

AD-A172 345

DESIGNER: A KNOWLEDGE-BASED GRAPHIC DESIGN ASSISTANT
(U) CALIFORNIA UNIV SAN DIEGO LA JOLLA INST FOR
COGNITIVE SCIENCE L WEITZMAN JUL 86 IC5-8609


1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

N00014-85-C-0133

F/B 9/2

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A172 345

12

DESIGNER: A KNOWLEDGE-BASED
GRAPHIC DESIGN ASSISTANT

Louis Weitzman

July 1986

ICS Report 8609

COGNITIVE
SCIENCE



UCSD

DTIC
ELECTE
SEP 22 1986
B

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release
Distribution Unlimited

DTIC FILE COPY

INSTITUTE FOR COGNITIVE SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093

86 2 22 070


DESIGNER: A KNOWLEDGE-BASED GRAPHIC DESIGN ASSISTANT

Louis Weitzman

July 1986

ICS Report 8609

*NPRDC-UCSD Intelligent Systems Group
Institute for Cognitive Science
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, California 92093*



This research was sponsored by the Personnel and Training Research Programs, Psychological Sciences Division, Office of Naval Research, under Contract No. N00014-85-C-0133, Contract Authority Identification Number, NR 667-541. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the sponsoring agencies. Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government. Requests for reprints should be sent to Louis Weitzman, Institute for Cognitive Science, C-015; University of California, San Diego; La Jolla, CA 92093.
Copyright © 1986 by Louis Weitzman.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) ICS 8609			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Institute for Cognitive Science University of California, San Diego		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Personnel & Training Research Programs, Office of Naval Research (Code 1142 PT)		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) C-015 La Jolla, CA 92093			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00014-85-C-0133		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61153N	PROJECT NO. RR04206	TASK NO. RR04206-0A
			WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. NR 667-541		
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Designer: A Knowledge-Based Graphic Design Assistant					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Louis Weitzman					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical		13b. TIME COVERED FROM TO June 86		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1986 July	
15. PAGE COUNT 19					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD 05	GROUP 08	SUB-GROUP	Graphic design, knowledge-based system, assumption-based truth maintenance systems.		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
<p>Designer is an interactive tool for assisting with the design of two-dimensional graphic interfaces for instructional systems. Graphic domain knowledge, stored in a frame-based representational facility, is coupled to a domain independent mechanism which analyzes and critiques the user's original design, and then synthesizes design alternatives. These alternative solutions are generated within a design context, or style, and are based upon graphic constraints. The underlying motivation is to improve the quality of the interfaces by making them more consistent and visually more effective.</p>					
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Michael G. Shafto			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 202-696-4596		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL ONR 1142 PT

Contents

OVERVIEW	2
DOMAIN-DEPENDENT KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION	4
Elements	5
Relationships	10
Constraints	10
Design Context	12
DESIGN PROCESSES	13
Analysis	13
Critique	13
Synthesis	14
CONCLUSION	15
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	17
BIBLIOGRAPHY	17



Accession No.	
DATE	
TIME	
FILE	
NO.	
BY	
REMARKS	
A-1	

Designer: A Knowledge-Based Graphic Design Assistant

LOUIS WEITZMAN

Applying technologies from artificial intelligence and cognitive science to the development of computer-based training and computer aided design systems can provide support in areas where developers and users lack expertise. In addition, intelligent tools can substantially enhance the process of design. *Designer* is a tool to aid users of *Steamer's Graphics Editor*. *Steamer* is a computer-based training system to aid instruction in the domain of propulsion engineering. (Hollan, Hutchins, & Weitzman, 1984). It is used to help students develop an understanding of the complex domain of steam propulsion. The system consists of a color graphics interface to a mathematical simulation. One can view and manipulate this simulation at a number of different hierarchical levels through the color interface. The current system contains over one hundred color views which range from abstract, high-level representations of the plant (Figure 1) to detailed views of gauge panels quite like the actual gauge panels in a ship (Figure 2). It was apparent that an editor for creating and maintaining this set of views was essential. The Graphics Editor allows nonprogrammers to graphically create interactive, dynamic views of the simulation. Figure 3 depicts the black-and-white interface of the Editor. This facility has allowed propulsion engineering instructors to create substantial portions of the student interface to this advanced training system. Even though the Editor was built for the construction of *Steamer* views, the tool is domain independent and has been used to build interfaces monitoring the real-time performance of a computer operating system.

Views are constructed out of graphic components called *icons* which represent elements in the steam domain. Icons perform two tasks. First, they graphically depict the state of the simulation. For example, pumps are red when stopped and green when operating, dials display their value by positioning an indicator, and pipes show their value by animating their fluid. Second, the user can affect the simulation via the icons. When the user positions a cursor over the icon and clicks the mouse, the state of the icon and its associated value in the simulation are modified. For example, a pump's state toggles from off to on and a dial's value is set by positioning the indicator. Figure 4 shows a sampling of the types of icons available to users of this Editor. In creating a view, the user selects the icons to be added to the view from a menu on the black-and-white screen. The user then positions and sizes the new icon on the color display. This icon has its parameters defaulted according to the type of icon chosen. Then, through a process of incremental refinement, the user modifies only those attributes that differ in this particular application.

It is unrealistic to assume that instructional designers are facile with graphic design. Facilities were built into the Graphics Editor to support the construction of *good* views. These facilities include various types of grid latching and the maintenance of aspect ratio for specific icons. However, these constraints were often overridden by the designer. Even working within these constraints, users of the Graphics Editor often violate important graphic design principles and have difficulty maintaining stylistic conventions across sets of views. *Designer* is a tool to enhance the Graphics Editor by supplementing the designer's domain knowledge with the necessary graphic expertise.

BASIC STEAM CYCLE




FIGURE 1. *Basic Steam Cycle*. The color interface can depict the simulation at many different levels of abstraction. This high-level, conceptual view illustrates the complete steam cycle.

OVERVIEW

Designer provides visual expertise to users of the Graphics Editor interactively constructing new Steamer views or modifying existing ones. It consists of three interrelated processes, an *Analyzer*, a *Critiquer*, and a *Synthesizer*, coupled to a domain-dependent knowledge base. This knowledge base consists of design elements, design relationships, techniques for their identification, sets of constraints for establishing a context, or style, for critiquing a design, and generative techniques for creating design alternatives. The Analyzer first parses a design based on the elements and relationships of the domain, and records this information in the knowledge base. The Critiquer uses this information along with domain-based design constraints to indicate where the current design succeeds or fails. Using knowledge representing the current state of the design, the Synthesizer then generates design alternatives within a design style. The separation of these three processes from the knowledge base provides independence and modularity to the system. This flexibility creates a technology that will be extensible to other design domains.

In order to support the internal mechanisms of Designer, a series of generic subsystems have been incorporated into the system architecture. These tools include Steamer's frame-based knowledge representation facility (MSG) for storing all domain knowledge, and an Assumption-Based Truth Maintenance System (ATMS) for maintaining alternative design decisions which define the design space.

BOILER CONSOLE 1B




FIGURE 2. *Boiler Console 1B*. This view of the boiler console panel illustrates the color interface representing detailed views of actual engineering stations.

The system is being developed in the object-oriented programming environment of Flavors on a Symbolics 3600 family processor. The use of object-oriented programming techniques of Flavors has greatly facilitated the implementation and is used throughout the system. A preliminary interface used in the development of the system is shown in Figure 5. The multipaned interface provides access to existing Graphics Editor functions and new Designer functions through scrolling command panes (upper right collection of panes) while access to the domain knowledge is provided in a mouse sensitive graphing pane (upper left pane). A lisp interaction pane is provided (lower left pane) along with a scrolling pane for Designer information (e.g., constraint violations; lower right pane). Designer is just one application, or activity, in a larger instructional simulation environment (Hollan, Hutchins, McCandless, Rosenstein, & Weitzman, in press). Other activities in this environment include a model control facility, Steamer; a view construction facility, the Graphics Editor; a facility to create new icons with new behaviors, the Icon Editor; and a facility to create lessons for students based on particular views and simulation models, the Lesson Editor. A status line, which is consistent throughout all simulation activities, displays information relevant to the current activity. In Designer, the status line (near the bottom of the screen) displays the current values for the system, subsystem, view, and design style. The labels and their values are all mouse sensitive, providing access to functions on the class of item (clicking on the label) or operations on the item itself (clicking on the specific value).




FIGURE 3. *Graphics Editor Interface*. The Editor is a domain-independent tool allowing nonprogrammers to create graphic interfaces for monitoring and controlling underlying simulations or real-time processes.

DOMAIN-DEPENDENT KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION

Much has been written about the knowledge required for graphic design (Bertin, 1983; Cheatham, Cheatham, & Haler, 1983; Ching, 1979; Dondis, 1973; Hurlburt, 1977; Marcus, 1986; Reilly & Roach, 1984; Sherwood, 1981; Taylor, 1960; Wong, 1972). Unfortunately, the literature does not suggest a consistent representation for this knowledge. Designer incorporates much of this knowledge and maintains it in the frame-based representational system, MSG. Designer concentrates on the knowledge describing the domain elements, their relationships, graphic constraints imposed on both the elements and their relationships, and graphic techniques for their modification. For general graphic design the elements refer to points, lines, planes, etc. (Wong, 1972). In Designer, however, these domain elements represent the icons contained within a Steamer view which are characterized by their graphic properties.

MSG, a *flavor enhancer*, provides a class structure on top of the Flavors object-oriented programming facility. It provides the ability to define classes of objects and create instances of those classes. Each class provides a set of attributes, or slots, that define the characteristics of the class. Slots are grouped together in roles. Slots inherited from the class' abstractions, or parent classes, are included with the locally defined slots to completely describe the class. When a new class is defined, an instance of a meta-class is created that will maintain all pertinent information about the new class. This includes how to create new class instances, where to store the new instances, how to manipulate them, etc. In addition, when a new class is defined, a new flavor of the same name is also defined. Instances of the class are actually instances of this new flavor with the instance variables corresponding to all slot

ICON SAMPLER




FIGURE 4. *Icon Sampler*. This view illustrates a sample of the graphic icons available to designers creating interactive interfaces.

attributes of the class. The new instances are stored on the class object. MSG can be used incrementally, so as new domain knowledge is defined and recorded in the knowledge base, this information will automatically be included in the analyses. Thus, as the system expands, the domain knowledge can easily grow. This ability to incrementally build the domain knowledge is important and increases the system's flexibility.

A tool to create, maintain, and inspect the knowledge base was incorporated into Designer. It provides a flexible graphing facility of the domain-dependent knowledge base. The structure of the graphic class hierarchy is clearly visible in the graphing window of Figure 6. The graph ranges from more abstract classes on the left to more specific classes on the right. The ability to edit and inspect classes and their instances can be accessed through mouse clicks and menu selections. The menu of commands to operate on the class, its instances, or its flavor is shown in Figure 7 for the class *elements*.

Elements

The MSG class of *elements* records all domain elements that will be used in subsequent design analyses. The following is the definition of this class which includes instance variables for a name,




FIGURE 5. *Designer Interface.* The Designer interface provides access to all Graphics Editor commands while providing additional commands to control the design processes and related functions. Domain-dependent knowledge is displayed in a graphing window pane. State information (e.g., current values for system, subsystem, view, and style) is provided in the status line near the bottom of the screen.

a description, all roles (subdivided into slots), all abstractions (parent classes), all used-as-abstractions (classes that use this class as an abstraction), and all of the instances of the class in the current design.

```
#<CLASS ELEMENTS 46622062>, an object of flavor CLASS, has instance variable values:
```

NAME:	ELEMENTS
DESCRIPTION:	"a graphic element:"
ROLES:	((PROPERTIES ((COLOR (A COLOR) NIL NIL) (SIZE NIL NIL NIL) (LOCATION NIL NIL NIL) (TYPE (A TYPE) NIL NIL) (SHAPE (A SHAPE) NIL NIL) (ELEMENT NIL NIL NIL))))))
CONNECTIONS:	NIL
SYNONYMS:	NIL
ABSTRACTIONS:	(GRAPHIC)
USED-AS-ABSTRACTION:	NIL
INSTANCES:	(<#ELEMENTS DIAL-1 44645216> <#ELEMENTS DIAL-2 44644710> <#ELEMENTS DIAL-3 44644670>)




FIGURE 6. *Domain Knowledge Base.* A graphing tool aids the creation and maintenance of the domain knowledge represented in a frame-based system. Each node in this graph represents a class in the domain of graphic design. Class inheritance is immediately apparent with classes changing from abstract to more specific as one moves through the graph from left to right.

The slots of this class include graphic properties used to distinguish the elements. These are the graphic properties of color, size, location, type, and shape. The values of these properties on an instance are in fact instances of other MSG classes (see Figure 6) that represent valid values for the class. For example, the class of *color* includes instances for Steamer's basic colors. The class *size* includes instances describing a range of sizes from very-small to very-large, while the class *shape* includes instances of basic geometric shapes like linear, circular, rectangular, etc. Figure 8 illustrates the current set of instances for the classes *color*, *size*, *type*, and *shape*. In addition, there is a class slot to store the domain element an instance of this class will represent.

In the above example, three instances of the class *elements* are stored on the instance variable *instances*. All three of these objects represent dial icons in the current view. One of these three objects representing a small, blue dial is shown below.




FIGURE 7. *Operations on Domain Knowledge.* The mouse sensitive graph nodes provide access to operations on the class, its instances, and its flavor definition through mouse clicks and menu selections. The menu is presenting operations for the selected class elements.

#<ELEMENTS DIAL-1 44645216>, an object of flavor ELEMENTS, has instance variable values:

IDENTIFICATION:	DIAL-1
STRING-FOR-PRINTING:	NIL
COLOR:	#<COLOR BLUE 44644212>
SIZE:	((:X #<SIZE SMALL 44644633>) (:Y #<SIZE SMALL 44644633>))
LOCATION:	((:X 0.846) (:Y 0.521))
TYPE:	#<TYPE DIAL 44644216>
SHAPE:	#<SHAPE CIRCULAR 44644224>
ELEMENT:	#<DIAL 44644230>

This example (of a class definition and description of one of its instances) illustrates that all class slots (i.e., color, size, location, type, shape, and element) become instance variables on the flavor representing the class. These variables have been initialized on the actual instances to the appropriate class values (e.g., the *blue* instance of class *color* is stored on the *color* instance variable, the actual domain element the instance represents is stored on the *element* instance variable).

Instances for class #<CLASS COLOR 35147406>

RED	
BLACK	X
GREEN	
BLUE	
YELLOW	
CYAN	
MAGENTA	
WHITE	
GRAY	

Instances for class #<CLASS SIZE 35147417>

VERY-SMALL	X
SMALL	
MEDIUM	
LARGE	
VERY-LARGE	

Instances for class #<CLASS SHAPE 33663313>

TRIANGULAR	
COMPLEX	
RECTANGULAR	X
LINEAR	
CIRCULAR	

Instances for class #<CLASS TYPE 33663302>

RECTANGLE	
CIRCLE	
LOZENGE	
TRIANGLE	
TRAPEZOID	
DIAMOND	
HEXAGON	
OCTAGON	
LINE	
POLYGON	
GRAPH	
MULTI-PLOT-GRAPH	
DIAL	X
COLUMN	
DIGITAL-BAR	
FORCE-BAR	
BAR	
SIGNAL	
TANK	
PIPE	
CENTRIFUGAL-PUMP	
ROTARY-PUMP	
AIR-EJECTOR	
Y-STRAINER	
DUPLEX-STRAINER	
IMPULSE-TRAP	
ORIFICE	
STOP-VALVE	
ANGLESTOP-VALVE	
CHECK-VALVE	
RELIEF-VALVE	
SAFETY-VALVE	
REGULATOR-VALVE	
3-WAY-VALVE	
4-WAY-VALVE	
SSTG	
SSDG	
CIRCUIT-BREAKER	
FUSIBLE-LINK	
FUSE	
BISCUIT	
BAR-SWITCH	
KNIFE-SWITCH	
ROTARY-SWITCH	
TOGGLE-SWITCH	
TEXT	
BANNER	
FLAME	
BEAM-TOT	

FIGURE 8. *Instances of Graphic Property Classes.* Menus list the instances of classes representing the four graphic properties color, size, shape, and type.

Relationships

Currently the graphic relationships in the knowledge base are *similarity*, *proximity*, *grouping*, and *repetition*. As can be seen in Figure 6, the relationships of similarity, grouping, and repetition are further classified by the graphic properties of the elements (e.g., grouping by color, repetition by type, etc.). The following is the description of the MSG class of similarity of color:

#<CLASS SIMILARITY-COLOR 46622203>, an object of flavor CLASS, has instance variable values:

NAME:	SIMILARITY-COLOR
DESCRIPTION:	"the graphic relationship for the similarity of color"
CLASS-VALUE:	COLOR
NUMBER-FOR-NAME:	0
ROLES:	((PROPERTIES ((ELEMENTS NIL NIL NIL) (STATE NIL NIL NIL) (CERTAINTY NIL NIL NIL))))
CONNECTIONS:	NIL
SYNONYMS:	NIL
ABSTRACTIONS:	(SIMILARITY)
USED-AS-ABSTRACTION:	NIL
INSTANCES:	(#<SIMILARITY-COLOR SIMILARITY-COLOR-BLACK 44645357> #<SIMILARITY-COLOR SIMILARITY-COLOR-BLUE 44645350>)

In the above example, there are two instances of the relation class *similarity-color*, one for black elements and one for blue elements. The instance representing the relation of similarity of color blue is illustrated below. Here, the previously described dials appear since they all have a blue face color. These elements are stored on the instance variable *elements*.

#<SIMILARITY-COLOR SIMILARITY-COLOR-BLUE 44645350>, an object of flavor SIMILARITY-COLOR, has instance variable values:

IDENTIFICATION:	SIMILARITY-COLOR-BLUE
STRING-FOR-PRINTING:	NIL
ELEMENTS:	(#<ELEMENTS DIAL-1 44645216> #<ELEMENTS DIAL-2 44644710> #<ELEMENTS DIAL-3 44644670>)
STATE:	NIL
CERTAINTY:	:HIGH

All relations know how to handle a generic message to identify occurrences in the design of the relation that they represent. When an occurrence is identified, a new instance of the class is created, stored on the class object, and initialized with all the elements participating in the relation. Relations can also build on one another. For example, elements in proximity to one another may form grouping relations, and groupings may form repetition relations (depending on the elements properties and their layout).

Constraints

Domain constraints consist of both basic graphic design *principles* important in the construction of two-dimensional views and view *standards* that are adopted for the current application. Principles are those constraints that transcend view sets and are generally accepted methods of making images consistent, unambiguous, and visually effective. The principle of Significant Difference of Size, for instance, states that when elements are a different size, they should be significantly different so as not

to create a sense of ambiguity (Sherwood, 1981) (Figure 9). Elements that are larger represent objects that are more important or physically larger in the real world. If they are not, they should be the same size as other, similar elements in the view. In Figure 1 of the Basic Steam Cycle, the dial indicating RPMs is significantly larger than the others, denoting the fact that it is the most important dial of the set. This principle manifests itself not only in terms of the size of elements but also in terms of the other properties of the elements. The principle in terms of location, for instance, tends to align elements unless there is a reason (of importance or physical fidelity) to accentuate the differences in location. Therefore, in the knowledge base there exist multiple instances of the MSG class of significant difference. Graphic design standards differ from principles because they are special constraints that tend to exist for a given set of designs for a given application. In Steamer, the use of a title is a standard. The restriction of pipes to be within an acceptable range of sizes is another standard.

Constraints can also be categorized as restrictions on properties of elements or restrictions on their relationships. Constraints on properties take the form of discrete constraints, restricting a property to be a specific value, or continuous constraints where the value can range between a minimum and a maximum value. An example of multiple discrete constraints is the standard of Title. Three constraints on the elements properties of *type*, *size*, and *color* restrict the values to be *text*, *large*, and *yellow*, respectively. The standard of Pipe Size is a continuous constraint where the value is restricted between a minimum and maximum size.

Principle of Significant Difference of Size




FIGURE 9. *The Principle of Significant Difference of Size.* This design principle states that when elements are a different size they should be significantly different so as not to create a sense of ambiguity. Given an original design consisting of three dials, two alternatives are presented from a larger solution space. The first alternative suggests no difference in importance and therefore no difference in size. Alternative 2 takes into account the fact that the right two dials are grouped together. The other dial, being physically separate and larger, may be perceived as more important and therefore should be *significantly* larger.

Design Context

A design should be sensitive to the context in which it is created. It is this context that defines the external constraints which shape and guide the final solution. In Designer, this context is referred to as a *style* and is constructed by selecting those constraints (principles and standards) that are to be enforced within this context. Good design in one style may not necessarily be good design in another. Modifying the style within which a critique is made ultimately affects the final form of the design.

A graphic style is also defined by the visual techniques employed in the communication of information (Dondis, 1973). These visual techniques represent a vocabulary in which to describe the design. These techniques in conjunction with the constraints may suggest a variety of graphic procedures to modify an alternative. These procedures are similar to Mittal, Dym, and Morjaria's (1986) *design methods*. For example, the visual technique of *Regularity* may take on a value of regular, neutral, or irregular, each suggesting alternatives consistent with its definition. Highly regular designs will accentuate similarities of elements and relationships, while irregular designs accentuate the differences. It is the constraints that indicate a discrepancy in the design, while the interaction of the techniques suggest the graphic procedures (maybe more than one) that will modify the design. Figure 10 illustrates a style editor which allows the user to name a style, select graphic constraints to be active within it, and choose values for the visual techniques by selecting the appropriate value.




FIGURE 10. *Style Editor*. This menu edits the graphic style, or context, in which a design critique occurs. A style is defined by the graphic constraints (i.e., principles and standards) that are active and the values chosen for the visual techniques. These visual techniques in combination with the constraints generate the graphic procedures for modifying the design.

DESIGN PROCESSES

Design involves a cycle of gathering information, making decisions based on that information, and reviewing the consequences of those decisions. New information gleaned from this process is incorporated back into the cycle for subsequent refinement of the design. This analysis-synthesis-review cycle is a general process used in all design whether it be for computer interfaces, industrial applications, or architecture. The process is domain independent.

In order for Designer to carry out the analysis phase of the process, two steps must take place. First, the system must parse the design into domain elements and relationships. This is Designer's *Analysis* process. Second, the system must locate areas that need to be improved. This is the *Critique* process. Together, these processes represent the analysis phase of the generic design process. Once the first two steps have occurred, the system is ready to suggest alternative procedures for modifying the design. This is Designer's *Synthesis* process.

Since the overall goal is for the system to be an online assistant and not assume control, review occurs interactively with the user selecting and confirming decisions presented by the system. Information is incorporated back through the process as output from one cycle becomes the input for the next cycle of critique. An alternative approach (Brown & Chandrasekaran, 1986), which may be incorporated in future versions of the system, is to provide a *plan* which specifies the order in which design steps are invoked by the motivating techniques. Each of Designer's processes is described in more detail below.

Analysis

The analysis process parses the design and locates existing domain elements and relationships. Identifying the elements is straightforward because of the use of icons in the Steamer interface and the object-oriented nature of their implementation. An instance of the MSG class *elements* is created for each icon. The elements instance variables are appropriately initialized with values for each property being an instance of the corresponding MSG class.

Once the domain elements have been created, the system locates instances of domain relationships. This task is easy for people but very difficult for computers. Much work has been done in the area of image analysis, but seldom with the goal of *beautifying* drawings (Pavlidis & Van Wyk, 1985). To maintain the independent nature of the analysis, generic messages are sent to each relation class to identify instances of the class within the design. When an occurrence is found, an instance of the MSG relation class is created and initialized. This includes the recording of the elements that participate in the relation on the appropriate MSG slot.

Critique

As Christopher Alexander suggests, the notion of a *misfit* is more compelling than a *fit* and is a driving force behind the ultimate shape of a design (Alexander, 1974). In Designer, the misfits are identified as violations of the domain constraints. The Critiquer creates a *comment* for each unsatisfied design constraint within the current style. These critique comments are Flavor objects that store their underlying constraint and the elements involved in the violation. Descriptions and justifications of the comments that are based on this constraint can then be presented. These comments, displayed in the scrolling pane of the black-and-white interface, are mousable and can be highlighted (graphically highlighting those elements involved) and described in terms of their underlying principle or standard. Critiques themselves are implemented as flavor objects that store the object being critiqued (the Steamer view), the style in which the critique takes place, and a list of all the relevant comments for this object and style. Figure 11 illustrates a critique based on the principle of the Significant Difference of Size of three dials shown in the original design of Figure 9. Two violations of this principle, one for




FIGURE 11. *Critique of Three Dials.* The Designer interface illustrates a critique in progress of the original design from Figure 9. This critique, being executed in the *Steamer* style, has generated two critique comments based on the principle of Significant Difference of Size. One comment refers to elements of a similar type while the other refers to elements similar in shape. A description of one violation is presented in the lisp pane and a menu of techniques for modifying the design based on this violation is presented in a pop-up menu.

similar typed elements and one for similar shaped elements are displayed in the scrolling pane. A description of the first violation is presented in the lisp pane.

It thus becomes possible under this paradigm to request multiple critiques, each based on a different style. This is an especially powerful paradigm for views that may need to be presented in different media, each with different constraints. For example, a style appropriate for a high resolution color display may not be appropriate for a black-and-white hardcopy presentation where features are not as clearly distinguishable.

Synthesis

Design decisions are made in the synthesis phase in order to incrementally refine the elements and their relationships. Knowledge of the elements and their relationships along with the comments from the critiquing phase forms the basis for these design modifications. Each comment communicates to the constraint on which it is based via generic messages in order to determine the graphic procedures for satisfying the existing violation. More than one procedure may be available to satisfy the constraint and all possibilities are presented to the user. These procedures are a result of the interaction of the various visual techniques and the design constraints which describe the style.

Once the user decides to remedy a given comment by clicking on it with the mouse, various graphic procedures are presented in a pop-up menu (Figure 11). These procedures will all modify the design in

order to satisfy the constraint, but will do so differently. Since there is no *correct* solution these procedures represent alternatives and there is no attempt to suggest that one alternative may be better. The variation of alternatives are based on the definition of the style's visual techniques. For example, if a style is defined to be *simple* and *regular*, the constraint of Significant Difference of Size will generate a very different solution than if the style is defined as *complex* and *irregular*. Figure 12 illustrates alternative solutions in three different styles all defined with the constraints of Significant Difference of Size and of Location. The only difference between the styles is the articulation of the visual techniques ranging from simple and regular (Style 1, Figure 12A) to complex and irregular (Style 3, Figure 12B). With the same initial design, each style creates different solutions. These solutions satisfy the constraints but are based on varying procedures of generation from the defined visual techniques. In Style 1, the system looks for the simplest most regular solution possible. This results with all dials in each solution being the same size and aligned on an axis (similar location). Style 3, on the other hand, has chosen the opposite approach where no two dials in the final solution are the same size and no alignment occurs. Style 2 (Figure 12C) takes a more moderate approach with two distinct (and significantly different) dial sizes and some alignment. This simple example illustrates how the interaction of the graphic constraints with the visual techniques will generate alternative solutions.

These alternatives are maintained by a new form of truth maintenance system, an ATMS (De Kleer, 1986a, 1986b, 1986c). With the ATMS multiple alternatives are maintained and can be explored simultaneously. Unlike previous truth maintenance systems which just manipulated justifications, this system additionally manipulates assumption sets. As a result, inconsistent information can exist and it is possible to work effectively and efficiently in the problem space. Context switching is free, and most backtracking and all retraction is avoided. In Designer, the assumptions that are manipulated are the alternatives created by incremental design decisions. Solutions at any stage in the design process are the consistent, noncontradictory environments maintained by the ATMS. Any contradictions that arise are handled by the ATMS and will not appear in the same environment.

This new form of truth maintenance system is well suited for tracking multiple alternatives in the design space where a reasonable number of the potential solutions must be examined. Designer interacts with this system by creating an ATMS class for each domain element. Whenever an element is modified, a new ATMS node is added to this class. These classes represent the different alternatives of the original domain element. Multiple nodes coexist in the solution space but only one will be present in any ATMS solution. The justifications of what style is current and what constraint generates the modified element can be added to these nodes to further restrict the space of valid solutions.

Because context switching is free, the user can explore the design space by interactively inspecting the individual ATMS environments. Each solution can be displayed on the color screen and explain itself in terms of the underlying assumptions and justifications. Based on these assumptions and justifications, an alternative can describe its derivation and individual decisions can be described in terms of their potential contribution to a final solution. The system thus conveys design precepts while the user is viewing a specific instantiation of a design alternative. Thus, the user's knowledge of constructing visual presentations is enhanced for future design.

CONCLUSION

There exists a number of interesting research projects similar in nature to Designer. They are all knowledge-based systems providing an environment to aid the creation and verification of design alternatives. Some provide exploratory environments in well-defined domains (e.g., Palladio, for circuit design [Brown, Tong, & Foyster, 1983]) while others, like Designer, are systems in the ill-defined domain of graphic design (e.g., ACE: A Color Expert, an expert system for the selection of colors for synthetic scene imagery [Meier, 1986]; Descriptor, a generative system for graphic layout based on shape grammars [Glenn, 1986]). Some of these systems, like Designer, try to encode the general process of design and then apply it to a prototypical domain (e.g., PRIDE, for the design of paper handling




FIGURE 12. *Different Styles Generating Different Alternatives.* Given the same view as input, three different styles generate three completely different solutions. All three styles include the principles of Significant Difference of Size and of Location. They differ only in the articulation of the visual techniques defined, from simple and regular (A) to complex and irregular (C). The first level of design decisions is in response to element size while the second level is based on location considerations. These alternatives represent only a small portion of the solution space.

systems [Mittal et al., 1986]), while others use sophisticated tools (e.g., production systems and logic programming) on specific design problems. Designer differs slightly from these systems in that it is a reactive system. It responds to the users actions, by analyzing, critiquing, and interactively suggesting improvements to them. Currently, it does not try to create a new design given high-level design goals.

An initial implementation of Designer is underway. A functioning system has been used on existing Steamer diagrams and has provided useful feedback. It is very encouraging that even in views that were carefully crafted, the system was able to note inconsistencies and suggest improvements. In addition, a preliminary use of the ATMS has shown the feasibility of using it to maintain solutions in the design space.

The perception of a problem and the shape of its solution are both affected by the depth and range of the design vocabulary (Ching, 1979). Therefore, it is important that the domain knowledge base continues to grow. Only a few constraints currently exist and as more principles and standards are defined, more complete and robust solutions will be presented. As more solutions become available, better techniques to explore and understand their differences will be necessary.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project began as an Independent* Exploratory Development Program (IED) with the Navy Personnel Research and Development Center in San Diego. Additional funding for this research is provided by the Personnel and Training Research Program of the Office of Naval Research (Contract N00014-85-C-0133, NR 667-541) and the Office of Naval Technology (522-801-018). I would like to thank all those involved with the UCSD/NPRDC joint program for making this research possible. In particular I would like to thank members of the group for their support *critiquing* early versions of this paper, including Jim Hollan, Mark Rosenstein, Dave Owen, Larry West, Barbara Morris, and Kathy Farrelly.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alexander, C. (1974). *Notes on the synthesis of form*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Beach, R., & Stone, M. (1983). Graphical style towards high quality illustrations. *Computer Graphics*, 17, 127-135.
- Bertin, J. (1983). *Semiology of graphics*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Borning, A. (1986). Defining constraints graphically. In M. Mantei & P. Orbeton (Eds.), *CHI'86 Proceedings* (pp. 137-143). New York: Association for Computing Machinery.
- Brown, D. (1984). *Expert systems for design problem-solving using design refinement with plan selection and redesign*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Ohio State University.
- Brown, D., & Chandrasekaran, B. (1986, July). Knowledge and control for a mechanical design expert system. *IEEE Computer*, pp. 92-100.
- Brown, H., Tong, C., & Foyster, G. (1983, December). Palladio: An exploratory environment for circuit design. *IEEE Computer*.
- Cheatham, F. R., Cheatham, J. H., & Haler, S. A. (1983). *Design concepts and applications*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

- Ching, F. D. K. (1979). *Architecture: Form, space, and order*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- De Kleer, J. (1986a). An assumption-based TMS. *Artificial Intelligence*, 28, 127-162.
- De Kleer, J. (1986b). Extending the ATMS. *Artificial Intelligence*, 28, 163-196.
- De Kleer, J. (1986c). Problem solving with the ATMS. *Artificial Intelligence*, 28, 197-224.
- Dondis, D. A. (1973). *A primer of visual literacy*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Glenn, B. (1986). *Descriptor: A model for describing shapes that infers relations for positioning them*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Gullichsen, E., & Chang E. (1985). Generative design in architecture using an expert system. *Graphics Interface '85 Proceedings*. Canadian Information Processing Society.
- Hollan J., Hutchins E., McCandless T., Rosenstein M., & Weitzman L. (in press). Graphical interfaces for simulations. In W. Rouse (Ed.), *Advances in man-machine systems research* (Vol. 3). Greenwich, CT: Jai Press.
- Hollan, J., Hutchins, E., & Weitzman, L. (1984). Steamer: An interactive inspectable simulation-based training system. *AI Magazine*, 5(2), 15-28.
- Hurlburt, A. (1977). *Layout: The design of the printed page*. New York: Watson-Guption.
- Marcus, A. (1986). Graphic design of user interfaces. *National Computer Graphics Association 1986 Proceedings: Vol. 1. Tutorials*.
- McKinley, J. (1986). *Automatic design of graphical presentations*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.
- Meier, B. (1986). ACE: A Color Expert. *National Computer Graphics Association 1986 Proceedings*.
- Mittal, S., Dym, C., & Morjaria, M. (1986, July). PRIDE: An expert system for the design of paper handling systems. *IEEE Computer*, pp. 102-114.
- Nelson, G. (1985). Juno: A constraint-based graphics system. *Computer Graphics*, 19, 235-243.
- Pavlidis, T., & Van Wyk, C. J. (1986). An automatic beautifier for drawings and illustrations. *Computer Graphics*, 19, 225-234.
- Reilly, S. S., & Roach, J. W. (1984, February). Improved visual design for graphics display. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*.
- Roach, J., Pittman, S.S., & Savarse, J. (1982, October). A visual design consultant. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cybernetics and Society*, Seattle, WA.
- Scholl, L. (1985). Heuristic rules for visualization. *Graphics Interface '85 Proceedings*. Canadian Information Processing Society.
- Sherwood, R. (1981). *Principles and elements of architecture*. Los Angeles: University of Southern California Press.

- Stiny, G., & Gips, J. (1978). *Algorithmic aesthetics: Computer models for criticism and design in the arts*. Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Taylor, I. A. (1960). Perception and visual communication. In J. Ball & F. Pyres, (Eds.), *Research principles and practices in visual communication*. Association for Education, Communication, and Technology.
- Wong, W. (1972). *Principles of two-dimensional design*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.

ICS Technical Report List

The following is a list of publications by people in the Institute for Cognitive Science. For reprints, write or call:

Institute for Cognitive Science, C-015
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093
(619) 452-6771

- 8301. David Zipser. *The Representation of Location*. May 1983.
- 8302. Jeffrey Elman and Jay McClelland. *Speech Perception as a Cognitive Process: The Interactive Activation Model*. April 1983. Also published in N. Lass (Ed.), *Speech and language: Volume 10*, New York: Academic Press, 1983.
- 8303. Ron Williams. *Unit Activation Rules for Cognitive Networks*. November 1983.
- 8304. David Zipser. *The Representation of Maps*. November 1983.
- 8305. The HMI Project. *User Centered System Design: Part I, Papers for the CHI '83 Conference on Human Factors in Computer Systems*. November 1983. Also published in A. Janda (Ed.), *Proceedings of the CHI '83 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. New York: ACM, 1983.
- 8306. Paul Smolensky. *Harmony Theory: A Mathematical Framework for Stochastic Parallel Processing*. December 1983. Also published in *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI-83*, Washington DC, 1983.
- 8401. Stephen W. Draper and Donald A. Norman. *Software Engineering for User Interfaces*. January 1984. Also published in *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Software Engineering*, Orlando, FL, 1984.
- 8402. The UCSD HMI Project. *User Centered System Design: Part II, Collected Papers*. March 1984. Also published individually as follows: Norman, D.A. (1984), Stages and levels in human-machine interaction, *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 21, 365-375; Draper, S.W., The nature of expertise in UNIX; Owen, D., Users in the real world; O'Malley, C., Draper, S.W., & Riley, M., Constructive interaction: A method for studying user-computer-user interaction; Smolensky, P., Monty, M.L., & Conway, E., Formalizing task descriptions for command specification and documentation; Bannon, L.J., & O'Malley, C., Problems in evaluation of human-computer interfaces: A case study; Riley, M., & O'Malley, C., Planning nets: A framework for analyzing user-computer interactions; all published in B. Shackel (Ed.), *INTERACT '84, First Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, Amsterdam: North-Holland,

1984; Norman, D.A., & Draper, S.W., Software engineering for user interfaces, *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Software Engineering*, Orlando, FL, 1984.

8403. Steven L. Greenspan and Eric M. Segal. *Reference Comprehension: A Topic-Comment Analysis of Sentence-Picture Verification*. April 1984. Also published in *Cognitive Psychology*, 16, 556-606, 1984.
8404. Paul Smolensky and Mary S. Riley. *Harmony Theory: Problem Solving, Parallel Cognitive Models, and Thermal Physics*. April 1984. The first two papers are published in *Proceedings of the Sixth Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, Boulder, CO, 1984.
8405. David Zipser. *A Computational Model of Hippocampus Place-Fields*. April 1984.
8406. Michael C. Mozer. *Inductive Information Retrieval Using Parallel Distributed Computation*. May 1984.
8407. David E. Rumelhart and David Zipser. *Feature Discovery by Competitive Learning*. July 1984. Also published in *Cognitive Science*, 9, 75-112, 1985.
8408. David Zipser. *A Theoretical Model of Hippocampal Learning During Classical Conditioning*. December 1984.
8501. Ronald J. Williams. *Feature Discovery Through Error-Correction Learning*. May 1985.
8502. Ronald J. Williams. *Inference of Spatial Relations by Self-Organizing Networks*. May 1985.
8503. Edwin L. Hutchins, James D. Hollan, and Donald A. Norman. *Direct Manipulation Interfaces*. May 1985. Also published in D. A. Norman & S. W. Draper (Eds.), *User Centered System Design: New Perspectives on Human-Computer Interaction*, 1986, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
8504. Mary S. Riley. *User Understanding*. May 1985. Also published in D. A. Norman & S. W. Draper (Eds.), *User Centered System Design: New Perspectives on Human-Computer Interaction*, 1986, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
8505. Liam J. Bannon. *Extending the Design Boundaries of Human-Computer Interaction*. May 1985.
8506. David E. Rumelhart, Geoffrey E. Hinton, and Ronald J. Williams. *Learning Internal Representations by Error Propagation*. September 1985. Also published in D. E. Rumelhart, J. L. McClelland, & the PDP Research Group, *Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition: Vol. 1. Foundations*, 1986, Cambridge, MA: Bradford Books/MIT Press.
8507. David E. Rumelhart and James L. McClelland. *On Learning the Past Tense of English Verbs*. October 1985. Also published in J. L. McClelland, D. E. Rumelhart, & the PDP Research Group, *Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition: Vol. 2. Psychological and Biological Models*, 1986, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press/Bradford Books.

8601. David Navon and Jeff Miller. *The Role of Outcome Conflict in Dual-Task Interference*. January 1986.
8602. David E. Rumelhart and James L. McClelland. *PDP Models and General Issues in Cognitive Science*. April 1986. Also published in D. E. Rumelhart, J. L. McClelland, & the PDP Research Group, *Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition*. Vol. 1: *Foundations*, 1986, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press/Bradford Books.
8603. James D. Hollan, Edwin L. Hutchins, Timothy P. McCandless, Mark Rosenstein, and Louis Weitzman. *Graphical Interfaces for Simulation*. May 1986. To be published in W. B. Rouse (Ed.), *Advances in Man-Machine Systems* (Vol. 3). Greenwich, CT: Jai Press.
8604. Michael I. Jordan. *Serial Order: A Parallel Distributed Processing Approach*. May 1986.
8605. Ronald J. Williams. *Reinforcement Learning in Connectionist Networks: A Mathematical Analysis*. June 1986.
8606. David Navon. *Visibility or Disability: Notes on Attention*. June 1986.
8607. William Appelbe, Donald Coleman, Allyn Fratkin, James Hutchison, and Walter J. Savitch. *Porting UNIX to a Network of Diskless Micros*. June 1986.
8608. David Zipser. *Programming Networks to Compute Spatial Functions*. June 1986.
8609. Louis Weitzman. *Designer: A Knowledge-Based Graphic Design Assistant*. July 1986.

Earlier Reports by People in the Cognitive Science Lab

The following is a list of publications by people in the Cognitive Science Lab and the Institute for Cognitive Science. For reprints, write or call:

Institute for Cognitive Science, C-015
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093
(619) 452-6771

- ONR-8001. Donald R. Gentner, Jonathan Grudin, and Eileen Conway. *Finger Movements in Transcription Typing*. May 1980.
- ONR-8002. James L. McClelland and David E. Rumelhart. *An Interactive Activation Model of the Effect of Context in Perception: Part I*. May 1980. Also published in *Psychological Review*, 88, 5, pp. 375-401, 1981.
- ONR-8003. David E. Rumelhart and James L. McClelland. *An Interactive Activation Model of the Effect of Context in Perception: Part II*. July 1980. Also published in *Psychological Review*, 89, 1, pp. 60-94, 1982.
- ONR-8004. Donald A. Norman. *Errors in Human Performance*. August 1980.
- ONR-8005. David E. Rumelhart and Donald A. Norman. *Analogical Processes in Learning*. September 1980. Also published in J. R. Anderson (Ed.), *Cognitive skills and their acquisition*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, 1981.
- ONR-8006. Donald A. Norman and Tim Shallice. *Attention to Action: Willed and Automatic Control of Behavior*. December 1980.
- ONR-8101. David E. Rumelhart. *Understanding Understanding*. January 1981.
- ONR-8102. David E. Rumelhart and Donald A. Norman. *Simulating a Skilled Typist: A Study of Skilled Cognitive-Motor Performance*. May 1981. Also published in *Cognitive Science*, 6, pp. 1-36, 1982.
- ONR-8103. Donald R. Gentner. *Skilled Finger Movements in Typing*. July 1981.
- ONR-8104. Michael I. Jordan. *The Timing of Endpoints in Movement*. November 1981.
- ONR-8105. Gary Perlman. *Two Papers in Cognitive Engineering: The Design of an Interface to a Programming System and MENUNIX: A Menu-Based Interface to UNIX (User Manual)*. November 1981. Also published in *Proceedings of the 1982 USENIX Conference*, San Diego, CA, 1982.
- ONR-8106. Donald A. Norman and Diane Fisher. *Why Alphabetic Keyboards Are Not Easy to Use: Keyboard Layout Doesn't Much Matter*. November 1981. Also published in *Human Factors*, 24, pp. 509-515, 1982.
- ONR-8107. Donald R. Gentner. *Evidence Against a Central Control Model of Timing in Typing*. December 1981. Also published in *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 8, pp. 793-810, 1982.

- ONR-8201. Jonathan T. Grudin and Serge Larochelle. *Digraph Frequency Effects in Skilled Typing*. February 1982.
- ONR-8202. Jonathan T. Grudin. *Central Control of Timing in Skilled Typing*. February 1982.
- ONR-8203. Amy Geoffroy and Donald A. Norman. *Ease of Tapping the Fingers in a Sequence Depends on the Mental Encoding*. March 1982.
- ONR-8204. LNR Research Group. *Studies of Typing from the LNR Research Group: The role of context, differences in skill level, errors, hand movements, and a computer simulation*. May 1982. Also published in W. E. Cooper (Ed.), *Cognitive aspects of skilled typewriting*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- ONR-8205. Donald A. Norman. *Five Papers on Human-Machine Interaction*. May 1982. Also published individually as follows: Some observations on mental models, in D. Gentner and A. Stevens (Eds.), *Mental models*, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, 1983; A psychologist views human processing: Human errors and other phenomena suggest processing mechanisms, in *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vancouver, 1981; Steps toward a cognitive engineering: Design rules based on analyses of human error, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Human Factors in Computer Systems*, Gaithersburg, MD, 1982; The trouble with UNIX, in *Datamation*, 27,12, November 1981, pp. 139-150; The trouble with networks, in *Datamation*, January 1982, pp. 188-192.
- ONR-8206. Naomi Miyake. *Constructive Interaction*. June 1982.
- ONR-8207. Donald R. Gentner. *The Development of Typewriting Skill*. September 1982. Also published as Acquisition of typewriting skill, in *Acta Psychologica*, 54, pp. 233-248, 1983.
- ONR-8208. Gary Perlman. *Natural Artificial Languages: Low-Level Processes*. December 1982. Also published in *The International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 20, pp. 373-419, 1984.
- ONR-8301. Michael C. Mozer. *Letter Migration in Word Perception*. April 1983. Also published in *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 9, 4, pp. 531-546, 1983.
- ONR-8302. David E. Rumelhart and Donald A. Norman. *Representation in Memory*. June 1983. To appear in R. C. Atkinson, G. Lindzey, & R. D. Luce (Eds.), *Handbook of experimental psychology*. New York: Wiley (in press).

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman] NR 667-541

Dr. Beth Adelson
Department of Computer Science
Tufts University
Medford, MA 02155

Personnel Analysis Division,
AF/MPXA
5C360, The Pentagon
Washington, DC 20330

Air Force Human Resources Lab
AFHRL/MPD
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

AFOSR,
Life Sciences Directorate
Bolling Air Force Base
Washington, DC 20332

Dr. Robert Ahlers
Code N711
Human Factors Laboratory
Naval Training Systems Center
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Ed Aiken
Navy Personnel R&D Center
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. John Allen
Department of Psychology
George Mason University
4400 University Drive
Fairfax, VA 22030

Dr. William E. Alley
AFHRL/MOT
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

Dr. Earl A. Alluisi
HQ, AFHRL (AFSC)
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

Dr. John R. Anderson
Department of Psychology
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. John Annett
University of Warwick
Department of Psychology
Coventry CV4 7AJ
ENGLAND

Technical Director, ARI
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Special Assistant for Projects,
QASN (McRA)
50800, The Pentagon
Washington, DC 20350

Dr. Alan Baddeley
Medical Research Council
Applied Psychology Unit
15 Chaucer Road
Cambridge CB2 2EF
ENGLAND

Dr. Patricia Baggett
University of Colorado
Department of Psychology
Box 345
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. James D. Baker
Director of Automation
Allen Corporation of America
401 Wythe Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Meryl S. Baker
Navy Personnel R&D Center
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Lee Roy Beach
Dept. of Psychology (NI-25)
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

Capt. J. Jean Belanger
Training Development Division
Canadian Forces Training System
CFTSHQ, CFB Trenton
Astra, Ontario, KOK
CANADA

Dr. Gautam Blewas
Department of Computer Science
University of South Carolina
Columbia, SC 29208

Dr. John Black
College, Columbia Univ.
525 West 121st Street
New York, NY 10027

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman] NR 667-541

Dr. Arthur S. Blalwes
Code N711
Naval Training Systems Center
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Deborah A. Boehm-Davis
Department of Psychology
George Mason University
4400 University Drive
Fairfax, VA 22030

Dr. C. Alan Boneau
Department of Psychology
George Mason University
4400 University Drive
Fairfax, VA 22030

Dr. Gordon H. Bower
Department of Psychology
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94306

Dr. Richard Braby
NTSC Code 10
Orlando, FL 32751

Dr. Robert Breaux
Code N-095R
Naval Training Systems Center
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. John S. Brown
XEROX Palo Alto Research
Center
3333 Coyote Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Dr. Bruce Buchanan
Computer Science Department
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Joanne Capper
Center for Research into Practice
1718 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20009

Dr. Jaime Carbonell
Carnegie-Mellon University
Department of Psychology
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Susan Carey
Harvard Graduate School of
Education
337 Gutman Library
Appian Way
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. Pat Carpenter
Carnegie-Mellon University
Department of Psychology
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Robert Carroll
OP 0187
Washington, DC 20370

LCDR Robert Carter
Office of the Chief
of Naval Operations
OP-018
Pentagon
Washington, DC 20350-2000

Dr. Fred Chang
Navy Personnel R&D Center
Code 51
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Alphonse Chapanis
8415 Ballona Lane
Suite 210
Buxton Towers
Baltimore, MD 21204

Dr. Davida Charney
English Department
Penn State University
University Park, PA 16802

Dr. Eugene Charniak
Brown University
Computer Science Department
Providence, RI 02912

Dr. Paul R. Chatelier
OUSDRE
Pentagon
Washington, DC 20350-2000

ONR DISTRIBUTION LIST

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman] NR 667-541

Dr. Micheline Chi
Learning R & D Center
University of Pittsburgh
3939 O'Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. William Clancey
Stanford University
Knowledge Systems Laboratory
701 Welch Road, Bldg. C
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Director,
Manpower Support and
Readiness Program
Center for Naval Analysis
2000 North Beauregard Street
Alexandria, VA 22311

Dr. Michael Cole
University of California
at San Diego
Laboratory of Comparative
Human Cognition - D003A
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Allan M. Collins
Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc.
50 Moulton Street
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. Stanley Collier
Office of Naval Technology
Code 222
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Lynn A. Cooper
Learning R&D Center
University of Pittsburgh
3939 O'Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

LT Judy Crookshanks
Chief of Naval Operations
OP-112C5
Washington, DC 20370-2000

Dr. Kenneth B. Cross
Anacapa Sciences, Inc.
P.O. Drawer Q
Santa Barbara, CA 93102

Capt. Stephen Cross (Ph.D.)
Artificial Intelligence Laboratory
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

CAPT P. Michael Curran
Office of Naval Research
800 N. Quincy St.
Code 125
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Cary Czichon
Intelligent Instructional Systems
Texas Instruments AI Lab
P.O. Box 660245
Dallas, TX 75266

Brian Dallman
3400 TTW/TTGXS
Lowry AFB, CO 80230-5000

LT John Deaton
ONR Code 125
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Mr. Paul DiRenzo
Commandant of the Marine Corps
Code LMC-4
Washington, DC 20380

Dr. R. K. Dismukes
Associate Director for Life Sciences
AFOSR
Bolling AFB
Washington, DC 20332

Dr. Emanuel Donchin
University of Illinois
Department of Psychology
Champaign, IL 61820

Defense Technical
Information Center
Cameron Station, Bldg 5
Alexandria, VA 22314
Attn: TC
(12 Copies)

Dr. Thomas M. Duffy
Communications Design Center
Carnegie-Mellon University
Schenley Park
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman] NR 667-541

Edward E. Eddowes
CNATRA N301
Naval Air Station
Corpus Christi, TX 78419

Dr. Jeffrey Elman
University of California,
San Diego
Department of Linguistics, C-008
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Richard Elster
Deputy Assistant Secretary
of the Navy (Manpower)
OASN (M&RA)
Department of the Navy
Washington, DC 20350-1000

ERIC Facility-Acquisitions
4833 Rugby Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20014

Dr. K. Anders Ericsson
University of Colorado
Department of Psychology
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Edward Esly
Department of Education, OERI
Room 717D
1200 19th St., NW
Washington, DC 20208

Dr. Beatrice J. Farr
Army Research Institute
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Marshall J. Farr
2520 North Vernon Street
Arlington, VA 22207

Dr. Pat Federico
Code 511
NPRDC
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Paul Feltoovich
Southern Illinois University
School of Medicine
Medical Education Department
P.O. Box 3926
Springfield, IL 62708

Mr. Wallace Feurzeig
Educational Technology
Bolt Beranek & Newman
10 Moulton St.
Cambridge, MA 02238

Dr. Craig I. Fields
ARPA
1400 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22209

J. D. Fletcher
9931 Corsica Street
Vienna VA 22180

Dr. Linda Flower
Carnegie-Mellon University
Department of English
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Barbara A. Fox
University of Colorado
Department of Linguistics
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Carl H. Frederiksen
McGill University
3700 McTavish Street
Montreal, Quebec H3A 1Y2
CANADA

Dr. John R. Frederiksen
Bolt Beranek & Newman
50 Moulton Street
Cambridge, MA 02138

Julie A. Gadsden
Information Technology
Applications Division
Admiralty Research Establishment
Portsmouth, Portsmouth PO6 4AA
UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Michael Genesereth
Stanford University
Computer Science Department
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Dedre Gentner
University of Illinois
Department of Psychology
603 E. Daniel St.
Champaign, IL 61820

Distribution List (UCSD/Norman) NR 667-541

Distribution List (UCSD/Norman) NR 667-541

Dr. Don Gentner
Center for Human
Information Processing
University of California
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Robert Glaser
Learning Research
& Development Center
University of Pittsburgh
3939 O'Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Dr. Marvin D. Glock
13 Stone Hall
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853

Dr. Sam Gluckberg
Department of Psychology
Princeton University
Princeton, NJ 08540

Dr. Joseph Goguen
Computer Science Laboratory
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. Sherrie Gott
AFMRL/MODJ
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

Dr. Wayne Gray
Army Research Institute
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Bert Green
Johns Hopkins University
Department of Psychology
Charles & 34th Street
Baltimore, MD 21218

Dr. James G. Greeno
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

H. William Greenup
Education Advisor (E031)
Education Center, MCDEC
Quantico, VA 22134

Donald Haggard
Fort Knox Field Unit
Army Research Institute
Steele Hall
Ft. Knox, KY 40121

Dr. Henry M. Halff
Halff Resources, Inc.
4918 33rd Road, North
Arlington, VA 22207

Dr. Ronald K. Hambleton
Prof. of Education & Psychology
University of Massachusetts
at Amherst
Hille House
Amherst, MA 01003

Dr. Bruce Hamill
The Johns Hopkins University
Applied Physics Laboratory
Laurel, MD 20707

Dr. Bruce W. Hamill
Johns Hopkins University
Applied Physics Laboratory
Johns Hopkins Road
Laurel, MD 20707

Janice Hart
Office of the Chief
of Naval Operations
OP-11HD
Department of the Navy
Washington, D.C. 20350-2000

Prof. John R. Hayes
Carnegie-Mellon University
Department of Psychology
Schenley Park
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Barbara Hayes-Roth
Department of Computer Science
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 95305

Dr. Frederick Hayes-Roth
Teknowledge
525 University Ave.
Palo Alto, CA 94301

Dr. Joan I. Heller
505 Haddon Road
Oakland, CA 94606

Dr. Steven A. Hillyard
Department of Neurosciences
University of California,
San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Geoffrey Hinton
Carnegie-Mellon University
Computer Science Department
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Jim Hollan
Intelligent Systems Group
Institute for
Cognitive Science (C-015)
UCSD
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Melissa HOLLAND
Army Research Institute for the
Behavioral and Social Sciences
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Keith Holyoak
University of Michigan
Human Performance Center
330 Packard Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Dr. James Howard
Dept. of Psychology
Human Performance Laboratory
Catholic University of
America
Washington, DC 20064

Dr. Lloyd Humphreys
University of Illinois
Department of Psychology
603 East Daniel Street
Champaign, IL 61820

Dr. Earl Hunt
Department of Psychology
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98105

Dr. Ed Hutchins
Intelligent Systems Group
Institute for
Cognitive Science (C-015)
UCSD
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Zachary Jacobson
Bureau of Management Consulting
365 Laurier Avenue West
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S5
CANADA

COL Dennis W. Jarvi
Commander
AFHRL
Brooks AFB, TX 78235-5601

Dr. Robin Jeffries
Hewlett-Packard Laboratories
P.O. Box 10490
Palo Alto, CA 94303-0971

Margaret Jerome
c/o Dr. Peter Chandler
83, The Drive
Hove
Sussex
UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Joseph E. Johnson
Assistant Dean for
Graduate Studies
College of Science and Mathematics
University of South Carolina
Columbia, SC 29208

CDR Tom Jones
ONR Code 125
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Douglas H. Jones
Thatcher Jones Associates
P.O. Box 6640
10 Trafalgar Court
Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

Dr. Marcel Just
Carnegie-Mellon University
Department of Psychology
Schenley Park
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

ONR DISTRIBUTION LIST

Distribution List (UCSD/Norman) NR 667-541

Distribution List (UCSD/Norman) NR 667-541

ONR DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr. Milton S. Katz
Army Research Institute
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Dennis Kibler
University of California
Department of Information
and Computer Science
Irvine, CA 92717

Dr. David Kieras
University of Michigan
Technical Communication
College of Engineering
1223 E. Engineering Building
Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Dr. Peter Kincaid
Training Analysis
& Evaluation Group
Department of the Navy
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Walter Kintsch
Department of Psychology
University of Colorado
Campus Box 345
Boulder, CO 80302

Dr. Paula Kirk
Oakridge Associated Universities
University Programs Division
P.O. Box 117
Oakridge, TN 37831-0117

Dr. Mazie Knerr
Program Manager
Training Research Division
HUMRRO
1100 S. Washington
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Ronald Knoll
Bell Laboratories
Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Dr. Janet L. Kolodner
Georgia Institute of Technology
School of Information
& Computer Science
Atlanta, GA 30332

Dr. Sylvan Kornblum
University of Michigan
Mental Health Research Institute
205 Washtenaw Place
Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Dr. Stephen Kosslyn
Harvard University
1236 William James Hall
33 Kirkland St.
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. David H. Krantz
2 Washington Square Village
Apt. # 15J
New York, NY 10012

Dr. David R. Lambert
Naval Ocean Systems Center
Code 441T
271 Catalina Boulevard
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Pat Langley
University of California
Department of Information
and Computer Science
Irvine, CA 92717

Dr. Marcy Lansman
University of North Carolina
The L. L. Thurstone Lab.
Davie Hall 013A
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Dr. Jill Larkin
Carnegie-Mellon University
Department of Psychology
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Robert Lawler
Information Sciences, FRL
GTE Laboratories, Inc.
40 Sylvan Road
Waltham, MA 02254

Dr. Paul E. Lehner
PAR Technology Corp.
7926 Jones Branch Drive
Suite 170
McLean, VA 22102

Dr. Thomas Leonard
University of Wisconsin
Department of Statistics
1210 West Dayton Street
Madison, WI 53705

Dr. Alan M. Lesgold
Learning R&D Center
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Dr. Alan Leshner
Deputy Division Director
Behavioral and Neural Sciences
National Science Foundation
1800 G Street
Washington, DC 20550

Dr. Jim Levin
University of California
Laboratory for Comparative
Human Cognition
D003A
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Clayton Lewis
University of Colorado
Department of Computer Science
Campus Box 430
Boulder, CO 80309

Library
Naval War College
Newport, RI 02840

Library
Naval Training Systems Center
Orlando, FL 32813

Science and Technology Division
Library of Congress
Washington, DC 20540

Dr. Frederic M. Lord
Educational Testing Service
Princeton, NJ 08541

Dr. Don Lyon
P. O. Box 44
Higley, AZ 85236

Dr. William L. Maloy
Chief of Naval Education
and Training
Naval Air Station
Pensacola, FL 32508

Dr. Evans Mandes
Department of Psychology
George Mason University
4400 University Drive
Fairfax, VA 22030

Dr. Sandra P. Marshall
Dept. of Psychology
San Diego State University
San Diego, CA 92182

Dr. Manton M. Matthews
Department of Computer Science
University of South Carolina
Columbia, SC 29208

Dr. Richard E. Mayer
Department of Psychology
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Dr. Kathleen McKeown
Columbia University
Department of Computer Science
New York, NY 10027

Dr. Gall McKoon
CAS/psychology
Northwestern University
1859 Sheridan Road
Kresge #230
Evanston, IL 60201

Dr. Joe McLachlan
Navy Personnel R&D Center
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. James McMichael
Assistant for HPT Research,
Development, and Studies
OP 01B7
Washington, DC 20370

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman] NR 667-541

Dr. Barbara Means
Human Resources
Research Organization
1100 South Washington
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Douglas L. Medin
Department of Psychology
University of Illinois
603 E. Daniel Street
Champaign, IL 61820

Dr. Arthur Melmed
U. S. Department of Education
724 Brown
Washington, DC 20208

Dr. Jose Mestre
Department of Physics
Hasbrouck Laboratory
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, MA 01003

Dr. Al Meyrowitz
Office of Naval Research
Code 1133
800 N. Quincy
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. James R. Miller
MCC
9430 Research Blvd.
Echelon Building #1, Suite 231
Austin, TX 78759

Capt. Robert Milne (Ph.D.)
Artificial Intelligence Laboratory
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

Dr. Andrew R. Molnar
Scientific and Engineering
Personnel and Education
National Science Foundation
Washington, DC 20550

Dr. William Montague
NPRDC Code 13
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Tom Moran
Xerox PARC
3333 Coyote Hill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Headquarters, Marine Corps
Code MPI-20
Washington, DC 20380

Dr. Allen Munro
Behavioral Technology
Laboratories - USC
1845 S. Elena Ave., 4th Floor
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

Assistant for Evaluation,
Analysis, and MIS, NMPC
N-6C
Washington, DC 20370

Spec. Asst. for Research, Experl-
mental & Academic Programs,
NTTC (Code 016)
NAS Memphis (75)
Millington, TN 38054

Leadership Management Education
and Training Project Officer,
Naval Medical Command
Code 05C
Washington, DC 20372

Mr. William S. Neale
HQ ATC/TTA
Randolph AFB, TX 78150

Dr. Mary Jo Nilsen
University of Minnesota
N218 Elliott Hall
Minneapolis, MN 55455

Director, Training Laboratory,
NPRDC (Code 05)
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Director, Manpower and Personnel
Laboratory,
NPRDC (Code 06)
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Director, Human Factors
& Organizational Systems Lab,
NPRDC (Code 07)
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Library, NPRDC
Code P201L
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Commanding Officer,
Naval Research Laboratory
Code 2627
Washington, DC 20390

Dr. Harold F. O'Neil, Jr.
School of Education - WPH 801
Department of Educational
Psychology & Technology
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0031

Dr. Stellan Ohlsson
Learning R & D Center
University of Pittsburgh
3939 O'Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Director, Research Programs,
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Office of Naval Research,
Code 1133
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Office of Naval Research,
Code 1142EP
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Office of Naval Research,
Code 1142PT
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000
(6 Copies)

Office of Naval Research,
Code 11R
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Director, Technology Programs,
Office of Naval Research
Code 12
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Office of Naval Research,
Code 125
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Special Assistant for Marine
Corps Matters,
ONR Code 00MC
800 N. Quincy St.
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Office of Naval Research,
Resident Representative,
UCSD
University of California,
San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093-0001

Assistant for Long Range
Requirements,
CNO Executive Panel
OP 00K
2000 North Beauregard Street
Alexandria, VA 22311

Assistant for Planning MANTRAPERS
OP 0186
Washington, DC 20370

Assistant for MPT Research,
Development and Studies
OP 0187
Washington, DC 20370

Head -- Manpower, Personnel,
Training, & Reserve Team,
OP 914D
5A578, The Pentagon
Washington, DC 20350

Assistant for Personnel
Logistics Planning,
OP 987H
5D772, The Pentagon
Washington, DC 20350

Dr. Judith Orasanu
Army Research Institute
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

ONR DISTRIBUTION LIST

ONR DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr. Jesse Orlansky
Institute for Defense Analyses
1801 N. Beauregard St.
Alexandria, VA 22311

Prof. Seymour Papert
20C-109
Massachusetts Institute
of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139

CDR R. T. Parlette
Chief of Naval Operations
OP-112G
Washington, DC 20370-2000

Dr. Robert F. Parnak
Department of Psychology
George Mason University
4400 University Drive
Fairfax, VA 22030

Dr. Douglas Pearce
DCIEM
Box 2000
Downsview, Ontario
CANADA

Dr. Virginia E. Pendergrass
Code 711
Naval Training Systems Center
Orlando, FL 32813-7100

Dr. Nancy Pennington
University of Chicago
Graduate School of Business
1101 E. 58th St.
Chicago, IL 60637

Military Assistant for Training and
Personnel Technology,
OUSD (R & E)
Room 30129, The Pentagon
Washington, DC 20301-3080

ICDR Frank C. Petho, MSC, USN
CNATRA Code N36, Bldg. 1
NAS
Corpus Christi, TX 78419

Dr. Tjeerd Plomp
Twente University of Technology
Department of Education
P.O. Box 217
7500 AE ENSCHEDE
THE NETHERLANDS

Dr. Martha Polson
Department of Psychology
Campus Box 346
University of Colorado
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Peter Polson
University of Colorado
Department of Psychology
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Steven E. Poltrock
MCC
9430 Research Blvd.
Echelon Bldg #1
Austin, TX 78759-6509

Dr. Harry E. Pople
University of Pittsburgh
Decision Systems Laboratory
1360 Scalfe Hall
Pittsburgh, PA 15261

Dr. Joseph Psotka
ATTN: PERI-1C
Army Research Institute
5001 Eisenhower Ave.
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Lynne Rader
Department of Psychology
Carnegie-Mellon University
Schenley Park
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Fred Reif
Physics Department
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

Dr. Lauren Resnick
Learning R & D Center
University of Pittsburgh
3939 O'Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Gil Ricard
Mail Stop C04-14
Grumman Aerospace Corp.
Bethpage, NY 11714

Mark Richer
Knowledge Systems Laboratory
701 Welch Road (Bldg. C)
Palo Alto CA 94304

Dr. Mary S. Riley
Program in Cognitive Science
Center for Human Information
Processing
University of California
La Jolla, CA 92093

William Rizzo
Code 712
Naval Training Systems Center
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Linda G. Roberts
Science, Education, and
Transportation Program
Office of Technology Assessment
Congress of the United States
Washington, DC 20510

Dr. Andrew M. Rose
American Institutes
for Research
1055 Thomas Jefferson St., NW
Washington, DC 20007

Dr. Ernst Z. Rothkopf
AT&T Bell Laboratories
Room 2D-456
600 Mountain Avenue
Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Dr. William B. Rouse
Search Technology, Inc.
25-b Technology Park/Atlanta
Norcross, GA 30092

Dr. David Rumelhart
Center for Human
Information Processing
Univ. of California
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Fumiko Samejima
Department of Psychology
University of Tennessee
Knoxville, TN 37916

Dr. Michael J. Samet
Perceptonics, Inc
6271 Varzel Avenue
Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Dr. James F. Sanford
Department of Psychology
George Mason University
4400 University Drive
Fairfax, VA 22030

Dr. Roger Schank
Yale University
Computer Science Department
P.O. Box 2158
New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. Walter Schneider
Learning R&D Center
University of Pittsburgh
3939 O'Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Karen A. Schriver
Department of English
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Marc Sebrechts
Department of Psychology
Wesleyan University
Middletown, CT 06475

Dr. Robert J. Seidel
US Army Research Institute
5001 Eisenhower Ave.
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Sylvia A. S. Shatto
Department of
Computer Science
Towson State University
Towson, MD 21204

Dr. Kazuo Shigemasa
7-9-24 Kugenuma-Kaigan
Fujisawa 251
JAPAN

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman] NR 667-541

Dr. Ben Shnellderman
Dept. of Computer Science
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742

Dr. Ted Shortliffe
Computer Science Department
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Lee Shulman
Stanford University
1040 Cathcart Way
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Herbert A. Simon
Department of Psychology
Carnegie-Mellon University
Schenley Park
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

LTCOL Robert Simpson
Defense Advanced Research
Projects Administration
1400 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Zita M Simutis
Instructional Technology
Systems Area
ARI
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko
Manpower Research
and Advisory Services
Smithsonian Institution
801 North Pitt Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Derek Sleeman
Stanford University
School of Education
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Edward E. Smith
Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc.
50 Moulton Street
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. Alfred F. Smode
Senior Scientist
Code 07A
Naval Training Systems Center
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Richard E. Snow
Department of Psychology
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94306

Dr. Elliot Soloway
Yale University
Computer Science Department
P.O. Box 2158
New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. Richard Sorensen
Navy Personnel R&D Center
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Kathryn T. Spoehr
Brown University
Department of Psychology
Providence, RI 02912

James J. Staszewski
Research Associate
Carnegie-Mellon University
Department of Psychology
Schenley Park
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Frederick Steinhilser
CIA-ORD
612 Ames
Washington, DC 20505

Dr. Robert Sternberg
Department of Psychology
Yale University
Box 11A, Yale Station
New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. Albert Stevens
Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc.
10 Moulton St.
Cambridge, MA 02238

Dr. Paul J. Sticha
Senior Staff Scientist
Training Research Division
HumRRO
1100 S. Washington
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Thomas Sticht
Navy Personnel R&D Center
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. John Tangney
AFOSR/NL
Boiling AFB, DC 20332

Dr. Martin M. Taylor
DCIEM
Box 2000
Downsview, Ontario
CANADA

Dr. Perry W. Thorndyke
FMC Corporation
Central Engineering Labs
1185 Coleman Avenue, Box 580
Santa Clara, CA 95052

Major Jack Thorpe
DARPA
1400 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Douglas Towne
Behavioral Technology Labs
1845 S. Elena Ave.
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

Dr. James Tweeddale
Technical Director
Navy Personnel R&D Center
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Paul Twohig
Army Research Institute
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Headquarters, U. S. Marine Corps
Code MPI-20
Washington, DC 20380

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman] NR 667-541

Dr. David Vale
Assessment Systems Corp.
2233 University Avenue
Suite 310
St. Paul, MN 55114

Dr. Kurt Van Lohm
Department of Psychology
Carnegie-Mellon University
Schenley Park
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Beth Warren
Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc.
50 Moulton Street
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. Norman M. Weinberger
University of California
Center for the Neurobiology
of Learning and Memory
Irvine, CA 92717

Roger Weissinger-Raylon
Department of Administrative
Sciences
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940

Dr. Donald Weitzman
MITRE
1820 Dolley Madison Blvd.
MacLean, VA 22102

Dr. Keith T. Wescourt
FMC Corporation
Central Engineering Labs
1185 Coleman Ave., Box 580
Santa Clara, CA 95052

Dr. Douglas Wetzel
Code 12
Navy Personnel R&D Center
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Barbara White
Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc.
10 Moulton Street
Cambridge, MA 02238

ONR DISTRIBUTION LIST

Distribution List (UCSD/Norman) NR 667-541

LCDR Cory deGroot Whitehead
Chief of Naval Operations
OP-112G1
Washington, DC 20370-2000

Dr. Christopher Wickens
Department of Psychology
University of Illinois
Champaign, IL 61820

Dr. Michael Williams
IntelliCorp
1975 El Camino Real West
Mountain View, CA 94040-2216

A. E. Winterbauer
Research Associate
Electronics Division
Denver Research Institute
University Park
Denver, CO 80208-0454

Dr. Robert A. Wisher
U.S. Army Institute for the
Behavioral and Social Sciences
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Martin F. Wiskoff
Navy Personnel R & D Center
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Frank Withrow
U. S. Office of Education
400 Maryland Ave. SW
Washington, DC 20202

Mr. John H. Wolfe
Navy Personnel R&D Center
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Wallace Wulfeck, III
Navy Personnel R&D Center
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Joe Yasutake
AFHRL/LRT
Lowry AFB, CO 80230

Mr. Carl York
System Development Foundation
181 Lytton Avenue
Suite 210
Palo Alto, CA 94301

Dr. Joseph L. Young
Memory & Cognitive
Processes
National Science Foundation
Washington, DC 20550

Dr. Steven Zornetzer
Office of Naval Research
Code 1140
800 N. Quincy St.
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

END

10 - 86

D T C