

1.0	45 50	2.8 3.2	2.5
	نی ن	3.6	
<u> . </u>		40	2.0
			1.8
1.25	1.4	4	1.6

1.5.5

A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF

States and a second second

5 . . S.

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963 A

X006

Naval Research Laboratory

Washington, DC 20375-5000

NRL Memorandum Report 5829

29 August 14, 1986

AD-A172 612

Use of Incoherence to Produce Smooth and Controllable Irradiation Profiles with KrF Fusion Lasers

۶.,

R. H. LEHMBERG

Laser Plasma Branch Plasma Physics Division

J. GOLDHAR

University of Maryland College Park, MD 20740



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

01

3

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

				REPORT DOCU	MENTATION	PAGE			
a REPORT SI	a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION			16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS				
UNCLASSIFIED			None						
a SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	N AUTH	IORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY O	F REPC	DRÍ	
			INC. CONTRA		Approved for public release, distribution				
26 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			unlimited.						
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) NRL Memorandum Report 5829			5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)						
NAME OF DEREORMAN OF ANIZATION				Sh OFFICE SYMBOL Za NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION					<u> </u>
Plasma Physics Division		ion (If applicable)		78 NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION					
				Code 4730	Laser Plas	sma Branch			
ADDRESS /	(City, State, an	d ZIP Co	de)	*	75 ADDRESS (Cit	y, State, and ZIP	Code)		
Namel	D				4555 Over:	look Avenue,	SW		
Naval I	kesearch I	Labora	itory		Washington	n, DC 20375-	5000		
		NSORIN	6	85 OFFICE SYMBOL	9 PROCUREMENT		ENTIFIC		MRER
ORGANIZA	TION		-	(If applicable)					
Depart	ment of Er	nergy		DP-23	DE-A108-79	DP40092			
ADDRESS	City State and	ZIP Cod	fe)		10 SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBER	s		
U.S. D	epartment	of Er	nergy		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK		WORK UNIT
Office	of Inerti	ial Fu	ision (DP	2-23)	ELEMENT NO.	NO	NO	A108-	ACCESSION N
Washing	gton, DC 2	20545			DOE	47-0859-0-	79D	P40092	ł
TITLE (Incl	lude Security C	lassificat	tion)	·······					-
Use of	Incoherer	nce tr	Produce	Smooth and Con	trollable Iri	adiation Pr	ofil	es	
000 01	ruconerei								
with Ki	rF Fusion	Laser	rs .						
with Ki	rF Fusion	Laser	rs	<u></u>	<u></u>				
with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber	rF Fusion AUTHOR(S) rg, R.H. a	Laser	rs Oldhar, J		<u> </u>				
with Ki PERSONAL Lehmber	rF Fusion AUTHOR(S) rg, R.H. a	Laser	oldhar, J	DVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Month,	Day)	15 PAGE	COUNT
with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME	REPORT ndum	Laser and Go	oldhar, J 13b TIME CC FROM	DVERED TO	14 DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus	RT (Year, Month, t 14	Day)	15 PAGE	COUNT 2
with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD	COSATI GROUP	Laser and Gc TION CODES	rs oldhar, J 13b TIME CC FROM	TO	14 DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus Continue on revers	RT (Year, Month,) t 14	Day) Lident	15 PAGE 2	COUNT 2 k number)
with K: PERSONAL Lehmber Ia TYPE OF Memorar S SUPPLEME FIELD	REPORT ndum COSATI GROUP	Laser and Go TION CODES	rs oldhar, J 13b TIME CC FROM	DVERED TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS (14 DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus Continue on revers	RT (Year, Month,) t 14	Day) Lident	15 PAGE 2	COUNT 2 k number)
with K: PERSONAL Lehmber Ja TYPE OF Memorar S SUPPLEME / FIELD	COSATI GROUP	Laser and Gc TION CODES	rs oldhar, J 13b TIME CC FROM	TO	14 DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus Continue on revers	RT (Year, Month, t 14	Day) Lident	15 PAGE 2	COUNT 2 k number)
with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmbei 3a TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD ABYRACT	COSATI GROUP	Laser and Gc TION CODES SUB	rs 11dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM -GROUP if necessary	TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on revers number)	RT (Year, Month, t 14	Day) Fident	15 PAGE 2	COUNT 22 k number)
with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD ABSTRACT A t	COSATI GROUP	CODES CODES FION CODES SUB reverse Iled Ec	rs 11dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM -GROUP if necessary helon-Free	DVERED TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS (and identify by block in Induced Spatial Inco	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on revers number)	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc	Day) Lident	15 PAGE 2	COUNT 22 k number)
with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmbei 3 TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME FIELD ABSTRACT A t lable targ	COSATI GROUP (Continue on technique ca get beam pro	Laser and Go TION CODES SUB reverse illed Ec offiles w	rs 11dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM CGROUP If necessary helon-Free vith large K	18 SUBJECT TERMS (and identify by block i Induced Spatial Inco	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on revers number) oherence, is prop le idea is basically	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc / an image proje	Day) I ident	15 PAGE 2 by by block nooth, co techniqu	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that
with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD ABSTRACT A t lable targ projects	COSATI GROUP (Continue on technique ca get beam pro the desired	CODES reverse lled Ec pofiles w time-a	rs 11dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM -GROUP if necessary helon-Free veraged sp These K	TO TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS (and identify by block in Induced Spatial Inco Induced Spatial Inco Induced Spatial Inco	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on revers number) oherence, is prop le idea is basically into the target v	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc an image proju- ia the laser sys	Day) I ident cing si ection stem,	15 PAGE 2 fy by block nooth, co techniqu using par	COUNT 22 k number) entrol- e that tially-
vith K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD 3 ABSTRACT A t lable targ projects coherent	COSATI GROUP (Continue on technique ca get beam pro the desired	CODES reverse lled Ec pofiles w time-a light.	rs 11dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM CGROUP if necessary helon-Free vith large K veraged sp The inform	DVERED TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS (and identify by block in Induced Spatial Inco Induced Spatial Inco inf fusion lasers. Th atial profile $F(\bar{x})$ on nation needed to rep	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on revers number) oherence, is prop le idea is basically into the target v produce $F(\bar{x})$ is i	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc an image proju- ia the laser sys- ransported thro	Day) (ident cing si ection stem, bugh t	15 PAGE 2 by block	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- h by a
with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmbei 1a TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD ABSTRACT A t lable targ projects coherent multitud	COSATI GROUP (Continue on technique ca get beam pro the desired broadband le of indeper	CODES reverse lled Ec ofiles w time-a light.	rs 21dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM GROUP if necessary helon-Free vith large K veraged sp The inform oherence z	DVERED TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS (and identify by block in Induced Spatial Inco rF fusion lasers. Th atial profile $F(\bar{x})$ on nation needed to rep ones, whose diameter	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on revers number) oherence, is prop le idea is basically into the target v oroduce $F(\bar{x})$ is the ers are small in c	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc an image proju- ia the laser sys- ransported thro omparison to si	Day) (ident cing si ection stem, ough t cale lo	15 PAGE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- n by a linear
with K: PERSONAL Lehmber In TYPE OF Memorar FIELD FIELD ABSTRACT A tr lable targ projects coherent multitud aberratio	COSATI GROUP (Continue on technique ca get beam pro the desired thoradband de of indeper on and gain r	CODES TION CODES SUB reverse Iled Ec ofiles w time-a light. ndent c nonunit	rs 21dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM -GROUP if necessary helon+Free vith large K veraged sp The inform oherence z formities; a	DVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on revers number) oberence, is prop the idea is basically into the target v produce $F(\bar{x})$ is the ers are small in co- nains relatively in (ISI) is basically	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc / an image proju- ia the laser sys- ransported thro omparison to s- sensitive to the	Day) f ident cing si ection stem, bugh t cale le se eff	15 PAGE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- h by a linear s con- that
FIELD ABSTRACT A the lable targ projects coherent multitud aberratio cept is c	COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP Continue on technique ca get beam pro the desired thoradband be of indeper on and gain r	CODES TION CODES SUB reverse lled Ec ofiles w time-a light. ndent c nonunif d to th	rs 113b TIME CO FROM FROM GROUP If necessary helon+Free veraged sp The inform oherence z formities; a e Induced	DVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on reverse number) oberence, is prop the idea is basically into the target v produce $F(\bar{x})$ is the ers are small in contains relatively in (ISI) technique of the second or of the target of the second the target of the target of the second the target of the second the target of the target	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc / an image proju- ia the laser sys- ransported thro omparison to s- sensitive to the used with glass	Day) t ident cing si ection stem, ough t cale lo se eff lasers	15 PAGE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- h by a linear s con- that it
with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmbei 3 TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME 5 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD 4 Att lable targ projects coherent multitud aberratio cept is c does not of E(c)	COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP Continue on technique ca get beam pro the desired the desired the desired the of indeper on and gain r closely related the desired	CODES TION CODES SUB reverse lled Ec ofiles w time-a light. ndent c nonunif d to th elons a	rs 21dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM -GROUP if necessary helon-Free vith large K veraged sp The inform oherence z formities; a e Induced t the output	DVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on reverse number) obterence, is prop the idea is basically onto the target v oroduce $F(\bar{x})$ is the ers are small in co- nains relatively in (ISI) technique of n analysis is carri-	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc an image proju- ia the laser sys- ransported thro omparison to s- sensitive to the used with glass ed out to evalu-	Day) f ident cing si ection stem, ough t cale lo se eff lasers ate th	15 PAGE 2 by by block mooth, co techniqu using par he system engths of ects. This , except e perturb	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- n by a linear s con- that it ations
with K: PERSONAL Lehmbei Memorar FIELD FIELD ABSTRACT A tr lable targ projects coherent multitud aberratio cept is c does not of $F(x)$	COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP Continue on technique ca get beam pro the desired thoreadband be of indeper on and gain r closely related the collinea	CODES TION CODES SUB reverse lled Ec ofiles w time-a light. ndent c nonunif d to th elons a ar aberr	rs 21dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM -GROUP if necessary helon-Free vith large K veraged sp The inform oherence z formities; a e Induced it the output ation, self-	DVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on reverse number) oberence, is prop the idea is basically into the target v produce $F(\bar{x})$ is the ers are small in con- nains relatively in (ISI) technique of n analysis is carri- ation, and diffraction	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produce a image proje- ia the laser sys- ransported thro- omparison to s- sensitive to the used with glass ed out to evalu- tion - It shows to	Day) t ident cing si ection stem, ough t cale lo se eff lasers ate th that u	15 PAGE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- n by a linear s con- that it ations litions
with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD 7 FIELD 7 ABXTRACT A tr lable targ projects coherent multitud aberratio cept is c does not of $F(\vec{x})$ applicable	COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP Continue on technique ca get beam pro the desired thoreadband the of indeper on and gain r closely related the colline a lie to large who	CODES TION CODES SUB reverse lled Ec offiles w time-a light. ndent c nonunif d to th elons a ar aberr rF laser	rs 21dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM -GROUP if necessary helon-Free vith large K veraged sp The inform oherence z formities; a e Induced it the output ation, self- rs, the pert	DVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on reverse number) oberence, is prop the idea is basically into the target v produce $F(\bar{x})$ is the ers are small in con- nains relatively in (ISI) technique of n analysis is carri- ation, and diffrace in a small broade pagement. The	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produce a image proje- ia the laser sys- ransported thro- omparison to s- sensitive to the used with glass ed out to evalu- tion li shows t- ning and smoot-	Day) t ident cing si ection stem, ough t cale lo se eff lasers ate th that u	15 PAGE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- n by a linear s con- that it ations whose postele
with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD 9 ABSTRACT A tr lable targ projects coherent multitud aberratio cept is c does not of $F(\vec{x})$ applicabl functions	COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP Continue on technique ca get beam pro- the desired thoreadband le of indeper on and gain r closely related the collinea le to large Ki al form sho	CODES TION CODES SUB reverse lled Ec offiles w time-a light. ndent c nonunif d to th elons a ar aberr rF laser sub dent	rs 21dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM -GROUP if necessary helon-Free veraged sp The inform oherence z formities; a e Induced it the output ation, self- rs, the pert controllab	DVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on reverse number) oberence, is prop the idea is basically into the target v produce $F(\bar{x})$ is the ers are small in con- nains relatively in (ISI) technique of n analysis is carri- ation, and diffract in a small broade percent The all	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc a image proje- ia the laser sys- ransported thro- omparison to s- sensitive to the used with glass ed out to evalu tion - It shows t- ning and smoot pility of this te- orgamitizer	Day) f ident cong si ection stem, ough t cale lo se eff lasers ate th that u thing o cong cale	15 PAGE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- n by a linear s con- that it ations litions whose nerate
with K: with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 6 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD 9 ABSTRACT A tr lable targ projects coherent multitud aberratio cept is c does not of $F(\vec{x})$ applicabl functional	COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI CONTINUE ON technique ca get beam pro- the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired t	CODES TION CODES SUB reverse Iled Ec offiles w time-a light. ndent c nonunif d to th elons a tr aberr rF laset ould be s is den	rs bldhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FR	DVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on reverse number) obterence, is prop te idea is basically onto the target v produce $F(\bar{x})$ is to ters are small in co- nains relatively in (ISI) technique of n analysis is carri- ation, and diffrac- in a small broade percent. The al-	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produce a image proje- ia the laser sys- ransported thro- omparison to si- sensitive to the used with glass ed out to evalu- tion. It shows to ning and smoot- pility of this te- preamplifier sy-	Day) f ident cing si ection stem, ough t cale la se eff lasers ate th that u thing cchnig stem.	15 PAGE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	COUNT 12 k number) entrol- e that tially- n by a linear s con- that it ations litions whose nerate
with K: with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 6 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD 9 ABSTRACT 1 able targ projects coherent multitud aberratio cept is c does not of $F(\vec{x})$ applicabl functiona smooth f	COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI	CODES TION CODES SUB reverse Illed Ec offiles w time-a light. monunif d to th elons a ir aberr rF laser uld be s is den	rs 21dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM -GROUP -GROUP if necessary helon-Free vith large K veraged sp The inform oherence z formities; a e Induced it the output ation, self- rs, the pert constrated to ABSTRACC	DVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on reverse number) oherence, is prop- ie idea is basically onto the target v boroduce $F(\vec{x})$ is to reas are small in co- hains relatively in (ISI) technique (in a nallysis is carri- ation, and diffract in a small broade percent The al- scharge oscillator	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc / an image projet is the laser system ransported thro omparison to se sensitive to the used with glass ed out to evalu- tion 1 i shows to ning and smoot bility of this te preamplifier sy	Day) t ident cing st ection stem, ough t cale lo se eff lasers ate th that u thing stem.	15 PAGE 2 2 15 page 2 15 page 2 2 15 page 2 15 p	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- n by a linear s con- that it ations litions whose nerate
with K: with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 6 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD 9 ABSTRACT 9 ABSTRACT 1able targ projects coherent multitud aberratio cept is c does not of $F(\vec{x})$ applicabl functiona smooth f	COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP CONTINUE ON technique ca get beam pro- technique ca get beam pro- the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired the desired t	CODES TION CODES SUB reverse Illed Ec offiles w time-a light. monunif d to th elons a ir aberr rF laser sis den autry OF TED	rs 21dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM -GROUP -GROUP -GROUP -GROUP 	DVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on reverse number) oherence, is prop- ie idea is basically onto the target v produce $F(\vec{x})$ is to reas are small in co- hains relatively in (ISI) technique (in a nallysis is carri- ation, and diffrac- in a small broade percent The al- scharge oscillator	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc / an image projet is the laser system ransported thro omparison to system sensitive to the used with glass ed out to evalu- tion 11 shows to ning and smoot bility of this te preamplifier sy	Day) I ident cing st ection stem, ough t cale lo se eff lasers ate th that u thing stem.	15 PAGE 2 2 15 page 2 15 page	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- n by a linear s con- that it ations litions whose nerate
with K: with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 6 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD 9 ABSTRACT 1 able targ projects coherent multitud aberratio cept is c does not of $F(\vec{x})$ applicabl functions smooth f	COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COS	CODES TION CODES TION CODES SUB reverse Illed Ec offiles w time-a light. monunif d to th elons a ar aberr rF laser ould be s is den at the files of the files the files	rs 21dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM -GROUP if necessary helon-Free vith large K veraged sp The inform oherence z formities; a e Induced it the output ation, self- rs, the pert controllab ionstrated in ABSTRAC1 SAME AS R DUAL	DVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on reverse number) oherence, is prop- ie idea is basically onto the target v produce $F(\bar{x})$ is to ers are small in co- hains relatively in (ISI) technique (in a nallysis is carri- ation, and diffract in a small broade percent The al- scharge oscillator 21. ABSTRACT SEC- UNCLASSIF	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc / an image projet ia the laser system ransported thro omparison to sist sensitive to the used with glass ed out to evalut ion 1 it shows to ning and smoot bility of this te preamplifier sy (URITY CLASSIFICA IFD) include Area Corte	Day) <i>i ident</i> cing st ection stem, ough t cale le se eff lasers ate the that u thing of chaing stem. ATION	15 PAGE 2 2 15 PAGE 2 15	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- n by a linear s con- that it ations whose nerate MBOL
with K: with K: 2 PERSONAL Lehmber 3a TYPE OF Memorar 5 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD 7 FIELD 9 ABSTRACT A 1 lable targ projects coherent multitud aberratio cept is c does not of $F(\vec{x})$ applicabl functions smooth f C_{K} NAME O Robert	COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI COSATI GROUP COSATI	CODES TION CODES TION CODES SUB TEVERSE Iled Eco offiles w time-a light. Ment c nonunif d to th elons a ar aberr rF laser s is den at aberr rED E	rs 21dhar, J 13b TIME CO FROM FROM GROUP if necessary helon-Free vith large K veraged sp The inform oherence z formities; a e Induced it the output ation, self- rs, the pert controllab itonstrated to ABSTRAC1 SAME AS R DUAL	DVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Augus (Continue on reverse number) oherence, is prop- ie idea is basically onto the target v oroduce $F(\bar{x})$ is to ers are small in co- hains relatively in (ISI) technique of n analysis is carri- ation, and diffract in a small broade percent The al- scharge oscillator 21 ABSTRACT SEC- UNCLASSIF 22b TELEPHONE ((202) - 26	RT (Year, Month, t 14 e if necessary and osed for produc a image proje- ia the laser sys- ransported thro- omparison to s- sensitive to the used with glass ed out to evalu tion - It shows t ning and smoot bility of this te preamplifier sy- fulled Area Code = 27.3()	Day) f ident cing si ection stem, bugh t cale lo se eff lasers ate th that u thing o chniq stem.) 22c	15 PAGE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	COUNT 22 k number) ontrol- e that tially- n by a linear s con- that it ations litions whose nerate MBOL 270

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
ABERRATION EFFECTS	5
Eximer Laser Model Numerical Estimates	7 11
EXPERIMENT	13
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	16
REFERENCES	17



*

USE OF INCOHERENCE TO PRODUCE SMOOTH AND CONTROLLABLE IRRADIATION PROFILES WITH KrF FUSION LASERS

INTRODUCTION

Direct-drive laser fusion requires high intensity laser light that uniformly illuminates a spherical target surface. Theoretical studies have shown [1-3] that acceptable spherical uniformity can be achieved with direct illumination by overlapping a limited number (≥ 20) of focused beams, provided that each individual beam profile is smooth and reproducible. Earlier efforts to obtain such profiles, however, had been frustrated by the inherent imperfections in high power multistage lasers. The cumulative effect of small amplitude and phase aberrations introduced by each optical element of a multistage laser is to produce large random aberrations in the output beam. In the usual configuration, where the target is placed in the quasi near-field of the focusing lens, these aberrations tend to produce large random intensity nonuniformities at the target surface.

One possible solution to this problem is a technique called Induced Spatial Incoherence (ISI) [4.5]. In this scheme, spatially incoherent light is created by propagating a laser beam of broad spectral bandwidth $\Delta \nu$ through a pair of echelon structures that impose a different time delay at each step. If the delay increments Δt are chosen somewhat larger than the optical coherence time $t_c = 1/\Delta \nu$, the beam is sliced into an array of mutually-incoherent square beamlets. Each of these will independently focus to the same diffraction profile [the $\sin c^2(x) \sin c^2(y)$ function] of width $f\lambda/d$, provided that its initial width d is small in comparison to the transverse scalelength s_a of the incident beam aberration. (Here, f is the focal length of the lens, and λ is the mean optical wavelength.) The transient interference pattern produced by superposition of these beamlets will evolve randomly in times of order t_c . The target will therefore ignore this rapidly shifting structure, and respond only to the time-averaged intensity $\langle I(\bar{x}) \rangle \propto \sin c^2(x) \sin c^2(y)$ if its hydrodynamic response time t_h satisfies $t_h \rangle \langle t_c$. For example, an optical bandwidth $\Delta \nu = 30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (easily achieved in Nd:glass or KrF lasers) provides $t_c \simeq 1$ psec, whereas t_h is typically ≈ 1 nsec in large high-gain pellet designs.

In its present application, the ISI technique requires the echelons to be placed at the output of the laser. This restriction stems from self-focusing effects in glass lasers, and from the necessity of maintaining spatial coherence in any harmonic conversion crystals. The near-field nonuniformities associated with an array of beamlets would seed self-focusing if one attempted to amplify those beamlets in a Manuscript approved May 22, 1980. multistage glass laser, [6] where the nonlinear phase shifts are typically B > 5 radians. By placing the echelons at the output, one can control self-focusing, and thus maintain an acceptable degree of transverse beam uniformity over distance -d. This configuration would require a large number of optically coated echelon steps operating at high optical fluence levels if it were used in a fusion reactor with large apertures and multiple beam lines. For example, a recent conceptual design study for the Sirius-M reactor [7] concluded that in order to use ISI at $\lambda = 1/4 \mu m$, one would require 240 steps in each transverse direction for each of 32 drive beams. Another issue raised by this ISI configuration is that of efficiency. Approximately 15% of the energy at the focal plane will diffract into sidelobes, and most of that energy would have to be discarded in order to achieve good illumination uniformity.

Although self-focusing remains a serious problem in glass lasers, it is far less important in KrF systems [8-13], where intensities are typically < 10 MW/cm², and the amplifying medium is gaseous. A reduction of the nonlinear phase shift to < 1/2 radian could eliminate the necessity of placing the echelons at the laser output, thereby opening the way for several possible improvements. For example, instead of the reactor configuration discussed above, one could produce the beamlets by a single pair of echelons at a low power stage, spatially-filter them to eliminate the sidelobes, then optically-relay them through each of the main amplifier chains. As long as these beamlets remain small in comparison to the transverse scalelength of the aberrations (e.g., due to passive optics, turbulence, and nonuniformities in the amplifier excitation), they can focus to the sinc² profile without any sidelobes at the target. One issue raised by this scheme is the fact that the spatially-filtered beamlets would have Gaussian-like intensity profiles that remain stationary within the amplifier apertures; hence, there is a possibility that they (and therefore the target beam profile) could be affected by gain saturation.

This paper describes an alternative ISI scheme shown in Fig. 1, in which the echelons are completely eliminated. The concept, which will be referred to as echelon-free ISI, is basically an image projection technique that projects the desired spatial profile onto the target via the laser system, using partially-coherent light. The information required to reproduce this profile is transported through the laser by a multitude of small coherence zones, rather than any large whole-beam structure. Thus, the coherence zones play essentially the same role as the beamlets do in conventional ISI, except that their near-field intensity profiles are not "frozen in" by any echelon steps. A large, spatially-incoherent beam of amplitude $E_0(\bar{x},t)$ is generated by broadband amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) in a mirrorless or spatially multimode laser oscillator. Its central portion illuminates a transparency or variable-density absorber VDA, whose spatial transmission function $F(\bar{x})$ (of effective aperture D_0) is the smoothlyvarying irradiation profile required by the target. [2,3] By choosing the oscillator aperture sufficiently large, and placing it close to VDA, one can satisfy the following conditions: (i) The light within D_0 must remain incoherent, with a broad, smooth angular power spectrum $\tilde{\gamma}_0(\bar{\theta})$ of width $\Delta \theta > \lambda/D_0$.

DESIRED IRRADIATION PROFILE F(r) IS IMAGED ONTO THE TARGET THROUGH THE LASER CHAIN, **USING PARTIALLY- COHERENT LIGHT**



lig. 1 -- Schematic diagram of a spatially-incoherent broadband laser system for smooth, controllable illumination of fusion targets. The solid line profiles show typical instantaneous intensities $|E|^2$, while the dashed lines show the time-averaged intensities $\langle |E|^{2}$.

(ii) To a good approximation, it must be statistically homogeneous; i.e., both $\bar{\gamma}_0(\bar{\theta})$ and the timeaveraged incident intensity $\langle I_0 \rangle = \langle | E_0(\bar{x}) |^2 \rangle$ must remain nearly uniform across D_0 . Any deviation from condition (ii) is likely to arise from the largest angle modes of $\bar{\gamma}_0(\bar{\theta})$, which may experience some gain nonuniformity within the ASE oscillator. These modes can be readily eliminated, however. by slightly overfilling the aperture D_1 at the laser-chain entrance pupil EP, as shown in Fig. 1. If conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied, then the beam $E_0(\bar{x},t)$ transmitted through VDA can be described by the spatial autocorrelation function

$$\Gamma_{0}'(\vec{X},\vec{\xi}) \equiv \langle E_{0}'(\vec{x}) E_{0}'^{*}(\vec{x}') \rangle \simeq \langle I_{0} \rangle F(\vec{X}) \gamma_{0}(\vec{\xi}).$$
⁽¹⁾

Here, $\overline{X} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\overline{x} + \overline{x}')$ and $\overline{\xi} \equiv \overline{x} - \overline{x}'$ define, respectively, the mean position and separation of the transverse coordinates, while $\gamma_0(\overline{\xi})$ [which is the Fourier transform of $\tilde{\gamma}_0(\overline{\theta})$] is nonvanishing only within a coherence zone $d_0 \ge \lambda/\Delta\theta < < D_0$ (E.g., see Fig. 1). The correction term to the approximation in (1) is of order d_0^2/D_0^2 , which is negligible for the ratios $d_0 < D_0/40$ envisioned for actual KrF systems.

Because VDA and EP are located at opposite focal planes of lens L_1 , the amplitude $E_1(\bar{x}, t)$ at EP will be the Fourier transform of $E_0(\bar{x}, t)$. The autocorrelation function $\langle E_1(\bar{x}) E_1^*(\bar{x}') \rangle$ at EP then becomes

$$\Gamma_1(\vec{X}, \vec{\xi}) = \langle I_1(\vec{X}) \rangle \tilde{F}(\vec{\xi}), \qquad (2)$$

where $\langle I_1(\vec{X}) \rangle = \langle |E_1(\vec{X})|^2 \rangle \propto \tilde{\gamma}_0(\vec{X}/f_1)$ is the average intensity, which may vary slowly across D_1 , and

$$\tilde{F}(\bar{\xi}) = \int F(\bar{X}') \exp\left(-i \frac{k}{f_1} \, \bar{X}' \cdot \bar{\xi}\right) \, d^2 X', \qquad \tilde{F}(0) = 1, \qquad (3a)$$

$$\rightarrow 0$$
 for $|\overline{\xi}| > d_1 \simeq \lambda f_1/D_0 << D_1$ (3b)

is the Fourier transform of the absorber function, whose coherence width is $d_1 \simeq \lambda f_1/D_0 \ll D_1$. For example, a Gaussian profile

$$F(\vec{X}) = (1/\pi R_0^2) \exp\left(-|\vec{X}|^2/R_0^2\right)$$
(4)

of 1/e diameter $D_0 = 2R_0$ would transform to $\overline{F}(\overline{\xi}) = \exp(-|\overline{\xi}|^2/r_1^2)$, with a coherence zone of diameter $d_1 = 2r_1 = (4/\pi) \lambda f_1/D_0$. Expressions (3a, b) show clearly that the intensity and phase information needed to reproduce $F(\overline{X})$ is now contained in the small coherence zones of width d_1 , rather than any large-scale beam structure of width $\sim D_1$ (Fig. 1). Beyond EP, the light is relayed through the aberrated multistage amplifier chain to produce an output amplitude $E_2(\bar{x}, t)$ at the focusing lens L_2 . (The effects of aberration are illustrated in Fig. 1 by the non-symmetric appearance of the average intensity $\langle |E_2(\bar{x})|^2 \rangle$.) The average intensity $\langle |E_T(\bar{x})|^2 \rangle$ at the target is then given by the general expression

$$\langle I_{T}(\overline{x}) \rangle = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi f_{2}}\right)^{2} \int d^{2}X' \int d^{2}\xi' \Gamma_{2}(\overline{X}',\overline{\xi}') \exp\left(-i\frac{k}{f_{2}}\overline{x}\cdot\overline{\xi}'\right), \qquad (5)$$

where $\Gamma_2(\overline{X}',\overline{\xi}')$ is the autocorrelation function at L_2 . In the ideal case, where self-focusing is negligible, the coherence zones at L_2 will convey essentially the same information as those at EP, provided that they remained small in comparison to the local apertures and aberration scalelengths s_a , as they propagated through the laser system. The only change will be the magnification factor $M = D_2/D_1 = d_2/d_1$ due to the expanding apertures. Thus, the output beam will focus to the desired average intensity profile of width $D_T = \lambda f_2/d_2 = D_0 f_2/f_1 M$:

$$\langle I_T(\vec{x}) \rangle = (Mf_1/f_2)^2 \langle P \rangle F(-Mf_1\vec{x}/f_2),$$
 (6)

where $\langle P \rangle$ is the average output power. The transient interference structure (of typical size $d_T = \lambda f_2/D_2 \ge d_0 f_2/f_1 M$) will average out over many coherence times, just as it did in the original ISI technique. In the remainder of this paper, we will examine the perturbing effects of linear and non-linear aberration, and present preliminary experimental results demonstrating that the technique can produce smooth target beam profiles even under non-ideal conditions.

Because this scheme uses image projection, it bears some resemblance to techniques that use excimer lasers for projection photolithography [14]. The essential difference, however, is that the profile $F(\bar{x})$ lacks the fine structure normally demanded in high resolution lithography; consequently, it does not require large coherence zones that would be susceptible to aberration in the laser system. By contrast, projection photolithography requires considerable care to minimize aberration in the lenses and image projection path.

Echelon-free ISI is compatible with angular multiplexing techniques proposed for excimer fusion drivers [9-13]. For example, a 5 mm coherence zone (appropriate for a 30 cm aperture) would have a 50 μ rad diffraction angle at $\lambda = 0.25 \,\mu$ m, whereas the angular separation of the multiplexed beams would typically be >1 mrad. The beam at EP need not be exactly imaged onto lens L_2 ; in fact a significant amount of free propagation would be tolerable within the amplifier system. The only requirement is that any spreading of the coherence zones due to diffraction must also remain small in comparison to the apertures and aberration scalelengths. Thus, the technique would not require image relaying at the large-aperture final amplifier, or even in the temporal decoding paths [9-13] that follow it. For example, the diffraction length $z_d \simeq d^2/\lambda$ of 1.5 mm coherence zone would be ~ 100 meters (300 ns). Image relaying would be required in most of the amplifier chain, including the temporal encoding paths near the input. For the small apertures near the input, however, a separate telescope in each encoding path would be a minor price to pay for controllable high quality beams on target.

ABERRATION EFFECTS

Using a simplified model of multiplexed excimer lasers, we have carried out a detailed perturbation analysis to evaluate the effects of linear aberration, self-focusing, amplifier saturation, and diffraction on the focal profile with echelon-free ISI. This section will outline the assumptions and results of that analysis; a more detailed derivation will be given in a later publication. A perturbation treatment should be appropriate for this problem because the effects due to aberration must remain small if the technique is to be at all useful. In treating the individual aberration mechanisms, the key simplifying assumption is the thin window model, which ignores the effects of diffraction in the locality at which the aberration is created. This can be justified by the fact that most aberration occurs in optical elements short in comparison to the diffraction lengths $z_d = d^2/\lambda$ associated with the coherence zones. (e.g., recall the z_d estimate given above.)

Linear aberration can arise from turbulence and nonuniform excitation, or from passive optical elements such as lenses, mirrors, and apertures. In the thin window approximation, it is described by a nonuniform intensity transmission $T(\bar{x})$ and phase shift $\phi(\bar{x})$, which relate the incident and aberrated amplitudes by the expression $E'(\bar{x},t) = E(\bar{x},t)[T(\bar{x})]^{1/2} \exp[i\phi(\bar{x})]$. The corresponding correlation functions therefore satisfy

$$\Gamma'(\overline{X},\overline{\xi}) = \Gamma(\overline{X},\overline{\xi}) [T(\overline{x}) T(\overline{x}')]^{1/2} \exp [i\phi(\overline{x}) - i\phi(\overline{x}')],$$

where $\overline{x} = \overline{X} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\xi}$ and $\overline{x}' = \overline{X} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\xi}$. Because $|\overline{\xi}|$ is limited to coherence zones d small in comparison to the aberration scalelength s_a , one can approximate this expression by a second order expansion in the small quantity $|\overline{\xi}|/s_a - d/s_a$; i.e.,

$$\Gamma'(\vec{X},\vec{\xi}) \simeq \Gamma(\vec{X},\vec{\xi}) \ \Gamma(\vec{X}) \ \{1 + i\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla\phi(\vec{X}) - \frac{1}{2} \ \vec{\xi} \cdot [(\nabla\phi) \ (\nabla\phi) - \frac{1}{4} \ \nabla\nabla(\ln T)] \cdot \vec{\xi}\},$$
(7)

where $\nabla \equiv \partial/\partial \overline{X}$ operates in the transverse plane.

Self-focusing is a potentially serious limitation because it can affect the beam on scalelengths comparable to the coherence zones. The main problem arises from those contributions, such as the thirdorder electronic susceptibility, [6] whose nonlinear refractive index n_2 can respond "instantaneously" to the stochastic intensity fluctuations (i.e., on a time scale $\langle t_c \rangle$). In the thin window model, this contribution will generate an instantaneous nonlinear phase shift $\eta |E(\vec{x},t)|^2$, where $\eta = (8\pi/n_0c)\frac{1}{2}n_2kL$, n_0 is the linear refractive index, and L is the thickness of the medium. The transmission through this medium is then described by the relation

$$\Gamma'(\vec{X},\vec{\xi}) = \langle E(\vec{x},t)E^*(\vec{x}',t) \exp[i\eta|E(\vec{x},t)|^2 - i\eta|E(\vec{x}',t)|^2] \rangle,$$

where $(\overline{X},\overline{\xi})$ are related to $(\overline{x},\overline{x}')$ in the usual way. Because the incident light is chaotic, the amplitudes $E(\overline{x},t)$ and $E(\overline{x}',t)$ should satisfy Gaussian statistics; it is therefore permissible to expand the exponential and apply Gaussian factorizations to the resulting amplitude products. Carrying this out to second order, and recalling that $\overline{\xi}$ is a small parameter, one obtains the approximate result

$$\Gamma'(\vec{X},\vec{\xi}) \simeq \Gamma(\vec{X},\vec{\xi}) \left\{ 1 + 2i\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla < B(\vec{X}) > -2[1 - |\gamma(\vec{X},\vec{\xi})|^2] < B(\vec{X}) > 2 \right\}, \tag{8}$$

where $\gamma(\vec{X}, \vec{\xi}) \equiv \Gamma(\vec{X}, \vec{\xi}) / \langle l(\vec{X}) \rangle$, and

$$\langle B(\vec{X}) \rangle \equiv \eta \langle |E(\vec{X})|^2 \rangle = \eta \langle I(\vec{X}) \rangle$$
⁽⁹⁾

is the average nonlinear phase shift, which must be kept as small as possible $(\langle B \rangle^2 \langle \langle 1 \rangle)$. For fused quartz $(n_0 \approx 1.5, n_2 \approx 10^{-13} \text{ esu [6]})$, Eq. (9) gives $\langle B \rangle \approx 7 \times 10^{-5} \langle I(MW/\text{cm}^2) \rangle$ $\cdot L(\text{cm})$ at $\lambda = 0.25 \mu \text{m}$.

The aberrating effects of gain saturation depend upon how rapidly the stochastic intensity fluctuations $I(\bar{x},t) - \langle I(\bar{x}) \rangle$ occur within the relaxation time τ of the excimer population. For fluctuation times $\sim t_c \langle \tau \rangle$ in a homogeneously broadened laser medium (e.g., KrF), [9] the large-signal gain $G(\bar{x})$ will respond only to the average intensity $\langle I(\bar{x}) \rangle$. The relationship between the incident and amplified correlation functions thus follows from the elementary expression $E(\bar{x},t) = [G(\bar{x})]^{1/2}$ $E(\bar{x},t)$. Because $G(\bar{x}) = G(\langle I(\bar{x}) \rangle)$ varies slowly within the coherence zones, it can be expanded in the same manner as the linear transmission $T(\bar{x})$ treated previously; i.e.,

$$\Gamma'(\vec{X},\vec{\xi}) \simeq \Gamma(\vec{X},\vec{\xi}) \ G(\vec{X}) \ [1 + \frac{1}{8} \ \vec{\xi} \cdot (\nabla \ \nabla \ \ln \ G) \cdot \vec{\xi}]. \tag{10}$$

Using a perturbation treatment of the laser amplifier equations, one can show that the correction term due to intensity fluctuations with nonvanishing values of t_c/τ is approximately

$$\Delta \Gamma'(\overline{X},\overline{\xi}) \simeq - [g_1(\overline{X}) - g_2(\overline{X}) | \gamma(\overline{X},\overline{\xi}) |^2] \Gamma'(\overline{X},\overline{\xi}) t_c/\tau,$$

where $\gamma(\vec{X}, \vec{\xi})$ is the reduced correlation function defined previously, and $g_1(\vec{X})$, $g_2(\vec{X})$ are slowlyvarying functions satisfying $|g_1| - |g_2| - 1$. If this term were large, it could be an additional source of small-scale aberration due to $|\gamma(\vec{X}, \vec{\xi})|^2$; however, for typical values of $t_c - 1 ps$ ($\Delta \nu = 30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and $\tau - 2 ns$, it remains negligible.

Eximer Laser Model

The aberration terms can now be incorporated into a simple laser model to provide useful expressions for the target beam perturbation. Aberration due to the amplifier chain alone is modeled by a single thin window W_1 of nonuniform gain $G(\bar{x})$ and phase $\phi_1(\bar{x})$ imaged at or near the entrance pupil EP. Thus, the autocorrelation function $\Gamma'_1(\bar{X}, \bar{\xi})$ at the output of the laser chain can be related to the appropriately-scaled input function $\Gamma_1(\bar{X}/M, \bar{\xi}/M)/M^2$ [Eq. (2)] by expression (7), with the replacement $T(\bar{X}) \rightarrow G(\bar{X})$ and $\phi(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \phi_1(\bar{X})$. [In general, the nonuniformity of $G(\bar{X})$ may include the effect of apodization in addition to those of nonuniform excitation and losses within the amplifiers.] The single-window approximation is justified by the fact that most aberration is likely to occur in either the amplifier modules, which are normally imaged onto EP, [11-13] or in optical elements (e.g., telescope lenses) that lie in the quasi near-field of those modules. Self focusing effects are expected to remain negligible within the amplifier chain because of the low intensities required in excimer lasers. For example, at typical KrF output intensities <15 MW/cm², [9] expression (9) gives <10⁻³ L(cm) in fused quartz; at these intensity levels, even a total nonlinear pathlength of 50 cm would generate only <0.05 radian.

A second thin window W_2 , which would be located near lens L_2 , models linear and nonlinear aberration in the focusing optics and delay path (required for temporal decoding [9-13]) beyond the amplifier chain. Diffraction in this delay path is modelled by allowing a free propagation distance z_{12} between the windows; the correlation function $\Gamma_2(\vec{X}, \vec{\xi})$ for light incident at W_2 can then be related to $\Gamma'_1(\vec{X}, \vec{\xi})$ by paraxial diffraction theory [15]:

$$\Gamma_{2}(\overline{X},\overline{\xi}) = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi z_{12}}\right)^{2} \int d^{2}X' \int d^{2}\xi' \, \Gamma'_{1}(\overline{X}',\overline{\xi}') \, \exp\left[i \, \frac{k}{z_{12}} \, (\overline{X}' - \overline{X}) \cdot (\overline{\xi}' - \overline{\xi})\right] \tag{11}$$

In the spirit of the perturbation treatment, this integral is evaluated only up to second order in the small quantity d_{12}/s_a , where $d_{12} \equiv \lambda z_{12}/d_2$ represents the diffractive blurring of a coherence zone of initial width $d_2 = Md_1$. The focusing optics and delay paths are more likely to introduce phase aberration $\phi_2(\vec{x})$ (e.g., due to thermal gradients), rather than any significant transmission nonuniformity; hence, the transmission $T \leq 1$ will be treated as uniform in W_2 . Combining this consideration with the nonlinear perturbation terms derived previously, one obtains at the output of W_2 ,

$$\Gamma'_{2}(\overline{X},\overline{\xi}) = \Gamma_{2}(\overline{X},\overline{\xi}) T \{1 + i \,\overline{\xi} \cdot \nabla \phi_{2}(\overline{X}) - \frac{1}{2} \,\overline{\xi} \cdot (\nabla \phi_{2})(\nabla \phi_{2}) \cdot \overline{\xi} + 2i\overline{\xi} \cdot \nabla \langle B(\overline{X}) \rangle - 2 \,\{1 - |\gamma_{2}(\overline{X},\overline{\xi})|^{2}\} \langle B(\overline{X}) \rangle^{2}\},$$
(12)

where $\gamma_2(\vec{X}, \vec{\xi}) = \Gamma_2(\vec{X}, \vec{\xi}) / \langle I_2(\vec{X}) \rangle$, and $\langle B(\vec{X}) \rangle$ is given by Eq. (9) with $\langle I(\vec{X}) \rangle \rightarrow \langle I_2(\vec{X}) \rangle$. The average target beam intensity $\langle I_T(\vec{X}) \rangle$ can then be evaluated by substituting $\Gamma'_2(\vec{X}, \vec{\xi})$ into Eq. (5).

Combining the above considerations, and retaining terms only up to second order in the small quantities $d_y's_a$, d_{1y}/s_a and $\langle B \rangle$, one can finally evaluate the perturbed target beam profile $\langle I_T(\bar{x}) \rangle$ from Eq. (5). In terms of the average output power

$$= \int T G(\vec{X}') \frac{}{M^2} d^2 X'$$
 (13)

and the weighted transverse spatial average

$$\{Q\}_{AV} \equiv \frac{1}{\langle P \rangle} \int T G(\bar{X}') \frac{\langle I_1(\bar{X}'/M) \rangle}{M^2} Q(\bar{X}') d^2X', \tag{14}$$

which is defined for any well-behaved quantity $Q(\vec{X}')$, one obtains

$$< I_{T}(\bar{x}) > = < I_{T}^{(0)}(\bar{x}) > + < I_{T}^{(1)}(\bar{x}) > + < I_{T}^{(2)}(\bar{x}) > + < I_{T}^{(NL)}(\bar{x}) > ,$$
(15)

where

$$\langle I_T^{(0)}(\bar{x}) \rangle \equiv (Mf_1/f_2)^2 \langle P \rangle F (-Mf_1|\bar{x}/f_2),$$
 (16a)

$$\langle I_T^{(1)}(\vec{x}) \rangle \equiv -(f_y/k) \{ \nabla \phi \}_{AV} \cdot \nabla \langle I_T^{(0)}(\vec{x}) \rangle.$$
(16b)

$$\langle I_{T}^{(2)}(\overline{x}) \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2} (f_{2}/k)^{2} \{ (\nabla_{\mu} \phi) (\nabla_{\nu} \phi) - \frac{1}{4} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} f_{\nu} (TG) \}_{AV} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \langle I_{T}^{(0)}(\overline{x}) \rangle - (z_{12}/k) \{ \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \phi_{2} \}_{AV} \nabla_{\mu} [x_{\nu} \langle I_{T}^{(0)}(\overline{x}) \rangle],$$

$$(16c)$$

$$< I_T^{(NL)}(\overline{x}) > \equiv -2(f_2/k) \{ \nabla' < B > \}_{AV} \cdot \nabla < I_T^{(0)}(\overline{x}) > - 2\{ < B >^2 \}_{AV} \{ < I_T^{(0)}(\overline{x}) > -(Mf_1/f_2)^2 < P > H(\overline{x}) \},$$
 (16d)

$$H(\vec{x}) \equiv \left(\frac{k}{2\pi f_1}\right)^2 \int |\tilde{F}(\vec{\xi})|^2 \tilde{F}(\vec{\xi}) \exp\left(-i\frac{k}{f_2}M\vec{x}\cdot\vec{\xi}\right) d^2\xi, \qquad (16e)$$

and $\phi(\vec{X}') \equiv \phi_1(\vec{X}') + \phi_2(\vec{X}')$ is the total linear phase aberration. [In Eq. (16c), the repeated indices μ , ν imply a summation over the two transverse dimensions.] The zeroth order term (16a) is identical to Eq. (6). Expressions (16b) and (16c) are, respectively, the first and second order perturbations due to linear aberration, and (16d), which is entirely in second order, describes nonlinear effects. In (16d), the first term accounts for beam steering due to a combination of nonlinear refraction and nonuniform intensity, while the $\langle B \rangle^2$ term describes self focusing in the vicinity of L_2 , which results in profile broadening at the target.

The small expansion parameters may be seen more clearly in these expressions by noting that the operators $\nabla' \equiv \partial/\partial \vec{X}'$ and $\nabla \equiv \partial/\partial \vec{x}$ can introduce factors of order $1/s_a$ and $1/D_T$, respectively, where $D_T = D_0 f_2/f_1 M$ is the target beam diameter. Recalling the relationship $D_T = \lambda f_2/d_2$ between D_T and the coherence zone diameter d_2 , one can see, for example, that expression (16c) will contain the factors d_2^2/s_a^2 and $d_2 d_{12}/s_a^2$, while (16d) contains the factors $\langle B \rangle d_2/s_a$ and $\langle B \rangle^2$. Contributions of order d_{12}^2/s_a^2 and $\langle B \rangle d_{12}/s_a$ vanish under the conditions assumed here.

It is instructive to relate the linear aberration coefficients to the angular divergence and focal spot parameters that the laser would produce (in the absence of nonlinear aberration) with a plane wave source at EP. In the geometrical optics limit, this relationship can be seen immediately by noting that $\overline{\vartheta}(\overline{X}') \equiv (1/k) \nabla' \phi(\overline{X}')$ is the refraction angle that an incident axial ray would acquire as it propagates through the aberrated system. The centroid of the aberrated (but spatially coherent) focal distribution would then be given by the intensity-weighted average

$$\left[\overline{x}_{c}\right]_{AV} \equiv f_{2}\left[\overline{\theta}\right]_{AV} = (f_{2}/k)\left\{\nabla' \phi(\overline{X}')\right\}_{AV},\tag{17}$$

which is the coefficient in $\langle I_T^{(1)}(\bar{x}) \rangle$. If the laser could be precisely aligned onto the target, then $\{\bar{x}_c\}_{AV}$ would vanish identically; in practice, however, the random angular "jitter" $\delta \theta(\bar{X}')$ due to microturbulence $\delta \phi(\bar{x}')$ in the amplifiers and propagation paths will quickly lead to a small residual misalignment $\{\bar{x}_c\}_{AV} \rightarrow f_2\{\delta \bar{\theta}\}_{AV}$ (Gradual misalignment due to large-scale thermal gradients would presumably be corrected by an automatic alignment system.) For the second moment $\{\bar{x}_c, \bar{x}_c\}_{AV}$, geometrical optics would give $f_2^2\{\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}\}_{AV}$; however, a more complete treatment including diffraction yields the result

$$\{\overline{x}_c \ \overline{x}_c\}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{V}} = (f_2/k)^2 \{ (\nabla'\phi) \ (\nabla'\phi) - \frac{1}{4} \nabla' \nabla' \ln (TG) \}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{V}},$$
(18)

which accounts for the first two terms of $\langle I_T^{(2)}(\vec{x}) \rangle$. The final term in $\langle I_T^{(2)}(\vec{x}) \rangle$ (which is the only one that involves the free propagation path) has the coefficient $(z_{12}/k) \{\nabla' \nabla' \phi_2\}_{AV}$, and arises from the combined effects of diffraction and phase aberration beyond the laser chain. This expression represents a phase curvature, so most of it can be compensated by a small axial adjustment and/or tilting of the focusing lens, thus leaving only a residual contribution due to the angular jitter $\delta \theta_2$. Substituting these results into Eqs. (16b,c) one finally obtains

$$< I_T^{(1)}(\bar{x}) > = -\{\bar{x}_c\}_{AV} \cdot \nabla < I_T^{(0)}(\bar{x}) >,$$
 (19a)

 $< I_{T}^{(2)}(\overline{x}) > = \frac{1}{2} \{ x_{c\mu} | x_{c\nu} \}_{AV} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} < I_{T}^{(0)}(\overline{x}) >$ $- z_{12} \{ \nabla_{\mu} ' \theta_{2\nu} \}_{AV} \nabla_{\mu} \left[x_{\nu} < I_{T}^{(0)}(\overline{x}) > \right].$ (19b)

One can obtain expressions for the relative magnitudes of the various perturbation terms by choosing for $F(\vec{x})$ the Gaussian profile defined in Eq. (4). This yields the desired target beam

$$< I_T^{(0)}(\bar{x}) > - (/\pi R_T^2) \exp(-|\bar{x}|^2/R_T^2),$$
 (20)

where $R_T = D_T/2 = R_0 f_2/f_1 M$, and the relative perturbation terms:

$$< I_T^{(1)}(\vec{x}) > / < I_T^{(0)}(\vec{x}) > \rightarrow 2 (\{\vec{x}_c\}_{AV} / R_T) \cdot \vec{x} / R_T,$$
 (21a)

$$\langle I_{T}^{(2)}(\bar{x}) \rangle / \langle I_{T}^{(0)}(\bar{x}) \rangle \rightarrow - \left[\left\{ x_{c\mu} x_{c\nu} \right\}_{AV} / R_{T}^{2} + z_{12} \{ \nabla_{\mu} \theta_{2\nu} \}_{AV} \right] \left\{ \delta_{\nu\mu} - 2 x_{\mu} x_{\nu} / R_{T}^{2} \right\}, \quad (21b)$$

$$< I_T^{(NL)}(\vec{x}) > / < I_T^{(0)}(\vec{x}) > \rightarrow -2\{ < B >^2 \}_{AV} \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \exp(2 |\vec{x}|^2 / 3R_T^2) \right]$$

$$+ d_2 \{ \nabla' < B > \}_{AV} \cdot \vec{x} / R_T, \qquad (21c)$$

where $d_2 = 4f_2/kR_T$.

Sectored S

Numerical Estimates

If the alignment and focusing systems are optimized to eliminate the average (non-jitter) components of $\{\overline{x}_c\}_{AV}$ and $\{\nabla' \overline{\theta}_2\}_{AV}$, then the linear perturbations will normally be dominated by the phase aberration terms $f_z^2 \{\theta_{\mu}, \theta_{\nu}\}$ in $\{x_{c\mu}x_{c\nu}\}_{AV}$. The diagonal elements of this matrix, which predominate under random phase conditions, are positive and will tend to broaden $\langle I_T(\overline{x}) \rangle$. Their contribution to expression (21b), of magnitude

$$Q_0 \equiv \{ |\bar{x}_c|^2 \}_{AV} / R_f^2 \simeq f_2^2 \; \theta_{RMS}^2 \, / R_f^2 \tag{22}$$

(where $\theta_{RMS} \equiv \{|\overline{\theta}|^2\}_{AV}^{1/2}$) indicates that this perturbation will be <10% if R_T is chosen $\geq 3f_2\theta_{RMS}$. The magnitudes of the residual terms in expressions (21a,b) can be estimated by noting that the angular jitter $\delta\overline{\theta}(\overline{X}')$, of RMS value $\delta\theta_{RMS}$ and transverse scalelength s_J , will have $N_J \approx D_Z^2/s_f^2$ independent contributions within aperture D_2 . One then obtains

$$Q_1 \equiv |\{\overline{x}_c\}_{AV}|/R_T \rightarrow f_2 \,\delta\theta_{RMS}/R_T \,N_J^{1/2} \approx s_J \,f_2 \,\delta\theta_{RMS}/D_2 \,R_T$$

$$Q_2 \equiv z_{12} | \{ \nabla' \overline{\theta}_2 \}_{AV} | \rightarrow z_{12} \delta \theta_{RMS} / s_J N_J^{1/2} \approx z_{12} \delta \theta_{RMS} / D_2,$$

or in comparison to Q_0 ,

$$Q_1/Q_0 \approx (s_J/D_2) \ (R_T/f_2 \ \theta_{RMS}) \ (\delta \theta_{RMS}/\theta_{RMS})$$
(23a)

$$Q_2/Q_0 \approx (z_{12}/f_2) \ (R_T/D_2) \ (R_T/f_2 \ \theta_{RMS}) \ (\delta \theta_{RMS}/\theta_{RMS})$$
 (23b)

For typical cases, where $R_T/f_2\theta_{RMS} \sim 3-5$ (corresponding to $Q_0 \sim 0.1-0.04$), $R_T/D_2 \sim 0.01$, $s_I/D_2 < 0.05$, and $z_{12}/f < 5$, the ratios (23a,b) remain small if $\delta\theta_{RMS} < \theta_{RMS}$. The small magnitude of (23b) confirms the earlier statement that the free propagation path will have little effect as long as the coherence zones remain small in comparison to aberration scalelengths.

The nonlinear perturbations will normally be dominated by the $2\{\langle B \rangle^2\}_{AV}$ term of expression (21c). The largest contributions to $\langle B \rangle$ are likely to be generated by optical elements such as focusing lenses or windows located in the high intensity decoded beams beyond the final amplifier stage. For example, a 0.25 μ m beam of intensity 400 MW/cm² (e.g., 2 J/cm² in a 5 ns pulse) will, according to expression (9), produce $\langle B \rangle \simeq 0.15$ radian in 5 cm of fused quartz. Allowing for an additional contribution of 0.05 radian from the amplifiers, one finds $\langle B \rangle \simeq 0.20$ radian, and thus $2\{\langle B \rangle^{2}\}_{AV} \approx 8\%$. The ambient atmosphere within the long (50-100 m) decoding paths may also produce a significant contribution to $\langle B \rangle$, unless some care is taken to minimize its nonlinear refractive index. One possibility, which would also eliminate any rotational SRS, [16] would be to overfill these paths with one of the lighter inert gases. For example, a calculation of the third order nonlinear susceptibility [17] of Ne at 0.25 μ m (using tabulated oscillator strengths [18]) gives $n_2/N \approx 10^{-37}$ esu; hence, Eq. (9) yields $\langle B \rangle \approx 2.8 \times 10^{-7}$ p (Amagats) I (MW/cm²) L (m), where p is the pressure. Assuming 400 MW/cm² in a 100 meter path at atmospheric pressure, one obtains $\langle B \rangle \approx 0.01$ radian. The n_2/N of most other gases with lower-lying energy levels (including the heavier inert gases, such as Xe [17]) can exceed this value by at least an order of magnitude.

The nonlinear beam steering term $d_2\{\nabla < B >\}_{AV}$ can arise from either a large-scale asymmetry in the beam, or a number of small random intensity nonuniformities. In either case, its magnitude will be approximately $d_2\delta < B >_{RMS}/D_2$, where $\delta < B >_{RMS}$ is the RMS nonuniformity of < B >. In comparison to the $2\{< B >^2\}_{AV}$ term, one obtains

$$d_2 |\{\nabla < B >\}_{AV}|/2 \{< B > \}_{AV} \approx (d_2/2D_2) \delta < B >_{RMS} /\{< B > \}_{AV},$$
(24)

which remains small in a typical case, such as $\{\langle B \rangle\}_{AV} = 0.2$ and $d_2/D_2 < 0.03$.

The theory shows that the total intensity perturbation due to both linear and nonlinear aberrations can readily be reduced below 20%. Moreover, this perturbation is to a large extent controllable. The spatial averaging process embodied in coefficients such as $\{\overline{x}_c \ \overline{x}_c\}_{AV}$ and $\{\langle B \rangle^2\}_{AV}$ tends to reduce large uncontrollable shot-to-shot variations, while the \overline{x} -dependent functions in $\langle I_T^{(2)}(\overline{x}) \rangle$ and $\langle I_T^{(NL)}(\overline{x}) \rangle$, which are smooth and well-defined, tend only to broaden the profile somewhat. If the coefficients can be controlled to within 10%, then the net random perturbation would be reduced to $\langle 2\%$.

EXPERIMENT

A demonstration of the above technique for generation of smooth output beam profiles was performed with a small KrF laser system. Figure 2 shows the experimental setup. The oscillator and amplifier are U.V. pre-ionized discharge lasers similar to the ones described in reference (8), with gain volumes of $0.3 \times 2 \times 30$ cm³ and $1 \times 5 \times 100$ cm³, respectively. Nominal pulse duration of the gain is 20 ns. The oscillator was operated within a low-Q resonant cavity formed by the high reflectivity flat mirror M_1 and an uncoated fused silica flat M_2 serving as output mirror. Because no frequencyselective elements are present within the cavity, the oscillator bandwidth is expected to ~ 50 cm⁻¹ [8].



Fig. 2 — Experimental setup of the broadband KrF oscillator-preamplifier system. The degree of spatial incoherence at aperture A is controlled by the iris I_1 .

The oscillator could be operated to provide either a spatially-coherent or an incoherent beam by adjusting the aperture of iris I_1 . For coherent operation, I_1 was reduced to -1 mm, giving an observed output beam divergence close to diffraction-limited. With I_1 , fully opened, the oscillator operated in a spatially-incoherent, highly-multimode regime with a measured beam divergence $-2 \times 6 \text{ mrad}^2$.

Output from the oscillator illuminates a circular aperture A, which plays the role of VDA in Fig. 1. The optical elements L_1, L_2 and M_4 project the light at A into its far-field and expand the image. They are equivalent to the L_1 of Fig. 1. The beam is then amplified in two passes through the amplifier. The size and shape of the beam in the amplifier depend upon the operating mode of the oscillator. In the coherent regime, the beam at the amplifier input looks like an Airy pattern with diameter ~ 2 mm. In the incoherent regime, the beam has dimensions of 0.5×2 cm².

Lenses L_3 and L_4 project the Fourier-transform of the amplifier output beam to a diffuser D, which is equivalent to the target plane of Fig. 1. The image of the beam at the diffuser is photographed from behind by a simple open shutter camera. Spatial resolution of this setup is ~ 0.1 mm. This technique is a good way to attenuate the intense laser beam, and was extensively tested [19]. Polaroid type 55 film was used in these preliminary experiments.

Figure 3 shows the beam profiles obtained in the two regimes. The coherent beam (Fig. 3a) collected information from all the aberrations, partial obscurations, amplifier gain striations, and distortions of the optical system. The incoherent beam (Fig. 3b) shows dramatic improvement in its smooth output profile. In addition to achieving a more uniform illumination of the aperture, one can readily remove most of the distortions observed with the coherent beam from this small laser system by better alignment and higher quality (and undamaged) optical components. However, these problems are





•.0





much more difficult to correct on a large-scale laser system, and there the echelon-free ISI will offer a significant improvement.

The output power level was $\sim 1 \text{ MW/cm}^2$; thus, the amplifier was already operating in the saturating regime. When an earlier version of the experiment was performed with the image of aperture A projected directly into the amplifier, the saturation caused strong beam distortion in a bright ring near the edge of the beam. This shows that the amplification of the beam in the far field, as described in this paper, is an essential requirement for generation of a smooth spot on the target.

Without any aberrations in the optical train, one would expect Fig. 3 to show a perfectly relayed image of aperture A. The aberrations result in a distorted image in the case of a coherent beam. For the incoherent beam, however, the aberrations cause only a blurring of the sharp edges, in agreement with the theory.

SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Echelon-free ISI appears to be a promising technique for using excimer lasers to generate the smooth and controllable target beam profiles required for direct-drive fusion. In this scheme, the intensity and phase information needed to produce the time-averaged profile $\langle I_{\mathcal{I}}(\bar{x}) \rangle$ must be transported through the laser system by coherence zones small in comparison to the apertures and linear aberration scalelengths. (In other words, the angular power spectrum of the partially-coherent light must remain broad in comparison to the angular perturbations introduced by the aberration.) Under conditions applicable to KrF, this criterion should ensure that $\langle I_T(\vec{x}) \rangle$ remains relatively insensitive to both linear aberration and amplifier saturation within the entire laser system. Both theory and experiment have shown that the aberration will tend to broaden and smooth $\langle I_T(\vec{x}) \rangle$, but will not introduce any small-scale structure. The theory also shows that the broadening is to a large extent controllable because it depends only upon spatial averages of the aberrated quantities over the whole laser aperture. The estimates presented here have indicated that it should be possible to control the functional form of $< l(\bar{x}) >$ to within a few percent, even with a large angularly-multiplexed system. In excimer lasers, where self-focusing is weak (e.g., $\langle B \rangle \langle 1/2 \rangle$ radian), both this and the other modified ISI scheme (suggested in the fourth paragraph of the introduction) offer another important advantage over conventional ISI with the echelons at the output. They not only avoid the problems mentioned in the introduction, but also allow techniques that could change the size and shape of $\langle I_T(\vec{x}) \rangle$ during the pulse in order to match an imploding pellet.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge valuable discussions with Dr. S. P. Obenschain, Dr. S. E. Bodner and Dr. J. Reintjes. In addition, they would like to thank Mr. L. Myers, Mr. Krishnan and Ms. Feng for their help with construction of the laser.

REFERENCES

- 1. J.E. Howard, "Uniform Illumination of Spherical Laser Fusion Targets," Appl. Opt. 16, 2764 (1977).
- 2. S. Skupsky and K. Lee, "Uniformity of Energy Deposition for Laser Driven Fusion," J. Appl. Phys. 54, 3662 (1983).
- 3. A.J. Schmitt, "Absolutely Uniform Illumination of Laser Fusion Pellets," Appl. Phys. Lett. 44, 399 (1984).
- 4. R.H. Lehmberg and S.P. Obenschain, "Use of Induced Spatial Incoherence for Uniform Illumination of Laser Fusion Targets," Opt. Commun. 46, 27 (1983).
- S.P. Obenschain, J. Grun, M.J. Herbst, K. Kearney, C.K. Manka, E.A. McLean, A. Mostovych, J.A. Stamper, R.R. Whitlock, J. Gardner, and R.H. Lehmberg, "Laser-Target Interaction with Induced Spatial Incoherence," Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2807 (1986).
- 6. D.C. Brown, "High-Peak-Power Nd:Glass Laser Systems" (Springer-Verlag, 1981), Sec. 1.6
- 7. A.J. Schmitt, R.H. Lehmberg, J.A. Gardner, and S.E. Bodner, in "SIRIUS-M: A Symmetric Illumination, Inertially-Confined Direct-Drive Materials Test Facility," Fusion Technology Institute, University of Wisconsin UWFDM-651 (September 1985), Sec. IV.2.
- J. Goldhar, W.R. Rapoport and J.R. Murray, "An Injection-Locked Unstable Resonator Rare-Gas Halide Discharge Laser of Narrow Linewidth and High Spatial Quality," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QE-16, 235 (1980); J. Murray, J. Goldhar, and A. Szoke, Laser Program Annual Report-1977, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, UCRL-50021-77 (1978), Sec. 7.6.4.
- D. Eimerl, J. Goldhar, R.R. Jacobs, J.R. Murray, W.R. Rapoport, L.G. Schlitt, and J.C. Swingle," RAPIER Experimental Program," Laser Program Annual Report-1979 Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, UCRL-50021-79 (1980) p. 7-21 to 7-40; J. Goldhar and L.G. Schlitt, "RAPIER Experiments," Laser Program Annual Report-1981 Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, UCRL-50021-81 (1982) p. 7-82 to 7-94.
- F. O'Neill, "High Power KrF Laser Development," Annual Report to the Laser Facility Committee-1984 (Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, UK), RAL-84-049 (1984) Sec. C.

- 11. A.M. Hunter II, R.O. Hunter, Jr., and T.H. Johnson, "Scaling of KrF Lasers for Inertial Confinement Fusion," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QE-22, 386 (1986).
- L.A. Rosocha, P.S. Bowling, M.D. Burrows, M. Kang, J. Hanlon, J. McLeod, and G.W. York, Jr., "An overview of Aurora: A Multi-Kilojoule KrF Laser System for Inertial Confinement Fusion." Laser and Particle Beams 4, 55 (1986).
- R.H. Lehmberg, S.P. Obenschain, and A.J. Schmitt, "Baseline Design of a 5-7 kJ KrF Laser Facility for Direct Illumination ICF Experiments," Naval Research Laboratory Memorandum Report 5713 (December 31, 1985).
- 14. K. Jain and R.T. Kerth, "Excimer Laser Projection Lithography," Appl. Opt. 23, 684 (1984).
- 15. M. Born and E. Wolf, "Principles of Optics" (Pergamon Press, 1964), Chapt. 10.

222222

2222222

SUCCESSION OF

2032200

- V.S. Averbakh, A.I. Makarov, and V.I. Talanov, "Simulated Raman Scattering on Rotational and Vibrational Transitions in Nitrogen Gas," Sov. J. Quantum Electron. 8, 472 (1978).
- 17. J.F. Reintjes, "Nonlinear Optical Parametric Processes in Liquids and Gases" (Academic Press, 1984), Sec. 4.2.
- W.L. Wiese, M.W. Smith, and B.M. Glenon, "Atomic Transition Probabilities," National Standard Reference Data Series NSRDS-NBS 4 Vol. 1 (1966).
- 19. J. Goldhar and J.R. Murray, "An Efficient Double-Pass Raman Amplifier with Pump Intensity Averaging in a Light Guide," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QE-20, 772 (1984).

