



;

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California

AD-A175 246





NUCLEATE POOL-BOILING OF R-114 REFRIGERANT AND OIL MIXTURES FROM WATER-HEATED ENHANCED SURFACES

by

Stephen M. McManus

June 1986

Thesis Advisor: Co-Advisor: P. J. Marto A. S. Wanniarchc⊵i

Approved for public release; distribution unlimted.

86 11 19 042

DEC 1 6 1986

FILE COPY

H

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

	REPORT DOCU	MENTATION	PAGE		
A REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		15. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
UNCLASSIFIED			AVAILABILITY	DE REPORT	
24 DECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		Approved	for publi	c relea	se;
26 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU		distribut	ion unlim	nted.	
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NUM	BER(S)
54 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORG	ANIZATION	
Naval Postgraduate School	Code 69	Naval P	ostgradua	ite Scho	01
Sc ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	<u> </u>	7b. ADDRESS (Cit	y, State, and Zil	P Code)	
Monterey, California 9394	3 - 5 0 00	Monterey	, Califor	nia 939	43-5 0 00
BA NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT I	DENTIFICATIO	
David W. Taylor NSRDC					
B: ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	·	10 SOURCE OF	UNDING NUMBE	RS	
Annapolis, Maryland 21402		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	NO	NO	ACCESSION NO
			<u> </u>		
COSATI CODES	18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reversi	e if necessary ar	nd identify by	block number)
COSATI CODES	18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reversion of the second s	e if necessary ar g, Water-	nd identify by Heated	block number) Tubes;
COSATI CODES	18 SUBJECT TERMS (Nucleate P Enhanced S	Continue on reverse 001/B0ilin urfaces;	e if necessary an g; Water, Dioses; (N identify by Heated	block number) Tubes; r flograws,
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB-GROUP CONTINUE ON REVERSE IF NECESSARY	18 SUBJECT TERMS (Nucleate P Enhanced S and identify by block i	Continue on reverse 001/B0ilin urfaces; number)	e if necessary an g; Water, Theses; (id identify by Heated	block number) Tubes; r flograus;
COSATI CODES FELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Heat-transfer measure n a pool of R-114 to simu valuable tubes: a spirall nd a copper tube with a s vallable tubes: a spirall nd a copper tube with a s elical ridged inner surfa dixtures at oil concentrat emperature of 13.8 °C. Re al are compared to the sm terall heat/transfer coef urface and porous-coated water velocity of 2 m/s.	18 SUBJECT TERMS (Nucleate P Enhanced S and identify by block r ments were mail ate operations mooth copper y roped coppo- tructured ou ice. Measurem ions from 0 sults for the booth tube da ficient were tubes, respe- For these s	Continue on reverse ool/Boilin urfaces; number) ade for a ng conditi tube, and er/nickel ter surfac ents were to 6 mass e two enha ta. Enhanc 4.0 and 3 ctively, i ame condit	single, work of a for two tube with and a m made for percent wo need tube event factor for the and tube with a mode for two tubes with a mode for two tubes with a mode for the and tube event factor the and tubes the and tube event factor tube eve	<i>id identify by</i> Heated <i>Ompuring</i> <i>iater-he</i> water c commerce a poro nultiple refrige <i>ith</i> a b es with stors fo ne struce efrigera enhance	block number) Tubes; r flograus; ated tube hiller. ially us coating start rant/oil oiling pool and without r the tured nt and at ment factor
COSATI CODES FED GROUP SUB-GROUP Heat-transfer measure n a pool of R-114 to simu ata were obtained for a s vailable tubes: a spirall nd a copper tube with a s velical ridged inner surfa dixtures at oil concentrat emperature of 15.8 °C. Re il are compared to the sm verall heat/transfer coef urface and porous-coated water velocity of 2 m/s.	18 SUBJECT TERMS (Nucleate P Enhanced S and identify by block of ments were mail ate operations mooth copper y roped copport tructured out ice. Measurem ions from 0 sults for the booth tube da ficient were tubes, respe- For these s	Continue on reverse ool-Boilin urfaces; number) ade for a ng conditi tube, and er/nickel ter surfac ents were to 6 mass e two enha ta. Enhanc 4.0 and 3 ctively, i ame condit	single, work of a for two tube with e and a m made for percent wo need tube ement factor for the n pure returns the curity classifier.	d identify by Heated Omfurity iater-he water c commerce a poro nultiple refrige ith a b s with tors fo le struc frigera enhance	block number) Tubes; r flogrous; ated tube hiller. ially us coating -start rant/oil oiling pool and without r the tured nt and at ment factor
COSATI CODES GROUP SUB-GROUP Heat-transfer measure Meat-transfer measure Meat-transfer measure Meat-transfer measure a pool of R-114 to simu hata were obtained for a s available tubes: a spirall and a copper tube with a s delical ridged inner surfa dixtures at oil concentrat comperature of 13.8 °C. Re oil are compared to the sm overall heat/transfer coef curface and porous-coated water velocity of 2 m/s. Cont. Dext. page 1 Cont. Dext. page 1 Cont. Sect. New MIED G SAME AS F	Is subject terms (Nucleate P) Enhanced S and identify by block r ments were main inter operation mooth copper y roped copper tructured ou ice. Measurem ions from 0 sults for the booth tube da ficient were tubes, respen- For these s	Continue on reverse ool/Boilin urfaces; number) ade for a ng conditi tube, and er/nickel ter surfac ents were to 6 mass e two enha ta. Enhanc 4.0 and 3 ctively, i ame condit	single, w ons of a for two tube with e and a m made for percent w nced tube ement fac .6 for th n pure re ions the	A identify by Heated Ompuring inter-he water c commerc i a poro nultiple refrige ith a b s with tors fo ie struc frigera enhance	block number) Tubes; r flograus, ated tube hiller. ially us coating -start rant/oil oiling pool and without r the tured nt and at ment factor
COSATI CODES FED GROUP SUB-GROUP Meat-transfer measure mapool of R-114 to simu bata were obtained for a s tvailable tubes: a spirall and a copper tube with a s trailable tubes: a spirall and a copper tube with a s telical ridged inner surfa tixtures at oil concentrat comperature of 13.8 °C. Re cal are compared to the sm everall heat/transfer coef curface and porous-coated water velocity of 2 m/s. Cont. Best papol COSATI CODES	Is subject terms (Nucleate P) Enhanced S and identify by block r ments were main thate operations mooth copper y roped coppo- tructured our ice. Measurem ions from 0 sults for the booth tube da ficient were tubes, respen- For these sizes	Continue on reverse ool/Boilin urfaces; number) ade for a ng conditi tube, and er/nickel ter surfac ents were to 6 mass e two enha ta. Enhanc 4.0 and 3 ctively, i ame condit 21 ABSTRACT SE Unclass i 225 TELEPHONE((408) 640	single, w ons of a for two tube with e and a m made for percent w need tube ement fac .6 for th n pure re ions the curity classifi fied	A identify by Heated Computing Nater-he water c commerc i a poro nultiple refrige ith a b es with tors fo ie struc enhance CATION	block number) Tubes; r flograus, ated tube hiller. ially us coating: -start rant/oil oiling pool and without r the tured nt and at ment factor

2.2.2.2

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) 19. (cont) for the out-side heat-transfer coefficient were 14.6 and 6.4 for the porous-coated and structured surface tubes, respectively. 1 102- F- 014- 5601

2

REPRESENTED TO A CONTRACT OF CONTRACT OF

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Nucleate Pool-Boiling of R-114 Refrigerant and Oil Mixtures from Water-Heated Enhanced Surfaces

by

Stephen M. McManus Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S.Ch.E., University of New Mexico, 1979

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL June 1986

222

8

Author: _	Thesher M. M. Manu	
Approved by	Stephen M. McManus	
Approved by:_	P.J. Marto, Thesis Advisor	<u> </u>
	Allo and a	۴
-	A. S. Wanniarchchi, Co-Advisor	
_		
_	P.J. Marto, Chairman, Department of Mechanical Engineering	
_	An Dur	
	Dean of Science and Engineering	

ABSTRACT

Heat-transfer measurements were made for a single, water-heated tube in a pool of R-114 to simulate operating conditions of a water chiller. Data were obtained for a smooth copper tube, and for two commercially available tubes: a spirally roped copper-nickel tube with a porous coating; and a copper tube with a structured outer surface a multiple-start helical ridged inner surface. and Measurements were made for refrigerant-oil mixtures at oil concentrations from 0 to 6 mass percent with a boiling pool temperature of 13.8 °C. Results for the two enhanced tubes with and without oil are compared to the smooth tube data. Enhancement factors for the overall heat-transfer coefficient were 4.0 and 3.6 for the structured surface and porous-coated tubes, respectively, in pure refrigerant and at a water velocity of 2 m/s. For these same conditions the enhancement factors 'for the outside heat-transfer coefficient were 14.6 and 6.4 for the porous-coated and structured surface tubes, respectively.

AI

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	L
II.	BACKGROUND	, +
	A. NUCLEATE BOILING	÷
	B. EXTERNAL SURFACE ENHANCEMENT 14	÷
	C. EFFECTS OF OIL)
	D. INTERNAL ENHANCEMENT	L
III.	EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS	4
	A. OVERALL APPARATUS	÷
	B. BOILING TUBE CONSTRUCTION 27	7
	C. COMPUTER-CONTROLLED DATA ACQUISITION AND REDUCTION)
IV.	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	2
	A. FLOWMETER CALIBRATION	2
	B. BOILING TUBE AND APPARATUS PREPARATION 32	2
•	C. BOILING DATA RUNS	÷
	D. DATA ACQUISITION AND REDUCTION	5
v.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	3
	A. INSIDE HEAT-TRANSFER COEFFICIENT 38	3
	B. LIGHT OFF EFFECTS 41	L
	C. SMOOTH TUBE	3
	D. HIGH FLUX TUBE	5
	E. TURBO-B TUBE	L
	F. COMPARISON OF BOILING HEAT-TRANSFER COEFFICIENTS	L
	G. OVERALL HEAT-TRANSFER CHARACTERISTICS 56	5
VI.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3
	A. CONCLUSIONS	3

Charles Stra

Baassaa

New York

Ę,

B. RECOMMENDATIONS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	58
APPENDIX A: DATA REDUCTION PROGRAM	ι.	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	60
APPENDIX B: FLOWMETER CALIBRATION	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	80
APPENDIX C: MODIFIED WILSON PLOT .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		85
APPENDIX D: DATA RUNS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	88
APPENDIX E: UNCERTAINITY ANALYSIS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	91
APPENDIX F: LIST OF NOMENCLATURE .	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		93
1. NOMENCLATURE	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	93
2. SUBSCRIPTS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	94
LIST OF REFERENCES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	95
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST	•		•		•		•	•	•	•	•	98

1.2.5.5.5.5.5.5

6

1. Dates

1,14

LIST OF TABLES

1.	CHANNEL DESIGNATIONS)1
2.	BOILING RUN REPEATABILITY	5
3.	DRP4 MAJOR SECTIONS 6	1
4.	DATA RUN DESCRIPTION 8	19
5.	UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS PERCENTS 9	2

LIST OF FIGURES

.

55

2.1	Schematic of Porous Coating (from Ref. 8) 16	
2.2	Schematic of Manufactured Reentrant Cavity (from Ref. 8)	
2.3	Physical Model of Bubble Mechanism (from Ref. 15)	
2.4	Physical Model of Oil Effect on Bubble Formation (from Ref. 16)	
3.1	Schematic of Experimental Apparatus 25	
3.2	Photographs of Experimental Apparatus	
3.3	Schematic of Boiling Tubes28(A) Smooth Tube28(B) Korodense Tube With High Flux Coating28(C) Turbo-B Tube28	
3.4	Photographs of Internal Enhancement29(A) Korodense Tube29(B) Turbo-B Tube29	
5.1	Variation of Heat Flux with Wall Superheat at 0% Oil	
5.2	Light Off Effect at 0% 0i1	
5.3	Steam Initiation	
5.4	Variation of Heat Flux with Wall Superheat for Smooth Tube 45	

5.5	Variation of Heat Flux with	
	Wall Superheat for High Flux Tube	47
5.6	Boiling from High Flux Surface	48
	(A) at 0% Oil	48
	(B) at 2% Oil	48
	(C) at 6% Oil	48
5.7	Variation of Heat Flux with Changing	
	Water Inlet Temperature for High Flux Tube	50
5.8	Variation of Heat Flux with	
	Wall Superheat for Turbo-B Tube	52
5.9	Boiling from Turbo-B Surface	53
	(A) at 0% Oil	53
	(B) at 2% Oil	53
	(C) at 6% Oil	53
5.10	Variation of Heat Flux with Changing	
	Water Inlet Temperature for Turbo-B Tube	54
5.11	Variation of Overall Heat-Transfer	
	Coefficient with Oil Mass Percent	55
5.12	Variation of Boiling Heat-Transfer Ratio	
	at a Heat Flux of 40 kW/m^2	57
B.1	Effect of Float Shape on Coefficient of Discharge .	82
	(A) Square Edge Plumb Bob Float (from Ref. 31)	82
	(B) Taper Edge Plumb Bob Float	82
в.2	Effect of Float Shape on Streamline Pattern	84
	(A) Square Edge Plumb Bob Float (from Ref. 31)	84
	(B) Taper Edge Plumb Bob Float	84

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Dr. Paul J. Marto and Dr. A. S. Wanniarchchi for their advice, guidance, and enthusiastic support towards the completion of this thesis.

The author also expresses his sincere gratitude to his wife, Kay, and children, Alicia and James, for their patience with the long hours and frustrations inherent in such an endeavor.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent developments in boiling surfaces have shown considerable enhancements in heat transfer performance. The worldwide literature on enhanced heat transfer contains over 3000 published technical papers and reports [Ref. 1: p. 81]. This increased interest in heat transfer augmentation is the result of incentives for energy and material savings. One effective way to improve the heat transfer is by using passive augmentation.

Passive augmentation uses fine-scale alteration of surfaces, both external and internal [Ref. 1: p. 82]. These surfaces may consist of either an applied porous coating or fins deformed in various ways to provide a large number of reentrant cavities on external surfaces. Methods of internal enhancement include insert devices, forged fins, or deformation of the surface (i.e., spirally roped or corrugated tube). The use of such surfaces can lead to considerable reduction in the size and weight of heat-transfer equipment. The reductions may lead to smaller capital costs or operating costs or both.

Of particular interest, in naval applications, is the reduction in the size of water chillers in refrigeration systems. While a number of investigations are currently in progress, the theoretical treatment of the boiling performance of various tubes is almost impossible owing to the very complicated mechanisms involved. The degree of difficulty increases with the presence of oil in the refrigerant liquid. In general, refrigeration systems with oillubricated, hermetically sealed compressors contain small mass percents of oil in the refrigerant liquid. Therefore, reliable data covering a wide variety of operating conditions for various refrigerants and different boiling

surfaces is essential to further develop more compact and efficient evaporators.

Most of the experiments on enhanced boiling surfaces reported in the literature have used electrically heated tubes. The difficulties associated with these tubes, especially during the instrumentation stage, raise questions as to the reliability of the data as described by Wanniarchchi et al [Ref. 2: p. 14]. Despite the precautions taken to minimize contact resistance, it may still be present between the thermocouple locations and the outer boiling surface. Another area of doubt, described by Wanniarchchi et al. [Ref. 2: p. 14], is the nonuniform heat fluxes generated by commercially available electrical heaters. Additionally, in order to use an electrical heated tube, the inside surface of the tube must be smooth, or any internal enhancement must be bored out. This is disadvantageous as designers would be interested in knowing the overall heat-transfer coefficient. Most industrial experiments use 2-to 3-meter-long tubes with warm water flowing inside them. While the information generated from these experiments closely approximate actual. evaporators, compared to electrical heated tubes, the large water temperature drop from inlet to exit (up to 5 K) may make it difficult to study the details of the boiling process.

The type of refrigerant selected will also influence the design of evaporators. R-114, a moderate-pressure refrigerant, is receiving more attention, in particular for naval applications. Advantages to using R-114 include: (a) it belongs to the refrigerant group with the lowest toxicity, (b) it is very stable with temperature, and (c) it has a fairly large value for the energy transfer per unit volume of vapor (i.e., $E_v = h_{ig} / v_g$) [Ref. 3: pp. 281-291]. The advantages of the first two items are clear. The third item is advantageous since a higher value for E_v means lower

pressure drop through the refrigerant piping for a specified heat duty. As the normal boiling point of the type of refrigerant increases, the E_v value increases. R-11, R-113, R-114, and R-22 have E_v values in increasing order. R-114 may be preferable to R-11 and R-113 due to the higher E_v value and preferable to R-22 due to the ability to use lighter components in the refrigeration loop.

Based on the above discussion, the major objective of the present investigation was to study the boiling performance of two commercially available tubes, a porous-coated tube and a mechanically structured surface, in comparison with a smooth tube for the following conditions: (a) tubes are water-heated, (b) boiling fluid is R-114 with 0, 1, 2, and 6 percent by mass oil, and (c) boiling temperature is 13.8 $^{\circ}$ C.

II. BACKGROUND

A. NUCLEATE BOILING

When the temperature of a boiling surface exceeds the saturation temperature of a fluid by a few degrees, nucleate boiling occurs. The difference between the boiling surface and saturation temperature is the amount of wall superheat. Dougherty [Ref. 4: p. 175] defined pool-boiling as vaporization occurring under the following conditions: (1) liquid depth >> the bubble diameter, (2) there is negligible effect on heat transfer due to low or no externally imposed velocity of the fluid, and (3) the bubbles move away from the boiling surface due to a force field. With the above conditions, the heat flow can be expressed as:

$$h = \frac{Q}{A\Delta T}$$
(2.1)

A description of the boiling mechanism is given by Chongrungreong and Sauer [Ref. 5: p. 701]. A thin layer of superheated liquid is formed adjacent to the boiling surface. Bubbles nucleate in this thin layer and grow from preferred spots on the boiling surface. It is assumed that the primary resistance to heat transfer is within the thin liquid layer. The height of the liquid in the boiling container is not a primary variable, but the liquid properties should be controlled. However, large flooded evaporators may experience a significant "submergence effect" from the liquid head.

B. EXTERNAL SURFACE ENHANCEMENT

For smooth heating surfaces, bubbles nucleate at various scratches and cavities on the surface. Fujii stated that the number of active sites increases as the heat flux increases [Ref. 6: p. 48]. Webb [Ref. 7: p. 46] stated that the ability to enhance the nucleate boiling coefficient by applying some type of roughness has been known for over 50 years.

there are two major types of commercially Presently, developed roughnesses: (1) porous coating and (2) various fins and surfaces with reentrant cavities [Ref. 8: p. 241. The first type of roughness is a sintered particle coating, usually copper or aluminum. Figure 2.1 shows a schematic and a photomicrograph of a porous coating. The small reentrant cavities are interconnected by substrate tunnels. The surface reduces the required superheat for vapor generation by entrapping a high density of relatively large vapor nuclei in the cavities contained within the porous coating [Ref. 8: p. 24]. As described by Webb [Ref. 7: p. 58], researchers found that a critical pore size not a particle size governs the number of nucleation sites. Large pores are required for liquids with high surface tension and high thermal conductivity, while small pores work best for liquids with low surface tension and low thermal conductivity (i.e., refrigerants). Czikk and O'Neill [Ref. 9: р. 531 developed correlations to include the effects of different pore sizes of porous-coatings. They concluded that there were two resistances to heat transfer for these coatings: a nucleation superheat related to bubble diameter; and a conduction superheat controlled by the liquid film separating the vapor bubbles from the metal matrix. Carnavos [Ref. 10: pp. 106-108] found that a porous coating resulted in a 700-800 percent better heat-transfer coefficient than a smooth surface in a pool of R-11. Czikk [Ref. 11: p. 98] also found increased performance of the porous-coated tubes used in his 20-ton water-chiller experiment.

Structured fins or surfaces form reentrant cavities of various geometries. Figure 2.2 is shows an example of a



č

VAPOR BUBBLE TRAPPED



Figure 2.1. Schematic and Scanning Electron Micrograph (500x) of High Flux Surface (from Ref. 8).



Figure 2.2. Schematic of Manufactured Reentrant Cavity (irom Ref. 3).

manufactured reentrant cavity. These reentrant cavities act as stable nucleation sites, which enhances the heat transfer. The nucleation site, to remain active, is dependent on the mouth diameter falling within a critical range and shape with a maximum reentrant angle. This range is a function of the fluid properties. The cost of these surfaces, presently, are not significantly higher than the cost for smooth tubes and they dramatically improve boiling performance compared to the smooth tubes.

The mechanisms by which the reentrant cavities operate are complicated. Previous research concluded that the combination of bubble evaporation, thermal boundary-layer stripping and bubble agitation controlled the heat transfer from smooth surfaces [Ref. 12: p. 192]. In contrast, different experiments conducted by Arshad [Ref. 12: p. 192] and Arai 37] show that thin film evaporation in the [Ref. 13: p. reentrant cavity controlled the heat-transfer mechanism. Bubbles were formed by vapor exiting cavities as the liquidvapor interface of the thin film contacted the cavity surface. Surface tension holds most of the liquid on the cavity walls. Ayub [Ref. 14: p. 64] showed this similar "thermosiphon mechanism" with his experiments using enhanced surfaces.

Nakayama [Ref. 15: p. 37] gives a fairly detailed description of a physical model undergoing this bubble growth mechanism. Figure 2.3 shows the three major phases of bubble growth. Phase I consists of a pressure buildup in the tunnel by evaporation of liquid held in corners and continues until the meniscus reaches a hemispherical shape of radius $r_o = d_o/2$ (where d_o is the mouth diameter of the reentrant cavity). In Phase II, the meniscus grows faster at some pores than at others. The Phase I pressure buildup is reduced as the vapor enters the growing bubbles. The meniscus at inactive pores does not grow due to this vapor



0.50.60

ACCESS BUTTLE BUTTLE



pressure reduction. Initially, the bubble expands under the high internal pressure, while lacer expansion is controlled by the receding liquid inertia around the bubble. Phase III is termed the liquid intake phase with liquid flowing into the tunnel through inactive pores. This flow is over a short interval of pressure depression which occurs as the pressure of the bubble and tunnel is lower than the pool pressure. The bubble leaves the pore and new meniscus formation closes off the pore, ending this phase and returning the cycle to Phase I.

C. EFFECTS OF OIL

The introduction of oil into the pure refrigerant, in general, causes a decrease in the boiling coefficient as the oil concentration increases. The effects of oil on the mixture are theoretically complicated as most empirical equations relating physical properties are derived form experimental data and not from theoretical equations. Various empirical equations are cited by Chongrungreong and Sauer [Ref. 5: pp. 703-705]. Yet, Jensen and Jackman [Ref. 16: p. 184] showed that the correlation developed by Chongrungreong and Sauer appeared to overpredict as oil concentrations increased due to poor prediction of the mixture viscosity.

Predictive equations were developed by Jensen and Jackman [Ref. 16: pp. 186-187] for density, viscosity, surface tension and specific heat for pure R-113, pure oil, and R-113-oil mixtures. These equations are:

$$\frac{1}{p_{m}} = \frac{C_{c}}{p_{ol}} + \frac{1-2c}{p_{rl}}$$
(2.2)

$$u_{m} = u_{r} \exp \left[C_{c} \left(\frac{\mu_{o}}{\mu_{r}} \right)^{0.3} \right]$$
 (2.3)

 $a_{m} = a_{r} + (a_{0} - a_{r})C_{0}^{0.5}$ (2.4)

 $c_{pm} = (1 - C_c) c_{pm} + c_{pm}$ (2.5)

These equations are based on a physical model shown in Figure 2.4 developed by Jensen and Jackman [Ref. 16: pp. 187-188]. The model shows a bubble growing on a heated surface as the refrigerant evaporates from the superheated liquid phase into the bubble interior. Due to the less volatile nature of the oil in the mixture, it does not evaporate into the bubble, but, remains at the liquid-vapor interface. The decrease in the rate of bubble growth is due to the decrease of oil diffusion into the liquid mixture and the decrease of refrigerant through the oil layer into the bubble. The decrease of the bubble growth rate decreases the heat-transfer rate.

D. INTERNAL ENHANCEMENT

Internal enhancement increases the heat-transfer area, creates additional turbulence, and produces secondary flows, all of which contribute to the increase in the heat transfer. The laminar sublayer is assumed to be the principal resistance to heat transfer [Ref. 17: p. 6]. The developed secondary flow thins the sublayer and moves it into the stream where turbulence prevails and without an increase in shear; likewise, heat transfer is increased without an increase in friction [Ref. 18: p. 30]. Most studies show that the friction factor does increase with internal enhancement but the amount is strongly dependent on the internal enhancement geometry. The increase of both the friction and heat transfer is dependent on fin or rib pitch, groove depths, and helix angles [Refs. 17,19: pp. 6, 19-21]. Additionally, these studies have shown that there are



Figure 2.4. Idealized Model of Bubble Growth in Refrigerant-Oil Mixture (from Ref. 16).

84.4986.94644.0

critical geometrical dimensions that give the maximum heat transfer. For example, short fins (<< tube diameter) with rifling will raise heat-transfer coefficients with no problems of flow stagnation. Yet, medium fins may develop flow stagnation and decrease heat-transfer coefficients [Ref. 19: pp. 22-23]. As such, the selection of internal enhancement will generally improve the performance of boiling surfaces.

III. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

A. OVERALL APPARATUS

A schematic of the experimental apparatus is given in Figure 3.1. The photographs in Figure 3.2 show two different views of the apparatus. Complete details on the design and construction of the refrigerant and oil components are given by Karasbun [Ref. 20: pp. 24-32]. A discussion of the modifications for the water heating mode is provided in this paper. The major components of the apparatus are: two Pyrex glass tees, an R-114 liquid reservoir, a water-ethylene glycol mixture sump, an R-12 refrigeration system, a vacuum pump, a water supply tank, three centrifugal pumps, a flow meter, three heaters, a graduated oil cylinder, and an oil reservoir. The R-114 boiling and condensation occurred in the lower and upper glass tees, respectively. The R-114 vapor was condensed by the waterethylene glycol mixture pumped through a copper condenser coil located in the upper glass tee. A 1/2-Ton R-12 refrigeration system maintained the water-ethylene glycol mixture between -18 and -14 °C.

The major difference between the experimental apparatus used by Karasabun and Reilly [Refs. 20,8: pp. 24-32, 30-35], and the one used during the present investigation concerned the use of water heating instead of electric heating as a means to provide heat to the boiling tube. Filtered tap water supplied from a storage tank (15), was pumped by two 1/2-hp motors (13 and 14) connected in series. A three-way valve (V-16) was provided on the downstream side of the second pump to obtain two flow paths. The normal flow path for data runs was through: the metering valve (V-17), the flowmeter (6), the inlet mixing chamber (16), the boiling tube, the outlet mixing chamber (16), the chambers provided





25

Sec. Alt

Mannaca 20

1

:

7			and the second
			(a)
		Lynnesser	
		Same Same	

Figure 3.2. Photographs of Experimental Apparatus: (a) Water Inlet Side, and (b) Front View.

(p)

with heaters (7 and 8), and returning to the storage tank through valve (V-20). The by-pass flow path was the same up to the three-way valve then the path was through heater chamber (8) and back to the storage tank through valve Valves V-17 and V-21 were closed in order (V-20).to isolate the boiling tube. This by-pass option was essential for preheating the water to higher temperatures, to achieve higher heat flux values in the boiling tube compared to values obtained from room-temperature water. A 500-W and two 1000-W heaters were used to maintain a steady water inlet temperature and to preheat the water. Flexible pressure hose was used for water piping throughout the apparatus. The mixing chambers and sections of hose connecting the mixing chambers to the boiling tube were double insulated to ensure accurate temperature measurements.

Copper-constantan thermocouples were used to measure thermal emf's for R-114 liquid and vapor, water inlet and outlet, and water-ethylene glycol mixture. A seriesconnected thermopile consisting of 10 junctions on either end was used to measure the temperature drop of the water from the inlet to the outlet of the boiling tube. This thermopile was calibrated against two quartz thermometer probes and agreement was found to be better than \pm 0.02 K.

B. BOILING TUBE CONSTRUCTION

Figure 3.3 shows schematics of the smooth tube, and two enhanced tubes used. The tubes tested were:

- 1. a smooth copper tube;
- a 90:10 copper-nickel corrugated tube (commercially referred to as Korodense tube) with an external, sintered porous coating (i.e. High Flux);
- 3. an internally and externally enhanced tube (alloy C12200) produced by Wolverine Division of U.O.P. (commerically referred to as Turbo-B);
- 4. a porous-coated (High Flux) Korodense tube with the porous coating machined off;
- 5. a Turbo-B tube with the external enhancement machined off.

そうちょうくんせんしゃんかんきょうかんかんきょうしゃん くんくんちょうちょう



1.12.13.13

(c)

Figure 3.3. Schematic of Boiling Tubes: (a) Smooth Tube, (b) High Flux Coated Tube, and (c) Turbo-B Tube.



(Ъ)

Figure 3.4. Photographs of Internal Enhancements: 1) Korodense Tube, and (5) Turbo-B Tube. These tubes will be referred to as High Flux, Turbo-B, modified High Flux, and modified Turbo-B tubes, respectively, with the exception of tubes 2 and 4. Tubes 2 and 4 will be referred to as Korodense tubes with respect to discussions of only the internal enhancement. All of the tubes had an active (i.e., heated) section of 304.8 mm in length. The smooth, High Flux, and modified High Flux tubes had an outer diameter of 15.9 mm and an inside diameter of 12.7 mm. In the case of the High Flux tube, these diameters represent the values in the smooth portion of the tube (i.e., before The Turbo-B tube had performing the corrugation process). an external structured surface and a multiple-start helical ridged inner surface. This tube had an outer diameter of 16.9 mm at the base of the external structured surface and a minimum diameter of 14.5 mm at the internal ridge tips. The modified Turbo-B tube had identical diameters as the Turbo-B The 63.5-mm-long inactive sections on either end was tube. insulated by Teflon sleeves of 1.6 mm wall thickness located inside the boiling tubes, as shown in Figure 3.3. The requirement for using these two modified tubes is explained further in Chapter IV (EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES).

C. COMPUTER-CONTROLLED DATA ACQUISITION AND REDUCTION

Hewlett-Packard equipment was used for data acquisition, control, and analysis, as well as for storing of data. An HP-3497A acquisition unit was used to read the thermocouple and thermopile outputs. Table 1 gives the channel designations used.

Information entered by keyboard to an HP-9826A computer unit prompted and controlled the data acquisition unit. Data were analyzed and stored with the same computer unit. A step-by-step description of the data-reduction procedure is given in Appendix A, along with a printout of the program (DRP4) used.

TABLE 1

CHANNEL DESIGNATIONS

Channel	Function
0-1	R-114 liquid thermal emf's T(1)
2	R-114 vapor thermal emf T(3)
3	thermal emf T(4)
4	water inlet thermal emf T(5)
20	water outlet thermal emf T(6)
20	water inlet and outlet T(7)

IV. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

A. FLOWMETER CALIBRATION

This section gives a brief description of the flowmeter calibration; a more detailed explaination is given in Appendix B. A Fischer Porter flowmeter was used to indicate percent water flow through the boiling tube. Prior to conducting any boiling runs, it was necessary to develop a correlation relating the flow percent to the mass flow rate and water velocity. Additionally, any temperature effect on viscosity would be incorporated into this correlation as a correction factor. Calibration runs were conducted over a temperature range of 19 °C to 38 °C and varying flowmeter settings. The correlation (equation (B.1)) was determined from these results. The correction factor for the viscosity temperature dependency was determined to be 1.0 with an accuracy of \pm 0.02 as explained in Appendix B. In fact, it was not possible to find a systematic trend for this correction factor with the water inlet temperature. The stated accuracy mainly consists of the uncertainties involved with the visual reading of the flowmeter settings.

B. BOILING TUBE AND APPARATUS PREPARATION

The external surface of the boiling tube was cleaned with a Nitol (2% nitric acid and 98% ethyl alcohol) solution and both the external and internal surfaces were rinsed with acetone and were air dried prior to installation in the apparatus. A vacuum test at an absolute pressure of about 50 mm Hg was conducted on the refrigerant side of the apparatus after the boiling tube was installed. If no signs of leaks were evident after two hours, the system was pressurized to a gage pressure of about 250 mm Hg with R-114 vapor and the apparatus was checked for leaks with an automatic Halogen
Leak Detector. After fixing any leaks that may have been present, R-114 liquid was transferred from the reservoir to a pre-determined level in the boiling tee. This level gave a free surface 40 mm above the centerline of the boiling tube. At the set level, the mass of the R-114 liquid was computed to be 2.48 kg. A desired saturation temperature of 4 °C and the resultant system pressure were obtained by turning on the R-12 refrigeration system and varying the flow of cold (approximately -16 °C) water-ethylene glycol mixture through the condenser coil with valve V-9. At this saturation temperature and pressure, each boiling tube developed nucleation sites. The saturation temperature of 4 °C was maintained for at least 30 minutes prior to initiating nucleate boiling on the complete active length of the boiling tube.

The initiation of nucleate boiling could be done with cold water at 19 °C or with warm water at 25 °C through valve V-17 or with steam from a steam generation system through valve V-22. Early experimental data showed slight differences (up to 10 percent) in the computed outside heattransfer coefficient depending on the highest heat flux at which the boiling was initiated on each boiling tube. As will be discussed in Chapter V (RESULTS AND DISCUSSION), the steam intiation method provided the highest initial wall superheat and ensured the best possible repeatability of the data. This intiation method was used for all of the runs unless otherwise specified.

Steam initiatior was conducted by introducing steam through valve V-22 and discharging steam drain valve V-18 for about one minute. After this period, the three-way valve V-16 was shifted from a neutral position to the normal flow position. Valves V-22 and V-18 were closed and valve V-21 was opened simultaneously as the three-way valve was shifted to the normal position. Valve V-17 was opened wide prior to

shifting of the three-way valve. As these valves were shifted, warm water at 25 .°C was pumped through the boiling tube at the maximum water velocity, which in turn gave the maximum heat flux for the given water inlet temperature.

C. BOILING DATA RUNS

Once the nucleate boiling initiation was completed, the saturation temperature was raised to 13.8 °C by adjusting valve V-9. This valve was also used to maintain this saturation temperature within ± 0.05 K throughout a boiling run. The water inlet temperature was maintained to within ± 0.08 K by using the heaters or introducing filtered cold water, respectively. The combination of heaters and cold water was used to maintain the desired water inlet temperature during each run. Two types of boiling runs were conducted at each oil mass percent.

The first type of run was conducted after holding the desired saturation temperature, water inlet temperature and initial flowmeter setting at steady-state conditions for about 10 minutes. After this period, the flowmeter setting was decreased with two data sets being taken at each flow setting. The two data sets were to show repeatability at each setting. The period between pairs of data sets was about 5 to 8 minutes, with steady state for each flowmeter setting maintained for at least 2 minutes. The second type of boiling run consisted of maintaining the previously mentioned initial steady-state conditions at a selected high water inlet temperature. The run was commenced by introducing cold water into the storage tank which lowered the water inlet temperature. While holding the water velocity constant, six to seven data points were taken, on a one time pass, at about every 0.3 K inlet temperature decrease. When the inlet temperature reached a selected low temperature, the steady-state conditions of the low inlet temperature,

water velocity, and saturation temperature of 13.8 °C were maintained for about 10 minutes. Then, the water inlet temperature was increased using the heaters, while holding the water velocity constant. Again, data points were taken on a one time pass, in about 0.3 K intervals, until the inlet temperature reached the previously used high inlet temperature.

Two complete boiling runs of the first type mentioned above were performed at 0% and 2% oil concentrations to further demonstrate repeatability. Table 2 shows the percent difference in wall superheat (i.e., ΔT) and heat flux for each boiling tube at these two oil percents. The higher percentages for the High Flux and Turbo-B tubes for the wall superheats are simply because of the low wall superheat values of these two tubes when compared to the values for the smooth tube. At these low superheat values, a small difference in the wall superheat leads to a larger percentage difference than that which occurs at higher wall superheats. The variation of the water inlet temperature was not considered a factor in this percent difference as the variation was less than ± 0.02 K per minute during these constant-inlet-temperature, decreasing-water-velocity runs.

TABLE 2

BOILING RUN REPEATABILITY

Tube	0i1%	\triangle T	P
Smooth High Flux Turbo-B Smooth High Flux Turbo-B	0 0 2 2 2	± 9% ± 30% ± 30% ± 10% ± 10%	±20% ±126% ±25% ±22% ±22%

Note: initiations performed with steam

Additionally, data runs were conducted to determine the temperature increase across the boiling tube due to the pressure drop between the locations of the thermopile probes. The data collected showed that this difference was less than the ± 0.02 K accuracy of the thermopile and was considered negligible.

Following the boiling runs in pure R-114, oil was introduced to the boiling tee through valve V-1 from the graduated cylinder (5) shown in Figure 3.1. After the required volume of oil for a given oil mass percent was added, water at the maximum velocity was pumped through the boiling tube to promote vigorous boiling. This boiling ensured good mixing of the R-114 and oil mixture. Either another boiling run was conducted or the system was shut down to prepare for another steam initiation.

D. DATA ACQUISITION AND REDUCTION

The automatic data acquisition system was prompted to record the required thermal emf's, by keyboard inputs to the computer. The data were immediately processed and printed out on a hard-copy printer. A step-by-step procedure of data processing and reprocessing is given in Appendix A. Also, a printout of the data reduction program, DRP4, is included in this appendix. The heat flux, overall heat-transfer coefficient, outside boiling heat-transfer coefficient, and wall superheat values were computed based on the outside area expressed by the diameter if the enhancement of the outer surface was removed. Further, in order to account for the heat conduction through the inactive end sections, a correction was made for heat flux using an iterative computation procedure based on natural convection as discussed by Karasbun [Ref. 20: pp. 54-56].

When a boiling run was completed, the data were reprocessed by computer to obtain the inside and outside heat-transfer coefficients for further calculations. A Sieder-Tate-type constant (C_i) for the inside coefficient for each of the externally smooth tubes was calculated by using a modified Wilson plot [Ref. 22]. For the smooth tube,

modified High Flux, and modified Turbo-B tubes, the outside coefficient was calculated simultaneously using the correlation (equation: (C.3) and (C.4)) developed by Rohsenow [Ref. 23: p. 969], and the modified Wilson plot. The Rohsenow correlation is based on externally smooth tubes, thus the requirement for the modified High Flux and Turbo-B tubes. A further explaination of the modified Wilson plot is given in Appendix C. The C_i values found for the externally smooth tubes were used for the corresponding externally enhanced tubes. Knowing the inside coefficient, the outside coefficient for the boiling tubes were computed by subtracting the inside and wall resistances from the measured overall thermal resistance (equation: (C.7)).

V. <u>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</u>

A. INSIDE HEAT-TRANSFER COEFFICIENT

Results were obtained for the smooth, High Flux, and Turbo-B boiling tubes at a boiling temperature of 13.8 $^{\circ}$ C and at oil concentrations of 0, 1, 2, and 6 mass percent. The heat flux versus wall superheat for these three tubes is shown in Figure 5.1. All three tubes are in the nucleate boiling range with decreasing heat flux. It can be seen that the High Flux and Turbo-B tubes outperform the smooth tube throughout the tested heat flux range. Additionally, the Rohsenow correlation for a smooth tube at a boiling temperature of 13.8 °C with the experimentally determined (from the modified Wilson plot) C_{sf} coefficient of 0.0060 is shown in this figure.

The Rohsenow correlation, as used in the modified Wilson plot, has an exponent of r = 1/3. Yet, this correlation is very sensitive to the r value. A change in this exponent of three percent yielded a change in the C_{sf} only of 0.016 percent but gave a change of 17 percent in the Sieder-Tate-type constant inferred from the modified Wilson plot. For the purpose of data analysis, the value or r = 1/3was used, while knowing that an additional uncertainty was being introduced by this exponent.

For each externally smooth tube, the Sieder-Tate-type constant was computed using the modified Wilson plot based on 5 to 10 different runs in pure R-114. The computed values for the smooth, Korodense, and Turbo-B tubes were blo for 02, 02, 0.066 ± 0.005, and 0.077 ± 0.003, respectively. For the smooth tube, the experimentally found Sieder Tate-type constant is 33 percent greater known than the well known value of 0.027 for long internally smooth tubes with fully developed flow. This larger constant



Figure 5.1. Variation of Heat Flux with Wall Superheat at 0% 0il.

لتديمنها

39

the state of the s

appears to be the result of the entrance effects of the shorter experimental tube and the uncertainty introduced by the exponent r of the Rohsenow correlation as discussed above. The minimum entrance length for a fully developed pipe flow is given, as a rule of thumb, by Incropera and DeWitt [Ref. 24: p. 406] as

$$\frac{L_e}{D} = 60$$
 (5.1)

for Reynolds numbers greater than or equal to 10000. Also, as the pipe roughness increases the minimum entrance length decreases.

Withers has developed Stanton number correlations for tubes with single-helix and multiple helix ridging [Refs. 25,26: pp. 52-56,44]. These correlations are listed below. For single-helix ridging,

$$St = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{f}{g}} Pr^{-0.5} (\frac{p}{d})^{0.33}}{7.22 \left[\left(\frac{e}{d}\right) Re \left(\frac{f}{3}\right)^{0.5} \right]^{0.127} + \gamma}$$
(5.2)

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} = -\frac{1}{2.462n \left[r + \left(\frac{1}{Re}\right)^{m}\right]}$$
(5.3)

where r and m are determined by tube dimensions, and

$$\gamma = -\left\{2.5 \ln \left[2\left(\frac{e}{d}\right) + 3.75\right]\right\}$$
(5.4)

For multiple-helix ridging,

$$Ct = \sqrt{\frac{f}{3}} Pr^{-0.5} \left[\frac{1}{3^{\frac{4}{3}} \left(\frac{2e\sqrt{\frac{f}{3}}}{3} \right)^{0.136} + \gamma} \right]$$
(5.5)

$$\sqrt{\frac{f}{3}} = -\frac{1}{2.46 \ln \left[r + \frac{1}{Re}\right]^{m}}$$
(5.6)

r and m again are functions of tube dimensions,

$$Y = -\left\{ \widehat{2}, 5 \ln \left[2 \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) + 3, 7 \right] \right\}$$
(5.7)

and

$$B^{\#} = 5.58 \left(\frac{e}{F}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{e}{J_{1}}\right)^{0.136}$$
 (5.8)

A comparison of Stanton numbers was made for the modified Korodense and modified Turbo-B tubes using the following equations for these two tubes:

$$St = \frac{h_i D_i}{k_f \text{ Re Pr}}$$
(5.9)

where

$$h_{i} = C_{i} \frac{k}{D_{i}} Re^{0.3} Pr^{0.33} \left(\frac{u}{u_{w}}\right)^{0.14}$$
 (5.10)

The results for these two modified tubes were compared to a copper Korodense (i.e., single-helix ridging) and a Turbo-Chill (i.e., multiple-helix ridging) tubes, respectively. The latter two tubes tested by Withers were similar in radial dimensions but were 1.5 meter long. The predicted St numbers using equations (5.1) - (5.8) for the Withers' Korodense and Turbo-Chill tubes were 0.00177 and 0.00273, respectively. The experimental St numbers using equations (5.9) and (5.10) for the modified Korodense and modified Turbo-B tubes were 0.00242 and 0.00286, respectively. The majority of the difference between the predicted and experimental St numbers may be attributable to the entrance effects and the uncertainity introduced by the Rohsenow correlation.

B. LIGHT OFF EFFECTS

As referred to in Chapter IV, Figure 5.2 shows the light off effects for the smooth, High Flux (i.e., porous-coated Korodense), and Turbo-B tubes. These effects are most probably due to the requirement of greater heat flux to initiate



Figure 5.2. Light Off Effects at 0% Oil.

the nucleation sites than the heat flux required to maintain the sites once they are activated. As the boiling tube sits in the R-114 liquid, prior to initiation, reentrant cavities or the nucleation sites flood with liquid. The initial formation of the vapor bubbles and thus the initiation of the nucleation site requires the input of a greater heat flux or higher wall superheat. Figure 5.3 shows the boiling tube initiation with steam for the smooth, High Flux, and Turbo-B tubes [Refs. 21,7: p. 478, 62]. As shown in Figure 5.3, the High Flux tube is more sensitive to light off effects. This sensitivity is mostly due to the lower wall superheats at which nucleation occurs. A change of .5 of a degree is more pronounced at these lower wall superheats than a similar change at the wall superheats for the Turbo-B and smooth tubes.

C. SMOOTH TUBE

Figure 5.4 shows the performance of the smooth tube at 0, 1, 2, and 6 mass percent oil concentration at 13.8 $^{\circ}$ C. Reilly's [Ref. 8: p. 65] data for the electrically heated smooth tube at a boiling temperature of -2.2 °C in pure R-114 liquid are also included for comparison. The differences between the experimental results shown and Reilly's data are probably due to physical characteristics introduced in the electrically heated tube when soldering the copper sleeves (inside of which the electric heaters were fitted) on the interior of the tube. As discussed by Reilly [Ref. 8: pp. 57-61], the contact resistance at the interface between the sleeve and the inner surface of the tube was minimized by tinning. However, the tinning process may not have been 100 percent successful, thereby introducing unacceptable uncertainties into the experimental measurements. Further Reilly reported considerable variations (up to 3 K at a heat flux of 98 kW/m^2) in the measured wall temperatures. Reilly attributed this observation to an axially non-uniform heat flux generated by the cartridge heater.



2.20

ANNAS STREETS STREETS

(a)



(Ъ)



(c)

Figure 5.3. Photographs of Steam Initiation: (a) Smooth Tube (b) High Flux Tube, and (c) Turbo-B Tube. Note: dar in front of poiling tubes is an auxilliary heater.



Horse and

XXXXXX

45

Winn

As shown in Figure 5.4, the wall superheat increased for 1 percent oil concentration and decreased slightly for 2 and 6 percent oil. This decrease in the wall superheat for the higher oil percentage is possibly due to the enhanced bubble formation experienced by the tube because of the foaming action of the R-114 and oil mixture decreasing resistance to heat transfer as explained by Chongrungreong [Ref. 5: p. 701], Nobukatsu [Ref. 27: p. 60], and Chaddock [Ref. 21: p. 474].

D. HIGH FLUX TUBE

The performance of the High Flux tube in terms of heat flux versus wall superheat is given in Figure 5.5. Additionally, this figure shows Reilly's data [Ref. 8: p. 70], for the electrically heated High Flux tube in pure R-114 at a 6.7 °C boiling temperature. The presence of oil increased the wall superheat, at a heat flux of 40 kW/m^2 , by a factor of 1.22 for 1 percent oil and a factor of 2.12 for The number of nucleation sites reduced 6 percent oil. considerably and the foaming action increased significantly as the oil mass percent increased. These actions are shown in Figure 5.6. With 6 percent oil concentration, it was visually observed that the nucleation sites were active only in the corrugations, as shown in Figure 5.6 (c). This congregation of sites is due, in part, to the sparser porous coating on the high points of the tube and the decreased wall thickness in the corrugations. As a result of the corrugation process during tube manufacture, the wall thickness at the corrugation is smaller than the rest of the tube, which implies lower wall resistance. During the sintering process, the coating appears to have concentrated in these corrugations giving a thicker coating of copper particles and increased number of nucleation sites compared to the rest of the tube surface.



Different en

Figure 5.5. Variation of Heat Flux with Wall Superheat for High Flux Coated Tube.



3444 S S

5.123.22

Respondent Bookstater Bookstater

The increase of the wall superheat, when oil is present, is possibly the result of increased surface tension and viscosity. The increasing surface tension and viscosity lead to the formation of an oil-rich layer next to the boiling This oil-rich layer has an insulating and inhibsurface. iting of bubble growth effect within the cavities. The higher liquid-vapor surface tension and surface tension in the oil-rich layer requires more energy for the formation and growth of the bubble. Additionally, diffusion processes affect the bubble formation. Oil concentrations near the boiling surface are high compared to the concentration in the bulk liquid and diffusion occurs from the cavity into the bulk liquid. This diffusion continues until an equilibrium point is reached. If oil-rich layers are developing on the boiling surfaces, the diffusion from the cavities to the bulk liquid slows down aiding the further build-up of the oil-rich layer. The vapor bubble forming in the cavity also has an oil vapor content which is undergoing its own diffusion process. As the refrigerant evaporates through the oil-rich layer into the interior of the bubble, it must overcome large diffusion resistances set up by the oil vapor within the bubble. The increased surface tension, viscosity, diffusion resistance and the decreasing bubble formation rate contribute to the decrease in the heat-transfer coefficient and the increase in the wall superheat at a given heat flux. [Refs. 5,21,28,29,30: p. 702, 477-478,59,372,82]

Also, boiling runs were conducted holding the water velocity constant and allowing the water inlet temperature to decrease and then to increase. The decreasing and increasing heat flux with the respective change in the water inlet temperature versus wall superheat is shown in Figure 5.7. The motion of the hysteresis (i.e., clockwise or counterclockwise) depended on the temperature starting point. If the temperature was decreased and then increased, the motion



Variation of Heat Flux with Changing Water Inlet Temperature for High Flux Coafed Tube. Figure 5.7.

i de la

was clockwise. When the temperature was increased and then decreased, the motion was counterclockwise. This directional change is related to the amount of heat flux required to maintain active nucleation sites. In the first case of temperature decreasing then increasing, less heat flux is needed to maintain the same amount of sites, but, at the same time, heat flux decrease as the water heat capacaity is decreasing. In the second case, with the water inlet temperature increasing then decreasing, the water heat capacity increases so the heat flux increases raising the wall superheat and the heat-transfer coefficient.

E. TURBO-B TUBE

Figure 5.8 shows a similar relationship as Figure 5.5 but for the Turbo-B tube. The increase in wall superheat, for a heat flux of 40 kW/m^2 , ranges from a factor of 1.04 for 1 percent oil to a factor of 1.37 for 6 percent oil. The Turbo-B tube remained active over the full active length of the tube, as shown in Figure 5.9. The apparent decrease of nucleation sites, as shown by the High Flux tube, did not occur with the Turbo-B tube. As discussed pertaining to the High Flux tube, the increase in the wall superheat with increasing oil concentration is probably due to the increased surface tension and viscosity of the mixture within the cavities. Also, Boiling runs for constant water velocity and varying water temperature were performed on the Turbo-B tube and are also shown in Figure 5.10. The same hysteresis motions observed with the High Flux tube are observed with the Turbo-B tube.

F. COMPARISON OF BOILING HEAT-TRANSFER COEFFICIENTS

The ratios shown in Figure 5.11 are h/h for the Turbo-B and High Flux tubes and h/h_s for the smooth tube. All of the ratios were taken at a heat flux of 40 kW/m². The High Flux tube shows the most significant effect due to oil



Figure 5.8. Variation of Heat Flux with Wall Superheat for Turbo-B Tube.

52

 \mathbf{A}_{i}









<u>የትርት በትርት ርት ርት ርት ርት</u>

concentration which is due to the reasons previously discussed. The h/h_s ratio for the High Flux tube decreased by 65 percent. For the Turbo-B tube the rate of degradation of the boiling heat-transfer coefficient is less pronounced. The Turbo-B tube shows a decrease of 24 percent at 6 mass percent oil. The larger cavity size of the Turbo-B tube, compared to the High Flux tube, results in smaller oil concentrations in the oil rich layer. This observation may explain the smaller degradation experienced by Turbo-B tube.

G. OVERALL HEAT-TRANSFER CHARACTERISTICS

The overall heat-transfer coefficient versus decreasing water velocity for oil mass percents of 0, 2, and 6 for each boiling tube is shown in Figure 5.12. For pure R-114 with a water velocity of 2 m/s, the Turbo-B tube outperforms the High Flux tube by a factor of 1.13 and the smooth tube by a factor of 4. While the High Flux tube outperformed the Turbo-B tube based on the outside heat-transfer coefficient, the reverse is true for the overall heat-transfer coeffi-This relationship in U₀ is due in part to the cient. increased internal enhancement of the Turbo-B tube. Comparison of the Sieder-Tate-type constants for the Turbo-B and High Flux tubes (see p. 34) shows that the Turbo-B tube has a 17 percent greater inside coefficient than the High Flux tube. Both of the enhanced tubes dramatically outperformed the smooth tube. It should be noted that the increase in pressure drop due to internal enhancement (compared to a smooth-interior case) was not considered here. The pressure drop would be an important factor in finally selecting the most economical tube type.



Variation of Overall Heat-Transfer Coefficient with Oil Mass Percent. Figure 5.12.

57

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. CONCLUSIONS

Considering the data gathered in this investigation for boiling of R-114 and oil mixtures at 13.8 °C boiling temperature, the following conclusions are reached:

- 1. Based on the overall heat-transfer coefficient, the Turbo-B and High Flux tubes outperformed the smooth tube by a factor greater than 3, and the Turbo-B tube outperformed the High Flux tube by a factor of 1.13.
- 2. At a heat flux of 40 kW/m² with zero mass percent oil, the outside coefficients of the High Flux and Turbo-B tubes were factors of 14.6 and 6.4 times, respectively, compared to the smooth tube. These factors decreased to 7.0 and 4.9 for the High Flux and Turbo-B tubes, respectively, with 6 mass percent oil.
- 3. The Turbo-B and High Flux tubes showed Sieder-Tatetype constants of 0.077 and 0.066, respectively, compared to the Sieder-Tate constant of 0.027 for long smooth tubes. As noted in the discussion, these values may be up to 10 percent lower for internally enhanced long tubes than the values for the short tubes.
- 4. While the High Flux tube outperformed the Turbo-B tube, based on the outside heat-transfer coefficient, the High Flux tube is more susceptible to the presence of oil.

B. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results obtained from this investigation, the following recommendations are made:

- 1. The investigation of water-heated tubes should be upgraded to use long tubes. This would decrease the entrance effects that short tubes experience and it would come closer to duplicating actual operating conditions. Also, the pressure drop effect previously mentioned in Chapter V (RESULTS AND DISCUSSION) could be investigated in the analysis of the long tubes.
- 2. Studies of the boiling heat-transfer coefficients should be expanded to tube bundles. The interaction of tubes within the tube bundle is a major factor in the analysis of heat-transfer data. The boiling heattransfer coefficient may be strongly influenced by any foaming action within the bundle.
- 3. Further investigations should be conducted on internal tube enhancements due to the major thermal resistance being the internal resistance.
- 4. Modifications to the condensing side of the present apparatus would allow for studies of short waterheated tubes at lower boiling temperatures. The major

modification would involve only the increase of the condenser drain piping to handle increases in the flow rate of the refrigerant liquid.

APPENDIX A DATA REDUCTION PROGRAM

The data reduction program, DRP4, used for this investigation is listed below. A brief description of the major sections is given in Table 3, which is followed by an individual line listing of DRP4.

TABLE 3

DRP4 MAJOR SECTIONS

Line Number	Description
10-80	Selection options (i.e. process
80-3690	data, plot,etc.) Sub Program Main -boiling tube dimensions -select desired operating conditions (i.e. initiation mode, flow rate, Tsat, etc.)
	-convert emi s to temperatures -compute contact resistance (electrically heated mode only) -compute various water properties
	-various heat transfer calculations(Q, LMTD, U, etc.) -compute various Freon 114 properties
	-compute natural convective heat- transfer coefficient for unenhanced ends(iterative procedure)
	-compute héat loss for unenhanced ends
	boiling coefficient
3700-4165	Functions for curve fits of
4170-4220 4225-4935	various Freon 114 properties Function for polynomial curve fit Sub Program Poly
4940-6175	-calculate nth order polynomial Sub Program Plin
6180-6410	-plot línear graphs Sub Program Stats
6415-6490	-calculate standard deviations Sub Program Coeff
6495-7280	Sub Program Wilson -calculate modified Wilson
7285-7390	Functions for curve fits of
7395-9640	Sub Program Plot
9645-9725	-plot non-linear graphs Sub Program Symb -print various symbols on
9730-9755	Sub Program Purge
9760-10200	-purge ūnwanted files Sub Program Tdcn -calculate water temperature rise corrections due to
	pressure drop between
10205-10360	Sub Program U plot
10365-10475	-print U files Sub Program Select -select various sub program options

KENDAD

) As a la

```
/ FILE LAME: DRP4
/ DATE: Loto:
/ REVICED: April

                                  COM (1307 Jap
PRINTER IS :
CALL Select
INPUT "WANT TO SELECT ANDIHER OPTION (1=7.)***)?",Isel
IF Isel=" THEN GOTO 40
REEP
DETT
Cicles Colored
41)
45
50
Š5
            BEEP
BEEP
PRINTER IS T
PRINT "DATA COLLECTION/REPROCESSING COMPLETED"
50
žõ
75
30
            300 -====
COM /Idp/ Idp
COM /Cc/ C(7).1ca1
COM /Wii/ D2.Di.Do.L.Lu.Kou
DIM Emf(12).T(12).D1a(3).D2a(3).Dia(3).Doa(3).La(9).Lua(3).Koua(3).Et(19).
35
90
35
100 DIM
This(4)[15
            DATA 0.10036091.25727.94363.-767345.3295.78025595.31
DATA 0.99247486589.5.97638E+11.-2.66192E+13.3.94078E+14
105
110
10 DHTH -9247486589.5.97638E+11,-2.66192E+13,3.94078E+14
115 READ C(*)
120 ! DATA "Smooth"."High Flux"."Thermoexel-E"."Thermoexel-HE"
125 DATA Smooth.High Flux.Turbo-3.High Flux Mod.Turbo-3 Mod
130 READ Ths(*)
135 PRINTER IS 701
            DATA "Smooth"."High Flux"."Thernoexel-E"."Thermoexel-HE"
DATA Smooth.High Flux.Turbo-3.High Flux Mod.Turbo-3 Mod
READ Ths(*)
PRINTER IS 701
BEEP
IF Idp=4 THEN PRINTER IS !
IF Idp=4 THEN GOTD 1280
INPUT "ENTER MONTH. DATE AND TIME (MM:DD:HH:MM:SS)".DateS
BUTPUT 709:"TD":DateS
BUTPUT 709:"TD"
ENTER 209:DateS
141
 143
150
155
160
:65
:70
:75
:30
            ENTER 709:Dates
PRINT
             PRINT "
                                               Month, date and time :":DateS
35
             PRINT
<u>و</u>د
             PPINT USING "10X.""NOTE: Program name : DRP4"""
BEEP
- ce
             INPUT "ENTER DISK NUMBER".Dn
PRINT USING "16X.""Disk number = "".ZZ":Dn
 ากับ
205
             BEEP
INPUT "ENTER INPUT MODE (0=3054A.t=FILE)".Im
3EEp
              INPUT "SELECT HEATING MDDE (0=ELEC: 1=WATER)".Ihm
             BEED' STATES
INPUT TENTER THERMOCOUPLE TYPE (0=NEW.1=OLD)".Ical
            IF IM=0 THEN
BEEP
             INPUT "SIVE A NAME FOR THE RAW DATA FILE".D2_files
PRINT USING "16X.""New file name: "".14A":D2_files
255959
            01201=20
07847E BDAT 02_file5.01201
40010N VF.Le2 TO 02_file5
<u>⊼</u>•5 +
2057
2007 ORAMY FILE UNTIL NEW KNOWN
2057 Of Files:"DUMMY"
2007 OREATE BOAT Of Files.Origi
2057 ASOION WELLET TO DI Files
```

• .

Sec. 3

62

من و المراجع بالمراجع المراجع ا المراجع المراجع

197297 (F.L.F.:Dates) IF Inmes (HEN) BEES INPUT "ENTER NUMBER OF DEFECTIVE TOS (0=DEFAULT)".Ideo IF Idtoen) THEN Lato::=0 Lato::=0 PRINT_USING ":6X.""No defective TCs exist""" END_UF IF_Idto=1_THEN BEEP TNDUT 345 350 355 360 INPUT "ENTER DEFECTIVE TO LOCATION".Ldtc! PRINT USING "16X.""TO is defective at location "".D":Ldtc! ERINT USING "1 Late2=0 END IF IF Idte=2 THEN BEEP 365 370 SEEP INPUT "ENTER DEFECTIVE TO LOCATIONS".Ldtc1.Ldtc2 PRINT USING "16X.""TO are defective at locations "".D.4X.D":Ldtc1.Ldtc2 END IF IF Idtc>2 THEN BEEP DOTUTED 375 380 285 390 295 400 405 PRINTER IS 1 410 415 BEEP BEEP PRINT "INVALID ENTRY" PRINTER IS 701 GOTO 310 END IF END IF 420 430 435 44() JUTPUT PFileFildte1.Ldtc2 445 Im=1 option ELSE BEEP 450 ! 455 450 BEEP IMPUT "GIVE THE MAME OF THE EXISTING DATA FILE".D2_file\$ PRINT USING "16X.""Did file name: "".14A":D2_file\$ ASSIGN #File2 TD D2_file\$ ENTER #File2:Nrun ENTER #File2:Dold\$ PRINT USING "16X.""This data set taken on : "".14A":Dold\$ ENTER #File2:Ldtc1.Ldtc2 IF Ldtc1>0 OR Ldtc2>0 THEN PRINT USING "16X.""Thermocouples were defective at locations:"".2(3D.4X)": .dtc2 465 470 475 480 485 390 495 500 PRINT USING "16X." Thermocouples were defective at END IF END IF END IF IF Im=0 AND Ihm=1 THEN 1595 BEEP INPUT "WANT TO CREATE A PLOT FILE? (0=N.1=Y)".Iplot IF IPLOT=1 THEN BEEP ริงร LUCCILLE (U=N.(=*)) BEEP INPUT "DIVE NAME FOR PLOT FILE".P_Files CREATE BDAT P_Files.4 ASDIGN @Plot TIPLES END IF IF IDmet THEN BEEP INPUT "NANT TO DREATE GO FILED (D)=0.1570".Lut IF ILT=1 THEN BEEP 5411 557595 530

ANK .

સંસંદર્ભ

```
DAPUT "ENTER LO FILL NAME"...F.LeB
CREATE BOAT UPLLEB...
ACCION AUFLLE TO DFILLEB
END IF
BEEP
TNPUT "
A CONSTRUCTION
A CONSTRUCTION
A CONSTRUCTION
                          BEEP
INPUT "WANT TO CREATE Re FILE? (0=M.(=()".Ire
IF Ire=' "HEN
BEEP
INPUT "ENTER Re FILE NAME".RefileS
CREATE BDAT RefileS.10
ASSIGN PRefile TO RefileS
END IF
END IF
END IF
   541)
   545
   550
  555
560
                           PRINTER IS 1
IF Im=0 THEN
BEEP
  56595675
                          BEEP
PRINT USING "4X.""Select tube number""
IF Ihm-D THEN
PRINT USING "5X.""D Smooth 4 Inch Ref"
PRINT USING "5X.""D Smooth 4 Inch Cu (F
PRINT USING "5X.""D Soft Solder 4 Inch
PRINT USING "5X.""D GEWA-K 19 Fins/in"
PRINT USING "5X.""D GEWA-K 26 Fins/in"
PRINT USING "5X.""D GEWA-T 19 Fins/in"
PRINT USING "5X.""D GEWA-T 26 Fins/in"
  535
530
                                                                                                                    Smooth 4 Inch Ref
                                                                                                                  Smooth 4 inch Ref"""
Smooth 4 inch Cu (Press/Slide)"""
Soft Solder 4 inch Cu""
Soft Solder 4 inch HIGH FLUX"""
High FLUX 3 inch"""
GEWA-K 19 Fins/in"""
GEWA-K 26 Fins/in"""
GEWA-T 19 Fins/in"""
   535
700
705
710
   715
720
725
730
730
                          PRINT USING "5X.""9 GEWA-T 25 Fins/in"""

ELSE

PRINT USING "5X.""9 Smooth tube"""

PRINT USING "5X.""9 Turbo-B

PRINT USING "5X.""2 Turbo-B

INPUT Itt

UUTPUT FFILe::Itt

END IF

PRINTER IS 70'

IF Itt(0) THEN PRINT USING "15X.""Tube Number: "".0":Itt

IF Itt(0) THEN PRINT USING "15X.""Tube Number: "".0":Itt

IF Itt(0) THEN PRINT USING "15X.""Tube Number: "...0":Itt

IF Itt(0) THEN PRINT USING "15X.""Tube Number: "...0":Itt

IF Itt(0) THEN PRINT USING "15X.""Tube Type: "...15A":Ins(Itt)

BEEP

INPUT "ENTER OUTPUT VERSION (0=LONG.1=SHORT.2=NONE)".Iov

BEEP
     740
    745
     750
   755
755
760
765
770
    775
730
    - 33
735
739
    795
300
    30575
75075
                              1000 - 100 200 200
8559
1000 - 10551501 (0=110, 1=0AP,2=(110+0AP)/2)",11av
      .
      341)
    02:00.ametes of test section to the base of fins
04:4 [015305].015375[.015375].015324.015375[.015824.01270
04:4 [0121.0108.0108
9545[014(+)
      .
;;;;
       190
       100
      95 1 Dielnside dunkeren of unennanced endo
```

Sec. Sec. Con

and the second second second

R

64

1.574.64

105 105 115 - 5 -Do*Outside fiameter of Unenhanded ends DATA .015875..015875..015875..015824..015824..015824..01270..01270..01301. . 920 .01331 325 READ Doa(+) 930 ! 935 ! L=Length of enhanced surface DATA 1015.1015.1015.1015.2032.2032.2032.2032.2032.2032. 340 945 READ Lat+> <u>350 !</u> 955 ! Lu=Leng.5 of unennanced surface at the ends DATA .0254..0254..0254..0254..0752..0752..0762..0762..0762..0762 960 965 970 READ Lua(+) • 975 ! Kcu=Thermal Conductivity of tube 980 - DATA 398.344.344.45.344.45.344.944.398.398 385 READ Koua(+) 990 IF Ihm=1 THEN 495 I 1000! Data statements for water heating mode 1005! 1010 DATA 1.015875.0.015875.0.0169.0.0138.0.0169.0.0.0.0.0 1015 1020 1025 1030 READ D2a(+) DATA 0.0127.0.0127.0.0145.0.0127.0.0145.0.0.0.0.0 READ Dia(+) DATA 0.015875.0.015875.0.0169.0.015875.0.0169.0.0.0.0.0 READ Doa(+) DATA ().2048.0.2048.0.3048.0.3048.0.3048.0.0.0.0.0.0 1035 *)41) 1045 READ La(+) 1050 1055 DATA 1.0254.0.0254.0.0254.0.0254.0.0254.0.0254.0.0.0.0.0 READ_Lua(+) DAT4_398.45.398.45.398.0.0.0.0.0 .060 1063 READ Koua(+) END IF 1075 02=02a(Itt) 1080 01=01a(Itt) 1085 00=00a(Itt) r iag 1095 Eu=Lua(Itt) 1000 Kcu=Kcua(Itt) 1105 Xn=13 Xn=.3 1116 1110 Fre.0 1115 IF Itte0 THEN OF=1.70E+9 1120 IF Itte0 THEN OF=3.7037E+10 1 1125 4-PI+(Do C-01/C)/4 P=P[+]0 1135 BEEP BEEP INPUT "TUBE INITIATION MODE. (!=HOT WATER.2=STEAM.3=COLD WATER)".Itim IF Itim=1 THEN PRINT USING "16X.""Tube Initiate: Hot Water""" IF Itim=2 THEN PRINT USING "16X.""Tube Initiate: Steam""" IF Itim=3 THEN PRINT USING "16X.""Tube Initiate: Cold Water""" INPUT "TEMP/VEL MODE: ()=T-CONST.7-DEC:1=T-DEC.7-CONST: 2=T-INC.7+CONST)". ••40 ••45 ••50 1.55 IF Inven) THEN PRINT USING "16X.""Temp/Vel Mode: Constant/Decreasing"" IF Inven THEN PRINT USING "16X.""Temp/Vel Mode: Decreasing/Constant"" IF Inven THEN PRINT USING "16X.""Temp/Vel Mode: Decreasing/Constant INPUT "WANT TO RUN WILDON PLOTE (tert)END".Twil IF Inmet AND Iwilen THEN •••• •••95 - - 5

65

17 1-14 145, 14 10 17 114 14 145, 14 16 17 114 18 1144 1451 1451 14536 ΞΞΞ³ BEED TO DO LL COEF: AH=.000L INPUT "ENTER DI COEF: AH=.000.4F=.059.7B=.0620".0. PRINT USING "15%."" Donstant = """.2.40":01 END IF IF Thm=! AND Im=! AND Twile! THEN IF Ttt=0 THEN DI=.000 IF Ttt=1 OR Ttt=0 THEN DI=.059 IF Ttt=2 DR Ttt=4 THEN DI=.060 ASSIGN #File2 TO + CALL Wilson(CF.DI) ASSIGN #File2 TO D2_File5 ENTER #File2:Nrun.Doid\$.Ldtc1.Edtc2.Itt ENTER #File2:Nrun.Doid\$.Ldtc1.Ldtc2.Itr END IF Nsup≖0 IF Idp=4 THEN Ihm=1 IF Ihm=1 THEN Nsup=3 1290 Ntc=6 1295 J=1 1300 Sx=0 1305 Sy=0 1310 Sxs=0 1315 Sxy=0 1320 Repeat: ! 1325 IF Im=0 THEN 1300 Dtld=2.22 1305 Ido=2 1340 DN KEY 0.15 F ુ≖' Sx≃י) Dtld=2.22 Ido=2 ON KEY D.15 RECOVER 1020 PRINTER IS ' PRINT USING "4x.""SELECT OPIION""" PRINT USING "5X.""D=TAKE DATA""" IF Ihm=0 THEN PRINT USING "5X.""1=SET HEAT FLUX""" IF Ihm=1 THEN PRINT USING "5X.""1=SET HATER FLUW RATE""" PRINT USING "5X.""2=SET Teat"" PRINT USING "4X.""NOTE: KEY D = ESCAPE""" DEED 1341) 1345 1350 INPUT Ido IF Ido>2 THEN Ido=2 IF Ido=0 THEN 2145 · 400 · 1405! LOOP TO SET HEAT FLUX OR FLOWMETER SETTING 1410 IE Ido=' THEN 1415 IF Inm=0 THEN 1420 OUIPUT 703:"AR AF12 AL10 VP5" 1420 1420 1425 1400 BEEP BEEP INPUT "ENTER DESIRED Ddp".Dadp PRINT_USING "4X.""DESIRED Ddp ACTUAL Ddp""" 1435 Ect='000 FOR I=' 10 2 DUTPUT 209:"45 DA" 1440 . 445 - 45) - 453 • 460) • 467 • 4 Elisen (kunte Dumt Gumte) IEST (ku IEST (kuntee) IEST (kuntee) IEST (kuntee) •] • ;

DEKT I 290 Aaco=Volt+Amp/(2€+02+1) IF ABS(Aqdo+Jaap)>Est THEN IF Jadp>Daap THEN ં ચલેલ 1500 1500 1505 1505 1525 1525 1525 1525 IF Hadp>Dadp THEN
BEEP 4000..2
BEEP 4000..2
BEEP 4000..2
EL3E
BEEP 250..2
BEEP 250..2
BEEP 250..2
BEEP 250..2
END IF
PRINT USING "4X.MZ.3DE.2X.MZ.3DE":Dadp.Aadp
WAIT 2
GDID 1445 1540 1545 1550 1555 GOTO 1445 1560 1565 1570 1575 ELSE BEEP PRINT USING "4X, MZ, 3DE, 2X, MZ, 3DE"; Dadp, Addp Err=500 AAIT 2 GOTO 1445 1530 1535 END IF ELSE BEEP 1595 1600 DELF INPUT "ENTER FLOWMETER SETTING".Fms GDTO 1350 ENO IF END IF 1605 1610 1615 1620 1625 1625 1625 1635 1635 1635 1645 LOOP TO GET Isat IF Ido-2 THEN IF Ikdt=1 THEN 1670 BEEP 1650 1655 1655 INPUT "ENTER DESIRED Tsat".Dtld 1660! PRINT_USING "4X."" DTsat ATsat Rate""" Rate TV 15659 Ikat=1 Old:=0]la2=0 Nn= 1630 1535 1535 Nrs=Nn MOD 15 1630 Nn=Nn+1 1635 IF Vrs=1 THEN 1700 PRINT USING "4X."" Tsat Tout"" That 71a2 Τv Tsump Tinlet Torle 001***** END IF IF 15m=0 THEN OUTPUT T00:"AR AF0 AL:: VR5" IF 15m=1 THEN OUTPUT 700:"AR AF0 ALS VR5" FOR 1** T0 5 IF 15m=0 AND 1>4 THEN 1860 C --0 :705 :710 1715 1725 1725 1725 1735 1735 IF [hm=0] AND [>4 THE* Sum=0 OUTPUT 209: "AS SA" FOR .:=1 TO 20 ENTER 109:E1.a Sum=Dum+E1.a NEKT .: E1.a=Sum+C0 T1:==TTSUM/C0 T1:=TTSUM/C0 T1:=TTS 145 • : • 5 • • 3.4

-

100.000

Sec. Sec. Sec.

SALANS?

105 (m. 74)

67

ひとう ちんくりん さきがいんさい たっしい くちんら ちんんりょう ちんちょう ちょうちょう

IF ING ITEN ISUMPFILG IF INF THEN JULETIC NEXT I IF ING THEN OUTPUT 709:"AR AF20 AL20 VR5" OUTPUT 709: "AS SA" Sumab - - 25 1790 1795 1800 1305 1310 1315 1320 1325 Sum≖) FOR <k=1 TO 20 1830 1835 ENTER 709:E Sum=Sum+E NEXT Kk Emf(7)=ABS(Sum/20) Tpile=Emf(7)/3.96E-4 1840 :345 1850 1855 END IF Atla=(Tld1+Tld2)+.5 1360 IF ABS(Atla-Dtld)>.2 THEN IF Atla>Dtld THEN 1865 1870 IF 4tia>Dtia T: BEEP 4000...2 BEEP 4000...2 BEEP 4000...2 ELSE BEEP 250...2 BEEP 250...2 BEEP 250...2 END IF Err1=Atia=Diat 1380 1885 1890 1895 1900 1905 1910 1915 1320 1925 1930 1935 1320 01d1=AtId 1325 Err2=Tv-01d2 1330 01d2=Tv 1335 IF Ihm=0 THEN PRINT USING "4X.5(MDD.0D.2X)";0tid.Tld1.Tld2.Tv.Tsump 1940 IF Ihm=1 AND Idp=0 THEN PRINT USING "4X.7(MDD.0D.2X)";0tid.Tld1.Tld2.Tv.Ts ump.Tiniet.Tpile 1945+ IF Ihm=1 AND Idp=4 THEN PRINT USING "4X.5(MDD.0D.2X),3(M3D.0D.2X)";0tid.Tl d1.Tld2.Tv.Tsump.Tin 1350 #AIT 2 1355 GOTO 1635 1360 ELSE 1365 IF ABS(AtId=0tid)>.1 THEN 1370 IF AtId>Otid THEN 1375 BEEP 300..2 1395 ELSE 1390 BEEP 300..2 1395 ELSE 1390 BEEP 300..2 1395 ELSE 1390 ELSE 1390 BEEP 300..2 1395 ELSE 1390 BEEP 300..2 1395 ELSE 1390 ELSE 1390 BEEP 300..2 1395 ELSE 1390 ELSE 1390 BEEP 300..2 1395 ELSE 1390 ELSE 1395 ELSE 139 Ola1=Atia 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 20 0 01d1=Atid 2015 Err2=Tv-01d2 2020 01d2=Tv 2025 IF Ihm=0 THEN PRINT USING "4X.5(MDD.DD.2X)":0t1d.T1d1.T1d2.Tv.Tsump 2030+ IF Ihm=1 THEN PRINT USING "4X.5(MDD.DD.2X).3(M3D.DD.1X)":0t1d.T1d1.T1d2.Tv . Sump. Intet.Telle. 2055 HAT 2 2040 G0T0 1685 2046 CT2 HAIT 2 6010 1685 ELSE Ett:=At.d=0131 Stat=At.d Ett2=Tx=0142

68
2075 - 21d2=TV 2075 - IF Chm+0 THEN PRINT USING "4X.5(MDD.2D.2X0":Dt)d.T1d1.T1d2.TV.Tsump 2080 - IF Chm+0 THEN PRINT USING "4X.3(MDD.2D.2X)":Dt1d.T1d1.T1d2.TV.Tsump.Ciniet 2015 IF INDED THEN PRINT USING "4X.3(M 2080) IF INDET THEN PRINT USING "4X.3(M 2085 WAIT 0 2090 GOTO 1685 2090 GOTO 1685 2000 END IF 2100 END IF 2105 GOTO 1250 2:10 BEEP 2:20 BEEP 2:25 GOTO 1250 2:30 END IF 2:35 2:40! TAKE DATA IF Im=0 LOOP 2:45 IF Ikol=1 THEN 2165 3EEP TNPUT "ENTER BULK DIL THEN DUTPUT NPUT "ENTER BULK DIL "", BOD Ikol=: Ikol=: IF Ihm=:0 THEN DUTPUT 709:"AR AF0 ALII VR5" IF Ihm=:0 THEN DUTPUT 709:"AR AF0 AL5 VR5" IF Ihm=:0 THEN Ntc=:2 FOR I=: TO Ntc DUTPUT 709:"AS SA" 2175 2130 2135 2190 Sum=1) 7.95 FOR 1.1 TO 20 ENTER 709:E 2200 2215 NEA SI Kale) IF I=(3-Nsup) OR I=(11)-Nsup) THEN Eave=Sum/20 Sum=3um=5um=20 Sum=3) FOR _k=0 TD 19 IF ABS(Et(Jk)=Eave)<5.0E=5 THEN Sum=Sum=Et(Jk) ELSE Kal=Kal+1 Kalffalt END IF NEXT JF IF I=(3-Nsup) OR I=(10-Nsup) THEN PRINT USING "4X.""Kal = "".DD":Kal IF I=(3-Nsup) OR I=(10-Nsup) THEN PRINT USING "4X.""Kal = "".DD":Kal IF Kal>10 THEN 7230 IF Kd1>10 THEN BEEP PRINT USING "4X.""Too much scattering in data - repeat data set""" 0010 1045 END IF END IF Enf(D=Sum/(20-Kd1) NEXT I IF ISmm+(THEN 0010017 709:"AR AF20 AL20 VRS" 0010017 709:"AS SA" 19:1198 Juleyt 209:195 Dimen FOR Kket 10:20 ENTER 109:5 Dimensionet NEXT Kk 5:35

69

100 17 17 19#*0 1451 207997 103:198 4F12 4L13 VR51 FOR 1* 207997 709:198 0A1 Sum=0 FOR J1=1 TO 2 ENTER 703:E 2405 LNIER 2091E Sum=Sum+E NEXT J1 IF I=1 THEN Vr=Sum/2 IF I=2 THEN Ir=Sum/2 NEXT I 2410 2410 2415 2420 2425 2430 NEXT 1 END IF ELSE IF Ihm=0 THEN ENTER ∳F:1e2:Bop.ToldS.Emf(→).Vr.Ir IF Ihm=1 THEN ENTER ∲F:1e2:Bop.ToldS.Emf(→).Fms END IF 2430 2435 2440 2445 2450 2455 2460! 2465! CONVERT emf'S TO TEMP. VOLT, CURRENT 2470 2475 2480 TWA=0 FOR I=1 TO Ntc IF Idto>0 THEN IF I=Ldto! OR I=Ldto2 THEN T(I)=-99.99 2485 2495 2495 2500 2505 2510 T(I)=-99.39 GOTO 2545 END IF END IF IF Itt<4 AND Ihm=1 THEN IF I>4 AND I<9 THEN T(I)=-99.39 GOTO 2545 END IF END IF END IF T(I)=FNTuex(Ent(I)) 2510 2515 2520 2525 2530 2535 END IF T(I)=FNTvsv(Emf(I)) NEXT I IF Itt(4 THEN FOR I=1 TO 4 IF I=Ldto! OR I=Ldto2 THEN 2540 2545 2550 Îwa≖⊺wa TWA-TWA ELSE TWA=TWA+T(I) END IF NEXT I Twa=Twa/(4-Idtc) War'Way(4-idto) ELSE IF Ihm=' THEN 2550 FOR I=' TO 3 IF I=Lato! OR I=Lato2 THEN TwarTwa ELSE Twa*Twa+T(I) END_IF NEXT_I NEXT_I Twa=Tway(8-ldtc) END_IF Tld=T(9-Nsup) Tld2=T(10-Nsup) Tlda=(Tld+Tld2)+.5 Ty=T(11-Nsup)

70

```
IF Itts: 400 Inm=0 THEY

1:02=-39.39

Tv=(T(10)+T(1))/2

END IF

IF Ihm=0 IHEN 2710

Tsump=T(12-Nsup)

Timiet=T(13-Nsup)

Toutet=T(13-Nsup)
1673
2575
2580
Iout=I(14-Nsup)
IF Ihm=0 THEN
          Amp=ABS(Ir)
          Volt=ABS(Vr)+25
          Q=Volt*Amp
          END IF
          IF Itt=0 AND Ihm=0 THEN
          Keu=FNKeu(Twa)
ELSE
         Kcu=Kcua(Itt)
END_IF
2750!
2765!
2770
          FOURIER CONDUCTION EQUATION WITH CONTACT RESISTANCE NEGLECTED
          IF Inm=0 THEN Tw=Twa=0+LOG(D2/D1)/(2+PI+Kcu+L)
IF Ilav=0 THEN Tsat=TIda
IF Ilav=0 THEN Tsat=TIda
IF Ilav=1 THEN Tsat=Tv
IF Ilav=2 THEN Tsat=Tv
IF Ihm=1 THEN
2775
2780
2785
2790
          Eavg=Tinlet
Grad=37.9853+.104388+Tavg
Idrop=ABS(Emt(7))+1.E+5/(10+Grad)
2800
2305
 2810
           Tavgc=Tinlet-Tdrop#.5
          IF ABS(Tavg-Tavgc)>.01 THEN
Tavg=(Tavg+Tavgc)+.5
GDT0_2800
 2315
2315
2820
2325
2830
          END IF
2830 LID L
2835!
2840! COMPUTE WATER PROPERTIES
2845! Kw=FNKw(Tavg)
2350
 2855
          Cow=FNCow(Tavg)
 2360
          Pru=FNPru(Tavg)
          Rhow=FNRhow(Tavg)
 2865
 2970
2975!
          Twi=Tavg
 2380! Compute MDOT
 2885 Mdot=3.9657E-3+Fms+(3.61955E-3-Fms+(8.82006E-6-Fms+(1.23688E-7-Fms+4.31897
29991 Mot=Mdot*(1.0365-Tinlet*(1.36644E-3-Tinlet*5.252E-5))/1.0037
 2300 Geidot+Cau+Tarop
 79<u>05</u>
          Lmtd=Tdrop/LDG((Tinlet-Tsat)/(Tinlet-Tdrop-Tsat))
Uo=Q/(PI+Do+L+Lmtg)
 7910
 7915
           Rw=Do+LDG(Do/D1)/(2.+Kcu)
 2910 - TW-SG 1011
2920 - TW-Fsat+Ft+Lmtd
2925 - VW-Mdot/(Rhow+PI+01 2/4)
2930! IF Kdt=0 THEN
2935! Kdt=1
1111-1110-004+VW 2
 2905! Kat++
2940! Tarop=Tarop+.004+VW 2
2945! 3070 2710
2950! END IF
1950
1950
1950
           Rew=Rhow#Vw#01/Milwa
           (дар-////дандар.///цаа/FNMuu(Tul))),'4
-{[alig=favg-0/(P]+0,+2+M.)
-[alig=favg-0/(P]+0,+2+M.)
 7963
```

```
17 489("01-1010))))))
Twi=(Twi+Twid)+.5
0070 1960
END IF
  -2.7
- 275
2990
1985
74<u>90</u>
            -πο'π
Γωι=(Γωι+Γαιο)⇒.5
2295
           Ho=1/(1/Uo-Do/(Di+Hi)-Rw)
2000
           Thetap=0/(Ho+PI+0o+L)
3005
           Tw=Tsat+Thetap
Thetap=Tw-Tsat
3010
3015
           IF Thetap<0 THEN
          BEEP
INPUT "TWALLKTSAT (0=CONTINUE, 1=END)",Iev
IF Iev=0 THEN GOTO 1325
IF Iev=1 THEN 3535
END IF
END IF
           BEEP
3020
3025
3030
3035
3040
3045
3050!
3055! COMPUTE VARIOUS PROPERTIES
           Tfilm=(Tu+Tsat)+.5
Rho=FNRho(Tfilm)
3060
3065
3070
           Mu=FNMu(Tfilm)
           K=FNK(Tfilm)
3075
3080
           Cp=FNCp(Tfilm)
           Beta=FNBeta(Tfilm)
3085
           Hfg=FNHfg(Tsat)
3090
3095
           N1=Mu/Rho
3100
           Alpha=K/(Rho+Cp)
           Pr=Ni/Alpna
 2:05
3195 Pr=NI/Alpha

3110 Psat=FNPsat(Tsat)

3115!

3120! COMPUTE NATURAL-CONVECTIVE HEAT-TRANSFER COEFFICIENT

3125! FOR UNENHANCED END(S)

3130 Hbar='90

3125 Fe=(Hbar+P/(Kcu+A))`.S+Lu
 3140
           Tanh=FNTanh(Fe)
3145
           Theta=Thetap+Tann/Fe
3150
3155
3155
           Xx*(9.31*Beta*Thetab*Do 3*Tann/(Fe*Ni*41pna)) .166667
Yy*(1+(.559/Pr) (9/16)) (8/27)
Hbarc**/Do*(.5+.387**x/Yy) 2
3155
3170
3175
           IF ABS((Hbar-Hbarc)/Hbarc)>.001 THEM
           Hbar*(Hbar+Hbarc)*.5
GOTO 3135
           ENDIF
 3180
 3185!
3185!
3130! COMPUTE HEAT LOSS RATE THROUGH UNENHANCED END(S)
3195 01=(Hbar+P*Kcu+A) .5+Thetap*Tann
3200 0c=0-2+01
3205 As+PI+D2+L
3210!
3215! COMPUTE ACTUAL HEAT FLUX AND BDILING COEFFICIENT
3220 0dp=0c/As
3225 Htupe=0dp/Thetap
D215 Gdb-gd/Hs
D225 Htupe=Ddp/Thetap
D225 Csf+(Cp+Thetap/Hfg)/(Odp/(D
D225)
D240! RECORD TIME DF DATA TAKING
D245 IF Im=0 THEN
D250 OUTPUT 703:"TD"
D255 ENTER 703:T01d5
D250 END IF
D250
           Csi+(Co+Thetap/Hfg)/(Odp/(Mu+Hfg)+(.014/(9.31+Rho)..5) (1/3.)+Pr 1.7)
```

12734 107807 (ATA TO PRINTER 2275 PRINTER ID TO 2290 IF IDV#0 THEN 2295 PRINT 5295 PRINT USING "10X.""Data Set Number = "".5DD.2X.""Bulk 011 % = "".5D.5.5%.1 4A":5.3op.ToldS 5295 TF Thm=0 THEN 3300 PRINT USING "10X.""TC No: 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 -3..... 3005 PRINT JSING ' 3105 PRINT JSING ' 3010 PRINT USING ' 3315 PRINT USING ' 11d2.Tv.Psat.Tsump PRINT USING "10X.""Temp :"",3(1X,MDD.OD)":T(1).T(2).T(3).T(4).T(5).T(6).T(PRINT USING "10X."" Twa Tligd Tligd2 Tvapr Psat Tsump""" PRINT USING "10X.2(MDD.DD.1X).1X.MDD.DD.1X.2(1X.MDD.DD).2X.MDD.D":Twa.Tld. 3320 3325 3330 PRINT USING "10X."" Thetab Htube Odp""" PRINT USING "10X.MDD.3D.1X.MZ.3DE.1X.MZ.3DE";Thetab.Htube.Qdp 0dp''''' ELSE PRINT JSING "10X."" Fms Vw Tsat Tini Tdrop Thetap 3335 Uo a Но..... 0340+ PRINT USING "10X,4(20.00.1X).Z.30.1X.00.00.1X.3(MZ.3DE.1X)":Fms.Vw.Teat.Tr nlet.Torop.Thetap.Gd 3345 END IF 3350 END IF IF IOV=1 THEN IF J=1 THEN 3355 3360 3365 3370 PRINT IF Ihm=0 THEN 3375 3375 2380 2385 3395 PRINT USING "10X."" RUN No Dil% Tsat Thetap""" Htupe Jd₽. ELSE PRINT USING "10X."" FHS DDP. THE TAB DIL% TSAT HTUBE END IF END IF IF Ibm=1) THEN 3400 PRINT USING "12X.3D.4X.DD.2X.MDD.DD.3(1X.MZ.3DE)"; J.Bop.Tsat.Htupe.Jdp.The 340Š tao 3410 3415 ELSE PRINT JSING "12X.OD.4X.OD.2X.HDD.OD.3(1X.MZ.GDE)":Fms.Bop.Tsat.Htupe.3dp.T hetab END IF END IF IF Im=0 THEM BEEP 3420 3425 3430 3435 3441 INPUT "OK TO STORE THIS DATA SET (1=Y.)=N)?".Ok INPUT TUK TO STORE THEN END IF IF 3k=1 GR Im=1 THEN J=J+1 IF 3k=1 AND Im=0 THEN IF THM=0 THEN DUTPUT @File1:Bop.Toid5.Emf(+).Vr.Ir IF THM=1 THEN DUTPUT @File1:Bop.Toid5.Emf(+).Fms END TF 1445 3450 3455 463 3455 IF Ihmet THEN DUTPUT WEITER, BOD, HOTGLELAND DE END END IF IF Lufet THEN DUTPUT WEFTLE: Pms.Rew IF (Imet THEN DUTPUT WREFTLE: Fms.Rew IF (Imet THEN DUTPUT WREFTLE: Fms.Rew IF Imet THEN SEEP INPUT "WILL THERE BE ANOTHER RUN (1=1.)=N)?".Go_on 3479 :475 3430 3485 7490 Nrupes TE Dolonet) THEN 1535 TE Dolonet) THEN Pepeat ELDE TE Sticupet THEN Pepeat TETTKNown+1 THEN Repeat

73

IF 15=0 7=24 BEED PRINT USING "YOX.""NOTE: "".22."" data puns were stored in file ""."DA":u-3545 3550 3550 3555 3555 PRINT JSING "'DX.""MUTE: "".LL." dat Files ASSIGN DFriet TO + DUTPUT DFrie2:Nrun-' ASSIGN DFriet TO D1_fries ENTER DFriet:DateS.Ldtc1.Ldtc2.Itt DUTPUT DFrie2:DateS.Ldtc1.Ldtc2.Itt FOR I=1 TO Nrun-' IF Ihm=0 THEN ENTER DFrie1:Bop.ToidS.Emf(*).Vr.Ir DUTPUT DFrie2:Bop.ToidS.Emf(*).Vr.Ir FUSE 3570 ELSE ENTER @FileT:Bop.Told\$.Emf(*).Fms OUTPUT @File2:Bop.Told\$.Emf(*).Fms END IF NEXT I HEX! I ASSIGN #File: TO + PURGE "DUMMY" END IF BEEP DET 3615 3620 3625 3630 3635 3635 BEEP 3640 PRINT 3645+ IF Iplot=1 THEN PRINT USING "10X.""NOTE: "".ZZ."" X-Y pairs were stored in plot data file "".! 2650 ASSIGN #File2 TO + 3655 ASSIGN #Plot TO + 3650 IF Iuf=1 THEN ASSIGN #Ufile TO + 3665 IF Ire=1 THEN ASSIGN #Refile TO + 3667 PAUL Stats IF IFE-CHEN HASIGN BREFILE () = GALL Stats BEEP INPUT "LIKE TO PLUT DATA (1=Y.U=N)?".Uk IF Ok=1 THEN CALL Plot SUBE: D 3630 SUBLIND 3635: 3700: CURVE FITS OF PROPERTY FUNCTIONS 3705 DEF FNKGU(T) 3710: JFHC COPPER 250 TD 300 K 3715 Tk=T+273.15 1C TD K 3720 K=434-.112+Tk 3720 STUDN K 3695: 3700: CURVL 3705 DEF FNKCL, 3715 Tk=T+273.15 IC 3720 <=434-.112+7k 3725 RETURN < 3720 FNEHD 3725 DEF FNML(T) 2740: 170 TO 360 < CURVE FIT OF VICCOUSITY 3745 Tk=T+273.15 IC TO K 3750 ML=EXP(-4.4636+(1011.47/Tk))+1.3E-3 3755 RETURN ML 2763 DEF FNCp(T) 2770: 130 TO 400 < CURVE FIT OF Cp 2775 Tk=T+273.15 IC TO K 1720 Cp=.40138+1.55007E-3+Tk+1.51494E-5 10=(p+100) 50=.40138+1.65007E-3+7k+1.51494E-6+7k 2-6.67853E-10+7k 3 3845 3915 1829 1825

STELLON

1.75

A NOW

FIEID DEF FIERCTO PreFICa(T)+FIMIL(T)/FIK(T) 1930 3835 3340 RETURN 2-3845 3850 3855 FNEND DEF FNK(T) T<360 < WITH T IN C K=.071-.000251+T RETURN < 33601 3865 3370 3875 FNEND 3880 DEF FNTann(X) 3885 P=EXP(X) 3890 0=1/P Tann=(P-Q)/(P+Q) RETURN Tann 3895 3900 3905 FNEND DEF FNTvsv(V) CDM /Cc/ C(7).Ical 3910 3915 3920 3925 3930 T=C()) FOR I=1 TO 7 T=T+C(I)+V^I 3935 NEXT 1 TF Ical=1 THEN T=T-5.7422934E-2+T+(9.0277043E-3-T+(-9.3259917E-5)) 3940 3945 ELSE T=T+8.626897E-2+T+(3.76199E-3-T+5.0689259E-5) 3950 3955 3960 3965 RETURN T 3970 FNEND DEF FNBeta(T) Rop=FNRho(T+.1) 3975 3980 Rom=FNRho(T-1) 2985 3990 Beta=-2/(Rop+Rom)+(Rop-Rom)/.2 3995 RETURN Beta 4000 FNEND DEF FNHfg(T) 4005 4010 HFg=1,3741344E+5-T+(3,3094361E+2+T+1,2165143) RETURN Hfg 4015 ENERGY ENERGY DEF ENPsat(Tc) 0 TO 30 deg E CURVE FIT DF Psat Tf=1.3*Tc+32 T = 0.45525 (15352082+Tf+(1 4020 40301 4035 4040 Pa=5.345525+Tf+(.: 5352082+Tf+(1.4840963E-3+Tf+9.6150671E+6)) Pg=Pa-14.7 IF Pg>0 THEN 4045 4050 ! +=PSIG.-=in Ha 4055 4055 Psat Pg ELSE Psat=Pg+29.32/14.7 END IF RETURN Psat 4065 4070 41)75 RETURN Psat FNEHD DEF FNHsmooth(K.Bop.Isat) DIM 4(5).B(5).D(5) DAT4 .20525..25322..019048..55022..79909.1.00253 DAT4 .74515..70992..70199..71225..60412..64197 DATA .41992..17725..25142..54206..B1915...0845 DATA .71400..72910..72565..636631..665867..51889 READ 4(+).B(+).D(+).D(+) IF Bop(5 THEN 1:900 IF Bop(5 THEN 1:44 4030 4085 40.90 4095 4:00 1:05 4:10 4120 J. Té

HONDOD BOOM

St. Window Cost

..... IT 200+10 I-EN 1+5 IF Isat+1 I-EN 45+E(P(4(1)+8(1)+126(()) ELSE _ ы 40) a145 4150 Hs+EXP(C(I)+D(I)+L3G(X)) 4155 4150 END IF RETURN Hs 4165 FNEND 5495 SUB Alison(Gf.Cl) CDM /Hil/ D2.Di.Do.L.Lu.Kou DIM Emf(12) 5500 ALISON PLOT SUBROUTINE DETERMINE OF AND CI BEEP 5505 INPUT "ENTER DATA FILE NAME" Files BEEP BEEP PRINTER IS 1 PRINT USING "4X.""Select option:""" PRINT USING "4X."" & Vary Cf and Ci""" PRINT USING "4X."" 1 Fix Cf Vary Ci""" PRINT USING "4X."" 2 Vary Cf Fix Ci""" PRINT USING "4X."" 3 Fix Cf Fix Ci""" INPUT "ENTER OPTION".Icfix PRINTER IS 70! IF Icfired THEN 6595 6540 5545 6550 6551 6555 6560 6565 5570 PRINTER IS 701 IF Icfix=0 THEN 6585 IF Icfix=1 THEN INPUT "ENTER Cf".Csf IF Icfix=1 THEN INPUT "ENTER CI".Ci IF Icfix=3 THEN INPUT "ENTER Cf. Ci".Csf.Ci PRINTER IS : INPUT "Want To Vary Coeff?(!=Y.J=N)".Iccoef IF Iccoef=1 THEN INPUT "ENTER CDEFF".R PRINTER IS 701 IF Icfix=0 OR Icfix=2 THEN Cfa=.004 IF Icfix=1 THEN Cfa=Csf Cia=Ci 6575 5580 5581 5535 5530 6535 5600 3605 561Õ Cia=Ci Xn=.3 Ft=.3 J_7=i) 3641) 6645 5650 6655 Luct.Ld 2000 Sxv=0 5635 Sx2=0 5630 Sv2=0 5635 FOR I=1 TO Nrun 5710 ENTER #File:Bop.JoidS.Emf(+).Fms 5705! CONVERT EMF() TO TEMPERATURE 5710 FOR J=1 TO S 5715 T().J=FNTVsv(Emf(J)) 5720 NEXT J 5725 Tatt(())+T(2)) 5720 Tavg=1(5) 5660

Same Include

Scad=07.3850+.04086+Tavg Terop=Emf(7)+0.2+6.(00.+6cad) Tavgc=T(5)=Teroo+.5 IF ABS(Tavg=Tavgc)>.01 THEN Tavg=(Tavg+Tavgc)+.5 60T0 5705 END IF Compute properties of water Kw=FNKw(Tavg) Muwa=FNMuw(Tavg) Cow=FNCow(Tavg) Pru=FNPru(Tavg) 6800 Rhow=FNRhow(Tavg) 5805! 5810): Compute properties of Freen-114 5815: Lmtd=Tdrop/LDG((T(5)-Tsat)/(T(5)-Tdrop-Tsat)) 5820: IF Jj=0; THEN 5825: Tw=Tsat+FreLmtd 5830: Thetap=Tw-Tsat 5820 5825 5830 6835 .) - -END IF Tf=(Tw+Tsat)+.5 5840 6845 Rho=FNRho(Tf) 6350 6855 Mu=FNMu(Tf) 5360 <=FNK(TF) 6865 Cp=FNCp(Tf) 6870 6875 Beta=FNBeta(Tf) Hfg=FNHfg(Isat) 5380 NI=MIL/Rho Alpha=K/(Rho+Co) 5885 5890 Pr=Ni/Alpna 5895 ! 5300! Analysis 5305! COMPUTE MODT 5910 5915 A=PI+(Do 2-01 2)/4 P=P[+)0 5320 **1** E-10000 Mdot+3.3657E-3+Fms+(3.51355E-3-Fms+(8.32006E-6-Fms+(1.23638E-7+Fms+4.31897 5325 Demdot+Čpw+Tdrop 53301 COMPUTE NATURAL-CONVECTIVE HEAT-TRANSFER COEFFICIENT 63351 FOR UNENHANCED END(S) 5240 Hbar=190 5945 Fe=(Hbar+P/(Kcu+A)) .5+Lu 5950 Tann=FNTann(Fe) 5355 5360 Theta=ThetaD+Tann/Fe (x=(3.31+Beta+Thetap+Do 3+Tann/(Fe+Ni+Alpna))).166667
Yy=(1+(.559/Pz) (3/16)) (8/27)
Hbarg=K/Do+(.5+.387+Xx/Yy))2 6365 5370 <u> 5</u>975 IF ABS((Hbar-Hbarc)/Hbar)>.001 THEN 5380 5385 Hbar=(Hbar+Hbarc)+.5 GDTO 5345 END IF 5390 5390 5395! 7000! COMPUTE HEAT LOSS RATE THROUGH UNENHANCED ENDS Q1=(Hbar+P*Kcu+H) .5+Thetap*Tann 7005 711) 30+91-2+91 96=91-902+1 95=91+92+1 30H99175 4019AL 4EAT FL9X -0-0-7925 Jap=Gg/As

77

000000000

17 lof.x** 020 lof.x*1 THEN usr=1/05 (1...Rr) Thetap=0sf/0p+Hfg+(0gb/(Mu+Hfg)*(0014/(0.31+Rho)) .E) (1.Rr)+Pr(1.T Ho*0dp/Thetap Omega=Ho/05 - 120 -n25 = (j4i) 2045 7050 7055 U=U/(PI+Do+L+Lmta) V=Mdot/(Rhow+PI+D: 2/4) 7060 Rew=Rhow=Vw=01/Muwa 7065 $T_{WI} = T_{W} + i J + R_W / (PI + 0 n + L)$ 7070 Gama=Ku/Di+Rew .3+Prw (1/3.)+(Muwa/FMMuw(Twi))).14 70751 PRINTER IS_1 7070 Yw=(1./Uo-Rw)+Dmega 7080 7085 Xw=Omega+0o/(Gama+0:) 7090 Sx=Sx+Xw 7095 Sy=Sy+Yw 7100 7105 Sxy=Sxy+Yw+Xw Sx2=Sx2+Xw+Xw 7110 SV2+SV2+YW+YW NEXT I ASSIGN_#File TO + 7·20 7·25 7·30 H=(Sx+Sy+Nrun+Sxy)) (Sx+Sx-Nrun+Sx2) C*(Sy=Sx+M) Nrun IF Icfix+) OR Icfix=3 OR (cfix=4 THEN Cic=1/M Cfc=1/C 7130 7145 7155 7155 7155 7155 7157 7157 Cic+1/4 Gfc+1/C END IF IF lofix+1 THE's Gfc+01 END IF IF lofix+2 THEN 1080 IF lofix+2 THEN 1080 IF aBS((C1-0.c)/C.c)>.001 DR ABS((CF-Ofc)/Cfc)>.001 THEN C1+(C1+0.c)+.3 DF+(Cf+0.c)+.3 DF+(Cf+0.c)+.3 PRINTER 10 10 PRINTER 10 PRI 7:30 7:35 7:35 7:30 7:31 7195 7200 7205 7215 . . . 7229 12121200000 12121200000 12121200000 12121200000 12121200000 Sun2=Sy2-1=M*Sxy-2=0=Sy+M*2=Sx2+2=M*0=Sx+Nrun=012 PPINT USING "TDX.""Sum of Squares = "".7.3DE":Sum2 PRINT USING "TOX.""Soerficient = "".0.0DD":Rr Print Date of the Solution State of the Stat 1239 1290 1295 1000 1105 -7500 FNE10 DEF FNCDWKT2 Comman,_1120853-7+C2.25825E-0-7+C4.42251E-5+2.21423E-2+F00 PET 91/com+1200 FNE10 $\tau \in \tau_c$

133. 135.19

1100 DEF FuRnow() 1005 Return a 1006 FileNo 1006 FileNo 1006 FileNo 1006 FileNo 1006 FileNo 1006 FileNo 1007 DEF FNRu(T) 1007 DEF FNKu(T) 1007 DEF FNKu(T) 1007 DEF FNKu(T) 1007 State FileNo 1008 State FileNo 1009 State FileNo 1009 State FileNo 1009 State FileNo 1000 State FileNo 10000 State FileNo 1000 State Fi

A. 1. 6 S. 6

APPENDIX B FLOWMETER CALIBRATION

A Fischer Porter Flowmeter was used in the experimental apparatus to indicate the water flowrate. Prior to conducting the boiling tube data runs, calibration of the flowmeter was performed over a temperature range from 19 $^{\circ}$ C to 38 $^{\circ}$ C. The goals of the calibration were:

- 1) to develop a mathematical expression relating flowmeter percent reading to mass flowrate and
- 2) to determine a viscosity correction factor due to varying temperature range and flowrates.A weigh tank, platform-type scale, and stopwatch were used

to record water collection data.

Data were processed using the program "FMCAL", listed later in this appendix. This program took the flowmeter percent reading, water weight collected, and elapsed water collection time as the inputs. The outputs were an experimental mass flowrate and a mass flowrate difference. This difference was given by a mass flowrate computed from a correlation minus the experimental mass flow rate for the same flowmeter percent reading. The correlation was based on a fourth-order least-squares fit to the calibration data. After all the data runs were completed, the data points were combined into one file and a single fourth-order polynomial was generated to describe the relationship between the flowmeter percent reading and the mass flowrate. Of the original data points, 98.61 percent were within a ± 5.5 percent range. Reprocessing the data points led to 78 percent within a \pm 1.02 percent range. The equation (B.1), resulting from the calibration data analysis, is a fourthorder polynomial from a curve fit of the reprocessed data without a viscosity correction factor:

 $\dot{m} = 3.3657e-3 + 3.1690e-3(FMS)$ $-3.8020e-6(FMS)^2 + 1.2369e-7(FMS)^3$ $-4.3190e-10(FMS)^4$

(FMS =flowmeter percent reading)

Experimental discharge rates are less than the rates calculated from theoretical flow equations primarily because of the effect of internal molecular friction of the fluid and the viscosity. The theoretical flow equation is:

(3.1)

$$Q = A_{w}C_{d} \left[\frac{2gV_{z}(\rho_{z} - \rho_{w})}{A_{z} - \rho_{w}} \right] = 0.5$$
(B.2)

where Q=volumetric flowrate, A_{ij} =cross-sectional area of the narrowest part of annulus, V_f =volume of float, ρ_f = density of float, A_f =cross-sectional area of the largest part of float, and ρ_w =density of fluid. The Coefficient of Discharge, C_d , is a function of viscosity. A constant value for C_d in equation (3.2) would be desirable as this would show a negation in the variations due to the viscosity. The effect of the float shape on C_d with varying Reynolds number is shown in Figure B.1 (a). The Reynolds number for the flowmeter uses an effective diameter of the tube inner diameter minus the float outer diameter. This plumb bob style float presents a large surface area to the fluid stream and the viscous effects increase as the flow rate increases. [Ref. 31: pp. 9804-9808]

The data collected from the calibration runs showed little or no change in the Discharge Coefficient over the 20 to 90 percent flowmeter setting range and the 15 K change in fluid temperature. The square edge plumb bob float reaches a constant Coefficient of Discharge at Reynolds







(b)

Figure B.1. Effect of Float Shape on Coefficient of Discharge: (a) Square Edge Flumb Bob Float (from Kef. 30), and (b) Taper Edge Plumb Float.

R KOKONDHOKOKO N

number > 10000. This is due to the effective shape of the float on the streamline pattern as shown in Figures B.2 (a) and (b). The experimental calibration range of 1300 < Re < 27000 displayed a flattening out of the Coefficient of Discharge. A different effective shape is seen by the streamline pattern because of the minimal clearances between the tube bead guides and the float. Also, the float used in this particular flowmeter had tapered edges versus square edges. This different effective shape is shown Figures B.2 (c) and (d). Figure B.1 (b) shows the effect of the float shape on the Coefficient of Discharge for the tapered edge float.

Additionally, the accuracy of the flowmeter contributes to the flattening effect on the Coefficient of Discharge shown by the experimental data. The accuracy effect is felt in two major components, reproducibility and scale factor. Reproducibility accuracy is minimally determined by the change in the fluid flowrate, corresponding float movement, and observation of float position. The calibration data had good reproducibility over the various flowrate and temperature ranges. The scale factor accuracy is inherent to the scale markings on the flow tube. Fischer Porter conducted numerous tests of flowmeter calibration readings. They used a maximum scale fraction error of 0.9 mm on a 250 mm tube. Based on their results, Fischer Porter reported [Ref. 31: 9814-9816] an accuracy of plus or minus one percent at pp. high flow rates was a reasonable expectation. Also, at low flow rates (< 20 percent) a resonable expectation would be an accuracy of eight to ten perecent.

The experimental data followed a trend of negligible viscosity effect. The flow tube design, float shape, and scale factor accuracy were the main contributors to this negation of a viscosity correction factor. As such, equation (B.1) was used without a viscosity correction factor to calculate the mass flowrate for the boiling tube runs.



APPENDIX C MODIFIED WILSON PLOT

As referenced in Chapters III and IV, this appendix provides the data reduction procedures used to compute inside and outside heat-transfer coefficients. The tubes used for data collection were the smooth, modified High Flux, and Modified Turbo-B tubes. The modified Wilson plot anaylsis could not be directly run on the enhanced tubes since reliable boiling correlations for these surfaces do not exist. Also, only two unknowns can be allowed between correlations for the inside and outside heat-transfer coefficients. The steps used in this procedeure are outlined below and are contained in DRP4, which is cited in Appendix A:

Basic Equations

The overall heat-transfer coefficient in terms of the overall thermal resistance is given by:

$$\frac{1}{30} = \frac{A_0}{B_1 A_1} + B_w + \frac{1}{B_0}$$
(C.1)

where

$$R_{w} = D_{0} ln \left(\frac{D_{0}}{D_{1}} \right) \frac{.5}{k_{m}}$$
 (C.2)

Modified Wilson Plot

35

1. Assume C_{sf} and T_f for the boiling side and compute:

$$\Omega = \left(\frac{c_{D}}{Pr^{1}}, \gamma\right) \frac{1}{r} \left[\frac{g(\rho_{1} - \rho_{V})}{g_{c}\sigma_{1}}\right]^{0.5} \frac{u\Delta \tau^{2}}{h_{fg}^{2}}$$
(C.3)
$$h_{o} = \frac{\Omega}{(C_{sf})^{\frac{1}{r}}}$$
(C.4)

Note: with r equal to 0.333, equation (C.3) represents the Rohsenow correlation [Ref. 23: p. 969].
2. Assume C_i and compute:

$$\Gamma = \frac{k}{D_{i}} \operatorname{Re}^{0.3} \operatorname{Pr}^{0.33} \left(\frac{u}{u_{w}}\right)^{0.14}$$
(C.5)

$$h_i = c_i \Gamma$$
 (C.6)

3. Substitute ho and h from steps 1 and 2 above into equation (C.1) and rearrange to yield:

$$\frac{1}{U_{c}} - R_{w}^{2} = \frac{A_{c}^{2}}{C_{i}A_{i}r} + (C_{sf})^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
(C.7)

4. Now, let:

oncochoradora anterior da concección

$$Y = \frac{1}{U_0} - R_w^2 \qquad (C.8)$$

and

$$X = \frac{\Omega A_{0}}{\Gamma A_{1}}$$
 (C.9)

Construct the least-squares line for Y versus X in the form of:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{m}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{C} \tag{C.10}$$

o contractor and a contractor of the

124242

5. Compute a new set of values for C_i and C_{si} as follows:

• •

$$c_{i} = \frac{1}{m}$$
 (C.11)

and

$$C_{sf} = C^{r}$$
 (C.12)

6. Repeat steps 1 through 5 until convergence for C_1 and C_{sf} between two successive iterations is less than 0.1 percent.

APPENDIX D

DATA RUNS

The following table outlines the data runs used in this investigation:

Where:

- 1. WH Smooth tube
- 2. HF- High Flux (i.e., porous-coated Korodense) tube
- 3. HFM Modified High Flux (i.e., porous coating machined off) tube
- 4. TB Turbo-B tube
- 5. TBM Modified Turbo-B (i.e., external enhancement machined off) tube
- 6. T inlet water temperature
- 7. V water velocity
- 8. const constant
- 9. dec decreasing
- 10. inc increasing

TABLE 4

DATA RUN DESCRIPTION

File Name	0i1 %	# points	Description
WH01	0	10	Light Off Effect
WH02	0	10	Light Off Effect
WHO 3	0	9	Light Off Effect
WH05	Q	10	(steam) I const V dec
WHO Z	U I	10	T const V dec T const V dec
WH08 WH09	$\frac{1}{2}$	10 10	T const V dec T const V dec
WH10 WH10A	2	10 10	T const V dec Repeatability WH10
WHII	6	İğ	T const V dec
WH13	6	8	Light Off Effect
HF12	Q	10	(warm water) T const V dec
HF13	0	12	T const V dec Light Off Effect
HF14	0	12	(steam) Light Off Effect
HF15	0	10	(cold water) Light Off Effect
UF14	0	10	(warm water)
HF22	ğ	12	
HF24	1	12	$\frac{1}{T}$ dec V const $\frac{1}{T}$ const V dec
HF25 HF26	1	10	T const V dec T dec V const
HF27 HF28	$\frac{1}{2}$	12^{7}	T inc V const T const V dec
HF29 HF30	Ž	ĪŽ	T const V dec T dec V const
11F 3 3	2	0	(Repeatability of HF28)
HF32	46	10	T const Y dec
HF34	6		T const V dec T dec V const
HF35 HFM01	ő	8	T inc V const Sieder-Tate Coeff Run
HFM02 HFM03	0	8 10	Sieder-Tate Coeff Run Sieder-Tate Coeff Run
HFM04 HFM05	Ő	8	Sieder-Tate Coeff Run Sieder-Tate Coeff Run
HFM06	Ŏ	8	Sieder-Tate Coeff Run
HFM08	ŏ		Sieder-Tate Coeff Run
	U	10	(cold water)
TBUZ	U	10	Light Uif Effect (warm water)
T303	0	10	Light Off Effect (steam)

89

Υ.,

TB04	0	10	T const V dec
TROS	0	6	(Repeatability of 1605)
4 802	X	10	
ŦĔŎŹ	ň	17	T inc V const
ŤŘŎŔ	ĭ	16	
ŦĔŎŎ	1	ĨĞ	T dec V const
ŦĨĔĬÓ	ĩ	1Ŏ	T const V dec
ŦĔĪĬ	ī	-ĕ	Ť inc V const
ŦBĪŹ	Ž	1Ŏ	T const V dec
ŦBĪ3	2	ĨŎ	T const V dec
	_		(Repeatability of TB12)
TB14	2	7	T dec V const
TB15	2	10	T const V dec
TB16	2	_ 6	T inc V const
TB17	6	10	T const V dec
TB18	6	<u>7</u>	<u>T</u> dec <u>V</u> const
TB19	6	7	<u>T</u> inc V const
TB20	6	8	T const V dec
			Light Vir Effect
TD 0 1	1	10	(steam)
IBZI	o	TO	Light UII Lifect
TD 9 7	6	10	(cold water)
IDZZ	0	10	(warm water)
ТВМОЛ	٥	Q	Sidder-Tate Coeff Pup
TEMOS	ŏ	ğ	Sieder-Tate Coeff Run
TRMOK	ŏ	ĕ	Sieder-Tate Coeff Run
ŤŘMŎŽ	ŏ	ĕ	Sieder-Tate Coeff Run
Ť Ĕ MŎŔ	ŏ	ă	Sieder-Tate Coeff Run
Ť BMŎ Ŏ	Ŏ	Ř	Sieder-Tate Coeff Run
ŤBMľÓ	Ó	Ř	Sieder-Tate Coeff Run
	2	-	

APPENDIX E UNCERTAINITY ANALYSIS

The uncertainity for mass flow rate, Reynolds number, heat flux, LMTD, wall resistance, overall heat-transfer coefficient, inside heat-transfer coefficient, and outside heat-transfer coefficient were analyzed for selected runs of the smooth, High Flux and Turbo-B tubes. The analysis was based on the Kline-McClintock [Ref. 32: p. 3] method of uncertainity analysis. For example, the following equations were used for the uncertainity of the heat flux:

$$q = \frac{q}{\pi D_0^2}$$
(E.1)

and

$$Q = \frac{\pi c_p}{\pi c_p} \left(\frac{\pi c_p}{1 + \pi c_p} \right) \qquad (E.2)$$

In accordance with Kline and McClintock, the uncertainities are given by:

$$\frac{\delta q}{q} = \left[\left(\frac{\delta C}{Q} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta D_0}{D_0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta L}{L} \right)^2 \right]^{0.5}$$
(E.3)

and

$$\frac{50}{Q} = \left[\left(\frac{\sin}{n} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sin}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sin}{(\tau_{in} - \tau_{out})} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sin}{(\tau_{in} - \tau_{out})} \right)^2 \right]^{-3.5} (\Xi.4)$$

The uncertainity in the conduction losses for the unenhanced ends of the boiling tube were considered negligible in comparison to the boiling surface uncertainity and as such, were disregarded. Table 5 lists the uncertainities for the previously mentioned values.

CHERRY SALAND LOUIS REAL SCALE AND LOUIS

file	WH0 5	WHO 5	HF13	HF13	TB 04	T 304
9)	31140	26690	49540	38780	63780	53670
v/m / V m/s)	2.24	1.39	2.24	1.39	1.37	1.07
<u>58</u> #	1.56	2.51	1.56	2.51	1.43	2.51
<u>óRe</u> Re	1.79	2.65	1.73	2.61	1.61	2.51
<u>5a</u> q	1.59.	2.52	1. 59	2.52	1.46	2.52
<u>גדונז אינוז</u> מחונו	4.96	3.59	3.10	2.45	2.29	1.66
<u>SR</u> 3	2.76	2.76	11.17	11.17	2.92	2.92
<u>50</u> 11	5.21	4.39	3,48	3.52	2.71	3.02
$\frac{5h_{\pm}}{h_{\pm}}$	2.03	2.56	1.61	2.25	1.48	2.21
<u>5</u> ,	5.34	5.08	4.90	4.89	3.35	3.96

TABLE 5 UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS PERCENTS

Note: All runs were performed in pure refrigerant WH = Smooth rube HF = Korodense tube with High Flux Coating TB = Turbo-3 tube

The large uncertainity for the wall resistance of file HF13 (porous- coated Korodense tube) is due to the 10 percent uncertainity of the thermal conductivity coefficient. For this investigation, the coefficient used was an average value for the values given in the open literature for copper-nickel plates or tubes.

92

APPENDIX F LIST OF NOMENCLATURE

1. NOMENCLATURE A - Surface Area c_D - Specific heat at constant pressure C_{c} - Oil concentration Ci - Inside Sieder-Tate-type coefficient C_{sf} - Rohsenow coefficient d: - Inside diameter of tube do - Diameter of mouth of reentrant cavity D - Diameter e - Depth of internal ridge or fin E., - Energy transfer per unit volume f - Friction factor g - Acceleration due to gravity g_ - Gravitational constant h - Convective heat-transfer coefficient h_{ca} - Specific enthalpy of vapor-liquid mixture k - Conductive heat-transfer coefficient km - Conductive heat-transfer coefficient of metal L - Tube length Lo - Entrance effect length p - Distance between ridge or fin peaks Pr - Prandtl number n - Heat flux Q - Total heat transfer r - Tube radius Re - Reynolds number R. - Thermal wall resistance St - Stanton number

- U_{\odot} Overall heat-transfer coefficient
- $\mathbf{v}_{\underline{z}}$ Specific volume of vapor

V - Velocity

- Δ Change (i.e., $T_{in} T_{out}$)
- σ Surface tension
- $\sigma_{_{\rm O}}$ Surface tension of oil
- J_n Surface tension of refrigerant
- µ Viscosity
- $\mu_{\rm O}$ Viscosity of oil
- μ_r Viscosity of refrigerant
- p Density
- $P_{\rm O}$ Density of oil
- p_n- Density of refrigerant

2. SUBSCRIPTS

- f fluid
- i inside
- in inlet
- m mixture
- o outside
 - ol oil-liquid
 - out- outlet
 - rl refrigerant-liquid
 - s smooth tube
 - sat- saturation
 - w water

wo - outside wall





ととうちももし

LIST OF REFERENCES

1.	Bergles,	A. E.	and	Webb	R	L., "A	Guide	Io The
	Literature	e on_Co	nvecti	ve He	at Tra	nsier A	ugmența	tion,"
	Advances i	n Enh	anced	Heat	Transf	er. Vo	1. 43	. PÓ.
	81-89, 198	5.						

- Wanniarachchi, A. S., Marto, P. J., and Reilly, J.T., The Effect of Oil Contamination on the Nucleate Pool-Boiling Performance of R-114 from a Porous-Coated Surface, <u>ASHRAE Transactions</u>, pp. 2-73, 1986 (accepted for publication).
- 3. <u>ASHRAE Guide and Data Book</u>, Chapter 18, pp. 281-291, 1963.
- 4. Dougherty, R. L. and Sauer, Jr., H. J., "Nucleate Pool Boiling of Refrigerant-Oil Mixtures From Tubes," <u>ASHRAE Transactions</u>, Vol. 80, pp. 175-178, 1975.
- 5. Chongrungreong, S. and Sauer Jr., H. J., "Nucleate Boiling Performance of Refrigerants and Refrigerant-Oil Mixtures," <u>ASME Transactions</u>, Vol. 102, pp. 701-705, November, 1980.
- 6. Fujii, M., Nishiyama, E., and Yamanaka, G., "Nucleate Pool Boiling Heat Transfer From Micro-Porous Heating Surfaces," <u>Advances in Enhanced Heat Transfer</u>, pp. 45-50, 1979.
- 7. Webb, R. L., "The Evolution of Enhanced Surface Geometries for Nucleate Boiling," <u>Heat Transfer</u> Engineering, Vol. 2, nos 3-4, pp. 46-69, Jan-June, 1981.
- 8. Reilly, J. T., <u>The Influence of Oil Contamination on</u> the Nucleate Pool-Boiling Behavior of R-114 from a <u>Structured Surface</u>, M. S. Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, pp. 30-35,65,70, March, 1985.
- 9. Czikk, A. M. and O'Neill, P. S., "Correlation of Nucleate Boiling From Porous Metal Films," <u>Advances in</u> <u>Enhanced Heat Transfer</u>, pp. 53-59, 1979.
- 10. Carnavos, T. C., "An Experimental Study: Fool Boiling R-11 With Augmented Tubes," <u>Advances in Enhanced Heat</u> <u>Transfer</u>, pp. 103-108, 1981.
- 11. Czikk, A. M., Gottzmann, C. F., Ragi, E. G., Withers, J. G., and Habdas, E. P., "Performance of Advanced Heat Transfer Tubes in Refrigerant-Flooded Liquid Coolers," <u>ASHRAE Transactions</u>, Vol. 76, part 1, pp. 96-107, 1970.

95

- 12. Arshad, J. and Thome, J. R., "Enhanced Boiling Surfaces: Heat Transfer Mechanism Mixture Boiling, <u>ASME-JSME Thermal Energy Engineering Joint Conf.</u>, Vol. 1, pp. 191-197, 1983.
- 13. Arai, N., Nakajima, T., Fukushima, T. Fujie, K. Arai, A., and Nakayama, Y., "Heat Transfer Tubes Enhancing Boiling and Condensation in Heat Exchangers of a Refrigerating Machine," <u>ASHRAE Transactions</u>, Vol. 83, part 2, pp. 58-62, 1977.
- 14. Ayub, Z. H. and Bergles, A. E., "Pool Boiling from Gewa Surfaces in Water and R-113," <u>Augmentation of</u> <u>Heat Transfer in Energy Systems</u>, Vol. 52, pp. 57-64, 1985.
- 15. Nakayama, W., Daikoku, T., Kuwahara, H., and Nakajima, T., "Dynamic Model of Enhanced Boiling Heat Transfer on Porous Surfaces," <u>Advances in Enhanced Heat</u> <u>Transfer</u>, pp. 31-43, 1979.
- 16. Jensen, M. K. and Jackman, D. L., "Prediction of Nucleate Pool Boiling Heat Transfer Coefficients of Refrigerant-Oil Mixtures," <u>ASME Transactions</u>, Vol 106, pp. 184-190, 1984.
- 17. Marto, P. J., Reilly, D. J., and Fenner, J. H., "An Experimental Comparison of Enhanced Heat Transfer Condenser Tubing," <u>Advances in Enhanced Heat Transfer</u>, pp. 1-8, 1979.
- GA Technoligies Report, GA-A17833, <u>Fluid Mechanics and</u> <u>Heat Transfer Spiral Fluted Tubing</u>, by J. S. Yampolsky et. al., 1984.
- 19. DSR Report, 70790-69, <u>Investigation of Heat Transfer</u> <u>Augmentation Through Use of Internally Finned and</u> <u>Roughened Tubes</u>, by A. E. Bergles et. al., pp. 18-23, 1970.
- 20. Karasbun, M., <u>An Experimental Apparatus to Study</u> <u>Nucleate Pool Boiling of R-114 and Oil Mixtures</u>, M. S. Thesis, Nayal Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, pp. 24-32, 54-56, December, 1984.
- 21. Chaddock, J. B., "Influence of Oil Refrigerant Evaporator Performance," <u>ASHRAE Transactions</u>, Vol. 82, part 1, pp. 474-486, 1976.
- 22. AERE Report, R7318, <u>The Development of Heat Transfer</u> <u>Tubes</u>, by I. H. Newson and T. D. Hudgson, 1973.
- 23. Rohsenow, W. M., "A Method of Correlating Heat Transfer Data for Surface Boiling of Liquids," <u>ASME</u> <u>Transactions</u>, Vol. 74, p.969, 1952.

- 24. Incropera, F. P. and DeWitt, D. P., <u>Fundamentals of</u> <u>Heat Transfer</u>, John Wiley and Sons, p. 406, 1981.
- 25. Withers, J.G., "Tube-side Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop for Tubes Having Internal Helical Ridging with Turbulent/Transitional Flow of Single-phase Fluid: Part 1--Single-helix Ridging," <u>Heat Transfer</u> Engineering, Vol 2, No. 2, 1980.
- 26. Withers, J. G., "Tube-side Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop for Tubes Having Internal Helical Ridging with Turbulent/Transitional Flow of Single-phase Fluid: Part 2--Multiple-helix Ridging," <u>Heat Transfer</u> Engineering, Vol 2, No. 2, 1980
- 27. Nobukatsu, A. and et. al., "Heat Transfer Tubes Enhancing Boiling and Condensation in Heat Exchangers of a Refrigerating Machine," <u>ASHRAE Transactions</u>, Vol. 83, part 2, pp. 58-70, 1977.
- 28. Green, G. H., "Influence of Oil on Boiling Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop in Refrigerants 12 and 22," <u>ASHRAE Journal</u>, pp. 57-61,1982.
- 29. Stephan, K., "Influence of Oil on Heat Transfer of Boiling Freon 12 (Refrigerant 12) and Freon 22 (Refrigerant 22)," <u>Proceedings of XIth International</u> <u>Congress of Refrigeration</u>, Vol. 1, pp. 369-379, 1963.
- 30. Stephan, K., and Mitrovic, J., "Heat Transfer in Natural Convection Boiling of Refrigerant-Oil Mixtures," <u>Models and Correlations Review</u>, pp. 73-85, 1982.
- 31. Fischer and Porter Company, Theory of the Flowrator, Catalog Section 98-A, pp. 9801-9816, 1947.
- 32. Kline, S. J. and McClintock, F. A., "Describing Uncertainities in Single-Sample Experiments," <u>Mechanical Engineering</u>, p. 3, Jan. 1953.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

Contractor of

		No.	Copies
1.	Library Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943		2
2.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314-6145		2
3.	Department Chairman, Code 69 Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943		1
4.	Professor Paul J. Marto, Code 69 Mx Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943		- 3
5.	Dr. A. S. Wanniarachchi, Code 69Wa Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943		2
6.	Dr. B. H. Hwang, Code 2722V David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research and Development Center Annapolis, Maryland 21402		1
7.	Mr. R. Helmick, Code 2745 David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research and Development Center Annapolis, Maryland 21402		1
8.	Mr. N R. Clevinger Product and Process Development Mgr. Wolverine Tube P. O. Box 2202 Decatur, Alabama 35602		1
9.	Mr. J. McManus Box 139 Logan Lake, British Columbia Canada VOK 1WO		1
10.	Lt. S. McManus 6840 N. Columbus Blvd Tucson, Arizona 85718		2
11.	Mr. Elias Ragi Union Carbide Corporation P. O. Box 44 Tonawanda, New York 14151		1
12.	Dr. Masafumi Katsuta School of Science and Engineering 3-4-1, Ohkubo, Shinjukuku, Tokyo, 160, Japan		1

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF	THIS PAGE

AN- +175 246

	REPORT DOCU	MENTATION	PAGE		-	
Ta REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS			
UNCLASSIFIED						
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY	Approved	for publi	c rel	ease		
25 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	ILE	distribut	ion unlim	ited.	cuse	1
		·		····		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NI	UMBER(S)	
64 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORG	ANIZATION)		
laval Dath walk sta Cakerl	Name 1 D			1 1		
aval Postgraduate School	Code 69	Naval P	ostgradua	ite Sc.	1001	
C ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		75. ADDRESS (Cri	ty, State, and 20	(ode)		
Monterey, California 9394	3 - 5 0 0 0	Monterey	, Califor	nia 9	3943-	- 5 0 00
Ba NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING	Bb OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT I	DENTIFICAT	NUN NU	MBER
ORGANIZATION	(If applicable)					
David W. Taylor NSRDC						
ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10 SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMBE	RS		
Annapolis, Maryland 21402	•	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT	TASK NO		ACCESSION NO
CManus, Stephen, M.						
33 TYPE OF REPORT 135 TIME CO	OVERED	14 DATE OF REPO	ORT (Year, Month	. Day) 15	PAGE	COUNT
laster's Thesis FROM	TO	<u>1986. Ju</u>	ine.			99
COSATI CODES EED GROUP SUB-GROUP	18 SUBJECT TERMS (Nucleate P Enhanced S	Continue on revers 001-B011in urfaces;	e if necessary ar ig; Water-	d identify Heate Sompu	by block d Tul Tul	e number) Des; Isgrauss, C
3 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block i	number)				
lleat-transfer measure n a pool of R-114 to simu Data were obtained for a s available tubes: a spirall	ements were m alate operati smooth copper v roped copp	ade for a ng conditi tube, and er-nickel	single, w ons of a for two tube with	vater-1 water comme: a poi	heate chil rcial rous	ed tube ler. ly coating:
nd a copper tube with a s	tructured ou	ter surfac	e and a m	ultip	le-st	art,
clical ridged inner surfa	ice. Measurem	ents were	made for	refri	gerar	it-oil
ixtures at oil concentrat	ions from 0	to 6 mass	percent w	ith a	hoil	ing pool
emperature of 13.8 °C. Re	sults for th	e two enha	nced tube	s wit	h and	lwithout
11 are compared to the sm	ooth tube da	ta. Enhanc	ement fac	tors	for t	he
verall heat+transfer coef	flcient were	4.0 and 3	.b for th	ie stri	uctur	ed and at
urrace and porous-coated	tudes, respe	ctively, 1	n pure re	rrige	rant	and at
water verocity of 2 m/S.	ror these s	ame condit	ions che	eman	cemer	it factors
cont next page)				CATION		
I DE LE SUR LA VALABILITY DE ABSTRACT I I DE ASS FIEDUNLIMITED I DE SAME AS F	RPT DTIC USERS	Unclassi	fied			
J. Jarto		226 TELEPHONE ((408) 646	(Include Area Cod - 2586	le) 22c OI	FFICE SY 6.9 MN	MBOL
DD FORM 1473, 34 MAR 83 AP	Redition may be used us All other editions are n	t ntil exhausted bsolete	SECURITY	CLASSIFIC	ATION	F THIS PACE
			Unclass	sified		
	, t		one ras:			

() }

SECUR	TY CLA		ISSIF	ied HIS PA	GE (Whe	n Dece B	ntered)	r	
19. for the	(cont the c porou	:) out-si is-coa	lde h ited	eat- and	trar stru	isfer ictur	coef ed su	fici irfac	ent w e tub
									•

1000000000

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

14.6 and 6.4 for respectively.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Nucleate Pool-Boiling of R-114 Refrigerant and Oil Mixtures from Water-Heated Enhanced Surfaces

by

Stephen M. McManus Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S.Ch.E., University of New Mexico, 1979

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL June 1986

Author:	Thigher M. H. Manum	
Approved by:	Stephen M. McManus	
	P.J. Marto, Thesis Advisor	~
	50minded_	
_	A. S. Wanniarchchi, Co-Advisor	
_	1) Alderte	
	P.J. Marto, Chairman, Department of Mechanical Engineering	
-	An Dur	
	John N. Dyer, Dean of Science and Engineering	

ABSTRACT

Heat-transfer measurements were made for a single, water-heated tube in a pool of R-114 to simulate operating conditions of a water chiller. Data were obtained for a and for two commercially available smooth copper tube. tubes: a spirally roped copper-nickel tube with a porous coating; and a copper tube with a structured outer surface and a multiple-start helical ridged inner surface. Measurements were made for refrigerant-oil mixtures at oil concentrations from 0 to 6 mass percent with a boiling pool temperature of 13.8 °C. Results for the two enhanced tubes with and without oil are compared to the smooth tube data. Enhancement factors for the overall heat-transfer coefficient were 4.0 and 3.6 for the structured surface and porous-coated tubes, respectively, in pure refrigerant and at a water velocity of 2 m/s. For these same conditions the enhancement factors for the outside heat-transfer coefficient were 14.6 and 6.4 for the porous-coated and structured surface tubes, respectively.

AI
