

1.8 1.25

٧

BTTC FILE COPY

USAFSAM-TR-85-56

AD-A179 223

INVESTIGATION OF BIOCHEMICAL VARIATION IN OPERATIONAL AIRCREW

Redford B. Williams, Jr., M.D. John C. Barefoot, Ph.D. Thomas L. Haney, MSPH

Department of Psychiatry Duke University Medical Center Durham, North Carolina 27710

December 1986

Final Report for Period February 1983 - December 1983

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for

USAF SCHOOL OF AEROSPACE MEDICINE Aerospace Medical Division (AFSC) Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235-5301





		ADA 179	223		
	REPORT DOCUM	INTATION PAGE			
REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		15. RESTRICTIVE MA	ARKINGS		
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/A	VAILABILITY O	F REPORT	
DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHED	ULE	distributi	on is un.	limited.	
				C2007 1111185 8/	C 1
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUM	BER(S)	5. MONITORING ORC	SANIZATION R	EPORT NUMBER	31
NAME OF REPEOPMING ORGANIZATION	The OFFICE SYMBOL	USAFSAM-TR	-85-56		<u></u>
Dept of Psychiatry, Duke	(If applicable)	USAF Schoo	1 of Aer	ospace Med	licine(NG
ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		75. ADDRESS (City, S	itele and ZIP Co	dej	·
Box 3926		Aerospace	Medical :	Division ((AFSC)
		BIOOKS AII	roice b	<u> </u>	5235-5301
NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	80. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT IN	STRUMENT ID	ENTIFICATION N	IUMBER
		F33615-82-	D-0627 (SCEE-ARB/8	33-7)
. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUN	DING NOS.	TASY	WORKUN
		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	NO
		62202F	7755	26	13
INVESTIGATION OF BIOCHEMIC	CAL VARIATION	IN OPERATIO	NAL AIRC	REW	
		· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Jilliams Redford B. Ir :	Barefoot L	ohn C : Mane	v Thomas	e T	
N PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. A TYPE OF REPORT	Barefoot, J	ohn C.; Hane	y, Thoma: T (Yr. Mo., Dey	S L .	COUNT
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Intype of Report Intype of Report Final Report Final Report	Barefoot, J OVERED B 83 TODec 83	ohn C.; Hane 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem	y, Thoma: T(Yr.Mo.Dey ber	s L. 15. PAGE	COUNT
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. TYPE OF REPORT Final Report Supplementary NOTATION	Barefoot, J overed b 83 ToDec 83	ohn C.; Hane 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem	y, Thoma: T(Yr.Mo.Dey ber	s L. , 15. Page 2	2
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. A TYPE OF REPORT Final Report SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	Barefoot, J overed b 83 ToDec 83	ohn C.; Hane 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem	y, Thoma: T(Yr, Mo., Dey ber	s L. 15. Page 2	2
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Istree of REPORT Istree of Repor	Barefoot, J overed 83_ ToDec_83 18. Subject Terms (C Stress	ohn C.; Hane 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem	y, Thoma: T(Yr.Mo.Dey ber cewery end ident ircraft	s L. 15. PAGE 7 2 uly by block number Assignment	2
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. In type of REPORT ISL TIME OF Final Report SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP J5 10	Barefoot, J OVERED 83_TODec_83 18. SUBJECT TERMS (C Stress Biochemica	ohn C.; Hane 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem Continue on reverse if ne A Markers	y, Thoma: T(Yr, Mo., Dey ber cewery end ident ircraft	S L. 15. PAGE 2 14. PAGE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. In type of REPORT 13b TIME C Final Report SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP 35 10 106 14	Barefoot, J overed 83_ ToDec_83 18 SUBJECT TERMS (C Stress Biochemica Aeronautic	Continue on reverse of me A Markers al Rating	y, Thoma: T(Yr.Mo.Dey ber cessery and ident ircraft	s L. 15. PAGE 7 2 14/y by block number Assignment	2
COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUBSTRACT Continue on reverse if necessary and to establish whether clust	Barefoot, J OVERED 83_TODec_83 18. SUBJECT TEAMS (C Stress Biochemica Aeronautic defaulty by block number ers of covar	ohn C.; Hane 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem Continue on reverse if ne A 1 Markers al Rating ri ying biochem	y, Thoma: T(Yr, Mo. Dey ber censery and ident ircraft . ical var:	S L. 15. PAGE 2 14/y by block number Assignment iables in	COUNT 2 "casual"
COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUBSTRACT Continue on reverse if necessary and Costablish whether clust Substract Substract Substract Substract Continue on reverse if necessary and	Barefoot, J OVERED 83_TODec_83 IS SUBJECT TERMS (C Stress Biochemica Aeronautic Statently by block number Cers of covar at indicate s	Continue on reverse of ne 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem Continue on reverse of ne A Markers al Rating " ying biochem ituations or	y, Thoma: T(Yr, Mo. Dey ber censery and ident ircraft ical var individu	s L. 15. PAGE 2 h/y by block number Assignment iables in uals marke	COUNT 2 "casual" ed by
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. In type of REPORT Ib. TIME OF REPORT Final Report SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB GR. 05 10 06 14 ABSTRACT Continue on reverse if necessary and Io establish whether clust norning blood samples might increased stress levels, there and point	Barefoot, J OVERED B. SUBJECT TERMS (C Stress Biochemica Aeronautic Identify by block number ters of covar it indicate s Ve analyzed p	continue on reverse if ne 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem Continue on reverse if ne A Markers al Rating ying biochem ituations or rincipal com	y, Thomas T(Yr. Mo. Dey ber ircraft ircraft individu ponents (cal Scial	s L. 15. PAGE 2 14/y by block number Assignment iables in uals marked of biochem	COUNT 2 "casual ed by nical
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. In TYPE OF REPORT ID TYPE OF REPORT ID TYPE OF REPORT ID TIME OF REPORT SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB GR. 05 10 06 14 ABSTRACT Commune on reverse if necessary and IO establish whether cluss norning blood samples migincreased stress levels, the samples in creased stress levels, the sample stress is levels and the sample stress is lev	Barefoot, J OVERED B. 83_ TODEC 83 IL SUBJECT TERMS (C Stress Biochemica Aeronautic dentify by block number ters of covar indicate s ve analyzed p avigators, fr S. Air Force	continue on reverse of meron 1986, Decem 1986, Decem Continue on reverse of meron A Markers al Rating " ying biochem ituations or rincipal com om the Clini School of Ae	y, Thomas T(Yr. Mo. Dey ber cewery end ident ircraft incraft individu ponents cal Scien rospace	s L. 15. PAGE 2 14. PAGE 2 14. PAGE 2 14. PAGE 2 15. PAGE 2 2 15. PAGE 2 2 14. PAGE 2 14. PAGE 14. PAGE 14	COUNT 2 "casual ed by nical sion's
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Intreformer (Internation) Interformer (Interformer) Supplementary notation COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP Supplementary notation Supplementary notatis	Barefoot, J 383 TODEC 83 18 SUBJECT TEAMS (C Stress Biochemica Aeronautic Aeronautic defaulty by block number ters of covar at indicate s ve analyzed p avigators, fr S. Air Force the 790 indiv	ohn C.; Hane 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem 1986, Decem A 1 Markers al Rating ying biochem ituations or rincipal com om the Clini School of Ae iduals with	y, Thoma: T(Yr. Mo. Dey ber ical var individu ponents of cal Scien rospace S complete	s L. 15. PAGE 2 14/y by block number Assignment iables in uals marker of biochem nces Divis Medicine). data, pro	COUNT 2 "casual ed by nical sion's The oduced
Image: Personal authom(s) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Image: Personal authom(s) Image: Personal Report Image: Persona Report Image: Perso	Barefoot, J Stress Biochemica Aeronautic Aeronautic Stress of covar indicate s ve analyzed p avigators, fr S. Air Force the 790 indiv tent face val	continue on reverse of meron 14. DATE OF MEPON 1986, Decem 1986, Decem A 1 Markers al Rating right ying biochem ituations or rincipal com om the Clini School of Ae iduals with idity as str	y, Thoma: T(Yr.Mo.Dey ber ical var individu ponents of cal Scien rospace S complete ess indio	s L. 15. PAGE 2 14/ by block number Assignment iables in uals marker of biocher nces Divis Medicine). data, pro- cators. I	COUNT 2 "casual ed by nical sion's The oduced the firs
Image: Personal author(s) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Image: Personal author(s) Image: Personal Report Image: Persona Report Image: Perso	Barefoot, J Stress Biochemica Aeronautic Aeronauti	Continue on reverse if ne 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem Continue on reverse if ne A 1 Markers al Rating " ying biochem ituations or rincipal com om the Clini School of Ae iduals with idity as str ased RBC sed	y, Thoma: T(Yr.Mo.Dey ber ical var: individu ponents of cal Scien rospace S complete ess indici	s L.	COUNT 2 "casual ed by nical sion's The oduced The firs
PERSONAL AUTHORISI Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Intreformer Intreformer Supplementary NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP Supplementary NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP Supplementary NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP Supplementary NOTATION Cosati Codes FIELD GROUP Supplementary NOTATION Cosati Codes Field GROUP Supplementary NOTATION Cosati Codes Field GROUP Supplementary NOTATION Cosati Codes Field GROUP Supplementary NOTATION Cosati Codes Supplementary NOTATION Cosati Codes Supplementary NOTATION Cosati Codes Supplementary NOTATION Cosati Codes Supplementary NOTATION Cosati Continue on reverse (Intersectory and Intersectory and	Barefoot, J Barefoot , J Barefoot , J Barefoot , J Barefoot , J Barefoot , J Barefoot , S Biochemica Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic Aeronautic 	Continue on reverse of me 14. DATE OF MEPOR 1986, Decem Continue on reverse of me A 1 Markers al Rating " ying biochem ituations or rincipal com om the Clini School of Ae iduals with idity as str ased RBC sed nd uric acid	y, Thomas T(Yr.Mo.Dey ber ical var individ ponents of cal Scien rospace S complete ess indic imentatio a patto	s L.	COUNT 2 "casual ed by nical sion's The oduced The firs
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Intree of REPORT Final Report SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUBSTRACT Continue on reverse if necessary and In orning blood samples might increased stress levels, to increased stress levels	Barefoot, J Barefoot, J Barefoot, J Barefoot, J Barefoot, J Barefoot, B3 Stress Biochemica Aeronautic didentify by block number ars of covar indicate s ve analyzed p avigators, fr S. Air Force the 790 indiv cent face val tern of incre. / HDL ratio, a vels of sympa	Continue on reverse of me 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem A 1986, Decem A A Markers al Rating ying biochem ituations or rincipal com om the Clini School of Ae iduals with idity as str ased RBC sed and uric acid thetic nervo	y, Thomas T(Yr. Mo. Dey ber ircraft ircraft individ ponents cal Scien rospace complete ess indic imentatio a patte	s L.	COUNT 2 "casual ed by nical sion's The oduced the firs could be . The
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Intreformer (Interpret) Supplementation COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUPPLEMENTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB GR. 05 10 06 14 ABSTRACT Commune on revene (Internation) COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB GR. 05 10 106 14 ABSTRACT Commune on revene (Internation) Costablish whether cluss increased stress levels, the stablish whether cluss increased stress levels, the stablish whether cluss increased stress levels, the stablish whether cluss increased stress levels, the stable on the	Barefoot, J OVERED Barefoot, J OVERED Barefoot, J Barefoot, J Barefoot, J Barefoot, S Barefoot, S Ba	Continue on reverse if ner 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem 2. A 2. Markers al Rating 7. ying biochem ituations or rincipal com om the Clini School of Ae iduals with idity as str ased RBC sed nd uric acid thetic nervo of increased	y, Thomas T(Yr. Mo. Dey ber ircraft ircraft individu ponents cal Scien rospace complete ess indic imentatio 	s L.	COUNT 2 "casual" ed by nical sion's The oduced the first could be . The sting
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Intreformer (Interpret) Supplementation Supplementation COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP Supplementation Supplementation Supplementation Supplementation COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP Supplementation Supplementation Supplementation Supplementation Supplementation COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP Supplementation Supplementation Supplementation Supplementation COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP Supplementation	Barefoot, J OVERED 3. TODEC 83 1. SUBJECT TERMS (C Stress Biochemica Aeronautic 4. dentily by block number ters of covar indicate s ve analyzed p avigators, fr S. Air Force the 790 indiv tern of incre 1. HDL ratio, a vels of sympa ed a pattern otassium rati	Continue on reverse if ne 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem 1986, Decem 1986, Decem A 1986,	y, Thomas T(Yr. Mo. Dey ber ircraft ircraft individu ponents cal Scien rospace complete ess indic imentatio a patte us system 0900 complete	s L.	COUNT 2 "casual" ed by nical sion's The oduced the first could be . The sting iuma
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Intreformer area Supplementation Supplementation COSATI CODES FIELD CROUP Supplementation Costricoopes Field Costricoopes Supplementation Suplot Supplementation <td>Barefoot, J 3. ToDec 83 1. SUBJECT TEAMS (C Stress Biochemica Aeronautic Aeronautic Identify by block number ters of covar indicate s ve analyzed p avigators, fr S. Air Force the 790 indiv tern of incre the 790 indiv tern of sympar a pattern btassium rati lained by inc</td> <td>continue on reverse of meron 1986, Decem 1986, Decem 1986, Decem 1986, Decem A 1986, Dec</td> <td>y, Thomas T(Yr. Mo. Dey ber ical var individu ponents of cal Scien rospace s complete ess indio imentatio a patto us system 0900 cos creased s s of pitto</td> <td>s L. 15. PAGE 2 14/ by block number Assignment iables in uals marker of biocher nces Divis Medicine). data, pro- cators. I on rate, ern that con activity rtisol, fa serum calco uitary-</td> <td>COUNT 2 "casual" 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3</td>	Barefoot, J 3. ToDec 83 1. SUBJECT TEAMS (C Stress Biochemica Aeronautic Aeronautic Identify by block number ters of covar indicate s ve analyzed p avigators, fr S. Air Force the 790 indiv tern of incre the 790 indiv tern of sympar a pattern btassium rati lained by inc	continue on reverse of meron 1986, Decem 1986, Decem 1986, Decem 1986, Decem A 1986, Dec	y, Thomas T(Yr. Mo. Dey ber ical var individu ponents of cal Scien rospace s complete ess indio imentatio a patto us system 0900 cos creased s s of pitto	s L. 15. PAGE 2 14/ by block number Assignment iables in uals marker of biocher nces Divis Medicine). data, pro- cators. I on rate, ern that con activity rtisol, fa serum calco uitary-	COUNT 2 "casual" 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
PERSONAL AUTHORIS) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Intree of REPORT Final Report SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD CROUP SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD CROUP SUB GR. 05 10 06 14 ABSTRACT Continue on reverse if necessary and Co establish whether clust norning blood samples might increased stress levels, the stresst	Barefoot, J Stress Biochemica Aeronautic Aeronau	Continue on reverse if ne 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem 1986, Decem A 1986, Decem A 1986, Decem A A Markers al Rating ying biochem ituations or rincipal com om the Clini School of Ae iduals with idity as str ased RBC sed ad uric acid thetic nervo of increased o, with a de reased level the validit 21 ABSTRACT SECO	y, Thoma: T(Yr. Mo. Dey ber ical var: individ ponents of cal Scien rospace S complete ess indio imentatio a patto us syste: 0900 co creased s of pits voi these Patty CLASSIF	s L. 15. PAGE 2 14/y by block number Assignment iables in uals marker of biocher nces Divis Medicine). data, pro- cators. To on rate, ern that co n activity rtisol, fa serum calco uitary- se factors	COUNT 2 "casual" ed by nical sion's The oduced The first could be tould be tould be tould be tould be tould be tould be tould be tould be
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Intreformers, Redford B., Jr. Interview, Redford S., Sased on the second, Factor 4, reflected a patherescond, Factor 4, reflected second, Factor 4, reflected second, Factor 4, reflected second, Factor 4, reflected second, Factor 4, reflected second seco	Barefoot, J Stress Biochemica Aeronautic Aeron	ohn C.; Hane 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem 1986, Decem 1986, Decem A 1986, Decem A Markers al Rating ying biochem ituations or rincipal com om the Clini School of Ae iduals with idity as str ased RBC sed nd uric acid thetic nervo of increased o, with a de reased level the validit 21 ABSTRACT SECU UNCLASSIF	y, Thoma: T(Yr, Mo. Dey ber ical var individu ponents of cal Scien rospace S complete ess indio imentatio a patto us syste: 0900 co creased s s of pity y of the IED	s L. 15. PAGE 2 14. PAGE 15. PAGE 2 14. PAGE 15. PAGE 2 15. PAGE 2 2 15. PAGE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	COUNT 2 "casual" ed by nical sion's The oduced The first could be . The sting fiuma as stre
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Villiams, Redford B., Jr. Intreformer (Internation) Intreformer (Internation) Intreformer (Internation) COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP ABSTRACT Continue on reverse (Internation) Costablish Whether clust Increased stress levels, the stress internation in the stress internatin the stress internation in the stress inte	Barefoot, J 3. ToDec 83 1. SUBJECT TEAMS (C Stress Biochemica Aeronautic Aeronautic Identify by block number ters of covar indicate s ve analyzed p avigators, fr S. Air Force the 790 indiv tern of incre- tern of incre- tern of sympar a pattern otassium rati lained by inc Supporting	Continue on reverse of meron 14. DATE OF MEPON 1986, Decem 1986, Decem 1986, Decem A 1 Markers al Rating '' ying biochem ituations or rincipal com om the Clini School of Ae iduals with idity as str ased RBC sed nd uric acid thetic nervo of increased o, with a de reased level the validit 21 ABSTRACT SECU UNCLASSIF 22b TELEPHONE NO	y, Thomas T(Yr. Mo. Dey ber ircraft ircraft individu ponents cal Scien rospace cal Scien rospace complete ess indic imentatio a patto us system 0900 com creased s of pitto v of these IED	s L. 15. PAGE 2 14. PAGE 15. PAGE 2 15. PAGE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	COUNT 2 "casual" ed by nical sion's The oduced The first could be the first could be the sting tiuma as stree
PERSONAL AUTHORISI Villiams, Redford B., Jr. In TYPE OF REPORT Final Report SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB GR. 05 10 06 14 ABSTRACT Continue on reverse (Increasery and to establish whether clust morning blood samples might increased stress levels, to data on 1147 pilots and na biochemical data base (U.S final analysis, based on to two factors that had appart factor 1, reflected a path triglycerides, cholesterol explained by increased let second, Factor 4, reflected poattern that could be explained bound sugar, and sodium/po pattern that could be explained of DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABULITY OF ABSTRAIN NCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RET To NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL John C. Patterson, Ph.D.	Barefoot, J Barefoot , S Barefoot , S Barefoo	Continue on reverse if ne 14. DATE OF REPOR 1986, Decem 1986, Decem A 1986, Decem A 198	y, Thomas T(Yr. Mo. Dey ber ical var individ ponents of cal Scien rospace S complete ess indio imentatio a patto us system 0900 complete s of pits voi these s of pits voi these IED	s L. 15. PAGE 2 14/y by block number Assignment iables in uals marker of biocher nces Divis Medicine). data, pro- cators. To on rate, ern that co n activity rtisol, fa serum calco uitary- se factors ICATION 22c OFFICE SYL USAFSAM	COUNT 2 "casual" ed by nical sion's The oduced The first could be tould be

Sec. 100

a a a concerta a concerta a concerta a concerta a concerta concerta a concerta a free occursta a concerta a concerta a free of

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

19. ABSTRACT (continued)

indicators, Factor 1 scores increased significantly in both pilots and navigators as a function of increasing command status as indexed by aeronautical rating. Among pilots only, Factor 4 scores also increased significantly as a function of increasing command responsibility. These associations are diminished if controlled for age by analysis of covariance, but we believe that the relationships are not due solely to the effect of age. Initial attempts to relate levels of these two factors to plane assignment yielded promising, but inconclusive, results. We conclude that high scores on Factors 1 and 4 may reflect the increased stress levels that would be expected with increased command responsibility. Additional data and further statistical analyses will be required to confirm the validity of these initial findings. Particularly promising avenues for further investigation include 1) correlation of scores on these two factors with other measures (e.g., blood pressure responses to exercise testing; personality profiles) . that reflect stress; 2) correlation of factor scores with both concurrent and subsequent morbidity and mortality, particularly forms (e.g., coronary disease) that might be potentiated by stress; and 3) evaluation of scores on the stress factors as predictors of subsequent performance in a variety of career paths (e.g., transport and bomber versus fighter and reconnaissance assignment).

ii

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Principal-components analyses of biochemical values obtained on a sample of operational aircrew revealed two factors that appear to reflect stress levels: Factor 1--positive loadings for RBC sedimentation rate, serum uric acid, triglycerides, and cholesterol/HDL ratio; and Factor 4--a negative loading for serum calcium and positive loadings for 0900 plasma cortisol, fasting blood sugar, and Na/K ratio. High Factor-1 scores could result from increased sympathetic nervous system activity, and high Factor 4 scores could reflect heightened arousal of the pituitary-adrenocortical axis. Both are logical consequences of stress, whether due to chronic environmental pressures, individual characteristics predisposing to reduced stress tolerance, or some combination. The pattern of relationship between scores on these factors and individual variables such as command status and environmental variables such as aircraft type suggests that scores on Factors 1 and 4 are indeed valid indicators of stress. If further studies strengthen these conclusions, scores on these two factors may be useful measures of pilot attributes that should be routinely screened for, so as to select personnel with highest proficiency but least possible health risks.

Accession For NTIS GRA&I DTIC TAB Unannounced Justification Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Special Dist

SUMMARY

CONTENTS

																					Page
INT	ROD	UCI	IC	N	•		•			•		•	•	•	•						1
MET	HOD	s	•	•			•			•	•	•			•	•	•				2
	Sub Sel Fin Cor	jec ect al rel	t ic Pr	Se on in tes		ect f ipa of	tic Vai al Fa	on cia -Co act	abl omp cor	.es on S	ier	its ore		Sol	ut					• • •	2 2 4 5
DIS	CUS	SIC	N	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•		•		12
REF	EREI	NCE	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	14

ALANDAR STATE AND A STATE AND AND A

e.

د و د و ه

List of Illustrations

Figure

and the

ì

-

1		Factor 1 scores	by aeronautical rating.	6
2		Factor 4 scores	by aeronautical rating.	7
3	-	Factor 1 scores	for pilots by rating	
		and aircraft .		11
4		Factor 4 scores	for pilots by rating	
		and aircraft .		11

List of Tables

Table

1	 Principal components: Rotated factor	
	pattern	3
2	 Principal components including cortisol:	
	Rotated factor pattern	4
3	 Factor scores (descriptive statistics)	
	by aeronautical rating	5
4	 Scores on Factor 1 by aircraft assignment	
	and rating	9
5	 Scores on Factor 4 by aircraft assignment	
	and rating	10

v

.

INVESTIGATION OF BIOCHEMICAL VARIATION IN OPERATIONAL AIRCREW

INTRODUCTION

There is considerable evidence that military personnel subjected to a wide variety of stressful assignments will exhibit higher levels of various biochemical indices in both blood and urine than when they are not under such stressful conditions or are compared to other personnel for whom the given situation should be less stressful.

For example, urinary excretion of catecholamines and 17-hydroxycorticosteroids (17-OHCS) have been evaluated in aircrew engaged in hazardous or unusually demanding missions (1,2). The stress of initial practice of aircraft carrier landings was associated with increased blood and urinary cortisol levels in naval aviators (3). Interestingly, the corticosteroid elevations were greatest in the pilot in command of the aircraft rather than in the more passive radar-intercept officer. In a study of B-52 aircrews, 17-OHCS excretion levels were greatest in the aircraft commanders (4). Among a team of Special Forces personnel anticipating an attack upon their isolated camp by the Viet Cong, the highest 17-OHCS excretion rates were observed among the two officers rather than the enlisted personnel (5). Such findings as these led Miller et al. (3) to conclude that "the assigned role of leadership and responsibility appeared to be the major factor enhancing the physiological response...in an acutely stressful situation."

In addition to reflecting both the impact of stressful situations and the effects of such situations upon those in different roles of responsibility, biochemical indices have provided potentially useful information about performance capabilities in the stressful situation. While serum uric acid levels showed a general increase among naval personnel undergoing underwater demolition team (UDT) training, these men who failed to complete the training had lesser unic acid elevations than those who successfully completed the course; and peak uric acid levels occurred among successful trainees when they were noted to be "alert, attentive, eager for training, and trying hard to master course materials" (6). Among medical students undergoing a stressful course examination, those with the highest serum uric acid levels made the highest grades on the examination (7). One possible reason for the association between elevated uric acid and better performance and motivation is that the increased uric acid levels are caused by increased catecholamine secretion (8), which helps maintain high levels of arousal and involvement in the task it hand. On the other hand, Hale et al. (9) found lower levels of endocrine-metabolic displacement to correlate with better pilot performance.

Besides reflecting increased task involvement and better performance, however, elevations of some biochemical stress indicators may have potentially harmful effects. Troxler et al. (10) found increased plasma cortisol levels in the late morning, during the course of glucose tolerance tests, to be associated with more severe coronary atherosclerosis among Air Force personnel undergoing coronary angiography at the USAF School of Aerospace Medicine (USAFSAM).

Most of the studies just discussed have evaluated biochemical indicators in the context of obviously stressful situations. The purpose of our investigation was to determine whether levels of potential biochemical stress indicators measured in blood samples obtained under routine clinical conditions would reflect stress effects. We used principalcomponents analyses with varimax rotation to identify correlated clusters of biochemical values that might qualify as possible stress indicators in blood samples obtained from a sample of operational aircrew being evaluated at the USAFSAM. Further analyses were carried out to evaluate the validity of candidate clusters, in terms of the relationship between cluster scores for each individual and his aeronautical rating and the type of aircraft flown. This report describes the statistical procedures used to identify the clusters with good potential to serve as stress indicators, along with results of the analyses evaluating the validity of these clusters as potential reflectors of stress levels.

METHODS

Subject Selection

The original data set contained 50 biochemical and hematologic variables on 1147 patients at USAFSAM. After initial editing and verification of this data set, we undertook analyses to identify patients whose data should be discarded. The four female subjects in the sample had unusual values on a number of variables. Since their physiologic responses to stress may differ from that of their male counterparts and the subgroup was too small to test for reliable differences, they were excluded from further analyses. Three male subjects with numerous extreme values suggesting exaggerated pathophysiologic states were also excluded.

All values flagged as extreme or errant were the subject of special scrutiny. Any entries associated with probable laboratory errors were deleted from the final data set.

Selection of Variables

We prepared univariate descriptive statistics for all variables to check for suspicious values and marked deviations from normality. This led to the exclusion of 12 variables due to inadequate variability, poor distributions, or large numbers of missing values. Demographic and background variables le.g., exam date, race, flying hours) were excluded from these analyses. In addition, four variables with bimodal distributions (renal RBC, renal WBC, granulocytes, and hyaline casts) were dichotomized. Serum sodium and potassium measures were transformed into the ratio of sodium to potassium since this is considered a better index of the stress response than either determination alone (11). Similarly, high density lipoprotein (HDL) and total cholesterol were transformed into a lipids ratio (total cholesterol/HDL).

A series of preliminary principal-components analyses with varimax rotations aided further selection of variables. These early analyses yielded a number of factors easily interpreted as specific disease syndromes (such as urinary tract infections, hepatitis, acute infection), as well as some factors more likely to be related to the stress response. Variables were excluded from subsequent analyses if they appeared to be strongly related to particular disease states and/or there was no physiologic reason to expect them to be related to a stress response.

The process of variable elimination produced a set of 11 variables with complete data for 1033 subjects. These variables were the basis of the principal-components analysis outlined in Table 1. Examination of the factor pattern revealed that two of the six factors with eigenvalues greater than 1 appeared to be related to stress. These six factors accounted for 70% of the total variance. The strongest factor in the data (Factor 1: 17% of the variance) had high loadings for RBC sedimentation rate, triglycerides, uric acid, and lipids ratio--all variables thought to reflect the sympathetic nervous system role in the stress response. Factor 4 also had loadings from stress-related variables--primarily calcium, with secondary loadings from uric acid and the sodium-potassium ratio--and appeared to reflect a physiologic pattern associated with known actions of cortisol.

······································		Factors							
Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6			
Hematocrit	.18	.82	05	.21	.03	02			
Eosínophils	.00	01	01	.03	.02	.95			
Sedimentation rate	.32	75	13	.20	.01	02			
Triglycerides	.83	.01	.05	02	01	.03			
Calcium	.03	02	02	.83	21	.04			
Phosphorus	.04	.03	.78	01	12	21			
Uric acid	.55	08	13	.24	. 27	20			
Fasting blood sugar	.17	03	73	01	10	21			
Lipids ratio	.83	01	01	11	06	.04			
Na/K ratio	.10	04	04	21	.82	.04			
Bilirubin	16	.21	.07	.55	.54	03			

TABLE 1. PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS: ROTATED FACTOR PATTERN

We therefore decided that the unambiguous interpretation of Factor 4 required adding a measure of cortisol level to the analysis. Since cortisol measures were available on only 110 subjects in the original data set, further data were extracted from the USAFSAM files. Two cortisol indices (0700 and 0900) were obtained for a total of 722 additional subjects. We chose to include the 0900 measure in our analysis because of its past association with coronary angiographic findings (10).

The final data set consisted of 12 physiologic variables, with complete data for 790 of the original subjects.

Final Principal-Components Solution

The final principal-components analysis yielded five factors with eigenvalues greater than 1, accounting for 58% of the variance. These factors were rotated using the varimax procedure, producing the factor pattern shown in Table 2. Two appear to be good candidates as stress indicators. Factor 1--with high loadings for sedimentation rate, triglycerides, uric acid, and the lipids ratio--was the strongest factor in the data, accounting for 17% of the variance. Factor 4 accounted for 11% of the variance and included strong loadings for cortisol, calcium (-), fasting blood sugar, and the sodium/potassium ratio.

			Factors	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Variables	ĩ	2	3	4	5
Cortisol (0900)	.00	.24	.38	.48	10
Hematocrit	.22	.81	.20	.08	.04
Eosinophils	04	.10	.06	20	.71
Sedimentation rate	.39	72	.12	.06	.03
Triglycerides	.82	.01	07	.04	02
Calcium	.10	04	.72	37	.05
Phosphorus	02	.09	.03	24	71
Uric acid	.56	16	.30	.20	.00
Fasting blood sugar	.27	22	13	.41	.26
Lipids ratio	.83	.04	16	.05	.01
Na/K ratio	04	.00	02	.73	.01
Bilirubin	17	.11	.67	.23	.00

TABLE 2. PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS INCLUDING CORTISOL: ROTATED FACTOR PATTERN

Correlates of Factor Scores

To explore the potential validity of Factors 1 and 4 as indicators of the stress response, we computed individual scores on the factors and related them to duty assignment variables that might be associated with varying degrees of stress. We chose aeronautical rating as one potential indicator of job stress since it should be related to command responsibility. The mean factor scores, broken down by aeronautical rating, are presented in Table 3.

Group	N	Mean	Variance	SE	Skewness
FACTOR	1				
Pilots					
Command	240	.28	1.18	.07	1.26
Senior	166	22	1.03	.08	.88
Pilot	121	19	.61	.07	.19
Student	37	22	.57	.12	.56
Navigators					
Master	95	.34	.86	.09	.65
Senior	62	19	.94	.12	.24
Navigator	67	25	.80	.11	.06
Student	2	-1.00	1.27	.80	
FACTOR	4				
Pilots					
Command	240	.13	1.04	.07	1.39
Senior	166	.06	1.00	.08	.22
Pilot	121	33	.80	.08	09
Student	37	27	.63	.13	30
Navigators					
Master	95	.08	1.14	.11	.53
Senior	62	.08	1.16	.14	.87
Navigator	67	05	.79	.11	02
Student	2	.51	3.39	1.30	

TABLE 3. FACTOR SCORES (DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS) BY AERONAUTICAL RATING

Analysis of variance reveals that factor scores of pilots do not differ from those of navigators, and there is a strong relationship between Factor 1 and aeronautical rating across pilot and navigator groups, F(3, 785) = 17.99, p < .001 (see Figure 1). This relationship apparently is a threshold effect rather than a monotonic function, with command pilots and master navigators having higher scores than the less senior aircrew. Pairwise comparisons with the Tukey test show that the command/master level differs from the other three categories (p < .01), which do not differ from each other. In addition, the within-cell distributions tend to show increasing amounts of positive skew in the more senior levels. This indicates that the upper levels contain more individuals with unusually high scores on Factor 1.



Figure 1. Factor 1 scores by aeronautical rating.

... a two-way analysis of variance reveals that pilots do 1. 1. 1 4 1 7 et it more faith to more factor -, and scores are strongly associated charters rating, 201,740 = 5.57, p.8.301. Although there is no 105 signation interaction between status as pilot or navigator and aeronautical ruting, the main effect for rating is due to the differences among the pilots Figure 1 1. In the lata from navigators are analyzed separately, the effect ince again we find an apparent threshold relationship, is not significant. bit the division between high and low scores occurs at the boundary between plints and senior plints. Takey pairwise comparisons reveal that junior pilots and havigators have lower scores than their more senior counterparts, who do not differ from each other. The comparisons of students to other categories are not significant, but this is due to the small number of cases and the presence is one student havigator with an unusually high score (1.82). As with Factor ... the amount of positive skaw increases with aeronautical rating.





The relationship between these factor scores and status are potentially confounded with age since crewmembers more senior in command are naturally older. In fact, this relationship is so strong that we could not control for age with stratification procedures because of multiple empty cells. Adjustment for age with analysis of covariance eliminates the effect for Factor 4, but the Factor 1 effect remains significant--F(3,784)=3.77, p = .01; however, the pattern of simple effects in this analysis of adjusted Factor 1 score is difficult to interpret. Command/master-level subjects have higher scores than senior-level pilots and navigators (p < .01), but the scores of junior pilots and navigators no longer differ from those in any other category. Despite the fact that covariance adjustment for age attenuates the association between rating and the factor scores, we have reason to believe that the effect is not due entirely to age. For one thing, the correlations between age and factor scores within rating categories are generally low. The mean correlation is .074 for Factor 1 and .043 for Factor 4. An explanation based on age cannot explain the threshold nature of the relationship nor the failure to find an association for Factor 4 among navigators. Also, age is an essential component of the psychological dimension represented by aeronautical rating, suggesting that adjustment for age may also eliminate some of the effect that is of primary interest. This issue cannot be settled until factor scores can be related to stress measures that are less confounded with age.

We also investigated aircraft assignment as a possible stress-related variable. We divided pilots on the basis of their assignments, using a modification of the categorization scheme of Green and Swanborough (12). These categories included fighter/attack, bomber, transport, trainer, helicopter, and utility (including light reconnaissance/light transport). The small number of pilots in each category make appropriate comparisons difficult; only descriptive statistics will be presented. Data from navigators were omitted. The mean Factor 1 scores for each category are contained in Table 4, and Factor 4 scores are in Table 5. Figure 3 presents the means on Factor 1 by plane assignment, contrasting command pilots to all other pilots; and Figure 4, the means on Factor 4, contrasting command and senior pilots with pilots and students. The largest effect of aeronautical rating occurs in the fighter group, and the effect actually reverses in bomber pilots; but the small number of cases make these observations tentative. More detailed measures of mission stress may be necessary to construct categories that permit appropriate comparisons.

Group	N	Mean	Variance	SE
PILOTS				
Bomber pilots				
Command	10	- 18	. 36	. 19
Senior	12	.27	1.90	. 40
Pilot	8	30	1.03	.31
Fighter Pilots				
Command	94	. 39	1.56	.13
Senior	66	24	.82	.11
Pilot	29	13	.36	.11
Helicopter Pilo	ots			
Command	11	.37	.32	.17
Senior	8	01	1.31	.40
Pilot	6	08	.21	.19
Transport Pilo	ts			
Command	55	.32	.93	.13
Senior	35	41	.73	.14
Pilot	32	01	.74	.15
Trainer Pilots				
Command	54	.16	1.13	.14
Senior	38	11	1.43	.19
Pilot	42	40	.64	.12
Utility Pilots				
Command	11	.17	1.11	.32
Senior	7	75	.38	.23
Pilot	4	53	.64	.40

TABLE 4. SCORES ON FACTOR 1 BY AIRCRAFT ASSIGNMENT AND RATING

Group	N	Mean	Variance	SE
LOTS				
Bomber pilots				
Command	10	.06	.52	.23
Senior	12	.14	.48	.20
Pilot	8	30	.65	.29
Fighter Pilots				
Command	94	.13	1.22	.11
Senior	66	.05	1.15	.13
Pilot	29	23	.68	.15
Helicopter Pilo	ots			
Command	11	20	.97	.30
Senior	8	07	.36	.21
Pilot	6	.04	.47	.28
Transport Pilo	ts			
Command	55	.17	.73	.12
Senior	35	23	.66	.14
Pilot	32	21	.92	.17
Trainer Pilots				
Command	54	.15	1.26	.15
Senior	38	.39	1.27	.18
Pilot	42	56	.91	.15
Utility Pilots				
Command	11	.02	1.00	.30
Senior	7	25	.68	.31
Pilot	4	12	.10	.16

ate, zakakamenakan ennanan. Kasasan autuatemenakenaka herestatan erestatan eristen masanan enteren enterne eri

TABLE 5. SCORES ON FACTOR 4 BY AIRCRAFT ASSIGNMENT AND RATING





DISCUSSION

Several aspects of the findings from the analyses performed thus far suggest that Factors 1 and 4 have promise as biochemical profiles that reflect stress effects in operational aircrew. First and perhaps most compelling is the face validity of the clusters that emerged. One well-known concomitant of increased stress is increased sympathetic nervous system activation. Of the four measures that loaded on Factor 1, at least three (increased uric acid, triglycerides, and lipids ratio) would be expected to result from increased catecholamine release from the sympathetic nerves and adrenal medulla. With respect to Factor 4, lowered serum calcium, elevated fasting blood sugar, and elevated Na/K ratio are all known biological effects of cortisol. It was not until the 0900 cortisol value was added to the principal-components analysis, however, that these three variables clustered on Factor 4. Thus, in contrast to Factor 1, which reflects the participation of the sympathoadrenalmedullary system in the stress response, Factor 4 appears to reflect activation of the pituitary-adrenocortical axis.

Further strengthening our confidence in these factors as stress indicators is the congruence of the variables loading on these factors with the findings of previous military stress research. Inexperienced sailors on their first cruise on a fleet ballistic-missile submarine exhibited higher serum levels of both uric acid and cholesterol than the more experienced crewmembers (13)--both effects are reflected in Factor 1. Further suggesting the validity of the pattern of biochemical measures loading on Factor 1 is the finding (14) among a group of 10,000 middle-aged male civil service employees in Israel that serum uric acid levels are significantly positively correlated with serum cholesterol level and significantly negatively correlated with serum levels of HDL cholesterol-again a pattern that is strikingly mirrored in the pattern of loadings on Factor 1. The pattern of loadings on Factor 4, reflecting direct evidence of increased adrenocortical activation, is in strong agreement with the extensive research literature relating activation of the pituitary-adrenocortical axis to stress (1-5). The increasing scores on both Factors1 and 4 observed in the present data set as a function of increasing command level agree with the results of Miller et al. (3), which led them to pinpoint the "assigned role of leadership and responsibility" as a key factor in determining physiological responses to acutely stressful situations. Our findings serve both to provide support for this hypothesis and to support the validity of Factors 1 and 4 as stress indicators.

An interesting aspect of the changing distributions of factor scores with increasing aeronautical rating (Table 3) is the greater variance and positive skewness observed at the command level. This suggests that there is a greater preponderance of individuals with high factor scores as aircrews advance up the command chain to levels of greater responsibility. In future research it will be important to determine whether personnel with very high scores show poorer or better performance than those with low scores, as well as whether they are prone to more health problems. We already have some evidence that both high cholesterol/HDL ratio and 0900 cortisol identify pilots with more severe coronary atherosclerosis (10). Are extremely high scores on the factors on which these parameters load (as identified in this study) useful in prospectively identifying aircrew at unusually high risk for developing coronary disease? If so, then follow-on studies could develop data leading to the incorporation of such measures into personnel selection procedures. Before this can be implemented, however, it will be important to determine whether high scores on these factors correlate with current performance, and even more important, whether they are predictive of subsequent performance and career paths.

1

Other aspects of the findings are tantalizing at this stage of the analyses, but the data are too sparse to warrant firm conclusions. Inspection of Table 4, for example, shows that among fighter pilots the variance of scores on Factor 1 increases significantly from pilot to command level-- an increase in variance not seen among aircrew assigned to other aircraft types. Does this increasing spread in distribution of Factor 1 scores among fighter pilots mean that some of them with very high scores are intolerant of stress? Or does it mean that they are more proficient in piloting these demanding aircraft? At the command level does the significantly lower Factor 1 score of bomber pilots in comparison to fighter pilots represent a real effect of the differing demands of flying the two types of aircraft? The small sample size in some of the aircraft groups precludes our having at this time too high a level of confidence in these apparent effects. Nevertheless, if confirmed in additional samples and if found to reflect real differences in performance levels and/or health risks, findings such as these could be very important in guiding personnel procedures aimed at selecting the most highly qualified and proficient pilots who will not fall prey to premature illness.

How do the present findings, from blood samples obtained "casually" in the course of routine clinical evaluations, relate to the various studies we have reviewed that show increased levels of biochemical stress indicators in settings of acute stress? We conclude that the high scores on Factors 1 and 4 in our data set suggest either that 1) even such casual blood sampling can indicate individuals who have been subjected to chronic stress over some indefinite period leading up to the time of sampling, or 2) certain individuals will display biochemical profiles indicative of stress simply because their psychological makeup makes them sensitive even to the moderate stresses encountered in everyday life. Some support for the latter view is provided by the observation that during the course of a typical working day, Type A men excrete more catecholamines in their urine than Type B men (15). On the other hand, the increased factor scores among pilots with increased levels of command responsibility suggest that chronically increased stress levels are also involved. Recent research (16) showing that both catecholamine and cortisol secretion increase as mental effort increases is consistent with our interpretation that increased command responsibility might lead to increased scores on Factors 1 and 4 via demands for chronically increased mental effort.

Near-term objectives designed to further validate these factors as stress indicators and to evaluate their potential utility as markers of attributes of individuals destined to become superior pilots include the following:

1. Seek additional samples with comparable biochemical data, to see if the factor structure identified in the current sample can be replicated.

2. Relate scores on Factors 1 and 4 to other available data on the current sample, to see if they correlate meaningfully with other face-valid stress indicators such as blood pressure and heart rate responses to exercise testing; psychological test data; and most importantly, subsequent career performance measures, health status, and incidence of accidents.

3. On new samples obtain biochemical data that would permit scores on Factors 1 and 4 to be correlated with concurrent measures of performance, subsequent performance and career paths, and risk of various diseases and accidents.

4. Evaluate scores on Factors 1 and 4 among pilots undergoing coronary anglography at USAFSAM, to determine whether factor scores correlate better with coronary atherosclerosis levels than do the individual variables loading on both factors, some of which are already known to correlate strongly with atherosclerosis levels.

5. Test the generality of these findings in other populations. The present analyses were based on data from a patient population, but future applications of the results will take place in nonclinical settings. To replicate this study on nonclinical personnel would be most desirable, ideally on pilots and navigators early in their training.

REFERENCES

- Hale, H.3., et al. Aeromedical aspects of first nonstop transatlantic helicopter flight: III. Endocrine-metabolic effects. Aerosp Med 40:718-723 (1969).
- Hartman, B.O., et al. Fatigue in FB-111 crewmembers. Aerosp Med 45: 1026-1029 (1974).
- Miller, R.B., et al. The stress of aircraft carrier landings: I. Corticosteroid responses in naval aviators. Psychosom Med 32:581-588 (1970).
- -- Marchbanks, V.H. Flying stress and urinary 17-OHCS levels during twentyhour missions. Aerosp Med 31:639-643 (1960).
- Bourne, P.G., et al. Urinary 17-OHCS levels in compat: Special forces "A" team under threat of attack. Arch Gen Psychiat 19:135-141 (1968).
- Rahe, R.H., et al. Serum uric acid and cholesterol variability: A comprenensive view of underwater demolition team training. JAMA 206: 2375-2880 (1968).

 Bloch, S., and Brackenridge, C.J. Psychological, performance, and biochemical factors in medical students under examination stress. J Psychosom Res 16:25-33 (1972).

C. L. L. L. K

800 S

- Zir, L.M., et al. Renal excretion of uric acid: Alterations during stressful underwater demolition-team training. Arch Int Med 132:308-312 (1973).
- 9. Hale, H.B., et al. Flying stress in relation to flying proficiency. Aerosp Med 36:112-116 (1965).
- Troxler, R.G., et al. The association of elevated plasma cortisol and early atherosclerosis as demonstrated by coronary angiography. Atherosclerosis 26:151-162 (1977).

- 11. Hartman, B.O., and Ellis, J.P. Biochemical indices of stress: Biochemical aspects of the stress response. AGARD Lecture Series 105:6-3--6-34 (1977).
- 12. Green, W., and Swanborough, F.G. Observers Directory of Military Aircraft. New York: Arco Publishing, 1982.
- 13. Campbell, D.T., and Rahe, R.H. Serum uric acid and cholesterol variability for men aboard a Polaris submarine. Mili Med 141:462-465 (June 1974).
- Coldbourt, U., et al. Serum uric acid: Correlation with biochemical, anthropometric, clinical, and behavioral parameters in 10,000 Israeli men. J Chron Dis 33:435-443 (1980).
- 15. Friedman, M., et al. Excretion of catecholamines, 17-ketosteroids, 17hydroxycorticoids, and 5-hydroxyindole in men exhibiting a particular behavior pattern (A) associated with high incidence of clinical coronary artery disease. J Clin Invest 39:758-764 (1960).
- 16. Williams, R.B., et al. Type A behavior and elevated physiological and neuroendocrine responses to cognitive tasks. Sci 218:483-485 (1982).

