

# PERSONNEL SECURITY RESEARCH — PRESCREENING AND BACKGROUND INVESTIGATIONS

by Eli S. Flyer

June 1986

THE FILE COPY

Prepared for:

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public release

Distribution Unlimited

Office of Naval Research Contract Number N00014-86-D-0100/0101

10 0 20 21

Ba. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION       1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS         Unclassified       1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS         Ba. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY       3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT         Ba. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY       3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT         Ba. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION /DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE       Unlimited Distribution         INTERPORTING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)       5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)         FR 86-01       5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)         Ba. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION       6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)       7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Manpower Research Center         BumRRO International, Inc       HII       Dept. of Administrative Sciences         Sc ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)         Ba. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION DIFECTORATE for ACCESSION POLICY       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) OASD (FM&P)       9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER         Sc ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)       0.0 SOUTH WASHINGTOR STRUE       9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER         Sc ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)       0.0 SOUTH WASHINGTOR STRUE       9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				REPORT DOCUM	AENTATION P	PAGE		
A SECURITY CLASSPECATION AUTHORITY  A SUBJECT VERMIN CONSAMULATION AUTHORITY  B DECLASSPECATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE  Unlimited Distribution  A RERORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)  FR 86-01  A NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION  S. OFFICE SYMBOL  (// Applicable)  FR 86-01  A NAME OF RERORMING ORGANIZATION  S. OFFICE SYMBOL  (// Applicable)  FR 2000  FR 20000 FR 2000 FR 2000 FR 2000 FR	a, REPORT SEC	CURITY CLASSI	FICATION					
B. DECLASSIFICATION COMMERSION REPORT NUMBER(S)       Unlimited Distribution         B. DECLASSIFICATION COMMAGADING SCHEDULE       Unlimited Distribution         IPERGRAMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)       S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION MERGEN         SR 86-01       S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION MERGEN         S. ADME OF PENGREMING ORGANIZATION       S. OFFICE SYMBOL         MURRO International, Inc       HI         HII       Dept. of Administrative Sciences         S. ADDRESS (CRy, State, and ZP Code)       Naval Postgraduate School         NO       Mangower Research Center         Itexandria, VA 22314       Naval Postgraduate School         Samake OF FUNDING (SROMSONNG ORGANIZATION Directorate for OF core Anagement and Personnel       9. POCUREMENT MERTIMENT IDENTIFICAN NUMBER POCORAM         OFFICE SYMBOL (The Goode Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations       10. SOURCE OF SUNDAN ONMERERS)         11. THE (Rodue Security Cashfradio)       Personnel Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations         12. PEROMA JUTIONS       SUBGROUP         Parsonnel Security Research - Prescreening Science of reverse d deensity and density by block number)         Thial       The Covered         13. ASTRACT (Contous on reverse d necessary and identify by block number)         Presonel Security Program, - Presorening Procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a	Unclassified			- PARALENAL AVAILABILITY OF BEDART				
B. DECLESSINGLION / DOWNGROUND SUMMERS       S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)         FR 86-01       S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION (S. OFFICE SYMBOL (M sphickole)       S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION (S. OFFICE SYMBOL (M sphickole)         NumRRO International, Inc HII       HII       Dept. of Administrative Sciences         ReadDRESS (CR), State, and ZP Code)       The ADDRESS (CR), State, and ZP Code)       Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 39343         NAME OF FUNDING SPONGORING ORGANIZATION DI rectorate for OF School Mashington Street       Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 39343         Nake OF FUNDING SPONGORING ORGANIZATION DI rectorate for OF School Mashington, DC 20301       B. OFFICE SYMBOL ORGANIZATION NUMBER School Monterey, CA 39343         Nother Scowing Classification       Scource of Pulopic NumBER (Scource Code)       Nother Scource Of Defense PROGRAM       PROCURENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER NO0014-86-D-0100 D.O.0001         No. DE 20301       ID SOURCE OF SUMMON NUMBERS Scource Code       NO.0014-86-D-0100 D.O.0001       No.0014-86-D-0100 D.O.0001         No. DE 20301       ID Scource OF Summon NUMBER Scource Code Scource Code Scource Code Scource Code Scource Code Scource Code Scource Code Scource Code Scource Code Scource Code Scource Code Scource Code Scource	a. SECURITY C	LASSIFICATION	AUTHORITY	•				
ALAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION       B. OFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)       7a. NAME OF MONTORING ORGANIZATION Manpower Research Center Dept. of Administrative Sciences         NumRRO International, Inc       HII       Dept. of Administrative Sciences         Naval Postgraduate School Notersy, CA. 93943       Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA. 93943         Naval Postgraduate School Notersy, CA. 93943       Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA. 93943         Naval Postgraduate School Notersy, CA. 93943       Novel Postgraduate School Monterey, CA. 93943         Naval Postgraduate School Notersy, CA. 93943       Novel Postgraduate School Monterey, CA. 93943         Naval Postgraduate School Notersy, CA. 93943       Novel Postgraduate School Monterey, CA. 93943         Novel Postgraduate School Notersy, Stat, and ZPCOde)       S. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Novel PECTER School D. O. 0001         Novel Postgraduate School Notersy States and ZPCOde)       Novel Postgraduate School Novel Postgradua	b. DECLASSIFI	CATION / DOWI	NGRADING SCHEDU	LE	Unlimited Distribution			
A. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION       6b. OFFICE SYMBOL       7s. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION         MumRRO International, Inc       HII       Dept. of Administrative Sciences         Sc. ADDRESS (GDy, State, and ZB/Code)       HII       Dept. of Administrative Sciences         Sc. ADDRESS (GDy, State, and ZB/Code)       HII       Dept. of Administrative Sciences         Sc. ADDRESS (GDy, State, and ZB/Code)       Naval Postgraduate School         Monterey, CA. 93943       School         Scanaction Policy       OASD (FMSP)         Scanaction Policy       OASD (FMSP)         Scanaction Policy       OASD (FMSP)         Scanaction, DC. 20301       NO0014-86-D-0100         Scanaction, DC. 20301       NO0014-86-D-0100         Scanaction, DC. 20301       NO0014-86-D-0100         Scanaction, DC. 20301       NO0014-86-D-0100         Scanaction, DC. 20301       NO.         Scanaction, DC. 20301       NO.         Scanaction, DC. 20301       None reverse if mecasis and identify by Mock number)         Scanaction, DC. 20301       None reverse if mecasis and identify by Mock number)         Scanaction, DC. 20301       None reverse if mecasis and identify by Mock number)         Scanaction, DC. 20301       None reverse if mecasis and identify by Mock number)         Scanaction, DC. 20405			ON REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5. MONITORING C	RGANIZATION RE	PORT NUM	BER(S)
A. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION       65. OFFICE SYMBOL       76. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION         NumRRO International, Inc       HII       Dept. of Administrative Sciences         X. ADDRESS (GRy, State, and ZP Code)       HII       Dept. of Administrative Sciences         X. ADDRESS (GRy, State, and ZP Code)       HII       Dept. of Administrative Sciences         X. ADDRESS (GRy, State, and ZP Code)       Naval Postgraduate School         Noncerey, CA 93943       Specification         Stander of Pubmok/SPONSORS       Specification         ORGANIZATION Directorate for       Specification         Stander of Pubmok/SPONSORS       Specification         Orffice of the Assistant Sec. of Defense       Specification         Science Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations         Start State Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations         Start Coost       Proceeding Procedures         Prinal       Tab. Time Covered         Tais Trade Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations         Start Coost       Proceeding Procedures         This report provides a description of two major components of Defense's personnel security program - prescreening Procedures and background investigations.         This report provides a description of two major components of Defense's personnel security program - prescreening procedures and ancy of resear	FR 86	-01						
Name of Liobinstructional, Inc       If spikesbill       Manpower Research Center Dept. of Administrative Sciences         NumRRO International, Inc       HII       Dept. of Administrative Sciences         ADDRESS (OK, Same, and ZP Code)       Th. ADDRESS (CN, Same, and ZP Code)       Naval Postgraduate School         Aname of Fundomi/sponsored Caession Policy       Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL OASD (FMsP)       Naval Postgraduate School         Noterey, CA 93943       S. PROCUENENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Science Science				LED OFFICE SYMBOL	73 NAME OF MO	NITORING ORGAN	IZATION	
Sc. ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZIP Code)       7b. ADDRESS (GP, State, and ZIP Code)         1:100 South Washington Street       Naval Postgraduate School         Name of Fundoms/Sockers       Naval Postgraduate School         MARE of FUNDING/SPONSORING       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL         MARE of FUNDING/SPONSORING       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL         MARE of FUNDING/SPONSORING       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL         MARE of FUNDING /SPONSORING       8b. OFFICE SYMBOL         ADDRESS (CP, State, and ZIP Code)       NO.0014-86-D-0-0100 D.O. 0001         Core State	A. NAME OF I		RUANIZATION		Manpower	Research (	Center	
20.0 South Washington Street       Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943         Nawal Forunowaysonsonadies       St. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)         ORGANIZATION Directorate for GRANIZATION Directorate for (# applicable)       St. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)         ORGANIZATION Directorate for GRANIZATION Directorate for GRANIZATION Directorate for Holdson Directorate for Procession Policy       St. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)         Verbassington Directorate for GRANIZATION Directorate for Procession Policy       St. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable)         Verbassington Directorate for Force Management and Personnel Science Filendows Numbers       Task: Procession No.         Issington, DC 20301       In. SUBJECT TERMS (South or Personnel Science Filendows Numbers)         Personnel Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations         2 PEROMAL AUTHOR(5)       Iss. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revers if necessary and identify by block number)         Pinal       The Covered File         Intercore for Continue on revers if necessary and identify by block number)         Prescreening Procedures       The Subject TERMS (Continue on revers if necessary and identify by block number)         Prescreening Procedures       The Subject TERMS (Continue on revers if necessary and identify by block number)         Prescreening Procedures       The Subject TERMS (Continue on revers if necessary and identify by block number)         Program.       Subject TERMS (Continue on revers if necessary	lumRRO I	nternati	onal, Inc	HII				Sciences
1exandria, VA 22314       Monterey, CA 93943         a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Directorate for ORGANIZATION Directorate for Cocession Policy       S. DFFCE SYMBOL Of SpBAkbby OASD(FM&P)       S. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER NO0014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         Z. ADDRESS(Chy, Sute, and ZH Cock)       OASD(FM&P)       N00014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         Z. ADDRESS(Chy, Sute, and ZH Cock)       TASK       WORK UNIT Assington, DC 20301         T. THIE (Induce Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations         2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Elli S. Plyer         Bli S. Plyer         13. TYPE of REPORT         THELD       SUB-GROUP         YPE Screening       Is. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and Kentify by block number)         THELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP       SUB-GROUP         Is. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and Kentify by block number)         THELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP       SUB-GROUP         Is. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and Kentify by block number)         This report provides a description of two major components of Defense's personnel security program prescreening procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas land identifies a number of studies         20. DISTRUCTION/AVAILABULTY OF ASSTRACT       ZI. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	c. ADDRESS (C	City, State, and	ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (City	, State, and ZIP C	(ode)	
Iexandria, VA 22314       Monterey, CA 93943         a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Directorate for ORGANIZATION Directorate for ORGANIZATION Directorate for OASD(FM&P)       9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         V. ADDRESS(Chy, Sute, and ZW Code)       (M applicable) OASD(FM&P)       N00014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         V. ADDRESS(Chy, Sute, and ZW Code)       (D.S. DEFICE SYMBOL (M applicable)       N00014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         V. ADDRESS(Chy, Sute, and ZW Code)       (D.S. DEFICE SYMBOL (M applicable)       N00014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         V. ADDRESS(Chy, Sute, and ZW Code)       (D.S. DEFICE SYMBOL (M applicable)       N00014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         V. ADDRESS(Chy, Sute, and ZW Code)       (D.S. DEFICE SYMBOL (M applicable)       N00014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         V. ADDRESS(Chy, Sute, and ZW Code)       (D.S. DEFICE SYMBOL (M applicable)       N00014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         V. ADDRESS(Chy, Sute, and ZW Code)       (D.S. DEFICE SYMBOL (M applicable)       NOOCUP         V. ADDRESS(Chy, Sute, and Applicable)       (D.S. PAGE COUNT (M applicable)       NOOCUP         V. ADDRESS(Chy, Sute, and XW Code)       (D.S. PAGE COUNT (M applicable)       Supercode Applicable)         V. THE (M applicable)       (D.S. THE OF REPORT (M applicable)       (D.S. PAGE COUNT (M applicable)       Supercode Applicable)         V. COSATI CODES       (D.S. SUB-GROUP)       (D.S. PAGE COUNT (M applicabl	100 Sou	th Washi	.ngton Stre	et	Naval Pos	tgraduate	School	1
OKAMIZATION Directorate for (ccession Policy       OASD (FM&P)       N00014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         (ccession Policy       OASD (FM&P)       N00014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         (ccession Policy       In SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS         (fice of the Assistant Sec. of Defense       PROGRAM       PROJECT         (fice of the Assistant Sec. of Defense       PROGRAM       PROJECT       TASK         (ashington, DC 20301       In Source of FUNDING NUMBERS         (ashington, DC 20301       In Source of Report Month, Day)       Space Count States         (astrice of Report Provides a description of Security, Securit					Monterey,	CA 9394	3	
Accession Policy       OASD(FM&P)       N00014-86-D-0100 D.O. 0001         Accession Policy       10. SOURC OF FUNDING NUMBERS         Jffice of the Assistant Sec. of Defense       TASK         For Force Management and Personnel       10. SOURC OF FUNDING NUMBERS         Jashington, DC 20301       TASK         Work UMIT       No.         Vashington, DC 20301       No.         Work UMIT       RELEMENT NO.         Personnel Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         Eli S. Flyer         13a. TYPE of REPORT         Final         13b. TIME COVERED         14. DATE OF REPORT         15. PAGE COUNT         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by b	a. NAME OF F	UNDING / SPON	SORING	85. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT IDE	NTIFICATIO	N NUMBER
20. ADDRESS (CPU, State, and ZIP Code)       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS         201 FORCE Management and Personnel       10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS         Vashington, DC 20301       NO.         10. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         Personnel Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations         12. PERSONAL AUTHORS)         Eli S. Flyer         13. TYPE OF REPORT         13. TYPE OF REPORT         13. TYPE OF REPORT         13. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if mecasary and identify by block number)         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if mecasary and identify by block number)         19. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if mecasary and identify by block number)         19. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if mecasary and identify by block number)         19. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if mecasary and identify by block number)         19. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if mecasary and identify by block number)         19. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if mecasary and identify by block number)         19. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if mecasary and identify by block number)         19. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if mecasary and identify by block number)         19. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse if mecasary and identify by block number)         Personnel security program prescreening procedures a					N00014-8	6-D-0100	D.O. (	0001
Diffice of the Assistant Sec. of Defense FROERAM       PROJECT       TASK       WORK UNIT ACCESSION         Force Management and Personnel Vashington, DC 20301       NO.       NO.       NO.       NO.       ACCESSION         Asshington, DC 20301       III. HILE (Include Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations       Investigations         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Eli S. Flyer       III. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)       IS. PAGE COUNT 204         13. TYPE OF REPORT       III. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         Field       GROUP       SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         FIELD       GROUP       SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         Prescreening Procedures       Prescreening Procedures       This report provides a description of two major components of Defense's personnel security program prescreening procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program.         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       District Stelleterg       20. CIEFICE SYMBOL         20. NOST REUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       District Stelleterg       20. CIEFICE SYMBOL         20. NOST REUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       Distrelethone doclude area Code)       22.		······						
COT FORCE Management and Personnel Land Mersonnel Land Merson Decision, DC 20301       International Control Conteric Contend Contro Contrect Control Control Contrect					PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT
11. TITLE (include Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         Eli S. Flyer         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME COVERED         Final         13b. TIME COVERED         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME COVERED         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME COVERED         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TIME COVERED         13b. TIME COVERED         13b. TIME COVERED         13b. TIME COVERED         13b. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         17.         COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         Personnel Security         Personnel Security         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         This report provides a description of two major components of Defense's personnel security program - prescreening procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program. Keywallast         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABUITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         210. UNCLASSIFIEDINUMUMITED       SAME AS RPT. Oric				ersonnel	ELEMENT NO.	NU.	NO.	
Personnel Security Research - Prescreening & Background Investigations         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Eli S. Flyer         13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED FROM 1/86       14. DATE OF REPORT (Veer, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT 204         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       13b. TIME COVERED FROM 1/86       14. DATE OF REPORT (Veer, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT 204         17.       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         17.       COSATI CODES       Personnel Security       Enlisted Selection Personnel Security         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       Prescreening Procedures, Prescreening Procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program. Keywards         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVALABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         220. DISTRIBUTION/AVALABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. DISTRIBUTION/AVALABILITY OF ABSTRACT         220. DISTRIBUTION/AVALABILITY OF ABST					I		I	I
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Ell S. Flyer         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         13b. TYPE OF REPORT         14b. DATE OF REPORT         15b. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         Personnel Security       Personnel Security         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         PThis report provides a description of two major components of Defense's         performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective         program.       Control Users         20b. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT         210. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT         220. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	-	-						
Eli S. Flyer         13a. TYPE OF REPORT         Final         13b. TIME COVERED         14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)         15. PAGE COUNT         204         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         Personnel Security       Enlisted Selection         Background Investigations       Personnel Security         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         PThis report provides a description of two major components of Defense's personnel security program prescreening procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program.         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         21. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRAC			ty Researc	h - Prescreen	ing & Back	ground In	vestiga	ations
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final       13b. TIME COVERED FROM 1/86       14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)       15. PAGE COUNT 204         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       204         17.       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       204         17.       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       204         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       Personnel Security program prescreening procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program.         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         21. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       22c. OFFICE SYMBOL         22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       22c. OFFICE SYMBOL         22a. NAM	ersonne	I Securi	icy nedeale					
Final       FROM 1/86TO6/86June 1986       204         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         FIELD       GROUP       SUB-GROUP         Personnel       Security       Enlisted Selection         Background       Investigations, Prescreening Procedures       To         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       Personnel security program prescreening procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program.         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         WUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMMITED       SAME AS RPT.       Oncl users         22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Mark J. Eitelberg       BAR AG REdition may be used until thausted. All other editions are obsolete.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	2. PERSONAL	AUTHOR(S)	ley nebeure			•		
17.       COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         FIELD       GROUP       SUB-GROUP         Personnel Security       Enlisted Selection         Background Investigations,       Prescreening Procedures         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         This report provides a description of two major components of Defense's personnel security program prescreening procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program.         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         IMARE OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       DTIC USERS         21. Mark J. Eitelberg       AB APR edition may be used until exhausted.         All other editions are obsolets.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	12. PERSONAL Eli S.	AUTHOR(S) Flyer		OVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Month, I		PAGE COUNT
FIELD       GROUP       SUB-GROUP       Personnel Security       Enlisted Selection         Background Investigations,       Prescreening Procedures,       T         '9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       Prescreening procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program.         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program.         22. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Mark J. Eitelberg       DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR         83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT	13b. TIME C FROM <u>1</u> /	OVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Month, I		PAGE COUNT
FIELD       GROUP       SUB-GROUP       Personnel Security       Enlisted Selection         Background Investigations,       Prescreening Procedures,       T         '9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)       Prescreening procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program.         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program.         22. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified         22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Mark J. Eitelberg       DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR         83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT	13b. TIME C FROM <u>1</u> /	OVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Month, I		PAGE COUNT
Intermediation       Background Investigations, Prescreening Procedures,         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)         This report provides a description of two major components of Defense's personnel security program, prescreening procedures and background investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program. Keywardana         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         Image: Stription of two of the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program. Keywardana         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         Image: Stription of two of the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program. Keywardana         20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         Image: Stription of two of two of two of the two of the two of	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT	13b. TIME C FROM <u>1</u> /	OVERED 86TO6 <u>/86</u>	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986	RT (Year, Month, l	2	PAGE COUNT 04
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         21. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         220. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         220. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         220. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       220. OFFICE SYMBOL         221. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       222. OFFICE SYMBOL </td <td>12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN</td> <td>AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT</td> <td>13b. TIME C FROM <u>1</u>/ ION</td> <td>OVERED 86 TO6/86</td> <td>14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse</td> <td>RT (Year, Month, I</td> <td>2 identify by</td> <td>PAGE COUNT 04 y block number)</td>	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT	13b. TIME C FROM <u>1</u> / ION	OVERED 86 TO6/86	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse	RT (Year, Month, I	2 identify by	PAGE COUNT 04 y block number)
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT<	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT	13b. TIME C FROM <u>1</u> / ION	OVERED 86 TO6/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Personnel S	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security	RT (Year, Month, L a if necessary and Enli	2 identify by	PAGE COUNT 04 y block number)
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT         22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <td>12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN</td> <td>AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT</td> <td>13b. TIME C FROM <u>1</u>/ ION</td> <td>OVERED 86</td> <td>14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat</td> <td>RT (Year, Month, I if necessary and Enli ions,</td> <td>2 identify by</td> <td>PAGE COUNT 04 y block number)</td>	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT	13b. TIME C FROM <u>1</u> / ION	OVERED 86	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat	RT (Year, Month, I if necessary and Enli ions,	2 identify by	PAGE COUNT 04 y block number)
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       22. DISTRIBUTION / DISTRIBUTION / DISTRIBUTION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       22. DISTRIBUTION / DISTRIBUTION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       22. DISTRIBUTION / DISTRIBUTION / DISTRIBUTION         22. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       22. DISTRIBUTION / DISTRIBUTION	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATI ( GROUP	13b. TIME C FROM <u>1</u> / ION CODES SUB-GROUP	overed 86	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat og Procedur	RT (Year, Month, I if necessary and Enli ions,	2 identify by	PAGE COUNT 04 y block number)
investigations. It also provides information on a number of studies performed in the two areas and identifies a number of research initiative that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program. Keckwarts:         20. DistRiBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         220. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       22c. OFFICE SYMBOL         220. TO FORM 1473, 84 MAR       83 APR edition may be used until exhausted.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE         All other editions are obsolete.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATI ( GROUP (Continue on a	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP	OVERED 86 TO6/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Personnel S Background Prescreenin and Identify by block r	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat Investigat Dyrocedur Dumber)	a if necessary and Enli ions,	2 I identify by sted St	PAGE COUNT 04 v block number) élection
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         220. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         220. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       22c. OFFICE SYMBOL         DT. Mark J. Eitelberg       408-649-2448       22c. OFFICE SYMBOL         DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR       83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATIC GROUP (Continue on a port pro	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP	OVERED 86 TO6/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Personnel S Background Prescreening and identify by block in scription of	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat ag Procedur number) two major	a <i>if necessary and</i> Enlicions, component	s of De	PAGE COUNT 04 block number) élection T efense's
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         図 UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED       SAME AS RPT.         □ DTIC USERS       Unclassified         22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       DTIC USERS         Dr. Mark J. Eitelberg       408-649-2448         DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR       83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT DThis re personn investi	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATION GROUP (Continue on of port pro- el secur gations.	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de rity progra	18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Personnel S Background Prescreening and identify by block of escription of m prescree provides info	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat in Procedur number) two major ening proce ormation on	RT (Year, Month, I if necessary and Enli ions, es, component dures and a number	s of De backg:	PAGE COUNT 04 block number) election T efense's round udies
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT       21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION         Image: Strain Stra	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT DThis re personn investi perform	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATIO GROUP (Continue on a port pro- el secur gations. ed in th	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de rity progra it also he two area	OVERED         86       TO6/86         18. SUBJECT TERMS (         Personnel         Seckground         Prescreening         and identify by block is         escription of         im       prescree         provides info         and identify	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat g Procedur humber) two major ening proce prmation on ties a numb	a if necessary and Enli ions, es, component edures and a number per of res	s of De backg: of stream	efense's round udies initiatives
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       DTIC USERS       Unclassified         22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       22c. OFFICE SYMBOL         Dr. Mark J. Eitelberg       408-649-2448       22c. OFFICE SYMBOL         DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR       83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT DThis re personn investi perform that co	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATIO GROUP (Continue on of port pro- cel secur gations. led in th uld lead	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de rity progra It also he two area d to an imp	OVERED         86       TO6/86         18. SUBJECT TERMS (         Personnel         Seckground         Prescreening         and identify by block is         escription of         im       prescree         provides info         and identify	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat g Procedur humber) two major ening proce prmation on ties a numb	a if necessary and Enli ions, es, component edures and a number per of res	s of De backg: of stream	efense's round udies initiatives
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       DTIC USERS       Unclassified         22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       22c. OFFICE SYMBOL         Dr. Mark J. Eitelberg       408-649-2448       22c. OFFICE SYMBOL         DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR       83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT DThis re personn investi perform that co	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATIO GROUP (Continue on of port pro- cel secur gations. led in th uld lead	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de rity progra It also he two area d to an imp	OVERED         86       TO6/86         18. SUBJECT TERMS (         Personnel         Seckground         Prescreening         and identify by block is         escription of         im       prescree         provides info         and identify	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat g Procedur humber) two major ening proce prmation on ties a numb	a if necessary and Enli ions, es, component edures and a number per of res	s of De backg: of stream	PAGE COUNT 04 block number) élection To efense's round udies initiatives
Z20. DISTRIBUTION AGAINMENTED       SAME AS RPT.       DTIC USERS       Unclassified         Z2a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       Z2b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       Z2c. OFFICE SYMBOL         Dr. Mark J. Eitelberg       408-649-2448       Z2b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       Z2c. OFFICE SYMBOL         DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR       83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	2. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT DThis re personn investi perform that co	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATIO GROUP (Continue on of port pro- cel secur gations. led in th uld lead	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de rity progra It also he two area d to an imp	OVERED         86       TO6/86         18. SUBJECT TERMS (         Personnel         Seckground         Prescreening         and identify by block is         escription of         im       prescree         provides info         and identify	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat g Procedur humber) two major ening proce prmation on ties a numb	a if necessary and Enli ions, es, component edures and a number per of res	s of De backg: of stream	PAGE COUNT 04 block number) élection To efense's round udies initiatives
Z20. DISTRIBUTION AGAINMENTED       SAME AS RPT.       DTIC USERS       Unclassified         Z2a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       Z2b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       Z2c. OFFICE SYMBOL         Dr. Mark J. Eitelberg       408-649-2448       Z2b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       Z2c. OFFICE SYMBOL         DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR       83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	2. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT DThis re personn investi perform that co	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATIO GROUP (Continue on a port pro- cel secur gations. led in th uld lead	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de rity progra It also he two area d to an imp	OVERED         86       TO6/86         18. SUBJECT TERMS (         Personnel         Seckground         Prescreening         and identify by block is         escription of         im       prescree         provides info         and identify	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat g Procedur humber) two major ening proce prmation on ties a numb	a if necessary and Enli ions, es, component edures and a number per of res	s of De backg: of stream	PAGE COUNT 04 block number) élection To efense's round udies initiatives
Z20. DISTRIBUTION ANALOULT OF ASMEAS RPT.       DTIC USERS       Unclassified         Z2a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       Z2b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       Z2c. OFFICE SYMBOL         Dr. Mark J. Eitelberg       408-649-2448       Z2b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       Z2c. OFFICE SYMBOL         DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR       83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	2. PERSONAL Eli S. Ba. TYPE OF I Final 6. SUPPLEMEN 7. FIELD 9. ABSTRACT This re personn investi perform that co	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATIO GROUP (Continue on a port pro- cel secur gations. led in th uld lead	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de rity progra It also he two area d to an imp	OVERED         86       TO6/86         18. SUBJECT TERMS (         Personnel         Seckground         Prescreening         and identify by block is         escription of         im       prescree         provides info         and identify	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat g Procedur humber) two major ening proce prmation on ties a numb	a if necessary and Enli ions, es, component edures and a number per of res	s of De backg: of stream	efense's round udies initiatives
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       DTIC USERS       Unclassified         22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL       22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)       22c. OFFICE SYMBOL         Dr. Mark J. Eitelberg       408-649-2448       22c. OFFICE SYMBOL         DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR       83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.       SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT DThis re personn investi perform that co	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATIO GROUP (Continue on a port pro- cel secur gations. led in th uld lead	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de rity progra It also he two area d to an imp	OVERED         86       TO6/86         18. SUBJECT TERMS (         Personnel         Seckground         Prescreening         and identify by block is         escription of         im       prescree         provides info         and identify	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat g Procedur humber) two major ening proce prmation on ties a numb	a if necessary and Enli ions, es, component edures and a number per of res	s of De backg: of stream	efense's round udies initiatives
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL     22b. TELEPHONE (include Area Code)     22c. OFFICE SYMBOL       Dr. Mark J. Eitelberg     408-649-2448     22c. OFFICE SYMBOL       DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR     83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.     SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	12. PERSONAL Eli S. 13a. TYPE OF I Final 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT bThis re personn investi perform that co program	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATIO GROUP (Continue on a continue o continue o continu	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de rity progra It also he two area d to an imp	OVERED 86 TO6/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Personnel S Background Prescreenin and Identify by block f scription of provides info s and identif provides info	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat ag Procedur number) two major ening proce ormation on lies a numb age base an	RT (Year, Month, I if necessary and Enli ions, es, component dures and a number oer of res id a more	s of De backg: of sti earch :	efense's round udies initiatives
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR         83 APR edition may be used until exhausted.         SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE           All other editions are obsolete.         SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	<ul> <li>12. PERSONAL Eli S.</li> <li>13a. TYPE OF I Final</li> <li>16. SUPPLEMENT</li> <li>17. FIELD</li> <li>19. ABSTRACT</li> <li>19. ABSTRACT</li> <li>19. ABSTRACT</li> <li>20. DISTRIBUT</li> </ul>	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATIO GROUP (Continue on of port pro- led in the uld lead Kechnology (Continue on of the security (Continue on of (Continue of	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de rity progra It also he two area d to an imp	OVERED 86 TO6/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Personnel S Background Prescreenin and identify by block is escription of m prescree provides info and identify browed knowled	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat ag Procedur humber) two major ening proce ormation on ties a numb age base an	RT (Year, Month, I if necessary and Enli ions, es, component edures and a number oer of res id a more	s of De backg: of sti earch :	efense's round udies initiatives
All other editions are obsolete.	20. DISTRIBUT	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATI ( GROUP (Continue on a port pro- gations. ad in the ould lead Certain FION / AVAILAB SIFIED/UNLIMIT FRESPONSIBLE	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de city progra It also ne two area i to an imp	OVERED 86 TO6/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Personnel S Background Prescreenin and identify by block is escription of m prescree provides info and identify browed knowled	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat ag Procedur humber) two major ening proce ormation on ties a numb age base an 21. ABSTRACT SE Unclassi 22b. TELEPHONE (	RT (Year, Month, I in recessary and Enlitions, es, component edures and a number oer of res ad a more CURITY CLASSIFIC fied Include Area Code	s of De backg: of str earch : effect:	efense's round udies initiatives
Unclassified	20. DISTRIBUT 20. DISTRIBUT 20. DISTRIBUT 20. DISTRIBUT 20. DISTRIBUT	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATI ( GROUP (Continue on a port pro- cel secur gations. led in the build lead Costinue on a secur gations. Led in the fill fill for the fill of	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de city progra . It also ne two area d to an imp 	OVERED         86       TO6/86         18. SUBJECT TERMS (         Personnel         Background         Prescreening         and identify by block in         escription of         m       prescree         provides info         is and identif         oroved knowled	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat ag Procedur humber) two major ening proce ormation on ties a numb age base an 21. ABSTRACT SE Unclassi 22b. TELEPHONE ( 408-649-2	RT (Year, Month, I if necessary and Enli ions, es component edures and a number oer of res id a more CURITY CLASSIFIC fied Include Area Code 448	ATION	PAGE COUNT 04 block number) élection tefense's round udies initiatives ive
	20. DISTRIBUT 20. DISTRIBUT 20. DISTRIBUT 20. DISTRIBUT 20. DISTRIBUT	AUTHOR(S) Flyer REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATI ( GROUP (Continue on a port pro- cel secur gations. led in the build lead Costinue on a secur gations. Led in the fill fill for the fill of	13b. TIME C FROM 1/ ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary ovides a de city progra . It also ne two area d to an imp 	OVERED         86       TO6/86         18. SUBJECT TERMS (         Personnel         Background         Prescreening         and identify by block in         escription of         m       prescree         provides info         and identif         oroved knowled         RPT.       DTIC USERS	14. DATE OF REPO June 1986 Continue on reverse Security Investigat ag Procedur humber) two major ening proce ormation on ties a numb age base an lige base an 21. ABSTRACT SE Unclassi 22b. TELEPHONE ( 408-649-2 ntil exhausted.	RT (Year, Month, I if necessary and Enli ions, es component edures and a number oer of res id a more CURITY CLASSIFIC fied Include Area Code 448	ATION	PAGE COUNT 04 block number) élection tefense's round udies initiatives ive

1HumRRO International, Inc. 1100 South Washington Street - Alexandria, Virginia 22314 - (703) 549-3611 **Final Report** 86-01 PERSONNEL SECURITY RESEARCH -PRESCREENING AND BACKGROUND **INVESTIGATIONS** by Ell S. Flyer Accesion For NTIS CRA&I Ø DTIC TAB Uriannounced Γī Justification June 1986 By Dist. ibution / Availability Codes Avail a: d/or Dist Special A-1 Prepared for: Office of Naval Research DILO Contract Number N00014-86-D-0100/0101 COPY INAPECTED

A 2 4 2 4 2 4 2

Ë

2.2

<u>n</u>

Ì

<u>کې</u>

.

**M** (5)

•

accort even

Personnel Security Research -Prescreening and Background Investigations

2

ĺ

(**1** 

č

.

5

``

52

### INTRODUCTION

The effectiveness of Defense's personnel security program has long been questioned by those involved most closely with personnel security matters. Well before the recent surge in espionage cases receiving national attention, concerns with the adequacy of Defense personnel security policies and procedures were raised by a number of special task forces charged with evaluating the program and making recommendations for its improvement. The Select Panel Review of the Department of Defense Personnel Security Program (6) during 1982, for example, pointed to the "scant value and lack of quality of initial personnel security investigations, and the inordinate delays in awaiting the results of an increasingly shallow product." A more recent evaluation of personnel security was made by the Stilwell Commission during 1985 (30), and a large number of specific recommendations were again made to improve the program. One recommendation took into account the growing recognition that behavioral science techniques would be useful to the personnel security program, and that a DoD-wide research effort should be initiated. This has led to the activation of the Defense Personnel Security Research and Education Center (PERSEREC) at the Naval Postgraduate School in Monterey, California.

The PERSEREC charter, DoD Directive 5210.79, identifies a large number of functions to be performed in evaluating and improving Defense's personnel security program. The charter also recognizes the need for a coordinated Defense-wide research effort that would include personnel security research projects initiated by the Military Services. The large amount of diverse research needed in this area can probably best be accomplished by such joint projects. Little behavioral science research has been conducted in the personnel security area. Most personnel research psychologists in Defense have very little knowledge of the workings of Defense's personnel security program, and therefore lack an appreciation of the potential contribution their discipline can make to the program. It therefore appeared worthwhile to provide a description of some major components of Defense's personnel security program, to describe some completed relevant research studies, and to identify a number of research initiatives that could lead to an improved knowledge base and a more effective program.

In some respects this report is a follow-on to two recent presentations by the writer on the need for personnel security research and the special contribution that could be made by behavioral scientists. Appendix A provides a copy of a report made to the Military Testing Association in November, 1984, coauthored with Mr. Peter Nelson of the Office of the Deputy Undersecretary of Defense for Policy (28). The writer also gave verbal and written testimony during hearings on personnel securicy before the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the Committee on Governmental Affairs during April, 1985 (10). This testimony is provided in Appendix B.

### PROGRAM OBJECTIVES, ASSUMPTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Objectives. A major objective of Defense's personnel security program is to select highly reliable and trustworthy people for sensitive positions and to assure that their integrity is maintained while they continue in these positions. The focus of this report will be on some of the key personnel selection procedures used for individuals being assigned to highly sensitive positions, those positions requiring clearance at the Top Secret (TS) and Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) levels. Highly sensitive positions, as defined by Defense, are those in which incumbents could take actions leading to a materially adverse effect on the national security, and operationally include such job specialties as communications, intelligence, and nuclear weapons duties.

Defense tries to meet its personnel security objectives primarily through a number of operational procedures involving personnel selection (prescreening, background investigations, and adjudication) and personnel monitoring (periodic reinvestigation and continuing evaluation). Personnel security procedures vary somewhat for the three major populations involved--military, civil service, and contractor personnel. Since the writer has worked more closely with military enlisted personnel programs than programs with other populations, and is therefore more familiar with the personnel security process as it affects this group, this report will tend to focus on new recruits and enlisted personnel. Many of the procedures, concepts, and research described here, however, are considered relevant to other types of Defense personnel assigned to highly sensitive duties.

The basic elements of the Defense Personnel Security Program are described in DoD Regulation 5200.2-R issued in December, 1979 (5). This regulation establishes Defense personnel security policies and procedures, identifies standards and guidelines for personnel security determinations, and prescribes the types of investigation needed to satisfy security clearance requirements for sensitive positions. Personnel security investigations develop the personal information needed for clearance decisions. In general, the higher the sensitivity of the position in which an individual serves, the more intensive the personnel security investigation for clearance purposes. Background or full field investigations are required for TS and SCI clearances, and include personal interviews with references as well as record checks. For one type of investigation, an "Interview-Oriented Background Investigation," a personal interview with the subject is required.

Although the stated purpose of the program is to identify individuals with favorable characteristics, personnel security procedures are not structured to identify <u>positive</u> behavioral information useful in selecting people <u>into</u> sensitive positions. Instead, negative or derogatory information is sought to <u>deny</u> people clearances. Under these circumstances, rejection for a security clearance as a result of a background investigation has serious negative implications. By definition, the disqualified are being labeled as security risks. As one apparent consequence, relatively few military people (less than three percent) are denied clearance based on a background investigation, and for contractor personnel, less than one percent are denied clearance (30).

Most of the derogatory information obtained through personnel security investigations consists of suitability information, and "almost all unfavorable personnel security actions taken by Defense authorities are based on derogatory suitability information"(5). DoD Regulation 5200.2-R, which also establishes basic adjudication policy, provides the following examples of derogatory suitability information:

Arrests, charges, citations

Suspicion or allegations of illegal use or abuse of drugs or alcohol

Theft or dishonesty

Unreliability, irresponsibility, immaturity, instability, recklessness

になって、国家になっていたのです。

たい いい いまた たい しょう

Moral turpitude

Incidents reflecting adversely on honesty, reliability, trustworthiness, stability

Mental, nervous, psychological, psychiatric, or character disorders/behavior

Excessive indebtedness, bad checks, financial difficulties or irresponsibility, unexplained affluence, bankruptcy, or evidence of living beyond the individual's means.

Assumptions and Limitations. One major assumption underlying the program is that individuals who have shown signs of unsuitability or untrustworthiness are more likely than others to commit espionage or other destructive acts. Anecdotal evidence, developed after the fact gives some support to this assumption. Many of the people who have been identified as having committed espionage have had a history of alcoholism, drug use, sexual perversion, financial difficulty, etc. The number of people in sensitive positions, however, who can be similarly categorized but have not committed espionage, while undetermined, is undoubtedly sizable. Under these circumstances, effective individual prediction of security failure before the fact cannot be accomplished accurately. The best that can be done at this time is to identify groups of individuals who are at somewhat higher risk than others.

There are serious problems faced in developing adequate criteria for program evaluation and research in the personnel security area. First of all, no estimate can be made of the number or characteristics of individuals committing espionage who successfully avoid detection. Secondly, and particularly important, known security failures comprise only a miniscule portion of the population with security clearances. Given these conditions, accurate estimates of the validity and effectiveness of personnel security procedures in reducing security failures will probably always be extremely difficult to determine. It still makes very good sense, however, to try to insure that highly sensitive positions are filled to the maximum extent possible by people who are highly reliable and free from serious behavior problems. Although personnel security failures would still be expected to occur, it is reasonable to believe that the actual security failure rate would be markedly lower than it is now. It is in this area, improving the quality of personnel in highly sensitive positions, that behavioral science research techniques should be particularly useful.

Investigative Data. During the past few years, the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) has been conducting personnel security research studies for the Office of the Deputy Undersecretary of Defense for Policy. A major source of automated investigative data, the Defense Central Index of Investigations (DCII) file, has been made available to the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) on a continuing basis. Through linkage with individual personnel data in DMDC files, it has become possible to identify military and civilian personnel who have received background investigations and to determine their clearance levels. This data source has very important implications for behavioral scientists in identifying special subgroups of individuals for personnel security research studies, including longitudinal or follow-up investigations for those assigned to highly sensitive duties.

<u>Research Areas</u>. There are four major personnel security areas that can be identified where behavioral science techniques and procedures appear highly appropriate for program evaluation and improvement. The first area involves the prescreening activities used to initially qualify people prior to the initiation of a background investigation. The second area is the background investigation itself and the procedures and techniques used to collect behavioral information. The third area is the adjudication process used to evaluate findings from background investigations and related information for clearance purposes, and the fourth

6

A. . . . . . . . .

area involves monitoring or continuing evaluation procedures to determine whether or not a clearance should be retained or revoked.

Į,

Ĭ

The first two areas--prescreening and background investigations--will be discussed in turn to describe briefly the operational procedures involved, to identify some of the personnel security and related research studies that have been performed, and to describe a number of research studies that could be conducted to help evaluate and improve the personnel security program. The availability of hard-copy and automated data sources for research and evaluative studies will also be discussed. The two remaining areas--adjudication and continuing evaluation programs--will be the subject of another report at a later date.

# PERSONNEL SECURITY PRESCREENING

R

N,

5.2.0

P

Background. The Defense Investigative Service (DIS) has major responsibility for the conduct of background investigations for Defense personnel. The procedure is both formal and standardized. This is not the case with the prescreening procedures applied by the Services prior to the initiation of a request for a background investigation. Considerable variance is found among the Services in their prescreening activities, and particularly so for new recruits.

Valid prescreening procedures are extremely important in conducting an effective personnel security program. Results from background investigations are normally not available for months after their initiation. Denial of clearance for personnel in or after technical training for a sensitive skill results in lost training costs, additional training and investigative costs for replacements, and has a serious impact on the well-being and career potential of those disqualified. Rejection for appropriate reasons during a prescreening phase is highly cost effective for Defense and has much less of a negative impact on the individual concerned. There are a large number of military occupations which have a basic requirement for TS and SCI clearance, and all personnel assigned to these skills will require a background investigation. Each of the Services employs prescreening procedures for new recruits being considered for assignment to these highly sensitive occupations. Since these assignments include communications, intelligence, nuclear weapons, and certain electronic specialties, a considerable number of new recruits go through the prescreening process. Table 1 provides information on the number of recruits for whom background investigations were known to have been requested for these positions within the first year of military service.

## <u>Table l</u>

Background Investigations Conducted During the First Year of Active Duty for Enlisted Accessions FY 1973-FY1984 by Type of Investigation and Service<sup>a</sup>

	Army	Navy	Air <u>Force</u>	Marine <u>Corps</u>	<u>Total</u>
BI-IBI	13,555	42,420	55,792	3,053	114,820
SEI	37,688	17,743	41,698	4,566	101,695
Total	51,243	60,163	97,491	7,619	216,516

Ŕ

h

Ę

53

<sup>a</sup>The numbers shown here do not include a number of enlistees who later received "bring-up" investigations and whose initial investigation dates cannot be determined from available automated records.

Defense policy calls for a prescreening interview to be conducted for those individuals being considered for SCI clearance for intelligence-related duties, and for TS clearance under the Personnel Reliability Program (PRP) for nuclear-related positions. These interviews are not performed by DIS investigators, but generally by representatives of the current or using organization for the individual being evaluated. Although the structure and content of the prescreening interview probably varies considerably among Defense organizations, a common source of biographical information is available to interviewers through either the DoD Statement of Personal History or the newer Personnel Security Questionnaire (PSQ). One of these two forms is accomplished by all candidiates for SCI and TS clearance.

In other respects, prescreening procedures vary from Service to Service both in content and time of application. The various prescreening forms used by the Services for new recruits, including the PSQ, are shown in Appendix C. Among the Services, the Army has a far more intensive prescreening procedure for applicants at the Military Entrance Processing Stations (MEPS), while the Air Force has a concentrated prescreening effort during basic military training. Table 2 provides this writer's judgments of the level of Service prescreening applied prior to enlistment and during basic military training.

ß

{

H

í.

5

F

#### Table 2

Intensity of Prescreening Procedures Applied in Selecting Recruits for Assignment to Highly Sensitve Positions

Service	Preenlistment Screening at Military Enlistment <u>Processing Stations</u>	Prescreening During Basic Training
Army	Intensive <sup>a</sup>	Intensive <sup>a</sup>
Navy	Minimal	Moderate
Air Force	Minimal	Intensive <sup>b</sup>
Marine Corps	Minimal	Moderate
a Includes	special guestionnaire and	intensive interview.

<sup>b</sup>In addition to intensive interviews, includes personality measures, basic training behavior and performance evaluations, and a variety of reference and record checks.

In respect to content differences in recruit prescreening, the Air Force makes extensive use of personality test items, while the Army has tried similar items and then discarded this approach. The Air Force alone uses a combination of subject interview and reference and record checks, while the Army relies on an intensive interview based on a detailed biographical questionnaire. At least one Defense agency, and a number of other organizations within and outside the federal government, are known to use a combination of personality testing, psychologist interview, and polygraph in screening for highly sensitive positions.

Current prescreening procedures have not been validated by the Services' personnel researchers. There is little question,

however, that early disqualification through prescreening has reduced appreciably the number of recruits whose background investigations would have revealed serious derogatory information. Selecting out many of these recruits prior to the initiation of a background investigation has certainly had this effect. It is estimated by the writer, based on Service information, that about one-third of Army and Air Force recruits going through the prescreening process are disgualified before background investigations are initiated. Applicants for enlistment and recruits who are disgualified are normally still acceptable for enlistment as well as assignment to less sensitive but oftentimes equally attractive occupations.

1

K

.

1

1

朝砂

といういていと

There is evidence that recruits are often disqualified during prescreening for past behavior that, if revealed during a background investigation, would not result in denial of clearance. This difference between prescreening and later adjudicative practices has a reasonable explanation. As discussed earlier, the impact of prescreening disqualification on the individual and the military is much less than clearance denial after completion of a background investigation. Those who are responsible for prescreening and later adjudication decisions appear to be taking this factor into account.

It is unfortunate that empirical evidence is largely lacking that would validate recruit prescreening procedures in current use or permit comparisons of their relative usefulness. There is, however, ample <u>face</u> validity for many of the prescreening procedures now being employed operationally, and their use appears quite appropriate in selecting recruits for highly sensitive positions. The recruit manpower pool appears large enough at this time to maintain current disqualification rates, and there is minimal injury to the disqualified. It is difficult, however, to rationalize the large differences in prescreening procedures

among the Services. Their validation, improvement, and standardization would appear to be long overdue.

医网络网络马 新用的现在分词 医神经中枢

E

ß

Ę,

Ę

E

記

ļ,

P

Previous Military Research. Current recruit prescreening procedures stem in large part from the Air Force personnel security research effort conducted at Lackland Air Force Base during the early 1960s. This research led to the activation of an assessment unit at Lackland to screen recruits for highly sensitive positions. The Army modeled its prescreening procedures on the Air Force program, and the Navy also took into account Air Force experience in developing its own programs. Although the Air Force assessment program has changed significantly over time, it still carries a number of procedures which, in the earlier program, showed validity for behavior and performance data obtained during later military service (23). Similar validation studies have not been performed by the other Services.

The most usable criterion for validating recruit prescreening procedures as predictors of subsequent behavior has been, and will probably continue to be, unsuitability attrition from military service. Enlisted attrition rates have been quite high over the past fifteen years. More than one in three non-high school graduate recruits and about one in five high school graduates are discharged for unsuitability during initial tours of active duty.

Since a major objective of the Defense personnel security program is to identify people who are suitable for assignment to highly sensitive positions, later unsuitability discharge among those selected for these positions could be viewed in part as failure of the screening process. Also, and critically important, personnel discharged from highly sensitive positions for unsuitability pose a special security problem. A number of those discharged are likely to be quite bitter as a result of their experiences during military service, many would be knowledgeable of sensitive equipment and procedures, and almost all would be facing some degree of financial uncertainty on their return to civilian life. It is therefore extremely important from a national security standpoint to reduce as much as possible the number of potentially unsuitable personnel entering highly sensitive positions. The problem is serious--over 27,000 enlisted personnel who received background investigations for assignment to highly sensitive work were discharged for unsuitability over a recent five year period. Table 3 provides information on the reasons for their discharge.

E

E

R

R

12.0

. رو

ĺ,

e e

71

Early Air Force research efforts in the personnel security area still provide about the only direct evidence that prescreening measures are predictive of later unsuitability in highly sensitive occupations. In one study, the usual predictors of unsuitability attrition in general (educational level, age, and Armed Forces Qualification Test (AFQT) score were also found, as expected, to be equally valid within one highly sensitive occupation--munitions and special weapons (25). In a much broader study of potential predictors, basic training peer evaluation and high school reference information showed validity for later military performance and behavior criteria (23), and helped provide the basis for the current Air Force recruit prescreening program at Lackland.

While unsuitability attrition research studies involving recruits assigned to highly sensitive positions are rare, there have been numerous research studies involving the general recruit population. Findings from these studies are highly relevant to the personnel security area. It is to be expected that factors predictive of unsuitability for the total recruit population should also be predictive of unsuitability for recruits assigned to highly sensitive duties.

Unsuitability attrition research has led to the identification of a number of important factors predictive of this type of behavior. In psychological research, it is generally known that the most accurate predictors of future behavior are usually derived from past behavior. Military unsuitability research

# ENLISTED PERSONNEL, ALL SERVICES, WHO RECEIVED BACKGROUND INVESTIGATIONS: DISCHARGES FOR FAILURE TO MEET MINIMUM BEHAVIORAL STANDARDS DURING THE PERIOD FY 1980 THROUGH FY 1984

REASONS FOR DISCHARGE	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Unsuitability	12,639	46.4
Expeditious Discharge	4,360	16.0
Trainee Discharge	2,983	10.9
Motivational Problems	2,691	9.9
Unsuitability	2,605	9.6
<u>Character or Behavior Disorders</u>	<u>7,922</u> 4,127	29.1
Drugs	4,127	$\frac{29.1}{15.2}$
Character or Behavior Disorders	1,908	7.0
Homosexuality	1,151	. 4.2
Alcoholism	642	2.4
Sexual Perversion	94	.3
<u>Offenses</u>	5,978	21.9
Discreditable Incidents	<u>5,978</u> 2,755	$\frac{21.9}{10.1}$
Misconduct, Disciplinary	•	
Infractions	1.632	6.0
Court Martial	1,632	4.2
Civil Court Conviction	389	1.4
AWOL, Desertion	56	. 2
<u>Other</u>	<u>595</u> 430	2.6
Fraudulent Entry	430	$\frac{2.6}{1.6}$
Financial Irresponsibility	196	. 7
Inaptitude	45	. 2
Security	24	.1
TOTAL	27,234	100.0

ß

6

E

5.55

ĺ.

٠.

8

四八

certainly supports this generalization. For example, failure in high school (high school dropout) has consistently been linked with failure in military service (unsuitability discharge). [Put another way, truancy tends to be predictive of absence without leave (AWOL).]

2

R

ĥ

ķ

E

5

3

. . .

四次

Other biographic information, such as police offenses, have been moderately predictive of unsuitability discharge from military service (14). Preservice activities and interests have also shown validity for this criterion (21). After entry into military service, basic training peer evaluations of behavior, performance, and motivation have been found to be relatively good predictors of subsequent military performance and behavior (23) and are relatively independent of other predictive measures. Self-report "personality" measures also show validity when obtained during basic training (12).

Preservice educational level, i.e., high school graduate status, has been used operationally for recruit selection purposes since the early 1960s (27) and still shows high validity (9). The most recent research to reduce recruit unsuitability by improved initial selection procedures continues to examine the potential of preservice behavior and other biographic and performance information. The latest efforts have been sponsored by the Directorate for Accession Policy in the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Military Persor. 1 and Force Management. A description and comparison of biographical inventories for military selection has been reported recently by HumRRO (31).

The Navy Personnel Research and Development Center (NPRDC) has been given responsibility within Defense for the development of a measure of military adaptability that can be used Defensewide for recruit selection purposes. NPRDC has selected valid items from Service adaptability screening measures and has

incorporated them in a single device--the Armed Services Adaptability Profile (ASAP). The test has been administered to about 200,000 applicants for service, and validation efforts are being performed as criteria mature.

R

Ş

6

Í

Į,

ļ

Validation of these types of measures for recruits assigned to highly sensitive positions is relatively straightforward and easily accomplished, since automated data for these measures are generally available at DMDC. Separate linkages of these files with the DCII and personnel data bases at DMDC provide the information needed to identify the recruits receiving background investigation for highly sensitive positions, as well as those discharged at a later date for unsuitability. Since the predictor data have been collected under operational conditions during applicant selection and recruit screening, positive findings from validity studies could lead to their immediate use for prescreening purposes. There are a number of psychological tests that are used primarily by non-Defense organizations in screening for security These include personality tests such as the Minnesota purposes. Multi Phasic Inventory (MMPI) and the California Personality Inventory (CPI), and relatively new measures that are claimed to measure "honesty." These types of tests have not been administered to recruits. Personality tests such as the MMPI have generally been considered controversial by military personnel researchers and there has been little interest in the past in measuring recruit "honesty." In general, military researchers tend to discount the value in selection of tests that are easy to fake. This may be an unnecessary constraint, however. Many recruits respond truthfully under these conditions and report negative information on themselves, and this information can be accepted at face value, particularly during a period when enlistment is voluntary.

Considerable research has been conducted outside Defense on assessment procedures relating to personal adjustment. The fact that those studies have not been reported here is not to be considered a commentary on their potential worth. Their inclusion, however, would be well beyond the scope of this report. It is quite probable that a review of these measures would identify a number that would be useful for Defense prescreening purposes. A relevant report, "Behavioral Reliability," has recently been published, and provides some of the more important academic literature in this area (1).

ß

ŀ

5

5

Ē

E

5

**約**5

Research Requirements. Prescreening research for highly sensitive jobs lends itself as an area to the more traditional predictor-criterion type of investigation employed by personnel selection researchers. This approach usually includes the following steps: (1) determining the objectives of the selection procedure to be developed and the criteria to be employed, (2) identifying relevant selection (predictor) variables, (3) collecting predictor data under selection conditions, and (4) validating this information as criteria mature. In addition to prospective research studies, this area also lends itself to retrospective studies, where predictor data collected in the past, and very often for other reasons, are applied to matured criteria for validation purposes.

In examining the prescreening procedures for highly sensitive positions now used by the Services, two objectives can be identified. The first objective is to identify, as early and as accurately as possible, the kinds of information sought in a background investigation. Used for immediate adjudication purposes prior to a background investigation, this information permits an early decision whether or not it is worth proceeding with a background investigation. Then, since the current background investigation doesn't address all suitability issues, an additional objective is to identify early those individuals with the most likelihood

THE FULL AND

of becoming unsuitable, unreliable, or untrustworthy after assignment to highly sensitive positions. While the two objectives have much in common, there are sufficient differences between the two to discuss them separately.

Ľ

1

Ę,

È

[.

Ň

Ì.

k

È

Ś

Recruit prescreening programs of the Services are generally more oriented toward accomplishing the first objective, identifying information useful for early adjudication, although they differ markedly in the techniques applied. The Air Force, in addition, tries to address the second objective, screening for suitability. Since the effectiveness of the Services' programs has not been subject to evaluation or validation, research in the prescreening area is needed to identify current unproductive assessment measures and to develop, where possible, more effective procedures.

As described earlier, recruit prescreening for the type of information developed through background investigation is based largely on the DoD Personal History Statement or Personnel Security Questionnaire form, and, varying by Service, additional information obtained through other questionnaires, interviews, and reference/record checks. It is not known how productive these procedures are in identifying significant behavioral history prior to the initiation of a background investigation, although ample data are available that could be used to help address this question.

There are many research designs that could be developed for studies in this area. One plan with considerable potential would involve comparing the results from prescreening and background investigation procedures for the same individuals. While the sample studied here would be restricted to those recruits whose prescreening was favorable enough to lead to a background investigation, an important limitation, the research would be useful in identifying which screening procedure of the Services had the highest success rate. This could be done, after appropriate controls, by determining which Service had the lowest percentage of serious derogatory background investigation information for those recruits passing the prescreening phase.

ĥ

Ę

ĩ

Ì

k

ŝ

K

1

Automated data are currently available for the above analysis. DMDC could link automated personnel records for recruits entering the Services with their DCII records. Through this linkage of individual records, it would be possible to identify recruits who received prescreening and background investigations soon after entering military service. It would also be possible to determine from the automated records those recruits whose background investigations resulted in "issue cases"--the identification of serious suitability information in the recruit's background--and comparisons could then be made among the Services.

A more intensive study could be performed along these lines for the Air Force and Army. Research investigators at the Naval Postgraduate School have been collecting certain prescreening hard copy records for both of these Services for a number of years. By automating identification information from these hard-copy records, linkages could be made to the DCII. In this way, a recruit population could be identified whose background investigations became issue cases. Copies of the derogatory background investigations could then be obtained from DIS and comparisons made with the hard-copy prescreening records. Analysis of both these records would help determine if prescreening "misses" were a result of the incompleteness of the prescreening procedure, a result of falsification of life history information by the recruit during prescreening, or, more probably, the result of the operation of both factors.

Research to help meet the second objective, reducing through prescreening the number of recruits who are later discharged from highly sensitive positions for unsuitability, requires a broader program. This would include validation of existing data already collected for prescreening purposes, as well as available data collected by the Services for general unsuitability prediction.

and a later to be to be

New data collection would be required for promising measures not

A wide variety of existing data are available for validation purposes. As indicated earlier, prescreening records are available at the Naval Postgraduate School for Air Force and Army recruits, starting with 1983 accessions. In addition to the study described above, to determine the utility of prescreening in identifying suitability information, the prescreening records could be examined for their usefulness in predicting later unsuitability discharge. Since the prescreening data are only in hard-copy form, automating

There are other predictor data that have been automated down to the item response level and available for very large numbers The History Opinion Inventory (HOI), for example, has been administered to all Air Force recruits at Lackland since The Educational and Biographical Information Survey (EBIS) was administered to about 35,000 applicants for service and about 40,000 recruits during 1983. Finally, the Armed Services Adaptability Profile (ASAP) was administered recently to about 200,000

<text><text><text><text><text> Linkages between the above data files and DMDC attrition information permit validation studies to be readily accomplished, and a determination made of the potential of these predictive devices for general enlistment adaptability screening. Since additional linkages with the DCII allow the identification of those recruits who are processed for assignment to highly sensitive positions, validation studies can be performed separately for this group as well. As has been stated previously, the likelihood is excellent that predictors of suitability for the total recruit population (after taking into account high school graduate status) would work equally well for those recruits assigned to positions

There are certain types of assessment data that are best obtained during basic training for prescreening purposes. Researchers should look particularly carefully at peer ratings for adjustment, responsibility, judgment, etc., that can be reliably obtained after two to three weeks of basic training. Validities found in past studies using measures of this type have been excellent in predicting subsequent behavior and performance (23). The Air Force collects and automates these data routinely at Lackland AFB, but does not retain the information for follow-up purposes. Arrangements should be made to retrieve these data before their destruction so that validation for prescreening purposes can be accomplished at a later date.

E

53

E

K.

9 14

ŀ

3

N N

1

Ŀ

(

内

Maran <u>an an an an an an an</u>

It is axiomatic that prescreening procedures for highly sensitive positions be developed that allow integration with current recruiting, processing, and assignment practices. Many recruits are now given guaranteed assignments during MEPS processing with minimal prescreening for highly sensitive positions, while others receive their assignments during basic training. For the most effective prescreening program, it would probably be best to have assignments to these specialties made exclusively during basic training. This would permit the use of (1) military performance and behavior information, (2) results from record and reference checks, (3) findings from personal interviews (psychological and investigative), and (4) assessment data from other evaluative procedures.

The Military Services, however, are likely to be very much concerned with any reduced capability to offer guaranteed assignments at the MEPS, and the impact this change would have on Delayed Entry Program (DEP) assignments. For this reason it may be necessary for the Services to offer, at the MEPS, provisional assignments for those recruits who would not enter the military without a guarantee for a highly sensitive position. It would be

essential, however, for as much prescreening as possible to be accomplished for these provisional assignments.

.

and the second

2

N

F

r.

È

(• |...

ALL DESCRIPTION OF

Through the use of psychological and investigative procedures, such as self-report techniques, peer-evaluation, subject and reference interviews, record checks, etc., it should be possible to develop a standard assessment battery that would be a marked improvement over current prescreening procedures. The goal should be to select recruits with very favorable background histories who are also high in social adjustment, emotional control, reliability, and judgment, who are also capable of performing well, and who are highly motivated to do so. The techniques are either available for measurement in these areas or can be developed.

The value of an effective prescreening program to "screen in" the best candidates for highly sensitive positions cannot be In a somewhat analogous situation, the Services overestimated. rely on aptitude tests to screen in recruits with very high aptitude for assignments requiring special ability. Prescreening for highly sensitive positions should follow this model by helping to select those recruits with the highest suitability potential. Even now a number of prescreening measures are available that have as much validity in predicting unsuitability discharge as is found for aptitude tests in predicting training and on the job What is not known is how best to integrate various performance. prescreening measures for maximal effectiveness, and whether or not there is a sufficient supply of high aptitude and highly suitable recruits for assignment to highly sensitive positions. An important policy question for the future is determining which of these two factors should get the highest weight in case fully qualified recruits are not available in sufficient numbers to meet assignment needs.

#### BACKGROUND INVESTIGATIONS

8

Ţ,

à

Ņ

Ň

.

Ē

Background. A background investigation is considered the minimum investigative requirement for assignment to highly sensi-The investigation consists of a National Agency tive positions. check (NAC), which is largely a search of records held by various federal agencies, local checks of police records, a credit check, educational and employment checks, interviews of references, and, for certain investigations, a subject interview as well. Since the early 1970s, the Defense Investigative Service (DIS) has had general responsibility within Defense for performing background These investigations were conducted previously investigations. by the Military Departments. The DIS Manual for Personnel Security Investigations (2) describes the current investigative program in considerable detail, and provides the basis for much of the program description furnished in this report.

Background investigations come in various forms: the traditional background investigation , interview-oriented background investigation (IBI), and special background investigation (SBI). The coverage of each type of investigation is shown in Appendix D, with a brief description of each provided here.

The traditional BI is a combination of record checks and The subject of this type of investigation reference interviews. is normally interviewed only when an issue is raised that requires additional information for adjudication purposes. During 1981, Interview Oriented Background Investigations (IBIs) were introduced which relied very heavily on developing information from an interview of the subject. The interview substituted for the traditional record checks and interviews obtained previously from former employers and schools. One important reason for this change was the need to help reduce the heavy BI backlog at that time, and the high productivity and cost-effectiveness of the subject interview had already been shown. There was considerable concern, however, with relying too heavily on the subject for information, and, during July, 1983, the IBI was broadened to include character and employment references.

Ŕ

R

5

3

2

The IBI is now the principal type of investigation conducted for a Top Secret (TS) clearance. BIs continue to be used for this level of clearance when an interview of the subject by a DIS investigator is impractical, i.e., aboard ship. The period of investigation generally covers the last five years of the subject's life. For young subjects, at least the last two years are covered, with no investigation conducted prior to the 16th birthday. In addition to a personal interview of the subject (IBI), which is not limited to a particular period in time, birth, citizenship, education, employment, credit, and police records are checked in varying detail. Employment and character references are interviewed, and, under special circumstances, educational references as well.

As the central component of the IBI, the subject interview is expected to be thorough and in-depth, covering important aspects of the subject's background. The interviewer reviews the Personnel Security Questionnaire with the subject and directly questions the subject on suitability and other topics. The questions are expected to be relevant to a suitability inquiry, brief, and direct. Appendix E provides an extract of the instructions DIS furnishes its agents in conducting an IBI interview.

A Special Background Investigation (SBI) is the minimum investigative requirement for access to Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI clearance), and to participate in certain other sensitive programs. SBIs are designed to meet the investigative requirements of the Director of Central Intelligence Directive (DCID) 1/14 (7). The SBT includes all BI components, and also has additional investigative requirements. Coverage of the subject's life is expanded to the last fifteen years, with restricなどのなどのない。そのないでは、それできたのであるというです。そのできたというです。

tions for younger subjects similar to BIs and IBIs. NAC coverage is increased, and neighborhood checks are made, including interviews of knowledgeable neighbors when these can be identified. While subject interviews are not now required for an SBI, it appears likely that Defense will move in this direction when investigative resources permit.

K

é

何に

The proper scope or coverage of a background investigation has been subject to considerable discussion within the personnel security community. Particularly subject to question have been the value of neighborhood and educational reference interviews and the period of coverage (five to fifteen years). The value of a personal interview with the subject of the investigation, once considered controversial, now appears to have won general acceptance as a key component of the background investigation procedure.

There also appears to be a convergence of opinion that BIs, IBIs, and SBIs should be folded into one single-scope investigation. There is little doubt that resource availability has contributed to the current differences in type of investigation accorded personnel for high level security clearances. The IBI was introduced largely in response to a shortage of investigators, and the SBI currently lacks an interview component probably for the same reason. Planned increases in DIS investigator strength might well lead in time to a single scope background investigation.

Regardless of the type or scope of background investigations currently performed, all are initiated by the completion of a Statement of Personal History or a Personnel Security Questionnaire (PSQ). The PSQ serves a number of extremely important purposes. One objective of the questionnaire is to have the subject of the investigation provide suitability information directly, and in a number of different areas: police offenses, indebtedness, psychiatric hospitalization, etc. Another key objective is to provide information needed by investigators to conduct a background

25

investigation, i.e.: names, places, and dates relating to education and employment history, reference sources, etc.

ß

; |-

N.S.

5

ě

Ż

同門

RN36434R444

It is not known how well the PSQ serves each of the above While the PSQ should maximize the extent to which objectives. suitability information is obtained directly from the subject of the investigation, this hardly appears to be the case. Many important suitability factors are not covered by the PSQ, and some which are covered lack sufficient depth. As indicated earlier, the Army and Air Force have each had to design their own prescreening questionnaires to supplement the PSQ for prescreening This in turn raises questions concerning the types of purposes. linkage needed between prescreening instruments and the PSQ so that relevant prescreening information can be made available to DIS and used directly during a background investigation.

The effectiveness of the PSQ in helping to scope and conduct background investigations should also stand inquiry. Since the specific scoping (coverage) of a background investigation relies so heavily on the information furnished in the PSQ, it is crucial that this instrument perform its function well. It should effectively identify the key reference and record sources needed to obtain credible information on the subject's performance, behavior, physical and mental health, and values as well as other pertinent factors. It is open to question whether or not the current PSQ is complete enough or properly structured so as to meet scoping and investigative needs.

Other components of the background investigation are also subject to question: Does it cover all information needs for a sound clearance decision? Which data sources are most productive? Which data collection techniques should be used? How long a period of life history needs to be covered? The accuracy and costeffectiveness of key components of the investigation process are largely unknown, and the most important question--the relationship between background investigation information and later behavior, nexus, has not yet been answered.

ŗ,

R

() ()

k

**}**...

il in the second second

r ( <u>Previous Related Research</u>. Relatively little research has been performed involving background investigation procedures either by the personnel security community or by personnel researchers. So far as can be determined, it would appear that personnel security research involving background investigations, as with prescreening, originated with the Air Force research program at Lackland during the 1960s. It was during this period at Lackland that the writer, with the full assistance of the Air Force Office of Special Investigations (OSI), was able to conduct a number of background investigation studies.

Much of the personnel security research at Lackland remains unpublished. One major study tested the accuracy of background investigations in developing significant behavioral information. A number of new recruits were identified with a history of serious behavioral problems: police offenses, psychiatric treatment, poor school and job adjustment, etc. Background investigations were completed for each case under operational conditions, but with no derogatory information entered on the subject's Personal History Statement. Results from the completed background investigations showed that for half of these cases the serious derogatory information was not identified. These "misses" were attributed, primarily, to the field investigator not contacting the most knowledgeable references, and/or not asking the most pertinent questions.

There were other research studies conducted at Lackland which examined the productivity of various background information sources. Most important of all the Lackland findings was identifying the unique and considerable value of the subject interview. Used primarily for prescreening purposes, the subject interview proved extremely valuable in providing suitability information.

Other useful information gathering techniques were also identified, such as education, employment, and reference checks, which were rapidly and cost-effectively accomplished by mail and phone. Special appeals were also developed that proved very useful in leading references to provide more truthful information concerning the subject of the investigation. Lead information from these various sources was then sent on to the agents conducting the background investigations.

In another major research study accomplished at Lackland, the predictive validity of background investigative information was evaluated (20). Data were available for about twelve-thousand Air Force enlistees whose background investigations revealed derogatory information. These data were categorized and then related to later discharge for unsuitability. Comparisons with control group data showed significant relationships between the derogatory information and subsequent attrition from service. Additional analyses showed that the more serious the derogatory information, the greater the probability of being discharged at a It is believed that these data later date for unsuitability. provide the first, and perhaps only, empirical evidence of the validity of background investigation information in predicting subsequent behavior.

5

N.

5

Some years later, a number of research studies were performed by members of the personnel security community. A "Personnel Security Investigations Major Issue Study" was conducted during 1970 whose purpose was to examine and evaluate a number of investigative procedures and issues. This was followed in 1974 by a comprehensive review of the Defense personnel security program (4) that was performed by the DoD Personnel Security Working Group (PISWIG). Results from analysis of data available to PISWIG from the two inquiries led the PISWIG to conclude that such investigative elements as listed references, neighborhood checks, and educational interviews were not only very cost'y, but also nonproductive. In contrast, the Local Agency Check, subject interview, and Personal History Statement (later replaced by the PSQ) were all found highly productive, with credit and employment checks also of some value. The PISWIG also questioned the utility of life history checks beyond the most recent five-year period if no derogatory information was found for the last five years.

Y

[(, |) |)

Ŷ

.

1 1 1

5

YE

V. V

同じ

Analyses performed in 1973 by the General Accounting Office (GAO) resulted in similar findings. Based on its review of data from the major federal agencies conducting background investigations, it was concluded that educational checks, neighborhood investigations, and listed references were less productive than other investigative sources. The highest percentage of derogatory information, considered separately by source, was obtained from developed references. Subject interviews were not evaluated in this study.

The PISWIG was considerably impressed with the value of the subject interview procedure used for prescreening at Lackland, as well as its value to the Navy Security Group, which had also adopted an interview for prescreening purposes. To follow up and determine the potential of the subject interview in personnel security investigations, two joint Air Force-PISWIG pilot studies were initiated at Lackland during 1974. The first study examined results from background investigations for Air Force recruits who had previously been screened favorably for highly sensitive positions after prescreening interviews. In the second study, comparisons were made between investigative summaries based on prescreening interviews and those based on completed background investigations. Findings from these studies indicated that subject interviewing was very effective in identifying derogatory information and held considerable potential for personnel security investigations.

The costs associated with various components of the background investigation became an important issue during the early 1970s. The PISWIG used a number of cost figures developed during the Major Issue Study to compare the cost effectiveness of various types of background investigation. Based on this analysis, a revised form of background investigation was recommended with reduced scope and cost that would intensify investigations for the most recent five-year period, make use of letter and telephone inquiries, reduce the number and type of references, and expand credit checks. It was estimated by PISWIG that a savings close to two million dollars could be made (1974 dollars) in one year alone with these changes. PISWIG also believed that even further savings could be attained by combining a subject interview, NAC, and letters of inquiry to serve as a substitute for the conventional background investigation. This combination was expected to produce as much derogatory information as found in the more conventional scoping of a background investigation.

Į

Ê

Y.

観い

•

M

During 1980, the Director of Central Intelligence Investigative Standards Working Group reported on a "Personnel Security Survey" that examined the investigative scope and adjudicative procedures practiced by various intelligence agencies (8). It was concluded that personal interviews should be part of a background investigation, that the NAC was a useful information source (but should not substitute for a local police check), and that neighborhood and education checks were duplicative of other information sources. It was also concluded that at least the last ten years of a person's life history should be covered so that significant information not be lost.

During 1981, results were reported from a new pilot study conducted by a Defense interservice group to compare a subjectinterview-oriented background investigation with the traditional version. Close to five hundred cases were identified for study, including military and civilian personnel as well as contractor

employees. Findings from this study indicated that the subject interview approach was far more effective than the traditional background investigation in developing significant behavioral information.

Ě

í. V

ļ

i.

ia. Eo

7.00

ģ

国で

In reviewing the various studies performed to determine the productivity and cost-effectiveness of various reference sources, there appears to have been little consideration given to the possibility that the value of these sources may vary for different population subgroups. Instead of looking at the utility of educational references for all individuals receiving background investigations, for example, it would seem more reasonable to look at the productivity of this information source for young adults recently out of school. Similarly, the value of a neighborhood reference would be expected to tie closely to the length of time in residence, type of residence, etc. It is quite possible that the current standardization of background investigation procedures across all ages and all life experiences, while producing uniformity, has had an undesirable impact on both productivity and cost.

Research Requirements. The purposes of a background investigation are quite clearcut--to provide accurate information on an individual's past and current behavior, values, and other pertinent information needed for assessment purposes, and to obtain this information cost-effectively. As has been indicated, however, very little is known about the accuracy of background investigation procedures in developing this type of information. While there has been considerable concern within the investigative community about the "shallowness" of the product, and the productivity of various data sources, information is generally lacking that would help evaluate the accuracy of the personnel security process.

31

いたのための

Whether or not findings from a background investigation are accurate will depend on the quality of the scoping of the investigation, thoroughness of the subject interview, knowledgeability and cooperation of the references contacted, access to and completeness of various record sources, and the quality or sensitivity of the information-gathering techniques that are employed. Background investigation research, in contrast with the predictorcriterion validation studies called for in prescreening research, lends itself more to an experimental approach. Experimental manipulation of information sources and data collection techniques in various research designs would appear to have considerable potential in evaluating the accuracy and productivity of various background investigation procedures.

箴

S

8

1

<u>.</u>

Į,

ë.

์. รัง

It would be quite useful, at the start of a research program designed to improve background investigation procedures, to determine the accuracy of the current process in identifying important behavioral information. One method of particular value here would be to identify a number of individuals with known behavioral problems, and then to determine the accuracy of background investigation procedures in identifying these problems. This research method, used many years ago at Lackland by the writer, still appear highly appropriate for evaluation purposes. By way of example, new recruits with serious preservice suitability problems (drugs, behavior disorder, police offenses, financial, etc) would be identified during basic training, and background investigations initiated under operational conditions without DIS knowledge that the cases were specially selected. In addition to establishing accuracy rates under these conditions, the study could be designed to provide information on the reasons why important behavioral information was not obtained.

The same general approach could be used to determine the potential productivity of various information sources and information gathering techniques. Having identified recruits with

suitability problems, it should be possible to determine through interviews with these recruits the reference sources most knowledgeable of these problems. Further, having identified knowledgeable references, it should be possible to determine the most cost-effective ways to obtain the information: collection media (phone, mail, in person), conditions (confidentiality versus nonconfidentiality) and appeals (why the information was needed and how it would be used).

S

Ŕ

3

Ì

is Ki

Ē

È

. . (

 Retrospective studies using existing data could also be performed. Completed background investigations are identifiable that became "issue" cases (investigations where serious derogatory information was developed). Based on a recent data analysis, about eight to ten percent of background investigations for enlisted personnel are so categorized. Random samples of issue cases and appropriate, control groups could be drawn, by type of background investigation, and background investigation data analyzed to identify the most productive information sources for this particular population.

Probably the most important of the studies that could be performed in the background investigation area, however, would be a demonstration project to examine more fully the potential of the subject interview in the investigative process. Over twenty years ago, the writer proposed that the subject interview be made the cornerstone of the background investigation procedure. In addition to the productivity of the subject interview as an information source, the interview also appeared extremely useful for scoping purposes. Key reference and record sources could be more readily identified--information sources that could be used to help confirm or deny the behavioral history reported by the subject. Further, inconsistencies and possible evasions in the subjects' behavioral history could be flagged for special attention when the field component of the investigation took place.
Since the proposal was made, the subject interview has become accepted as an important component of the background investigation process, but not in the role originally recommended. Rather, the interview is treated as one of a number of "leads," an important lead, but structurally very different from the nuclear role originally proposed. There are indications, however, that some members of the personnel security community may be ready to consider a more fundamental role for the subject interview. The Director of Central Intelligence (DCI) Investigative Standards Working Group concluded in 1980 that the subject interview should be part of every background investigation and recommended that "a study be made to determine the amount and type of field investigation needed to complement or to maintain the integrity of the personal interview"(8).

Ŋ

会に

なな

1

5

記述

E H

There are a number of research designs that would be useful in evaluating the potential of an intensive interview-oriented background investigation. One less complex design would consist of a field test involving initial and "bring-up" background investigations. Study subjects could be drawn randomly from the large pool of individuals for whom background investigations are requested--and in large enough samples to permit later analysis by type of population. Findings from completed background investigations performed under both existing and field test conditions could then be compared for information yield, cost, and completion time.

Since it is expected that the enhanced interview approach would prove far more effective than that in current use, followon research studies could later be designed to help realize the full potential of this procedure. These studies could include (1) the development and tryout of special biographical selfreport forms and interview approaches to broaden the information obtained directly from the subject of the investigation, (2) evaluation of methods for improved scoping and identification of productive reference and record sources, and (3) comparisons among inquiry media, methods, and techniques.

Ĩ.

<u>እ</u> እ

5

^

C

Ż

, . .

同门

al marked and a safe of a

There is little question that behavioral science techniques offer considerable promise in helping personnel security officials and investigators evaluate and improve background investigation procedures. Many of the early studies in this area have suffered from poor design, insufficient numbers of cases, and lack of control for many important factors. Findings from relatively few of the studies that have been performed can be viewed as definitive. There are, however, data that are currently available or capable of development that would help answer many of the key questions that have been raised by the personnel security community.

# INFORMATION SOURCES FOR PERSONNEL SECURITY RESEARCH

3

Automated and hard-copy individual records needed for personnel security research are available from a number of sources. Hard-copy background investigation records are maintained in microfiche files by DIS, and records for selected cases can be copied for research purposes. Automated individual personnel records for military and DoD civilian employees are maintained by DMDC. The Defense Central Index of Investigations (DCII) file, an automated extract of investigative records, has been a recent addition to the DMDC data bases. Linkages between the DCII file and the automated personnel records have provided Defense with a new and critically-needed capability for studies and analyses in the personnel security area. Descriptions of relevant files, including the DCII, are shown in Appendix F.

Examination of the automated files maintained by DMDC, even if thorough, may not reveal the full implications for personnel security research of the individual records now available for study. Since DMDC maintains historic military personnel records going back as far as the early 1970s, it has become possible to reconstruct the entry populations to military service from that period on and to follow these populations through their active duty. Through linkage of these records with the DCII, it has now become possible to track most of the individuals receiving background investigations for highly sensitive duties through their. military history, to determine who was evaluated, when the evaluation took place, what occupations they served in, whether or not the background investigations became issue cases, whether or not they were granted clearances, and if they served out their military service satisfactorily. This is a new capability for Defense, and has just begun to be exercised.

36

Initial analyses of the DMDC DCII and personnel data bases have provided personnel security policy officials with a variety of information useful for program evaluation and planning purposes. Dr. John Goral of DMDC has produced a number of reports based on these files (19), and titles from a few of these reports are listed below to give some indication of the range of studies that are possible:

ن، ب

N.

の言語

F

ŀ

ķ

Investigation and Clearance Status of Those in Army Personnel Security Screening Program Occupations

Personnel Security Investigations: Service Differences for Similar Occupations

Continued Top Secret and SCI Status of Former Army and Air Force Personnel with Unsuitability Discharges

Cleaning Up the Periodic Review Backlog: Estimates of the Numbers and Location of Military Subjects

# SUMMARY OF RESEARCH EXAMPLES PROPOSED IN THIS REPORT

# Recruit Prescreening

k

: ۲ ا

(小) 西

いら

20

3

# Evaluation

- Compare results from prescreening and background investigations for the same recruits.
  - oo Determine which Service has the lowest percentage of background investigation "issue" cases for recruits passing the prescreening phase.
  - oo Determine the extent to which prescreening "misses" result from incompleteness of the prescreening procedures or from falsification of life history information by the recruit during prescreening.

### Validation

- Validate existing prescreening and other assessment data for their potential in reducing unsuitability discharge among recruits assigned to highly sensitive positions.
  - oo Air Force Assessment Center records, peer evaluations, and History Opinion Inventory data.

- oo Army prescreening questionnaire
- oo DoD Educational and Biographical Information Survey (EBIS)
- oo Armed Services Adaptability Profile (ASAP)
- Validate new prescreening measures.
  - oo Honesty tests and other measures of values
  - oo Personality measures

### Development

o Develop a standardized DoD assessment battery, based on empirical and face-validity information, to select recruits for highly sensitive positions who have very favorable background histories, and who are also high in social adjustment, emotional control, reliability, and judgment.

- oo Identify the best measures for this purpose.
- oo Determine how best to integrate these measures in a single selection composite score.

# Background Investigation

Accuracy

R

Į.

k

ŝ

R

[: [

内门

 Determine the accuracy of background investigations in identifying known behavioral problems.

Information Sources

- Determine and compare the knowledgeability of various types of reference sources for individuals with known behavioral problems.
- Try out and establish the most cost-effective ways to obtain information from these sources.

### Demonstration Project

- Design and perform a demonstration project to evaluate the subject interview as the nucleus or core of the background investigation process.
  - oo Obtain life history information from an objective questionnaire and subject interview.
  - oo Scope and identify the field information needed to confirm or deny subject interview information.
  - oo Conduct record checks and field investigation on a real-time basis.
  - oo Compare results with currently-used investigative procedures.

### REFERENCES

Ê

Ø

F

E

E

E

ſ

ſ

E.

r.

K.

CCA WAS

ĥ

Ĩ

- <u>Behavioral reliability A review of academic literature and</u> organizational programs, Report for the Director, Defense Nuclear Agency, December 1984.
- 2. Defense Investigative Service Manual DIS 20-1-M, <u>Manual for</u> <u>Personnel Security Investigations</u>, July 1985.
- 3. Department of Defense Industrial Security Review Committee, <u>Analysis of the effectiveness of the Department of</u> <u>Defense industrial security program and recommendations</u> for program improvement, December 10, 1984.
- Department of Defense Personnel Security Working Group, <u>Review of the Department of Defense personnel security</u> program - 1974, April 1975.
- 5. Department of Defense Regulation 5200.2-R, <u>Personnel Security</u> <u>Program</u>, December 1979.
- 6. Department of Defense, <u>Select Panel Review of the DoD Person-</u> nel Security Program, April 1982.
- 7. Director of Central Intelligence Directive No. 1/14, <u>Minimum</u> <u>personnel security standards and procedures governing</u> <u>eligibility for access to sensitive compartmented</u> <u>information</u>, April 1986.
- Director of Central Intelligence Investigation Standards Working Group, <u>Personnel security survey--Investigative</u> <u>scope and adjudicative procedures among intelligence</u> <u>community agencies</u>, May 1980.
- 9. Elster, R., & Flyer, E. <u>A study of relationships between</u> educational credentials and military performance criteria (NPS64-82-008). Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA: Manpower Research Center, April 1982.
- 10. Federal Government Security Clearance Programs: Hearings before the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the Committee on Governmental Affairs, U.S. Senate, 99th Congress, First Session, April 1985.
- 11. Flyer, E. <u>Analysis of Air Force assessment data for recruits</u> <u>evaluated for high risk jobs</u>, Report to the Naval Postgraduate School, 1986.
- 12. Flyer, E. <u>Validation of the History Opinion Inventory in pre-</u> dicting first term unsuitability attrition for Air Force recruits, Report to the Naval Postgraduate School, 1986.

- Flyer, E. <u>Personnel security research issues</u>. Statement for the U.S. Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations, April 1985.
- 14. Flyer, E. S., <u>Preservice offenses and first-term attrition:</u> <u>A pilot study involving Navy male recruits</u>, Report to the Naval Postgraduate School, August 1985.
- 15. Flyer, E., & Zimmerman, D. <u>Development and validation of composite scores predictive of attrition for Navy male accessions</u> (BDM Final Report). Monterey, CA: BDM Corporation, August 1984.
- 16. Flyer, E., & Elster, R. <u>First-term attrition among non-prior</u> service enlisted personnel: Loss probabilities based on <u>selected entry factors</u> (NPS54-84-007). Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA: Manpower Research Center, June 1983.
- Flyer. E. <u>Review and evaluation of mental enlistment stan-</u> <u>dards</u> (Staff Study). Office of the Secretary of Defense, 1979.
- 18. Flyer, E. <u>Review and evaluation of medical enlistment</u> <u>standards</u> (Staff Study). Office of the Secretary of Defense, 1977.
- 19. Flyer, E. Factors relating to unsuitability discharge and desertion status among Army enlisted accessions (Manpower Research Note 72-7. Office of Director of Manpower Research, OASD(M&RA), February 1972.
- 20. Flyer, E., <u>An empirical analysis of derogatory background</u> <u>investigation data for Air Force enlisted personnel</u>. Lackland Air Force Base, TX: Personnel Research Laboratory, January 1966 (Staff Study).
- 21. Flyer, E. Aptitudes, biographic data, interests, and attitudes as predictors of psychiatric and behavioral criteria--A longitudinal study, Report to the Air Force Personnel Rsearch Laboratory, March 1965.
- 22. Flyer, E., <u>Prediction by career field of first-term airman</u> <u>performance from selection and basic training variables</u> (PRL-TDR-64-5). Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Laboratory, March 1964.
- 23. Flyer, E., <u>Prediction of unsuitability among first-term airmen</u> from aptitude indexes, high school reference data, and basic training evaluations (PRL-TDR-63-17). Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Laboratory, June 1963.
- 24. Flyer, E., Research on adaptability screening among Air Force enlistees. <u>American Psychologist</u>, 1961, <u>16</u>, 464.

5

Ę

5

Ň

開行

<u>C</u>

25. Flyer, E. <u>Unreliable airmen in high-risk jobs: Unsuitability</u> in the munitions and weapons maintenance career field (WADD-TN-60-43). Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Laboratory, March 1960.

Ŕ

je B

6

5

R

K

- 26. Flyer, E. <u>Educational level and Air Force adaptability cri-</u> <u>teria</u>. In proceedings, TriService Conference on Selection Research, Washington, DC: Office of Naval Research (ONR Symposium Report ACT-60), 235-258, May 1960.
- 27. Flyer, E. <u>Factors relating to discharge for unsuitability</u> among 1956 airman accessions to the Air Force (WADC-TN-59-201). Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Laboratory, December 1959.
- 28. Flyer, E. & Nelson, P. <u>Screening for High Risk Jobs</u>, Report to the Military Testing Associaiton, 1984.
- 29. Goral, J., <u>Evaluation and improvement of the Defense Central</u> <u>Index of Investigations (DCII)</u>, Report to the Naval Postgraduate School, September 1985.
- 30. <u>Keeping the Nation's Secrets</u>: Report to the Secretary of Defense by the Commission to Review DoD Security Policies and Practices, June 1985.
- 31. Laurence, J., & Means, B. <u>A description and comparison of</u> <u>Biographical inventories for military selection</u>, HumRRO Report 85-5, July 1985.
- 32. Laurence, J. <u>A comparison of moral character predictors of</u> <u>military performance</u>, HumRRO, May 1985.
- 33, Means, B., <u>Moral standards for military enlistment: Screening</u> procedures and impact, HumRRO, November 1983.
- 34. Perry, R., Bennett, C., & Wood, M., <u>The role of security</u> <u>clearances and personnel reliability programs in pro-</u> <u>tecting against insider threats</u>, Battelle Report, July 1979.
- 35. Sellman, W. S., <u>Military Adaptability Screening: A manpower</u> <u>management perspective</u>, Report to the Military Testing Association, 1984
- 36. Walker, C., <u>The Army's Military Applicant Profile: Its back-</u> <u>ground and progress</u>, Report to the Military Testing Association, 1984.

ŝ

S

į.

ly S

Ň

X

観察

# APPENDIX A

APPENDIX A

.

.

-

# SCREENING FOR HIGH RISK JOBS

# Eli S. Plyer Consultant to the Manpower Research Center, Naval Postgraduate School

Ŕ

Ŋ.

F

会に

# and

# Peter R. Nelson Office of the Secretary of Defense

The purpose of this report is to describe in very general terms current assessment procedures used in screening U.S. Defense personnel for high risk jobs, some of the research previously performed in this area, ongoing studies, and the potential contribution personnel researchers can make in evaluating and improving the process.

High risk jobs are defined here as those that require access to highly classified or extremely sensitive information. Personnel assigned to intelligence, communications, and nuclear-related occupations are examples of those requiring this level of access, as do many individuals in other sensitive specialties. It is estimated that over fifty percent of present officer strength and more than fifteen percent of current enlisted strength have gone through some form of personnel security screening process to qualify for assignment to a high risk job.

The personnel security screening procedure employs a background investigation as its principal instrument in determining trustworthiness for high risk jobs. Since the early 1978s these investigations have been conducted for Defense personnel--military, civilian, and contractor --by the Defense Investigative Service (DIS). There are four major components to a background investigation: a self-report personal history questionnaire, interviews, reference checks and record searches. A detailed personal interview of the subject is a recent addition to the process and has proven quite productive of significant information.

The information obtained through a background investigation is reviewed and adjudicated by experienced security personnel from each of the Defense components. It is important to note that most of the adverse information developed through background investigations relates to an individual's behavioral problems rather than loyalty. Offense data from PBI files and critical reference comments are major sources of derogatory information. However, when a subject interview is employed, it is often the single most productive source of significant information.

HOMENNY AND THE REAL AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF AN AV

The Armed Services prescreen recruits for personnel security, and many individuals with a history of serious problems are identified prior to the initiation of a background investigation and diverted to occupations with lower personnel security requirements or not enlisted at all. The Air Force has the most centralized prescreening program, administered at Lackland Air Force Base. Interviews are conducted during initial classification and followed by reference, police, and credit The Army and Navy both conduct a detailed prescreening checks. interview at basic training centers. Additionally, the Army first interviews potential recruits at the Military Entrance Processing Stations (MEPS) before processing them further for high risk jobs. The Army has found, apparently, that in repeated interviews over time, additional adverse information is frequently developed.

Ŕ

5

Í

رب م

6

5

開行

The personnel security screening program has much in common with a good preemployment evaluation procedure. For this reason it is surprising that relatively little work has been performed by the Service research laboratories in developing, validating and improving these assessment procedures. This is in contrast to the large scale efforts of the Services to improve personnel selection and classification processes overall.

A major personnel security research project was conducted by the Air Force Personnel Research Laboratory in the early 1960s at Lackland Air Force Base. Airmen being assigned to nuclear-related duties and intelligence occupations were evaluated by psychologists from the Air Force's Personnel Research Laboratory. Self report questionnaires, interviews, reference checks, and experimental background investigations were initiated. Findings from this project indicated that the various assessment procedures employed generally provided a certain amount of common information, but that each provided unique information as well. Overall, self-report questionnaires and interviews furnished the largest amount of unique negative behavioral information. In another study, background investigations were initiated for a group of basic airmen who had previously revealed significant derogatory behavioral information through a self-report questionnaire. For a significant number of these airmen, completed background investigations did not identify the derogatory information.

In yet another Air Force sponsored study, an empirical validation was performed of derogatory information from background investigations. There were seventeen thousand enlistees whose background investigations completed during FY 1965 revealed suitability information. These suitability data were categorized and then related to later discharges for unsuitability. Comparisons with control group data showed sizeable relationships between derogatory background investigation information and later attrition. So far as is known, this is the only large-scale validation study that has been performed for this type of information. During FY 1982 a select panel was formed at the direction of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Policy) to review the DOD Personnel Security Program. In its report published in April 1982 the panel made a number of recommendations for actions to strengthen the program. One key recommendation was that an evaluation be made of the full potential of psychological tests as a supplement to the personnel investigation process in screening individuals for high risk jobs.

ß

S

Į.

自

ß

Ś

開行

This recommendation led to discussions with the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) on the use of psychological tests for assessment purposes, and then to the initiation by NPS of a large scale research project to evaluate and improve the DOD Personnel Security Program.

One of the first steps taken by the NPS was to couple the automated Defense Central Index of Investigations file (DCII) maintained by DIS with personnel data bases available through the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC). The DCII identified all Defense personnel who had received background investigations, and the DMDC files provided information on their later performance and behavior in service. For accessions to military service from FY 1973 on, it was now possible to identify all those who were considered for high risk jobs and received background investigations, and to identify within this group those enlistees later discharged for behavioral reasons--such as unsuitability.

With investigative and personnel data bases combined for the same population, a large number of personnel security research studies became feasible. Over the years, many data sets have been developed by the Services containing biographical and other psychological test information to be used for recruit selection purposes. Through linkage with the investigative and personnel data bases now available at DMDC, it now became possible to evaluate the potential of these assessment instruments in screening personnel for high risk jobs. Some of the studies currently underway are described here:

Lackland Assessment Records. There is an operational unit at Lackland Air Force Base whose sole function is to prescreen basic trainees for high risk jobs in the Air Force. The prescreen is accomplished prior to the initiation of a background investigation and includes an interview, a sentence completion, an adjustment check list, basic training performance data and other variables. These data will be analyzed for over fifteen thousand recruits entering the Air Force during PY 1982 who were prescreened. In combination with background investigation information, these data will be related to subsequent military performance and behavioral criteria.

<u>History Opinion Inventory (HOI)</u>. A biographical inventory accomplished during the second day of basic training is also available for about 500,000 Air Force recruits entering \_arvice between FY 1976 and FY 1982. The fifty items comprising this questionnaire have been automated and validated against first-term attrition for the earlier accession years. Two separate scales have been identified with validities in the .28s for this criterion. The validity of these scales is now being determined for those recruits who were entered into high risk jobs.

B

3

ſ

200

E

13

周

Navy Preservice Drug and Offense History Information. During the first week of Navy basic training, each recruit is interviewed to identify preservice drug usage and traffic and non-traffic offenses. These data are available for about 60,000 FY 1981 Navy enlistees, and are presently being automated. The data will be validated against attrition for the total population and separately for those being considered for high risk jobs. It is a unique and an extremely rich date base.

Educational and Biographical Information Survey (EBIS). This survey was administered to about 50,000 recruits DOD-wide during FY 83, and has been validated against early attrition. The subset of this population considered for high risk jobs will be identified and EBIS items validated specially for this group.

In addition to the studies described above, the Navy Personnel Research and Development Center (NPRDC) has been developing a test to be used DOD-wide in recruit selection to reduce attrition for unsuitability. This test is based on biographic and related information found to be valid by one or more of the Services as predictors of attrition, and selected items have been combined to form an instrument usable by all the Services. While the test was developed to screen out applicants for service who get low scores, it is expected that scores at the upper end will be useful in screening recruits for high risk jobs also.

Developing and validating psychological tests useful in screening for high risk jobs is important but not sufficient. Broader studies are needed to gain an understanding of the effectiveness of current assessment procedures and how to improve them. One basic study that is particularly needed is to carefully examine assessment "failures"--individuals who are screened favorably for high risk jobs but who later give evidence of unreliability, instability or other behavioral problems. Was there anything missed in their original assessments, and if so, why? While this type of study has been done with confirmed espionage agents, the numbers have been small and findings inconclusive. A study of other types of "misses" is required.

Systems analyses of current assessment procedures are needed to provide baseline and trend information on the numbers and characteristics of personnel requiring clearance actions, the positions involved, when clearance actions are obtained, and how the people perform who are assessed for high risk jobs. Studies to determine the reliability and validity of prescreening and background investigation procedures are essential. This includes not only the investigation itself, but also the adjudicative decision that is made on the information obtained. There is need to evaluate self-report and interview procedures, and to standardize the more effective approaches so that these can be applied universally.

1

6

**K**, **3** 

R

F

Ê

1

同に

The need for research to evaluate and improve personnel security screening procedures for high risk jobs is evident, and personnel researchers can make a significant contribution in this area. It is hoped that the brief description of the personnel security program presented here will stimulate interest, concern and action within the Defense behavioral science community so that the assessment process can be improved.

Ň

R

Ę.

233

273

È

•

a de la service de la s Service de la ser Service de la service de la

# APPENDIX B

.

•

.

### STATEMENT OF

Ľ,

6

Ì

R

5.2.5

Ě

Ĩ

Į,

R

in the second se

# ELI S. FLYER CONSULTANT, MANPOWER RESEARCH CENTER DEPARIMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SCIENCES NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA

### BEFORE THE

### U. S. SENATE PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS

### APRIL 1985

I would like to thank members of the Subcommittee for providing me with the opportunity to appear today and to present my views on personnel security matters. I will be making a number of observations and recommendations to improve the personnel security process. Since these are in large part based upon my experiences as a personnel researcher, I would like to describe some of the projects and findings that have helped shape these views.

In performing research for the Department of Defense over the past thirty years, I have had the opportunity to work on many important manpower projects and issues. One of the most important was an Air Force project in the early 1960s to improve screening procedures used in assigning personnel to high-risk jobs. After a twenty-year interval, I am again deeply involved in a personnel security research effort, this time under the auspices of the Naval Postgraduate School in Monterey, California.

My interest in improving selection procedures for high-risk jobs goes back to the late 1950s and early 1960s when I was with the Air Force Personnel Research Laboratory at Lackland Air Force Base, Texas. The Air Force had introduced a major quality control program designed to discharge enlisted personnel not able to meet Air Force behavior standards, and I initiated research to reduce the problem by improved screening prior to enlistment. A wide variety of personnel assessment data were collected for a very large number of recruits, and follow-up studies were conducted to determine the validity of the information in predicting unsuitability discharge. It was through this research that high school graduate status, age at enlistment, and Armed Forces Qualification Test scores were first identified as predictors of first-term attrition for unsuitability.

Many of the research studies performed during this period were massive in scope. For example, one study involved over two-hundred-thousand Air Force recruits who were followed during their first four years of service. Aptitude, biographical, interest and attitude data were collected for assignment purposes shortly after service entry. These data were related at a later date to behavioral criteria obtained during initial enlistments. The study demonstrated that it was possible to combine many of the biographic, incerest and attitude items into scales that would differentiate between enlistees with normal separations and those experiencing behavioral and adjustment problems during military service.

<u>C</u>

ž

Ş

ۍ ک

N

ξ.

È

3

During the early 1960s, I also became involved in research to develop suitability screening procedures for assignment to high-risk jobs-positions such as nuclear weapons and intelligence duties where destructive acts and espionage activities would have the most serious consequences. These were jobs requiring Top Secret and other high-level clearances based on background investigations. Using Air Force records, I was able to identify and follow-up about two-thousand recruits assigned to munitions and weapons duties after their enlistment. Ten percent of this group was discharged for unsuitability during the first two-and-a-half years of service. Personnel records were obtained and examined to see if there were preservice indicators of an individual's later behavioral problems during military service, and to obtain more detailed reasons for the unsuitability discharge.

Analysis of the data showed that it was possible to improve the selection criteria then employed for assignment to nuclear-related occupations. It also showed the necessity for on-the-job monitoring activities to identify and remove airmen with behavioral problems from sensitive duties. The final report of the study recommended the use of special procedures in selecting recruits for high-risk jobs. For example, it was recommended that recruits be high school graduates, have an acceptable level of general intelligence, and have received favorable peer evaluations during basic training. It was also recommended that enlisted personnel assigned to special weapons duties be screened more thoroughly through a continuous program for suitability evaluation on the job. This research effort led ultimately to the development and implementation of the Air Force's Human Reliability Program, later subsumed by the Department of Defense (DOD) Personnel Reliability Program.

The screening procedures introduced at Lackland for recruits being considered for assignment to high-risk jobs (intelligence as well as nuclear-related) were quite elaborate. The evaluation consisted of a questionnaire completed by the recruit to identify preservice behavior problems, a personal interview, peer ratings for responsibility and emotional stability, and educational and employment reference checks to provide some of the information usually obtained during a background investigation. Based on a review of this information, recruits with a history of serious behavior problems were diverted to less sensitive occupations.

Much of the research performed at Lackland during the early 1960s to improve screening for high-risk jobs remains unpublished. A number of these studies are worth highlighting here. One study was designed to test the accuracy of background investigations in developing significant behavioral information. A special survey administered to over twenty thousand basic trainees at Lackland was used to identify a group of fifty airmen with serious behavioral problems such as police offenses, psychiatric treatment, and poor educational and employment history. With the cooperation of the recruits involved and the Air Force Office of Special Investigations, (which conducted background investigations in that period), background investigations were initiated for the fifty airmen. The size of the group was kept to fifty in order to keep investigation costs to a minimum. Results from the completed investigations showed that no derogatory information was found in about half of the cases. These "misses" can probably be attributed, in part, to the field investigators not contacting the most knowledgeable references and not asking the most pertiment questions.

{

3

公

í.

5

4. . .

F.

Another study was designed to examine the predictive validity of information obtained through background investigations. Data were available for about twelve-thousand Air Force enlistees whose background investigations revealed derogatory information. These data were categorized and then related to later discharge for unsuitability. Comparisons with control group data showed significant relationships between the derogatory information and subsequent attrition from service. Additional analyses showed that the more serious the derogatory information, the greater the probability of being discharged at a later date for unsuitability. It is believed that these data provided the first empirical evidence of the validity of background investigation information in predicting subsequent behavior.

Another unpublished but important study involved a review of investigative records for a large number of Air Force officer and enlisted personnel who had committed suicide. Of specific interest here was whether or not the selfdestructive acts were preceded by behavioral indicators—a matter of considerable importance for the Personnel Reliability Program. Intensive interviewing conducted by the Air Force's Office of Special Investigations with relatives and coworkers showed that about half of the suicides that occurred were not foreshadowed by observable behavioral signs. This finding indicated that it would not be possible to rely exclusively on the recognition of behavioral indicators by family, supervisors or coworkers in identifying those individuals about to commit this type of self-destructive act.

Favorable findings from the prescreening research project at Lackland led to the operational implementation of the program during 1965. There had to be resolution first whether or not prescreening for high-risk jobs was part of the personnel classification process for recruit assignment or part of the personnel security investigation process. It was finally concluded that the prescreening program would be less controversial if carried out as part of the personnel classification process. This assessment program still exists at Lackland with a number of modifications.

Today, in looking once again at the Defense Department's Personnel Security Program, and more particularly at the investigative process used in assessing people for high-risk jobs, I find that a number of important and useful changes have been made. Background investigations are now conducted by a single agency, the Defense Investigative Service, rather than by the Military Departments, and centralized adjudication of background investigation information has become the norm. Some of the effects of our earlier research efforts can also be seen: the Personnel Reliability Program, recruit prescreening for high-risk jobs, and the use of subject interviews as part of the background investigation process.

Much more still needs to be done to improve the personnel security process. During Fiscal 1982, a select panel was formed at the direction of the Deputy Undersecretary of Defense (Policy) to review the DOD Personnel Security Program. In its report, published in April 1982, the panel made a number of important recommendations for improving the program. In addition, the panel took note of the lack of information available for program evaluation purposes, and the

3.

1

(• . [• .

i , ,

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ 

Ţ

í.

Ż

need for research studies and analyses in several areas, including psychological testing to supplement background investigations.

This led to discussions with the Naval Postgraduate School and the initiation of a number of research projects centering on prescreening and psychological testing for high-risk jobs. An important element for the research effort, automated individual personnel records for all Defense components, were available through the Defense Manpower Data Center files maintained at the Naval Postgraduate School.

One of the first steps taken by the Naval Postgraduate School was to bring in a copy of the computerized Defense Central Index of Investigations file (DCII) maintained by the Defense Investigative Service, and to merge it with Defense Manpower Data Center personnel data bases. The DCII would identify Defense personnel receiving background investigations, and the Defense Manpower Data Center files would provide information on their characteristics, performance, and behavior during military service. It would now be possible to identify the kinds of people receiving background investigations, when they received them, what occupations they were in, and how they made out while on active duty. Further, since the Defense Manpower Data Center maintains personnel data bases historically, it would be possible to perform retrospective studies—to recreate the populations entering the military over the last ten years and more, and to examine the relationships between personnel and investigative actions during that time frame.

The value of combining investigative and personnel data bases for research, program analysis, and auditing purposes can be illustrated by one of the ongoing studies at the Naval Postgraduate School. During the past five years, over 27,000 enlistees receiving background investigations for assignment to sensitive positions were later discharged for reasons of unsuitability, unfitness, or other behavior problems. The 27,000 enlistees represent approximately twenty percent of those servicemen who were discharged in that period of time who had background investigations. The specific reasons for their discharge are shown in attachment 1.

Many of the 27,000 enlistees discharged had received Top Secret and Sensitive Compartmented Information clearances for high risk jobs. To better understand the high failure rate for this group, background investigation and discharge records will be examined by the Naval Postgraduate School for samples drawn from this population. This study is still underway and specific findings will not be known until further research is completed. However, there are a number of possible explanations: (1) the background investigations lacked accuracy by not identifying unfavorable behavior patterns for the individuals concerned; (2) unfavorable behavioral patterns were identified but the adjudicative process did not make effective use of the information; and (3) the early behavior patterns for this group were favorable—their problems arose after background investigations were completed. All three factors are likely to be involved. The real question is to what degree.

Another major research project being conducted at the Naval Postgraduate School is to evaluate the effectiveness of prescreening programs now used by the Services in selecting recruits for high-risk jobs, and to determine the

4.

M

Ŕ

S

ß

옷

ľ

N.

potential of psychological tests for assessment purposes. These studies have become increasingly more feasible with the ability to use investigative and personnel data bases for analysis purposes. Findings from a number of the studies are expected within the next six months.

In addition to the Naval Postgraduate School research program, a large-scale research effort is now being conducted by the Navy Personnel Research and Development Center to develop a special test for DOD-wide use in enlisted selection. This test is based on biographic and related information known to predict military attrition, and will be used to screen out those applicants for service most likely to be discharged for unsuitability. Since individuals who score high on this measure are least likely to be behavioral problems in service, the test should also be useful in evaluating recruits for high-risk jobs. The full implications of using measures of this type will be discussed later on.

While the Naval Postgraduate School has been focusing its research effort primarily on prescreening measures, a far more extensive research effort is required. The problem is that relatively little is known about the quality of <u>all</u> components of the personnel investigation process, and even less, perhaps, about how to apply the information it provides.

While large investments have been made by the Military Services in behavioral science research to evaluate and improve personnel selection, assignment, training, and utilization procedures, there has been little involvement by Defense scientists in the research needed to evaluate and improve personnel security investigations. This is particularly unfortunate since the application of behavioral science and operations research methodologies are particularly relevant here.

There is so much to be done that it is hard to know where to start. There are, however, a number of readily identifiable areas where personnel security research should be particularly productive. These are largely in respect to personnel investigation procedures, and include the following problem areas:

1) Requirements — It is important that Defense and Service policies and practices be evaluated that determine who receives a background investigation. There are large Service differences in the number of military personnel requiring background investigations. While this may represent differences in mission, the disparities may also be showing differences in Service policies and practices. Use of Defense-wide surveys, task analysis, and evaluation of available personnel and investigative data should furnish the information needed to evaluate the legitimacy and adequacy of Service requirements for background investigations.

2) Timing — We need to know whether or not personnel security investigations are being initiated at a point in time consistent with good personnel management practices. Should background investigations be initiated before, during, or after training for various types of high-risk jobs? And when should reinvestigations be accomplished? While the issues here are complex, the application of systems analysis techniques should lead to more effective use of investigative resources, reduce nonproductive time spent waiting for clearances, and identify the best points in time for "bring-up" investigations.

2

53

È

何下

3) Coverage — It is important to identify the types of information needed for an effective behavioral evaluation and to determine if the investigative process provides, or could provide, this information. A panel of behavioral scientists should evaluate the completeness of the current background investigation procedure, and recommend additional coverage where needed.

4) Procedures — We need to know the cost-effectiveness of using various information sources and collection techniques in obtaining the data required for personnel assessment purposes. There are experimental techniques available to tell us the relative yield and accuracy of using specific reference and records sources, as well as the most cost-effective media for data collection.

5) Accuracy — Though reliable and accurate data are crucial to the assessment process, very little information is available concerning the quality of background investigation procedures. The use of sampling techniques and product evaluation procedures of the type applied in industrial settings should have particular applicability for personnel security investigations.

6) Validity — Assessment information has value to the extent that it predicts later behavior. Adjudicators need to know the relationships between background investigation information and subsequent behavior to make effective clearance decisions. Powerful statistical procedures are available for analyzing the validity of background investigation data in predicting important behavioral criteria and in developing composite scores maximally predictive of these criteria.

7) Utility — The question to be addressed here is how best to use the products of personnel security investigation procedures. Little is known of the impact of adjudicative decisions on personnel assignment, training, performance, and utilization actions. Operations analysis procedures could help evaluate these impacts and suggest ways to reduce their negative effects.

Research and analysis performed in these areas should lead to a more effective personnel security program by reducing the number of unnecessary investigations now being performed, increasing their quality, and improving the utilization of the information they provide.

I would now like to make some general observations and recommendations concerning the personnel security program. First, it is important to recognize that most of the adverse information developed through background investigations relates to behavioral problems, rather than loyalty. Second, in collecting and adjudicating adverse information, the assumption is being made that individuals with very unfavorable background histories are poor security risks--people likely to continue having behavioral problems. It is important to note here that, through the application of current adjudicative guidelines and practices, about five percent of those receiving background investigations are denied clearance.

Unfortunately, what the current personnel security process does not take into account is that there are <u>still</u> wide differences in behavioral adjustment history and expected behavior among the ninety-five percent of those cleared for sensitive duties. All too frequently, recruits with history of preservice adjustment problems receive clearances indistinguishable from those of fellow

and a said a s

Ŕ

E

È

でい

K

recruits with exemplary preservice records—although much more likely to be discharged for unsuitability later on. It is hardly likely that a process which identifies the bottom five percent of those receiving background investigations as behavior risks, and <u>everyone else</u> as equally acceptable, is providing the best candidates available for assignment to high-risk jobs.

I think we should look very carefully at the possibility of selecting people <u>into</u> high-risk jobs rather than selecting them <u>out</u>. For the enlisted population the situation may be analogous in some respects to the use of aptitude scores for recruit assignment purposes. An aptitude battery is now administered to all applicants for military service, and only those with the highest scores are considered for assignment to highly technical positions. We should use the same approach by obtaining personnel assessment information from all recruits, and identifying those with the highest adjustment potential for assignment to high-risk jobs.

I believe that this can be done. Although we would be unable to have background investigations completed for all recruits to identify the most promising among them, there are less costly procedures that could be used for initial selection purposes. The suitability test being developed by the Navy Personnel Research and Development Center and additional self-report behavioral history measures should provide much of the information now obtained through background investigations. Further, the use of automated scoring procedures for these data would provide "adjustment potential" scores predictive of later military behavior. Background investigations could then be accomplished for the most highly qualified recruits to check on the accuracy and completeness of the information obtained through the self-report procedures.

There are many advantages to using this approach. Most important, it would reduce the number of enlistees assigned to high-risk jobs who are later discharged for unsuitability. It would also reduce the number of enlistees denied clearance. Further, for those recruits not initially assigned to high-risk jobs, "adjustment potential" scores would be a matter of record and still usable for later screening purposes. This approach would be quite similar to the current use of aptitude scores for later assignments, and allow for overriding at a later date after individuals have proved themselves.

Even though it is possible to improve the personnel security investigation process by taking actions of the type described above, there are still serious limitations to the selection approach. We are faced with the fact that we cannot predict the behavior of individuals with the degree of precision needed. The best that can be done is to identify <u>groups</u> of individuals with somewhat different probabilities of satisfactory behavior. To illustrate this point, based on current screening procedures, groups of recruits can be identified at service entry whose first-term unsuitability discharge rates will vary from about eight percent to over fifty percent. This is a level of accuracy roughly comparable to that of aptitude scores in predicting training success. While the accuracy of suitability screening would be further improved through use of the measures previously described, there would still be significant numbers of later "failures", even in the most highly selected groups. We can reduce the odds of later misbehavior significantly with the use of valid selection procedures—but in no case can we reduce the odds close to zero.

5

i,

Č,

Į,

53

, ,

e

It is essential, therefore, to have a personnel security system that takes into account the need for continuing observation and periodic evaluation of the people assigned to high-risk jobs. A standardized monitoring and assessment program is needed, with military and civilian supervisors and managers fully responsible for its effective administration. In some respects, the Defense Personnel Reliability Program already uses this type of approach.

To conclude, it is apparent that relatively little is known about the effectiveness of the Defense Department's Personnel Security Program. The large number of unsuitability discharges among those cleared for Top Secret and related duties indicates that there is ample room for improvement of the current program. It is essential that behavioral science and operations analysis techniques be applied in a large-scale research effort to evaluate and refine the personnel security program. The effort is long over due.

8.

# WITNESS SHEET

# ELI S. FLYER

Consultant, Manpower Research Center Department of Administrative Sciences Naval Postgraduate School Nonterey, California

Q. What were the circumstances surrounding your initial involvement with evaluating the Air Force's personnel security program?

-Air Force meeting in 1959 on destructive acts involving nuclear weapons --Incident at Sculthorp Air Base in England

--Development of research program to improve screening procedures for high risk jobs

Q. In your statement that you provided for the hearing record you mention that the DOD study, published in April 1982 regarding personnel security, noted a lack of information available for program evaluation purposes and the need for reserach studies and analyses in several areas. Has there been an improvement? In your opinion, what is needed in this area?

> -Better data available for evaluation purposes -Major studies remain to be done -Need for management to formalize needs -Need to develop and carry-out a DOD-wide program

Ξ.

Ň

88

2

Q. Background investigations and adjudications use criteria to screen out potential suitability and security risks. Are we doing an effective job of this? If not, what do you consider to be some major shortcomings?

> -Effectiveness of BIs and adjudication procedures unknown -Large number of unsuitable discharges from high risk jobs indicates problems with current process -Probable need to improve BI procedures -Evidence that more people should be denied clearance

Q. Is the government's personnel security program placing too much emphasis and too many resources on the initial background investigation?

-Military personnel exposed to highly sensitive information in first few years of service

í

-Advantages of early screening before training investments are made -May be possible to reduce the resource levels for initial BIs and increase resources for periodic reinvestigations

- Q. What can we do to more insure that those very young people who assume highly sensitive positions are sufficiently mature to cope with the security needs of these positions?
  - -Improved screening for maturity -Improved monitoring on the job

Ś

3

i v

1.4.4 (A.1.1)

間に

Q. What is your assessment as to the effectiveness and efficiency of the PRP? Can the PRP program be essentially the basis of an overall personnel security program for government and contractor employees?

-Effectiveness and efficiency unknown

-The DOD panel believed that the monitoring function and other aspects of the PRP should be studied to determine if other security programs should follow suit

- Q. Is there a need for personnel security operations to be more closely tied to personnel operations?
  - -Products from personnel security operations serve personnel management needs in selection, assignment and utilization of people
  - -Personnel assessment (other than counterintelligence activities) could be viewed as a personnel function
  - -ENTNAC developments involve both personnel security and personnel operations
- Q. Would you describe the present status of available data bases which impact on personnel security management?

-Defense Central Index of Investigations now being coupled with DMDC data bases

-Need to bring in Navy and Marine Corps clearance information

-Need to standardize data elements and codes

- Q. From your unique experience in the area of personne! security, what is your opinion as to the most revealing techniques of information gathering used in the BI's?
  - -Subject interview most important component --Needs strengthening
  - -Reference and record checks essential to verify information obtained in interview

# Q. How does suitability relate to security risks?

Ŋ.

1.1

Ś

5

÷.,

-Change in emphasis over years from indicators of disloyalty to indicators of unsuitability

-Assumption made that individuals with certain behavior problems more vulnerable and hence more likely to get in trouble in future -Examples

- --Irresponsible people should not be assigned to jobs requiring responsibility
- -People with heavy indebtedness should not be assigned to jobs where they could sell secrets

-Problem of "security risk" label for those denied clearances

- Q. What ideas do you have concerning the implementation of a program for the continued monitoring and asessment of persons with security clearances and access to classified information?
  - -DOD panel indicates that "continuing command monitorship of cleared personnel is given lip-service but lacks substance"
    - --Points to Personnel Reliability Program as an example of a continuing evaluation system that may be more effective
  - -Should evaluate the possibility of annual recertifications based on subject interview and records check by commander
- Q. Can your studies apply to non-military personnel security programs?

-Most studies will have direct applicability -BI coverage -BI procedures -Validity of information

Q. Please discuss in more detail your concept that a personnel security program should focus on persons most likely to adhere to security precautions.

-Current standards for clearance too low -Opportunity available to screen from top down rather than from bottom up (example: selection for highly technical jobs) -Instruments available for enlisted screening for adaptability

Q. What is your assessment of the effectiveness of a NAC, ENTNAC, etc.?

-NAC and ENTNAC primarily furnish offense information --Completeness of information not known --Validity of information not known ---Often provides unique data, but effectiveness of the procedure has not been established

Q. What is your assessment as to the value and present effectiveness of periodic reinvestigations?

-Value could be very high -Present effectiveness unknown SENATOR NUNN. (Pres\_ling) Thank you, Senator Gore. Our next witness is Mr. Eli Flyer, who is a consultant to the Manpower Research Center, Department of Administrative Sciences, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California.

R

бх -

848

XAS

Ê,

8

Hr. Flyer, we are delighted to have you this morning. I understand you are prepared to give us your oral testimony, and then we will ask questions of you.

MR. FLYER. Yes, and I appreciate the opportunity to appear before you today. Yesterday we heard there

may be too many classified documents. We also heard yesterday that there may be too many people with clearances. Today you are going to hear that there may be too many unreliable people assigned to sensitive jobs.

I have been a personnel researcher for Defense for over 30 years. In the early 1960s, I became involved in selection research involving assignments to high-risk jobs, positions involving nuclear weapons and intelligence duties, where espionage activities and destructive acts would have the most serious consequences.

Let me tell you what led to that involvement. In 1959, an Air Force enlistee assigned to an overseas base in Great Britain pointed a .45 weapon at a nuclear warhead. He was talked out of discharging his pistol, and it was later discovered that he had been receiving psychiatric therapy for deep depression for guite a period of time without his psychiatrist knowing about the nature of his work.

This led, of course, to guite a bit of attention being devoted by the Air Force to improving their screening for nuclear sensitive jobs. It led to the Human Reliability Program for the Air Force which later on became the Personnel Reliability Program for Defense After a 20-year interval, I am again involved in personnel security research. In looking over the program, I find many serious problems. Most important would be the high rate of first-term attrition of enlisted personnel due

Ë

**X** 

N.

ĺ.

No.

<u>с</u>

ĺ,

1.5

े. भि

ین د

3

to unsuitability that is found among those placed in high-risk jobs. About 20 percent of new recruits considered for assignment to either nuclear-related or intelligence specialties who receive background investigations are discharged within the first four years of service for cause, largely for reasons of unsuitability. Most will have been cleared for assignment to these duties.

ά,

SENATOR NUNN. Does that mean unsuitability for those high-clearance jobs or unsuitability for the service?

MR. FLYER. Let me just move into that with the next statement. Some of the people discharged for unsuitability are separated from service during early training. At the time of their discharge, many have been cleared for top secret and SCI duties. Over the last five years, 27,500 enlisted personnel considered for assignment to sensitive duties were later discharged for unsuitability. Most had received clearances for high-risk jobs.

You asked about the nature of the discharges. Let me read you some of the numbers here. Drug-related reasons, 4,000; character and behavior disorders, 1,900; homosexuality, 1,100; alcoholism, 600; discreditable incidents, 2,800; misconduct, disciplinary infractions, 1,600; court martials 1,100, and so on.

(At this point in the hearing, Senator Gore withdrew from the hearing room.)

SENATOR NUNN. What period of time is that over?

E.

Į.

ļ

È

i.

733

35

2

HR. FLYER. Over the last five years, 27,500. And almost all cleared for high-risk jobs. What is going on?

I think it's important to recognize here that most of the adverse information obtained through background investigation relates to behavior problems rather than to loyalty issues. That point was mentioned yesterday and has to be stressed again. In selecting and adjudicating this information, it is assumed that individuals with very unfavorable background histories are poor security risks. People likely to continue having behavioral problems are more likely to be compromised.

About 5 percent of those receiving background investigations are denied clearance for high-risk jobs, and primarily for behavioral reasons -- only 5 percent! Unfortunately, what the current personnel security process does not take into account is there are still wide differences in behavioral adjustment history and expected behavior among the 95 percent who are cleared.

The recruit who had been fired from a number of jobs prior to service entry is likely to receive a clearance indistinguishable from that of a fellow-recruit with an exemplary pre-service record, although much more likely to be discharged for unsuitability later on.

92

It is hardly likely that a process which identifies the bottom 5 percent of those receiving background investigations as behavior risks and everyone else as equally acceptable is providing the best candidates available for high-risk jobs. 国家には国際になってよ

£

5

E

Ř,

E

E

ĺ

Ē

È

5

5

Ę

Let me give you an example. We may have an applicant for military service who has been fired from four jobs prior to recruitment. Once because he didn't show up on time; another one because he was lazy; another one because he was suspected of theft; and another one because he was found smoking pot in the washroom. He goes to the 5th employer. The likelihood is that the 5th employer, if he does any employment checks, will turn him down, but not the Department of Defense. The Department of Defense will accept him and he will be enlisted.

If it happens that he gets into a track identified for a high-risk job and a background investigation is run, and, further, if the background investigation is accurate enough to identify these problems in his prior employment, he will probably still be cleared for top secret and for SCI duties.

I ask you, what kind of a system do we have here where an individual who is unlikely to get good employment outside Defense because of a lousy employment history will still pass muster fou our highly sensitive jobs?

SENATOR NUNN. Are you saying the Department of Defense doesn't look into past employment records, or are you saying

they disregard it --

2

MR. FLYER. (Interposing) I say if there is an accurate investigation and they identify the information, the likelihood is excellent that this individual would be adjudicated favorably.

I think we should look very carefully into the possibility of selecting people into high-risk jobs rather than selecting them out. For the enlisted population, the situation may be analagous in some respects to aptitude scores for recruit selection. Aptitude batteries are applied to all applications for military service, and only those recruits with the highest scores are considered for assignment to highly-technical positions.

Why can't we use the same approach in the personnel security screening process by obtaining assessment information from all recruits and then identifying those with the highest adjustment potential for assignment to high-risk jobs? Well, how do you do that? I believe it can be done.

Although it would be much too expensive to give background investigations to all recruits to identify those with the highest adjustment potential, we have other techniques that are less costly that provide much of the same information. Good self-report biographical history measures can provide a fair amount of the information now obtained through background investigations. For those who pass

this screen, the background investigation, of course, would still be needed. This would be accomplished for the most highlyqualified recruits and its purpose would be largely to check on the accuracy and completeness of the information obtained through the self-report procedures. This approach would clearly reduce the number of enlistees assigned to high-risk jobs who are later discharged for unsuitability, without question.

We are faced with the fact that there is very little information now available for evaluating and improving the effectiveness of Defense's personnel security program. Dollar costs per investigation and time to complete an investigation, while important, are not sufficient. There are other important components of the personnel security process that could and should be evaluated to improve the program. Such as the following:

Requirements: We heard some of this yesterday; how effective are Defense policies and practices in dermining who receives a background investigation? いたが、1995年の1995年の1995年のためのでは、1995年の1995年の1995年の1995年間である。 1995年間でのからの1995年の1995年の1995年間になったというので間である。

Timing: Are pre-screening procedures and background investigations being initiated at the right time? For some recruits, background investigations are run before they are actually in service, while in the delayed entry program. Some are run while in basic training; for some while in technical training pipelines. The problem is a certain amount of fallout. Some

of these people will never be assigned to a sensitive position and this can create a fair amount of waste. One has to balance the cost of unnecessary investigations against the time spent waiting for clearances to be processed after completion of training, but this is the type of job that operations analysts do all the time in evaluating cost-effectiveness.

Ş

25

ŝ

ر بر

j

2

2

5

ないなどと言語で

Coverage: Is there additional background information that could be collected for evaluation purposes? I was struck hearing this morning about the comparison of 12 references versus 24 references in evaluating the quality of background investigations, and kept on saying to myself, are they the right references? Did they ask the right questions? I think it takes more than just body count to determine the quality of a background investigation.

There are lots of other factors which I think should be included in a personnel security investigation that are not now included. Indicators of emotional stability, for example, are very important. I don't believe the current assessment system gets at that.

Procedures: How effective are our background investigation procedures in identifying important information in a person's background? Very little has been done to evaluate whether or not these investigations are quality investigations, whether they can actually find what's out there.

I am struck when I read background investigation records, and I have read plenty of them, that if the investigators just didn't get to

John Jones, they never would have found that the subject had this or that in his background. Twelve references recommend the subject unqualifiedly, and a 13th reference all of a sudden comes up with all kinds of negative information which is later confirmed. It makes you wonder about the quality of the investigative process.

K

5

8

į,

2

Accuracy: How reliable is the information obtained through personnel security investigations? It is along the same lines which I have been discussing.

Validity: Once you have accurate background information, what is it worth? I didn't hear anybody talk about validity in the last day or two. If you find out that an individual has committed certain kinds of offenses, had certain kinds of employment history, what does it all mean? Where are the data, where are the the actuarial data that tell us the probability of later behavior associated with these things if you find them in a good investigation? We are all on very, very thin ice in terms of what we are doing from an adjudication standpoint. Adjudicators are flying blind. They don't know the relationship between certain classes of behavior and later behaviors. And then ultimately,  $y \widehat{o} \widehat{u}$  have "utility." How best can personnel security information be used in making personnel security decisions? This is the reason why investigations are run. How do you take advantage of the information obtained in making

97

Satat Sature

effective personnel decisions? There are behavioral science and operations research techniques that would help answer these questions, questions applicable not only to Defense's personnel security programs but programs of other agencies as well. We need to learn a lot more about the personnel security process if our aim is to improve it and make it more effective.

We must also find ways to make up for the limitations of personnel security investigation procedures. We are faced with the fact that we cannot predict the behavior of individuals assigned to high-risk jobs with the degree of precision needed. The best that can be done is identify groups of individuals with different probabilities of behavior. Based on current screening procedures, groups of recruits can be identified at service entry whose first-term unsuitability discharge rates will vary from about 8 percent to over 50 percent.

While these rates could be improved to some extent, there would still be significant numbers of later failures even in the most highly-selected groups. It appears, therefore, that although we can reduce the incidence of unsuitability in high-risk jobs through the use of valid selection procedures, in no case can we bring it down to zero. Incidentally, there would still be many attractive jobs left for those not assigned to high-risk jobs. That point came
up earlier in terms of suitability versus security.

It's essential, therefore, to have a personnel security system that takes into account the need for continuing observation and periodic evaluation of the people assigned to high-risk jobs. A standardized monitoring and assessment program is needed with military and civilian supervisors and managers fully responsible for its effective administration.

In some respects, Defense's Personnel Reliability program already uses this approach. The monitoring programs need strengthening in the opinion of many defense experts.

I believe we should look very, very carefully at a recertification process on an annual basis, not necessarily a re-investigation, but involving the supervisor or the manager, to review, perhaps, with the individual in the high-risk job how things have gone within the last year, checking personnel records, checking medical records, looking for indicators that perhaps the individual today is a bit different than he was earlier.

To conclude, it's apparent that relatively little is known about the effectiveness of Defense's personnel security program, or that of other agencies. The large number of unsuitable discharges among those with high-level clearances indicates that all is not well.

I believe it essential that behavioral science and operations analysis techniques be applied to the personnel security

program to evaluate and improve current policies and procedures, and, I believe, the effort is long overdue. Thank you.

1

.

1.22202000 (1.2220222)

SENATOR NUNN. Thank you very much, Mr. Flyer. You told me some things I did not know about the military procedures and personnel. I am particularly interested in pursuing for just a moment the analysis that these various factors, previous job problems, so forth, even if known, would not prevent those people from not only becoming members of the service, but also adjudicated to be cleared for classified information.

Is that a policy or is that just the way it works?

MR. FLYER. There are a set of standards that are supposed to be applied. If an adjudicator sees a consistent history of irresponsibility among other factors, then a clearance could be denied, but the illustration I gave you is a correct one, and there are probably others even more serious where clearances are given. It just would not be considered serious enough, really, for an adjudicator to turn down such an individual. I know, I have been looking at a large number of background investigations.

SENATOR NUNN. Does DOD do much personnel security research? You indicated you don't believe renough is being done. They do a lot of studies on personnel but do they do personnel security types studies?

NR. FLYER. I'm not sure how much money is going into personnel research in terms of selection, utilization, promotion, retention, these types of things. Probably about \$60 million a year. Large amounts are going into it. Surprisingly, relatively little in personnel security research. I think it stems from a number of reasons:

ļ

Š

Į

ų

20

je Je V

3

þ

55

ļ

2

Ş

l S S

Ř

ł,

One, I don't think the managers of the personnel security programs have in the past laid out the requirements for their behavioral scientists to work on. In the last few years, there has been, I think, quite a bit of recognition, not only within Defense, but among other federal agencies as well that there is a role for behavioral scientists to play in personnel security research.

(At this point in the hearing, Senator Gore entered the hearing room.)

I think looking at the history, it's the investigators who have been running the personnel security program for a very, very long time, and I think they have done a very good job; I think they have done an excellent job, but I think there are tools available now, the tools that are common to personnel researchers, to operations analysts, to operations researchers, that would strengthen the program immeasurably.

<sup>1</sup> No. 1. Discretized for a state of the in v<del>ala</del> v <del>na jeda</del> pro na vizi

· · · -\_ \_ . . . \_ . . .

# APPENDIX C

ı

Ē

8

ŝ

E

2

с Ю

3

**)** (~)

P

to cato to contact a situ to to be a special sector of the sector of the

jä L

8

F

Ŕ

E

§.

Ę

f:

E

\_\_\_\_\_

in the contract of the state of the

.

۱

Statement of Personal History and Personnel Security Questionnaire 

	-	_	-			_		_				-	_		
	S	TATE	MEN	IT C	of pers	ONA	LH	ISTORY	,						
fact since t	All ques the state	stions s ements	and sta i made	teme: herei	nts must be in are subje	e compi ect to v	leted. rerifics	If the an ation. If r	awe mor	ire before enter er is "None," so re space is neede for official use o	state. Do not	: miss \arks	tate o	r omit n	aterial
I. (Print) FIRS	T MAME-1	MIDOLEN	IAMEMA	NOEN N.	AME (If any)-	-LAST NAI	NE				2	\$1	TATUS		
											CIVILIAN		LITARY C	M ACTIVE BUT	٧
3. ALIAS(CS), NIC	- WAAME(S)	. OR CHA								6. PERMANENT MAILE	NG ADDRESS				
S. DATE OF BIRT	H (Day, I	month,	yeer)	_ ]	PLACE OF BIR	TH (Cily,	Coun	ay, Sieie, ei	nd (	Country)	PLACE CERTIFICATE	RECCA	000		
HEIGHT		WEIGHT			COLOR OF EVI	65	COLO	OF HAIR	Τ	SCARS, PHYSICAL DE	FECTS, DISTINGUISHI	NG MAR	KS		<u></u>
MARCOTICS O	DR BARDITI	URATEST	🗋 YES							IVE YOU EVER BEEN AG					SUCH AS IF THE
7. U. S.	NATIY				CERTIFICATE	<b>10</b> .	IF DER		5' CI	ERTIFICATE NO(S)	DATE, PLACE, AND	OURT			······
	TES		-						-						
			>		NATIVE COUN	TRY	<u> </u>	DATE AND PO	RTO	¥ ENTRY	<u> </u>		0 YOU II	NTEND TO BE	COME
	l	<u> </u>			L							_ É	YES		NG
8.								LITARY SERV	_						
GRADE AND SER	_	ACTIVED			ARMED FORCES			PAYT VES		NO IF "YES," COMPL	ETE THE FOLLOWING		ATE CH	RAENT ACTI	/6
														STARTED	-
ARE YOU PRES		MEMBERO			AND COMPONE				-	NO IF "YES," CON		NG:			
							_ '								
MAVE YOU PRE	EVHOUSLY S	SERVED TO	DURS OF L	TXTENO	ED ACTIVE OUT	Y. DRAWIN	IG FULL	PAY, FROM WI	NCH	YOU WERE DISCHARG	ED OR SEPARATED TO	CIVILI	M STAT	IST [] YES	i 🗌 NO
COUNTRY		SERV		10	OMPONENT	FROM (2	Date)	TO (De(+)	T	TYPE DISCI	ARGES OR SEPARATI	018-61	ADE AN	O SERVICE	•0
				+		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	+		<u> </u>				
	-+			+		ł		<u> </u>	+						
1. El	DUCATIO	N (Acc	count	lor a	ll civilian	schoo	le an	d militar	 γ #	cademies. Do	o not include	<u>tervi</u>		hoola)	
	ONTH AND							E AND LOCATIO		<u></u>			NATE		
Phon		10	<u> </u>									YES	HQ		
		<b></b>		+				,					<b>└──</b> ┥		
,	— <del> </del> -			+-		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	····		<b> </b>		h	
	+			+		·		-				<b> </b>	<b>├</b> ──┤		
										,	,	<b> </b>	r1		··
and place	0), childi )7 61/8(8.	iren, bio II (hej	person i	ind sig is not	sters, even ti	houih d	OC 0 A 10	nd. Include	401	rente, perente-in- y others you resid t of entry, elien re	ded with or with	whor	n a clo	etion cert	onship ViAcate
REL		-			DATE AN	NO PLACE O	-	× [	_	PRESENT	ADDRESS, IF LIVING			U.S.C YES	CITIZEN NO
FATHER				$\top$											<u> </u>
MOTHER (Mai	den nem	ne)		1-											<u>†</u>
SPOUSE (Maid	fen nami	•)													<u>+</u>
OTHER (Speci	(y)			+-											<u> </u>
															<u> </u>
															ļ
											<b></b>				
		<b>_</b>													
															<u>†</u>
DD		39	8		LACES EDI	TION OF	F 1 MA	AT SE WHICH	<b>140</b>	SE USEO.	Exception to				L
			-	0102-	LI -004-2200						Approved by B	uresu	of th	e Budget	lune 1960

P

Ŭ,

Ę

3

j J

ļ

3

•

, K

۲.

This is the second

- included

ſ

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LIST ALL NESIDENCES FROM 1 JANUARY	1997		
	TO-	4	STREET AND HUNDER	atr	STATE OR	COLUMNY
		<u> </u>		+		
~	+	<u>+</u>		<u>+</u>		
	1	1				
		•				
	- <b> </b>	<u> </u>			·	
		<u> </u>		+		
	<u> </u>	+		<u> </u>		
<u>_</u>				+	<u>├</u>	
<u> </u>		·{····		h		
		·•	PAST AND/OR PRESENT MEMBERSHIP IN ORG	ANIZATIONS	L	
			TYPE	T	MEMO	
	NAME AND ADD		(Secial, traternal, professional, etc.)	OFFICE HELD	/804	- R0
						I
				<u> </u>		•
				<u> </u>		<b> </b>
			I		L	<u>i</u>
3 100				••	5, 0	·····
	ARE YOU HOW ON	HAVE YOU EVER BEEN	A MEMBER OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY U. S. A., OR ANY CO	HINUNST ORBANZATIONS ANYWHER	<b>C</b> 1	
	ARE YOU HOW OF	HAVE YOU EVER BEEN	A MENDER OF A FASCIST ORGANIZATIONT			
	OVERTHNOW OF	OUR CONSTITUTIONAL P	A MENSER OF ANY ORGANIZATION, ASSOCIATION, MOVEM ORM OF GOVELNIMENT, OR WHICH HAS ADOPTED THE ROLE (HEIR RIGHTS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED S NAL MEANST	T OF ADVOCATING OR APPROVING THE	COMMISSION OF A	CTS OF FOR
	ARE YOU HOW O	A HAVE YOU EVER BEEN	APPILIATED OR ASSOCIATED WITH ANY ORGANIZATION OF 1	THE TYPE DESCRIBED ABOVE AS AN A	BENT, OFFICIAL, O	R EMPLOYE
	ARE YOU NOW A HAVE BEEN NEW	SSOCIATING WITH, OR H INERS OF ANY OF THE O	AVE YOU ASSOCIATED WITH ANY INDIVIDUALS, INCLUDING RGANIZATIONS IDENTIFIED ABOVE?	RELATIVES, WHO YOU KNOW OR HA	VE REASON TO BE	
	HAVE YOU EVER PARTICIPATION TRIBUTION OF A	IN ANY ORGANIZATIONAL	E FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES OF ANY ORGANIZATION OF THE T SOCIAL, OR OTHER ACTIVITIES OF SAID ORGANIZATIOTS IN OTHER MATTER, PREPARED, REPRODUCED, OR PUBLISHI	OR OF ANY PROJECTS SPONSORED IN	THEM THE SALE	OFT OR
END EXTEN	TOP ASSOCIATION	WITH EACH, INCLUDING	DITIONAL SHEETS FOR A FULL DETAILED STATEMENT. IF A SPITICE OR POSITION HELD, ALSO INCLUDE DATES, PLACES, ROVE ORGANIZATIONS, THEN LIST THE INDIVIDUALS AND TH	AND CREDENTIALS NOW OR FORNER	LY HELD. IF ASSO	
PLACED ( INTINO) IF "YES"	N PROBATION OF traffic violation	HAVE YOU EVER BEEN O Is for which a fine of HE NATURE OF THE OFFE	NOICTED ON SUMMONED INTO COURT AS A DEFENDANT IN ROERED TO DEPOSIT BAIL OR COLLATERAL FOR THE VIDLA Forfaiture of \$25, or four was impound?) - INCLUD INSE OR VIDLATION. THE NAME AND LOCATION OF THE COL	ATION OF ANY LAW, POLICE REGULAT E AL' - COURT MARTIALS WHILE IN MU	ION OR ORDINANC	(esclud

no and the second of the secon

N.

БÇ.

{ •

R

223

17.7

3

阿切

IDREEN TANEL (Other than as a direct result of United States military duties)  IDREEN TANEL (Other than as a direct result of United States military duties)  ONTS ONTS ONTS ODATE ODATE D  ODA			AGE	OCCUPATION	one with whom a	ADDRESS		CITIZENSHIP
IDREIGN TRAVEL (Other than as a direct result of United States military duties)         OUTER TRAVEL (Other than as a direct result of United States military duties)         POWERS OF TRAVEL         POWERS OF TRAVEL         POWERS OF TRAVEL         POWERS OF TRAVEL         EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)         NOTES TO TRAVEL         NOTES OF TRAVEL         NOTES OF TRAVEL         Notes of the travel and and all periods of unemployment)         NOTES TO TRAVEL         NOTES TO TRAVEL         Notes of the travel and travel and all periods of unemployment)         NOTES TO TRAVEL         NOTES TO TRAVEL         NOTES TO TRAVEL         Notes the travel and travel and travel and travel and travel and travel         NOTES TO TRAVEL (DO TRAVEL AND TO TRAVEL AND TO TRAVEL         NOTES TO TRAVEL (DO TRAVEL AND TO TRAVEL AND TO TRAVEL AND TO TRAVEL AND			AGE			*UV#£33		
IDREIGN TRAVEL (Other than as a direct result of United States military duties)  Outes  Dates  Dates  Dates  Development you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)  EMPLOYMENT (Sho						······································		
TOREIGN TRAVEL (Other than as a direct result of United States military duties)  ONTEL ONTEL OUTER VISITO  PROPHICAL CONTENT VISITO PROPHICAL CONTENT VISITO PROPHICAL CONTENT VISITO  PROPHICAL CONTENT							<b></b>	
DATES         COUNTRY VISITED         PUBDE OF TRAVEL           PROF.         Ro.         Ro							•	
DATE     COUNTRY VISITED     PUBDOE OF TRAVEL       PROF.     RO     RO     PUBDOE OF TRAVEL       Import     RO     RO     RO     RO       Import     EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RO     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RO     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RO     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RO     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RO     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER AND RUME RUME AND RUMARE RUME RUME AND RUME AND RUME AND RUME AND RUME AND RUMARE RUME								
DATE     COUNTRY VISITED     PUBDOE OF TRAVEL       PROF.     RO     RO     PUBDOE OF TRAVEL       Import     RO     RO     RO     RO       Import     EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RO     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RO     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RO     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RO     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RO     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME of the periods of unemployment)       Import     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     RUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER AND RUME RUME AND RUMARE RUME RUME AND RUME AND RUME AND RUME AND RUME AND RUMARE RUME							~	
COUNTRY VISITED      PURCE OF TRAVEL      COUNTRY VISITED      PURCE OF TRAVEL      COUNTRY VISITED      PURCE OF TRAVEL      EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)      EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)      EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)      EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)      EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)      EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)      EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)      EMPLOYMENT (Show every employment you have had and all periods of unemployment)      EMPLOYMENT TO			IGN TRAVEL (O	ther than as	a direct result of	United States n	nilitary duties)	
			COUNT	TRY VISITED		PUR	POSE OF TRAVEL	
HONT IN AND YEAR  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME COT INMEDIA  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA  NAME COT INTEGRICA		++						
LOUTY M AND YEAR         NAME AND ADDRESS OF EURLOTER         NAME OF INACTOR TE BURENYSON         READDR FOR LEAVE           NOW-         NO		1						
NUMET HARD YEAR NUME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER HARD COF INMEDIA FE SUPERVISOR READD FOR LEAV READD								
HONT IN AND YEAR  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME COT INMEDIA  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA  NAME COT INTEGRICA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
HONT IN AND YEAR  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME COT INMEDIA TE SUPERVISOR  NAME COT INMEDIA  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA  NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER  NAME COT INMEDIA  NAME COT INTEGRICA		L			<u>l</u>			
NOR     NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER     NAME OF INHERGATE SUPERVISION     NAME OF INHERGATE       NO     NO     NO     NO     NO       NO     NO     NO     NO     NO </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>IT (Show even</td> <td>y employme</td> <td>nt you have had</td> <td>and all periods o</td> <td>of unemployme</td> <td>int)</td>			IT (Show even	y employme	nt you have had	and all periods o	of unemployme	int)
NID ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE?     YES     NO DO YOU MAYE       NID ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE?     YES     NO DO YOU MAYE       NOT YORENT OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR MAYE YOU EVER BEEN EMPLOYED BY A FORECAM     SOCIAL SECURITY NO.       NOT YORENT, TEM, OR AGENCY?     YES     NO DO YOU MAYE       NOT YORENT, TEM, OR AGENCY?     YES     NO DO YOU MAYE       SOCIAL SECURITY NO.     YES     NO NAYE YOU EVER BEEN EMPLOYED BY A FORECAM       OUTENMENT, TEM, OR AGENCY?     YES     NO NAYE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BONOT     YES       IND WITH ABSVER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS ''TS.'' EXPLAN IN ITEM 20     SOCIAL SECURITY NO.     SOCIAL SECURITY NO.       CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives, former employers, or persone living outside the United States or its Territorise.     STREET AND NUMBER     COV				IAME AND ADDRESS	OF EMPLOYER			REASON FOR LEAVE
ID ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE? _ YES _ NO DO YOU HAVE INV FOREIGN PROPERTY OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN EMPLOYED BY A FOREIGN COVERNMENT, FIRM, OR AGENCY? _ YES _ NO HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BOND? _ YES NO # THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20. CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives former employers, or persons living outside the United States or its Territories. NAME YEARS STREET AND NUMBER								<u> </u>
ID ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE? _ YES _ NO DO YOU HAVE MY FOREIGN PROPERTY OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR MAVE YOU EVER BEEN EMPLOYED BY A FOREIGN OVERNMENT, FIRM, OR AGENCY? _ YES _ NO HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BOND? _ YES NO # THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20. CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives, former employers, or persons living outside the United States or its Territories. NAME YEARS STREET AND NUMBER	- <u></u> ,							
ID ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE? _ YES _ NO DO YOU HAVE MY FOREIGN PROPERTY OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR MAVE YOU EVER BEEN EMPLOYED BY A FOREIGN OVERMMENT, FIRM, OR AGENCY? _ YES _ NO HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BOND? _ YES NO IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20. CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives, former employers, or persons living outside the United States or its Territories. NAME YEARS STREET AND NUMBER								
ID ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE? _ YES _ NO DO YOU HAVE MY FOREIGN PROPERTY OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR MAVE YOU EVER BEEN EMPLOYED BY A FOREIGN OVERNMENT, FIRM, OR AGENCY? _ YES _ NO HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BOND? _ YES NO # THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20. CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives, former employers, or persons living outside the United States or its Territories. NAME YEARS STREET AND NUMBER	<u>-</u>	++	<u></u>					
IND ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE?  YES NO DO YOU HAVE INTY FOREIGN PROPERTY OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REPLOYED BY A FOREIGN OVERNMENT, FIRM, OR AGENCY?  YES NO IN THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20  CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives, former employers, or persone living outside the United States or its Territories.  NAME YEARS STREET AND NUMBER		++		<u> </u>				
IND ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE?  YES NO DO YOU HAVE INTY FOREIGN PROPERTY OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REPLOYED BY A FOREIGN OVERNMENT, FIRM, OR AGENCY?  YES NO IN THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20  CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives, former employers, or persone living outside the United States or its Territories.  NAME YEARS STREET AND NUMBER		+						
ND ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE?       YES       NO       DO YOU HAVE         NMY FOREIGN PROPERTY OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REPLOYED BY A FOREIGN       SONE RAVE YOU EVER BEEN REPLOYED BY A FOREIGN         OVERNMENT, FIRM, OR AGENCY?       YES       NO       HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BONO?       YES         NO       IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20       NO       FOREIGN PROPERTY OR PROPERTY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20         CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives former employers, or persone living outside the United States or its Territories.       NAME       YEARS       STREET AND NUMBER       CTY       STATE			<u></u>					
ND ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE?       YES       NO       DO YOU HAVE         NMY FOREIGN PROPERTY OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REPLOYED BY A FOREIGN       SONE RAVE YOU EVER BEEN REPLOYED BY A FOREIGN         OVERNMENT, FIRM, OR AGENCY?       YES       NO       HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BONO?       YES         NO       IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20       NO       FOREIGN PROPERTY OR PROPERTY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20         CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives former employers, or persone living outside the United States or its Territories.       NAME       YEARS       STREET AND NUMBER       CTY       STATE							<u></u>	
DID ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE?       YES       NO       DO DO YOU HAVE         ANY FOREIGN PROPERTY OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BY A FOREIGN       DO NAVE       DO IN AVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BONO?       YES         NO       IN THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN TH ITEM 20.       INO       IN THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN TH ITEM 20.       INO       IN THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN TH ITEM 20.         CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives former employers, or persone living outside the United States or its Territories.       Include relatives former employers, or persone living outside the United States or its Territories.         NAME       YEARS       STREET AND NUMBER (Livit 3 credit and 5 character)       YEARS (Builders) preferred)       CTTY       STATE TERRITO								
ND ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE?       YES       NO       DO YOU HAVE         NMY FOREIGN PROPERTY OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REPLOYED BY A FOREIGN       SONE RAVE YOU EVER BEEN REPLOYED BY A FOREIGN         OVERNMENT, FIRM, OR AGENCY?       YES       NO       HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BONO?       YES         NO       IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20       NO       FOREIGN PROPERTY OR PROPERTY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN IN ITEM 20         CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives former employers, or persone living outside the United States or its Territories.       NAME       YEARS       STREET AND NUMBER       CTY       STATE								
DID ANY OF THE ABOVE EMPLOYMENTS REQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE?       YES       NO       DO DO YOU HAVE         ANY FOREIGN PROPERTY OR BUSINESS CONNECTIONS, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BY A FOREIGN       DO NAVE       DO IN AVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED BONO?       YES         NO       IN THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN TH ITEM 20.       INO       IN THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN TH ITEM 20.       INO       IN THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE IS "YES," EXPLAIN TH ITEM 20.         CREDIT AND CHARACTER REFERENCES (Do not include relatives former employers, or persone living outside the United States or its Territories.       Include relatives former employers, or persone living outside the United States or its Territories.         NAME       YEARS       STREET AND NUMBER (Livit 3 credit and 5 character)       YEARS (Builders) preferred)       CTTY       STATE TERRITO								
United States or its Territories. NAME YEARS STREET AND NUMBER STATE	OVERNMENT	i property or sus 1, firm, or agend	INESS CONNECTI	IONS, OR HAVE 1 ] NO HAVE YO	OU EVER BEEN EMPLOY	ED BY A FOREIGN	SOCIAL SECURITY	NO.
(List 3 credit and 5 character) KNOWN (Business uddress preferred) CfTY TERMIC	CRED Unit	IT AND CHARACTE and States or it	R REFERENCES : s Torritories.	(Do not inclu	ide relatives form	er employers, o	r persons living	outside the
	(Lin		weter)				CITY	STATE TERRITO
				<b> </b>	·			
	<u> </u>		- <u></u>	<b>├</b> ─── <b>├</b> ───			+	
	1			┟──┤───			+	
	1						+	
				i - 1 -			1	1

D. REMARKS	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	
:		· · · · ·		· -
				· · · · · ·
· ·				
I CERTIFY THAT GOOD FAITH : UN (See U. S. Code, II)	THE ENTRIES MADE BY ME ABOVE ARE IDERSTAND THAT A KNOWING AND WILL 110 18, section 1001)	TRUE, COMPLETE, AND COR FUL FALSE STATEMENT ON	RECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWL THIS FORM CAN BE PUNISHED BY	DGE AND BYLIEF AND ARE MADE I FINE OR IMPRISONMENT OR BOTI
I CERTIFY THAT GOOD FAITH : UN (See U. S. Code, n) DATE	THE ENTRIES MADE BY ME ABOVE ARE IDERSTAND THAT A KNOWING AND WILL 110 18, DECTION 1001) SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING FO		RECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWL THIS FORM CAN BE PUNISHED BY	DGE AND BELIEF AND ARE MADE I FINE OR IMPRISONMENT OR BOTI
		RM	RECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWL THIS FORM CAN BE PUNISHED BY SIGNATURE OF WITHE	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING FOI TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITNESS	RM	SIGNATURE OF WITHE	3
DATE	SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING FOI TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITNESS	AM MPLETED BY AUTHOR	SIGNATURE OF WITHE	s STION
DATE	TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITNESS	AM MPLETED BY AUTHOR	SIGNATURE OF WITHE	s STION
DATE	TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITNESS	AM MPLETED BY AUTHOR	SIGNATURE OF WITHE	s STION
DATE	TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITNESS	AM MPLETED BY AUTHOR	SIGNATURE OF WITHE	s STION
DATE	TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITHESS TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITHESS THIS SECTION TO BE CO SF DUTY ASSIGNMENT AND DEGREE OF CLASSIF	AM MPLETED BY AUTHOR	SIGNATURE OF WITHE ITY REQUESTING INVESTIG et. etc.) TO WHICH APPLICANT WILL	s STION
DATE	TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITNESS	RM MPLETED BY AUTHOR HED MATTER (top amorut, and	SIGNATURE OF WITHE ITY REQUESTING INVESTIG et. etc.) TO WHICH APPLICANT WILL	S NTION REQUIRE ACCESS
DATE	TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITHESS TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITHESS THIS SECTION TO BE CO SF DUTY ASSIGNMENT AND DEGREE OF CLASSIF	RM MPLETED BY AUTHOR HED MATTER (top amorut, and	SIGNATURE OF WITHE TY REQUESTING INVESTIG ref. elc.) TO WHICH APPLICANT WILL	S NTION REQUIRE ACCESS
DATE	TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITHESS TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITHESS THIS SECTION TO BE CO SF DUTY ASSIGNMENT AND DEGREE OF CLASSIF	RM MPLETED BY AUTHOR HED MATTER (top amorut, and	SIGNATURE OF WITHE TY REQUESTING INVESTIG ref. elc.) TO WHICH APPLICANT WILL	S NTION REQUIRE ACCESS
DATE DATE 21. BRIEF DESCRIPTION O BRIEF DESCRIPTION O	TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITHESS TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITHESS THIS SECTION TO BE CO SF DUTY ASSIGNMENT AND DEGREE OF CLASSIF	RM MPLETED BY AUTHOR HED MATTER (top amorut, and	SIGNATURE OF WITHE TY REQUESTING INVESTIG ref. elc.) TO WHICH APPLICANT WILL	S NTION REQUIRE ACCESS
DATE DATE 21. BRIEF DESCRIPTION O BRIEF DESCRIPTION O	TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITHESS TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITHESS THIS SECTION TO BE CO SF DUTY ASSIGNMENT AND DEGREE OF CLASSIF	RM MPLETED BY AUTHOR HED MATTER (top amorut, and	SIGNATURE OF WITHE TY REQUESTING INVESTIG ref. elc.) TO WHICH APPLICANT WILL	S NTION REQUIRE ACCESS

•

Mil/ACT ACT STATEMENT: AUTH: 80 (0466, 1006) and 1206; Pade Lan 86.28); Next Style: Account Statementy / Bu, take all as and for any location of anomy your methods in a second backward backward of a personal ready of the second statements of the second statement of the second backward of a personal ready of the second statements of the second statement of the second backward of a personal ready of the second statements of the second statement of

CITIZEN

NO

YES

# 

ROM	to	STREET AND NUMBER	CITY	STATE OR COUNTR
1 13 (cons	A.) RESIDEN	CE give all residences eince birth il not included in	item (5)	

DATE & PLACE OF	F MARRIAGE(S)		 
		 <u>↓</u>	 

MAYE YOU EVER MADE OR DO YOU PRESENTLY HAVE APPLICATION FOR EMPLOYMENT PENDING WITH ANY GOVERNMENT Agency other than those shown in Item 137 - IF 50, give agency, date of application and whether accepted.

THE YOU ALL TO MEET CURRENT PINANCIAL OBLIGATIONSY

QAT C	SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING FORM	
	TYPED HAME AND ADDRESS OF WITHESS	SIGNATURE
	1	L=

FORM P3985 REVAUG 79 (Supersedes P3935 REV NOV 78 which is obsolete)

2

.

SUPPLEMENT TO DD FORM 398

1993年,1993年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年,1998年

ET I

	06					011		ļ		FOR DIS	SUSE ON	Y
LAST		T NAME-MIDD	CURITY QUES		AIDEN N		(ny)					
. ALIASE	S			3	SOCIAL	SECURI	TY NUME	BER				
-	FBIATH	5. PLACE	OF BIRTH	L								
{Year-M	onth-Day)	a. CITY		b. COUN		STATE	d. COUI	NTRY				
a. CI	VILIAN C	GRADE	d. IF MILI			RMY	AIR FO	RCE				
ويتشار والم	ILITARY					AVY	MARINE	CURPS				
. IDENTI	FYING DATA	b. RACE			c. 1	HEIGHT	d. 1	WEIGHT	. C	OLOR OF HA	IR I.CO	LOR OF E
		ļ										
IA. U.S. CITIZEN	C. NATIVE	d. IF NATURA	ALIZED, CERTIF SI		F DERIVI				. DATE	g. PLACE	h. C	OURT
Π	NO	1		_		_	- <u></u>					
ALIEN	I. REGIST	ATION NO.	). CURRENT (	TIZENSI	TIP	]	. DATE C	OF ENTR	YI. POR	TOFENTRY	m. FOR	M 1-151 N
. FORME	R MILITARY	SERVICE			<b>.</b>					······	1	
FROM	ь. то	c. B	RANCH		d. RANK	. SERV	ICE NO.	(S)	I. TYPE	OF DISCHAR	GE	
						ļ						
			<u> </u>			┽┈╼╸			┟╌╼──			
IQ. FAMI	LY ASSOCIA	TES /Liss former.	mosher, spouse. a	ind childre	n. ; (See D	ETAILEI	INSTRU	CTIONS	for other	t to be listed.)		
	IONSHIP AN	NAME	b. DATE OF	C. PLAC	E OF BIR	тн		d. ADD	RESS			+. CITI
FATHER									<u> </u>			
MOTHER	(Meiden Nam	·······		+				+		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SPOUSE /	Maiden Name	1		ł								
								<u> </u>				
												1
	DENCES (List CTIONS.)	in chronological	order beginning w	vith the cu	rrent uddri	iss. Give	the inclusi	ive dates f	or each p	erioù of resider	ce.) (See 1	DETAILED
. DI TES		D. NUMBER	AND STREET		e. C1	TY			d. 51	ATE . COUNT	RY	I. ZIP CO
FROM	TO	1										<u> </u>
	Present			<u> </u>								
		·						······		_ <u>+</u>	,	<u> </u>
12. DUTI	OR EMPLO	MENT ORGAN	IZATION (Lut In	ch-onolog	ical order	beginnin	, with the	present,	each perio	d of employm	int. sei <u>f</u> en	ployment,
	mployment, c CTIUNS.)	nd/or unemplay	ment. Lies inclueix	v <b>e u. es</b> foi	r each pen	od. If dis	charged fo	or couse fi	om eny e	mployment, so	31410. See	DETAILEI
. DATE	5	L. NAME OF	EMPLOYER		c. A	DDRESS					E OF IMM	EDIATE
FROM	10	]								SUPE	AVISOR	
	Fresent						····· —					
		+										
<u> </u>		1										······
			RAVEL/CONNEC						FO	A DIS USE ON	ILY	
			IN THE FEDER				RETUR	N	PERSON	NEL INVEST	IGATION	CENTER
13 FEDI Yei No							AESUL'			E INVESTIGA		
	A HAVE Y		UN NEALUEU A							OHE, MAHYL	AND 212	בו
	a. HAVE Y b. HAVE Y THE U.S	OU TRAVELED	T?									
	HAVE Y     HAVE Y     THE U.S     C. DO YOU     NECTIO	DU TRAVELED GOVERNMEN HAVE ANY FO NS, OR HAVE Y NSULTANT FO		TY OR QU EMPLOY	ISINESS C	ACTED						

14,8. MARI	TAL STA	TUS	5	b. NAME(S) OI	FFORME	SPOUSE(S)	C. DATE(S) OF PRIC	R MARRIAGE(S)	d. PLACE	
MARRI	ED	U	NMARRIED	l						
LEGAL SEPAR		P	IVORCED	e. DATE OF D	IVORCE	f. COURT		g. LOCATION		
15. EDUCA	TION (LA	IT in	chronologica	order, beginnin	g with the	ast school at	ended.) (See DETAILED	INSTRUCTIONS.)		
. DATES	1		D. NAME O				DRESC		d. MAJOR	e. DEGREE
FROM	то		1							
			<u> </u>							
	+		+							
			1							
16. CREDI you du	T REFER	ENC	ES (Complete period.) (See )	e this item only DETAILED INS	(f vou lived TRUCTIO	overseas with VS.)	lin the past 5 years. List 3	individuels and/or fi	rms who have ext	
16. CREDI you du a. NAME	T REFER	ENC	CES (Complete period.) (See	this item only DETAILED INS	TRUCTIO	VS.)	in the past 5 years. List 3 NUMBER AND STREE		e. STATE	nded credit to f. ZIP CODI
you du	T REFER	ENC	L CES (Complete period.) (See )	DETAILED INS	TRUCTIO	VS.)				
you du	T REFER	ENC	CES (Complex) period.) (See	DETAILED INS	TRUCTIO	VS.)				
you du a. NAME	ring that ti		period.) (See (	b. ACCOUNT	TRUCTIO	vs.)	. NUMBER AND STREE	d. CITY	e. STATE	
you du a. NAME	ring that ti		period.) (See (	b. ACCOUNT	TRUCTIO	VS.)		d. CITY	e. STATE	
you du a. NAME 17. CHAR	ring that ti		period.) (See (	b. ACCOUNT	TRUCTIOI NUMBER	VS.)	. NUMBER AND STREE classmates, etc.) (See DET	d. CITY	e. STATE	1. ZIP CODI
you du a. NAME 17. CHAR	ring that ti		period.) (See (	b. ACCOUNT	TRUCTIOI NUMBER	VS.)	. NUMBER AND STREE classmates, etc.) (See DET	d. CITY	e. STATE	1. ZIP CODI
you du a. NAME 17. CHAR	ring that ti		period.) (See (	b. ACCOUNT	TRUCTIOI NUMBER	VS.)	. NUMBER AND STREE classmates, etc.) (See DET	d. CITY	e. STATE	1. ZIP CODI
you du a. NAME 17. CHAR	ring that ti		period.) (See (	b. ACCOUNT	TRUCTIOI NUMBER	VS.)	. NUMBER AND STREE classmates, etc.) (See DET	d. CITY	e. STATE	1. ZIP CODI

18. REMARKS (Attach additional sheets, if necessary.)

4

l

うてい

5.5.5

ļ

.....

ļ

.

Ż

			TIONS	in b. below, in which ; ou hold or h	ave held membership. )			
1. 6	NAME			ii. ADDRESS		ini, TYPE	IV. FROM	v. TO
		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>		╂────
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>  ·</u>
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,,,				
-		answer	e must be explained in accordance	ce with the DETAILED INSTRUCT	TONS.)			
Yet	s No			a member of the Community Barty	or new Community oronoizati			~
$\vdash$				h a member of the Communist Party h affiliated with any organization, as			persons which a	dvocate
		ove	rthrow of our constitutional for	m of government or which has add, heir rights under the Constitution o	sted the policy of advocating (	or approving the c	commission of ac	its of for
	MEDI	CAL/F	INANCIAL ("Yes" answers mus	t be explained in accordance with t	e DETAILED INSTRUCTIO	NS.)		
			ve you ever used any narcotic, di tept as prescribed by a licensed p	epressant, stimulant, hallucinogen (; physician?	o include LSD or PCP), or car	nnabis (to include	marijuana or ha	shish),
		b, Ha	ve you ever been involved in the	liegal purchase, possession, or sale	of any narcotic, depressant, st	amularit, hailucini	ogen, or cannabi	\$7
		с. На	s your use of alcoholic beverages	i (such as liquor, beer, wine) ever re	ulted in the loss of a job, erre	st by police, or tr	eatment for alco	maliam?
			ve you ever been a prtient (whet ichological, or personality disord	ther or not formally committed) in a sers?	ing institution primerily devo	ted to the treatme	ent of mental, en	notional
	┿╍┾		ve you ever petitioned to be dec					
21				Lis and fince with the DETAILED	INSTRUCTIONS.)			
Ye	3 No							
	+-+	(Y	ou may exclude minor traff. vic	or you were found not guilty? Inclu platfons for which a fine or forfelfur ed, cited, or held by law enforcemen	e of \$100 or less was imposed	.) You ever been co	nvicted, fined by	r or fort
			ng to a receral, State, or other (	udicial authority or adjudicated a y-		ninguent (regerci	ess or whether t	
			vr case has been "saaled" or oth					
		C. Ha	ve you ever been detained, held ) jurisdiction of envicity, state, f	in, or served time in any jail or prise ederal, or foreign country?	·			
		C. Ha	ve you ever been detained, held ) jurisdiction of anv city, state, f ve you ever been awarded, or are	in, or served time in any jail or prise lederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentenc	e, parole or probation, or awa	iting any action o	n charges agains	t you?
	DATE	C. Ha	ve you ever been detained, held ) jurisdiction of envicity, state, f	in, or served time in any jail or prise lederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentenc	e, parole or probation, or awa	iting any action o		t you?
 	DATE	C. Ha	ve you ever been detained, held ) jurisdiction of any city, state, f ve you ever been swarded, or and lii. NATURE OF OF FENSE OF	in, or prived time in any jail or prise lederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentence R iii, NAME AIND LOCATIO	e, perole or probetion, or ewe	iting any action o	n charges agains	t you?
 	DATE	C. Ha	ve you ever been detained, held ) jurisdiction of any city, state, f ve you ever been swarded, or and lii. NATURE OF OF FENSE OF	in, or prived time in any jail or prise lederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentence R iii, NAME AIND LOCATIO	e, perole or probetion, or ewe	iting any action o	n charges agains	t you?
	DATE	C. Ha	ve you ever been detained, held ) jurisdiction of any city, state, f ve you ever been swarded, or and lii. NATURE OF OF FENSE OF	in, or prived time in any jail or prise lederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentence R iii, NAME AIND LOCATIO	e, perole or probetion, or ewe	iting any action o	n charges agains	t you?
	DATE	C. Ha	ve you ever been detained, held ) jurisdiction of any city, state, f ve you ever been swarded, or and lii. NATURE OF OF FENSE OF	in, or prived time in any jail or prise lederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentence R iii, NAME AIND LOCATIO	e, perole or probetion, or ewe	iting any action o	n charges agains	t you?
	CENTIF ADE IN	c. Ha the d. Ha	Ve you ever been detained, held a jurisdiction of any city, state, f ve you ever been swarded, or arc iii. NATURE OF OF FENSE OF VJOLATION T THE EN MILES MADE BY ME FAITH, I JNDERSTAND THA	in, or served time in any jail or prise ederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentence R iiii, NAME AIND LOCATIO OF POLICE AGENCY E ARE TRUE, COMPLETE, AND A AT A KNOWING AND WILL FUL	e, perole or probetion, or ewe	V. PENALTY POSITION	n charges agains IMPOSED OR O IN EACH CASE	THER C
220 I	E 9 TIF ADE IN PRISO	c. Ha the d. Ha	VE YOU EVER been detained, held purisdiction of any city, state, f ve you ever been awarded, or and ii. NATURE OF OFFENSE OF VJOLATION J T THE EN MILES MADE BY ME FAITH. I JNDERSTAND THA I OR BOTH. (See U.S. Code, TH	in, or prived time in any jail or prise ederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentence of POLICE AGENCY 	e, perole or probetion, or ewe N iv. NAME AND LOCATION OF COURT 	V. PENALTY POSITION F MY KNOWLED	n charges agains IMPOSED OR D IN EACH CASE	THER C
	CENTIF ADE IN	c. Ha the d. Ha	VE YOU EVER been detained, held purisdiction of any city, state, f ve you ever been awarded, or and ii. NATURE OF OFFENSE OF VJOLATION J T THE EN MILES MADE BY ME FAITH. I JNDERSTAND THA I OR BOTH. (See U.S. Code, TH	in, or served time in any jail or prise ederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentence R iiii, NAME AIND LOCATIO OF POLICE AGENCY E ARE TRUE, COMPLETE, AND A AT A KNOWING AND WILL FUL	e, perole or probetion, or ewe N iv. NAME AND LOCATION OF COURT 	V. PENALTY POSITION F MY KNOWLED	n charges agains IMPOSED OR D IN EACH CASE	THER C
	E 9 TIF ADE IN PRISO	c. Ha the d. Ha	VE YOU EVER been detained, held purisdiction of any city, state, f ve you ever been awarded, or and ii. NATURE OF OFFENSE OF VJOLATION J T THE EN MILES MADE BY ME FAITH. I JNDERSTAND THA I OR BOTH. (See U.S. Code, TH	in, or prived time in any jail or prise ederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentence of POLICE AGENCY 	e, perole or probetion, or ewe N iv. NAME AND LOCATION OF COURT 	V. PENALTY POSITION F MY KNOWLED	n charges agains IMPOSED OR D IN EACH CASE	THER C
	E 9 TIF ADE IN PRISO	c. Ha the d. Ha	VE YOU EVER been detained, held purisdiction of any city, state, f ve you ever been awarded, or and ii. NATURE OF OFFENSE OF VJOLATION J T THE EN MILES MADE BY ME FAITH. I JNDERSTAND THA I OR BOTH. (See U.S. Code, TH	in, or prived time in any jail or prise ederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentence of POLICE AGENCY 	e, perole or probetion, or ewe N iv. NAME AND LOCATION OF COURT 	V. PENALTY POSITION F MY KNOWLED	n charges agains IMPOSED OR D IN EACH CASE	THER C
	E 9 TIF ADE IN PRISO	c. Ha the d. Ha	VE YOU EVER been detained, held purisdiction of any city, state, f ve you ever been awarded, or and ii. NATURE OF OFFENSE OF VJOLATION J T THE EN MILES MADE BY ME FAITH. I JNDERSTAND THA I OR BOTH. (See U.S. Code, TH	in, or prived time in any jail or prise ederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentence of POLICE AGENCY 	e, perole or probetion, or ewe N iv. NAME AND LOCATION OF COURT 	V. PENALTY POSITION F MY KNOWLED	n charges agains IMPOSED OR D IN EACH CASE	THER C
	E 9 TIF ADE IN PRISO	c. Ha the d. Ha	VE YOU EVER been detained, held purisdiction of any city, state, f ve you ever been awarded, or and ii. NATURE OF OFFENSE OF VJOLATION J T THE EN MILES MADE BY ME FAITH. I JNDERSTAND THA I OR BOTH. (See U.S. Code, TH	in, or prived time in any jail or prise ederal, or foreign country? e you now under surpended sentence of POLICE AGENCY 	e, perole or probetion, or ewe N iv. NAME AND LOCATION OF COURT 	V. PENALTY POSITION F MY KNOWLED	n charges agains IMPOSED OR D IN EACH CASE	THER C

· •

hina

# PERSONNEL SECURITY QUESTIONNAIRE (BI/SBI) DD FORM 398

# DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a)

AUTHORITY: Internal Security Act of 1950 and Executive Orders 10450, 12036, and 12065

<u>PRINCIPAL PURPOSES</u>: To obtain background information for personnel security investigative and evaluative purposes in connection with the making of security determinations with respect to (1) employment or retention in employment in sensitive. Department of Defense civilian positions or for other positions that have been designated as requiring a determination as to whether employment in or assignment to such positions is clearly consistent with the interests of national security, (2) membership in the Armed Forces of the United States, or (3) access to classified information.

ROUTINE USES: (1) Determine the scope of a personnel security investigation.

(2) Provide evaluators or adjudicators with personal history information relevant to personnel security determinations.

The information may be disclosed to other Federal agencies that are authorized under specific statutory or Executive authority to make personnel security deter-

A copy of the report of personnel security investigation will be maintained by the Personnel Investigators Center of the Defense Investigative Service Headquarters and may be used in future employment or security clearance determinations. You have the right to obtain a copy of the report of investigation and/or to request amendment to the file.

MANDATORY OR VOLUNTARY DISCLOSURE AND EFFECT ON INDIVIDUAL OF NOT PROVIDING INFORMATION:

Voluntary. Failure, however, to furnish all or part of the information requested may result in (1) nonselection for employment, membership in the Armed Forces, or certain other duties requiring a determination as to whether employment in or assignment to such duties is clearly consistent with the interests of national security. (2) denial of access to classified information, or (3) reassignment to nonsensitive duties. Disclosure of your social security number is necessary to fulfill requirements of the above cited authorities. It is intended that this notice be retained for personal records.

# GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING DD FORM 398

THE PERSONNEL SECURITY QUESTIONNAIRE (PSQ) IS AN IMPORTANT DOCUMENT AND MUST BE COMFLETED WITHOUT MISSTATEMENT OR OMISSION OF IMPORTANT FACTS. ALL ENTRIES ARE SUBJECT TO VERIFICATION BY INVESTIGATION.

• FORMS MUST BE TYPED OR PRINTED. ALL COPIES MUST BE LEGIBLE.

• IF ADDITIONAL SPACE IS REQUIRED FOR ANY ITEM, USE ITEM 18. "REMARKS." IF SPACE PROVIDED IN ITEM 18 IS INSUFFICIENT, USE SEPARATE SHEET(S) OF PLAIN WHITE PAPER.

ALL QUESTIONS MUST BE ANSWERED, IF AN ITEM IS NOT APPLICABLE INDICATE "NOT APPLICABLE" OR "N/A." DO NOT USE THE TERM
 "UNKNOWN" FOR DATES OF EMPLOYMENT OR RESIDENCE. IF THIS INFORMATION IS NOT KNOWN PRECISELY, GIVE THE DATE AS BEST
 YOU CAN RECALL FOLLOWED BY APPROPRIATE QUALIFYING LANGUAGE, E.G. "DATE ESTIMATED" OR "APPROX."

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:

 ALL DATES SHOULD BE ENTERED IN TERMS OF YEAR AND MONTH USING THE LAST TWO DIGITS OF THE YEAR AND A TWO DIGIT NUM-BER REPRESENTING THE MONTH. E.G., JANUARY 1979 WOULD BE ENTERED AS 79-01 AND DECEMBER 1979 WOULD BE ENTERED AS 79-12.

NAMES OF PERSONS SHOULD BE ENTERED IN THE FOLLOWING ORDER: LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, AND MIDDLE INITIAL.

ADDRESSES SHOULD INCLUDE THE NUMBER AND STREET, CITY, STATE OR COUNTRY, AND ZIP CODE.

 BEFORE ENTERING ANY INFORMATION ON THE FORM, <u>READ CAREFULLY</u>, THE DETAILED INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDED WITH THE FORM. <u>IT IS PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT</u> THAT YOU PROVIDE INFORMATION IN RESPONSE TO ITEMS 11, 12, 13.0., 15 and 17 THAT COVER THE REQUIRED TIME PERIOD; I.E., MOST RECENT 5 YEARS FOR BACKGROUND INVESTIGATIONS AND MOST RECENT 15 YEARS FOR SPECIAL BACKGROUND INVESTIGATIONS EXCEPT THAT IN BOTH INSTANCES IF YOU ARE UNDER THE AGE OF 21, THE TIME PERIOD IS THE LAST 3 YEARS OR THE PERIOD SINCE YOUR 16th BIRTHDAY, WHICHEVER IS SHORTER. IF AT ANY TIME DURING COMPLETION OF THE FORM A QUESTION ARISES THAT DOES NOT APPEAR TO BE COVERED BY THE DETAILED INSTRUCTIONS, CONTACT THE INDIVIDUAL OR OFFICE THAT PROVIDED YOU WITH THE FORM.

• BEFORE SIGNING THE FORM, READ IT CAREFULLY AND CHECK EACH ITEM AGAINST THE DETAILED INSTRUCTIONS.

GPU : 1984 0 - 435-095

, DD FORM 398 4 81 MAR

2 1 21

.

Ę

Ę

N

R

Ę

# MEPS Army Pre-Screening Questionnaires

12

School Scool Scool School School School

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY MILITARY PERSONNEL CENTER PERSONNEL SECURITY SCREENING DETACHMENT FORT LEONARD WOOD, MISSOURI 65473

### SECURITY SCREENING QUESTIONNAIRE

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 (ADVISEMENT STATEMENT): The authority for requesting the following information is Executive Orders 10450, 11652, and 9397. The requested information is to be used in making security determinations for membership in the Armed Forces of the United States, access to classified information, or for making personnel management decisions. The routine uses are for the determination of the scope and coverage of a personnel security investigation, checking the conduct of investigative leads to assure completeness of the investigations, and provide evaluators or adjudicators with basic personal history information relevant to security and suitability determinations. The information may be disclosed to other Federal agencies and administrative personnel involved in processing action that evolve during the course of these determinations. <u>COMPLETION OF THIS</u> FORM IS VOLUNTARY. Failure on your part, however, to furnish all or part of the information requested may result in your not being accepted for your chosen MOS or enlistment option.

GENERAL INFORMATION CONCERNING THIS FORM: Completion of this processing questionnaire represents an initial security screening by representatives of the US Army. If reviewed favorably, additional security screening will follow, to include a detailed background investigation conducted by the Defense Investigative Service. This investigation may encompass extensive checks with appropriate law enforcement agencies, credit and financial institutions, school teachers and administrators, friends, neighbors, employers and other persons who may know and be willing to provide information concerning you. Upon completion of all screening and investigations, a determination will be made concerning your eligibility for access to sensitive intelligence information, and/or the MOS or option for which you are applying. You are advised that falsification of this questionnaire may result in the loss of your MOS/enlistment option, denial of a security clearance or access to sensitive information, reassignment or possible separation from the military service. ANY ADVICE YOU MAY HAVE RECEIVED CONCERNING THE WITHHOLDING OF APPLICABLE INFORMATION SHOULD BE DISREGARDED. It will be in your best interest to complete honestly and accurately all questions below by circling the appropriate "YES" or "NO" response. If you answer "YES" co any question, fully explain your answer in the REMARKS section of this form, or on a separate piece of paper.

#### QUESTIONS

- 1. Do you or any member of your family:
  - a. Hold citizenship in any country, other than the US? YES NO
  - b. Hold US Citizenship by other than birth (been naturalized)? YES NO
  - c. Have relatives residing outside the United States?

DAPC-EPHD FORM 169-R (Rev 5 March 1982)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (When filled in)

YES

NO

	وغوادين بسقو						,
any individua so, give comp	l of f lete i dress,	oreig denti citi	es of affection, oblight birth or who is no lfying data, to inclu lzenship, extent of o ction).	ot a US ci ide full n	tizen7 (If ame, occupa-	YES	ло
	ed bus		Lal interests, holdin ; own property or ba	-	-	YES	NO
2. Have you ing short dur	ever t ation	(less	lled out≤ide of the l s than one month) vis	sits to CA	NADA or		
EXICO? (Exc	:lude t	ravel	L under US Government	t orders/d	irection).	YES	NO
frequent or m	regular	bast	imented with, <u>EVEN Of</u> is, possessed, grown, rugs or substances?			YES	NO
Marijuana	YES	NO	Amphetamines (Speed)	) YES NO	Cocaine	YES	NO
<u>Hashish</u>	YES	NO	Hallucinogens (LSD, STP, PCP)	YES NO	Oplum	YES	NO
THC	YES	NO	Barbiturates	YES NO	Heroin	YES	NO
any other hal	oit for	ming; edat:	re-type drugs, such a , dangerous or illega ive, stimulant, trans t/gas sniffing, etc?	al drug/su quilizer,	bstance?	YES YES	NO NO
			NY OF THE ABOVE QUES ND COMPLETE THE STAT			łE	,
Type(s)	truge/s	ubst	ance used		·		
· Date of 1	first v	156		•			
Date of 1	last us						
Frequency weekly,	y of us		a1ly,		· ·		
Approx to	otal ti	ines :	used				_
4. Bave you	W7 46 i			alling by			
s. Requ ment problem officials as	ired me s, or b a resu	been ult o	1 treatment or couns questioned/detained f the use of alcohol tances or dangerous	by law end ic beverag	orcement	YPC	NO
s. Requ ment problem officials as	ired me s, or b a resu	been ult o	questioned/detained	by law end ic beverag	orcement	YES	Ю
s. Requ ment problem officials as	ired me s, or b a resu	been ult o	questioned/detained f the use of alcohol	by law end ic beverag	orcement	YES	סא

868

Ś

SSSBax SSS and ANSO A BOSS AND ASSA

i R

Ř

國家

	Purchased	TOT OT ROIG ALC	CONDIIC DEVEL					
narcoti		s or dangerous		ages, cannabi ors?		YES	NO	• •
c. beverage	Illegally es, cannabi	transported, ma s, narcotic sub	anufactured of bstances or da	<b>r sold al</b> coho angerous drug	lic s?	YES	NO	
5. Have	e you ever:							
medical profess:	authority, ional or so	red, visited, psychiatrist, chool counselor or, personal or	psychologist for any nerve	, sòcial work ous, mental,	er,	•	•	-
so, give	e dates, pl	aces, addresses sons in REMARK	s, names of c			YES	NÖ	.'
		suicide whether		e or on purpo	se?	YES	NO	•
	-	lved in or sccu	•	•			•	
	ipe, window	peeping, streat				YES	NO	
d.	Been invol	lved in any home	osexual act s	ince age 15?		YES	NO	
	Been invol	lved in or accu	sed of adulte	ry, cohabitat	ion,	YES	NO	
session delingu	Experience is, garnish ient paymen	ed financial pr acnts, collecti ts or been refu	ons, judgemen sed credit, e	ts, bad check tc)7 LIST ALI	4	123	, ,	•
f. session delingu CURRENT	Experience is, garnish ient paymen	ents, collection	ons, judgemen sed credit, e	ts, bad check tc)7 LIST ALI	4	YES	NO	•
f. session delinqu CURRENT student	Experience as, garnish ent payment PINANCIAL loans): MONTHLY	NAME & ADDRES	ons, judgemen sed credit, e o include car	ts, bad check tc)7 LIST ALL payments and REASON	DATE LA	YES	NO DATE	OF FINAL
f. session delinqu CURRENT student	Experience as, garnish ent payment PINANCIAL loans):	aents, collecti ts or been refu OBLICATIONS (t	ons, judgemen sed credit, e o include car	ts, bad check tc)7 LIST ALI payments and	i 	YES	NO DATE	OF FINAL MENT
f. session delingu CURRENT student	Experience as, garnish ent payment PINANCIAL loans): MONTHLY	NAME & ADDRES	ons, judgemen sed credit, e o include car	ts, bad check tc)7 LIST ALL payments and REASON	DATE LA PAYMENT	YES	NO DATE	
f. session delinqu CURRENT student	Experience as, garnish ent payment PINANCIAL loans): MONTHLY	NAME & ADDRES	ons, judgemen sed credit, e o include car	ts, bad check tc)7 LIST ALL payments and REASON	DATE LA PAYMENT	YES	NO DATE	
f. session delinqu CURRENT student LANCE E E	Experience as, garnish ent payment FINANCIAL loans): MONTHLY PAYMENTS Left any while unde	employment under	ons, judgemen sed credit, e o include car S S er less than f or suspicion	REASON FOR DEBT	DATE LA PAYMENT DUE	YES	NO DATE	
1. session delinqu CURRENT student LANCE E E g. tions,	Experience as, garnish ent payment FINANCIAL loans): MONTHLY PAYMENTS Left any while unde thout noti	employment unde	ons, judgemen sed credit, e o include car S S er less than f or suspicion	REASON FOR DEBT	DATE LA PAYMENT DUE	YES	NO DATE	
f. session delinqu CURRENT student LANCE E E tions, quit vi picion	Experience as, garnish ent payment FINANCIAL loans): MONTHLY PAYMENTS Left any while unde thout noti etc).	employment under	ons, judgemen sed credit, e o include car S S er less than f or suspicion under investi	REASON FOR DEBT '	DATE LA PAYMENT DUE	YES	NO DATE PAY	

ÿ

3

,

5

**国** (\*\* ) **:**..'

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (When filled in)

١

from any bra k. Part l. Been m. Rece of Military	anch of the Armed For icipated in illegal of a member of a street	or violent demonstrations?	YES · YES	NO NO
from any bra k. Part l. Been m. Rece of Military	anch of the Armed For icipated in illegal of a member of a street	ces? or violent demonstrations?		-
1. Been m. Rece	a member of a street		YES	NO
m. Rece of Military		t or other type cang?		
of Military		e of benet cype Build .	YES	NO
	Justice, to include	tion under the Uniform Code Article 15, Captain's Mast	YES	NO
	a processed for employ Covernment Agency for	yment with or investigated or any reason?	YES	NO
o. Been	a member of the Peac	ce Corps?	YES	NO
p. Held or Civilian		e with the Federal Government	YES	- NO
q. Been suspended?	denied or had a secu	urity clearance revoked/	YES	NO
the Covernme ment of the member of an	ent of the United Stat United States by uncomy group or closely as	ce or violence to overthrow tes or alter the form of Govern onstitutional means; or been a ssociated with any individual(; those of the United States?		NO
s. Been out of wedla		someone to become pregnant	YES	NO
cited, held, JUVENILE AND	questioned, or conv TRAFFIC OPPENSES, w	you have been detained, arrest icted of law violations, INCLU hether guilty or not, and the ciude civil court appearances.	DING	
MONTH/YEAR	CITY/STATE	OFFENSE/REASON	DISPOSI	TION
			<sup>-</sup>	·
·	·····		<b></b>	
	ومحارات ومعنى بوجي المحاجبين ويرب المحا		<del></del>	
·				
e	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
versely refl	re any other instance lect upon-your respon cel should be brought	s in your life which you feel sibility, reliability, or matu out at this time?	would ad- rity, or YES	NO

Ņ

いい

5

. .

4

and I

方法情報を移作し、特徴性化化化し

ためのという。

٩,

ŧ

Ě

j

É

Ň

Fully explain any "YES" answers, by citing the question number then your explanation. If you continue this section on a plain sheet of paper, indicate the following information on the top of the continuation sheet: FULL NAME, SSAN, DATE & PLACE OF BIRTH (DPOB).

5

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (When filled in)

同時の音楽の言です。

ち ちょうちょう ちょうしん ちょう

につい

The second second second

•					
<b>:</b> •	· · · ·		· •		•
	',		•	• •	
*****	******	*****	*****	****	*
I certify that I ha Advisement statemen above are true, and memory and belief. statements or omnis my dismissal from m the US Army. I fur implied or otherwis	at on Page 1 of thi complete and corr I understand that saions of pertinent by MOS and/or enlis ther certify that	s form, and the ect, to the be knowing and w information m thent option a I have not red	hat the answer est of my know willful false may result in and/or unit an ceived any adv	s vledge, nd/or vice,	3
FULL NAME		•	SSN	_ ~	
DATE OF BIRTH		TE OF BIDTH			
DATE OF BIRIN		E OF BIRTH			
	TOUTIDE OF INTERN	TRUNG			
S ************************************	nd have informed th	aspect of this he applicant of			•
I certify that I han named individual an providing incomplet	www.assed each nd have informed th te or erroneous inf	aspect of this he applicant of formation.	f the conseque		•
I certify that I han named individual ar	www.assed each nd have informed th te or erroneous inf	aspect of this he applicant of formation.	f the conseque		*
I certify that I han named individual an providing incomplet	we discussed each ad have informed th te or erroneous inf viewer/ SI # Da	aspect of this he applicant of formation.	f the conseque		*
I certify that I ha named individual ar providing incomplet ? Signature of Interv	we discussed each ad have informed th te or erroneous inf viewer/ SI # Da	aspect of this aspect of this applicant of formation.	f the conseque	ences of	*
I certify that I ha named individual an providing incomplet 3 Signature of Interv ************************************	Ave discussed each ad have informed th te or erroneous inf viewer/ SI # Da te the terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te te te terroneous inf te te t	aspect of this aspect of this applicant of formation. Ate and Place of the security Dec APPROVED BY	f the conseque of Interview	ences of	*
I certify that I ha named individual an providing incomplet 3 Signature of Interv ************************************	Ave discussed each ad have informed th te or erroneous informed th viewer/ SI & Da tettettettettettettettettettettettettet	aspect of this aspect of this applicant of formation. Ate and Place of the security Dec APPROVED BY	f the conseque of Interview ************************************	nces of	×
I certify that I ha named individual an providing incomplet 3 Signature of Interv ************************************	Ave discussed each ad have informed th te or erroneous inf viewer/ SI # Da te the terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te te te terroneous inf te te t	aspect of this aspect of this applicant of formation. Ate and Place of the security Dec APPROVED BY	f the conseque of Interview ************************************	nces of	*
I certify that I ha named individual an providing incomplet 3 Signature of Interv ************************************	Ave discussed each ad have informed th te or erroneous inf viewer/ SI # Da te the terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te te te terroneous inf te te t	aspect of this aspect of this applicant of formation. Ate and Place of the security Dec APPROVED BY	f the conseque of Interview ************************************	nces of	*
I certify that I ha named individual an providing incomplet 3 Signature of Interv ************************************	Ave discussed each ad have informed th te or erroneous inf viewer/ SI # Da te the terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te te te terroneous inf te te t	aspect of this aspect of this applicant of formation. Ate and Place of the security Dec APPROVED BY	f the conseque of Interview ************************************	nces of	*
I certify that I ha named individual an providing incomplet 3 Signature of Interv ************************************	Ave discussed each ad have informed th te or erroneous inf viewer/ SI # Da te the terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te te te terroneous inf te te t	aspect of this aspect of this applicant of formation. Ate and Place of the security Dec APPROVED BY	f the conseque of Interview ************************************	nces of	*
I certify that I ha named individual an providing incomplet 3 Signature of Interv ************************************	Ave discussed each ad have informed th te or erroneous inf viewer/ SI # Da te the terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te te te terroneous inf te te t	aspect of this aspect of this applicant of formation. Ate and Place of the security Dec APPROVED BY	f the conseque of Interview ************************************	nces of	*
I certify that I ha named individual an providing incomplet 3 Signature of Interv ************************************	Ave discussed each ad have informed th te or erroneous inf viewer/ SI # Da te the terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te te te terroneous inf te te t	aspect of this aspect of this applicant of formation.	f the conseque of Interview ************************************	nces of	*
I certify that I ha named individual an providing incomplet 3 Signature of Interv ************************************	Ave discussed each ad have informed th te or erroneous inf viewer/ SI # Da te the terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te terroneous inf te te te te terroneous inf te te t	aspect of this aspect of this applicant of formation.	f the conseque of Interview ************************************	nces of	*

Ę.

12) 1 16

K

HOUSDORED ALLENVERTS ENTRY PERSON

2

2 2 2

2

5

211

6

۰,

.

· •

 $\dot{\Omega}_{\rm f}$ 

SCI Access Eligibility Drug Waiver Application

FROM: T0: Commander. US Army Central Personne?

0: Commander, US Army Central Personnel Security Clearance Facility

1. I understand that the possession, use, sale or transfer of marijuana, narcotics, dangerous drugs or other controlled substances is against Army policy and may constitute unlawful conduct and may result in my being declared ineligible for access to SCI (Sensitive Compartmented Information).

2. I understand that a request for waiver of prior drug involvewent will be considered only one time and, if granted, will be limited to and apply only to such involvement as I specifically describe in this request.

3. I understand that my statements regarding prior drug involvement are subject to further investigation and that any deliberate misrepresentation, falsification or ommission of material fact may be a basis for a determination of SCI access ineligibility.

4. I understand that the information I furnish regarding prior drug involvement will be used only in connection with the determination of my eligibility for access to SCI and the waiver, if granted, shall not be applicable for any other purpose.

5. I will refrain from any future personal possession, use, sale or transfer of any and all types of marijuana, narcotics, dangerour drugs or other controlled substances unless prescribed by competent medical authority. I will also avoid attendance at any activity where such substances may be present of in use.

6. This request is made freely, voluntarily, and of my own will because of my desire to be granted eligibility for access to SCI.

7

DATE

R

Contraction and the work of the second

Ĩ

Ţ

いど

[...

t.

Signature of requester

DATE\_\_\_\_

Signature and title of witness

Incl 1 to DAPC-EFMD FORM 169-R

and the second second

SI SOP	/
	2
1 - 2 - 5-7	B
	9
A COLLER	

Ę.

1

2

Υ.

Ċ,

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ É

5

5-4

## DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U.S. ARMY MILITARY PERSONNEL CENTER 2441 EISCNHOWER AVENUE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22331

# PERSONNEL RELIABILITY PROGRAM SCREENING QUESTICINAIRE

DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT: The authority for requesting the following information is Army Regulation 50-5. The principal purpose is to determine if you are eligible to enlist for training in a nuclear-related Military Occupational Speciality. The routine use of data obtained is used to determine acceptability for the Personnel Reliability Program. DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION REQUESTED IS VOLUNTARY. However, if you do not provide the desired information, you may be denied the nuclear-related Military Occupational Specialty (NDS) training-of-choice option.

STANDARDS FOR NUCLEAR DUTY: Due to the destructive power of nuclear weapons and the grave implications of either accidental or deliberate detonation of these weapons, only those persons who have demonstrated unswerving loyalty, integrity, trustworthiness, and discretion of the highest order will be assigned to nuclear duties. All persons performing this duty will be continually evaluated, are obligated to report any factors or conditions which may adversely affect their performance, and will be promptly removed from nuclear weapons duty if there is any question about their judgment or reliability. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

# PART I (TO BE CONFLETED BY SECURITY INTERVIEWER)

NAM	SSN SSN		
the assi	Last First MI been interviewed using criteria listed below to evaluate his/her accepta Nuclear Weapons Personnel Reliability Program (PRP) for training leading ignment to perform duties of a Critical or Controlled position. (Circle a wer - YES or NO)	to	an
la.	Objects to handling, participation in the firing, or military use of nuclear weapons.	YES	ю
1ь.	FOR USE BY 95B MOS APPLICANTS CILY: Objects to performing security duties in the vicinity of nuclear weapons stored, fired, or staged for military use,	YES	140
2.	Required (or has an approved) waiver for a moral or administrative disqualification contained in AR 601-210, Table 4-1, line C, D, E, F, G, H, I, (K-USAR CALY), M, N, O, Q, W, X, Y, AA, AB, AC, AE, AG, AH, or AI; preservice alcohol or preservice drug abuse (If yes, circle applicable items).	YES	Ю
3.	Has experimented with cannabis or a derivative (Marijuana, Hashish), within the last 90 days, but did not continue to use it, even on an infrequent basis. (Icolated, experimental cannabis use may be waived to authorize an enlistment commitment for training in a nuclear-related (PRP) MDS). (See Part II, Item 4)	YES	द्य
4.	Has illegally used or experimented (even once) with any other drugs or controlled substances, whether or not charged or convicted of same. (includes, Cocaine, Heroin, Speed, Morphine, LSD, FCP, Mescaline, Benzadrine, Valium, and similar substances) (Use as prescribed by a physician is not illegal).	YES	NO
סעים	ATHE FURT 189-R (PARULOUS editions obsolete) FOR OFFICIAL USE ATLY (	-inch	filled in

(Rev 1 Oct 53) FIGURE 5-1

PART II (TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT WITH ASSISTANCE OF SECURITY INTERVIEWER)  1. I have read and understand the Privacy Act Statement and Standards for NUCLEAR DUTY shown on page 1.  2. I have not received any advice, implied or otherwise, to cmit information during this interview.  3. To the best of my knowledge, memory, and belief, the above eligibility determination is accurate and valid.  4. I request that my isolared experimental use of cannabis be waived, and that I be authorized training in a nuclear-related NOS as an enlistment commitment. (Cross out if not applicable)  5. I understand that connabis use in the Service is illegal and always disqualifying and will result in my removal from duty with nuclear weapons and reclassification to another skill. I will not use drugs of any kind while in the Delayed Intry Program and/or after reporting for active duty, (unless prescribed by medical authority) if permitted to enlist in NOS	٩	SI SOP
<ul> <li>I have read and understand the Privacy Act Statement and Standards for NUCLEAR DUTY shown on page 1.</li> <li>I have not received any advice, implied or otherwise, to omit information during this interview.</li> <li>To the best of my knowledge, memory, and belief, the above eligibility determination is accurate and valid.</li> <li>I request that my isolated experimental use of cannabis be waived, and that I be authorized training in a nuclear-related MOS as an enlistment commitment. (Cross out if not applicable)</li> <li>I understand that cannabis use in the Service is illegal and always disqualifying and will result in my removal from duty with nuclear weapons and reclassification to another skill. I will not use drugs of any kind while in the Delayd Ditry Program and/or after reporting for active duty, (unless prescribed by medical authority) if permitted to enlist in NDS</li></ul>	- <b>**</b> **	· • * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
<ul> <li>I have read and understand the Privacy Act Statement and Standards for NUCLEAR DUTY shown on page 1.</li> <li>I have not received any advice, implied or otherwise, to omit information during this interview.</li> <li>To the best of my knowledge, memory, and belief, the above eligibility determination is accurate and valid.</li> <li>I request that my isolated experimental use of cannabis be waived, and that I be authorized training in a nuclear-related MOS as an enlistment commitment. (Cross out if not applicable)</li> <li>I understand that cannabis use in the Service is illegal and always disqualifying and will result in my removal from duty with nuclear weapons and reclassification to another skill. I will not use drugs of any kind while in the Delayd Ditry Program and/or after reporting for active duty, (unless prescribed by medical authority) if permitted to enlist in NDS</li></ul>		•
<ul> <li>NUCLEAR DUTY shown on page 1.</li> <li>2. I have not received any advice, implied or otherwise, to cmit information during this interview.</li> <li>3. To the best of my knowledge, memory, and belief, the above eligibility determination is accurate and valid.</li> <li>4. I request that my isolated experimental use of cannabis be waived, and that I be authorized training in a nuclear-related MOS as an enlistment commitment. (Cross out if not applicable)</li> <li>5. I understand that cannabis use in the Service is illegal and always disgualifying and will result in my removal from duty with nuclear weapons and reclassification to another skill. I will not use drugs of any kind while in the Delayed Dutry Program and/or after reporting for active duty. (unless prescribed by medical authority) if permitted to enlist in MOS</li></ul>	PAR	II (TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT WITH ASSISTANCE OF SECURITY INTERVIEWER)
during this interview.         3. To the best of my knowledge, memory, and belief, the above eligibility determination is accurate and valid.         4. I request that my isolated experimental use of cannabis be waived, and that I be authorized training in a nuclear-related MOS as an enlistment commitment. (Cross out if not applicable)         5. I understand that cannabis use in the Service is illegal and always disqualifying and will result in my removal from duty with nuclear weapons and reclassification to another skill. I will not use drugs of any kind while in the Delayed Entry Program and/or after reporting for active duty, (unless prescribed by medical authority) if pemitted to enlist in MDS	1.	
determination is accurate and valid.         4. I request that my isolated experimental use of cannabis be waived, and that I be authorized training in a nuclear-related MOS as an enlistment commitment. (Cross out if not applicable)         5. I understand that cannabis use in the Service is illegal and always disqualifying and will result in my removal from duty with nuclear weapons and reclassification to another skill. I will not use drugs of any kind while in the Delayed Entry Program and/or after reporting for active duty. (unless prescribed by medical authority) if permitted to enlist in MOS	2.	I have not received any advice, implied or otherwise, to cmit information during this interview.
be authorized training in a nuclear-related MOS as an enlistment commitment. (Cross out if not applicable) 5. I understand that cannabis use in the Service is illegal and always disgualifying and will result in my removal from duty with nuclear weapons and reclassification to another skill. I will not use drugs of any kind while in the Delayed Entry Program and/or after reporting for active duty, (unless prescribed by medical authority) if permitted to enlist in NDS	3.	To the best of my knowledge, memory, and belief, the above eligibility determination is accurate and valid.
and will result in my removal from duty with nuclear weapons and reclassification to another skill. I will not use drugs of any kind while in the Delayed Entry Program and/or after reporting for active duty, (unless prescribed by medical authority) if permitted to enlist in NDS	4.	be authorized training in a nuclear-related MOS as an enlistment commitment.
PART III (TO EE COMPLETED BY SECURITY INTERVIEWER) Based upon evaluation of the above factors, waiver for cannabis use is (approved) (disarproved) (not applicable) and: (Name) meets the initial screening criteria for the PRP. If the remaining specific NOS require- ments are met, (he) (she) is eligible for nuclear-related NOS training. (Name) does not meet PRP requirements as noted and is therefore ineligible to enlist for nuclear-related MOS training. I certify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information. Signature of Interviewer DATE Place of Interview	5.	and will result in my removal from duty with nuclear weapons and reclassificatio: to another skill. I will not use drugs of any kind while in the Delayed Entry Program and/or after reporting for active duty, (unless prescribed by medical
PART III (TO EE COMPLETED BY SECURITY INTERVIEWER) Based upon evaluation of the above factors, waiver for cannabis use is (approved) (disarproved) (not applicable) and: (Name) meets the initial screening criteria for the PRP. If the remaining specific NOS require- ments are met, (he) (she) is eligible for nuclear-related NOS training. (Name) does not meet PRP requirements as noted and is therefore ineligible to enlist for nuclear-related MOS training. I certify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information. Signature of Interviewer DATE Place of Interview		
PART III (TO EE COMPLETED BY SECURITY INTERVIEWER) Based upon evaluation of the above factors, waiver for cannabis use is (approved) (disarproved) (not applicable) and: (Name) meets the initial screening criteria for the PRP. If the remaining specific NOS require- ments are met, (he) (she) is eligible for nuclear-related MOS training. (Name) does not meet PRP requirements as noted and is therefore ineligible to enlist for nuclear-related MOS training. I certify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information. Signature of Interviewer DATE Place of Interview		
Based upon evaluation of the above factors, waiver for cannabis use is (approved) (disapproved) (not applicable) and: (Name) meets the initial screening criteria for the PRP. If the remaining specific MOS require- ments are met, (he) (she) is eligible for nuclear-related MOS training. (Name) does not meet PRP requirements as noted and is therefore ineligible to anlist for nuclear-related MOS training. I certify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information. Signature of Interviewer DATE Place of Interview		(Signature)
Based upon evaluation of the above factors, waiver for cannabis use is (approved) (disapproved) (not applicable) and: (Name) meets the initial screening criteria for the PRP. If the remaining specific MOS require- ments are met, (he) (she) is eligible for nuclear-related MOS training. (Name) does not meet PRP requirements as noted and is therefore ineligible to anlist for nuclear-related MOS training. I certify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information. Signature of Interviewer DATE Place of Interview	***1	***************************************
Based upon evaluation of the above factors, waiver for cannabis use is (approved) (disapproved) (not applicable) and: (Name)	~~~~	
(disapproved) (not applicable) and: (Name)	PAR	111 (TO BE COMPLETED BY SECORITY INTERVIEWER)
the initial screening criteria for the PRP. If the remaining specific HOS requirements are met, (he) (she) is eligible for nuclear-related HOS training. (Name) does not meet PRP requirements as noted and is therefore ineligible to anlist for nuclear-related MOS training. I certify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information. Signature of Interviewer DATE Piace of Interview		
ments are met, (be) (she) is eligible for nuclear-related MOS training.         (Name)       does not meet PRP requirements as noted and is therefore ineligible to anlist for nuclear-related MOS training.         I certify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information.         Signature of Interviewer       DATE       Place of Interview	(dis	approved) (not applicable) and: (Name) meets
is therefore ineligible to anlist for nuclear-related MOS training. I certify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information. Signature of Interviewer DATE Place of Interview		
is therefore ineligible to anlist for nuclear-related MOS training. I certify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information. Signature of Interviewer DATE Place of Interview	(N)~	
I certify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information.		
individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information.		herefore incligible to chlist for nuclear-related MDS training.
individual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing incomplete or erroneous information.		herefore incligible to enlist for nuclear-related MDS training.
Signature of Interviewer DATE Piace of Interview	is t	-
•	is t **** I œ indi	ertify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named vidual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing
•	is t **** I œ indi	ertify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named vidual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing
•	is t **** I œ indi	ertify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named vidual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing
PLYARKS :	is t **** I œ indi	ertify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named vidual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing
	is t **** I ce indi incc ****	ertify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named vidual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing mplete or erroneous information.
	is t **** I ce indi incc ****	ertify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named vidual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing mplete or erroneous information.
· · ·	is t **** I ce indi incc ****	ertify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named vidual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing mplete or erroneous information.
	is t **** I ce indi incc ****	ertify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named vidual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing mplete or erroneous information.
	is t **** I ce indi incc ****	ertify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named vidual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing mplete or erroneous information.
	is t **** I ce indi incc ****	ertify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named vidual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing mplete or erroneous information.
	is t **** I ce indi incc ****	ertify that I have discussed each aspect of this form with the above-named vidual and have informed the applicant of the consequences of providing mplete or erroneous information.

\*

#

MEPS Navy Pre-Screening Questionnaires

Ì

ļ

· S

: ```

ŝ

ļ

E

E

Ĭ

ŀ

がいた。 「ため」 「ためのない」 「ためののない」 「ためののない」 「ためのののない」 「ためののない」 「ためののない」 「ためののない 「ためののない 「

SUGGESTED QUESTIONS TO BE ASKED OF FOTENTIAL CRYPTOLOGIC TECHNICIAN AND INTELLIGENCE SPECIALIST CANDIDATES:

Have you ever been arrested, held, cited, detained or questioned by any law enforcement agency? (Include any juvenile offenses, or charges that were ultimately dismissed, withdrawn or you were not found guilty).

For NAVET and OSVET include: Have you ever been court-martialed or received non-judicial punishment (Captain's Mast, Article 15, office hours) while in the military service?

Have you ever used or experimented with drugs, narcotics or marijuana? (This includes even one use).

Have you ever bought or sold illegal drugs, narcotics or marijuana?

Are any members of your immediate family involved in any way in use or trafficking in marijuana, illegal drugs or narcotics? Do any of your family mumbers have any arrest record pertinent to illegal drugs?

Do you or any member of your immediate family have a history of excessive use of alcohol? (For candidate ask about arrests for minor in possession and DWI/DUI).

Bave you ever declared bankruptcy or had any item of goods repossessed?

Bave you ever had a check returned for insufficient funds? Explain.

What are your current financial obligations? (Provide total amount owed to each account and monthly payments against the account).

Are any accounts in arrears?

Bave you ever been fired from a job or guit to avoid being fired? 445.

Are you eligible to be rehired at each and every place you have been employed:

, Have you ever been treated for any nervous, emotional or mental disorders?

ないために、「「「「「「「「」」」」というないでは、「「ない」」」

Is there a history of epilepsy in your immediate family?

Have you ever been expelled or suspended from any educational institution (junior high through college) for cause?

Are any members of your immediate family citizens of a country other than the Worked States?

Do you have any close friends or relatives who are residing in a foreign country?

Do you have any friends or relatives who are not U.S. citizens?

Have you ever travelled outside the United States? To what country(ies)?

Have you ever been associated with any group or individual that advocates the use of force or violence to alter the Government of the United States?

Have you ever participated, either actively or passively, in a sexual relation with someone of your own sex?

Have you ever been involved in sexual activity that you consider to have Leen unusual, abnormal or perverted? 語などのとなるのであるとなっていたとう

Are there any questions previously asked that would be answered "yes" by your current or ex-spouse?

Are there any incidents or situations in your background which might reflect on your loyalty or suitability for access to sensitive information?

Is there any individual such as a former employer, school official, coworker, neighbor, landlord, girl friend, school friend or creditor who might provide adverse of negative information about you or your family during the course of a full-field background investigation?

Don't be reluctant to go further into any of the above questions to explore questionable or unusual circumstance. If a "yes" answer is given to any question ask for full details of the matter.

Adjudicate the information you obtain objectively. Ask yourself a question. Is this individual the type with whom we can trust our nation's secrets? If you were the official solely responsible for security of the highly sensitive defense information at your duty station would you feel secure in certifying this person for full access knowing any compromise would be your responsibility?

S

USN ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE SCREENING CERTIFICATE NAVCRUIT 1133/7 (Rev. 12/82) (Replaces items 35a-35c of DD Form 1966) This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See Section VIII of this form for Privacy Act statement.

などの生態になったないたと、自然などのなからない。自然ないないないないというなななかたろうできまたとうなどできたものです。

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

部にた

5

Ŀ

i

F

ŀ

k.

Τś

Drug abuse by Navy personnel is prohibited. The purpose of this certificate is to obtain information which will help you and the Navy determine your enlistment and program eligibility. You should be completely honest in completing this certificate. If you are truthful now, no action can or will be taken against you as the result of any information you may reveal. Your statement will be used only by the Navy and will not be released to any outside agency or person not authorized by you. You are cautioned that should you conceal alcohol or drug abuse information at this time and it is discovered after your enlistment, punitive action may be taken against you based upon the false statements you have made.

II. DEFINITIONS

Alcohol Abuse. The use of alcohol to an extent that it has an adverse effect on the user's health or behavior, family, community, or the Navy, or leads to unacceptable behavior as evidenced by an alcohol-related incident (or incidents).

<u>Alcohol/Drug Dependent</u>. Having a psychological and/or physiological reliance on alcohol or drugs resulting from use on a periodic or continuing basis. (See also "Physical/Psychological Dependence.")

<u>Alcohol-related Incident</u>. Any incident in which alcohol is a factor. Examples Include driving while intoxicated (DWI), driving under the influence (DUI), drunk-in-public and other types of alcohol-related incidents, particularly those requiring medical care, or involving a public or domestic disturbance.

Alcoholic. An individual who is alcohol dependent.

Depressants. Sedative-hypnotic drugs of diverse chemical structure, all capable of inducing varying degrees of behavioral depression. Depending on dose, can cause sedative, tranquilizing, hypnotic (sleep) or anesthetizing effect. Most common categories of depressants include: barbiturates (e.g., phenobarbital, secobarbital), tranquilizers or the benzodiazepines and methaqualone.

Drug Abuse. Any illicit use or possession of drugs.

Drug Abuser. One who has illicitly used, or possessed, any narcotic substance, marijuana, or other drug.

Drugs. Marijuana, narcotics and all other controlled substances as listed in Schedules I-V established by Section 202 of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970, Title 21, U.S.C, Section 812 as updated and republished under the provisions of that Act.

Drug Trafficking or Supplying. The wrongful distribution (includes sales or transfer) of a controlled substance, and/or the wrongful possession or introduction into a military unit, base, station, ship, or aircraft of a controlled substance with the intent to distribute.

Hallucinogens/Psychedelics. A group of diverse, heterogenous compounds all with the ability to induce visual, auditory, or other hallucinations and to separate the individual from reality. Depending on substance and dose, can cause disturbances in cognition and perception. Most common categories are: LSD; mescaline and peyote; psilocybin; and psychedelic amphetamine variants (STP, MDA). Although a unique drug, for purposes of this certificate phencyclidine (PCP) will be labeled in this general drug class.

ANNEX A TO DD FORM 1966 DATED

MEPS Air Force Pre-Screening Questionnaires

46

K

[

ſ.

k

Ę

ڊ ا

قدد التذط

F

ŀ

s.	SENSITIVE JOBS C	HECKLIST		
his is necessary because t id security are major prere The questions below are ny information you fail to r abartassamit and result in	r consider require an extensive investigation into the job requires access to secret, sensitive inform quisites, provided to assist Air Force representatives in d eveal will most certainly be discovered in the for your assignment to another skill or possible disc	your background before the Air Force can confirm your assistation and or involves high risk assignments where human feelding whether or not you are eligible for one of the sens imal investigation which will be conducted and cause some charge.	reliability itive skills personal	
This information is for O Completion of Sections (, ensitive jobs.	IFFICIAL USE ONLY and will be maintained and II, III and IV of this form is mandatory for all appl	used in strict confidence in accordance with federal law a licants for enlistment regardless of whether they are volun	nd regulation in the regulatio	ons.
ECTION I	TO BE COMPLETED B	APPLICANT	YES	NO
	IC BEVERAGES EXCESSIVELY T			
CO YOU HAVE EXCESS	IVE DEBTS T HAVE YOU EVER FILED FOR B	SUPPORT OF THE NATIONAL OBJECTIVES T ANKRUPTCY T HAVE YOU EVER BEEN ARRESTED OR THAT YOU ARE NOT MAKING PAYMENTS ON		
HAVE YOU EVER FAIL RRESPONSIBILITY 7	ED TO PAY CHILD SUPPORT OR ALIMONY OR	SHOWN ANY OTHER EVIDENCE OF FAMILY		
DO YOU DISPLAY EVID ONFIRMED BY MEDICAL	ENCE OF EXCESSIVE WORRY, NERVOUS DISO AUTHORITY 1	RGER OR ANXIETY REACTION WHICH CAN BE		
ARE YOU CURRENTLY HE CARE OF ONE OF TH	SEEING A PSYCHIATRIST OR PSYCHOLOGIST E ABOVE DURING THE LAST FOUR YEARS ?	FOR PERSONAL PROBLEMS OR BEEN UNDER		
IS THERE ANY HISTOR	Y OF MISCONDUCT AT SCHOOL WHICH WOULD CURITY JOBS & IEXAMPLE: EXCESSIVE TRU	) INDICATE YOU ARE NOT QUALIFIED FOR DUTY ANCY, SUSPENSION, EXPULSION, ETC.)		
DO YOU THINK YOUR TOU FOR A JOB INVOLVIN	EACHERS, COUNSELLORS, PRINCIPALS OR C IG THE SECURITY OF THE UNITED STATES I	DEAN, WHEN CONTACTED, WILL RECOMMEND		
IS THERE ANY HISTOR	Y OF DIFFICULTIES AT WORK WHICH WOULD CURITY JOBS T LEXAMPLE: THEFT, PROBLEM	INDICATE YOU ARE NOT QUALIFIED FOR DUTY IS WITH OTHER EMPLOYEES, MISCONDUCT, ETC.)		
0. DO YOU THINK PREVI		RECOMMEND YOU FOR A JOB INVOLVING THE		
1. IS THERE A HISTORY RE NOT GUALIFIED FOR	OF MISCONDUCT IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD, 1	TOWN, SCHOOL, ETC., WHICH WOULD INDICATE YOU		
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY	DUTY INVOLVING HIGH RISK SECURITY JOB Y CONDUCT, DESTRUCTION OF PRIVATE PRO	IS T (EXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING		
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRUG	Y CONDUCT, DESTRUCTION OF PRIVATE PRO JESTIONS CONCERN POSSESSION, SUPPLY, US 35. A "YES" ANSWER TO "C" HAS NO BEAK	IS T (EXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING	IONED	OR
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRU THER DANGEROUS DRU THER DANGEROUS DRU THER DANGEROUS DRU A, HAVE YOU EVE	Y CONDUCT, DESTRUCTION OF PRIVATE PRO DESTIONS CONCERN POSSESSION, SUPPLY, US 95. A "YES" ANSWER TO "C" HAS NO BEAR CURATE JOB CLASSIFICATION, ADDITIONAL ER USED NARCOTICS, LSD OR OTHER DANGER	IS T LEXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING DERTY, BREAKING AND ENTERING, ETC.) SE WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION OF MARIJUANA, NARCO NG ON YOUR ELIGIBILITY TO ENLIST OR BE COMMISS , SCREENING WILL OCCUR DURING BASIC TRAINING O ROUS DRUGS T	IONED	OR
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRU INFT IS ESSENTIAL TO AC A. HAVE YOU EVE B. HAVE YOU EVE	Y CONDUCT, DESTRUCTION OF PRIVATE PRO DESTIONS CONCERN POSSESSION, SUPPLY, US 95. A "YES" ANSWER TO "C" HAS NO BEAR CURATE JOB CLASSIFICATION, ADDITIONAL ER USED NARCOTICS, LSD OR OTHER DANGER	IS T IEXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING OPERTY, BREAKING AND ENTERING, ETC.) SE WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION OF MARIJUANA, NARCO ING ON YOUR ELIGIBILITY TO ENLIST OR BE COMMISS , SCREENING WILL OCCUR DURING BASIC TRAINING O ROUS DRUGS T R OTHER DANGEROUS DRUGS OR MARIJUANA T	IONED	OR
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRUG OF IS ESSENTIAL TO AC A. HAVE YOU EVE B. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU EVE CETLITY The almost response y persons who furth used a mariting and that used a built area of discharged fail	Y CONDUCT, DESTRUCTION OF PRIVATE PRO DESTIONS CONCERN POSSESSION, SUPPLY, US GS. A "YES" ANSWER TO "C" HAS NO BEAR CURATE JOB CLASSIFICATION, ADDITIONAL ER USED NARCOTICS, LSD OR OTHER DANGEF ER BEEN A SUPPLIER OF NARCOTICS. LSD OF ER USED MARIJUANA ANYTIME IN THE PAST S of the true to the best of my knowledge. I juily in marijuant or other drugs. If it is established riter isolations me for the shill area for which I enlist in the Air Force. Air Force officials will decide	IS TIEXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING PERTY, BREAKING AND ENTERING, ETC.) SE WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION OF MARIJUANA, NARCO NG ON YOUR ELIGIBILITY TO ENLIST OR BE COMMISS , SCREENING WILL OCCUR DURING BASIC TRAINING O ROUS DRUGS F R OTHER DANGEROUS DRUGS OR MARIJUANA F SIX MONTHS F reductiond that certain skill areas in the Air Force cannot b r enlistment, commission or appointment that I have used d , um appointed or classified into. I may be reclassified into reclassification or discharge, if required.	e performa	
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRUG IF IS ESSENTIAL TO AC A. HAVE YOU EVE B. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU EVE CETTIFY The almost response y persons abor frame used in the international and them used in	Y CONDUCT, DESTRUCTION OF PRIVATE PRO DESTIONS CONCERN POSSESSION, SUPPLY, US GS. A "YES" ANSWER TO "C" HAS NO BEARD CURATE JOB CLASSIFICATION. ADDITIONAL ER USED NARCOTICS, LSD OR OTHER DANGEF ER BEEN A SUPPLIER OF NARCOTICS. LSD OF ER USED MARIJUANA ANYTIME IN THE PAST S to use the to the best of my knowledge. I fully in manifum or other units. If it is established offer isomatics me for the skill area for which I entist	IS T IEXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING (PERTY, BREAKING AND ENTERING, ETC.) (SE WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION OF MARIJUANA, NARCO NG (N YOUR ELIGIBILITY TO ENLIST OR BE COMMISS , SCREENING WILL OCCUR DURING BASIC TRAINING O ROUS DRUGS T ROTHER DANGEROUS DRUGS OR MARIJUANA T SIX MONTHS T Indersiand that certain skill areas in the Air Force cannot b r enlistment, commission or appointment that I have used of , an appointed or classified into. I may be reclassified into.	e performa	
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRUG UT IS ESSENTIAL TO AC A. HAVE YOU EVE B. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU	Y CONDUCT, DESTRUCTION OF PRIVATE PRO DESTIONS CONCERN POSSESSION, SUPPLY, US GS. A "YES" ANSWER TO "C" HAS NO BEAR CURATE JOB CLASSIFICATION, ADDITIONAL ER USED NARCOTICS, LSD OR OTHER DANGEF ER BEEN A SUPPLIER OF NARCOTICS, LSD OF ER USED MARIJUANA ANYTIME IN THE PAST S ro use the to the best of my knowledge. I fully in narijuanu or other drugs. If it is established offer issuiding me for the shill area for which I enlist in the Air Force. Air Force officials will decide NAME AND SSAN OF APPLICANT	IS TIEXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING PERTY, BREAKING AND ENTERING, ETC.) SE WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION OF MARIJUANA, NARCO NG ON YOUR ELIGIBILITY TO ENLIST OR BE COMMISS , SCREENING WILL OCCUR DURING BASIC TRAINING O ROUS DRUGS F R OTHER DANGEROUS DRUGS OR MARIJUANA F SIX MONTHS F reductiond that certain skill areas in the Air Force cannot b r enlistment, commission or appointment that I have used d , um appointed or classified into. I may be reclassified into reclassification or discharge, if required.	e performa	
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRUG INF IS ESSENTIAL TO AC A. HAVE YOU EVE B. HAVE YOU EVE C.	Y CONDUCT, DESTRUCTION OF PRIVATE PRO DESTIONS CONCERN POSSESSION, SUPPLY, US GS. A "YES" ANIWER TO "C" HAS NO BEAK CURATE JOB CLASSIFICATION. ADDITIONAL ER USED NARCOTICS, LSD OR OTHER DANGEF ER BEEN A SUPPLIER OF NARCOTICS. LSD OF ER USED MARIJUANA ANYTIME IN THE PAST S of use the to the best of my krewledge. I fully to harijuand of other drugs. If it is established offen isolations me for the shill area for which I collist in the Air Force. Air Force utilicals will decide NAME AND SSAN OF APPLICANT TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I LANY OF THE APPLICANT'S FAMILY (SPOUSE	IS T IEXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING PERTY, BREAKING AND ENTERING, ETC.) SE WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION OF MARIJUANA, NARCO NG ON YOUR ELIGIBILITY TO ENLIST OR BE COMMISS. SCREENING WILL OCCUR DURING BASIC TRAINING O ROUS DRUGS T R OTHER DANGEROUS DRUGS OR MARIJUANA T SIX MONTHS T Inderstand that certain skill areas in the Air Force cannot b r enlistment, commission or appointment that I base used d reclassification or discharge, if required. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT 1	IONED ROTS.	
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRUG INF IS ESSENTIAL TO AC A. HAVE YOU EVE B. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU EVE B. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU	TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I NAME AND SSAN OF APPLICANT TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I TO BE COMPLETED BY U	IS T IEXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING IPERTY, BREAKING AND ENTERING, ETC.) SE WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION OF MARIJUANA, NARCO NG ON YOUR ELIGIBILITY TO ENLIST OR BE COMMISS . SCREENING WILL OCCUR DURING BASIC TRAINING O ROUS DRUGS T ROTHER DANGEROUS DRUGS OR MARIJUANA T SIX MONTHS T Inderstand that certain skill areas in the Air Force cannot b r enlistment, commission or appointment that I have used a , an appointed or classified into. I may be reclassified into reclassification or discharge, if required. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT I RECRUITING REPRESENTATIVE E. CHILDREN, BROTHERS, SISTERS, PARENTS OR	IONED ROTS.	
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRUG INF IS ESSENTIAL TO AC A. HAVE YOU EVE B. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU EVE D. DO ANY OF THE APPLICANT OF HAS THE APPLICANT F TIME IN EXCESS OF TI	TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I TAME AND SSAN OF APPLICANT	IS T IEXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING PERTY, BREAKING AND ENTERING, ETC.) SE WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION OF MARIJUANA, NARCO NG ON YOUR ELIGIBILITY TO ENLIST OR BE COMMISS. SCREENING WILL OCCUR DURING BASIC TRAINING O ROUS DRUGS T R OTHER DANGEROUS DRUGS OR MARIJUANA T SIX MONTHS T Inderstand that certain skill areas in the Air Force cannot b r enlistment, commission or appointment that I have used d , an appointed or classified into, I may be reclassified into reclassification or discharge, if required. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT I RECRUITING REPRESENTATIVE C. CHILDREN, BROTHERS, SISTERS, PARENTS OR 23, 30 AND 311 IF YES, COMPLETE SECTION IV. ROTHERS, SISTERS, PARENTS, PARENTS-IN-LAW OR- IDE IN A COMMUNIST DOMINATED COUNTRY FOR ANY PERIOD	YES	
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRUG INF IS ESSENTIAL TO AC A. HAVE YOU EVE B. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU EVE D. ON OF THE APPLICANT OF THE HOM THEY HAD C. THE HAD	TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I TANE AND SSAN OF APPLICANT TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I TANE AND SSAN OF APPLICANT TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I TANE AND SSAN OF APPLICANT TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I TANE AND SSAN OF APPLICANT TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I TANE AND SSAN OF APPLICANT TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I TANE AND SSAN OF APPLICANT TRAVELED OR RESIDED IN A COMMUNIST OR HIRTY CONTINOUS DAYS, NUT UNDER THE AL MS 27, 28, 33 h AND 33 L 1	IS T IEXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING PERTY, BREAKING AND ENTERING, ETC.) SE WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION OF MARIJUANA, NARCO NG ON YOUR ELIGIBILITY TO ENLIST OR BE COMMISS. SCREENING WILL OCCUR DURING BASIC TRAINING O ROUS DRUGS T R OTHER DANGEROUS DRUGS OR MARIJUANA T SIX MONTHS T Inderstand that certain skill areas in the Air Force cannot b r enlistment, commission or appointment that I have used d , an appointed or classified into, I may be reclassified into reclassification or discharge, if required. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT I RECRUITING REPRESENTATIVE C. CHILDREN, BROTHERS, SISTERS, PARENTS OR 23, 30 AND 311 IF YES, COMPLETE SECTION IV. ROTHERS, SISTERS, PARENTS, PARENTS-IN-LAW OR- IDE IN A COMMUNIST DOMINATED COUNTRY FOR ANY PERIOD	YES	
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRUG (I) T IS ESSENTIAL TO AC A. HAVE YOU EVE B. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU EVE D. ON HAVE YOU HAVE D. ON HAVE YOU HAVE D. ON HAVE YOU HAVE D. ON HAVE YOU HAVE D. ON HAVE D. ON HAVE YOU	Y CONDUCT, DESTRUCTION OF PRIVATE PRO DESTIONS CONCERN POSSESSION, SUPPLY, US GS. A "YES" ANSWER TO "C" HAS NO BEARD CURATE JOB CLASSIFICATION. ADDITIONAL ER USED NARCOTICS, LSD OR OTHER DANGEF ER BEEN A SUPPLIER OF NARCOTICS. LSD OF ER USED MARIJUANA ANYTIME IN THE PAST S for ure true to the best of my knowledge. I juily in manimum or other drigs. If it is established after isolidifies me for the skill area for which I enlist in the Air Furce. Air Force officials will decide NAME AND SSAN OF APPLICANT TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I I ANY OF THE APPLICANT' I CANT'S RELATIVES ISPOUSE, CHILDREN, BR DA CLOSE CONTINOUS RELATIONSHIP1 RES IM 30 AND 313 TRAVELED OR RESIDED IN A COMMUNIST OR HIRTY CONTINOUS DAYS, NUT UNDER THE AL MS 27, 28, 33 & AND 33 1) EVER BEEN CONVICTED BY A CIVIL COURT N OFFENSEISI OR A MINOR NON-TRAFFIC OFF OD FORM 1966, ITEM 361. IF A MORAL WAIVE	IS T IEXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING IPERTY, BREAKING AND ENTERING, ETC.) SE WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION OF MARIJUANA, NARCO NG ON YOUR ELIGIBILITY TO ENLIST OR BE COMMISS . SCREENING WILL OCCUR DURING BASIC TRAINING O ROUS DRUGS T ROTHER DANGEROUS DRUGS OR MARIJUANA T SIX MONTHS T Inderstand that certain skill areas in the Air Force cannot be r enlistment, commission or appointment that I have used d , an appointed or classified into. I may be reclassified into reclassification or discharge, if required. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT I RECRUITING REPRESENTATIVE E, CHILDREN, BROTHERS, SISTERS, PARENTS OR 23, 30 AND 311 IF YES, COMPLETE SECTION IV. ROTHERS, SISTERS, PARENTS, PARENTS-IN-LAW OR <sup>1</sup> IDE IN A COMMUNIST DOMINATED COUNTRY 7 COMMUNIST ORIENTATED COUNTRY FOR ANY PERIOC USPICES OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT 1	YES	
HE PEACE, DISORDERLY 2. THE NEXT THREE OU THER DANGEROUS DRUG (I) T IS ESSENTIAL TO AC A. HAVE YOU EVE B. HAVE YOU EVE C. HAVE YOU EVE DO ANY OF THE APPLICANT F. THE IN E ACESS OF THE AS THE APPLICANT HAS	Y CONDUCT, DESTRUCTION OF PRIVATE PRO DESTIONS CONCERN POSSESSION, SUPPLY, US GS. A "YES" ANSWER TO "C" HAS NO BEARD CURATE JOB CLASSIFICATION. ADDITIONAL ER USED NARCOTICS, LSD OR OTHER DANGEF ER BEEN A SUPPLIER OF NARCOTICS. LSD OF ER USED MARIJUANA ANYTIME IN THE PAST S for ure true to the best of my knowledge. I juily in manimum or other drigs. If it is established after isolidifies me for the skill area for which I enlist in the Air Furce. Air Force officials will decide NAME AND SSAN OF APPLICANT TO BE COMPLETED BY USAF I I ANY OF THE APPLICANT' I CANT'S RELATIVES ISPOUSE, CHILDREN, BR DA CLOSE CONTINOUS RELATIONSHIP1 RES IM 30 AND 313 TRAVELED OR RESIDED IN A COMMUNIST OR HIRTY CONTINOUS DAYS, NUT UNDER THE AL MS 27, 28, 33 & AND 33 1) EVER BEEN CONVICTED BY A CIVIL COURT N OFFENSEISI OR A MINOR NON-TRAFFIC OFF OD FORM 1966, ITEM 361. IF A MORAL WAIVE	IS T IEXAMPLE: DELINQUENT ACTS, DISTURBING PERTY, BREAKING AND ENTERING, ETC.) SE WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION OF MARIJUANA, NARCO NG ION YOUR ELIGIBILITY TO ENLIST OR BE COMMISS , SCREENING WILL OCCUR DURING BASIC TRAINING O ROUS DRUGS ? R OTHER DANGEROUS DRUGS OR MARIJUANA ? SIX MONTHS ? Inderstand that certain skill areas in the Air Force cannot be r enlistment, commission or appointment that I have used d , an appointed or classified into. I may be reclassified into reclassification or discharge, if required. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT 1 RECRUITING REPRESENTATIVE E. CHILDREN, BROTHERS, SISTERS, PARENTS OR 23, 30 AND 311 IF YES, COMPLETE SECTION IV. ROTHERS, SISTERS, PARENTS, PARENTS-IN-LAW OR DIDE IN A COMMUNIST DOMINATED COUNTRY FOR ANY PERIOC USPICES OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT ? COMMUNIST ORIENTATED COUNTRY FOR ANY PERIOC USPICES OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT ? ER OF THE PEACE CORPS ? (REF. DD FORM 1966, WITHIN THE PAST FIVE YEARS FOR ANYTHING OTHER ENSE AS LISTED IN ATCR 33-2 (FIGURES 1-1 AND 1-2, R WAS APPROVED FOR ANY OFFENSEISHATCR 33-2, R WAS APPROVED FOR ANY OFFENSEIS	YES	

<u> </u>	NAME (1-ist 3 credit and 1 churacter)	YEARS	STREET AND NU (Business address pre		CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODI
-					
	• ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				
-			*		
ECI	TION IV RELATIVES	HO ARE N	OT NATIVE BORN U	S. CITIZENS	
	NAME AND RELATION	DATE	AND PORT OF ENTRY	ALIEN REG NO.	AND PLACE OF ISSUE
	·				
		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	·				
	3				
EC		<u> </u>	REMARKS		_ <u>_</u>
			2		
	·				
	. • . •				
					\.
					\
ĒC	TION VI PRP DETERN	AINATION E	Y LNCO (Use ATCR	(33-2 Table 2-4)	\.
Rev	view this form with the applicant and compare by responses on this form to ATCR 33-2, tabl	with other doc e 2-4 and ente	CADDIODIIATE CODE ON A LIT	F Form 24 or 56, etc.	) for accuracy and completenes ROMIS in accordance with attac
Rev App mer	view this form with the applicant and compare	with other doc e 2-4 and ente tion and does n	uments (DD Form 1966, A)	F Form 24 or 56, etc. C Form 1371 and in PR 99.	COMIS in accordance with attac

F

i.

•• •

É

i i i

USAF DRUG ABUSE CERTIFICATE (TILIS FORM IS SUBJECT TO THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 - Use Blenker PAS - AF Form 883)

# NERODUCEION

	IN	TRODUCTION	
Ì	Drug abuse by Air Force personnel is prohibited. The put	rpose of this certificate is to obtain information whic	h will help you
	and the Air Force determine your eligibility for enlistment o		
	certificate - if you are truthful now, no action can or will be	e taken against you as the result of any information y	ou may reveal –
1	your statement will be used only by the Air Force and will r		
Į.	SHOULD YOU CONCEAL DRUG ABUSE INFORMATION		
	MENT OR APPOINTMENT PUNITIVE ACTION MAY BE		
	YOU HAVE MADE. MOST AIR FORCE PERSONNEL RE	EQUIRE A SECURITY CLEARANCE AT SOME PO	INT IN THEIR
	CAREER.		
	IN ORDER TO OBTAIN A SECURITY CLEARANCE, A	A NATIONAL CHECK OF POLICE AND SECURITY	AGENCIES
	AND/OR A COMPREHENSIVE BACKGROUND INVESTIG		
l	EMPLOYERS, SCHOOL OFFICIALS, ACQUAINTANCES,		
ł	PAST ACTIVITIES AND MORAL CHARACTER IS COND		
	DRUG INVOLVEMENT WHICH YOU WITHHELD WHEN		
۰L	TRAINING AND POSSIBLY DISCHARGED FROM THE S	SERVICE UNDER LESS THAN HONORABLE CON	DITIONS.
ſ	EXPLA	ANATION OF TERMS	
ł	NARCOTICS - any opiates or cocaine or their synthetic equ		, demeral,
	dilaudid, methadone, and percodan).		
	MARIJUANA - the intoxicating products of the hemp plan	nt, cannabis sative (including hashish) or any synthesi	s thereof.
I	LSD – Lysergic acid diethylamid; a dangerous drug.		
	DANGEROUS DRUGS - those non-narcotic drugs that are	habit forming or have a potential for abuse because of	of their
	stimulant, depressant, or hallucinogenic effect.		
Í	DRUG ABUSE the illegal, wrongful, or improper use of a	iny narcotic substances or dangerous drugs or the illed	al or wrongful
	possession, or sale, transfer, or supply of these, or marijuana		
	sonnel for medical purposes, their proper use by the patient	prescribed for is not drug abuse.	
ŀ	SUPPLIER - one who furnishes illegally, wrongfully, or im	properly to another person any of the drugs defined.	above.
ļ	ADVERSE ADJUDICATION - unfavorable action leading	to final disposition, (i.e., drug diversionary program,	community
1	work, etc. j		
Ì		CRITERIA ·	
			. <b>.</b>
	Applicants are ineligible if they have ever been arrested for i	maryuana usage/possession which resulted in conviction	ion or adverse
ł	adjudication.		
	Applicants are ineligible if they have ever been arrested for		nviction or
ļ	adverse adjudication or have used dangerous drugs, narcotic		
1	Applicants are ineligible if they have been a supplier as defined as the supplier as the supplicant supplicant supplier as the supplicant supplicant supplier as the supplicant supplicant supplicant supplier as the supplicant supplicant supplier as the supplicant supplition supplicant supplicant sup	ned above.	
ľ	WA	IVER AUTHORITY	
1	WAIVER CONSIDERATION MAY BE REQUESTED PROVIDED	THE DRUG ABUSE DID NOT INVOLVE NARCOTICS, LS	D, OR THE HALLU-
	CINOGENS. THE DEGREE OF DRUG INVOLVEMENT WILL DE STANCES.	ETERMINE ELIGIBILITY BASED UPON EVALUATION O	FTHE CIRCUM-
ł			
ſ	I certify I have never been ariested for marijuana usage or possession		INITIALS
	I certify I have never been artested for manjuana usage of postession	n which resulted in conviction of adverse adjudication.	
ľ	I certify I have never illegally used or possessed dangerous drugs, nar	rcotics, LSD or any hallucinogens and that I have	
l	never been arrested for possession or use of these substances which r	resulted in conviction or adverse adjudication.	
ł			
ł	1 certify 1 have never been a supplier of marijuana, narcotics, danger	rous drugs or LSD.	
Ì	1 request an individual evaluation.		
	KNOWING AND UNDERSTANDING ALL THE INFORMATION	CONTAINED ABOVE, AND REALIZING THAT THIS DO	UMENT WILL BE
	USED ONLY TO DETERMINE MY ELIGIBILITY, I HEREBY ST	ATE THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION AS TO MY PRE	VIOUS DRUG
	INVOLVEMENT IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF M	Y KNOWLEDGE.	
1	TYPED NAME OF APPLICANT AND STAN	SIGNATURE	DATE
ľ			
		WITNESS	
		al signed this certificate of his/her own free will.	
ļ	TYPED NAME OF WITNESS	SIGNATURE	DATE
••			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•	F PORM 120 PHEVIOUS EDITION IS ODSOLETE.		
		a gang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	والمعاصفة والمعالمة المعالمة المعالمة

	STATEMENT	OF UNDERSTANDING		
The Air Force is responsible for prever those who use or promote the illegal or in			biling duty assignments; and	for disciplining
l	, SSAN		, UNDERSTAND THAT:	INITIALS
service in the United States Air Force pla	ces me in a positio	n of special trust and res	ponsibility.	
Any drug abuse by members of the Unite standards of behavior and duty performa			olates Air Force	
The illegal or improper use of narcotics, o and safety and the health and safety of o	langerous drugs or	marijuana can seriously	harm my health	
The illegal or improper use of narcotics, o	langerous drugs or	marijuana by Air Force	members can lead	
to criminal prosecution and discharge und If I am identified for drug abuse, includin	ng the use and poss	ession of marijuana whil	•••	
appropriate disciplinary and/or administr by court martial or administrative separat		-	a may include trial	
I understand that certain skill areas in the marijuana or other drugs. It it is establish me for the skill area for which I am appo	hed that I have use inted, I enlisted fo	d drugs or marijuana an or, or I am classified into	d that usage disqualifies , I may be reclassified	
into another skill area or discharged from		the option of the Air For ERTIFICATION	fce	
I HAVE READ AND FULLY UNDERSTA	ND ALL THE INFO	RMATION CONTAINED O	N THIS FORM.	
I HEREBY STATE THAT THERE HAS BE I PROVIDED THE ORIGINAL INFORMA		MY STATUS SINCE	DATE OF ORIGIN	AL INFORMATION
I REQUEST AN INDIVIDUAL EVALUAT	10N.			
PEGNAME OF APPLICANT AND SEAN		SIGNATURE		DATE
1 certify that the	ne above individual	WITNESE signed this certificate of	f his/her own free will.	·
PED NAME OF WITNESS		SIGNATURE		DATE
MARKS				
·				
I understand that the illegal or improp ment, including use of marijuana, aft enlistment program entry, will render	Ar job reservation, me ineligible for er	receipt of OTS/Medical/ alistment, commissioning	NUTER Class sesimment notif	t any drug involve- ication, or delayed
ment including use of mariluana. all	Ar job reservation, me ineligible for er	receipt of OTS/Medical/ alistment, commissioning	NUTER Class sesimment notif	t any drug involve- ication, or delayed
ment, including use of marijuana, alt enlistment program entry, will render	Ar job reservation, me ineligible for er	receipt of OTS/Medical/ alistment, commissioning	NUTER Class sesimment notif	it any drug involve-
ment, including use of marijuana, alt enlistment program entry, will render	Ar job reservation, me ineligible for er	receipt of OTS/Medical/ alistment, commissioning	NUTER Class sesimment notif	it any drug involve- ication, or delayed
ment, including use of marijuana, alt enlistment program entry, will render	Ar job reservation, me ineligible for er	receipt of OTS/Medical/ alistment, commissioning	NUTER Class sesimment notif	it any drug involve- ication, or delayed
ment, including use of marijuana, alt enlistment program entry, will render	Ar job reservation, me ineligible for er	receipt of OTS/Medical/ alistment, commissioning	NUTER Class sesimment notif	it any drug involve- ication, or delayed
ment, including use of marijuans, alt enlistment program entry, will render	Ar job reservation, me ineligible for er	receipt of OTS/Medical/ alistment, commissioning	NUTER Class sesimment notif	it any drug involve- ication, or delayed
ment, including use of marijuana, alt enlistment program entry, will render	Ar job reservation, me ineligible for er	receipt of OTS/Medical/ alistment, commissioning	NUTER Class sesimment notif	it any drug involve- ication, or delayed
ment, including use of marijuans, alt enlistment program entry, will render	Ar job reservation, me ineligible for er	receipt of OTS/Medical/ alistment, commissioning	NUTER Class sesimment notif	it any drug involve- ication, or delayed
ment, including use of marijuana, alt enlistment program entry, will render	Ar job reservation, me ineligible for er	receipt of OTS/Medical/ alistment, commissioning	NUTER Class sesimment notif	t any drug involve- ication, or delayed

į

F

ŀ

l

MEPS Marine Corps Pre-Screening Questionnaires

Ľ

F.

5

#### PRELIMINAR QUESTIONAINE FOR ENLISTMENT FOR THE INTELLIGENCE/SIGNALS INTELLIGENCE/GROUND ELECTRONIC BARFARE OFTIGH AND THE SIGNALS INTELLIGENCE OFTIGH UNDER THE ENLISTMENT OFTIGHS PROGRAM

#### 1. Beckground

21

j.

E

E

e, Emourtive Order 11905, Section 102 of the Pational Security Act of 1947, and Pational Security Council Directives have established plates persented security standards for all United States Government stillary personal was require assess to Sensitive Concernmented telemeties (SCI).

b. Assignment to Occupational Flaids 26 and 02 requires both a Top Secret Claurance and a Cartification of Lingibility for Access to 801. 3A/BK

e. Assignment to those Ocofies ((2500) and (02001) (program (American fails within this requirement, Companently, the applicent will underge a rigorous background investigation to determine access silgibility. The formal investigation processivili begin at The recruit depet, Unsatisfactory completion of the fellowing constionnaire util eliminate these persons whe alearly de out most the basis aligibility requirements. Reasonable assurances will be given to these permannel estisfectorily campleting this questionneire that they should most the eversil reculrements. They may be recruited into this progree contingent upon a final, favorable eligibility detersingtion, However, it must be emphasized that satisfurtary emplotion of the following genetionable of generative that the determination is unlawable, the conditions as specified in the basic 500 apply. O In general, the general date shall be of excellent character and discretion and of unquestioned levely to the U.S., and measure of the dension for's impoliate family and persons to obset the individual is bound by affastion or obligation should not be subject to durase by a fareign power. Below is a listing and exploration of the areas which comprise the criteria for determining the security clearence level and the eligibility for SCI.

(1) <u>Citizonship</u>. Applicants and demons of their (associate taolity (approve, perents, brothers, sisters and children) over be U.S. altizens. If networkland, proof of networklizetion must be furnished. Security clearance valvers may be granted even il immediate family dembers (spouse, perents, sisters, and children) are not U.S. citizens, providing such temily members reside in the U.S. as imaigrent alisms and providing their citizanally is not in a commutat or commutat-soutrailed country.

(2) foreign Relatives. In applicants will be salected who have relatives or class friends with when class context is salected who have relatives the are realizing in or who are citizens of a communist or communist-controlled country.

(3) Orway. We applicant will be selected whe is addleted to an physically on psychologically dependent on any illegal drug, whe has traffiched in drugs, has illogally used narcefics within the past year, or who has used why desperous drug within the past 5 exerts.

(4) <u>Hentai liinesa</u>. Any appilaant ola baa hava treatat for auntai liinesa aust praduos mulaai evidenes of successivil treataunt

(3) <u>financial Asppneibility</u>. We applicant will be selected one has a history of bad shacks (waless through bank error), Acting of Luspand is sharps associats, or industroking associng anothelf of the sinual selary of the pay grass at shish the nen is being recruited,

(5) <u>Crigingl Record</u>. No applicant vill be solected the has an odult conviction of a followy, or the has an established resort of reported distantmenters or frottic violations, including parking ficials, vendalism, abusive behavior temoré los entercommet officials or sheeliffing.

(7) School Record, . We applicant will be selected one has estabilished a pattern of truency, repeated difficulties with school officiate, particle suspensions, vendailse and abusive behavior, or who has been paramently aspected as a result of such adtivity.

(8) formal Ottonsee. No applicant vill be substant who has angaged in homesacual activity, antibitionism, versurism, transvestion or courcive securi tenestor of set kind.

(9) <u>Employment</u>. He applicant will be aplocted one has established a "jeb-happing" record there is a deconstructed pattern of testing, transmentiality, unexplained absorbalism, their or inability to get along aits sugariors or follow analoyums. He applicant une mer memor of the Passa Garps will be selected, 1. . .

d. The ultimate determination of emother the greating of SCI access is electric consistent with the interests of national security shall be an everally compressed extension bases on all evaluate interestion. However, persons one tall to satisfactorily complete The following questionneire, probably will not anot the requirements, and should not be associated,

#### 2. IASTEVETIONS

and the production of the second

a. A "Ne" answer to question "a" automatically disqualifies an applicant,

b. A "Tas" some to eventions "f", "s" or "y" artemptically discussifies an absileant.

 $s_1 = 3s_2 + s_3 = 3s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + s_$ 

a. Three or more inferences reptime should discussify an applicant. All inferences to reptime should be fully explained to empedite -----

> Anonenia A to ENCLOSURE (2)

I want in the test of test of

NO0 1138,930 19 Apr 1984

5

.

- 5

Ř.

Ś

مر و مر و

上開た

in a wind his

AV.

1) **4**. 4 . 4

.

# MILVACY ACT STATEMENT

stive Orders 10450, as as i, and 19632, Interaction a rtty of \$ U.S.C. 301, 0 as, and Em et Reputatio to evoluate your aligibility for mama to somitive information. The information provided by i bistory is requested - 24 ----security title in the Nevel Security Areas Personnel Security/Access File System. The Interestion . . . ----yes sitt and be divelyed, elthout your unities autherization, to anyone other than Personnel. Becarity, lausstighties, ar pravided by tereiligenes Agneles of the Depertment of Depens, You are not required to provide the information; henover, follors to do so util result In the leghtlity of the Communion, Nevel Security Grang Commond to evaluate your eligibility for assess to Seculture Competensited followstice, therefore sealing you ineligible for an ignores to defice requiring assess to Seculture Competensites, and thereby disputitying you for entitinger under this program,

#### QUESTIONNAIRE

0

(The applicant slif ensure the quantiene below by plasting initials in the appropriate block. Grossree and areas-sule are ad perait				
		<u>¶1</u>	8	<u>-</u>
۹.	Are you a Wilton Status aitizant		-	-
۰,	Are any mampers of your lamaclate family citizens of a assumiry ather than the United States?		_	-
۹,	De yes have any close friends or relatives one are resising in a foreign neuntry?	—		-
۰.	Have you ever travelled outside the United Status?	—	~	•••
۰.	nave you over been especiated with any groups or individuais whe advokests the use of force or violences to siter the Government of the United Statest			-
t.	Do you advacts the use of force or visions to alter the Reversement of the United Statest	-	_	-
8-	Nija yen ever need een narseric, deersteat, stimulast, kullusinegen (te incluse LSD er PCP) er canabis (te include marijusna er habbisk) exempt as pre-drived by a ilansast physicien? (Some exhaubis see is velvereble,)		-	-
٠.	Hows you or any masher of your installate family over been involved in the lifeget purchase, possession or sale of any margatile, approacest, ptioniest, bellucinges or consolis?		-	
١.	Nave yes or any moment of year lamediate family's use of signalis beverages (such as inquer, ever, eine) over resulted in The lass of a job, arrest by pollow or transmost of alachalism?		-	<b></b>
4.	Nave yes every been a perions (whether or not tareally admitted) is any implifytion primarily devoted to the treatment of meetal, emplored, paychological or personally disorders?		_	
8.,	Busides sizer traffic violations and alademanary, have you over team attested, held, alted, detained or questioned by any les enterement agency (including juvenile offenses or charges that were uiviantely disaissed, uitherawn or of which you were found food guilty=12		-	_
١,	Nova yau over analarat bankrugtay?	-	-	_
8.	Neve you over had any 1tem repairsoned?		_	_
۰.	Heve yes over had a check returned for imufficient tuncs?	_	-	_
۰.	Do you have any exercise that are in erveers?	_	_	
	Mave yes ever been meetled or subgended from any elemetlensi lestitution for abuse?		_	
۹.	Are you aligible to be relified at each and every place you have been employed?		-	_
۴.	Have yes over perficipated, eltime actively or passively, is a securit relation with semene of your own sex, is excluitionism, in transvestion or is any other semesi activity that you cancider to be perveryed (kinky)?		-	
۰.	Are there any includes or situations is your bankground which hight reflect as your levelty or seltability for addeds to sensitive information?		• •	
۰.	Mevo you over failed or refused to sign a levelty ests, or pleaded protection of the fifth Amedamat or Article 31 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice?		•	_
۴.,	it ago 18 years or older did you register for the draft as required?		• •	
۰.	is there any individual such as a tensor employer, saleat afficial, dowerker, exighter, landland or creditor who eight provide equative interaction about you during the course of a special techyround investigation.			<u> </u>

Appanel's A to EXCLOSURE (2)

X-2
	.•				100 1138,534 19 Apr 1964
<u>04</u>	MICH SERVICE PERSONALL				
					<u> 785 m</u>
	Now you every tous in visiation	of any security regula	ations of the United Status G	t faak 'e ve	
۴.	Here you over been adurt-mertiain ublig in the eilitary service?	d er fomlvæl senjudi	cial pualshimot (Captaling) His	it, Article 13, Office How	rs. 675.)
٧.	How you over been a under of th	e Pesse Corpst			
201	(H. 15TE&)				•
_	Bay/Nexts/laar	5 MA	Printes Name	Signatura	

Day Meats /Tear

220

ę ~

\$ \$4

Printed Name

Signature

Appendis A to EXCLOMAE (2) の設置になったいでは、「「ないないない」では、「「ないない」では、「「ないない」では、「「ないない」では、「「ないない」であった。「「ないない」であった。 「ない」「「ないないない」「「ないないない」「「ないないない」「「ないないない」」では、「「ないないない」」であった。「「ないないない」」であった。「「ないないない」」であった。



Ż

ξ

Ĭ

ķ

ř.

Į,

K

:

UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

U.S. MARINE CORPS RECRUITING STATION 1825 BELL ST. SUITE #104 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95825

#### DRUG ABUSE SCREENING FORM

<u>Purpose</u>: The purpose of this form is to make sure that you completely tell us the extent of any illegal drug involvement before you are processed for further enlistment. (Refusal to complete the requested information will result in termination of enlistment processing.) <u>Initial</u> the appropriate block under "No" or "Yes" for each item.

NAME			RS/RSS			DATE
DRUG TAKEN	NO	YES	HOW OFTEN	DATE START	DATE STOP	REASON
Amphetamines (Speed)						
Barbituates (Downers)						
Cocaine	T					
Heroin						
LSD						
Marijuana/Hashish (THC)						
Mescaline	T.			1		
Mushrooms (Psilocybin)						
Opium						
PCP						
Peyote						
Quaaludes						
Valium						
Other						

<u>Certification</u>: I certify that I have completely told you the extent of my illegal drug use as indicated.

#### (Signature)

(Date)

Recertification (Members of the DEP and SMCR Awaiting IADT): I cartify that the information I have previously given about my illegal involvement with drugs remains/is no longer true and complete. I have \_\_\_\_\_/have not (circle one and initial) used marijuana or illegally used or been illegally involved with other drugs since I enlisted in the Marine Corps as an inactive reservist.

(Enlistee's Printed Name)

(Enlistee's Signature and Date)

(Social Security Number)

Basic Military Training Air Force Prescreening Forms

,

È

6

! . .

Ĭ

S.

E

ł

į,

ł

E SSS EE	MITIAL REVIEW		 A 1 2 3 4 C 1 2 3 4 R	~ -	TOTAL	FINAL REVIEW	M 1 2 3 4 R	1 3 6 9 12	5 1 2 3 4 R	2	C 1 2 3 4 R	1 2 3 4	TOTAL		STATUS BY	Release		AP DS Jodene	
The state of the second st		ADDAEESS											PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT	id EU 9397. 	PRINCIPAL FURY USES Commission of SSAN is necessary to make positive identification	ing PRP or SCI identification	screening precess. SSAN is used for positive identification. WHETHER DISCLOSURE IS MANDATORY OR VOLUNTARY AND EFFECT ON INDIVIDUAL OF NOT PROVIDING INFORMATION: Disclesure is voluntary. Feilure to previde information OF NOT PROVIDING INFORMATION: Disclesure is voluntary. Is available information		
1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	FERENCES	нанЕ												AUTHORITY: 10 USC 8012 and EU 9397	aimon for P.RP or SCI ossigne	of individual and recents. ROUTINE USES Serves an p	SCHOOL DESCRIPTION IS USED FOR POSITIVE ISOMITICOFTION. THE THER DISCLOSURE IS MANDATORY OR VOLUN TARY OF NOT PROVIDING INFORMATION: Disclosure is volunty	Including Janes, and Summary FINAL REVIEW SUMMARY	 
	86	The second secon											VICAL SCHOOL DATE REASON			CHIEF LEADER		CONTRACT CHANGE REQUIRED	

FORM 709 (3507 ACS/DPKA) (REPLACES 3507 ACS FORM 19, DEC 78, WHICH WILL BE USED) (FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

BIOGRAPHICAL AND ASSESSMENT RECORD

. WITH ANY OF THE FOLLO		11++	۰.	, 1 				1
uifen vialations	NING?	+	┼━	4			· _ 1 · ·	
fulicious mischief		-		1				
Dissurbing the peace or disorderly	conduct			]				
Bod checks			_	4				
Feilure to pay alimany or child su	ppert		┢	-				
laving traffic violations			┼──	4				
freffic accidents while driving njuring sameone while driving		+	┢	-{				
Altend run occidents								
lunning away from home				]				
Trespecting			╄	_				
llogel passession at weepon		_		-				
Fondaliam Thair		-+	╉━	-1				
ihaplifting			╈	4				
Brooking and entering								
loscult			T	4				
lunting or fishing vialations		_	┿╍	-4				
Pesseusian, use ar sale of marij Ilegai drugs (includes experimen	uona or Helian)							
hum insurance cancelled for co								
Drinking under age or excessive				4				
DUI of alcohol or other drugs			+-					
Contributing to dolinguoncy of m				-1				
Misusa of driver's license or oth "Home samuel acts		-+-	-+-	-				
Sas allance		<u>_t</u>	T					
Indocunt experiere			Τ					
Drivar's license suspended			Ŧ					
Summonod to appaor in court or a		be	-+-	-4				
Other law violations or police ed	milects		+-					
Problems with craditors								
Do you have a valid driver's lic	_	-+-	_					
<b>MR LA 1 L. &amp; LA.</b>				1				
-ve you neve any debist AMOUI					IL V MIETAAV			
De yes have any dabie? AMOUP		_J_ 			ILY HISTORY			
undicate YOUR AGE at the	time wh			ewing event(s) happen	od to you: (Laave blank			
Indicate YOUR AGE at the Father Died Pare	time who nis Sept	arated,		owing ovent(s) happen Father Remarried	ed to you: (Leave blank I Was Adopted	!	licable) Was Married	
Indicate YOUR AGE at the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare	time who nis Sept nis Div	arated, rorced		ewing even(s) happen Father Romarrier Mother Romarrier	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	
Indicate YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE 1	time who nis Sept	arated, rorced		owing ovent(s) happen Father Remarried	ed to you: (Leave blank I Was Adopted	!	Was Married	)d
Indicate YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE 1	time who nis Sept nis Div	arated, rorced		ewing even(s) happen Father Romarrier Mother Romarrier	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	)d
Indicato YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I FATHER	time who nis Sept nis Div	arated, rorced		ewing even(s) happen Father Romarrier Mother Romarrier	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	)d
Indicate YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I FATHER UTEP FATHER	time who nis Sept nis Div	arated, rorced		ewing even(s) happen Father Romarrier Mother Romarrier	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	،ط
Indicare YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I FATHER JTEP FATHER MOTHER	time who nis Sept nis Div	arated, rorced		ewing even(s) happen Father Romarrier Mother Romarrier	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	
Indicato YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE ! FATHER UTEP FATHER HOTHER STEP MOTHER	time who nis Sept nis Div	arated, rorced		ewing even(s) happen Father Romarrier Mother Romarrier	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	
Indicate YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I TATHER UTEP FATHER MOTHER STEP MOTHER MUSBAND	time who nis Sept nis Div	arated, rorced		ewing even(s) happen Father Romarrier Mother Romarrier	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	
Indicato YOUR AGE at the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I FATHER UTEP FATHER MOTHER STEP MOTHER WIVE, HUSBAND OR FIANCE EX-WIFE OR	time who nis Sept nis Div	arated, rorced		ewing even(s) happen Father Romarrier Mother Romarrier	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	b.
Indicato YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE 1 TATHER UTEP FATHER MOTHER STEP MOTHER MIVE, MUSBAND DR FIANCE EX-WIFE OR EX-MUSBAND	time who nis Sept nis Div			ewing even(s) happen Father Romarried Mother Romarried EDUCATION	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	)d
Indicato YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I FATHER UTEP FATHER UTEP FATHER MOTHER STEP MOTHER MILE OR EX-MUSDAND MILITARY DATA	time who nis Sept nis Div			ewing even(s) happen Father Romarrier Mother Romarrier	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	
Indicate YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I FATHER UTEP FATHER MOTHER STEP MOTHER WIVE, HUSBAND DR FIANCE EX-WIPE OR EX-MUSBAND	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH			ewing even(s) happen Father Romarried Mother Romarried EDUCATION	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	bd
Indicato YOUR AGE at the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I FATHER UTEP FATHER MOTHER MOTHER MIVE, HUSBAND OR FIANCE EX-MUSBAND MILITARY DATA DO MY OF THE FOLLOWING AFPLY TO YOU?	time who nis Sept nis Div			ewing even(s) happen Father Romarried Mother Romarried EDUCATION	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	d
Indicato YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I FATHER JTEP FATHER MOTHER MOTHER MIVE, MUSBAND OR FIANCE EX-MUSBAND MILITARY DATA DO MY OF THE FOLLOWING AFPLY TO YOU?	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH			ewing even(s) happen Father Romarried Mother Romarried EDUCATION	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	)d
Indicate YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I FATHER JTEP FATHER MOTHER WIVE, MUSBAND OR FIANCE EX-MUSBAND MILITARY DATA DO MY OF THE FOLLOWING AFPLY TO YOU?	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH			ewing even(s) happen Father Romarried Mother Romarried EDUCATION	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	
Indicate YOUR AGE of the Father DiedPare Mother DiedPare RELATION AGE I FATHER JTEP FATHER MOTHER STEP MOTHER MILE OR EX-MUSBAND MILITARY DATA DO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AFFLY TO YOU? Dame Chiel	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH			ewing even(s) happen Father Romarried Mother Romarried EDUCATION	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	bd
Indicato YOUR AGE at the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I FATHER UTEP FATHER WOTHER STEP MOTHER MILTARY DATA DO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AFPLY TO YOUT Dom Chief Squed Looder	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH			ewing even(s) happen Father Romarried Mother Romarried EDUCATION	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	d
Indicato YOUR AGE at the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I FATHER UTEP FATHER MOTHER MOTHER MOTHER MILER STEP MOTHER MILITARY DATA DO MY OF THE FOLLOWING AFPLY TO YOU? Dam Chiel Squed Looder Personal visits to Cheplein	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH			ewing even(s) happen Father Romarried Mother Romarried EDUCATION	eed to you: (Laave blank 4 I Was Adopted, 4 I Was Engaged	!	Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	
Indicato YOUR AGE at the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE 1 FATHER UTEP FATHER MOTHER MOTHER MOTHER STEP MOTHER MIVE, HUSBAND OR FIANCE EX-HUSBAND MILITARY DATA DO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AFPLY TO YOUT Dome Chief Squed Loeder Personal visite to Cheplein Visite to Mantal Myglano	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH		ERV	ewing even(s) happen Father Remarried Mother Remarried EDUCATION 	ed to you: (Leave blank I Was Adopted, I Was Engaged OCCUPATION		Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated (For Interviewer Use Only)	
Indicato YOUR AGE of the Father DiedPare Mother DiedPare RELATION AGE I FATHER JTEP FATHER JTEP FATHER MOTHER MILER STEP MOTHER MILETARY DATA DO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AFPLY TO YOUT Dom Chief Saued Leader Personal visits to Cheplein Visite to Montel Hygiane Unsetlefactory Rolings	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH		ERV	ewing even(s) happen Father Romarried Mother Romarried EDUCATION	ed to you: (Leave blank I Was Adopted, I Was Engaged OCCUPATION		Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated	
Indicato YOUR AGE of the Father DiedPare Mother DiedPare RELATION AGE I FATHER JTEP FATHER JTEP FATHER MOTHER MILER STEP MOTHER MILETARY DATA DO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AFPLY TO YOUT Dom Chief Saued Leader Personal visits to Cheplein Visite to Montel Hygiane Unsetlefactory Rolings	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH		ERV	EDUCATION	ASIC FOR YOU:		Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated (Fer Interviewer Use Only)  YOU JOIN THE AIR FORCE1	
Indicato YOUR AGE of the Father DiedPare Mother DiedPare RELATION AGE I FATHER JTEP FATHER JTEP FATHER MOTHER MILE MOTHER MILITARY DATA DO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AFPLY TO YOUT Dorm Chief Saued Leader Personal visits to Cheplein Visite to Montel Hygiane Uncetlefectory Refings	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH			EDUCATION IFFICULT THING IN B. FEEL THAT YOU WIL	ASIC FOR YOU:		Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated (For Interviewer Use Only)	
Indicato YOUR AGE of the Father DiedPare Mother DiedPare RELATION AGE I FATHER JTEP FATHER JTEP FATHER MOTHER MILER STEP MOTHER MILETARY DATA DO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AFPLY TO YOUT Dom Chief Saued Leader Personal visits to Cheplein Visite to Montel Hygiane Unsetlefactory Rolings	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH			EDUCATION EDUCATION IFFICULT THING IN B FEEL THAT YOU WIL TRAINING ON SCHEDU	ASIC FOR YOU:		Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated (Fer Interviewer Use Only)  YOU JOIN THE AIR FORCE1	
Indicate YOUR AGE of the Father Died Pare Mother Died Pare Mother Died Pare RELATION AGE I ATHER MOTHER	time whi nis Sep nis Div HEAL TH			EDUCATION EDUCATION IFFICULT THING IN B FEEL THAT YOU WIL TRAINING ON SCHEDU	ASIC FOR YOU:		Was Merried I Was Divorce Was Separated (Fer Interviewer Use Only)  YOU JOIN THE AIR FORCE1	

LANGER CONTRACTOR		<b></b>	י אראים הגיברעצעצעניים א <u>רערער</u>	an a tha faith a faitheacha faitheachaithe		and the second
ødenic Program in HS er Jer in College				Algobra 1	Mechanical Drawing	Τŵ
orall Lottor Grado everage Grade Point average				Algebra II	Shap courses auto areain	57.X
a duated		NO CCED	TYES NO			
are Completed or Degree				Guanetry	#000 WETAL	5.5
wress Failed			<u>+</u>	Trige- nametry	01458	
				College Moth	English (Sonior year, or College)	
d you have disciplinery sion taken Ogeinst you in heel (suspansions, etc.?)				Coleulus	Parolon Longueges Stanish PRENEN	
at hobbies and extre curricular sivilies in arout of school				Analysic Geometry	6(RMAR DUBIAN LATIN, 6THER	
lubs, sports, ollicas heid, emunity froups, its lemitles, :-)				Physics	Typing (WPN)	
amber of hours per wook prived while attending a cheel				Chemistry	Business Courses accustoring	1 55
pasons for leaving school if not	. Binanaige i			Political Science	808 MACHINE 8488 THARD 664 GUBINE88	
				Electronica		

ATERVIEWER'S COMMENTS

I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE BEEN ADVISED OF MY RIGHTS UNDER ARTICLE 31, UCMJ AND UNDERSTAND MY RIGHTS.

Signature

COMPLETE THE ONE. SE SURE T	FOLLOWING SENTENCES TO EXPRESS YOUR TRUE PEELI O MAKE & COMPLETE SENTENCE.	NGS WITH WHATEVER COMES TO MIND. DO EVERY	
1. 1 like	11. My grootest weeknoss	21. I need	
2. I secretly	12. My teachars	22. In high school	
3. Rulas aro	13. The worst thing I ever did	23. I feel that my M.T.I.	
4. Ny fathar	Id. Whon I was a child	24. My mether	 N
S. My family	15. When I get engry	23. What enneys me	
6. Hast woman	16. A men	26. My friends	
7. A husband	17. My grootest feer	27. 1 regret	X
S. A wife	18. (	28. 1 werry	 
9. 1 feet	19. The members of my flight	29. Compared with others, I	ی کی ۔۔۔۔۔ اور
10. Hy nerves	20. My strongast point	30. I feel the Air Parce	
	······································		

					•			
ne ef Cempeny						SIGNATURE AND DATE	AND DATE	
line (Street)								
(City						Ξ	HAVE YOU EVER	Yes N
ZIP Cade)	11.		16	Į	T.	HAD TROUG	HAD TROUGLE WITH SUPERVISONST	
es of Employment	2					HAD TROUBLE	ILE WITH CO- WORKERST	
						QUIT A JOB	QUIT A JOB WITHOUT NOTICET	
son for Leaving						BEEN FIRE	BEEN FIRED OR DISMISSED FROM ANY JOB1	
RVIEWER'S COMMENTS						QUIT A JOB FIREDT	quit a Job to avoid being Firedt	
					-			
			PERSONAL DATA				DO YOU.MAVE	ч Х.
nudergone	any type of counseling or Meatment Mave ye	Have you had any serious illness	is illness, eccident of nerveus t veur	Are	Are there any Air Force duties you could not perform because of religious or morel beliefs?	met perform	20/20 Vision	
rectores, emeriones, record problems?	·2	performance in the A.r Force?	0 YES.	°¥ [			S NO NEW Class	
tronquitizers, stimulants, depresents ever been		Do yeu have any serious per	sus personal problems?		De yeu consider yeursell physically mor lit for a responsible Air Force job?	monially and emotionally		
				<b>2</b>			VES 🛛 NO Normal Hearing?	
TO WHAT EXTENT A	TO WHAT EXTENT ARE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS TYPICAL	TEMS TYPICAL	26	Aching the	OU? (Indicate by derivening the appropriate for under each question) accessionatury thus [2] AARELY TRUE [1] NEVEN TRUE	ion)	SCORE	
AWAKENED EASILY BY NOISE	11 UNABLE TO 60 TO SI				31. SLEEPWALK	41	RECURRENT OR FRIGHTENING	NING
	0	2		R		I	0 •	z
PEECH PROBLEMS WHEN NEWVOUS	12. BITE Y		22. URINATED IN BED SINCE	AGE 10	22. PROBLEMS FINDING GIALS (BOYS) YOU WOULD LIKE TO DATE	(BOYS) 42.		
U F O R H		2	Ð	I				Z
L JABLE TO CONTROL YOUR	13. DRINK WHEN THINGS	DNON OD	IL YOU ARE OVE	GHT	E TO GAMBLE	<u>;</u>		
<b>I</b> <b>I</b> <b>I</b>			Ø		D	=		I
KE YOUR APPETITE WHE RVCUS			VOUS		ź			
C U C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	15 HIGH BLOOD PRESSUR		IN UNCO	B	IESIA	#	HAD CONVULSIONS (	RALYSIS
	0	e	9 9 9	Ż	• • •	z	6 1 1	N
EEL YOUR MIND IS WANDERING	16 WORRY OR FEEL MERVOUS	tvous	26. UNABLE TO CC. ICENTRATE	ΥE	36. CARELESSNESS	*	ESS N N	/ENTS /ELL
	0	2		Ξ				Z
AYOREAM	17. NERVOUS IN HIGH PLACES	ACESI	27. WORRY OR FEEL WERVOUS AROUND GUNS	US AROUND	.76	0 0	NERVOUS WHEN IN C TIGHT PLACES	N 0 1
		2	0	I	e 0	2		Z
INABLE TO GET A THOUGHT OUT	Ľ	NOV NO	M. FEEL DISTANT FROM DT			; []	_	Z
HOUGHTS OF SUICIDE	IN FEEL YOU ARE LOSING YOUR MIND	NG YOUR NIND	10 LACK SELF-CONFIDENCE		MPETITIO		FEEL BLUE AND MO	
<u>ו נא</u>			Ê			دی) دران	SECONDERCESSION	
						上市		

Academic Program in HS or Major in College						Algobre 1	Meche Drewii		
Overall Latter Grade average or Grade Paint average						Algebre 11	Shop (		
	- YES		GED	T YES	04	Geometry	ACFT A	ATHT .	
Years Completed or Degree		······				Trigo-			
ourses Falled S						nometry College	Englis	.h.	
ç						Meth	(Senie er Cel	r year, 110go)	
-Jid yau hava disciplinary dition takon against yau in schaol (auopanoione, otc.?)						Colculus	Paral Long SPANIS PACNES	veges m	
Sat hobbies and extre curricular Stivities in arout of school						Analytic Geometry	42 844A 144841A	· •	
(Clubs, sports, offices held, community groups, instamilies, _ic.)						Physics	Typin (WPN	•	
"jumber of hours per wook Worked while ettending school Reasons for leaving school if not	ereducted?					Chamistry -	Court 1001110	-	A CONTRACT
						Palitical Science		AND	
TTERVIEWER'S COMMENTS						Electronics		***	
	.*		-			I ACKNOWLEI ADVISED OF N 31, UCMJ AND	Y RIGHTS UN	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>
			-			ADVISED OF A 31, UCMJ AND	IY RIGHTS UNDERSTAN	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>
COMPLETE T	THE POLLOWI	NG SENTENC	ES TO EX	PRESS YOUR	TRUE PEELING	ADVISED OF A 31, UCMJ AND	IY RIGHTS UNDERSTAN	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>
COMPLETE T OME. BE SUR	ME POLLOWI	A COMPLETE	SENTENC	PRESS YOUR CE.	TRUE FEELING	ADVISED OF N 31, UCMJ AND	IY RIGHTS UNDERSTAN	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>
COMPLETE T OME. BE SUR	THE POLLOWI	A COMPLETE	SENTENC	cE. , weshness	TRUE FEELING	ADVISED OF A 31, UCMJ AND	ES TO MIND. DO	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>
COMPLETE T ONE. BE SUR 1.   like	THE POLLOWI	A COMPLETE 11, 1 12, 1	SENTENC Ny grantae Ny taochari	cE. , weshness		ADVISED OF A 31, UCMJ AND S WITH WHATEVER COM	IY RIGHTS UNDERSTAN UNDERSTAN Signature	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>
COMPLETE T ONE. BE SUR 1. 1 like 2. 1 secretly 2. Rules sre 3. My fether	TO MAKE	A COMPLETE 11. 12. A 13. 1	SENTENC Ny grantae Ny taochari	ce. • wooknoss • • • •		ADVISED OF A 31, UCMJ AND 5 WITH WHATEVER COM 21. 1 need 22. In high se	IY RIGHTS UN UNDERSTAN Signature ES TO MIND. DO	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>
COMPLETE T ONR. BE SUR 1. 1 like 2. 1 secretly 7. Rules sre 4. My fother	THE POLLOWI	A COMPLETE 11. 12. A 13. 1 14. 1	SENTENC By greater By teacher The worst t	c • wooknose • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ADVISED OF N 31, UCMJ AND 5 WITH WHATEVER COM 21. 1 mood 22. in high st 23. 1 feel the	IY RIGHTS UN UNDERSTAN Signature ES TO MIND. DO	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>
COMPLETE T ONE. BE SUR 1. 1 liko 2. 1 secratly 7. Rulas sre 4. My fother	THE POLLOWI	A COMPLETE 11. 12. 4 13. 1 14. 1 15. 1	SENTENC My greater My teacher The worst t Then 1 wee	c • wooknose • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ADVISED OF N 31, UCMJ AND 5 WITH WHATEVER COM 21. 1 mord 22. In high at 23. 1 feel the 24. My mother	IY RIGHTS UN UNDERSTAN Signature ES TO MIND. DO	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>
COMPLETE T ONE. BE SUR 1. 1 like 2. 1 secretly 2. Rules ere 3. My forher 3. My family 6. Mest women 7. A hysband	THE POLLOWI	A COMPLETE 11. 12. A 13. 1 14. V 15. V 16. A 17. A	SENTENC My produce My teacher: The warst t Then I was Then I get A myn My groutest	cE. • wooknose • • • child • child		ADVISED OF A 31, UCMJ AND 5 WITH WHATEVER COM 21. 1 need 22. In high sc 23. 1 feel the 24. My methe 25. Whet enne 26. My friend 27. 1 regret	IY RIGHTS UN UNDERSTAN Signature ES TO MIND. DO	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>
COMPLETE T ONE. BE SUR 1. 1 libe 2. 1 secretly 2. Rules are 3. My father 4. My father 5. My family 6. Mast women 7. A husband 8. A wife	THE POLLOWI	A COMPLETE 11. 12. a 13. 1 14. 1 15. 1 16. <i>d</i> 17. <i>a</i> 18. 1	SENTENC My produce My teachers The warst t Then I was When I get A agn My groatest	ce. • wooknose • hing I avar di • child engry • faur		ADVISED OF A 31, UCMJ AND 5 WITH WHATEVER COM 21. 1 acod 22. In high sc 23. 1 feel the 24. My souther 25. What enne 26. My friend 27. 1 regret 28. 1 werry	IY RIGHTS UN UNDERSTAN Signature ES TO MIND. DO theol my M.T.I.	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>
COMPLETE T ONE. BE SUR 1. 1 11ke 2. 1 secretly 3. Rules are 4. My father 5. My family 6. Mast women 7. A hysband	TO MAKE	A COMPLETE 11. 12. 4 13. 1 14. 1 15. 1 16. 4 17. 1 18. 1 19. 1	SENTENC My produce My teachers The warst t Then I was When I get A agn My groatest	cE. • wooknood • hing I avar di • child angry • faur • faur		ADVISED OF A 31, UCMJ AND 5 WITH WHATEVER COM 21. 1 need 22. In high sc 23. 1 feel the 24. My methe 25. Whet enne 26. My friend 27. 1 regret	IY RIGHTS UN UNDERSTAN Signature ES TO MINED. DO theol o my M.T.I. ys me	NDER AF	<b>TICLE</b>

	REPORT	OF INTERVIEW	Ņ.	- L )	DATE		
• the t			ACT STATEMENT				
مريبام المدلالم بمرار الأبير المتدلال البط	U.S.C. 8012 and EO 9397. 1 for SCI access. ROUTIN Herence, IS FURNISHING E INFORMATION IS NOT on.	IP HICE OF INFORM	ATION: Head to as	eist DOD /	ificials to de	temine it	(1)()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()
NAME (Lazi, First, Mi		SSAN		S	UADRON		FLIGHT NUMBER
	•					DOE	
DATE OF BIRTH	PLACE OF BIRTH		PROPOSED AFSC	GUARAN	EED AFSC	EAD	
	<u> </u>						
		GENERAI	L INFORMATION				
	•	•					
							•
		ATION WITH NON-	US CITIZENS (State	side or At	roud)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LEGA	L RECORD				
DATE	PLACE		OFFENSE			DISPOSITI	ON
	.*						
· <b>·</b>							
			•				
	والمتحدين والمتحدين والمتحدين والمتحد والمتحد والمتحد والمتحد والمتحد والمتحد والمتحد والمتحد والمتحد		essive or delinquent	_	a#3)		
CR	EDITOR	BALANCE OWED	PAYMENTS			STATUS	· · · ·
			,				
						-	
		AL C					

				ND REASON FOR US		
		DRUG INVOLVEMEN	•		SUSED THE FOLLOWING	
TYPI	·	FIRST USED	LAST USED	FREQUENCY	TOTAL USE	REMARKS
۰.						
	·		{			
				5		
		EMOTIO	NAL OR MENTAL PR	OBLEMS (Psychiatric	or psychological)	
					•	
	·		•			
		*				
		• •				
		•				
				INFAMOUS CONDU	СТ.	
					<u>.</u>	
	_	. •				
				REMARKS	·····	
ı						
	•		•	·		
				:		
				•		
TF • - *		WE'ODIA TO A		•		
APPROV			E <i>VELOPED SUBSEQU</i> SAPPROVED	ENT TO INITIAL IN	TERVIEW.	
E OF INTE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
- er mitti	VIEWER			SIGNATURE OF	INTERVIEWER	
Lacknowl	edge that	prior to this intervi	ew I was advised of m	tights under provisi	one of Art 31. Uniform C	de of Military Justice
and that I	The second s				ons of Art 31, Uniform C	ULTICE,
	ST CLICA	• •				

Â.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE 3507TH AIRMAN CLASSIFICATION SQUADRON (ATC) LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS 78236



and the state of the second second

And a low of the second se

ATTH OF DPKA

susseer: Credit Inquiry

TO:

1. The individual identified above, a recent enlistee in the US Air Force, is being considered for an assignment important to the security of the United States. We feel that a good credit rating is one indication of personal integrity.

2. The airman has listed you as a source of credit. Your assistance in providing the information requested on the reverse of this letter will be a valuable aid in determining his (her) suitability for a sensitive military assignment.

3. The Privacy Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-579), requires that information obtained by Federal Agencies about an individual be released to that individual upon their request. The identity of the person providing the information must also be released to the individual. The information you provide in this questionnaire conforms to the provisions of the Privacy Act.

4. Time limitations require that initial selections for these positions be completed within the next ten days. Your early reply in the envelope provided will be appreciated.

5. I authorize the person/business listed hereon to furnish any and all information concerning my credit rating to the United States Air Force and release such persons from any liability arising from this action.

Signature

Durwell Etam

GERALD ELAM, GS-9, USAF Chief, Assessment Section

	CREDIT INQUIRY	
HOW LONG HAVE YOU HAD CREDIT DEALI	NGS WITH THIS INDIVIDUALT	
	TYPE OF CREDIT EXTENDED	
	SECURED LOAN (Includes comeigned loans)	UNSECURED LOAN
OTHER (Please specify)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
APPROXIMATE HIGH CREDIT		\$
AMOUNT OF MONTHLY PAYMENTS		\$
CURRENT ACCOUNT BALANCE		\$
AMOUNT CURRENTLY PAST DUE		\$ .
IF ACCOUNT HAS NOT BEEN HANDLED TO	YOUR SATISFACTION, PLEASE EXPLAIN DELOW	l
, ,		
•		
.*	•	
	,	
,		
	;	
	•	
IGNATURE		
	TITLE	DATE
ATC HQ FORM 702 (DPKA)		

۰.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE 3507TH AIRMAN CLASSIFICATION SQUADRON (ATC) LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS 78236



ALTIN OF

**TO**1

Ì

31] - 구 sussect: Employment Inquiry

1. The above named individual, a recent USAF enlister, is being considered for an Air Force assignment to a position that requires the most stable and reliable person available. These duties involve handling classified information, access to nuclear weapons and other equally responsible positions. These positions may be physically or emotionally stressful. Airmen not selected for these sensitive positions will be considered for other interesting and worthwhile assignments.

2. To assist us in making a decision as to who could best fulfill these duties, we would appreciate your evaluation of this individual. Please complete the questionnaire on the reverse side of this letter.

3. If the airman is selected for this assignment, a Defense Department representative may contact you for additional information in the near future. Should this occur, your further cooperation is solicited.

4. Since final selections for these positions must be completed within the next ten days, we would appreciate an early return of your evaluation. A postage-free envelope is provided for your convenience.

5. Please return this letter as it contains information which will insure proper identification of the individual concerned.

6. The Privacy Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-579), requires that information obtained by Federal Agencies about an individual be released to that individual upon their formal written request. The identity of the person providing the information must also be released to the individual. The information you provide in this questionnaire conforms to the provisions of the Privacy Act.

Elan Derald

GERALD ELAM, GS-9, USAF Chief, Assessment Section

1. DATES OF EMPLOYMENT (From - To)	JOB TITLE	ABLE FROM YOUR RECORDS - SUBJECT'S		
3. REASON FOR LEAVING	SALARY (Per	· · ·		
3. REASON FOR LEAVING		· · ·		
3. REASON FOR LEAVING	DATE OF BIF			
3. REASON FOR LEAVING	DATE OF BIF			:
3. REASON FOR LEAVING	<u></u>	STR		
	G QUESTIONS BY PLA	CING AN "X" IN THE PROPER BOX OR COLUMN		
4. 1 WOULD:	·····	5. JOB PERFORMANCE		_
a. Be glad to rehire subject. b. Prefer someone else (Explain below	<u></u>	a. Extremely competent. b. Very competent.		
1 S. Freier Sometine erse (Exprem bergi		c. Adequate.		
•		d. Incompetent.		
6. EMOTIONAL STABILITY		7. ABILITY TO WORK WITH OTHERS		
a. Exceptionally mature and stable. I periods of stress.	Functions effectively in	a. Excellent, effective in relationships with other little supervision.	s; requi	ires
b. Average for individual's age group.		b. Good: About average for individual's age in period relationships.	rsonal	
c. Questionable. May not stand up we	til under stress.	c. Poor: Cannot work effectively with others; is or arouses antagonism needlessly. A liability in a		
8. TO THE BEST OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE,	HAS THE SUBJECT:		YES	
a. Had relatives or business interests in				1_
b. Ever belonged to or shown sympatheti	فليجهض بجدال المنها الالجام والمستحد المتعاد فستها المتعاقب فاعد	<u>و د می می است. است است می می می او است است است است است است است است است است</u>		┼─
d. Ever associated with individuals who d. Ever used alcohol excessively?	moral character or loyalty	to the United States of America is questionable?		-
e. Ever used harmful or illegal drugs?				┢
f. Ever been in any difficulty with law er	nforcement agencies?			1-
g. Ever been fired from a job for cause?				
h. Any chronic ailments or physical defe		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ļ
i. Ever received medical or psychologica				┣—
j. Ever exhibited any behavior or activiti discreet, loyal to the United States of Am		hat individual is not reliable, honest, trustworthy, ible, and of good character?		
NOTE: If your answer to any of the above qu		e explain in Item 12 below.		
9. ARE YOU ASSOCIATED WITH THE SUB.				
a. If so, would your answers to questions		oply to them?		
(Piesse explain any questionable areas in 0. DO YOU HAVE ANY UNEAVORABLE IN		PREFER TO DISCUSS PERSONALLY WITH AN AIR		┢──
FORCE REPRESENTATIVE?				
		TRUST AND RESPONSIBILITY INVOLVING THE		
ECURITY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AM	IERICA? (If your answer i	s "NO", please explain in Item 12 below). STIONS (Indicate question numbers to which enswers apply).	]	

5.5

ļ

600

1 5 1

1

.

;

I

.

.

....



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE SEGTH AIRMAN CLASSIFICATION SQUADRON (ATC) LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TX 78236

DPKA TN OF:

SUBJECT: Law Enforcement Inquiry

TO

1. The above-named individual (date and place of birth as shown) recently enlisted in the United States Air Force and is now being considered for a sensitive assignment related to national security matters. Your assistance in providing any pertinent information contained in your records will be a valuable aid in determining the airman's suitability for such duty. The space on the reverse of this letter and a postage-free envelope are provided for this purpose.

2. Time limitations require that selections for these positions be completed within the next 10 days. Your early reply will be greatly appreciated.

3. Please return this letter with your reply as it contains information which will insure proper identification of the individual concerned.

nach Elim

GERALD ELAM, GS-9, DAF Chief, Assessments Section 1 Atch Envelope

I authorize the addressee to furnish the United States Air Force any information concerning me which they have available and hereby release such authorities from any liability arising from this action.

(SIGNATURE)

				TH THE SUBJECT BY NAME.	ļ				
		TACHED OR SHOWN BELOW.							
12	TITLE		SIGNATURE						
	<u> </u>			DISPOSITION					
DATE		NATURE OF	OFFENSE						
		.*	•						
					ļ				
		•							
	4				ĺ				
	•								
ARKS (Include any ind	idents involving the subj	ject in which no arrest was							
	•								
•	.*								
					:				
					,				
			•		•				
			•						
			• • •						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
			:						
			:						
			:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			:						

.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE 3807TH AIRMAN CLASSIFICATION SQUADRON (ATC) LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS 78236

ATTN OF: DPKA

SUBJECT: Educational Inquiry

113 Transcripts Please

TO:

1. The above-named individual, a recent enlistee in the USAF, is being considered for an assignment important to the security of the United States. These duties involve handling classified information, access to nuclear weapons and other equally responsible positions. Airmen assigned to these positions must possess a high degree of stability and reliability.

2. Please complete the items on the back of this letter. Your information will be a valuable aid in determining this airman's suitability for this sensitive military assignment. The airman is aware of this inquiry and, by signature below, concurs in release of the information. Please do not send a transcript.

3. The Privacy Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-579), requires that information obtained by Federal Agencies about an individual be released to that individual upon his/her request. The identity of the person providing the information must also be released to the individual. The information you provide in this questionnaire conforms to the provisions of the Privacy Act.

4. If this airman is selected for this assignment, an investigator may contact you for additional information. Should this occur, your further cooperation is solicited. Time limitations require that selections be completed within the next ten days. Your early reply and return of this letter will be greatly appreciated.

GERALD ELAN, GS-9, DAF Chief, Assessment Section

# RELEASE AUTHORIZATION

I am aware of this inquiry and I request that the information itemized on the reverse of this form be furnished for official Air Force use. This release includes any medical/psychological data deemed pertinent to the inquiry.

(Signature of Airman)

(Last Year Attended)

•		EDUCATIO	NAL INQUIRY					
NOTE: Blasses								
individual, and th	e nature of your asso		stions in the appropria	) that apply to your knowledge of the te Section(s) by checking the proper box, REQUIRED.				
SECTION I . RE	CORDS DATA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
1. Educational Lev			4. Academic Standing (Pinel Year)					
Freshman		nior	Class Rank of					
Junior		aduate Student						
2. Dates Attended			Letter Grade Average	or Grade Point Average				
FROM	то	· ·		on Point System				
FROM	TO		5. Personal Conduct					
House For Low		•	_ [ ] Solisfactory Reco	rd [_   Other (Specify)				
Graduated, Com		nances	1					
Was Failing		pellod	1					
Suspended (Gra		known	6. Emotional Stability					
Suspended (Cer	nduci) 'Ott	her	Peer (Esplein is	e Joeu 13) 🔲 Average				
TE TARKS			Geod	Excellent *				
	•			_				
			1					
Aptitude	RSONAL EVALUATI	B. Achievement		9. Motivation				
Can Learn Quickl		Outstanding		Strives Consistently				
	<b>y</b>							
Average		Average		Nederate Effort				
Learns Slowly				Apathetic, Aimiess				
Emotional Adjusta	Nent		11, Moturity					
Adapts Well to Surr	roundings, Even Under S	tress	Adult Outlook, Use	s Discretion				
Average	.*		Average					
Unable to Cope Wit	h Problems		Shert-Sighted Beha	rier				
Was Individuat a C	Disciplinary Problem? (F	for example, suspended or	expelited)					
Yes (Explain)								
	<u> </u>							
If you have addition		ing the reduction of a short		bility, or other factors bearing on suitability				
a sensitive Air For	te assignment, we will a	ippreciate your comments i	n the space below.	munth) in anna ractino near n <b>il ni obirgo</b> ililà				
	the second s							
		•	······································					
			·······					
		······································						
			3					
I [] D0		ve unfeverable informati						
1 []00	DO NOT he	ve unfevereble informet						
I DO	by telephone at							
	by telephone at	Area code and number)	ion to diacuss by tolop between the hours of	sad				
I may be contacted	by telephone at	Area code and number)	ion to discuss by tolop	and				

:

ار از مراجع از مراجع از مراجع می مراجع می از مراجع مراجع مراجع می مراجع م BYWWWW PERSONAL PERSONAL

Participants

•



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE 3507TH AIRMAN CLASSIFICATION SOLIADRON (ATC) LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TX 78236

ATTN OF **DPKA** 

SUBJECT: Character Reference

TOI

1. The above named individual, a recent USAF enlistee, is being considered for an Air Force assignment to a position that requires the most stable and reliable person available. These duties involve handling classified information, access to nuclear weapons and other equally responsible positions. These positions may be physically or emotionally stressful. Airmen not selected for these sensitive positions will be considered for other interesting and worthshile assignments.

2. To assist us in making a decision as to whom could best fulfill these duties, we would appreciate your evaluation of this individual. Please complete the questionnaire on the reverse side of this letter.

3. If the airman is selected for this assignment, a Defense Department representative may contact you for additional information in the near future. Should this occur, your further cooperation is solicited.

4. Since final selections for these positions must be completed within the next 10 days, we would appreciate an early return of your evaluation. A postage-free envelope is provided for your convenience.

5. Please return this letter as it contains information which will insure proper identification of the individual concerned.

6. The Privacy Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-579) requires that information obtained by Federal agencies about an individual be released to that individual upon their formal written request. The identity of the person providing the information must also be released to the individual. The information you provide in this questionnaire conforms to the provisions of the Privacy Act.

ver

JOSEPH L. BROWN, 1st Lt, USAF Chief, Assessments Section

2 Arch 1. ATC HQ Form 706 (Reverse) 2. Envelope



Q	IARACTER REFERENC	EINQUIRY		, L .	1	
TYPE OF RELATIONSHIP WITH INDIVIDUAL	DATE OF ASSOCIAT	ION F	PLACE OF	SSOCIATI	ON	
· .						
		<u>`</u>				
ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS		THE PROPER BLO	CK OR CO	LUMN		· · · · ·
2. TO THE BEST OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE, HAS T	HIS INDIVIDUAL:				YES	NO
a. Ever travelled or resided in a foreign country	ar had friends, relatives, or l	usiness connections	n a foreign (	country?		
b. Ever belonged to or shown interest in Comm						
c. Ever used alcohol excessively?						
d. Ever used harmful or illegal drugs?						
e. Ever been in difficulty with law enforcement				·····		
f. Ever received medical treatment of a serious g. Questionable moral characteristics?	varme of belichological mean			-		<u> </u>
h. Ever been fired from a jeb for cause?						
3. ARE YOU AWARE OF QUESTIONABLE ACTIVI	TIES ON THE PART OF THE	INDIVIDUAL'S FAM	LY OR ASSI	CIATES?		
NOTE: If your enswer to any of the above question	e le "YES", please asplais le	Block 7.				
. DO YOU RECOMMEND THE INDIVIDUAL FOR	A POSITION OF TRUST AND	RESPONSIBILITY IN		HE		
SECURITY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERIC						L
5. IF YOU HAVE ANY UNFAVORABLE INFORMA	tion you prefer to disc	INCE WITH INC MY TEL		WFCH TH	< I	i
	CONTACT VOIL BY TRI FIN		ernune, c		-	
BLOCK AND TELL US IN BLOCK 7 HOW WE MAY	CONTACT YOU BY TELEPH				<u> </u>	
. HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:			0	Ε		
		GWE.				P
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility		GWE.				P
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     ATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence		GWE.				P
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     ATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     D. Financial Responsibility     C. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others		GWE.				P
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     ATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     A. Dependability     D. Financial Responsibility     C. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative		GWE.				P
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     ATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     D. Financial Responsibility     C. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others		GWE.				P
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     ATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment		GWE.				P
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership		GWE.				P
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability		GWE.				P
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership		GWE.				P
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	Int "A" - Average	CHE.	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability	Int "A" - Average	CHE.	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	Int "A" - Average	CHE.	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	Int "A" - Average	CHE.	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	Int "A" - Average	CHE.	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	Int "A" - Average	CHE.	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	Int "A" - Average	CHE.	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	WE "A" - Average	CHE.	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	WE "A" - Average	DNAL INFORMATION	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	WE "A" - Average	DNAL INFORMATION	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	WE "A" - Average	DNAL INFORMATION	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	WE "A" - Average	DNAL INFORMATION	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	WE "A" - Average	DNAL INFORMATION	0			
HOW WOULD YOU RATE INDIVIDUAL'S:     RATINGS: "O" - Outstanding "E" - Excelle     a. Dependability     b. Financial Responsibility     c. General Intelligence     d. Ability to work with others     e. Initiative     f. Judgment     g. Self-Confidence     h. Home Environment     i. Leadership     j. Emotional Stability     k. Loyalty	NR "A" - Average	DNAL INFORMATION	0			

								Contraction of the		
TT	LAST NA	TT	ТТ	FIN	╝	MILITAR) STATUS	/	MARITAL	HISTORY	OPINION INVENTORY
ليل						Acuva	7(	O Single	•	Medical Evaluation Testing
000	ତ୍ତ୍ତ୍ର ଭ		000	<b>P</b>		Reserve		Married		form is affected by the
						ANG		$\geq \leq$		Act of 1974 — see Reverse)
						SEX	$ \supset /$	HS or GED Grad	\ \	
								() Yes	<u>}</u>	
						ର୍ଷ ତ	- JV	DN0	ANSWER	ALL 50 ITEMS MARKING
	Šõõõõ					Č Ť		$\sim$	T FOR T	RUE; F FOR FALSE.
	<b>DOOD</b>									
						1 🕤			hanics magazines	
								) I was act	ive in sports durin	g high school
	000000				1	שייים הייים	() 	) i quit sch	ool because I was	i failing.
5) <b>D</b> (5) 5) <b>D</b> (5)	00000	<b>6</b> 6				₩7.₩₩. 5 @			y was always clos	
	ତେତ୍ତ	(B) (B)	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (		1 i	. <b>6</b>				seif than with others.
						7 <del>(</del>	Ē	) I have ha	d more than my s	hare of illness.
	୦୦୦୦						ĴŌ	)_I would n	ather read than be	with people.
						9 (ť)		I need ex		· · · · · · · · ·
									eyed hooky from a	
900 900	OCCC OCCC					11 🗩 12 ເອັ	ש קיי	i sometin	es wanted to run	away from home.
000	000000	000	00		1 1	14 _ U 13 ⑦		)   often he	physical educatio	114 a
					10	14 <u>"</u> . O			en fired from a joi	
wi 🕲 👻	ୢୄଢ଼ଢ଼ଢ଼ଢ଼	ତ୍ତ୍ର କ୍ର	0.0		l b	15 岔)	1	I was aso	elled or suspende	d from school
~.	<u>ଚ</u> ଞ୍ଚ	S	ଛିଛି	E.S.	$ \Gamma $	16.0	ïŏ	I guit sch	ool because I lost	Interest.
Y) (D) (C)	୭୦୦୦୧	ভিভি	ତଙ	ΘĪ		17 🛈	۲	l needed	special help with	my school studies.
	)D.G.G.G									ike a child than an adult.
	ତ୍ରୁତ୍ର					19 🕥			ed several times t	
									red much for sch	
<u>"し"</u>	00000	$\Theta(0)$	0.0	<u>M:</u>		21 Ū				the Air Force was a good idea.
	SSAN	7	ACT.	AFOI		22 ⑦ 23 ⑦	. O		ver done any heav ol was boring.	y annking.
		тт	F¥			23 () 24 ()	ି ଅ	-	or was boring. ow learner at sch	ool.
					11	25 D	÷ Œ,		veral hobbies.	
	) (ē) (o) (o)					28 Đ	<u>`</u> . Ô			chool more than once.
	00000		DO	00		27 Ū	ં છ	🗉 I think I v	vill make the Air F	orce a career.
				2)0		28 0	. 🧿		ake things hard.	
	10100 10100 10100			(j) (j)		29 <del>(</del> )	. 0		to help support m	
	`O®O® ,©®©®					30 O	· .		n in trouble with	
	)() )() ) () )() ()			90 90		31 ① 32 ⑦	(*) . O		in arrested more t	
	,0,0,0 ,0,0,0,0			シモ		32 ① 33 ⑦	. ७ १			difficulty sleeping.
	600C			50	1 1	34 <b>⑦</b>	ં		le All Porce to get lying cards for me	
	$\mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O}$			50	1 7	35 T)	Ċ	• • •	ided help for emo	
			•			36 🕤	Õ			ble with teachers.
	Y'S DATE	sa	FLI			37 沈	ir.	Our famil	y was always clos	ð.
Mo	Day Year	37.	NO	, 	r	38 💮	୍			ing told what to do.
Juan - Disan						39 (Ť)	_ (°) _ @		er when I drink.	
) Feb ) Mar	· • ` (2   •) (4	<u>ه</u> . زف	فرو			40 ① 41 ①	i O C		I was a loner,	al mana shan a
Apr	30.00				<b></b>	42 ①	. O	+++	ve in sports during	of more than two times.
May	20 0				1.	42 ① 43 ⑦	. C			ation to stay calm.
) Jun	<b>QE</b> 0		DO		1.	44 Ö	Õ		ss and swear.	ener is eing sellit.
) nn	0 0	414	1 (E)	•	1	45 🗇	(E	l entered	the service (AF) be	cause there was nothing else to do.
Aug			(1) (1) (1)			48 🕐	Ø	I worked	full time through (	one summer during high school.
) Seo ်ပငၤ		() ()	1 D O		17	47 (Ť) 49 (Ť)		I have oft	en gone against m	ay parent's wishes.
Nov	() () ()	() ()			1	48 ⑦ 49 ⑦	. G	•	was a nervous m ing very much.	an.

Basic Military Training Army Prescreening Porms 

## PERSONNEL SECURITY SCREENING INTERVIEW (INSCOM Reg 380-1)

#### PART I. PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 ADVISEMENT

The authority for requesting the information on this form and during the subsequent interview is contained in This 10, United States Code, Section 3012, and Executive Orders \$397, 10450, and 12065. The requested information will be used for making personnel security determinations for membership in the Armed Forces of the United States and/or access to classified information, and for making personnel management decisions. The routine uses are for the determination of the scope and coverage of a personnel security investigation, assuring the completeness of investigations, and providing evaluators and adjudicators with basic personal history information relevant to security and suitability determinations. The information may be disclosed to other Faderal agencies that are also charged with making the foregoing determinations and to administrative, law unforcement or investigative personnel responsible for matters that arise during these determinations. Completion of the form and the subsequent personal interview is voluntery. However, failure on your part to furnish all or part of the information requested may result in reassignment to non-sensitive duties or deniel of access to classified Information. At your request, a copy of this Privacy Act Advisoment will be previded to you for your retention.

#### PART II. IDENTIFYING DATA

1. Name (Lost First, Middle)			4. Unit
2. Social Security Number	*	•	5 Training Center
3. Date and Place of Birth		.1	6. MOS

.

#### PART III. INTERVIEW SUMMARY

(For completion by interviewer only)

Date: \_\_\_

A Form 92	Replaces MIIA Form \$7, which is obsolute.	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (When filled in				
Interviewer:		Signature:				
	· · ·					
	 	•				
		•				
			·			
		÷				
	-					

1 Dec 79

PART IV. GENERAL					
	<i>\</i> , ', '	9. Most difficult thing for you:	11. Would you like to get out of th Army now? Why?		
8. Why did you select the Army job (MOS) for which you enlisted?		10. Do you feel that you will be able complete basic training on schedule D Yes D No D Not su			
Interviewers Comments		· · ·	······································		
		المحور المحافظ المحافظ المحافظ	•		
·					
		•			
		•	•		
•	•		•		
•		· .			
		·····			
PART V. BACKGROUND DATA	<u>من ال مارين</u>				
2. Have you ever been involved with an	ny of the	tollowing?			
Yes No	Y == 0	• .	Yes No		
C S. Curlew Violation		J   Injuring someone while driving	B. Possession, sele, or use of dangerous orugs or marguana		
D. b. Malicious Mischief			1 1. Drinking underage		
C C. Disturbing the Peace			U U Excessive drinkung		
d. Disorderly Conduct		. Illegal possesion of weapon	V. Contributing to delinquency of     Minor		
🗆 🔲 😐 Vagrancy	00	] n. Vandekem	. W. Misuse of Identification		
C I f. Bad Checks		2 a. Theft	III X. Sex Offenses		
g. Failure to pay alimony or child support		p. Shopkitang	y. Indecent expôsure		
D D h. Hit and Run		, Breaking and entering	2. Other law violations		
L Moving Traffic Violation		) r. Annault			
			1		
nierviewers Comments					
		•			
			,		
		•			
		'n			
	•		•		
		•	•		
			-		

• • --

. .

•	<u>,</u>		
13. Have	e you ever?		
Tes No	, <del>``</del>	Yes No	
	a. Been jaked or taken to police station		j. Altempted or considered suicide
	b. Been summoned to appear in court		L Been mentally or physically abused
	C. Been involved in a lawsuit		L'Been pregnant or caused a pregnancy while not
00	d. Had problems with creditors		m. Had a homosexual experience since sixteenth birthday
	e. Had drivers license suspended or revoked		n. Undergone treatment or counseling for problems
	f. Had trouble with supervisors.	00	<ul> <li>Had tranquilizers, stimulants or depressants prescribed for you</li> </ul>
	9 Had trouble with co-workers		p. Traveled or resided outside the United States
	h. Quit a job without notice		g. Supported the violent overthrow of the Government
	L Been fired or dismissed from any job		r. Support denial of rights to any group or individual
nierviev	vers Comments	<u> </u>	
		•	•• •
			•
			· ·
-			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· ·		
4 Do yo	u have?		
Ves No		Yee No	
	a. Any debta		<ul> <li>b. Any correspondence with foreign nationals</li> <li>f</li> <li>e. Any business interests or investments in a foreign</li> </ul>
	b. Any friends or relatives who are not US citizens		e. Any business interests or investments in a foreign country of company
	c. Any friends or relatives in foreign countries	00	1. Any money in foreign banks
nterview	ers Commenis		•
		5	
		•	
•		-	•
	*** · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-

. .

₹.

•		HIG	H S	сно	OL	COI	LEC	GE OF	R TEC	H SC	HOOL	20. What educate	onal goals have you set to
15. Type of program or major				•		`` <i>`</i>		•	•			yourself?	•
16. Graduated	•		Yes	٥	No		٥	Yes		No			
17. If you did not graduate, why did you leave school?													•
18. Have you ever had any disciplinary action taken against you?			• •			•	• •	•			•		-
19. How did you finance your education?													•

. . ....

Inserviewers Comments

٠

#### PART VII. INTERVIEWEE STATEMENT

(For signature by the interviewee at the conclusion of the personal interview.)

In connection with my consideration for sensitive duties with the US Army, I, \_

1.

and the voluntary nature of my participation in the interview. If criminal activities were disclosed during the interview, I was advised of my legal rights under the Constitution of the United States and the Uniform Code of Military Justice. If I requested it, a copy of the Privacy Act Advisement for this interview has been given to me for my retention.

The information on this form is given voluntarily to be used in conjunction with my processing for possible future assignment.

I understand that any information I give may be placed in my security files, whether or not I am selected for sensitive duties, and may be used in the future, along with an appropriate investigation, for determining my eligibility for a security clearance, military assignments or continued military service.

I have examined this form and the interviewer's comments thereon. The information I provided is accurately described by the interviewer.

Date:	 Signature:	·	- 	SSN:	•	
	. •	-	Interviewer:	•		

## FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (When filled in)

APPENDIX D

2-11 Introduction. A BI is the minimum investigative requirement for the granting of a TOP SECRET clearance or for participation in certain programs.

WE WE LITTLE BE WE BE BOTH AT AN A THE AND A MERICAN AT A 18 AT

The BI is an inquiry into the activities of an individual and is designed to develop information on which to base decisions regarding access authorization and sensitive program participation, which are clearly consistent with the interests of national security. Inquiry is made into pertinent facts bearing on the loyalty, trustworthiness, and suitability of the SUBJECT. A traditional BI shall be conducted when required by special programs and when investigative limitations prohibit the completion of an IBL. A BI is conducted in two formats

a. BI. The traditional BI is a combination of record checks and interviews in the public community where the SUBJECT was employed, educated or resided. The SUBJECT is normally interviewed only when an investigation raises an issue.

b. IBI.

(1) During 1981, the IBI was introduced. The IBI principally relied on developing information from an interview of the SUBJECT, and, secondarily, from local agency checks, NAC's and credit checks. Since the last three elements were common to the BI and IBI, the IBI was said to be a matter of substituting a SUBJECT INTERVIEW (SI) and selective records checks and interviews for the traditional records and interviews at the SUBJECT's places of employment and education.

(2) On July 1, 1983, the scope of the IBI was expanded or enhanced to routinely include interviews of developed character references and employment references.

(3) <u>Generally, the IBI is the principal means of investigation</u> when a TOP <u>SECRET clearance is required or when DoD directives call for a</u> <u>"BI."</u> However, in special cases, or when the SUBJECT is aboard a deployed ship or in a remote location and not available for interview, the traditional BI will be conducted. The IBI is further described in section E of this chapter and in chapter 5.

2-12 <u>Minimum Investigative Requirements (BI)</u>. The period of investigation covers the last 5 years of the SUBJECT's life or from the date of the SUBJECT's 18th birthday, whichever is the shorter period, provided it covers the last 2 full years of SUBJECT's life, but does not precede the 16th birthday. In addition to a valid NAC, the following elements represent the minimum investigative requirements.

a. <u>Birth</u>. Requesters are required to verify date and place of birth on all U.S. native born SUBJECTS. DIS will review official birth records only on specific request or when the investigation discloses discrepant birth information.

b. <u>Citizenship</u>. Requesters are required to verify the U.S. citizenship status of all SUBJECTS claiming such status. DIS will verify the U.S. citizenship status only on specific request or when the investigation discloses discrepant citizenship information. For non-U.S. Citizen SUBJECTS, DIS will determine current citizenship and legal status in the U.S.

2-7

c. <u>Education</u>. DIS will verify all full-time education during the period of investigation to include attendance at the last secondary school. Verification of secondary schools may be done through transcript review if there was attendance at an institution of higher learning. (The determining factor for ascertaining whether any particular education is full-time is the nature of the coincidental employment, if any.) Exceptions to the above, in the absence of specific issues, are:

- (1) Primary schools
- (2) Foreign schools
- (3) Military academies (unless SUBJECT did not graduate)
- (4) Correspondence schools

(5) Schools attended for the purpose of obtaining a general equivalency diploma (G.E.D.)

(6) Other federal, military schools, academies (for example, Executive Seminar Center and NCO Academy), and similar centers for training in conjunction with and for employment.

d. <u>Education References</u>. References from places of education will only be interviewed when there is unfavorable information to resolve, or when a letter of inquiry provides insufficient information. In those instances, the special agent shall conduct a minimum of two education references interviews (EDUC-I's).

e. <u>Employment</u>. DIS will verify all periods of employment during the period of investigation except as indicated below:

(1) military employment, when the requester indicates that such employment has been verified with favorable results; or

(2) federal employment, when the requester indicates that such employment has been verified with favorable results.

DIS will also determine SUBJECT's activities and means of support for each period of unemployment in excess of 30 days.

f. <u>Employment References</u>. The special agent shall conduct employment reference interviews (EMP-I's) of the SUBJECT's supervisor and a co-worker at each place of employment, during the period of investigation, except as indicated below.

(1) Temporary (4 months or less), seasonal, holiday, Christmas or part-time employment are unnecessary unless there are unfavorable issues to resolve,

(2) Current federal and military service SUBJECTS must be located at their current duty locations for at least 6 months; otherwise, attempt to interview a supervisor and a co-worker at SUBJECT's next prior duty location which was for a period of six months or more. Do not attempt to interview

المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

employment references if SUBJECT is aboard ship at sea, or at other places of prior federal employment verified by records.

(3) In the case of SUBJECT's who are former federal employees, references will be obtained at the last place of federal employment which was for a period of 6 months or more.

\*

g. <u>References</u>. DIS will interview a minimum of three developed character references whose combined association with SUBJECT covers the entire period of the investigation.

h. <u>Credit</u>. DIS will conduct credit bureau checks covering all areas of SUBJECT's residence, employment, and schooling for 6 months or more on a cumulative basis, subsequent to the SUBJECT's 18th birthday, during the period of investigation, in the 50 states, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

i. Local Agency Checks. DIS will conduct local agency checks covering all areas of SUBJECT's residence, employment, and schooling (including duty stations and homeports, in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico) for 6 months or more on a cumulative basis during the period of investigation. Local agency checks will be conducted overseas for alien/immigrant alien SUBJECTS and on other SUBJECTS who were in such areas in a private capacity.

j. Other. When the facts of the case warrant, additional investigative elements (for example, neighborhood investigations, medical records checks, foreign travel, foreign connections, and membership in organizations) may be required.

2-13 Updating Previous Investigation to BI Standards. If the SUBJECT is a participant in one of the programs listed below, the period of investigation is the most recent 5 years (10 years for NATO cases) or since the prior investigation, whichever is the shorter period using the scope detailed for a BI or IBI, as appropriate. (Developed references who were previously interviewed will be reinterviewed only when other developed references are unavailable.)

a. <u>NATO</u>. Military and civil service employees whose previous investigations were completed more than 5 years prior to their appointments to NATO, and non-DoD employees whose previous investigations were completed more than 9 months before their appointments require new investigations.

b. <u>Nuclear Weapon Personnel Reliability Program</u>. Military, government civilian, and industrial personnel whose previous investigations were completed more than 5 years prior to their present appointments require new investigations.

2-14 Additional or Special Investigative Requirements. In certain programs, or for certain categories of personnel, the BI serves as a basic investigative requirement, but there are special or additional requirements as indicated below. a. <u>Immigrant Alien</u>. The period of investigation covers the last <u>10</u> years of the SUBJECT's life or from the date of the SUBJECT's 18th birthday, whichever period is the shorter period, provided it covers at least the last five full years of SUBJECT's life (but does not precede the 16th birthday). In addition, the investigation will include (as one of the initial leads) an IBI SUBJECT INTERVIEW (IBI SI) as described in chapter 5 of this manual, which includes the specialized questions on allegiance and background described elsewhere under the section on SI's.

b. NATO. The period of investigation covers the last 10 years of the SUBJECT's life or from the date of the SUBJECT's 18th birthday, whichever is the shorter period, provided it covers at least the last 2 full years of SUBJECT's life (but does not precede the 16th birthday).

c. <u>Presidential Support (Category 2 Personnel)</u>. The investigation must include a review of INS files or State Department checks on derivative citizenship (and in some cases DCII, FBI-HQ, and CIA) on members of the SUBJECT's immediate family (see paragraph 2-20 a.(2)) when they are 18 years of age or older and foreign born (provided their U.S. citizenship was not verified during a prior investigation).

d. Foreign Nationals. The investigation of foreign nationals, such as Filipino Nationals who are members of the U.S. Navy, includes a 3-year traditional scope, 15-year LAC coverage, and the IBI SI. This also includes foreign nationals employed overseas by the Red Cross or the United Service Organizations, Inc. (USO).

#### SECTION E - INTERVIEW ORIENTED BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION (IBI)

2-15 <u>General</u>. The IBI is the principal type of investigation conducted when a person needs a TOP SECRET clearance or is to be assigned to a position requiring a background investigation. The Interview-Oriented Background Investigation SUBJECT INTERVIEW (IBI SI) is a thorough in-depth interview of the SUBJECT covering all aspects of the SUBJECT's background. The interview includes a review of the SUBJECT's SPH or PSQ, as well as several direct questions on suitability topics. The purpose of the interview is to elicite detailed pertinent personnel security information from the SUBJECT. Since no source has more knowledge of the SUBJECT, the IBI SI permits focusing on issues more quickly than the traditional BI. The IBI SI is an integral part of the IBI and the PR. In addition to an in-depth SI, the IBI includes an NAC, local agency checks (LAC's), credit checks, the interview of three developed character references (DCR's), three employment references with employment records checks, plus select scoping as required to resolve unfavorable or questionable information.

2-16 Operational Case Management. While the IBI replaces the traditional BI in most cases, PIC will specify on the ALS whether the case will be conducted under IBI criteria or traditional BI criteria. Generally, the IBI will not be scoped by PIC when one of the following conditions exists, or in other cases approved by V0100.

a. SUBJECT is a YANKEE HILL applicant, is to have access to SCI or Single Integrated Operational Plan (SIOP) Extremely Sensitive Information (ESI), or is assigned to some other project for which an SBI is required. b. SUBJECT is aboard a deployed ship or in some remote area which would cause the SI to be excessively delayed.

c. SUBJECT is in an overseas area handled by the State Department.

d. SUBJECT is in Canada which is handled by the FBI

e. SUBJECT is a civilian employee of the U.S. Government, in which case a traditional BI (see paragraph 2-12) is conducted.

f. SUBJECT or spouse has relatives or has resided in a designated country (Hostage Investigation).

2-17 <u>IBI Minisum Investigative Requirements</u>. The period of investigation for the IBI is the same as for a BI (see paragraph 2-12). Additional or special investigative requirements for Immigrant Alien, WATO, and Presidential Support cases are identified in paragraph 2-14.

a. <u>Scope</u>. At the outset, PIC will scope the SI, MAC's, LAC's credit, three DCR's, three employment references and records checks, and any select scoping which is immediately identifiable. The scope of leads for MAC's, LAC's and credit checks is the same as for a BI and were previously described in this chapter. The scope of the SI is not limited to a particular period of time and will be accomplished accordingly. If appropriate, PIC will furnish a copy or summary of pertinent prior file data to field elements. In some instances, PIC will direct the SI be held in abeyance until a prior file is received. Rowever, PIC will scope MAC, credit, and other leads as appropriate, on all cases avaiting prior files.

b. <u>SUBJECT Interview</u>. This is the principal component of an IBI. A full description and procedures for this intensive in-depth SI are set forth in chapter 5. In some instances an issue will arise after the primary SI and a secondary interview will be conducted. Interviews in the latter category are normally "issue" interviews which are described fully in section D, chapter 5. The IBI SI will be reported in a marrative format and details are set forth in paragraph 5-29.

c. <u>Employment Records</u>. Employment records will be checked at all places where employment references are interviewed with the exception of current military employment when the requester indicates that such employment has been verified with favorable results. Records need be checked only when they are <u>locally</u> available, unless unfavorable information has been detected. It may then be necessary to generate add or lateral leads to effect employment record checks.

\* d. Employment Reference Coverage. A minimum of three references, either supervisors or co-workers, who have knowledge of SUBJECT's activities in the work environment will be interviewed. At least one employment reference at the current place of employment will always be interviewed with the exception of an individual attending military basic training, or other military training schools lasting less than 90 days. However, if SUBJECT has only been at the current employment for less than 6 months it will be necessary to go not only to his current employment, (for example, for one employment reference) but also to the preceeding employment of at least 6 months for additional employment references. If SUBJECT has not had prior employment of at least 6 months, interview(s) will be conducted at the most recent short term employment in addition to the current employment. It will be the responsibility of the case controller to evaluate each case and determine at which location employment references will be obtained.

e. <u>DCR and LCR's</u>. DIS will interview a minimum of three developed character references whose combined association with SUBJECT covers the entire period of investigation. Do not obtain <u>any</u> DCR's at SUBJECT's place of employment, unless, these references have an association, or substantial knowledge of SUBJECT's activities, <u>outside</u> the work environment. Such expanded association must be included in the report of investigation (ROI). If coverage cannot be obtained through the DCR's, listed character references (LCR's) will be contacted to obtain coverage.

f. Unfavorable Information. Unfavorable information developed by the field element will be expanded. If appropriate, a lateral lead will be dispatched, unless the proposed lead is duplicative of ongoing action elsewhere. Leads which may be duplicative should be resolved through prompt coordination with the PIC case controller.

g. <u>Select Scoping</u>. This element is a "select" pursuit of those leads which are most likely to clarify, expand on or resolve investigative matters obtained during the conduct of other investigative elements. Select scoping is fully covered in chapter 5, paragraph 5-33.

### 2-18 Additional or Special Investigative Requirements

a. <u>NATO</u>. HATO cases investigated in the IBI method will be modified by the conduct of LAC's for the last 10 years of SUBJECT's life or from the date of the SUBJECT's 18th birthday, whichever is the shorter period, provided it covers at least the last 2 full years of SUBJECT's life (but does not precede the 16th birthday).

b. <u>Presidential Support (Category 2 Personnel)</u>. IBI's on this category must include review of INS files or State Department checks on derivative citizenship (and in some cases DCII, FBI-HQ, and CIA) on members of SUBJECT's immediate family (see paragraph 2-20a.(2)) when they are 18 years or older and foreign born (provided their U.S. citizenship was not verified during a prior investigation).

c. Foreign Nationals The investigation of foreign nationals, such as Filipino Nationals who are members of the U.S. Navy, includes a 3 year traditional scope, 15-year LAC coverage, and the IBI SI. This also includes foreign nationals employed overseas by the Red Cross or the United Service Organizations, Inc. (USO).

d. Other. Other traditional BI's with special requirements may, as conditions warrant, be scoped for an IBI SI.

## SECTION F - SPECIAL BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION (SBI)

2-19 Introduction. A Special Background Investigation (SBI) is the minimum investigative requirement for access to SCI or for participation in certain

other sensitive programs. The SBI is an inquiry into the activities of an individual (who has been nominated for participation in a special program) which is designed to meet the investigative requirements of the Director of Central Intelligence Directive (DCID) 1/14, "Minimum Personnel Security Standards and Procedures Governing Eligibility for Access to Sensitive Compartmented Information," September 1, 1983, DoD Instruction 5220.28, "Application of Special Eligibility and Clearance Requirements in the SIOP-ESI Program for Contractor Employees," March 8, 1978, DoD Directive 5210.55, "Selection of DoD Military and Civilian Personnel and Contractor Employees for Assignment to Presidential Support Activities," July 6, 1977, DoD 5200.2-R, or similar DoD issuances. The SBI consists of all components of a traditional BI, plus specific additional investigative requirements.

2-20 <u>Minimum Investigative Requirements</u>. The period of investigation for SBI's covers the last 15 years of the SUBJECT's life or from the date of the 18th birthday, whichever is the shorter period, provided that the period covers at least the last 2 full years (but does not precede the 16th birthday).

a. NAC. In addition to a valid NAC on the SUBJECT, the following requirements apply.

(1) Conduct a DCII, an FBI/ID name check only, and an FBI/HQ check on SUBJECT's current spouse or cohabitant. Any arrest disclosed by these checks must be carefully evaluated in terms of how it would affect SUBJECT's suitability for a position of trust. If it may affect SUBJECT's suitability, then reasonable investigative efforts, for example, details and disposition, must be accomplished to determine the extent of influence on SUBJECT. In addition, conduct such other national agency checks as deemed appropriate based on information on SUBJECT's SPH or PSQ.

(2) Conduct a check of FBI/HQ files on members of SUBJECT's immediate family who are aliens in the U.S. or immigrant aliens when they are 18 years of age or older.

(3) Review the files of CIA on alien members of the SUBJECT's immediate family who are 18 years or older, regardless of whether or not these persons reside in the U.S.

(4) Review INS or State Department files on members of the SUBJECT's immediate family when they are 18 years of age or older and are foreign born (unless the U.S. Citizenship status of any of these individuals was verified during a prior investigation).

b. <u>Birth.</u> Requesters are required to verify date and place of birth on all native born SUBJECTS. DIS will review official birth records only on specific request or when the investigation discloses discrepant birth information.

c. <u>Citizenship</u>. Requesters are required to verify the U.S. citizenship status of all SUBJECTS claiming such status. DIS will verify the SUBJECT's U.S. citizenship only on specific request or when the investigation discloses discrepant citizenship information. DIS will also verify the citizenship status of all foreign-born members of the SUBJECT's immediate family. Additionally, when the investigation indicates that a member of the SUBJECT's immediate family has not obtained U.S. citizenship after having been eligible for a considerable period of time, an attempt should be made to determine the reasons.

d. Education. DIS will verify all attendance at institutions of higher learning in the U.S., within the last 15 years, if such attendance was not verified during a prior investigation. Attempts will be made to review records at overseas educational institutions when the SUBJECT resided overseas in excess of 1 year. Also, DIS will verify attendance at the last secondary school attended within the past 10 years if there was no attendance at an institution of higher learning within the period of investigation. Verification of attendance at military academies is only required when the SUBJECT failed to graduate.

e. <u>Education References</u>. References from places of education will only be interviewed when there is unfavorable information to resolve or letter of inquiry provides insufficient information. In these instances, the special agent shall conduct a minimum of two education reference interviews.

f. <u>Employment</u>. DIS will verify all employments in the U.S. that occurred during the period of investigation with the exception of military and federal employment when the requester indicates such employment has been verified with favorable results. Attempts will be made to review records at overseas employments when the SUBJECT resided overseas in excess of 1 year. Additionally, DIS will determine SUBJECT's activities and means of support for each period of unemployment in excess of 30 days.

g. <u>Employment References</u>. DIS will interview a supervisor and a co-worker at each place of employment in the U.S. during the most recent 10 years of the period of investigation, except as indicated below.

(1) Current federal and military service SUBJECTS must be located at their present duty location for at least 6 months; otherwise, attempt to interview a supervisor and a co-worker at the SUBJECT's next prior duty location, which was for a period of 6 months or more. In the case of SUBJECTS who are former federal employees, references will be obtained at the last place of federal employment which was for a period of 6 months or more.

(2) The SUBJECTS assigned to ships at sea.

h. <u>References</u>. DIS will interview three developed character references whose combined association provides a continuity to the extent practicable, of the SUBJECT's activities and behavioral patterns over the past 15 years, or during the period of investigation, whichever is shorter.

i. <u>Neighborhood Investigations</u>. DIS will conduct a neighborhood investigation (NI) to verify the SUBJECT's present address and each residence in the U.S. and/or Puerto Rico, as appropriate, where SUBJECT has resided for a period of 6 months or more on a cumulative basis, during the past 5 years or during the period of investigation, whichever is shorter. An NI in a Bachelor Officers Quarters (BOQ) or Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQ) need be conducted only if it is the current residence and SUBJECT has resided there

for 6 months or more. Former BOQ and BEQ residences do not require a neighborhood investigation. During each NI attempt to interview two neighbors who can verify the SUBJECT's specific period of residence at each address and who are sufficiently acquainted with the SUBJECT to comment on his or her suitability for a position of trust. Expand NI's beyond this 5 year period only when there is unfavorable information to resolve. When the investigation has disclosed that the SUBJECT made an extensive number of residential moves in a short period of time, attempt to determine the reasons therefor. In those cases when there is less than 50 percent coverage of the past 5 years, appropriate inquiries will be made to determine the significance of the frequent residential changes. NI's will not be conducted overseas and aboard ship; these residences will be verified through interview of references developed in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and/or Puerto Rico, as appropriate, or the references listed on the PSQ as being able to verify such.

j. <u>Credit</u>. DIS will conduct credit bureau checks covering all areas of SUBJECT's residence, employment, and schooling for 6 months or more, on a cumulative basis, subsequent to the SUBJECT's 18th birthday, during the period of investigation or for the most recent 7 years, whichever is the shorter period, in the 50 states, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

k. Local Agency Checks. DIS will conduct LAC's on SUBJECTS at all places of residence, employment, and education (including duty stations and/or home ports), in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico during the past 15 years or during the period of investigation, whichever is shorter. Additionally, when a SUBJECT has worked or lived outside the U.S. under the auspices of the U.S. government continuously for over one year, or in excess of 90 days in a private capacity, the investigation will be expanded to cover fully this period in his or her life through the use of record sources as may be available in the foreign country(ies) in which the SUBJECT resided.

1. When the facts of the case warrant, additional investigative elements (for example, investigations of foreign travel and connections, membership in organizations, divorce, military service, and medical records checks) may be required.

2-21 Updating Previous Investigation to SBI Standards. If the previous investigation does not substantially meet the minimum standards of an SBI or if it is more than 5 years old, a current investigation is required but may be limited to that necessary to bring the individual's files up-to-date, in accordance with the investigative requirements of an SBI. References previously interviewed may be reinterviewed if they still know the SUBJECT as well; if not, efforts shall be made to locate new references who can cover the period of investigation.

### SECTION G - PERIODIC REINVESTIGATION

2-22 Introduction. A periodic reinvestigation (PR) is required on individuals participating in specific programs or requiring access above the SECRET level. The period of investigation for the PR covers the last 5 years. The PR investigative requirements set forth in the next paragraph are applicable to PR's for the following special programs or access:
(1) TOP SECRET (TS)

The Delivery of the second second

(2) Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI)

(3) Single Integrated Operational Plan - Extremely Sensitive Information (SIOP-ESI)

(4) White House/Presidential Support

(5) Yankee Fire

(6) Contractor COMSEC Positions

(a) COMSEC Custodian or Alternate Custodian

(b) Facility Security Officer/Supervisor (FSO)

(7) Personnel Reliability Program (only when TS, SCI, or SIOP-ESI is involved).

(8) NATO staff positions

2-23 <u>Minimum Investigative Requirements</u>. A PR includes the following minimum scope.

a. NAC. A valid NAC on the SUBJECT will be conducted in all cases. Additionally, for positions requiring SCI access, checks of DCII, FBI/HQ, FBI/ID name check only, and other agencies deemed appropriate, will be conducted on the SUBJECT's current spouse or cohabitant, if not previously conducted. Additionally, NACs will be conducted on <u>immediate family members</u> who are 18 years of age or older, and <u>immediate family members</u> who are aliens and/or immigrant aliens, if not previously accomplished (reference paragraph 2-20a).

b. <u>Credit</u>. DIS will conduct credit checks covering all places where the SUBJECT resided for 6 months or more, on a cumulative basis, during the period of investigation, in the 50 States, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

c. <u>Subject Interview</u>. DIS will conduct an IBI SI in accordance with the procedures and guidelines set forth in chapter 5 of this manual. The interview should cover the entire period of time since the last investigation, not just the last 5-year period. Significant information disclosed during the interview, which has been satisfactorily covered during a previous investigation, need not be explored again unless additional relevant information warrants further coverage. An IBI SI is not required if one of the following conditions exists.

(1) The SUBJECT is aboard a deployed ship or in some remote area which would cause the interview to be excessively delayed.

(2) The SUBJECT is in an overseas location serviced by the State Department or the FBI.

d. <u>Employment</u>. DIS will verify current employment. Military and federal service records will not routinely be checked, if previously checked by the requester when the PR was originally submitted. Also, employment records will be checked wherever employment interviews are conducted. Records need be checked only when they are locally available, unless unfavorable information has been detected. It may then be necessary to generate add or lateral leads to effect employment record checks.

e. <u>Employment References</u>. DIS will interview 2 supervisors or co-workers at the most recent place of employment or duty station of 6 months or more. If the current employment is less than 6 months, employment reference interviews will be conducted at the next prior place of employment, which was at least a 6-month duration (EMP-I's).

f. <u>Developed Character References</u>. DIS will interview two developed character references who are knowledgeable of the SUBJECT. Developed character references (DCR's) who were previously interviewed will only be reinterviewed when other developed references are not available.

g. Local Agency Checks. DIS will conduct local agency checks (LAC's) on the SUBJECT at all places of residence, employment, and education during the period of investigation, regardless of duration, including overseas locations.

h. <u>Select Scoping</u>. When the facts of the case warrant, additional select scoping will be accomplished, as necessary, to fully develop or resolve an issue.

# SECTION H - POSTADJUDICATION INVESTIGATION

CAR AND A ST

Introduction. DIS has investigative jurisdiction over personnel 2-24 security issues which arise after a previous personnel security investigation has been adjudicated, such as, postadjudication investigations. (These investigations are also referred to as special investigative inquiries and "complaint" cases.) DISCO postadjudicative cases are normally marked as Red Flag or Code Red. Cases marked as Red Flag are predicated on information of such a serious nature that DISCO may initiate action to suspend SUBJECT's clearance. Code Red consists of all other DISCO postadjudicative cases. Most requests for postadjudication investigations will be mailed by requesters directly to PIC. Additional or amplifying procedures for these cases are not required, since they are PSI's (albeit priority cases), and these requests will be processed at PIC with leads dispatched to the field, in accordance with existing procedures. There might, however, be instances when the requester will have an urgent need for a postadjudication investigation, in which case communication between requester and PIC by mail is impractical. In such cases, the requester may deliver the requesting document (DD Form 1879) directly to the local DIS field element, or make an oral request to the DIS field element with DD Form 1879 to follow. DIS field elements must respond immediately to these direct requests for postadjudication investigations (see paragraph 2-27).

2-25 <u>Definition</u>. A postadjudication investigation is a PSI predicated on new, adverse, or questionable security, suitability, or hostage information, which arises subsequent to adjudicative action and requires the application of investigative procedures to determine a DoD-affiliated person's eligibility for access to classified information, assignment to or retention in sensitive duties, or other designated duties requiring such investigation. Note that the criteria specifies the issue occurred after the previous investigation was adjudicated, irrespective of whether the adjudication was favorable or unfavorable.

2-26 Jurisdiction. Since DIS, as set forth in DoD Directive 5105.42 (see enclosure 1), is charged with responsibility for conducting all DoD PSI's, DIS jurisdiction extends to postadjudication investigations of subversive affiliations, suitability information, and hostage situations, when such inquiries are required for personnel security purposes; when the alleged subversive affiliation, or suitability issue is a current violation of law or, in the case of a hostage situation, there is an indication that the person concerned is actually being pressured, coerced, or influenced by interests harmful to the U.S., then the jurisdiction will rest with the appropriate agency, such as the FBI, military investigative agency, or civilian authorities, as set forth in chapter 8.

2-27 <u>Investigative Requirements.</u> DIS will conduct only those inquiries necessary to resolve the issue(s). A request for investigation must be set forth on a DD Form 1879 and accompanied by supporting documentation, if available. On receipt of such a request by DIS, the issues and proposed investigative leads will be identified, and a determination made as to whether the proposed action is within DIS jurisdiction. If the request is received by a DIS field element, that element will telephonically notify the Chief, Investigations Division, (DO620), PIC, who will examine the request for conformity to DoD policy. The initial telephonic notification to the PIC will contain as a minimum:

- a. full identification of the requester,
- b. full identification of the SUBJECT,
- c. a discussion of the allegation and facts, and
- d. recommended leads.

Based on the information provided, PIC will review the prior file and advise the field unit if there is a reason for a change in the course of action. The latter may include the dispatch of leads telephonically (with ALS to follow) or the advising of the <u>requester</u> as to the reasons for declination.

# SECTION I - LIMITED ACCESS AUTHORIZATIONS

2-28 Introduction. Limited Access Authorization (LAA) is a level of access to classified defense information which may be granted to an alien outside the U.S. under certain conditions, one of which is that a BI must have been completed with satisfactory results. As with all PSI's, DIS has the responsibility for controlling the investigation. Inasmuch as the great majority of investigative effort will be accomplished overseas by the military investigative agencies, they are given wide latitude in the opening, scoping, conducting, and closing of LAA cases.

APPENDIX E

5-27 <u>Questioning of the SUBJECT</u>. Basically, the process <u>consists of asking</u> several brief, direct, questions on each topic, following by a summarizing series of questions.

a. <u>General</u> (see subparagraphs b. through r.). Since many areas of interest on the SPH or PSQ are not, <u>in themselves</u>, suitability issues (for example, employments and residences), a detailed response from the SUBJECT about them is not needed. A detailed response often drifts from security areas of interest. Thus, "tell me about your employment history" is not the best question because it does not quickly focus on issues; whereas specific questions can and do get to point, for example: "Have you ever been fired?"... Did you ever resign in lieu of being fired?" Getting to the point quickly is the philosophy behind the questions described in succeeding paragraphs. Its success relies heavily on the thorough explanation given to the SUBJECT (see paragraph 5-25). Each topical section of the interview is subdivided into direct and summarizing questions, as indicated as follows in subparagraphs b. through r.

(1) <u>Direct Questions</u>. Normally, all the direct questions listed will be asked. The questions are sufficiently representative of the topic, without being repetitive, and will usually cover the topics from enough angles so that the SUBJECT, if honest, will not inadvertently omit the information sought. <u>Where the SUBJECT responds with issue information</u>, <u>appropriate questions are posed for the "who, what, when, where, why and how," as called for in section E of this chapter</u>.

(2) <u>Summarizing Questions</u>. After the direct questions on a particular topic have been answered, the special agent may begin to ask the SUBJECT summarizing questions. The vital difference between direct and summarizing questions is that in the latter a "yes" or "no" is no longer

asked for, as this has already been done with the direct questions. This is simply a recapping with different words and asking for a "yes" answer. Summarizing questions offer the SUBJECT a chance to change his or her responses, without the questions taking on a harassing character that would develop if too many "yes" or "no" type questions were repeated. The critical point in the summarizing questions is the significant deliberate pause between questions to allow the SUBJECT an unhurried chance to think and answer. A favorable IBI SI will usually proceed from one topic to another, although the SUBJECT may begin a narrative that covers many areas of interest. If so, the agent shall let the SUBJECT talk, and interrupt only to develop an item, or to redirect the interview when the SUBJECT strays into irrelevant matters.

b. Education.

(1) Direct Questions.

Are there any places of education you have not listed?

Have you ever been suspended or expelled from school?

Were you ever charged with any disciplinary offense while in school?

Were you ever called before school authorities for misconduct?

If we were to talk to school officials or review school records, would we uncover any derogatory information regarding you?

(2) Summarizing Questions.

In other words, your behavior and conduct in a school environment was totally okay.... faculty and fellow students had no complaints about you... and your school record is totally clear?

(3) Comments.

Where educations, employments, and residences are concerned, it is important not to lose sight of why the questions are asked. None of these are of security significance by themselves. But they are places where the SUBJECT had responsibilities to conform or perform, and was observed and evaluated in a context nearly identical to security eligibility criteria.

c. Employment.

(1) Direct Questions.

Are there any employments you failed to list?

Have you ever been accused of dishonesty in connection with any of your employments?

Have you ever had difficulties in your employments that resulted in your being fired or asked to resign?

(

Did you ever leave an employment to avoid being fired or disciplined?

If we talk to any of your former employers, would any of them have anything bad to say about you?

Have you ever been in the military service?

What kind of discharge did you get?

(2) Summarizing Questions.

In other words, you have never had any problems at any employments... you have never had to leave any employments under adverse circumstances... your employers would not have anything bad to say about you... you have been a good employee?

d. Residence.

(1) Direct Questions.

Were you ever evicted from any residence?

Did you ever have any problems with landlords or neighbors?

Were any complaints made about you by neighbors to officials?

Have you ever been accused of failing to pay your rent or keeping up with your mortgage payments?

Did you ever leave a residence owing money for utilities, rents, damages, or any other items?

Did neighbors or landlords ever call the police because of your behavior?

(2) <u>Summarizing Questions</u>.

In other words, your landlords and neighbors would consider you a desirable tenant and neighbor... former neighbors would want you back... and none would complain about how you paid your rent or mortgage?

e. <u>Refocusing the Interview</u>. After the places of education, employment, and residence are covered, it is useful to refocus the SUBJECT's attention to the importance of truthfulness in the security clearance process, and the adjudicator's use of the whole person concept. For example:

> So far we've covered a good deal of your background, but before we go on, I'd like to briefly reiterate some things I said earlier, just to be sure you understand the process. As I said, our interest is in your integrity, trustworthiness and loyalty.

> > 5-21

Honesty in this interview is a factor also. And bear in mind, too, that anything which you may see in your background as unfavorable, whether it pertains to drinking, drugs, honesty, morals, or whatever, does not mean the clearing authorities will make an adverse decision on your clearance, since those authorities will be looking at you as a whole person. They will weigh the unfavorable information against the favorable, taking your truthfulness into consideration. Do you have any questions up to this point?... Now, let's go on to the question on the security form which pertains to your use of alcohol..."

f. Use of Alcohol.

(1) Direct Questions.

First of all, do you drink?

At worst, what happens to your behavior when you drink?

Has drinking ever caused you to lose a job?... Has it created any problems with supervisors or co-workers? At school?

Has your use of alcohol caused any financial or health problems?

Have you ever been arrested, charged, or involved in any way in any incident due to drinking?

Have you ever been charged with driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence?

Have you ever had what people call a drinking problem?

(2) Summarizing Questions.

In other words, no one has complained to you or talked to you about your use of alcohol.... no one has ever suggested you receive treatment or counseling... and your use of alcohol has never caused an embarrassing incident.... or one for which you could be blackmailed?

## (3) Comments.

The questions focus on the SUBJECT's behavior or results of drinking. They do not include "describe your drinking habits," since such a question permits a self-serving answer (such as, "not much" or "not enough to affect me"). Even if the SUBJECT should say exactly how many ounces he or she drinks per day or at any one time period, it tells the interviewer nothing of whether the SUBJECT becomes significantly unreliable as a result of the drinking. If the SUBJECT's responses indicate a problem, full details such as frequency, type, and amount, as described in paragraph 5-38, must be obtained. g. Drugs.

(1) Direct Questions.

One of the areas questioned by the SPH or PSQ has to do with drugs, narcotics, and marijuana. What is your view or how do you stand on use of these substances?

What exposure or experience have you had with these kinds of things?

Have you ever been in places or at events where illegal substances were being used?

With respect to legal substances, have you ever misused or abused drugs prescribed for you or for others by a doctor?

(2) Summarizing Questions.

In other words, you abide by laws governing the use of drugs, including marijuana.... you have never been intoxicated or under the influence of these substances.... you have never used, bought, sold, or distributed any drugs or illegal substances?.... Your associates and family have never been involved with illegal substances?

(3) Comments.

If the SUBJECT's responses indicate drug involvement, full details, as described in paragraph 5-39, must be obtained.

#### h. Mental Treatment.

#### (1) Direct Questions.

The SPH or PSQ asks about a history of mental or nervous disorders and hospitalization to which you answered "no." Apart from disorders referred to in that question, have you ever sought out psychological counseling from any others, such as teachers, clergy, and school counselors?

Has anyone recommended you seek mental health counseling?

Was psychological counseling or consultation ever a requirement following any incident at work... at school.... or after an arrest?

# (2) Summarizing Questions.

In other words, there have been no periods of mental instability or anxiety which affected your job.... your family life.... your personality?.... No conditions for which you considered seeking treatment?.... You have never had what is commonly called a nervous breakdown or nervous condition? (3) Comments.

If the questions disclose mental treatment or counseling, the remaining questions will be <u>limited</u> to determining when the treatment or counseling took place, where and by whom. Releases shall be sought, but no other questions shall be asked. Additional procedural guidance is in paragraph 5-12.

If the SUBJECT reports mental or psychological problems or instability, that fact becomes a PSI issue to resolve by investigation, whether or not the SUBJECT received counseling or treatment for the problem.

The questions on mental treatment may elicit "marital counseling." However, such counseling is not a proper investigative source, nor is marital disharmony a proper PSI issue. Eligibility criteria (see chapter 2) includes no reference to spouse relationships, marital disharmony, or counseling. Sometimes, however, PSI issues such as drinking or drugs may be the reason for the marital counseling. When this is the case, pursue <u>only those issues</u>, even if it requires interviewing a marriage counselor.

Thus, if the SUBJECT volunteers "marital counseling," or it appears on the SPH or PSQ, the sgent must ask the SUBJECT if the marital counseling was sought because of a PSI issue. Simply ask the SUBJECT, "Was the marital counseling due to drinking habits, drug use, financial irresponsibility, immoral behavior, or psychiatric treatment for a mental condition?" If the SUBJECT answers "no," no more questions will be asked, and no medical releases will be requested. If the SUBJECT says "yes," then proceed with questions <u>appropriate to the identified issue</u>, and request medical releases to obtain the relevant information which may be held by the counselor.

The questions on mental treatment may also elicit "death counseling," Such counseling will not be pursued unless there is an indication that the death of the family member or loved one has caused a significant defect in the judgment or reliability of the SUBJECT. When this is the case, pursue only these issues, even if it requires interviewing the counselor.

i. Moral Behavior.

(1) Direct Questions.

What do the words "moral" and "moral behavior" mean to you? Would you describe yourself in that context?

Has your moral behavior always been proper?

Has your present or past behavior put you in a position of being subject to blackmail or pressure?

Has anyone ever accused you of improper behavior at any time?

#### (2) Summarizing Questions.

In other words, there have been no incidents in your life in which you behavior was immoral, embarrassing, or personally disgraceful.... there is nothing embarrassing or questionable that anyone could hold over you?

(3) Comments.

۰.

If the SUBJECT asks what moral behavior is, the agent must give an explanation. It would be appropriate to say:

Moral behavior is a concept pertaining to your conduct and actions. It covers ethical practices whether they be technically illegal or not, such as honesty, meeting obligations, the keeping of confidences, or conformity to the law. It also pertains to sexual conduct, including illegal acts such as voyeurism, child molesting, violation of obscenity statutes, or acts such as homosexuality or promiscuity, which may or may not be technically illegal in any given jurisdiction.

Matters pertaining to sex are not in themselves relevant to a security determination, unless they are indicative of irresponsibility, are criminal in nature, or they create a situation making the SUBJECT vulnerable to blackmail. Thus, the agent will not ask specific questions about sex unless:

(a) the SUBJECT introduces the matter or it has been developed through other sources such as LAC, NAC or prior investigation; and,

(b) questioning is necessary to determine the SUBJECT's susceptibility to pressure or blackmail, or to explore criminality or irresponsible behavior.

Apart from direct questions about sex, an agent must also avoid words or phrases which by inference improperly introduce sexual matters into the questioning. Examples of the kinds of things the agent must not ask about are: dating habits, reasons for not getting married, whether the SUBJECT has friends of the opposite sex, if the SUBJECT was ever a victim of a sexual crime, and the degree to which the SUBJECT and spouse get along.

When a SUBJECT admits sexual misconduct or sexually deviant activity, see paragraphs 4-10, 4-11, 4-12, and 5-40 for guidance.

- j. Family and Associates.
  - (1) Direct Questions.

Who do you live with now?

Apart from your family members, have you ever resided with another with whom a close relationship exists or has existed?

Have you ever been divorced? If so, in that process were there any complaints, or was the basis of the divorce your moral behavior, drinking habits, drugs, mental stability, or personal activities which bear on your integrity?

Do any family members or close friends have any problems or involvement with drugs, alcohol, or criminal activities? If so, what impact did or does it have on you? How were you involved? Were you previously involved?

# (2) Summarizing Questions.

In other words, there is nothing about your family or associates that you are ashamed of or you would like to keep secret.... and you could not possibly be blackmailed for something they have done?

# (3) Comments.

Remember, even though some of these questions may disclose unfavorable information about the SUBJECT's family or associates, the PSI is solely concerned with the SUBJECT and the factors which may influence the SUBJECT's behavior or activities in a security context. If the SUBJECT's spouse, for example, was trafficking drugs, the SUBJECT could be exposed to considerable pressure over fear for the safety of the spouse. Similarly, a SUBJECT living with a foreign national affiliated with a trade office of a Communist country is more easily targeted by foreign intelligence than one who has no such contact. Relevant information about a family member may be developed at any stage of an interview; however, the agent's questions shall be carefully phrased or explained, to show that the SUBJECT is the focus of the investigation, and that DIS is not investigating family or friends.

The fact that the SUBJECT is cohabiting may be a point of embarrassment which the SUBJECT is concealing from others; therefore, if the SUBJECT is residing with another, he or she should be asked if there is a husband and wife relationship, if it is commonly known, and, in this context, if the relationship is a source of coercion. The agent should not imply that he or she is making a moral judgment on the SUBJECT's cohabitation. Also, full identification of the cohabitant, to include full name, DOB, and citizenship, should be obtained, as inquiries on the cohabitant may be necessary. If the SUBJECT questions the need for this data, he or she should be advised that interest in the cohabitant is to the same extent that data on a spouse is required.

A court record check is not routinely conducted for a divorce, annulment, or legal separation, unless references indicate the grounds for such action may include a suitability issue. Therefore, the agent shall ask the SUBJECT if he or she was divorced or legally separated. If so, the agent shall inquire if allegations were made during the proceedings about the SUBJECT's morals, use of drugs or alcohol, finances, or emotional stability.

#### k. Foreign Connections.

# (1) Direct Questions.

With respect to matters outside the United States, do you or any of your relatives have any interests?.... Academic interests?... Correspondence?.... Financial concerns?

#### Has anyone from a foreign country visited you here?

Have you received any correspondence from a foreign country, -either solicited or unsolicited?

Do any of the commercial firms or employers with which you may now have or have had an obligation or close association have foreign connections?

Do you deal with or know any citizens of Communist countries?

# (2) Summarizing Questions.

In other words, you have had no contacts or relationships outside the United States with either persons, businesses or governments?.... You and your family members have no associations or relationships <u>here</u> in the United States with aliens or with persons who have close foreign personal or business ties?.... You don't have any contact with persons from other countries?.... You don't associate with any citizens of Communist countries?

## (3) Comments.

A SUBJECT's foreign associations under the auspices of the U.S. Government are not, in themselves, issues for a PSI. This foreign association may, however, be expanded by the SUBJECT or the foreign national into a personal association. For this reason, the fact that the foreign association was initiated under government auspices does not eliminate it as a PSI matter to explore in the IBI SI. Once the questions have determined there is no personal aspect to the SUBJECT's foreign association, and that no personal approaches have been made to the SUBJECT from foreign nationals, the questioning should go on to other matters.

Foreign connections include organizations and businesses, and their representatives (whether people or other entities) to which the SUBJECT, <u>spouse</u>, or <u>cohabitant</u> may have responsibilities or feel a sense of obligation. Where these connections exist, the interview should develop full identification, address, relationship to the SUBJECT (or immediate family member), and the degree and purpose of the affiliation (see chapter 3). Hostage interviews, as described in paragraph 5-42, are always conducted if the SUBJECT has a family member, or associate with whom there is a close bond of affection or obligation, residing in a <u>designated</u> country. When the spouse of a SUBJECT has a relative or associate in a designated country, the full line of questioning outlined in paragraph 5-42 shall be adapted to the interview, as appropriate to the facts developed as the interview progresses.

# 1. Foreign Travel.

# (1) Direct Questions.

Have you ever been outside the United States either as a civilian or a member of the military? Have you been to any Communist-controlled countries? To other foreign countries?

Have your immediate family members traveled to foreign countries?

# (2) Comments.

The dates (or approximations) of foreign travel shall be obtained, but are less important than the purpose, places visited, identities of companions, and the activities and contacts during the travel, which may be indications of investigative issues. The questions should determine if lasting contacts were made, and should encompass such items as the SUBJECT's (or spouse's) problems with police or customs, evidence of monitoring by the foreign country, embarrassing, or compromising incidents, and the like. When travel to a Communist-controlled country is under the auspices of the U.S. Government, these matters should still be explored for possible issues.

# m. - Financial Responsibility.

(1) Direct Questions.

What is your financial situation?

Do you have any creditors who are claiming you are overdue on any payments?

Have you ever had any accounts placed for collection? Have you ever had any purchases voluntarily or involuntarily repossessed?

Have you ever issued any bad checks?

Have you ever filed for bankruptcy, or sought assistance or counseling with respect to your financial obligations?

Do you go to places where gambling is available? If so, tell me about your gambling habits.

Are you living within your means? Might others perceive you as spending more than your income would permit?

(2) Comments.

Many questions could be asked to develop the SUBJECT's financial responsibility. Those listed above are sufficient to develop relevant information without belaboring the point with many questions that are essentially the same. These questions seek a general response rather than a balance sheet of assets and liabilities. If the SUBJECT's responses indicate that he or she is solvent, that is, the SUBJECT has no delinquent debts, bad checks, civil judgments, has not been sued, has never filed for bankruptcy, and is not behind in alimony payments, further questioning is not necessary.

If the responses indicate some financial problems, the questioning must be expanded, for example, to obtain a detailed account of all obligations and to learn whether there are any circumstances beyond the SUBJECT's control, which may account for indebtedness; if accounts are in the spouse's name and, if so, the degree of the SUBJECT's responsibility for such accounts; whether the SUBJECT has sought financial counseling or made special arrangements with creditors; whether the SUBJECT has the ability to meet current obligations; how the SUBJECT perceives this financial dilemma; and could the SUBJECT be influenced or coerced to act irresponsibly because of past or present financial difficulties. When identifying creditors in those situations where there is an issue, the agent shall obtain the SUBJECT's account number and the city where the account was opened or is managed. The procedures in chapter 3 for obtaining releases under the Right to Financial Privacy Act apply (also see paragraph 5-11). An adjudication of bankruptcy does not eliminate financial responsibility as an issue, just as serving a prison term does not negate the offense as an issue. When a SUBJECT reports a bankruptcy, the agent shall determine why it occurred and whether the causative factors remain, and expand the questioning as appropriate.

#### n. Organizations.

#### (1) Direct Questions.

Other than the organizations you listed, are there any organizations where you were a volunteer worker, aide, or participant, or to which you lend your name or devote any of your time or money?

Do you belong to any organizations that people might consider Communist or subversive? Did you ever belong to any such organization?

Do you belong, or have you ever belonged, to any organization that advocates the unlawful overthrow of the U.S. Government or denial of constitutional rights?

#### (2) Comments.

When the SUBJECT's remarks raise questions or issues, bear in mind that mere membership in an organization is not the issue, but rather the knowing membership and specific intent to do harm, or to aid the organization in such activity. When the nature of an organization is not evident to the agent, appropriate questions shall be asked. These, however, should end when it is apparent that the organization has no security or suitability significance. When there is some uncertainty or questionable aspect to pursue, the substance of the questions in paragraph 5-44, tailored to fit the situation, should also be posed to the SUBJECT, and expanded on as necessary (see also chapter 3).

o. Loyalty.

4

(1) Direct Questions.

Where loyalty to the United States and conformity to the Constitution are concerned, where do you stand?

Have you ever deliberately refused to obey a law for ideological reasons?

Are there any laws which, if faced with a decision, you would not comply with?

Where the Department of Defense is concerned, do you have any reservations about compliance with regulations or policy?

Are you a conscientious objector? If so, would you tell me what a conscientious objector is, as far as you are concerned? How do you reconcile your views with your government work, especially with classified material?

(where applicable) Have you registered under the Selective Service System? If not, why not?

(2) Summarizing Questions.

In other words, you have no reservations about fully supporting the missions of the Department of Defense, whether the missions be defensive or offensive in nature?

(3) Comments.

As set forth in chapter 4, a person whose personal opinion differs from U.S. Government policy is not investigated. If, however, the SUBJECT qualifies his or her responses to questions, the agent must ask enough follow-up questions to distinguish between the SUBJECT's right to his or her opinion and a refusal to adhere to laws, advocacy of violence, or refusal to protect DoD interests (see paragraph 5-44).

p. Criminal History.

(1) Direct Questions.

Have you ever been investigated by the police or military investigative authorities?

Have you ever been questioned by police?

Have you ever been charged or arrested for a crime?

Have you ever engaged in criminal activity which has gone undetected?

(2) Summarizing Questions.

In other words, you have had no contact with authorities in police, law enforcement, or investigative units?.... No such contacts as a juvenile?.... You have had no record expunged or sealed?.... You have never had a law enforcement or judicial action postponed, lessened, or withdrawn on the basis of your performing some public service or your enlistment in the military service?

(3) Comments.

The facts of an arrest and whether or not there was a conviction or acquittal are sterile facts of limited value to the adjudicator. A valid security assessment is dependent on full details of the event, such as what the SUBJECT did and whether there were circumstances which mitigate or aggravate the act from a security viewpoint. The SUBJECT's age, effects of intoxicants, reparation, unusual stresses, and degree of participation, are some of the factors by which the adjudicator measures the event against security standards. A youthful SUBJECT may be asked the specific question of whether he or she has a juvenile arrest record. In addition, the SUBJECT may be asked if there has been any offenses which were expunged. If the SUBJECT responds affirmatively to the question on juvenile or expunged offenses, the agent may ask for details. However, if the SUBJECT states that he or she was advised by legal authorities that it was not necessary to report the matter, and objects to further questioning, no further questions shall be asked; the issue will be pursued by a lead, if sufficient information is available to do so, and state laws permit it.

q. <u>Security</u>.

(1) Direct Questions.

Have you ever been accused of improperly handling personal, private, or classified information?

Would you, as a person with access to classified information, be subjected to any pressure from family, friends, or associates to reveal such information?

Are there any factors which would make it difficult for you to hold classified information in complete trust?

(2) Summarizing Questions.

In other words, no one would assess your security reliability, or handling or protection of information as being loose?.... You would feel comfortable about your ability to hold a security clearance? r. Trust.

MIT WITH THE AS AS AS

4.

Would you tell me about those positions you have held or applied for which involve trust, security clearances, bonded activities, or handling of money?

Were you ever refused such a position?

Was a trust ever taken away from you? Were you ever denied a security clearance?

5-28 Conclusion of the SUBJECT Interview.

(1) The following questions shall be asked at the end of the SI.

Considering all that has been said, do you have any mental reservations about anything bearing on a security clearance?

Would you like to add to, further explain, or change any of your responses to the questions that have been asked?

Do you feel comfortable now, and have you felt that way during the course of this interview?

Please take a moment to look again at your SPH or PSQ.

Is there anything you would like to change or discuss?

(2) These questions are, in essence, a restatement by the agent of the importance of honesty, an invitation for the SUBJECT to ask any questions, and an offer for the SUBJECT to make any final comments, corrections, or additions. Obviously, any reservations remaining in the agent's mind should be disposed of. A statement shall be solicited, if appropriate, or, if the SUBJECT declines to make a statement, the relevant information shall be read back to the SUBJECT to ensure that the agent understands what the SUBJECT said.

5-29 <u>Reporting Requirements</u>. The IBI SI paragraph as described in subparagraphs below, includes the <u>date</u> and <u>duration</u> of the interview, and includes <u>favorable</u> information <u>as well</u> <u>as unfavorable</u> or <u>qualified</u> information in a specified sequence. The sequence of captions in an ROI with an IBI SI will normally be the sequence shown in chapter 6.

a. <u>Favorable Reports</u>. Enclosure 22 is a sample of a favorable report. Each agent should adapt his or her own words to this format. Briefly, this ROI consists of the following.

(1) <u>An Opening Paragraph</u>. This shows whether the SUBJECT was interviewed for an IBI or PR, the date and duration of the interview. (Rarely will a variation from the sample be necessary). This paragraph should also include a military SUBJECT's rank and place of assignment. APPENDIX F

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANPOWER DATA CENTER

١,

÷.,

# DATA BASE PROFILE AND OVERVIEW AUGUST 1986

# MEPCOM EXAMINATION AND ACCESSION FILE

File Description:	Records of all individuals examined at Military Enlistment Processing Stations since July 1970. A subset file of accessions is extracted.
Frequency of Submission:	Submitted monthly by the Military Enlistment Processing Command.
Key Dates & Number of Cases:	Data are stored in fiscal year files starting with FY 1971. Approximately 1.5 million cases per fiscal year are on total Transaction File. About 400,000 per year are Accessions. Examination data prior to FY 76 are not complete.
Data Elements:	<u>Personal</u> Social Security Number, Name, County, State, ZIP Code, Date of Birth, Citizenship, Previous Military Service, Education Level, Sex, Race, Marital Status, Number of Dependents, AFQT Scores, Test Scores, Religion.
	Medical

Physical Profile, Height, Weight, Blood Pressure, Medical failure, Waiver Code.

Administrative MEPS Station, Branch of Service, Recruiter Code, Date of Accession, Category of Enlistment, Occupation, Grade, Special Program Code (i.e., Bonus, Unit of Choice, etc.).

٠.

## ACTIVE DUTY MILITARY PAY FILE

File Description: Records of pay and allowances for all Individuals on active duty on or after 1 July 1982 except Reserves on active duty less than 180 days. Frequency of Submission: Submitted quarterly by the Military Service Finance Centers. Key Dates and Number of Cases: Data are stored starting 30 September 1983. Each submitting period contains records on about 2.2 million enlisted and officer personnel. Data Elements: Personal Social Security Number, Name, Service, Sex, Marital Status, Legal State of

Residence.

# Military Experience

Pay Entry Ecse Date, Active Duty Base Date, Pay Grade, Date of Current Pay Grade, ETS Date, Number of Days of Lost Time (current quarter), and Unit Identification Code.

# Pay and Allowances

Current Pay Status, Basic Pay, Hazardous Duty Incentive Pays, Enlistment and Selective Reenlistment Bonuses. Aviation Career Incentive Pay, special pays for Medical Officers, Basic Allowance for Quarters, Variable Housing Allowance, Overseas COLA, and Rent-Plus Allowances. Overseas Housing Allowance (OHA).

#### ENLISTED/OFFICER MASTER FILE

File Description:

The inventory of personnel on active duty (excluding those on active duty for training) at end of each calendar quarter.

Submitted quarterly by the Services.

Frequency of Submission:

Key Dates and Number of Cases:

Data are stored for both enlisted and officer personnel starting 30 June 1971 and every six months thereafter until 30 June 1975, when data are stored quarterly. Each submission contains records on about 1.7 to 2.3 million enlisted personnel and 270,000 to 370,000 officers.

Data Elements:

#### Personal

Social Security Number, Education Level, AFQT Percentile Score, Date of Birth, Service, Race, Ethnic Group, Marital Status, Number of Dependents, Sex, Home of Record.

#### Military Experience

Months of Service, DoD Primary and Duty Occupations, Pay Grade, Age at Entry, Service Primary Occupation, Active Duty Base Date, Pay Entry Base Date, ETS Date, Date of Rank, Date of Latest Enlistment, Time-In-Grade, Flying Status (officer), and Unit Identification Code. Also starting in July 1985, Security Classification, Foreign Language Ability, Major Command Code and GI Bill Eligibility Information.

# DOD CIVILIAN CENTRAL PERSONNEL DATA FILE

File Description:

Frequency of Submission:

Number of Cases:

Data Elements:

This file contains the personnel records of all direct-hire DoD civilian employees excluding foreign nationals overseas. There is a <u>master</u> file which is a picture of civilian employees at the end of each quarter as well as a <u>transaction</u> file which records Promotions, Accessions, Separations, Changes, etc. over a period of time.

Submitted quarterly by the Services and Defense agencies since 31 December 1976 to the present.

Master files contain approximately 1 million records. Transaction files contain approximately 1.5 million per fiscal year.

# Personal Characteristics

Service Computation Date, Date of birth, Handicap Code, Race/National Origin Code, Sex, Education Level, Year Degree Attained, Academic Discipline, Geographic Location, Veteran Indicator, Annuitant Status Code, Vietnam Era Veteran Indicator, Creditable Military Service<sup>#</sup>, Foreign Language Proficiency (Listening, Reading, Speaking)<sup>#</sup>, etc.

#### Job Characteristics

Work Schedule, Personnel Office Identifier\* (Submitting Office Number), Functional Classification of Scientists & Engineers, Geographic Location Code, Position Service Identifier, Agency Bureau, Supervisor Indicator, Civil Function Code, Current Appointment Authority Code, Overseas Emergency Essential Agreement Flag, Position Sensitivity\*, etc.

9

# DOD CIVILIAN CENTRAL PERSONNEL DATA FILE (CONTID)

Data Elements (Cont'd):

Pay and Benefit Characteristics Salary, Pay Rate Determinant, Pay Basis Code, Tenure Code, Veteran Preference Code, Federal Employees Group Life Insurance Status, Retirement System Code, Pay Plan, Pay Grade, Step, Performance Level Identifier, PMRS Performance Budget Allocation\*, Bargaining Unit Status, Annuitant Status Code, Fair Labor Standards Act Exemption Status, Health Benefit Plan\*, Date of Last Promotion\*, Date Entered Current Grade\*, Frozen CSRS Service\*, CSRS Coverage at Appointment\*, etc.

# Nature of Action Code and Legal Authority Codes

Transaction data contain effective date and codes for identification of type of change such as promotion, accession, separation, retirement. There are approximately 300 of these codes.

# Accounting Type Data

Includes Program Element Code, Unit Identification, and Appropriation Resource Identification Code.

\* Asterisk variables will first be available in the December 1986 file.

# CLVILIAN CENTRAL PERSONNEL DATA FILE (CPDF)

File Description:

This file contains the personnel records of all direct-hire DoD civilian employees excluding foreign nationals overseas. There is a <u>master</u> file which is a picture of civilian employees as of the end of each quarter as well as a <u>transaction</u> file which records Promotions, Accessions, Separations, Changes, etc. over a period of time.

Submitted quarterly by the Civil Service Commission (nee OPM) from 31 December 1972 until September 1977 and yearly thereafter (see DCPDF).

# Personal

Service Computation Date, Separation Date, Effective Date of Change, Salary, Occupational Code, Functional Classification, Geographic Location, SMSA, Veteran Indicator, Retired Military Code, Pay Plan, Grade and Step, etc.

## Administrative

The file contains a number of additional elements indicating frequency of pay, participation in life insurance programs, nature of retirement plan, etc.

# Nature of Action Code

Transaction data contains an identifier code for identification of type of change; such as Promotion, Accession, Separation, Retirement. There are approximately 300 of these codes.

Number of Cases:

Frequency of Submission:

4

# ENLISTED/OFFICER SEPARATION AND REENLISTMENT FILE

File Description:Records of all individuals separating<br/>from active duty or reenlisting during<br/>the period.Frequency of Submission:Submitted monthly or weekly by the<br/>Services.Number of Cases:Data are stored on a fiscal year basis,<br/>or on a quarterly basis for the current<br/>fiscal year.Data Elements:Personal<br/>Social Security Number, Education Level,<br/>AFOT Percentile Score, Date of Birth,

of Record. <u>Military Experience</u> Months of Service at Separation, DoD Primary and Duty Occupation, Pay Grade at Separation, Age at Entry, Age at Separation, Service Primary Occupation, Separation Program Designator, Interservice Separation Code, Date of Separation, Active Duty Base Date, Pay Entry Base Date, ETS Date, Date of Last Pay Grade, Date of Last Reenilstment, Time-In-Grade at Separation, Character of Service (enlisted), Reenlistment

Eligibility Code (enlisted), Flying

Status (officer).

Service, Race, Ethnic Group, Marital Status, Number of Dependents, Sex, Home

# MILITARY RESERVE FILE

File Description:

ANN.

Frequency of Submission:

Data Elements:

All Military Reservists including those in the selected Reserve, the IRR, Full-Time Support Retired, and other Reserve categories received from the Service Reserve Personnel Centers.

Quarterly from Fiscal Year 1975 until Fiscal Year 1978. Monthly thereafter.

Social Security Number, Census Region and District, Home of Record ZIP Code, Unit ZIP Code, Reserve Category, Date of Birth, Highest Education, Sex, Race, Ethnic, Marital Status, AFQT, TAFMS, DoD Occupation Group, Prior Service, MOS, PEBD, Date of Current Grade, Unit Identification Code.

#### Personal

Social Security Number, Name, Race, Marital Status, Dependents, Home of Record, Date of Birth, Civilian Education.

#### Military

Component, Reserve Category Code, Pay Grade, Pay Entry Base Date, Unit Identification Code Including state and zip, Total Months of Service, Total Years toward Retirement, Primary and Duty Occupation, ETS Date, Mandatory Removal Date, Program Element Code, Source of Entry/Commission, Date of Commission, 20 Year Letter Indicator, Branch, FTS Status, AGR Identifier, Mental Group, Obligor Status, Term of Enlistment, Date Initial Entry to Military, Date Initial Entry to Reserve Forces, Incentive Status and Date.

# UIC LOCATION FILE

File Description:

This file contains the unit identification codes (UIC) used by the military services and their location. (Navy reserve units were added in March 1986.)

Frequency of Submission:

Data Elements:

Annual from December 1980 to December 1982, Semi-annual for June 1983 and December 1983 and quarterly thereafter.

Service Unit Identification Code, a Unit Name and/or Street Address, State and Zipcode/APO/FPO for March 1986 and later Congressional District, Component and a Conus/Non-Conus flag are also included.

# OFAX: DMDC'S ON-LINE DATA FACILITY (CONT'D)

<u>Officer Retention Data Base:</u> Eleven years and five quarters worth of serial data for officers on active duty, with cross-section detail on any combination of up to eleven different population attributes. It includes the FY85. Series for both base (i.e. beginning year) inventory and annual rates of retention are available. Data problem areas are identified to user on-line.

<u>Officer Management Data Base:</u> Ten years of annual pre-formatted data on enlisted inventory by grade and length of service, with additional information on promotion, gains, and losses. The data presently covers FY76 through FY85 and is updated annually.

## DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL SECURITY CLEARANCE OFFICE (DISCO) FILES

 $(DISCO)_{-}$ 

File Description:

The DoD contractor clearance databases consisting of separate files for contractor organizations and employees. The organization file includes location and facility clearance information. The employee file contains personal information and clearance related data for current and recently terminated employees.

Submitted quarterly by the Defense Industrial Security Clearance Office

Initial submission files received by DMDC for end of March 1986. Over 24 thousand facility records and about 1 million current contractor employee clearances and 1 million terminated

Frequency of Submission:

Key Date and Number of Cases:

Data Elements:

Facility File

clearances.

Facility Code, Facility-Division Name, Physical Address, Mailing Address, Telephone Number, Security Classification, Status

<u>Contractor Employee File</u> Name, Social Security Number, Facility Code, Facility Name and Location, Type and Date of investigation, Investigating Agency, Date and Level of Clearance Eligibility, Cryptographic Code, Termination Code and Date

# DOD\_SEPARATION\_ADDRESS\_FILE

File Description:

This file contains information on military personnel separated to civilian life starting in January 1971. The population covered is similar to that covered by the Enlisted/Officer Separation File. The major additional element on this file is a mailing address for each separatee. The following personnel are omitted from the file:

Personnei who separated due yo death, retirement, or reenlistment Personnei who have re-joined the active or reserve military service Personnei who are not eligible for

reenlistment

Prepared quarterly from files received from the Veteran's Administration matched with DMDC Gain/Loss transactions. Files cover from 1971 through present.

# Personal

Name, Social Security Number, Branch of Service, Sex, Date of Separation, RE code, SPD code, DD-214 and/or GI Bill Education address.

Military Experience

Primary and Duty Occupation, Paygrade, Education Level, Mental Category, Total Months Military Service, and Character of Service.

Frequency of Submission:

Data Elements: