported on the RDMS log are not present in INGRES tables, either because they are not on the CIS log or becasue they are ignored by NTCDRS.

2) One 73MM fire event and one SAGGER fire event for player 230 were discarded by CIS software because the delays between the time the events happened and the time they were received by the RDMS C-Station exceeded 25 seconds.

3) Player A23 matched all 125MM firings. Coax firings mismatched primarily because of excessive delay times. Of the 56 COAx firing events, only 21 had acceptable delays (less than/ equal to 25 seconds). This means that the INGRES FIRING table had 3 more coax firings than it should have.

4) Player B14 matched all main gun firings, but mismatched on the coax fire events due to excessive delay times. For player B14, 26 coax fire events had acceptable delay times (less than/ equal to 25 seconds), meaning that the two sources matched exactly.

5) Player B36 matched all main gun firings, but mismatched on the coax fire events due to excessive delay times. For player B36, 9 coax fire events had acceptable delay times (less than/ equal to 25 seconds), leaving one RDMS event unmatched.

6) RDMS LPN 100 contributed events to CIS players D33 and D34. Two RDMS coax fire events were left unpaired for this player. Delays were within limits for all events.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

のでは、

# APPENDIX B - PAIRING DATA

This appendix contains more detailed information on the pairing data comparison documented in Section III. It contains a complete listing of <u>all</u> pairings contained in either the RDMS tape data or the NTCDRS PAIRING table for the time segment selected.

Rotation 8510. Mechanized Segment 8 Pairings

940

Research CO

seed to be and a second a printing a strated a province

.

1

.

T . t 1 .	Weapon Range ass sesse			1	1		0 3			1		1				-	-	-	-				• •			1 1	1	1		1			1	1	1	1	1		I	1	•	ł	1	1		
1 R I N O		- 310	second	B seconds)		5000	43 522 512			- SC1	w	- D16			- B23								- 1523	10 J 8 8	5000	- BZG 7 seronds)		- E43	- E43		- E43												- E43			
8	Result F	X I Z	ive Delay = 71	Ve Delau I Nem		ive Delay = 2				E Z		HIT HIT				Z	X-Z	E-Z			E Z			ve De	ve Delay =	4		£ : 2 :				I Z		E I Z						Σ 2 2	X I Z	E-Z	X - Z			
- NTC	Time	05:01:24	(Excess:		) - (i	(Excess	05:03:5		5:00:0	.90 .0	05: 06: 1;	05: 04: 4	05:06:4	02:07:0	05:07:1(	1 4 . 2 0 . 2 . 1	5:07:4	5:07:4	0. 10 10 10 10 10	05:08:04	ië	ë	00:00:00:00	5 <b>5</b>	(Excession	02:09:39 (Evress)	ľm	05: 14: 50			05:15:1	05: 15: 14	05: 15: 14	ini H					İ		05:15:42	13	5:15:4 		00:10:44	
		Heavy		HIG, MGO MG, CORK		DRAGON/Spandrel	TO/ AT-6/HIND	UNAGUN/Spandrel Least:	Licht	Light	Light			Heavy	Light M7 Mee M7	 Light spare	۲ ۲	. در	Light spare	Vagger - bhr Heavu	TOW/AT-6/HIND	Light spare	HEAVY SPATE Manai/ar Maras		M2, M85 MC	HEAVY SPATE 1990mm 1974		Light	TOW - MILES		Light	Light Liaht		TOW - MILES	t t	E E	sagger - BMP	L1975	TOM - MILES	<u>د</u>	MIL	4		Light	TOW - MILES TOW - MILES	
ω	Result		⊻:			×		Z 7																								Σ Σ - 2 2 2													E E   Z Z	
۵		55	37	202		202				06 170																	<b>58</b> 179					213		5	ដ	តី		តី ត	10		5	ត	2	ក	41 213 42 213	

42

	I	ı	ł	ı	1	١	ł		1.075	-			1	ł	I	1			ı			0.488	I	ł	I		ł	1	1	ı	1	ı	ı	I		1		-	ı	ł	ı	ı	1	I	5. 363		0.800	ı	ı	1
	1	I	I	1	ı	ı	ı			105 MM			i	ł	I	ł			ł			105 MM	i	ı	ł		I	I	ı	I	I	ł	I	I		ı		105 MM	I	ı	I	ł	t		105 MM		105 MM	1	1	t
Щ 43 643 643 643 643 643 643 643 643 643 6	cond	е <b>4</b> л	E43	E43	E43	230	641	217	324	324		seconds)	140	112	823	B23	seconds)		680	seconds)	E16	680	E16	SC1	SC1		217	E43	<b>A</b> 33	14D	14D	217	217	682		116	E16	C CH	E 4 3	E43	E43	E43	E43	E43	231		230	116	8 6 1 1	653
111	a 43 se	I	ł	ı	,	I	I	1	14D	14D		= 109 s	I	I	1		= 354 s				I	14D	ı	I	ł		ł	I	1	I	ı	I	1	I		ł	ı	230	ı	I	ı	ı	ı	ł	14D		140	1	ı	I
N-M MILL HIT	_	E-Z	HIT	Σ-Z	X - Z	E-Z	Σ-2	1 I H	Σ- Z	HIT		Delay =		KILL	Σ- 2	X-2	Delay =		Σ I Z	Delay =	E-Z	HIT	ž - Z	<b>KILL</b>	X-Z		エース	E-Z	X - Z	HIT	KILL	E-Z	E-Z	Σ- Z		E l Z	X-Z	KILL	Σ-Z	X-Z	E-Z	E-Z	E-Z	X - Z	Σ-Z		KILL	E-Z	Σ I Z	Σ- Z
05:15:46 05:15:46 05:16:21	(Excessive 05.14.30	05:14:39	05: 16: 40	05: 16: 47	05: 16: 48	05: 17: 06	05:17:17	05: 17: 51	05:19:02	05:19:34		*		05: 21: 00	05: 23: 26	05: 23: 27	(Excessive			cessive	24:47	40	05: 24: 58	ñ	05: 25: 04		05:25:14	25:	5	8	05: 26: 03	26:	05: 26: 12	5		52	21	05: 28: 25	Ř	Ř	ä	Ř	B	8	05:28:58		Ř	05: 29: 34	ö l	05: 30: 09
TOW - MILES	Sagger - BMP Tow - Mirco		•	Light		TOW/AT-6/HIND	Light	I		M60A1/A3, M48A5		M2, M85 MG	Heavy spare		Heavy spare	Heavy	160 10	0110	Heavy spare	N/Sp	Heavy	M60A1/A3, M48A5		M60A1/A3, M48A5		M60A1/A3. M48A5		Light	DRAGON/Spandrel	73MM BMP		TOW/AT-6/HIND		Heave	Controller Gun	ò	•	M60A1/A3, M48A5	Light	Light	Light	Light	Light	Light	'EY'	M60A1/A3, M48A5	M60A1/A3, M48A5			TOW/AT-6/HIND
KILL	N-2			E-Z	۲ ۷	¥ - Z	E-Z		r-z	HIT	KILL	KILL	E - 2		X-Z	N-N	KILL	KILL	ΨZ	MILL	<b>X-</b> Z	HIT		KILL	X-Z	HIT		E - Z	£ - Z	HIT		E-Z		£ - Z	KILL	£-2	E I Z	KILL	Σ   Ζ	X-Z	E-Z	<b>E</b> -Z	E-Z	Σ-N	E-Z	HIT	MILL	E Z		N-N
213	110	213		213	213	198K	75		42	4	164	162	<b>28</b> K		183	183	2024	119	197K	2028	210	197K		170	170	170		213	83	<b>38</b> K		28		53	73	16	210	12	213	213	213	213	213	213	B	а С	20	16		76
05: 15: 42	05:15:21	9:10:3			5:16:	5:16:	5:17:		<b>3</b> : 18:	5:19:	05: 19: 43	<b>3: 18</b> :	5: 20:		ຂຶ	ä	05:17:53	ä	2	<b>1</b> 0	2	40		5:24:	05:24:57	5.24		5:25:	05: 25: 15	5:25:		05:25:50		5:26:	5:26:	5: 27:	5: 27:	5:28:	<b>5</b> : 28:	5:28:	5:28:	5:28:	5:28:	5:28:	05:28:51	5:29:	5: 29:	5: 29:		05: 29: 47
ú Jun	500 0				100 J						ú Jun						<b>ил</b> С 🗘								ú Jun				i Jun			י טעח													c Jun					ú Jun

R

Rotation 8510. Mechanized Segment 19 Pairing Correlation

ļ)

		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2, 100 2, 038 1, 963	1. 888 1. 788 1. 738 1. 638	614-1	2.263	0. 225
		102 H	102 HH 102 HH 102 HH	105 H	<b>F</b> 1991 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	102 HH 123 HH	1 1 1 1 25 MM
conds) Seconds)			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 br>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	450 22C 150 22C 22C 5econds) 22C	40000000000000000000000000000000000000	8000 814 814 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 80	8334 000 870 870 870 870 870
31 5			1 1 4 4 4		1 <b>4</b> 11111111111111	140 141 141 140 133	LL)
Delay N/M Delay		FRAT FRAT Frat Frat	N/M N/M FRAT FRAT FRAT	KILL FRAT HIT FRAT FRAT FRAT FRAT	RAN NNNNN NNNN NNNN NNN NNN NNN NNN NNN	NN/N NN/N NN/N NN/N N/N N/N N/N	W/M W/M Will Kill
4 2 1 2	03: 27 03: 48 04: 04 04: 18 06: 14	05: 06: 24 05: 06: 30 05: 06: 37 05: 07: 01 05: 07: 16	05:07:19 05:07:30 05:07:36 05:07:36 05:07:34	+05:07:57 05:08:10 05:08:16 05:08:25 05:08:23 05:08:33 (Excessive [	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		6:13 16:20 17:03 11:cate 12:20
BRDM	BRDM BRDM BRDM		BRDM MDM	aode)		Vulcan	BRDM
Sag DRAG Stit	DRACON/Spandrel DRACON/Spandrel DRACON/Spandrel H W	33333 IIIII	DRAGON/Spandrel DRAGON/Spandrel H W M60a1/A3, M48A5 Controller Cun	er 1/A3, M 234 (vi 1/A3, M	Controller Gun H W H W H W H W H W Controller Gun TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND	· `~` Ē	H W DRAGON/Spandrel   125MM 172 125MM 172
• 1	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	₹₹₹₹₹	NN NN NH HIT KILL	NM HIT HIT HIT KILL			
179 163 163	24		48 48 44 49 49 49	24 19 12 12 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	29 29 1179 1179 1179 1179 1179 1179 1179	111 112 113 113 113 113 113	216 175 175
00:00: 00:00: 00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00		02:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:	05:06:42 05:06:53 05:07:15 05:07:26 05:07:26 05:07:33			88888888888888888888888888888888888888	05:15: 05:16: 05:17: 05:17:
		55555	555555	555555			

44

•

																																		671 0														0. 263				0. 238				
	,	1	1	ŀ	ł	1	ı	1	1	ı	,	,	• 1		I		1	1	ı	ı	1	ı	1	,	1	ı	ı		ı	1	ı	I		105 MM		ŀ	1		I	1	1	ł	ł	ı	ı				ł	11	- 105 MM			1	ı	1
	870	121				310	31C	414	682	004	BAA						100	414	450	414	213	213	831	210	210	131	131		641	641	641	641		503	860	860	C31		831	15.8	153	158	<b>B</b> 31	108	•	conds)	978 978	100		140 140		860	128	131	831	831
Ļ	ł	1	I	I	I	۱	ı	1	ı	ı	1	ł	1	14 041			1	ı	ł	t	ı	ł	ŀ	1	1	ł	ı		1	ı	ı	I		45U	5,	ı	ı		ı	I	1	ı	ı	ł	١		1		I		700	E16	•	ı	,	ŀ
with KILL)	KTL	111					KILL	HIT	MILL	MILL	K111							W/W	KILL	M/N	M/N	M/N	W/W	W/W	M/M	M/N	M/N		W/N	ΣÌ	W/W	E/Z		K 11 1	N X	M/M	W/W		M/N			W/W	M/M	W/N	ΣÌ	elay =				- 114			W/W	E/N	W/W	M/N
plicate	19.03			- 6	i i	ų.	Ň.	ñ	ñ	n.	26						05.32.00	05: 32: 46	+05:32:53	05:33:05	05: 33: 29	05: 33: 31	05:34:14	02:34:50	05:35:20	05: 35: 32	05: 35: 34		05: 36: 24	ä	ŝ	ЭĊ		405 37 30	05:37:46	05: 37: 49	ë		05:38:52			05: 39: 19	05: 39: 21	05: 40: 52	05: 40: 54	ພົາ						+00: 43: 47				
Vulcen	Vulcan					NON	BRDM										(epde)	BRDM					BRDM																											×						
	HIND/JOHN/				Talanada /NO	ON/Spandrel	DRAGON/Spandrel		/AT6/HIND	ATA/HIND		U				UNIN/OIN/	fausiv) ACC	DRACON/Scendrel					ON/Spandrel	TOW ATA/HIND	/AT6/HIND			ى س					ט ת		2	S											BMB		Z	M60 MG. Ca	05MM 170	AT6/HIND	8	I		
HHOE	MHOE					04 YO	DRAC		TOW	TOU		3		5			101	DRAC			1	3	DRAC	101		3	3	3 1		3	ב ר		3:		3			3 1	3	33:	33	11	1 1	<b>1</b>			MMC/	3 2 I P		M16,	10 H			¥: ا_	3	3
HIT	K TI I	111		E	Ē	Ē	<b>KILL</b>		MILL	MILL						Ē	111	Į			ž	ž	ž	ž	ž	ž	ž	Ę	ž	£	Ę	ž			ž	ž	ž	ž	ž	Ę	Ęį	Ē	Σ	Σ	ž	ž			H	Į	111		Ē	ž	Σ	ΣX
111	111					n n	Ci Ci		68	4	I					•	40		•		28	80	143	27	10	2	23	122	<b>6</b> 8	68	83	8	106	2021	174	174	53	128	143	0 4 1		143	143	143	143	143	182	215	<b>D</b> (	Ď	ĩ	174	143	143	143	143
05: 18: 43	tin 05 18 43			3	S	n O	Jun 05: 22: 45		4	Jun 05:25:16		24.0			s i		05.21	Jun 05: 32: 10				05:33:	03:33	05:34:	03: 34:	ŝ	03: 35:	03: 35:	05:36:	ŝ	05:36:	05:36:		96	05:37:	03: 37:	05:38:	05:38:	8			02:30	05: 39:	03: 39:	03: 39:	03:39:				0: <b>41</b> :	05.40	Jun 03: 42: 30	05:42:	05:42:	5:42:	03-40-

END.

0.0

1 1 1

.

. . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

. .

L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L

ğ

ö

Ē

ะ เต้า เมเพิ่ม เม

538

ö

Ē

DR AC DR AC DR AC 33 333333333333 3 33 I **L** L ILILLLL د د 2 E Ĩ ¥ 141 6666 8 28 0000 888 48584 **6 1** 26 44 4444 4 4 4 4 4 4 4400000000000 Ň 88 000000 0000 5000 00 8 252 55 5 L L 555 5555 -----55 5 ž <u>n</u> <u>n</u> ກຸກກຸກຄ ມມ ຄຸມຄຸມຄຸມ 0.0 ມະນຸ ທີ່ຫ ທຸກທຸກທຸກທຸກ ເດັນເປັ ŝ

• •

Barris States

35:21       37       00       35:25       N/M       -       413         35:32       37       N/H       H       S       00:35:35       N/M       -       413         35:35       37       N/H       H       S       00:35:35       N/M       -       413         35:35       37       N/H       H       S       00:35:35       N/H       -       413         35:35       37       N/H       H       S       00:35:37       N/H       -       413         35:35       37       N/H       S       00:357       N/H       -       413         35:37       N/H       Controller Cun       00:357       N/H       -       413         35:37       N/H       DRAGON/Spandrel BRDH       00:357       N/H       -       231         35:37       N/H       DRAGON/Spandrel BRDH       00:357       N/H       -       231         36:37       N/H       DRAGON/Spandrel BRDH       00:357       N/H       -       231         36:37       N/H       DRAGON/Spandrel BRDH       00:357       N/H       -       231         37:31       N/H       DRAGON/Spandrel BRDH       00	35     16       35     16       35     17       35     17       35     17       35     17       35     17       35     17       35     17       35     17       35     17       35     11       36     11       36     11       36     11       36     11       36     11       36     11       37     23       36     11       37     23       36     11       37     23       38     11       36     24       37     23       37     23       38     34       39     34       39     34       30     37       31     37       36     37       37     37       38     34       39     34       30     37       31     37       36     37       37     37       38     37       39     37       30     37       31	L W M60A1/A3, M48A5 L W L W L W L W L W Controller Cun DRACON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND	\$	<b>,</b> #	320	4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		-
3538       5       ML       Louida, A       415         3538       5       ML       Louida, A       400       415         3534       5       ML       Lu       05.54.25       NL       415         3534       5       ML       Lu       05.57.20       NM       -       415         3534       5       ML       Lu       05.57.10       NM       -       415         3534       213       ML       Lu       05.57.10       NM       -       415         3534       213       ML       Luu       05.57.10       NM       -       415         3533       37       ML       Luu       05.57.37       NM       -       231         3533       ML       Chu       05.57.37       NM       -       231         353       ML       Chu       05.57.37       NM       -       231	35:28       35:28       35:28       35:32       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       35:35       36:35       37:35       36:35       37:35       36:35       37:35       36:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35       37:35 <t< td=""><td>L W M60AI/A3, M48A5 H W L W L W L W Controller Gun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND</td><td>\$</td><td>, H</td><td>320</td><td>415</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	L W M60AI/A3, M48A5 H W L W L W L W Controller Gun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND	\$	, H	320	415		
33:33       176       KILL       MGAI/A3. MBA5       -03:56:26       MA2         33:56       39       MI       L       05:57:16       N/M       -115         35:56       37       MI       L       05:57:19       N/M       -115         35:51       37       MI       05:57:19       N/M       -115         36:33       37       MI       05:57:39       N/M       -115         36:33       37       MI       05:57:39       N/M       -231         36:33       37       MI       05:57:39       N/M       -231         36:33       37       MI       05:57:39       N/M       -231         37       MI       MILL       05:57:39       N/M       -231         37       MI       MILL       05:57:39       N/M       -231         37       MI       TQU       A76/HIUD       05:58:39       N/M	35:33     35:34       35:35     35:44       35:35     35:55       35:35     35:55       35:35     37       36:36     37       36:38     37       36:38     37       36:38     37       36:38     37       36:38     37       37     37       38:38     37	- M60A1/A3, M48A5 H H S L W L W L W Controller Gun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND	\$	, <b>H</b>	320	COH		
335.44     138     M1     H M     S     135     M1     H M     S     145       335.55     37     M1     L M     S     05.577.04     W7     -     415       355.15     M1     L M     S     05.577.04     W7     -     415       355.11     213     M1     Controller Gun     05.577.04     W7     -     415       355.11     213     M1     Controller Gun     05.577.04     W7     -     231       355.11     213     M1     Controller Gun     05.577.04     W7     -     231       355.11     213     M1     Controller Gun     05.577.04     W7     -     231       355.11     213     M1     Controller Gun     05.577.04     W7     -     231       355.12     M1     Controller Gun     05.57.37     W7     -     231       355.13     M1     M4     05.57.37     W7     -     231       355.14     M1     V10     A15/H100     05.57.37     W7     -     231       355.14     M1     V10     A15/H100     05.595.04     W7     -     231       355.15     W1     V10     A15/H100     05.595	55     54     138       55     55     54     138       55     55     55     56       56     35     56     37       56     36     11     21       36     36     21     27       36     36     21     27       36     36     21     27       36     36     21     27       36     36     21     27       36     36     21     27       36     37     21     27       37     21     21     27       36     37     21       36     37     21       37     21     21       37     21     21       38     37     21       39     37     21       37     37     37       38     37     37       39     37     37       39     37     37       39     37     37       39     37     37       39     37     37       39     37     37       39     37     37       30     37     37       37	H W S L W L W L W S H W S Controller Cun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND	\$	н			105 MM	010 T
355.45       37       10       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11       11	35         52         54         55           35         56         11         25         54           35         56         09         213         35           36         14         213         35         36           36         34         213         35         35           36         36         14         213         35           36         36         31         213         35           36         36         31         213         37           36         36         31         213         37           36         37         30         37         37           36         37         313         37         37           36         37         313         37         37           37         30         37         37         37           37         37         37         37         37           36         37         37         37         37           37         37         37         37         37	L W L W L W H W Controller Gun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND			aa Sero	nds)		1 1 1
35:35:2       39       MIL       1       415         35:35:2       39       MIL       1       415         35:35:3       MIL       03:37:19       N/H       1       415         35:35:4       MIL       03:37:19       N/H       1       141         35:35:4       MIL       03:37:19       N/H       1       141         35:35:4       MIL       03:37:19       N/H       1       141         35:37       MIL       03:37:37       N/H       1       141         35:37       MIL       MIL       03:37:38       N/H       1       143         35:37       MIL       MIL       03:37:38       N/H       1       231         35:31       MIL       MIL       03:37:38       N/H       1       231         35:31       MIL       MIL       MIL       03:37:38       N/H       1       231 <td>35:55         35:55           35:55         35:55           35:11         213           35:14         213           35:35         37           35:35         37           35:35         37           35:35         37           35:35         37           35:35         37           35:35         37           36:37         37           37:33         37           36:38         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:34         37           37:35         37</td> <td>L W L W H W Controller Gun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>415</td> <td>۱</td> <td></td>	35:55         35:55           35:55         35:55           35:11         213           35:14         213           35:35         37           35:35         37           35:35         37           35:35         37           35:35         37           35:35         37           35:35         37           36:37         37           37:33         37           36:38         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:33         37           37:34         37           37:35         37	L W L W H W Controller Gun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND				415	۱	
35:55       99       M1       14       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5<	35:55         35:55           35:55         35:55           35:55         35:55           35:55         35:55           35:55         35:55           35:55         37:35           35:55         37:35           35:55         37:35           35:55         37:35           35:55         37:35           36:38         37:35           36:38         37:35           37:33         37:35           36:38         37:35           37:33         37:35           37:33         37:35           36:45         37:35           37:35         37:35           37:35         37:35           37:35         37:35           37:37         37:35           37:37         37:35           37:37         37:35           37:37         37:35           37:37         37:35           37:37         37:35           37:37         37:35           37:37         37:35           37:37         37:35           37:37         37:35           37:37         37:35           37:37 <td>L H S H H S H H G Controller Gun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND</td> <td>05.57.00</td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td>ı</td> <td></td>	L H S H H S H H G Controller Gun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND	05.57.00		I		ı	
36:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37       37:37 <td< td=""><td>36:09     37       36:14     213       36:14     213       36:38     37       36:38     37</td><td>L H H S H H S Controller Cun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></td<>	36:09     37       36:14     213       36:14     213       36:38     37       36:38     37	L H H S H H S Controller Cun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND					1	
36:11     213     M     H     5     57:19     N/M     -     D34       36:13     213     MILL     Controller Gun     0:5:75:19     N/M     -     D34       36:14     213     MILL     Controller Gun     0:5:75:19     N/M     -     D34       36:14     213     MILL     Controller Gun     0:5:75:3     N/M     -     231       36:14     213     M     Racon/Spandrel BRDH     0:5:55:35:3     N/M     -     231       36:53     90     M     TOU     /A16/HIND     0:5:56:20     N/M     -     231       35:53     90     M     TOU     /A16/HIND     0:5:56:20     N/M     -     231       37:23     27     M     TOU     /A16/HIND     0:5:56:20     N/M     -     231       37:27     70     M     TOU     /A16/HIND     0:5:56:20     N/M     -     231       37:27     70     M     TOU     /A16/HIND     0:5:56:20     N/M     -     231       37:27     70     M     TOU     /A16/HIND     0:5:56:20     N/M     -     231       37:27     70     M     TOU     /A16/HIND     0:5:56:20     N/M	96:09 213 96:11 213 96:30 37 96:30 37 96:42 8	H H S H H Controller Cun Controller Cun Bagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND			I		i	
36:11       213       Mill       -       -       D34         36:30       37       Mill       Dractollar Gun       05:57:37       N/H       -       D34         36:30       37       Mill       Dractollar Gun       05:57:37       N/H       -       D34         36:31       Mill       Dractollar Gun       05:57:37       N/H       -       231         36:33       Mill       Dim       Mill       D05:57:37       N/H       -       231         36:33       Mill       D04/AT6/HIND       05:57:37       N/H       -       231         36:53       37       Mill       D04/AT6/HIND       05:57:37       N/H       -       231         35:51       37       Mill       D04/AT6/HIND       05:57:37       N/H       -       231         37:31       21       T04       AT6/HIND       05:58:36       N/H       -       231         37:27       90       Mill       T04       AT6/HIND       05:58:50       N/H       -       231         37:27       90       Mill       T04       AT6/HIND       05:58:50       N/H       -       231         37:37       31       Mill<	96:11 213 56:14 213 56:30 37 56:38 8 56:42 37	H H Controller Gun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND	05: 57: 16	E/Z	1	934	۱	
36:14       213       XILL       Controller Gun       ************************************	56:14 213 36:30 37 56:38 8 56:42 37	Controller Gun DRAGON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND	05: 57: 19	W/W	ł	D34	١	
36:30       37       M1       DRAGON/Spandrel BRDM       05:57:37       N/M       -       231         36:38       8       M1       Sagar       BMP       00:57:37       N/M       -       231         36:38       9       M1       TOW /AT6/HIND       00:58:10       N/M       -       231         36:53       37       37       37       38       17       N/M       -       231         36:53       37       37       10       /AT6/HIND       00:58:10       N/M       -       231         37:31       41       NILL       Vipor       00:58:10       N/M       -       231         37:32       27       NM       TOW /AT6/HIND       00:58:30       N/M       -       231         37:35       NM       HU       S       10       /AT6/HIND       00:58:35       N/M       -       231         37:35       NM       HU       S       27       N/M       -       231         37:35       NM       HU       S       58:35       N/M       -       231         37:36       153       NM       HU       S       58:35       N/M       -       23	36: 30 37 36: 38 8 36: 42 37	DRACON/Spandrel Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND - Viper TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND	*					
36:38     8     M     597.47     N/H     231       36:42     37     M     704 /AT6/HIND     005.57.47     N/H     231       36:42     37     M     704 /AT6/HIND     005.58:02     N/H     231       36:42     37     M     704 /AT6/HIND     005.58:02     N/H     231       37:31     41     NILL     Viper     005.58:04     N/H     231       37:32     27     W     704 /AT6/HIND     005.58:04     N/H     231       37:32     27     W     704 /AT6/HIND     005.58:36     N/H     231       37:32     27     W     704 /AT6/HIND     005.58:36     N/H     231       37:35     W     704 /AT6/HIND     005.58:36     N/H     231       37:35     W     H     5     005.58:36     N/H     231       37:37     W     H     5     005.58:36     N/H     231       37:37     W     H     5     005.58:36     N/H     231       37:37     W     H     5     005.58:36     N/H     231       38:03     153     M     H     5     005.58:20     N/H       38:03     153     M     H	56: 38 86: 42 37	Sagger BMP TOW /AT6/HIND TOW /AT6/HIND Viper Viper TOW /AT6/HIND	05:57:	M/M	1	231	۱	
36:38     8     8     8     9     10     /AT6/HIND     05:57:53     N/H     231       36:53     70     M     TOW /AT6/HIND     05:58:10     N/H     231       36:53     70     M     TOW /AT6/HIND     03:58:10     N/H     231       36:53     70     M     TOW /AT6/HIND     03:58:10     N/H     231       37:31     21     Vist     03:58:17     N/H     231       37:32     27     M     TOW /AT6/HIND     03:58:19     N/H     231       37:33     27     M     TOW /AT6/HIND     03:58:19     N/H     231       37:35     27     M     TOW /AT6/HIND     03:58:19     N/H     231       37:35     M     H     57     00:58:28:39     N/H     231       37:35     M     H     57     00:58:39:30     N/H     231       38:00     133     M     H     58     00:59:57:20     N/H     231       38:01     14     S     S     00:59:57:20     N/H     231       38:02     14     S     S     N/H     14     231       38:03     19     M     S     S     N/H     231	56: 38 8 5			W/N	,	162	١	
36:38     8     M     Sager BMP     05:38:02     N/M     -     H03       36:53     90     M     TOU /AT6/HIND     03:38:05     N/M     -     H03       37:31     41     KILL     Viper     00:38:05     N/M     -     231       37:31     41     KILL     00:38:05     N/M     -     231       37:31     41     KILL     00:38:05     N/M     -     231       37:37     37     NM     TOU /AT6/HIND     00:38:05     N/M     -       37:37     37     NM     TOU /AT6/HIND     00:38:05     N/M     -     231       37:37     37     NM     TOU /AT6/HIND     00:38:05     N/M     -     231       37:37     37     M     H     Sale     00:38:05     N/M     -     231       37:37     M     H     Sale     00:38:05     N/M     -     231       37:38     M     H     Sale     00:38:05     N/M     -     231       38:00     153     M     H     Sale     00:35:20     N/M     -     211       38:01     153     M     H     Sale     00:35:20     N/M     -     211 <td>56: 38 8 8</td> <td></td> <td>52 · 22 · 20</td> <td>W/W</td> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td>	56: 38 8 8		52 · 22 · 20	W/W	i		,	
36:33       70       MT       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70       70	56: 42 37 1				I		1	
36:53     37     37     31     104     /A16/H1ND     03:58:17     N/M     231       37:23     27     M     TOM     /A16/H1ND     03:58:17     N/M     231       37:23     27     M     TOM     /A16/H1ND     03:58:17     N/M     231       37:23     27     M     TOM     /A16/H1ND     03:58:19     N/H     231       37:23     27     M     TOM     /A16/H1ND     03:58:50     N/M     211       37:25     27     M     H     40     03:58:50     N/M     211       37:34     27     M     H     50:58:50     N/M     211       37:35     M     H     S     03:58:50     N/M     211       37:36     133     M     H     S     50:59     N/M     211       38:03     140     S </td <td>J6: 42 3/</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>8</td> <td></td>	J6: 42 3/				1		8	
36: 53       90       M       TOW /ATG/HIND       05: 58: 17       N/H       -       233         37: 31       41       KILL       Viger       -       05: 58: 19       KILL       -       234         37: 35       27       W       TOW /ATG/HIND       05: 58: 50       N/H       -       234         37: 35       27       W       TOW /ATG/HIND       05: 58: 50       N/H       -       231         37: 35       27       W       TOW /ATG/HIND       05: 58: 50       N/H       -       211         37: 35       27       W       H W       56: 53       N/H       -       211         37: 35       W       H W       55: 55       N/H       -       211         38: 03       133       W       H H       5       58: 55       N/H       -       211         38: 03       133       W       H H       5       58: 55       N/H       -       211         38: 03       133       W       H H       5       58: 55       N/H       -       211         38: 19       MILL       S       S       M/H       -       211         38: 10       MIL<		_	90 : 85 : 60	E/Z	1	231	١	
37:31       41       Viper       •05:58:19       KILL       -       834         37:27       37       77       11       Viper       •05:58:38       841LL       -       250         37:25       37       70       11       Viper       •05:58:38       841LL       -       250         37:35       27       70       11       Viper       •05:58:39       N/H       -       250         37:35       27       70       11       Viper       •05:58:39       N/H       -       211         37:35       77       70       170       05:58:50       N/H       -       211         38:03       153       71       14       5       5       07       14       211         38:03       153       71       14       5       5       07       14       211         38:03       153       7       7       14       5       5       1403         38:03       153       7       14       5       5       5       1403         38:03       153       7       14       5       5       1403         38:03       153       7	56:53 90 1		05: 58: 17	E/Z	1	A23	۱	
77:31       41       KILL       Viper       -05:58:38       KILL       250         77:27       70       NM       TOW /AT6/HIND       05:58:50       N/M       -       211         77:27       70       NM       TOW /AT6/HIND       05:58:50       N/M       -       221         77:27       70       NM       TOW /AT6/HIND       05:58:50       N/M       -       211         77:27       70       NM       H       S       05:58:50       N/M       -       211         77:27       70       NM       H       S       S       N/M       -       211         77:27       70       NM       H       S       S       N/M       -       211         77:27       70       NM       H       S       S       N/M       -       211         76:0       153       NM       H       S       S       N/M       -       211         78:0       153       NM       H       S       S       03:57:25       N/M       -       211         78:0       153       NM       H       S       S       03:57:25       N/M       -       211		_	+05:58:19	MILL	ı	834	۱	
57:27       27       W1       704       /AT6/HIND         57:27       90       W1       704       /AT6/HIND         57:27       90       W1       704       /AT6/HIND         57:27       90       W1       704       /AT6/HIND         57:36       153       W1       H       2         57:37       153       W1       H       5         58:01       153       W1       H       5         58:02       153       W1       H       5         58:03       153       W1       H       5         58:04       153       W1       H       5         58:03       153       W1       H       5	31 41		+05: 58: 38	KILL	ł	250	۱	
37:27       90       NN       704       /AT6/HIND         37:25       27       M       H H       97:27       90       NN       71         37:36       27       M       H H       95:37:36       00:58:59       N/N       -       211         37:36       00       153       M       H H       56:00       153       M       H H       56:00       00:58:59       N/N       -       211       211         38:00       153       M       H H       56:00       153       M       H H       56:00       00:59:20       N/N       -       211       211         38:04       193       M       H H       56:00       N/N       -       200:59:29:20       N/N       -       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211       211	50 50	TOUL /ATA/MIND	ģ	M/N	ı	110	۱	
37:35     27     7     7     7     7     7       37:35     153     M     H     5     5     5     5     5       38:00     153     M     H     5     5     5     5     5     5       38:00     153     M     H     5     5     5     5     5     5       38:01     153     M     H     5     5     5     0     0       38:02     153     M     H     5     5     0     0     0       38:13     M     H     5     5     0     0     0     0       38:14     169     M     H     5     0     0     0     0       38:15     163     M     H     5     0     0     0     0       38:16     169     M     H     5     0     0     0       38:22     153     M     H     5     0     0     0       38:23     193     M     H     5     0     0     0       38:23     193     M     H     5     0     0     0       38:33     193     M     L <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>įģ</td><td></td><td>I</td><td>• [</td><td>1</td><td></td></t<>			įģ		I	• [	1	
57:35       27       M       DMACON/Spandrel BKDM       00:38:39       N/M       -       211         57:59       153       M       H       N       58:00       153       N       H       00:38:39       N/M       -       211         58:03       153       N       H       N       58:03       153       N       H       N       58:03       153       N/M       -       211         58:03       153       N       H       N       S8:13       0       05:59:20       N/M       -       211         58:04       153       N       H       N       05:59:20       N/M       -       211         58:23       153       N       H       N       05:59:20       N/M       -       103         58:23       153       N       H       N       -       103         58:23       153       N       H       S       05:59:20       N/M       -       103         58:23       153       N       H       S       05:59:20       N/M       -       103         58:23       153       N       H       S       05:59:20       N/M       - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td>3.4</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>					I	3.4	1	
79       153       NH       H       N         000       153       NH       H       N         000       153       NH       H       N         000       153       NH       H       N         010       153       NH       H       N         02       153       NH       H       N         113       03       153       NH       H         113       04       153       N/H       N         113       05       153       N/H       N         113       05       153       N/H       N         114       N       05       397.25       N/H         123       153       N/H       N       05         133       NH       H       N       05         133       NH       N       N       N         153       N/H       N       N       N         153       N       N	36 27	20N/Spandrel	:20	M/N	1	211	1	
00       153       N       H       N         03       153       N       H       N         04       153       N       H       N         05       53       N       H       N         05       53       N       H       N         05       53       N       H       N         133       153       N       H       N         24       153       N       H       N         23       153       N       H       N         24       153       N       H       N         23       153       N       H       N         24       153       N       H       H         23       153       N       H       H         23       153       N       H       H	59 153	3 1						
02       153       N       H       H         03       153       N       H       H         113       6       N       H       H         123       8       N       H       H         133       8       N       H       H         133       16       163       95       20       N/M         133       153       N       H       H       N         22       153       N       H       H       N         23       153       N       H       H       N         24       153       N       H       H       N         23       153       N       H       H       N         24       153       N       H       H       N         23       153       N       H       H       N       H         24       153       N       H       H       N       H         33       153       N       H       H       N       H         33       153       N       H       H       N       H         34       153       N	00 153	3						
03       133       N       H         113       6       N       H         123       N       H       N         22       153       N       H         23       153       N       H         23       153       N       H         24       153       N       H         25       153       N       H         26       153       N       H         27       153       N       H         28       153       N       H         29       153       N       H         23       153       N       H         24       153       N       H         25       153       N       H         26       153       N       H         27       153       N       H         28       153       N <td>02 153</td> <td>ر ۲</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	02 153	ر ۲						
04       153       NH       1         113       6       NH       1         123       8       NH       1         123       8       NH       1         123       8       NH       1         123       153       NH       1         123       153       NH       1         123       153       NH       1         133       NH       1       1         22       153       NH       1         23       153       NH       1         24       153       NH       0         25       153       NH       1         26       153       NH       1         27       153       NH       1         28       153       NH       1         28       153       NH       1         29       153       NH       1         23       153       NH       1         24       153       NH       1         25       153       NH       1         26       153       NH       1         27       <	03 153							
38:13       8       1       1       1         38:13       8       16'       N/H       1       1         38:13       16'       16'       N/H       1       1       1         38:13       16'       16'       16'       16'       1       1       1         38:12       153       11       11       1       05:59:20       1/H       1       1         38:12       153       11       1       1       1       1       1       1         38:12       153       11       1       1       1       1       1       1         38:13       153       11       1       1       1       1       1       1         38:13       153       11       1       1       1       1       1       1         38:13       153       11       1       1       1       1       1       1       1         38:13       153       153       1       1       1       1       1       1         38:13       153       1       1       1       1       1       1       1         38:1	58.04 153							
38:18       167       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1 </td <td>58.13 8</td> <td></td> <td>05:59:20</td> <td>W/W</td> <td>1</td> <td>COH H</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>	58.13 8		05:59:20	W/W	1	COH H	1	
22 153 MH H W S 23 153 MH H W S 23 153 MH H W S 23 153 MH H W S 24 153 MH H W S 25 153 MH H H H W S 25 153 MH H H W S 25 153 MH H H H W S 25 153 MH H H H H W S 25 153 MH H H H W S 25 153 MH H H H W S 25 153 MH H H H H W S 25 153 MH H H H H W S 25 153 MH H H H H W S 25 153 MH H H H H H W S 25 153 MH H		: 3			I		1	
23       133       14       1         23       153       1       1       1         23       153       1       1       1         23       153       1       1       1         23       153       1       1       1       1         23       153       1       1       1       1       1         24       153       1       1       1       1       1       1         24       153       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1 <td></td> <td>: :</td> <td>C3. CC. CO.</td> <td></td> <td>ł</td> <td>010</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>		: :	C3. CC. CO.		ł	010	1	
22 153 NH HU 28 153 NH HU 28 153 NH HU 29 153 NH HU 32 153 NH HU 32 153 NH HU 153 NH HU 153 NH HU 153 NH HU 154 N 153 NH HU 154 153 NH 154 153 NH 154 154 NH 154 154 NH 155 NH 15		3						
24 153 NH F 15 29 153 NH F 16 29 153 NH F 16 30 153 NH H 16 31 153 NH F 16 33 153 NH F 16 34 153 NH F 16 37 153 NH F 16 38 153 NH F 16 38 153 NH F 16 39 153 NH F 16 39 153 NH F 16 39 153 NH F 16 30 153	23 153							
25 153 NN H W S 28 153 NN H W S 30 153 NN H W S 32 153 NN H W S 33 153 NN L W S 33 153 NN L W S 34 153 NN L W S 36 153 NN - 415 37 153 NN - 415 38 39:49 NN - 415	24 153	3						
28 153 NH L M 29 153 NH L W S 32 153 NH H W S 32 153 NH L W S 33 153 NH L W S 34 153 NH L W S 36 59 NM L W S 38 59 NM L W S 38 59 153 NM L W S 39 153 NM L W S 39 153 NM L W S 30 153 NM L W S 30 153 NM L W S 31 153 NM L W S 32 153 NM L W S 34 153 NM L W S 34 153 NM L W S 36 153 NM L W S 37 153 NM L W S 38 59 153 NM L W S 39 153 NM L W S 30 153 NM L W S 30 153 NM L W S 31 153 NM L W S 31 153 NM L W S 32 153 NM L W S 33 153 NM L W S 34 153 NM L W S 35 153 NM L W S 36 153 NM L W S 37 153 NM L W S 37 153 NM L W S 38 153 NM L W S 39 153 NM L W S 39 153 NM L W S 39 153 NM L W S 30 153 NM L W S 31 153 NM L W S 31 153 NM L W S 32 153 NM L W S 34 153 NM L W S 35 153 NM L W S 36 153 NM L W S 37 153 NM L W S 37 153 NM L W S 38 153 NM L W S 39 153 NM L W S 39 153 NM L W S 39 153 NM L W S 30 153 NM L	25 153	3						
29 153 NM LW S 30 153 NM HW S 32 153 NM LW S 33 153 NM LW 34 153 NM LW 38 59 NM LW S 39:59:40 NM - 413	28 153							
30 153 NM H W S 32 153 NM H W S 33 153 NM L W S 34 153 NM L W S 38 59 NM L W S 00:59:40 N/M - 413	29 153	3						
22 153 NM H W 33 153 NM L W 33 153 NM L W 54 153 NM L W 56 00:59:49 NM - 413	30 153	3						
33 153 NM L W 34 153 NM L W 38 59 NM L W S 09:59:49 N/M - 413	153	: 3						
34 153 NM L W 38 59 NM L W S 05:59:45 N/M - 415								
Car         Log         N/M         -         415           38         59         NM         L         815								
		: :						
	38 34	3	C4:40:00		I	410	1	
59:48 N/M - 231				E/N	1	231	ł	
EDH - W/N	58:40 7		05: 59: 48	M/N	ı	EDH	ł	

このできたい ちちち

5000000 (3000000)

r i saman artistet butteren arababi asserta

62000000000000

1.10

1970

. )

47

1.1.1

Correlation
12 Pairing
Segment 1
<b>B510</b> ,
Rotation

٠	Kange Taxat	ı	ŀ	ŀ	1	ł	•	1	1	ŀ		ı	1	•	•	1	ı	0. 200		1	1	1	ł	1	1.488	3	ı	I		1. 313	I	1	ı	•	1	8	1	1 1	I	I	1	ı	1		0. 500		Change Table)	•	1	2. 200	I	2.050	ı	t	0.763	I
9 9 1-		I	ł	1	1	1	1	1	ı	I		ı	I	ı	ı	1	1	105 MM		1	1	ı	ı		105 MM	ı	1	1		105 MM	ı	ı	ı	1	I	1	ł	L 1	I	ł	ı	ı	ı		105 MM		KILL (Player	•	I	MM 201		105 MM	ŧ		105 MM	t
0 N I		E44	E 4 4	E44	E44	110	415	413	414	110	(spuos)	11D	135	11D	110	414	513	833		<b>AGE</b>	<b>A</b> B <b>B</b>	110	110	415	316	415	D16	350		316	A21	<b>A</b> 21	<b>A</b> 21	A21	210		No.	110		450		414	230	econds)	D14	_	NOO	110	110	<b>B</b> 33	642	BC8	110	220	110	079
A 1 4		ł	I	I	I	ı	ı	I	I	ı	27 56	I	ł	1	I	I	ı	A13	Ę	ı	I	I	ı	ł	H65	I	I	1	Ē	H65	I	I	1	ı	ı	1	I	1 1		' É	1	I	1	26 51	A13	. 4: 51)	CONT	ł	ł	814	1	B14	I	1	B14	I
ے د	Result seemen	HIT	HIT	HIT	E l Z	E-Z	¥-N	HIT	E Z	E-Z	Delau =	N-Z		E I Z	Σ Z	N-N	MILL	<b>MJLL</b>	with KI	E - Z	N-N	E-Z	Ľ-Z	E-Z	HIT	X-Z	HIT	HIT	. with KI	<b>N</b> ILL	N-N	E-Z	E-Z	E-Z					MILL Vieter KT		N N		X-Z	Delay =	HIT	Delay =	150	E-Z	£-Z	HIT	HIT	MILL	1 1 2	I Z	FRAT	
2 2 2 2		05:00:28	05:00:42	•••	••	05:01:07	5	05:01:17	05:02:56	8	(Excessive	- 10	6	20	5:06:	0	80	* 05:08:09	(Duplicate	80	05:08:52	60		8		5:10:		Ω.	p 1	:11:	Ì	ŝ	5: 12:					- 00: 10: 10: 4		- 05-17-14	à		ŝ			(Excessive	<u>N</u>		05:20:39	5:21:0	21	5:21:3	21:4 4:12		••	8C : 12 : 20
1         		M2, M85 MC	192 1	<b>28</b> W		light	Sagger - BMP	M2, M85 MC	Sagger - BMP		Dregon/Spandrel	liaht			linht	Dragon/Spandrel	TOW/AT-6/HIND	M60A1/A3, M48A5	M60A1/A3, M48A5	heavy	light	light	light	TOW/AT-6/HIND	M60A1/A3, M48A5	Dragon/Spandrel		У.	M60A1/A3, M4BA5	A3.		heavy spare		heavy	Dragon/Spandrel	light		125MM 172	UT#gon/Upanore1 Ut/041/40 UA0AE	10001 V001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 10001 1000				light	M60A1/A3. M48A5	30MM HIND/Vulcan	Controller Gun	heavy	heavy	M60A1/A3, M48A5		M60A1/A3, M48A5	teavy.	light	heavy	7 <b>6 6</b> < 4
0 		HIT	HIT	HIT		E-Z	E-Z	HIT	I-Z	N-Z	E-Z	11-N	:	X-N	M-Z	E-Z	<b>KILL</b>	KILL	HIT	W-N	H-Z	E-Z		E-N	HIT	Σ- -		HIT	HIT	KILL	E-Z	X-Z	I-Z	E-Z	KILL KILL							: 2		E-N	HIT	MILL	MILL	E-Z	E-Z	НIТ		MILL	X-Z	X-Z	E-Z	E Z
ທ E		102	102	105		149	16	16	0 n	149	151	149		149	149	50	124		67	146	146	149	149	51	171	51		¢.	~	~	N.	CN-	<b>N</b>	0	151	4	• •	4	<		) (	2		25	78	132	0	4	4	113		E11	149	n	٩.	66
<b>R</b> D	Time sesses		05:00:34	8.00		Ö	05: 00: 43		00	Ö	8	90		5:03:	02:02	5:06:	05: 07: 52	5:08:	5:08:	5:08:	5:08:	5:09:	5:09:	5:09:	5:09:	5: 10:		5: 10:	5:10:	5:10:	5:12:	5:12:	5:12:	5:12:	05:14:26	5:14:		9.19.						.18	19	14:	200	2	202	05:20:57		5:21		5:21:	5: 21:	5:21:
1	Date Britt	<b>n</b> u 0	nul 9				9 Jun										9 Jun								0										0 0 0										9 Jun					<b>7</b> UUD			9 Jun			

1	ı	•	1. 788	Change Table)	Change Table)	I	1	,	1	1	ı	1	Change Table)	•	0. 388	ı		0. 888	1	1.988		1. 063	1	1.838	•	1. 775	0.613	1	I	1	1	1	1	1.588		1. 588	1	1.400	i	1. 388	1.400	1	ı	1
ł	1	1	105 MM	(Player	(Player	I	1	I	1	1	ı	I	(Player		105 MM	ı		105 MM	ı	105 MM		105 MM	ł	105 MM			105 MM	1	ı	I	1	1	ſ	105 MM		105 MM	ł	105 MM	ı	105 MM		ı	ſ	ı
610	835	610	331	CUN KI	CUN KILL	65D	65D	FD2	E44	FD2	FD2	FD2	GUN KILL	642	B34	834		<b>B</b> 34	311	350		0EE	642	<b>B</b> 16	FD2	816	22D	F D 2	220	22D	22D	22D	22D	350		350	A24	311	414	B14	312	H65	H65	E T T
I	I	ı	814	CONT	CONT	ı	ł	I	ı	ł	ı	ı	CONT	ı	H65	ı	L)	B14	ı	H65	-	B14	ı	H65	ł	E9H	H65	1	ı	I	1	ı	1	69H	Ê	E9H	•	H65	I	<b>B14</b>	B14	I	ı	1
	ΣIZ	Σ-Z	<b>MILL</b>	<b>65D</b>	610	Σ- 2	Σ- Z	E-Z	E-Z	<u>Σ-</u> Ζ	Σ-Z	Σ-1 Ζ	<b>A</b> 22	HIT	N-Z	HIT	with KILL)		HIT	X-Z	with KIL	KILL	HIT	Σ- Ζ	Σ I Z	Σ Z	FRAT	Σ-Z	E-Z	Σ I Z	Σ   Ζ	N-N	<b>K</b> ILL	Σ- 2	with KI	<b>MILL</b>	Σ - Ζ	Σ   Z	Σ-2	HIT	₩-N	E-Z	ΣIZ	Σ     
	ñ	R	5:22:	* 05.22:19	22		05:22:20	05:22:35	2	05:23:11	2	ŝ	+ 05:23:30	20	4	05:24:05	e t	05	05:24:17	05:24:24	plicate	31	05:24:36	Ň	05:25:05		50	53	10		05:25:23	05: 25: 24	* 05:23:32	05:26:16	plicate	26:	05: 26: 22	05:26:41	05.28:21	8	05:28:37		0 C	į
reavy spare	TOW/AT-6/HIND	light	M60A1/A3, M4BA5	Controller Gun	Controller Gun	heavy spare		light	•	light	light	light	Controller Gun	M60A1/A3. M4BA5	7-4-5	M60A1/A3, M48A5	M60A1/A3. M48A5	M60A1/A3. M48A5	M60A1/A3, M48A5	264<2	M60A1/A3, M48A5				light	744×4	Tea<.	light spare	light	light	light	light	Dragon/Spandrel		heavy	M60A1/A3, M48A5	heavy spare	Peavy	TOW/AT-6/HIND	M60A1/A3, M48A5	heavy	2044	heavu	
	E-Z	E-Z	<b>MILL</b>	<b>XILL</b>	KILL	E-Z	E-Z	E-Z		X-Z	E-Z	Σ- 2	MILL	HIT	E - Z	HIT	HIT	<b>MILL</b>	HIT	Σ- Z	HIT	MILL		Σ <u>-</u> 2	2-Z	Σ-2	£-Z	E Z	¥-2	X-Z	E-Z	E-Z	<b>XILL</b>	Σ- Z	E I Z	<b>KILL</b>	<b>Σ</b> - <b>Ζ</b>	Σ- Z	Σ-Z	HIT	£-N	E-Z	M-2	M-N
10	174	57	200	57	148	148	148	125		<b>N</b>	<ul> <li>N</li> </ul>	125	1	59	75	75	75	75	ŝ	0	0	197		72	125	72	n	125	s n	ഹ	n	n	n.	D-	195	195	130	151	o s	87	167	119	119	611
	5:21:	5:22:	201	05:22-15	5.22:	5:21:	5:21:	5:22:		5:23:	5: 23:	5.23	5: 23	5: 23:	5.23	5: 23:	5: 23:	5 23:	5.24	5:24	5:24.	05:24:22		24	4	40	5	05: 25: 08	5	5	5	5	ŝ	24	26	05:26.	26	05:26:	51	å	88	2	00	

- An entry also exists in the NTCDRS Player Change Table

1.1

 $\{ f_{i} \}_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{I}$ 

4

٠