

NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER San Diego, California 92152-5000

San Diego, Camorina 52152-5

F. M. PESTORIUS, CAPT, USN Commander

R. M. HILLYER Technical Director

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

The work reported herein was conducted for the Defense Nuclear Agency over the period August 1980 to July 1986 under Project Number S99QMXBB.

Released by J. A. Ferguson, Head Modeling Branch Under authority of J. H. Richter, Head Atmospheric Sciences Division

UNCLASSIFIED

.

S

14.1

			RI	PORT DOCUM		GE		
1. REPORT SECURI	TY CLASSIFICATION			<u>.</u>	15 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS			
28 SECURITY CLASS	SIFICATION AUTHOR	ity	<u> </u>		3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILAB	LITY OF REPORT		······
UNCLASSIFI	ED			<u></u>	l			
26 DECLASSIFICATIO	DN/DOWNGRADING	SCHEDUL	£		Approved for put	olic release; dis	tribution is un	limited.
4 PERFORMING OR	GANIZATION REPORT	NUMBER	i(S)	<u> </u>	5 MONITORING ORGANIZA	TION REPORT NUMBER	\$)	<u> </u>
NOSC TR 11	.43							
6a NAME OF PERFC	RMING ORGANIZAT	ÓN		6b OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)	78 NAME OF MONITORING	ORGANIZATION		
News) Ores	Suchama Car	4						
6c ADDRESS /Cry. S	State and ZIP Code)	Ler	····		76 ADDRESS (City, State an	d ZIP Code)		<u></u>
		_			1			
San Diego, C	A 92152-500							
8. NAME OF FUND	NG SPONSORING O	RGANIZAT	ION	8b OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUM	AENT IDENTIFICATION N	UMBER	
Defense Nucle Rediction Di-	ear Agency]			
BC ADDRESS (Crty	State and ZIP Codel				10 SOURCE OF FUNDING M	IUMBERS		
					PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO	TASK NO	AGENCY ACCESSION NO
Washington,	DC 20305				62715H	599QMXBB	544-MP20	DN651524
11 TITLE linclude Si	ecurity Classification)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	<u> </u>
A NEW VER REFLECTION	RSION OF M N COEFFICI	IODES ENTS	RCH USING	INTERPOLATED	VALUES OF THE	MAGNETOIO	NIC	
12 PERSONAL AUT C.H. Shellma	HOR(S)			<u>,, </u>				
13e TYPE OF REPO	AT		136 TIME COVER	ED	14 DATE OF REPORT (Year.	Month. Dayl	15 PAGE CO	UNT
Research			FROM AUE	1980 to July 1986	August 1986			30
16 SUPPLEMENTAR		_						
							_	
17 COSATE CODES				18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue	on reverse if necessary and ide	ntify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	S	UB-GROUP	Radio propagation				
 		<u> </u>		Low-frequency prop	agation	: •.		
ABSTRACT /Cor	tinue on reverse if ne	cessary aq	d identify by block ne	umber)				
For lo	w-frequency (LT) ca	ses of night	time propagation, an	elevated antenna	, or distances	close to the	transmitter,
the propagat ionosphere w	ion constants aveguide. M	for a	large numb	er of modes must l	to obtain these	ately represen	it the fields i	n the earth-
full-wave inte	egrations to o	obtain	the reflectio	n matrix, R. Until	recently it had b	een necessary	to carry out	several full-
wave integra result of this	tions each for	r R ar rt it b	nd its derive	tive with respect to	the angle of incide	ence, θ , for each of R multiple	ch waveguide :	mode. As a
describe the	earth-ionosph	ere mo	ode constant		serborated Aures	or L walca a	e more inañ	avednate to
The a	pproach used	is to	transform th	e reflection matrix.	R, obtained from a	full-wave inte	gration. into	magnetoionic
reflection co	fficients, R ^{oe}	. Eac	h coefficient	represents the comp	Nex amplitude of t	he ordinary or	extraordinar	component
defining the	magnetoionic	eigeni	incluent v avectors, wh	vave which is polari ich are required for	sea as either ord this transformatio	nary or extra n, have been	orgin ary . Th derived for th	ie equations he free-space
limit, so tha	t they are a	plicab	le to a heig	ht that is below mo	at of the ionization	l• .		•
Experients	ence in use of R ^{Oe}	f the	algorithm he	shown that for eig	renangles not too i	ear branch pe	oints, the con	plex logs of
adequate for	use in deter	mining	mode const	ants. An example i	s given for illustra	polated values tion. (continue	d next page)	e more than
20 DISTINGUTION	AVAILABILITY OF AB	STRACT			21 ABSTRACT SECURITY	CLASSIFICATION		
	PONSIBLE INDUSTO		SAME AS RPT	DTIC USERS	UNCLASSIFIE	D	220 OFFICE EVAL	0
C.H. Shellma				**	(619)225-2975	mree L 000)	Code 544	
D FORM	1473 84	JAN		B3 APR EDITION MA	Y BE USED UNTIL EXHAUSTED)	UNCI Agen	
רע דטקאטע		9411		ALL OTHER E	DITIONS ARE OBSOLETE		UNCLASSI	

BUTCH REAL BUTCH

23 () ()

Ċ,

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Enterog

(19. continued)

It is believed that the reason for the nearly linear variation of the elements of Roe is that each represents reflection from only one discrete complex height. Hence there is not the interference between waves reflected from different heights that would lead to the higher order variation of coefficients with change in incidence angle as seems to occur with elements of R. The approach used in this present effort in finding mode constants for low-frequency cases requiring a large number of modes results in considerable cost savings.

DD FORM 1473, 84 JAN

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

CONTENTS

- I. Introduction . . . page 1
- II. Magnetoionic Components ... 2
- III. Reflection Coefficients . . . 7
- IV. Branch Points ... 8
- V. Interpolation . . . 10
- VI. Eigenangle Search using Triangular Mesh Units . . . 12
- VII. Example ... 13
- VIII. Summary ... 19

References . . . 20

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

- 1. Search area . . . page 1
- 2(a). Branch cut for $z_d > h \dots 3$
- 2(b). Branch cut for $z_d < h \dots 3$
- 3. Search rectangle and associated triangular mesh pattern shown with hypothetical lines of Re(F) = 0 and Im(F) = 0 and eigenangles ... 12

TABLES

Table

- 1. Reflection coefficients . . . page 13
- 2. Complex logs of magnetoionic reflection coefficients . . . 14
- 3. Magnitude and phase of magnetoionic reflection coefficients and apparent complex heights of reflection . . . 15
- 4. Propagation parameters using full-wave solution values of R for each eigenangle ... 17
- 5. Propagation paramaters using interpolated values of magnetoionic reflection coefficients . . . 18

Acces	ion For	
NTIS DTIC Unani Justifi	CRA&I TAB Iounced cation	
By Distrib	ution /	
A	vailability (Codes
Dist	Avail and Special	/ or
A-1		

QUALITY SPECTED

I. INTRODUCTION

For low-frequency (LF) cases of nighttime propagation, an elevated antenna, or distances close to the transmitter, the propagation constants for a large number of modes must be found to adequately represent the fields in the earth-ionosphere waveguide. Most of the cost in using MODESRCH (Morfitt and Shellman, 1976) to obtain these mode constants has been in performing full-wave integrations to obtain the reflection matrix, \mathbf{R} . Until recently it was necessary to carry out several full-wave integrations for \mathbf{R} and its derivative with respect to the angle of incidence, θ , for each waveguide mode.

A means of using interpolated values of \underline{R} is obtained in MODESRCH. The fullwave solutions are found at the four corners of each of a number of rectangles, and approximate values of elements of \underline{R} are found using a third-order interpolation. The functional forms of the elements of \underline{R} are sufficiently complicated, however, that they cannot be adequately approximated by the interpolation except for use in finding preliminary values used internally in MODESRCH.

It was perceived that some of the nonlinear variation of elements of \mathbf{R} , especially in the LF range, might be due to interference of waves reflected from two fairly distinct complex altitudes. The relative phases of reflections from the two altitudes depend strongly on the angle of incidence, θ . The approach taken in the formulation described in sections II and III is to separate the reflection coefficients into ordinary and extraordinary components at a height that is below most of the ionization. Since neither component is expected to vanish, the complex logs of the elements of the magnetoionic reflection matrix may be used in the interpolation.

At each branch point there is an ambiguity in separating the ordinary and extraordinary components. A formulation for locating these points in the θ -plane is described in section IV. Near a branch point the elements of R are used as in MODESRCH.

There is an ambiguity in the relative number of whole cycles in the complex logs of the magnetoionic reflection coefficients at the four corners of the search rectangle, (figure 1).



Figure 1. Search area.

I

This is resolved by choosing the numbers of cycles so as to minimize the magnitudes of the second- and third-order coefficients of the interpolation series. The formulation for obtaining the coefficients and for making the test is described in section V.

An example of use of the formulation presented in this report is given in section VII. The frequency used is 60 kHz, and a nighttime ionosphere model is used.

II. MAGNETOIONIC COMPONENTS

Decomposition of the reflection coefficients into ordinary and extraordinary components requires the magnetoionic eigenvectors at a height, z_d , that is below most of the ionization, that is, in the limit of small values of electron density. Since an earth curvature term is included in the full-wave solution for \mathbb{R} , this term is also included in the form for the eigenvectors.

The differential equation for the propagation of radio waves in the ionosphere, in the vertical direction, is given by Budden (1961) as

$$\vec{e}' = -i\kappa T \vec{e} \quad , \tag{1}$$

where the elements of \vec{e} are E_x , $-E_y$, \mathcal{H}_x , \mathcal{H}_y . The matrix, \underline{T} , is defined by Budden (1961) and

 $i = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

 κ = wave number.

The prime denotes differentiation with respect to height, z.

Equation (1) may also be written as

$$\vec{w}' = -i\kappa T \vec{w}$$

where the elements of \vec{w} are labeled, in order, \mathcal{H}_y^u , E_y^u , \mathcal{H}_y^d and E_y^d . Also,

where

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} q \ p^2 & 0 & -q/p^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ & & & \\ 0 & -q & 0 & q \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$q = (C^2 + e)^{1/2} - \operatorname{Re}(q) \ge 0$$

$$C = \cos \theta$$

$$e = 2(z_d - h)/r_e$$

 $p^2 = 1 + e$

h = reference height for earth curvature

 r_e = radius of the earth

 z_d = height at which magnetoionic components are evaluated

The matrix, \underline{L} , is defined in a way analogous to that of Budden (1961) but such that the superscripts u and d refer to upgoing and downgoing waves, respectively, at the height $z = z_d$, where, in general, $e \neq 0$. The branch cut for q is shown in figure 2.







At this height and with a vanishingly small value of electron density, the matrix \underline{T} approaches being a diagonal matrix with elements q, q, -q, and -q. Hence, the characteristic upgoing waves at $z = z_d$ are uncoupled from the characteristic downgoing waves. However, since for either upgoing or downgoing waves the two eigenvalues of \underline{T} are the same (equal to q for upgoing waves and to -q for downgoing waves), it is necessary to consider the terms of \underline{T} which are present for a very small, but otherwise negligible, value of electron density in order to determine the polarization of characteristic waves at the bottom of the ionosphere model. For this purpose only the upper left 2×2 submatrix of \underline{T} and the lower right 2×2 submatrix of \underline{T} are needed. These are used in the pair of matrix equations

$$\vec{w}'_{ud} = -i\kappa \, \overline{\tilde{T}}_{ud} \vec{w}_{ud}$$
,

where

$$\vec{\mathbf{w}}_{ud} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{y} \\ \mathbf{E}_{y} \end{pmatrix}_{ud}$$

where the subscript ud refers to there being two sets of variables, one for upgoing waves and one for downgoing waves.

To form the matrices \underline{T}_{ud} , the susceptibility matrix for very small values of electron density is needed. This may be written

$$M = C_{M} \begin{pmatrix} -\ell^{2}G-1/G & -n-\ell mG & m-\ell nG \\ n-\ell mG & -m^{2}G-1/G & -\ell-mnG \\ -m-\ell nG & \ell-mnG & -n^{2}G-1/G \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$C_{M} = iX/[U^{2}Y(U^{2} - Y^{2})]$$

$$G = Y/(Z + i)$$

$$X = normalized electron density$$

$$Y = normalized magnetic field strength$$

$$Z = v^{2}\omega$$

$$v = collision frequency$$

$$\omega = angular wave frequency$$

$$U = 1 - iZ$$

$$\xi = \sin \delta \cos \alpha$$

$$m = \sin \delta \sin \alpha$$

$$n = -\cos \delta$$

 $\delta = \in \operatorname{codip} \operatorname{angle}$

 α = azimuth of propagation measured east of north

Note that the value of ν must correspond to the height, z_d , which is below most of the ionization. For MODI-SRCH, the reference height, h, for earth curvature has usually been taken to be 50 km, but it may be set to the height of the base of the ionosphere or to some other height. Then

$$T = C_{M} \begin{pmatrix} S(m \pm n(G))p^{2} & S(\ell - mnG)p^{2} & 0 & \Gamma_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -(n - \ell mG) & Q - m^{2}G - 1/G & 0 & -S(\ell + mnG)/p^{2} \\ P - \ell^{2}G - 1/G & n + \ell mG & 0 & -S(m - n\ell G)/p^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$F_{14} = (Q - n^2G - 1/G)/p^2 + q^2(n^2G + 1/G)/p^4$$

S $\sin \theta$

$$P = p^2/C_M$$
$$Q = q^2/C_M.$$

Finally,

$$\overline{\underline{T}} = C_{T} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma - B^{2}G & -(A + mBG)p^{2} \\ \\ A - mBG & \Gamma - m^{2}p^{2}G \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$C_{T} = \pm_{ud} C_{M} / (2qp^{2})$$

$$A = \ell S \pm_{ud} nq$$

$$B = -nS \pm_{ud} \ell q$$

$$\Gamma = p^{2} (2Q - 1/G)$$

$$\pm_{ud} = + \text{ for upgoing waves}$$

$$= - \text{ for downgoing waves}$$

The condition for determining eigenvalues and vectors is then

$$\begin{pmatrix} -B^{2}G-\lambda & -(A + mBG)p^{2} \\ & \\ A - mBG & -m^{2}p^{2}G - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{y} \\ E_{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2)

The eigenvalues are found from a solution of the characteristic equation

$$\lambda^{2} + (B^{2} + m^{2}p^{2})G\lambda + A^{2}p^{2} = 0 \quad .$$

Using the first row of the matrix in (2), the eigenvectors at the base of the ionosphere for either upgoing or downgoing waves are then given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{ud}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{y1} & \mathcal{H}_{y1} \\ & & \\ & & \\ W+\zeta & W-\zeta \end{pmatrix}.$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{y1} = 2(A + mBG)p^2$$

 $E_{y1} = W \pm_{oe} \zeta$

Þ

and where

$$W = (m^2 p^2 - B^2)G$$

$$\zeta = [(B^2 + m^2 p^2)^2 G^2 - 4A^2 p^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} .$$
(3)

It is the choice of the sign \pm_{oe} associated with ζ that distinguishes the "ordinary" waves from the "extraordinary" waves.

Using the second row of the matrix in (2), the matrix of eigenvectors may also be written

$$\mathbb{E}_{ud}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_{-\xi} & \mathbf{w}_{+\xi} \\ & & \\ \mathbf{E}_{y2} & \mathbf{E}_{y2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{y2} = W \mp_{oe} \zeta$$

 $E_{v2} = 2(A - mBG)$

Neither $\underline{E}_{ud}^{(1)}$ nor $\underline{E}_{ud}^{(2)}$ is in normalized form. However, it can be seen that the two column vectors are given in the same order in the two matrices by noting that

$$\zeta^2 = W^2 - \mathcal{H}_{y1} E_{y2}.$$

The form that is most manageable is derived by using the left column vector of $\mathcal{E}_{ud}^{(1)}$ and the right column vector of $\mathcal{E}_{ud}^{(2)}$ and normalizing the matrix so that the determinant is equal to unity. This results in

$$\mathcal{E}_{ud} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{y1} & W + \zeta \\ & & \\ W + \zeta & E_{y2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -2\zeta (W + \zeta) \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the sign of the square root, representing the value of ζ in (3), is chosen so that the sum W + ζ has the larger magnitude in any one search rectangle. The eigenvector matrix, E_{ud} , is singular for values of θ for which $\zeta = 0$, that is, for which ordinary waves cannot be distinguished from extraordinary waves. Although not singular, it is not well conditioned for large collision frequency when the propagation is east-west at the equator.

Note that for a small value of G, that is, for a large value of collision frequency at the height z_d but not for east-west propagation at the equator, the matrix of eigenvectors is approximated by

representing nearly circularly polarized characteristic waves.

For east-west propagation at the equator, l = n = 0. In this case E_{ud} becomes

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{ud}} (equator \ east-west) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ & \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The left eigenvector corresponds to ordinary waves since, for this case, $\Re_y = 0$. For extraordinary waves $F_y = 0$ for east-west propagation at the equator (Budden, 1961).

III. REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS

Once the matrices of eigenvectors \underline{E}_{u} and \underline{E}_{d} are known, the elements of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}^{oe}$ may be found from those of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$ and vice versa. The reflection matrix, $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$, is as defined by Budden (1961). If the first columns of $\underline{\mathbb{E}}_{u}$ and $\underline{\mathbb{E}}_{d}$ correspond to ordinary waves, then $\underline{\mathbb{R}}^{oe}$ is of the form

$$\mathbf{\tilde{R}}^{\mathrm{Oe}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{OO}} & \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{Oe}} \\ \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{Oe}} & \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{ee}} \end{pmatrix} \,.$$

where the first subscript refers to the polarization of the upgoing waves and the second subscript refers to that of the downgoing waves.

Matrices \underline{U}^{oe} and \underline{D}^{oe} are used to define upgoing and downgoing waves, respectively, where the elements of the first row of each represent values of \mathcal{H}_y and the elements of the second row of each represent values of E_y . The first columns of \underline{U}^{oe} and \underline{D}^{oe} correspond to the condition that, for the upgoing wave, $\mathcal{H}_y = 1$ and $E_y = 0$, and the second columns correspond to the condition that, for the upgoing waves, $\mathcal{H}_y = 0$ and $E_y = 1$. Then 13222222C

NALESCONDER (* 12772722)

$$\underbrace{U^{Oe}}_{0} = \underbrace{E_{u}^{-1}}_{u}$$
$$\underbrace{D^{Oe}}_{0} = \underbrace{E_{d}^{-1}}_{d} \underbrace{R}_{u}$$

and

$$\mathbb{R}^{oe} = \mathbb{D}^{oe} (\mathbb{U}^{oe})^{-1} = \mathbb{E}_{d}^{-1} \mathbb{R}_{u}^{E}.$$

The values are used in the interpolation scheme as "given" values at the upper corners of each search rectangle.

Approximate values of the elements of \mathbb{R}^{Oe} found by interpolation must be transformed to values of elements of \mathbb{R} . The first step is to form

$$U = E_u$$
$$D = E_d R^{oe}.$$

The elements of the first rows of \underline{U} and \underline{D} represent values of \mathcal{H}_y , and the elements of the second row of each represent values of \underline{E}_y . The first columns of \underline{U} and \underline{D} correspond to the condition that the upgoing wave is ordinary, and the second columns of \underline{U} and \underline{D} correspond to the condition that the upgoing wave is extraordinary, if the first columns of \underline{E}_u and \underline{E}_d correspond to ordinary waves. Then

 $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{D}\mathbb{U}^{-1} = \mathbb{E}_d \mathbb{R}^{oe} \mathbb{E}_u^{-1}.$

Two effective complex heights of reflection may be found by considering that the diagonal elements. r_k , of \mathbb{R}^{0e} would be expected to vary as

$$dr_k/dC = 2i\kappa(h_k - z_d)r_k$$

where $r_1 = R_{00}$, $r_2 = R_{ee}$, z_d is the height at which \underline{R}^{0e} is defined, and κ is the wave number. Then the effective heights of reflection are given by

$$h_k = z_d + \{d[\ln(r_k)]/dC\}/(2i\kappa)$$

It would be expected that the ordinary wave is reflected from the upper height (Budden, 1961) and that the reflection from this height is the stronger of the two.

IV. BRANCH POINTS

The reflection matrix \mathbf{R} cannot be resolved into ordinary and extraordinary components at points in the complex θ -plane where the argument of the square root, which is the expression for ζ , vanishes. Near these points the reflection matrix \mathbf{R} must be used in the search for the waveguide eigenangles without a transformation to the \mathbf{R}^{Oe} form.

The expression (3) for ζ in section II may be written

$$\zeta = (f_+ f_-)^{1/2} \quad .$$

where

$$f_{\pm} = (B^2 + m^2 p^2)G \pm 2Ap^2$$

and where Re(p) > 0. There is a branch point at each value of θ for which either f_+ or f_- vanishes. This pair of conditions yields

$$f_{\pm} = [p^2 - (kS_i + nq_i)^2] - G + 2p(kS_i + nq_i) = 0 \quad .$$
(4)

for which a partial solution is

$$(\ell S_i + nq_i) = t_i = p [1.0 \pm (1 + G^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}]/G$$
 $i = 1,2$
= $-p [1.0 \pm (1 + G^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}]/G$ $i = 3,4$

where

$$S_i = (p^2 - q_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 $Re(S_i) > 0$.

Note that the \pm_{ud} sign has been omitted so that values of q for both upgoing and downgoing waves are included in (4). The values of q at the branch points are then the solutions to

$$f_i = t_i - (\ell S_i - nq_i) = 0$$
 (5)

The solutions for $\ell = 0$ are $q_i = t_i/n$. For daytime ionosphere models, G is small at the base of the ionosphere model since Z >> Y. In the limit of large collision frequency, $G \rightarrow 0$, and the two solutions are $q_i = 0, \infty$. For this case, then, there are branch points at $\theta = \cos^{-1}(\pm ie^{1/2})$. These are usually within about 10 deg of the 90-deg point in the θ -plane.

The solutions for a nonzero given value of ℓ , which is a function of the given values of codip and azimuth of propagation, are found by solving (5) for successively larger values of ℓ . Use is made of the derivatives

$$df_{i}/dq_{i} = n - \ell q_{i}/S_{i}$$

$$d^{2}f_{i}/dq_{i}^{2} = -(\ell/S_{i})(1 - q_{i}^{2}/S_{i}^{2}).$$

For each new value of ℓ , the increment in q_i is

$$\Delta q_i = -f_i / (df_i / dq_i) \qquad df_i / dq_i >> f_i (d^2 f_i / dq_i^2)$$
$$= -y_i = (y_i^2 - 2f_i)^{1/2} \qquad \text{otherwise} \quad .$$

where

$$y_i = (df_i/dq_i)/(d^2f_i/dq_i^2)$$
 ,

and where the sign is chosen that yields the smallest magnitude of Δq_i .

The search is terminated when ℓ becomes equal to its originally specified value. Note that, with the condition $\text{Re}(S) \ge 0$, this yields four values of q_i . The branch points **are then given by** LEELCEN PECCEN

Desis and

$$\theta_i = \cos^{-1} C_i$$

where

$$C_i = (q_i^2 - e)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The sign of C_i is chosen so that the real part of C_i has the same sign as the real part of q_i . If the real part is positive, the branch point pertains to upgoing waves and to E_u . Otherwise it pertains to downgoing waves and to E_d .

V. INTERPOLATION

The interpolation, within each rectangle, is based on the reflection coefficients found from the full-wave solution at the corners of the rectangle. The four sets of reflection coefficients provide for interpolation in the third-order form

$$\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{R}} = \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{R}}_{c} + \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{R}}_{c}^{\prime} \left(\theta - \theta_{c}\right) + \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{R}}_{c}^{\prime\prime} \left(\theta - \theta_{c}\right)^{2} / 2 + \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{R}}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime} \left(\theta - \theta_{c}\right)^{3} / 6 \quad ,$$

where θ_c is the value of θ at the center of the rectangle. The values of \underline{R}_c and its derivatives are considered to be in terms of coefficients such that

$$\frac{R}{2c} = \frac{a_0}{R_c'}$$
$$\frac{R'_c}{R_c'} = \frac{a_1}{t}$$
$$\frac{R''_c}{R_c''} = \frac{a_2}{t^3}$$

where t is a real number representing the length in the θ plane from the center of the rectangle to any one of the corners.

For simplicity, the matrix notation is dropped at this point and the scalar variables are taken to refer to any one of the four elements of the reflection matrix. The elements of the coefficients are then given by

$$a_{0} = \left[d_{2}^{2} \left(R_{UL} + R_{LR} \right) - d_{1}^{2} \left(R_{UR} + R_{LL} \right) \right] / D_{2}$$

$$a_{1} = \left[d_{2}^{3} \left(R_{UL} - R_{LR} \right) - d_{1}^{3} \left(R_{UR} - R_{LL} \right) \right] / D_{1}$$

$$a_{2} = \left[- \left(R_{UL} + R_{LR} \right) + \left(R_{UR} + R_{LL} \right) \right] / D_{2}$$

$$a_{3} = \left[- d_{2} \left(R_{UL} - R_{LR} \right) + d_{1} \left(R_{UR} - R_{LL} \right) \right] / D_{1}$$

where

$$d_1 = (\theta_{UL} - \theta_c)/t = -(\theta_{LR} - \theta_c)/t$$
$$d_2 = (\theta_{UR} - \theta_c)/t = -(\theta_{LL} - \theta_c)/t$$

$$D_1 = 2(d_2^2 - d_1^2)$$
$$D_2 = 2d_1d_2(d_2^2 - d_1^2)$$

The letter subscripts refer to the four corners of the rectangle (figure 1).

A measure of the adequacy of the interpolation series is needed so as to determine which rectangles need to be subdivided into smaller rectangles. For this purpose the two eigenvalues of the reflection matrix are used since it is inappropriate to require a close relative tolerance on weak elements of the matrix. They are given by

$$\mathbf{R}_{\pm} = \{\mathbf{R}_{11} + \mathbf{R}_{22} \pm [(\mathbf{R}_{11} - \mathbf{R}_{22})^2 + 4\mathbf{R}_{12}\mathbf{R}_{21}]^{\frac{1}{2}}\}/2$$

For purposes of determining the adequacy of the interpolation series, the values of the R_{\pm} found from the elements of the reflection matrix obtained from the full-wave solution are compared to the values of R_{\pm} found from elements of a best-fit second-order interpolation series. For this series a_3 is set to 0, and a_1 is found from

$$(a_1)_{LS} = [d_1^{\bigstar}(R_{UL} - R_{LR}) + d_2^{\bigstar}(R_{UR} - R_{LL})] / [2(d_1^{\bigstar}d_1 + d_2^{\bigstar}d_2)]$$

The measure of adequacy is then taken to be inverse to the largest of the eight values

$$e_{+} = |[(R_{+})_{LS} - (R_{+})_{FW}]/[(R_{+})_{LS} + (R_{+})_{FW}]|$$
$$e_{-} = |[(R_{-})_{LS} - (R_{-})_{FW}]/[(R_{+})_{LS} + (R_{+})_{FW}]|$$

at the four corners of the rectangle.

The same formulation for interpolation is used for the complex logs of the magnetoionic reflection coefficients. In the above equation R is then replaced by $\ln(\mathbb{R}^{Oe})$. However, for the case of complex logs of reflection elements there is an ambiguity in cycles of phase to be resolved. For this purpose it is noted that this phase ambiguity implies an ambiguity in the value of the coefficient a_3 such that its value might be different by

$$\Delta a_3 = (d_1 n_1 - d_2 n_2) 2\pi i / D_1$$

where n_1 and n_2 are integers. Those values of n_1 and n_2 are chosen for which $|a_3 + \Delta a_3|$ is the smallest. Then $\ln(R_{UR}^{oe})$ and $\ln(R_{UL}^{oe})$ are modified by

$$\ln(\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{UR}}^{\mathrm{oe}}) \leftarrow \ln(\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{UR}}^{\mathrm{oe}}) + n_1 2\pi \mathbf{i}$$
$$\ln(\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{UL}}^{\mathrm{oe}}) \leftarrow \ln(\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{UI}}^{\mathrm{oe}}) + n_2 2\pi \mathbf{i}$$

There is also an ambiguity in a_2 such that the value of a_2 might be different by

$$\Delta a_2 = 4n\pi i/D_2$$

where n is an integer. That value of n is chosen for which $|a_2 + \Delta a_2|$ is smallest. Then $ln(R_{UR}^{oe})$ and $ln(R_{LL}^{oe})$ are modified by

 $ln(R_{UR}^{oe}) \leftarrow ln(R_{UR}^{oe}) + 2n\pi i$ $ln(R_{LL}^{oe}) \leftarrow ln(R_{LL}^{oe}) + 2n\pi i$

VI. EIGENANGLE SEARCH USING TRIANGULAR MESH UNITS

The search scheme formerly used in MODESRCH has been replaced with an algorithm using a mesh of equilateral triangles. A mesh of squares was used in the earlier algorithm. The basic principles are the same in the two versions. The mesh of equilateral triangles is used because it leads to simpler coding and because the function need only be evaluated at three corners of each mesh unit considered rather than at four.

As with the earlier version, each of one or more lines of constant phase is followed from one point on the perimeter of the search area to its point of exit, provided that any such line passes through the search area. The lines are defined by the condition that Im(F) = 0.

The chosen rectangular search area is shown in figure 3 along with the triangular mesh and hypothetical lines of Im(F) = 0. The search begins at the upper left corner of the mesh pattern and proceeds counterclockwise around the perimeter of the pattern. A phase line of Im(F) = 0 is detected by a change in sign of the Im(F). Note that on one side of the



Figure 3. Search rectangle and associated triangular mesh pattern shown with hypothetical lines of Re(F) = 0 and Im(F) = 0 and eigenangles.

phase line Im(F) > 0 and on the other side Im(F) < 0. Each triangle along the line is checked for a line of Re(F) = 0 also passing through the triangle. Such a crossing of phase lines indicates the presence of a zero of the function F, hence a waveguide eigenangle. A Newton iteration is then used to locate the eigenangle in or near that triangle.

VII. EXAMPLE

The example given is that of a nighttime ionosphere model at a frequency of 60 kHz. In terms of parameters used in MODESRCH the electron density is specified as $\beta = 0.5$, h' = 87. The codip angle is 30 deg, and the geomagnetic azimuth of propagation is 35 deg east of north. The full-wave integration was carried out over a height range of 97 to 77 km altitude.

The elements of $(\mathbf{R} + 1)/\mathbf{C}$ are listed in table 1. These are the variables that were used in the previous version of MODESRCH for all search rectangles in the interpolation required for approximate starting values of eigenangles. They are used in the present version only for

θ	$(_{11}R_{11} + 1)/C$	11R ₁ /C	1 ^R 11/C	$(_{1}R_{1} + 1)/C$
88	11.887+i9.143	-2.274+i9.323	634+i7.358	13.380-i15.865
86	11.280+i5.771	1.046+i5.148	1.498+i3.861	6.028-i7.086
84	9.948+i3.115	2.505+i2.021	2.353+i1.237	2.216-i0.907
82	8.488+i1.367	1.981-i0.525	1.631-i0.847	3.318+i3.994
80	7.197+i0.111	.036-i1.258	277-i1.266	7.848+i3.892
78	5.684-i0.900	~.805+i0.065	910+i0.150	8.267-11.395
76	3.958-i1.058	.172+i0.684	.168+i0.759	3,385-i3,183
74	2.859-i0.383	.717-i0.141	.764~i0.125	.754+i0.037
72	2.703+i0.305	038-i0.768	-0.32-i0.817	2.858+i2.483
70	2.921+i0.355	~.771+i0.082	839-i0.072	5.094+i0.467
68	2.790+i0.065	~.200+i0.697	179+i0.802	3.182-11.952
66	2.452+i0.007	.573+i0.292	.729+i0.284	.714-i0.653
64	2.317+i0.096	.336-i0.447	.373 <i>-</i> i0.652	1.491+i1.521
62	2.302-i0.014	345-i0.336	579-i0.438	3.454+i0.856
60	2.049-i0.222	315+i0.265	490+i0.503	2.903-i1.150
58	1.665-i0.139	.200+i0.292	.415+i0.545	.940-10.978
56	1.569+i0.167	.275-i0.150	.608-i0.312	.747+i0.707
54	1.782+i0.263	111-i0.265	188-i0.668	2.169+i1.053
52	1.890+i0.009	~.262+i0.072	705+i0.032	2.649-10.247
50	1.647-i0238	.023+i0.259	156+i0.699	1.530-i0.969

Table 1. Reflection coefficients.

some selected rectangles and for very low ionosphere models. In table 2 are listed the values of the natural logs of the elements of the magnetoionic reflection coefficients. These variables are used for the interpolation used in the newer version of MODESRCH for most search rectangles.

Table 3 shows the magnitudes and phase values of the magnetoionic reflection matrices at "given" points on the real θ -axis. These points are at 2-deg intervals from 50 to 88 deg. Note that $|_{e}R_{e}|$ (upper left element) is much larger than $|_{e}R_{o}|$ (lower left element) for all values of θ and that $|_{o}R_{o}|$ (lower right element) is larger than $|_{o}R_{e}|$ for the stronger modes. This indicates that reflection is principally from two distinct complex heights. These effective heights are also listed in table 3.

Propagation parameters from the previous version of MODESRCH for the highest 44 modes are listed in table 4. In table 5 are given the propagation parameters from the newer version of MODESRCH. The eigenangles agree to ± 0.001 deg. The agreement for attenuation, phase velocity, excitation factor, and polarization is also more than adequate.

θ	eRe	eRo	υ ^R e	٥ ^R ο
88	215+i30.182	-2.743+i27.490	-2.017+i17.119	554+i20.463
86	-,199+i29,487	-2.711+i26.831	-2.063+i16.672	656+i20.178
84	173+i28.525	-2.651+i25.860	-2.204+i16.050	806+i19.765
82	147i+27.399	-2.546+i24.694	-2.498+i15.333	992+i19.259
80	128+i26.156	-2.418+i23.421	-3.046+i14.624	-1.206+i18.677
78	114+i24.822	-2.303+i22.068	-3.966+i14.426	-1.436+i18.021
76	097+i23.425	-2.195+120.637	-3.599+114.726	-1.659+i17.288
74	075+121.995	-2.061+119.161	-3.103+113.892	-1.845+i16.477
72	050+120.549	-1.908+117.689	-2.847+112.816	-1.975+i15.611
70	027+i19.091	-1.766+(16.226	-2 709+111.704	-2.063+114.724
68	002+117.626	-1.639+(14.754	-2.683+110.642	-2.152+i13.841
66	.031+i16.161	-1.502+i13.2*0	-2 818+19.658	-2.286+(12.957
64	.073+:14.713	-1.346+i11.804	-3.148+18.818	-2.490+i12.067
62	.123+i13.295	-1.184+i10.376	-3.524+18.327	-2.747+i11.162
60	.176+i11.921	-1.032+i8/989	-3.392+17.971	-3.015+i10.235
58	.216+110.607	-0.905+17.645	-2.968+17.214	-3,195+19,321
56	.203+19.350	824+16.332	-2.624+16.315	-3.236+18.447
54	.1 30+ i8.110	783+i5.017	-2.456+15.423	-3.187+i7.584
52	.027+16.868	752+i3.697	-2.468+14.519	-3.124+i6.677
50	086+15-632	- 718+12,383	-2 639+13 568	-3.100+15.690
				1

Table 2. Complex logs of magnetoionic reflection coefficients.

$\theta = 88^{\circ}$	(.807	.133	(-70.7°	-99.2°	82.80+i0.11
	.064	.575	135.1°	92.4°	79.32-i0.83
$\theta = 86^{\circ}$	(.820	.127	(-110.5°	-124.8°	88.74+i0.25
	.066	.519	97.3°	76.1°	81.07-i1.47
$\theta = 84^{\circ}$	(.841 .071	.110 .447	$\begin{pmatrix} -165.7^{\circ} \\ 41.6^{\circ} \end{pmatrix}$	-160.4° 52.5°	89.08+i0.31 82.31-i1.94
$\theta = 82^{\circ}$	(.863 .078	.082 .371	$\begin{pmatrix} 129.9^{\circ} \\ -25.2^{\circ} \end{pmatrix}$	158.5° 23.5°	90.68+i0.26 83.27-i2.32
$\theta = 80^{\circ}$	(.880	.048	(58.6°	117.9°	91.96+i0.18
	.089	.299	-98.1°	-9.9°	84.16-i2.60
$\theta = 78^{\circ}$	(.893	.019	(-17.8°	106.5°	92.96+i0.17
	.100	.238	(-175.6°	-47.5°	85.08-i2.69
$\theta = 76^{\circ}$	(.908	.027	(-97.8°	(123.7°)	93.64+i0.23
	.111	.190	102.4°	-89.5°	86.09-i2.46
<i>θ</i> = 74 ^{°°}	(.928 .127	.045 .158	$\begin{pmatrix} -179.8^{\circ} \\ 17.8^{\circ} \end{pmatrix}$	76.0° -135.9°	94.06+i0.28 87.00-i1.88
0 = 72 [°]	(1951) 148	.058 .139	(97.3° -66.5°	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 14.3^{\circ} \\ 174.4^{\circ} \end{array} \right)$	94.39+i0.29 87.56-i1.24
θ = 70°	(.973	.067	(13.8°	-49.4°	94.73+i0.28
	.171	.127	-150.3°	123.6°	87.76-i0.98
θ = 68	(.998	.068	(-70.1°	(-110.3°)	95.03+i0.35
	.194	.116	125.3°	73.0°	87.85-i1.30
$\theta = 66^{\circ}$	(1.031 .223	.060 .102	$\begin{pmatrix} -154.0^{\circ} \\ 40.3^{\circ} \end{pmatrix}$	(-166.6°) 22.4°	95,19+i0.47 88.03-i2.10

SUBSEC

Table 3. Magnitude and phase of magnetoionic reflection coefficients and apparent complex heights of reflection.

Table 3. Continued.

θ =	64°
-----	-----

ŝ

	(1.076 .260	.043 .083	(123.0° -43.7°	(145.2°) -28.6°	95.19+i0.59 88.39-i3.07
$\theta = 62^{\circ}$	(1.131 .306	.029 .064	(41.7° -125.5°	117.1° -80.5°	95.04+i0.68 88.86-i3.59
$\theta = 60^{\circ}$	(1.193 .356	.034 .049	-37.0° 155.0°	96.7° -133.6°	94.71+i0.67 89.23-i3.14
$\theta = 58^{\circ}$	(1.241 .405	.051 .041	(-112.3° 78.0°	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 53.3^{\circ} \\ 174.1^{\circ} \end{array} \right)$	94.19+i0.26 89.07-i1.48
$\theta = 56^{\circ}$	(1.225 .439	.073 .039	$\left(\begin{array}{c}175.7^{\circ}\\2.8^{\circ}\end{array}\right)$	$\left(1.8^{\circ}\right)$	94.07-i0.65 88.77+i0.23
$\theta = 54^{\circ}$	(1.139 .457	.086 .041	(104.7° -72.6°	-49.3° 74.6°	94.48-i1.33 89.36+i0.96
$\theta = 52^{\circ}$	(1.027 .471	.085 .044	$\begin{pmatrix} 33.5^{\circ} \\ -148.2^{\circ} \end{pmatrix}$	(-101.1°) 22.6°	94.93-i1.61 90.61+i0.71
$\theta = 50^{\circ}$	(.918 .488	.071 .045	$\left(\begin{array}{c} -37.3^{\circ} \\ 136.5^{\circ} \end{array}\right)$	-155.6° -34.0°	95.28-i1.70 92.42+i0.01

Table 4. Propagation parameters using full-wave solution values of R for each eigenangle.

MODE		1 HE	TA	4	TEN	3000 2	В С	WALT P	146	UAIT MAIT	ANG		THET	đ		POL-MAG	POL-ANG
	87	016	1 0-	20	1 195	0	6349	-92	151	0	342	0 80	945	9 -	908	0.26860	160.097
N	88	CE9	Ri P	ъ С	3 728	0	6036	14-	700	0	560	68	803	- 5 6	73	2 92729	350 381
m	84	754	0	55	065 0	0 ()	9632	- 50	000 000	0	255	89	626	- 4 8	359	0.27227	177, 152
7	0 0	9.07	10	70	3465	0	9778	-11	728	0	1) 1) 1)	89	723	n 1	751	3.38046	341.679
ŝ	89 9	10 10 10	Ö 0 1	77	686 0	0 0	6686	1.1	967	0-	210	80 0-	879	1 (1) 4	162	0. 25243	134 516
9	(v) 89	661	 	61	198 C	0 7	0003		590	0	697	ខ	715	0	600	3.32905	304.080
~	81	932	0	58	1 557	0 7	0207	-19	263	0	546	8¢	235	0	42	0.26454	71.548
60	9 1	496	ій Р	23	6 347	- 0	0317	7	489	0	059	85	359	4	111	3.24448	301.772
¢	80	954	õ o	61	1 945	0 ~	0596	-25	660	1	373	89	713	0 9	593	0 23828	51.344
20	79	686	N P	76	9 243	-	0751	0	362	0	050	сл Ю	948	o i	395	4.39263	313.862
11	79	013	ō o l	é P	2 286	0 -	1067	08-	251	4	360	81	629	0	982	0. 20502	32.009
ü	78	388	e e	25	12 561	-	1287	Ŷ	351	0	035	80	816	0	613	5. 65748	333. 866
ũ	77	503	õ o -	65	2 584	-	1623	25-	583	m	643	79	718	0	79	0.18428	10.891
4	76	762	m of	70	16 291	1	1921	0- -	836	0	017	78	822	0	41	5.84142	355. 810
15	75	975	o o	65	3 162	0 ~	2263	- <u>-</u>	505	m	002	77	899	ę	179	0.18643	354, 285
16	75	116	4 0-	60	20 211	•	2657		181	01	004	76	905	0	891	5.06413	10.445
17	74	433	0 9	23	3 747	0 1	2693	00 (* 	638	C4	603	76	132	o ?	982	0.21306	345.491
18	50	453	0 4	41	24 157	0 7	3495		411	Ŷ	029	75	633	0	191	4.18276	17.141
19	72	676	0	79	4 487	-	2815	-25	377	ณ	403	74	398 398	Ŷ	88	0. 26300	342.013
20	71	771	0 4	66	27 976	0 	4454	1	493	0	057	53	183	ę	508	3.44938	18.518
21	71	311	õ o	69	5 465	- 0	4738	20	027	N	348	72	684	°	96	0. 33234	340.765
3	70	066	4.0-	6 5	31 774	- 0	5534	-	325	0	082	71	040	o i	521	2.87739	15. 565
53	69	732	10-	20	6 766	1	5763	- 18	658	ß	436	70	982	0	60	0.41006	341.833
5	66	883	ю. О	40	35 789	1	6754	Ŷ	947	Ŷ	069	69	490	0	96	2.49803	7.986
52	89	145	-0-1	16	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10	6897	-15	585	C1	715	69	291	-0	53	0 47931	347. 574
26	90	573	ií Q	ŝ	40 882	1	8124	Ŷ	792	0	020	67	628	р О	63	2.40732	356.860
27	66	550	-0-1	24	9 511	-	8147	-14	428	m	193	67	605	01	31	0.51133	358.132
2 8 2	64	633	-0 1	21	9 867	۲ 0	9531	-16	064	m	579	63	606	- 0	27	0.49946	7.400
50	49	797	б 0-	с Ю	47 730	- 0	9647	7	476	0	087	65	766	-0 -	10	2.62925	347.981
õ	е,9	285	- 9	17	10 094	1 1	1070	6 1 1	040 0	m	737	43	191	0-	25	0.48140	11. 680
31	69	012	9 0-	35	55 395	1	1333	Ŷ	170	0	197	69	905	-0	61	2 99662	344.031
8	61	613	-0	16	10 604	1	2776	0 1	219	m	762	62	453	-0	20	0.47015	12.324
<mark>е</mark>	61	212	-0.6	4	63 270	1 1	3199	ດ '	658	0	082	62 9	037	0	90	3.38499	343. 633
r m	50	912	- 9	19	11 461	1	4555	-21.	576	ო	721	90	695	0-	53	0.46496	11.594
9 2	50	387	101	52	70 974	1 1	5273	e T	200	0	063	60	152	0	48	3.74394	345.389
%	8 8	163	9	25	12 666	1 1	6759	- 22	493	m	640	ເ ເ	913	°	53	0.46914	10.873
37	57	523	~ 0-	61	78 515	1	7591	۳ '	315	0	048	58	238	0	.82	4.04386	348.786
8 0	56	4 (1) (1)	10-	35	14 296	1 1	9086	55-	942	m	529	57	103	-0	38	0.46664	10. 267
6	ŝ	623	ř P	96	66 315	∩	0192	ņ	568	0	035	56	289	e o	316	4.23061	353, 596
40	ຽ 4	627	- - -	48	15 495	-	1677	23	803	m	397	52	263	0	52	0. 52681	9.453
41	53	655	00 	0 0	64 730	₩ 1	3118	ς Γ	775	0	023	5 4	298 863	e o	351	4.24517	359.020
4	5	ሮታሶ	-0-1-0	67	19 455	~	4570		978	m	279	53	387	0	71	0. 58183	8.774
43	51	693	8 0 1	73 1	04 034	(N) 	6422	m T	938	0	012	52	264	ဓ	392	4.08341	3.790
44	50	918	- - -	53	23 365	~- 	7813	- 20	546	ო	205	51	473	01	97	0. 65289	9 080

and deserved reserved reserved reserved by a second reserved by a second reserved by a second deserved reserved

Table 5. Propagation parameters using interpolated values of magnetoionic reflection coefficients.

MODE		HΗ	T A	*	ΑΤΤΕΝ	202	ERC	WAIT MAG	AM	IT A	0V		THET	٩		POL-MAG	POL-ANG
1	60	018	?	120	1 195	0	61866	-92 15	6	е О	40	68	945	-0 1	508	0. 26858	180.100
N	8	é 3Ú	0	255	3 726	0	99503	-47 00	4	0	59	6	803	ເກັ I	673	2.92751	350. 384
ო	70	753	0	756	0 991	ō	99632	- 50, 30	õ	0	55	68	686	4	859	0.27229	177.149
4	ო 9	709	2	170	3 465	0	94776	-11 73	7	т 0	75	68	723	ကို	751	3. 38072	341.674
ŝ	ດ ເມ	252	9	744	636 0	0	99899	-21 96	ŝ	() ()	10	68	879	N I	462	0.25246	134. 518
•	C1 60	799	0	161	3 887		00003	1 59	10	0 0	96	88	715	Ŷ	909	3. 32923	304.069
~	81	0 10 10 10 10	0	558	1 557		0207	-19 26	ň	0-0-	49	86.	235	Ŷ	124	0.26452	71.549
00	8	490	Ŷ	223	6 348	-	20317	1 49	1	0	59	85.	359	Ŷ	412	3, 24582	301.744
o	68	476	0	761	1 942	-	00596	-25 10	ŝ	е П	74	83	713	Ŷ	093	0.23826	51.338
10	79	979	q	277	9 248		00751	0 36	4	0 0	49	8 8	947	9	395	4.41004	313. 534
11	79	013	0	362	2 272		01067	-30.34	9	4 W	71	8	629	o I	082	0. 20493	31.956
5	78	3 8 S	e P	325	12 585	-	01287	n o	ŭ	0 0	34	ဓိ	816	Ŷ	414	5.70344	333. 624
13	77	503	o o	564	2 675	-	01623	-32 71	9	с, С	13	79	717	Ŷ	079	0.18450	10.859
*	76	762	ę	371	16 306	-	01521	-0 -0	6	0 0	15	78	822	Ŷ	441	5.79176	357. 534
15	75	976	0	366	3 162		02263	-31 51	0	0 M	02	77	899	Ŷ	079	0.18640	354. 291
16	75	116	0	409	20 207		32657	-1 16	ğ	0	04	76	905	Ŷ	468	5.06987	10.410
17	74	433	0	573	3 745	-	02993	-26 6	4	6 19	02	76	132	Ŷ	082	0.21305	345. 497
18	50	453	0	141	24 155	-	3446	-141		0	00	75	660	Ŷ	491	4.18319	17. 127
19	72	678	0	979	1 466	1	03916	-25 36	46	() 4	60	44	398	Ŷ	087	0.26297	342.017
20	11	771	0	166	27 996	1	04454	-1 49	, m	0	57	73	183	Ŷ	508	3.44904	18.519
21	71	311	0	969	5 464	1	04738	00 22-1	6	n N	49	73	684	Ŷ	096	0. 33227	340.770
20	70	066	101	185	31 775	н С	05534	е Г-	5	0	e1 1	71	340	Ŷ	521	2.87790	15. 570
с С	69	732	01	102	6 766		05763	-16 6	ß	() 4	37	70	982	o i	109	0.41007	341.835
54	89	93 3	Ŷ	S Ú	32 744		06753	6 0-	N N	0	69	69	490	ġ	536	2.49841	7.984
52	89	145	0	116	8 324		06937	-15 56	36	3	15	69	291	o 1	123	0.47931	347. 569
26	99	\$73	9	535	40 907	1	08124		8	0 0	19	67	629	ġ	564	2.40810	356. 868
27	9 9	550	01	43	9 510	-	08147	-14 45	6	е П	69	67	605	Ŷ	131	0.51135	358, 134
5 8 1	44	656	01	21	9 E65	-	09531	-16 06	4	n N	79	65	909	Ŷ	127	0.49942	7.407
0- 1-1	49	797	ဂို	563	47 717	-	0964B	-1 46	4	0 0	88	65	766	Ŷ	610	2. 62913	347.947
00	69	287	0	117	10 104		02011	-18 31	0	г М	80	64	191	o 1	122	0.48159	11. 706
31	69	012	901	535	55 347		11233		5	0 0	95	63	905	Ŷ	660	2.99058	344, 003
с М	61	613	01	116	10 593		12775	-20 24	ç	<u>р</u>	59	62	453	ġ	120	0.47007	12.296
e e	61	212	9 0 1	585	63 365	 4	13195	10 61	ສ	0 0	B1	62	850	Ŷ	709	3, 38906	343. 794
r. M	50	912	ဂို	119	11 460	-1	14655	-21 57	œ	5	р 1	60	695	ġ	123	0.46495	11. 594
9	50	365	ç	725	70 955		15274		ຜ	0	63	60.	151	Ŷ	748	3.74649	345.366
9 8	5 8	163	• •	125	12.667		16759	-22 50	0	ю 9	39	58	913	ġ	129	0.46912	10.863
7	57	528	0	761	78.510		17592	0 0 1	n	0	48	ເ ເຊິ່ງ ເ	238	Ŷ	782	4.04522	348.777
80	56	423	-0-	35	14 300	+-4	93091	-22 94	8	ю Ю	29	57.	104	o I	138	0.46660	10. 266
6	55	でいい	0	796	66 297		20192	- 3, 57	ល	0	40	56.	289	Ŷ	816	4.23251	353. 587
40	54	627	10.1	48	16 489		21677	-22 80	7	m m	97	55.	263	o I	152	0. 52672	9. 454
41	ŝ	1 G I	o I	332	94 717		23116	12 Ω-	0	0 0	22	54.	298	Ŷ	851	4.24591	359. 021
4 1	30	597	-0-	167	19 452		24570	-21.97	ŋ	с) М	79	53.	387	ġ	171	0.58179	8.767
т	51	693	Ч Ч	1 578	103 976		26423	0 0 1	5	0	1 3	ດີ	263	Ŷ	891	4.08353	3.799
44	ŝ	918	9	60	23 365		27613	-20 54	11	ດ ຕ	40	51.	473	o 1	197	0. 65304	9,066

Ş

VIII. SUMMARY

A formulation has been described that has made it possible to find LF earth-ionosphere waveguide mode constants at a much reduced cost and very low frequency (VLF) mode constants at less cost than previously. The most relevant cases are those for which a large number of modes are required, such as for short distances from the transmitter, nighttime propagation, or elevated antenna.

In contrast to the previous version of MODESRCH, the mode constants are functions of interpolated values of ionosphere reflection coefficients rather than of reflection coefficients found by a full-wave solution for the eigenangle associated with each mode. The given values for the interpolation are found with the full-wave solution only at selected points in the θ -plane. Examples indicate that the interpolated values are more than adequate for finding the values of the propagation mode constants.

A formulation is presented that appears to be effective in separating waves reflected from two rather distinct complex heights in the ionosphere. The advantage is that complications from the interference of the two waves tend to be avoided. Values of magnetoionic reflection coefficients found in the example indicate that adequate separation of components was accomplished for all values of the incidence angle, θ , required. The separation need not be complete for successful interpolation. It was more than adequate in the example, however, and especially complete for values of θ near 90 deg, where eigenangles sometimes are difficult to find using the previous version of MODESRCH.

The separation cannot be carried out in the vicinity of branch points, where magnetoionic engenvectors cannot be clearly distinguished. In the example, no branch points occurred in the vicinity of the eigenangles. The formulation does allow for these branch points to be located, however, and their occurrence should present no problem since the procedure allows for defaulting to a method of solution more nearly like that used in the previous version of MODESRCH in the neighborhood of a branch point. Such cases are more likely to occur for cases of daytime ionosphere models than for nighttime.

The example is for a nighttime ionosphere at 60 kHz and for propagation over seawater. Forty-four eigenangles and associated mode constants were found in the interval of 50 to 90 deg in the real part of the incidence angle, θ . The cost was only about one-sixth that required for finding the same eigenangles and mode constants using the previous version of MODESRCH. Eigenangles differed at most by 0.001 deg.

2000000

REFERENCES

Budden, K.G. (1955), The Numerical Solution of Differential Equations Governing Reflexion of Long Radio Waves From the Ionosphere, Proceedings, Royal Society (London), Vol A227, p 516-537.

Budden, K.G. (1961), Radio Waves in the Ionosphere, Cambridge University Press.

Morfitt, D.G., and C.H. Shellman (1976), "MODESRCH," an Improved Computer Program for Obtaining Elf/Vlf/Lf Mode Constants in an Earth-Ionosphere Waveguide, DNA Interim Report No. 77T.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DIRECTOR

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE CMD, CONT, COMM & INTELL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, DC 20301

DIRECTOR

COMMAND CONTROL TECHNICAL CENTER 11440 ISAAC NEWTON SQUARE, N RESTON, VA 22091 C-650

DIRECTOR COMMAND CONTROL TECHNICAL CENTER ROOM ME682, THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301 C-312

DIRECTOR DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECT AGENCY 1440 WILSON BLVD ARLINGTON, VA 22209 NUCLEAR MONITORING RSCH STRATEGIC TECH OFFICE

DEFENSE COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING CENTER 1860 WIEHLE AVENUE RESTON, VA 22090 CODE R220 (M HOROWITZ) CODE R410 CODE R103

DIRECTOR DEFENSE COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY WASHINGTON, DC 20305 CODE 810 CODE 480 CODE 101B

DEFENSE COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY WWMCCS SYSTEM ENGINEERING ORG WASHINGTON, DC 20305 RL CRAWFORD

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

DIRECTOR DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON, DC 20301 DIAST-5 DB-4C (EDWARD O'FARRELL)

DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY WASHINGTON, DC 20305 DDST TITL TECH LIBRARY RAAE STVL DIRECTOR JOINT STRAT TGT PLANNING STAFF JCS OFFUTT AFB OMAHA, NB 68113 JPST COMMANDER

FIELD COMMAND DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87115 FCPR

DIRECTOR INTERSERVICE NUCLEAR WEAPONS SCHOOL KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87115 DOCUMENT CONTROL

CHIEF

LIVERMORE DIVISION FLD COMMAND DNA LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE, CA 94550 FCPRL

DIRECTOR NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FT GEORGE G MEADE, MD 20755 W65 OLIVER H BARTLETT W32 TECHNICAL LIBRARY JOHN SKILLMAN R52

OJCS/J-3

THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301 OPERATIONS (WWMCCS EVAL OFF, MR TOMA)

OJCS/J-5 THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301 PLANS & POLICY (NUCLEAR DIVISION)

UNDER SECRY OF DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, DC 20301 S&SS (OS) •

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY COMMANDER/DIRECTOR ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES LABORATORY US ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, NM 88002 DELAS-AE-M (FE NILES)

1 2.4. 9.4. Jak. Jak. Bath Status

COMMANDER HARRY DIAMOND LABORATORIES 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI, MD 20783 DELHD-NP (FN WIMENITZ) MILDRED H WEINER DRXDO-II

COMMANDER US ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE & TECH CENTER 220 7TH STREET, NE CHALOTTESVILLE, VA 22901 R JONES PA CROWLEY

COMMANDER US ARMY NUCLEAR AGENCY 7500 BACKLICK ROAD BUILDING 2073 SPRINGFIELD, VA 22150 MONA-WE (JBERBERET)

CHIEF US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE PO BOX 12211 TRIANGEL PARK, NC 27709 DRXRD-ZC

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS NAVY DEPARTMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20350 OP 941 OP-604C3 OP 943 OP 943 OP 981

CHIEF OF NAVAL RESEARCH NAVY DEPARTMENT ARLINGTON, VA 22217 CODE 402 CODE 420 CODE 421 CODE 461 CODE 464

COMMANDING OFFICER NAVAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT CENTER 4301 SUITLAND RD BLDG 5 WASHINGTON, DC 20390 WHITE OAK LABORATORY NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER SILVER SPRING, MD 20910 CODE WA501 NAVY NUC PRGMS OFF CODE WX21 TECH LIBRARY COMMANDER NAVAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMMAND NAVTELCOM HEADQUARTERS 4401 MASSACHUSETTS AVE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20390 CODE 24C COMMANDING OFFICER NAVY UNDERWATER SOUND LABORATORY FORT TRUMBULL NEW LONDON, CT 06320 PETER BANNISTER DA MILLER DIRECTOR STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PROJECT OFFICE NAVY DEPARTMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20376 NSP-2141 DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE COMMANDER ADC/DC ENT AFB, CO 80912 DC (MR LONG) COMMANDER ADCOM/XPD ENT AFB, CO 80912 XPODO XP AF GEOPHYSICS LABORATORY, AFSC HASCOM AFB, MA 01731 CRU (S HOROWITZ) AF WEAPONS LABORATORY, AFSC KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87117 SUL (2) DYC COMMANDER ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER, AFSC GRIFFISS AFB, NY 13440 EMTLD DOC LIBRARY COMMANDER ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER, AFSC HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731 EEP JOHN RASMUSSEN

OFFICER-IN-CHARGE

وراجان أجالت أجالت أجال كجار المار المحار المال أحال الحال الحال المال المال أحال أحال أ

COMMANDER IN CHIEF STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND OFFUTT AFB, NB 68113 NRT XPFS

LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE, CA 94550 TECH INFO DEPT L-3

LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS, NM 87545 DOC CON FOR T F TASCHEK DOC CON FOR D R WESTERVELT DOC CON FOR P W KEATON

SANDIA LABORATORY LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY PO BOX 969 LIVERMORE, CA 94550 DOC CON FOR B E MURPHEY DOC CON FOR T B COOK ORG 8000

SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORY PO BOX 5800 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87115 DOC CON FOR SPACE PROJ DIV DOC CON FOR A D THORNBROUGH DOC CON FOR 3141 SANDIA RPT COLL

OTHER GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS WASHINGTON, DC 20234 RAYMOND T MOORE

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE OFFICE OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS INSTITUTE FOR TELECOM SCIENCE BOULDER, CO 80302 WILLIAM F UTLAUT L A BERRY A GLENN JEAN

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS AEROSPACE CORPORATION PO BOX 92957 LOS ANGELES, CA 90009 IRVING M GARFUNKEL

ANALYTICAL SYSTEMS ENGINEERING CORP 5 OLD CONCORD RD BURLINGTON, MA 01803 RADIO SCIENCES

A CARLON OF

THE BOEING COMPANY PO BOX 3707 SEATTLE, WA 98124 GLENNA HALL J F KENNEY

ESL, INC 495 JAVA DRIVE SUNNYVALE, CA 94086 JAMES MARSHALL

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY SPACE DIVISION VALLEY FORGE SPACE CENTER GODDARD BLVD KING OF PRUSSIA PO BOX 8555 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19101 SPACE SCIENCE LAB (MH BORTNER)

KAMAN TEMPO 816 STATE STREET PO DRAWER QQ SANTA BARBARA, CA 93102 B GAMBILL DASIAC WARREN S KNAPP

GTE SYLVANIA, INC ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS GRP EASTERN DIVISION 77 A STREET NEEDHAM, MA 02194 MARSHAL CROSS

ITT RESEARCH INSTITUTE 10 WEST 35TH STREET CHICAGO, IL 60616 TECHNICAL LIBRARY

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING URBANA, IL 61803 AERONOMY LABORATORY

JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY JOHNS HOPKINS ROAD LAUREL, MD 20810 J NEWLAND PT KOMISKE

LOCKHEED MISSILE & SPACE CO. INC 3251 HANOVEH STREET PALO ALTO, CA 94304 E E GAINES W L IMHOF D/52-12 J B REAGAN D652-12 R G JOHNSON D/52-12

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY LINCOLN LABORATORY PO BOX 73 LEXINGTON, MA 02173 D M TOWLE

MISSION RESEARCH CORPORATION 735 STATE STREET SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101 R HENDRICK F FAJEN

MITRE CORPORATION PO BOX 209 BEDFORD, MA 01730 G HARDING

PACIFIC-SIERRA RESEARCH CORP 1456 CLOVERFIELD BLVD SANTA MONICA, CA 90404 E C FIELD, JR

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY IONOSPHERIC RESEARCH LABORATORY 318 ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING EAST UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802 IONOSPHERIC RSCH LAB

R&D ASSOCIATES PO BOX 9695 MARINA DEL REY, CA 90291 FORREST GILMORE WILLIAM J KARZAS PHYLLIS GREIFINGER CARL GREIFINGER H A ORY BRYAN GABBARD R P TURCO SAUL ALTSCHULER RAND CORPORATION 1700 MAIN STREET SANTA MONICA, CA 90406 TECHNICAL LIBRARY CULLEN CRAIN

SRI INTERNATIONAL 333 RAVENSWOOD AVENUE MENLO PARK, CA 94025 DONALD NEILSON GEORGE CARPENTER W G CHETNUT J R PETERSON GARY PRICE

STANFORD UNIVERSITY RADIO SCIENCE LABORATORY STANFORD, CA 94305 R A HELLIWELL FRASER SMITH J KATSUFRAKIS

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JET PROPULSION LABORATORY 4800 OAK GROVE DRIVE PASADENA, CA 91103 ERNEST K SMITH