ND-A179 387 UNCLASSIFIED	PERIO (U) A SCHOO	DIC ORBITS IR FORCE L OF ENGI GA/AA/SED	S ABOUT	ROTA Tech K D	TING MRIG	ISTERO IT-PAT 5 DEC	IDS IN Terson 6	FREE NF8	SPACE	1/	1
								F/18 .		NL.	
		·		-	-	-					
	-			-							
					A., 						
	_										



and and the second and the second interest interest () and ()

A PARTE



AFIT/GA/AA/86D-7



PERIODIC ORBITS ABOUT ROTATING

ASTEROIDS IN FREE SPACE

THESIS

Kerry D. Hicks Second Lieutenant, USAF

AFIT/GA/AA/86D-7

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

AFIT/GA/AA/86D-7

PERIODIC ORBITS ABOUT ROTATING ASTEROIDS

IN FREE SPACE

THESIS

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering of the Air Force Institute of Technology

Air University

In Partial Fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science in Astronautical Engineering

\bigcap
(QUIALITY INSPECTED
1

Kerry D. Hicks, B.S. Second Lieutenant, USAF

December 1986

Acces	ion For
DTIC	nounced []
By Distrib	oution /
1	vailability Codes
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Acknowledgments

Upon completion of my thesis, I would like to express my gratitude to my thesis advisor, Dr. William Wiesel. His enthusiasm and assistance helped make this project both educational and enjoyable.

I would also like to express my deepest appreciation to my friend and constant source of encouragement, Laurie. I hope that, in some small way, this finished product justifies her continuous support and belief in me throughout my studies here at AFIT.

Computers used: Osborne I and Heathkit H89 Software used: Wordstar Printer used: Sanyo PR-5000 Plotter used: HP 7475A

Table of Contents

														Page
Acknow	ledgements	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ii
List o	of Figures	•	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	v
List o	of Tables	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vii
Abstra		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	viii
Ι.	Introducti	on .	• •	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
II.	Problem Dy	namio	cs.	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
	Equati	ons o	of M	otion	l	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
				l Ener Energy	-		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 8
	Method	lof	Solu	ution	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
	Stabil	lity (of (Orbits	;	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	20
	Verifi	catio	on a	and Er	ror	De	tec	tio	n	•	•	•	•	21
				Verif Verif				•	•	•	•	•	•	22 22
III.	Equilibriu	ım Po	ints	5.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	24
	Locati	ons	in S	State	Spa	ice	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	24
	Stabil	lity	of I	Equili	.bri	um	Poi	nts		•	•	•	•	28
	Examp	le As	ter	oid Ca	lcu	lat	ion	S	•	•	•	•	•	29
				ium Po y Resu			sul.		•	•	•	•	•	29 30
IV.	Minor Orb:	its	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	33

Ċ

 $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$

v.	Maj	or O	rbi	ts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	42
		Maj	or	Orbi	its	Wi	th	f >	Ω	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	43
			1 1	.97 .70	> : > :	£ > £ >	1.	70 45	Rad Rad Rad /TU	/TU /TU	•	•	• •	• •	•	• •	43 44 48 48
		Maj		•=5 Orbi						•	•	•	•	•	•	•	50
		-	5		E >	0.0	60	Rad	1/TU •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	50 50
		Rem	ark	s or	n Ma	ajo	r C)rbi	ts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	51
VI.	Con	clus	ion	and	i Re	ecor	nme	nda	tio	ns	•	•	•	•	•	•	55
		Dyn	ami	cs a	and	Met	thc	d d	of S	olu	tio	n	•	•	•	•	55
		Equ	ili	briı	ן שד	Poir	nts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	55
		Min	or	Orbi	its	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	56
		Maj	or	Orbi	its	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	56
		Rel	ate	d Pr	ob	lem	fc	or S	Stud	Y	•	•	•	•	•	•	56
		Con	clu	sior	ו	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	56
Apper	ndix	Α:	Fi	ctit	io	ıs i	Ast	erc	oid (Chai	ract	ter	ist	ics	•	•	57
Аррен	ndix	в:	Va	riat	cio	nal	Ma	tri	. x	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	59
Bibl	iogr	aphy	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	62
Vita	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	63

Ç

March Color Color

List of Figures

1

\$

Ċ

Figure					Page
1.	Asteroid Geometry	•	•	•	2
2.	Gravitational Potential	•	•	•	4
3.	Polar Coordinates	•	•	•	9
4.	Typical Orbits	•	•	•	17
5.	Typical Minor Orbit Near Equilibrium Poi	nt	•	•	34
6.	Initial Radius -vs- Frequency	•	•	•	34
7.	Initial P _{λ} -vs- Frequency	•	•	•	35
8.	Typical Minor Orbit Near f = .44 Rad/TU				
9.	Initial Radius -vs- Frequency	•	•	•	36
10.	Initial P _{λ} -vs- Frequency				
11.	Minor Orbit at f = .441 Rad/TU	•	•	•	37
12.	Minor Orbit at f = .321 Rad/TU	•	•	•	38
13.	Minor Orbit at f = .305 Rad/TU	•	•	•	38
14.	Minor Orbit at f = .290 Rad/TU	•	•	•	39
15.	Major Orbit at f = 5.20 Rad/TU	•	•	•	44
16.	Major Orbit at f = 4.50 Rad/TU	•	•	•	44
17.	Major Orbit at f = 3.50 Rad/TU	•	•	•	45
18.	Major Orbit at f = 2.20 Rad/TU	•	•	•	45
19.	Major Orbit at f = 2.10 Rad/TU	•	•	•	46
20.	Major Orbit at f = 2.05 Rad/TU	•	•	•	46
21.	Major Orbit at f = 2.02 Rad/TU	•	•	•	47
22.	Major Orbit at f = 2.00 Rad/TU	•	•	•	47
23.	Major Orbit at f = 1.97 Rad/TU	•	•	•	48

Figure Page 24. Major Orbit at f = 1.70 Rad/TU 49 25. Major Orbit at f = 1.45 Rad/TU 49 26. Major Orbit at f = 0.60 Rad/TU 50 27. Major Orbit at f = 0.50 Rad/TU 51 28. Initial Radius -vs- Frequency 53 29. Initial P_{λ} -vs- Frequency 54

List of Tables

(

3

and the second secon

Table		Page
I.	Typical Asteroid Data	27
II.	Roots of Eq. (4-3a) for Example Asteroid	29
III.	Roots of Eq. (4-3b) for Example Asteroid	29
IV.	Equilibrium Points for Example Asteroid	30
v.	Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors at Stable	31
VI.	Summary of Minor Orbits Computed	40
VII.	Fictitious Asteroid Data (Standard Units)	57
VIII.	Fictitious Asteroid Data (Canonical Units) .	58



1.1

AFIT/GA/AA/86D-7

Abstract

This study investigated periodic orbits about asteroids rotating in free space. Oscillatory orbits about equilibrium points as well as those orbits encircling the body were found. While a method was derived for finding orbits of all inclinations, only equatorial orbits are presented in this report. The major emphasis is on stable, periodic orbits, but certain unstable orbits are presented where appropriate.

The analysis assumed that asteroids could be represented by triaxial ellipsoidal bodies rotating about their major axis of inertia. Hamilton's canonical equations were derived to describe the dynamics. An algorithm was then developed and used to solve the equations of motion in such a way as to find closed, periodic orbits. PERIODIC ORBITS ABOUT ROTATING ASTEROIDS IN FREE SPACE

I. Introduction

Thousands of asteroids revolve around the Sun, mainly between the orbits of Mars and Jupiter. Some of these are large enough to consider orbiting with a small probe or satellite. There is also evidence that at least a few asteroids have their own natural satellites (1:9-10). For these reasons, this study investigates families of orbits about such bodies.

As is common practice, it is assumed that asteroids have a triaxial ellipsoid shape with axes a > b > c and a rotation about the shortest axis (7:436). (See Figure 1.) Further, the gravitational perturbations of the Sun, planets, and other asteroids are assumed to be negligible (free space). Orbits around such bodies have been investigated in the past, but no previous attempt has been made to find the equations of motion in such a way as to be conducive to numerical solution (3:37-38; 8:707-710; 9:75-84). Also, because these earlier studies did not compute actual orbits, they made no attempt to describe the appearance of such orbits.



Figure 1: Asteroid Geometry

The specific task in this study is to derive the equations of motion in such a way as to facilitate numerical solution for orbits about an arbitrary triaxial ellipsoid in free space. In process of finding these orbits, the equilibrium points for such bodies will also be investigated. Finally, as an example, the results for a fictitious, but realistic, asteroid will be presented in a graphical format.

II. Problem Dynamics

The problem to be solved is that of finding the motion of a small satellite orbiting an asteroid. It is assumed that the asteroid can be modeled as a triaxial ellipsoid, rotating about its shortest axis with some angular velocity. If the satellite mass is so small as to not effect the motion of the asteroid, then it can be assumed that the center of mass for the system is fixed in inertial space and is located at the center of mass of the asteroid. Further, the problem is restricted to asteroids in free space.

Equations of Motion

The equations of motion are to be numerically solved, so they are derived with this in mind. Most numerical integration packages are written to solve first order differential equations; therefore, the Hamilton canonical equations are derived and used to describe the motion.

The first step in finding the equations of motion is to form the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum p_{i}\dot{q}_{i} - L \qquad (2-1)$$

where p_i and \dot{q}_i are the generalized momenta and velocities, respectively. The last term, L, denotes the Lagrangian, defined simply as

$$L = T - V$$
 (2-2)



Figure 2: Gravitational Potential

with T and V being the kinetic energy and potential energy of the satellite. It is these two terms that must be determined before the Hamiltonian can be assembled.

Potential Energy. The gravitational attraction of the asteroid on the satellite provides the potential energy and an expression for this can be found (4:431, 434-436). Figure 2 shows the body-fixed (rotating) coordinate system to be used for this calculation. From the figure, it is clear this can be written in the integral form

$$V = -Gm_1 \int (dm_2)/r$$
 (2-3)

where $r = |\overline{R} - \overline{\rho}|$ is the distance shown in Figure 2 and G is the Universal Gravitational constant.

4

The 1/r term in Eq. (2-3) can be written:

$$\mathbf{r}^{-1} = \mathbf{R}^{-1} \left\{ 1 - \left[\frac{2\overline{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{\rho}}}{\mathbf{R}^2} - \left(\frac{\mathbf{\rho}}{\mathbf{R}} \right)^2 \right] \right\}^{-1/2}$$
(2-4)

If it is assumed that the asteroid dimensions are smaller than the distance between the mass centers, then the righthand side can be expanded in a power series:

$$r^{-1} = R^{-1} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\bar{R} \cdot \bar{\rho}}{R^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^2 + \frac{3}{8} \left[\frac{2\bar{R} \cdot \bar{\rho}}{R^2} - \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^2\right]^2 + \dots \right\}$$
(2-5)

Carrying out the algebra, this can be rewritten as:

$$r^{-1} = R^{-1} + \frac{\bar{R} \cdot \bar{\rho}}{R^3} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho^2}{R^3} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{(\bar{R} \cdot \bar{\rho})^2}{R^5} + \text{order}\left(\frac{\rho^3}{R^4}\right) \quad (2-6)$$

Using the body-fixed reference frame previously shown in Figure 2 and applying the following definitions

 $\vec{\rho} = x\hat{e}_{x} + y\hat{e}_{y} + z\hat{e}_{z}$ $\bar{R} = R (l\hat{e}_{x} + m\hat{e}_{y} + n\hat{e}_{z}) \qquad (2-7)$ $\bar{R} \cdot \vec{\rho} = R (lx + my + nz)$

where l, m, and n are the appropriate direction cosines, Eq. (2-6) can be substituted into Eq. (2-3) to yield an

expression for the potential. After ignoring the higher order terms and some simplification, this is written as:

$$V = -\frac{Gm_1}{R} \int dm_2 - \frac{Gm_1}{R^2} \int (1x + my + nz) dm_2$$

- $\frac{Gm_1}{2R^3} \int [3 (1x + my + nz)^2 - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)] dm_2$
(2-8)

The first integral is trivial:

$$\frac{-Gm_1}{R}\int dm_2 = \frac{-Gm_1m_2}{R}$$
(2-9)

The second integral becomes zero when the origin of the axis system is placed at the center of mass.

The final term of Eq. (2-8) can be expanded into easily recognizable quantities:

$$\frac{Gm_1}{2R^3} \int [3(1x + my + ny)^2 - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)] dm_2$$

= $\frac{Gm_1}{2R^3} [(31^2 - 1) \int x^2 dm_2 + (3m^2 - 1) \int y^2 dm_2$
+ $(3n^2 - 1) \int z^2 dm_2 + 61m \int xy dm_2$
+ $61n \int xz dm_2 + 6mn \int yz dm_2$ (2-10)

This can be simplified by noting

$$\int x^{2} dm_{2} = 1/2 \int [(x^{2} + z^{2}) + (x^{2} + y^{2}) - (y^{2} + z^{2})] dm_{2}$$

$$= 1/2 (I_{yy} + I_{zz} + I_{xx})$$

$$\int y^{2} dm_{2} = 1/2 \int [(x^{2} + y^{2}) + (y^{2} + z^{2}) - (x^{2} + z^{2})] dm_{2}$$

$$= 1/2 (I_{zz} + I_{xx} + I_{yy})$$

$$\int z^{2} dm_{2} = 1/2 \int [(y^{2} + z^{2}) + (x^{2} + z^{2}) - (x^{2} + y^{2})] dm_{2}$$

$$= 1/2 (I_{xx} + I_{yy} + I_{zz})$$

$$\int xy dm_{2} = I_{xy}$$

$$\int xz dm_{2} = I_{xz}$$

$$\int yz dm_{2} = I_{yz}$$
(2-11)

where I_{xx} , I_{yy} , I_{zz} are the mass moments of inertia and I_{xy} , I_{xz} , I_{yz} are the mass products of inertia (4:435-436). If it is assumed that, in addition to originating at the center of mass, the axes are arranged such that I_{xx} , I_{yy} , and I_{zz} are principal moments of inertia, then $I_{xy} = I_{xz} = I_{yz} = 0$. Clearly, Eq. (2-8) can now be written as:

$$V = \frac{-Gm_1m_2}{R} - \frac{Gm_1}{4R^3} [(31^2 - 1) (I_{yy} + I_{zz} - I_{xx}) + (3m^2 - 1) (I_{xx} + I_{zz} - I_{yy}) + (3n^2 - 1) (I_{xx} + I_{yy} - I_{zz})]$$
(2-12a)

The body-fixed spherical coordinates shown in Figure 3 will prove to be more convenient, so Eq. (2-12a) is rewritten

$$V = \frac{-Gm_1m_2}{R} - \frac{Gm_1}{4R^3} [(3\cos^2 \phi \ \cos^2 \lambda \ -1) \ (I_{yy} + I_{zz} \ -I_{xx}) + (3\cos^2 \phi \ \sin^2 \lambda \ -1) \ (I_{xx} \ +I_{zz} \ -I_{yy}) + (3\sin^2 \phi \ -1) \ (I_{xx} \ +I_{yy} \ -I_{zz})]$$
(2-12b)

where R is the distance between the mass centers, is the longitude measured from the x-axis, and is the latitude.

Kinetic Energy. The kinetic energy of the satellite is simply

$$T = (1/2)m_1 v_{inert}^2$$
 (2-13)

where the inertial velocity of the satellite is given by:

$$\bar{v}_{\text{inert}} = \bar{v}_{\text{rel}} + (\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{R})$$
 (2-14)

ይትር እይ ብሩ ርሐ ውስድ የሥርት የትናት የአሳር ትርስ እና ትርስ የአሳር ትርስ የ



Figure 3: Polar Coordinates

 \bar{v}_{rel} is the velocity relative to the asteroid, $\bar{\Omega}$ is the angular velocity of the asteroid, and \bar{R} is the distance between mass centers as was previously defined.

Using the spherical coordinates shown, Eq. (2-14) becomes:

$$\bar{v}_{inert} = R\dot{\phi}\hat{e}_{\phi} + R\left[(\dot{\lambda} + \Omega) \cos\phi\right]\hat{e}_{\lambda} + \dot{R}\hat{e}_{R}$$
 (2-15)

Thus, the kinetic energy, T, becomes:

$$T = (1/2) m_1 [R^2 \dot{\phi}^2 + R^2 (\dot{\lambda} + \Omega)^2 \cos^2 \phi + \dot{R}^2] \qquad (2-16)$$

Having found expressions for the potential and kinetic energy, the Lagrangian can now be formed. Substituting Eqs. (2-12b) and (2-16) into Eq. (2-2) results in the following expression for the Lagrangian:

$$L = \frac{m_1}{2} \left[R^2 \dot{\phi}^2 + R^2 (\dot{\lambda} + \Omega)^2 \cos^2 \phi + \dot{R}^2 \right] + \frac{Gm_1 m_2}{R}$$

+ $\frac{Gm_1}{4R^3} \left[(3\cos^2 \phi \cos^2 \lambda - 1) (I_{yy} + I_{zz} - I_{xx}) + (3\cos^2 \phi \sin^2 \lambda - 1) (I_{xx} + I_{zz} - I_{yy}) + (3\sin^2 \phi - 1) (I_{xx} + I_{yy} - I_{zz}) \right]$ (2-17a)

Since the mass of the satellite, m_1 , is small and nonzero, it is convenient to divide it out and work with equations on a per unit mass basis. Thus, the Lagrangian is rewritten as:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left[R^{2} \phi^{2} + R^{2} (\dot{\lambda} + \Omega)^{2} \cos^{2} \phi + \dot{R}^{2} \right] + \frac{Gm_{2}}{R}$$

$$+ \frac{G}{4R^{3}} \left[(3\cos^{2} \phi \cos^{2} \lambda - 1) (I_{yy} + I_{zz} - I_{xx}) + (3\cos^{2} \phi \sin^{2} \lambda - 1) (I_{xx} + I_{zz} - I_{yy}) + (3\sin^{2} \phi - 1) (I_{xx} + I_{yy} - I_{zz}) \right] \qquad (2-17b)$$

The last task before substituting into Eq. (2-1) to find the Hamiltonian is to find expressions for the generalized velocities, \dot{q}_i . This is easily accomplished by using Lagrange's Equations

$$P_{\lambda} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\lambda}} = R^2 (\dot{\lambda} + \Omega) \cos^2 \phi$$

$$P_{\phi} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} = R^2$$

$$P_{R} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial R} = \dot{R} \qquad (2-18)$$

and rearranging to find:

$$\dot{\lambda} = \frac{P_{\lambda}}{R^{2} \cos^{2} \phi} - \Omega$$
$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{P_{\phi}}{R^{2}}$$
$$\dot{R} = P_{R} \qquad (2-19)$$

The Lagrangian can be rewritten using Eqs. (2-19):

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{R^2 P_{\phi}^2}{R^4} + R^2 \left(\frac{P_{\lambda}}{R^2 \cos^2 \phi} \right)^2 \cos^2 \phi + P_R^2 \right] + \frac{Gm_2}{R} + \frac{G}{4R^3} \left[(3\cos^2 \phi \ \cos^2 \lambda - 1) \ (I_{yy} + I_{zz} - I_{xx}) + (3\cos^2 \phi \ \sin^2 \lambda - 1) \ (I_{xx} + I_{zz} - I_{yy}) + (3\sin^2 \phi - 1) \ (I_{xx} + I_{yy} - I_{zz}) \right]$$
(2-20)

Finally, Eqs. (2-19) and (2-20) can be substituted into Eq. (2-1) to yield the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{P_{\phi}^{2}}{2R^{2}} + \frac{P_{\lambda}^{2}}{2R^{2}\cos^{2}\phi} + \frac{P_{R}^{2}}{2} - \frac{Gm_{2}}{R} - \Omega P_{\lambda}$$

$$- \frac{G}{4R^{3}} \left[(3\cos^{2}\phi \ \cos^{2}\lambda - 1) \ (I_{yy} + I_{zz} - I_{xx}) + (3\cos^{2}\phi \ \sin^{2}\lambda - 1) \ (I_{xx} + I_{zz} - I_{yy}) + (3\sin^{2}\phi - 1) \ (I_{xx} + I_{yy} - I_{zz}) \right]$$
(2-21)

Recalling Hamiltonian mechanics and taking the appropriate partial derivatives, Hamilton's canonical equations of motion can be found:

$$\dot{\lambda} = \frac{P_{\lambda}}{R^2 \cos^2 \phi} - \Omega \qquad (2-22a)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{P_{\phi}}{R^2} \qquad (2-22b)$$

$$\dot{R} = P_R \qquad (2-22c)$$

$$\dot{P}_{\lambda} = \frac{3G}{R^3} (I_{xx} - I_{yy}) \cos^2 \phi \cos \lambda \sin \lambda \qquad (2-22d)$$

$$\dot{P}_{\phi} = \frac{-P_{\lambda}^{2} \sin \phi}{R^{2} \cos^{3} \phi} - \frac{3G}{2R^{3}} [(\cos^{2} \lambda - \sin^{2} \lambda) (I_{yy} - I_{xx}) + (2I_{zz} - I_{xx} - I_{yy})] \cos \phi \sin \phi \qquad (2-22e)$$

$$\dot{P}_{R} = \frac{-Gm_{2}}{R^{2}} - \frac{3G}{4R^{4}} \left[(3\cos^{2}\phi\cos^{2}\lambda - 1) (I_{yy} + I_{zz} - I_{xx}) + (3\cos^{2}\phi\sin^{2}\lambda - 1) (I_{xx} + I_{zz} - I_{yy}) + (3\sin^{2}\phi - 1) (I_{xx} + I_{yy} - I_{zz}) \right] + \frac{P_{\lambda}^{2}}{R^{3}\cos^{2}\phi} + \frac{P_{\phi}^{2}}{R^{3}}$$

$$(2-22f)$$

Method of Solution

The problem to be tackled next is that of integrating the equations of motion to find orbits that are periodic in time. This is done by choosing the initial conditions that will cause the orbit to return to the same point with the same velocity after the given period. A priori, one does not know these initial conditions, so a method must be derived to find them.

One logical method to go about finding these proper initial conditions is outlined as follows:

- 1) Select an orbital period.
- 2) Guess a set of initial conditions.
- 3) Integrate the equations of motion.
- 4) If the orbit does not return to the same starting point with the same velocity, then vary the initial conditions and repeat step 3.

Step 1 is simply a matter of choice. For Step 2, simple circular orbital motion is assumed to give a fairly good first guess at the initial conditions. The integration in Step 3 is to be performed by Haming, a fourth-order predictor-corrector algorithm (6). Thus, the problem is reduced to finding an efficient means of completing Step 4.

If the coordinates and momenta are assembled into the vector $\mathbf{x}(t)$, then a general equation of motion can be written:

$$\vec{x}(t) = \vec{f}[\vec{x}(t), t]$$
 (2-23)

Assuming that a reference solution, $\bar{x}_{O}(t)$, has been found, a nearby orbit can be defined as

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{0}(\mathbf{t}) + \delta \overline{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}) \qquad (2-24)$$

where $\delta \bar{x}(t)$ is a small displacement in the reference orbit. To a first order approximation, $\delta \bar{x}(t)$ can be shown to be related to a displacement at $t = t_1$ by

$$\vec{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}(t, t_1) \, \boldsymbol{\delta} \, \vec{\mathbf{x}}(t_1) \qquad (2-25)$$

Where $\Phi(t,t_1) = \frac{\partial \bar{x}(t)}{\partial \bar{x}(t_1)}$ is the state transition $\bar{x}_o(t)$

matrix (6:128-129). It can also be shown that the state transition matrix can be found by solving the differential equation

$$\Phi(t,t_1) = A(t) \Phi(t,t_1)$$
 (2-26)

with the boundary condition that $\Phi(t_1,t_1) = I$, the identity matrix (6:130-131). A(t) is the variational matrix and is defined as:

$$A(t) = \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{x}} |_{\bar{x}_{0}(t)} (2-27)$$

(For reference, the individual terms of the matrix are listed in Appendix B.) Note that, since A(t) is evaluated

along the reference orbit, Eq. (2-26) can be integrated concurrently with the integration of Eq. (2-23).

Now that a reference orbit and the state transition matrix have been found, it remains to use this information to efficiently vary the initial conditions to produce a closed orbit (Step 4). This is a relatively simple procedure and will now be derived.

Figure 4 shows three typical orbits starting at $\lambda = \pi/2$ and continuing for one-half period. If these are truly periodic orbits, then there are certain conditions that must hold due to symmetry. These are clearly

$$\lambda(t_2) = [\lambda(t_1) + n\pi]$$

$$R^2 \dot{\phi} = P_{\phi}(t_2) = -P_{\phi}(t_1) \qquad (2-28)$$

$$\dot{R} = P_{R}(t_2) = P_{R}(t_1) = 0$$

where $\lambda(t_1) = \pi/2$. These can be arranged and placed in a vector:

$$\bar{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(t_2) - [\lambda(t_1) + n\pi] \\ P_{\phi}(t_2, + P_{\phi}(t_1)) \\ P_{R}(t_2) - P_{R}(t_1) \end{bmatrix} = \bar{0} \quad (2-29)$$

If this vector, \bar{G} , is evaluated on a reference path, $\bar{x}_{0}(t)$, that is not a closed orbit. then it will not be equal to zero; instead, it will be equal to some error, \bar{e} . (If it is nearly closed, \bar{e} will be small.)



Figure 4: Typical Orbits

For orbits varied slightly from the reference orbit, an expansion of \overline{G} about the reference orbit can be written. To a first order approximation, this is (5:6):

$$\bar{G} [\bar{x}_{0}(t_{2}) + \delta \bar{x}(t_{2}), t_{2})] \stackrel{*}{=} \bar{G} [\bar{x}_{0}(t_{2}), t_{2}] + \frac{\partial \bar{G}}{\partial \bar{x}} \begin{vmatrix} \delta \bar{x}(t_{2}) \\ \bar{x}_{0}(t_{2}) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(2-30a)$$

More conveniently, this is written as

$$\bar{G}[\bar{x}(t_2), t_2] \stackrel{*}{=} \bar{G}[\bar{x}_0(t_2), t_2] + B \delta \bar{x}(t_2)$$
 (2-30b)

where $B = \frac{\partial \bar{G}}{\partial \bar{x}} |$ and can be easily be shown to be: $\bar{x}_{0}(t_{2})$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2-31)

Recall that on the reference path:

$$\bar{G}[\bar{x}_{0}(t_{2}), t_{2}] = \bar{e}$$
 (2-32)

And, if the varied orbit is a closed orbit, then:

$$\vec{G}[\vec{x}(t_2), t_2] = \vec{0}$$
 (2-33)

Thus, after substituting Eqs. (2-32) and (2-33) into Eq. (2-30b):

$$B \delta \overline{x}(t_2) = -\overline{e}$$
 (2-34)

Recall that the problem is to find the variation at $t = t_1$ that makes the varied orbit a closed orbit. Eq. (2-21) relates variations at $t = t_2$ to variations at $t = t_1$. Thus, Eq. (2-34) can be written:

$$B \delta \bar{x}(t_2) = B \Phi(t_2, t_1) \delta \bar{x}(t_1) = -\bar{e}$$
 (2-35)

The product B $\Phi(t_2,t_1)$ is a 3 x 6 matrix, so it cannot simply be inverted to find $\delta \bar{x}(t_1)$. However, examining this product in more detail reveals:

$$\delta\lambda(t_{1})$$

$$\delta\phi(t_{1})$$

$$\delta R(t_{1})$$

$$\delta R(t_{1})$$

$$\delta R(t_{1})$$

$$\delta P_{\lambda}(t_{1})$$

$$\delta P_{\phi}(t_{1})$$

$$\delta P_{R}(t_{1})$$

Recalling Eqs. (2-28), the initial conditions $\lambda(t_1)$, $P_{\phi}(t_1)$, and $P_R(t_1)$ were set and not allowed to vary; therefore, their corresponding variations at $t = t_1$ are zero. This allows the first, fifth, and sixth columns of the product to be eliminated from consideration:

$$\begin{bmatrix} B \ \Phi(t_{2},t_{1}) \ \delta \overline{x}(t_{1}) \end{bmatrix}_{234} = \begin{bmatrix} B \ \Phi(t_{2},t_{1}) \end{bmatrix}_{234} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \delta \lambda(t_{1}) \\ \delta \phi(t_{1}) \\ \delta P_{\lambda}(t_{1}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(2-37)

Using this reduced form, Eq. (2-35) can now be written:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\delta} \, \mathbf{\Phi} \, (t_2, t_1) \end{bmatrix}_{234} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \lambda \, (t_1) \\ \delta \, \mathbf{\phi} \, (t_1) \\ \delta \, \mathbf{P}_{\lambda} \, (t_1) \end{bmatrix} = -\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{234} = -\{\bar{\mathbf{G}} \, [\bar{\mathbf{x}} \, (t_2), t_2] \}_{234}$$
(2-38)

The reduced product is a square matrix and can be inverted to solve for $\delta \bar{x}(t_1)$:

$$\delta \lambda(t_{1}) = -[B \Phi(t_{2},t_{1})]_{234}^{-1} \{\bar{G}[\bar{x}(t_{2}),t_{2}]\}_{234} (2-39)$$

$$\delta P_{\lambda}(t_{1})$$

Using this solution in Eq. (2-24), the initial conditions can be corrected. Note that, since first order approximations have been made at various steps, this correction may not result in a closed orbit. However, if the initial reference orbit is close enough, then the correction will produce a solution that is closer to a closed orbit. Thus, simple iteration is all that is needed to complete Step 4.

For reference in later chapters, it is important to note that the \overline{G} vector is all that determines the type of orbit that will be found. The value of n will determine if it is a minor or a major orbit. (Figure 4 shows the result of this selection.) The choice of $P_{\phi}(t_1)$ determines the inclination of the orbit. [Selecting $P_{\phi}(t_1) = 0$ results in equatorial orbits.]

Stability of Orbits

Once a periodic orbit has been found, it is useful to know if it is stable. Since this is a Floquet problem, the condition necessary to determine stability is well documented (4:264-270; 6:143-148). For this reason the criterion for stability will be stated and used here without proof.

The stability of the orbit is governed by the character of the Poincare' exponents, λ_i , as defined by the determinant

$$| \Phi[(t_1 + \tau), t_1] - \exp(\lambda_i \tau) I | = 0$$
 (2-40)

where τ is the period of the orbit (6:144). Note that the terms $\alpha_i = \exp(\lambda_i \tau)$ are simply the eigenvalues of $\Phi[(t_1 + \tau), t_1]$ and that the Poincare' exponents are given by:

$$\lambda_{i} = (1/\tau) \log_{\Theta}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}) \qquad (2-41)$$

If the orbit is stable, then the λ_i 's must all be purely imaginary (4:268; 6:142).

Notice that, after integrating Eqs. (2-23) and (2-26) for an entire orbit, $\Phi[(t_1 + \tau), t_1]$ has been found. Thus, it is a relatively minor addition to calculate the Poincare' exponents and, therefore, determine the stability of the orbit.

Verification and Error Detection

Long derivations and the use of a computer invite the introduction of errors. With this in mind, two tests were used help verify that the results were accurate. Equation Verification. A simple method can be derived to simultaneously verify that both the equations of motion, \bar{f} , and the variation matrix, A(t), have been entered into the computer correctly. This is done by recalling the definition:

$$A(t) = \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{x}} |_{\bar{x}_{o}(t)} (2-42)$$

Each term of A(t) can be be approximated by

$$a_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} = \frac{f_{i}[(x_{j} + \Delta x_{j}), t] - f_{i}[(x_{j} - \Delta x_{j}), t]}{2\Delta x_{j}}$$
(2-43)

where Δx_i is a sufficiently small number.

Thus, for any point, the terms a_{ij} can be calculated exactly using the equations in Appendix B and approximately by using Eq. (2-39). If the values do not approach the same limit as Δx_j gets small, then an error exists in either the equations of motion or in the variation matrix. (It was found that a typical error resulted in differences of at least 25%.) This method of numerical differentiation was used in verifying the program used to generate the results in this paper.

<u>Dynamics</u> <u>Verification</u> If, during the numerical integration, the orbit passes too close to a singularity in the equations of motion, it is possible that the path will cease to be realistic. "Too close" is an ambiguous limitation on the problem, so it would be useful if some way could be found to detect when it occurs. Fortunately, there is a simple method to detect when this (or any other unforeseen anomaly) causes the integration of the problem dynamics to break down.

Since the Hamiltonian is not a function of time for this problem, it is a constant for all points on any given orbit. Thus, if the Hamiltonian changes suddenly from one point to the next along the path, it is very probable that the limitations on the dynamics have been exceeded. This simple check was performed automatically at each integration point.

III. Equilibrium Points

The equilibrium points will prove to be important starting points in the computation of orbits. Thus, it is advantageous to solve for them first. The procedure for doing this is simple and yields a closed-form solution.

Locations in State Space

Equilibrium points, by definition, are points in state space from which the satellite will not move if it is placed exactly there. In equation form, this condition can be written:

$$\dot{\overline{x}} = \overline{0} \tag{3-1}$$

Referring to Eqs. (2-22), it is clear that a system of six equations in six unknowns is produced:

$$\frac{P_{\lambda}}{R^2 \cos^2 \phi} - \Omega = 0 \qquad (3-2a)$$

$$\frac{P_{\phi}}{R^2} = 0 \qquad (3-2b)$$

$$P_{R} = 0$$
 (3-2c)

$$\frac{3G}{R^3} \left(I_{xx} - I_{yy} \right) \cos^2 \phi \, \cos \lambda \, \sin \lambda = 0 \qquad (3-2d)$$
$$\frac{-P_{\lambda}^{2} \sin \phi}{R^{2} \cos^{3} \phi} - \frac{3G}{2R^{3}} \left[(\cos^{2} \lambda - \sin^{2} \lambda) (I_{yy} - I_{xx}) + (2I_{zz} - I_{xx} - I_{yy}) \right] \cos \phi \sin \phi = 0 \quad (3-2e)$$

$$\frac{-Gm_2}{R^2} - \frac{3G}{4R^4} \left[(3\cos^2 \phi \cos^2 \lambda - 1) (I_{yy} + I_{zz} - I_{xx}) + (3\cos^2 \phi \sin^2 \lambda - 1) (I_{xx} + I_{zz} - I_{yy}) + (3\sin^2 \phi - 1) (I_{xx} + I_{yy} - I_{zz}) \right] + \frac{P_{\lambda}^2}{R^3 \cos^2 \phi} + \frac{P_{\phi}^2}{R^3} = 0$$
(3-2f)

After some simple manipulation, the system can be reduced to give the following set of points:

$$(\lambda, \phi, R, P_{\lambda}, P_{\phi}, P_{R}) = (0, 0, R_{1}, R_{1}^{2}, 0, 0)$$
 (3-3a)

$$(\lambda, \phi, R, P_{\lambda}, P_{\phi}, P_{R}) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0, R_{2}, R_{2}^{2}, 0, 0\right)$$
 (3-3b)

$$(\lambda, \phi, R, P_{\lambda}, P_{\phi}, P_{R}) = (\pi, 0, R_{1}, R_{1}^{2}, 0, 0)$$
 (3-3c)

$$(\lambda, \phi, R, P_{\lambda}, P_{\phi}, P_{R}) = \left(\frac{3\pi}{2}, 0, R_{2}, R_{2}^{2}, 0, 0\right)$$
 (3-3d)

The terms R_1 and R_2 represent all of the physically realistic rocts of the two equations that result from

substituting the values for λ , ϕ , P_{ϕ} , and P_{R} along with the expression for P_{λ} into Eq. (3-2f). These equations are:

$$R_1^5 - Gm_2 R_1^2 - \frac{3G}{2} (I_{zz} + I_{yy} - 2I_{xx}) = 0$$
 (3-4a)

$$R_2^5 - Gm_2 R_1^2 - \frac{3G}{2} (I_{zz} + I_{xx} - 2I_{yy}) = 0$$
 (3-4b)

Note that the number of equilibrium points depends on the number of physically realistic roots of Eqs. (3-4). Unfortunately, it is impossible, by simple inspection, to determine a general rule regarding the number of the realistic roots. For this reason, seven actual asteroidlike bodies were examined. The results were then analyzed to see what, if any, conclusions could be drawn about the typical nature of the roots and, therefore, the radii of the equilibrium points. [For reference, the approximate dimensions, mass, and rotation rate used for each of these bodies are given in Table I (1:10; 2:138; 7:452).]

These calculations did, indeed, reveal information about the general nature of the roots. Since every body examined exhibited the same trends, it can be concluded that the results from these calculations are typical of realistic asteroids. Thus, the following generalities can be made:



- 1. Eq. (3-4a) has only one real root. This root, R_1 , has a magnitude that represents a radius slightly greater than that required for a synchronous orbit about an equivalent spherical body.
- 2. Eq. (3-4b) has three real roots. Two of these roots represent radii so small as to be inside the body; therefore, there is only one realistic root, R_2 , for this equation also. R_2 has a magnitude slightly less than that required for a synchronous orbit about an equivalent spherical body.
- 3. Because Eqs. (3-4) have only one realistic root each, there are exactly four equilibrium points given by Eqs. (3-3).

Name	Az a (km)	kes Lengt b (km)		Ω(rad/s)	Mass (kg)
Hebe	114.0	92.0	92.0	2.39×10^{-4}	1.15×10^{19}
Hektor	170.0	63.9	56.5	2.53 x 10^{-4}	7.33 x 10^{18}
Juno	137.0	112.0	112.0	2.42×10^{-4}	2.05 x 10^{19}
Nysa	40.9	27.1	23.0	2.73 x 10^{-4}	3.04×10^{17}
Pallas	311.0	272.0	272.0	2.21×10^{-4}	2.75 x 10^{20}
Psyche	142.0	107.0	84.9	4.06×10^{-4}	1.54×10^{19}
Phobos	13.3	11.0	9.2	2.28×10^{-4}	1.61×10^{16}

Table I: Typical Asteroid Data

Stability of Equilibrium Points

An equilibrium point is stable if the eigenvalues of the variation matrix, A, are all purely imaginary (4:222; 6:138-140). The eigenvalues calculated using:

$$|\mathbf{A} - \lambda_{j}\mathbf{I}| = 0 \tag{3-5}$$

where A is evaluated at the equilibrium point in question and the λ_i 's are the eigenvalues at that point.

It would be extremely difficult to find a general solution for the eigenvalues in Eq. (3-5). Fortunately, it is not necessary to find a general solution to determine the stability of the points. This is because the equilibrium points for the bodies in Table I were substituted into Eq. (3-5) and, once again, all seven examples yielded the same results. These results, with reasonable certainty, can be considered to be general for typical asteroids and are stated as follows:

- 1. The points at $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \pi$ are unstable equilibrium points. These points are described completely by Eq. (3-3a) and Eq. (3-3c).
- 2. The points at $\lambda = \pi/2$ and $\lambda = (3\pi)/2$ are stable equilibrium points. These points are described completely by Eq. (3-3b) and Eq. (3-3d).

Example Asteroid Calculations

As an example, the equilibrium points for the fictitious asteroid of Appendix A were calculated and checked for stability. The results of these calculations are summarized in the following sections.

Equilibrium Point Results. The first step in calculating these points was to find to roots of Eqs. (3-4). These roots, as well as R_1 and R_2 , are listed in Table II and Table III, respectively. (Note that the roots follow

Table II: Roots of Eq. (4-3a) for Example Asteroid

(-5.113402188516e-01) + (8.484056878152e-01)i (-5.113402188516e-01) + (-8.484056878152e-01)i (1.850707134977e-03) + (2.466559517092e-01)i (1.850707134977e-03) + (-2.466559517092e-01)i (1.018979023433e+00) + (0.0000000000e+00)i $R_1 = 1.018979023433 \text{ LU}$

Table III: Roots of Eq. (4-3b) for Example Asteroid

(-4.953656785376e-01) + (8.741840563661e-01)i(-4.953656785376e-01) + (-8.741840563661e-01)i(-1.694701567977e-01) + (0.00000000000e+00)i(1.703036684758e-01) + (0.00000000000e+00)i(9.898978453971e-01) + (0.60000000000e+00)i $R_2 = 0.9898978453971$ LU the generalities stated on page 27.) Using these values for R_1 and R_2 , the equilibrium points were found with Eqs. (3-3) and are shown in Table IV.

<u>Stability Results.</u> The final conclusions of the stability calculations are given in Table IV. While the determination of stability was the main goal of solving the eigenvalue problem, it is interesting to note the information that can be obtained from the actual solutions for the stable equilibrium points.

The magnitudes of the eigenvalues give frequencies at which to start searching for oscillatory orbits near these points, while the eigenvectors give information about the

Point	λ	φ	R	P _λ	₽ ¢	PR	Stability
1	0	0	R ₁	P _{λ1}	0	0	Unstable
2	<u>π</u> 2	0	R ₂	^P λ ₂	0	0	Stable
3		0	R ₁	Ρ _{λ 1}	0	0	Unstable
4	$\frac{3\pi}{2}$	0	R ₂	P _{λ2}	0	0	Stable
$R_1 = 1.018$	979023	8433	LU	P _{λ1} =	1.03	8612	1930138 LU ₂ /TU
$R_2 = 0.989$	897843	3297	LU	$P_{\lambda 2} =$	0.98	0175	1485797 LU ₂ /TU

Table IV: Equilibrium Points for Example Asteroid

initial perturbation in direction and momenta from these points (6:140-141). [In later computations of oscillatory (minor) orbits, it was found that the eigenvalues and eigenvectors were of little use other than the determination of stability.] The eigenvalues and eigenvectors are listed on Table V for reference.

Table V: Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors at Stable Equilibrium Points



(Table continued on next page.)



IV. Minor Orbits

Minor orbits are those orbits that are merely oscillations about the stable equilibrium points. This chapter will present minor orbits that lie in the equatorial plane for the example asteroid described in Appendix A. Note that, since the orbits about the two equilibrium points are simply mirror images of each other, it is only necessary to solve for the orbits about one point. For convenience, the point at $\lambda = \pi/2$ was used.

As shown in Chapter II, the \bar{G} vector determines the characteristics of the orbit that will be found by the numerical method. If the integration is to be started at $\lambda = \pi/2$, the \bar{G} vector is:

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(t_2) - (\pi/2) \\ \mathbf{P}_{\phi}(t_2) \\ \mathbf{P}_{R}(t_2) \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-1)

Using this \overline{G} vector, minor orbits can be found using the equations and methods derived in Chapter II.

Orbits were found very near these equilibrium points. A typical example of this family of orbits is shown in Figure 5. The initial conditions are plotted against the frequencies of these orbits in Figures 6 and 7. Note that below a frequency of approximately .47 rad/TU, the orbits became unstable.





NNS53593344444444



Figure 7: Initial P_{λ} -vs- Frequency

Another family of stable orbits was found starting at a frequency of approximately .44 rad/TU. A typical example of this family is shown in Figure 8. Radii and momenta data are given in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. Note that the orbits of this family become unstable near a frequency of .395 rad/TU.

No other families of stable orbits were found. There were, however, other interesting orbits computed. Examples of a few of these are shown in Figures 11 - 1 along with the initial conditions of R and P_{λ} used to compute them. (In all cases, this radius is the maximum radius at which the orbit crosses perpendicular to the y-axis.) Table VI summarizes all of the minor orbits found.



Figure 8: Typical Minor Orbit Near f = .44 Rad/TU

Ī



36

L'L'L'ATATAT



5

Figure 11: f = .441 Rad/TU, R = 0.9004120106766 LU, $P_{\lambda} = 0.7992199550355 LU^2/TU$





Figure 14: f = .290 Rad/TU, R = 1.354845715264 LU, P_{λ} = 1.117080805423 LU²/TU

Ŵ

Table VI: Summary of Minor Orbits Computed

f	R	P _λ	Stability
(Rad/TU)	(LU)	(LU ² /TU)	
0.490	1.039041236844	1.000867256234	stable
0.480	1.062624482299	1.012978217714	stable
0.470	1.067382477069	1.019509104377	stable
0.460	1.046834141438	1.019299715560	unstable
0.450	0.986160355569	1.005650561067	unstable
0.449	0.977985586688	1.003324500188	unstable
0.441	0.900412010677	0.977219955036	unstable
0.440	0.888168589907	0.972473210712	unstable
0.440	1.238387157667	1.056690380877	stable
0.435	1.082095823329	0.991288299428	stable
0.435	1.227741787424	1.059177135627	stable
0.430	1.222281650472	1.061495283471	stable
0.425	1.219455010081	1.063773482440	stable
0.420	1.218033152644	1.066023461238	stable
0.415	1.217344653087	1.068234383201	stable
0.410	1.216985353084	1.070392195973	stable
0.405	1.216688740817	1.072483329113	stable
0.400	1.216261042734	1.074494680583	stable
0.395	1.215545133971	1.076412660583	stable
0.394	1.215354721541	1.076783747396	unstable

5

 \mathbb{C}

(Table continued on next page)

23

Ĩ,

CAR SECOND

Table VI (Continued):

f	R	P	Stability
(Rad/TU)	(LU)	(LU ² /TU)	
0.392	1.214917630362	1.077512354812	unstable
0.390	1.214397843252	1.078221895716	unstable
0.360	1.187912621470	1.085107385175	unstable
0.340	1.117341867891	1.077631737758	unstable
0.330	1.038614678848	1.059840481020	unstable
0.325	0.984840557323	1.044125555788	unstable
0.321	1.019464633106	0.896078589786	unstable
0.320	1.029113207899	0.897003545851	unstable
0.319	1.039006180956	0.897988374479	unstable
0.315	1.081426148767	0.902665051849	unstable
0.310	1.130850261552	0.909059307428	unstable
0.307	1.195416827916	0.918602755456	unstable
0.305	1.239528500246	0.925533794275	unstable
0.302	1.350055576137	0.942682054990	unstable
0.300	1.390296759434	1.113911631307	stable
0.290	1.354845715264	1.117080805423	stable
0.280	1.326851733481	1.118784303008	unstable
0.270	1.296053037078	1.119238555695	unstable

V. <u>Major</u> Orbits

Major orbits are those orbits that completely encircle the body. This chapter will present major orbits that lie in the equatorial plane for the example asteroid.

Unlike with the minor orbits, there is not just one \overline{G} vector for the major orbits. The reason for this becomes clear when it is noted that the period of these orbits is actually the synodic period as defined as

$$P_{syn} = \frac{2\pi}{|\Omega - f|}$$
(5-1)

where Ω is the rotational frequency of the asteroid and f is the frequency of the orbit. When $f > \Omega$, the satellite "outruns" the asteroid, implying that the mean radius the orbit is inside the radius for synchronous orbit. Similarly, $f < \Omega$ implies that the mean radius lies outside the synchronous radius.

Thus, referring back to Figure 3 the \overline{G} vector for each case can be easily assembled. If the integration is to be started at $\lambda = \pi/2$, then these vectors are:

$$\bar{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(t_2) - (3\pi/2) \\ P_{\phi}(t_2) \\ P_{R}(t_2) \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } f > \Omega \quad (5-2a)$$

$$\overline{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(t_2) + (\pi/2) \\ P_{\phi}(t_2) \\ P_{R}(t_2) \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } f < \Omega \quad (5-2b)$$

Using these \overline{G} vectors and the method of solution derived in Chapter II, a wide range of major orbits were found. Due to numerical instability, solutions could not be found in the range .6 < f < 1.45 rad/TU. However, outside this range, orbits were computed and are presented in the following sections.

<u>Major Orbits With $f > \Omega$ </u>

The orbits with a frequency greater than exhibited several interesting characteristics. The first is that they were all stable orbits. The second characteristic is the fact that the paths change shapes considerably over the range of frequencies. Because of this second characteristic, these orbits are grouped by frequency for presentation.

5.2 > f > 1.97 Rad/TU. An orbit with a frequency of approximately 5.2 rad/TU just clears the asteroid, so this is upper limit on the frequencies investigated. This orbit is shown in Figure 15 Figures 16 - 18 show the development of the family of orbits as the frequency decreases (and the radius increases). At a frequency of about 2.1 rad/TU, the orbits begin to rapidly change in appearance. Figures 19 - 23 show this trend. Note that in going from a

frequency of 5.2 rad/TU to 1.97 rad/TU, the orbits went from being elongated along the x-axis to being elongated along the y-axis.

<u>1.97 > f > 1.70</u> Rad/TU. No physically realistic orbits could be found in this range. All orbits found had a shape similar to that of f = 1.97 rad/TU, with a radius at $\lambda = \pi$ so small as to cut into the body.



Figure 15: f = 5.2 Rad/TU, R = 0.3285791620856 LU, $P_{\lambda} = 0.5223527976000 \text{ LU}^2/\text{TU}$



Figure 16: f = 4.5 Rad/TU, R = 0.3660469358352 LU, $P_{\lambda} = 0.5586415882509 \text{ LU}^2/\text{TU}$





Figure 20: f = 2.05 Rad/TU, R = 0.7816397303163 LU, $P_{\lambda} = 0.7267807751077 \text{ LU}^2/\text{TU}$





Sectored Sectors

Ľ

Figure 22: f = 2.00 Rad/TU, R = 0.8676722280846 LU, $P_{\lambda} = 0.7089389646567 \text{ LU}^2/\text{TU}$

\$\$. |

Figure 23: f = 1.97 Rad/TU, R = 0.9325366690062 LU, $P_{\lambda} = 0.6892195230246 \text{ LU}^2/\text{TU}$

<u>1.70 > f > 1.45 Rad/TU.</u> In this range, the orbits take on a simple elliptical shape, as shown in Figures 24 and 25. It is interesting to note that these orbits are, once again, elongated along the x-axis.

 $1.45 > f > \Omega$ Rad/TU. Due to these orbits passing close to the stable equilibrium points, the numerical method used became unstable. Instead of converging on a solution, the iteration scheme diverged. This was most likely due to a singularity in the state transition matrix caused by two or more very close solutions. As a result, no orbits in this range were found.



<u>Major</u> Orbits With $f < \Omega$

Unlike the inner orbits, these have almost no variation in shape with frequency. All but one orbit (f = .5 rad/sec) computed was stable.

 $\Omega > f > 0.6$ Rad/TU. Once again, attempted computation of orbits close to the synchronous radius caused the numerical method to become unstable and diverge. Thus, no closed paths in this range were found.

<u> $0.6 \ge f$ Rad/TU.</u> As the frequency decreases (and the radius increases), these paths quickly lose their elongation and become almost perfectly circular. This is shown in Figures 26 and 27. It is interesting to note that the only unstable major orbit found occurred at f = 0.5 rad/TU.



Figure 26: f = 0.6 Rad/TU, R = 1.328869494267 LU, $P_{\lambda} = 1.198300228534 \text{ LU}^2/\text{TU}$



Figure 27: f = 0.5 Rad/TU, R = 1.574940235844 LU, P_{λ} = 1.271992801430 LU²/TU

Remarks on Major Orbits

Figures 28 and 29 graphically summarize all of the major orbits computed in this study. These computations reveal several interesting features of the major orbits. These are:

- 1. No physically realistic major with frequencies in the range 1.97 > f > 1.70 rad/TU were found.
- 2. Interesting phenomena occur near frequencies of 2.0 Ω , Ω , and 0.5 Ω rad/TU. At frequencies very

close to $f = 2.0 \Omega \text{ rad}/\text{TU}$, the orbits begin to rapidly change their shape. (See Figures 22 - 25.) Near $f = \Omega \text{ rad}/\text{TU}$, the method of solution became unstable and diverged. The only unstable major orbit found was at a frequency very close to $f = 0.5 \Omega \text{ rad}/\text{TU}$.

3. At frequencies lower than f = .4 rad/TU, the orbits are essentially circular. Below this, the satellite behaves as if the asteroid were a spherical body.



Figure 28: Initial Radius -vs- Frequency

53



(R)

Figure 29: Initial P $_{\lambda}$ -vs- Frequency

Conclusion and Recommendations

The key results of this study have been stated in each chapter already, so they will not be repeated in detail here. To summarize the results, each step of the problem solution will be looked at from the aspect of possible future research.

Dynamics and Method of Solution

The equations of motions were derived using a truncated power series expansion for the gravity potential. A future study could retain more terms in the series, but this is not recommended. The inaccuracy introduced by ignoring surface features (craters, etc.) and assuming a triaxial shape for the asteroid is probably greater than that due to the truncated terms.

If major orbits near the synchronous radius are to be investigated in future studies, another method of solution needs to be found. Instead of setting a period and iterating on ϕ , R, and P_{λ}, it might be advantageous to set R and iterate on ϕ , P_{λ}, and the period.

Equilibrium Points

The equilibrium points were fully investigated. No further study is recommended.

Minor Orbits

The study of the minor orbits produced a host of seemingly unrelated paths. These orbits should be investigated in more depth to see if any relationships can be found. Additionally, inclined orbits should be investigated.

Major Orbits

If a new method cf solution that remains stable near the synchronous radius is developed, then the major orbits in this region should be investigated. Once again, inclined orbits should also be investigated.

Related Problem for Study

An interesting extention of this research would be to include the gravitational effects of a nearby planet. Thus, orbits about such bodies as Phobos and Deimos (Martian moons) could be investigated.

Conclusion

This study found the governing equations for the motion of a small satellite about a rotating asteroid. Methods were then employed to solve these in such a way as to find the equilibrium points, minor orbits about the stable equilibrium points, and major orbits for a fictitious asteroid. All orbits found were in the equatorial plane of the asteroid.

Appendix A: Fictitious Asteroid Characteristics

Any real asteroid could have been selected to use as an example, but Hektor was originally selected due to the fact that it is one of the more ellipsoidal bodies. It was found, however, that by using a slower rotation rate, the dynamics produced more extreme behavior. For this reason, a fictitious asteroid was invented with the dimensions of Hektor and a slower rotation rate. The physical characteristics of this body are given in Table VII.

Table VII: Fictitious Asteroid Data (Standard Units)

a (km)	b (km)	c (km)	Ω (rad/s)	Mass (kg)
170.0	63.9	56.5	$2\pi \times 10^{-5}$	7.33 x 10^{18}

For numerical reasons, it is desirable to convert to a canonical system of units that allows the integration to be performed with numbers of approximately the same order of magnitude. Appropriate length units (LUs), time units (TUs), and mass units (MUs) can easily be found to accomplish this. If one length unit is defined as the radius of a synchronous circular orbit about an equivalent spherical body and if it is desired to set $Gm_2 = 1 LU^3/TU^2$, then the

following conversion factors for length, time, and mass units can be found:

1 LU = 467.8 km
1 TU = 1.592 x
$$10^4$$
 sec (A-1)
1 MU = 7.33 x 10^{18} kg

After converting the the values in Table VII to these units, the moments of inertia can also be calculated. The physical data in these new units are given in Table VIII. These values were considered to be exact and were used in all of the example calculations.

Table VIII: Fictitious Asteroid Data (Canonical Units)

a = 0.341 LU
b = 0.128 LU
c = 0.113 LU

$$I_{xx}$$
 = 5.86 x 10⁻³ LU²·MU
 I_{yy} = 2.58 x 10⁻² LU²·MU
 I_{zz} = 2.65 x 10⁻² LU²·MU
 Ω = .3184 π rad/TU
G = 1 MU·LU³/TU²

58

لالالالالالالالا

Appendix B: Variational Matrix

<u>Terms of A Matrix:</u>

6

80

$$a_{11} = 0$$
 $a_{12} = \frac{2P_{\lambda}\sin\phi}{R^2\cos^3\phi}$ $a_{13} = \frac{-2P_{\lambda}}{R^3\cos^2\phi}$

$$a_{14} = \frac{1}{R^2 \cos^2 \phi}$$
 $a_{15} = 0$ $a_{16} = 0$

$$a_{21} = 0$$
 $a_{22} = 0$ $a_{23} = \frac{-2P_{\phi}}{R^3}$

$$a_{24} = 0$$
 $a_{25} = \frac{1}{R^2}$ $a_{26} = 0$

 $a_{31} = 0$ $a_{32} = 0$ $a_{33} = 0$

 $a_{34} = 0$ $a_{35} = 0$ $a_{36} = 1$

$$a_{41} = \frac{3G}{R^3} (I_{xx} - I_{yy}) (\cos^2 \lambda - \sin^2 \lambda) \cos^2 \phi$$

$$a_{42} = \frac{6G}{R^3} (I - I) \cos \phi \sin \phi \cos \lambda \sin \lambda$$

$$a_{43} = \frac{9G}{R^4} (I_{yy} - I_{xx}) \cos^2 \phi \cosh \sin \lambda$$

$$a_{44} = 0$$

$$a_{45} = 0$$

$$a_{46} = 0$$

$$a_{51} = \frac{6G}{R^3} (I_{yy} - I_{xx}) \cos \phi \sin \phi \cosh \sinh \lambda$$

$$a_{52} = \frac{-P_{\lambda}^2}{R^2 \cos^2 \phi} - \frac{3P_{\lambda}^2 \sin^2 \phi}{R^2 \cos^4 \phi} - \frac{3G}{2R^3} (\cos^2 \lambda - \sin^2 \lambda) (I_{yy} - I_{xx})$$

$$+ (2I_{zz} - I_{xx} - I_{yy})] (\cos^2 \phi - \sin^2 \phi)$$

$$a_{53} = \frac{2P_{\lambda}^2 \sin \phi}{R^2 \cos^3 \phi} + \frac{9G}{2R^4} [(\cos^2 \lambda - \sin^2 \lambda) (I_{yy} - I_{xx})$$

$$+ (2I_{zz} - I_{xx} - I_{yy})] \cos \phi \sin \phi$$

$$a_{54} = -\frac{2P_{\lambda} \sin \phi}{R^2 \cos^3 \phi}$$

$$a_{55} = 0$$

•

Ø

Ö

Ö

$$a_{56} = 0$$

$$a_{61} = \frac{9G}{R^4} (I_{YY} - I_{XX}) \cos \lambda \sin \lambda \cos^2 \phi$$

$$a_{62} = \frac{2P_{\lambda}^{2}\sin\phi}{R^{3}\cos^{3}\phi} - \frac{9G}{2R^{4}} [(I_{yy} - I_{xx}) (\sin^{2}\lambda - \cos^{2}\lambda)]$$

+ $(I_{xx} + I_{yy} - 2I_{zz})] \cos\phi \sin\phi$

$$a_{63} = \frac{2Gm_2}{R^3} + \frac{3G}{R^5} \left[(3\cos^2 \phi \ \cos^2 \lambda \ - \ 1) \ (I_{yy} + I_{zz} \ - \ I_{xx}) + (3\cos^2 \phi \ \sin^2 \lambda \ - \ 1) \ (I_{xx} \ + \ I_{zz} \ - \ I_{yy}) + (3\sin^2 \phi \ - \ 1) \ (I_{xx} \ + \ I_{yy} \ - \ I_{zz}) \right] - \frac{3P_{\lambda}^2}{R^4 \cos^2 \phi} - \frac{3P_{\phi}^2}{R^4}$$

$$a_{64} = \frac{2P_{\lambda}}{R^3 \cos^2 \phi}$$

$$a_{65} = \frac{2P_{\phi}}{R^3}$$

a₆₆ ≈ 0

1.15

Bibliography

- 1. Chapman, C. R. "Asteroid," <u>McGraw-Hill Encyclopedia of</u> Astronomy. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1983.
- Dobrovolskis, A. R. "Internal Stresses in Phobos and Other Triaxial Bodies," <u>Icarus</u>, <u>Volume</u> <u>52</u>: 136-148 (October 1982).
- Kammeyer, P. C. "Periodic Orbits Around a Rotating Ellipsoid," <u>Celestial Mechanics</u>, <u>Volume</u> 17: 37-48 (January 1978).
- 4. Meirovitch, Leonard. <u>Methods of Analytical Dynamics</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1970.
- 5. Wiesel, W. Lecture material covered in MC636, Advanced Astrodynamics. School of Engineering, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright-Patterson AFB OH, January 1986.
- Wiesel, W. Lecture material distributed in MC636, Advanced Astrodynamics. School of Engineering, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright-Patterson AFB OH, January 1986.
- Zappala, V. and Knezevic, Z. "Rotation Axes of Asteroids: Results for 14 Objects," <u>Icarus, Volume 59:</u> 436-453 (September 1984).
- Zhuravlev, S. G. "About the Stability of the Libration Points of a Rotating Triaxial Ellipsoid in a Degenerate Case," <u>Celestial Mechanics</u>, <u>Volume</u> 8: 75-84 (August 1973).
- Zhuravlev S. G. and Zlenkc, A. A. "Conditionally Periodic, Translational-rotational Motions of a Satellite of a Triaxial Planet," <u>Soviet Astronomy</u>, Volume 27: 707-710 (November-December 1983).

Lieutenant Kerry D. Hicks was born 6 October 1962 in Cordell, Oklahoma. He graduated from high school in O'Fallon, Illinois in 1981. The same year, he was admitted to the University of Illinois in Urbana-Champaign, Illinois. From there, he received a Bachelor of Science in Aeronautical and Astronautical Engineering with honors and was commissioned in the USAF through the ROTC program in May of 1985. Lt Hicks also entered the School of Engineering, Air Force Institute of Technology in May of 1985.

> Permanent Address: 1512 Princeton Dr O'Fallon, Il 62269

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION URCLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 2b. GECLASSIFICATION /DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFIT/CA/AA/86D-7 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION (# applicable) 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION School of Engineering 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 7b. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) Air Force Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 8b. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 9. RADRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROSECT Task 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 11. 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 12. PARSONAL AUTHORS) 13. TIME COVERED FROM 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 74 11. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse II necessary and identify by block number) 74 14. DA	REPUR	DOCUMENTATIO	N PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
28. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT 29. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT 29. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT 29. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE Approved for public release; distribution unlinited. 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFIT/GA/AA/86D-7 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) School of Engineering 60. OFFICE SYMBOL (M' applicable) 6c. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) Air Force Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45/433 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (M' applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 8c. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 11. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT NO 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) 13. TIME COVERED FROM			1b. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
distribution distribution 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFIT/GA/AA/86D-7 S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) School of Engineering Sb. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) AFIT/ENY 7. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION School of Engineering Sb. OFFICE SYMBOL (M applicable) AFIT/ENY 7. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Sc ADDRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) Air Force Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Be. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Be. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT NO State of FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Be. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT NO 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 11. Source of REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 1986 December 12. THESIS 13b. TIME COVERED FROM To 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 1986 December 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBLIECT TERMY (Continue on reverse if necessar			3. DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY	OF REPORT	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFIT/GA/AA/86D-7 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION (H applicable) School of Engineering 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (H applicable) AFIT/ENY 5c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Air Force Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson AF2, Ohio 45433 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (H applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT RELEMENT NO. 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT RELEMENT NO. 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT RELEMENT NO. 91. THE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) 113. TIME COVERED Hicks, Kerry Douglas, B.S., 2Lt, USAF 13b. TIME COVERED TO 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 74 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 13b. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse H necessary and identify by block number) 14. Subject Terms (Continue on reverse H necessary and identify by block number) 17. COSATI CODES <td>2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHE</td> <td>DULE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>i</td>	2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHE	DULE				i
AFIT/GA/AA/86D-7 Ge. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION School of Engineering Gb. OFFICE SYMBOL (ff applicable) AFIT/ENY 7e. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Ge. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Air Force Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER ONGANIZATION Ba. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ONGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (ff applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER PROCECT 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROCECT Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROJECT WORK UNIT ASK 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 74 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) IB. TIME COVERED Hicks, Kerry Douglas, B.S., 2Lt, USAF 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 74 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Image: for figure on reverse H necessary and Identify by block number) 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse H necessary and Identify by block number) 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse H necessary and Identify by block number) 17. COSATI COD	A PEPENDMING OPGANIZATION PEPOPT NU					
Ge. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION School of Engineering Gb. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) AFIT/ENY 7e. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Ge. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) AFIT/ENY 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Air Force Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Be. NAME OF FUNDING /SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM PROJECT Task NO Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT RELEMENT NO. NO 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Hicks, Kerry Douglas, B.S., 2Lt, USAF 13b. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FROM 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 74 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION (ac y 4 f_1 f (5 f)) 74 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		adru(3)				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Air Force Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT NO 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT NS Thesis 74 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT NS Thesis 74 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT NS Thesis 74 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT NS Thesis 74 16. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Asteroids Equilitrium Foints; Periodic Ortits; Series;	6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	66. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) AFIT/ENY	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING OR	GANIZATION	
Air Force Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson AFE, Ohio 45433 Ba. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM PROJECT TASK NO Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT ACCESSION NUMBERS II. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 NO NO See Box 19 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Hicks, Kerry Douglas, B.S., 2Lt, USAF 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT TASK MS Thesis FROM TO 1986 December 74 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Ia. SUBJECT TERMIS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Asteroids, Equilibrium Foints; Periodic Orbits;			7b. ADDRESS (C	ity, State, and 2	(IP Code)	
Ba. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM PROJECT TASK NO WORK UNIT ACCESSION NUMBERS Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM PROJECT TASK NO WORK UNIT ACCESSION NUMBERS 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 NO NO ACCESSION NUMBERS 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Hicks, Kerry Douglas, B.S., 2Lt, USAF 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 74 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FROM TO 1986 December 74 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Asteroids, Equilibrium Foints; Periodic Orbits;	Air Force Institute of Techr					
PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. PROJECT TASK NO. WORK UNIT ACCESSION NU 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Hicks, Kerry Douglas, B.S., 2Lt, USAF 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT MS Thesis FROMTO 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Image: Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP 22 01	8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING	86. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMEN	IT INSTRUMENT	IDENTIFICATI	ON NUMBER
ELEMENT NO. NO. NO. ACCESSION No. 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Box 19 See Box 19 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Hicks, Kerry Douglas, B.S., 2Lt, USAF 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13b. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13b. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13b. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13b. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13b. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13b. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 19.6 December 74 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Image: 10 fmonth 19.6 fmonth 19.6 fmonth 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 22 01 Asteroids, Equilibrium Foints; Periodic Orbits;	8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUM	BERS	
See Box 19 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Hicks, Kerry Douglas, B.S., 2Lt, USAF 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FROM To 1986 December 74 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP Sub-GROUP Asteroids, Equilibrium Foints; Periodic Orbits;						WORK UNIT
	FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Asteroids,	(Continue on reven	e H necessary	and identify t	-
Thesis Advisor, William Wiccol	22 03 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necess Title: PERIODIC ORBITS ABC Thesis Advisor: Hillion Hi	ery and identify by block r DUT RCIATING AS.ER	-	SPACE		
Thesis Advisor: William Wiesel Associate Professor of Astronautics UNN E. WOLAVER Joan for Research and Protessional Development Air Force Insulute of Technology (APCT Wright-Patterson AFR 94 4543	19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necess. Title: PERIODIC ORAITS ABC Thesis Advisor: William Wi	and identify by block r DUT RCLATING AS.EA .esel	CIDO IN FREE	LUNN E. WC Dean for Ret Air Force Ind	DLAVER March and Profession	13 Mars 87
Associate Professor of Astronautics	19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necess Title: PERIODIC ORBITS ABC Thesis Advisor: William Wi Associate 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRAC	any and identify by block r DUT RCLATING AS.EA .esel Professor of Astr	CIDC IN FREE conautics	LUNN E. WC Dedn for Ren Air Force Int Wright-Patter	DAVER WAIGH and Profession solute of Techno son AFB OH 6	13 Mars 87
Associate Professor of Astronautics LINN E. WOLAVER Dean for Research and Professional Development Air Force Institute of Technology (APGT Wright-Patterson AFE OH 4542 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necess. Title: PERIODIC ORBITS ABC Thesis Advisor: William Wi Associate 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 	ery and identify by block r DUT RCLATING AS. EA esel Professor of Astr Professor of Astr	CIDC IN FREE conautics 21. ABSTRACT SI UNCLASSIF 225. TELEPHONE	CURITY CLASSI IED (Include Ares C	FICATION	13 has 87 essional Development soar (ANCE suit

Block 19:

In This investigation of

This study investigated periodic orbits about asteroids rotating in free space, Scillatory orbits about equilibrium points as well as those orbits encircling the body were found. While a method was derived for finding orbits of all inclinations, only equatorial orbits are presented, in <this report. The major emphasis is on stable, periodic orbits, but certain unstable orbits are presented where appropriate.

The analysis assumed that asteroids could be represented by triaxial ellipsoidal bodies rotating about their major axis of inertia. Hamilton's canonical equations were derived to describe the dynamics. An algorithm was then developed and used to solve the equations of motion in such a way as to find closed, periodic orbits.

